The mobility of the cap domain is essential for the substrate promiscuity of a family IV

esterase from sorghum rhizosphere microbiome

Marco Distaso[a,‡], Isabel Cea-Rama[b,‡], Cristina Coscolín[c], Tatyana N. Chernikova[a], Hai Tran[a],

Manuel Ferrer[c,*], Julia Sanz-Aparicio[b,*], Peter N. Golyshin[a,*]

[a]*Centre for Environmental Biotechnology, Bangor University, LL57 2UW Bangor, UK*

[b]*IQFR, CSIC, 28006 Madrid, Spain*

[c]*ICP, CSIC, 28049 Madrid, Spain*

[‡]Equal contribution.

[*]Corresponding authors at: Institute of Physical Chemistry "Rocasolano", CSIC, Serrano 119,

28006 Madrid, Spain (J. Sanz-Aparicio). ICP, CSIC, Marie Curie 2, 28049 Madrid, Spain (M.

Ferrer). Centre for Environmental Biotechnology, Bangor University, LL57 2UW Bangor, UK

(P.N. Golyshin)

E-mail addresses: mferrer@icp.csic.es (M. Ferrer), xjulia@iqfr.csic.es (J. Sanz-Aparicio),

p.golyshin@bangor.ac.uk (P.N. Golyshin)

Phone numbers: +34915854872 (M. Ferrer), +34915619400 (J. Sanz-Aparicio),

+441248383629 (P.N. Golyshin)

Running title: The cap domain of an esterase from sorghum rhizosphere

ABSTRACT Metagenomics offers the possibility to screen for versatile biocatalysts. In this study, the microbial community of the *Sorghum bicolor* rhizosphere was spiked with technical cashew nut shell liquid, and after incubation, the eDNA was extracted and subsequently used to build a metagenomic library. We report the biochemical features and crystal structure of a novel esterase from the family IV, EH_0, retrieved from an uncultured sphingomonad after a functional screen in tributyrin agar plates. EH_0 (T_{opt}, 50 °C; T_d, 55.7 °C; pH_{opt}, 9.5) was stable in the presence of 10-20% v/v organic solvents and exhibited hydrolytic activity against *p*-nitrophenyl esters from acetate to palmitate, preferably butyrate (496.5 U mg^{-1}), and a large battery of 69 structurally different esters (up to 30.23 U mg^{-1}), including bis(2-hydroxyethyl)-terephthalate (0.16 ± 0.062 U mg^{-1}). This broad substrate ambiguity contrasts with the fact that EH_0 showed a long and narrow catalytic tunnel, whose access appears to be hindered by a cap domain. We demonstrated that this cap domain is a mobile element whose opening is controlled by two contiguous proline residues which, likely acting as possible hinges, allows for the entrance of the substrates. Together, this work provides a new role for the cap domain, which until now was thought to be immobile elements that contain hydrophobic patches involved in substrate pre-recognition and in turn substrate ambiguity within family IV esterases.

IMPORTANCE A better understanding of functional and structural enzymatic diversity allows addressing biotechnological challenges. Here, we studied the structural basis of the substrate promiscuity of EH_0, an ester hydrolase of family IV, isolated from a sample of the *Sorghum bicolor* rhizosphere microbiome exposed to technical cashew nut shell liquid. The analysis of EH_0 revealed the potential of the sorghum rhizosphere microbiome as a source of enzymes with interesting properties, such as pH and solvent tolerance and remarkably broad substrate

promiscuity. Its structure resembled those of homologous proteins from mesophilic

Parvibaculum and *Erythrobacter* spp. and hyperthermophilic *Pyrobaculum* and *Sulfolobus*

spp. and had a very narrow, single-entry access tunnel to the active site, access which is

controlled by a capping domain whose mobility involves two contiguous prolines. These

structural markers, distinct from those of other substrate promiscuous esterases, can help

tuning substrate profiles beyond tunnel and active site engineering.

KEYWORDS Crystal structure, Esterase, α/β-fold hydrolase, metagenome, promiscuity,

rhizosphere.

Currently, in the biotech industrial sector, there is a constant demand for novel biocatalysts

with enhanced biochemical properties fulfilling industrial requirements to replace low-

performance enzymes and dismiss chemical processes (1). From this perspective, the

enzymatic diversity within the microbial communities represents a yet unexplored and

exceptional resource for new biocatalysts (2). However, the impossibility of isolating and

culturing 99% of the environmental microbes significantly restricts the exploration of this

valuable taxonomic, genetic and enzymatic variability. The microbial diversity in soil is

probably highest compared to other microbial communities, with an estimated amount of

10^3-10^5 microbial species in 1 g of soil (3), and thus, it can be a source of biocatalysts.

Metagenomics has proven in the last two decades to be an effective method for exploring the

microbial and enzyme diversity in any kind of environmental sample, including soil (4)(2). For

this purpose, bioinformatics (5) and so-called naïve screening methods (6) are available, with

the latter providing a straightforward evaluation of the enzymatic activity without the need

for previous knowledge about the coding gene.

Carboxylic ester hydrolases (EC 3.1.1.-) are a class of enzymes widely distributed in nature

that have attracted much attention because of their high sequence diversity, structural

versatility, catalytic versatility, robustness and lack of need for cofactors (7)(8)(9)(10).

Considering the importance of these classes of enzymes, it is not surprising that they are

priority targets to screen by metagenomics. For example, approximately 280,638 sequences

encoding carboxylic ester hydrolases have been cataloged (10), and more than 4,000 new

enzymes have been discovered through metagenomics (11); few of them show enhanced

biophysical properties, such as stability at extreme temperatures, salinity, pH and solvents

conditions, high catalytic efficiency, broad substrate specificity and regio- and

enantioselectivity (12)(13)(6)(14). Additionally, metagenomic studies on soil environments

significantly contributed to broadening the knowledge about this class of enzymes with the

reannotation of sequences known as hypothetical proteins and the definition of new esterase

families (15)(16).

Carboxylic ester hydrolases are also the most studied enzymes with respect to promiscuity

(17), including catalytic (18) and substrate (19) promiscuity. The question that arises is, would

it not be more efficient to have just a couple of promiscuous enzymes with the ability to

perform a wide range of reactions (19). Based on this principle, biotechnological industries

demand biocatalysts that are capable of transforming a wide range of substrates. Their

isolation has been solved through the application of metagenomic techniques that have

emerged as robust tools for the discovery of unknown biocatalysts, including ester hydrolases

with a broad substrate scope. This method, together with the understanding of the structural

mechanisms and engineering behind promiscuous enzymes, seems crucial for their industrial

implementation. In this context, our recent study of approximately 145 ester hydrolases has

revealed that members of family IV show higher levels of substrate promiscuity than those of

other families. This is the result of their capacity to adapt the topology of the large but

occluded active sites to a high variety of substrates but also to the presence of a cap domain

next to the entrance of the substrate tunnel (19). The cap domains were thought to be

immobile elements, unlike lid elements in lipases, that contain hydrophobic patches involved

in substrate pre-recognition which could contribute to the substrate promiscuity within

members of family IV (20).

The aim of this study was to mine carboxylic ester hydrolases of the soil rhizosphere of

sorghum plants after enrichment with cashew nut shell liquid (CNSL), an oily substance rich

in phenolics and fatty acids (21), with respect to novel biocatalysts. By applying an activity-

based strategy, four such enzymes were retrieved and characterized. We also reported the

crystal structure of one of these enzymes with an extraordinarily broad substrate specificity.

The structural information, complemented with the experimental analysis of the substrate

specificity of a series of mutants, provides additional mechanistic understanding of the

molecular basis for the role of the cap domain in the promiscuous character of members

comprising family IV esterases. Importantly, we have established the role of proline residues

at the end of the α2-helix as hinges of the movement of the cap domain allowing substrates

to enter the active center.

