Two gustatory receptors involved in host plant recognition of silkworm larvae

Haruka Endo[1,2,4,5,*], Kana Tsuneto[2,4], Takayuki Yamagishi[2], Dingze Mang[2,6], Katsuhiko Ito[3],

Shinji Nagata[1] and Ryoichi Sato[2,*]

[1]Department of Integrated Bioscience, Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, The University of

Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8562, Japan

[2]Graduate School of Bio-Applications and Systems Engineering, Tokyo University of Agriculture and

Technology, Koganei, Tokyo 184-8588, Japan

[3]Department of Science of Biological Production, Graduate School of Agriculture, Tokyo University

of Agriculture and Technology, Fuchu,Tokyo 183-8509, Japan

[4]The authors contributed equally.

[5]Present address: RIKEN Center for Brain Science, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

[6]Present address: College of Life Science, Institute of Life Science and Green Development, Hebei

University, Baoding 071002, China

*Correspondence: haruka.endo@riken.jp (H.E.) or ryoichi@cc.tuat.ac.jp (R.S.).

Abstract

Herbivorous insects can identify their host plants by sensing plant secondary metabolites as chemical

cues. We previously reported the two-factor host acceptance system of the silkworm *Bombyx mori*

larvae. The chemosensory neurons in the maxillary palp (MP) of the larvae detect mulberry secondary

metabolites, chlorogenic acid (CGA), and isoquercitrin (ISQ), with ultrahigh sensitivity, for host plant

recognition and feeding initiation. Nevertheless, the molecular basis for the ultrasensitive sensing of

these compounds remains unknown. In this study, we demonstrated that two gustatory receptors

(Grs), BmGr6 and BmGr9, are responsible for sensing the mulberry compounds with attomolar

sensitivity for host plant recognition by silkworm larvae. Calcium imaging assay using cultured cells

expressing the silkworm putative sugar receptors (BmGr4-10) revealed that BmGr6 and BmGr9 serve

as receptors for CGA and ISQ with attomolar sensitivity in human embryonic kidney 293T cells.

CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout (KO) of BmGr6 and BmGr9 resulted in a low probability of making

a test bite of the mulberry leaves, suggesting that they lost the ability to recognize host leaves

specifically. Electrophysiological recordings showed that the loss of host recognition ability in the Gr-

KO strains was due to a drastic decrease in MP sensitivity toward ISQ in BmGr6-KO larvae and

toward CGA and ISQ in BmGr9-KO larvae. Our findings unraveled that the two Grs, which have been

regarded as sugar receptors, are molecules responsible for detecting plant phenolics in host plant

recognition.

Keywords: herbivorous insects, host plant selection, plant secondary metabolites; silkworm;
gustatory receptors

Introduction

Many herbivorous insects have specialized on a limited range of plants as their hosts through
ecological and evolutionary interactions with plants. Plants have various physical and chemical
defense mechanisms to combat insect herbivory (War et al., 2012). For instance, plants have evolved
a variety of secondary metabolites, most of which act as feeding deterrents and are often toxic to
herbivorous insects (Fraenkel, 1959). In turn, herbivorous insects have adapted to some of the
chemicals by developing chemosensory systems, enzymatic detoxication, and other mechanisms,
which enabled them to consume a specific limited range of plants (Heidel-Fischer and Vogel, 2015;
Simon et al., 2015). In parallel, they were able to identify host plants using plant secondary
metabolites as sensory cues (Chapman, 2003).

Sensory exploration at the leaf surface is essential for host plant selection in larval feeding and adult
ovipositioning (Chapman and Bernays, 1989; Renwick, 1989). We previously reported that the
silkworm *Bombyx mori* larvae, well known for their preference for mulberry leaves, feed on the leaves
utilizing a two-factor authentication system controlled by two taste organs and a set of
chemoreceptors with differing sensitivities, operating sequentially in time (Tsuneto et al., 2020). The
first step involves probing the leaf surface with the maxillary palp (MP), which contains ultrasensitive
chemosensory neurons sensitive to a set of crucial mulberry compounds, including secondary
metabolites (chlorogenic acid [CGA] and quercetin glycosides) and a phytosterol (β-sitosterol). The
ultrahigh sensitivities in the attomolar and femtomolar ranges enable the larvae to detect trace
amounts of these compounds at the dry leaf surface (Chapman and Bernays, 1989; Cheng et al.,
2017) and identify the host leaves. If these three compounds are detected simultaneously, the larva
makes a test bite, which leads to the second step. Another gustatory organ, the maxillary galea (MG),
is used to probe the sugar content released by the test bite. Sucrose mainly induces continued biting,
resulting in feeding. The two-factor host acceptance system is expected to be conserved among
herbivorous insects because of their typical stepwise feeding behavior, composed of foraging,
palpation at the leaf edge, test and persistent biting, and swallowing (Chun, 1972; Devitt and Smith,
1985). Although understanding the behavioral and neural basis of host acceptance in silkworm larvae
has progressed, the molecular basis underlying MP's ultrasensitive detection of the mulberry
compounds remains unknown.

While, to our knowledge, no previous studies have identified lepidopteran chemosensory receptors
responsible for host plant selection in larval feeding, two examples have been reported in adult
oviposition. A gustatory receptor (Gr) PxutGr1 from the swallowtail butterfly *Papilio xuthus* is
responsible for the sensitivity of tarsal taste sensilla to synephrine and the oviposition behavior
induced by a mixture of synephrine and *chiro*-inositol (Ozaki et al., 2011). The two olfactory receptors
(Ors) in *Plutella xylostella*, Or35 and Or49, are necessary and sufficient for detecting isothiocyanates

