Asymmetrical gene flow between coastal and inland dunes in a threatened

digger wasp

Authors:

Femke Batsleer[1]*(ORCID 0000-0001-5893-5966)

Matthieu Gallin[1] (ORCID 0000-0002-2050-4105)

Moyra Delafonteyne[1]

Daan Dekeukeleire[2] (ORCID 0000-0003-0664-8396)

Filiep T'Jollyn[2] (ORCID 0000-0002-6552-3908)

Pieter Vantieghem[1]

An Vanden Broeck[2] (ORCID 0000-0001-8773-7280)

Joachim Mergeay[2] (ORCID 0000-0002-6504-0551)

Dirk Maes[2,3] (ORCID 0000-0002-7947-3788)

Dries Bonte[1] (ORCID 0000-0002-3320-7505)

[1] Ghent University, Department of Biology, Terrestrial Ecology Unit, Karel Lodewijk Ledeganckstraat

35, 9000 Gent, Belgium

[2] Research Institute for Nature and Forest (INBO), Herman Teirlinckgebouw, Havenlaan 88 bus 73,

1000 Brussel, Belgium

[3] Radboud Institute for Biological and Environmental Sciences (RIBES), Radboud University, PO Box

9010, NL-6500 GL Nijmegen, The Netherlands

[*]Corresponding author: F. Batsleer, Ghent University, Department of Biology, Terrestrial Ecology

Unit. Karel Lodewijk Ledeganckstraat 35, 9000 Ghent, Belgium. E-mail: femke.batsleer@ugent.be.

Telephone: +32 (0)9 264 52 58

Abstract

Connectivity is a species- and landscape-specific measure that is key to species conservation in fragmented landscapes. However, information on connectivity is often lacking, especially for insects that are known to be severely declining. Patterns of gene flow constitute an indirect measure of functional landscape connectivity. We studied the population genetic structure of the rare digger wasp *Bembix rostrata* in coastal and inland regions in and near Belgium. The species is restricted to sandy pioneer vegetations for nesting and is well known for its philopatry as it does not easily colonize vacant habitat. It has markedly declined in the last century, especially in the inland region where open sand habitat has decreased in area and became highly fragmented. To assess within and between region connectivity, we used mating system independent population genetic methods suitable for haplodiploid species. Despite *B. rostrata* being a poor colonizer, gene flow between existing populations appeared not highly restricted. We found more pronounced genetic structure in the small and isolated inland populations as compared to the well-connected coastal region, including a pattern of asymmetrical gene flow from coast to inland. Most likely, demography within different landscape contexts is the main factor explaining the discrepancy in connectivity and asymmetrical gene flow between the different regions. This illustrates the importance of large populations for the species' metapopulation persistence. Therefore, to improve the conservation status *B. rostrata* through increased connectivity, large sites with sand dunes need to be preserved or created, especially in landscapes with small and isolated populations.

Keywords: haplodiploid; microsatellites; insect conservation; dunes; coastal; sandy habitats; Hymenoptera; Crabronidae; *Bembix rostrata*

Introduction

The decline in abundance and distribution of many insects has raised a widespread public and political awareness on their biological value (Harvey et al. 2020; Didham et al. 2020; Wagner et al. 2021; Welti et al. 2021). Habitat loss and fragmentation have been identified as major drivers of this decline (Cardoso et al. 2020), rendering the focus on connectivity conservation for (meta-)population persistence essential (Hanski et al. 1996; Hanski and Ovaskainen 2002; Haddad et al. 2015). Connectivity is a biological concept, in which fluxes of individuals between patches in heterogenous landscapes are determined by both landscape structure and the species' dispersal capacity. Such exchange processes are difficult to quantify in a direct way in invertebrates. Mark-recapture or tracking approaches typically fail when populations are large and widely spread (Chadœuf et al. 2018). Patterns of gene flow do, however, reflect realized dispersal across multiple generations and are informative on the functional landscape connectivity especially at large spatial scales (Kim and Sappington 2013; Hodgson et al. 2022; Maes and Van Dyck 2022). Because drivers of fragmentation can be diverse in identity, scale and intensity, responses to habitat loss and fragmentation are species- and context-dependent (Cheptou et al. 2017). Consequently, every landscape and its regional context is unique for each species. Therefore, habitat connectivity—as perceived by different species—remains difficult to generalize, even across different regions for the same species.

Levels of general natural habitat fragmentation in Flanders (Belgium) are among the highest in Europe (European Environment Agency et al. 2011). Dune habitats harbor a specific insect biodiversity with typical species of conservation interest (Maes and Bonte 2006; Provoost et al. 2011; De Ro et al. 2021). These sandy habitats, both at the coast and inland, have gone through extensive—but different—landscape changes and fragmentation during the past decades or centuries. They differ regarding their size, extent, history and nature of fragmentation. Firstly, coastal dunes in Flanders are geological young formations with calcareous sandy soils (Provoost et al. 2004; Decleer 2007) that form a narrow, linear system along the coast. Coastal sandy habitats became

fragmented at two scales. Primarily, urbanization from the interbellum period onwards decreased

the total area of dunes significantly and resulted in a physical separation of the larger dune entities.

