Structural Premise of Selective Deubiquitinase USP30 Inhibition by Small-Molecule Benzosulfonamides

Darragh P O'Brien[1*], Hannah BL Jones[1], Franziska Guenther[2], Emma J Murphy[2], Katherine S England[2], Malcolm Anderson[3], Paul Brennan[2], John B Davis[2], Adán Pinto-Fernández[1,4], Andrew P Turnbull[5], and Benedikt M Kessler[1,3*]

[1]Target Discovery Institute, Centre for Medicines Discovery, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, UK

[2]ARUK-Oxford Drug Discovery Institute, Centre for Medicines Discovery, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, UK

[3]Waters Corporation, Wilmslow, Cheshire, UK

[4]Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences Oxford Institute, University of Oxford, UK

[5]Cancer Research Horizons, Francis Crick Institute, London, UK

*Corresponding authors: darragh.obrien@ndm.ox.ac.uk; benedikt.kessler@ndm.ox.ac.uk

Running Title:

Exploring the molecular and structural basis of USP30 inhibition

Key Words:

Mitophagy, Ubiquitin Specific Protease USP30, Benzosulfonamide, Activity-Based Protein Profiling, Enzyme Kinetics, Hydrogen Deuterium eXchange-Mass Spectrometry, Molecular Docking

ABSTRACT

Dampening functional levels of the mitochondrial deubiquitylating enzyme USP30 has been suggested

as an effective therapeutic strategy against neurodegenerative disorders such as Parkinson's Disease.

USP30 inhibition may counteract the deleterious effects of impaired turnover of damaged

mitochondria which is inherent to both familial and sporadic forms of the disease. Small-molecule

inhibitors targeting USP30 are currently in development, but little is known about their precise nature

of binding to the protein. We have integrated biochemical and structural approaches to gain novel

mechanistic insights into USP30 inhibition by a small-molecule benzosulfonamide containing

compound, **39**. Activity-based protein profiling (ABPP) mass spectrometry confirmed target

engagement, the high selectivity, and potency of **39** for USP30 against 49 other deubiquitylating

enzymes in a neuroblastoma cell line. *In vitro* characterization of **39** enzyme kinetics infers slow and

tight binding behavior, which is comparable with features of covalent modification of USP30. Finally,

we blended hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass spectrometry and computational docking to

elucidate the molecular architecture and geometry of USP30 complex formation with **39**, identifying

structural rearrangements at the cleft of the USP30 thumb and palm subdomains. These studies

suggest that **39** binds to the thumb-palm cleft that guides the ubiquitin C-terminus into the active site,

thereby preventing ubiquitin binding and isopeptide bond cleavage, and confirming its importance in

the inhibitory process. Our data will pave the way for the design and development of next-generation

inhibitors targeting USP30 and associated deubiquitinylases.

INTRODUCTION

Ubiquitination is essential to protein quality control, homeostasis and lifespan.[1] Damaged proteins are

flagged for removal from cells with the covalent addition of ubiquitin (Ub), a small, highly conserved

76-amino acid protein that is widely expressed across eukaryotic cell types.[2, 3] This molecular "kiss of

death" proceeds through the coordinated action of E1, E2, and E3 ligase enzymes, with targeted

protein substrates conjugated by way of an isopeptide bond to either a single Ub molecule (mono-

ubiquitination), or several repeating units (poly-ubiquitination).[4] Besides the Ub-proteasome system

(UPS) subfamily, proteins selected for degradation can also be trafficked through the autophagy-

lysosome pathway. In damaged mitochondria, such autophagic clearance of impaired proteins occurs

through a highly selective and dedicated mechanism termed "mitophagy". Several key players work

tirelessly in the dysregulated mitochondrion to maintain overall cell integrity and survival, including

the mitochondrial outer membrane (MOM)-associated Ub serine/threonine kinase PINK1 and the

cytoplasmic E3 ligase Parkin.[5] PINK1 phosphorylates Ub species on damaged proteins accumulating

on the MOM, flagging them for elimination. This in turn recruits and activates endogenous Parkin (also

by phosphorylation), initiating a hyper-ubiquitination cascade that gives the green light for mitophagy

to proceed in a specialized autophagosome structure.[6] Deubiquitinating enzymes (DUBs) counteract

the actions of E3 ligases by removing Ub modifications, and several of these Ub-specific proteases

(USPs) have been shown to oppose Parkin activity.[7, 8] Of these, USP30 is the predominant active DUB

to be directly implicated in mitophagy to-date, primarily due to its localization on the MOM, whilst

also being linked to pexophagy and oxygen metabolism due to its widespread expression on

peroxisomes.[9] Interestingly, Parkin and USP30 both share an unusual preference for Lys6-linked Ub

chains, the mitophagic importance of which has yet to be conclusively deciphered.[10, 11]

Impaired mitophagy and oxidative stress have adverse roles in neurodegeneration, with both being

linked to familial and sporadic forms of Parkinson's Disease (PD)[12, 13]; PINK1/Parkin-mediated

mitophagy limits the build-up of toxic mitochondrial species in PD and loss-of-function mutations

occurring in the PINK1 and PRKN genes result in the progressive depletion of dopaminergic neurons

of the basal ganglia and a rare hereditary form of juvenile Parkinsonism.[14, 15] As USP30 antagonizes

mitophagy through ubiquitination, its inhibition has been proposed as a novel therapeutic strategy to

enhance mitochondrial turnover and clear damaged mitochondrial proteins, providing a much-needed

strategy to improve outcomes in PD and other neurodegenerative disorders. Several small-molecule

inhibitors targeting USP30 are in the pipeline, including phenylalanine derivatives, *N*-cyano

pyrrolidines and natural products.[16-18] Perhaps those with the greatest potential, however, are a family

of benzosulfonamides, most notably, compound **39**, which has been shown to boost mitophagy in

dopaminergic neurons of PD patients by down-regulating USP30.[19, 20] Little is currently known,

however, regarding the intricacies of its non-covalent attachment to USP30 itself, and the structural

basis of its inhibitory action. X-ray crystallography has recently provided structures of both human and

zebrafish USP30, but these are solely in the context of attachment to Lys6-linked di-Ub moieties.[10, 11]

Hydrogen/Deuterium eXchange Mass Spectrometry (HDX-MS) is a complementary biophysical tool

that can provide unique insights into protein structure, stability, dynamics and function.[21] In direct

contrast to the static snapshot provided by the crystal structure, HDX-MS monitors the conformational

dynamics of a system *in solution*, enabling the analysis of "proteins in motion". The technique has

shown particular utility for the rapid and reliable identification of small-molecule binding pockets on

proteins.[22] Whilst lacking the resolution of a crystal structure, the highly informative data obtained

from HDX-MS can be combined with orthogonal structural, computational, biochemical and/or

biophysical techniques to define structure activity relationships (SAR) and to direct drug discovery

campaigns.

