1   **Imbalance of peptidoglycan biosynthesis alters the cell surface charge of *Listeria***
2   ***monocytogenes***
3

4   Lisa Maria Schulz[1], Patricia Rothe[3], Sven Halbedel[3], Angelika Gründling[2], Jeanine
5   Rismondo[1,2*]
6

[1] Department of General Microbiology, Institute of Microbiology and Genetics, GZMB, Georg-
August University Göttingen, Grisebachstr. 8, 37077 Göttingen, Germany
[2] Section of Molecular Microbiology and Medical Research Council Centre for Molecular
Bacteriology and Infection, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom
[3] FG11, Division of Enteropathogenic bacteria and *Legionella*, Robert Koch Institute,
Burgstraße 37, 38855 Wernigerode, Germany
13

14 * Correspondence:
15 Dr. Jeanine Rismondo
16 jrismon@gwdg.de, Tel. +49-551-3923796
17

Abstract
The bacterial cell wall is composed of a thick layer of peptidoglycan and cell wall polymers,
which are either embedded in the membrane or linked to the peptidoglycan backbone and
referred to as lipoteichoic acid (LTA) and wall teichoic acid (WTA), respectively. Modifications
of the peptidoglycan or WTA backbone can alter the susceptibility of the bacterial cell towards
cationic antimicrobials and lysozyme. The human pathogen *Listeria monocytogenes* is
intrinsically resistant towards lysozyme, mainly due to deacetylation and *O*-acetylation of the
peptidoglycan backbone via PgdA and OatA. Recent studies identified additional factors, which
contribute to the lysozyme resistance of this pathogen. One of these is the predicted ABC
transporter, EslABC. An *eslB* mutant is hyper-sensitive towards lysozyme, likely due to the
production of thinner and less *O*-acetylated peptidoglycan. Using a suppressor screen, we
show here that suppression of *eslB* phenotypes could be achieved by enhancing peptidoglycan
biosynthesis, reducing peptidoglycan hydrolysis or alterations in WTA biosynthesis and
modification. The lack of EslB also leads to a higher negative surface charge, which likely
stimulates the activity of peptidoglycan hydrolases and lysozyme. Based on our results, we
hypothesize that the portion of cell surface exposed WTA is increased in the *eslB* mutant due
to the thinner peptidoglycan layer and that latter one could be caused by an impairment in
UDP-*N*-acetylglucosamine (UDP-Glc*N*Ac) production or distribution.
36

Author summary

Listeria monocytogenes is intrinsically resistant towards the cell wall hydrolase lysozyme,

which is part of the human defense system. One of the factors, which confer lysozyme

resistance by a yet unknown mechanism, is the predicted ABC transporter EslABC. Based on

the data presented in this study, we propose that the production or distribution of UDP-Glc*N*Ac

is altered in the absence of EslB, which ultimately leads to a reduction in peptidoglycan

production and an increased negative surface charge. This negative surface charge likely

enhances the binding and activity of lysozyme and peptidoglycan hydrolases. Our study

highlights the importance of a balance between peptidoglycan and WTA biosynthesis to

maintain the cell surface charge and prevent cell lysis due to imbalanced peptidoglycan

hydrolase activity.

Introduction

In recent years, antibiotic resistance became a serious threat for public health. One of

the main targets of currently available antibiotics is the bacterial cell wall (Fig. 1). In Gram-

positive bacteria, the cell wall consists of a thick layer of peptidoglycan and cell wall polymers,

which are either tethered to the cell membrane or covalently linked to peptidoglycan and

referred to as lipoteichoic (LTA) and wall teichoic acid (WTA), respectively. Peptidoglycan

biosynthesis starts in the cytoplasm by the conversion of UDP-*N*-acetylglucosamine (UDP-

Glc*N*Ac) to UDP-*N*-acetylmuramic acid (UDP-Mur*N*Ac) via MurA and MurB. The activity of the

UDP-Glc*N*Ac 1-carboxyvinyltransferase MurA can be specifically inhibited by the antibiotic

fosfomycin (Fig. 1)(1). In the subsequent steps, which are depicted in Figure 1, the

peptidoglycan precursor lipid II is synthesized in the cytoplasm and transported across the

membrane by the flippase MurJ (2–4). Lipid II is then incorporated into the growing glycan

strand by members of the SEDS (shape, elongation, division, sporulation) protein family,

namely RodA and FtsW, which act in concert with class B penicillin binding proteins (PBPs)

that have a transpeptidase activity (5–7). Recent findings led to the conclusion that class A

PBPs, which possess a glycosyltransferase and a transpeptidase domain, are mainly involved

in filling gaps and/or repair defects in the peptidoglycan mesh, rather than being the main

enzymes involved in peptidoglycan polymerization and crosslinking (5,8,9). The ability of

bacterial cells to elongate and divide depends on the activity of peptidoglycan hydrolases. Two

DL-endopeptidase, CwlO and LytE, are essential for cell elongation in *Bacillus subtilis*. In

addition to its role in cell elongation, LytE is also involved in cell separation (10–12). Both

enzymes cleave the peptide bond between D-glutamic acid and *meso*-diamino pimelic acid of

the peptidoglycan peptide, thereby allowing the insertion of new peptidoglycan material (13).

Lack of CwlO results in cell shortening, while absence of CwlO and LytE is lethal (14,15).

Peptidoglycan biosynthesis and hydrolysis need to be tightly regulated to prevent cell lysis. In

B. subtilis, this regulation is partly achieved by controlling expression of *cwlO* and *lytE* via the

essential two-component system WalRK, whose expression is for instance induced during heat

stress (16–18). On the other hand, activity of CwlO depends on a direct protein-protein

interaction with the ABC transporter FtsEX (19). The FtsEX-dependent regulation of *B. subtilis*

CwlO further depends on the presence of two cofactors, SweC and SweD (20,21).

UDP-GlcNAc is synthesized from fructose-6-phosphate via a four-step reaction

catalyzed by GlmS, GlmM, GlmU and GlmR and serves as a substrate of MurA. Recent

findings suggest that the UDP-GlcNAc biosynthetic pathway can be inhibited by *trans*-

cinnamaldehyde (*t*-Cin) (Fig. 1)(22,23). UDP-GlcNAc is also consumed by TarO/TagO, which

catalyzes the first committed step for the synthesis of WTA (24). The activity of TarO/TagO

can be blocked by tunicamycin, which also affects the activity of MraY at high concentrations

(25–28). In *L. monocytogenes* 10403S, WTA is composed of a glucose-glucose(Glc-Glc)-

glycerol phosphate-GlcNAc-*N*-acetylmannosamine (ManNAc) linker unit and an anionic ribitol

phosphate backbone, which can be modified with rhamnose, GlcNAc and D-alanine residues

(29). The modification of WTA with positively charged D-alanine residues helps to mask the

negative charge of the ribitol phosphate backbone, thereby conferring resistance towards

cationic antimicrobial peptides and lysozyme (30,31).

Lysozyme is an enzyme that cleaves the β-1,4-glycosidic bond between MurNAc and

GlcNAc of the bacterial peptidoglycan backbone and is found in human body fluids such as

tears, saliva and mucus. *L. monocytogenes* is intrinsically resistant towards lysozyme, which

is mainly achieved by modifications of the peptidoglycan. PgdA, an *N*-deacetylase, and OatA,

an *O*-acetyltransferase, deacetylate and acetylate the GlcNAc and MurNAc residues,

respectively (32,33). In addition to peptidoglycan modifying enzymes, lysozyme resistance of

L. monocytogenes is affected by the activity of the predicted carboxypeptidase PbpX, the non-

coding RNA Rli31 and the transcription factor DegU (34). Interestingly, it was also been

observed that the lack of components of the putative ABC transporter EslABC leads to a strong

reduction in lysozyme resistance (34–36). Recently, we could show that the absence of EslB,

one of the transmembrane proteins of the EslABC transporter, resulted in the production of a

thinner peptidoglycan layer and a reduction in *O*-acetylation of the peptidoglycan, which likely

contributes to the reduced lysozyme resistance. Additionally, we observed that the *eslB* mutant

is unable to grow in media containing high sugar concentrations and that the strain has a cell

division defect (21,36).

In the current study, we used a suppressor screen to gain further insights into the role

of EslABC on the physiology of *L. monocytogenes*. This screen revealed that phenotypes of

the *eslB* mutant can either be suppressed by enhancing peptidoglycan biosynthesis, reducing

peptidoglycan hydrolysis or by altering WTA production or modification. Using a cytochrome C

assay, we further demonstrate that the lack of EslB manifests in a higher negative surface

charge, which likely affects the activity of peptidoglycan hydrolases and provides an additional
explanation for the increased lysozyme sensitivity of the *eslB* mutant.

Results

Δ***eslB* phenotypes are restored in the presence of excess Mg^{2+}**

The *eslABCR* operon encodes the putative ABC transporter EslABC and the RpiR
transcriptional regulator EslR. Absence of the transmembrane component EslB leads to a
multitude of phenotypes including a cell division defect, decreased lysozyme resistance, the
production of a thinner cell wall and a growth defect in media containing high sugar
concentrations (36). In addition, we observed that the growth of the *eslB* mutant is severely
affected when grown on BHI plates at 42°C (Fig. 3A). So far, the cellular function of the
transporter EslABC and how it is mechanistically linked to cell division and cell wall
biosynthesis in *L. monocytogenes* is unknown. Generally, many cell wall defects can be
rescued by the addition of Mg^{2+}, however, the reason for this is still debated. Recently, it was
speculated that binding of Mg^{2+} to the cell wall inhibits peptidoglycan hydrolases and thereby
stabilizes the bacterial cell wall (37). As the phenotypic defects of the *eslB* mutant suggest that
peptidoglycan biosynthesis might be impaired, we speculated that the addition of Mg^{2+} should
rescue the growth of this strain. To test this hypothesis, the *L. monocytogenes* wildtype
10403S, the Δ*eslB* mutant and the Δ*eslB* complementation strain were grown on BHI plates
containing sucrose and penicillin, lysozyme or were incubated at 42°C in the absence or
presence of 20 mM MgCl$_2$. The addition of Mg^{2+} could restore the growth of the Δ*eslB* mutant
under all conditions tested (Fig. S1), further supporting the hypothesis that peptidoglycan
biosynthesis is impaired in *L. monocytogenes* cells lacking EslB.

