Sedimentary DNA can reveal the past population dynamics of a pelagic copepod

Kai Nakane[1], Xin Liu[2], Hideyuki Doi[3], Gaël Dur[4], Michinobu Kuwae[1], Syuhei Ban[2],

Narumi Tsugeki[5]

Running head: Copepod dynamics reconstructed from sedDNA

[1] Center for Marine Environmental Studies, Ehime University, Matsuyama, Ehime,

Japan

[2] Department of Ecosystem Studies, School of Environmental Science, The University

of Shiga Prefecture, Hikone, Shiga, Japan

[3] Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

[4] Creative Science Unit (Geoscience), Faculty of Science, Shizuoka University, Suruga-

ku, Shizuoka, Japan

[5] Faculty of Law, Matsuyama University, Matsuyama, Ehime, Japan

[*]Corresponding author: Narumi Tsugeki

Email: narutsugeki@gmail.com

Summary

1. Copepods play a key trophic role as secondary producers, transferring primary production to higher trophic levels such as fish. Copepod production contributes significantly to successful fish recruitment. Despite their importance, knowledge of copepod dynamics over several decades remains limited due to the lack of long-term data series with adequate sampling and analysis. However, an understanding of long-term copepod dynamics is urgently required to strive toward better management for sustainable aquatic ecosystems and fish recruitment.

2. Sedimentary DNA (sedDNA) has been developing as a useful tool for reconstructing past plankton dynamics. This study evaluates whether sedDNA targeting the pelagic copepod, *Eodiaptomus japonicus*, in Lake Biwa (Japan) can be an effective tool for elucidating its past population dynamics.

3. We applied a quantitative polymerase chain reaction method targeting the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I gene on two sediment cores and compared the detected sedDNA concentrations with the unique long-term dataset of demographic traits, biomass, specific growth rate, production, subitaneous eggs, and resting eggs of *E. japonicus*.

4. The sedDNA concentration of *E. japonicus* recovered from sediment layers

correlated significantly with *in situ* production, biomass, and production of

immediately hatching eggs but not with resting eggs or specific growth rate.

Our study provides evidence for the effective use of sedDNA as a tracking tool

for assessing past copepod production dynamics.

Keywords: *Eodiaptomus japonicus*, zooplankton, copepod dynamics, Lake Biwa,

quantitative PCR

Introduction

Copepods are the most abundant multicellular organisms on Earth (Schminke, 2007) and are important secondary producers that transfer primary production to higher trophic levels such as to fish in aquatic ecosystems (Mauchline, 1998). Copepod production as a food resource is essential for sustainable fish management (Mauchline, 1998). Successful fish recruitment is highly dependent on copepod production because it promotes fish larval survival (Mauchline, 1998). Copepod population growth is influenced by temperature and food availability (Ban, 1994; Hart, 1990; Koski & Kuosa, 1999; Liu *et al*., 2015). Previous studies have shown that global warming decreases copepod abundance (Garzke *et al*., 2015). Also, large-scale climate events showing oscillation cycles over decades, such as the Pacific Decadal Oscillation and Arctic Oscillation, regulate ocean temperatures and consequently affect copepod biomass and production (Chiba *et al*., 2006; Liu *et al*., 2021; Peterson & Schwing, 2003). Information about long-term copepod population dynamics is urgently required to achieve better fish management and to understand how large-scale climatic events influence aquatic ecosystems. Nevertheless, copepod dynamics across decades are rarely investigated due to the cost and time required to obtain long-term data series.

Sedimentary archives are valuable for gaining information on zooplankton long-

term dynamics (Jankowski & Straile, 2003; Brede *et al*., 2009). For specific species,

such as the cladoceran zooplankton *Daphnia*, whose remains and resting eggs are well

preserved in sediment, morphological investigation and genetic analysis are powerful

tools to clarify biological responses to anthropogenic perturbations (Brede *et al*., 2009;

Jankowski & Straile, 2003; Monchamp *et al*., 2017; Tsugeki *et al*., 2009). However,

copepods have been excluded from palaeoecological studies because their remains are

not well preserved in sediments (Selden *et al*., 2010). Although copepod resting eggs

are preserved in sediments (Hairston *et al*., 1995), they are seldom used in

palaeoecological studies because of their poor taxonomic features (Briski *et al*., 2011).

Consequently, traditional investigations of sediment archives are insufficient for

establishing past copepod dynamics.

To overcome such difficulties, sedimentary DNA (sedDNA) can be a useful proxy

for reconstructing past dynamic of organisms without visible remains (Capo *et al*.,

2021). Through the sedDNA approach, great progress has been made in reconstructing

the past dynamics of various organisms such as mammals (Giguet-Covex *et al*., 2014),

plants (Alsos *et al.*, 2018; Epp *et al.*, 2015; Giguet-Covex *et al.*, 2014), plankton (Epp *et*

al., 2010, 2015; Huang *et al*., 2020; Monchamp *et al*., 2016) and fish (Kuwae *et al*.,

2020). Combined studies of sedDNA with morphological techniques have been

conducted to track plankton community composition (Huang *et al*., 2020; Monchamp *et*

al., 2016). However, most studies on plankton sedDNA have focused on phytoplankton;

thus, the utility of the sedDNA approach to reconstruct zooplankton dynamics still

remains limited. A recent study of zooplankton sedDNA targeting *Daphnia galeata* and

D. pulicaria in Lake Biwa (Japan) using quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR)

successfully detected the sedDNA of both *Daphnia* species (Tsugeki *et al*., 2022). The

temporal variation in *Daphnia* sedDNA concentration was consistent with their resting

egg production, indicating that zooplankton sedDNA could be used as a tool for

monitoring their spawning activity (Tsugeki *et al*., 2022). Previous studies also

successfully sequenced copepod DNA in sediment samples (Bissett *et al*., 2005; Epp *et*

al., 2015; Xu *et al*., 2011) and reconstructed past variation in the copepod community of

a North Greenland lake (Epp *et al.*, 2015) and in Antarctic lakes (Bissett et al. 2005).

However, copepod DNA was only retrieved from a limited number of samples, perhaps

due to the relatively long fragment amplified by the available primers (as previously

pointed out Epp *et al.* 2015). These findings suggest that historically deposited copepod

DNA is sufficiently well preserved in sediments to allow for reconstruction of long-term

population and community level changes. Nevertheless, the ability to detect the

sedDNA of a specific copepod species and to quantitatively reconstruct its dynamics is

limited. Thus, there is an urgent need to develop specific sedDNA techniques for the

quantitative reconstruction of the dynamics key individual copepod taxa.

