Nanobody engineering for SARS-CoV-2 neutralization and detection

Liina Hannula[1], Suvi Kuivanen[2], Jonathan Lasham[3], Ravi Kant[2,4†], Lauri Kareinen[2,4†], Mariia Bogacheva[2], Tomas Strandin[4], Tarja Sironen[2,4], Vivek Sharma[1,3], Petri Saviranta[5], Anja Kipar[4,6,7], Olli Vapalahti[2,4,8], Juha T. Huiskonen[1] and Ilona Rissanen[1, *].

[1]*Institute of Biotechnology, Helsinki Institute of Life Science HiLIFE, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.*

[2]*Department of Virology, Medicum, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.*

[3]*Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.*

[4]*Department of Veterinary Biosciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.*

[5]*VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland Ltd., FI-02044 VTT, Finland.*

[6]*Laboratory for Animal Model Pathology, Institute of Veterinary Pathology, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland.*

[7]*Department of Infection Biology & Microbiomes, Institute of Infection, Veterinary and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L3 3RF, UK.*

[8]*HUSLAB, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland.*

[†] Equal contributions

[*]Corresponding author

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, nanobody, virus neutralization, neutralization escape

Abstract

In response to the ongoing SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, the quest for coronavirus inhibitors has

inspired research on a variety of small proteins beyond conventional antibodies, including

robust single-domain antibody fragments, 'nanobodies'. Here, we explore the potential of

nanobody engineering in the development of antivirals and diagnostic tools. Through fusion of

nanobody domains that target distinct binding sites, we engineered multimodular nanobody

constructs that neutralize wild-type SARS-CoV-2 and the Alpha and Delta variants with high

potency, with IC50 values up to 50 pM. However, we observed a limitation in the efficacy of

multimodular nanobodies against the Beta (B.1.351) and Omicron variants (B.1.1.529),

underlining the importance of accounting for viral evolution in the design of biologics. To

further explore the applications of nanobody engineering in outbreak management, we present

a novel detection assay, based on fusions of nanobodies with fragments of NanoLuc luciferase

that can detect sub-nanomolar quantities of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein in a single step.

Our work showcases the potential of nanobody engineering to combat emerging infectious

disease.

Introduction

Antibody-based products comprise some of the most successful diagnostic and therapeutic

tools developed for managing the COVID-19 pandemic, ranging from rapid antigen tests for

SARS-CoV-2 infection (1, 2) to neutralizing monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) used to treat

COVID-19 in individuals at risk of severe disease (2, 3). Neutralizing antibodies against SARS-

CoV-2 primarily target the Spike (S) protein (4, 5), a glycoprotein mediating host-cell

recognition and viral entry (6). SARS-CoV-2 spikes are homotrimers, with each chain

consisting of receptor-binding (S1) and fusogenic (S2) subunits (7). S1 contains the receptor-

binding domain (RBD) which mediates binding to the primary cellular receptor of SARS-CoV-2, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) (6, 8, 9). Following receptor binding, S2 subunit, a class I fusion protein, is activated by proteolytic cleavage and mediates fusion of the viral and cell membranes, delivering viral RNA to the cytoplasm (8, 10).

Due to its key role in initiating infection, the SARS-CoV-2 spike is the primary target of vaccines (11) and monoclonal antibody therapy (2). The continued efficacy of these powerful approaches is challenged by the emergence of SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern (VOCs) that display multiple amino acid substitutions in the S-protein (12-14). Following the spread of variants Alpha (B.1.1.7), Beta (B.1.351) and Delta (B.1.617.2) (15-20), Omicron (B.1.1.529) has become the dominant circulating variant in 2022, with new Omicron sub-variants still emerging (21). VOC amino acid changes, including E484K found in Beta and Omicron, can reduce neutralization by antibodies raised against the 'wild-type' SARS-CoV-2 (titled B.1 or Wuhan-Hu-1) (12, 15, 16, 19, 22). Current efforts to mitigate effects of immune escape on antibody-based COVID-19 countermeasures include use of antibody cocktails (23, 24) and development of new antibody-based products, including camelid single-domain antibody fragments ('nanobodies') (25).

In contrast to traditional mAbs, nanobodies are small (~15 kDa) and offer advantages including nebulized delivery and scalable, cost-effective production in bacterial expression systems (26, 27). During the COVID-19 pandemic, antiviral nanobodies have garnered significant interest, resulting in discovery and structural characterization of several SARS-CoV-2-neutralizing nanobodies (27-37). Furthermore, as nanobodies comprise self-contained modules, they can be engineered into fusion proteins with enhanced properties. Pioneering studies have started to chart the potential of engineered nanobodies as virus inhibitors (38, 39), but diagnostic applications are largely unexplored. While RT-qPCR remains the gold standard for clinical diagnosis, rapid diagnostic tests designed to detect viral antigens with conventional antibodies

(40) are extensively applied in nonhospital settings. To our knowledge, nanobodies have not

previously been used for viral antigen detection in commercialized assays.

Here, we explore engineering nanobody fusions for enhanced neutralization and development

of a novel rapid-antigen assay. Tri-modular fusions of selected nanobodies showed up to a

thousandfold enhancement of the *in vitro* neutralization efficiency against wild-type SARS-

CoV-2 as compared to the reported efficiencies of constituent nanobodies (28-30, 41).

Nanobody fusions were further engineered to produce proof-of-concept for a novel diagnostic

assay, which applies nanobodies fused to fragments of a split signal molecule, NanoLuc

luciferase (42-45), and allows the detection of picomolar concentrations of SARS-CoV-2 spike

protein in a single step. Overall, our study shows the potential for engineered nanobodies as

antiviral and diagnostic agents, which we envision can offer affordable and scalable

countermeasures during future outbreaks of emerging viral diseases.

Results

Structure-guided design of multimodular nanobodies. Inspired by the trimeric structure of

the coronaviral spike (Figure 1), we sought to develop an approach for targeting all RBDs

simultaneously to enhance SARS-CoV-2 inhibition. Cryogenic electron microscopy (cryo-

EM) studies have identified two SARS-CoV-2 RBD conformations, 'up' and 'down' (46, 47),

where putative epitopes on neighboring RBDs are in proximity, within 40 to 74 Å from each

other (SI Figure S1). To develop a tripartite binder that could be sterically accommodated

within these tight constraints, we selected nanobodies, the smallest antibody-based protein

inhibitors, as the base unit for multimodularization.

We designed three trimodular nanobodies (Figure 1B) using the sequences of four previously

published monomeric nanobodies, Ty1 (28), H11-H4 (29), MR17-K99Y (30) and VHH V (41),

reported to neutralize wild-type SARS-CoV-2 with IC50 (half-maximal inhibitory

concentration) values ranging from 38 to 142 nM (SI Table S1). These modules were selected

based on two criteria: (i) distinct epitope and angle of binding to RBD (Figure 1C and SI Table

S1), (ii) spatial proximity of the epitopes in the context of the SARS-CoV-2 spike, facilitating

simultaneous binding of all modules (Figure 1).

Multimodular nanobodies were constructed by fusing three nanobodies together with flexible

linkers of twenty amino acids (GGGGSx4) with the aim to improve binding avidity (26, 39,

48-50). Here, construct compositions were selected to test i) the effect of triplicating a single

module on SARS-CoV-2 neutralization, and ii) whether the inclusion of variable modules helps

mitigate neutralization escape (41). To this end, multimodular constructs tri-Ty1 (comprised

of three Ty1 modules (28)), tri-TMH, and tri-TMV (Figure 1B) were generated, with tri-TMH

and tri-TMV comprised of **T**y1 (28) and **M**R17-K99Y (30) modules, followed by either a **H**11-

H4 (29) or VHH **V** (41) module, respectively.

Multimodular nanobodies bind variant forms of the RBD. Amino acid changes observed

in SARS-CoV-2 VOCs have been linked to escape from antibody-mediated neutralization (15,

16, 51, 52) due to reduced affinity to epitopes with altered amino acids. To determine how

RBD amino acid changes K417N, E484K, and N501Y impact multimodular nanobodies, we

tested their binding to RBD and spike mutants in an antigen microarray. Nanobodies tri-Ty1,

tri-TMH, and tri-TMV were tested against (i) wild-type RBD, (ii-iv) three RBD variants that

displayed either K417N, E484K, or N501Y amino acid change, (v) wild-type S1 subunit, and

(vi) a S1 subunit displaying amino acid changes K417N, E484K, N501Y, and D614G. Tri-

TMH and tri-TMV each contain three distinct nanobody modules targeting a broad range of

residues, and therefore were expected to be less sensitive to amino acid changes than tri-Ty1.

The results support this hypothesis, showing that binding of tri-Ty1 to RBD was strongly

decreased by the E484K change, while this effect was mitigated in tri-TMH and tri-TMV

(Figure 2). Amino acid changes K417N or N501Y did not notably alter binding.