Resistance profile of the *eslB* mutant towards cell wall-targeting antibiotics

To narrow down which point of the peptidoglycan biosynthesis pathway is impaired in
the *eslB* mutant, we performed disk diffusion assays with antibiotics that target different steps
of this pathway (Fig. 1). Compared to the wildtype, the *eslB* mutant was more sensitive towards
t-Cin and fosfomycin (Fig. 2), which target the UDP-Glc*N*Ac biosynthetic pathway and MurA,
respectively (22,23,38). In contrast, the resistance towards D-cycloserine, nisin, vancomycin,
moenomycin, penicillin, ampicillin and bacitracin (Fig. 1), which target processes downstream
of MurA, was not altered in the *eslB* mutant (Fig. S2). The *eslB* mutant was more resistant
towards tunicamycin, whose main target at low concentrations is TarO, the first enzyme
functioning in the WTA biosynthesis pathway. As we have seen above, the inhibition of WTA
biosynthesis seems to be beneficial for the *eslB* mutant likely due to an increased flux of UDP-
Glc*N*Ac towards peptidoglycan biosynthesis. This antibiotic screen therefore suggests that one
of the limiting factors of the *eslB* mutant might be the synthesis or correct distribution of UDP-

GlcNAc, a precursor, which is used for both peptidoglycan biosynthesis and the synthesis and
modification of WTA. This hypothesis is supported by the observation that overproduction of
GlmM and GlmR, two proteins involved in the production of UDP-GlcNAc, can partially
suppress the *eslB* phenotypes (Fig. S3).
152
Isolation of *eslB* suppressor mutants
To gain insights into the function of EslABC, we took advantage of the observation that
the *eslB* mutant forms suppressors when grown on BHI plates that contain either 100 µg ml^{-1}
lysozyme, or 0.5 M sucrose and 0.025 or 0.05 µg ml^{-1} penicillin. Genomic alterations present
in independently isolated *eslB* suppressors were determined by whole genome sequencing. A
large subset of *eslB* suppressors had mutations in *walR* (*lmo0287*) or *walK* (*lmo0288*), which
encode the WalRK two-component system that is involved in cell wall metabolism (17,39). In
addition, we identified mutations that mapped to genes associated with peptidoglycan
biosynthesis (*murZ* (*lmo2552*), *reoM* (*lmo1503), prpC* (*lmo1821*), *pbpA1* (*lmo1892*)),
peptidoglycan hydrolysis (*cwlO* (*lmo2505, spl*), *ftsX* (*lmo2506*), *ftsE* (*lmo2507*)) and wall
teichoic acid (WTA) biosynthesis and modification (*tarL* (*lmo1077*), *dltX* (promoter region,
lmrg_02074)) (Table 1).
165

166   **Table 1: Identified sequence alterations in *L. monocytogenes eslB* deletion strains and suppressors.**

Strain number	Reference position[1]	Type[2]	Ref[3]	Allele[4]	Frequency[5]	Annotations[6]	AA change[7]	Condition[8]
ANG4275 10403SΔ*eslB*(1)	2425786-2425875	DEL			100%	*lmo2396*, internalin	30 aa deletion	
ANG5386	2583855	DEL	T	-	100%	*murZ*, UDP-*N*-acetylglucosamine 1-carboxyvinyltransferase	M240fs	P
ANG5479	310043	SNV	G	A	100%	*walK*, histidine kinase of TCS WalRK	R553H	P
ANG5480	309234	SNV	C	G	100%	*walK*, histidine kinase of TCS WalRK	N283E	P
ANG5488	2537835	SNV	C	G	100%	*ftsX,* membrane component of ABC transporter FtsEX	G243R	P
ANG5489	310094	SNV	C	T	100%	*walK*, histidine kinase of TCS WalRK	A570V	P
ANG5499	1491251	DEL	T	-	100%	*reoM*, regulator of MurA degradation	L23fs	P
ANG5662 10403SΔ*eslB*(2)	–							
ANG5698	2582654	DEL	G	-	100%	*murZ*, UDP-*N*-acetylglucosamine 1-carboxyvinyltransferase	Q307fs	P
ANG5699	310133	SNV	T	C	97.4%	*walK*, histidine kinase of TCS WalRK	I583T	P
ANG5708	1852252	SNV	G	A	100%	*prpC*, serine threonine phosphatase	P159L	P
ANG5710	2537273	SNV	C	T	98%	*cwlO*, peptidoglycan DL-endopeptidase	R106H	P
ANG5714	2538568	SNV	G	A	98.4%	*ftsE*, ATP binding protein of ABC transporter FtsEX	Q220-	P
ANG5733	308133	SNV	A	G	100%	*walR*, response regulator of TCS WalRK	S216G	L
ANG5734	309772	SNV	C	G	100%	*walK*, histidine kinase of TCS WalRK	H463D	L
ANG5737	309085	SNV	G	T	100%	*walK*, histidine kinase of TCS WalRK	V234L	L
ANG5741	309824	SNV	G	A	100%	*walK*, histidine kinase of TCS WalRK	R480H	L
ANG5685	–							

10403SΔ*eslB*(3)

ANG5717	1921373	SNV	G	A	100%	*pbpA1*, bifunctional penicillin binding protein	G125D	P
ANG5729	1090622	SNV	C	T	100%	*tarL,* teichoic acid ribitol-phosphate polymerase	P282L	P
ANG5746	988580	SNV	T	C	100%	Promoter of *dlt* operon, involved in D-alanylation of wall teichoic and lipoteichoic acids	-	L

[1] Reference position is based on the position in the *L. monocytogenes* 10403S reference genome (NC_01744).

[2] Type of mutation: SNV = single nucleotide variant; DEL = nucleotide deletion.

[3] Ref indicates base in reference genome.

[4] Allele indicates base at the same position in the sequenced strain.

[5] Frequency at which the base change was found in the sequenced strain.

[6] AA change indicates the resulting amino acid change in the protein found in the reference strains as compared to the sequenced strain.

[7] TCS = Two-component system

[8] Condition, which was used to raise suppressors. L = BHI plates containing 100 µg/ml lysozyme. P = BHI plates containing 0.5 M sucrose and 0.025 or 0.05 µg/ml
penicillin.

Suppression of *eslB* phenotypes by increased MurA levels

Under sucrose penicillin stress, two *eslB* suppressors with mutations in *murZ* and one *eslB* suppressor with a mutation in *reoM* or *prpC* were isolated. The proteins encoded by all three of these genes affect MurA protein levels (40,41). Drop dilution assays were performed to assess, whether mutations in *murZ*, *reoM* and *prpC* suppress the growth defect of the *eslB* mutant in the presence of sucrose and penicillin as well as other conditions under which the growth of the *eslB* mutant is severely affected. As expected, the growth of the *L. monocytogenes* strains 10403SΔ*eslB*$_{(1)}$ *murZ*^{M240fs}, 10403SΔ*eslB*$_{(2)}$ *murZ*^{Q307fs}, 10403SΔ*eslB*$_{(1)}$ *reoM*^{K23fs} and 10403SΔ*eslB*$_{(2)}$ *prpC*^{P159L} on BHI plates containing sucrose and penicillin is comparable to the wildtype strain 10403S. The suppressors with mutations in *murZ*, *reoM* and *prpC* also grew like wild-type on BHI plates containing lysozyme as well as on BHI plates that were incubated at 42°C (Fig. 3A, data not shown).

MurZ is a homolog of the UDP-*N*-acetylglucosamine 1-carboxyvinyltransferase MurA, which is required for the first step of peptidoglycan biosynthesis (Fig. 1)(42,43). While MurA is essential for the growth of *L. monocytogenes*, the deletion of *murZ* is possible and results in the stabilization of MurA (44). MurA is a substrate of the ClpCP protease and a recent study showed that ReoM is required for the ClpCP-dependent proteolytic degradation of MurA in *L. monocytogenes* (40,44). The activity of ReoM is controlled by the serine/threonine kinase PrkA and the cognate phosphatase PrpC. The phosphorylated form of ReoM stimulates peptidoglycan biosynthesis by preventing ClpCP-dependent degradation of MurA, while MurA degradation is enhanced in the presence of non-phosphorylated ReoM (40,41). The identified mutations in *murZ* and *reoM* in our suppressor strains lead to frameshifts, and thus to the production of inactive MurZ and ReoM proteins. It has been previously shown that MurA protein levels are increased in *murZ* and *reoM* mutants (40,44) and we thus assume that this is also the case for the *eslB* suppressor strains 10403SΔ*eslB*$_{(1)}$ *murZ*^{M240fs}, 10403SΔ*eslB*$_{(2)}$ *murZ*^{Q307fs} and 10403SΔ*eslB*$_{(1)}$ *reoM*^{K23fs}. The identified mutation in *prpC* in the suppressor strain 10403SΔ*eslB*$_{(2)}$ *prpC*^{P159L} leads to the production of a variant of PrpC, in which proline at position 159 is replaced by a leucine, however, it is currently not known whether this amino acid exchange leads to decreased or enhanced activity of PrpC. A decreased activity of PrpC would lead to accumulation of MurA (41), while enhanced activity would result in reduced MurA levels. To assess which affect the P159L mutation in *prpC* has on MurA levels, western blots were performed using a MurAA-specific antibody. Protein samples of *L. monocytogenes* strains EGD-e and EGD-e Δ*clpC* were used as controls. In accordance with previous studies, MurA accumulated in the *clpC* mutant (44). The production of the mutated PrpC variant, PrpC^{P159L}, also led to a slight accumulation of MurA in the *eslB* mutant background (Fig. 3B). Additionally, no change in MurA levels could be observed for the *eslB* mutant compared to the wildtype strain 10403S (Fig 3B). These results suggest that while the decrease in

peptidoglycan production seen in the *eslB* mutant is not caused by decreased MurA levels, the

growth deficit of the *eslB* mutant can be rescued by preventing MurA degradation.

To confirm that the suppression of the *eslB* phenotypes in the strains with mutations in

murZ, reoM or *prpC* is indeed the result of increased MurA protein levels, we integrated a

second, IPTG-inducible copy of *murA* into the genome of the *eslB* mutant. First, we determined

the resistance towards fosfomycin, which is a known inhibitor of MurA (Fig. 4A-B)(1), for the *L.*

monocytogenes wildtype and the *eslB* mutant harboring the empty plasmid pIMK3 or pIMK3-

murA in the presence of IPTG. Strain 10403S∆*eslB* pIMK3 is three-fold more sensitive to

fosfomycin as compared to the cognate wildtype strain. The induction of *murA* expression

increases the resistance of strains 10403S pIMK3-*murA* and 10403S∆*eslB* pIMK3-*murA* (Fig.

4A-B), suggesting that MurA is indeed overproduced in these strains.

Next, we assessed whether the growth phenotypes of the *eslB* mutant can be

suppressed by the overexpression of *murA*. In the presence of the inducer IPTG, the growth

of strain 10403S∆*eslB* pIMK3-*murA* was comparable to the corresponding wildtype strain

under all conditions tested (Fig. 4C). These results indicate that overproduction of MurA can

compensate for the loss of *eslB* and that the suppression of the *eslB* phenotypes in the *murZ,*

reoM and *prpC* suppressors is likely the result of increased MurA levels.