and isothiocyanates-mediated oviposition preference for its host cruciferous plants (Liu et al., 2020).
Meanwhile, some Grs have been reported as receptors for plant secondary metabolites in
lepidopteran insects, although the importance in the ecology of the insect species is unclear.
PrapGr28 from the cabbage butterfly, *Pieris rapae*, contributes to sinigrin sensitivity in adult tarsal
taste sensilla (Yang et al., 2021), although sinigrin is a feeding stimulant for their larvae (Renwick and
Lopez, 1999). PxylGr34 from the diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella*, is involved in response to
canonical plant hormones, brassinolide, and 24-epibrassinolide, a feeding and oviposition deterrent,
in MG of larvae (Yang et al., 2020). In addition, BmGr16, BmGr18, and BmGr53 from *B. mori* respond
to coumarin and caffeine in human embryonic kidney 293T (HEK293T) cells (Kasubuchi et al., 2018),
but their role *in vivo* is unknown. Thus, several examples of relatively low-sensitive chemoreceptors
for plant secondary metabolites in the foreleg of adult and MG of larvae have been reported. However,
ultrahigh-sensitive chemoreceptors in larval MP underlying the first step in the two-factor host
acceptance system are unknown.
We addressed the molecular basis of host recognition in silkworm larvae in the present study. *In vitro*
calcium imaging in the cells suggested that BmGr6 and BmGr9 are candidates that confer MP
ultrahigh sensitivity for CGA and ISQ. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated KOs demonstrate that the two Grs are
responsible for host recognition by sensing CGA and/or ISQ.
93
Results
BmGr6 and BmGr9 serve as ultrahigh-sensitive receptors for CGA and ISQ as well as sugar
receptors in HEK293T cells
We started with phylogenetic analysis to find closely related silkworm Grs with PxutGr1 as candidate
receptors for CGA and ISQ because PxutGr1 is the only lepidopteran Gr reported as a receptor for
plant phenolics (Ozaki et al., 2011). Phylogenetic analysis of lepidopteran Grs indicates that PxutGr1
belongs to the same lineage as BmGr9 and BmGr10, known as fructose and *myo*-inositol receptors,
respectively (Kikuta et al., 2016; Sato et al., 2011) (Fig. 1). Based on the phylogenetic analysis, we
focused on BmGr9, BmGr10, and other five Grs (BmGr4-8) in the neighboring putative sugar receptor
clade (Wanner and Robertson, 2008).
To test whether these seven Grs can confer silkworm MP chemosensory neurons attomolar sensitivity
to CGA and ISQ, we performed calcium imaging in HEK293T cells. Of the seven Grs-expressing cells,
BmGr6-, BmGr8-, and BmGr9-expressing cells responded to 100 aM CGA, and BmGr6- and BmGr9-
expressing cells responded to 100 aM ISQ (Fig. 2A-C). We recorded a dose-dependent response of
BmGr6, BmGr8, BmGr9, and BmGr10 (Fig. 2D and E) and determined the EC_{50} values (Table S1).
Although BmGr6- and BmGr9-expressing cells responded to <10 aM CGA and ISQ, BmGr8-
expressing cells did not respond to 10 aM CGA (Fig. 2D). Based on these results, we focused on and
further characterized BmGr6 and BmGr9 as candidates conferring MP neurons attomolar sensitivities
toward CGA and ISQ. BmGr6- and BmGr9-expressing cells did not respond to β-sitosterol up to 2 μM
(Fig. S1).

Since BmGr6 is regarded as a putative sugar receptor (Wanner and Robertson, 2008) and BmGr9

was reported as a fructose receptor (Sato et al., 2011), we investigated their response to major sugars

present in the mulberry leaves (D-fructose, D-glucose, sucrose, and *myo*-inositol) (Kikuta et al., 2016).

Surprisingly, BmGr9-expressing cells responded to D-fructose with aM sensitivity (Fig. 2C and D),

whereas they exhibited relatively lower sensitivities to D-glucose, sucrose, and *myo*-inositol (Fig. 2E

and F). BmGr6-expressing cells showed pM to nM sensitivity to four sugars (Fig. 2C-F). These results

indicate that the two Grs are highly tuned to CGA and ISQ, whereas BmGr9 also exhibits a high

affinity with D-fructose.

BmGr6 and BmGr9 are expressed in subsets of MP chemosensory neurons

According to the RNA-seq data from a previous study, BmGr6 and BmGr9 are highly expressed in

the MP (Guo et al., 2017). To confirm this at the protein level, we performed immunohistochemistry

using anti-BmGr6 and anti-BmGr9 antisera. Each sensillum in MP contains three to five

chemosensory neurons whose somas are usually clustered in the second segment (Fig. 3A and 3B)

(Asaoka, 2003). We observed three BmGr6-antiserum-positive MP neurons (Fig. 3C). In the two

representative cryosections, two of the three neurons are close to each other (Fig. 3C), suggesting

that the two neurons are contained in the same sensillum. We observed seven BmGr9-antiserum-

positive MP neurons, three of which are close to each other (Fig. 3D). Thus, BmGr6 and BmGr9 are

expressed in at least three and seven neurons in the MP of silkworm larvae.

BmGr6-KO and BmGr9-KO larvae lose their ability to recognize mulberry leaves specifically

To test whether the two Grs are involved in host recognition *in vivo*, we generated BmGr6-KO and

BmGr9-KO silkworm strains via the CRISPR/Cas9 system. We designed single-guide RNAs

(sgRNAs) targeting the exons 5 and 3 of the *BmGr6* and *BmGr9* genes (Fig. 4A). By injecting the

sgRNAs and the Cas9 protein into eggs, we successfully established mutant strains carrying

homozygous null mutations of *BmGr6* and *BmGr9* (material and methods for details). In both strains,

premature stop codons were generated via indel mutations (Fig. 4A and S2).

The body mass of the fifth instar larvae of BmGr6-KO and BmGr9-KO strains, which have been fed

with artificial diets since hatching, were ~86% and ~91% of the body mass of wild-type (WT) larvae

(Fig. 4B). Since BmGr6 and BmGr9 are expressed in neurosecretory cells in the brain,

enteroendocrine cells in the midgut, and other cells in a diversity of organs (Mang et al., 2016; D.