Results

Identification of a carboxylic ester hydrolase. The search for hydrolytic enzymes in the

metagenomics library SorRhizCNSL3 W (see details in Materials and Methods) was carried out

by applying a metagenomic functional screening approach. The strategy involved the use of

LB agar plates containing tributyrin (22). The pooling of 40 clones per well dispensed into 96-

well microtiter plates (approximately 3.8 k clones in one plate) facilitated the colony screening

at a high throughput. After screening more than 100,000 clones, 1 hit from the

SorRhizCNSL3 W library showed lipase/esterase activity. Fosmid insert was extracted using

the QUIAGEN Large-Construct Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) according to the

manufacturer's protocol, digested with restriction enzymes for insert size estimation, and the

insert sequenced by Illumina technology. Upon the completion of sequencing, the reads were

quality-filtered and assembled to generate nonredundant meta-sequences, and genes were

predicted and annotated as described previously (12). One gene encoding a predicted

carboxylic ester hydrolase was identified. The gene was amplified with specific primers,

cloned into the p15TV-L vector and transformed into *E. coli* BL21(DE3) cells for expression of

the N-terminal (His)6-tagged proteins. The deduced amino acid sequence of the enzyme (324

amino acids long) was used for homology searches in the taxonomy and functional

assignment. A database search indicated that EH_0 showed 99% identity with the α/β

hydrolase enzyme from *Sphingomonas pruni* (protein ID WP_066587239)*,* both of which are

classified as members of the α/β hydrolase-3 family (PF07859). The typical H-G-G-G motif and

the G-X-S-X-G catalytic motif are conserved, and EH_0 clustered together with family IV

esterase.

Biochemical characterization. The recombinant protein was successfully expressed in

soluble form and purified by nickel affinity chromatography. Purified protein was desalted by

ultrafiltration, and its enzymatic activity was assessed. Four model *p*-nitrophenyl (*p*-NP) ester

substrates with different chain lengths were used to determine the substrate specificity of

the enzymes and therefore determine whether the enzymes are in fact true lipases or

esterases. Lipases hydrolyze ester bonds of long-chain triglycerides more efficiently than

esterases, which instead exhibit the highest activity toward water soluble esters with short

fatty acid chains (23). The substrates used for the hydrolytic test were *p*-NP-acetate (C2), *p*-

NP-butyrate (C4), *p*-NP-dodecanoate (C12), and *p*-NP-palmitate (C16). The hydrolytic activity

was recorded under standard assay conditions (Fig. 1). EH_0 showed a specific activity of 496.5

U mg^{-1} for *p*-NP butyrate, which was the best substrate. Lower levels of activity were observed

with longer chain esters (C ≥ 12). The esterase followed the Michaelis–Menten kinetics, and

its kinetic parameters are reflected in Table 1. A comparison of the catalytic efficiency values

(k_{cat}/K_M) indicated a high reactivity toward *p*-NP-butyrate followed by *p*-NP-acetate.

Its voluminous (volume of the active site cavity: 5133 Å^3) but low exposed (solvent accessible

surface area (SASA): 5.07 over 100 dimensionless percentage) active site allows hydrolysis of

a broad range of 68 out of 96 structurally and chemically diverse esters (Table S1), as

determined by a pH indicator assay (pH 8.0, 30 °C). Phenyl acetate (30.23 U mg^{-1}) and glyceryl

tripropionate (29.43 U mg^{-1}), were the best substrates. We also found that EH_0 efficiently

hydrolyzed bis(2-hydroxyethyl)-terephthalate (BHET; 163.6 ± 6.2 U mg^{-1}), an intermediate in

the degradation of polyethylene terephthalate (PET) (24); HPLC analysis (Fig. S1), performed

as described (25), confirmed the hydrolysis of BHET to mono-(2-hydroxyethyl)-terephthalic

acid (MHET) but not to terephthalic acid (TA). However, using previously described conditions

(25), we found that the enzyme did not hydrolyze large plastic materials such as amorphous

and crystalline PET film and PET nanoparticles from Goodfellow. According to the number of

hydrolyzed esters, EH_0 can be thus considered as an esterase with a wide substrate ambiguity,

similar to other enzymes of the family IV (19)(20).

EH_0 showed maximal activity at 50 °C, retaining more than 80% of the maximum activity at

40-55 °C (Fig. 2A), suggesting that it is moderately thermostable. This was confirmed by

circular dichroism analysis, which revealed a denaturing temperature of 55.7 ± 0.2 °C (Fig. 2B).

Its optimal pH for activity was 9.5 (Fig. 2C). The effect on the enzymatic activity of organic

solvents at different concentrations was evaluated (Table S2). An activation effect was

observed for EH$_0$ when 10% methanol and 10-20% DMSO were added to the reaction mixture.

The presence of bivalent and trivalent cations did not have a remarkable positive effect on

the activity of the enzymes, which showed, in some cases, tolerance to high concentrations

of cations (Table S3). A prominent inhibiting effect was shown for all cations, except for

magnesium, which was well tolerated at 1-10 mM (<5% inhibition).

EH$_0$ presents tight folding of its cap domain. The crystal structure of wild type EH$_0$ was

obtained at 2.01 Å resolution, with the P2$_1$2$_1$2$_1$ space group and two crystallography-

independent molecules in the asymmetric unit. Molecular replacement was performed using

Est8 as a template (PDB code 4YPV) (26), and the final model was refined to a crystallographic

R-factor of 0.1717 and R-free of 0.2019 (Table S4). As with other reported family IV esterases,

EH$_0$ has an **α/β** hydrolase fold with two different domains, a cap domain (residues 1-43 and

208-229) and a catalytic domain (residues 44-207 and 230-324), constituted by a total of 9 **α**-

helices and 8 **β**-sheets (Fig. 3A). The catalytic domain was composed of a central **β**-sheet with

eight parallel **β**-strands (**β**1, **β**3, **β**4, **β**5, **β**6, **β**7, **β**8), except **β**2, which was antiparallel and

surrounded by five **α**-helices (**α**3, **α**4, **α**5, **α**8, **α**9). The cap domain involved four **α** helices

(**α**1, **α**2, **α**6, **α**7) (Fig. 3B). There were two *cis* peptides, Ala122-Pro123 and Trp127-Pro128,

located at the **β**4-**α**4 turn within the catalytic domain.

Analysis of EH$_0$ folding using the *DALI* server (27) was employed to search for homologous

proteins. The closest homologs are E53 isolated from *Erythrobacter longus*, with 46% identity

and RMSD of 2.3 Å on 296 Cα atoms (PDB code 7W8N) (28); Est8 isolated from *Parvibaculum*,

with 38% identity and RMSD of 2.5Å on 291 Cα atoms (PDB code 4YPV) (26); PestE isolated

from *Pyrobaculum calidifontis*, with 33% identity and RMSD of 2.6Å on 294 Cα atoms (PDB

code 3ZWQ) (29); and EstA isolated from *Sulfolobus islandicus REY15A,* with 31% identity and

RMSD of 2.6Å on 281 Cα atoms (PDB code 5LK6). Major differences are found in the cap

domain, with α2 and the long α7 being very close to the EH_0 active site and apparently

impeding the entrance of substrates (Fig. 3C). This was unexpected considering the broad

substrate ambiguity of esterase EH_0, approaching that of most promiscuous ones (19). Thus,

withdrawal of the cap domain seems a necessary requirement for allowing access of the bulky

substrates to the EH_0 catalytic site.

In agreement with this assumption, a substantial rearrangement of the cap domain was

previously described in the homolog esterase EST2 (having 31 % sequence identity), with its

M211S/R215L double mutant being trapped in the crystal in a conformation resembling the

open form of lipases (30). In this study, some flexibility at this region was assumed from the

two conformations adopted by loop α1-α2 observed in subunits A and B of EH_0 (Fig. 3D). In

addition, the inspection of residues within the cap domain showed a high number of proline

residues (Pro8, Pro21, Pro23, Pro31, Pro46 and Pro47) (Fig. 3B) that could confer flexibility to

the N-terminal cap domain allowing substrate entrance. This feature will be discussed below.

EH_0 is a dimeric enzyme. EH_0 is presented as a biological homodimer with approximate

dimensions of 6.3 x 4.9 x 2.7 nm, which is assembled in a twofold axis symmetry arrangement

(Fig. 4A) that buries 5.6% of its total surface area. Hydrogen bonds mainly involve β8 and α8,

while salt bridges involve motifs β8 and α9 (Fig. 4B and Table S5). Similar to its homolog PestE,

dimerization occurs through a tight interaction of β8 strands from both subunits. However,

while only the subsequent helices **α12** were involved in the PestE interface (29) (Fig. 4C), both

the precedent **α8** and the subsequent **α9** helices make the EH_0 interface (Fig. 4D). These

different interactions observed in PestE and EH_0 were reflected in a different orientation

between the monomers that, nevertheless, present a similar distance between the catalytic

serines of 35-38 Å and an equivalent disposition of the tunnels giving access to the catalytic

site at two edges of the dimer (Fig. 4E, 4F).