Interestingly, increased MurA production also leads to the suppression of the cell

division defect of the *eslB* mutant. Cells of the *eslB* mutant carrying the empty pIMK3 plasmid

have a cell length of 3.19±0.29 µm. In contrast, strain 10403S∆*eslB* pIMK3-*murA* produces

cells with a length of 2.27±0.03 µm, a size that is comparable to the length of *L. monocytogenes*

wildtype cells (Fig. 4D-E).

Suppression by reduction of the glycosyltransferase activity of PBP A1

Multiple enzymes are involved in the production of lipid II, the peptidoglycan precursor,

within the cytoplasm. After its transport across the membrane via MurJ, lipid II is incorporated

into the growing glycan strand by glycosyltransferases, which can either be class A penicillin

binding proteins (PBPs), monofunctional glycosyltransferases or members of the SEDS

(shape, elongation, division, sporulation) family, such as RodA and FtsW (5,45,46). *L.*

monocytogenes encodes two class A PBPs, PBP A1 and PBP A2, in its genome (47,48). One

of the *eslB* suppressor strains, ANG5717, carries a point mutation in *pbpA1* leading to the

substitution of glycine at position 125 by aspartate. Interestingly, this glycine residue is part of

the catalytic site of the glycosyltransferase domain, suggesting that the glycosyltransferase

activity of the PBP A1 variant PBP A1^{G125D} might be reduced. Drop dilution assays and

microscopic analysis revealed that the phenotypes associated with deletion of *eslB* can be

rescued by *pbpA1*^{G125D} (Fig. 5A-C). The glycosyltransferase activity of class A PBPs can be

inhibited by moenomycin (49). We thus tested whether the presence of moenomycin would

enable the growth of the *eslB* mutant under heat stress. As shown in Figure 5D, the *eslB* mutant is unable to grow at 42°C on BHI plates or BHI plates containing DMSO, which was used to dissolve moenomycin. In contrast, nearly wildtype-like growth of the *eslB* mutant was observed on BHI plates that were supplemented with moenomycin. These results suggest that growth deficits of the *eslB* mutant can be rescued by the reduction of the glycosyltransferase activity of class A PBPs.

Suppression by reducing *cwlO* expression or CwlO activity

In addition to suppressors associated with MurA and PBP A1, we isolated suppressors carrying mutations in genes that either affect the transcription of *cwlO* or the activity of CwlO. CwlO is a DL-endopeptidase, which opens the existing cell wall to allow for the insertion of new lipid II precursors during cell elongation of *B. subtilis* (14,16). In *B. subtilis*, transcription of *cwlO* is induced by WalRK in response to low DL-endopeptidase activity (16,17,50) and CwlO activity is stimulated by a direct interaction with the ABC transporter FtsEX (19). In our suppressor screen, we isolated eight *eslB* suppressor strains that carried mutations in *walK*, which were either isolated under lysozyme or sucrose penicillin stress, and four *eslB* suppressor strains that carried mutations in *walR* from which the majority was isolated in the presence of lysozyme stress. We selected *eslB* suppressors 10403SΔ*eslB*$_{(2)}$ *walR*^{S216G} and 10403SΔ*eslB*$_{(2)}$ *walK*^{H463D}, which were isolated under lysozyme stress, and 10403SΔ*eslB*$_{(2)}$ *walK*^{I583T}, which was isolated under sucrose penicillin stress, for further analysis. In addition, three suppressors with mutations were isolated in *ftsE*, *ftsX* and *cwlO* under sucrose penicillin pressure (Table 1).

Spot plating assays showed that the *eslB* suppressors with mutations in either *walR* or *walK* could overcome the growth defect of the *eslB* mutant under sucrose penicillin, lysozyme and heat stress (Fig. 6). In contrast, *eslB* suppressors harboring mutations in either *ftsE*, *ftsX* or *cwlO*, grow comparable to the wildtype under sucrose penicillin and heat stress, but showed a similar growth defect as the *eslB* mutant on plates containing lysozyme (Fig. 6A). Microscopic analysis of the *eslB* suppressors with mutations in *walR*, *walK*, *ftsE*, *ftsX* and *cwlO* revealed that these cells have a similar cell length to the *L. monocytogenes* wildtype 10403S and thus, the expression of mutated WalR, WalK, FtsE and CwlO variants could restore the cell division defect of the *eslB* mutant (Fig. 6B-C). Additionally, we observed that the cells of suppressor strains 10403SΔ*eslB*$_{(2)}$ *cwlO*^{R106H} and 10403SΔ*eslB*$_{(2)}$ *ftsE*$^{Q220-}$ were bent compared to the wildtype strain. This phenotype is characteristic for *B. subtilis cwlO* and *ftsE* mutants (19,51) suggesting that the acquired mutations in *cwlO* and *ftsE* led to inactivation or reduced activity of CwlO and FtsE in *L. monocytogenes*.

The observation that mutations accumulate in genes associated with CwlO led to the hypothesis that wildtype CwlO activity is toxic, due to the reduced peptidoglycan levels

produced by the *eslB* mutant (36). To confirm this hypothesis, an *eslB cwlO* double mutant was constructed, in which the expression of an ectopic copy of *cwlO* was placed under the control of an IPTG-inducible promoter. As expected, in the absence of the inducer, the *eslB cwlO* double mutant was able to grow on BHI plates supplemented with sucrose and penicillin. In accordance to the results obtained for the suppressors, the growth of a strain lacking both, EslB and CwlO, was still impaired in the presence of lysozyme. Furthermore, individual deletion of either *eslB* or *cwlO* resulted in a severe growth defect at higher temperatures, while the *eslB cwlO* double mutant was able to grow in the absence of IPTG at 42°C. Induction of *cwlO* expression in strain 10403S∆*cwlO* ∆*eslB* pIMK3-*cwlO* resulted in a growth defect under sucrose penicillin and under heat stress, which is comparable to that of the *eslB* mutant (Fig. 7A). Next, we studied the cell morphology of strains lacking CwlO, EslB or both. In accordance with previous studies investigating the function of CwlO in *B. subtilis* (19,51), the *L. moncytogenes cwlO* mutant formed slightly smaller, bent cells (Fig.7B-C). Cells lacking both, EslB and CwlO, were also shorter than cells of the *L. monocytogenes* wildtype 10403S, while induction of *cwlO* expression in strain 10403S∆*cwlO* ∆*eslB* pIMK3-*cwlO* resulted in the formation of elongated cells (Fig. 7B-C). Altogether, these findings support our hypothesis that the suppression of the *eslB* phenotypes in the *cwlO*, *ftsE* and *ftsX* suppressors is the result of an inactivation of the DL-endopeptidase CwlO.

Suppression by inhibition of WTA biosynthesis

In *B. subtilis,* WalRK does not only stimulate transcription of *cwlO,* but also of the *tagAB* and *tagDEFGH* operons, which encode proteins required for WTA biosynthesis and export (39). In *L. monocytogenes* 10403S, WTA is composed of a ribitol phosphate backbone, which is attached to a Glc-Glc-glycerol phosphate-Glc*N*Ac-Man*N*Ac linker unit and modified with Glc*N*Ac, rhamnose and D-alanine residues (29,30). Thus, UDP-Glc*N*Ac is consumed by several enzymes during WTA biosynthesis and modification. As mentioned above, we isolated suppressors containing mutations in *lmo1077,* encoding a TarL homolog, and the promoter region of the *dlt* operon (Table 1). TarL is a teichoic acid ribitol phosphate polymerase required for the polymerization of the ribitol phosphate backbone of WTA (Fig. 1)(30). The *dlt* operon codes for proteins that are essential for the D-alanylation of WTA (52–54). Figure 8A shows that the *eslB* mutant, which harbors a mutation in *tarL,* could grow again under sucrose penicillin and lysozyme stress, likely due to a reduction in WTA biosynthesis and potentially leading to an increase in the cellular UDP-Glc*N*Ac pool, which can then be used by MurA. However, the *tarL* suppressor is unable to grow at 42°C. A similar phenotype could be observed when the *L. monocytogenes* wildtype strain 10403S is grown at 42°C on a plate containing high concentrations of tunicamycin, which inhibits TarO, the first enzyme required for WTA biosynthesis (data not shown). This indicates that the mutated TarL variant produced

by the *eslB* suppressor strain ANG5729 has a reduced activity. To further test if inhibition of

WTA biosynthesis is indeed beneficial for the *eslB* mutant, we grew the *eslB* mutant at 42°C

on BHI plates containing different concentrations of tunicamycin. We could see partial

suppression of the heat sensitivity at a tunicamycin concentration of 0.05 μg/ml and the *eslB*

mutant grew comparable to the *L. monocytogenes* wildtype strain 10403S and the

complementation strain on plates containing 0.5 μg/ml tunicamycin (Fig. S4). These results

demonstrate that reduction of WTA biosynthesis leads to the suppression of the heat

phenotype associated of the *eslB* mutant.

Figure 8A further shows that the *eslB* suppressor strain, which harbors a mutation in the

dlt promoter region, could grow again under all conditions tested. To assess what consequence

the point mutation in the promoter region of the *dlt* operon has on gene expression, we place

the promoterless *lacZ* gene under the control of the *dlt* promoter or the mutated *dlt* promoter

found in suppressor strain ANG5746. The *lacZ* promotor gene fusions were introduced into *B.*

subtilis and β-galactosidase activities determined. This analysis showed that the mutation in

the *dlt* promoter resulted in increased promoter activity and likely increased D-alanylation of

teichoic acids in the suppressor strain (Fig. 8B). The D-alanylation state of teichoic acids is an

important factor that impacts the bacterial cell surface charge (31). As we isolated a suppressor

that increased the expression of the *dlt* operon, we wondered, whether the surface charge of

eslB mutant cells is altered. To test this, we determined the binding capability of positively

charged cytochrome C to the cell surface of different *L. monocytogenes* strains, which serves

as a readout of the bacterial surface charge (55,56). A strain lacking D-alanine residues on

LTA and WTA due to a deletion of *dltA* was used as a control. As expected, the cell surface of

the *dltA* mutant had a higher negative charge as compared to the *L. monocytogenes* wildtype

strain 10403S. A similar result was observed for the *eslB* mutant. The high negative surface

charge of the *eslB* mutant could be partially rescued by the mutation in the *dlt* promoter, which

is present in the *eslB* suppressor strain 10403SΔ*eslB* P*dlt** (Fig. 8C). This result suggests that

either the D-alanylation state of WTAs is altered in the absence of EslB or that the surface

presentation of WTA is changed due to the production of a thinner peptidoglycan layer.

