# A network of Notch-dependent and -independent *her* genes controls neural stem and progenitor cells in the zebrafish thalamic proliferation zone

**Christian E. Sigloch[1,2,3], Dominik Spitz[1,4], and Wolfgang Driever[1,3*]**

Affiliations

(1) Developmental Biology, Institute Biology 1, Faculty of Biology, University of Freiburg, Hauptstrasse 1, 79104 Freiburg, Germany

(2) Spemann Graduate School of Biology and Medicine SGBM, University of Freiburg, Albertstraße 19A, 79104 Freiburg, Germany

(3) Signalling Research Centres CIBSS and BIOSS, University of Freiburg, Schänzlestraße 18, 79104 Freiburg, Germany

(4) Current Address: Renal Division, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Medical Center, University of Freiburg, 79106 Freiburg, Germany

*Correspondence should be addressed to:

Wolfgang Driever

Phone. +49-761-203-2587; Fax +49-761-203-2550

Email: driever@biologie.uni-freiburg.de

WD: ORCID-ID 0000-0002-9551-9141

Short title: HER/HES regulatory network

Key words: neurogenesis, neural stem cells, HES/HER genes, Delta-Notch signaling, neural proliferation zone, thalamus, zebrafish

30
31
**Abstract**

Neural proliferation zones mediate brain growth, and employ Delta/Notch signaling and HES/HER
transcription factors to balance neural stem cell (NSC) maintenance and generation of progenitors
and neurons. We investigated Notch-dependency and function of *her* genes in the thalamic
proliferation zone of developing zebrafish larvae. Nine Notch-dependent genes, *her2, her4.1-5,*
*her12, her15.1-2*, and two Notch-independent genes, *her6, her9*, are differentially expressed, and
define distinct NSC and progenitor populations. *her6* prominently executes patterning information
to maintain NSCs and the zona limitans intrathalamica Shh signaling activity. *her6, her9* double
mutants reveal that Notch-independent *her* genes predominantly regulate NSC maintenance and
transition into the progenitor pool. Surprisingly, combined deletion of all Notch-dependent *her*
genes does not affect NSCs or progenitor formation. Combined genetic manipulation of up to
eleven Notch-dependent and -independent *her* genes revealed that Notch-dependent *her* genes may
regulate progenitor progression into neurogenesis, but not progenitor generation itself. The *her*
gene network is partially redundant, with Notch-independent *her* genes better substituting for loss
of Notch-dependent genes than vice versa. Together, *her* gene regulatory feedback loops and
crossregulation contribute to the observed robustness of NSC maintenance.

**Introduction**

The zebrafish brain continually grows and adds new neurons throughout development and into
adult stages, and has high capacity to regenerate neurons after injury (Kishimoto et al., 2012;
Zupanc, 2001). The source of these new neurons are neural stem cells (NSCs) located in at least 16
adult neural proliferation zones (NPZs) (Grandel et al., 2006; Zupanc et al., 2005). These
anatomically defined NPZs are mostly located at the ventricular wall (Adolf et al., 2006), where
Hairy and Enhancer of split (HES/Her) transcription factors are expressed and regulate
neurogenesis (Chapouton et al., 2011). During development, the embryonic neuroepithelium
establishes highly active late embryonic and early larval proliferation zones, which develop into
neural stem cell niche-like adult proliferation zones. So far, the mechanisms that balance NSC
maintenance and neurogenesis in these larval NPZs are not well understood.

HES/Her factors in NPZs control neurogenesis by inhibiting proneural gene mediated
differentiation (Ishibashi et al., 1994; Kageyama et al., 2007; Sasai et al., 1992). In mice, absence
of the basic Helix loop Helix (bHLH) transcription factors HES1 and HES5 leads to accelerated
differentiation, accompanied by loss of radial glia and later-born cell types (Hatakeyama et al.,
2004). Moreover, in zebrafish and mouse, *Hes/her* genes were shown to be important for the
maintenance of local stem cell populations at boundaries that form signaling and growth centers
(Baek et al., 2006; Hatakeyama et al., 2004), for example the midbrain-hindbrain boundary (Geling
et al., 2004) and the zona limitans intrathalamica (ZLI) (Scholpp et al., 2009).

In *Drosophila,* the expression of *E(spl)* is Notch-dependent (Jennings et al., 1994), while the
expression of *hairy*, encoding a closely related bHLH factor, is directed by local patterning signals
(Riddihough and Ish-Horowicz, 1991). However, this strict distinction is not conserved in
vertebrates (Chapouton et al., 2011; Davis and Turner, 2001). In mouse, *Hes1* and *Hes5* are
downstream targets of Notch signaling, although *Hes1* expression and stability are the result of
additional Notch-independent input (Kageyama et al., 2007; Ohtsuka et al., 1999). In contrast to
HES1 and HES5, the transcription factor HES6 functions as a negative regulator of HES1, thereby
promoting differentiation (Bae et al., 2000), and its expression is controlled by proneural genes
(Koyano-Nakagawa et al., 2000).

In zebrafish, the *her* gene family expressed in NPZs is significantly expanded compared to
mammals (Zhou et al., 2012). Nine *Hes5* zebrafish homologs are located in two gene clusters,
*her4.1* to *her4.5* and *her12* on chromosome 23, and *her15.1, her15.2* and *her2* on chromosome 11.
There are two *Hes1* homologs, *her6* and *her9*, and four *Hes6* homologs, *hes6*, *her8a*, *her8.2* and
*her13*. *her4* and *her15, her6* and *her9*, as well as *her8a* expression have been characterized in adult
neural stem cell (NSC) zones (Chapouton et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2012). Zebrafish homologs of
*Hes1*, *Hes5* and *Hes6* have also been investigated in the neural plate during early embryonic
development (Bae et al., 2005; Takke et al., 1999; Webb et al., 2011). So far however, little is
known about potential cross-regulatory interactions or redundancies among *her* genes in NSCs and
during neurogenesis in larval neural proliferation zones.

Here, we analyzed the impact of Notch signaling and *her* family genes on expression of specific
*her* genes in NSCs and neural progenitor cells (NPCs) to reveal the organization of *her* gene
regulatory networks in neurogenesis. We focus on the highly active early larval thalamic
proliferation zone (TPZ) at the ventricular wall of the diencephalon in the thalamus proper (dorsal
thalamus), the ZLI, and the prethalamus (Mueller, 2012; Scholpp and Lumsden, 2010), together
constituting the thalamic complex. Based on *her* gene expression, we define distinct NSC
populations in the TPZ. We generated compound mutants for two Notch-independent (*her6* and

*her9*) and nine Notch-dependent (*her2, her4.1-5, her12, her15.1-2*) *her* genes. Based on loss-of-

function and overexpression experiments, we generated a model for cross-regulatory interactions

of Notch-dependent and -independent *her* genes in the TPZ. Our data indicate that Notch-

independent *her* genes are important for NSC maintenance and patterning in the TPZ. Notch-

dependent *her* genes however appear not strictly required for NSC maintenance but might rather

modulate progression of neurogenesis.

**Results**

***her* family gene expression in the thalamic proliferation zones**

We surveyed published information (www.zfin.org; Chapouton et al., 2011; Shankaran et al., 2007;

Sieger et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2012) on zebrafish *her* family genes for expression in the

diencephalon, and selected homologs of mammalian *Hes1* (*her6, her9*), *Hes5* (*her2, her4.1-4.5,*

*her 12, her15.1-15.2)* and *Hes6* (*her8a, her8.2*) for analysis of their expression patterns in the TPZ

by whole mount in situ hybridization (WISH; Fig. 1A-L; Fig. S1A-H). The five *her4.1-4.*5 genes

together with *her12* form a cluster on chromosome 23 ("*her4;12 cluster*")*,* while the two *her15.1-*

*15.*2 genes form a cluster together with *her2* on chromosome 11 ("*her2;15 cluster*"). Genes within

each cluster have high sequence similarity. Our *her4* probe was designed to detect expression of

all five *her4* genes (*her4.1-her4.5*) combined (subsequently referred to as *her4*). Similarly, our

*her15* probe detects *her15.1* and *her15.2* (referred to as *her15*). We focused our analysis on two

days (48 hours post fertilization, hpf) to four days (96 hpf) old embryos and early larvae, when the

TPZ is a highly active proliferation zone with a diversity of neurogenesis mechanisms involving

*neurog1, ascl1* and *olig2* (Scholpp et al., 2009; Virolainen et al., 2012), (see also Fig. 7C and Fig.

11A, B).

In the TPZ, *her6*, *her9*, *her4, her2,* and *her15* expression were detected with strong WISH signals.

*her2* is clustered with *her15.1-15.2*, and has a similar expression domain. The expression of these

*her* genes in the thalamic complex was mostly restricted to the wall of the diencephalic ventricle

and the adjacent lateral ventricle (Fig. S1A'-H').

In contrast, the *Hes6* homologs *her8a* and *her8.2* had weak WISH signals in the TPZ (Fig. S1C-

D'). *her8.2* and *her8a* are broadly expressed in neural proliferations zones at 48 hpf, but expression

decreases on the fourth day of development, such that at 96 hpf we could detect only low levels of

*her8.2* and very faint *her8a* expression in the TPZ (Fig. 1E,F,K,L and Fig. S1C-D'). *her12*

expression appears restricted to the hindbrain and later the midbrain-hindbrain boundary (Fig.

S1E,E'; Fig. S2A,G), and thus, despite being in a cluster with *her4* genes, differs significantly in

expression.

In summary, while expression of the *Hes6* homologs *her8a* and *her8.2* decreases during early TPZ

formation, *Hes1* (*her6, her9*) and *Hes5* (*her2, her4.1-4.5, her15.1-15.2)* homologs likely provide

the major *her* gene activity in the maturing TPZ.

**Notch dependency of neural *her* gene expression**

Regulation of zebrafish *her* gene expression by Notch signaling has been characterized during

embryonic stages (Pasini et al., 2004; Shankaran et al., 2007; Takke et al., 1999), but not in larval

NPZs. To assess Notch dependency of expression of *Hes1, Hes5* and *Hes6* homologs, we used

three approaches. We first analyzed *mind bomb1* (*mib1^{ta52b}*) mutants that lack Notch signaling due

to impaired internalization of the ligand Delta (Itoh et al., 2003). Second, we globally

overexpressed the Notch Intracellular Domain (NICD) by heat shock in 72 hpf larvae (Scheer and

Campos-Ortega, 1999). Third, we treated larvae with the Notch inhibitor LY-411575

(Rothenaigner et al., 2011).

The *Hes1* homologs *her6* and *her9* are still expressed at largely normal levels in *mib1^{ta52b}* mutant

embryos (Fig. 1A-B'), and NICD overexpression only slightly increased *her9* and hardly at all *her6*

expression (Fig. 1G-H'). Upon Notch inhibitor treatment, *her6* and *her9* expression continued,

albeit at slightly reduced levels (Fig. 1M-N'). These experiments demonstrate *her6* and *her9*

expression to be Notch-independent at analyzed larval stages.

In contrast, the expression of *Hes5* (*her2, her4, her12* and *her15*) and *Hes6* (*her8a* and *her8.2*)

homologs is lost in *mib1^{ta52b}* mutants (Fig. 1C'-F', Fig. S2A-D'). The ectopic *her15* expression in

the tectum of *mib1* mutants appeared very different from the expression in wild type (WT; Fig.

1D,D'), and may reflect dysregulation. Expression of *Hes5* homologs was strongly induced by

NICD, while the *Hes6* homologs showed only a moderate increase in expression (Fig. 1I-L'). Upon

Notch inhibitor treatment, expression of *Hes5* and *Hes6* homologs was nearly absent (Fig. 1O-R';

Fig. S2G-I'), except *her2*, which was expressed at reduced levels after LY-411575 treatment (Fig.

S2J,J'). These findings suggest that, while *her2* and *her15* are located in the same cluster, *her15* is

mostly Notch-dependent while *her2* may be only partly controlled by Notch.

To conclude, in the TPZ of 3-4 dpf larvae, expression of the *Hes1* homologs *her6* and *her9* are only

weakly affected by perturbation of Notch signaling and are hereafter referred to as Notch-

independent. In contrast, *her4* and *her15* expression are strictly dependent on Notch signaling.

Given that *her8a* and *her8.2* have only low and declining levels of expression in the TPZ on the

fourth day of development, we did not include these two genes in our further analysis.

**Differential expression of *her* genes in the thalamus proper, prethalamus and ZLI**

We next investigated by fluorescent WISH whether Notch-dependent and Notch-independent *her*

genes are differentially expressed in the TPZ (Fig. 2). *her4* and *her6* expression was mostly

restricted to the periventricular TPZ wall, and largely co-expressed with the NSC marker *sox2* (Fig.

S3A-B''). During early development, *her6* is expressed in the presumptive thalamic complex

(Scholpp et al., 2009). During larval development, *her6* expression is absent from the ZLI, but

maintained in the rostral thalamus and prethalamus (Fig. 2A,A',F; Scholpp and Lumsden, 2010).

At the ventricle along the midline, *her4* is strongly expressed where *her6* is absent, namely in the

ZLI, caudal thalamus (cTh) and the pretectum (Fig. 2A). In the caudal thalamus, *her4* is also

expressed laterally adjacent to the ventricular wall (Fig. 2A'). *her4* and *her15* were mostly co-

expressed in the ZLI, caudal thalamus and pretectum (Fig. 2B,B'; for comparison, chromogenic

*her4* WISH in Fig. 2G). *her9* was expressed only weakly in the ZLI and the cTh, and both *her6*

and *her9* were expressed in rather non-overlapping territories (Fig. 2C,C'). We also directly

compared the expression of both Notch-dependent *her4* and *her15* with Notch-independent *her6*

and *her9* combined, using pairwise mixed probes, and found that their expression domains together

covered the whole ventricular zone of the thalamic complex (Fig. 2D-D''').

Looking at combined expression domains, *her4, her15,* and, to a lesser extent, *her9* are co-

expressed in the ZLI and the caudal thalamus, while *her6* is selectively expressed in prethalamus

and rostral thalamus (Fig. 2F). Thus, interestingly, Notch-independent *her9* is expressed in the

same anatomical area as the Notch-dependent *her4* and *her15*, while the second Notch-independent

gene *her6* is expressed in largely exclusive territories devoid of other *her* gene expression.