The peculiar EH$_0$ active site. EH$_0$ has a long catalytic tunnel with a very narrow entrance

(approximately 16.8 Å depth) (Fig. 5A). The catalytic triad of EH$_0$ is formed by Ser161 (in the

conserved motif ^{159}G-D-S-A-G^{163} in the nucleophilic elbow), Asp253 (in the conserved motif

^{253}D-P-I-R-D^{258}) and His283 (Fig. 5B). To analyze the active site, a series of soaking and

cocrystallization experiments were performed with different suicide inhibitors, all of which

were unsuccessful. A deep inspection of the active site showed that the nucleophilic Ser161

is hydrogen bonded to Glu226 from the close **α7**, and a movement of loop **β3-α3**, including

the oxoanion in the conserved motif ^{87}H-G-G-G^{90,} compared to EH$_0$ homologs (Fig. 5B).

Therefore, to remove this hydrogen link in an attempt to expand access to the active site

enabling ligand binding, the EH$_{0E226A}$ mutant was designed by directed mutagenesis. However,

the crystals grown from this mutant failed to diffract, suggesting a high level of disorder,

putatively due to mobility of the cap. Interestingly, the activity profile of this mutant reveals

a faster hydrolysis of most of the esters (62 esters in total; from 70- to 1.2-fold; average: 6.1-

fold). Only 7 esters were hydrolyzed to a lower extent compared to the wild type (from 1.5-

to 17-fold; average: 4.6-fold). Interestingly, the EH$_{0E226A}$ mutant was capable of accepting

large and voluminous esters such as dodecanoyl acetate, pentadecyl acetate, vinyl laurate,

methyl 2,5-dihydroxycinnamate, and ethyl 2-chlorobenzoate, which were not accepted by the

wild-type enzyme. This apparently supports that removal of the Ser161-Glu226 hydrogen

bond increases flexibility and enhances the enzymatic efficiency toward large esters either at

the acyl or alcohol sites.

Indeed, it appears clear that helix α7 must retract from the catalytic site to allow substrate

entrance, but this helix seems fixed by many atomic interactions. Close to the Ser161-Glu226

hydrogen bond, Tyr223 makes additional hydrogen bonds to Asp194 and Lys197 main chain,

from loop β6-α6, and with the side chain of Gln258 from α8 (Fig. 5C). A mutation EH$_{0Y223A}$ was

designed by directed mutagenesis and found, unlike the EH_{0E226A} mutation, little effect on

conversion rates compared to the wild-type enzyme. However, this mutation allowed the

hydrolysis of large and voluminous esters, such as dodecanoyl acetate, pentadecyl acetate,

methyl 2,5-dihydroxycinnamate and ethyl 2-chlorobenzoate, which were also hydrolyzed by

the mutant EH_{0E226A}. Unlike EH_{0E226A}, the EH_{0Y223A} mutant was not unable to hydrolyze vinyl

laurate, but it was able to hydrolyze methyl 3-hydroxybenzoate, not accepted by the EH_{0E226A}

mutant. This suggested that Tyr223 may play a role in accepting large esters, particularly at

the acyl side, but may also play an additional role in substrate specificity different from that

of Glu226.

Additionally, at the beginning of $\alpha7$, Trp218 is within a hydrophobic pocket surrounded by

residues Phe13, Ile17, Leu28 and Phe219 from the cap domain and Phe91 from the catalytic

domain, which is also anchored by interaction with loop $\alpha1$-$\alpha2$ and Pro23 (Fig. 5D). Thus, to

investigate the effect of the proposed cap motion in disrupting these tight molecular packing,

an ensemble refinement modeling was computed. The molecular dynamics simulation,

combined with the X-ray data, revealed that the region comprising $\alpha1$ and $\alpha2$ shows a wide

spectrum of possible pathways from more "open" to more "closed" conformations (Fig. 5E).

At one edge, Pro23 is in an extended $\alpha1$-$\alpha2$ loop far from Trp218, therefore releasing $\alpha7$ that

consequently could retract from the catalytic pocket ("open conformation") (Fig. 5F). In fact,

the ensemble refinement models a very flexible conformation being even unstructured at

regions corresponding to $\alpha1$ and $\alpha2$. At the other edge, the second scenario is the entrapment

of Trp218 by Pro23 in loop $\alpha1$-$\alpha2$, hindering substrate entrance ("closed conformation") (Fig.

5G). This last scenario is equivalent to the 3D structure captured by crystallography (Fig. 5D).

Three prolines can be found within this $\alpha1$-$\alpha2$ loop, Pro21 (at the end of $\alpha1$), Pro23 (at the

middle) and Pro31 (at the beginning of $\alpha2$), which are probably behind the two different

conformations observed at this loop in both subunits within the asymmetric unit (Fig. 3B and

3D).

Furthermore, as seen in Fig. 3B, Pro46 and Pro47 are potential hinges that would involve

flexibility of a larger region of the EH_0 cap domain, including the whole N-terminal peptide

chain up to the end of α2. This is consistent with the more "open" conformations resulting

from the ensemble refinement shown in Fig. 5F. In fact, the sequence comparison of EH_0 to

its closest homologs reveals that only EH_0 has two sequential prolines at this region and that

Pro46 is unique to EH_0 (Fig. 6), which could be the reason behind the high EH_0 promiscuity.

Interestingly, EST2 also presents the two contiguous Pro residues (Pro38, Pro39), which can

explain the "open" conformation captured in the crystal mentioned above (30). The mutation

EH_{0P46A} was designed by directed mutagenesis. It is interesting to highlight that crystals grown

from this mutant EH_{0P46A} failed to diffract, which may suggest that the flexibility associated

with Pro46 is essential for structural stability. Analysis of the activity profile showed that

Pro46 is a critical residue for the entry and hydrolysis of bulky substrates, as its mutation by

Ala extends the substrate specificity from 68 to 84 esters. Additionally, the hydrolytic rate

increased from 1.2- to 18000-fold (average: 335-fold) for most esters. This mutant was also

able to hydrolyze large glyceryl trioctanoate and 2,4-dichlorophenyl 2,4-dichlorobenzoate,

which were not hydrolyzed by the wild-type enzyme or the EH_{0E226A} and H_{0Y223A} mutants.

Structural details of the EH_0 active site and assignment of the acyl and alcohol moieties

were explored by comparison with its homologs E53 complexed with 4-nitrophenyl

hexanoate (PDB code 6KEU) (28) and EH_{1AB1} in complex with a derivative of methyl 4-

nitrophenylhexylphosphonate (PDB code 6RB0) (31). However, the acyl and alcohol moieties

of these complexes are located in opposite sites (Fig. 5H, 5I). Therefore, as we could not

obtain complexes from EH_0, the activity experiments were crucial to correctly assign

acyl/alcohol moieties. As mentioned above, experimental evidence demonstrated that

Tyr223 produces a steric hindrance at the acyl moiety, and consequently, the acyl and alcohol

sites correspond to those observed in EH_{1AB1} (Fig. 5I). On the basis of this assumption, the acyl

binding site seems to be a small cavity bordered by the Tyr199 and Ile255 side chains, which

produce steric hindrance for substrates with large acyl moieties. The long and narrow alcohol

binding site is surrounded by both hydrophobic (Phe13, Phe91, Val92, Leu288) and

hydrophilic residues (Asp45, His99, Asp160, Tyr190, Thr287), with Pro46 and Pro47 being at

the entrance of the tunnel (Fig. 5J). Most residues at the acyl and alcohol moieties are

conserved among EH_0 homologs, with the exception of Met40, Pro46, Tyr199, Glu226 and

Thr287. Remarkably, the bulky Met40 and Tyr199 residues are substituted by smaller residues

in the EH_0 homologs. As previously mentioned, Pro46 is unique in EH_0, while Glu226 is

substituted by a conserved Asp, and finally, most homologs show an Asn residue instead of

Thr287 (Fig. 6). Therefore, as the retraction of the cap domain must be performed to allow

substrate entrance, residues Phe91, Tyr190 and Tyr199 from the catalytic domain, which are

located close to the catalytic triad, seem essential for substrate specificity (Fig. 5J).