Discussion

In this study, we aimed to provide further insight into the connection between the

predicted ABC transporter EslABC and peptidoglycan biosynthesis. Previous studies have

shown that the deletion of *eslB*, coding for one of transmembrane components of the

transporter, leads to a growth defect on sucrose containing media, the formation of elongated

cells, the production of a thinner peptidoglycan layer, as well as sensitivity towards the natural

antibiotic lysozyme and cationic antimicrobial peptides (34–36), suggesting its involvement in

peptidoglycan biosynthesis and cell division. It was proposed that the addition of Mg^{2+} rescues

mutants with a defect in peptidoglycan biosynthesis by reducing the activity of peptidoglycan
hydrolases (37). In accordance with this, we observed a suppression of the *eslB* growth deficits
under all conditions tested when an excess of Mg^{2+} was added. *L. monocytogenes* encodes
several peptidoglycan hydrolases, including the DL-endopeptidase CwlO (SpI), the LytM-
domain containing protein Lmo2504 and the two cell wall hydrolases NamA and CwhA (p60),
which are required for daughter cell separation (57,58). We have isolated several *eslB*
suppressors, which carry mutations in *cwlO* or mutations in other genes, e.g. *ftsE* or *ftsX*, which
affect CwlO activity. After depletion of CwlO, the *eslB* mutant was able to grow in otherwise
non-permissive conditions, suggesting that the activity of CwlO might be deregulated in the
eslB deletion strain or that the peptidoglycan of the *eslB* mutant is more sensitive to hydrolysis
by CwlO. CwlO activity is controlled by a direct protein-protein interaction with the ABC
transporter FtsEX (19). It is thus tempting to speculate that the ABC transporter EslABC might
also affect CwlO activity or localization of proteins involved in peptidoglycan biosynthesis
and/or degradation. Interestingly, a strain lacking both EslB and CwlO is able to grow at
elevated temperatures, while both single mutants are not able to grow under this condition.

We could isolate *eslB* suppressor strains with mutations that are associated with
stabilization of MurA, the UDP-Glc*N*Ac 1-carboxyvinyltransferase responsible for the first step
of peptidoglycan biosynthesis. Elevated levels of MurA, and thus, enhanced peptidoglycan
biosynthesis could fully restore the phenotypic defects of the 10403SΔ*eslB* strain. To identify
the exact process of peptidoglycan biosynthesis, which is impaired in the *eslB* mutant, we
performed a screen with antibiotics targeting different steps of this process. The absence of
eslB only affected the resistance towards *t*-Cin and fosfomycin, which reduce the synthesis of
UDP-Glc*N*Ac and inhibit MurA, respectively (22,23,38). Surprisingly, we observed an
increased resistance of the *eslB* mutant towards tunicamycin. The primary target of
tunicamycin is TarO, the first enzyme of WTA biosynthesis, and, at high concentrations, also
MraY, which is responsible for the production of lipid I during peptidoglycan biosynthesis (Fig.
1)(25–28). During WTA biosynthesis, UDP-Glc*N*Ac is used for the synthesis of the linker unit
and for the modification of the WTA backbone (29,59,60). Thus, reducing the production of
WTA could increase the availability of UDP-Glc*N*Ac for peptidoglycan biosynthesis and
therefore support the growth of the *eslB* mutant under non-permissive conditions. In
accordance with this, we observed a partial suppression of the *eslB* phenotypes by the
overproduction of GlmM and GlmR, two enzymes required for the synthesis of UDP-Glc*N*Ac
(22). These results suggest that either the production or distribution of UDP-Glc*N*Ac as a
substrate between different pathways might be disturbed in the *eslB* mutant.

Cell wall stability depends on the balance between peptidoglycan biosynthesis and
hydrolysis. An imbalance of one of these processes results in rapid cell lysis (61). Recent
studies suggest that new peptidoglycan precursors are inserted into the growing glycan chains

by the Rod system, which is composed of several enzymes. In contrast, class A PBPs are thought to fill gaps and/or repair cell wall defects (5,8,9). It was recently shown that enhanced endopeptidase activity leads to the activation of class A PBPs in *E. coli* (62). A similar mechanism seems to exist in *B. subtilis*, as either inactivation of PBP1 or inhibition of peptidoglycan hydrolases by the addition of Mg^{2+} suppresses growth and morphological defects of an *mreB* mutant (37). In accordance with this, we also observed suppression of the heat sensitivity of the *eslB* mutant in presence of moenomycin, which specifically inhibits the glycosyltransferase activity of class A PBPs (63,64).

In our suppressor screen, we identified a strain carrying a mutation in the *dlt* promoter region, which leads to an overproduction of the Dlt enzymes. These enzymes are required for the modification of teichoic acids (TAs) with D-alanines (53,54,65). The modification of TAs with D-alanine residues leads to a reduction in the negative surface charge and affects the activity of peptidoglycan hydrolases (30,31,37). Furthermore, lack of D-alanine modifications of TAs was shown to increase lysozyme sensitivity in *Staphylococcus aureus* (66). Interestingly, the cell surface of the *eslB* mutant is more negatively charged, similar to that of a strain lacking D-alanine modifications on TAs. Based on our results, we propose the following model: The absence of EslB seems to affect the production and/or distribution of UDP-Glc*N*Ac between different pathways, leading to the production of a thinner peptidoglycan layer. The reduced peptidoglycan thickness could subsequently result in the presentation of a larger portion of WTA on the bacterial cell surface, which would explain the higher negative surface charge (Fig. 9). This higher negative surface charge would enhance the binding capability and/or activity of cationic antimicrobial peptides, lysozyme as well as peptidoglycan hydrolases (53,67–70) and furthermore explain the sensitivity of the *eslB* mutant towards CwlO activity, lysozyme and cationic antimicrobial peptides (34,36).

The essential two-component system WalRK stimulates the transcription of several peptidoglycan hydrolases in Gram-positive bacteria (17,39,71–73). In *B. subtilis*, WalRK also regulates the expression of genes involved in WTA biosynthesis and export (39). The regulon of the WalRK system has not yet been determined for *L. monocytogenes*, however, it was shown that the system is essential (74). Inactivation of WalRK usually leads to cell death of wildtype cells due to loss of peptidoglycan hydrolase activity, however, cell death could be prevented by the inhibition of peptidoglycan biosynthesis (75). We have isolated several *eslB* suppressors with mutations in *walR* and *walK*. As it is unlikely that all of these mutations are gain-of-function mutations, we hypothesize that these mutations result in a reduced activity of the WalRK system. This would lead to a reduced peptidoglycan hydrolase activity as well as a reduction in WTA content, and reduction of the latter would at least partially restore the bacterial cell surface charge of the *eslB* mutant.

Taken together, we could show that the lack of EslB results in a defect in peptidoglycan
biosynthesis, which can be suppressed by modulating the activity of enzymes involved in either
peptidoglycan biosynthesis or hydrolysis. Our results suggest that the production or distribution
of the peptidoglycan precursor UDP-GlcNAc between different pathways might be disturbed in
the *eslB* mutant. Further studies are required to prove this hypothesis and to determine the
function of the ABC transporter EslABC.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial Strains and growth conditions. All strains and plasmids used in this study are
listed in Table S1. *Escherichia coli* strains were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium and
Listeria monocytogenes strains in brain heart infusion (BHI) medium at 37°C unless otherwise
stated. Where required, antibiotics and supplements were added to the medium at the following
concentrations: for *E. coli* cultures, ampicillin (Amp) at 100 µg ml^{-1}, kanamycin (Kan) at 30 µg
ml^{-1}, and for *L. monocytogenes* cultures, chloramphenicol (Cam) at 10 µg ml^{-1}, erythromycin
(Erm) at 5 µg ml^{-1}, Kan at 30 µg ml^{-1}, nalidixic acid (Nal) at 30 µg ml^{-1}, streptomycin (Strep) at
200 µg ml^{-1} and IPTG at 1 mM.

Strain and plasmid construction. All primers used in this study are listed in Table S2. For
the construction of pIMK3-*murA*, pIMK3-*glmR*, pIMK3-*glmU* and pIMK3-*glmM*, the *murA*,
glmR, *glmU* and *glmM* genes were amplified using primer pairs JR90/JR91, JR134/JR135,
JR136/JR137 and JR138/JR139, respectively, cut with *Nco*I and *Sal*I and ligated into plasmid
pIMK3 that had been cut with the same enzymes. The resulting plasmids pIMK3-*murA,* pIMK3-
glmR, pIMK3-*glmU* and pIMK3-*glmM* were recovered in *E. coli* XL1-Blue yielding strains
EJR52, EJR106, EJR107 and EJR108. Next, plasmids pIMK3-*murA,* pIMK3-*glmR*, pIMK3-
glmU and pIMK3-*glmM* were transformed into *E. coli* S17-1 yielding strains EJR59, EJR132,
EJR133 and EJR134. Strain EJR59 was used as a donor strain to transfer plasmid pIMK3-
murA by conjugation into *L. monocytogenes* strains 10403S (ANG1263) and 10403SΔ*eslB*$_{(2)}$
(ANG5662) using a previously described method (76). This resulted in the construction of
strains 10403S pIMK3-*murA* (LJR26) and 10403SΔ*eslB*$_{(2)}$ pIMK3-*murA* (LJR27), in which the
expression of *murA* is under the control of an IPTG-inducible promoter. Strains carrying the
empty pIMK3 vector were used as controls. For this purpose, pIMK3 was transformed into *E.*
coli S17-1 yielding strain EJR58. S17-1 pIMK3 was subsequently used as a donor strain to
transfer plasmid pIMK3 by conjugation into *L. monocytogenes* strains 10403S (ANG1263) and
10403SΔ*eslB*$_{(2)}$ (ANG5662), which resulted in the construction of strains 10403S pIMK3
(LJR24) and 10403SΔ*eslB*$_{(2)}$ pIMK3 (LJR25). For the construction of pIMK3-*glmS*, the *glmS*
gene was amplified using primer pair JR140/JR141. The resulting PCR product was cut with
*BamH*I and *Xma*I and ligated into plasmid pIMK3 that had been cut with the same enzymes.

Plasmid pIMK3-*glmS* was recovered in *E. coli* XL1-Blue and subsequently transformed into *E.*
coli S17-1 yielding strains EJR112 and EJR135, respectively. Strains EJR132, EJR133,
EJR134 and EJR135 were used to transfer plasmids pIMK3-*glmR*, pIMK3-*glmU*, pIMK3-*glmM*
and pIMK3-*glmS* by conjugation into *L. monocytogenes* strain 10403SΔ*eslB*(2) (ANG5662)
yielding strains 10403SΔ*eslB*(2) pIMK3-*glmR* (LJR63), 10403SΔ*eslB*(2) pIMK3-*glmU* (LJR64),
10403SΔ*eslB*(2) pIMK3-*glmM* (LJR65) and 10403SΔ*eslB*(2) pIMK3-*glmS* (LJR66).