Multimodular nanobodies potently neutralize SARS-CoV-2 wild-type and Alpha. We

determined the neutralization potency of multimodular nanobodies against SARS-CoV-2

variants *in vitro*, using a plaque-reduction neutralization assay in VeroE6-TMPRSS2 cells

(Figure 3). Multimodular nanobodies neutralized wild-type virus (50 pfu) at ultra-high potency,

with IC50 values ranging from 160.9 pM for tri-Ty1, to 83.66 pM for tri-TMV and 50.11 pM

for tri-TMH. This result shows that multimodular structure improves neutralization efficacy

from constituent nanobodies up to thousand-fold. Neutralization was also tested with virus

administered at 1 MOI, where the relative efficacies of the nanobodies followed the same trends

as in the 50 pfu assay, although at lower IC50-values ranging from 400 pM to 2 nM (SI Figure

S5).

To investigate the capability of multimodular nanobodies to neutralize SARS-CoV-2 variants,

we tested tri-TMH, the most potent neutralizer of wild-type SARS-CoV-2, against the VOCs

Alpha, Beta, Delta, and Omicron. Tri-TMH neutralizes SARS-CoV-2 Alpha variant with

equivalent potency to wild-type (IC50 = 50.23 pM), and Delta with decreased potency

(estimated IC50 ~600 pM). Beta and Omicron variants, however, escaped

neutralization (Figure 3B). This contrasts with the antigen microarray data (Figure 2), where

nanobodies with three distinct modules were found to retain most of their binding to RBD with

this mutation, which may be due to the sensitive binding array method detecting weak binding

insufficient for neutralization.

The inhibitory effect observed in neutralization assays against SARS-CoV-2 wild-type was

recapitulated in an animal model for SARS-CoV-2 infection. We tested the *in vivo* efficacy of

the tri-TMH nanobody, the most potent neutralizer identified by our *in vitro* neutralization

assays, in 8-week-old Syrian golden hamsters. A 30 ug dose of nanobody was administered to

six hamsters intranasally six hours before infection with wild-type (Wuhan-Hu-1) SARS-CoV-

2. After three days, the hamsters were euthanized, and tissue samples taken. SARS-CoV-2 was

detected and quantified in the lung tissue of the nanobody group and an untreated control group

via RT-qPCR of RdRp and E genes, and via a morphometric immunohistology assay. Both

RT-qPCR and morphometric analysis of lung sections revealed a ~70% reduction of viral

antigen positive tissue area and a decrease in viral gene expression in nanobody-treated animals

(Figure 3, panel C; Figure S4).

Cryo-EM analysis reveals the conformational landscape of SARS-CoV-2 spike bound to

a multimodular nanobody. While many individual nanobody modules and their binding

epitopes on the SARS-CoV-2 spike have been structurally characterized, these reconstructions

do not account for the potential steric constraints imposed by linker-bound modules in

multimodular nanobodies (29-37, 53). We applied cryo-EM to determine the binding mode of

multimodular nanobody tri-TMH to spike.

The natural variation in SARS-CoV-2 spike conformation leads to the presence of distinct

subpopulations in cryo-EM data, primarily fully closed ("all down") and partially open ("1

up") states (4, 7, 54). While differential distributions of the two states have been reported,

native spikes on the viral surface show 31% and 55% of fully closed and partially open

conformations, respectively (54). Furthermore, certain neutralizing antibodies have been

shown to disrupt the conformation of the spike due to steric incompatibility with the prefusion

state (55, 56).

We set out to determine how nanobody tri-TMH impacts the conformation of the spike. Our

cryo-EM data show the spike retaining a prefusion conformation, with subpopulations

presenting the closed and partially open states. Both states are fully bound with tri-TMH

(Figure 4A), although due to the variable placement of the modules, local resolution does not

allow the unambiguous identification of individual nanobody moieties. Our results indicate that

the inclusion of multiple simultaneously binding modules, linked by $(GGGGS)_4$ linkers, does

not result in sufficient steric strain to disrupt the prefusion conformation, allowing the native

distribution of RBD conformational states. We postulate that the increased potency of

multimodular inhibitors is primarily due to enhanced avidity, not altered mechanistic

properties.

Molecular dynamics simulations indicate re-arrangement of nanobody-RBD interface as

a result of amino acid changes present in VOC. Following the cryo-EM analysis, we sought

to elucidate the molecular basis for neutralization evasion as observed for VOC Beta (Figure

3) via molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. Interestingly, many nanobodies, as well as

antibodies derived from B cells of COVID-19 convalescent and vaccinated individuals, show

a salt bridge between an antibody scaffold arginine (R52 in nanobodies) and residue E484 of

the RBD (15, 16). As amino acid change E484K is linked to neutralization evasion by VOCs

(16, 22, 57), we sought to determine how E484K impacts the interface of RBD and nanobody

modules included in tri-TMH. MD simulations of both WT RBD and E484K RBD were

performed with the individual nanobody modules.

For modules MR17-K99Y and H11-H4, the R52-E484 salt bridge was identified to be

extremely stable throughout the WT simulations and in multiple independent simulation

replicas (ca. 95 % of total simulation time). However, when amino acid change E484K was

simulated, the interaction to R52 was rapidly broken (within 1 ns). The dissociation of the salt

bridge as a consequence of charge change from anionic glutamate to positively charged lysine

contributed to higher instability of the E484K RBD, with the surrounding loop becoming more

mobile (Figure S2 and S3, Figure 4D-E). In addition, the number of hydrogen bonds between

RBD and the nanobody modules decreased in the E484K systems (Figure 4B). Most of these

disrupted hydrogen bonds were in the conserved RBD binding epitope (Figure 4D-E).

Additional simulations were performed with the full set of VOC mutations in the RBD. Here,

the Alpha and Delta VOC, where E484 is preserved, behaved similarly to the WT systems.

However, both Beta and Omicron VOC, where E484 is substituted by lysine and alanine

respectively, showed higher instability (SI Figure S2) and a decrease in hydrogen bonding

interactions throughout the simulation (Figure 4C). These data indicate that E484 is central for

strong nanobody binding.

Nanobodies fused to split nanoluciferase fragments detect SARS-CoV-2 Spike at

picomolar concentrations. Here, we applied nanobody engineering in the development of a

SARS-CoV-2 detection assay. The modular nature of nanobodies makes them amenable to

fusion with signal molecules, and their small size allows the targeting of proximal epitopes,

such as those presented by the three subunits of the SARS-CoV-2 spike. These properties align

with the principle of protein-fragment complementation assays, where the activity of a split

signal molecule is restored once the split fragments are brought into close proximity by the

interaction of proteins fused to the fragments (58). Nanobodies shown in Figure 1 target

epitopes in the RBD, and we hypothesized that, when fused to split signal molecule fragments,

their binding to three spike subunits reconstitutes the signal molecule, allowing sensitive

detection in a single step.

We selected the split version of NanoLuc, an engineered 19-kDa luciferase with enhanced

stability and brightness (43, 44), as the signal molecule for the assay. RBD-binding nanobody

Ty1 (28) was fused with fragments of NanoLuc, titled SmBit or LgBit (42, 44), using flexible

(GGGGS)$_4$ linkers to connect nanobodies with signal molecule fragments (Figure 5). We

performed proof-of-principle experiments to gauge the ability of the nanobody-nanoluciferase

fusions to detect recombinantly produced SARS-CoV-2 Spike in solution. 10 nM

concentrations of each nanobody fusion, one fused to the LgBit and the other to the SmBit

fragment, were mixed with a dilution series of either recombinant spike or negative control

protein (bovine serum albumin, BSA) and incubated for 15 minutes before substrate addition

and luminescence measurement. The results show that the spike signal can be distinguished

from an equimolar concentration of the negative control protein at a concentration as low as

200 pM (Figure 5). These proof-of-principle experiments demonstrate the potential of

nanobodies for diagnostic agent development.

Discussion

In this study, we created potent SARS-CoV-2 neutralizers and a detection assay concept

through fusions of spike-targeted nanobody modules. Our multimodular nanobodies were

linked together with (GGGGS)$_4$-linkers to facilitate simultaneous binding to multiple epitopes

and to increase the avidity of binding. We observed a notable increase (up to 1000-fold) in the

neutralization potency of the multimodular nanobodies relative to the reported IC50 values of

the single constituent nanobodies (SI Table S1) in neutralization assays, with SARS-CoV-2

Alpha and Delta VOCs being neutralized, but not Beta and Omicron. This observation is in

line with recent works reporting nanobody multimerization increasing neutralization potency

(38, 41, 59, 60). Multimodular nanobodies showed IC50 values in the 50−160 pM range

(Figure 3A-B) in cell culture, and, in an animal model, prophylactic administration of tri-TMH

in the nasal cavity limited tissue damage in the lungs (Figure 3C).