As such, we have integrated several biophysical and structural approaches to help clarify the molecular

and structural interplay of **39** binding to USP30. The endogenous cellular selectivity of **39** for USP30

inhibition was confirmed using activity-based protein profiling mass spectrometry (ABPP-MS) against

a panel of 49 other endogenous DUBs in neuronal SH-SY5Y cells. Bio-layer interferometry showed that

39 binds to USP30 in a slow and tight manner, which intriguingly, despite being a non-covalent binder,

is consistent with the profile of covalent inhibition. Finally, we combined HDX-MS and molecular

docking simulations to elucidate the conformational dynamics and spatial preferences of **39** binding,

identifying key residues in the inhibitory process itself. **39** induces conformational and structural

rearrangements at the cleft of the USP30 thumb and palm subdomains, in a region encompassing its

catalytic residues and the site of Ub binding. We postulate that these phenomena underlie the

mechanism of inhibition of **39**. Dampening USP30 pharmacologically may represent a tractable

treatment for PD and other mitophagy-related disorders. As no previous attempts to investigate the

molecular basis of compound **39** binding to USP30 have been reported, our data will be instrumental

in the development of rational next-generation inhibitors against USP30 and related DUBs.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Compound 39 is highly potent and selective for neuronal USP30

The efficacy and selectivity of compound **39** across a panel of cysteine active DUBs was initially

screened in SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cell lysates by ABPP (**Figure 1a**). SH-SY5Y cell extracts were

treated with a range of inhibitor concentrations from 0.1 to 25 µM, followed by incubation with a HA-

tagged Ub-based probe with a propargylamine warhead (HA-Ub-PA). DUB probe complexes were

immunoprecipitated by way of their HA tag and quantified using label-free quantitation (LFQ) LC-

MS/MS. We implemented a data independent acquisition (DIA) MS regime to maximize the depth and

reproducibility of the DUB profiling assay.[23, 24] The concentration-dependent competition between

compound **39** and HA-Ub-PA for binding to USP30 confirmed target engagement and potency of the

inhibitor in a cellular matrix, with an IC_{50} value in the nanomolar range (**Figure 1b**). Moreover, **39** was

found to be highly selective for USP30 as it had no significant activity against any of the other 49

endogenous DUBs detected in the experiment (**Figure 1b**). The main cysteine-reactive DUB enzyme

families were all represented, with proteins containing USP, ovarian tumor protease (OTU), Ub C-

terminal hydrolase (UCH), and Josephin domains quantified.[25, 26] The absence of **39** concentration-

dependent inhibition for all other identified cysteine active DUBs demonstrates the highly selective

nature of the inhibitor. This selectivity is in line with previously published USP30 inhibitor selectivity

data from both a recombinant DUB activity panel[20] and an ABPP-MS experiment on a smaller panel of

endogenous DUBs identified from mouse brain tissue.[27]

We have recently reported that **39** can be displaced by HA-Ub-PA over long incubations.[27] Accordingly,

Figure 1. Compound 39 is highly potent and selective for USP30 inhibition in a cellular context. (a)
Chemical structure of **39**.[27] (b) Compound **39** is a highly selective USP30 inhibitor. (c) **39** acts in a
concentration dependent manner (down to 0.1 µM levels), which is in concordance with previously
published data for both mouse brain and with a recombinant DUBprofiler™ (Ubiquigent) panel. (d)
SHSY5Y cells were incubated with **39** for 1 h at 37˚C. The HA-Ub-PA was then incubated with **39**-
treated lysates for 45 min at 37˚C at a protein ratio of 1:200 (w/w) and immunoblotted as shown.
Engagement of USP30 with the probe was confirmed using anti-USP30 and anti-HA antibodies.

a small amount of displacement during the 45 min HA-Ub-PA incubation was anticipated. It is
therefore expected that the IC$_{50}$ value of 0.16 µM that we obtained from our ABPP-MS assay is likely
higher than the absolute IC$_{50}$ inhibition concentration (**Figure 1c** and **d**).

Compound 39 binds USP30 in a slow and tight manner

Once it was established that **39** down-regulates endogenous USP30 activity in a highly selective
fashion, we sought to rigorously profile its inhibitory properties using a recombinant version of the
protein. Synthetic full-length USP30 is very unstable and difficult to solubilize.[10] To circumvent this,
we used a previously described truncated version of USP30 in our enzyme (and HDX-MS) assays, which
readily went into solution and was determined to be stable over the time course of our experiments
(**Figure S1**). To assess enzyme deubiquitinating efficiency, the purified USP30 construct was incubated
with a fluorogenic Ub-rhodamine substrate in both the presence and absence of compound **39**. This

resulted in a calculated IC_{50} value for **39** of ~2 nM *in vitro*, which was in-line (albeit 10-fold lower) with

previous estimations (**Figure 2a**).[19] Although both measurements remain in the nM range, the 2 nM

IC_{50} from the Ub-rhodamine assay is lower than the 162 nM IC_{50} from the ABPP. This could be

attributable to differences in the endogenous and recombinant activity of USP30, non-specific

inhibitor occlusion in the cellular context of the ABPP, or displacement of compound 39 in the ABPP

by HA-Ub-PA. Progress curves for Ub-rhodamine cleaved by USP30 were used to calculate the rate of

inhibition. The kinetic constants k_5, k_6 and K_i gave values that were indicative of slow, tight binding

behavior (**Figures 2b**, **d**, **e** and **f**). The latter was visualized by a time-dependent shift of dose-response

inhibition curves (**Figure 2d**), as well as by plotting IC_{50} values against time (**Figure 2e**). When

considering the binding Scheme A (**Experimental Section**), the small value for k_6 implies that it is

behaving in an irreversible manner. The progress curves show typical features of an enzyme reaction

in the presence of a slow binding inhibitor. Furthermore, two binding events are observed in the form

of a) an initial and b) a steady-state velocity – both of which need to be considered during curve fitting

and calculation of inhibitory rates (see **Equations 2** and **3** in **Supporting Information**) (**Figure 2b**).

Bio-layer interferometry experiments confirmed this slow and tight binding behavior (**Figure 2c**). The

compound had an association rate of 0.3 mM^{-1}s^{-1} and a very slow dissociation from USP30 that was

comparable with features of covalent modification (**Figure 2f**). This phenomenon is intriguing, as **39** is

known to bind to USP30 (as shown by MS), by exclusively non-covalent means.

HDX-MS kinetics identifies key residues at the compound 39 binding interface of USP30

Knowledge is currently lacking on the precise location and mechanistics of **39** binding to USP30. HDX-

MS experiments were consequently designed to pinpoint the key regions of **39** binding to USP30,

whilst providing novel structural insights into the solution conformation and dynamics of complex

formation. HDX relies on the natural isotopic exchange of the amide backbone hydrogens of a protein

with deuterium when placed in a deuterated solution.[21] This leads to protein mass increases that are

Figure 2. Kinetic profiling of the non-covalent USP30 inhibitor 39. Upper panel: (a) Dose dependent

inhibition of USP30 by **39**. (b) Reaction progress curves recorded on the FLIPR® Tetra. Traditional

method for determining kinetic constants associated with a two-step slow, tight binding inhibitor. k_{obs},

determined by fitting the progress curves to Eq. 2 (**Supporting Information**), is plotted vs [Compound]

and fitted to Eq. 3. (**Supporting Information**) to determine K_i, k_5 and k_6. (c) Bio-layer interferometry

showing binding of **39** to immobilized USP30 with no detectable dissociation. Lower panel: (d)

Krippendorf method[28] (**Supporting Information**) was used as an alternative way of determining kinetic

constants. Time-dependent IC_{50} curves. Each curve represents inhibition data at an individual

incubation time from 3-1800 sec. (e) IC_{50} values vs. incubation time fitted to Eq. 1. (**Supporting**

Information) to obtain K_i and k_{inact}. As **39** is non-covalent compound but has a k_6 which is essentially

0, k_{inact} in this case represents k_5. (f) Data table of inhibition properties.

directly measurable by MS which can serve as direct probes of protein solvent accessibility and

structure. Shielding of the deuterated solvent following introduction of a binding partner is indicative

of a binding interface. We sought to identify such regions following **39** binding to USP30 by directly

comparing the differences in HDX-MS uptake patterns of USP30 before (apo-USP30; in the presence

of DMSO) and after (holo-USP30; in the presence of **39**) complex formation.