DISCUSSION

In this study, the microbial community of the *Sorghum bicolor* rhizosphere was exposed to

a chemical treatment prior to eDNA extraction to construct a metagenomic library. Plant roots

can secrete exudates composed of a large variety of compounds into the soil, some of which

may play important roles in the rhizosphere (32)(33), and with effects that involve multiple

targets, including soil microorganisms. This is why an amendment of the soil with technical

cashew nut shell liquid (tCNSL), containing a mixture of phenolic compounds with long

aliphatic side chains (up to C22:0), was carried out directly in the rhizosphere and, later, for

three weeks under control laboratory conditions, as we were interested in screening lipases.

The corresponding metagenomic clone library (SorRhizCNSL3W) was constructed, which

based on their size guarantees a fair representation of the preenriched microbial assemblage.

Functional screening of the fosmid library was carried out by the incorporation of specific

substrates in the growth medium, which allows the identification of the corresponding

enzymatic activity encoded by a metagenomic clone. One positive hit for lipolytic activities

was identified and affiliated with a bacterium of the order *Sphingomonadales*. The deduced

amino acid sequence showed the α/β hydrolase fold, which is common to several hydrolytic

enzymes of widely different phylogenetic origins and catalytic functions. Hydrolytic assays

conducted on esters with short and long acyl chains ultimately defined the metagenomic

enzyme as an esterase. Phylogenetic and structural analysis allowed us to classify the esterase

according to the previously described hormone-sensitive lipase (HDL) type IV within at least

35 families and 11 true lipase subfamilies known to date (10)(23)(34). This family is reported

to contain ester hydrolases with high levels of substrate ambiguity (19), a characteristic also

observed for EH_0, which demonstrated an outstanding response when its hydrolytic activity

was tested toward structurally different ester substrates, being among the top promiscuous

ester-hydrolase enzyme characterized (19). This enzyme houses a very long and narrow

catalytic pocket, where helix α7 is very close to the catalytic triad with residue Glu226, making

a direct hydrogen bond to the catalytic nucleophile Ser161. Therefore, it appeared clear that

the cap domain must retract to allow substrate entrance to the active site. The movement of

this domain was modeled by molecular dynamics simulation through the ensemble

refinement procedure. This strategy showed a broad range of putative conformations at the

cap domain, with Pro46 and Pro47 likely acting as hinges conferring a high plasticity to the N-

terminal region of the cap. Remarkably, the presence of these two sequential prolines is a

unique feature of EH_0 compared to its homologs, and other substrate-promiscuous members

of the family IV (19). Mutational analysis confirmed the role of one of these prolines in the

access and hydrolysis of large and voluminous substrates and thus in the increase in the

substrate promiscuity level.

Biochemical characterization of the novel esterase revealed interesting properties that

make the enzyme a good candidate for industrial applications. The activity of the enzyme was

in most cases stimulated in the presence of 10% organic solvents, particularly in 10%

methanol and DMSO. The use of organic solvents offers more advantages over canonical

aqueous biocatalysis for various reasons: higher solubility of hydrophobic substrates, minor

risk of contamination, and higher thermal stability (35)(36)(37). EH_0 has a potential advantage

in applications that require alkaline conditions due to its ability to act at the optimal pH of

9.0. Temperature-controlled tests indicated a mesophilic/slightly thermophilic profile of the

esterase as expected from the original habitat at moderate temperatures. In addition, the

structure of EH_0 is similar (31-33% identity) to those of extremophiles, namely, *Pyrobaculum*

(3ZWQ) and *Sulfolobus* (5LK6) species.

In summary, the present study evaluated the conformational plasticity of the cap domain

in members of Family IV, and the role of two sequential prolines as putative structural factor

regulating their broader substrate specificity compared to other members of the 35 families

and 11 true lipase subfamilies reported (10). This high molecular flexibility is markedly

different to that found in other family IV esterases and a family VIII β-lactamase fold hydrolase

(EH_7) which has been recently shown to be highly substrate-promiscuous. In this case, the

broad substrate ambiguity is given by the presence of a more opened and exposed S1 site

having no steric hindrance for the entrance of substrates to the active site, and more flexible

R1, R2 and R3 regions allowing the binding of a wide spectrum of substrates into the active

site.

CONCLUSIONS

Activity-based metagenomics approach was used to study the microbial enzyme diversity

in rhizosphere soil of *Sorghum* plants amended with CNSL soil. A novel esterase was found,

which possessed a broadest substrate promiscuity in combination with a significant pH and

solvent tolerance. This work is crucial for deciphering structural markers responsible for the

outstanding broad substrate specificity of EH_0, which makes this enzyme an interesting

candidate for biotechnological applications. This work further provides important insights

into the role of cap domains and its contribution to the diverse selectivity profiles and thus

versatility of family IV esterases/lipases toward diverse applications.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material and outdoor seed germination. Seeds of *Sorghum bicolor* genotype BTx623

were obtained from the Agricultural Research Service of United States Department of

Agriculture (USDA) (Georgia, US) as a gift. Field soil was sampled from the Henfaes Research

Centre (53°14'21.0"N, 4°01'06.5"W, Gwynedd, Wales) in September 2014. The soil sample

was composed of a mixture of five topsoil samples collected from randomly selected positions

in the field. The soil was air-dried, mixed thoroughly, and stored at room temperature for use

in subsequent experiments. Two L pots were filled with soil, and two seeds of *S. bicolor*

BTx623 were planted per pot. Plants were cultivated in a greenhouse at 20 °C, and the soil

moisture content was maintained with tap water.

Enrichment with CNSL. Three grams of technical cashew nut shell liquid (tCNSL) dissolved in 70% ethanol was added to a pot of 20-day-old plants and thoroughly mixed with the soil. After 60 days, plants were pulled out of the pot, and the soil was shaken off; samples of rhizosphere soil attached to the plant roots were then brushed off and collected. tCNSL was provided by the BioComposites Centre at Bangor University (Wales, UK). Three biological replicates of laboratory microcosm enrichment were set up in conical 1 L Erlenmeyer flasks by mixing 10 g of the collected rhizosphere soil with 300 mL of sterile Murashige Skoog Basal medium (Sigma) and 10 mg/L cycloheximide. tCNSL was dissolved in 70% ethanol and added to the medium to a final concentration of 0.1 g/L; flasks were incubated at 20 °C in an orbital shaker. 50 g of oil slurry was sampled every seven days, and fresh tCNSL-containing (0.1 g/kg) medium was added to replace the volume of the medium.

Extraction of DNA and generation of metagenomic library. Samples collected after 3 weeks of flask microcosm enrichment were used for the construction of fosmid metagenomic libraries. Environmental DNA was extracted using the Meta-G-Nome DNA Isolation Kit (Epicenter Biotechnologies; WI, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 50 ml of the soil suspension from the flask enrichment was centrifuged at 400 x g for 5 min. The supernatant was filtered through 0.45 μm and 0.22 μm membrane filters. This procedure was repeated with the initial soil sample four times: the remaining soil was resuspended in PBS and centrifuged, and the supernatant was filtered as before. Filters were combined, and the sediment on the filter was resuspended in extraction buffer and collected. DNA extraction was carried out according to the protocol described by the manufacturer. The quality of the extracted DNA was evaluated on agarose gel and quantified with the Quant-iT dsDNA Assay Kit (Invitrogen) on a Cary Eclipse fluorimeter (Varian/Agilent) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The extracted metagenomic DNA was used to prepare two

different metagenomic fosmid libraries using the CopyControl™ Fosmid Library Production Kit (Epicenter). DNA was end-repaired to generate blunt-ended, 5'-phosphorylated double-stranded DNA using reagents included in the kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. Subsequently, fragments of 30-40 kb were selected by electrophoresis and recovered from a low melting point agarose gel using GELase 50X buffer, and GELase enzyme preparation was also included in the kit. Nucleic acid fragments were then ligated to the linearized CopyControl pCC2FOS vector in a ligation reaction performed at room temperature for 4 hours, according to the manufacturer's instructions. After in vitro packaging into phage lambda (MaxPlax™ Lambda Packaging Extract, Epicenter), the transfected phage T1-resistant EPI300™-T1R *E. coli* cells were spread on Luria-Bertani (LB) agar medium (hereinafter, unless mentioned otherwise, the agar content was 1.5% w/vol) containing 12.5 µg/ml chloramphenicol and incubated at 37 °C overnight to determine the titer of the phage particles. The resulting library, SorRhizCNSL3 W, has an estimated titer of 1.5×10^6 clones. For long-term storage, the library was plated onto solid LB medium with 12.5 µg/ml chloramphenicol, and after overnight growth, colonies were washed off from the agar surface using LB broth with 20% (v/v) sterile glycerol, and aliquots were stored at −80 °C.