To ensure the reliability of using sedDNA to reconstruct past population dynamics,

accumulating knowledge about the source of sedDNA is imperative. Without such

information, one cannot interpret what is being reconstructed. The comparison of

historical variations between sedDNA and regular survey data in the water column

could confirm the reliability of the information provided by sedDNA. In Lake Biwa

(Japan), one of the world's most ancient lakes (Hampton *et al.*, 2018), regular

zooplankton surveys have been conducted intensively since 1965 (Hsieh *et al.*, 2011;

Liu *et al.*, 2020b). Moreover, the long-term demographic traits of the dominant pelagic

copepod, *Eodiaptomus japonicus*, such as biomass, population growth rate, and

production, were determined from 1971 to 2010 using experimental and microscopic

observations (Liu *et al.*, 2015, 2020b, 2021). *Eodiaptomus japonicus* produces both

subitaneous eggs (that hatch within a few days, without requiring a period of dormancy)

and resting eggs (which require a period of dormancy before hatching); the historical

variation in resting egg concentration was recovered from sediment archives up to 60

years old (Liu *et al.*, 2020a). Sediment cores from Lake Biwa can provide high-

resolution temporal data and exhibit fairly constant sedimentation rates (2–4 years per

cm) over this time frame (Tsugeki et al., 2003, 2021). Therefore, *E. japonicus* is a

suitable candidate for comparing historical variation between its sedDNA and

demographic traits over the past several decades.

We first investigated whether sedDNA of *E. japonicus* could be successively and

quantitatively detected from sediment core samples collected in the deep and large

northern basin of Lake Biwa via qPCR analysis. We then tested the efficiency of

sedDNA as a tool to reconstruct quantitative population dynamics (Fig. 1b) by

comparing the detected *E. japonicus* sedDNA with its previously determined

demographic traits (Fig. 1g, h). To evaluate the contribution of the DNA derived from

resting eggs to the sedDNA sample, we conducted a sieving experiment (Fig. 1e). In

addition, we also investigated the sedDNA concentrations in pore water separated from

the residual sediment to clarify the influence of its vertical movement (Fig. 1f).

Furthermore, we assessed the effects of enzymatic inhibitors in the qPCR process (Fig.

1b), and the effects of the co-precipitation with phytoplankton debris and their

aggregates (Fig. 1d). Based on the findings, we discuss what was reconstructed for *E.*

japonicus from sedDNA and its reliability as a tool for reconstructing *in situ E.*

japonicus population dynamics.

Methods

Sampling site, sediment core, and zooplankton sample collection

We used sediment core samples to reconstruct historical variation in sedDNA

concentrations of *E. japonicus* at Lake Biwa (Fig. 1b). We collected a total of four 30-

cm long gravity core samples (Fig. 1a-f) from two points in the northern basin of Lake

Biwa (Fig. S1). Three were collected on August 17, 2017 (2017LB core: 2017LB2,

2017LB5, 2017LB7; 35°15′1.0″N, 136°04′0.78″ E; water depth = 71 m), and one on

August 27, 2019 (2019IM core: 2019IM1; 35°22′37″N, 136°05′48″E; water depth = 93

m). The sampling methods have been described in detail previously (Tsugeki *et al.*,

2022). The 2017LB2 and 2017LB5 cores were used to determine the variation in *E.*

japonicus sedDNA concentrations (Fig. 1b, Table S2) and to explore potential sources

of its sedDNA (Fig. 1e, f). The 2017LB7 core was used for the chronology and for some

algal remains whereas the 2019IM1 core was used as the negative control (details are

described below).

The collected cores were then cut into successive sections each 1 cm thick using a

vertical extruder with a cutting apparatus. After sectioning, each sliced sample was

homogenized by hand shaking and then, all subsamples were taken from each

homogenized sample. Between sample preparations, all pipes, knives, and cutting

apparatuses were rinsed with 0.6% sodium hypochlorite, tap water, and Milli-Q water to

prevent DNA cross-contamination. All sliced samples were transferred to lightproof

bags and frozen at −80°C until further analysis. To assess the level of potential

contamination during the core cutting, DNA extraction, and qPCR analysis processes,

we inserted control water samples at a depth of 14-15 cm and 29-30 cm layers; hereafter

expressed as the middle depth, i.e., 14.5 cm and 29.5 cm, respectively, in the sediment

cores. We used the water samples for core 2019IM1 as the negative control (Fig. 1c).

To develop a primer-probe specific for *E. japonicus*, we collected a total of 95

individuals (including 14 egg-bearing individuals) and 91 individuals of the sympatric

copepod *Mesocyclops* spp. (including 17 egg-bearing individuals) from water samples

on May 14, 2021, in Lake Biwa. Among these, six individuals of *E. japonicus*

(including three egg-bearing individuals) and four individuals of *Mesocyclops* spp.

(including two egg-bearing individuals) were randomly selected, stored at –80 □ until

DNA extraction, and then stored at –20 □ until *in vitro* validation.

Chronology of sediment cores

Details of the chronological method have been reported elsewhere (Tsugeki *et al*.,

2021). Briefly, sediment chronology was established for the 2017LB7 core based on the

constant rate of supply (CRS) model of ^{210}Pb dating (Appleby & Oldfield, 1978).

Results were verified using the ^{137}Cs peak traced in the period 1962 to 1963 (Appleby,

2001). The ages and age errors of the 2017LB2 and 2017LB5 cores were estimated

indirectly, making use of stratigraphic correlations between the cores based on

chronological controls in chlorophyll pigments and magnetic susceptibilities of the

chronological 2017LB7 core (Tsugeki *et al*., 2021). The marked peak or trough layers

were used as reference layers to compare these proxies (Fig. S3). In this study,

sedimentary records of resting eggs for *E. japonicus* (Liu *et al*., 2020a) were newly

denoted with improved chronology estimated using the CRS model (Fig. S5a, b).

DNA extraction and primer-probe development for *E. japonicus*

DNA extraction and purification of a total of 61 sediment samples for two cores

(Table S2; 2017LB2: 34 samples, 2017LB5: 27 samples) followed the methodology

described in previous papers (Kuwae *et al*., 2020; Tsugeki *et al*., 2022; Sakata *et al*.,

2020). This involved using the 'Experienced User Protocol 3 to 22' of a fecal-soil DNA

extraction kit (Power Soil DNA Isolation Kit, Qiagen, Germany). In short, 9 g of each

sample was incubated in a 9 ml alkaline solution (comprising 6 ml of 0.33 M sodium

hydroxide and a 3 mL Tris-EDTA buffer (pH 6.7)) in a 45 ml tube at 94 °C for 50 min.

After incubation, samples were centrifugation at 10,000×g at 4 °C for 60 min. We took

7.5 mL of the supernatant of the alkalized mixture and transferred it to a new tube and

neutralized the solution with 7.5 mL of 1 M Tris–HCl (pH 6.7). After adding 1.5 mL of

3 M sodium acetate (pH 5.2) and 30 mL ethanol (99.8%), the solution was stored at

−20 °C for more than 1 h and then centrifuged at 10,000×g at 4 °C for 60 min. The

supernatant was decanted. The residual pellet was mixed with 100 μL of DNA-free

water and transferred to a 'PowerBead Tube' that was installed in a PowerSoil DNA kit.

Finally, elution was in 200 μL of the DNA solution and stored at −20 □ until qPCR

analysis.