When we analyzed *her4, her6,* and *her9* by triple fluorescent HCR at cellular resolution in the

thalamus (Fig. S4), we realized that domains of high expression of each gene at the ventricle are

mostly exclusive. In addition, in cells which may correlate to neural progenitors, we detected *her4*

expression at lower level distant from the high *sox2* expressing ventricular NSCs. To validate this

hypothesis, we used triple fluorescent HCR to analyze *sox2, ascl1b,* and *neurog1* expression in the

same anatomical region (Fig. S5; Movie M1). The data show high *sox2* expressing cells (Sox2$^{high}$)

at the ventricular walls largely devoid of *ascl1b* and *neurog1* expression, corresponding to NSCs.

In addition, we find a *sox2* low expressing domain (Sox2$^{low}$) in the region corresponding to low

*her4* expression, which also expresses *ascl1b* or *neurog1*, and thus are neural progenitor cells

(NPCs) in early neurogenesis.

Next, we analyzed *her4, her6* and *her9* in relation to proneural gene expression in the Sox2$^{low}$ NPC

region (Fig. S6). We observe that in this domain, *neurog1* expression largely overlaps with *her4*

expression, but not with *her6* and *her9*. Similarly, expression of *ascl1a/b* is largely exclusive from

the *her6* expressing regions (Fig. S6D). *ascl1a/b* expression overlaps widely with *dla* expression

in the Sox2$^{low}$ *her4* region (Fig. S6E), suggesting that Delta and Notch-dependent *her* genes control

*neurog1* and *ascl1a/b* in the NPC region. To investigate in more detail the relation of Delta

signaling and *her* genes in NSC and NPC regions, we analyzed combined expression of *dla* with

*sox2, her4, her6* and *her9* (Fig. S7). Surprisingly, *dla* expression appears higher in the NPC Sox2$^{low}$

region than in the Sox2$^{high}$ ventricular zone (Fig. S7A). *her4* and *dla* expression largely overlap in

the NPC region (Fig. S7B). In contrast, regions with highest levels of *her6* and *her9* expression are

devoid of *dla* expression (Fig. S7C-D).

In conclusion, our data identify at least three fundamentally distinct *her* expressing cell populations

in the TPZ (Fig. 11A,B): (1) Sox2$^{high}$ NSCtype1 at the ventricular wall with predominant

expression of Notch-independent *her6*, and absent *dla*; (2) Sox2$^{high}$ NSCtype2 at the ventricular

wall with high expression of Notch-dependent *her4* and/or *her15*, low *her9* expression, and in most

regions *dla* expression. However, *her6* expression is absent from these regions; (3) Sox2$^{low}$ NPCs

away from the ventricular wall with *dla* expression, either *ascl1b* or *neurog1* expression, and low-

level expression of Notch-dependent *her4*.

**Auto- and cross-regulation of Notch-independent *her* genes**

Given the exclusive expression domains in the TPZ, we tested genetically whether *her6* and *her9*

might cross-repress each other. We used CRISPR/Cas9 to delete large parts of the bHLH domains

of *her6* and *her9*, and isolated mutant alleles with premature stop codons that leave the remaining

aminoterminal peptides non-functional (Fig. 3A, B). We analyzed genetic interactions in *her6* and

*her9* single and double mutants (Fig. 3C-N) using WISH probes that detect the shortened mutant

transcripts. At 48 hpf, *her6* expression levels in several regions appear enhanced in *her6* mutants,

and even stronger in *her6, her9* double mutants, while *her6* expression in *her9* mutants appears

similar to WT in most brain regions (Fig. 3C-F'). Upregulation of *her6* in double mutants is even

stronger at 96 hpf (Fig. 3G-H'). *her9* expression levels in *her6* and *her9* single and double mutants

at 48 hpf appear not strongly affected, while *her9* expression at 96 hpf is slightly increased in

double mutants (Fig. 3I-N'). To quantify potential cross-regulations independently, we also

analyzed *her6* and *her9* mRNA levels by qPCR on genotyped 4 dpf embryonic heads. We found a

significant upregulation of *her6* transcripts in *her6* mutants and of *her9* transcripts in *her9* mutants

(Fig. 3O; p-values see Supplementary Table 5), indicating negative autoregulation. We next asked

whether *her6* and *her9* cross-regulation is redundant, and analyzed *her6*, *her9* double mutants.

Upregulation of *her6* and *her9* transcripts in *her6*, *her9* double mutant was significantly stronger

than in single mutants (Fig. 3O). We conclude that *her6* and *her9* exert negative cross-regulation

on each other, partially redundant with negative autoregulation. In fact, as revealed from lower

*her6* expression in *her9* than in *her6* mutants, and from lower *her9* expression in *her6* than in *her9*

mutants, negative autoregulation appears stronger than cross-regulation. Nevertheless, the strong

upregulation of *her6* and *her9* in double mutants reveals a significant contribution of negative

cross-regulation.

**Combined loss of *her6* and *her9* activity affects neural stem cells, progenitor cells and ZLI**

We further analyzed *her6*, *her9* double mutants to determine the impact of loss of Notch-

independent *her* gene function on NSCs, progenitors, and cell proliferation in the TPZ. We used

an anti-Sox2 antibody to mark NSCs by whole mount immunofluorescence (Fig. 4A-D'' and

Movie M2). In WT larvae, Sox2 positive nuclei lined the thalamic ventricular walls, including the

lateral ventricle away from the midline, and nuclei with lower Sox2 immunoreactivity (Sox2$^{low}$)

were also detected in subapical positions adjacent to the cell layer that forms the ventricular wall

(Fig. 4A' and cutout in A$_1$). In the *her6*, *her9* double mutants, the subapical Sox2$^{low}$ cell nuclei

were strongly reduced or missing, and Sox2$^{high}$ cell nuclei restricted to the ventricular wall (Fig.

4B' and B$_1$; *her9* and *her6* single mutants each have largely normal distribution of Sox2 positive

nuclei, data not shown). In addition, the lateral extension of Sox2$^{high}$ nuclei along the lateral

expansion of the ventricle was strongly reduced, indicating a loss of ventricular NSCs (Fig. 4A''

and B'', white arrowheads and Movie M2).

To determine whether the loss of Sox2 positive cells may correlate with reduced cell proliferation,

we used an anti-phospho-Histone H3 (pH3) antibody to detect cells in mitosis. Compared to WT,

*her6, her9* double mutants had a similar distribution of pH3 positive mitotic nuclei in the TPZs

ventricular zone (Fig. 4A',B' and Movie M2; nuclei not counted). However, pH3 positive nuclei

in *her6, her9* double mutants were largely absent in the lateral ventricle region, which is also devoid

of Sox2 positive cells. *ccnd1* expression, which accumulates during G1 to S phase transition, was

reduced in the TPZ especially along the lateral ventricle of *her6, her9* double mutants (Fig. S8).

Thus, while mitotic activity of Sox2$^{high}$ TPZ cells is not impaired, there are no mitotically active

cells observed in the lateral ventricle wall devoid of Sox2$^{high}$ cells.

Next, we investigated proneural gene expression in the Sox2$^{low}$ NPCs in the TPZ. Fluorescent

HCR-RNA FISH shows that *sox2* in Sox2$^{low}$ regions is co-expressed with proneural genes (Fig.

4C-C''). The strongest co-expression is in the thalamus proper in Sox2$^{low}$ expressing cells that are

not in direct contact with the ventricle (Fig. S5A-F and Movie M1). Here, *sox2* expression was

overlapping with *neurog1* or *ascl1b* in Sox2$^{low}$ NPCs. More precisely, *ascl1b* is expressed in a

narrow stripe in the rostral thalamus, while *neurog1* is expressed in the caudal thalamus (Fig. 4C-

C''; *ascl1a* is also expressed in prethalamus and pretectum, see also Fig. 11A-C). In *her6, her9*

double mutants however, *neurog1* and *ascl1b* expression domains were intermingled (arrowheads)

and the area of expression is smaller and narrower (Fig. 4D-D''). Taken together, we found *her6,*

*her9* double mutants to have a smaller domain of *sox2$^{low}$* expressing progenitors, and lack the

proper spatial organization of *neurog1* and *ascl1b* NPCs.

Next, we tested whether loss of Sox2 positive NSCs may correlate with changes in the ZLI. In WT,

*shha* in the thalamus is expressed in the basal plate and the ZLI (Scholpp and Lumsden, 2010). As

development progresses, *shha* expression is maintained medially in close proximity to the ventricle

and expands dorsally into the ZLI, where the expression extends along the walls of the lateral

ventricle (Fig. 5A-D,I). While at 24 hpf the *shha* expression in the ZLI appears largely normal in

*her6, her9* double mutants (Fig. 5A,A',E,E'), at 72 hpf and 96 hpf we observe a progressive loss

of the *shha* expression domain that normally demarcates the ZLI separating the prethalamus from

the thalamus proper, both in its lateral and dorsal domains (Fig. 5). A partial loss of *shha* expression

along the lateral ventricular walls was also observed in the ZLI of *her6* single mutants (Fig. S9),

although the extent of loss of *shha* expression in this region varied among larvae (Fig. S9C' and

D', white arrowheads). We interpret this variability as gradual loss of the ZLI cell population that

forms this *shha* expression domain.

**Loss of the two Notch-dependent *her* gene clusters does not affect NSCs and NPCs in the TPZ**

The two *her4;12* and *her2;15* gene clusters active in the TPZ contain nine *Hes5* zebrafish homologs

(Zhou et al., 2012), but no other genes (www.ensembl.org, accessed on 30. August 2021). To

genetically inactivate these nine *her* genes, we generated large precise deficiencies in both

chromosomal regions (Fig. 6A,B and Fig. S10). The 28 kb deficiency

*Df(Chr23:her12,her4.1,her4.2,her4.3,her4.4,her4.5)m1364* or *m1365*, abbreviated here as

*Df(her4;12)*, and the 30 kb deficiency *Df(Chr11:her2,her15.1,her15.2)m1490*, abbreviated here as

*Df(her2;15)* were generated using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. The deletions were confirmed by

sequencing (Fig. S10). WISH for *her4* and *her15* demonstrated that there were no transcripts left

in theses mutants, and no translocations of the deleted sequences occurred (Fig. 6C-D').

We could not observe any morphological changes in *Df(her4;12)* or *Df(her2;15)* mutant larvae

until 30 dpf (data not shown) or in fixed 3 dpf larvae. Therefore, we generated larvae devoid of all

nine Notch-dependent *her* genes in crosses of *Df(her4;12)*, *Df(her2;15)* double deficiency

heterozygous parents. Surprisingly, double deficiency homozygous larvae also appeared

morphologically largely normal. To assess whether thalamic neurogenesis may be affected, we

analyzed *neurog1* expression and found it also unchanged (Fig. 6E-F'). To determine whether the

NSC compartment was affected in these mutants, we performed immunofluorescence for Sox2 and

pH3 (Fig. 7A-B'' and Movie M3). The distribution of pH3 positive nuclei appeared unchanged in

*Df(her4;12)*, *Df(her2;15)* double mutants compared to WT embryos (Fig. 7A-B'') and the

expression of *ccnd1* was unchanged (Fig. S8), suggesting normal proliferation in the TPZ. The

Sox2$^{high}$ nuclei layer covering the ventricular wall of the thalamus proper appeared intact (small

arrow in Fig. 7A$_1$,B$_1$), and also the adjacent subapical Sox2$^{low}$ nuclei appeared normal (arrowhead

in Fig. 7A$_1$,B$_1$). The shape of the nuclei at the ventricular wall was spherical, and the subapical

Sox2$^{low}$ nuclei were elongated, both in WT and *Df(her4;12)*, *Df(her2;15)* double mutants. Sox2$^{high}$

nuclei lined the lateral ventricle along its full lateral extent (Fig. 7A'' and B'' arrowheads). Thus,

NSCs appear to develop normally in the TPZ devoid of Notch-dependent *her* genes.

We also analyzed *her* gene expression in *her6*, *her9* and in *Df(her4;12)*, *Df(her2;15)* double

mutants (data not shown). *her6* and *her9* expression is largely unaffected in *Df(her4;12)*,

*Df(her2;15)* double mutants in line with their Notch-independent regulation. Based on WISH, *her4*

and *her15* expression appear slightly increased in the NPC area of *her6* and *her9* double mutants,

but at the ventricular wall *her4* and *her15* expression do not invade into the *her6* expression

domains in the rostral thalamus and prethalamus (data not shown), suggesting that mechanisms

other than repression by *her6* limit *her4* and *her15* expression in these domains.

To determine whether also progenitor cells appear normal, we analyzed expression of *neurog1*,

*ascl1a/b* and *olig2*. *olig2* is expressed in a stripe of cells parallel to the ventricular wall, adjacent to

the expression of *neurog1* (Fig. 7C-C'', arrowheads). Both the *olig2* and *neurog1* expression

domains appeared unchanged in *Df(her4;12)*, *Df(her2;15)* double deficiency mutants (Fig. 7D-D'',

arrowheads). Additionally, thalamic *ascl1a/b* expression domains appeared normal in double

deficiency mutants (white arrows). Although more subtle changes in expression cannot be

excluded, the periventricular stem and progenitor cell compartments of the TPZ appeared very

similar to WT. Thus, surprisingly, in the absence of most Notch-dependent *her* genes, NSC and

NPC populations appear unaffected. This is in stark contrast to the defects observed in the *her6*,

*her9* double mutant.

**Her4 and Her6 overexpression reveal selective cross-regulation of *her* genes**

We next analyzed potential cross-regulation between Notch-dependent and -independent *her* genes by heat shock driven overexpression of Her4 or Her6 using *Tg(hsp:her4-FLAG)* and *Tg(hsp:her6-FLAG)* transgenic lines. When *Tg(hsp:her4-FLAG)* larvae were analyzed by WISH 2.5 hours post heat shock, *her4* was confirmed to be overexpressed (Fig. 8A,A'), and we detected downregulation of *her15* expression (Fig. 8B,B'). Her4 overexpression however did not affect the expression levels of *her6* and *her9* (Fig. 8C-D'). In contrast, overexpression of Her6 strongly downregulated *her9* and both *her4* and *her15* (Fig. 8E-H'). This suggests that Notch-independent *her* genes negatively cross-regulate Notch-dependent *her* gene expression, while Notch-dependent *her* genes negatively cross-regulate other Notch-dependent *her* genes, but do not cross-regulate Notch-independent *her* gene expression.