In parallel, loss of agricultural practices (including grazing of livestock) and obstruction of sand

dynamics due to urbanization stimulated the succession and shrub development, at the cost of the

open, early-succession or pioneer dune habitats (Provoost et al. 2011). Large herbivores have been

introduced in many coastal dune reserves during the last three decades to revitalize dune dynamics

(Provoost et al. 2004), but might have mixed effects on local arthropod species due to intense

trampling (Bonte and Maes 2008; van Klink et al. 2015; Batsleer et al. 2022b). Second and

contrastingly, inland sandy soils in Flanders are large postglacial relicts. In this region, large open

heathland and land dune systems were present, mainly on acidic sandy soils (Decleer 2007), which

were heavily afforested since the 19th century (De Keersmaeker et al. 2015). Later, in the second half

of the 20th century—parallel to, but more severe than at the coast–the remaining open habitat

patches became further build-up and hence, smaller and more fragmented. Secondary loss and

fragmentation of the remaining sandy habitat patches took place, here due to acidification and

eutrophication leading to a continuous grass encroachment of sparsely vegetated sand areas on

lime-poor soils (Schneiders et al. 2020).

The digger wasp *B. rostrata* is a univoltine habitat-specialist associated with dynamic sandy habitats

with early-successional vegetation: grey dunes in coastal areas (EU Habitats Directive habitat 2130)

and dry sand heaths and inland dunes with open grasslands in inland areas (habitats 2310 and 2330

respectively). *Bembix rostrata* has strongly declined during the 20th century in Belgium, resulting in a

distribution with local strongholds at the coast and more fragmented or isolated populations present

inland. The same decline was seen in the Netherlands, whereby mostly inland populations were lost

(Klein and Lefeber 2004). *Bembix rostrata* is labeled as a philopatric species that does not easily

colonize vacant habitat and aggregates stay present at the same location for many consecutive years

(Nielsen 1945; Larsson 1986; Bogusch et al. 2021). This presumed philopatry is likely deduced from

the species gregarious life-style where females base their nest choice on the presence of conspecifics

and build consecutive nests—each with one provisioned larva—close to each other (Batsleer et al.

2022a). Given the typical dynamic character of the species' habitat (pioneer dune vegetations),

philopatry should be highly disadvantageous and eventually put the species' at risk if new early-

successional sites cannot be colonized (Bogusch et al. 2021). Hence, as for other species from early-

successional habitat, good dispersal capacities would be expected despite the overall sedentary life

style during breeding (e.g. *Andrena vaga*: Černá et al. 2013; Exeler et al. 2008). Correct information

on the species' dispersal capacity is therefore essential to guide future conservation strategies.

Given the species' conservation flagship status in Belgium as emblematic ground-nesting

hymenopteran, we studied connectivity through gene flow between *B. rostrata* populations within

and between coastal and inland fragmented sandy habitats in Belgium and bordering areas. We also

included a few recently discovered populations to deduce potential origin populations. We amplified

21 microsatellite markers and used a non-lethal sampling method with wing clips (Châline et al.

2004) to minimize the impact of sampling on the often small and/or geographically isolated

populations. As the species has a haplodiploid sex-determination system, we used population genetic

analyses that are assumption-free and mating system independent.

Material and methods

1. Study species

Bembix rostrata is a univoltine specialized, gregariously nesting digger wasp from sandy habitats with sparse vegetation (Larsson 1986; Klein and Lefeber 2004). Adults are active in summer, showing protandry: females are directly mated when emerging by the guarding males, who emerge one to five days earlier (Wiklund and Fagerström 1977; Schöne and Tengö 1981; Evans and O'Neill 2007). Females show brood care: one individual constructs one nest burrow at a time in which it progressively provisions a single larva with flies (Nielsen 1945; Field et al. 2020). An estimated of up to 5 nests are produced, each with one offspring (Larsson and Tengö 1989). There are no overlapping generations, as the species overwinters as prepupa and there is only one generation per year.

2. Study sites and sampling

Sampling took place during the summers of 2018, 2020 and 2021. Samples were taken across 49 Belgian populations, three French populations and one Dutch population (Figure 1). Detailed information about each sampling site—region, name, coordinates, sample year(s), number of samples—can be found in supplementary S1. A large part of the Belgian coastal populations and two inland populations were sampled both in 2018 and 2020 (table S1) and are used to check if samples from different years can be pooled in one analysis (see below, hierarchical Analysis of Molecular Variance; AMOVA). Hence, all locations that were sampled in only one year could be compared in one analysis across years. Only females were sampled, to solely use diploid individuals in the population genetic analyses for this haplodiploid mating system.

As it is estimated that B. rostrata has 5 nests (or equivalent number of offspring) per female (Larsson and Tengö 1989), population sizes grow slowly, especially in isolated fragments. Therefore, to minimize the impact of sampling on the populations, we used a non-lethal sampling method with wing clips, a method shown to give good-quality DNA for microsatellite PCR amplification (Châline et al. 2004). Tips of both forewings from live digger wasp individuals were cut. Both wingtips were

stored in absolute ethanol, stored in a refrigerator at 4°C after sampling and transferred to a freezer

(-18°C) for long-term storage. For each sample, individual coordinates of the capture position (most

often a nest) were noted.

Figure 1: Overview map with locations of sampling. Populations 1-3 in coastal France (dark brown dots), 4-40 in

coastal Flanders (light brown dots), 41-51 in inland Flanders (light green dots), 52-53 in inland Wallonia (dark

green dots). Neighboring countries and the three administrative regions of Belgium are indicated: FR (France),

NL (Netherlands), D (Germany), L (Luxembourg); Flanders (Flemish region), Wallonia (Walloon region) and

Brussels (Brussels-capital region).