The primer-probe for *E. japonicus* in qPCR analysis was designed for this study

as follows. Firstly, we downloaded the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I

gene (COI) from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI,

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). We then compared the COI genes of the target species

(*E. japonicus*) with those of the non-target copepod species *Mesocyclops*

thermocyclopoides, *Cyclops vicinus*, *Daphnia galeata*, and *D. pulicaria* (Table S1b)

which are the main zooplankton species in Lake Biwa (Liu *et al*., 2020b). The reference

sequences for the targeted gene regions were queried on possible amplicons between 50

and 150 bp using NCBI primer-BLAST (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-

blast/), after which we constructed the primer-probe using Primer3plus

(https://www.bioinformatics.nl/cgi-bin/primer3plus/primer3plus.cgi). The primer-probe was

designed as follows (Table S1a): forward primer, 5′-GTC CCT TAA TCG ATA GTC

CTC G-3′; reverse primer, 5′-TCA CCT CCA CCC CCA TAT AA-3′; and probe

sequence, 5′-FAM-AAC TTC AGG TCA AGG TGC AG-TAMRA-3′. The specificities

of the primers and probe were then confirmed *in silico* with homologous sequences

from other copepods and *Daphnia* using NCBI, by targeting 116 bp of the

mitochondrial COI gene. Once PCR amplicons were identified for suitable detection, *in*

vitro validation was performed using extracted DNA of *E. japonicus* and the non-target

copepod *Mesocyclops* spp. from the water samples collected in Lake Biwa to evaluate

primer-probe specificity. Using the *E. japonicus* primer-set, we did not detect PCR

amplicons from the non-target species. The validation was also performed using DNA

extracted from the 2017LB5 core samples at depth layers of 9.5 cm, 19.5 cm, and 29.5

cm. Among the qPCR amplicons of the 2017LB2 core samples, the 12 samples

randomly collected were directly sequenced following ExoSAP-IT (USB Corporation,

Cleveland, OH, USA) treatment. Sequences were determined using a commercial

sequencing service (Eurofins Genomics, Tokyo, Japan).

qPCR

DNA samples were quantified via real-time TaqMan quantitative PCR using the

QuantStudio™ 1 Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) in the

Center for Marine Environmental Studies, Ehime University. The qPCR protocol

followed that of Tsugeki *et al*. (2022). Briefly, the 1uL TaqMan reaction contained 900

nM of each forward and reverse primer, 125 nM TaqMan-Probe, and 10 µL qPCR

master mix (TaqPath; Thermo Fisher Scientific) added to 4.0 µL template DNA solution.

The final volume of the PCR was 20 µL after adding UltraPure™ DNase/RNase-Free

Distilled Water (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The dilution gradient pattern of 20,000,

2,000, 200, and 20 copies per PCR reaction was proposed for the standard curve using

the target DNA cloned into a plasmid. We ordered the cloning DNA of the 116-bp COI

gene for qPCR amplification region using the plasmid to Eurofins Scientific (Tokyo,

Japan).

The R^2 values of the regression analysis for the standard curves ranged from 0.983

to 0.993 (PCR efficiency = 81.2 to 98.4%). The qPCR data of the DNA copies (copies g$^-$

^{1} dry sed.) were shown as mean $\pm$ SD of four technical replicates in one sample. The

limit of detection (LOD) of qPCR was six copies per PCR reaction for *E. japonicus*, and

qPCR replicates that were not detected (less than the LOD) were treated as zero (0).

One no-template control reaction (NTC) was included as the negative control in each

run.

Inhibitor test

To test the PCR inhibition effect of substances and minerals included in sediments,

spike tests were performed for the 2017LB2 and 2017LB5 core samples (Fig. 1b).

Detailed information on the spike test was provided in a previous study (Tsugeki *et al.*,

2022) and ΔCt $\geq$ 3 cycle appeared to be evidence of inhibition (Hartman *et al.*, 2005).

We used the internal positive control (IPC; 207-bp, Nippon Gene Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan,

an artificial DNA sequence designed to differ from any sequence of the organisms) as

the spike DNA. Briefly, 1 µL plasmid, including the IPC (100 copies per PCR reaction),

was added to the PCR template with the same PCR mixer as described above. We used

the following primer and probe sets for IPC:

IPC1-5': CCGAGCTTACAAGGCAGGTT

IPC1-3': TGGCTCGTACACCAGCATACTAG

IPC1-Taq: [FAM] TAGCTTCAAGCATCTGGCTGTCGGC [TAMRA]

Demographic traits and experimental test to explore potential sources of sedDNA

To determine the source of *E. japonicus* sedDNA, we evaluated the correlation between the sedDNA concentration and demographic traits of this copepod during recent decades, including biomass, specific growth rate, population production, and the number of subitaneous and resting eggs (Fig. 1g, h). Long-term monthly datasets of biomass, specific growth rate, and population production can be found in our previous reports (Liu *et al*., 2020b, 2021). The calculations of these population metrics are summarized in Table S4, with more details provided in previous studies (Liu *et al*., 2015, 2020b, 2021). Briefly, biomass (g dry weight m^{-2}) was calculated as the product of the population density (individuals m^{-2}) with the mean body dry weight (g individual^{-1}). Specific growth rate (g day $^{-1}$) was estimated using a multiple regression equation with two parameters: mean water temperature (°C) during the copepod development and the food index as a proxy for availability of food required for *E. japonicus* growth (Liu *et al*., 2015, 2021). The food index was calculated from the ratio of the prosome length of the females collected from Lake Biwa to the expected prosome length of the females obtained from laboratory experiments under adequate food conditions. Population production (gC m^{-2} month^{-1}) was calculated as the product of biomass and specific growth rate. The concentration of subitaneous eggs (eggs m^{-2}) was estimated as the

product of proportion of ovigerous female (%), ovigerous female density (individuals

m^{-2}), and clutch size (eggs clutch^{-1}). Here, all parameters used in the calculation of

subitaneous eggs were based on counts of individuals collected by field survey (Dur *et*

al., 2022). The concentration of resting eggs (eggs g^{-1} dry sediment) was calculated as

the ratio of the number of resting eggs counted by microscopy from each layer of the

2013LB core (eggs sample^{-1}) to the dry weight for each layer of sediment (g dry

sediment) (Liu *et al*., 2020a).

To determine whether *E. japonicus* sedDNA concentrations were regulated by

DNA derived directly from resting eggs included in the analytical sediment, we divided

the sediment sample into two fractions using a sieve to exclude the eggs (Fig. 1e). Since

the minimum size of the resting egg of this copepod is approximately 80 μm (Liu *et al*.,

2020a), the analytical sediments for DNA extraction were divided into two size classes

using a 38-μm mesh-sieve on three randomly selected-layer samples (specifically,

2017LB5-2.5 cm, 2017LB5-10.5 cm, and 2017LB5-19.5 cm). Furthermore, to test the

possibility of vertical movement of *E. japonicus* sedDNA through pore waters, we

examined the sedDNA concentration in pore water and its residual sediment by

separating them (Fig. 1f). The DNA extractions of the aforementioned samples were

performed using previously reported protocols (Tsugeki *et al*., 2022).

