Antidiabetic and *in silico* molecular docking of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa phytochemical compounds on human α-glucosidases

Brilliant Nyathi[1][†], Jonathan Tatenda Bvunzawabaya[1,2][†], Chido Venissa P Mudawarima[1],

Emily Manzombe[1], Kudakwashe Tsotsoro[1], Major Allen Selemani[1], Gadzikano Munyuki[1],

Freeborn Rwere[1,3]*

[1]Department of Chemistry, School of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, Chinhoyi University of Technology, Chinhoyi, Zimbabwe

[2]Department of Chemical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology Midlands State University, Private Bag 9055 Senga Road, Gweru 263, Zimbabwe

[3]Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, 300 Pasteur Drive, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

*Correspondence: Freeborn Rwere, PhD, Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Medicine, School of Medicine, Stanford University, E-mail: frwere@stanford.edu

[†]Authors contributed equally

Key Words

Phytochemicals, antidiabetic, antioxidant, docking, diabetes mellitus, polyphenols, flavonoids

ABSTRACT

Ethnopharmacological relevance: Herbal tradition medicine is used by millions of people in Africa for treatment of ailments such as diabetes mellitus, stomach disorders and respiratory diseases. *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa is a medicinal plant used traditionally in Zimbabwe to treat diabetes mellitus and its complications. However, there is no scientific evidence to support its role as an antidiabetic medicinal plant.

Aim of the study: This work aims to investigate whether bioactive phytochemicals of crude *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa can scavenge free radicals and inhibit α-glucosidases in order to reduce blood sugar in humans.

Materials and methods: Here we examined the free radical scavenging potential of crude aqueous, ethyl acetate and methanolic extracts of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa using the diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl assay *in vitro*. Furthermore, we carried out *in vitro* antidiabetic activity of crude extracts using chromogenic 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid and p-nitrophenyl-α-D-glucopyranoside substrates on α-amylase and α-glucosidase. We also used molecular docking approaches (Autodock Vina) to screen for bioactive phytochemical compounds targeting the digestive enzymes.

Results: Our results showed that phytochemicals in *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa aqueous, ethyl acetate and methanolic extracts scavenged free radicals with IC_{50} values ranging from 0.002-0.013 µg/mL. Furthermore, crude aqueous, ethyl acetate and methanolic extracts significantly inhibited α-amylase and α-glucosidase with IC_{50} values of 10.5-29.5 µg/mL (versus 54.1±0.7 for acarbose) and 8.8-49.5 µg/mL (versus 161.4±1.8 for acarbose), respectively. *In silico* molecular docking findings and pharmacokinetic predictions showed that myricetin is likely a novel plant-derived α-glucosidase inhibitor.

Conclusion: Collectively, our findings suggest pharmacological targeting of digestive enzymes by *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub). Mendonca & E.P. Sousa crude extracts could reduce type 2 mellitus complications in humans via inhibition of α-glucosidases by phytochemical compounds acting synergistically to reduce blood sugar.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus is a major epidemic of the 21st century that affects millions of people worldwide and contributes to high morbidity and mortality in adult populations (Lin et al., 2020; Saeedi et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2022). There are two major forms of diabetes mellitus: type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is the most common form, and affects 462 million people (about 6.28% of the world's population) (Khan et al., 2019). In Africa, there is an increase in the incidence of T2DM in urban areas because of rural to urban migration and lifestyle changes (Godman et al., 2020). In the case of Zimbabwe, it was estimated that approximately 5.7% of its population are living with T2DM (Mutowo et al., 2015). Since the cost of healthcare is very high in Zimbabwe, many rural and urban people are using herbal medicines for the treatment and management of diabetes mellitus and its complications (Mutowo et al., 2016).

One effective therapeutic approach of reducing postprandial glucose in T2DM patients involves inhibiting α-glucosidase by drugs such as acarbose, miglitol and voglibose (Vieira et al., 2019). These α-glucosidase inhibitors reduce intestinal glucose absorption by delaying carbohydrate digestion (Vieira et al., 2019). However, a number of side effects have been reported in patients using α-glucosidase inhibitors such as acarbose and voglibose (Zhang et al., 2016). This has led to the search for new plant derived natural product inhibitors targeting digestive enzymes with fewer side effects to reduce postprandial glucose in T2DM patients.

For many decades, herbal traditional medicines have been clinically used to treat metabolic diseases such as diabetes mellitus (Nyakudya et al., 2020; Usai et al., 2022). Phytochemical compounds in plants such as polyphenols and flavonoids have antioxidative properties, and are capable of scavenging free radicals and reduce oxidative stress, which in-turn lowers blood glucose (Sarker and Oba, 2020; Tan et al., 2018). In addition, the phytochemical compounds in plant

extracts improve insulin resistance and glucagon production by binding to β-cells and α-cells in response to high blood glucose (Ansari et al., 2022; Williamson and Sheedy, 2020). Additionally, phytochemical compounds reduce glucose absorption in the gastrointestinal tract by inhibiting digestive enzymes such as α-amylase and α-glucosidase (Shakoor et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2020). High blood glucose is associated with many comorbidities such as heart disease, stroke, kidney disease, eye problems (diabetic retinopathy, glaucoma or cataracts), dental disease, peripheral neuropathy and feet problems (peripheral artery disease, PAD) that reduce the quality of life and life expectancy of diabetic patients (Forbes and Cooper, 2013). However, despite the widespread use of medicinal plants by many African people to treat diabetes mellitus, many plants native to Zimbabwe such as *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa have not received much attention to assess their *in vitro* antidiabetic activity.

Xeroderris stuhlmannii (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa from *Xeroderris* Roberty genus of the Fabaceae family is a medicinal plant widely used traditionally to treat many ailments across Zimbabwe (Selemani et al., 2021). Different parts of the plant are used to treat diabetes mellitus, bacterial wound infections, coughs, diarrhea, malaria, colds, rheumatoid arthritis, stomachache, dysentery and eye infections (Asase et al., 2005; Bello et al., 2019; Chinemana et al., 1985; Shopo et al., 2022). *In vitro* antibacterial studies showed that the bark extracts effectively fight bacterial pathogens that cause gastrointestinal disorders in humans (Selemani et al., 2021). Ethnobotanical studies of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa root and leave extracts in the Central Region of Togo showed that the decoctions were effective in the management of diabetes mellitus (Tchacondo et al., 2011). Spectrochemical characterization of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa by gas chromatography mass spectroscopy (GC MS) and liquid chromatography tandem mass spectroscopy (LC MS/MS) confirmed the presence of thirty-six (36)

important bioactive phytochemical compounds categorized into phenolic, flavonoid and alkaloid compounds (Selemani et al., 2021). Whether some of the phytochemical compounds in present *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa bark extracts have antidiabetic properties remains unknown. In Zimbabwe, decoctions of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa barks and roots are traditionally used to treat diabetes mellitus and its complications. However, no scientific evidence supports its use as an antidiabetic agent. For this reason, this study aims to determine the inhibitory effects of bark and root extracts of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa on α-amylase and α-glucosidase and thus assess their effectiveness in reducing blood sugar for patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of plant materials and preparation of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa root and bark extracts

Xeroderris stuhlmannii (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa root and bark samples were obtained from Kwekwe in Zimbabwe, and the voucher specimen for the plant is *Luckmore Kazingizi Number 1*. The specimen is stored at National Herbarium & Botanic Garden in Harare, Zimbabwe. Plant samples were pre-washed with running tap water and rinsed with distilled water, followed by sun drying for several days at ambient temperatures. The dried samples were grinded and sieved with a 1 mm sieve to obtain finely divided powdered samples. Crude root and bark extracts were obtained by the maceration method using water, methanol, methanol/water (1:1), ethyl acetate and ethyl acetate/water (1:1) and filtered through (Whatman No. 1) filter paper. Following extraction, the solvents were removed using a rotary evaporator and further dried by in a fumehood. The percentage yield of plants extracts was recorded after completely drying the samples (see Table 1).