**Overexpression of Her6, but not Her4, strongly downregulates proneural gene expression**

We next tested whether Her4 or Her6 might differentially regulate proneural gene expression by heat-shock driven overexpression at 70 hpf and fixation 2 hours after heat shock. Upon Her4 overexpression, surprisingly, *neurog1* expression appeared largely unchanged, while *ascl1b* expression was reduced in 9 out of 14 larvae (Fig. 9A-B'). This finding is surprising, because a strong effect of Notch-dependent *her* genes on proneural gene expression would be expected (Imayoshi et al., 2013; Takke et al., 1999). *neurod1* and *neurod6b* expression were unchanged two hours after *her4* heat shock (Fig. 9C-D'). *sox2* expression was slightly reduced in 9 out of 15 *her4* heat shocked larvae (Fig. 9E-E'). In contrast, overexpression of Her6 strongly downregulated expression of *neurog1* and *ascl1b*, and, to a lesser extent, also of the late progenitor markers *neurod1* and *neurod6b* (Fig. 9F-I'). In addition, expression of the stem cell marker *sox2* appeared slightly reduced in 11 of 15 Her6 heat shock larvae (Fig. 9J-J'), but more strongly affected than by heat-shock overexpressed Her4. We also tested whether overexpression of Her4 or Her6 might have a prolonged effect on proneural gene expression late after heat shock. We analyzed larvae 6 hours after beginning of the 30 min heat shock and found *neurog1* and *ascl1b* expression at normal levels (Fig. S11A-D'). Since *her6* consistently downregulated *neurog1* and *ascl1b* 2.5 hrs after heat shock, their expression appears to be back to normal only a few hours after overexpression of *her6* ends. This suggests that the NSC regulatory network is robust against perturbations in Her6 expression level, and rapidly re-establishes normal activity. Together, our findings reveal a strong regulatory impact of Her6 on progenitors and stem cells, and indicate that Notch-independent Her6 might be a much more potent inhibitor of neurogenesis compared to Notch-dependent Her4.

**Combined loss of activity of eleven Notch-dependent and -independent *her* genes**

We next asked whether Notch-dependent and -independent *her* genes may functionally compensate for each other despite their different regulation. We genetically combined the two deficiencies eliminating all nine *Hes5* homologs together with mutant alleles of *her6* and *her9,* and named embryos homozygous mutant for all eleven *her* genes "*her* undecimal mutants" (*her*UDM; for *Df(her4;12), Df(her2;15), her6, her9*). The brains of *her*UDM embryos appeared severely malformed, and embryos did not survive beyond 5 dpf. When analyzed at 56 hpf (Fig. 10A-B'), *her*UDM embryos had the most severe morphological defects in neural tissues that undergo significant expansion by proliferation on the second day of development, such as the cerebellum, the ventral part of the retina, and the rhombic lip. Early forming neural tissues however appeared largely normal, which might be due to compensation by other *her* genes expressed during early stages of development, including *her3*, *her8a and her8.2* (Onichtchouk et al., 2010; Webb et al., 2011).

We analyzed Sox2 expression in *her*UDM embryos and observed a severe but quite variable overall phenotype, ranging in the TPZ from strong reduction of both the Sox2$^{high}$ NSC and the Sox2$^{low}$ NPC compartments (as shown in Fig. 10D-D'') to extreme anatomical malformations rendering analysis of the TPZ difficult (not shown). The Sox2$^{high}$ expressing nuclei in 3 dpf *her*UDM embryos along the ventricular wall appeared disorganized compared to WT in that the ventricular layer of Sox2$^{high}$ nuclei was interrupted (Fig. 10C-D'' and Movie M4), and some Sox2 positive nuclei were displaced from the ventricular wall, suggesting that the epithelial integrity of the ventricle may be impaired (Fig. 10C$_1$ and D$_1$, arrows). Similar loss of neuroepithelial integrity had been reported for *Hes1, Hes5* double mutant mice (Hatakeyama et al., 2004). In the lateral view, it appeared as if there were less Sox2 positive nuclei lining the ventricle wall, which might however also reflect the altered shape of the ventricle in the mutant (Fig. 10C,D). Similar to *her6*, *her9* double mutants, the Sox2$^{low}$ nuclei located subapically away from the ventricular wall of the thalamus proper were nearly absent and did not show the elongated shape typical for WT NPCs (Fig. 10C$_1$,D$_1$, arrowheads). The layer of Sox2$^{high}$ nuclei observed in WT along the walls of the lateral ventricle was completely missing (Fig. 10C'',D''). In the diencephalon of *her*UDM embryos we still detected pH3 positive nuclei at the ventricular walls (Fig.10D' and Movie M4), suggesting that NSC proliferation is not completely eliminated.

We tested whether a single WT allele of a Notch-dependent or -independent *her* gene may rescue the *her*UDM phenotype. A single WT allele of the *her2;her15* gene cluster in *Df(her4;12)* homozygous*, Df(her2;15)* heterozygous*, her6$^{-/-}$, her9$^{-/-}$* mutant larvae did partially rescue the

*her*UDM phenotype (Fig. 10E-E'' and Movie M5, right). The integrity of the ventricular wall as

defined by Sox2$^{high}$ cells was restored and resembled the phenotype in *her6, her9* double mutants

(Fig. 10E$_1$, arrow, E'', and Movie M5). Like in the *her6, her9* double mutant, the subapical Sox2$^{low}$

positive nuclei were still missing (Fig. 10E$_1$, arrowhead and Movie M5). In contrast, a single *her6*

WT allele in *Df(her4;12), Df(her2;15), her6$^{+/-}$, her9$^{-/-}$* mutant larvae fully restored the Sox2

expressing nuclei along the lateral ventricular wall (Fig. 10F'' arrowhead, and Movie M5, left).

The ventricular epithelium appeared intact (Fig. 10F$_1$, arrow), and the subapical Sox2$^{low}$

immunoreactive NPC nuclei were present and had the normal elongated nuclear shape (Fig. 10F'

and F$_1$, arrowhead). Individual effects of *her9* or *her4,12* were not tested, because they are tightly

linked on the *her9 $^{m1505}$, Df(her4;12)* chromosome 23 we used.

In summary, the *her*UDM phenotype revealed that, first, the eleven *her* genes analyzed provide

most if not all of the Her activity in the TPZ at 3-4 dpf, given the severe loss of NSCs and NPCs.

Second, Notch-dependent and -independent *her* genes appear to act partially redundant in NSC

maintenance. Third, the Notch-independent *her6* makes the most predominant contribution to NSC

and NPC maintenance and expansion.

**Discussion**

Neural proliferation zones mediate brain growth, and need to balance NSC maintenance with

generation of NPCs and neurons during neurogenesis. Delta/Notch signaling and HES/HER

transcription factors play important roles, both in NSC maintenance and control of NPC

progression in neurogenesis (Kageyama et al., 2007). HES/HER activities differ during primary

neurogenesis in the early neuroepithelium of anamniotes, during embryonic brain growth in neural

proliferation zones, and in adult neural stem cell niches (Alunni et al., 2020; Kriegstein and

Alvarez-Buylla, 2009). Here, we analyzed Her activities in the zebrafish TPZ, which is highly

active in neurogenesis at late embryonic and early larval stages.

Among the HES/HER gene families (Chapouton et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2012) we detected

zebrafish homologs of mammalian Hes1, Hes5, and Hes6 expressed in the TPZ. *Hes1* is

predominantly regulated by Notch signaling (Hsieh et al., 1997; Jarriault et al., 1995; Jarriault et

al., 1998; Nishimura et al., 1998), but also by Notch-independent input (Kageyama et al., 2007;

Ohtsuka et al., 1999). In contrast, we find expression of the *Hes1* homologs *her6* and *her9* in the

larval NPZs to be largely independent of Notch signaling, in line with previous reports on earlier

stages (Bae et al., 2005; Hans et al., 2004; Latimer et al., 2005). *Hes5* expression in mice is Notch-

dependent (Ohtsuka et al., 1999). Similarly, for the zebrafish *Hes5* homologs *her4* (Takke et al.,

1999; Zhou et al., 2012), *her12* (Gajewski et al., 2006), *her2* and *her15* (Cheng et al., 2015;

Shankaran et al., 2007), we find expression to depend on Notch signaling in NPZs. For the zebrafish

*Hes6* homologs *her8a*, we find expression in NPZs to depend on Notch signaling, while in the

neural plate Notch-independent expression has been reported (Webb et al., 2011). Similarly, *her8.2*

appears to be regulated in NPZs by both Notch-dependent and -independent mechanisms.

To identify distinct contributions of Notch-dependent and -independent *her* genes to NPZs, we

characterized *her* expression and cell type composition of the TPZ in detail (Fig. 11A). At the

boundary of thalamus and prethalamus, the ZLI cells (blue) at the ventricular surface express the

stem cell marker Sox2, *shh*, and high levels of *her4* and *her15*, as well as low levels of *her9*. In the

prethalamus and rostral thalamus, directly adjacent to the ZLI organizer, are Sox2 and, exclusively

with respect to *her* genes, *her6* expressing NSCs (green). Within the thalamus, caudal to the *her6*

expressing stripe of cells, are Sox2 positive NSCs expressing high levels of *her4* and *her15*, as well

as low levels of *her9* (red), similar to the ZLI cells. In the layer of cells directly below the

ventricular NSCs, we observed different populations of Sox2$^{low}$ expressing NPCs, expressing low

levels of *her4, her15*, and/or *her9* as well as proneural genes: *ascl1a* expression is higher in the

rostral prethalamus and rostral thalamus (orange), while *neurog1* expression is higher in a stripe of

prethalamic cells adjacent to the ZLI and in the caudal thalamus (yellow). Cells further distal from

the ventricular surface are mature neurons, or late progenitors expressing *neurod* family members

(grey). Given that TPZ NSCs appear to be characterized by either Notch-dependent or Notch-

independent *her* expression, we name the NSCs expressing high *her6* NSCtype1*,* and those

expressing high *her4* and *her15* NSCtype2. The different NSC types emerge during maturation of

the thalamic complex, as early *her6* expression comprises the entire presumptive thalamic complex

and becomes gradually restricted (Scholpp et al., 2009). The complementary expression of *Hes1*

and *Hes5* homologs in zebrafish is consistent with previous findings on *Hes1* and *Hes5* expression

in mice (Hatakeyama et al., 2004). However, there are differences: High *Hes1* cells in mice with

absent *Ascl1* expression represent quiescent NSCs (Sueda et al., 2019), while NSCtype1 are

proliferative. Quiescent versus active NSC populations may not have been established in the larval

TPZ, but diversify later in development (Than-Trong et al., 2020).

The role of *her4* during neural plate stages was reported to be similar to Notch-dependent

*Drosophila E(spl)* genes, as both mediate repression of neurogenesis in the neuroepithelium by

lateral inhibition (Nakao and Campos-Ortega, 1996; Takke et al., 1999). We find that in the larval

TPZ, *her4* and *her15* continue to depend on Notch signaling and are largely co-expressed. In

contrast to the neural plate, where *her4* and *her15* are expressed in domains distinct from *her9*
(Stigloher et al., 2008), in the larval TPZ, *her4* and *her15* are co-expressed with *her9*, but expressed
in domains distinct from *her6*. A similar dichotomy was observed for the expression of Notch-
independent *hairy* versus Notch-dependent *E(spl)* genes in the fruit fly (Fisher and Caudy, 1998;
Nakao and Campos-Ortega, 1996).

In the zebrafish neural plate, prepatterning genes mark the inter-proneural domains in a Notch-
independent manner (Stigloher et al., 2008). For example, *her3* is expressed in two elongated
stripes along the anteroposterior axis, flanked by *neurog1* positive progenitor pools (Bae et al.,
2000), and *her5* inhibits neurogenesis in the intervening zone at the prospective midbrain-hindbrain
boundary (Geling et al., 2004; Ninkovic et al., 2005). These homologs of the *Drosophila hairy*
gene appear to act by positioning the proneural domains in the neuroectoderm independent of
Notch signaling and are in that respect similar to Drosophila *hairy* function (Fisher and Caudy,
1998; Geling et al., 2004). It is therefore tempting to speculate that *her6* and *her9* execute prepattern
information to maintain NSCs, and thus might have similar functions as *her3* and *her5* in the neural
plate.

It was shown that *her6* morphant embryos lose *shha* expression in the mid-diencephalic organizer,
which was explained by a premature differentiation of organizer cells accompanied by the loss of
the boundary-characteristic *shha* expression (Scholpp et al., 2009). A similar *Shh* phenotype was
observed in *Hes1, Hes5* double mutant mice (Baek et al., 2006). In *her6* mutants, we find a severe
reduction of *shha* ZLI expression, which is even more severe in *her6, her9* double mutants that
lack *shha* expression along the ZLI lateral ventricular walls completely. Despite *her6* and *her9*
being expressed in distinct cell populations, *her9* can thus partially compensate *her6* function in
the ZLI. Thus, *her6* and *her9* may indeed, in a Notch-independent manner, execute patterning
information to maintain ZLI NSCs and signaling activity, similar to *her5* at the zebrafish midbrain-
hindbrain boundary (Geling et al., 2004). Analysis of *Hes1* in mice revealed a high expression level
mode of *Hes1* function in neural boundaries and organizing centers (Baek et al., 2006), which
resulted in a model of two distinct *Hes1* functions. In so-called boundaries, high levels of Hes1
constitutively represses neurogenesis, whereas in compartments, its expression oscillates and
regulates progression of neurogenesis (Kageyama et al., 2007).

Instead of just two in mice, zebrafish have evolved two *Hes1* and nine *Hes5* homologous genes,
which appear to differ more strictly in their Notch-dependency. We used a genetic approach to
identify differences in Notch-dependent versus -independent *her* gene functions, as well as
potential redundancies in the *her* gene network (Fig.11H). Redundancy of *her* genes in zebrafish
has been shown for *her1*, *her7* and *her13.2* in somitogenesis (Henry et al., 2002; Oates and Ho,

2002; Sieger et al., 2006), as well as for *Hes1* and *Hes5* in mice (Hirata et al., 2001) and *her5* and

*her11/him* in zebrafish (Ninkovic et al., 2005) at the mid-hindbrain boundary. However, a detailed

analysis of *her* gene interactions and redundancies, as well as a comprehensive understanding of

Notch-dependent versus -independent activities has not been obtained.

*her6* and *her9* show negative feedback autoregulation and crossregulation, the latter however

largely masked in the presence of functional feedback autoregulation, such that the expression of

both *her* genes is most strongly upregulated in their double mutants. Due to the cluster deletions,

we could not investigate autoregulation of Notch-dependent *her* genes in mutants, however *her4*

overexpression reveals negative cross-regulation of *her15*. Overexpression of *her4* did not affect

*her6* and *her9* expression, confirming that these genes are indeed completely independent of Notch

signaling. Overexpression of *her6* repressed *her9, her4* and *her15*, revealing that Notch-

independent *her6* activity is in a sense dominant over these *her* genes. Accordingly, *her6* and *her9*

expression is largely unaffected in *Df(her4;12)*, *Df(her2;15)* double mutants. We observed

alterations in *her4* and *her15* expression in *her6, her9* double mutants, however given that these

double mutants affected NSCs composition of the TPZ, the effects at 3 dpf would not necessarily

reflect direct regulation. The observed interactions and redundancies are outlined in Fig. 11H.