Our MD simulation results indicate that the E484K amino acid change results in a loss of salt

bridge with conserved R52 and conformational rearrangement in the receptor-binding domain

(Figure 4), causing disruption of the nanobody binding interface and leading to neutralization

escape in Beta and Omicron variants. MD simulation data also revealed interaction trends (Fig

4, panels D and E) at the antigen interface that correlate with the experimentally observed

neutralization (Figure 3). We postulate that this approach, focused on simulating hydrogen

bonding networks and RMSD trends at the antigen interface may be applied to qualitatively

predict the potential for escape from specific neutralizers.

Drawing from protein-fragment complementation assays, we were inspired to combine

nanobody modules with signal molecules to develop new diagnostic tools. Our nanobody-

based assay was successful at detecting low concentrations of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. This

is in line with the limit of detection reported for other antigen tests, such as a FRET-based assay

(61) and similar to commercially available rapid point-of-care antigen tests (40). To our

knowledge, this is the first report of a nanobody-based detection approach that makes use of

the protein-fragment complementation toolkit. In contrast to monoclonal antibodies commonly

used in diagnostics, engineered nanobodies have multiple attractive properties, including cheap

and scalable production that does not require resource-intensive mammalian cell culture (48).

It is also becoming feasible to discover nanobodies from synthetic libraries, allowing the

transition away from conventional animal immunization prevalent in antibody generation (62).

We envision that this combination of nanobody modules with split signal molecules presents a

powerful platform for the rapid development of single-step detection assays for emerging

pathogens.

Emerging SARS-CoV-2 variants continue to challenge the efficacy of therapeutic strategies.

Nanobodies, being inexpensive and readily modified, show promise as inhibitor candidates and

diagnostic tools. Our work showcases the potential of nanobodies engineered to target viral

pathogens to improve preparedness for outbreaks of emerging infectious disease.

Materials and Methods

Production and purification of multimodular and luciferase-fused nanobodies. Synthetic genes encoding multimodular nanobodies (individual modules reported in (28-30, 41)) and nanobody fusions with split nanoluciferase (44, 45) were ordered cloned in expression vector pET100/D-TOPO (GeneArt, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Nanobodies were expressed in *Escherichia coli* Rosetta-gami 2 (DE3) cells (Novagen) in autoinduction media and purified through consecutive nickel affinity chromatography and size-exclusion chromatography (see Supplementary Methods).

Production and purification of recombinant SARS-CoV-2 S protein. SARS-CoV-2 spike was expressed from a synthetic cDNA template (GeneArt, Life Technologies) encoding the S protein ectodomain residues 14−1208 from the Wuhan-Hu-1 strain (NCBI Reference Sequence: YP_009724390.1) stabilized in the prefusion state (4, 7) with proline substitutions at residues 986 and 987, an abrogated furin S1/S2 cleavage site with a "GSAS" substitution at residues 682–685, and a C-terminal T4 fibritin trimerization motif. In our construct, the trimerization motif was followed by an HRV3C protease cleavage site, SpyTag003 (63), and 8xHisTag. The gene was cloned into the mammalian expression vector pHLsec (64) and transfected into Expi293F™ (Thermo Fisher Scientific) suspension cells for expression. The protein was purified through nickel affinity chromatography (see Supplementary Methods for further details).

Cryo-EM grid preparation, data acquisition and data processing. A 3-μl aliquot of a pure, prefusion SARS-CoV-2 S-trimer (0.3 mg/ml) mixed with tri-TMH (0.05 mg/ml) was applied on Quantifoil 1.2/1.3 grids (1.2-μm hole diameter, 200 mesh copper) that had been glow discharged in Plasma Cleaner PDC-002-CE (Harrick Plasma) for 30 s. The grids were blotted

for 6 s and plunged into liquid ethane using a vitrification apparatus (Vitrobot, Thermo Fisher

Scientific).

Data were collected on a Titan Krios transmission electron microscope (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) equipped with Gatan K2 direct electron detector. EPU v 2.11.0 software was used

to acquire micrographs, and images were collected with a dose of 1.38 e$^-$/Å^2 per image (SI

Table S2).

Data were processed in cryoSPARC (65). Movie frames were aligned and averaged to correct

for beam induced motion. Contrast transfer function (CTF) parameters were estimated using

CTFFIND4 (66). An initial set of particles, picked with the blob-picker, was classified and the

particles in good 2D classes were used to train Topaz particle picker (67, 68). A total of 91,601

particles were selected after cleaning the picked set with 2D classification. An initial volume

with C3 symmetry was calculated *ab initio*. The volumes were refined following a strategy

described in Supplementary Methods.

Model fitting into cryo-EM maps. Molecular models of the S trimer (PDB: 7A29) and

nanobody-RBD complexes (PDB: 6ZHD, 6ZXN, 7CAN) were fitted in the cryo-EM density

map with the "fitmap" function in USCF Chimera (69) (for a detailed description, see

Supplementary Methods).

Proof-of-concept tests for the detection assay with Split NanoLuc-nanobody fusions. A

triplicate dilution series of purified recombinant Spike in Tris buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5,

150 mM NaCl) was made on an opaque white 96-well plate. In each well, purified Ty1-LgBiT

and Ty1-SmBiT were added at 10 nM final concentration. The plate was incubated for 15

minutes at room temperature, after which nanoluciferase substrate coelenterazine H was added

to 200 nM concentration. Luminescence readings were measured with Perkin-Elmer EnSpire

multimode plate reader using the 'Luminescence' program. To determine the signal-to-noise

ratio in the luminescence reaction, the assay was performed on an equimolar dilution series of

BSA. To calculate the final luminescence measurement while taking noise into account, the

average readings of each triplicate sample were divided by corresponding averages from the

BSA controls.

Antigen array. Nanobodies were labelled with DyLight 633 in PBS supplemented with 50

mM sodium borate (pH 8.5) at 1 mg/mL protein concentration and 50 µM Dylight 633 NHS

ester (Thermo Fischer Scientific) at room temperature for 2h, followed by removal of unreacted

dye with Zeba Spin 7K MWCO desalting columns (Thermo Scientific).

Wild type and variant SARS-CoV-2 RBD and Spike S1 domains were biotinylated and arrayed

as duplicate spots (0.1 ng per spot) in the wells of streptavidin-coated microtitration plates

using a piezoelectric non-contact microarray dispenser (Nano-Plotter, GeSiM, Germany). The

antigens were purchased from the following sources: RBD wt (aa 319-541 of the S protein)

and S1 wt (aa 14-681 of the S protein) from Medix Biochemica; RBD single mutants K417N,

E484K and N501Y and S1(K417N, E484K, N501Y, D614G) quadruple mutant from

SinoBiological.

The antigen arrays in microplate wells were blocked with 50 µL of Assay buffer (Tris-buffered

saline (TBS), pH 8.0 + 0.05% Tween 20) per well for 30 min at RT, followed by three washes

with washing buffer (TBS containing 0.05% Tween 20). DyLight 633 -labelled nanobody

solutions (1 µg/mL in Assay buffer) were added 50 µL/well, incubated in a plate shaker at 600

rpm, RT for 1h, followed by three washes with washing buffer. Residual liquid droplets were

removed by centrifuging the plate upside down on a paper towel in a plate adapter (453 g, 1

min), after which the plate was let dry for 15 min in a 37°C room. The Dylight 633 -labelled

nanobodies bound to the arrayed antigens were detected by fluorescence scanning through the

clear bottom of the microplate with a Tecan LS400 confocal laser scanner, using a 633 nm laser

for excitation and a 670/25 emission filter.

The fluorescence scan images were analyzed with Array-Pro Analyzer software (Media

Cybernetics) and the raw spot signal data was exported to Microsoft Excel for further

calculations. Net signals were obtained by subtracting the well background from the raw spot

signals (average pixel intensity in the spot area), after which the spot signals were normalized

to the wild-type antigen spot signals in the same well: single RBD mutant signals were

expressed as percentage of the RBD wt signal whereas the quadruple S1 mutant signal was

expressed as percentage of the S1 wt signal.

Neutralization assays. VeroE6-TMPRSS2-H10 cells (61) were seeded to 96-well plates

(white-sided optically clear bottom PerkinElmer) in density 30 000 cells/well 24 h before the

assay. The nanobodies were diluted in series 1:150 000, 1:300 000, 1:600 000, 1:1 200 000,

1:1 240 000, and 1:4 800 000 in virus growth medium (VGM) containing MEM (Sigma, 2279),

2 % FBS, L-glutamine, and 1x penicillin-streptomycin. Diluted nanobodies were mixed with

50 pfu (Figure 3) or MOI 1 (SI Figure S5) (for wild-type, Alpha, Beta, and Delta) or MOI 0.2

(for Omicron) virus and incubated for 1 h at 37°C 5% CO_2. Thereafter, cells were treated with

the mixture of nanobodies and virus. The virus dilution in VGM without nanobodies was used

as a negative control and non-infected cells (MOCK) was used as a positive control of the

nanobody neutralization effectiveness. After 5 days of incubation the medium was removed,

and cells were treated with CellTiter-Glo 2.0 cell viability assay reagent (Promega, G9243) for

20 min at RT. Then, the cellular ATP was measured via the detection of luminescent signal

using HIDEX Sence microplate reader (Hidex Oy, Finland) Viability of the MOCK infected

cells was considered as 100 %. Neutralization efficacy percentage for each measurement was

calculated considering MOCK infected cells as 100% neutralization and untreated, virus

infected cells as 0% neutralization. Curve-fitting and IC50 calculation was performed for the

normalized neutralization data with GraphPad Prism version 9.2.0 for Windows, using the

Nonlinear regression method (Absolute IC50, X is concentration), with baseline constraint set

to zero.