For the construction of a markerless deletion of *cwlO* (*lmrg_01743*), 1-kb DNA
fragments up- and downstream of *cwlO* were amplified by PCR with primers LMS106/107 and
LMS104/105. The resulting PCR products were fused in a second PCR using primers
LMS105/106, the product cut with *BamH*I and *Kpn*I and ligated into pKSV7 that had been cut
with the same enzymes. The resulting plasmid pKSV7-Δ*cwlO* was recovered in *E. coli* XL1-
Blue yielding strain EJR63. Plasmid pKSV7-Δ*cwlO* was subsequently transformed into *L.*
monocytogenes 10403S and *cwlO* deleted by allelic exchange according to a previously
published method (77) yielding strain 10403SΔ*cwlO* (LJR37). Since attempts of producing
electrocompetent *cwlO* cells were unsuccessful, plasmid pIMK3-*cwlO* was first conjugated into
strain LJR37, which allows for IPTG-inducible expression of *cwlO*, and resulting in the
construction of strain LJR103. For the construction of pIMK3-*cwlO*, *cwlO* was amplified using
the primer pair LMS226 and LMS227. The fragment was cut with *Nco*I and *BamH*I, ligated into
pIMK3 that had been cut with the same enzymes and recovered in *E. coli* XL1-blue and S17-
1, yielding strains EJR114 and EJR115, respectively. To generate a *cwlO eslB* double mutant,
plasmid pKSV7-Δ*eslB* was first transformed into *L. monocytogenes* strain LJR103 resulting in
strain LJR114. The *eslB* gene was then deleted by allelic exchange. This resulted in the
construction of strain 10403SΔ*cwlO* Δ*eslB* pIMK3-*cwlO* (LJR119).

For the construction of promoter-*lacZ* fusions, the plasmid pAC7 was used. The
promoter region of the *dlt* operon was amplified from genomic DNA of the *L. monocytogenes*
wildtype 10403S and the *eslB* suppressor strain ANG5746 containing a mutation 31 bp
upstream of the ATG start codon, using the primer pair LMS93 and LMS94. The PCR products
were digested with BamHI and EcoRI and ligated into pAC7. The resulting plasmids pAC7-P$_{dlt}$
and pAC7-P$_{dlt}$* were recovered in XL1 Blue resulting in strains EJR50 and EJR51.
Subsequently, both plasmids were integrated into the *amyE* site of the *B. subtilis* wildtype strain
168, resulting in strains BLMS4 and BLMS5, respectively.

Generation of *eslB* suppressors and whole genome sequencing. For the generation of
eslB suppressors, overnight cultures of three independently generated *L. monocytogenes eslB*
mutants, strains 10403SΔ*eslB*(1) (ANG4275), 10403SΔ*eslB*(2) (ANG5662) and 10403SΔ*eslB*(3)
(ANG5685), were adjusted to an OD$_{600}$ of 1. 100 µl of 10^{-1} and 10^{-2} dilutions of these cultures
were plated on BHI plates containing (A) 0.5 M sucrose and 0.025 µg ml^{-1} penicillin, (B) 0.5 M

sucrose and 0.05 µg ml^{-1} penicillin or (C) 100 µg ml^{-1} lysozyme, conditions under which the

eslB mutant is unable to grow while the *L. monocytogenes* wildtype strain 10403S can grow.

The plates were incubated at 37°C overnight and single colonies were re-streaked on BHI

plates. This procedure was repeated at least three independent times per condition. The

genome sequence of a selection of *eslB* suppressors was determined by whole genome

sequencing (WGS) using an Illumina MiSeq machine and a 150 paired end Illumina kit as

described previously (36). The reads were trimmed, mapped to the *L. monocytogenes* 10403S

reference genome (NC_017544) and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) with a

frequency of at least 90% identified using CLC workbench genomics (Qiagen) and Geneious

Prime® v.2021.0.1. The whole genome sequencing data were deposited at the European

Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under accession number PRJEB55822.

Determination of resistance towards antimicrobials. For the disk diffusion assays,

overnight cultures of the indicated *L. monocytogenes* strains were adjusted to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.1.

100 µl of cultures were spread on BHI agar plates using a cotton swab. Plates contained 1 mM

IPTG were indicated. 6 mm filter disks were placed on top of the agar surface, soaked with 20

µl of the appropriate antibiotic stock solution and the plates were incubated at 37°C. The

diameter of the inhibition zone was measured the next day. The following stock solutions were

used: 50 mg ml^{-1} fosfomycin, 1 mg ml^{-1} *t*-Cin, 5 mg ml^{-1} tunicamycin, 15 mg ml^{-1} D-cycloserine,

60 mg ml^{-1} nisin, 30 mg ml^{-1} vancomycin, 5 mg ml^{-1} moenomycin, 1 mg ml^{-1} penicillin, 10 mg

ml^{-1} ampicillin and 250 mg ml^{-1} bacitracin.

Spot plating assays. Overnight cultures of *L. monocytogenes* strains were adjusted to an

OD$_{600}$ of 1 and serially diluted to 10^{-6}. 5 µl of each dilution were spotted on BHI agar plates,

BHI agar plates containing 0.5 M sucrose and 0.025 µg ml^{-1} penicillin; 100 µg ml^{-1} lysozyme;

0.025 µg/ml moenomycin, 500 µl DMSO, 0.05 µg ml^{-1} or 0.5 µg ml^{-1} tunicamycin and plates

incubated at 37°C unless otherwise stated. Where indicated, plates were supplemented with

1 mM IPTG or 20 mM MgCl$_2$. Images of plates were taken after 20-24 hours of incubation.

Cell length analysis. Overnight cultures of the indicated *L. monocytogenes* strains were

inoculated to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.1 and grown to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.3-0.6 at 37°C with agitation in BHI

medium or BHI medium containing 1 mM IPTG and the appropriate antibiotic. To stain the

bacterial membranes, 800 µl of the bacterial cultures were mixed with 40 µl of 100 µg ml^{-1} nile

red and incubated for 20 min at 37°C. The cells were washed twice in PBS buffer and

subsequently re-suspended in the same buffer. Cells were then fixed in 1.12%

paraformaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature in the dark and 1-1.5 µl of the cell

suspension was spotted on microscope slides covered with a thin agarose layer (1.5% in

ddH$_2$O). Phase contrast and fluorescence images were taken using a Zeiss Axioskop 40

microscope equipped with an EC Plan-NEOFLUAR 100X/1.3 objective (Carl Zeiss, Göttingen,

Germany) and coupled to an AxioCam MRm camera. Filter set 43 was used for the detection

of the nile red signal. The images were processed using the Axio Vision software (release 4.7).

The length of 50 cells per replicate was measured for each strain and the mean calculated.

Statistical analysis was performed using the software GraphPad Prism (version 8).

Isolation of cellular proteins and western blotting. Bacteria from a 20 ml culture were

harvested by centrifugation and washed with ZAP buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 200 mM

NaCl). The cells were subsequently resuspended in 1 ml ZAP buffer containing 1 mM PMSF

and disrupted by sonication. Cellular debris was removed by centrifugation, the resulting

supernatant collected and separated by SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE).

Proteins were transferred onto positively charged polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes

using a semi-dry transfer unit. MurA was detected using a polyclonal rabbit antiserum raised

against the *B. subtilis* MurAA protein (43), which also cross reacts with the *L. monocytogenes*

MurA protein, as primary antibody and an anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G conjugated to

horseradish peroxidase antibody as the secondary antibody. Blots were developed using ECL

chemiluminescence reagents (Thermo Scientific) and imaged using a chemiluminescence

imager (Vilber Lourmat).

Cytochrome C binding assay. Cytochrome C binding assays were performed as previously

described (78). Briefly, overnight cultures of *L. monocytogenes* were used to inoculated fresh

cultures to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.05 in 4 ml BHI medium and the cultures were grown to an OD$_{600}$ of

0.6-0.8 at 37°C and 200 rpm. Bacteria from 2 ml of the culture were harvested by centrifugation

at 16.200 x g for 1 min. Cells were then washed twice with 20 mM MOPS (3-(N morpholino)

propanesulfonic acid) buffer (pH 7) and adjusted to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.25 in the same buffer.

Cytochrome C was added at a final concentration of 50 µg ml^{-1} and the suspension was

incubated in the dark for 10 min at room temperature. The suspension was centrifuged for 5

min at 16.200 x g, the supernatant removed and the absorbance of the supernatant was

measured at 410 nm (OD$_{410}$ + cells). A reaction without cells was used as blank (OD$_{410}$ – cells).

The percentage of bound cytochrome C was calculated as follows:

576   % cytochrome C bound = 100 – [(OD$_{410}$ + cells) / (OD$_{410}$ – cells)

578   **β-galactosidase assay.** To compare the *dlt* promoter activity of the *L. monocytogenes*

579   wildtype and suppressor strain ANG5746, β-galactosidase assays were performed. For this

580   purpose, promoter-*lacZ* fusions were integrated into the *amyE* locus of *B. subtilis* 168. The

581   resulting *B. subtilis* strains were grown in CSE-glucose minimal medium at 37°C to an OD$_{600}$

of 0.5-0.8, bacteria from a culture aliquot harvested and the β-galactosidase activity determined as described previously (79).

Acknowledgements

We thank Ivan Andrew and Jaspreet Haywood from the CSC Genomics Laboratory, Hammersmith Hospital, for their help with the whole genome sequencing and Annika Gillis for help with genome sequence analysis. We also thank Julia Busse for technical assistance and Tayfun Acar for the help with some experiments. We are grateful to Prof. Jörg Stülke for providing JR and LMS with laboratory space, equipment and consumables and to the Göttingen Center for Molecular Biosciences (GZMB) for financial support. This work was funded by the Wellcome Trust grant 210671/Z/18/Z and MRC grant MR/P011071/1 to AG, the German research foundation (DFG) grants RI 2920/1-1 and RI 2920/2-1 to JR, HA 6830/4 to SH. LMS was supported by the Göttingen Graduate School for Neurosciences, Biophysics, and Molecular Biosciences (GGNB, DFG grant GSC226/4).

References

1.	Kahan FM, Kahan JS, Cassidy PJ, Kropp H. The mechanism of action of fosfomycin (phosphonomycin). Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1974 May;235(0):364–86.

2.	Sham L-T, Butler EK, Lebar MD, Kahne D, Bernhardt TG, Ruiz N. Bacterial cell wall. MurJ is the flippase of lipid-linked precursors for peptidoglycan biogenesis. Science. 2014 Jul;345(6193):220–2.

3.	Meeske AJ, Sham L-T, Kimsey H, Koo B-M, Gross CA, Bernhardt TG, et al. MurJ and a novel lipid II flippase are required for cell wall biogenesis in *Bacillus subtilis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2015 May 19;112(20):6437–42. Available from: http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1504967112

4.	Ruiz N. Bioinformatics identification of MurJ (MviN) as the peptidoglycan lipid II flippase in *Escherichia coli*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008 Oct;105(40):15553–7.