Determination of total phenolic content

The total phenolic concentration was determined spectrophotometrically according to the Folin-Ciocalteu method (Mbhele et al., 2022). Briefly, 0.2 mL of crude plant extracts was added to 0.2 mL of 10% methanol, followed by 5 mL of 10% Folin-Ciocalteau phenol reagent and 4.0 mL of 7.5% Na_2CO_3 solution. The mixture was incubated at room temperature in the dark for 30 min. After 30 min, the absorbance of the solution was determined at 765 nm. Total phenolics were quantified by a calibration curve obtained from measuring the absorbance of known concentrations

of gallic acid standard. All measurements were done in triplicates on two separate days. The data is expressed as mg of gallic acid equivalents/g of dry extract (mg GA/g DE).

Determination of total flavonoid content

The total flavonoid content in *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa was determined using the aluminum chloride ($AlCl_3$) colorimetric assay according to published procedures (Mbhele et al., 2022). Briefly, 0.15 mL of plant extract was mixed with 0.45 mL methanol and 0.6 mL of 2% aluminum chloride. After mixing, the solution was incubated for 60 min at room temperature in the dark, followed by absorbance measurement at 420 nm. Quercetin was used as a standard for the calibration curve. The standard solutions of quercetin were prepared by serial dilutions using methanol. Total flavonoids content of the extract is expressed as mg quercetin equivalents (QE) per dry extract (mg/g).

***In vitro* antioxidant activity of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa extracts**

Free radical scavenging activity of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa crude extracts and garlic acid were determined *in vitro* by diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl assay according to published procedures with a slight modification (Mbhele et al., 2022). Briefly, 1 mL of 0.1 mM DPPH in methanol was added to 3 mL of various concentrations (0.0005-0.03 µg/mL) of plant extracts at ambient temperature. The samples were vigorously mixed and incubated in the dark for 30 min. After 30 min, absorbance of plant samples was measured at 517 nm. Measurements were carried out in triplicates and on two separate days to improve scientific accuracy of results. The percentage (%) DPPH free scavenging activity (RSA) was calculated as

presented in equation 1, below and the IC$_{50}$ values denote the concentration of the sample required to scavenge 50% DPPH free radicals. Gallic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, South Africa) was used as a positive control.

$$RSA\ (\%) = \frac{A_{control} - A_{sample}}{A_{control}} x100 \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots..\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots..\text{Equation 1}$$

Where, A_{sample} is the absorbance of DPPH and crude extract; $A_{control}$ is the absorbance of DPPH without crude extract.

Inhibition of α-amylase by crude *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa extracts

The inhibition of α-amylase by crude root and bark extracts of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa was performed using the 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNSA) as reported elsewhere (Kifle et al., 2021). Briefly, crude root and bark extracts of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa were dissolved in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.9 to give concentrations ranging from 0 to 100 µg/mL. 0.25 mL of bacterial α-amylase, Phillip Harris Manufacturing Ltd, United Kingdom (Cat no: F55885) (2 units/mL) was mixed with 0.25 mL sample extract and incubated for 10 minutes at 30 °C. After 10 minutes, 0.25 mL of the starch solution (1% in water w/v) was added to each tube and incubated for a further 3 min. The reaction was terminated by adding 0.25 mL DNSA reagent (12 g of sodium potassium tartrate tetrahydrate in 8.0 mL of 2 M NaOH and 20 mL of 96 mM 3,5-DNSA solution) and boiled for 10 min in a water bath at 85°C. The mixture was cooled to ambient temperature and diluted with 5 mL of distilled water. Absorbance was immediately measured at 540 nm using a UV-visible spectrophotometer. The control experiment was carried out with enzyme and starch solution only.

Acarbose (Fisher Scientific, USA) was used as a positive control. The percentage (%) inhibition was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Inhibition (\%)} = \frac{A_{540nm} \, (control) - A_{540nm} \, (extract)}{A_{540nm}(control)} \times 100 \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\text{Equation 2}$$

Inhibition of α-glucosidase by crude *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa extracts

The α-glucosidase inhibitory activity was measured using p-nitrophenyl-α-d-glucopyranoside (pNPG) and various concentrations of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa crude extracts according to published procedures (Kifle et al., 2021). Briefly, 50 μL of crude root and bark extracts at varying concentrations (5-400 μg/mL) was mixed with 10 μL of α-glucosidase from Aspergillus, Niger (Sigma-Aldrich, South Africa) (1 U/mL) and 120 μL of 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.9. The resultant mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 20 min. After 20 min, the reaction was initiated by adding 20 μL of 1 M pNPG and the mixture was incubated for 30 min at room temperature. The reaction was terminated by addition of 0.1M of Na_2CO_3 (50 μL), further diluted with 1.5 mL of 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH6.9 and absorbance measured at 405 nm. Acarbose (Fisher Scientific, USA) was used as a positive control. The percentage (%) inhibition was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Inhibition (\%)} = \frac{A_{540nm} \, (control) - A_{540nm} \, (extract)}{A_{540nm}(control)} \times 100 \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots..\dots\text{Equation 3}$$

Molecular docking

Molecular docking of phytochemical compounds was carried out on human lysosomal acid-α-glucosidase, hGAA (PDB: 5NN8) and human pancreatic α-amylase, HPA (PDB: 2QV4) (Maurus et al., 2008; Roig-Zamboni et al., 2017). The X-ray crystallographic structures of hGAA

and HPA were retrieved from the protein data bank (https://www.rcsb.org/), visualized and prepared for docking using Bovia Discovery studio visualizer v21.1.0.20298. Prior to docking, co-crystallized water molecules and non-essential small organic molecules were removed from the crystal structures. The retained protein-ligand complexes were then protonated, optimized and typed using Charmm and MMFF94 forcefields.

A dataset of thirty-six phytochemical compounds identified from *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* Taub. Mendonca & E.P. Sousa was used for molecular docking (Selemani et al., 2021). The structures of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* Taub. Mendonca & E.P. Sousa were retrieved from PubChem database (https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) in SDF format, protonated using Discovery Studio visualizer and energetically optimized with MMFF94 forcefield using RDkit optimizer node in KNIME Analytics v4.3.3 (Berthold et al., 2008). Molecular docking simulations were performed using Autodock Vina (Vina) in Pyrx v0.8 (Dallakyan and Olson, 2015; Trott and Olson, 2009). Co-crystalized acarbose ligand (positive control) in human lysosomal acid-α-glucosidase and pancreatic α-amylase was used for validating the docking procedures. The docking scores from Autodock Vina are reported as binding affinity (kcal/mol). Discovery studio visualizer was used to analyze the binding interactions of the docked protein-ligand complexes.

The physicochemical, drug-likeness, medicinal, ADME and toxicity properties of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii (Taub.)* Mendonca & E.P. Sousa compounds

The physicochemical properties (molecular weight (MW), hydrogen bond donor count (HBD), hydrogen bond acceptor count (HBA), rotatable bond count (RB), lipophilicity and water solubility) were computed using SwissADME (http://www.swissadme.ch/). Additionally, the drug-likeness, oral bioavailability and medicinal chemistry properties of the phytochemical

compounds were evaluated using SwissADME. Finally, the absorption, distribution, metabolism, elimination (ADME).

Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed in triplicates and on two separate days in order to increase the scientific consistency of the results. Data analysis was performed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using GraphPad Prism version 8.0. The results are expressed as means of three replicate determinations ± standard deviation.