3. DNA extraction and PCR amplification

Genomic DNA was extracted from the wing tips with a protocol based on Chelex (Biorad), detailed information and steps can be found in supplementary S2. The development of species-specific microsatellites was outsourced to AllGenetics® (A Coruña, Spain), who provided 500 non-tested microsatellite primers and tested 72 of those biologically with 11 individuals. Of those, we selected 33 polymorphic microsatellites and rearranged them in 3 pairs of primer-multiplexes for PCR and amplified these for each sample. Details on the PCR-conditions and multiplexes can be found in supplementary S2; characteristics of the primers can be found in supplementary S3. PCR products were run on an ABI 3500 analyzer with the GeneScan-600 LIZ size standard and the electropherograms were scored using Geneious Prime (Biomatters). Samples from three recaptured individuals were blindly and randomly added to the workflow. They popped up as duplicate genotypes in the first part of the data analysis, so we were confident the scoring error was minimal.

4. Genetic data analysis

4.1 Hardy-Weinberg, linkage disequilibrium and null alleles

To exclude misbehaving microsatellites with artefacts, the assumptions of Hardy-Weinberg (HW) equilibrium and null alleles at individual loci, and of no linkage disequilibrium (LD) across pairs of loci were examined before subsequent genetic analysis (Waples 2015). HW deviations, null alleles and LD deviations were calculated and examined with the R-packages *pegas* (Paradis 2010), *PopGenReport* (Adamack and Gruber 2014) and *poppr* (Kamvar et al. 2014) respectively, for populations that had at least 10 samples (see online code).

4.2 Hierarchical AMOVA to check variation between years

To test if samples from populations of different years (2018, 2020 and 2021) could be pooled, a hierarchical Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) was carried out using the package *poppr* (Kamvar et al. 2014). To test the null hypothesis of no population structure between years, 23

169   populations that were sampled twice (2018 and 2020; table S1) were used in this test and year was

170   hierarchically nested within population (see online code).

4.3 Population-level statistics

172   For each population, we calculated the number of private alleles (NP) with the R-package *poppr*

173   (Kamvar et al. 2014). Rarefied allelic richness (AR), expected heterozygosity (H_e), observed

174   heterozygosity (H_o) and inbreeding coefficient (F_{IS}) were calculated with the r-package *hierfstat*

175   (Goudet and Jombart 2020). These measures should only be interpreted relatively within the studied

176   haplodiploid system.

4.4 Genetic distance

Genetic distance between populations was quantified using Nei's standard genetic distance D_S (Nei

1972) calculated with the package *hierfstat* (Takezaki and Nei 1996; Goudet and Jombart 2020). To

check which populations have on average the highest genetic distance to other populations, the

mean D_S per population was calculated. Similar calculations for genetic differentiation indices F_{ST} and

Jost's D (Weir and Cockerham 1984; Jost 2008; Keenan et al. 2013) can be found in supplementary

S4, but are considered less suitable for haplodiploids as they are by definition based on Hardy-

Weinberg assumption.

4.5 Isolation-by-distance (IBD)

We compared patterns of IBD between coastal and inland populations. To avoid bias due to

incomplete coverage (as is the case for France and Wallonia), only samples from Flanders were used,

where sampling was spatially covering all populations known to exist at that time. IBD was examined

with the multivariate approach distance-based redundancy analysis (dbRDA) (Diniz-Filho et al. 2013)

because the suitability of Mantel tests to examine IBD is highly debated (Meirmans 2015). First, a

Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) to the Nei's genetic distance D_S matrix was applied. The

resulting PCoA-axes were then used as a response matrix in a Redundancy Analysis (RDA) to correlate

them with the geographic coordinates. The adjusted coefficient of determination R^2 of the RDA's (the

proportion explained by the constrained axes) was used to compare the strength of IBD for coastal

and inland populations. Permutations tests (n = 999) were used to check if the R^2 significantly

differed from zero. Nei's genetic distance D_S between populations was plotted against the pairwise

geographical distance for the two regions. To check if the intercept and/or slope differ between the

two regions, a permutation test was applied with the R package *lmPerm* with a maximum of 10,000

iterations (Wheeler and Torchiano 2016) with the formula D_S ~ distance + region + distance:region. In

general, realized gene flow is dependent on population sizes and dispersal capacity ($\sim N \cdot m$), with

spatial configuration of populations a confounding factor. A different intercept in IBD (for the same

species) for different regions would be mainly related to differences in population sizes (as N

decreases, all else being equal, differentiation will increase due to total number of migrants) and a

differing slope to different dispersal capacities.

4.6 DAPC

A Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components (DAPC) was performed with the R-package *adegenet*

to explore between-population structure and differentiation (Jombart 2008; Jombart et al. 2010).

DAPC is a multivariate statistical approach wherein data is first transformed using a principal

component analysis (PCA) and subsequently a discriminant analysis (DA) is performed. Genetic

variation is partitioned into a between-group and a within-group component, maximizing

discrimination between groups. DAPC can be seen as an alternative to Bayesian clustering algorithms

that does not assume a population genetic model, which is more suitable for a haplodiploid mating

system (Jombart et al. 2010; Grünwald and Goss 2011). We performed a DAPC for all populations

together and the coastal and inland populations separately. A cross-validation with 1,000 replicates

was performed for the three subanalyses to retain an optimal number of PC-axes with the function

xvalDapc from the R-package *adegenet* (Jombart 2008; Kamvar et al. 2015). We use scatterplots of

the first four principal components of the DAPC analyses to visualize within and between population

variation in the study area.