Following digestion of unlabeled USP30 with pepsin, a total of 723 peptides were generated for the

protein, 133 of which were shortlisted for downstream data analysis (**Figure S2**). Selected peptides

covered 96.2% of the USP30 sequence, with an average of 4.19 peptides covering each amino acid. The kinetics of deuterium uptake was analysed for all regions of USP30, which included USP domains 1-6 and the catalytic triad at Cys77, His452 and Ser477 (**Figure S3a**). From three independent replicates, the relative fractional exchange was calculated for all peptides at each of the four time points 30, 60, 600, and 3600 sec, and plotted as a function of peptide position (**Figures 3a and S3b**).

Figure 3. HDX-MS characterises the conformational dynamics of compound 39 binding to USP30. (a) Residue-level heat map indicating that compound **39** induces solvent protection in several regions of USP30. The plot displays the difference in relative fractional uptake between the holo- and apo-form of the protein over 1 h. Regions of which have the greatest perturbation following **39** binding are labeled Regions I-IX (b) Comparative uptake plots of Regions I-IX for apo- and holo-USP30 states (c) Integrated HDX-MS and X-Ray crystal structure of USP30 in complex with di-Ub. Regions of perturbation between apo- and holo-USP30 states HDX-MS data are colored according to magnitude of change. The data indicates that the **39** binding interface is located between the USP30 thumb and palm domains of the protein. Numbering is in accordance with the crystal structure of 5OHK. Dotted lines indicate the site of cleavage and removal of unstable, disordered sequences from the full-length USP30 protein.

Following incubation with compound **39** in conditions conducive to binary complex formation, our

comparative HDX-MS data indicate that the majority of USP30 is unaffected by inhibitor binding, with

no differences in deuterium uptake kinetics between apo- and holo-states (**Figure 3a**). This suggests

that **39** binding is confined to smaller subsections of the protein. Indeed, several short regions of

USP30 had significant shielding from the solvent in the presence of the inhibitor as compared to the

DMSO control, indicative of regions involved in compound binding (**Figures 3a** and **b**). The areas of

most significant perturbation included at two peptides spanning the USP30 catalytic Cys77 residue,

N72-L83 (labeled Regions I and II in **Figure 3** and highlighted in representative uptake plots in **Figure**

3b), in addition to peptides mapping to D145-M149 (Region IV), E158-F166 (Regions V and VI), I323-

L328 (Region VII), and finally, H449-Y456 (Region VIII), which encompasses the catalytic His452. These

were in direct contrast to Regions III and IX, which gave identical HDX-MS behaviors in both the apo-

and holo-form, reflecting the majority of the USP30 protein sequence (**Figure 3b**). The shielding

induced by inhibitor was greatest at the 1 h timepoint, where a difference of >25% was observed in

the relative fractional uptake between states. Results were confirmed by the presence of multiple

overlapping peptides displaying equivalent HDX-MS activity. Interestingly, no difference in

conformational dynamics was observed between states for the region covering the catalytic Ser477

(Region IX), suggesting that this site is not vital to the **39** inhibitory process, but rather, may have a

greater influence in determining USP30 Ub linkage preferences.[10, 11, 29] Nevertheless, targeting this site

to improve USP30 inhibition efficacies may prove fruitful in future design regimes.

No X-ray crystal structure of apo-USP30 or USP30 in complex with **39** currently exists. We therefore

mapped our solution HDX-MS data to PDB code 5OHK, which at a resolution of 2.34 Å, represents the

highest resolution 3D structure of the protein currently available in the Protein Data Bank (PDB).[10] It

is worth noting that this structure corresponds to USP30 in covalent complex with Lys6-linked di-Ub,

and as such, may give rise to subtle discrepancies when comparing across results. Nevertheless, due

to the lack of more suitable alternatives, we felt it a worthwhile pursuit to map our apo- and holo-

USP30 HDX-MS data to this 3D model. To facilitate a facile overview of the entire dataset, HDX-MS

results were collapsed into a single datapoint by calculating the mean perturbation between apo- and

holo-states across the four labeling time points. USP30 constitutes three subdomains designated

"thumb, palm and fingers", which is in line with related USP family members of elucidated structure.[7,]

[30] Strikingly, the shielded USP30 peptides in the presence of **39** all cluster to the same spatially

adjacent region of the protein, which lies at the interface of its palm and thumb subdomains (**Figure**

3c). Moreover, the regions with the greatest perturbation as highlighted above, I323-L328 (Region VII)

and H449-Y456 (Region VIII), cover areas of the protein which lie opposite each other on the 3D

structure. They may represent an entrance vector to the USP30 binding pocket, which is anticipated

to be closer to the site of greatest perturbation at E158-F166 (Regions V and VI) and the nearby

catalytic Cys77. The importance of these residues to the inhibitory process is further strengthened by

their correlation with the proposed region of USP30 binding to the Ub C-terminal tail in the crystal

structure.[10]

Binding of 39 alters USP30 conformation and induces rigidification in several regions

Although likely to be in good agreement with the majority of 5OHK, the structural make-up of apo-

USP30 has yet to be experimentally confirmed. As yet, no high-resolution crystal structure exists,

which is of a direct consequence of the poor stability of the full-length protein itself.[10] As stated earlier,

a highly truncated USP30 construct was used in this study, which was devoid of its N-terminal

mitochondrial intermembrane domain and adjacent transmembrane domain. Furthermore, several

long, disordered regions were cleaved, and multiple hydrophobic residues mutated out, resulting in

substantially improved protein stability and solubility. As HDX-MS is not reliant on successful

crystallization trials, we saw this as an opportunity to describe the solution structural integrity of apo-

USP30, which would allow us to elucidate its mode of binding to **39**.

The conformational landscape of apo-USP30 generally follows the arrangement of its USP domains;

some of the most solvent exposed regions of the protein are found at the linker regions connecting

individual domains, most noticeably between USP domains 1 and 2, 4 and 5, and 5 and 6 (**Figures S3a**

and S3b). Conversely, USP domains 1 and 5 and the N-terminal end of USP domain 6 are largely

protected from the solvent and inaccessible (**Figure S3b**). This is in good agreement with HDX-MS data

recently acquired on the full length apo-USP30 protein, where the USP domains were shown to be in

a conformation that was generally hidden from the solvent and connected by several exposed

linkers.[10] However, due to the instability of the full-length species, HDX-MS was performed on a much

smaller scale compared to our own study, with only a sole 3 sec labeling time point measured.

Furthermore, an appreciation of USP30 dynamics could not be extracted from this single time point.

Looking at apo-USP30 dynamics across the several labeling time points described herein, an increase

in the rate of deuteration over the time course of the experiment was observed across the majority

of the protein (**Figure S3b**). This dynamic HDX-MS behavior is indicative of the presence of secondary

structural elements, thereby confirming the highly structured nature of the protein. The regions with

the greatest dynamic HDX-MS behavior were found within USP domains 2, 3, 4, and 6 (**Figure S3b**).

Conversely, no dynamic HDX-MS events were observed in several regions of apo-USP30 and the

maximum level of deuteration was reached immediately, indicating structural disorder. These

unstructured regions map to the N- and C-terminal extremities of the protein and within USP domains

1 (residues 71-78), 2 (residues 130-136), and 5 (residues 439-453). There is a high level of overlap

when mapping the structural data inferred from the HDX-MS to the crystal structure of 5OHK for both

apo- and holo-USP30 (**Figure S4**).

Several regions of USP30 undergo structural transitions in the presence of **39,** which are potentially

significant in terms of inhibitory mechanistics. First, multiple segments of USP30 become completely

blocked and inaccessible to the solvent following inhibitor binding. These include a region directly

adjacent to the catalytic Cys77 at F78-L83 and an area of USP domain 2 covering E158-F166, as

highlighted in purple in **Figure S4**. This suggests that **39** induces a conformation of USP30 that not only

blocks off the catalytic region and its surroundings from the solvent, but importantly, also prevents

access and binding of Ub itself. A second structural phenomenon is also evident, specifically the

conversion of intrinsically disordered loops in the absence of **39**, to rigid, structural elements in the

presence of the compound (**Figure S4**). These disorder-to-order transitions likely embody functional

significance[31] and in USP30, are found at the catalytic Cys77, represented by peptide V71-F78, a

section of USP domain 2 at R148-F154, and a long chain of residues spanning Q326-L349.