RESULTS

Crude extract yields, total phenolic and total flavonoid content of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa root and bark extracts

The percentage (%) yield of crude root and bark *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa extracts are summarized in Table 1. The yield of plant extracts ranged from 4.2% to 13.6% for bark and roots. Methanol and water extracted more secondary plant metabolites/phytochemicals compared to ethyl acetate. The quantified total phenolics (TFC) of bark and roots varied between methanol, ethyl acetate and water (Table 1). Using methanol as a solvent for extraction of secondary plant metabolites, the total phenolic content of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii (Taub)* Mendonca & E.P. Sousa root extract was 11.5±0.1 mg of gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per gram of dry plant extract (mg GAE/g dry weight) whereas for bark extract, the total phenolic content was 7.5±0.1 mg GAE per gram of dry weight. Aqueous methanol (50%) had more polyphenols compared to methanol alone for both root and bark extracts. The phenolic content of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii (Taub)* Mendonca & E.P. Sousa bark and root extracts were 19.6 ± 0.1 and 25.7 ± 0.1 mg GAE/g, respectively, with ethyl acetate as the solvent for extraction. Aqueous ethyl acetate and water extracted more polyphenols compared to all other solvents.

The total flavonoid content (TFC) of bark and root extracts of Xeroderris *stuhlmannii (Taub)* Mendonca & E.P. Sousa are expressed as mg quercetin equivalents/g of dry weight extract (Table 1). The total flavonoid content of the root extract was 15.6±0.2 mg and 21.3±0.4 mg quercetin equivalent per gram of weight dry extract (QE/g dry weight extract) with bark methanol (100%) and ethyl acetate (100%). For bark extract the total flavonoid content were 17.4±0.2 and 19.5±0.5 mg of QE/g dry weight extract for methanol (100%) and ethyl acetate (100%), respectively. Using water for extraction of phytochemicals, the total flavonoid content of roots and

bark extracts were 50.9 ± 0.8 and 44.9 ± 0.4 mg of QE/g dry weight, respectively. Aqueous bark ethyl acetate extract had the highest amount of polyphenols and flavonoids (80.1 ± 0.8 mg GAE/g dry weight and 58.8 ± 0.8 mg of QE/g dry weight, respectively). These results showed that the total flavonoid contents of bark and root extracts were significantly different from each other depending on the solvent used to extract phytochemicals. Furthermore, ethyl acetate extracted more polyphenols and flavonoids compared to other solvents.

DPPH Free Radical Scavenging Activity

The *in vitro* antioxidant activity assay was carried out to assess the ability of crude *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa root and bark extracts to scavenge free radicals such as 2,2-di-(4-tert-octylphenyl)-1-picrylhydrazyl free radical (DPPH·). Table 2 summarize the antioxidant results obtained for the crude methanolic and ethyl acetate root and bark extracts. Gallic acid was used as a positive control. As shown in Table 2, both root and bark extracts significantly scavenged DPPH radicals (IC_{50} values ranged from 0.002-0.013 μg/mL for methanolic and ethyl acetate extracts). The lower the IC_{50} value of a plant extract, the higher its antioxidant activity (Mbhele et al., 2022). Gallic acid displayed a good scavenging effect against the DPPH radical with a calculated IC_{50} value of 0.215 μg/mL. However, its inhibitory activity was moderately weaker than that of root and bark extracts.

In vitro antidiabetic activity of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa crude extracts on α-amylase and α-glucosidase

Polyphenols in plants have antioxidant properties and are reported to exert anti-hyperglycemic effects through non-specific binding to glucose transporters and competitively inhibit digestive enzymes (α-amylase and α-glucosidase). The inhibition of α-amylase and α-

glucosidase by *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa root and bark extracts is described in Table 2. *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa methanol, ethyl acetate and water root extracts inhibited α-amylase with IC_{50} values ranging from 10.5 to 28.8 µg/mL. Inhibition of α-amylase by methanol (100% solvent) and ethyl acetate (100% solvent) root extracts occurred with IC_{50} values of 21.1±1.0 µg/mL and 18.8±0.1 µg/mL, respectively. Aqueous methanol (IC_{50}=18±0.2 µg/mL) and aqueous ethyl acetate (IC_{50}=28.8±0.8 µg/mL) root extracts had modest inhibition of α-amylase. The root water extract exhibited high antidiabetic activity (IC_{50}=10.5±1.0 µg/mL). The IC_{50} values of bark extracts were comparable to those of root extracts, except for water (IC_{50}=29.5 µg/mL for bark versus 10.5 µg/mL for root). In contrast, acarbose inhibited α-amylase with an IC_{50} value of 54.4±6.4 µg/mL. These results show that root and bark extracts of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa significantly inhibited α-amylase compared to the commercial antidiabetic drug, acarbose. Therefore, *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa extracts can reduce postprandial glucose in T2DM patients by inhibiting salivary α-amylase.

We next tested the *in vitro* inhibition of α-glucosidase by *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa methanol and ethyl acetate root and bark extracts. Inhibition of α-glucosidase by methanolic, ethyl acetate and water root extracts occurred with IC_{50} values ranging from 8.8 µg/mL to 49.5 µg/mL. The calculated IC_{50} values for methanolic (100%) and ethyl acetate (100%) root extracts were 10.6±0.8 µg/mL and 8.8±0.8 µg/mL. Only aqueous methanol (bark), aqueous ethyl acetate (root) and water (bark) extracts had higher IC_{50} values compared to other extracts but were significantly lower than that of acarbose. Water bark extract had the highest IC_{50} value of 49.5±4.5 µg/mL. In contrast, α-glucosidase inhibition by acarbose occurred with an IC_{50} value of 161.4±1.8 µg/mL. Together, these results show that *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.)

Mendonca & E.P. Sousa root and bark extracts significantly inhibited α-glucosidase, and can be used as antidiabetic agents for T2DM.

Table 1 Extraction yields, total phenolic and flavonoid contents of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa roots and bark extracts

Plant species	Plant part used	Solvent used	Yield of the plant (%w/w)	Total[a] phenolic content (mg GAE/g DW)	Total[a] flavonoid content (mg QE/g DW)
Xeroderris stuhlmannii (Taub)	root	Methanol (100%)	09.4	11.5±0.1	15.6±0.2
		Methanol/water (50:50%)	07.5	27.7±0.2	33.6±0.5
		Ethyl acetate (100%)	04.2	25.7±0.1	21.3±0.4
		Ethyl acetate/water (50/50%)	06.5	58.1±0.6	58.1±1.2
		Water (100%)	11.0	35.0±0.3	50.9±0.8
	bark	Methanol (100%)	13.6	07.5±0.1	17.4±0.2
		Methanol/water (50:50%)	08.3	16.7±0.2	20.2±0.3
		Ethyl acetate (100%)	05.2	19.6 ±0.1	19.4±0.5
		Ethyl acetate/water (50/50%)	04.5	80.1±0.8	58.8±1.8
		Water (100%)	11.8	35.5±0.3	44.9±0.4

[a]n=3
GAE/g DW is gallic equivalence per gram of dry weight
QE/g DW is quercetin equivalents per gram of dry weight

Table 2 **Concentrations of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa root and bark extracts that causes 50% inhibition (IC_{50}) values in DPPH radical scavenging, α-amylase and α-glucosidase inhibitory assays**