4.7 Assignment tests

To identify the most likely population of origin for all individuals based on the genetic profiles of these individuals and the populations, we performed individual assignment with GENECLASS2 tests to identify immigrant individuals or individuals that have recent immigrant ancestry (Rannala and Mountain 1997; Piry et al. 2004). The most standard method currently is to perform first-generation migrant detection (Paetkau et al. 2004). However, as this model is explicitly based on the sampling of gametes from haploid or diploid populations, we considered this method inappropriate for a haplodiploid mating system. Therefore, we used a Bayesian criterium to estimate likelihoods for each individual to originate from any of the given populations based on allele frequencies, combined with the probability computation from the same method using 10,000 Monte Carlo simulations and the expected type I error rate (alpha) set to 0.01 (Rannala and Mountain 1997). These probability computations are based on random drawing of alleles using allele frequencies directly estimated from the reference population samples and are thus mating system independent. With this method, we can identify individuals that are immigrant or have recent immigrant ancestry. However, interpretation should be done with care, as these assignment or exclusion methods are (compared to first-generation migrant detection) known to be prone to over-rejection of resident individuals and thus might overestimate gene flow (Paetkau et al. 2004; Piry et al. 2004).

Recently discovered populations (and thus probably only recently established) were not included as possible source populations for individuals, as they could bias results if they are from mixed origin. We used the following criterion to specify newly established populations: Flemish populations were indicated as new populations if no observations were known in a radius of 2.5km from before 16-10-2017 (reported before the summer of first sampling on the citizen-science species observation platform www.observations.be). French and Walloon populations were known to be historically present from literature (Barbier 2007). The individuals from the new populations were added to the assignments test, to deduce the possible source of these putative new populations. Results from GENECLASS2 consisted of probabilities to belong to each of the possible source populations for each

individual. To compare possible within and between region genetic connectivity according to these

assignment tests, we summarized the results (excluding new populations) by calculating per region

(coast France, coast Flanders, inland Flanders and inland Wallonia) how many individuals were

assigned to their sampled population, sampled region or populations from other regions. We made a

flow chart (spatial directed network graph) in QGIS (QGIS Development Team 2009) representing the

links between sampled populations and putative origin population according to the assignment tests.

Arrows in such a graph start at the putative source population according to the assignment tests and

end in the sampled population.

Results

In total, wing tips of 867 individuals from 53 populations were genotyped. Five microsatellite loci showed a lot of stutter in the amplification profiles which were hard to score and were therefore discarded from further analysis (AGBro486, -329, -196, -437, -298). Hardy-Weinberg (HW), linkage disequilibrium (LD) and null alleles assumption testing identified a further 7 microsatellites that were left out of the analysis (see online code): AGBro35, -57, -419 (HW and null alleles), AGBro111 (HW and LD), AGBro20, -16 (null alleles) and AGBro138 (HW, LD, null alleles). This resulted in a total of 21 microsatellite loci for further population genetics analyses. If an individual had more than 8 loci with missing data, it was discarded from the analysis beforehand (10.5%; 102 out of 969). A total of 133 alleles with an average of 6.3 alleles per locus (ranging from 3 to 14) were observed across the 21 microsatellite loci. The resulting dataset had an overall 3.48% of missing data for the 867 individuals.

522 samples (of 867) came from the 23 populations sampled both in 2018 and 2020. Hierarchical AMOVA comparing genetic variation between populations and between years for this dataset, showed that sampling year explained 0.19% of the variation. Thus, it was decided to pool the different years for the subsequent population genetics analyses.

Population-level statistics

The complete table with number of private alleles (NP), allelic richness (AR), expected (H_e) and observed (H_o) heterozygosity and inbreeding (F_{IS}) can be found in supplementary S5, table S6. Allelic richness was in general lower in the inland populations (mean(AR inland)=2.33, range=[2.11; 2.61]; mean(AR coast)=2.61, range=[2.41;2.84]; two-sided t(14.84)=0.621, p<0.001). A similar pattern occured for expected heterozygosity (mean(H_e inland)=0.51, range=[0.43;0.58]; mean(H_e coast)=0.59, range=[0.53;0.64]; two-sided t(14.41)=5.820, p<0.001) and less strong for observed heterozygosity (mean(H_o inland)=0.45, range=[0.33;0.54]; mean(H_o coast)=0.52, range=[0.36;0.62]; two-sided t(19.38)=3.503, p=0.002). Inbreeding was in general high (maximum F_{IS}=0.36) and very variable across all populations (range F_{IS}=[-0.025;0.36]; mean ± SD F_{IS}=0.11 ± 0.09), which is expected for a

278   haplodiploid system. Inbreeding was relatively high in some populations at the mid- and eastern part

279   of the coast (populations 26-38, figure 1): from the 10 populations with the highest F_{IS}, six were from

280   this eastern part of the coast and four from inland populations, including the two Walloon

281   populations (populations 52-53).