Mang et al., 2016), many factors could influence the body mass in the mutant lines in addition to

gustation. To directly see the effects of Gr knockouts on feeding, we conducted 1.5 h short-term

feeding assay using artificial diets and mulberry leaves. When feeding on leaves, the larvae must

recognize host leaves by MP to initiate feeding (the first step of the host acceptance system) (Tsuneto

et al., 2020). This step can be skipped when feeding artificial diets with abundant sugars on their

surface are available. The feeding amount of artificial diets by BmGr6-KO larvae was ~85% of the WT

larvae (Fig. 4C), suggesting that the low body mass of BmGr6-KO larvae is due to the low feeding

amount of artificial diets. The feeding amount of BmGr9-KO larvae is comparable with that of WT (Fig.
4C). Metabolic factors and factors that affect long-term feeding, such as the interval of feeding, might
cause the low body mass of BmGr9-KO larvae. The feeding amounts of mulberry leaves by the two
mutant larvae were significantly lower than the WT (Fig. 4D). To directly observe the ability of host
recognition, we next observed test bites toward mulberry leaves. Only 33% and 35% of BmGr6-KO
and BmGr9-KO larvae made test bites within 1 min, whereas 90% of WT larvae made test bites (Fig.
4E). This low probability of test biting corresponds to those of some nonhost leaves in WT larvae
(Tsuneto et al., 2020), suggesting that the two mutant strains lose their ability to recognize mulberry
leaves. Even when BmGr6-KO and BmGr9-KO larvae were making test bites within 1 min, and their
palpation time until making a first test bite was significantly longer than that of WT larvae (Fig. 4F),
suggesting that even if mutant larvae made a test bite, they were not able to recognize mulberry
leaves immediately. These results indicate that BmGr6 and BmGr9 are essential for host recognition
in vivo.
165

BmGr6 and BmGr9 are responsible for sensing ISQ and both CGA and ISQ, respectively: *in*
vivo
We performed electrophysiological recordings from MP to test whether the two Grs are involved in
CGA and ISQ sensing *in vivo*. The MP neurons of BmGr6-KO larvae started responding to CGA from
10 aM and showed almost the same sensitivity as WT larvae (Fig. 5A and B); they did not respond to
ISQ up to 1 µM (Fig. 5A and C). This suggests that the ISQ sensitivity of BmGr6-KO larvae was $>10^{10}$-
fold lower than the MP neurons of WT larvae. By contrast, both CGA and ISQ sensitivities of BmGr9-
KO larvae were ~1,000-fold lower than those of WT larvae (Fig. 5A-C), suggesting that BmGr9 is
required for attomolar sensitivities of both CGA and ISQ. We previously reported that the β-sitosterol
sensitivity of MP neurons is at the femtomolar level (Tsuneto et al., 2020). The number of spikes
toward 10 fM β-sitosterol was comparable between WT, BmGr6-KO, and BmGr9-KO strains (Fig. S3),
suggesting that MP of the two mutant strains preserved the sensitivity to β-sitosterol. Note that
although spikes with multiple shapes derived from different MP chemosensory neurons were
expected, we did not observe such spikes but rather observed spikes that are similar to those of single
neurons. This may be due to whole MP sensilla recording. Single MP sensillum recording and other
imaging methods should be established to clarify how many chemosensory neurons respond. Taken
together, BmGr6 and BmGr9 are required for the attomolar sensitivity to ISQ, and BmGr9 is required
for attomolar sensitivity to CGA; nevertheless, BmGr6 is not involved in CGA sensing *in vivo*. Unlike
in vitro study observations in Fig. 2, these results indicate different roles of BmGr6 and BmGr9 *in vivo*.
185

Discussion
Functional unit of Grs: molecular basis of CGA and ISQ sensing
Ligand identification of insect Grs using heterologous expression systems (e.g., human cultural cells
and *Xenopus* oocytes) has often been unsuccessful and yielded little information. In the present study,

we identified some ligands of silkworm Grs. The EC_{50} value of BmGr9 to D-fructose from the present

study (aM level) is significantly lower than that previously reported by Sato *et al*. (mM level) (Sato et

al., 2011). They did not observe BmGr9 response to D-glucose, sucrose, and *myo*-inositol up to 100

mM using calcium imaging assay in the HEK293T cells (Sato et al., 2011). It is possible that

differences in Gr expression levels and calcium indicators may be responsible for the differences in

susceptibility, but further investigation is needed to fully understand the cause of this discrepancy.

Meanwhile, the CRISPR/Cas9-mediated KOs of BmGr6 and BmGr9 demonstrated that they could

confer the MP attomolar sensitivity to the mulberry compounds (Fig. 5) in partial agreement with the

results from calcium imaging using HEK293T cells (Fig. 2).

Our results confirm that single Gr can fully function as a receptor *in vitro*; nevertheless, it is evident

that heterologous expression of single Gr does not fully reconstitute its function *in vivo*. For example,

although BmGr6 served as a CGA receptor with attomolar sensitivity in HEK-293T cells (Fig. 2), the

KO of BmGr6 did not influence the MP sensitivity of CGA (Fig. 5). This is not due to functional

redundancy with other Grs, as the KO of BmGr9 resulted in a drastic decrease in CGA sensitivity (Fig.

5). It is possible that BmGr6 in MP neurons does not function as a homomeric complex but function

as a heteromeric complex by coupling with other Grs, as proposed in Ors in general and in some Grs

(Del Mármol et al., 2021; Jiao et al., 2008; Shim et al., 2015; Vosshall et al., 1999), resulting in different

ligand spectra and sensitivity from the homomeric complex. Otherwise, the difference in the

expression levels and other factors between HEK293T cells and MP neurons may affect the

contribution of BmGr6 to the CGA sensitivity in MP neurons. Another example is that both BmGr6 and

BmGr9 are indispensable for ISQ sensing with attomolar sensitivity (Fig. 5), suggesting a synergism

between BmGr6 and BmGr9 to realize the attomolar sensitivity. In this case, too, one possibility is

that the two Grs couples in a functional heteromeric complex. Altogether, our results suggest that

BmGr6 and BmGr9 function as a heteromeric complex in MP neurons. Although the heterologous

expression of single Grs significantly contributed to the screening for Grs with attomolar sensitivity to

CGA and ISQ, its utility for dissecting *in vivo* molecular gustatory systems is limited. We cannot rule

out the possibility that Grs with lower sensitivities to CGA and ISQ than BmGr6 and BmGr9 and even

those with no sensitivities *in vitro* are involved in attomolar sensing in MP by synergism with the two

Grs. To understand insect gustatory systems, it is required to decipher functional combinations of Grs

and the mechanism determining their ligand spectra.