Screening metagenomic libraries: agar-based methods. Fosmid clones obtained by plating the constructed libraries on LB agar plates were arrayed in 384-microtiter plates (1 clone/well) or alternatively in 96-microtiter plates (pools of approximately 40 clones/well) containing LB medium and chloramphenicol (12.5 µg/ml). The plates were incubated at 37 °C overnight, and the day after replication, the plates were produced and used in the screening assay. Glycerol (20% v/v, final concentration) was added to the original plates, which were stored at -80 °C. Gel diffusion and colorimetric assays were adopted for the screening of the desired activities. The detection of lipase/esterase activity was carried out on LB agar

supplemented with chloramphenicol (12.5 µg/ml), fosmid autoinduction solution (2 ml/L)

(Epicenter), and 0.3% (v/v) tributyrin emulsified with gum arabic (2:1, v/v) by sonication. The

previously prepared microtiter plates were printed on the surface of large (22.5 cm x 22.5 cm)

LB agar plates using 384-pin polypropylene replicators and incubated for 18-48 hours at 37

°C. Lipolytic activity was identified as a clear zone around the colonies where tributyrin was

hydrolyzed (12).

Extraction of fosmids, DNA sequencing and annotation. The fosmid DNA of the positive

clone was extracted using the QIAGEN Plasmid purification kit (QIAGEN). To reduce the host

chromosomal *E. coli* DNA contamination, the sample was treated with ATP-dependent

exonuclease (Epicenter). The purity and approximate size of the cloned fragment were

assessed by agarose gel electrophoresis after endonuclease digestion simultaneously with

BamHI and *XbaI* (New England Biolabs, in NEBuffer 3.1 at 37° for 1 hour using 1 U of enzyme

per 1 µg DNA). DNA concentration was quantified using the Quant-iT dsDNA Assay Kit

(Invitrogen), and DNA sequencing was then outsourced to Fidelity Systems (NJ, USA) for

shotgun sequencing using the Illumina MiSeq platform. GeneMark software (38) was

employed to predict protein coding regions from the sequences of each assembled contig,

and deduced amino acid sequences were annotated via BLASTP and the PSI-BLAST tool (39).

Cloning, expression and purification of proteins. The selected nucleotide sequence was

amplified by PCR using Herculase II Fusion Enzyme (Agilent, USA) with specific oligonucleotide

primer pairs incorporating p15TV-L adapters. The corresponding fosmid was used as a

template to amplify the target genes. The primers used to amplify the esterase gene

characterized in this study were as follows: EH_{0F},

TTGTATTTCCAGGGCATGACCGAGCTCTTCGTCCGC; EH_{0R},

CAAGCTTCGTCATCATGCCGCCGCCTGTGCCATC. PCR products were visualized on a 1% TAE

agarose gel and purified using the Nucleospin PCR Clean-up Kit (Macherey-Nagel) following

the manufacturer's instructions. Purified PCR products were cloned into the p15TV-L vector,

transformed into *E. coli* NovaBlue GigaSingles™ Competent Cells (Novagen, Germany) and

plated on LB agar with 100 µg/ml ampicillin. The correctness of the DNA sequence was then

verified by Sanger sequencing at Macrogen Ltd. (Amsterdam, The Netherlands). 3-D models

of the proteins were generated by Phyre2. The intensive mode attempts to create a complete

full-length model of a sequence through a combination of multiple template modeling and

simplified ab initio folding simulation (40). The nucleotide and amino acid sequences of the

selected nucleotide sequences are available GenBank under ref. MK791218. For recombinant

protein expression, the plasmids were transformed into *E. coli* BL21(DE3) cells and

subsequently plated on LB agar with 100 µg/ml ampicillin. To confirm the esterase activity of

recombinant proteins, *E. coli* clones harboring the recombinant plasmid were streaked onto

LB agar plates containing 0.5% tributyrin and 0.5 mM IPTG, or purified enzymes were spotted

directly on the agar. The plates were then incubated at 37 °C overnight and visually inspected

for the presence of signs of substrate degradation. *E. coli* clones were grown at 37 °C to an

absorbance of 0.8 at 600 nm, induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (IPTG),

and allowed to grow overnight at 20 °C with shaking. Cells were harvested by centrifugation

at 5000 x g for 30 min at 4 °C. For purification of recombinant protein, the following protocol

was applied. Cell pellets were resuspended in cold binding buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 400

mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 0.5% Triton-X, 6 mM imidazole pH 7.5, 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 0.5

mM PMSF) and extracted by sonication. The lysates were then centrifuged at 22,000 x g for

30 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant was purified by affinity chromatography using Ni-NTA His-

bind resin (Novagen). The column packed with the resin was equilibrated with binding buffer,

and after the addition of supernatant, it was washed with 6 volumes of wash buffer (50 mM

HEPES pH 7.5, 400 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 0.5% Triton-X, 26 mM imidazole pH 7.5, 1 mM β-

mercaptoethanol, 0.5 mM PMSF) to remove nonspecifically bound proteins. His-tagged

proteins were then eluted with elution buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 400 mM NaCl, 5%

glycerol, 0.5% Triton-X, 266 mM imidazole pH 7.5, 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 0.5 mM PMSF).

The size and purity of the proteins were estimated by SDS–PAGE. Protein solutions were

desalted through the Amicon Ultra15 10K centrifugal filter device. Protein concentrations

were determined using the Bradford Reagent (Sigma) and the BioMate^{TM} 3S

spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA).

Biochemical assays. Hydrolytic activity was determined by measuring the amount of *p*-

nitrophenol released by catalytic hydrolysis of *p*-nitrophenyl (*p*-NP) esters through a modified

method of Gupta et al. (41). Stock solutions of *p*-NP esters (100 mM *p*-NP acetate, 100 mM *p*-

NP butyrate, 20 mM *p*-NP dodecanoate, and 20 mM *p*-NP palmitate) were prepared in

DMSO/acetonitrile (1:1 v/v). Unless stated otherwise, the enzymatic assay was performed

under standard conditions in a 1 ml reaction (50 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.0,

0.3% (v/v) Triton X-100, 1 mM substrate) under agitation in a water bath at 50 °C until

complete substrate solubilization, and an appropriate volume of purified enzyme (0.25 µg)

was then added to start the reaction. The reaction mixture was incubated at 30 °C for 5 min

and then measured at 410 nm in a BioMate^{TM} 3S spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA).

The incubation time for *p*-NP dodecanoate and *p*-NP palmitate was extended to 15 minutes.

All experiments were performed in triplicate, and a blank with denatured enzyme was

included. The concentration of product was calculated by linear regression equation given on

the standard curve performed by the reference Compound *p*-nithophenol (Sigma). One unit

of enzyme activity was defined as 1 µmol of p-nithophenol produced per minute under the

assay conditions.

The optimal pH for enzyme activity was evaluated with *p*-NP butyrate by performing the

assay in different buffers, specifically 20 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.0), sodium citrate

buffer (pH 5.5), potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), and Tris-HCl (pH 8.0-9.0). The enzyme

reactions were stopped by adding 1 ml of cold stop solution (100 mM K-phosphate buffer pH

7.0, 10 mM EDTA) to neutralize the pH and avoid changes in the equilibrium between *p*-

nitrophenol and the deprotonated form *p*-nitrophenoxide, which would result in a decrease

in absorption at the applied wavelength of 410 nm (42). The optimal enzymatic temperature

was investigated with *p*-NP butyrate by performing the hydrolytic assay at different

temperatures under standard conditions (see above). To determine the denaturation

temperature, circular dichroism (CD) spectra were acquired between 190 and 270 nm with a

Jasco J-720 spectropolarimeter equipped with a Peltier temperature controller in a 0.1-mm

cell at 25 °C. The spectra were analyzed, and denaturation temperature (T_d) values were

determined at 220 nm between 10 and 85 °C at a rate of 30 °C per hour in 40 mM HEPES

buffer at pH 7.0. CD measurements were performed at pH 7.0 and not at the optimal pH (8.5-

9.0) to ensure protein stability. A protein concentration of 0.5 mg·mL^{-1} was used. T_d (and the

standard deviation of the linear fit) was calculated by fitting the ellipticity (mdeg) at 220 nm

at each of the different temperatures using a 5-parameter sigmoid fit with SigmaPlot 13.0.