Genetic distance

Figure 2 shows the pairwise D_S (Nei's standardized genetic distance) between all populations. The 10

populations with the highest mean D_S, which have the highest average differentiation from all other

populations, were 8 inland populations and the two Walloon populations (Table S4). Similar figures

for pairwise differentiation measures F_{ST} and Jost's D can be found in supplementary S4, giving

similar results. Genetic distances were overall large among inland sampling sites (right upper corners

Fig. 2) and small among coastal sites (left lower corner Fig. 2). The genetic distances between coastal

and inland regions are medium to large.

Figure 2: graphical matrix representation of Nei's standardized genetic distance (D_S): light blue are low, dark

blue are mid, and dark green are high genetic distance values between pairwise populations. The x- and y-axes

represent the population ID, subdivided in the four different regions. Genetic distances are symmetrical and

consequently the matrix is mirrored along the diagonal. There is overall large genetic distances within the

inland regions (right upper corner, populations 41-53) and small genetic distances within the coastal regions

(left lower corner, populations 1-40). The genetic distances between coastal and inland regions (y-values 41-53

with x-values 1-40, or vice versa) are medium to large.

Isolation-by-distance

Isolation-by-distance (IBD) results are only given for coastal and inland Flanders, as the sampling

there was spatially covering all known populations and incomplete coverage (for France and

Wallonia) might bias results. A RDA performed with Nei's genetic distance D_S and the pairwise

geographical Euclidian distances indicate there is spatial genetic structure, and most strongly for the

Flanders inland region: proportion explained by the constrained axes (or R^2) is 22% for all populations

together (>0: p=0.001; Df=2), 23% for the coastal region (>0: p=0.001; Df=2) and 61% for the inland

region (>0: p=0.001; Df=2). Adjusted R^2 is 18%, 18% and 51% respectively. The relationship between

D_S and geographical distance is shown in Figure 3. Both the intercept (region: p<0.001; SS =0.342

(Type III); Df=1) and slope (distance-region interaction: p<0.001; SS =0.088 (Type III); Df=1) differed

significantly between regions according to the permutation test (adjusted R^2 of complete model was

58%). Some coastal datapoints (Fig. 3, in brown) are situated on the inland trendline. These are

populations from the eastern- and mid- part of the coast which have smaller population sizes

(populations 26-38, Fig. 1).

Figure 3: Isolation-by-distance (IBD) graph with Nei's genetic distance (D_S) plotted against Euclidian

geographical distance (in km), separately for coastal and inland Flanders. Lines are smoothers plotted for

indicating the trend, not regressions: statistical tests are done through RDA and a permutation test (see main

text). These showed that the spatial genetic structure is higher for the inland regions and that both intercept

and slope differ between the regions.

DAPC

Figure 4 gives scatterplots for the DAPC analysis for the complete dataset. Scatterplots for the coastal

and inland regions separately are given in supplementary S6. The coastal Flanders populations (light

brown in Fig. 4) clearly clump together, with coastal France (1-3, dark brown in Fig. 4) partially

overlapping. A similar pattern can be seen in the DAPC for the coastal regions separately (Fig. S3.A)

and are in line with a pattern of isolation-by-distance. Inland populations show more between-

populations structure (greens in Fig. 4; Fig. S3.B). This is also confirmed by the separate analyses for

both regions: for the coast, the first two principal components together explain 27.2% of the

variation, while for inland this is 54.6%.

Figure 4: Scatterplot for DAPC results on complete dataset for A) the first two and B) the third and fourth

principle components. Labels indicate population ID and point and label colors refer to the regions from Fig. 1:

coastal France (dark brown), coastal Flanders (light brown), inland Flanders (light green), inland Wallonia (dark

green). Coastal populations cluster together genetically in a large point cloud while inland regions show more

genetic differentiation.

Assignment tests

Table 1 summarizes results of the assignment tests per region and figure 5 depicts the derived flow

chart. These assignments depict immigrants or individuals with recent immigration ancestry,

probably up to two generations (Rannala and Mountain 1997). As such, these results depicts

accumulated gene flow from the last few generations and should not be interpreted as direct gene

flow. Focusing on the original populations, inland regions have relatively higher numbers of

individuals assigned to the original sampled populations (78% and 74%, table 1) compared to coastal

Flanders (42%, table 1). However, they also have a higher number of individuals assigned to another

region (16% and 26% compared to 4% in coastal Flanders), mainly coming from the western part of

coastal Flanders and France (Figure 5). Thus, inland populations are genetically more isolated from

each other, but there is a genetic influx from the coast. Within coastal Flanders, there is a lot of

genetic connectivity between populations (Fig. 5), as a relative low number of individuals are

assigned to their original sampled population (42%) but almost all are assigned within the region

(96%). Individuals from coastal France are mainly assigned to the region itself, but there is

connectivity with coastal Flanders in both directions (Fig. 5) and these two regions probably form one

big region genetically. Isolation-by-distance, the skewed sample sizes (59 for coastal France and 540

for coastal Flanders) and incomplete sampling coverage, probably gave rise to less clear results for

coastal France (table 1). New populations (Fig. 5, table 1) inland seem to be a balanced coastal-inland

mixture, mainly from a nearby inland population (Geel-Bel, population 46) and western coastal

Flanders and French populations. New population at the coast follow a similar ratio as the original

coastal populations and are a mixture of populations from mainly the western part of coastal

Flanders (Fig. 5, table 1).

The pairwise geographical distances between sampled and assigned populations show that 75% of

the distances between sampled and putative source populations lie below 28 km, with the largest

distance being 320 km from coastal France to the south of Belgium (supplementary S7, Fig. S5).