Plant species/ standard	Plant part used	Solvent used	DPPH[a] scavenging activity (IC_{50} µg/mL)$\times 10^{-1}$	α-Amylase[a] inhibition (IC_{50} µg/mL)	α-Glucosidase[a] inhibition (IC_{50} µg/mL)
Xeroderris stuhlmannii (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa	root	Methanol (100%)	0.11±0.01	21.1±1.0	10.6±0.8
		Methanol/water (50:50%)	0.04±0.01	18.0±0.2	10.4±0.1
		Ethyl acetate (100%)	0.12±0.01	18.8±0.1	8.8±0.8
		Ethyl acetate/water (50:50%)	0.17±0.00	28.8±0.8	39.1±0.1
		Water	0.02±0.01	10.5±1.0	27.4±0.6
	bark	Methanol (100)	0.11±0.01	12.9±0.4	13.7±3.5
		Methanol/water (50:50%)	0.33±0.05	19.2±1.9	32.8±0.9
		Ethyl acetate (100%)	0.13±0.02	13.9±0.3	16.2±1.3
		Ethyl acetate/water (50:50%)	0.04±0.01	12.3±0.6	11.2±0.1
		Water	0.12±0.01	29.5±0.2	49.5±4.5
Gallic acid (positive control)			2.15±0.15	-	-
Acarbose (positive control)			-	54.1±0.7	161.4±1.8

[a]n=3

DPPH is 2,2-di-(4-tert-octylphenyl)-1-picrylhydrazyl free radical

Molecular docking of the phytochemical compounds of Xeroderris stuhlmannii **Taub.**

A recent publication by Selemani et al. identified thirty-six (36) phytochemical compounds from bark extract of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa. To further evaluate the antidiabetic properties of these phytochemical compounds, we virtually screened them using Autodock Vina to identify novel inhibitors of human pancreatic α-amylase (HPA) and human lysosomal acid-α-glucosidase (hGAA). The docking method was validated by redocking co-crystallized acarbose and an acarbose derivative to the active site of the enzymes. Figures 1 shows the graphical summary of binding affinities of the thirty-six phytochemical compounds and acarbose (positive control) against HPA and hGAA enzymes. As shown in Figure 1, the binding affinities of the phytochemical compounds against HPA ranged from -10.3 to -5.6 kcal/mol, whereas those for hGAA ranged from -8.4 to -5.6 kcal/mol. Khasianine, brassinolide, oleanolic aldehyde and castasterone had binding affinities lower (more negative) than that of acarbose in HPA. -Only khasianine, oleanolic aldehyde and apiin had lower binding affinities than that of acarbose in hGAA. The phytochemical compounds with lower binding affinities than acarbose in both HPA and hGAA were included in the pharmacokinetic prediction studies. In addition, a few other compounds with binding affinities below that of acarbose such as ursolic acid, myricetin, and myricitrin were also included in pharmacokinetic studies because they are known to inhibit the digestive enzymes that contributes to diabetes mellitus (Castro et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2020; Williams et al., 2012).

Intermolecular interactions between selected ligands (those with lower binding affinities than acarbose) and active site residues of HPA and hGAA are shown in Table 3 and Figures 2&3. The major intermolecular interactions observed between the ligands and digestive enzymes were hydrogen-bonding (H-bonding), π-π, electrostatic and hydrophobic-interactions. Furthermore, the

majority of selected compounds formed H-bonds with bond lengths less than 3 Å. When the co-crystallized acarbose derivative was redocked on the binding site of the human pancreatic amylase (HPA), the root mean square deviation (RMSD) was 1.62Å (Figure 2). In this orientation, the acarbose derivative formed two strong H-bonds with the catalytic residues, GLU233 and ASP300. In addition, the acarbose derivative was also stabilized by eight extra H-bonds with neighboring amino acids (Figure 2). All other docked compounds, except myricetin formed a H-bond with at least one of the three key catalytic residues (ASP197, GLU233 and ASP300) of HPA (see Figure 2). Brassinolide, khasianine and apiin formed more H-bonds than other phytochemical compounds leading to low binding affinities (Figure 2). To get insight into the interaction of hGAA with the phytochemical compounds, we docked the selected phytochemical compounds with low binding affinities into the active site of hGAA (Figure 3). Acarbose is stabilized within the active site by four strong H-bonds with ASP282, ASP404, ASP600 and ASP616. When apiin was docked into the substrate binding site of hGAA, it was more stabilized by H-bonds compared to acarbose and other compounds with similar binding affinities (Figure 3). Together, the docking scores presented here showed that phytochemical compounds inhibited digestive enzymes by directly interfering with the catalytic residues or indirectly by forming strong intermolecular forces with neighboring residues in active site of the enzymes.

Table 3 Hydrogen bonding (H-bonding) and binding affinity of selected phytochemical compounds against HPA and GAA enzymes.

Enzyme	Phytochemical compound	Hydrogen bond (H-bond) interacting residues and the H-bond distance (Å)	Binding affinity (kcalmol^{-1})
HPA	Acarbose derivative	TYR62 (3.09), GLN63 (2.41), ALA106 (2.77), VAL107 (2.48), THR163 (1.92), GLY164 (2.48), ARG195 (2.96), GLU233, HIS299 (2.18), (2.43), ASP300 (2.06)	-9.3
	Brassinolide	ASP197 (2.20), GLU233 (2.55), ASP300 (2.12)	-10.0
	Khasianine	ASN53 (2.40), ASP197 (2.40), ALA198 (2.94), ASP300 (2.75)	-10.3
	Oleanolic aldehyde	GLU233	-9.4
	Castasterone	ASP197 (2.83), THR163(2.09)	-9.3
	Apiin	ASP197 (2.22), GLU233 (2.46), ILE235 (2.36)	-9.2
	Myricitin	GLN63 (2.39), ASP197 (2.97),	-8.5
	Myricetin	TYR62 (2.66), GLN63 (2.13)	-9.0
	Ursolic acid	GLU233 (2.29), ASP300 (2.60)	-8.9
hGAA	Acarbose	ASP282 (1.72), ASP404 (2.30), ASP616 (1.67), ASP600 (2.87)	-8.1
	Brassinolide	SER523 (2.64), ASN524 (2.64), SER676 (1.93), LEU677 (2.38), LEU678 (2.01)	-7.1
	Khasianine	ARG281 (2.10), ASP616 (2.04)	-8.1
	Oleanolic aldehyde	None	-8.4
	Castasterone	ASP282 (1.96), LEU677 (2.86), LEU678 (2.26)	-7.6
	Apiin	ASP282 (2.11), ASP404 (2.45), ARG600 (2.80), ASP616 (2.35), LEU677 (2.62)	-8.3
	Myricitin	ARG281 (2.70), ASP282 (2.52)	-7.4
	Myricetin	ASP404 (2.27), HIS674 (2.56)	-7.1
	Ursolic acid	ARG600 (2.28), ASP282 (2.13)	-7.8

Figure 1. The binding affinities of thirty-six phytochemical compounds of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii*

(Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa and acarbose against: A. human pancreatic α-amylase (HPA)

and B. human lysosomal acid-α-glucosidase (hGAA).

Figure 2. The interaction of human pancreatic α-amylase (HPA) with selected phytochemical compounds of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa and acarbose. Hydrogen bonds are represented in green dotted lines. The purple dotted lines represent hydrophobic interactions (π-alkyl, π-σ, π- π stacking and π- π T-shaped).

Figure 3. The interaction of human lysosomal acid-α-glucosidase (hGAA) with selected phytochemical compounds of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa and acarbose. Hydrogen bonds are represented in green dotted lines. The purple dotted lines represent hydrophobic interactions (π-alkyl, π-σ, π- π stacking and π- π T-shaped).