5.	Cho H, Wivagg CN, Kapoor M, Barry Z, Rohs PDA, Suh H, et al. Bacterial cell wall biogenesis is mediated by SEDS and PBP polymerase families functioning semi-autonomously. Nat Microbiol. 2016 Sep;1:16172.

6.	Taguchi A, Welsh MA, Marmont LS, Lee W, Sjodt M, Kruse AC, et al. FtsW is a peptidoglycan polymerase that is functional only in complex with its cognate penicillin-binding protein. Nat Microbiol. 2019 Apr;4(4):587–94.

7.	Leclercq S, Derouaux A, Olatunji S, Fraipont C, Egan AJF, Vollmer W, et al. Interplay between penicillin-binding proteins and SEDS proteins promotes bacterial cell wall synthesis. Sci Rep. 2017 Feb;7:43306.

8.	Dion MF, Kapoor M, Sun Y, Wilson S, Ryan J, Vigouroux A, et al. *Bacillus subtilis* cell

diameter is determined by the opposing actions of two distinct cell wall synthetic
systems. Nat Microbiol. 2019 Aug;4(8):1294–305.

9.   Vigouroux A, Cordier B, Aristov A, Alvarez L, Özbaykal G, Chaze T, et al. Class-A
penicillin binding proteins do not contribute to cell shape but repair cell-wall defects.
Elife. 2020 Jan 6;9:e51998. Available from: https://elifesciences.org/articles/51998

10.   Ohnishi R, Ishikawa S, Sekiguchi J. Peptidoglycan hydrolase LytF plays a role in cell
separation with CwlF during vegetative growth of *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol. 1999
May;181(10):3178–84.

11.   Vollmer W, Joris B, Charlier P, Foster S. Bacterial peptidoglycan (murein) hydrolases.
FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2008 Mar;32(2):259–86.

12.   Carballido-López R, Formstone A, Li Y, Ehrlich SD, Noirot P, Errington J. Actin homolog
MreBH governs cell morphogenesis by localization of the cell wall hydrolase LytE. Dev
Cell. 2006 Sep;11(3):399–409.

13.   Yamaguchi H, Furuhata K, Fukushima T, Yamamoto H, Sekiguchi J. Characterization
of a new *Bacillus subtilis* peptidoglycan hydrolase Gene, *yvcE* (named *cwlO*), and the
enzymatic properties of its encoded protein. J Biosci Bioeng. 2004;98(3):174–81.
Available from: http://joi.jlc.jst.go.jp/JST.JSTAGE/jbb/98.174?from=CrossRef

14.   Hashimoto M, Ooiwa S, Sekiguchi J. Synthetic lethality of the *lytE cwlO* genotype in
Bacillus subtilis is caused by lack of D,L-endopeptidase activity at the lateral cell wall. J
Bacteriol. 2012 Feb 15;194(4):796–803. Available from:
https://jb.asm.org/content/194/4/796

15.   Domínguez-Cuevas P, Porcelli I, Daniel RA, Errington J. Differentiated roles for MreB-
actin isologues and autolytic enzymes in *Bacillus subtilis* morphogenesis. Mol Microbiol.
2013 Sep;89(6):1084–98.

16.   Bisicchia P, Noone D, Lioliou E, Howell A, Quigley S, Jensen T, et al. The essential
YycFG two-component system controls cell wall metabolism in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol
Microbiol. 2007 Jul;65(1):180–200. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1365-
2958.2007.05782.x

17.   Dubrac S, Bisicchia P, Devine KM, Msadek T. A matter of life and death: cell wall
homeostasis and the WalKR (YycGF) essential signal transduction pathway. Mol
Microbiol. 2008 Dec;70(6):1307–22. Available from:
http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06483.x

18.   Takada H, Shiwa Y, Takino Y, Osaka N, Ueda S, Watanabe S, et al. Essentiality of
WalRK for growth in *Bacillus subtilis* and its role during heat stress. Microbiology. 2018
Apr;164(4):670–84.

19.   Meisner J, Montero Llopis P, Sham L-T, Garner E, Bernhardt TG, Rudner DZ. FtsEX is
required for CwlO peptidoglycan hydrolase activity during cell wall elongation in *Bacillus*

subtilis. Mol Microbiol. 2013 Sep;89(6):1069–83. Available from:
http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/mmi.12330

20. Brunet YR, Wang X, Rudner DZ. SweC and SweD are essential co-factors of the FtsEX-
CwlO cell wall hydrolase complex in *Bacillus subtilis*. PLOS Genet. 2019 Aug
22;15(8):e1008296. Available from: https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008296

21. Rismondo J, Schulz LM. Not just transporters: Alternative functions of ABC transporters
in *Bacillus subtilis* and *Listeria monocytogenes*. Microorganisms. 2021 Jan 13;9(1):163.
Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2076-2607/9/1/163

22. Pensinger DA, Gutierrez K V, Smith HB, Vincent WJB, Stevenson DS, Black KA, et al.
Listeria monocytogenes GlmR is an accessory uridyltransferase essential for cytosolic
survival and virulence. bioRxiv. 2021 Jan 1;2021.10.27.466214. Available from:
http://biorxiv.org/content/early/2021/10/29/2021.10.27.466214.abstract

23. Sun L, Rogiers G, Michiels CW. The natural antimicrobial *trans*-Cinnamaldehyde
interferes with UDP-N-acetylglucosamine biosynthesis and cell wall homeostasis in
Listeria monocytogenes. Foods. 2021 Jul 20;10(7):1666. Available from:
https://www.mdpi.com/2304-8158/10/7/1666

24. Soldo B, Lazarevic V, Karamata D. *tagO* is involved in the synthesis of all anionic cell-
wall polymers in *Bacillus subtilis 168*. Microbiology. 2002 Jul;148(Pt 7):2079–87.

25. Watkinson RJ, Hussey H, Baddiley J. Shared lipid phosphate carrier in the biosynthesis
of teichoic acid and peptidoglycan. Nat New Biol. 1971 Jan;229(2):57–9.

26. Price NPJ, Tsvetanova B. Biosynthesis of the tunicamycins: a review. J Antibiot (Tokyo).
2007 Aug;60(8):485–91.

27. Campbell J, Singh AK, Santa Maria JP, Kim Y, Brown S, Swoboda JG, et al. Synthetic
lethal compound combinations reveal a fundamental connection between wall teichoic
acid and peptidoglycan biosyntheses in *Staphylococcus aureus*. ACS Chem Biol. 2011
Jan 21;6(1):106–16. Available from: https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/cb100269f

28. Hakulinen JK, Hering J, Brändén G, Chen H, Snijder A, Ek M, et al. MraY-antibiotic
complex reveals details of tunicamycin mode of action. Nat Chem Biol. 2017
Mar;13(3):265–7.

29. Shen Y, Boulos S, Sumrall E, Gerber B, Julian-Rodero A, Eugster MR, et al. Structural
and functional diversity in *Listeria* cell wall teichoic acids. J Biol Chem. 2017
Oct;292(43):17832–44.

30. Brown S, Santa Maria JP, Walker S. Wall teichoic acids of Gram-positive bacteria. Annu
Rev Microbiol. 2013 Sep 8;67(1):313–36. Available from:
http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/10.1146/annurev-micro-092412-155620

31. Vadyvaloo V, Arous S, Gravesen A, Héchard Y, Chauhan-Haubrock R, Hastings JW, et
al. Cell-surface alterations in class IIa bacteriocin-resistant *Listeria monocytogenes*

strains. Microbiology. 2004 Sep;150(Pt 9):3025–33.

32.   Boneca IG, Dussurget O, Cabanes D, Nahori M-A, Sousa S, Lecuit M, et al. A critical
role for peptidoglycan N-deacetylation in *Listeria* evasion from the host innate immune
system. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2007 Jan 16;104(3):997–1002. Available from:
http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.0609672104

33.   Aubry C, Goulard C, Nahori M-A, Cayet N, Decalf J, Sachse M, et al. OatA, a
peptidoglycan O-acetyltransferase involved in *Listeria monocytogenes* immune escape,
is critical for virulence. J Infect Dis. 2011 Sep 1;204(5):731–40. Available from:
https://academic.oup.com/jid/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/infdis/jir396

34.   Burke TP, Loukitcheva A, Zemansky J, Wheeler R, Boneca IG, Portnoy DA. *Listeria*
monocytogenes is resistant to lysozyme through the regulation, not the acquisition, of
cell wall-modifying enzymes. J Bacteriol. 2014 Nov 1;196(21):3756–67. Available from:
https://jb.asm.org/content/196/21/3756

35.   Durack J, Burke TP, Portnoy DA. A *prl* mutation in SecY suppresses secretion and
virulence defects of *Listeria monocytogenes secA2* mutants. J Bacteriol. 2015 Mar
1;197(5):932–42. Available from: https://jb.asm.org/content/197/5/932

36.   Rismondo J, Schulz LM, Yacoub M, Wadhawan A, Hoppert M, Dionne MS, et al. EslB
is required for cell wall biosynthesis and modification in *Listeria monocytogenes*. J
Bacteriol. 2021 Jan 25;203(4):e00553-20. Available from:
http://jb.asm.org/lookup/doi/10.1128/JB.00553-20

37.   Tesson B, Dajkovic A, Keary R, Marlière C, Dupont-Gillain CC, Carballido-López R.
Magnesium rescues the morphology of *Bacillus subtilis mreB* mutants through its
inhibitory effect on peptidoglycan hydrolases. Sci Rep. 2022 Jan;12(1):1137.

38.   Marquardt JL, Brown ED, Lane WS, Haley TM, Ichikawa Y, Wong CH, et al. Kinetics,
stoichiometry, and identification of the reactive thiolate in the inactivation of UDP-
Glc*N*Ac enolpyruvoyl transferase by the antibiotic fosfomycin. Biochemistry. 1994
Sep;33(35):10646–51.

39.   Howell A, Dubrac S, Andersen KK, Noone D, Fert J, Msadek T, et al. Genes controlled
by the essential YycG/YycF two-component system of *Bacillus subtilis* revealed through
a novel hybrid regulator approach. Mol Microbiol. 2003 Sep;49(6):1639–55.

40.   Wamp S, Rutter ZJ, Rismondo J, Jennings CE, Möller L, Lewis RJ, et al. PrkA controls
peptidoglycan biosynthesis through the essential phosphorylation of ReoM. Elife. 2020
May 29;9:e56048. Available from: https://elifesciences.org/articles/56048

41.   Wamp S, Rothe P, Stern D, Holland G, Döhling J, Halbedel S. MurA escape mutations
uncouple peptidoglycan biosynthesis from PrkA signaling. PLoS Pathog. 2022
Mar;18(3):e1010406.