Stability in organic solvents was assayed with *p*-NP butyrate under standard conditions in the

presence of 10-20-40-60% (v/v) of the water-miscible organic solvents ethanol, methanol,

isopropanol, acetonitrile, and DMSO. The effect of cations was investigated with *p*-NP

butyrate under standard conditions by the addition of $MgCl_2$, $CuCl_2$, $FeCl_3$, $CoCl_2$, $CaCl_2$, $MnCl_2$,

and $ZnSO_4$ at concentrations in the range of 1 to 10 mM. In all cases, the measured values

were then expressed as the relative activity in comparison to the control reaction performed

under standard conditions. Kinetic parameters were determined under standard conditions

over a range of substrate concentrations (0.05-10 mM) and calculated by nonlinear regression

analysis of raw data fit to the Michaelis–Menten function using GraphPad Prism software

(version 6.0).

The hydrolysis of esters other than pNP esters, including bis(2-hydroxyethyl)-terephthalate

(BHET), was assayed using a pH indicator assay in 384-well plates at 30 °C and pH 8.0 in a

Synergy HT Multi-Mode Microplate Reader in continuous mode at 550 nm over 24 h

(extinction coefficient of phenol red, 8450 $M^{-1}cm^{-1}$). The acid produced after ester bond

cleavage by the hydrolytic enzyme induced a color change in the pH indicator that was

measured at 550 nm. The experimental conditions were as detailed previously (43), with the

absence of activity defined as at least a twofold background signal. Briefly, the reaction

conditions for 384-well plates (ref. 781162, Greiner Bio-One GmbH, Kremsmünster, Austria)

were as follows: protein, 0.5 μg per well; ester, 20 mM; T: 30 °C; pH, 8.0 (5 mM 4-(2-

hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazinepropanesulfonic acid (EPPS) buffer, plus 0.45 Phenol Red®);

reaction volume, 40 μl. The reactions were performed in triplicate, and datasets were

collected from a Synergy HT Multi-Mode Microplate Reader with Gen5 2.00 software (Biotek).

One unit (U) of enzyme activity was defined as the amount of enzyme required to transform

1 μmol of substrate in 1 min under the assay conditions.

Crystallization and X-ray structure determination of EH$_0$. Initial crystallization conditions

were explored by high-throughput techniques with a NanoDrop robot (Innovadyne

Technologies) using 24 mg·mL^{-1} protein concentrations in HEPES (40 mM, pH 7, NaCl 50 mM),

protein reservoir ratios of 1:1, 1.5:1 and 2:1, and commercial screens Crystal Screen I and II,

SaltRx, Index (Hampton Research), JBScreen Classic, JBScreen JCSG, JBScreen PACT (Jena

Bioscience). Further optimizations were carried out, and bar-shaped crystals of EH$_0$ were

grown after one day of mixing 1.2 μL of a mixture of protein (1 μL, 24 mg·mL^{-1}) and seeds (0.2

μL, 1:100) with guanidine hydrochloride (0.2 μL, 0.1 M) and reservoir (0.5 μL, 11% polyethylene glycol 8000, 100 mM Bis-Tris pH 5.5, 100 mM ammonium acetate). For data collection, crystals were transferred to cryoprotectant solution consisting of mother liquour and glycerol (20% (v/v)) before being cooled in liquid nitrogen. Diffraction data were collected using synchrotron radiation on the XALOC beamline at ALBA (Cerdanyola del Vallés, Spain). Diffraction images were processed with XDS (44) and merged using AIMLESS from the CCP4 package (45). The crystal was indexed in the $P2_12_12_1$ space group, with two molecules in the asymmetric unit and 62% solvent content within the unit cell. The data collection statistics are given in Table S4. The structure of EH_0 was solved by molecular replacement with MOLREP (46) using the coordinates from Est8 as a template (PDB Code 4YPV). Crystallographic refinement was performed using the program REFMAC (47) within the CCP4 suite, with NCS (noncrystallography symmetry) medium restraints and excluding residues 17-36. The free *R*-factor was calculated using a subset of 5% randomly selected structure-factor amplitudes that were excluded from automated refinement. Subsequently, heteroatoms were manually built into the electron density maps with Coot8 (48), and water molecules were included in the model, which, combined with more rounds of restrained refinement, reached the *R* factors listed in Table S4. The figures were generated with PyMOL. The crystallographic statistics of EH_0 are listed in Table S4. To extract dynamical details from the X-ray data, the coordinates of EH_0 were first refined using PHENIX (49) and then were used as input models for a time-averaged molecular dynamics refinement as implemented in the Phenix.ensemble-refinement routine, which was performed as described previously (49).

Codes and accession numbers. The sequence encoding EH_0 was deposited in GenBank with the accession number MK791218. The atomic coordinates and structure factors for the EH_0 structure have been deposited in the RCSB Protein Data Bank with accession codes 7ZR3.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only.

Table S1

Table S2

Table S3

Table S4

Table S5

Figure S1

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was conducted under the auspices of the FuturEnzyme Project funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under Grant Agreement No. 101000327. We also acknowledge financial support under Grants PID2020-112758RB-I00 (M.F.), PDC2021-121534-I00 (M.F.), and PID2019-105838RB-C33 (J.S-A.) from the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI) (Digital Object Identifier 10.13039/501100011033), Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER) and the European Union ("NextGenerationEU/PRTR"), and Grant 2020AEP061 (M.F.) from the Agencia Estatal CSIC. C. Coscolín thanks the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad and FEDER for a PhD fellowship (Grant BES-2015-073829). P.N.G. acknowledges the Sêr Cymru programme partly funded by ERDF through the Welsh Government for the support of the project BioPOL4Life, the project 'Plastic Vectors' funded by the Natural Environment Research Council UK (NERC), Grant No. NE/S004548/N and the Centre for Environmental Biotechnology Project co-funded by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) through the Welsh Government. The authors acknowledge David Almendral and Ruth Matesanz for supporting the circular dichroism analysis and Jose L. Gonzalez-Alfonso and Francisco J. Plou for supporting the HPLC analysis. We thank the staff of the Synchrotron Radiation Source at Alba (Barcelona, Spain) for assistance at the BL13-XALOC beamline.

We declare no conflict of interest.

P.N.G., M.F. and J.S-A. developed the conceptual framework, M.D., C.C., T.N.C. and H.T. developed the experimental design, I.C-R. and J.S-A. performed the crystallization and X-ray structure determinations, analysis and interpretation, M.D., M.F. and P.N.G. interpreted the experimental data, P.N.G., M.F. and J.S-A. wrote the initial manuscript. All authors edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

1. Intasian P, Prakinee K, Phintha A, Trisrivirat D, Weeranoppanant N, Wongnate T, Chaiyen P. 2021. Enzymes, in vivo biocatalysis, and metabolic engineering for enabling a circular economy and sustainability. Chem Rev 121:10367–10451.

2. Ferrer M, Méndez-García C, Bargiela R, Chow J, Alonso S, García-Moyano A, Bjerga GEK, Steen IH, Schwabe T, Blom C, Vester J, Weckbecker A, Shahgaldian P, De Carvalho CCCR, Meskys R, Zanaroli G, Glöckner FO, Fernández-Guerra A, Thambisetty S, De La Calle F, Golyshina O V., Yakimov MM, Jaeger KE, Yakunin AF, Streit WR, McMeel O, Calewaert JB, Tonné N, Golyshin PN. 2019. Decoding the ocean's microbiological secrets for marine enzyme biodiscovery. FEMS Microbiol Lett 366:1–7.