***The physicochemical, drug-likeness and ADME properties of Xeroderris stuhlmannii Taub.
phytochemical compounds***

The physicochemical, drug-likeness, bioavailability and medicinal properties of the selected compounds were evaluated using Swiss ADME, and are shown in Tables 4-7. Tables 4 and 3 shows the physicochemical properties of the phytochemical compounds of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa. Only acarbose, khasianine and apiin had molecular weight greater than 500 g/mol. The number of heavy atoms ranged from 23-51 for all docked compounds, and only apiin, myricetin and myricitin had sixteen aromatic heavy atoms. All docked compounds had 10 or fewer rotatable bonds. In addition, five compounds including oleanolic aldehyde, ursolic acid and castasterone had 12 or fewer H-bond donors and acceptors (Table 4). The fraction of sp^3 carbon atoms (Fsp^3) were greater than 0.9 except apiin (0.42), myricitin (0.29) and myricetin (0) (Table 4). The polarity index of the selected compounds was assessed by the topological surface area (TPSA) descriptor, and ranged from 37.3 to 225.1 (Table 4). The solubility property, consensus LogP of the docked compounds ranged from -6.22 to 6.32 (Table 5). Only acarbose (cLogP=-6.22) is highly soluble in water, whereas apiin (cLogP= -0.72), myricitin (cLogP= -0.23) and myricetin (cLogP= 0.79) are less soluble. Ursolic acid and oleanolic acid are highly insoluble (cLogP>5). The druglikeness properties of the compounds showed that only brassinolide and castasterone did not violate the Lipinski's Rule of Five with a bioavailability score of 0.55 (Table 6). Oleanolic aldehyde, myricetin and ursolic acid violated one Lipinski's Rule of Five with bioavailability scores of 0.55, 0.55 and 0.85, respectively. Myricitin, acarbose, apiin and khasianine had at least two Lipinski's rule violations, and were predicted to have poor bioavailability. Only myricetin had good bioavailability and solubility properties with fewer violations of the Lipiski, Ghose, Veber, Egan and Muegge rules, and was best described as a drug-

like compound. Myricitin, and myricetin were flagged to have at least one PAINS substructure whereas, brassinolide, castasterone and apiin were not flagged to have a BRENK substructure (see Table 7). The predicted synthetic accessibility values of the phytochemical compounds are shown in Table 7, and ranged from 3.29 to 9.1. The synthetic accessibility values presented here demonstrate that *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa phytochemical compounds have moderate to complex synthetic route. Collectively, the results presented here indicate that myricetin has drug-likeness properties, and can be a good candidate for diabetes mellitus treatment.

To explore the pharmacokinetic properties (ADME) of the selected compounds, we computed the human intestinal absorption (HIA), caco-2 permeability (caco-2), P-glycoprotein (P-gp) inhibitor and substrate parameters in order to predict the absorption of the compounds in the gastrointestinal tract (Table 8). As shown in Table 5, khasianine, apiin, myricitin, and acarbose have low human intestinal absorption (HIA) probabilities. The computed caco-2 permeability parameters ranged from -4.85 cm/s to -6.35 cm/s. Caco-permeabilities greater than -5.15 are considered optimal (Xiong et al., 2021). Our results showed that only castasterone and brassinolide have better intestinal permeabilities compared to other phytochemical compounds. None of the compounds are considered as P-gp inhibitors but apiin and acarbose are categorized as P-gp substrates. Furthermore, we predicted that oleanolic aldehyde and ursolic acid are capable of crossing the blood brain barrier. Brassinolide, castasterone, castasterone and myricetin have low therapeutic index (>90% Plasma Protein Binding). The widespread microsomal cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes play an important role in phase 1 biotransformation of endogenous and exogenous compounds including many pharmaceutical drugs and phytochemical compounds (Guengerich, 2015). We therefore evaluated the inhibition of the major drug metabolizing CYPs by the

phytochemical compounds, and showed that myricetin is an inhibitor of CYP1A2, while oleanolic

aldehyde was predicted to be an inhibitor of CYP2D6 and CYP3A4. All other compounds were

not classified as inhibitors of the CYP enzymes. Finally, castasterone and brassinolide have higher

drug clearance than that of acarbose and other compounds. Overall, the ADME results showed

none of the phytochemical compounds have a complete set of pharmacokinetic properties needed

for their disposition in the blood stream.

Table 4 Physicochemical properties of the phytochemical compounds of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii*
(Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa determined using Swiss ADME.

Phytochemical compound	Molecular Formula	Molecular Weight/gmol^{-1}	#Heavy atoms	#Aromatic heavy atoms	#Rotatable bonds	#H-bond acceptors	#H-bond donors	Fraction Fsp^3	MR	TPSA
Acarbose	$C_{25}H_{43}NO_{18}$	645.6	44	0	9	19	14	0.92	136.7	321.2
Brassinolide	$C_{28}H_{48}O_6$	480.68	34	0	5	6	4	0.96	133.7	107.2
Khasianine	$C_{39}H_{63}NO_{11}$	721.92	51	0	5	12	7	0.95	190.8	179.6
Oleanolic aldehyde	$C_{30}H_{48}O_2$	440.7	32	0	1	2	1	0.9	135.1	37.3
castasterone	$C_{28}H_{48}O_5$	464.68	33	0	5	5	4	0.96	132.6	98.0
Apiin	$C_{26}H_{28}O_{14}$	564.49	40	16	7	14	8	0.42	132.6	229.0
Myricitin	$C_{21}H_{20}O_{12}$	464.38	33	16	3	12	8	0.29	111.0	210.5
Myricetin	$C_{15}H_{10}O_8$	318.24	23	16	1	8	6	0	80.1	151.6
Ursolic acid	$C_{30}H_{48}O_3$	456.7	33	0	1	3	2	0.9	136.9	57.5

Table 5 Lipophilicity and water solubility properties of the of the phytochemical compounds of
Xeroderris stuhlmannii (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa determined using Swiss ADME.

Phytochemical compound	Consensus Log P	ESOL Log S	ESOL Class	Ali Log S	Ali Class	Silicos-IT LogSw	Silicos-IT class
Acarbose	-6.22	2.13	Highly soluble	2.56	Highly soluble	6.4	Soluble
Brassinolide	3.68	-5.54	Moderately soluble	-6.83	Poorly soluble	-2.7	Soluble
Khasianine	1.92	-5.34	Moderately soluble	-5.55	Moderately soluble	-1.77	Soluble
Oleanolic aldehyde	6.32	-7.18	Poorly soluble	-8.03	Poorly soluble	-6.71	Poorly soluble
castasterone	3.76	-5.35	Moderately soluble	-6.49	Poorly soluble	-2.97	Soluble
Apiin	-0.72	-2.95	Soluble	-3.99	Soluble	-1.92	Soluble
Myricitin	-0.23	-3.2	Soluble	-4.5	Moderately soluble	-1.49	Soluble
Myricetin	0.79	-3.01	Soluble	-3.96	Soluble	-2.66	Soluble
Ursolic acid	5.88	-7.23	Poorly soluble	-8.38	Poorly soluble	-5.67	Moderately soluble

Table 6 Drug-likeness properties and oral bioavailability of the phytochemical compounds of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa determined using Swiss ADME.

Phytochemical compound	Lipinski violations	Ghose violations	Veber violations	Egan Violations	Muegge violations	Bioavailability Score
Acarbose	3	4	1	1	5	0.17
Brassinolide	0	3	0	0	0	0.55
Khasianine	3	3	1	1	5	0.17
Oleanolic aldehyde	1	3	0	1	1	0.55
castasterone	0	2	0	0	0	0.55
Apiin	3	3	1	1	3	0.17
Myricitin	2	0	1	1	3	0.17
Myricetin	1	0	1	1	2	0.55
Ursolic acid	1	3	0	1	1	0.85

Table 7 Medicinal chemistry properties of the phytochemical compounds of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa determined using Swiss ADME.