Putative fructose and sugar Grs in the silkworm act as plant phenolics receptors

We demonstrate that BmGr6 and BmGr9 are responsible for ultrahigh-sensitive detection of CGA

and/or ISQ. The clades to which BmGr6 and BmGr9 belong are known as sugar receptor clades

(Wanner and Robertson, 2008). Nevertheless, we found that they are highly tuned to CGA and ISQ

in vitro and responded to sugars at relatively high concentrations (Fig. 2). As there was no drastic

decrease in the feeding amount of the artificial diet in BmGr6-KO and BmGr9-KO larvae in comparison

with the WT (Fig. 4C), it is unlikely that BmGr6 and BmGr9 also act as sucrose receptors in the MG.

Unfortunately, we could not provide direct evidence for that because we failed to record spikes from
sugar-sensing neurons in the MG of the N4 silkworm strains (both WT and mutants), probably
because of their small amplitudes. Our data suggest that the two Grs act as plant phenolics receptors
rather than sugar receptors in silkworm larvae's gustatory system. Phenolics are the most widely
distributed plant secondary metabolites and serve as stimulants and deterrents toward insects in
feeding and oviposition (Singh et al., 2021). Some Grs in the putative sugar and fructose receptor
clades may be receptors for plant phenolics which are responsible for host plant selection in
herbivorous insects.

The attomolar sensitivity is required for sensing leaf surface compounds in host plant
selection
The experiments using BmGr6-KO and BmGr9-KO larvae provided further insights into the host
recognition by MP. BmGr6-KO larvae lost their ability to sense ISQ but could still detect the remaining
two test bite inducers (CGA and β-sitosterol) with the same ultrahigh sensitivity as the WT larvae (Fig.
5 and S3). Their low probability of test biting toward mulberry leaves (Fig. 4) confirmed the obligate
authentication of the three compounds by MP. BmGr9-KO larvae have ~1,000-fold lower sensitivity
toward CGA and ISQ. However, they exhibited high sensitivity even at the femtomolar level (Fig. 5),
resulting in a lack of host recognition ability with high probability (Fig. 4E). This indicates that the
femtomolar sensitivity is insufficient, and the attomolar sensitivity is required to sense leaf surface
secondary compounds for host recognition. We recently showed silkworm MP's attomolar sensitivity
toward feeding deterrents, such as coumarin and strychnine nitrate (Shii et al., 2021). These ultrahigh
sensitivities underlie feeding decisions by herbivorous larvae at the leaf surface.
In summary, we identified the two silkworms Grs responsible for the ultrahigh sensitivity in MP to
sense leaf surface host phenolic compounds and recognize host leaves. Mechanistic insight into the
gustatory system in herbivorous insects, especially on a functional unit of Grs, is needed to know how
their Grs have adapted their tunings to plant secondary metabolites and their contribution to the
evolution of feeding preferences.

Material and methods
Chemicals

chemicals	source	identifer	CAS RN
chrologenic acid	Nacalai tesque	08416-14	327-97-9
isoquercetrin	Extrasynthese	1327S	482-35-9
β-sitosterol	Abcam	ab143122	83-46-5
D-glucose	Fujifilm Wako Pure Chemical Corp.	049-31165	50-99-7
D-fructose	Fujifilm Wako Pure Chemical Corp.	127-02765	57-48-7
sucrose	Fujifilm Wako Pure Chemical Corp.	196-00015	57-50-1
myo-inositol	Fujifilm Wako Pure Chemical Corp.	092-00282	87-89-8

Insect rearing

The silkworm *Bombyx mori* larvae (N4 strain and Kinshu × Showa hybrid) were reared at 25°C on an artificial diet Silkmate 2M (Nihon-Nosan Co. Ltd.) under a 12 h light–12 h dark photoperiod. The N4 larvae were used for experiments in Fig. 2, 4, 5, S3, and S4. The Kinshu × Showa larvae were used for immunostaining in Fig. 3.

Phylogenetic analysis

The lepidopteran Gr phylogeny was generated using full-length amino acid sequences of Grs from *Bombyx mori* (Guo et al., 2017), *P. xylostella* (Engsontia et al., 2014), *H. melpomene* (Briscoe et al., 2013), PxutGr1 (Ozaki et al., 2011), and PrapGr28 (Yang et al., 2021). The sequences were aligned with MAFFT v.7 (http://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/). The IQ-TREE web server (http://iqtree.cibiv.univie.ac.at/) generated the maximum-likelihood tree using the JTT+F+I+G4 model. The phylogenetic tree was visualized using iTOL (https://itol.embl.de/) (Letunic and Bork, 2021).

cDNA cloning of *Bombyx* Grs

cDNA of BmGr6, BmGr7, BmGr9, and BmGr10 were cloned into the pcDNA3.1 vector (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as reported previously (Kikuta et al., 2016; D. Mang et al., 2016). According to the nucleotide sequences reported by Guo *et al*. (Guo et al., 2017), cDNA of BmGr4, BmGr5, and BmGr8 were codon-optimized for mammalian cell expression, artificially synthesized (gBlocks®, Integrated DNA Technologies), and inserted into the EcoRV site of pcDNA3.1 vector using GeneArt® Seamless Cloning and Assembly Enzyme Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Cell culture and transfection

HEK293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM, Thermo fisher scientific) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (BioWest), 4 mM GlutaMAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 100 units/ml penicillin (Meiji Seika Pharma Co., Ltd.), and 100 mg/ml streptomycin (Meiji Seika Pharma Co., Ltd.) with 5% CO_2 at 37°C. For preparing transfection solutions, 500 µL Opti-MEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were vortexed with 4–6 µg polyethylenimine (PEI Max, Polysciences, Inc., Warrington, PA) and incubated for 5 min. Afterward, 2 µg of an expression vector was added, vortexed vigorously, and incubated for 20 min. Approximately 50%–70% confluent HEK293T cells were seeded on a coverslip in a six-well plate and transfected with the transfection solution for 4 h with 5% CO_2 at 37°C. The media was replaced with DMEM, and the cells were incubated for 48 h.