Table 1: summarized results of the assignment tests according to (Rannala and Mountain 1997) per sampled

region, for coastal and inland Flanders, separately given for original (source) and new populations (Type pop).

Variables given are total number of samples (N samples), number and ratio (%) of individuals assigned to the

original sampled population within a region (Assigned to original population), number and ratio (%) of

individuals assigned to original sampled population (Assigned to original population), to the original sampled

region (Assigned within original region), or to another region (Assigned to other region; which is N samples – N

assigned within original region). Not applicable = n.a.

Sampled region	Type pop	N samples	Assigned to original population	Assigned within original region	Assigned to other region
Coastal France	original	59	47 (80%)	50 (85%)	9 (15%)
Coastal Flanders	original	540	228 (42%)	518 (96%)	22 (4 %)
Inland Flanders	original	129	100 (78%)	108 (84%)	21 (16%)
Inland Wallonia	original	39	29 (74%)	29 (74%)	10 (26%)
Coastal Flanders	new	72	n.a.	70 (97%)	2 (3%)
Inland Flanders	new	28	n.a.	15 (54%)	13 (46%)

Figure 5: flow chart of genetic links (A) within the inland and coastal regions and (B) between the two regions

for *B. rostrata* according to assignment to putative source (original) populations. These results depicts

accumulated gene flow from the last few generations and should not be interpreted as direct gene

flow. New populations (blue squares) are populations discovered after summer 2017 further than 2.5km away

from any earlier observation (observations.be), they are not used as possible source populations. The links

represent the number of individuals assigned to a putative origin population (start of the arrow) that were

caught in the sampled population (end of the arrow). Brown arrows are links starting from the coast, green

arrows start from inland populations. The thicker the end of the arrow, the higher the number of individuals

assigned to the putative source.

Discussion

Bembix rostrata populations from inland sandy regions exhibited lower levels of gene flow and genetic diversity and high genetic differentiation compared to those from the coastal region. Asymmetrical gene flow from the coast to inland demonstrate that the species is—contrary to expectations based on its behavior and poor colonization capacity—capable of dispersing to distant existing populations.

Bembix rostrata has always been considered to be a philopatric species, not able to easily colonize vacant habitat (Nielsen 1945; Larsson 1986). The retrieved pattern of genetic structure and gene flow within and across sandy regions of Belgium clearly demonstrates this does not prevent gene flow between already existing populations. The species is known to be gregarious, with on the one hand local nest choice behavior showing positive density dependence because of conspecific attraction, and on the other hand individuals making consecutive nests close to each other (Larsson 1986; Batsleer et al. 2022a). This intragenerational individual site fidelity combined with the reported low colonization capacity (Nielsen 1945; Bogusch et al. 2021), made this species a presumed poor disperser. Our results show that dispersal between existing populations is not highly restricted, especially in a well-connected, stepping stone landscape, such as in the Belgian coastal dunes. Likely, female colonization capacities are not restricted by the species' movement capacity, but mainly by the settlement phase of dispersal—with conspecific attraction of crucial importance in *B. rostrata* (Batsleer et al. 2022a). When conspecifics are already present in existing populations, the settlement phase is less restricted, which can explain the pattern of gene flow between existing populations.

Alternatively, as colonization capacity in *B. rostrata* is clearly disconnected from gene flow, the latter may be equally or largely driven by male dispersal. Male-biased dispersal has indeed been found to be most common in other bees and wasps (Johnstone et al. 2012). Given the protandry of the species, such dispersal may be common in the period prior to female emergence, as a strategy to avoid strong (kin) competition (Bonte et al. 2012; Baguette et al. 2013). As we only sampled females,

we cannot quantify a biased dispersal strategy, with for instance genetic spatial autocorrelation

analyses (Banks and Peakall 2012). Because of the haplodiploid mating system, indirect analyses by

the comparison of nuclear and mitochondrial markers are neither suitable because nuclear

introgression is reduced relative to mitochondrial introgression (Patten et al. 2015).

Since effective dispersal rates, resulting in establishment, depend on the species' (i.e. female)

capacity to move and settle, but also on the size of the source population (larger populations send

out more emigrants even under the same individual dispersal rate and densities), colonization at

short distance of vacant, new emerging pioneer habitats remains overall much more likely than

distant colonization. In addition, during periods of exceptional suitable environmental conditions,

e.g. warm summers or years with high resource abundance (nectar, prey), any local overshooting of

carrying capacities may further increase the magnitude of gene flow, both in terms of extent (the

threshold in density dependent dispersal; Kun and Scheuring 2006; Best et al. 2007) and spatial scale

(the fatness of the dispersal kernel; Bitume et al. 2013). We hence hypothesize that the

establishment of new populations may only succeed when Allee-effects are overcome by the

simultaneous settlement of multiple females into a single cluster. Such demographic contributions

are often overlooked in the dynamics of spatially structured populations in both population genetics

and connectivity studies (Lowe et al. 2017; Drake et al. 2022). We indeed found that the few new

populations included in our study originate from other large (meta)populations: at the coast from the

western coastal area and inland from a mixture of coastal populations and the one nearby old, large

inland population (Geel-Bel, 46). This confirms the very often neglected role of source population size

compared to absolute dispersal potential (the dispersal kernel) for gene flow in metapopulations and

their dynamics. The important role of demographics could also explain the observations of Bogusch

et al. (2021), who observed highly restricted colonization abilities of two small and isolated

populations in the Czech Republic.