Phytochemical compound	PAINS #alerts	Brenk #alerts	Leadlikeness #violations	Synthetic Accessibility
Acarbose	0	1	2	7.34
Brassinolide	0	0	2	6.14
Khasianine	0	1	1	9.1
Oleanolic aldehyde	0	2	2	5.99
castasterone	0	0	2	5.74
Apiin	0	0	1	6.08
Myricitin	1	1	1	5.32
Myricetin	1	1	0	3.27
Ursolic acid	0	1	2	6.21

Table 8 Pharmacokinetics properties of the phytochemical compounds of the *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa determined using ADMElab 2.0.

Phytochemical compound	Human intestinal absorption (HIA)	Caco-permeability	Pgp inhibitor	Pgp substrate	PPB (%)	BBB penetration	CYP 1A2 inhibitor	CYP 2C19 inhibitor	CYP 2C9 inhibitor	CYP 2D6 inhibitor	CYP 3A4 inhibitor	CL (mL/min/kg)
Acarbose	1.0	-6.35	0	0.85	8.2	0.39	No	No	No	No	No	0.37
Brassinolide	0.13	-4.85	0.1	0.06	92.7	0.23	No	No	No	No	No	19.35
Khasianine	0.92	-5.36	0	0.01	81.4	0.03	No	No	No	No	No	0.94
Oleanolic aldehyde	0.01	-5.09	0.03	0	80.7	0.93	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	6.24
Castasterone	0.27	-4.85	0.02	0.05	93.9	0.56	No	No	No	No	No	21.38
Apiin	0.96	-6.27	0	0.95	81.4	0.16	No	No	No	No	No	1.66
Myricitin	0.70	-6.27	0	0.58	87.7	0.01	No	No	No	No	No	5.26
Myricetin	0.04	-5.65	0	0.01	92.8	0.01	Yes	No	No	No	No	7.72
Ursolic acid	0.01	-5.22	0	0	98.8	0.72	No	No	No	No	No	3.67

Discussion

Naturally occurring bioactive phytochemical compounds from medicinal plants have extensively been studied for potential health benefits and, in particular as therapeutics for diabetes mellitus (Ríos et al., 2015). These bioactive phytochemical compounds consist of flavonoids, terpenoids, saponins, polyphenols, alkaloids and glycosides. The polyphenolic compounds possess antioxidant activity, and can scavenge highly reactive free radicals within the biological system (Tan et al., 2018). Plant extracts with electrons or hydrogen atoms donating abilities can convert the DPPH radical to its nonradical form, 1,10-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazine (Mbhele et al., 2022). In this study, we determined the antioxidant activity of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa root and bark extracts against DPPH radical, and showed that both root and bark extracts significantly scavenged DPPH radicals with low IC_{50} values (<0.013 μg/mL). The antioxidant activity of the extracts is attributed to the bioactive polyphenols and flavonoid compounds in the crude extracts. In addition, we showed that ethyl acetate extracted more polyphenols and flavonoids than methanol. This observation is in agreement with published results that showed that ethyl acetate fractions are rich in flavonoids (Bhatia et al., 2019). These results showed *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa extracts contain bioactive polyphenolic and flavonoid compounds with antioxidant properties that capable of scavenging free radicals that contribute to T2DM.

Inhibition of the activity of enzymes involved in carbohydrate metabolism including α-glucosidase and α-amylase is one of the novel approaches developed to treat type 2 diabetes mellitus and its complications. Novel α-glucosidase inhibitors delay the overall digestion of carbohydrates by increasing the digestion period, and reducing the rate of intestinal glucose absorption, which in turn diminishes postprandial hyperglycemia (Mohammed and Tajuddeen,

2022). Here we investigated the *in vitro* inhibitory properties of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa extracts on α-amylase and α-glucosidase enzymes, and showed that the half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC_{50}) of the extracts were significantly lower (ranged from 8.8 µg/mL to 49.5 µg/mL) than that of acarbose (IC_{50} of acarbose with α-amylase was 54.1±0.7 µg/mL and α-glucosidase was 161.4±1.8 µg/mL). Lower IC_{50} values corresponds to high activity of the extracts (Mbhele et al., 2022). The reported IC_{50} values for acarbose are closer to those reported in literature (Deveci et al., 2021). The high potency of the extracts (low IC_{50} values) against digestive enzymes are likely due to the presence of a number of bioactive phytochemical compounds acting synergistically to inhibit the enzymes (Selemani et al., 2021). Water is the non-organic solvent used to prepare medicines in traditional African systems. Here were extracted phytochemicals using water and aqueous solvents, and showed that the extracts had comparable antidiabetic activity compared to those of pure solvents (methanol and ethyl acetate). The similarity in antidiabetic activity between water, aqueous and pure solvent extracts and their higher antidiabetic activity compared to acarbose demonstrate the potential of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa extracts to reduce postprandial glucose in T2DM subjects.

Molecular docking remains one of the most popular *in silico* approach used in drug discovery to virtually screen for hit compounds in virtual libraries containing millions of molecular structures against a variety of drug targets with known three-dimensional structures (Gentile et al., 2020). The molecular modelling approach provides important information on the ligands' binding affinity and can effectively predict different binding modes of ligand in the active site of target molecule (Meng et al., 2011). Molecular docking approaches have successfully been utilized to identify novel natural product inhibitors of human α-amylase (HPA) and human α-glucosidase (hGAA) (Mugaranja and Kulal, 2022). Here, we used Autodock Vina (Scripps Research, San

Diego) to identify novel photochemical compounds of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa extracts that contributes to its antidiabetic activity of the plant. Among the thirty-six docked phytochemical compounds, only five (Khasianine, brassinolide, oleanolic aldehyde and apiin) had higher docking scores compared to acarbose, and were therefore predicted to inhibit α-glucosidases. These compounds likely contribute to the antidiabetic activity of the crude extracts by synergistically inhibiting the digestive enzymes. The inhibitory effects to the phytochemical ligands are consistent with the low IC_{50} values of the extracts against the digestive enzymes. In addition, we include myricetin, myricitrin and ursolic acid in molecular docking studies because they are known in literature to inhibit α-glucosidase enzymes (Castro et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2020; Williams et al., 2012).

Within the active site of HPA, three essential acidic residues (ASP197, GLU233 and ASP300) exist that catalyze the breakdown of glyosidic bonds (Maurus et al., 2008; Williams et al., 2012). Inhibitors that form strong H-bonds with these acidic residues can delay carbohydrate hydrolysis, and can ultimately reduce postprandial hyperglycemia. Our studies showed that all docked phytochemical compounds, with the exception of myricetin can form at least one H-bond with the catalytic residues. The inhibitory effects of the phytochemical compounds likely contribute to the antidiabetic activity of crude *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa extracts. A closer look at the active site of hGAA showed that the catalytic nucleophile and acid/base residues are ASP518 and ASP616 (Roig-Zamboni et al., 2017). We redocked acarbose in the active site of hGAA, together with eight other selected phytochemical compounds of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa. Only acarbose, apiin and khasianine formed a H-bond with the catalytic residue, ASP616. In addition, our results showed that apiin formed more H-bonds than other phytochemical compounds, and can be a novel inhibitor of

hGAA. However, to the best of our knowledge the antidiabetic activity of apiin have not yet been explored.