The loss- and gain-of-function experiments also revealed differential contributions of Notch-

dependent versus -independent *her* genes to distinct types of NSCs and NPCs in the TPZ. We find

that Notch-independent *her6* and *her9* are together critical for a subset of Sox2$^{high}$ NSCs at the

lateral ventricular wall of the TPZ, as well as of Sox2$^{low}$ NPCs. This is consistent with an

interpretation that Notch-independent *her6* and *her9* activity would be responsible for long-term

maintenance of TPZ NSCs, tightly linked to the activity of the ZLI. *her6*, *her9* double mutants also

display a TPZ phenotype as well as severe malformations in eye development (data not shown),

similar to those reported for *Hes1* mutant mice (Nakamura et al., 2008; Nakamura et al., 2000;

Tomita et al., 1996).

We were surprised to find that in *Df(her4;12)* or *Df(her2;15)* mutants, as well as in double deficient

larvae lacking all 9 zebrafish Notch-dependent *Hes5* homologs, the TPZ appeared morphologically

largely normal, and developed an apparently normal NSC and NPC composition of the TPZ (Fig.

11D-F). This suggests that in zebrafish, Notch-dependent *her* genes may have only a minor

contribution to NSC maintenance. Combined deletion of the eleven zebrafish *Hes1* and *Hes5*

homologs in *her*UDMs however, causes loss of most NSCs and essentially all NPCs in the TPZ,

resulting in severe morphological malformations of the CNS and retina (Fig. 11G). The *her*UDM

phenotype in severity appears similar to the severe *Hes1, Hes5* double mutant phenotype in mice

with premature differentiation and stem cell depletion (Baek et al., 2006; Hatakeyama et al., 2004;

Ohtsuka et al., 1999). The *her*UDM mutant phenotype is more severe than the *her6, her9* double

mutant phenotype, revealing that indeed Notch-dependent *her* genes also contribute to NSC

maintenance. Nevertheless, *her6* functions most prominently in this context, as revealed by

*her*UDMs rescue by a single WT allele of *her6.* In summary both, Notch-dependent and -

independent *her* genes act together to regulate NSC maintenance in the TPZ, with the most

prominent activity provided by *her6.*

We note that even in the *her*UDMs, proliferating NSCs can be observed at 3 dpf. NSCs in

*her*UDMs may be maintained into 3 dpf by additional *her* genes which have their strongest

expression early in development, but might still provide some *her* activity to the TPZ, such as *her8a*

or *her8.2*, *Hes6* homologs, which we show have both Notch-dependent and -independent aspects

of expression in the 2 dpf and at very low levels 3 dpf TPZ.

Notch-dependent *Hes/her* genes have been demonstrated to be important regulators of neurogenesis

(Nakao and Campos-Ortega, 1996; Takke et al., 1999). Surprisingly, in *Df(her4;12), Df(her2;15)*

double deficiency mutants, expression of *ascl1a/b, neurog1* and *olig2* in the TPZ appears largely

normal. Thus, in the TPZ, these nine Notch-dependent *her* genes together appear not essential for

the initiation of NPCs and proneural gene expression. Our *her4* overexpression experiments

support this interpretation: in *her4* heat-shocked embryos, expression of *neurog1* and *neurod1/6b*

are largely normal, and of *ascl1b* in most embryos only slightly reduced. In contrast, loss of Notch-

independent *her6* and *her9* results in smaller and disorganized domains of *ascl1a/b, neurog1* and

*olig2* in the TPZ. Overexpression of *her6* eliminates *neurog1* and *ascl1b* expression, indicating

loss of NPCs, and causes a reduction of *neurod1/d6b* expression, revealing reduction of NPCs

entering neuronal differentiation. Our findings resemble results in mice, where *Hes5* is upregulated

in *Hes1* mutants, but *Hes5* does not regulate *Mash1* (Ishibashi et al., 1995). Similar to our

observations with *her6*, *Hes1* single and *Hes1, Hes5* double mutants have much stronger

neurogenesis phenotypes than *Hes5* mutants (Hatakeyama et al., 2004).

In summary, we were able to show that the expanded number of *Hes1/Hes5* homologous genes in

zebrafish goes along with a clear separation of Notch-dependency for different *her* genes, and

enables us to differentiate Notch-dependent and -independent *her* activities in NSCs and NPCs.

Our genetic analysis demonstrates that the *her* gene network is largely redundant within the Notch-

dependent and -independent *her* groups, and that Notch-independent *her* genes may better

substitute loss of Notch-dependent genes than vice versa. The observed robustness of the network

is supported by several regulatory feedback loops and crossregulation (Fig. 11H). In an intact TPZ,

Notch-independent *her* genes predominantly regulate NSC maintenance and NSC transition into

the NPC pool, while Notch-dependent *her* genes may predominantly regulate progenitor dynamics

and timing of differentiation, but not progenitor generation itself. This is in line with *Hes5* reported

in mice to be responsible for regulation of the transition timing between phases of neurogenesis

and gliogenesis, which is accompanied by changes in epigenetic regulation (Bansod et al., 2017).

Within the TPZ, we identify two NSC populations: NSCtype1 depends predominantly on Notch-

independent *her6* and may interpret patterning information at the ZLI (similar to "boundary" cells

by Baek et al., 2006), while NSCtype2 expresses predominantly Notch-dependent *her4* and *her15*.

It is tempting to speculate that NSCtype1 may serve long-term NSC maintenance, while NSCtype2

may generate NPCs. How both types, NSCtype2 *her4* and *shha* expressing ZLI organizer cells

themselves, and adjacent *her6* expressing NSCtype1, work together to maintain the organizer

should help to understand the complexity of the ZLI.

## Materials and Methods

### Zebrafish maintenance and breeding

ABTL strain zebrafish were kept and bred under standard conditions (Westerfield, 2000). Experiments were performed in accordance with the German Animal Welfare Guidelines. All experiments were approved by the ethics committee for animal experiments at the Regierungspräsidium Freiburg.

Zebrafish embryos were obtained through natural breeding and embryos were raised in E3 medium (5 mM NaCl, 0.17 mM KCl, 0.33 mM CaCl$_2$, 0.33 mM MgSO$_4$, supplemented with 2 ppm Methylene blue). 0.2 mM N-Phenylthiourea (PTU, Sigma Aldrich) was added to the E3 medium to prevent pigmentation. Embryos were staged as previously described (Kimmel et al., 1995).

### Embryo fixation and storage

Embryos were incubated in E3 (containing 0.2 mM PTU) supplemented with 1x tricaine methanesulfonate (MS-222) and fixed in 4 % PFA for 4 hours at RT or overnight at 4 °C. Embryos were washed several times with PBST and dehydrated stepwise with 25 %, 50 %, and 75 % MeOH in PBST, and stored at -20 °C in 100 % MeOH.

### Genotyping

DNA was isolated from embryos or tail biopsies of fixed larvae. Samples were heated 5 min to 95 °C in 60 µl TE buffer, after addition of 3 µl Proteinase K (20 mg/ml) digested for at least 4 hrs at 55 °C. After heat-inactivation of Proteinase K for 10 min at 95 °C, 3 µl of the DNA sample was used in 30 µl PCR volume. The primer concentration was 0.3 µM unless stated otherwise. PCR programs are supplied in Supplementary Table 3. For genotyping of embryos used in the qPCR, an alkaline lysis was performed. Tails were cut and transferred to 40 µl 50 mM NaOH and incubated for 45 min at 95 °C. The lysis was stopped by addition of 4 µl 1 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.5).

### Cas9 mRNA and sgRNA generation

Cas9 mRNA was transcribed from the hspCas9 plasmid (Ansai and Kinoshita, 2014) and Cas9-nanos from the Cas9-nanos-3′-UTR plasmid (Moreno-Mateos et al., 2015) using the SP6 mMessage mMachine kit (Thermo Fischer), and a polyA tail was added (PolyA tailing kit from

Thermo Fischer). sgRNAs were designed with CRISPRscan (Moreno-Mateos et al., 2015) and

ordered as oligonucleotides to generate DNA templates (Gagnon et al., 2014). Annealing of the

constant oligonucleotide with the variable oligonucleotide was done by cooling from 95 °C down

to 25 °C in 1 hr in a PCR machine. Annealed oligonucleotides were filled with T4 DNA Polymerase

(New England Biolabs) for 20 min at 11 °C. sgRNAs were transcribed 3-4 hrs at 37 °C using the

MEGAscript T7 kit according to protocol (Thermo Fischer) and dissolved in 15 µl H$_2$O.

**Targeted induction of knockouts and large deficiencies**

*her6 m1358* **mutant allele:** Two sgRNAs (transcribed from p3-Oligo04 and p4-Oligo05) were

used to generate the *her6* knockout allele *m1358*. The intragenic deletion generates a frameshift

that truncates the protein after amino acid 36 before the bHLH domain. Lower case letters are

deleted in the mutant allele *m1358*:

5'TATAGTGCTTCAAGAagtgattagacagattgactcattttgtttttattttgcattttagtcttctaaacccattatggagaaaaga

agaagagcgagaatcaacgaaagcttgggtcagctgaaaacgttaatcttggatgctctgaaaaaagatgtaagtaccgaaagtccgactga

gtctttcagttaggctatatctggctggaatattaatgcatttctttatatagccgcatatctaaatcagtctctttcttcactctcagagctccagaca

ctctaaacttgagaaagccgacATCCTGGAGATGACA3'

*her9 m1368* **mutant allele:** Two sgRNAs (transcribed from p186-Oligo26 and p187-Oligo27)

were used to generate the *her9* knockout allele *m1368*. The intragenic deletion generates a

frameshift that truncates the protein after amino acid 18 before the bHLH domain. Lower case

letters are deleted in the mutant allele *m1368*:

5'ATTGCTGGTGCCCCTgccagtggatctcatactcctgacaagccaaagaatgccagcgagcatagaaagtcttcaaagcca

atcatggaaaagcgccgcagagcgagaatcaacgagagccttgggcagctgaagactctcattcttgatgctcttaaaaaagatagctccag

acactctaaattggagaaagctgatattctggagatgacagtcaagcacctgcgcaatttacaacgtgttcagatgagcgcagccttgtcagct

gacacaaacgtcctcagcaagtaccgcgcaggattcaacgagtgcatgaacgaggtgactcgatttctctctacctgcgagggagtgaatac

agaggtcagatcgcgacttcttaaccacctgtCCGGTTGTATGGGAC3'

*Df(her2;15) m1490*: Two sgRNAs (transcribed from p211-Oligo38 and p219-Oligo43) were used

to generate the 30 kb deficiency *Df(Chr11:her2,her15.1,her15.2)*, which we abbreviate to

*Df(her2;15)* (Fig. S10). 1 nl of the injection mix (6.5 µl total volume), which consisted of 1 µl of

each sgRNA (transcribed from Oligo p211 and Oligo p43) plus 4.5 µl Cas9-nanos mRNA (500-

800 ng/µl), was injected into 1 cell stage zebrafish embryos. 24 hpf single embryos were genotyped

by PCR with two primers p213 + p222 (0.3 µM each) to test for knockout events (Supplementary

Table 1). The PCR was performed using MyTaq polymerase (Bioline; PCR program in

Supplementary Table 3). If embryos showed the desired deletions, siblings were raised and crossed

to ABTL fish. F1 embryos carrying deletions were identified by PCR and siblings were raised to

adulthood. Adult F1 fish were fin clipped and genotyped with primers p213 + p222 (0.3 µM each)

+ p220 (0.08 µM) (Supplementary Tables 1 and 3). Stable heterozygous F2 lines were established

and appear to grow normally.

***Df(her4;12) m1364 and m1365***: Two sgRNAs (transcribed from p39-Oligo12 and p47-Oligo16)

were used to generate the 28 kb deficiency *Df(Chr23:her12,her4.1,her4.2,her4.3,her4.4,her4.5)*,

which we abbreviate to *Df(her4;12)* (*m1364* and *m1365* are shown in Fig. S10). 1 nl of the injection

mix (8.3 µl total volume), which consisted of 1 µl of each sgRNA (transcribed from Oligo12 and

Oligo16) plus 6 µl hspCas9 mRNA (600-1200 ng/µl), was injected into 1 cell stage zebrafish

embryos. 24 hpf single embryos were genotyped by PCR with p42 + p51 (0.3 µM each) to test for

knockout events (Supplementary Table 1). The PCR was performed using MyTaq polymerase

(Bioline; PCR program in Supplementary Table 3). If embryos showed deletions, siblings were

raised and crossed to ABTL fish. F1 embryos were identified by PCR and siblings were raised to

adulthood. Adult F1 fish were fin clipped and genotyped with primers p42 + p43 + p51 (0.3 µM

each) (Supplementary Tables 1 and 3). Stable heterozygous F2 lines were established and appear

to grow normally.

***her* activity depleted *Df(her2;15), Df(her4;12), her4, her6* (*her*UDM) strain:** The *her9* gene

(Chromosome 23: 23,399,520-23,401,305) is only 1.9 Mb away from *her4.5* (Chromosome 23:

21,469,203-21,471,022), which is its closest neighbor in the *her4* gene cluster. To obtain the

combined *her6, her9, her4;12* cluster and *her2;15* cluster mutant strain (abbreviated: *her*UDM),

*Df(her4;12) m1364* heterozygous embryos were injected with sgRNAs (transcribed from p186-

Oligo26 and p187-Oligo27) to target *her9* on the *Df(her4;12)* chromosome. For this mutant, 1 nl

of the injection mix (5 µl total volume), which consisted of 1 µl of each sgRNA and 3 µl Cas9-

nanos mRNA (500-800 ng/µl), was injected into 1 cell stage zebrafish embryos. The establishment

of stable F2 lines was similar as described for the large deficiency mutants. The PCRs were

performed with primer p197 and p198 and the program can be found in Supplementary Table 3.