The strong impact of the size of source populations on gene flow likely underlies the asymmetrical

gene flow from coastal to inland populations, with the latter overall smaller. Dunes from the west

coast (populations 1-25) are known to hold the oldest and largest populations of *B. rostrata* in

Belgium. In such a well-connected, stepping stone landscape, short-distance dispersal—dispersal

related to routine movements of resource exploitation (Van Dyck and Baguette 2005)—results in a

pattern of weak isolation-by-distance. As the genetic population structure is dominated by such

short-distance dispersers, the proportionally low numbers of long-distance dispersers do not leave a

detectable genetic signal within the coastal network. However, some long-distance dispersers –males

or females– from the coast appear to reach inland populations and leave a proportionally larger

signal of gene flow in these smaller populations. As mentioned higher, also within regions, such

demographic signals are picked-up with (1) populations from the west coast being the largest source

of gene flow to other populations along the coast and (2) largest and oldest known inland population

(Geel-Bel, population 46) leaving the strongest genetic signal in the surrounding inland populations.

In addition to the demographic causes, other mutually non-exclusive mechanisms may underlie the

retrieved pattern of asymmetrical gene flow. Although less likely in our case, wind has been put

forward as a dominant factor for long-distance flight behavior and migration in insects (Alerstam et

al. 2011; Knight et al. 2019; Leitch et al. 2021). In the focal study area, the predominant wind-

direction is from coast to inland (SW and WSW), which could reinforce the demographic process at

the coast. Alternatively, dispersal capacity itself could me more restricted in inland regions as well.

Indeed, our isolation-by-distance results suggest that apart from the intercept, he slope differs

between the regions as well. The intercept is related to population sizes: if N decreases, all else being

equal, differentiation will increase due to a lower total number of migrants and drift. The steeper

slope could be—apart from the influence of spatial habitat configuration (van Strien et al. 2015)—

due to dispersal capacity being more restricted in the inland region. A difference in dispersal capacity

within a species can result from evolved dispersal reductions in the most fragmented landscapes

(Cheptou et al. 2017) where costs of dispersal are highest or where spatiotemporal patch turnover is

lowest (Bowler and Benton 2005; Bonte et al. 2012; Duputié and Massol 2013). Such conditions may

indeed be more prominent for the more fragmented, and locally stable populations from the inland

sandy regions. Only a combination of behavioral experiments and/or quantifying physiological

differences in flight metabolic performance may shed light on the likelihood of such processes

(Hanski et al. 2004).

Pollinator conservation, and more specifically that of wild bees, is currently a major topic of interest

to policy and science. A major inherent factor complicating the interpretation of population genetics

results of hymenopteran species in a classical conservation genetics framework is the haplodiploid

mating system. Males are haploid (unfertilized) and females are diploid, which results in non-

symmetrical inheritance of genes across generations. In such a mating system, inbreeding

coefficients will be inherently high and effective population sizes low due to, for instance, purging

effects on deleterious alleles in haploid males (Zayed 2004, 2009). These specific attributes render

metrics based on assumptions of HW-equilibrium and population genetics models difficult to apply

and interpret, as different genetic processes will predominate in a haplodiploid conservation genetics

framework (Zayed 2009). While classical population genetics analyses may be used if not

overinterpreted (Černá et al. 2013; Sanllorente et al. 2015), we decided to report mating system

independent analyses, such as a multivariate approach DAPC and classical assignment tests. The

descriptive statistics provided should be interpreted with care and only be considered relatively

within the study system. In our opinion, future (modelling) studies should further elucidate the

potential biases for haplodiploid systems when using classical population genetics studies that are

based on diploid mating systems and related assumptions. In general, integration of haplodiploids in

the conservation genetics framework is lacking, although about 15% of all animal species are

haplodiploid (Evans et al. 2004; Lohse and Ross 2015).

Connectivity remains difficult to generalize among species and even for different landscapes within a

single species. In Flanders, the genetic connectivity of the grayling butterfly (*Hipparchia semele*)—

also occurring in sandy habitats—was in general much more restricted and gene flow slightly higher

in the inland region compared to the coast (De Ro et al. 2021). Differences in life history traits and

niche are the most likely reason for the contrasting results with *B. rostrata*. These diverging findings

for the focal region between two species from sandy habitat stress that connectivity is a trait of both

species and landscape combined—and should as such be considered in conservation policies.

Additionally, for *B. rostrata* itself, the asymmetrical gene flow and discrepancy in connectivity

between different regions were important to deduce the important role of demographics and discuss

the disconnection of gene flow from colonization capacity. As such, it is crucial to combine and

compare results from different regions for a single species to fully understand possible mechanisms

of gene flow.

Conservation implications

Our findings have direct implications for nature management and conservation of the flagship insect

species *B. rostrata* at both local and landscape scale. At the coast, a well-connected metapopulation

occurs, while inland populations show restricted gene flow in a fragmented sandy habitat landscape.

Moreover, there is an asymmetrical genetic influx from coast to inland. Our results stress the

importance of demographics (i.e. population sizes) for the genetic connectivity of this species. The

species' poor colonization capacity, resulting in a low establishment probability, should be

considered disconnected from gene flow between existing populations, as the latter seems much less

restricted.

To maintain the well-connected, large coastal populations, conservation should focus on local

management and internal processes to ensure a constant amount of suitable habitat through time.