Evaluation of pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of hits in drug discovery is important because they help us understand how drugs behave in the body and how the body reacts to drugs. Pharmacokinetic prediction studies presented in this work showed that none of the hit phytochemical compounds obeyed all laws of ADME properties. Consistent with published results, our pharmacokinetic prediction studies also showed that myricetin had less violations than other compounds, and can be a novel inhibitor of α-glucosidases. The antidiabetic activity of myricetin and its derivatives has been reported in the last few years, and a high-resolution X-ray crystal structure of human pancreatic α-amylase (HPA) complexed with myricetin has been solved (Williams et al., 2012). Myricetin binds at the active site and interacts directly with catalytic residues of HPA and reduces the normal conformational flexibility of the substrate binding cleft. The antidiabetic role of ursolic acid is mediated through insulin secretion and insulinomimetic effect on glucose uptake, synthesis and translocation of GLUT4 by a mechanism of cross-talk between calcium and protein kinases (Castro et al., 2015). However, our results showed that ursolic acid is highly insoluble in aqueous and lipid environment, and may require structural modifications to improve its solubility. Myricitrin improves type 2 diabetes mellitus by significantly decreasing the fasting blood glucose levels, improving glucose intolerance and increasing pancreatic β-cell mass (Kim et al., 2020). Together, these findings demonstrated *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa contain novel α-amylase and α-glucosidase inhibitors that synergistically act reduce the postprandial blood glucose in type 2 diabetic patients by inhibiting the digestive enzymes.

Conclusions

In this work, we identified novel α-amylase and α-glucosidase inhibitors present in *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa extracts capable delaying carbohydrate metabolism, which in turn can reduce postprandial hyperglycemia. These compounds likely inhibit carbohydrate metabolism as an individual or in combination by competitively binding to the active site of the enzymes, and preventing the substrates from accessing the active site. The synergistic effect of the compounds may have contributed significantly to the low IC_{50} values, and antidiabetic activity of the crude extracts. Molecular docking and pharmacokinetic prediction studies showed myricetin can be a novel inhibitor of α-glucosidases. Overall, our study demonstrated the remarkable inhibitory potential of *Xeroderris stuhlmannii* (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa against α-glucosidases, and its role in the reduction of postprandial glucose in prediabetic and diabetic mellitus patients. Therefore, this study can present an opportunity to develop plant-based therapeutic medicines with fewer side effects for type 2 diabetes mellitus patients.

Author Contributions

FR, EM and GM were involved in concept development. BN CVPM and EM performed the *in vitro* experiments, and JTB performed the in-silico modeling experiments. FR, JTB, GM and BN wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding sources

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

REFERENCES:

Ansari, P., Choudhury, S.T., Abdel-Wahab, Y.H.A., 2022. Insulin Secretory Actions of Ethanol
Extract of Eucalyptus citriodora Leaf, including Plasma DPP-IV and GLP-1 Levels in High-
Fat-Fed Rats, as Well as Characterization of Biologically Effective Phytoconstituents.
Metabolites 12, 757. https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo12080757

Asase, A., Oteng-Yeboah, A.A., Odamtten, G.T., Simmonds, M.S.J., 2005. Ethnobotanical study
of some Ghanaian anti-malarial plants. J. Ethnopharmacol. 99, 273–279.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2005.02.020

Bello, A., Jamaladdeen, S., Elder, M.T., Yaradua, S.S., Kankara, S.S., Wagini, N.H., Stirton,
C.H., Muasya, M., 2019. Threatened medicinal and economic plants of the Sudan Savanna
in Katsina State, northwestern Nigeria. Bothalia 49, 1–17.
https://doi.org/10.4102/abc.v49i1.2325

Berthold, M.R., Cebron, N., Dill, F., Gabriel, T.R., Kötter, T., Meinl, T., Ohl, P., Sieb, C., Thiel,
K., Wiswedel, B., 2008. KNIME: The Konstanz Information Miner. pp. 319–326.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-78246-9_38

Bhatia, A., Singh, B., Arora, R., Arora, S., 2019. In vitro evaluation of the α-glucosidase
inhibitory potential of methanolic extracts of traditionally used antidiabetic plants. BMC
Complement. Altern. Med. 19, 74. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12906-019-2482-z

Castro, A.J.G., Frederico, M.J.S., Cazarolli, L.H., Mendes, C.P., Bretanha, L.C., Schmidt, É.C.,
Bouzon, Z.L., de Medeiros Pinto, V.A., da Fonte Ramos, C., Pizzolatti, M.G., Silva,
F.R.M.B., 2015. The mechanism of action of ursolic acid as insulin secretagogue and
insulinomimetic is mediated by cross-talk between calcium and kinases to regulate glucose
balance. Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Gen. Subj. 1850, 51–61.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2014.10.001

Chinemana, F., Drummond, R.B., Mavi, S., De Zoysa, I., 1985. Indigenous plant remedies in
Zimbabwe. J. Ethnopharmacol. 14, 159–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8741(85)90084-4

Dallakyan, S., Olson, A.J., 2015. Small-Molecule Library Screening by Docking with PyRx. pp.
243–250. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-2269-7_19

Deveci, E., Çayan, F., Tel-Çayan, G., Duru, M.E., 2021. Inhibitory activities of medicinal
mushrooms on α-amylase and α-glucosidase-enzymes related to type 2 diabetes. South
African J. Bot. 137, 19–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2020.09.039

Forbes, J.M., Cooper, M.E., 2013. Mechanisms of Diabetic Complications. Physiol. Rev. 93,
137–188. https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00045.2011

Gentile, F., Agrawal, V., Hsing, M., Ton, A.-T., Ban, F., Norinder, U., Gleave, M.E., Cherkasov,
A., 2020. Deep Docking: A Deep Learning Platform for Augmentation of Structure Based
Drug Discovery. ACS Cent. Sci. 6, 939–949. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscentsci.0c00229

Godman, B., Basu, D., Pillay, Y., Mwita, J.C., Rwegerera, G.M., Anand Paramadhas, B.D.,
Tiroyakgosi, C., Okwen, P.M., Niba, L.L., Nonvignon, J., Sefah, I., Oluka, M., Guantai,
A.N., Kibuule, D., Kalemeera, F., Mubita, M., Fadare, J., Ogunleye, O.O., Distiller, L.A.,
Rampamba, E.M., Wing, J., Mueller, D., Alfadl, A., Amu, A.A., Matsebula, Z., Kalungia,
A., Zaranyika, T., Masuka, N., Wale, J., Hill, R., Kurdi, A., Timoney, A., Campbell, S.,
Meyer, J.C., 2020. Review of Ongoing Activities and Challenges to Improve the Care of
Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Across Africa and the Implications for the Future. Front.
Pharmacol. 11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2020.00108

Guengerich, F.P., 2015. Human Cytochrome P450 Enzymes, in: Cytochrome P450. Springer

International Publishing, Cham, pp. 523–785. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-12108-6_9

Khan, M.A.B., Hashim, M.J., King, J.K., Govender, R.D., Mustafa, H., Al Kaabi, J., 2019. Epidemiology of Type 2 Diabetes – Global Burden of Disease and Forecasted Trends. J. Epidemiol. Glob. Health 10, 107. https://doi.org/10.2991/jegh.k.191028.001

Kifle, Z.D., Debeb, S.G., Belayneh, Y.M., 2021. In Vitro α-Amylase and α-Glucosidase Inhibitory and Antioxidant Activities of the Crude Extract and Solvent Fractions of Hagenia abyssinica Leaves. Biomed Res. Int. 2021, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6652777

Kim, D.Y., Kim, S.R., Jung, U.J., 2020. Myricitrin Ameliorates Hyperglycemia, Glucose Intolerance, Hepatic Steatosis, and Inflammation in High-Fat Diet/Streptozotocin-Induced Diabetic Mice. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21, 1870. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21051870

Lin, X., Xu, Y., Pan, X., Xu, J., Ding, Y., Sun, X., Song, X., Ren, Y., Shan, P.-F., 2020. Global, regional, and national burden and trend of diabetes in 195 countries and territories: an analysis from 1990 to 2025. Sci. Rep. 10, 14790. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-71908-9