42.   Du W, Brown JR, Sylvester DR, Huang J, Chalker AF, So CY, et al. Two active forms

of UDP-N-acetylglucosamine enolpyruvyl transferase in gram-positive bacteria. J Bacteriol. 2000 Aug;182(15):4146–52.

43. Kock H, Gerth U, Hecker M. MurAA, catalysing the first committed step in peptidoglycan biosynthesis, is a target of Clp-dependent proteolysis in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol. 2004 Feb;51(4):1087–102.

44. Rismondo J, Bender JK, Halbedel S. Suppressor mutations linking *gpsB* with the first committed step of peptidoglycan biosynthesis in *Listeria monocytogenes*. J Bacteriol. 2016 Jan 1;199(1):e00393-16. Available from: https://jb.asm.org/lookup/doi/10.1128/JB.00393-16

45. Meeske AJ, Riley EP, Robins WP, Uehara T, Mekalanos JJ, Kahne D, et al. SEDS proteins are a widespread family of bacterial cell wall polymerases. Nature. 2016 Sep;537(7622):634–8.

46. Emami K, Guyet A, Kawai Y, Devi J, Wu LJ, Allenby N, et al. RodA as the missing glycosyltransferase in *Bacillus subtilis* and antibiotic discovery for the peptidoglycan polymerase pathway. Nat Microbiol. 2017 Jan;2:16253.

47. Korsak D, Markiewicz Z, Gutkind GO, Ayala JA. Identification of the full set of *Listeria monocytogenes* penicillin-binding proteins and characterization of PBPD2 (Lmo2812). BMC Microbiol. 2010 Sep;10:239.

48. Rismondo J, Möller L, Aldridge C, Gray J, Vollmer W, Halbedel S. Discrete and overlapping functions of peptidoglycan synthases in growth, cell division and virulence of *Listeria monocytogenes*. Mol Microbiol. 2015 Jan;95(2):332–51. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/mmi.12873

49. Huber G, Nesemann G. Moenomycin, an inhibitor of cell wall synthesis. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1968 Jan;30(1):7–13.

50. Dobihal GS, Brunet YR, Flores-Kim J, Rudner DZ. Homeostatic control of cell wall hydrolysis by the WalRK two-component signaling pathway in *Bacillus subtilis*. Elife. 2019 Dec 6;8:e52088. Available from: https://elifesciences.org/articles/52088

51. Domínguez-Cuevas P, Porcelli I, Daniel RA, Errington J. Differentiated roles for MreB-actin isologues and autolytic enzymes in *Bacillus subtilis* morphogenesis. Mol Microbiol. 2013 Sep 4;89(6):1084–98. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/mmi.12335

52. Perego M, Glaser P, Minutello A, Strauch MA, Leopold K, Fischer W. Incorporation of D-alanine into lipoteichoic acid and wall teichoic acid in *Bacillus subtilis*. Identification of genes and regulation. J Biol Chem. 1995 Jun;270(26):15598–606.

53. Neuhaus FC, Baddiley J. A continuum of anionic charge: structures and functions of D-alanyl-teichoic acids in Gram-positive bacteria. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2003 Dec;67(4):686–723.

54. Rismondo J, Gillis A, Gründling A. Modifications of cell wall polymers in Gram-positive
bacteria by multi-component transmembrane glycosylation systems. Curr Opin
Microbiol. 2021 Apr;60:24–33. Available from:
https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1369527421000138

55. Peschel A, Otto M, Jack RW, Kalbacher H, Jung G, Götz F. Inactivation of the *dlt* operon
in *Staphylococcus aureus* confers sensitivity to defensins, protegrins, and other
antimicrobial peptides. J Biol Chem. 1999 Mar 26;274(13):8405–10. Available from:
http://www.jbc.org/lookup/doi/10.1074/jbc.274.13.8405

56. Wecke J, Madela K, Fischer W. The absence of D-alanine from lipoteichoic acid and
wall teichoic acid alters surface charge, enhances autolysis and increases susceptibility
to methicillin in *Bacillus subtilis*. Microbiology. 1997 Sep;143(9):2953–60.

57. Carroll SA, Hain T, Technow U, Darji A, Pashalidis P, Joseph SW, et al. Identification
and characterization of a peptidoglycan hydrolase, MurA, of *Listeria monocytogenes* , a
muramidase needed for cell separation. J Bacteriol. 2003 Dec 1;185(23):6801–8.
Available from: https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.185.23.6801-6808.2003

58. Pilgrim S, Kolb-Mäurer A, Gentschev I, Goebel W, Kuhn M. Deletion of the gene
encoding *p60* in *Listeria monocytogenes* leads to abnormal cell division and loss of
actin-based motility. Infect Immun. 2003 Jun;71(6):3473–84.

59. Eugster MR, Morax LS, Hüls VJ, Huwiler SG, Leclercq A, Lecuit M, et al. Bacteriophage
predation promotes serovar diversification in *Listeria monocytogenes*. Mol Microbiol.
2015 Jul;97(1):33–46.

60. Rismondo J, Percy MG, Gründling A. Discovery of genes required for lipoteichoic acid
glycosylation predicts two distinct mechanisms for wall teichoic acid glycosylation. J Biol
Chem. 2018 Mar 2;293(9):3293–306. Available from:
http://www.jbc.org/lookup/doi/10.1074/jbc.RA117.001614

61. Sassine J, Sousa J, Lalk M, Daniel RA, Vollmer W. Cell morphology maintenance in
Bacillus subtilis through balanced peptidoglycan synthesis and hydrolysis. Sci Rep.
2020;10(1):17910. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-74609-5

62. Lai GC, Cho H, Bernhardt TG. The mecillinam resistome reveals a role for peptidoglycan
endopeptidases in stimulating cell wall synthesis in *Escherichia coli*. PLoS Genet. 2017
Jul;13(7):e1006934.

63. Van Heijenoort Y, Derrien M, Van Heijenoort J. Polymerization by transglycosylation in
the biosynthesis of the peptidoglycan of *Escherichia coli* K 12 and its inhibition by
antibiotics. FEBS Lett. 1978 May;89(1):141–4.

64. Ostash B, Walker S. Moenomycin family antibiotics: chemical synthesis, biosynthesis,
and biological activity. Nat Prod Rep. 2010 Nov;27(11):1594–617.

65. Percy MG, Gründling A. Lipoteichoic acid synthesis and function in Gram-positive

bacteria. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2014 Sep 8;68(1):81–100. Available from:
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-091213-112949

66. Herbert S, Bera A, Nerz C, Kraus D, Peschel A, Goerke C, et al. Molecular basis of
resistance to muramidase and cationic antimicrobial peptide activity of lysozyme in
staphylococci. PLoS Pathog. 2007 Jul;3(7):e102.

67. Steudle A, Pleiss J. Modelling of lysozyme binding to a cation exchange surface at
atomic detail: the role of flexibility. Biophys J. 2011 Jun;100(12):3016–24.

68. Low LY, Yang C, Perego M, Osterman A, Liddington R. Role of net charge on catalytic
domain and influence of cell wall binding domain on bactericidal activity, specificity, and
host range of phage lysins. J Biol Chem. 2011 Sep;286(39):34391–403.

69. Weidenmaier C, Kristian SA, Peschel A. Bacterial resistance to antimicrobial host
defenses--an emerging target for novel antiinfective strategies? Curr Drug Targets.
2003 Nov;4(8):643–9.

70. Ragland SA, Criss AK. From bacterial killing to immune modulation: Recent insights into
the functions of lysozyme. PLoS Pathog. 2017 Sep;13(9):e1006512.

71. Delaune A, Poupel O, Mallet A, Coic Y-M, Msadek T, Dubrac S. Peptidoglycan
crosslinking relaxation plays an important role in *Staphylococcus aureus* WalKR-
dependent cell viability. PLoS One. 2011 Feb 28;6(2):e17054. Available from:
https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0017054

72. Delauné A, Dubrac S, Blanchet C, Poupel O, Mäder U, Hiron A, et al. The WalKR system
controls major staphylococcal virulence genes and is involved in triggering the host
inflammatory response. Infect Immun. 2012 Oct;80(10):3438–53.

73. Dubrac S, Msadek T. Identification of genes controlled by the essential YycG/YycF two-
component system of *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Bacteriol. 2004 Feb 15;186(4):1175–
81. Available from: https://jb.asm.org/content/186/4/1175

74. Fischer M, Engelgeh T, Rothe P, Fuchs S, Thürmer A, Halbedel S. *Listeria*
monocytogenes gene essentiality under laboratory conditions and during macrophage
infection. bioRxiv. 2022 Jan 1;2022.03.04.482958. Available from:
http://biorxiv.org/content/early/2022/03/04/2022.03.04.482958.abstract

75. Salamaga B, Kong L, Pasquina-Lemonche L, Lafage L, von und zur Muhlen M, Gibson
JF, et al. Demonstration of the role of cell wall homeostasis in *Staphylococcus aureus*
growth and the action of bactericidal antibiotics. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2021 Nov
2;118(44):e2106022118. Available from:
https://pnas.org/doi/full/10.1073/pnas.2106022118

76. Lauer P, Chow MYN, Loessner MJ, Portnoy DA, Calendar R. Construction,
characterization, and use of two *Listeria monocytogenes* site-specific phage integration
vectors. J Bacteriol. 2002 Aug;184(15):4177–86.

77. Camilli A, Tilney LG, Portnoy DA. Dual roles of *plcA* in *Listeria monocytogenes*
pathogenesis. Mol Microbiol. 1993 Apr;8(1):143–57. Available from:
https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-2958.1993.tb01211.x

78. Kang J, Wiedmann M, Boor KJ, Bergholz TM. VirR-Mediated resistance of *Listeria*
monocytogenes against food antimicrobials and cross-protection induced by exposure
to organic acid salts. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2015 Jul 1;81(13):4553–62. Available from:
http://aem.asm.org/lookup/doi/10.1128/AEM.00648-15

79. Miller JH. Experiments in Molecular Genetics. NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory; 1972.
352–355 p.

80. Pazos M, Peters K. Peptidoglycan. Subcell Biochem. 2019;92:127–68.

81. Sarkar P, Yarlagadda V, Ghosh C, Haldar J. A review on cell wall synthesis inhibitors
with an emphasis on glycopeptide antibiotics. Medchemcomm. 2017 Mar;8(3):516–33.