Tracking these structural rearrangements across individual labeling time points allows us to propose

a general timeline of inhibition (**Figure S5**). Taking Q326-L349 as an example, peptides mapping to this

region of USP30 undergo significant structural transitions at the earliest time points monitored (30

and 60 sec), which are completed in the later stages of our experimental time course. Conversely,

peptides proximal to the catalytic Cys77 become blocked and solvent inaccessible primarily in the

latter half of our experiment (600 and 3600 sec). The fact that the residue (and adjacent regions) most

crucial to USP30 catalysis, Cys77, is most significantly perturbed in the latter stages of our experiment

could go some way to explain the slow and tight binding behavior observed for **39** in our enzyme

kinetics analyses (**Figure 2**).

Molecular docking proposes key residues important for 39 binding to USP30

To further refine our HDX-MS findings, we explored the binding mode of **39** to USP30 computationally.

We performed molecular docking simulations using the simple docking mode in AMDock software,

with the USP30 crystal structure 5OHK as the target receptor for the compound.[10, 19, 32] The *in silico*

binding pose of **39** with the highest-ranking docking score has estimated affinity and Ki values of -7.7

kcal/mol and 2.27 μM respectively, compared with a reported experimental IC_{50} value of

approximately 20 nM.[19] Compound **39** is predicted to bind to the thumb-palm cleft that guides the Ub

C-terminus into the active site, residing approximately 7.9 Å away at its closest point from the thiol

side chain of catalytic Cys77 (**Figure 4a**). Compound **39** adopts a hydrophobic collapsed conformation

with an edge-to-face intramolecular pi-stacking interaction between the phenyl and fluorophenyl

moieties. **39** is predicted to form hydrogen bonding interactions between the carbonyl of the

fluorophenyl amide moiety and the side chain imidazole of His444, the amide of the phenylamide

moiety and the main chain carbonyl of Met448, and the sulfonamide moiety and the side chain

Figure 4. Modelled structure of human USP30 in complex with 39. (a) Structure of human USP30 catalytic domain highlighting the modelled position of **39** shown as a stick representation and colored green. The thumb, palm and fingers subdomains of the catalytic domain and catalytic triad (Cys77, Ser477 and His42; underlined) are highlighted. Regions identified in the HDX-MS analysis of USP30 in the presence of **39** are colored red. (b) Close-up view of the putative **39** binding site highlighting key residues and hydrogen-bonding interactions represented as dotted lines. (c) Superposition of Ub-PA (orange; PDB code= 5OHK) on the docked structure. **39** sterically clashes with the C-terminal tail of the Ub substrate thereby preventing Ub binding and isopeptide bond cleavage. (d) Superposition of the USP7 inhibitors, FT671 (yellow carbon atoms) and GNE-6776 (magenta carbon atoms) in complex with USP7, onto the docked structure. **39** putatively binds to an equivalent site in the thumb-palm cleft compared with FT671. Figure prepared using PyMOL (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.4.1, Schrödinger, LLC.).

imidazole of His449 (**Figure 4b**). In addition, the fluorophenyl moiety is predicted to form an edge-to-face π-stacking interaction with Tyr495. Compared with the structure of USP30 in complex with Ub-PA (PDB code = 5OHK), the modelled position of **39** would sterically clash with the C-terminal tail of

the Ub substrate with the fluorophenyl moiety sitting in an equivalent position to the side chain of Ub

Leu73, thereby preventing Ub binding and isopeptide bond cleavage (**Figure 4c**).

Crystal structures of USP7 in complex with the small-molecule inhibitors, FT761 (PDB code= 5NGE)[30]

and GNE-6776 (PDB code= 5UQX)[33], reveal two distinct inhibitor binding modes that attenuate Ub

binding and inhibit the DUB activity. FT671 binds to the thumb-palm cleft and resides approximately

5 Å away from the catalytic cysteine whereas GNE-6776 interacts with acidic residues in the USP7

catalytic domain that mediate hydrogen-bonding interactions with the Ub Lys48 side chain and binds

12 Å from the catalytic cysteine. A comparison of the docked structure of **39** with the USP7 inhibitor

complexes suggests that **39** is most likely to bind to an equivalent site to FT671 (**Figure 4d**). In addition,

the docked pose of **39** correlates well with the HDX-MS data, with the predicted binding site of **39**

being flanked by residues residing in peptides E158-F166, I323-L328, and H449-Y456, which become

solvent protected in HDX-MS (**Figure 4a** and **b**). The HDX-MS analysis also implicates peptides N72-

L83 (which contains the catalytic Cys77) and D139-M149 in structural rearrangements upon

compound binding. These regions reside further from the predicted binding site of **39**. However,

compound binding may potentially cause conformational reorientation of the catalytic domain remote

from the binding site resulting in these regions becoming protected upon compound binding. Similar

conformational rearrangements are seen in human USP7, in which the inhibitors bind to the

catalytically incompetent apo-state with switching loop "in" as compared with the catalytically

competent Ub-bound state with switching loop "out", and it is possible that USP30 may exhibit similar

dynamic conformational flexibility**.**

CONCLUSIONS

Mitochondrial pathway disruption has been linked to a spectrum of pathophysiological conditions,

from neurodegeneration and acute, chronic kidney and cardiovascular diseases, through to

hepatocellular carcinoma and peroxisome biogenesis disorders.[6, 34-36] USP30 represents an actionable

drug target of these conditions through its participation in PINK1/Parkin-mediated mitophagy,

BAX/BAK-dependent apoptosis, oncogenesis, and pexophagy.[18, 37-39] USP30 regulates mitophagy by

antagonizing Parkin-mediated ubiquitination and its inhibition has been shown to have significant

therapeutic potential against PD and similar neurodegenerative disorders. Drug discovery efforts

targeting USP30 have yielded the highly potent and selective small-molecule benzosulfonamide

inhibitor, compound **39**.[17, 19, 40] Combining state-of-the-art proteomics, HDX-MS and molecular

docking, we have described the dynamic structural interplay between USP30 and **39**. The inhibitor

binds USP30 in a slow and tight manner, and displays kinetic properties consistent with covalent

attachment to USP30, despite its non-covalent design. Collectively, our integrative structural biology

lens successfully identified regions within USP30 that undergo dramatic structural and conformational

rearrangements in the presence of **39**, which prevent Ub binding and decrease DUB activity. X-ray

data for USP30 in complex with **39** will undoubtedly complement these observations and will,

combined with molecular dynamics studies, drive the development of next-generation inhibitors.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

PURITY

All compounds are >95% pure by HPLC analysis.

1. ABPP ASSAY

HA-Ub-PA synthesis

HA-Ub-PA synthesis was carried out as previously described.[27, 41] Briefly, a pTYB construct was used to

express Ub (Gly76del) in *E.coli*. The Ub was tagged with a HA tag on the N-terminus, and an intein-

chitin binding domain on the C terminus. *E.coli* lysis was performed using sonication in 50 mM Hepes,

150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM Dithiothreitol (DTT). The protein was then bound to chitin bead slurry and

incubated with 100 mM MesNa overnight (37˚C with agitation) to form HA-Ub-MesNa. The HA-Ub-

MesNa was then incubated for 20 min with 250 mM propargylamine (PA; room temperature with

agitation), and desalted to remove excess propargylamine, resulting in the reactive activity-based

probe HA-Ub-PA.