Possible factors regulating *E. japonicus* sedDNA

To explore potential factors regulating temporal variation in sedDNA concentrations, we analyzed chlorophyll-a pigments and algal remains. Sedimentary pigments of chlorophyll-a were investigated for the 2017LB2 core, and algal remains were investigated for the 2017LB7 core (Fig. 1d). Details of the method used for chlorophyll-a and algal remains are described in previous studies (Tsugeki *et al*., 2021, 2022).

Data analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using R ver. 4.1.2 (R Core Team 2021) with the package "smatr" ver. 3.4.8. Type II regression models along with the standardized major axis method were used to determine the relationship between sedDNA concentrations of *E. japonicus* and demographic properties of biomass, specific growth rate, production, and abundance of *E. japonicus* subitaneous, resting eggs, and algal proxies. Since sedDNA and resting egg analysis for *E. japonicus* (Liu *et al*., 2020a) were conducted on different sediment cores (2017LB2, 2017LB5, and 2013LB; Fig. 1b, h), the chronological age of each analytical sample was slightly

different. Therefore, prior to statistical analysis, each sedDNA and resting egg

concentration in the respective core was converted to the value at 0.1-year intervals by

linear interpolation using the AnalySeries 2.0.3 software package (Paillard *et al.*, 1996).

We then recalculated the average values within the year corresponding to the

sedimentation period in each sample of the chronology 2017LB7 core.

The monthly data of demographic traits in *E. japonicus* including biomass,

specific growth rate, production, and abundance of subitaneous eggs were annually

averaged and converted to the value at 0.1-year intervals by linear interpolation.

Thereafter, we calculated the average values in the respective sedimentation period of

the 2017LB7 core samples, as mentioned above. These demographic data were limited

to the year 2010. However, we estimated that the value until 2011 corresponded to the

estimated age at 4.5 cm depth of 2017LB7 core by linear extrapolation using

AnalySeries 2.0.3 software package (Paillard *et al.*, 1996). Prior to Type II regression

analysis, we tested the normality of the data with the Shapiro–Wilk test (significant

level $p < 0.05$). The Shapiro–Wilk test rejected the hypothesis that sedDNA

concentration and subitaneous eggs were normally distributed. Therefore, the data were

$\log_{10}$-transformed to perform a Type II regression. We then used Type II regression

models to determine the relationship between the $\log_{10}$-transformed values of *E.*

japonicus sedDNA concentration and their demographic traits and algal proxies.

Results

sedDNA concentration of *E. japonicus*

The results of qPCR analysis in both cores (2017LB2 and 2017LB5; Fig. 1b)

showed that *E. japonicus* sedDNA copies were detected continuously throughout the

study period (Fig. 2a, b), except for three missing layers for the 2017LB5 core (5.5 cm,

6.5 cm, and 7.5 cm; Table S2). The mean DNA copy number of *E. japonicus* varied

depending on the core depth and ranged from 6 $\pm$ 11 (mean $\pm$ SD) copies g^{-1} dry

sediment (hereafter copies g^{-1}) in the depth of the 2017LB2-28.5 cm layer (estimated

age: 1924.5 $\pm$ 39.0) to 290,330 $\pm$ 30,848 copies g^{-1} in the 2017LB2-4.5 cm layer

(estimated age: 2007.3 $\pm$ 0.4). Table S2). The sedDNA concentration of *E. japonicus*

was high in the 3–4 replicates of samples in the upper 8.5 cm layers (estimated age:

1993.8 $\pm$ 1.0 and 1999.0 $\pm$ 0.7 for the 2017LB2 and 2017LB5 cores, respectively; Fig.

2a, Table S2). The DNA copy numbers below the 8.5 cm were relatively low but were

detected in 1–4 replicates from all of the layers (Fig. 2b, Table S2).

No DNA of *E. japonicus* was detected in any of the negative controls for sub-

sampling, DNA extraction, or PCR analysis, or the NTC, indicating that sample

contamination did not occur during these processes. We also confirmed that only *E.*

japonicus DNA was amplified through direct sequencing of PCR amplicons, using

qPCR analysis of *E. japonicus* DNA.

A spike test was performed on both sediment core samples (2017LB2 and

2017LB5) for evaluating the effect of qPCR inhibition (Fig. 1b). All ΔCt values were

below 1 (–1.0 to 0.87: 2017LB2, –0.49 to 0.21: 2017LB5; Table S3), indicating no

inhibition.

Long-term variation in demographic traits of *E. japonicus*

All the mean values of demographic traits such as biomass, specific growth rate,

and production for *E. japonicus* were calculated depending on the time resolution of

deposition period on each sample (see details in data analysis). The average biomass of

E. japonicus till approximately the year 2000 was relatively low with a range of 0.7 to

1.2 g dry weight m^{-2}, except for the values measured around 1970 (1.7 g dry weight m^{-2}),

but the average biomass rapidly increased approximately two-fold after 2010 compared

to that before 2000 (Fig. S2a). Likewise, the average mean of their production was

stable before approximately 2000, at less than 1.5 g C m^{-2}, and then continuously

increased up to 2010 (Fig. S2c). Contrarily, the average mean of specific growth rate (*g*)

remained relatively constant after 1970 at approximately 0.07 day^{-1}. The lowest value of

specific growth rate was observed around 1970 and the highest value was observed

around 2010 (Fig. S2b). The average mean of subitaneous eggs remained constant,

being less than 1.5×10^5 eggs m^{-2} up to approximately 2000, excluding the marked peak

in the late 1990s, and continuously increased from 2000 onwards (Fig. S2d). The

average mean of resting egg concentration recovered from sediment observation

increased markedly from the mid-1980s, peaked around the early 1990s, and then

decreased and stabilized (Fig. S2e).

Correlations between *E. japonicus* sedDNA and its demographic traits with the

concentrations of algal remains

A highly significant correlation was detected between the *E. japonicus* sedDNA

concentration and *E. japonicus* production from 1971 to 2010 (Type II regression

model: $R^2 = 0.60$, $p = 0.001$, $n = 14$; Fig. 3c), in addition to its biomass ($R^2 = 0.51$, $p =$

0.004, $n = 14$; Fig. 3a). A significant correlation was also detected between the sedDNA

concentration and number of subitaneous *E. japonicus* eggs ($R^2 = 0.52$, $p = 0.005$, $n =$

13; Fig. 3d). In contrast, no significant relationship was observed between the sedDNA

concentration and the specific growth rate ($R^2 = 0.02$, $p = 0.66$, $n = 14$; Fig. 3b), or the

number of resting eggs ($R^2 = 0.25$, $p = 0.066$, $n = 14$; Fig. 3e). *Eodiaptomus japonicus*

sedDNA concentrations were positively correlated with diatom valves ($R^2 = 0.65$, $p <$

0.001, $n = 27$; Fig. S4a), chlorophyll-a ($R^2 = 0.71$, $p < 0.001$, $n = 29$; Fig. S4b) and

green algal cells ($R^2 = 0.37$, $p = 0.001$, $n = 25$; Fig. S4c), although these long-term

trends differed from the trend of variation in *E. japonicus* sedDNA concentrations (Fig.