The allele was named *her9 m1505*. Lower case letters are deleted in the mutant:

5'TGCTGGTGCCCCTGCcagtggatctcatactcctgacaagccaaagaatgccagcgagcatagaaaggtaaaaatcacttat

aatgcgattgcatattgttttagaagaatgacagctgcatcagttattctaaaaaaagagagaatgtcttttaattacgtaaattaattaattttccatt

tcatctgcagtcttcaaagccaatcatggaaaagcgccgcagagcgagaatcaacgagagccttgggcagctgaagactctcattcttgatg

ctcttaaaaaagatgtaagttttatctacaactcttgtcatgcttcagtcacccgacgttagtaattctgaaacagtttctaaccgaattctgctcatt

cacagagctccagacactctaaattggagaaagctgatattctggagatgacagtcaagcacctgcgcaatttacaacgtgttcagatgagcg

gtaagttgcaagtcagattcctcaagatgataaacttttaacgtgcttttaaaaacgcaatttaatttcctgaatacacaatctaaatacgttttatctt

tgtttaccagcagccttgtcagctgacacaaacgtcctcagcaagtaccgcgcaggattcaacgagtgcatgaacgaggtgactcgatttctc

tctacctgcgagggagtgaatacagaggtcagatcgcgacttcttaaccacctGTCCGGTTGTATGGG3'

When breeding the *her9 m1505, Df(her4;12)* chromosome, we also checked for unwanted crossing

over events by PCR for the *Df(her4;12)* allele.

**LY-411575 treatment**

For pharmacological inhibition of Notch signaling by LY-411575 (Rothenaigner et al., 2011),

embryos were incubated in E3 supplemented with 0.2 mM PTU until 64 hpf. Then embryos were

incubated in 1x E3 supplemented with 0.2 mM PTU, 2 % DMSO, 10 μM LY-411575 for 8 hrs.

Control embryos were treated in 1x E3 supplemented with 0.2 mM PTU and 2 % DMSO for 8 hrs

in the same six-well plate as the LY treated embryos. Embryos were checked for vital functions

and fixed at 72 hpf in 4 % PFA.

**NICD overexpression**

For the overactivation of the Notch signaling pathway, *Tg(hsp:Gal4;UAS:RFP) kca4Tg* were

crossed with *Tg(UAS:NICD)kca3Tg* (Scheer and Campos-Ortega, 1999).This allowed heat shock

controlled overexpression of NICD. At 64 hpf embryos were heat shocked for 30 min at 40 °C in

pre-heated E3 (with 0.2 mM PTU). Heat shocked embryos were then sorted for the heat shock

induced RFP marker. Non-fluorescent embryos were used as negative controls. Embryos were

tested for the *UAS:NICD* element by PCR (Supplementary Tables 1 and 3).

**Whole mount immunofluorescence**

Fixed and stored embryos were rehydrated with 75 %, 50 %, 25 % MeOH in PBST and washed

several times with PBST. Embryos were digested with Proteinase K (10 μg/ml) in PBST for 45

min at RT. Embryos were re-fixed with 4 % PFA for 20 min at RT and then washed several times

with PBST. Larvae were blocked in blocking solution (1 % BSA, 5 % goat serum, 1 % DMSO in

PBST) for 1 hr and incubated with the primary antibodies diluted in blocking solution. The anti-

Sox2 antibody ([20G5], abcam, ab171380, LOT GR3253929-5) and the anti-phospho-Histone H3

(Ser10) antibody (Merck, #06-570) were diluted 1:400. Embryos were incubated in primary

antibodies overnight at 4 °C and then washed several times for 30 min each with PBST

supplemented with 1 % DMSO. Embryos were incubated with the secondary antibodies 1:1000 in

PBST supplemented with 1 % DMSO and 1 % blocking reagent (Roche, #1096176) overnight at 4

°C. Secondary antibodies were Alexa 555 goat anti-mouse IgG (Thermo Fisher Scientific, #A-

11001) and Alexa 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG (Thermo Fisher Scientific, #A-11070). Embryos were

washed several times in PBST, transferred to 80 % glycerol in PBST and stored at 4°C protected

from light. The embryos were recorded soon after staining.

**RNA detection by Hybridization Chain Reaction (HCR)**

Whole mount in situ hybridization by HCR was performed as described in the HCR v3.0 protocol

for zebrafish embryo and larvae (Choi et al. (2018) and by Molecular Instruments. The following

changes to the protocol were made: In preparation stage 11, 3 dpf larvae were treated with

proteinase K (30 µg/ml) for 30 min. In detection stage 1, 15 larvae were used in 2 ml tubes. After

amplification stage 6, embryos were washed twice in PBST for 5 min and stored in 80 % glycerol

in PBS. Probes for *neurog1* (ENSDART00000078563.5), *asc1a* (ENSDART00000056005.5),

*ascl1b*     (ENSDART00000183550.1),     *sox2*     (ENSDART00000104493.5)     and     *her6*

(ENSDART00000023613.9) were ordered and designed by Molecular Instruments.

We designed probe sets for *her4* (ENSDART00000079274.4), *her9* (ENSDART00000078936.4),

*her15* (ENSDART00000055707.6) and *olig2* (ENSDART00000060006.5) (Supplementary Table

2). For example, the *olig2* probe set consists of ten probe pairs which are evenly distributed along

the mRNA sequence (Supplementary Table 2). One probe pair consists of two gene specific 25 bp

sequences each with a GC content of 37-85 % and a Tm of 55-77 °C. The two sequences were

separated by a gap of two nucleotides. The B1 specific spacer and initiator sequences were added

to the reverse complement of the two gene specific sequences. The complete probe set was ordered

from Sigma Aldrich. Equal volumes of all 20 single probes were mixed and used as probe mixture

containing 5 µM of each probe in detection stage 3.

**Generation of in situ hybridization probes**

Specific *her* probes were designed to avoid cross-hybridization of conserved domains. For the

generation of *her6*, *her9*, *her4.1-her4.5.*, *her15.1-her15.2*, *her2* and *her12* whole-mount *in situ*

hybridizations probes, sequences spanning the last exon and/or 3' UTR of the respective genes

were amplified by PCR (all primers for probe generation are given in Supplementary Table 1). The

PCRs were performed on WT genomic DNA with PfuUltra II (Agilent). The 50 µl PCR mix

contained 6-10 µl dNTP mix (2.5 mM each), 5 µl 10x Pfu buffer, 0.2 mM of each primer, 2 µl

DNA and 1 µl PfuUltra II Polymerase. Before cloning, A-overhangs were added by incubating 20

µl of the purified PCR product with 9.7 µl MyTaq buffer, 0.2 µl MyTaq polymerase and 0.1 µl (25

µM) dATPs for 20 min at 72 °C. After amplification, the probes were cloned into a TOPO vector

according to the manufacturer's protocol (TOPO TA cloning kit, Thermo Fisher).

For the *her4* probe, which recognizes *her4.1* (ENSDART00000079274.4), *her4.2*

(ENSDART00000137573.2), *her4.3* (ENSDART00000104209.4), *her4.4*

ENSDART00000079265.6) and *her4.5* (ENSDART00000104206.4), a template was generated

with primer p159F and p160R (annealing 57 °C, 40 sec). For the *her15* probe, which recognizes

*her15.1* (ENSDART00000055707.6) and *her15.2* (ENSDART00000055706.6), a template was

cloned using primers p157F and p158F (annealing 56 °C, 40 sec). The *her2*

(ENSDART00000055709.5) probe template was amplified with p154F and p155R (annealing 56

°C, 40 sec) and the *her12* (ENSDART00000044080.7) probe template was amplified with p152F

and p153R (annealing 56 °C, 40 sec). The *her6* (ENSDART00000023613.9) probe template was

amplified using p147F and p148R (annealing 57 °C, 55 sec) and the *her9*

(ENSDART00000078936.4) probe template was amplified with p149F and p150R (annealing 56

°C 40 sec). All primers are given in Supplementary Table1.

The *her8a* (ENSDART00000123395.4) probe template was amplified as published in Webb et al.

(2011) with p322F and p327R (annealing 56 °C, 1 min) and the *her8.2*

(ENSDART00000101578.4) probe template was amplified with p260F and p259R (annealing 56

°C, 1 min). For *her8a* and *her8.2* cDNA was used as a template in the PCR.

*sox2* (ENSDART00000104493.5), *neurod1* (ENSDART00000011837.6), *neurog1*

(ENSDART00000078563.5), *shha* (ENSDART00000149395.3), *neurod6b*

(ENSDART00000185805.1), *irx1b* (ENSDART00000079114.6; (Scholpp et al., 2009), *ascl1a*

(ENSDART00000056005.5; Allende and Weinberg (1994), *ascl1b* (ENSDART00000183550.1;

Allende and Weinberg (1994) probes have been previously published (www.zfin.org) and plasmids

were validated by sequencing.

Plasmids were linearized and transcribed with T7 or SP6 RNA Polymerases (Thermo Fischer).

Antisense RNA probes were generated using the DIG or DNP based RNA labelling kits from Roche

(Merck, Germany). The quality of the RNA probe was checked by agarose gel electrophoresis.

**Whole-mount in situ hybridizations**

Chromogenic whole mount in situ hybridizations were performed as previously described

(Holzschuh et al., 2003). After fixation, storage and rehydration, embryos were washed three times

in PBST and treated with 10 µg/ml Proteinase K (AppliChem, 15 min incubation per 24 hrs of

development). Embryos were washed with PBST and fixed in 4% PFA for 20 min at room

temperature. Embryos were washed 5 times in PBST and incubated in hybridization mix (50%

formamide, 5x SSC, 5 mg/ml torula yeast RNA type IV, 50 µg/ml heparin, 0.1% Tween-20) at 65

°C for 4 hrs. Next, embryos were incubated with digoxigenin labelled antisense RNA probes in

hybridization mix (dilution 1:200 - 1:500) at 65 °C overnight. After hybridization, embryos were

washed at 65 °C for 20 min each with the following solutions: twice with 50% formamide in 2x

SSCT, twice with 25% formamide in 2x SSCT for 20 min each, twice in 2x SSCT for 20 min each,

three times in 0.2x SSCT for 20 min each. Next, embryos were washed once in 0.1x SSCT in 0.5x

PBST for 10 min and twice in PBST for 10 min each at room temperature. Embryos were blocked

for 2 to 3 hrs with 2 % heat inactivated goat serum (Vector Laboratories) and 4 mg/ml BSA

(AppliChem) in PBST. Embryos were incubated overnight at 4 °C in blocking solution

supplemented with the alkaline phosphatase coupled anti-digoxigenin antibody (Roche, REF

11093274910) 1:3000. Embryos were washed six times in PBST at room temperature for 20 min

each and three times in NTMT (100 mM NaCl, 100 mM Tris-HCl at pH 9.5, 50 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.1 %

Tween-20) for 15 min each. For the staining reaction, 0.18 mg/ml BCIP (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-

indolyl-phosphate, AppliChem) and 0.45 mg/ml NBT (4-nitroblue tetrazolium chloride,

AppliChem) were added to NTMT. Staining was performed in the dark and stopped by 3 washes

in PBST supplemented with 0.1 mM EDTA. For longer storage, embryos were fixed in 4 % PFA

for 1 hr at room temperature. After three more washes in PBST, embryos were transferred to 80%

glycerol in PBST supplemented with 0.1 mM EDTA and stored at 4 °C protected from light.

## 792 **Fluorescent whole-mount in situ hybridizations**

Fluorescent in situ hybridizations were performed as described in the section whole mount in situ

hybridization until addition of probes. The digoxigenin and dinitrophenol labelled antisense probes

(see "Generation of in situ hybridization probes") together were added to the hybridization mix and

the embryos were incubated at 65 °C overnight. Washes were performed at 65 °C as described

above. Embryos were washed in TNT (100 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 % Tween-

20) and blocked in TNT supplemented with 1 % Boehringer Block (Roche) for 2 to 3 hrs at room

temperature. The anti-digoxigenin-POD Fab fragment antibody (Roche, REF 11207733910) was

used 1:400 in blocking solution and embryos were incubated overnight at 4 °C. Embryos were

washed eight times 15 min each in TNT at room temperature, rinsed in 100 mM borate buffer pH

8.0 and incubated in staining mix containing 2 % dextrane sulfate, 1% tyramide stock solution

Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Fischer), 0.0015 % $H_2O_2$ and 112.5 µg/ml 4-iodphenole in 100 mM

borate buffer pH 8.0 for 1 hr at room temperature. Embryos were washed three times in TNT,

incubated in 0.3 % $H_2O_2$ in TNT for 30 min and then washed five times in TNT for five minutes

each at room temperature. Embryos were blocked in 1% blocking reagent (Roche) in 100 mM

maleic acid, 150 mM NaCl at pH 7.5 for 2 to 3 hrs at room temperature. The anti-DNP HRP

antibody (Perkin Elmer, FP1129) was used 1:200 in blocking solution and embryos were incubated

overnight at 4 °C. Embryos were washed eight times 15 min each in TNT at room temperature,

rinsed in 100 mM borate buffer pH 8.0 and incubated in staining mix containing 2 % dextrane

sulfate, 1 % tyramide stock solution Alexa Fluor 555 (Thermo Fischer), 0.0015 % $H_2O_2$ and 112.5

µg/ml 4-iodphenole in 100 mM borate buffer pH 8.0 for 1 hr at room temperature. Embryos were

washed three times in TNT and three times in PBST for five minutes each at room temperature.

Embryos were transferred to 80 % glycerol in PBS and stored at 4 °C protected from light.

**Microscopy**

Imaging of chromogenic whole mount in situ hybridizations was performed with the Zeiss

Axioskop2, Axiovision SE64, Rel.4.9.1 with AxioCam ICc1 with a Plan-Neofluar 20×/0.5 or

10×/0.3 objective and DIC optics. Embryos were mounted in 80 % glycerol in PBST supplemented

with 0.1 mM EDTA or in 80 % glycerol in $H_2O$ supplemented with 1 % standard agarose (Bioron).

All shown images are single z-planes from an image stack.

Imaging of fluorescent stainings was performed with an inverted microscope with LSM-880

Airyscan (Zeiss). A 40x objective LD LCI Plan Apochromat 40x/1.2 autocorr (Zeiss) was used.

Embryos were embedded in 80% glycerol in $H_2O$ supplemented with 1 % standard agarose

(Bioron). The immersion medium was glycerol. The imaging program ZEN 2.3 SP1 FP3 (black)

version 14.0.22.201 was used. If Airyscan was used, image stacks were processed with the ZEN

black software using the Airyscan algorithm (v. 2.3 SP1 Zeiss). All images shown are single z-

planes from an image stack, except for Fig. 2A,B,C; Fig. 4A,B,C,C'', D, D''; Fig. 7A,B,C,C'',D,

D'', Fig. 10C,D, E,F and Fig. S3A,B, which show orthogonal projections of an image stack. Fig.

2D, D' and D'' show single z planes from an image stack of laterally mounted embryos.