Animal experiments. A total of 20 Syrian Golden hamsters (Scanbur, Karl Sloanestran,

Denmark) were moved to the University of Helsinki biosafety level-3 facility and allowed to

acclimatize to individually ventilated biocontainment cages (ISOcage; Scanbur, Karl

Sloanestran, Denmark) for seven days with ad libitum water and food (rodent pellets) prior to

infection.

For the main experiment, six 8-week-old male and female Syrian Golden hamsters received 30

µg of nanobody, in 0.61 mg/mL concentration, 6 h prior to intranasal infection with 5×10^4

SARS-CoV-2 (wt/D614G strain). The control group received an equal volume of PBS (n=4).

Euthanasia was performed under terminal isoflurane anaesthesia with cervical dislocation,

followed by dissection immediately after death. Samples were collected from the lungs for RT-

qPCR (see Supplementary Methods), and the remaining lung tissue, including trachea, heart,

oesophagus, and bronchial lymph nodes, was immersed in 10% buffered formalin. After 48h,

the tissue was stored in 70% ethanol until processing for histological and immunohistological

examination.

Histological, immunohistological and morphometrical analyses. Three to five cross

sections were prepared from the fixed lung tissue and routinely paraffin wax embedded.

Consecutive sections (3-5 µm) were prepared and stained with hematoxylin eosin (HE) for

histological examination or subjected to immunohistological staining for SARS-CoV-2 antigen

expression, using a previously published staining protocol (70). For immunohistology, the

horseradish peroxidase method was applied. Rabbit anti-SARS-CoV nucleocapsid protein

(Rockland, 200-402-A50) served as the primary antibody, and DAB (EnVision FLEX DAB+

Chromogen in Substrate buffer; Agilent) for visualization of antibody binding. All incubations

took place in an autostainer (Dako). Sections were subsequently counterstained with

haematoxylin.

For morphometric analysis, the immunostained sections were scanned (NanoZoomer-XR

C12000; Hamamatsu, Hamamatsu City, Japan) and analysed using the software programme

Visiopharm (Visiopharm 2020.08.1.8403; Visiopharm, Hoersholm, Denmark) to quantify the

area of viral antigen expression in relation to the total area (area occupied by lung parenchyma)

in the sections. This was used to compare the extent of viral antigen expression in the lungs

between untreated and treated animals. A first app was applied that outlined the entire lung

tissue as ROI (total area). For this a Decision Forest method was used and the software was

trained to detect the lung tissue section (total area). Once the lung section was outlined as ROI

the lumen of large bronchi and vessels was manually excluded from the ROI. Subsequently, a

second app with Decision Forest method was trained to detect viral antigen expression (as

brown DAB precipitate) within the ROI.

Molecular dynamics simulations of nanobody-RBD interface. MD simulations were

performed on the model systems constructed using the cryo-EM structure of nanobody

monomers and RBD (PDB 6ZXN, 7CAN, 6ZBP). During model system construction long

glycine linkers were removed. VMD psfgen tool (71) was employed to add missing hydrogen

atoms, make point mutations, and solvate the systems with TIP3P water and 0.1 M NaCl to

give a total system size of ca. 150,000 atoms. The force field for all components of the system

was CHARMM36 (72). GROMACS v20.3 (73) was used for all equilibration and production

simulations. First, the initial systems were minimized with restraints on heavy protein atoms

(20,000 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2}). Following convergence, a 100 ps NVT equilibration was performed

with the same restraints, followed by a 10 ns NPT with the restraints only on the protein

backbone atoms. All restraints were removed for the production runs, which employed the

LINCS algorithm (74) to achieve a 2 fs timestep and used the Nosé -Hoover thermostat (75,

76) to maintain 310 K temperature and the Parrinello-Rahman barostat (77) to maintain 1 atm

pressure. The electrostatic interactions were controlled by the particle mesh Ewald method (78)

with a 12 Å cutoff, while the van der Waals cutoff was also 12 Å, with a switching distance of

10 Å. Multiple independent simulation replicas were performed and trajectories were

visualized and analyzed using VMD (71) and Pymol (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System,

Version 1.2r3pre, Schrödinger, LLC). Table S3 lists system setups and their respective lengths.

Molecular graphics. Molecular graphics images were generated using PyMOL (The PyMOL

Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.4.0a0, Schrödinger, LLC), UCSF Chimera (69), and

UCSF ChimeraX (79).

Data accession

Cryo-EM reconstructions of the nanobody-bound SARS-CoV-2 spike in the one-up and all-

down states have been deposited at EMDB under the accession codes EMD-XXXX and EMD-

YYYY.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the Academy of Finland (project number 336492/JH, 336490/OV, 339510/TS, 338176/VS, 336495/PS), VEO - European Union's Horizon 2020 (grant number 874735 to OV), the Jane and Aatos Erkko Foundation (to OV, VS), Sigrid Jusélius Foundation (to OV, VS), and Helsinki University Hospital Funds (TYH2018322 and TYH2021343 to OV). The authors acknowledge CSC – IT Center for Science, Finland, for computational resources. Cryo-EM data was collected at the Umeå Core Facility for Electron Microscopy, a node of the Cryo-EM Swedish National Facility, funded by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg, Family Erling Persson and Kempe Foundations, SciLifeLab, Stockholm University and Umeå University. The use of the facilities and expertise of the Instruct-HiLIFE cryo-EM unit, member of Biocenter Finland and Instruct-FI, is gratefully acknowledged, as well as the staff at HUSLAB Virology and Immunology for providing samples for virus isolation. Part of the work was carried out with the support of HiLIFE Laboratory Animal Centre Core Facility, University of Helsinki, Finland.

Competing interests statement. The authors declare no competing interest.

References

1.   Kevadiya BD, Machhi J, Herskovitz J, Oleynikov MD, Blomberg WR, Bajwa N, Soni

D, Das S, Hasan M, Patel M, Senan AM, Gorantla S, McMillan J, Edagwa B, Eisenberg R,

Gurumurthy CB, Reid SPM, Punyadeera C, Chang L, Gendelman HE. Diagnostics for SARS-

CoV-2 infections. Nat Mater. 2021;20(5):593-605. doi:10.1038/s41563-020-00906-z

2.   Hwang YC, Lu RM, Su SC, Chiang PY, Ko SH, Ke FY, Liang KH, Hsieh TY, Wu HC.

Monoclonal antibodies for COVID-19 therapy and SARS-CoV-2 detection. J Biomed Sci.

2022;29(1):1. doi:10.1186/s12929-021-00784-w

3.   Torrente-Lopez A, Hermosilla J, Navas N, Cuadros-Rodriguez L, Cabeza J, Salmeron-

Garcia A. The Relevance of Monoclonal Antibodies in the Treatment of COVID-19. Vaccines

(Basel). 2021;9(6). doi:10.3390/vaccines9060557

4.   Walls AC, Park YJ, Tortorici MA, Wall A, McGuire AT, Veesler D. Structure,

Function, and Antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Glycoprotein. Cell. 2020;181(2):281-

92.e6. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.058

5.   Barnes CO, West AP, Huey- KE, Robbiani DF, Nussenzweig MC, Correspondence

PJB, Huey-Tubman KE, Hoffmann MAG, Sharaf NG, Hoffman PR, Koranda N, Gristick HB,

Gaebler C, Muecksch F, Lorenzi JCC, Finkin S, Hä Gglö T, Hurley A, Millard KG, Weisblum

Y, Schmidt F, Hatziioannou T, Bieniasz PD, Caskey M, Bjorkman PJ. Structures of Human

Antibodies Bound to SARS-CoV-2 Spike Reveal Common Epitopes and Recurrent Features

of Antibodies. Cell. 2020;182(4):828-42. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.025

6.   Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, Krüger N, Herrler T, Erichsen S,

Schiergens TS, Herrler G, Wu NH, Nitsche A, Müller MA, Drosten C, Pöhlmann S. SARS-

CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven

Protease Inhibitor. Cell. 2020;181(2):271-80.e8. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.052

7.   Wrapp D, Wang N, Corbett KS, Goldsmith JA, Hsieh C-L, Abiona O, Graham BS,

McLellan JS. Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation.