S4d, e, f).

Potential sources of *E. japonicus* DNA

To explore the possibility of the vertical movement of sedDNA through pore

waters, we examined the *E. japonicus* sedDNA concentration in pore water separating it

from its residual sediment on three randomly collected samples. The qPCR did not

detect *E. japonicus* environmental DNA (eDNA) from the pore water of all three

samples from the 2017LB5 core (Fig. 1f, Table 1). In contrast, *E. japonicus* eDNA was

detected in the residual sediment samples. Additionally, to assess the contribution of

DNA derived directly from resting eggs included in the analytical sediment, we divided

the sediment sample into two groups: one sieved to exclude the eggs and the other

unsieved. *Eodiaptomus japonicus* sedDNA was detected in both sieved and unsieved

groups, except for the sieved sample of 2017LB5-20 (Table 2). High concentrations of

sedDNA were detected in the unsieved group for two of the three samples, namely

2017LB5-3 (11,630 ± 5,921 copies g^{-1}) and 2017LB5-20 (1,449 ± 1,977 copies g^{-1}),

compared to those in the sieved samples. However, for 2017LB5-11, the concentration

of sedDNA in the sieved sample (2,630 ± 4,555 copies g^{-1}) was higher than in the

unsieved sample (1,838 ± 3,184 copies g^{-1}), although the difference between both values

was minimal.

Discussion

Several studies have reported the long-term preservation of copepod eDNA in

aquatic sediments (Bissett *et al*., 2005; Coolen *et al*., 2013; Xu *et al*., 2011). For

example, Epp *et al.*, (2015) detected copepod eDNA from sediment layers as old as

around 10,000 to 7,500 years in a Greenland lake. However, continuous, and

quantitative detection of copepod sedDNA has not yet been reported (Domaizon *et al*.,

2017). To the best of our knowledge this is the first study to successfully detect and

quantify sedDNA specific to an important copepod species, and to demonstrate the

potential of such approaches for reconstructing past population dynamics with high

temporal resolution.

The temporal variation in the concentration of *E. japonicus* sedDNA correlated

significantly with its production and biomass, obtained via microscopic observations of

regular field samples. In support of this, the number of reads for copepod DNA obtained

by metabarcoding genetic analysis correlated with their biomass in water samples (Yang

et al., 2017) although a meaningful relationship between eDNA abundance and biomass

for some copepod species was not clearly observed (Djurhuus *et al.*, 2018). Furthermore,

a temporal increase in *E. japonicus* sedDNA concentration (more than 50-fold) was

observed in the 1970s, when eutrophication occurred in Lake Biwa (Hyodo *et al.*, 2008).

Concordant with this finding, regular survey reports indicated the density of *E.*

japonicus in Lake Biwa increased sharply in 1975, with densities more than 10-times

higher than those in 1974 (Shiga Prefecture, 1964-1979). This coincidence of increased

sedDNA concentration and the marked expansion of *E. japonicus* in the water column

provides evidence that copepod sedDNA concentration can be a powerful tool to

estimate their population biomass over several decades.

The temporal variation in the concentration of *E. japonicus* sedDNA was unlikely

to be a result of degradation except for the top layer. Leaching from sediments has been

observed mainly in coarse-textured sediments that enable fluid flow through layers

(Andersen *et al.*, 2012; Haile *et al.*, 2007) and leaching is generally assumed to be a

minor process in water-saturated sediments (Giguet-Covex *et al.*, 2014), such as the

fresh sediments investigated in the current study. Indeed, we did not detect *E. japonicus*

eDNA in the pore water samples, as has previously been shown in studies on sedDNA

of fish (Kuwae *et al*., 2020) and *Daphnia* (Tsugeki *et al*., 2022). Therefore, it is

reasonable to conclude that leached *E. japonicus* eDNA only negligibly influences

sedDNA. Although sedDNA might be degraded with time (Anderson-Carpenter *et al.*,

2011), a recent experimental study showed almost no reduction in its concentration after

an initial decline within the first month (Ogata *et al*., 2021). The concentrations of *E.*

japonicus sedDNA in our study did not always show increasing trends toward the

surface layers. Rather, it decreased toward the surface after the peak observed before

2010, except for the top surface layer. This indicates that temporal variation in the

sedDNA of *E. japonicus* cannot be simply explained by time-dependent diagenetic

degradation. The sedDNA concentration of *E. japonicus* correlated with some other

proxies, such as pigment concentration and algal diatom remains. However, the long-

term trends were different from those of *E. japonicus* sedDNA, indicating that *E.*

japonicus sedDNA concentration is not regulated by phytoplankton sinking and/or their

debris aggregations.

We detected that the sedDNA concentrations of *E. japonicus* correlated with the

concentration of its subitaneous eggs. Moreover, we observed high concentrations of

sedDNA from the unsieved samples, likely including resting eggs, in two of the three

samples compared to those in the sieved samples that excluded eggs. However, we did

not detect a significant correlation with the number of resting eggs, which is likely

because in Lake Biwa, subitaneous eggs (rather than resting eggs) regulate the spawning

activity of *E. japonicus* (Liu *et al*., 2020a). The contribution of resting eggs to total *E.*

japonicus eggs in the water column was expected to be < 0.3% (Liu *et al*., 2020a). A

recent study demonstrated that eDNA was released during spawning periods (Takeuchi

et al., 2019; Tillotson *et al*., 2018). In addition, in a report of zooplankton *Daphnia*

sedDNA, substances released during spawning activities were assumed to be a

significant source of DNA archived in sediments (Tsugeki *et al*., 2022). Therefore, these

findings emphasize the importance of sedDNA-sourced material being released during

the main spawning period. As shown in the present study, the sedDNA concentrations of

E. japonicus increased more than 3-fold during the 2000s compared to those before the

1990s, which was significantly correlated with their production and biomass. The

production of *E. japonicus* increased more than double in the 2000s, mainly due to the

decline in predation effects (Liu *et al*., 2020b, 2021), which occurred in parallel with an

increase in their specific growth rate and number of subitaneous eggs. These facts

indicate that copepod sedDNA can be applied as a powerful tracking tool for the

reconstruction of their production and biomass related to the intensity of spawning

activity.

In conclusion, we quantitatively and successfully detected *E. japonicus* sedDNA

over a 100-year period, demonstrating the potential utility of sedDNA in evaluating the

long-term quantitative dynamics of copepods. The absence of *E. japonicus* sedDNA in

the pore water samples suggested that temporal variation in its sedDNA concentration

was not interrupted by leaching reactions after sedimentation. The historical variation in

sedDNA concentration was similar to its production, biomass, and subitaneous eggs

found in regular *in situ* survey samples. Therefore, the *E. japonicus* sedDNA

concentration is a possible indicator of the magnitude of productive activities in the

water. Overall, we confirmed that sedDNA can be used as a proxy for the production

and spawning activity of copepods over at least 100 years of sedimentary records.