Figures were assembled in Photoshop. Image levels were linearly adjusted except for Fig. 5B-C',

F-G', Fig. S8 and Fig. S9A-F' to improve visibility in very dark stained areas.

**Numbers of embryos analyzed**

For WISH experiments analyzing WT, compound treated or heat shock overexpression treated

embryos, shown in Fig. 1G-R', Fig 8, Fig. 9, Fig. S1, Fig. S2 G-J' and Fig. S11, for each condition

10-20 larvae were examined for their expression patterns and representative images are shown.

When the (n) numbers are provided in images or legends, the number indicates how many larvae

were imaged. If staining patterns or intensity varied within one experimental condition (Fig. 9B',

E' and J'), one embryo with a staining pattern representative for the majority of embryos analyzed

is shown, and the numbers in the bottom right corner indicate the number of embryos with the

representative expression pattern and the total number of embryos analyzed for this condition.

For analysis of genetic mutants or fluorescent WISH shown in Fig. 1A-F', Fig. 2, Fig. 3C-N', Fig

4, Fig. 5, Fig. 6C-F', Fig. 7, Fig. 10, Fig.S2A-D', Fig. S3, Fig. S8, Fig. S9, the numbers (n) of

embryos imaged for each condition are provided in the Figure legends or in the image panels, and

in Supplementary Table 4.

**qPCR**

The tail of each 4 dpf larva was cut and transferred to a new tube for genotyping as described above.

The rest of each larva was stored individually in 75 µl RNA later solution (Ambion, AM7024) on

ice. Following genotype detection by PCR, total RNA was extracted from 2-3 embryos pooled per

genotype using the RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN, 74106) and QIAshredder columns (QIAGEN,

79656). The sample was homogenized in 1 % β-mercaptoethanol in 600 µl RLT buffer

(QUIAGEN, 74106). The suspension was transferred to a QIAshredder column and centrifuged for

1 min at 10,000 g. 700 µl 70 % ethanol was added to the flow through and transferred to RNeasy

Mini spin columns. After centrifugation (15 s, 10,000 g), 350 µl RW1 buffer (QUIAGEN, 74106)

was added to the membrane and centrifuged. A digestion step with DNase I was performed by

adding 80 µl of the DNase I incubation mix (consisting of 10 µl DNase I stock solution with a

concentration of 2.73 Kunitz units/µl and 70µl RDD buffer; QUIAGEN, 74106) to the RNeasy

spin column membrane for 15 min on the benchtop to remove DNA from the membrane. After two

more washing steps according to manufacturer's protocol, the column was dried by centrifugation

for additional 2 min. Total RNA was eluted by adding 30 µl $H_2O$ to the filter membrane. Tubes

were incubated for 1 min at RT and centrifuged for 1 min at 10,000 g. The RNA concentrations

were determined by NanoDrop measurements.

100 ng total RNA was used as a template for reverse transcription into cDNA. cDNA was generated

with the SuperScript III RT Kit according to manufacturer's protocol using oligo(dT)$_{12-18}$ (0.5

µg/µl) primers. The qPCR was performed with the SYBR Green Supermix (Sso Advanced

Universal, Biorad). The 10 µl qPCR mix contained 5 µl 2x SYBR Green Supermix, 1 µl primer

mix (0.5 µM final concentration of each primer) and 2 µl cDNA. The PCR plate was carefully

sealed and centrifuged for 10 min at 3000 rpm. qPCRs were performed with the LightCycler

(Roche) and programs are given in Supplementary Table 3. The data analysis was performed as in

Taylor et al. (2019), and *actb2* was used as reference gene. We note that for calculations of relative

expression, we excluded one of the three biological replicates for the double mutant since the CT

value for *actb2* control in this replicate was off. Therefore, the number of biological replicates for

*her6* and *her9* qPCR in *her6, her9* double mutants is two. For all others the number of biological

replicates is three.

878
**References (including references in methods)**

**Adolf, B., Chapouton, P., Lam, C. S., Topp, S., Tannhauser, B., Strahle, U., Götz, M. and**
**Bally-Cuif, L.** (2006). Conserved and acquired features of adult neurogenesis in the
zebrafish telencephalon. *Dev Biol* **295**, 278-293.

**Allende, M. L. and Weinberg, E. S.** (1994). The expression pattern of two zebrafish achaete-
scute homolog (ash) genes is altered in the embryonic brain of the cyclops mutant. *Dev*
*Biol* **166**, 509-530.

**Alunni, A., Coolen, M., Foucher, I. and Bally-Cuif, L.** (2020). Neurogenesis in zebrafish. In
*Patterning and Cell Type Specification in the Developing CNS and PNS* (ed. J.
Rubenstein, P. Rakic, B. Chen & K. Y. Kwan), pp. 643-697: Academic Press.

**Ansai, S. and Kinoshita, M.** (2014). Targeted mutagenesis using CRISPR/Cas system in
medaka. *Biol Open* **3**, 362-371.

**Bae, S., Bessho, Y., Hojo, M. and Kageyama, R.** (2000). The bHLH gene Hes6, an inhibitor of
Hes1, promotes neuronal differentiation. *Development* **127**, 2933-2943.

**Bae, Y. K., Shimizu, T. and Hibi, M.** (2005). Patterning of proneuronal and inter-proneuronal
domains by hairy- and enhancer of split-related genes in zebrafish neuroectoderm.
*Development* **132**, 1375-1385.

**Baek, J. H., Hatakeyama, J., Sakamoto, S., Ohtsuka, T. and Kageyama, R.** (2006). Persistent
and high levels of Hes1 expression regulate boundary formation in the developing central
nervous system. *Development* **133**, 2467-2476.

**Bansod, S., Kageyama, R. and Ohtsuka, T.** (2017). Hes5 regulates the transition timing of
neurogenesis and gliogenesis in mammalian neocortical development. *Development* **144**,
3156-3167.

**Chapouton, P., Webb, K. J., Stigloher, C., Alunni, A., Adolf, B., Hesl, B., Topp, S.,**
**Kremmer, E. and Bally-Cuif, L.** (2011). Expression of hairy/enhancer of split genes in
neural progenitors and neurogenesis domains of the adult zebrafish brain. *J Comp Neurol*
**519**, 1748-1769.

**Cheng, Y. C., Chiang, M. C., Shih, H. Y., Ma, T. L., Yeh, T. H., Huang, Y. C., Lin, C. Y.**
**and Lin, S. J.** (2015). The transcription factor hairy/E(spl)-related 2 induces proliferation
of neural progenitors and regulates neurogenesis and gliogenesis. *Dev Biol* **397**, 116-128.

**Choi, H. M. T., Schwarzkopf, M., Fornace, M. E., Acharya, A., Artavanis, G., Stegmaier, J.,**
**Cunha, A. and Pierce, N. A.** (2018). Third-generation in situ hybridization chain
reaction: multiplexed, quantitative, sensitive, versatile, robust. *Development* **145**.

**Davis, R. L. and Turner, D. L.** (2001). Vertebrate hairy and Enhancer of split related proteins:
transcriptional repressors regulating cellular differentiation and embryonic patterning.
*Oncogene* **20**, 8342-8357.

**Fisher, A. and Caudy, M.** (1998). The function of hairy-related bHLH repressor proteins in cell
fate decisions. *Bioessays* **20**, 298-306.

**Gagnon, J. A., Valen, E., Thyme, S. B., Huang, P., Akhmetova, L., Pauli, A., Montague, T.**
**G., Zimmerman, S., Richter, C. and Schier, A. F.** (2014). Efficient mutagenesis by
Cas9 protein-mediated oligonucleotide insertion and large-scale assessment of single-
guide RNAs. *PLoS One* **9**, e98186.

**Gajewski, M., Elmasri, H., Girschick, M., Sieger, D. and Winkler, C.** (2006). Comparative
analysis of her genes during fish somitogenesis suggests a mouse/chick-like mode of
oscillation in medaka. *Dev Genes Evol* **216**, 315-332.

**Geling, A., Plessy, C., Rastegar, S., Strahle, U. and Bally-Cuif, L.** (2004). Her5 acts as a
prepattern factor that blocks *neurogenin1* and *coe2* expression upstream of Notch to
inhibit neurogenesis at the midbrain-hindbrain boundary. *Development* **131**, 1993-2006.

**Grandel, H., Kaslin, J., Ganz, J., Wenzel, I. and Brand, M.** (2006). Neural stem cells and
neurogenesis in the adult zebrafish brain: origin, proliferation dynamics, migration and
cell fate. *Dev Biol* **295**, 263-277.

**Hans, S., Scheer, N., Riedl, I., v Weizsacker, E., Blader, P. and Campos-Ortega, J. A.**
(2004). her3, a zebrafish member of the hairy-E(spl) family, is repressed by Notch
signalling. *Development* **131**, 2957-2969.

**Hatakeyama, J., Bessho, Y., Katoh, K., Ookawara, S., Fujioka, M., Guillemot, F. and**
**Kageyama, R.** (2004). Hes genes regulate size, shape and histogenesis of the nervous
system by control of the timing of neural stem cell differentiation. *Development* **131**,
5539-5550.

**Henry, C. A., Urban, M. K., Dill, K. K., Merlie, J. P., Page, M. F., Kimmel, C. B. and**
**Amacher, S. L.** (2002). Two linked hairy/Enhancer of split-related zebrafish genes, her1
and her7, function together to refine alternating somite boundaries. *Development* **129**,
3693-3704.

**Hirata, H., Tomita, K., Bessho, Y. and Kageyama, R.** (2001). Hes1 and Hes3 regulate
maintenance of the isthmic organizer and development of the mid/hindbrain. *EMBO J* **20**,
4454-4466.

**Holzschuh, J., Hauptmann, G. and Driever, W.** (2003). Genetic analysis of the roles of Hh,
FGF8, and nodal signaling during catecholaminergic system development in the zebrafish
brain. *J Neurosci* **23**, 5507-5519.

**Hsieh, J. J., Nofziger, D. E., Weinmaster, G. and Hayward, S. D.** (1997). Epstein-Barr virus
immortalization: Notch2 interacts with CBF1 and blocks differentiation. *J Virol* **71**, 1938-
1945.

**Imayoshi, I., Isomura, A., Harima, Y., Kawaguchi, K., Kori, H., Miyachi, H., Fujiwara, T.,**
**Ishidate, F. and Kageyama, R.** (2013). Oscillatory control of factors determining
multipotency and fate in mouse neural progenitors. *Science* **342**, 1203-1208.

**Ishibashi, M., Ang, S. L., Shiota, K., Nakanishi, S., Kageyama, R. and Guillemot, F.** (1995).
Targeted disruption of mammalian hairy and Enhancer of split homolog-1 (HES-1) leads
to up-regulation of neural helix-loop-helix factors, premature neurogenesis, and severe
neural tube defects. *Genes Dev* **9**, 3136-3148.

**Ishibashi, M., Moriyoshi, K., Sasai, Y., Shiota, K., Nakanishi, S. and Kageyama, R.** (1994).
Persistent expression of helix-loop-helix factor HES-1 prevents mammalian neural
differentiation in the central nervous system. *EMBO J* **13**, 1799-1805.

**Itoh, M., Kim, C. H., Palardy, G., Oda, T., Jiang, Y. J., Maust, D., Yeo, S. Y., Lorick, K.,**
**Wright, G. J., Ariza-McNaughton, L., et al.** (2003). Mind bomb is a ubiquitin ligase
that is essential for efficient activation of Notch signaling by Delta. *Dev Cell* **4**, 67-82.

**Jarriault, S., Brou, C., Logeat, F., Schroeter, E. H., Kopan, R. and Israel, A.** (1995).
Signalling downstream of activated mammalian Notch. *Nature* **377**, 355-358.

**Jarriault, S., Le Bail, O., Hirsinger, E., Pourquie, O., Logeat, F., Strong, C. F., Brou, C.,**
**Seidah, N. G. and Isra l, A.** (1998). Delta-1 activation of notch-1 signaling results in
HES-1 transactivation. *Mol Cell Biol* **18**, 7423-7431.

**Jennings, B., Preiss, A., Delidakis, C. and Bray, S.** (1994). The Notch signalling pathway is
required for Enhancer of split bHLH protein expression during neurogenesis in the
Drosophila embryo. *Development* **120**, 3537-3548.

**Kageyama, R., Ohtsuka, T. and Kobayashi, T.** (2007). The Hes gene family: repressors and
oscillators that orchestrate embryogenesis. *Development* **134**, 1243-1251.

**Kimmel, C. B., Ballard, W. W., Kimmel, S. R., Ullmann, B. and Schilling, T. F.** (1995). Stages of embryonic development of the zebrafish. *Dev Dyn* **203**, 253-310.

**Kishimoto, N., Shimizu, K. and Sawamoto, K.** (2012). Neuronal regeneration in a zebrafish model of adult brain injury. *Dis Model Mech* **5**, 200-209.

**Koyano-Nakagawa, N., Kim, J., Anderson, D. and Kintner, C.** (2000). Hes6 acts in a positive feedback loop with the neurogenins to promote neuronal differentiation. *Development* **127**, 4203-4216.

**Kriegstein, A. and Alvarez-Buylla, A.** (2009). The glial nature of embryonic and adult neural stem cells. *Annu Rev Neurosci* **32**, 149-184.

**Latimer, A. J., Shin, J. and Appel, B.** (2005). her9 promotes floor plate development in zebrafish. *Dev Dyn* **232**, 1098-1104.

**Moreno-Mateos, M. A., Vejnar, C. E., Beaudoin, J. D., Fernandez, J. P., Mis, E. K., Khokha, M. K. and Giraldez, A. J.** (2015). CRISPRscan: designing highly efficient sgRNAs for CRISPR-Cas9 targeting in vivo. *Nat Methods* **12**, 982-988.

**Mueller, T.** (2012). What is the Thalamus in Zebrafish? *Front Neurosci* **6**, 64.

**Mueller, T. and Wullimann, M. F.** (2016). Atlas of Early Zebrafish Brain Development. *Amsterdam: Elsevier Academic Press.*

**Nakamura, T., Ohtsuka, T., Sekiyama, E., Cooper, L. J., Kokubu, H., Fullwood, N. J., Barrandon, Y., Kageyama, R. and Kinoshita, S.** (2008). Hes1 regulates corneal development and the function of corneal epithelial stem/progenitor cells. *Stem Cells* **26**, 1265-1274.

**Nakamura, Y., Sakakibara, S., Miyata, T., Ogawa, M., Shimazaki, T., Weiss, S., Kageyama, R. and Okano, H.** (2000). The bHLH gene Hes1 as a repressor of the neuronal commitment of CNS stem cells. *Journal of Neuroscience* **20**, 283-293.