2020;367(6483):1260-3. doi:10.1126/science.abb2507

8.   Yan R, Zhang Y, Li Y, Xia L, Guo Y, Zhou Q. Structural basis for the recognition of

SARS-CoV-2   by   full-length   human   ACE2.   Science.   2020;367(6485):1444-8.

doi:10.1126/science.abb2762

9.   Shang J, Ye G, Shi K, Wan Y, Luo C, Aihara H, Geng Q, Auerbach A, Li F. Structural

basis   of   receptor   recognition   by   SARS-CoV-2.   Nature.   2020;581(7807):221-4.

doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2179-y

10.   Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Pohlmann S. A Multibasic Cleavage Site in the Spike

Protein of SARS-CoV-2 Is Essential for Infection of Human Lung Cells. Mol Cell.

2020;78(4):779-84.e5. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2020.04.022

11.   Subbarao K. The success of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines and challenges ahead. Cell Host

Microbe. 2021;29(7):1111-23. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2021.06.016

12.   Weisblum Y, Schmidt F, Zhang F, DaSilva J, Poston D, Lorenzi JC, Muecksch F,

Rutkowska M, Hoffmann HH, Michailidis E, Gaebler C, Agudelo M, Cho A, Wang Z,

Gazumyan A, Cipolla M, Luchsinger L, Hillyer CD, Caskey M, Robbiani DF, Rice CM,

Nussenzweig MC, Hatziioannou T, Bieniasz PD. Escape from neutralizing antibodies by

SARS-CoV-2 spike protein variants. Elife. 2020;9:e61312. doi:10.7554/eLife.61312

13.   Zhang Y, Banga Ndzouboukou JL, Gan M, Lin X, Fan X. Immune Evasive Effects of

SARS-CoV-2   Variants   to   COVID-19   Emergency   Used   Vaccines.   Front   Immunol.

2021;12:771242. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.771242

14.   Tada T, Zhou H, Dcosta BM, Samanovic MI, Chivukula V, Herati RS, Hubbard SR,

Mulligan MJ, Landau NR. Increased   resistance   of   SARS-CoV-2   Omicron   variant   to

neutralization by vaccine-elicited and therapeutic antibodies. EBioMedicine. 2022;78:103944.

doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2022.103944

15.   Supasa P, Zhou D, Dejnirattisai W, Liu C, Mentzer AJ, Ginn HM, Zhao Y, Duyvesteyn

HME, Nutalai R, Tuekprakhon A, Wang B, Paesen GC, Slon-Campos J, López-Camacho C,

Hallis B, Coombes N, Bewley K, Charlton S, Walter TS, Barnes E, Dunachie SJ, Skelly D,

Lumley SF, Baker N, Shaik I, Humphries H, Godwin K, Gent N, Sienkiewicz A, Dold C, Levin

R, Dong T, Pollard AJ, Knight JC, Klenerman P, Crook D, Lambe T, Clutterbuck E, Bibi S,

Flaxman A, Bittaye M, Belij-Rammerstorfer S, Gilbert S, Hall DR, Williams MA, Paterson

NG, James W, Carroll MW, Fry EE, Mongkolsapaya J, Ren J, Stuart DI, Screaton GR. Reduced

neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 variant by convalescent and vaccine sera. Cell.

2021;184(8):2201-11.e7. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.02.033

16.   Zhou D, Dejnirattisai W, Supasa P, Liu C, Mentzer AJ, Ginn HM, Zhao Y, Duyvesteyn

HME, Tuekprakhon A, Nutalai R, Wang B, Paesen GC, Lopez-Camacho C, Slon-Campos J,

Hallis B, Coombes N, Bewley K, Charlton S, Walter TS, Skelly D, Lumley SF, Dold C, Levin

R, Dong T, Pollard AJ, Knight JC, Crook D, Lambe T, Clutterbuck E, Bibi S, Flaxman A,

Bittaye M, Belij-Rammerstorfer S, Gilbert S, James W, Carroll MW, Klenerman P, Barnes E,

Dunachie SJ, Fry EE, Mongkolsapaya J, Ren J, Stuart DI, Screaton GR. Evidence of escape of

SARS-CoV-2 variant B.1.351 from natural and vaccine-induced sera. Cell. 2021;184(9):2348-

61.e6. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.02.037

17.   Tegally H, Wilkinson E, Giovanetti M, Iranzadeh A, Fonseca V, Giandhari J, Doolabh

D, Pillay S, San EJ, Msomi N, Mlisana K, von Gottberg A, Walaza S, Allam M, Ismail A,

Mohale T, Glass AJ, Engelbrecht S, Van Zyl G, Preiser W, Petruccione F, Sigal A, Hardie D,

Marais G, Hsiao NY, Korsman S, Davies MA, Tyers L, Mudau I, York D, Maslo C, Goedhals

D, Abrahams S, Laguda-Akingba O, Alisoltani-Dehkordi A, Godzik A, Wibmer CK, Sewell

BT, Lourenco J, Alcantara LCJ, Kosakovsky Pond SL, Weaver S, Martin D, Lessells RJ,

Bhiman JN, Williamson C, de Oliveira T. Detection of a SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern in South Africa. Nature. 2021;592(7854):438-43. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03402-9

18.   Davies NG, Abbott S, Barnard RC, Jarvis CI, Kucharski AJ, Munday JD, Pearson CAB, Russell TW, Tully DC, Washburne AD, Wenseleers T, Gimma A, Waites W, Wong KLM, van Zandvoort K, Silverman JD, Group CC-W, Consortium C-GU, Diaz-Ordaz K, Keogh R, Eggo RM, Funk S, Jit M, Atkins KE, Edmunds WJ. Estimated transmissibility and impact of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7 in England. Science. 2021;372(6538):eabg3055. doi:10.1126/science.abg3055

19.   Mlcochova P, Kemp S, Dhar MS, Papa G, Meng B, Ferreira I, Datir R, Collier DA, Albecka A, Singh S, Pandey R, Brown J, Zhou J, Goonawardane N, Mishra S, Whittaker C, Mellan T, Marwal R, Datta M, Sengupta S, Ponnusamy K, Radhakrishnan VS, Abdullahi A, Charles O, Chattopadhyay P, Devi P, Caputo D, Peacock T, Wattal DC, Goel N, Satwik A, Vaishya R, Agarwal M, Indian S-C-GC, Genotype to Phenotype Japan C, Collaboration C-NBC-, Mavousian A, Lee JH, Bassi J, Silacci-Fegni C, Saliba C, Pinto D, Irie T, Yoshida I, Hamilton WL, Sato K, Bhatt S, Flaxman S, James LC, Corti D, Piccoli L, Barclay WS, Rakshit P, Agrawal A, Gupta RK. SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617.2 Delta variant replication and immune evasion. Nature. 2021;599:114-9. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03944-y

20.   Cao Y, Yisimayi A, Bai Y, Huang W, Li X, Zhang Z, Yuan T, An R, Wang J, Xiao T, Du S, Ma W, Song L, Li Y, Li X, Song W, Wu J, Liu S, Li X, Zhang Y, Su B, Guo X, Wei Y, Gao C, Zhang N, Zhang Y, Dou Y, Xu X, Shi R, Lu B, Jin R, Ma Y, Qin C, Wang Y, Feng Y, Xiao J, Xie XS. Humoral immune response to circulating SARS-CoV-2 variants elicited by inactivated and RBD-subunit vaccines. Cell Res. 2021;31(7):732-41. doi:10.1038/s41422-021-00514-9

21.   Tuekprakhon A, Nutalai R, Dijokaite-Guraliuc A, Zhou D, Ginn HM, Selvaraj M, Liu C, Mentzer AJ, Supasa P, Duyvesteyn HME, Das R, Skelly D, Ritter TG, Amini A, Bibi S,

Adele S, Johnson SA, Constantinides B, Webster H, Temperton N, Klenerman P, Barnes E,

Dunachie SJ, Crook D, Pollard AJ, Lambe T, Goulder P, Paterson NG, Williams MA, Hall DR,

Consortium O, Consortium IC, Fry EE, Huo J, Mongkolsapaya J, Ren J, Stuart DI, Screaton

GR. Antibody escape of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.4 and BA.5 from vaccine and BA.1 serum.

Cell. 2022;185(14):2422-33.e13. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2022.06.005

22.   Wang WB, Liang Y, Jin YQ, Zhang J, Su JG, Li QM. E484K mutation in SARS-CoV-

2 RBD enhances binding affinity with hACE2 but reduces interactions with neutralizing

antibodies and nanobodies: Binding free energy calculation studies. J Mol Graph Model.

2021;109:108035. doi:10.1016/j.jmgm.2021.108035

23.   Baum A, Fulton BO, Wloga E, Copin R, Pascal KE, Russo V, Giordano S, Lanza K,

Negron N, Ni M, Wei Y, Atwal GS, Murphy AJ, Stahl N, Yancopoulos GD, Kyratsous CA.