3. Schloss PD, Handelsman J. 2006. Toward a census of bacteria in soil. PLoS Comput Biol 2:0786–0793.

4. Handelsman J. 2005. Metagenomics: Application of genomics to uncultured microorganisms. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 69:195–195.

5. Barzkar N, Sohail M, Tamadoni Jahromi S, Gozari M, Poormozaffar S, Nahavandi R, Hafezieh M. 2021. Marine Bacterial Esterases: Emerging biocatalysts for industrial applications. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 193:1187–1214.

6. Martínez-Martínez M, Alcaide M, Tchigvintsev A, Reva O, Polaina J, Bargiela R, Guazzaroni ME, Chicote Á, Canet A, Valero F, Eguizabal ER, Guerrero M del C, Yakunin AF, Ferrer M. 2013. Biochemical diversity of carboxyl esterases and lipases from lake arreo (Spain): A metagenomic approach. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:3553–3562.

7. Jaeger KE, Eggert T. 2002. Lipases for biotechnology. Curr Opin Biotechnol 13:390–397.

8. Jochens H, Hesseler M, Stiba K, Padhi SK, Kazlauskas RJ, Bornscheuer UT. 2011. Protein Engineering of

α/β-Hydrolase Fold Enzymes. ChemBioChem 12:1508–1517.

9.   De Godoy Daiha K, Angeli R, De Oliveira SD, Almeida RV. 2015. Are lipases still important biocatalysts? A

study of scientific publications and patents for technological forecasting. PLoS One 10:1–20.

10.   Bauer TL, Buchholz PCF, Pleiss J. 2020. The modular structure of α/β-hydrolases. FEBS J 287:1035–1053.

11.   Ferrer M, Martínez-Martínez M, Bargiela R, Streit WR, Golyshina O V., Golyshin PN. 2016. Estimating the

success of enzyme bioprospecting through metagenomics: Current status and future trends. Microb

Biotechnol 9:22–34.

12.   Placido A, Hai T, Ferrer M, Chernikova TN, Distaso M, Armstrong D, Yakunin AF, Toshchakov S V., Yakimov

MM, Kublanov I V., Golyshina O V., Pesole G, Ceci LR, Golyshin PN. 2015. Diversity of hydrolases from

hydrothermal vent sediments of the Levante Bay, Vulcano Island (Aeolian archipelago) identified by

activity-based metagenomics and biochemical characterization of new esterases and an

arabinopyranosidase. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 99:10031–10046.

13.   Tchigvintsev A, Tran H, Popovic A, Kovacic F, Brown G, Flick R, Hajighasemi M, Egorova O, Somody JC,

Tchigvintsev D, Khusnutdinova A, Chernikova TN, Golyshina O V., Yakimov MM, Savchenko A, Golyshin

PN, Jaeger KE, Yakunin AF. 2015. The environment shapes microbial enzymes: five cold-active and salt-

resistant carboxylesterases from marine metagenomes. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 99:2165–2178.

14.   Lopez-Lopez O, Cerdan ME, Gonzalez Siso MI. 2014. New Extremophilic Lipases and Esterases from

Metagenomics. Curr Protein Pept Sci 15:445–455.

15.   Lee MH, Hong KS, Malhotra S, Park JH, Hwang EC, Choi HK, Kim YS, Tao W, Lee SW. 2010. A new esterase

EstD2 isolated from plant rhizosphere soil metagenome. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 88:1125–1134.

16.   Faoro H, Glogauer A, Souza EM, Rigo LU, Cruz LM, Monteiro RA, Pedrosa FO. 2011. Identification of a

new lipase family in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest soil metagenome. Environ Microbiol Rep 3:750–755.

17.   Hult K, Berglund P. 2007. Enzyme promiscuity: mechanism and applications. Trends Biotechnol 25:231–

238.

18.   Alcaide M, Tornés J, Stogios PJ, Xu X, Gertler C, Leo RDI, Bargiela R, Lafraya Á, Guazzaroni ME, López-

Cortés N, Chernikova TN, Golyshina O V., Nechitaylo TY, Plumeier I, Pieper DH, Yakimov MM, Savchenko

A, Golyshin PN, Ferrer M. 2013. Single residues dictate the co-evolution of dual esterases: MCP

hydrolases from the α/β hydrolase family. Biochem J 454:157–166.

19.   Martínez-Martínez M, Coscolín C, Santiago G, Chow J, Stogios PJ, Bargiela R, Gertler C, Navarro-

Fernández J, Bollinger A, Thies S, Méndez-García C, Popovic A, Brown G, Chernikova TN, García-Moyano

A, Bjerga GEK, Pérez-García P, Hai T, Del Pozo M V., Stokke R, Steen IH, Cui H, Xu X, Nocek BP, Alcaide M,

Distaso M, Mesa V, Peláez AI, Sánchez J, Buchholz PCF, Pleiss J, Fernández-Guerra A, Glöckner FO,

Golyshina O V., Yakimov MM, Savchenko A, Jaeger KE, Yakunin AF, Streit WR, Golyshin PN, Guallar V,

Ferrer M. 2018. Determinants and prediction of esterase substrate promiscuity patterns. ACS Chem Biol

13:225–234.

20.   Höppner A, Bollinger A, Kobus S, Thies S, Coscolín C, Ferrer M, Jaeger KE, Smits SHJ. 2021. Crystal

structures of a novel family IV esterase in free and substrate-bound form. FEBS J 288:3570–3584.

21.   Kumar PP, Paramashivappa R, Vithayathil PJ, Rao PVS, Rao AS. 2002. Process for isolation of cardanol

from technical cashew (Anacardium occidentale l.) Nut shell liquid. J Agric Food Chem 50:4705–4708.

22.   Reyes-Duarte D, Ferrer M, García-Arellano H. 2012. Functional-based screening methods for lipases,

esterases, and phospholipases in metagenomic libraries. Lipases and phospholipases 861:101–113.

23.   Arpigny JL, Jaeger KE. 1999. Bacterial lipolytic enzymes: Classification and properties. Biochem J

343:177–183.

24.   Yoshida S, Hiraga K, Takehana T, Taniguchi I, Yamaji H, Maeda Y, Toyohara K, Miyamoto K, Kimura Y, Oda

K. 2016. A bacterium that degrades and assimilates poly(ethylene terephthalate). Sci (American Assoc

Adv Sci 351:1196–1199.

25.   Bollinger A, Thies S, Knieps-Grünhagen E, Gertzen C, Kobus S, Höppner A, Ferrer M, Gohlke H, Smits SHJ,

Jaeger KE. 2020. A novel polyester hydrolase from the marine bacterium *Pseudomonas aestusnigri* –

Structural and functional insights. Front Microbiol 11:1–16.

26.   Pereira MR, Maester TC, Mercaldi GF, de Macedo Lemos EG, Hyvönen M, Balan A. 2017. From a

metagenomic source to a high-resolution structure of a novel alkaline esterase. Appl Microbiol

Biotechnol 101:4935–4949.

27.   Holm L. 2020. Using Dali for protein structure comparison. Struct Bioinforma 2112:29–42.

28.   Ding Y, Nie L, Yang XC, Li Y, Huo YY, Li Z, Gao Y, Cui HL, Li J, Xu XW. 2022. Mechanism and structural

insights into a novel esterase, E53, isolated from *Erythrobacter longus*. Front Microbiol 12:1–12.

29.   Palm GJ, Fernández-Álvaro E, Bogdanović X, Bartsch S, Sczodrok J, Singh RK, Böttcher D, Atomi H,

Bornscheuer UT, Hinrichs W. 2011. The crystal structure of an esterase from the hyperthermophilic

microorganism *Pyrobaculum calidifontis* VA1 explains its enantioselectivity. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol

91:1061–1072.

30. De Simone G, Menchise V, Alterio V, Mandrich L, Rossi M, Manco G, Pedone C. 2004. The crystal structure

of an EST2 mutant unveils structural insights on the H group of the carboxylesterase/lipase family. J Mol

Biol 343:137–146.

31. Alonso S, Santiago G, Cea-Rama I, Fernandez-Lopez L, Coscolín C, Modregger J, Ressmann AK, Martínez-

Martínez M, Marrero H, Bargiela R, Pita M, Gonzalez-Alfonso JL, Briand ML, Rojo D, Barbas C, Plou FJ,

Golyshin PN, Shahgaldian P, Sanz-Aparicio J, Guallar V, Ferrer M. 2020. Genetically engineered proteins

with two active sites for enhanced biocatalysis and synergistic chemo- and biocatalysis. Nat Catal 3:319–

328.