**Nakao, K. and Campos-Ortega, J. A.** (1996). Persistent expression of genes of the enhancer of split complex suppresses neural development in Drosophila. *Neuron* **16**, 275-286.

**Ninkovic, J., Tallafuss, A., Leucht, C., Topczewski, J., Tannhauser, B., Solnica-Krezel, L. and Bally-Cuif, L.** (2005). Inhibition of neurogenesis at the zebrafish midbrain-hindbrain boundary by the combined and dose-dependent activity of a new hairy/E(spl) gene pair. *Development* **132**, 75-88.

**Nishimura, M., Isaka, F., Ishibashi, M., Tomita, K., Tsuda, H., Nakanishi, S. and Kageyama, R.** (1998). Structure, chromosomal locus, and promoter of mouse Hes2 gene, a homologue of Drosophila hairy and Enhancer of split. *Genomics* **49**, 69-75.

**Oates, A. C. and Ho, R. K.** (2002). Hairy/E(spl)-related (Her) genes are central components of the segmentation oscillator and display redundancy with the Delta/Notch signaling pathway in the formation of anterior segmental boundaries in the zebrafish. *Development* **129**, 2929-2946.

**Ohtsuka, T., Ishibashi, M., Gradwohl, G., Nakanishi, S., Guillemot, F. and Kageyama, R.** (1999). Hes1 and Hes5 as notch effectors in mammalian neuronal differentiation. *EMBO J* **18**, 2196-2207.

**Onichtchouk, D., Geier, F., Polok, B., Messerschmidt, D. M., Mossner, R., Wendik, B., Song, S., Taylor, V., Timmer, J. and Driever, W.** (2010). Zebrafish Pou5f1-dependent transcriptional networks in temporal control of early development. *Mol Syst Biol* **6**, 354.

**Pasini, A., Jiang, Y. J. and Wilkinson, D. G.** (2004). Two zebrafish Notch-dependent hairy/Enhancer-of-split-related genes, her6 and her4, are required to maintain the coordination of cyclic gene expression in the presomitic mesoderm. *Development* **131**, 1529-1541.

**Riddihough, G. and Ish-Horowicz, D.** (1991). Individual stripe regulatory elements in the
Drosophila hairy promoter respond to maternal, gap, and pair-rule genes. *Genes Dev* **5**,
840-854.

**Rothenaigner, I., Krecsmarik, M., Hayes, J. A., Bahn, B., Lepier, A., Fortin, G., Gotz, M.,**
**Jagasia, R. and Bally-Cuif, L.** (2011). Clonal analysis by distinct viral vectors identifies
bona fide neural stem cells in the adult zebrafish telencephalon and characterizes their
division properties and fate. *Development* **138**, 1459-1469.

**Sasai, Y., Kageyama, R., Tagawa, Y., Shigemoto, R. and Nakanishi, S.** (1992). Two
mammalian helix-loop-helix factors structurally related to Drosophila hairy and Enhancer
of split. *Genes Dev* **6**, 2620-2634.

**Scheer, N. and Campos-Ortega, J. A.** (1999). Use of the Gal4-UAS technique for targeted gene
expression in the zebrafish. *Mech Dev* **80**, 153-158.

**Scholpp, S., Delogu, A., Gilthorpe, J., Peukert, D., Schindler, S. and Lumsden, A.** (2009).
Her6 regulates the neurogenetic gradient and neuronal identity in the thalamus. *Proc Natl*
*Acad Sci U S A* **106**, 19895-19900.

**Scholpp, S. and Lumsden, A.** (2010). Building a bridal chamber: development of the thalamus.
*Trends Neurosci* **33**, 373-380.

**Scholpp, S., Wolf, O., Brand, M. and Lumsden, A.** (2006). Hedgehog signalling from the zona
limitans intrathalamica orchestrates patterning of the zebrafish diencephalon.
*Development* **133**, 855-864.

**Shankaran, S. S., Sieger, D., Schroter, C., Czepe, C., Pauly, M. C., Laplante, M. A., Becker,**
**T. S., Oates, A. C. and Gajewski, M.** (2007). Completing the set of h/E(spl) cyclic genes
in zebrafish: her12 and her15 reveal novel modes of expression and contribute to the
segmentation clock. *Dev Biol* **304**, 615-632.

**Sieger, D., Ackermann, B., Winkler, C., Tautz, D. and Gajewski, M.** (2006). her1 and her13.2
are jointly required for somitic border specification along the entire axis of the fish
embryo. *Dev Biol* **293**, 242-251.

**Sieger, D., Tautz, D. and Gajewski, M.** (2004). her11 is involved in the somitogenesis clock in
zebrafish. *Dev Genes Evol* **214**, 393-406.

**Stigloher, C., Chapouton, P., Adolf, B. and Bally-Cuif, L.** (2008). Identification of neural
progenitor pools by E(Spl) factors in the embryonic and adult brain. *Brain Res Bull* **75**,
266-273.

**Sueda, R., Imayoshi, I., Harima, Y. and Kageyama, R.** (2019). High Hes1 expression and
resultant Ascl1 suppression regulate quiescent vs. active neural stem cells in the adult
mouse brain. *Genes Dev* **33**, 511-523.

**Takke, C., Dornseifer, P., Von Weizsacker, E. and Campos-Ortega, J. A.** (1999). her4, a
zebrafish homologue of the Drosophila neurogenic gene E(spl), is a target of NOTCH
signalling. *Development* **126**, 1811-1821.

**Taylor, S. C., Nadeau, K., Abbasi, M., Lachance, C., Nguyen, M. and Fenrich, J.** (2019). The
Ultimate qPCR Experiment: Producing Publication Quality, Reproducible Data the First
Time. *Trends Biotechnol* **37**, 761-774.

**Than-Trong, E., Kiani, B., Dray, N., Ortica, S., Simons, B., Rulands, S., Alunni, A. and**
**Bally-Cuif, L.** (2020). Lineage hierarchies and stochasticity ensure the long-term
maintenance of adult neural stem cells. *Sci Adv* **6**, eaaz5424.

**Tomita, K., Ishibashi, M., Nakahara, K., Ang, S. L., Nakanishi, S., Guillemot, F. and**
**Kageyama, R.** (1996). Mammalian hairy and Enhancer of split homolog 1 regulates
differentiation of retinal neurons and is essential for eye morphogenesis. *Neuron* **16**, 723-
734.

**Virolainen, S. M., Achim, K., Peltopuro, P., Salminen, M. and Partanen, J.** (2012).
Transcriptional regulatory mechanisms underlying the GABAergic neuron fate in
different diencephalic prosomeres. *Development* **139**, 3795-3805.

**Webb, K. J., Coolen, M., Gloeckner, C. J., Stigloher, C., Bahn, B., Topp, S., Ueffing, M. and**
**Bally-Cuif, L.** (2011). The Enhancer of split transcription factor Her8a is a novel
dimerisation partner for Her3 that controls anterior hindbrain neurogenesis in zebrafish.
*BMC Dev Biol* **11**, 27.

**Westerfield, M.** (2000). *The zebrafish book. A guide for the laboratory use of zebrafish (Danio*
*rerio)* (4th edn). Eugene, USA: Univ. of Oregon Press.

**Zhou, M., Yan, J., Ma, Z., Zhou, Y., Abbood, N. N., Liu, J., Su, L., Jia, H. and Guo, A. Y.**
(2012). Comparative and evolutionary analysis of the HES/HEY gene family reveal
exon/intron loss and teleost specific duplication events. *PLoS One* **7**, e40649.

**Zupanc, G. K.** (2001). Adult neurogenesis and neuronal regeneration in the central nervous
system of teleost fish. *Brain Behav Evol* **58**, 250-275.

**Zupanc, G. K., Hinsch, K. and Gage, F. H.** (2005). Proliferation, migration, neuronal
differentiation, and long-term survival of new cells in the adult zebrafish brain. *J Comp*
*Neurol* **488**, 290-319.

1085

1086

## Acknowledgements

We thank Sylke Lange for technical assistance, the Life Imaging Center (LIC) for support in confocal imaging and image analysis, and Sabine Götter for excellent fish care. We thank Beatrice Weber for initial characterization of *her4* and *her6* mutant embryos, Masha Miranda Tolentino Voigt for initial characterization Notch-dependency of *her* genes, Samuel Wöhrle for initial characterization of *Tg(hsp:her6-FLAG)* embryos, Leonie Bohnert for establishing the HCR-RNA FISH technique in our lab, and Niklas Mayle for performing the WISH procedure for *shha* expression in *her6* and *her9* mutants. We thank Christian Altbürger for scientific discussion. The *neurod1* and *neurog1* plasmids were obtained from Patrick Blader. We thank Chaitanya Dingare for advice on designing the *olig2* HCR probe, and Rebecca Peters for help in designing Fig. 11H.

## Competing interests

The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

**Ethics Oversight**: All animal experiments were approved by state authorities (Regierungspraesidium Freiburg permits 35-9185/G-16/123, 35-9185.81/G-19/19 and 35-9185.81/G-19/54).

## Data availability

All primary image data are available on request.

## Funding

This work was funded by grants from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany´s Excellence Strategy – EXC-2189 CIBSS– Project ID: 390939984 (Gefördert durch die Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) im Rahmen der Exzellenzstrategie des Bundes und der Länder – EXC-2189 – Projektnummer 390939984), the Excellence Initiative of the German Federal and State Governments (BIOSS - EXC 294), and CRC 850.

## Supplementary information

1117 Supplementary Figures S1-S11 (one PDF file)

1118 Supplementary Data Tables S1-S5 (one PDF file)

1119 Supplementary Movies S1-S5 (separate mp4 files)

1120    **Main Figures**

1121

1122

**Fig. 1 Notch signaling dependent and independent *her* gene expression**

**(A-R')** *her6, her9, her4* (*her4.1-her4.5* cluster probe)*, her15* (*her15.1* and *her15.2* cluster probe)*,*

*her8a* and *her8.2* expression visualized by whole mount in situ hybridization (WISH). **(A-F)** 48

hpf WT sibling controls (*mib1*^{+/+} or *mib1*[+/ta52b]) and **(A'-F')** *mind bomb* mutant embryos (*mib1*[ta52b])

which lack Notch activity. **(G-L')** Overactivated Notch signaling by heat-shock induced

overexpression of the Notch intracellular domain (NICD) compared with heat shocked WT siblings

at 72 hpf. **(M-R')** Notch inhibition by LY-411575 in 72 hpf larvae in comparison to DMSO

controls. Larvae were treated from 64 to 72 hpf with 10 μM LY-411575 or 2% DMSO (controls),

and fixed at 72 hpf. Sagittal optical sections at the midline are shown (lateral views, single DIC

image planes, anterior at left, dorsal at top). Three larvae were imaged per condition and one

representative image was selected. Scale bar, 100 μm. Abbreviations: pth, prethalamus; rh,

rhombencephalon; tec, tectum; tel, telencephalon; th, thalamus proper. An anatomical reference

scheme is provided in Fig. 2E.

1136

1137
**Fig. 2. Co-expression analysis of *her* genes in the thalamus of 3 dpf larvae.**
1138
**(A-D'')** Confocal imaging of double-fluorescent WISHs at 3 dpf. **(A, A')** Expression of *her4*
(green) and *her6* (magenta). **(B, B')** Expression of *her15* (green) and *her4* (magenta). **(C, C')**
Expression of *her9* (green) and *her6* (magenta). A, B and C are lateral views of midline sagittal
orthogonal reconstructions of dorsal view (horizontal) stacks (levels indicated by yellow line in A',
B' and C' respectively). A', B' and C' are dorsal views with the optical horizontal section level
indicated in cyan in A, B and C. **(D-D'')** Lateral views of combined *her6/her9* (D'' magenta and
D white, *her6* and *her9* probes mixed) and combined *her4/her15* (D'' green and D' white, *her4*
and *her15* probes mixed) detection. D'' compares *her6/her9* to *her4/her15* expression. **(E)** Scheme
of a 3 dpf zebrafish larval brain modified from (Mueller and Wullimann, 2016). Proliferation zones
are indicated in grey. The dashed line separates the alar from basal plate. **(F)** Schematic map shows
distinct domains of *her* gene expression at the ventricular wall of the alar diencephalon. Striped
areas indicate that genes are co-expressed or expressing cells intermingled. **(G)** *her4* WISH in a 3
dpf larva with anatomical annotation, sagittal optical section. **(A-G)** The *her4* probe detects *her4.1-*
*her4.5* and the *her15* probe detects *her15.1* and *her15.2*. All scale bars, 50 µm. Abbreviations: A-
P indicates anterior-posterior orientation, D-V dorsal-ventral orientation. CeP, cerebellar plate; DT,
dorsal thalamus proper, subdivided into rostral thalamus (rth) and caudal thalamus (cth); E,

epiphysis; Ha, habenula; hyp hypothalamus; ptec, pretectum; PTd, dorsal part of posterior
tuberculum; pth, prethalamus; PTv, ventral part of posterior tuberculum; T, midbrain tegmentum;
tel, telencephalon; tec, tectum opticum; VT, ventral thalamus or prethalamus (pth); ZLI, zona
limitans intrathalamica.
1159

**1161** **Fig. 3. *her6* and *her9* single and double mutants reveal auto- and cross-regulation.**

**1162** **(A, B)** Schematic for CRISPR/Cas9 deletion of the bHLH domains within the *her6* and *her9* genes,
**1163** respectively. Straight vertical lines show the sgRNA binding sites. Scissors indicate the endpoints
**1164** of the small deletion after non-homologous end joining. Half-arrows indicate primers (with names)
**1165** which were used in genotyping PCRs. **(C-N')** WISH for *her6* **(C-H')** or *her9* **(I-N')** in WT (WT),
**1166** *her6* and *her9* single or double mutants at indicated stages. (C-N) show lateral single sagittal optical
**1167** plane images, (C'-N') dorsal views. Numbers of embryos analyzed are indicated in lower right
**1168** corner. If different phenotypes were observed, n/n indicates numbers of embryos with phenotype
**1169** shown in panel / total analyzed. Scale bars, 200 µm. **(O, P)** qPCR analysis of *her6* or *her9*
**1170** expression in *her6* and *her9* single and double mutants. Error bars, SEM. Bars with asterisks
**1171** indicate significant differences (* p< 0.05; ** p< 0.01; for exact values see Supplementary Table
**1172** 5). Abbreviations: MHB, midbrain-hindbrain boundary; pth, prethalamus; rh, rhombencephalon;
**1173** tel, telencephalon; th, thalamus proper.