References

Anderson-Carpenter L.L., McLachlan J.S., Jackson S.T., Kuch M., Lumibao C.Y. &

Poinar H.N. (2011). Ancient DNA from lake sediments: Bridging the gap

between paleoecology and genetics. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **11**.

https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-11-30

Andersen, K., Bird, K. L., Rasmussen, M., Haile, J., Breuning-Madsen, H., Kjaer, K. H.,

Orlando, L., M. THOMAS P. Gilbert, M. T. P., & Willerslev, E. (2012). Meta-

barcoding of 'dirt' DNA from soil reflects vertebrate biodiversity. *Molecular*

Ecology, 21, 1966–1979. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05261.x

Appleby, P. G. (2001). Chronostratigraphic Techniques in Recent Sediments in *Track.*

Environ. Chang. Using Lake Sediments: Basin Analysis, Coring, and

Chronological Techniques (eds. Last, W. M., Smol, J. P.) 171–203 (Kluwer

Academic, Dordrecht, The Netherlands).

Appleby, P. G. & Oldfield, F. (1978). The calculation of lead-210 dates assuming a

constant rate of supply of unsupported ^{210}Pb to the sediment. *Catena*, 5, 1–8.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0341-8162(78)80002-2

Ban, S. (1994). Effect of temperature and food concentration on post-embryonic

development, egg production and adult body size of calanoid copepod

Eurytemora affinis. Journal of Plankton Research, 16, 721–735.

https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/16.6.721

Bissett, A., Gibson, J. A. E., Jarman, S. N., Swadling, K. M. & Cromer, L. (2005).

Isolation, amplification, and identification of ancient copepod DNA from lake

sediments. *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods*, 3, 533–542.

https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2005.3.533

Brede, N., Sandrock, C., Straile, D., Spaak, P., Jankowski, T., Streit, B. & Schwenk, K.

(2009). The impact of human-made ecological changes on the genetic

architecture of *Daphnia* species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

Sciences of the United States of America, 106, 4758-4763.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0807187106

Briski, E., Cristescu, M. E., Bailey, S. A. & MacIsaac, H. J. (2011). Use of DNA

barcoding to detect invertebrate invasive species from diapausing eggs.

Biological Invasions, 13, 1325–1340. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-010-9892-

7

Capo, E., Giguet-Covex, C., Rouillard, A., Nota, K., Heintzman, P. D., Vuillemin, A.,

Ariztegui, D., Arnaud, F., Belle, S., Bertilsson, S., Bigler, C., Bindler, R., Brown,

A. G., Clarke, C. L., Crump, S. E., Debroas, D., Englund, G., Ficetola, G. F.,

525   Garner, R. E., Gauthier, J., Gregory-Eaves, I., Heinecke, L., Herzschuh, U.,

526   Ibrahim, A., Kisand, V., Kjær, K. H., Lammers, Y., Littlefair, J., Messager, E.,

527   Monchamp, M.-E., Olajos, F., Orsi, W., Pedersen, M. W., Rijal, D. P., Rydberg,

528   J., Spanbauer, T., Stoof-Leichsenring, K. R., Taberlet, P., Talas, L., Thomas, C.,

529   Walsh, D. A., Wang, Y., Willerslev, E., van Woerkom, A., Zimmermann, H. H.,

530   Coolen, M. J. L., Epp, L. S., Domaizon, I., Alsos, I. G., Parducci, L. & Parducci,

531   L. (2021). Lake sedimentary dna research on past terrestrial and aquatic

532   biodiversity: Overview and recommendations. *Quaternary*, 4, 6.

533   https://doi.org/10.3390/quat4010006

534 Chiba, S., Tadokoro, K., Sugisaki, H. & Saino, T. (2006). Effects of decadal climate

535   change on zooplankton over the last 50 years in the western subarctic North

536   Pacific. *Global Change Biology*, 12, 907–920. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-

537   2486.2006.01136.x

538 Coolen, M. J. L., Orsi, W. D., Balkema, C., Quince, C., Harris, K., Sylva, S. P.,

539   Filipova-Marinova M. & Giosan, L. (2013). Evolution of the plankton paleome in

540   the Black Sea from the Deglacial to Anthropocene. *Proceedings of the National*

541   *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 110, 8609–8614.

542   https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1219283110

Djurhuus, A., Pitz, K., Sawaya, N. A., Rojas-Márquez, J., Michaud, B., Montes, E.,

Muller-Karger, F. & Breitbart, M. (2018). Evaluation of marine zooplankton

community structure through environmental DNA metabarcoding. *Limnology*

and Oceanography: Methods, 16, 209–221. https://doi.org/10.1002/lom3.10237

Domaizon, I., Winegardner, A., Capo, E., Gauthier, J. & Gregory-Eaves, I. (2017).

DNA-based methods in paleolimnology: new opportunities for investigating

long-term dynamics of lacustrine biodiversity. *Journal of Paleolimnology*, 58, 1–

21. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10933-017-9958-y

Dur, G., Liu, X., Sakai, Y., Hsieh, C. H., Ban, S., Souissi, S. (2022). Disrupted seasonal

cycle of the warm-adapted and main zooplankter of Lake Biwa, Japan. *Journal of*

Great Lakes Research, 48, 1206–1218. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2022.06.001.

Epp L.S., Gussarova G., Boessenkool S., Olsen J., Haile J., Schrøder-Nielsen A., *et al.*

(2015). Lake sediment multi-taxon DNA from North Greenland records early

post-glacial appearance of vascular plants and accurately tracks environmental

changes. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 117, 152–163.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.03.027

Epp, L. S., Stoof, K. R., Trauth, M. H. & Tiedemann, R. (2010). Historical genetics on a

sediment core from a Kenyan lake: Intraspecific genotype turnover in a tropical

rotifer is related to past environmental changes. *Journal of Paleolimnology*, 43,

939–954. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10933-009-9379-7

Garzke, J., Ismar, S. M. H. & Sommer, U. (2015). Climate change affects low trophic

level marine consumers: warming decreases copepod size and abundance.

Oecologia, 177, 849–860. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-014-3130-4

Giguet-Covex, C., Pansu, J., Arnaud, F., Rey, P. J., Griggo, C., Gielly, L., Domaizon, I.,

Coissac, E., David, F., Choler, P., Poulenard J. & Taberlet, P. (2014). Long

livestock farming history and human landscape shaping revealed by lake

sediment DNA. *Nature Communications*, 5, 1–7.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4211

Haile, J., Holdaway, R., Oliver, K., Bunce, M., Gilbert, M. T. P., Nielsen, R., Munch,

K., Ho, S. Y. W., Shapiro, B. & Willerslev, E. (2007). Ancient DNA chronology

within sediment deposits: Are paleobiological reconstructions possible and is

DNA leaching a factor? *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 24, 982–989.

https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msm016

Hairston, N. G., Van Brunt, R. A., Kearns, C. M. & Engstrom, D. R. (1995). Age and

survivorship of diapausing eggs in a sediment egg bank. *Ecology*, 76, 1706–1711.

https://doi.org/10.2307/1940704

Hampton, S. E., McGowan, S., Ozersky, T., Virdis, S. G. P., Vu, T. T., Spanbauer, T. L.,

580   Kraemer, B. M., Swann, G., Mackay, A. W., Powers, S. M., Meyer, M. F., Labou,

581   S. G., O'Reilly, C. M., DiCarlo, M., Galloway, A. W. E. & Fritz, S. C. (2018).

582   Recent ecological change in ancient lakes. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 63,

583   2277–2304. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10938

584 Hart, R. C. (1990). Copepod post-embryonic durations: pattern, conformity, and

585   predictability. The realities of isochronal and equiproportional development, and

586   trends in the opepodid-naupliar duration ratio. *Hydrobiologia*, 206, 175–206.