Calcium imaging

For preparing the loading solution of Ca^{2+} indicator Fluo-4 AM (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 3 µL of 1 mM Fluo-4 AM (stock solution in DMSO) and 30 µL of PowerLoad Concentrate (100X, Thermo Fisher Scientific) were vortexed vigorously. The mixture (5 µL) was added into a six-well plate containing 500 µL Hank's buffered saline solution (HBSS, 137 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 0.3 mM

Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 0.4 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$, 4.2 mM NaHCO$_3$, 1.3 mM CaCl$_2$, 0.5 mM MgCl$_2$, and 0.4 mM MgSO$_4$, pH
7.4) incubated for 10 min at 37°C (final conc. ~1 µM Fluo4-AM and ~1X PowerLoad). After loading,
the solution was replaced with HBSS containing 0.75 mM Probenecid (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After
1 h incubation, the cells were used for calcium imaging experiments.

Calcium imaging was performed with a flow system under the fluorescence microscope BX53
(Olympus) equipped with a MetaView imaging system (Molecular Devices). A coverslip on which
transfected cells were seeded was inverted and put on a self-manufactured chamber with a 5-mm-
wide flow channel. The HBSS buffer was superfused at a proper flow rate in a silicon tube with
peristaltic pumps (PERISTA pump, ATTO). 200 µL of ligand solution was loaded into the tube with
small bubbles back and forth to separate it from HBSS. CGA (Nacalai tesque, Kyoto, Japan), ISQ
(Extrasynthese, Lyon, France), D-glucose, D-fructose, sucrose, and *myo*-inositol (Fujifilm Wako Pure
Chemical Corp., Osaka, Japan) were dissolved and serially-diluted in HBSS at time of use. β-sitosterol
(Abcam, Cambridge, UK) was dissolved in a 45% solution of 2-hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin at 37°C
to prepare stable aqueous solutions. The time-lapsed fluorescence images (1 Hz) were processed
using Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012), and the fluorescent ratio (ΔF/F) was calculated as peak intensity
divided by basal intensity (mean of 10 flames before ligand perfusion). The EC$_{50}$ value was calculated
by fitting using the four-parameter logistic model (Prism ver.8, Graph-Pad).

Immunostaining
The heads of the fifth instar larvae (Kinshu × Showa hybrid) were fixed with 4%
paraformaldehyde/phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$,
1.8 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$, pH 7.4) overnight at 4°C. The fixed heads were dissected, and the maxilla was
collected and immersed into a cryoprotection solution (10% sucrose/PBS at room temperature (RT)
for 3 h; 20% sucrose/PBS at 4°C overnight; 30% sucrose/PBS at 4°C overnight), embedded in Tissue-
Tek O.C.T. Compound (Sakura Finetek Japan Co., Ltd) and stored at −80°C. The embedded
specimens were sectioned at 14 µm thickness via cryostat (CM3050S, Leica, Wetzlar, Germany),
mounted on the coated slide glasses (MAS-03, Matsunami Glass, Osaka, Japan), and air dried at RT
for a day. After 5 min washes with Tris-NaCl-Tween buffer (TNT; 0.15 M Tris-HCl, 0.01 M NaCl, 0.05%
Tween20), the sections were permeabilized with 0.1% TritonX-100/TNT for 5–10 min, blocked with
2% bovine serum albumin (BSA)/TNT for 30 min, and incubated with primary antiserum raised
elsewhere (Mang et al., 2016; D. Mang et al., 2016) (anti-BmGr6 and anti-BmGr9 antisera in 2%
BSA/TNT at a 1:100 dilution) for 12 h at 4°C. The specificities of these antisera were confirmed by
testing cross-reactivity of closely related BmGr proteins (Mang et al., 2016; D. Mang et al., 2016).
After three 5 min washes with TNT, the sections were incubated in a secondary antiserum (an
antimouse IgG conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488, Molecular Probes, USA), at a 1:1,000 dilution in TNT
for 12 h at 4°C. After three TNT washes for 5 min, the sections were counterstained with 4, 6-
diamidino-2-phenylindole (l mg/mL, Sigma Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany) for 5 min at RT. After 5 min

of TNT wash, the sections were mounted in 90% glycerol/PBS containing 1, 4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]
octane at RT and observed under fluorescence microscopy (BX53, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

Generation of Gr-KO silkworm strains using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing
We used the Alt-R® CRISPR-Cas9 system (Integrated DNA Technologies) to generate silkworm
strains carrying null mutations in *BmGr6* or *BmGr9* genes. CRISPRdirect (Naito et al., 2015) identified
unique target sequences for guide RNAs using *Bombyx mori* genome assembly as a reference. The
off-target search against silkworm genomic sequence confirmed that the designed sgRNAs were
highly specific to the target sequences and had only one match (no predicted off-target sites) in
20mer+PAM and 12mer+PAM search. Therefore, we consider that do not cause off-target effects
theoretically. Alt-R® crRNAs (for BmGr6: 5′-GTATTCGGCGGCGCTGGGAA_TGG_-3′; for BmGr9, 5′-
CTGGTGGCGGAGATAGGAGT_GGG_-3′), tracrRNA, and S.p. Cas9 Nuclease V3 were purchased
from Integrated DNA Technologies. CRISPR-Cas9 ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes containing 10
μM crRNA:tracrRNA duplex and 300 ng/μL Cas9 enzyme were prepared according to a user-
submitted protocol entitled "CRISPR-Cas9 RNP delivery—*B. tryoni* microinjection—A Choo" on the
Integrated DNA Technologies website. Newly laid eggs of the WT N4 strain were aligned and glued
onto a sliding glass, and RNP complexes were injected using IM 300 Microinjector (NARISHIGE)
within 5–8 h after oviposition. Generation 0 (G_0) moths were crossed with WT moths. To identify
Generation 1 (G_1) broods carrying a heterozygous Cas9-induced mutation, genomic DNA extracted
from ~10 G_1 eggs were used for the T7 Endonuclease I (T7EI) assay with Alt-R® Genome Editing
Detection Kit (Integrated DNA Technologies). T7EI assay-positive G_1 moths were subjected to sibling
mating or crossed with WT moths. Mutations were identified by DNA sequencing of genomic PCR
(gPCR) products. Mutant strains carrying a homozygous null mutation were generated by
intercrossing G_2 or G_3 moths.