Cell culture and lysis

SH-SY5Y cells were cultured at 37˚C, 5% CO_2, in Eagle's Minimum Essential Medium and Ham's F12

Nutrient Mix (1:1), supplemented with 15% Fetal Bovine Serum, 1% non-essential amino acids and 2

mM Glutamax. Cells were collected by washing with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), followed by

scraping in PBS and centrifugation at 200 x g. Cells were lysed in 50 mM Tris Base, 5 mM $MgCl_2$.6 H_2O,

0.5 mM EDTA, 250 mM Sucrose, 1 mM DTT by vortexing with acid washed beads (1:1 v/v) 10 times (30

seconds vortexing, 1 min break on ice). Lysates were clarified at 600 x g for 10 min at 4˚C. Lysate

protein concentration was then determined by BCA.

Inhibitor selectivity with HA-Ub-PA immunoprecipation

HA-Ub-PA protein complexes were immunoprecipitated and analysed using LC-MS/MS as previously

described.[42] Inhibitor **39** or DMSO was incubated with 500 μg of SH-SH5Y protein lysate for 1 h at 37˚C.

HA-Ub-PA was then incubated with the **39**-treated lysates for 45 min at 37˚C at a protein ratio of 1:200

(w/w). The reaction was quenched with the addition of 0.4% SDS and 0.5% NP-40 (IGEPAL CA-630) and

diluted to 0.5 mg/mL with 50 mM Tris, 0.5% NP-40, 150 mM NaCl and 20 mM $MgCl_2$.6 H_2O, pH 7.4.

HA-Ub-PA protein complexes were then immunoprecipitated using 150 μL of pre-washed Anti-HA

agarose slurry overnight at 4˚C with end-over-end rotation. The agarose slurry was then washed four

times and the HA-Ub-PA protein complexes were eluted using 110 μL of 2 x Laemmli buffer. To check

for efficient immunoprecipitation, 10 μL of the eluates were run on a western blot.

The remaining 100 μL of the eluates were reduced with 20 mM DTT for 10 min at 95˚C and alkylated

with 40 mM of iodoacetamide for 30 min at room temperature in the dark. Proteins were then

acidified to 1.2% phosphoric acid, diluted 6-fold with 90% methanol/100 mM TEAB, and

captured/washed on an S-trap column according to the standard protocol.[43] Proteins were digested

on the S-trap column with 2 μg of trypsin overnight at 37˚C. Eluted peptides were then dried and

resuspended in 2% acetonitrile (ACN), 0.1% formic acid.

LC-MS/MS

Peptides were analysed using a Dionex Ultimate 3000 nano-ultra high pressure reversed-phase

chromatography system coupled on-line to an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos mass spectrometer (Thermo

Scientific). Samples were separated on an EASY-Spray PepMap RSLC C18 column (500 mm x 75 μm, 2

μm particle size; Thermo Scientific) over a 60 min gradient of 2-35% ACN in 5% DMSO, 0.1% formic

acid and at 250 nL/min. The column temperature was maintained at 50°C with the aid of a column

oven. The mass spectrometer was operated in positive polarity mode with a capillary temperature of

275°C. data-independent acquisition (DIA) mode was utilized for automated switching between MS

and MS/MS acquisition as described previously.[23] Fractions were loaded with adjusted sample

volumes to analyze ~200 ng on column.

DIA-MS data processing and analysis

Data was analysed using DIA-NN (version 1.8) with all settings as default. A *Homo sapiens* Uniprot

database (retrieved on 16/04/2021) was used for the analysis. Immunoprecipitations were carried out

in duplicate and any DUBs that were not present in both control samples and enriched > 5-fold when

compared to a no probe control, were discarded from the analysis. Identifications that were assigned

to multiple DUBs were not included in the analysis. MINDY3 was also removed from the dataset as it

was the lowest intensity, so may have been at the bottom of the instruments dynamic range and did

not produce stable values across the dataset. All MS raw files were deposited in PRIDE under the code

PXD036574.

2.   ENZYME KINETICS

In Vitro USP30 Activity Assay

Fluorescence intensity measurements were used to monitor the cleavage of a Ub-rhodamine (Ub-Rho110) substrate. All activity assays were performed in black 384-well plates in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM Potassium Glutamate, 0.1 mM TCEP and 0.03% Bovine Gamma Globulin with a final assay volume of 20 µL. Compound IC_{50} values for DUB inhibition were determined as previously described.[17] Briefly, an 11-point dilution series of compounds were dispensed into black 384-well plates using an Echo-550 Acoustic Liquid Handler (Beckman Coulter). USP30, 0.2 nM (residues 64-502Δ179-216 & 288-305, Viva Biotech (Shanghai) Ltd.) was added and the plates preincubated for 30 min, 25 nM Ub-Rho110 (Ubiquigent) was added to initiate the reaction and the fluorescence intensity was recorded for 30 min on a Pherastar FSX (λ_{Ex} = 485 nm, λ_{Em} = 520 nm) (BMG Labtech). Initial rates were plotted against compound concentration to determine IC_{50}. Data was processed using analysis tools from Dotmatics (https://www.dotmatics.com/).

Kinetic assays - determination of kinetic parameters for slow-tight binding inhibitors

Kinetic assays were performed in 384-well Sensoplate™ in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 300 mM Potassium Glutamate, 0.1 mM TCEP and 0.2% BGG with a final assay volume of 50 µL. An 11-point dilution series of compound was dispensed into assay plates and 25 µL 2X Ub-Rho110 was added. The dispense function of the FLIPR® Tetra (Molecular Devices) was used to add 25 µL 2X USP30 to give final assay concentrations of 5 and 180 nM for USP30 and Ub-Rho110 respectively. The fluorescence signal of the enzyme activity was monitored every 3 sec for 1800 sec (λ_{Ex} = 470-495 nm, λ_{Em} = 515-575 nm, camera gain 70, exposure time 0.6 sec, excitation intensity 80%). Analysis was performed in GraphPad Prism version 9.4.1 for Windows (Graphpad Software, La Jolla, California, USA; www.graphpad.com). The time course data was normalized relative to enzyme in the absence of compound and used to generate inhibition curves at each time point.

$$E + I \underset{k_4}{\overset{k_3[I]}{\rightleftharpoons}} E\text{-}I \underset{k_6}{\overset{k_5}{\rightleftharpoons}} E\text{-}I^*$$

Scheme A

As IC$_{50}$ values are time-dependent for compound **39** with no covalent labeling of USP30, shown by MS

(**Figure S1**), data were modelled to a slow-tight binding scheme (**Scheme A**). Fitting of progress curves

allows for calculation of relevant kinetic parameters (**Supporting Information**).

Bio-layer interferometry

Bio-layer interferometry was performed on an Octet RED384® system (Sartorius) at 25°C in a buffer

containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8), 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM TCEP, 0.05% Tween and 1% DMSO. Biotinylated

USP30 (residues 64-502Δ179-216 & 288-305, Viva Biotech (Shanghai) Ltd.) was immobilized onto

Super Streptavidin (SSA) biosensors. After 60 sec baseline detection, the association of defined

concentrations of **39** (0-5 µM) was recorded over 300 sec followed by dissociation in buffer over 600

sec. Traces were normalised by double subtraction of baseline (no compound) and reference sensors

(no USP30, association and dissociation of compound) to correct for non-specific binding to the

sensors. Traces were analysed using the Octet Software (Version 11.2, Sartorius).