Figure legends

Figure 1: Schematic of the UDP-Glc*N*Ac, peptidoglycan and wall teichoic acid
biosynthesis pathway. UDP-Glc*N*Ac, which is required for peptidoglycan and wall teichoic
acid (WTA) biosynthesis, is synthesized from Fructose-6-phosphate in a four-step reaction
catalyzed by GlmS, GlmM, GlmU and GlmR. MurA, an UDP-Glc*N*Ac-1-
carboxyvinyltransferase catalyzing the first step of peptidoglycan biosynthesis, and MurB
convert UDP-Glc*N*Ac to UDP-Mur*N*Ac. Lipid II is produced in subsequent steps, which are
performed by MurCDEF, Alr, Ddl, MraY and MurG, flipped across the membrane by MurJ and
inserted into the growing glycan strand by glycosyltransferases (GTases). Finally,
peptidoglycan is crosslinked by the action of transpeptidases (TPases) (80). UDP-Glc*N*Ac also
serves as a substrate of TarO, the first enzyme of the WTA biosynthesis pathway. After the
WTA polymer is synthesized by a subset of enzymes, it is transported across the membrane
via TarGH (30) and, in case of *L. monocytogenes* 10403S, decorated with Glc*N*Ac residues
(29). UDP-Glc*N*Ac-consuming enzymes are labelled in red. Antibiotics targeting different steps
of the UDP-Glc*N*Ac, peptidoglycan and WTA biosynthesis pathways or degrade peptidoglycan
are depicted in bold (22,27,81).

Figure 2: Alterations in the resistance of the *eslB* mutant towards cell wall-targeting antibiotics. Disk diffusion assay. *L. monocytogenes* strains 10403S (wt), Δ*eslB*$_{(2)}$ and Δ*eslB*$_{(2)}$ compl. were spotted on BHI plates. Antibiotic-soaked disks placed on top the agar surface and the plates incubated for 24h at 37°C. The inhibition zones for the indicated strains were measured and the average values and standard deviation of at least three independent experiments were plotted. For statistical analysis, a one-way ANOVA coupled with a Dunnett's multiple comparison test was used (** $p \leq 0.01$, *** $p \leq 0.001$, **** $p \leq 0.0001$).

Figure 3: Mutation P159L in *prpC* suppresses *eslB* phenotypes. (A) Drop dilution assay. Dilutions of *L. monocytogenes* strains 10403S (wt), Δ*eslB*(2), Δ*eslB*(2) compl., and Δ*eslB*(2) *prpC*^P159L^ were spotted on BHI plates, BHI plates containing 100 µg/ml lysozyme or containing 0.5 M sucrose and 0.025 µg/ml penicillin G and were incubated at 37°C or on BHI plates and were incubated at 42°C. (B) Western blot. Protein samples of strains 10403S, Δ*eslB*(2), Δ*eslB*(2) compl. and Δ*eslB*(2) *prpC*^P159L^ were separated by SDS PAGE and transferred to a PVDF membrane. MurA was detected using a MurAA-specific antibody as described in the methods section. Protein samples of *L. monocytogenes* wildtype strain EGD-e and a *clpC* mutant were used as controls. MurA level in the protein samples were quantified and plotted. For statistical analysis, an unpaired two-tailed t-test was used (* $p \leq 0.05$).

Figure 4: MurA overexpression leads to suppression of *eslB* phenotypes. (A-B) Fosfomycin disk diffusion assay. (A) *L. monocytogenes* strains wt pIMK3, Δ*eslB* pIMK3, wt pIMK3-*murA* and Δ*eslB* pIMK3-*murA* were plated on BHI plates containing 1 mM IPTG.

Fosfomycin-soaked disks were placed on the agar surface and the plates incubated for 24 h
at 37°C. Yellow lines indicate the diameter of the zone of inhibition. (B) The inhibition zones
for the indicated strains were measured and the average values and standard deviation of
three independent experiments were plotted. (C) Drop dilution assay. Dilutions of *L.*
monocytogenes strains 10403S pIMK3 (wt pIMK3), Δ*eslB* pIMK3, wt pIMK3-*murA* and Δ*eslB*
pIMK3-*murA* were spotted on BHI plates, BHI plates containing 100 µg/ml lysozyme or
containing 0.5 M sucrose and 0.025 µg/ml penicillin G, which were incubated at 37°C or on
BHI plates, which were incubated at 42°C. (D) Microscopy images of *L. monocytogenes*
strains. Bacterial membranes were stained with nile red as described in the methods section.
Scale bar is 2 µm. (E) Cell length of *L. monocytogenes* strains shown in panel B. The cell
length of 50 cells per strain was determined and the median cell length calculated. The average
values and standard deviations of three independent experiments are plotted. For statistical
analysis, a one-way ANOVA coupled with Tukey's multiple comparison test was used (** $p \leq$
0.01, *** $p \leq 0.001$, **** $p \leq 0.0001$).

Figure 5: Inactivation of the glycosyltransferase of penicillin binding proteins rescues the *eslB* mutant. (A) Drop dilution assay. Dilutions of *L. monocytogenes* strains 10403S (wt), Δ*eslB*(3), Δ*eslB*(3) compl. and Δ*eslB*(3) *pbpA1*G125D were spotted on BHI plates, BHI plates containing 100 μg/ml lysozyme or containing 0.5 M sucrose and 0.025 μg/ml penicillin G, which were incubated at 37°C or on BHI plates, which were incubated at 42°C. (B) Microscopy images of *L. monocytogenes* strains. Bacterial membranes were stained with nile red as described in the methods section. Scale bar is 2 μm. (C) Cell length of *L. monocytogenes* strains shown in panel B. The cell length of 50 cells per strain was determined and the median

cell length calculated. The average values and standard deviations of three independent
experiments are plotted. (D) Drop dilution assay. Dilutions of *L. monocytogenes* strains
10403S (wt), Δ*eslB(2)* and Δ*eslB(2)* compl. were spotted on BHI plates, BHI plates containing
DMSO or containing 0.025 µg/ml moenomycin and incubated at 37°C or 42°C.

Figure 6: Mutations in *walR, walK, cwlO, ftsE* and *ftsX* suppress *eslB* phenotypes. (A)
Drop dilution assay. Dilutions of *L. monocytogenes* strains 10403S (wt), Δ*eslB(2)*, Δ*eslB(1)*,
Δ*eslB(2)* compl., Δ*eslB(1)* compl., Δ*eslB(2)* walR^{S216G}, Δ*eslB(2)* walK^{H463D}, Δ*eslB(2)* walK^{I583T},
Δ*eslB(2)* cwlO^{R106H}, Δ*eslB(2)* ftsE^{Q220-} and Δ*eslB(1)* ftsX^{G253R} were spotted on BHI plates, BHI
plates containing 100 µg/ml lysozyme or containing 0.5 M sucrose and 0.025 µg/ml penicillin
G and were incubated at 37°C or on BHI plates that were incubated at 42°C. (B) Microscopy
images of *L. monocytogenes* strains. Bacterial membranes were stained with nile red as
described in the methods section. Scale bar is 2 µm. (C) Cell length of *L. monocytogenes*

strains shown in panel B. The cell length of 50 cells per strain was determined and the median

cell length calculated. The average values and standard deviations of three independent

experiments are plotted. For statistical analysis, a one-way ANOVA coupled with a Dunnett's

multiple comparison test was used (* $p \leq 0.05$, **** $p \leq 0.0001$).

 Figure 7: Absence of CwlO suppresses most of the *eslB* phenotypes. (A) Drop dilution
assay. Dilutions of *L. monocytogenes* strains 10403S (wt), Δ*eslB(2)*, Δ*cwlO* and Δ*cwlO* Δ*eslB*
pIMK3-*cwlO* were spotted on BHI plates, BHI plates containing 100 µg/ml lysozyme or
containing 0.5 M sucrose and 0.025 µg/ml penicillin G and were incubated at 37°C or on BHI
plates that were incubated at 42°C. For induction of *cwlO* expression, the indicated plates were
supplemented with 1 mM IPTG. (B) Microscopy images of *L. monocytogenes* strains. Bacterial
membranes were stained with nile red as described in the methods section. Scale bar is 2 µm.
(C) Cell length of *L. monocytogenes* strains shown in panel B. The cell length of 50 cells per
strain was determined and the median cell length calculated. The average values and standard
deviations of three independent experiments are plotted. For statistical analysis, a one-way
ANOVA coupled with a Dunnett's multiple comparison test was used (* $p \leq 0.05$, **** $p \leq$
0.0001).

Figure 8: Suppression of *eslB* phenotypes by alterations in WTA biosynthesis and modification. (A) Drop dilution assay. Dilutions of *L. monocytogenes* strains 10403S (wt), Δ*eslB*(3), Δ*eslB*(3) compl., Δ*eslB*(3) *tarL*^{P282L} and Δ*eslB*(3) P_{dlt}* were spotted on BHI plates, BHI plates containing 100 µg/ml lysozyme or containing 0.5 M sucrose and 0.025 µg/ml penicillin G and were incubated at 37°C or on BHI plates that were incubated at 42°C. (B) β-galactosidase assay. The *dlt* promoter of *L. monocytogenes* wildtype 10403S (P_{dlt}) and suppressor strain Δ*eslB*(3) P_{dlt}* (P_{dlt}*) were fused with *lacZ* and integrated into the *amyE* locus of *Bacillus subtilis*. The promoter activity was determined in Miller units as described in the methods section and the average and standard deviation of three independent experiments were plotted. An unpaired t-test was used for statistical analysis (**** $p \leq 0.0001$). (C) Cytochrome C assay. Cells *of L. monocytogenes* strains 10403S, Δ*eslB*(3), Δ*eslB*(3) compl. and Δ*eslB*(3) P_{dlt}* were incubated with cytochrome C as described in the methods section. The percentage of cytochrome C, which is bound by the cell surface, was calculated for three independent experiments and plotted. A strain lacking DltA was used as control. For statistical analysis, a one-way ANOVA coupled with a Dunnett's multiple comparison test was used (** $p \leq 0.01$, **** $p \leq 0.0001$).

Figure 9: Model of altered WTA presentation on the cell surface of an *eslB* mutant. The Gram-positive cell wall is composed of a thick layer of peptidoglycan (PG) and wall teichoic acids (WTA), which are attached to the Mur*N*Ac-moiety of the PG backbone (30). WTA of *L. monocytogenes* 10403S are composed of a negatively charged ribitol phosphate backbone (indicated by -), which can be modified with Glc*N*Ac residues (blue hexagons) and positively charged D-alanine residues (indicated by +). The absence of EslB seems to affect the production and/or distribution of the PG precursor UDP-Glc*N*Ac between different pathways, which results in the production of a thinner PG layer. WTAs are thought to partially stick out of the PG layer and we propose that due to the thinner PG layer produced by the *eslB* mutant, a

982 larger portion of the WTA backbone, which is negatively charged, could be presented on the

983 bacterial cell surface. This would result in a higher negative cell surface charge of the *eslB*

984 mutant, which would affect the binding capability and/or activity of PG hydrolases, lysozyme

985 and cationic antimicrobial peptides (67–70).