Feeding behavior assays
The feeding behavior assays were performed as described previously (Tsuneto et al., 2020). For
feeding assay, three to five fifth instar larvae (Day 0) were put on individual Petri dishes, and the larval
mass before and after 1.5 h feeding was measured. An increase in larval mass was regarded as the
amount of food intake because no feces were observed after feeding. We adopted an increase in
body mass (%, w/w) as the index of food intake because a significant correlation between the amount
of food intake and the original body mass was observed via Pearson's correlation analysis (Fig. S4).
Mulberry leaves were collected around the campus of the Tokyo University of Agriculture and
Technology (Koganei, Tokyo, Japan) and stored at 4°C. To observe test bites, videos of silkworm
feeding on leaves were captured by a D5100 camera (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a telephoto
lens AF-S DX Micro Nikkor 85 mm (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). The larvae were used 24–48 h after molting
to the fifth instar. To prevent nonspecific biting due to starvation, all larvae were fed on artificial diets
for 2 min before use.

Tip recording

Tip recording was performed as described previously (Tsuneto et al., 2020). In brief, the heads of
the fifth instar larvae (Day 0) were excised and used for further experiments within ~20 min. The
whole sensilla of MP were capped with a glass capillary (Erma Inc., Tokyo, Japan) filled with a
tastant solution dissolved in 5 mM NaCl using a micromanipulator (NARISHIGE, Tokyo, Japan). The
interval of stimulation was >1 min. When we observe desensitization in high concentration, we do
not include the data and test other larvae. We wash the silver wire to remove extraneous matter
especially after using high-concentrated solution. To confirm the silver wire is clean, we always test
response to 5 mM NaCl first before testing stimulants. We prepared taste solutions and their serial
dilution at time of use from powders (CGA and ISQ) and β-sitosterol stock solution (stored at -
20 °C). Electrical signals were amplified by a TastePROBE amplifier (Syntech, Hilversum, The
Netherlands), recorded via PowerLab 2/25 (AD Instruments, Sydney, Australia), and analyzed by
the LabChart software (AD Instruments). Spikes were counted from 0.1 to 1.1 s after the onset of
stimulation using custom codes written in MATLAB (Mathworks). Thresholds for counting spikes
were set from 0.3 to 1 mV depending on the baseline amplitude.

Acknowledgments

389 The authors thank Shingo Kikuta (Ibaraki University) and Masataka G. Suzuki (The University of
390 Tokyo) for technical assistance in calcium imaging at an early stage of this study and for establishing
391 the CRISPR-Cas9 system. This research was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of
392 Science (JSPS) KAKENHI (Grant Number 17K19261 for RS; 18J00733 for HE).

Author contributions

395 H.E., K.T., and R.S. designed the research; H.E. and K.T. performed calcium imaging and
396 electrophysiological recording studies; K.T. performed immunostaining and behavioral experiments;
397 H.E., T.Y., and D.M. generated knockout mutants under the supervision of K.I. and S.N. H.E. wrote
398 the manuscript with intellectual inputs from all authors.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

Asaoka. 2003. Ultrastructure of maxillary sensilla in the silkworm, Bombyx mori: differences among
strains? *J Insect Biotechnol Sericology*.
Briscoe AD, Macias-Muñoz A, Kozak KM, Walters JR, Yuan F, Jamie GA, Martin SH,
Dasmahapatra KK, Ferguson LC, Mallet J, Jacquin-Joly E, Jiggins CD. 2013. Female

408   behaviour drives expression and evolution of gustatory receptors in butterflies. *PLoS Genet*
409   **9**:e1003620. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003620

410   Chapman RF. 2003. Contact chemoreception in feeding by phytophagous insects. *Annu Rev*
411   *Entomol* **48**:455–484. doi:10.1146/annurev.ento.48.091801.112629

412   Chapman RF, Bernays EA. 1989. Insect behavior at the leaf surface and learning as aspects of host
413   plant selection. *Experientia* **45**:215–222. doi:10.1007/BF01951806

414   Cheng D, Mulder PPJ, van der Meijden E, Klinkhamer PGL, Vrieling K. 2017. The correlation
415   between leaf-surface and leaf-tissue secondary metabolites: a case study with pyrrolizidine
416   alkaloids in Jacobaea hybrid plants. *Metabolomics* **13**:47. doi:10.1007/s11306-017-1184-0

417   Chun MW. 1972. Dynamics of Feeding Responses in Pieris brassicae Linn. as a Function of
418   Chemosensory Input: A Behavioural, Ultrastructural and Electrophysiological Study. Ann
419   Arbor, United States: Wageningen University and Research.

420   Del Mármol J, Yedlin MA, Ruta V. 2021. The structural basis of odorant recognition in insect
421   olfactory receptors. *Nature*. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03794-8

422   Devitt BD, Smith JJB. 1985. ACTION OF MOUTHPARTS DURING FEEDING IN THE DARK-SIDED
423   CUTWORM, EUXOA MESSORIA (LEPIDOPTERA: NOCTUIDAE). *Can Entomol* **117**:343–
424   349. doi:10.4039/Ent117343-3

425   Engsontia P, Sangket U, Chotigeat W, Satasook C. 2014. Molecular evolution of the odorant and
426   gustatory receptor genes in lepidopteran insects: implications for their adaptation and
427   speciation. *J Mol Evol* **79**:21–39. doi:10.1007/s00239-014-9633-0

428   Fraenkel GS. 1959. The Raison d'Être of Secondary Plant Substances. *Science* **129**:1466–1470.
429   doi:10.1126/science.129.3361.1466

430   Guo H, Cheng T, Chen Z, Jiang L, Guo Y, Liu J, Li S, Taniai K, Asaoka K, Kadono-Okuda K,
431   Arunkumar KP, Wu J, Kishino H, Zhang H, Seth RK, Gopinathan KP, Montagné N, Jacquin-
432   Joly E, Goldsmith MR, Xia Q, Mita K. 2017. Expression map of a complete set of gustatory
433   receptor genes in chemosensory organs of Bombyx mori. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* **82**:74–82.
434   doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2017.02.001

435   Heidel-Fischer HM, Vogel H. 2015. Molecular mechanisms of insect adaptation to plant secondary
436   compounds. *Curr Opin Insect Sci* **8**:8–14. doi:10.1016/j.cois.2015.02.004