3. HDX-MS

HDX sample preparation

USP30 was incubated in either the presence (holo-USP30) or absence (apo-USP30) of a two-fold molar

excess of **39**, ensuring that all complexes were fully formed and maintained over the course of the

labeling reaction. Before the HDX-MS experiments, labelling (L), equilibration (E) and quench (Q)

buffers were freshly prepared with D$_2$O or H$_2$O, respectively (Buffers E and L: 50 mM HEPES, 400 mM

NaCl, 2.0 mM TCEP, 10% glycerol (v/v) at pH 7.2; Buffer Q: 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer, 2.0 M

guanidine hydrochloride at pH 2.30). The USP30 protein sample was supplied at 66 µM and was diluted

in Buffer E to a final concentration of 11 µM, which equates to 16 pmol injected onto the pepsin

column. Buffers E and L were equilibrated at 20°C, while the protein samples and Buffer Q were

equilibrated at 0°C.

HDX cyclic ion mobility mass spectrometry

HDX-MS experiments were carried out on a fully automated HDX-2 system (supplied by Waters, Milford USA) previously described by Brown and Wilson.[44] The exchange reaction was initiated by diluting 3.5 µL protein sample with a concentration of 11 µM into 56.5 µL Buffer E for reference, or Buffer L for D_2O labeling, and incubated for several time points (0, 30, 60, 600 and 3600 sec). A D_2O/H_2O ratio in excess of 90% guaranteed that the kinetics favored unidirectional exchange. Subsequently, the exchange reaction was stopped by mixing 50 µL of sample with 50 µL pre-cooled Buffer Q. Next, 50 µL of quenched sample was subjected to a temperature-controlled chromatography system (HDX M-Class UPLC, Waters). The protein was digested online by a pepsin column (Enzymate BEH pepsin column; 2.1 x 30 mm; Waters). Eluting peptides were trapped and washed on a C18 pre–column (C18 1.7 µM VanGuard 2.1 x 5 mm pre-column; Waters) at 100 µL/min for 3 min and separated on a reversed phase column (C18 1.7 µM Acquity UPLC 1 x 100 mm reverse phased column; Waters) with a linear gradient ranging from 5% ACN to 40% ACN plus 0.2% FA at 40 µL/min in 8 min, followed by a rapid rise to 99% ACN holding for 0.3 min. ACN concentration was rapidly reduced to 5% and held there for 0.2 min, followed by a linear gradient back to 99% over 0.7 min, and holding that concentration for 0.1 min. Next, C18 columns were equilibrated with 95% H_2O plus 0.2% FA for 4 min. The reversed-phase chromatographic system was kept at approximately 0°C to reduce back-exchange. Peptides eluting from reversed phase column were measured with a SELECT SERIES Cyclic IMS mass spectrometer (Waters, Wilmslow UK) in HDMS[E] mode (*m/z* 50-2000). This mode utilises ion mobility (IM) separation for orthogonal separation of the peptides (LC, IM, *m/z*). The mass spectrometer was fitted with an electrospray source equipped with additional independent LockSpray probe (Leu-enkephalin LockMass solution was used, *m/z* 556.2771).

HDX-MS data processing and analysis

All MS-analyses were performed in triplicate for each time point and condition. Protein Lynx Global Server (PLGS) 3.0 (Waters Corporation) was used for peptide identifications. A peptic peptide

sequence coverage map was generated in DynamX 3.0 HDX software (Waters Corporation). Peptide-

level deuterium uptake data was also visualized in DynamX and reported as relative deuterium

exchange levels expressed in either mass unit or fractional exchange. The latter was calculated by

dividing the experimentally measured uptake by the theoretically maximum number of exchangeable

backbone amide hydrogens that could be replaced within each peptide. This number corresponds to

the number of amino acid residues present in the peptide minus the number of proline residues and

minus one for the N-terminus that back exchanges too rapidly to be measured by MS.[31] A single charge

state was considered per peptide. Data were also verified and visualized in MEMHDX.[45]

4. MOLECULAR DOCKING

The crystal structure of human USP30 catalytic domain (residues K64-V502) in covalent complex with

Ub-PA at 2.34 Å resolution represents the highest resolution human USP30 structure available in the

Protein Data Bank (PDB code= 5OHK)[10], and was used as the target receptor for docking the selective

USP30 benzosulfonamide inhibitor, compound **39**[19], using AutoDock Vina implemented in the program

AMDock 1.5.2.[32] Coordinates for **39** were generated using ChemDraw Prime 16.0.1.4, and PRODRG

implemented in the CCP4 software suite.[46] The compound was docked using the simple docking mode

and automatic defined search space in AMDock 1.5.2.

ANCILLARY INFORMATION

Supporting Information

This can be accessed here xxx

PDB ID Codes

5OHK represents the crystal structure of human USP30 catalytic domain (residues K64-V502) in
covalent complex with Ub propargylamide (Ub-PA) at 2.34 Å resolution. This is the highest resolution
structure of human USP30 currently available in the PDB.

Homology Models

Not applicable

Corresponding Author Information

Dr Darragh P O'Brien can be contacted at darragh.obrien@ndm.ox.ac.uk

Professor Benedikt M Kessler can be contacted at benedict.kessler@ndm.ox.ac.uk

Present/Current Author Addresses

Target Discovery Institute, Centre for Medicines Discovery, Nuffield Department of Medicine,
University of Oxford, UK - Darragh P O'Brien, Hannah BL Jones, Benedikt M Kessler

ARUK-Oxford Drug Discovery Institute, Centre for Medicines Discovery, Nuffield Department of
Medicine, University of Oxford, UK - Franziska Guenther, Katherine S England, Emma J Murphy, Paul
Brennan, John B Davis

Waters Corporation, Wilmslow, Cheshire, UK - Malcolm Anderson

Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences – Oxford Institute, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University
of Oxford, UK - Adán Pinto-Fernández, Benedikt M Kessler

Cancer Research Horizons, Francis Crick Institute, London, UK - Andrew P Turnbull

Author Contributions

JD and BMK designed the study. DPOB, HBLJ, FG, EM, MA, APT, and BMK wrote the first manuscript
draft. DPOB, HBLJ, FG, EM, MA, and APF designed and performed the experiments. APT designed and
performed the molecular docking. All authors discussed the results and commented on the
manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We wish to express thanks to Drs Roman Fischer and Iolanda Vendrell from the Oxford Discovery
Proteomics Facility for their help with ABPP-MS data acquisition. We would like to thank Daryl S Walter
from Evotec and Jeff Schkeryantz from Bristol Myers Squibb for their highly useful project discussions.
Our gratitude goes to Alzheimer's Research UK for their support and funding for the ARUK-Oxford
Drug Discovery Institute (grant no. ARUK-2021DDI-OX). We would also like to thank our other funders,
including the Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (CAMS) Innovation Fund for Medical Science
(CIFMS), China (grant number: 2018-I2M-2-002) (BMK, APF), Bayer (BMK), the late Mr & Mrs James
Hardwick for funding the ODDI Medicinal Chemistry Team and also the G & K Boyes Charitable Trust.

Abbreviations Used

Ub, Ubiquitin; UPS, Ubiquitin Proteasome System; PD, Parkinson's Disease; MOM, Mitochondrial Outer Membrane; SAR, Structure Activity Relationship; USP30, Ubiquitin Specific Protease 30; DUB, Deubiquitinase; ABPP-MS, Activity-Based Protein Profiling Mass Spectrometry; LFQ, Label-Free Quantitation; DIA, Data Independent Acquisition; HDX-MS, Hydrogen Deuterium eXchange Mass Spectrometry; PDB, Protein Data Bank.