Antibody cocktail to SARS-CoV-2 spike protein prevents rapid mutational escape seen with

individual antibodies. Science. 2020;369(6506):1014-8. doi:10.1126/science.abd0831

24.   Kakinoki Y, Yamada K, Tanino Y, Suzuki K, Ichikawa T, Suzuki N, Asari G,

Nakamura A, Kukita S, Uehara A, Saito S, Kuroda S, Sakagami H, Nagashima Y, Takahashi

K, Suzuki S. Impact of Antibody Cocktail Therapy Combined with Casirivimab and

Imdevimab on Clinical Outcome for patients with COVID-19 in A Real-Life Setting: A Single

Institute Analysis. Int J Infect Dis. 2022;117:189-94. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2022.01.067

25.   Mast FD, Fridy PC, Ketaren NE, Wang J, Jacobs EY, Olivier JP, Sanyal T, Molloy KR,

Schmidt F, Rutkowska M, Weisblum Y, Rich LM, Vanderwall ER, Dambrauskas N,

Vigdorovich V, Keegan S, Jiler JB, Stein ME, Olinares PDB, Herlands L, Hatziioannou T,

Sather DN, Debley JS, Fenyö D, Sali A, Bieniasz PD, Aitchison JD, Chait BT, Rout MP.

Highly synergistic combinations of nanobodies that target SARS-CoV-2 and are resistant to

escape. eLife. 2021;10:e73027. doi:10.7554/eLife.73027

26.   Wang Y, Fan Z, Shao L, Kong X, Hou X, Tian D, Sun Y, Xiao Y, Yu L. Nanobody-

derived nanobiotechnology tool kits for diverse biomedical and biotechnology applications.

International Journal of Nanomedicine. 2016;11:3287-303. doi:10.2147/IJN.S107194

27.   Nambulli S, Xiang Y, Tilston-Lunel NL, Rennick LJ, Sang Z, Klimstra WB, Reed DS,

Crossland NA, Shi Y, Duprex WP. Inhalable Nanobody (PiN-21) prevents and treats SARS-

CoV-2 infections in Syrian hamsters at ultra-low doses. Science Advances.

2021;7(22):eabh0319. doi:10.1126/sciadv.abh0319

28.   Hanke L, Vidakovics Perez L, Sheward DJ, Das H, Schulte T, Moliner-Morro A,

Corcoran M, Achour A, Karlsson Hedestam GB, Hällberg BM, Murrell B, McInerney GM. An

alpaca nanobody neutralizes SARS-CoV-2 by blocking receptor interaction. Nature

Communications. 2020;11(1):1-9. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18174-5

29.   Huo J, Le Bas A, Ruza RR, Duyvesteyn HME, Mikolajek H, Malinauskas T, Tan TK,

Rijal P, Dumoux M, Ward PN, Ren J, Zhou D, Harrison PJ, Weckener M, Clare DK, Vogirala

VK, Radecke J, Moynié L, Zhao Y, Gilbert-Jaramillo J, Knight ML, Tree JA, Buttigieg KR,

Coombes N, Elmore MJ, Carroll MW, Carrique L, Shah PNM, James W, Townsend AR, Stuart

DI, Owens RJ, Naismith JH. Neutralizing nanobodies bind SARS-CoV-2 spike RBD and block

interaction with ACE2. Nature Structural and Molecular Biology. 2020;27(9):846-54.

doi:10.1038/s41594-020-0469-6

30.   Li T, Cai H, Yao H, Zhou B, Zhang N, van Vlissingen MF, Kuiken T, Han W,

GeurtsvanKessel CH, Gong Y, Zhao Y, Shen Q, Qin W, Tian XX, Peng C, Lai Y, Wang Y,

Hutter CAJ, Kuo SM, Bao J, Liu C, Wang Y, Richard AS, Raoul H, Lan J, Seeger MA, Cong

Y, Rockx B, Wong G, Bi Y, Lavillette D, Li D. A synthetic nanobody targeting RBD protects

hamsters from SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):4635. doi:10.1038/s41467-

021-24905-z

31.   Wrapp D, De Vlieger D, Corbett KS, Torres GM, Wang N, Van Breedam W, Roose K,

van Schie L, Hoffmann M, Pöhlmann S, Graham BS, Callewaert N, Schepens B, Saelens X,

McLellan JS. Structural Basis for Potent Neutralization of Betacoronaviruses by Single-

Domain Camelid Antibodies. Cell. 2020;181(5):1004-15.e15. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.04.031

32.   Pymm P, Adair A, Chan LJ, Cooney JP, Mordant FL, Allison CC, Lopez E, Haycroft

ER, O'Neill MT, Tan LL, Dietrich MH, Drew D, Doerflinger M, Dengler MA, Scott NE,

Wheatley AK, Gherardin NA, Venugopal H, Cromer D, Davenport MP, Pickering R, Godfrey

DI, Purcell DFJ, Kent SJ, Chung AW, Subbarao K, Pellegrini M, Glukhova A, Tham WH.

Nanobody cocktails potently neutralize SARS-CoV-2 D614G N501Y variant and protect mice.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2021;118(19):e2101918118. doi:10.1073/pnas.2101918118

33.   Ma H, Zeng W, Meng X, Huang X, Yang Y, Zhao D, Zhou P, Wang X, Zhao C, Sun

Y, Wang P, Ou H, Hu X, Xiang Y, Jin T. Potent Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 by Hetero-

bivalent Alpaca Nanobodies Targeting the Spike Receptor-Binding Domain. J Virol.

2021;95(10):e02438-20. doi:10.1128/JVI.02438-20

34.   Valenzuela Nieto G, Jara R, Watterson D, Modhiran N, Amarilla AA, Himelreichs J,

Khromykh AA, Salinas-Rebolledo C, Pinto T, Cheuquemilla Y, Margolles Y, López González

del Rey N, Miranda-Chacon Z, Cuevas A, Berking A, Deride C, González-Moraga S, Mancilla

H, Maturana D, Langer A, Toledo JP, Müller A, Uberti B, Krall P, Ehrenfeld P, Blesa J, Chana-

Cuevas P, Rehren G, Schwefel D, Fernandez LÁ, Rojas-Fernandez A. Potent neutralization of

clinical isolates of SARS-CoV-2 D614 and G614 variants by a monomeric, sub-nanomolar

affinity nanobody. Scientific Reports. 2021;11(1):3318-. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-82833-w

35.   Xu J, Xu K, Jung S, Conte A, Lieberman J, Muecksch F, Lorenzi JCC, Park S, Schmidt

F, Wang Z, Huang Y, Luo Y, Nair M, Wang P, Schulz JE, Tessarollo L, Bylund T, Chuang

GY, Olia AS, Stephens T, Teng IT, Tsybovsky Y, Zhou T, Munster V, Ho DD, Hatziioannou

T, Bieniasz PD, Nussenzweig MC, Kwong PD, Casellas R. Nanobodies from camelid mice

and llamas neutralize SARS-CoV-2 variants. Nature. 2021;595:278-82. doi:10.1038/s41586-

021-03676-z

36.   Dong J, Huang B, Jia Z, Wang B, Gallolu Kankanamalage S, Titong A, Liu Y.

Development of multi-specific humanized llama antibodies blocking SARS-CoV-2/ACE2

interaction with high affinity and avidity. Emerging Microbes & Infections. 2020;9(1):1034-6.

doi:10.1080/22221751.2020.1768806

37.   Esparza TJ, Martin NP, Anderson GP, Goldman ER, Brody DL. High affinity

nanobodies block SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor binding domain interaction with human

angiotensin converting enzyme. Scientific Reports. 2020;10. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-79036-

0

38.   Hultberg A, Temperton NJ, Rosseels V, Koenders M, Gonzalez-Pajuelo M, Schepens

B, Ibanez LI, Vanlandschoot P, Schillemans J, Saunders M, Weiss RA, Saelens X, Melero JA,

Verrips CT, Van Gucht S, de Haard HJ. Llama-derived single domain antibodies to build

multivalent, superpotent and broadened neutralizing anti-viral molecules. PLoS One.

2011;6(4):e17665. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017665

39.   Palomo C, Mas V, Detalle L, Depla E, Cano O, Vazquez M, Stortelers C, Melero JA.

Trivalency of a Nanobody Specific for the Human Respiratory Syncytial Virus Fusion

Glycoprotein Drastically Enhances Virus Neutralization and Impacts Escape Mutant Selection.

Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2016;60(11):6498-509. doi:10.1128/AAC.00842-16

40.   Corman VM, Haage VC, Bleicker T, Schmidt ML, Mühlemann B, Zuchowski M, Jo

WK, Tscheak P, Möncke-Buchner E, Müller MA, Krumbholz A, Drexler JF, Drosten C.

Comparison of seven commercial SARS-CoV-2 rapid point-of-care antigen tests: a single-

centre   laboratory   evaluation   study.   The   Lancet   Microbe.   2021;2(7):e311-e9.

doi:10.1016/s2666-5247(21)00056-2

41.   Koenig P-A, Schmidt FI. Structure-guided multivalent nanobodies block SARS-CoV-

2 infection and suppress mutational escape. Science. 2021;6230(January):6-.