437   Jiao Y, Moon SJ, Wang X, Ren Q, Montell C. 2008. Gr64f is required in combination with other
438   gustatory receptors for sugar detection in Drosophila. *Curr Biol* **18**:1797–1801.
439   doi:10.1016/j.cub.2008.10.009

440   Kasubuchi M, Shii F, Tsuneto K, Yamagishi T, Adegawa S, Endo H, Sato R. 2018. Insect taste
441   receptors relevant to host identification by recognition of secondary metabolite patterns of
442   non-host plants. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **499**:901–906.
443   doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2018.04.014

Kikuta S, Endo H, Tomita N, Takada T, Morita C, Asaoka K, Sato R. 2016. Characterization of a
ligand-gated cation channel based on an inositol receptor in the silkworm, Bombyx mori.
Insect Biochem Mol Biol **74**:12–20. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2016.04.010

Letunic I, Bork P. 2021. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree
display and annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**:W293–W296. doi:10.1093/nar/gkab301

Liu X-L, Zhang J, Yan Q, Miao C-L, Han W-K, Hou W, Yang K, Hansson BS, Peng Y-C, Guo J-M,
Xu H, Wang C-Z, Dong S-L, Knaden M. 2020. The Molecular Basis of Host Selection in a
Crucifer-Specialized Moth. *Curr Biol* **30**:4476-4482.e5. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2020.08.047

Mang D, Shu M, Endo H, Yoshizawa Y, Nagata S, Kikuta S, Sato R. 2016. Expression of a sugar
clade gustatory receptor, BmGr6, in the oral sensory organs, midgut, and central nervous
system of larvae of the silkworm Bombyx mori. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* **70**:85–98.
doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2015.12.008

Mang, Shu, Tanaka, Nagata, Takada. 2016. Expression of the fructose receptor BmGr9 and its
involvement in the promotion of feeding, suggested by its co-expression with neuropeptide
F1 in Bombyx …. *Insect Biochem*.

Naito Y, Hino K, Bono H, Ui-Tei K. 2015. CRISPRdirect: software for designing CRISPR/Cas guide
RNA with reduced off-target sites. *Bioinformatics* **31**:1120–1123.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu743

Ozaki K, Ryuda M, Yamada A, Utoguchi A, Ishimoto H, Calas D, Marion-Poll F, Tanimura T,
Yoshikawa H. 2011. A gustatory receptor involved in host plant recognition for oviposition of
a swallowtail butterfly. *Nat Commun* **2**:542. doi:10.1038/ncomms1548

Renwick JAA. 1989. Chemical ecology of oviposition in phytophagous insects. *Experientia* **45**:223–
228. doi:10.1007/BF01951807

Renwick JAA, Lopez K. 1999. Experience‐based food consumption by larvae of Pieris rapae :
addiction to glucosinolates? *Entomol Exp Appl* **91**:51–58. doi:10.1046/j.1570-
7458.1999.00465.x

Sato K, Tanaka K, Touhara K. 2011. Sugar-regulated cation channel formed by an insect gustatory
receptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**:11680–11685. doi:10.1073/pnas.1019622108

Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C,
Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez J-Y, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P,
Cardona A. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods*
9:676–682. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019

Shii F, Mang D, Kasubuchi M, Tsuneto K, Toyama T, Endo H, Sasaki K, Sato R. 2021.
Ultrasensitive detection by maxillary palp neurons allows non-host recognition without
consumption of harmful allelochemicals. *J Insect Physiol* **132**:104263.
doi:10.1016/j.jinsphys.2021.104263

480 Shim J, Lee Y, Jeong YT, Kim Y, Lee MG, Montell C, Moon SJ. 2015. The full repertoire of
481   Drosophila gustatory receptors for detecting an aversive compound. *Nat Commun* **6**:8867.
482   doi:10.1038/ncomms9867

483 Simon J-C, d'Alençon E, Guy E, Jacquin-Joly E, Jaquiéry J, Nouhaud P, Peccoud J, Sugio A, Streiff
484   R. 2015. Genomics of adaptation to host-plants in herbivorous insects. *Brief Funct Genomics*
485   **14**:413–423. doi:10.1093/bfgp/elv015

486 Singh S, Kaur I, Kariyat R. 2021. The Multifunctional Roles of Polyphenols in Plant-Herbivore
487   Interactions. *Int J Mol Sci* **22**. doi:10.3390/ijms22031442

488 Tsuneto K, Endo H, Shii F, Sasaki K, Nagata S, Sato R. 2020. Diet choice: The two-factor host
489   acceptance system of silkworm larvae. *PLoS Biol* **18**:e3000828.
490   doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3000828

491 Vosshall LB, Amrein H, Morozov PS, Rzhetsky A, Axel R. 1999. A spatial map of olfactory receptor
492   expression in the Drosophila antenna. *Cell* **96**:725–736. doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80582-
493   6

494 Wanner KW, Robertson HM. 2008. The gustatory receptor family in the silkworm moth Bombyx mori
495   is characterized by a large expansion of a single lineage of putative bitter receptors. *Insect*
496   *Mol Biol* **17**:621–629. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2583.2008.00836.x

497 War AR, Paulraj MG, Ahmad T, Buhroo AA, Hussain B, Ignacimuthu S, Sharma HC. 2012.
498   Mechanisms of plant defense against insect herbivores. *Plant Signal Behav* **7**:1306–1320.
499   doi:10.4161/psb.21663

500 Yang J, Guo H, Jiang N-J, Tang R, Li G-C, Huang L-Q, van Loon JJA, Wang C-Z. 2021.
501   Identification of a gustatory receptor tuned to sinigrin in the cabbage butterfly Pieris rapae.
502   *PLoS Genet* **17**:e1009527. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1009527

503 Yang K, Gong X-L, Li G-C, Huang L-Q, Ning C, Wang C-Z. 2020. A gustatory receptor tuned to the
504   steroid plant hormone brassinolide in Plutella xylostella (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). *Elife* **9**.
505   doi:10.7554/eLife.64114

Fig. 1. Phylogeny of the gustatory receptors in some lepidopteran insects. The combination of
gustatory receptors and plant secondary metabolites as their ligands were highlighted with the same
colors as reported in previous studies [12,14,16,17]. Bm: *B. mori* (Guo et al., 2017); Pxyl: *P. xylostella*
(Engsontia et al., 2014); Hmel: *H. melpomene* (Briscoe et al., 2013).