**Fig. 4. Neural stem and progenitor cell phenotypes in *her6*, *her9* double mutants.**

**(A-B'')** Confocal image stacks of anti-Sox2 and anti-phospho-Histone H3 (pH3) immunofluorescence of 3 dpf WT control and *her6*, *her9* double mutants. **(A, B)** Lateral view midline sagittal XZ plane from orthogonal reconstructions, cyan lines indicating the dorsal view horizontal confocal planes 1 and 2 shown in A', A'' and B', B'', respectively. The yellow lines in A' and B' indicate the midline sagittal planes in A and B. Plane 1 in A' and B' shows the dorsal part of the diencephalon with the thalamus proper and plane 2 in A'' and B'' is located more ventrally including the prethalamus. A$_1$ and B$_1$ are magnifications of the boxed areas in A' and B'

respectively. Scale bar in A' (for A-A'', B-B'') is 50 µm; scale bar in $A_1$ (also for $B_1$) is 20 µm. The whole confocal stacks shown in A and B are also available as a combined Movie M2. **(C -D'')** Whole mount fluorescent hybridization chain reaction (HCR) for detection of *sox2* (green), *ascl1b* (magenta) and *neurog1* (blue) expression. **(C, D)** Midline sagittal views of orthogonal reconstructions with cyan lines indicating the dorsal view horizontal image planes in C' and D'. **(C', D')** Horizontal planes with blue lines indicate the level of frontal orthogonal reconstructions shown in C'' and D''. C'' and D'' are frontal views at the lateral expansion of the third ventricle. Scale bar in C', 20 µm. Numbers of embryos analyzed: A n=5; B n=4; C n=3; D n=3. Abbreviations: A-P indicates anterior-posterior, D-V dorsal-ventral orientation. pth, prethalamus; tec, tectum; tel, telencephalon; th, thalamus proper; ve, ventricle.

1196
**Fig. 5. Loss of zona limitans intrathalamica *shha* expression in *her6, her9* double mutants.**
**(A-H')** WISH showing *shha* (A-C', E-G') and *ptc1* (D, D', H, H') expression in WT and *her6,*
*her9* double mutants. Genotypes are shown at left and the analyzed stages at top. **(A-D')** Lateral
views show single sagittal image planes at the midline. **(E-H')** Dorsal views show single horizontal
image planes at the level of the thalamus. Three larvae were imaged per condition and one
representative image was selected. Expression of *shha* in *her6* and *her9* single mutants is shown in
Fig. S9. Scale bar in A, 100 μm (for A-C' and E-G'); scale bar in D, 100 μm (for D, D', H, H'). **(I,**

**I')** 3D volume reconstruction of fluorescent WISH of *shha* in 3 dpf WT (I) and *her6*, *her9* double
mutant (I'). Scale bar x-axis, 60 µm. A-P indicates anterior-posterior, D-V the dorsal-ventral, L-R
the left-right orientation. Numbers of embryos analyzed: I n=3; I' n=4. Abbreviations: MHB,
midbrain-hindbrain boundary; tel, telencephalon; th, thalamus proper; ZLI, zona limitans
intrathalamica.
1209
1210

**Fig. 6. Genome editing strategy for *Df(her4;12)* and *Df(her2;15)* large chromosomal deletions: verification and *neurog1* expression.**

**(A, B)** Generation of large deficiencies using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Scissors indicate the sgRNAs sequence positions, and square brackets indicate the deletion. 5'>3' or < signs indicate the orientations of all genes (pink boxes) in the intervals. Half-arrows indicate binding sites of primers used in genotyping PCRs (tagged with primer names). **(C-F')** WISH for *her4*, *her15* and *neurog1* mRNAs in 96 hpf larvae. No *her4* or *her15* signal is detectable in the respective mutants (C-D', dorsal view horizontal optical planes). No change in *neurog1* expression is detectable in *Df(her4;12)*, *Df (her2;15)* double deficiency mutants (E and E', midsagittal; F and F', horizontal optical sections). The *her4* probe detects *her4.1 to her4.5* transcripts, and the *her15* probe detects *her15.1* and *her15.2* transcripts. Numbers of embryos analyzed are indicated in lower right corners. Abbreviations: MHB, midbrain-hindbrain boundary; rh, rhombencephalon; tel, telencephalon; th, thalamus proper. Scale bars, 100 μm.

1227
**Fig. 7. Sox2 and proneural gene expression in *Df(her4;12)*, *Df(her2;15)* double deficiency**
**mutants.**
**(A-B'')** Confocal image stacks of anti-Sox2 and anti-pH3 immunostaining in 3 dpf WT **(A)** and
*(her4;12)*; *Df(her2;15)* double deficiency mutants **(B)**. **(A, B)** Sagittal midline XZ plane from
orthogonal reconstructions, cyan lines indicating the dorsal view confocal planes 1 and 2 shown in
A', A'' and B', B''. The yellow lines in A' and B' indicate the midline sagittal planes in A and B.
Plane 1 in A' and B' shows the dorsal part of the diencephalon with the thalamus proper and plane
2 in A'' and B'' is located more ventrally showing the prethalamus. $A_1$ and $B_1$ are magnifications

of the boxed area in A' and B', respectively. Scale bar in A', 50 μm (for A-B''); scale bar in $A_1$,

20 μm (also for $B_1$). The complete confocal stacks of larvae shown in A and B are combined in

Supplementary Movie M3**. (C-D'')** Whole mount fluorescent HCR mRNA detection for *olig2*

(green), *neurog1* (blue) and *ascl1a/b* (magenta) in **(C-C'')** WT and **(D-D'')** *Df(her4;12)*;

*Df(her2;15)* double deficiency mutants. **(C, D)** Sagittal midline XZ plane from orthogonal

reconstructions, with cyan lines indicating the confocal planes in C' and D'. **(C', D')** Dorsal view

horizontal confocal planes of the diencephalon. Blue lines indicate the frontal view orthogonal

reconstruction shown in C'' and D''. Numbers of embryos analyzed: A n=4; B n=5; C n=3; D n=3.

Scale bar in C', 20 μm. Abbreviations: A-P indicates anterior-posterior, D-V dorsal-ventral

orientation. pth, prethalamus; tec, tectum; tel, telencephalon; th, thalamus proper; ve, ventricle.

1246

1247

**Fig. 8. Overexpression of Her4 and Her6 reveals differential cross-regulation of *her* genes.**
**(A-H')** WISH for *her* gene expression after heat shock induced overexpression of Her4 or Her6 in
*Tg(hsp:her4-FLAG)* **(A'-D')** and *Tg(hsp:her6-FLAG)* **(E'-H')** transgenic embryos. **(A-H)** WT heat
shocked sibling controls. A' and G' validate heat shock mediated high expression of *her4-FLAG*
and *her6-FLAG* transgene transcripts. All larvae were heat shocked at 70 hpf for 30 min and were
fixed at 72.5 hpf, except for G and G' that were fixed at 71.5 hpf because *her6* transcript levels
decrease faster after heat shock than *her4* transcript levels (Sigloch and Driever, unpublished).
Three larvae were imaged per condition and one representative image is shown. The *her4* probe
detects *her4.1-her4.5* and the *her15* probe detects *her15.1* and *her15.2*. Sagittal optical sections
close to midline, anterior at left, dorsal up; scale bar, 100 μm. Abbreviations: pth, prethalamus; rh,
rhombencephalon; tec, tectum; tel, telencephalon; th, thalamus proper; ZLI, zona limitans
intrathalamica.

1263
**Fig. 9. Overexpression of Her4 and Her6 differentially affect proneural gene expression.**
**(A-J')** Expression of proneural genes and *sox2* after heat shock induced overexpression of Her4 or
Her6. **(A'- E')** *Tg(hsp:her4-FLAG) and* **(F'-J')** *Tg(hsp:her6-FLAG)* were used to overexpress Her4
or Her6 by heat shock at 70 hpf for 30 min, and embryos were fixed at 72.5 hpf. **(A-J)** WT siblings
were heat shocked as controls. For numbers of embryos analyzed see Supplementary Table 4.
Analyzed conditions showed consistent WISH expression patterns in all embryos except B', E' and
J', for which the most representative patterns are shown and their numbers out of total analyzed
provided in bottom right of these panels. Sagittal optical sections close to midline, anterior at left,
dorsal up; scale bar, 100 μm. Abbreviations: pth, prethalamus; tec, tectum; tel, telencephalon; th,
thalamus proper.
1274
1275

**Fig. 10. Analysis of the *her* activity depleted *her*UDM mutant phenotype.**

**(A-B')** Live phenotype of WT and *Df(her4;12)*, *Df(her2;15)*, *her6*, *her9* combined mutant (*her*UDM) embryos, lateral views at 56 hpf; (A) midsagittal optical section, (B) parasagittal optical section at level of lens. **(C-F'')** Anti-Sox2 and anti-pH3 immunofluorescence in 3 dpf WT **(C-C'')** and *her*UDM combined mutant (D-F'') embryos as indicated. **(E-E'')** Triple *(her4;12)*, *her6*, *her9* mutant *Df(her2;15)* heterozygous embryos showing minimal rescue of *her*UDM by one copy of the WT chromosomal region including *her2, her15.1, her15.2*. **(F-F'')** Triple *(her4;12)*, *Df(her2;15)*, *her9* mutant and *her6* heterozygous embryo revealing efficient rescue of *her*UDM by one intact *her6* allele. **(C, D, E, F)** Mid-sagittal optical sections generated from orthogonal reconstruction of confocal stacks, cyan lines indicating the horizontal confocal planes 1 and 2 shown in C', C'', D', D'', E', E'' and F', F''. The yellow lines indicate the sagittal midline sections shown in C, D, E and F. Plane 1 in C', D', E' and F' shows the dorsal part of the diencephalon and plane 2 in C'', D'', E'' and F'' is located more ventrally. $C_1$, $D_1$, $E_1$ and $F_1$ are magnifications of the respective boxed areas. A-P indicates anterior-posterior, D-V dorsal-ventral orientation. Scale bar in C' 50 μm for C-F''; scale bar in $C_1$ 20 μm for $C_1$-$F_1$. The confocal stacks in C and D as well as in E and F are provided as combined Supplementary Movies M4 and M5. Numbers of embryos analyzed: A n=1 (WT); A' n=3; C n=4; D n=4, E n=3 (in Fig. 10E, a *her2, her15* heterozygous larva is shown. Two more imaged larvae were WT for *her2, her15*), F n=4 (in Fig. 10F, a *her6* heterozygous larva was used. Three more imaged larvae were WT for *her6*). Abbreviations: hyp, hypothalamus; MHB, midbrain-hindbrain boundary; pth, prethalamus; tel, telencephalon; th, thalamus proper; ve, ventricle.

Table C:

|  | NSCtype1 | NSCtype2 |  | NPC |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Sox2 | high | high |  | low |  |
| N-indep *her6* | high | - |  | - |  |
| N-indep *her9* | - | low |  | low |  |
| N-dep *her4 and her15* | - | high |  | low |  |
| *dla* | - | high/low |  | high/low |  |
| *neurog1* | - | - |  | low | high |
| *ascl1a/b* | - | - |  | high | low |
| *shha* | - | - | + | - |  |

- Sox2^high
- Sox2^low NPCs
- Sox2^high ventrolateral

E - Notch-dependent

F - Notch-independent

G - Notch-dependent
- Notch-independent

H

compensation
autoregulation
affected in mutants
overexpression induced inhibition

**Fig. 11. Model of the cellular organization, observed *her* deficient phenotypes and model for *her* genetic interactions in the TPZ.**

**(A-C)** Model of cellular organization in the TPZ. (A) Horizontal plane dorsal view, plane indicated in (B), which shows parasagittal section as indicated in (A). The table in panel C indicates marker gene expression in different color-coded cell populations identified. Cells expressing *her6* are categorized as NSCtype1; Sox2^high cells expressing *her4* and *her15* are categorized as NSCtype2 and expression of *neurogenin1* and *ascl1a/b* mark NPCs. Magenta cells are high Sox2 expressing

cells of the telencephalic ventricular wall, *her* expression not determined. White cells were not
analyzed for expression of markers. Grey cells summarize differentiating early and mature neurons,
some of which express *neurod* family members.
**(D-G)** Schematic of TPZ Sox2 expression in WT and different *her* combined mutant larvae. Cells
(NSCs) with higher Sox2$^{high}$ levels are shown in dark magenta, and NPCs with lower Sox2$^{low}$ levels
in light magenta. Cells with high Sox2 levels (and *her6* levels) that are only present in a more
lateral and ventral region close to the lateral wall of the third ventricle are shown as magenta cells
labelled "V". Black lines indicate the ventricular surface. **(D)** Schematic distribution of Sox2 in
WT larvae. **(E)** Sox2 expression appears unchanged in the *Df(her4;12)*, *Df(her2;15)* double
deficiency mutants. **(F)** *her6*, *her9* double mutants lack Sox2$^{low}$ expressing early neurogenesis
progenitors and lack ventrally located Sox2$^{high}$ cells in the lateral walls of the third ventricle. **(G)**
*her*UDM larvae, in addition to the *her6*, *her9* double mutant phenotype, show Sox2$^{high}$ nuclei scatter
away from the ventricular surface. The dotted line suggests that the integrity of the ventricle is
impaired.
**(H)** Postulated *her* gene network interactions. Boxes show analyzed genes and gene expression.
Lines indicate how mutations or overexpression influence expression of other genes, interactions
may not be direct. Black lines indicate which *her* genes may compensate for other *her* gene activity
(dot indicates directionality). The dashed black line indicates genes that only partially rescue loss
of *her6* and *her9*. Green inhibition signs illustrate the autoregulation of *her6* and *her9*, and
postulated negative autoregulation in the *her4/her12* and *her15/her2* clusters. Blue influence signs
show changed gene expression of Sox2 and *neurog1* in *her6, her9* double mutants. The blue dashed
influence sign indicates that loss of Notch-dependent *her* activity in *Df(her4;12)*, *Df(her2;15)* only
affects Sox2 expression when combined with the absence of *her6* and *her9* activity as detected in
*her*UDMs. Red inhibition signs denote the downregulation of other genes upon overexpression of
*her6* or *her4*. The dashed red inhibition signal indicates only mild downregulation of *ascl1b* by
*her4* overexpression.
Abbreviations: A, anterior; P, posterior; L, left; R, right; D, dorsal; V, ventral; cth, caudal thalamus;
ptec, pretectum; pth, prethalamus; rth, rostral thalamus; tel, telencephalon; ve, ventricle; ZLI, zona
limitans intrathalamica.
1337
1338