Maurus, R., Begum, A., Williams, L.K., Fredriksen, J.R., Zhang, R., Withers, S.G., Brayer, G.D., 2008. Alternative Catalytic Anions Differentially Modulate Human α-Amylase Activity and Specificity ,. Biochemistry 47, 3332–3344. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi701652t

Mbhele, N., Ncube, B., Ndhlala, A.R., Moteetee, A., 2022. Pro-inflammatory enzyme inhibition and antioxidant activity of six scientifically unexplored indigenous plants traditionally used in South Africa to treat wounds. South African J. Bot. 147, 119–129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2021.12.026

Meng, X.-Y., Zhang, H.-X., Mezei, M., Cui, M., 2011. Molecular Docking: A Powerful Approach for Structure-Based Drug Discovery. Curr. Comput. Aided-Drug Des. 7, 146–

157. https://doi.org/10.2174/157340911795677602

Mohammed, A., Tajuddeen, N., 2022. Antidiabetic compounds from medicinal plants traditionally used for the treatment of diabetes in Africa: A review update (2015–2020). South African J. Bot. 146, 585–602. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2021.11.018

Mugaranja, K.P., Kulal, A., 2022. Investigation of effective natural inhibitors for starch hydrolysing enzymes from Simaroubaceae plants by molecular docking analysis and comparison with in-vitro studies. Heliyon 8, e09360. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e09360

Mutowo, M., Gowda, U., Mangwiro, J.C., Lorgelly, P., Owen, A., Renzaho, A., 2015. Prevalence of diabetes in Zimbabwe: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Int. J. Public Health 60, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00038-014-0626-y

Mutowo, M.P., Lorgelly, P.K., Laxy, M., Renzaho, A.M.N., Mangwiro, J.C., Owen, A.J., 2016. The Hospitalization Costs of Diabetes and Hypertension Complications in Zimbabwe: Estimations and Correlations. J. Diabetes Res. 2016, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/9754230

Nyakudya, T.T., Tshabalala, T., Dangarembizi, R., Erlwanger, K.H., Ndhlala, A.R., 2020. The Potential Therapeutic Value of Medicinal Plants in the Management of Metabolic Disorders. Molecules 25, 2669. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25112669

Ríos, J., Francini, F., Schinella, G., 2015. Natural Products for the Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Planta Med. 81, 975–994. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0035-1546131

Roig-Zamboni, V., Cobucci-Ponzano, B., Iacono, R., Ferrara, M.C., Germany, S., Bourne, Y., Parenti, G., Moracci, M., Sulzenbacher, G., 2017. Structure of human lysosomal acid α-glucosidase–a guide for the treatment of Pompe disease. Nat. Commun. 8, 1111.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01263-3

Saeedi, P., Petersohn, I., Salpea, P., Malanda, B., Karuranga, S., Unwin, N., Colagiuri, S., Guariguata, L., Motala, A.A., Ogurtsova, K., Shaw, J.E., Bright, D., Williams, R., 2019. Global and regional diabetes prevalence estimates for 2019 and projections for 2030 and 2045: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas, 9th edition. Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract. 157, 107843. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2019.107843

Sarker, U., Oba, S., 2020. Polyphenol and flavonoid profiles and radical scavenging activity in leafy vegetable Amaranthus gangeticus. BMC Plant Biol. 20, 499. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-020-02700-0

Selemani, M.A., Kazingizi, L.F., Manzombe, E., Bishi, L.Y., Mureya, C., Gwata, T.T., Rwere, F., 2021. Phytochemical characterization and in vitro antibacterial activity of Xeroderris stuhlmannii (Taub.) Mendonca & E.P. Sousa bark extracts. South African J. Bot. 142, 344–351. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2021.07.006

Shakoor, H., Abdelfattah, F., Albadi, K., Adib, M., Kizhakkayil, J., Platat, C., 2020. Inhibition of Digestive Enzyme and Stimulation of Human Liver Cells (HepG2) Glucose Uptake by Date Seeds Extract. Evidence-Based Complement. Altern. Med. 2020, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/4290702

Shopo, B., Mapaya, R.J., Maroyi, A., 2022. Ethnobotanical study of medicinal plants traditionally used in Gokwe South District, Zimbabwe. South African J. Bot. 149, 29–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2022.05.052

Sun, C., Zhao, C., Guven, E.C., Paoli, P., Simal-Gandara, J., Ramkumar, K.M., Wang, S., Buleu, F., Pah, A., Turi, V., Damian, G., Dragan, S., Tomas, M., Khan, W., Wang, M., Delmas, D., Portillo, M.P., Dar, P., Chen, L., Xiao, J., 2020. Dietary polyphenols as antidiabetic agents:

Advances and opportunities. Food Front. 1, 18–44. https://doi.org/10.1002/fft2.15

Sun, H., Saeedi, P., Karuranga, S., Pinkepank, M., Ogurtsova, K., Duncan, B.B., Stein, C., Basit, A., Chan, J.C.N., Mbanya, J.C., Pavkov, M.E., Ramachandaran, A., Wild, S.H., James, S., Herman, W.H., Zhang, P., Bommer, C., Kuo, S., Boyko, E.J., Magliano, D.J., 2022. IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global, regional and country-level diabetes prevalence estimates for 2021 and projections for 2045. Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract. 183, 109119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109119

Tan, B.L., Norhaizan, M.E., Liew, W.-P.-P., Sulaiman Rahman, H., 2018. Antioxidant and Oxidative Stress: A Mutual Interplay in Age-Related Diseases. Front. Pharmacol. 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2018.01162

Tchacondo, T., Karou, S.D., Batawila, K., Agban, A., Ouro-Bang'na, K., Anani, K.T., Gbeassor, M., de Souza, C., 2011. Herbal remedies and their adverse effects in Tem tribe traditional medicine in Togo. Afr. J. Tradit. Complement. Altern. Med. 8, 45–60. https://doi.org/10.4314/ajtcam.v8i1.60522

Trott, O., Olson, A.J., 2009. AutoDock Vina: Improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. J. Comput. Chem. 455–461. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21334

Usai, R., Majoni, S., Rwere, F., 2022. Natural products for the treatment and management of diabetes mellitus in Zimbabwe-a review. Front. Pharmacol. 13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2022.980819

Vieira, Souto, Sánchez-López, Machado, Severino, Jose, Santini, Fortuna, García, Silva, Souto, 2019. Sugar-Lowering Drugs for Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Metabolic Syndrome—Review of Classical and New Compounds: Part-I. Pharmaceuticals 12, 152.

https://doi.org/10.3390/ph12040152

Williams, L.K., Li, C., Withers, S.G., Brayer, G.D., 2012. Order and Disorder: Differential

Structural Impacts of Myricetin and Ethyl Caffeate on Human Amylase, an Antidiabetic

Target. J. Med. Chem. 55, 10177–10186. https://doi.org/10.1021/jm301273u

Williamson, G., Sheedy, K., 2020. Effects of Polyphenols on Insulin Resistance. Nutrients 12,

3135. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12103135

Xiong, G., Wu, Z., Yi, J., Fu, L., Yang, Z., Hsieh, C., Yin, M., Zeng, X., Wu, C., Lu, A., Chen,

X., Hou, T., Cao, D., 2021. ADMETlab 2.0: an integrated online platform for accurate and

comprehensive predictions of ADMET properties. Nucleic Acids Res. 49, W5–W14.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab255

Zhang, L., Chen, Q., Li, L., Kwong, J.S.W., Jia, P., Zhao, P., Wang, W., Zhou, X., Zhang, M.,

Sun, X., 2016. Alpha-glucosidase inhibitors and hepatotoxicity in type 2 diabetes: a

systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci. Rep. 6, 32649. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep32649