REFERENCES

1.   Popovic, D.; Vucic, D.; Dikic, I., Ubiquitination in disease pathogenesis and treatment. *Nat Med* **2014,** *20* (11), 1242-53.
2.   Ciechanover, A., The ubiquitin proteolytic system and pathogenesis of human diseases: a novel platform for mechanism-based drug targeting. *Biochem Soc Trans* **2003,** *31* (2), 474-81.
3.   Pickart, C. M.; Eddins, M. J., Ubiquitin: structures, functions, mechanisms. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **2004,** *1695* (1-3), 55-72.
4.   Mulder, M. P.; Witting, K.; Berlin, I.; Pruneda, J. N.; Wu, K. P.; Chang, J. G.; Merkx, R.; Bialas, J.; Groettrup, M.; Vertegaal, A. C.; Schulman, B. A.; Komander, D.; Neefjes, J.; El Oualid, F.; Ovaa, H., A cascading activity-based probe sequentially targets E1-E2-E3 ubiquitin enzymes. *Nat Chem Biol* **2016,** *12* (7), 523-30.
5.   Pollock, L.; Jardine, J.; Urbe, S.; Clague, M. J., The PINK1 repertoire: Not just a one trick pony. *Bioessays* **2021,** *43* (11), e2100168.
6.   Bingol, B.; Sheng, M., Mechanisms of mitophagy: PINK1, Parkin, USP30 and beyond. *Free Radic Biol Med* **2016,** *100*, 210-222.
7.   Komander, D.; Clague, M. J.; Urbe, S., Breaking the chains: structure and function of the deubiquitinases. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **2009,** *10* (8), 550-63.
8.   Nguyen, T. N.; Padman, B. S.; Lazarou, M., Deciphering the Molecular Signals of PINK1/Parkin Mitophagy. *Trends Cell Biol* **2016,** *26* (10), 733-744.
9.   Marcassa, E.; Kallinos, A.; Jardine, J.; Rusilowicz-Jones, E. V.; Clague, M. J.; Urbe, S., New aspects of USP30 biology in the regulation of pexophagy. *Autophagy* **2019,** *15* (9), 1634-1637.
10.   Gersch, M.; Gladkova, C.; Schubert, A. F.; Michel, M. A.; Maslen, S.; Komander, D., Mechanism and regulation of the Lys6-selective deubiquitinase USP30. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **2017,** *24* (11), 920-930.
11.   Sato, Y.; Okatsu, K.; Saeki, Y.; Yamano, K.; Matsuda, N.; Kaiho, A.; Yamagata, A.; Goto-Ito, S.; Ishikawa, M.; Hashimoto, Y.; Tanaka, K.; Fukai, S., Structural basis for specific cleavage of Lys6-linked polyubiquitin chains by USP30. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **2017,** *24* (11), 911-919.
12.   Pickrell, A. M.; Youle, R. J., The roles of PINK1, parkin, and mitochondrial fidelity in Parkinson's disease. *Neuron* **2015,** *85* (2), 257-73.
13.   Agarwal, S.; Muqit, M. M. K., PTEN-induced kinase 1 (PINK1) and Parkin: Unlocking a mitochondrial quality control pathway linked to Parkinson's disease. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **2022,** *72*, 111-119.
14.   Kitada, T.; Asakawa, S.; Hattori, N.; Matsumine, H.; Yamamura, Y.; Minoshima, S.; Yokochi, M.; Mizuno, Y.; Shimizu, N., Mutations in the parkin gene cause autosomal recessive juvenile parkinsonism. *Nature* **1998,** *392* (6676), 605-8.
15.   Valente, E. M.; Abou-Sleiman, P. M.; Caputo, V.; Muqit, M. M.; Harvey, K.; Gispert, S.; Ali, Z.; Del Turco, D.; Bentivoglio, A. R.; Healy, D. G.; Albanese, A.; Nussbaum, R.; Gonzalez-Maldonado, R.; Deller, T.; Salvi, S.; Cortelli, P.; Gilks, W. P.; Latchman, D. S.; Harvey, R. J.; Dallapiccola, B.;

Auburger, G.; Wood, N. W., Hereditary early-onset Parkinson's disease caused by mutations in PINK1.
Science **2004,** *304* (5674), 1158-60.
16.   Yue, W.; Chen, Z.; Liu, H.; Yan, C.; Chen, M.; Feng, D.; Yan, C.; Wu, H.; Du, L.; Wang, Y.;
Liu, J.; Huang, X.; Xia, L.; Liu, L.; Wang, X.; Jin, H.; Wang, J.; Song, Z.; Hao, X.; Chen, Q., A small
natural molecule promotes mitochondrial fusion through inhibition of the deubiquitinase USP30. *Cell*
Res **2014,** *24* (4), 482-96.
17.   Rusilowicz-Jones, E. V.; Jardine, J.; Kallinos, A.; Pinto-Fernandez, A.; Guenther, F.;
Giurrandino, M.; Barone, F. G.; McCarron, K.; Burke, C. J.; Murad, A.; Martinez, A.; Marcassa, E.;
Gersch, M.; Buckmelter, A. J.; Kayser-Bricker, K. J.; Lamoliatte, F.; Gajbhiye, A.; Davis, S.; Scott, H.
C.; Murphy, E.; England, K.; Mortiboys, H.; Komander, D.; Trost, M.; Kessler, B. M.; Ioannidis, S.;
Ahlijanian, M. K.; Urbe, S.; Clague, M. J., USP30 sets a trigger threshold for PINK1-PARKIN amplification
of mitochondrial ubiquitylation. *Life Sci Alliance* **2020,** *3* (8).
18.   Wang, F.; Gao, Y.; Zhou, L.; Chen, J.; Xie, Z.; Ye, Z.; Wang, Y., USP30: Structure, Emerging
Physiological Role, and Target Inhibition. *Front Pharmacol* **2022,** *13*, 851654.
19.   Kluge, A. F.; Lagu, B. R.; Maiti, P.; Jaleel, M.; Webb, M.; Malhotra, J.; Mallat, A.; Srinivas, P.
A.; Thompson, J. E., Novel highly selective inhibitors of ubiquitin specific protease 30 (USP30)
accelerate mitophagy. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* **2018,** *28* (15), 2655-2659.
20.   Rusilowicz-Jones, E. V.; Barone, F. G.; Lopes, F. M.; Stephen, E.; Mortiboys, H.; Urbe, S.;
Clague, M. J., Benchmarking a highly selective USP30 inhibitor for enhancement of mitophagy and
pexophagy. *Life Sci Alliance* **2022,** *5* (2).
21.   Engen, J. R.; Wales, T. E., Analytical Aspects of Hydrogen Exchange Mass Spectrometry. *Annu*
Rev Anal Chem (Palo Alto Calif) **2015,** *8*, 127-48.
22.   Marciano, D. P.; Dharmarajan, V.; Griffin, P. R., HDX-MS guided drug discovery: small
molecules and biopharmaceuticals. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* **2014,** *28*, 105-11.
23.   Demichev, V.; Messner, C. B.; Vernardis, S. I.; Lilley, K. S.; Ralser, M., DIA-NN: neural networks
and interference correction enable deep proteome coverage in high throughput. *Nat Methods* **2020,**
17 (1), 41-44.
24.   Jones, H. B. L.; Heilig, R.; Davis, S.; Fischer, R.; Kessler, B. M.; Pinto-Fernandez, A., ABPP-HT*-
Deep Meets Fast for Activity-Based Profiling of Deubiquitylating Enzymes Using Advanced DIA Mass
Spectrometry Methods. *Int J Mol Sci* **2022,** *23* (6).
25.   Komander, D., Mechanism, specificity and structure of the deubiquitinases. *Subcell Biochem*
2010, *54*, 69-87.
26.   Clague, M. J.; Urbe, S.; Komander, D., Breaking the chains: deubiquitylating enzyme specificity
begets function. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **2019,** *20* (6), 338-352.
27.   Jones, H. B. L.; Heilig, R.; Fischer, R.; Kessler, B. M.; Pinto-Fernandez, A., ABPP-HT - High-
Throughput Activity-Based Profiling of Deubiquitylating Enzyme Inhibitors in a Cellular Context. *Front*
Chem **2021,** *9*, 640105.
28.   Krippendorff, B. F.; Neuhaus, R.; Lienau, P.; Reichel, A.; Huisinga, W., Mechanism-based
inhibition: deriving K(I) and k(inact) directly from time-dependent IC(50) values. *J Biomol Screen* **2009,**
14 (8), 913-23.
29.   Cunningham, C. N.; Baughman, J. M.; Phu, L.; Tea, J. S.; Yu, C.; Coons, M.; Kirkpatrick, D. S.;
Bingol, B.; Corn, J. E., USP30 and parkin homeostatically regulate atypical ubiquitin chains on
mitochondria. *Nat Cell Biol* **2015,** *17* (2), 160-9.
30.   Turnbull, A. P.; Ioannidis, S.; Krajewski, W. W.; Pinto-Fernandez, A.; Heride, C.; Martin, A. C.
L.; Tonkin, L. M.; Townsend, E. C.; Buker, S. M.; Lancia, D. R.; Caravella, J. A.; Toms, A. V.; Charlton,
T. M.; Lahdenranta, J.; Wilker, E.; Follows, B. C.; Evans, N. J.; Stead, L.; Alli, C.; Zarayskiy, V. V.;
Talbot, A. C.; Buckmelter, A. J.; Wang, M.; McKinnon, C. L.; Saab, F.; McGouran, J. F.; Century, H.;
Gersch, M.; Pittman, M. S.; Marshall, C. G.; Raynham, T. M.; Simcox, M.; Stewart, L. M. D.;
McLoughlin, S. B.; Escobedo, J. A.; Bair, K. W.; Dinsmore, C. J.; Hammonds, T. R.; Kim, S.; Urbe, S.;
Clague, M. J.; Kessler, B. M.; Komander, D., Molecular basis of USP7 inhibition by selective small-
molecule inhibitors. *Nature* **2017,** *550* (7677), 481-486.