587   https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00014085

588 Hartman, L. J., Coyne, S. R. & Norwood, D. A. (2005). Development of a novel

589   internal positive control for Taqman® based assays. *Molecular and Cellular*

590   *Probes*, 19, 51–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcp.2004.07.006

591 Hsieh, C. H., Sakai, Y., Ban, S., Ishikawa, K., Ishikawa, T., Ichise, S., Yamamura, N.

592   & Kumagai, M. (2011). Eutrophication and warming effects on long-term

593   variation of zooplankton in Lake Biwa. *Biogeosciences*, 8, 593–629.

594   https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-8-1383-2011

595 Huang, S., Herzschuh, U., Pestryakova, L. A., Zimmermann, H. H., Davydova, P.,

596   Biskaborn, B. K., Shevtsova, I. & Stoof-Leichsenring, K. R. (2020). Genetic and

morphologic determination of diatom community composition in surface

sediments from glacial and thermokarst lakes in the Siberian Arctic. *Journal of*

Paleolimnology, 64, 225–242. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10933-020-00133-1

Hyodo, F., Tsugeki, N., Azuma, J., Urabe, J., Nakanishi, M. & Wada, E. (2008).

Changes in stable isotopes, lignin-derived phenols, and fossil pigments in

sediments of Lake Biwa, Japan□: Implications for anthropogenic effects over the

last 100 years. *Science of The Total Environment*, 403, 139-147.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.05.010

Jankowski, T. & Straile, D. (2003). A comparison of egg-bank and long-term plankton

dynamics of two *Daphnia* species, *D. hyalina* and *D. galeata*: Potentials and

limits of reconstruction. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 48, 1948–1955.

https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2003.48.5.1948

Koski, M. & Kuosa, H. (1999). The effect of temperature, food concentration and

female size on the egg production of the planktonic copepod *Acartia bifilosa*.

Journal of Plankton Research, 21, 1779–1789. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-

005-0132-0

Kuwae, M., Tamai, H., Doi, H., Sakata, M. K., Minamoto, T. & Suzuki, Y. (2020).

Sedimentary DNA tracks decadal-centennial changes in fish abundance.

Communications Biology, 3, 558. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-020-01282-9

Liu, X., Ban, S., Beyrend, D., Dur, G., Kuwae, M., Makino, W. & Urabe, J. (2020a).

Resting eggs of the perennial copepod *Eodiaptomus japonicus* in Lake Biwa

(Japan). *Inland Waters*, 10, 89–100.

https://doi.org/10.1080/20442041.2019.1671766

Liu, X., Beyrend, D., Dur, G. & Ban, S. (2015). Combined effects of temperature and

food concentration on growth and reproduction of *Eodiaptomus japonicus*

(Copepoda: Calanoida) from Lake Biwa (Japan). *Freshwater Biology*, 60, 2003–

2018. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12626

Liu, X., Dur, G., Ban, S., Sakai, Y., Ohmae, S. & Morita, T. (2021). Quasi-decadal

periodicities in growth and production of the copepod Eodiaptomus japonicus in

Lake Biwa, Japan, related to the Arctic Oscillation. *Limnology and*

Oceanography, 66, 3783–3795. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11918

Liu, X., Dur, G., Ban, S., Sakai, Y., Ohmae, S. & Morita, T. (2020b). Planktivorous fish

predation masks anthropogenic disturbances on decadal trends in zooplankton

biomass and body size structure in Lake Biwa, Japan. *Limnology and*

Oceanography, 65, 667–682. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11336

Mauchline, J. (1998). *The biology of calanoid copepods: Advances in marine biology*.

633   33. (Academic Press: London. UK).

634 Monchamp, M. E., Enache, I., Turko, P., Pomati, F., Rîşnoveanu, G. & Spaak, P. (2017).

635   Sedimentary and egg-bank DNA from 3 European lakes reveal concurrent

636   changes in the composition and diversity of cyanobacterial and *Daphnia*

637   communities. *Hydrobiologia*, 800, 155–172. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-017-

638   3247-7

639 Monchamp, M. E., Walser, J. C., Pomati, F. & Spaak, P. (2016). Sedimentary DNA

640   reveals cyanobacterial community diversity over 200 years in two perialpine

641   lakes. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 82, 6472–6482.

642   https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02174-16

643 Ogata, M., Masuda, R., Harino, H., Sakata, M. K., Hatakeyama, M., Yokoyama, K.,

644   Yamashita, Y. & Minamoto, T. (2021). Environmental DNA preserved in marine

645   sediment for detecting jellyfish blooms after a tsunami. *Scientific Reports*, 11, 1–

646   14. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-94286-2

647 Paillard, D., Labeyrie, L. & Yiou, P. (1996). Analyseries 1.0: a Macintosh software for

648   the analysis of geographical time-series. *Eos*, 77, 379.

649 Peterson, W. T. & Schwing, F. B. (2003). A new climate regime in northeast pacific

650   ecosystems. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30, 1–4.

https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL017528

Sakata, M. K., Yamamoto, S., Gotoh, R. O., Miya, M., Yamanaka, H. & Minamoito, T.

(2020). Sedimentary eDNA provides different information on timescale and fish

species composition compared with aqueous eDNA. *Environmental DNA*, 2,

505–518. https://doi.org/10.1002/edn3.75

Schminke, H. K. (2007). Entomology for the copepodologist. *Journal of Plankton*

Research, 29, i149–i162. https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/fbl073

Selden, P. A., Huys, R., Stephenson, M. H., Heward, A. P. & Taylor, P. N. (2010).

Crustaceans from bitumen clast in Carboniferous glacial diamictite extend fossil

record of copepods. *Nature Communications*, 1, 2–7.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1049

Shiga Prefecture. (1964-1979). Annual report of the regular observation in Lake Biwa.

Shiga Prefectural Fisheries Experiment Station. (in Japanese).

Takeuchi, A., Iijima, T., Kakuzen, W., Watanabe, S., Yamada, Y., Okamura, A., …

Tsukamoto, K. (2019). Release of eDNA by different life history stages and

during spawning activities of laboratory-reared Japanese eels for interpretation of

oceanic survey data. *Scientific Reports*, 9, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-

019-42641-9

Tillotson, M. D., Kelly, R. P., Duda, J. J., Hoy, M., Kralj, J. & Quinn, T. P. (2018).