Fig. 2. Calcium imaging of BmGrs-expressing HEK293T cells. (A) The response of BmGr-
expressing cells to 100 aM chlorogenic acid (CGA) and isoquercitrin (ISQ). The representative cell
images before (pre) and after (stim) stimulation. Fluorescent intensity is color-coded according to the
scale bar. (B and C) Time course of responses ($\Delta F/F$) toward 100 aM CGA (B) and ISQ (C) (n = 30).
The arrowheads indicate the timing of the ligand application. (D and E) Dose-dependent responses
of BmGr6, BmGr8, BmGr9, and BmGr10 toward CGA (D) and ISQ (E). (F and G) Dose-dependent
responses of BmGr6 (F) and BmGr9 (G) toward CGA, ISQ, and sugars (B–G). Data are represented
as the mean ± SEM.

523
524
525 **Fig. 3. Immunostaining using maxillary palp (MP) BmGr6 and BmGr9 antibodies.** (A and B)
526 Image (A) and schematic diagram (B) of the maxilla of a silkworm larva. (C and D) Expression of
527 BmGr6 (C) and BmGr9 (D). Arrows indicate somas of immunoreactive sensory neurons. The areas
528 surrounded by broken white lines indicate the autofluorescence of the cuticle. Yellow broken lines
529 indicate the border between MP's second and third segments. Scale bar: 25 μm.

A

BmGr6 genome

sgRNA

5'   3'

exon 5

BmGr6KO ATTTTCTTCCGTATTCGGCGGCGCTG AATTTGTACAATTACCTGTGTTACCATTCTTC GAATGGTAACACAGG
WT   ATTTTCTTCCGTATTCGGCGGCGCTGG - GAATGGTAACACAGG

<u>target sequence</u>   **1 bp substitution + 31 bp insertion**   PAM

BmGr9 genome

sgRNA

5'   3'

exon 3   **1 bp substitution + 7 bp deletion**

BmGr9KO TAATTTGCACGATATATGGGCTGGTGGC AGAG - - - - - - - TGGGAGTGGAGAAGTCGGTGCGCATGTCCTCGCG
WT   TAATTTGCACGATATATGGGCTGGTGGC GGAGATAGGAG TGGGAGTGGAGAAGTCGGTGCGCATGTCCTCGCG

<u>target sequence</u>   PAM

B

C artificial diet

D mulberry leaves

E mulberry leaves

F mulberry leaves

Fig. 4. Generation and characterization of BmGr6-KO and BmGr9-KO larvae. (A) Gene structures,
sgRNA target sites, including the adjacent protospacer motif (PAM), and obtained CRISPR/Cas9-
mediated mutations of *BmGr6* and *BmGr9*. Gene structures were drawn using Exon-Intron Graphic
Maker (http://wormweb.org/exonintron). (B) The body mass of freshly molted larvae (Day 0 of the fifth
instar) (n = 140–175). (C and D) The increase in larval body mass after 1.5 h feeding of artificial diets
(C) (n = 75–117) and mulberry leaves (D) (n = 60). (E) The proportion of larvae showing test biting
toward mulberry leaves. The biting of ten larvae was observed for one minute. Experiments were
repeated as independent biological replicates (n = 7–11). (F) Palpation time until the first bite toward
mulberry leaves (n = 23–99). Data are represented as the mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis was
performed by one-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey post hoc test. "ns" indicates no significant
difference; an asterisk indicates a significant difference (**$P < 0.01$; ****$P < 0.0001$).

Fig. 5. Electrophysiological recordings of the maxillary palp (MP) in BmGr6-KO and BmGr9-KO larvae. The representative traces (A) and frequencies of action potentials from the whole MP sensilla in response to chlorogenic acid (CGA) (B) and isoquercitrin (ISQ) (C). Spikes were counted from 0.1 to 1.1 s after the onset of stimulation. Error bars are represented as SEM (n = 6–8).

Fig. S1. Response of BmGr6- and BmGr9-expressing cells toward β-sitosterol. (A) The

representative cell images before (pre) and after (stim) stimulation by 2 μM β-sitosterol. Fluorescent

intensity is color-coded according to the scale bar. (B) Time course of responses (ΔF/F) toward 2 μM

β-sitosterol (n = 30). The arrowhead indicates the timing of the ligand application.

Fig. S2. Deduced amino acid sequences of truncated BmGr6 (A) and BmGr9 (B) in knockout

larvae. The sequences were aligned using CLC Sequence Viewer 8 (CLC Bio, Aarhus, Denmark).

Fig. S3. Response of the maxillary palp (MP) in BmGr6-KO and BmGr9-KO larvae toward β-sitosterol. The representative traces (A) and frequencies of action potentials from the whole MP sensilla in response to 10 fM β-sitosterol (B). Spikes were counted from 0.1 to 1.1 s after the onset of stimulation. Data are represented as the mean ± SEM (n = 3). Statistical analysis was performed via one-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey post hoc test. "ns" indicates no significant difference.

563 **Fig. S4. Correlation between original body mass and feeding amount.** Correlation coefficient (r)

564 using Pearson correlation analysis (n = 80).

565 **Table S1. EC$_{50}$ values of BmGrs in response to plant secondary metabolites (chlorogenic acid**
566 **(CGA) and isoquercitrin (ISQ)) and sugars.**
567

Gr	ligand	IC50 (aM)
BmGr6	CGA	1.329
BmGr8	CGA	~ 84.55
BmGr9	CGA	~ 3.226
BmGr10	CGA	4692479
BmGr6	ISQ	~ 0.4287
BmGr8	ISQ	~ 148.4
BmGr9	ISQ	~ 1.205
BmGr10	ISQ	4663

Gr	ligand	IC50 (M)
BmGr6	D-glucose	5.778E-13
BmGr6	D-fructose	6.993E-12
BmGr6	sucrose	3.909E-12
BmGr6	*myo*-inositol	8.378E-10
BmGr9	D-glucose	~ 1.059e-015
BmGr9	sucrose	4.198E-07
BmGr9	D-fructose	~ 3.903e-018
BmGr9	*myo*-inositol	4.051E-12

568