31.   O'Brien, D. P.; Durand, D.; Voegele, A.; Hourdel, V.; Davi, M.; Chamot-Rooke, J.; Vachette,
P.; Brier, S.; Ladant, D.; Chenal, A., Calmodulin fishing with a structurally disordered bait triggers CyaA
catalysis. *PLoS Biol* **2017,** *15* (12), e2004486.
32.   Valdes-Tresanco, M. S.; Valdes-Tresanco, M. E.; Valiente, P. A.; Moreno, E., AMDock: a
versatile graphical tool for assisting molecular docking with Autodock Vina and Autodock4. *Biol Direct*
2020, *15* (1), 12.
33.   Kategaya, L.; Di Lello, P.; Rouge, L.; Pastor, R.; Clark, K. R.; Drummond, J.; Kleinheinz, T.;
Lin, E.; Upton, J. P.; Prakash, S.; Heideker, J.; McCleland, M.; Ritorto, M. S.; Alessi, D. R.; Trost, M.;
Bainbridge, T. W.; Kwok, M. C. M.; Ma, T. P.; Stiffler, Z.; Brasher, B.; Tang, Y.; Jaishankar, P.; Hearn,
B. R.; Renslo, A. R.; Arkin, M. R.; Cohen, F.; Yu, K.; Peale, F.; Gnad, F.; Chang, M. T.; Klijn, C.;
Blackwood, E.; Martin, S. E.; Forrest, W. F.; Ernst, J. A.; Ndubaku, C.; Wang, X.; Beresini, M. H.; Tsui,
V.; Schwerdtfeger, C.; Blake, R. A.; Murray, J.; Maurer, T.; Wertz, I. E., USP7 small-molecule inhibitors
interfere with ubiquitin binding. *Nature* **2017,** *550* (7677), 534-538.
34.   Honsho, M.; Okumoto, K.; Tamura, S.; Fujiki, Y., Peroxisome Biogenesis Disorders. *Adv Exp*
Med Biol **2020,** *1299*, 45-54.
35.   Schmidt, M. F.; Gan, Z. Y.; Komander, D.; Dewson, G., Ubiquitin signalling in
neurodegeneration: mechanisms and therapeutic opportunities. *Cell Death Differ* **2021,** *28* (2), 570-
590.
36.   Pan, W.; Wang, Y.; Bai, X.; Yin, Y.; Dai, L.; Zhou, H.; Wu, Q.; Wang, Y., Deubiquitinating
enzyme USP30 negatively regulates mitophagy and accelerates myocardial cell senescence through
antagonism of Parkin. *Cell Death Discov* **2021,** *7* (1), 187.
37.   Bingol, B.; Tea, J. S.; Phu, L.; Reichelt, M.; Bakalarski, C. E.; Song, Q.; Foreman, O.;
Kirkpatrick, D. S.; Sheng, M., The mitochondrial deubiquitinase USP30 opposes parkin-mediated
mitophagy. *Nature* **2014,** *510* (7505), 370-5.
38.   Liang, J. R.; Martinez, A.; Lane, J. D.; Mayor, U.; Clague, M. J.; Urbe, S., USP30 deubiquitylates
mitochondrial Parkin substrates and restricts apoptotic cell death. *EMBO Rep* **2015,** *16* (5), 618-27.
39.   Riccio, V.; Demers, N.; Hua, R.; Vissa, M.; Cheng, D. T.; Strilchuk, A. W.; Wang, Y.;
McQuibban, G. A.; Kim, P. K., Deubiquitinating enzyme USP30 maintains basal peroxisome abundance
by regulating pexophagy. *J Cell Biol* **2019,** *218* (3), 798-807.
40.   Harrigan, J. A.; Jacq, X.; Martin, N. M.; Jackson, S. P., Deubiquitylating enzymes and drug
discovery: emerging opportunities. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **2018,** *17* (1), 57-78.
41.   Borodovsky, A.; Ovaa, H.; Kolli, N.; Gan-Erdene, T.; Wilkinson, K. D.; Ploegh, H. L.; Kessler,
B. M., Chemistry-based functional proteomics reveals novel members of the deubiquitinating enzyme
family. *Chem Biol* **2002,** *9* (10), 1149-59.
42.   Pinto-Fernandez, A.; Davis, S.; Schofield, A. B.; Scott, H. C.; Zhang, P.; Salah, E.; Mathea, S.;
Charles, P. D.; Damianou, A.; Bond, G.; Fischer, R.; Kessler, B. M., Comprehensive Landscape of Active
Deubiquitinating Enzymes Profiled by Advanced Chemoproteomics. *Front Chem* **2019,** *7*, 592.
43.   HaileMariam, M.; Eguez, R. V.; Singh, H.; Bekele, S.; Ameni, G.; Pieper, R.; Yu, Y., S-Trap, an
Ultrafast Sample-Preparation Approach for Shotgun Proteomics. *J Proteome Res* **2018,** *17* (9), 2917-
2924.
44.   Brown, K. A.; Wilson, D. J., Bottom-up hydrogen deuterium exchange mass spectrometry: data
analysis and interpretation. *Analyst* **2017,** *142* (16), 2874-2886.
45.   Hourdel, V.; Volant, S.; O'Brien, D. P.; Chenal, A.; Chamot-Rooke, J.; Dillies, M. A.; Brier, S.,
MEMHDX: an interactive tool to expedite the statistical validation and visualization of large HDX-MS
datasets. *Bioinformatics* **2016,** *32* (22), 3413-3419.
46.   Winn, M. D.; Ballard, C. C.; Cowtan, K. D.; Dodson, E. J.; Emsley, P.; Evans, P. R.; Keegan, R.
M.; Krissinel, E. B.; Leslie, A. G.; McCoy, A.; McNicholas, S. J.; Murshudov, G. N.; Pannu, N. S.;
Potterton, E. A.; Powell, H. R.; Read, R. J.; Vagin, A.; Wilson, K. S., Overview of the CCP4 suite and
current developments. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **2011,** *67* (Pt 4), 235-42.