42.   Hall MP, Unch J, Binkowski BF, Valley MP, Butler BL, Wood MG, Otto P,

Zimmerman K, Vidugiris G, Machleidt T, Robers MB, Benink HA, Eggers CT, Slater MR,

Meisenheimer PL, Klaubert DH, Fan F, Encell LP, Wood KV. Engineered luciferase reporter

from a deep sea shrimp utilizing a novel imidazopyrazinone substrate. ACS Chem Biol.

2012;7(11):1848-57. doi:10.1021/cb3002478

43.   England CG, Ehlerding EB, Cai W. NanoLuc: A Small Luciferase Is Brightening Up

the Field of Bioluminescence. Bioconjug Chem. 2016;27(5):1175-87.

doi:10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.6b00112

44.   Ohmuro-Matsuyama Y, Ueda H. Protein-Protein Interaction Assays Using Split-

NanoLuc. Bioluminescence - Analytical Applications and Basic Biology: IntechOpen; 2019.

45.   Dixon AS, Schwinn MK, Hall MP, Zimmerman K, Otto P, Lubben TH, Butler BL,

Binkowski BF, Machleidt T, Kirkland TA, Wood MG, Eggers CT, Encell LP, Wood KV.

NanoLuc Complementation Reporter Optimized for Accurate Measurement of Protein

Interactions in Cells. ACS Chemical Biology. 2016;11(2):400-8.

doi:10.1021/acschembio.5b00753

46.   Cai Y, Zhang Y, Xiao T, Peng H, Sterling SM, Walsh Jr RM, Rawson S, Rits-Volloch

S, Chen B. Distinct conformational states of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. Science.

2020;369(6511):1586-92. doi:10.1126/science.abd4251

47.   Henderson R, Edwards RJ, Mansouri K, Janowska K, Stalls V, Gobeil SMC, Kopp M,

Li D, Parks R, Hsu AL, Borgnia MJ, Haynes BF, Acharya P. Controlling the SARS-CoV-2

spike glycoprotein conformation. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2020;27(10):925-33.

doi:10.1038/s41594-020-0479-4

48.   Steeland S, Vandenbroucke RE, Libert C. Nanobodies as therapeutics: big

opportunities for small antibodies. Drug Discov Today. 2016;21(7):1076-113.

doi:10.1016/j.drudis.2016.04.003

49.   Chanier T, Chames P. Nanobody Engineering: Toward Next Generation

Immunotherapies and Immunoimaging of Cancer. Antibodies (Basel). 2019;8(1):13.

doi:10.3390/antib8010013

50.   Desmyter A, Spinelli S, Boutton C, Saunders M, Blachetot C, de Haard H, Denecker

G, Van Roy M, Cambillau C, Rommelaere H. Neutralization of Human Interleukin 23 by

Multivalent Nanobodies Explained by the Structure of Cytokine-Nanobody Complex. Front

Immunol. 2017;8:884. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2017.00884

51.   Wang P, Nair MS, Liu L, Iketani S, Luo Y, Guo Y, Wang M, Yu J, Zhang B, Kwong

PD, Graham BS, Mascola JR, Chang JY, Yin MT, Sobieszczyk M, Kyratsous CA, Shapiro L,

Sheng Z, Huang Y, Ho DD. Antibody resistance of SARS-CoV-2 variants B.1.351 and B.1.1.7.

Nature. 2021;593(7857):130-5. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03398-2

52.   Planas D, Bruel T, Grzelak L, Guivel-Benhassine F, Staropoli I, Porrot F, Planchais C,

Buchrieser J, Rajah MM, Bishop E, Albert M, Donati F, Prot M, Behillil S, Enouf V, Maquart

M, Smati-Lafarge M, Varon E, Schortgen F, Yahyaoui L, Gonzalez M, De Seze J, Pere H,

Veyer D, Seve A, Simon-Loriere E, Fafi-Kremer S, Stefic K, Mouquet H, Hocqueloux L, van

der Werf S, Prazuck T, Schwartz O. Sensitivity of infectious SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 and B.1.351

variants to neutralizing antibodies. Nat Med. 2021;27:917-24. doi:10.1038/s41591-021-01318-

5

53.   Hanke L, Vidakovics Perez L, Sheward DJ, Das H, Schulte T, Moliner-Morro A,

Corcoran M, Achour A, Karlsson Hedestam GB, Hallberg BM, Murrell B, McInerney GM. An

alpaca nanobody neutralizes SARS-CoV-2 by blocking receptor interaction. Nat Commun.

2020;11(1):4420. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18174-5

54.   Ke Z, Oton J, Qu K, Cortese M, Zila V, McKeane L, Nakane T, Zivanov J, Neufeldt

CJ, Cerikan B, Lu JM, Peukes J, Xiong X, Krausslich HG, Scheres SHW, Bartenschlager R,

Briggs JAG. Structures and distributions of SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins on intact virions.

Nature. 2020;588(7838):498-502. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2665-2

55.   Huo J, Zhao Y, Ren J, Zhou D, Duyvesteyn HME, Ginn HM, Carrique L, Malinauskas

T, Ruza RR, Shah PNM, Tan TK, Rijal P, Coombes N, Bewley KR, Tree JA, Radecke J,

Paterson NG, Supasa P, Mongkolsapaya J, Screaton GR, Carroll M, Townsend A, Fry EE,

Owens RJ, Stuart DI. Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 by Destruction of the Prefusion Spike.

Cell Host Microbe. 2020;28(3):445-54 e6. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2020.06.010

56.   Li T, Xue W, Zheng Q, Song S, Yang C, Xiong H, Zhang S, Hong M, Zhang Y, Yu H,

Zhang Y, Sun H, Huang Y, Deng T, Chi X, Li J, Wang S, Zhou L, Chen T, Wang Y, Cheng T,

Zhang T, Yuan Q, Zhao Q, Zhang J, McLellan JS, Zhou ZH, Zhang Z, Li S, Gu Y, Xia N.

Cross-neutralizing antibodies bind a SARS-CoV-2 cryptic site and resist circulating variants.

Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):5652. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-25997-3

57.   Cui Z, Liu P, Wang N, Wang L, Fan K, Zhu Q, Wang K, Chen R, Feng R, Jia Z, Yang

M, Xu G, Zhu B, Fu W, Chu T, Feng L, Wang Y, Pei X, Yang P, Xie XS, Cao L, Cao Y, Wang

X. Structural and functional characterizations of infectivity and immune evasion of SARS-

CoV-2 Omicron. Cell. 2022;185(5):860-71 e13. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2022.01.019

58.   Blaszczak E, Lazarewicz N, Sudevan A, Wysocki R, Rabut G. Protein-fragment

complementation assays for large-scale analysis of protein-protein interactions. Biochem Soc

Trans. 2021;49(3):1337-48. doi:10.1042/BST20201058

59.   Schoof M, Faust B, Saunders RA, Sangwan S, Rezelj V, Hoppe N, Boone M,

Billesbølle CB, Puchades C, Azumaya CM, Kratochvil HT, Zimanyi M, Deshpande I, Liang J,

Dickinson S, Nguyen HC, Chio CM, Merz GE, Thompson MC, Diwanji D, Schaefer K, Anand

AA, Dobzinski N, Zha BS, Simoneau CR, Leon K, White KM, Chio US, Gupta M, Jin M, Li

F, Liu Y, Zhang K, Bulkley D, Sun M, Smith AM, Rizo AN, Moss F, Brilot AF, Pourmal S,

Trenker R, Pospiech T, Gupta S, Barsi-Rhyne B, Belyy V, Barile-Hill AW, Nock S, Liu Y,

Krogan NJ, Ralston CY, Swaney DL, García-Sastre A, Ott M, Vignuzzi M, Walter P, Manglik

A. An ultrapotent synthetic nanobody neutralizes SARS-CoV-2 by stabilizing inactive Spike.

Science. 2020;3255(November):eabe3255-eabe. doi:10.1126/science.abe3255

60. Xiang Y, Nambulli S, Xiao Z, Liu H, Sang Z, Duprex WP, Schneidman-Duhovny D,

Zhang C, Shi Y. Versatile and multivalent nanobodies efficiently neutralize SARS-CoV-2.