Concentrations of environmental DNA (eDNA) reflect spawning salmon

abundance at fine spatial and temporal scales. *Biological Conservation*, 220, 1–

11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2018.01.030

Tsugeki, N. K., Honjo, M. N. & Kuwae, M. (2021). Interspecific variation in ephippial

size between *Daphnia galeata* and *D. pulicaria* in Lake Biwa, Japan. *Limnology*,

22, 197–207. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10201-020-00646-8

Tsugeki, N. K., Ishida, S. & Urabe, J. (2009). Sedimentary records of reduction in

resting egg production of *Daphnia galeata* in Lake Biwa during the 20th century:

A possible effect of winter warming. *Journal of Paleolimnology*, 42, 155–165.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10933-008-9268-5

Tsugeki, N., Nakane, K., Doi, H., Ochi, N. & Kuwae, M. (2022). Reconstruction of

100-year dynamics in *Daphnia* spawning activity revealed by sedimentary DNA.

Scientific Reports, 12, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-03899-0

Tsugeki, N., Oda , H., Urabe, J. (2003). Fluctuation of the zooplankton community in

Lake Biwa during the 20th century□: a paleolimnological analysis. *Limnology*, *4*,

101–107. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10201-003-0097-y

Xu, Z. H., Jiang, X. D., Wang, G. Z., He, J. F., Cai, M. H., Wu, L. S., … Chen, X. L.

(2011). DNA extraction, amplification and analysis of the 28S rRNA portion in

sediment-buried copepod DNA in the Great Wall Bay and Xihu Lake, Antarctica.

Journal of Plankton Research, 33, 917–925.

https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/fbq165

Yang, J., Zhang, X., Xie, Y., Song, C., Zhang, Y., Yu, H. & Burton, G. A. (2017).

Zooplankton community profiling in a eutrophic freshwater ecosystem-lake tai

basin by DNA metabarcoding. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 1–11.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-01808-y

Acknowledgements

We appreciate two anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and

suggestions. We are also grateful to M. Honjo, M. Sakata, S. Goda, T. Akatsuka, and J.

Kurata for their assistance with laboratory analysis and field sampling. We also thank

Shiga Prefectural Fisheries Experiment Station for providing preserved zooplankton

sample collection. This study was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research

(17K20045, 21K12273, 21H01170, and 18H03961) from the Japan Society for the

Promotion of Science (JSPS) and partly supported by a special research grant from

Matsuyama University; the Academic Research Organization Joint Usage/Research

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding

author upon reasonable request.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: N.T. and K.N. Fieldwork: N.T., M.K. Laboratory work: K.N., N.T.,

M.K. Design and testing of qPCR primers and probes: K.N., H.D. Preparation of figures

and tables: K.N., N.T. Writing and data analysis: N.T., K.N., H.D., M.K., X.L., G.D.,

S.B.

Conflict of interest

726   The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Supporting information

729   Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information

730   section at the end of this article.

Materials & Correspondence

733   Correspondence to Narumi Tsugeki (E-mail: narutsugeki@gmail.com).

 Figure legends

Figure 1. Research and experimental design of the study.

(a) Sediment geochronology; (b) Reconstruction of temporal variation in *Eodiaptomus*

japonicus sedimentary DNA (sedDNA) concentrations and spike test for inhibition; (c)

Checking for contamination; (d) Reconstruction of temporal variation of chlorophyll-a

pigment concentration and algal remains abundance; (e) Evaluating potential sources of

E. japonicus sedDNA using the sieved and unsieved samples; (f) Regulating factors of

E. japonicus sedDNA; (g) Demographic traits of biomass, specific growth rate,

production, and subitaneous eggs of *E. japonicus*; (h) Sedimentary records of resting

eggs. The named LB cores were collected in November 2013 (2013LB) and August

2017 (2017LB2, 2017LB5, and 2017LB7), and IM core (namely 2019IM1) was

collected in August 2019 (details are described in the section on sampling site and

sediment collection of methods).

748
749

Figure 2. Temporal changes in *Eodiaptomus japonicus* sedimentary DNA concentration

(gene copies of COI, g^{-1} of dry sediments): (a) observed throughout the study period,

and (b) limited to the period before 1992. For both panels, the open triangles and

squares indicate raw data of the 2017LB2 and 2017LB5 cores, respectively. The gray

triangles and squares indicate the average mean in four replicates of 2017LB2 and

2017LB5 core samples, respectively. In panels (a) and (b), the black circles and thick

solid lines with error bars indicate mean ($n = 2$) with SD of the 2017LB2 and 2017LB5

core samples within the year corresponding to the sedimentation period in the

chronology 2017LB7 core.

Figure 3. Relationships among $\log_{10}$-transformed sedimentary DNA concentration of

Eodiaptomus japonicus (gene copies of COI, g^{-1} of dry sediments) and its biomass (g

dry weight m^{-2}; a), specific growth rate (day^{-1}; b), production (g C m^{-2} $month^{-1}$; c),

$\log_{10}$-transformed subitaneous eggs ($\times 10^3$ egg numbers m^{-2}; d), and resting eggs (egg

numbers g^{-1} dry sed.; e). The red line denotes a Type II regression model with a 95%

confidence interval indicated by the gray zone.

Tables

Table 1. The sedimentary DNA concentration of *Eodiaptomus japonicus* (gene copies of

COI, g^{-1} of dry sediments) for pore water and its residual sediment samples.

Sample ID (estimated age in sample depth and its age error; yr)	Core depth		Pore water sample	SD	Detection / total replicates	Residual sediment sample	SD	Detection / total replicates
	Top (cm)	Bottom (cm)	(mean copies g^{-1} dry)			(mean copies ^{-1}g dry)		
2017LB5-3 (2014 ± 0.1)	2	3	ND	-	0/4	725,030	98,992	4/4
2017LB5-11 (1994 ± 1.3)	10	11	ND	-	0/4	2,665	608	4/4
2017LB5-20 (1964 ± 9.3)	19	20	ND	-	0/4	12	21	1/4

777   Table 2. The sedimentary DNA concentration of *Eodiaptomus japonicus* (gene copies of

778   COI, g^{-1} of dry sediments) in the sieved and unsieved sediment samples.

Sample ID (estimated age in sample depth and its age error; yr)	Core depth		Sieved samples	SD	Detection / total replicates	Unsieved samples	SD	Detection / total replicates
	Top (cm)	Bottom (cm)	(mean copies g^{-1} dry)			(mean copies g^{-1} dry)		
2017LB5-3 (2014 ± 0.1)	2	3	5,246	6,531	2/4	11,630	5,921	4/4
2017LB5-11 (1994 ± 1.3)	10	11	2,630	4,555	1/4	1,838	3,184	1/4
2017LB5-20 (1964 ± 9.3)	19	20	ND	-	0/4	1,449	1,977	2/4