32. Bais HP, Weir TL, Perry LG, Gilroy S, Vivanco JM. 2006. The role of root exudates in rhizosphere

interactions with plants and other organisms. Annu Rev Plant Biol 57:233–266.

33. Bertin C, Yang X, Weston LA. 2003. The role of root exudates and allelochemicals in the rhizosphere.

Plant Soil 256:67–83.

34. Hitch TCA, Clavel T. 2019. A proposed update for the classification and description of bacterial lipolytic

enzymes. PeerJ 2019.

35. Sellek GA, Chaudhuri JB. 1999. Biocatalysis in organic media using enzymes from extremophiles. Enzyme

Microb Technol 25:471–482.

36. Zaks A, Klibanov AM. 1988. Enzymatic catalysis in nonaqueous solvents. J Biol Chem 263:3194–3201.

37. Sharma S, Kanwar SS. 2014. Organic solvent tolerant lipases and applications. Sci World J 2014.

38. Lukashin A V., Borodovsky M. 1998. GeneMark.hmm: New solutions for gene finding. Nucleic Acids Res

26:1107–1115.

39. Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. 1997. Gapped BLAST and

PSI-BLAST: A new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res 25:3389–3402.

40. Kelley LA, Mezulis S, Yates CM, Wass MN, Sternberg MJE. 2015. The Phyre2 web portal for protein

modeling, prediction and analysis. Nat Protoc 10:845–858.

41. Gupta N, Rathi P, Gupta R. 2002. Simplified para-nitrophenyl palmitate assay for lipases and esterases.

Anal Biochem 311:98–99.

42. Bowers GN, McComb RB, Christensen RG, Schaffer R. 1980. High-purity 4-nitrophenol: purification,

characterization, and specifications for use as a spectrophotometric reference material. Clin Chem

26:724–729.

43.   Giunta CI, Cea-Rama I, Alonso S, Briand ML, Bargiela R, Coscolín C, Corvini PFX, Ferrer M, Sanz-Aparicio

717   J, Shahgaldian P. 2020. Tuning the properties of natural promiscuous enzymes by engineering their nano-

718   environment. ACS Nano 14:17652–17664.

719   44.   Kabsch W. 2010. research papers XDS research papers. Acta Crystallogr Sect D Biol Crystallogr 66:125–

720   132.

721   45.   Evans PR, Murshudov GN. 2013. How good are my data and what is the resolution? Acta Crystallogr Sect

722   D Biol Crystallogr 69:1204–1214.

723   46.   Vagin A, Teplyakov A. 1997. MOLREP: an automated program for molecular replacement. J Appl

724   Crystallogr 30:1022–1025.

725   47.   Murshudov GN, Vagin AA, Dodson EJ. 1997. Refinement of macromolecular structures by the maximum-

726   likelihood method. Acta Crystallogr Sect D, Biol Crystallogr 53:240–255.

727   48.   Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, Cowtan K. 2010. Features and development of coot. Acta Crystallogr

728   Sect D Biol Crystallogr 66:486–501.

729   49.   Tom Burnley B, Afonine P V., Adams PD, Gros P. 2012. Modelling dynamics in protein crystal structures

730   by ensemble refinement. Elife 2012:1–29.

732   TABLE 1. Kinetic parameters of EH_0 against pNP-esters. The results are the mean ± SD of triplicates.

Substrate	K_M (mM)	V_{max} (μM min^{-1})	k_{cat} (min^{-1})	k_{cat}/K_M (s^{-1} mM^{-1})
pN-acetate	1.61 ± 0.12	291.50 ± 7.62	41.64 ± 1.09	0.430
pNP-butyrate	1.08 ± 0.18	252.60 ± 13.84	36.09 ± 1.98	0.558
pNP-dodecanoate	1.00 ± 0.28	25.56 ± 1.80	3.65 ± 0.26	0.061

FIG 1. Substrate selectivity of EH_0 against a set of Model p-nitrophenyl esters of different acyl chain lengths.

Specific activities (mean ± SD of triplicates) are shown on a $\log_{10}$ scale. Reactions contained 1 mM of the

corresponding pNP-ester and were conducted under standard conditions described in Materials and Methods.

FIG 2. Optimal parameters for the activity and stability of purified EH_0. (A) Temperature profile. (B) The thermal

denaturation curve of EH_0 at pH 7.0 was measured by ellipticity changes at 220 nm and obtained at different

temperatures. (C) The pH profile. The maximal activity was defined as 100%, and the relative activity is shown as

the percentage of maximal activity (mean ± SD of triplicates) determined under standard reaction conditions

with pNP butyrate as the substrate. Graphics were created with SigmaPlot version 14.0 (the data were not fitted

to any model).

FIG 3. A) Topology diagram of EH0. The catalytic triad is highlighted in violet. B) EH0 folding, with the cap domain

in yellow and the catalytic domain in teal. The prolines within the N-terminal cap domain are depicted as violet

sticks. C) Superposition of EH0 and its homologs: E53 (yellow, PDB code 7W8N), Est8 (violet, PDB code 4YPV),

752 PestE (raspberry, PDB code 3ZWQ) and EstA (slate, PDB code 5LK6). D) Superposition of subunits A and B showing

753 different conformations of loop α1-α2, with chain A in green and chain B in blue. Cap/catalytic domains follow

754 the color code described in B.

FIG 4. A) EH0 homodimer showing the catalytic triad as sticks (violet). Both subunits are related by a twofold axis

that is perpendicular to the view shown and situates the two active sites on opposite faces of the dimer. B) A

760   magnified image of the dimeric interface that covers 722.9Å^2 (approximately 5.6% of the total surface), showing

761   hydrogen bonds (pink) and salt bridges (green). The Cap domain is colored yellow, whereas the catalytic domain

762   is shown in teal. C) Dimeric interface of the PestE homolog where the interactions are made by $\beta 8$ and $\alpha 12$. D)

763   Dimeric interface of EH_0, where the interactions are made by $\alpha 8$, $\beta 8$ and $\alpha 9$. Disposition of catalytic tunnels from

764   both subunits in PestE (E) and EH_0 (F).

 FIG 5. A) A tunnel 16.8 deep giving access to the catalytic triad. B) Hydrogen bond network among the residues

making the catalytic triad of EH_0 (teal). Movement of loop β3-α3 in EH_0 (housing the oxoanion) with respect to

its homologs E53 complexed with (4-nitrophenyl)hexanoate (yellow), Est8 (violet), PestE (raspberry) and EstA

(slate). C) Hydrogen bond network of residues Glu226 and Tyr223 from α7. D) Hydrophobic patch where Trp218

is located. The EH_0 cap domain is shown in yellow and the catalytic domain in teal (C and D.)E) All possible

conformations of the region comprising α1-α2 shown by the ensemble refinement. Color code gives chain

mobility, from low (blue) to high (red). The opposed scenarios of the region α1-α2, from an "open conformation"

(F, green) to a "closed conformation" (G, slate). The cap domain seen in the crystal structure is shown in yellow.

H and I) Superimposition of EH_0 onto E53 complexed with 4-nitrophenyl)hexanoate (yellow) and EH1AB1 in

complex with a derivative of methyl 4-nitrophenylhexylphosphonate (green), showing only the ligands. The

catalytic triad of EH_0 is shown as violet sticks, and residues Glu226 and Ile255 are shown as gray sticks. The EH_0

cap domain is shown in yellow and the catalytic domain in teal. J) Active site cavity of EH_0 with acyl and alcohol

moieties. Residues from the cap domain are colored yellow, and residues from the catalytic domain are colored

teal. The catalytic triad is shown in violet.

FIG 6. A) Multiple sequence alignment of EH₀ and its homologs E53, Est8, PestE and EstA. Highlighted in boxes

are the conserved motif G-X-S-X-G (in blue), including the catalytic serine, and the D-P-X-X-D (in green), including

the catalytic aspartic acid. The prolines from loop α1-α2 (Pro21, Pro23 and Pro31) and the potential hinges (Pro46

and Pro47) are underlined in purple. The catalytic triad residues are shown with yellow triangles.