Science. 2020;4747(November):eabe4747-eabe. doi:10.1126/science.abe4747

61. Rusanen J, Kareinen L, Szirovicza L, Uğurlu H, Levanov L, Jääskeläinen A, Ahava M,

Kurkela S, Saksela K, Hedman K, Vapalahti O, Hepojoki J, Paraskevis D. A Generic, Scalable,

and Rapid Time-Resolved Förster Resonance Energy Transfer-Based Assay for Antigen

Detection - SARS-CoV-2 as a Proof of Concept. mBio. 2021;12(3):e00902-21.

doi:10.1128/mBio.00902-21

62. Muyldermans S. Applications of Nanobodies. Annu Rev Anim Biosci. 2021;9:401-21.

doi:10.1146/annurev-animal-021419-083831

63. Keeble AH, Turkki P, Stokes S, Khairil Anuar INA, Rahikainen R, Hytonen VP,

Howarth M. Approaching infinite affinity through engineering of peptide-protein interaction.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2019;116(52):26523-33. doi:10.1073/pnas.1909653116

64. Aricescu AR, Lu W, Jones EY. A time- and cost-efficient system for high-level protein

production in mammalian cells. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 2006;62(Pt 10):1243-50.

doi:10.1107/S0907444906029799

65. Punjani A, Rubinstein JL, Fleet DJ, Brubaker MA. cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid

unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. Nat Methods. 2017;14(3):290-6.

doi:10.1038/nmeth.4169

66.   Rohou A, Grigorieff N. CTFFIND4: Fast and accurate defocus estimation from electron

micrographs. J Struct Biol. 2015;192(2):216-21. doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2015.08.008

67.   Bepler T, Kelley K, Noble AJ, Berger B. Topaz-Denoise: general deep denoising

models for cryoEM and cryoET. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):5208. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-

18952-1

68.   Bepler T, Morin A, Rapp M, Brasch J, Shapiro L, Noble AJ, Berger B. Positive-

unlabeled convolutional neural networks for particle picking in cryo-electron micrographs. Nat

Methods. 2019;16(11):1153-60. doi:10.1038/s41592-019-0575-8

69.   Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, Meng EC, Ferrin

TE. UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J Comput

Chem. 2004;25(13):1605-12. doi:10.1002/jcc.20084

70.   Huo J, Mikolajek H, Le Bas A, Clark JJ, Sharma P, Kipar A, Dormon J, Norman C,

Weckener M, Clare DK, Harrison PJ, Tree JA, Buttigieg KR, Salguero FJ, Watson R, Knott D,

Carnell O, Ngabo D, Elmore MJ, Fotheringham S, Harding A, Moynié L, Ward PN, Dumoux

M, Prince T, Hall Y, Hiscox JA, Owen A, James W, Carroll MW, Stewart JP, Naismith JH,

Owens RJ. A potent SARS-CoV-2 neutralising nanobody shows therapeutic efficacy in the

Syrian golden hamster model of COVID-19. Nature Communications. 2021;12(1).

doi:10.1038/s41467-021-25480-z

71.   Humphrey W, Dalke A, Schulten K. VMD: Visual molecular dynamics. Journal of

Molecular Graphics. 1996;14(1):33-8. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0263-7855(96)00018-5

72.   MacKerell AD, Bashford D, Bellott M, Dunbrack RL, Evanseck JD, Field MJ, Fischer

S, Gao J, Guo H, Ha S, Joseph-McCarthy D, Kuchnir L, Kuczera K, Lau FTK, Mattos C,

Michnick S, Ngo T, Nguyen DT, Prodhom B, Reiher WE, Roux B, Schlenkrich M, Smith JC,

Stote R, Straub J, Watanabe M, Wiórkiewicz-Kuczera J, Yin D, Karplus M. All-Atom

Empirical Potential for Molecular Modeling and Dynamics Studies of Proteins. The Journal of

Physical Chemistry B. 1998;102(18):3586-616. doi:10.1021/jp973084f

73.   Abraham MJ, Murtola T, Schulz R, Páll S, Smith JC, Hess B, Lindahl E. GROMACS:

High performance molecular simulations through multi-level parallelism from laptops to

supercomputers. SoftwareX. 2015;1-2:19-25. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.softx.2015.06.001

74.   Hess B. P-LINCS: A Parallel Linear Constraint Solver for Molecular Simulation.

Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation. 2008;4(1):116-22. doi:10.1021/ct700200b

75.   Hoover WG. Canonical dynamics: Equilibrium phase-space distributions. Physical

Review A. 1985;31(3):1695-7. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.31.1695

76.   Nosé S. A unified formulation of the constant temperature molecular dynamics

methods. The Journal of Chemical Physics. 1984;81(1):511-9. doi:10.1063/1.447334

77.   Parrinello M, Rahman A. Polymorphic transitions in single crystals: A new molecular

dynamics method. Journal of Applied Physics. 1981;52(12):7182-90. doi:10.1063/1.328693

78.   Darden T, York D, Pedersen L. Particle mesh Ewald: An N·log(N) method for Ewald

sums in large systems. The Journal of Chemical Physics. 1993;98(12):10089-92.

doi:10.1063/1.464397

79.   Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Meng EC, Couch GS, Croll TI, Morris JH,

Ferrin TE. UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers.

Protein Sci. 2021;30(1):70-82. doi:10.1002/pro.3943

80.   Lan J, Ge J, Yu J, Shan S, Zhou H, Fan S, Zhang Q, Shi X, Wang Q, Zhang L, Wang

X. Structure of the SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor-binding domain bound to the ACE2 receptor.

Nature. 2020;581(7807):215-20. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2180-5

Figures

Figure 1. Structure-based design of multimodular nanobodies targeting SARS-CoV-2 S.
A) Side view of the SARS-CoV-2 S-trimer (28). One trimer subunit, with a receptor-binding domain (RBD), in the 'up' conformation, is shown as a cartoon and colored as a rainbow ramped from blue (N-terminus) to red (C-terminus). The two other subunits are shown as surface representation in shades of grey. **B**) Three distinct multimodular nanobodies were designed: (i) tri-Ty1 with three repeats of Ty1 (28) module; (ii) tri-TMH with Ty1, MR-17-K99Y (30) and HH1-H4 (29) modules; and (iii) Tri-TMV with Ty1, MK and VHH-V (41) modules. The nanobody modules are connected by flexible GGGGSx4 linkers. **C**) Each of the nanobody domains (Ty1, MR17-K99Y, HH1-H4, and VHH-V) bind the RBD at a unique angle. Comparison to the structure of RBD bound to the primary host-cell entry receptor of SARS-CoV-2, ACE2 (6, 80) demonstrates that three of the modules bind epitopes proximal to the ACE2-binding site, while VHH-V targets an alternative neutralization epitope.

Figure 2. Relative binding strength of trimeric nanobodies to different RBD and Spike S1 domain variants on the antigen microarray. A) Three multimodular nanobody constructs were tested in a binding array and were shown to bind different RBD or S1 domain variants. Binding of tri-Ty1 was obstructed by the amino acid change E484K, which is found in the Beta VOC and linked to neutralization escape (12, 16, 51) The multimodular nanobodies comprised of modules that target distinct epitopes, tri-TMH and tri-TMV, retained a level of binding to the E484K RBD variant. The fluorescence signals of Dylight 633-labelled trimeric nanobodies tri-Ty1, tri-TMH and tri-TMV bound to the different RBD and S1 mutants in the array were normalized relative to the corresponding wt protein signals in the same array. Error bars represent the standard deviation of two replicate wells. **B**) Location of the amino acid changes within the SARS-CoV-2 RBD in the Alpha and Beta variants. Amino acid change N501Y is found in the Alpha and Beta variants (15, 16), and the Beta variant displays the additional changes K417N and E484K (16).

810
Figure 3. Multimodular nanobodies neutralize SARS-CoV-2 wild-type, Alpha and Delta
variants at high potency and limit the course of the disease in a hamster model. A) Wild-
type SARS-CoV-2 is neutralized by nanobodies tri-Ty1, tri-TMV, and tri-TMH. **B)** Tri-TMH
neutralizes the Alpha and Delta variants. **C)** A morphometric analysis of the presence of SARS-
CoV-2 antigens in lung tissue sections shows a decrease in viral antigen expression in hamsters
pre-treated with multimodular nanobody (Nb 6h pre), compared to untreated individuals (n=5
in nanobody treated group, n=4 in untreated).

Figure 4. Insights into multimodular nanobody binding from cryo-EM analysis and molecular dynamics simulations. A) Cryo-EM reconstructions of the S-trimer with multimodular nanobody tri-TMH bound. The reconstructions show the typical spike protein conformations (one RBD up and all RBDs down). B) Number of hydrogen bonds between RBD (wild-type or with E484K amino acid change) and each nanobody module of tri-TMH observed from MD simulation data. The dot shows the mean value based on all simulation replicas, and the line represents the standard deviation. C) The number of hydrogen bonds between nanobody module H11-H4 and the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 VOCs, as predicted by MD simulations. D) The interface between nanobody module H11-H4 (orange) and wild-type RBD (green). E) The interface between nanobody module H11-H4 (orange) and RBD (green) with amino acid change E484K (highlighted in red).

Figure 5. SARS-CoV-2 spike protein detection with a proximity-triggered assay. A) Split nanoluciferase fragments are fused to Ty1 nanobody (28) with GGGGS$_4$ linkers. The enzymatic activity of the luciferase is restored upon nanobody binding to adjacent RBDs. B) The reaction setup. The nanobody fusions are added into the wells of an opaque white plate with recombinant spike, and the mixture is incubated for 15 minutes. Then, the substrate is added, and the luminescence reads are recorded from each well. C) and D) The signal caused by spike protein can be distinguished from background down to spike concentration of 200 pM.