Ideally, dunes are revitalized with aeolian (wind) dynamics at the landscape level (Provoost et al.

2011). However, in a fragmented and urbanized landscape, the current management framework

focuses on grazing used as a tool to locally revitalize sand dynamics (Provoost et al. 2004). It is

recommended to use a heterogenous approach for grazing and grazer type in space and time to

reconcile short-term negative (trampling) and long-term positive (open dune landscape) effects of

grazing on *B. rostrata* (Bonte 2005; Batsleer et al. 2022b). The genetically well-connected landscape

and large metapopulation context ensures population recovery and persisting connectivity when

implementing such a dynamic management approach.

Contrastingly, more isolated, small populations such as in the inland region, need a more cautious

approach and management should consequently focus on the protection of individual populations or

clusters of nearby populations. We suggest that the primary focus should be to enlarge population

sizes, as demographics seem highly important for this species' connectivity. Local and directly

surrounding habitat should be improved in quality, by creating pioneer open, sand dune habitat,

preferably manually as grazers should be used with caution in small, isolated populations (Batsleer et

al. 2022b). Creating extra stepping stones to increase landscape connectivity, which may already be

partially present but vacant, is only effective when combined with increasing population sizes to have

sufficient occasional short and long-distance dispersers. Apart from nesting resources, sufficient

neighboring floral resources for both nectar and prey hunting may also be important to sustain large

population sizes of *B. rostrata* (Kimoto et al. 2012; Buckles and Harmon-Threatt 2019).

Acknowledgements

We thank the following persons and instances for permission and access to nature reserves: Johan Lamaire, Guy Vileyn, Koen Maertens, Evy Dewulf and Klaar Meulebrouck from ANB (Agency for Nature and Forests – Flemish government); Bruno Nicolas from Eden 62 (France); Thierry Paternoster from DEMNA (Dép. de l'Étude du Milieu Naturel et Agricole - Service public de Wallonie); Rika Driessens from IWVA/Aquaduin; Rudi Delvaux from Grenspark Kalmthoutse Heide; Griet Limet from Kempens Landschap. We thank Pieter Vanormelingen from Natuurpunt to update us on the latest observations in new areas of *B. rostrata*. We also thank Maarten Jacobs (Sjacky) and all other friendly volunteers from Averbode Bos- en Heide (Natuurpunt) for help with searching and sampling *B. rostrata* in and around their nature reserve. We thank Viki Vandomme for assistance during lab work.

Permit numbers for collection of genetic materials and entrance of nature reserves in the study area: TREL2022990S/383 (Republique France – Ministère de la transition écologique; according to Nagoya protocol); DNF/DNEV/JPB/SLA/2020-RS-22 (Service public Wallonie - Département de la Nature et des Forêts); N69.21232 (La Défense – Direction Générale Material Resources; access to military domain in Wallonia). Permission to enter governmental nature reserves in Flanders was granted by ANB (Agency for Nature and Forests).

F.B. was supported by Research Foundation – Flanders (FWO).

Author contributions

FB, DD, JM, AVB, DM, DB contributed to the study conception and design. Data collection was performed by FB, MG, MD, PV, FT; laboratory work and data analyses were performed by FB, MG, MD. The first draft of the manuscript was written by FB and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Data availability

Scripts and data are made available on github (https://github.com/FemkeBatsleer/PopGenBembix.git) for review and will be published on zenodo upon acceptance.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflict of interests.

References

Adamack AT, Gruber B (2014) PopGenReport: simplifying basic population genetic analyses in R. Methods Ecol Evol 5:384–387. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12158

Alerstam T, Chapman JW, Bäckman J, et al (2011) Convergent patterns of long-distance nocturnal migration in noctuid moths and passerine birds. Proc R Soc B 278:3074–3080. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2011.0058

Baguette M, Blanchet S, Legrand D, et al (2013) Individual dispersal, landscape connectivity and ecological networks: Dispersal, connectivity and networks. Biol Rev 88:310–326. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12000

Banks SC, Peakall R (2012) Genetic spatial autocorrelation can readily detect sex-biased dispersal. Mol Ecol 21:2092–2105. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05485.x

Barbier Y (2007) *Bembix rostrata* (L.) (Hymenoptera, Crabronidae) de retour en Wallonie (Belgique). Osmia 1:5–6. https://doi.org/10.47446/OSMIA1.2

Batsleer F, Maes D, Bonte D (2022a) Behavioral Strategies and the Spatial Pattern Formation of Nesting. Am Nat 199:E15–E27. https://doi.org/10.1086/717226

Batsleer F, Van Uytvanck J, Lamaire J, et al (2022b) Rapid conservation evidence for the impact of sheep grazing on a threatened digger wasp. Insect Conserv Diversity 15:149–156. https://doi.org/10.1111/icad.12532

Best AS, Johst K, Münkemüller T, Travis JMJ (2007) Which species will succesfully track climate change? The influence of intraspecific competition and density dependent dispersal on range shifting dynamics. Oikos 116:1531–1539. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2007.16047.x

Bitume EV, Bonte D, Ronce O, et al (2013) Density and genetic relatedness increase dispersal distance in a subsocial organism. Ecol Lett 16:430–437. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12057

Bogusch P, Heneberg P, Šilhán K (2021) What are the main factors limiting the distribution of *Bembix rostrata* (Hymenoptera: Crabronidae) at early-succession sites? J Insect Conserv 25:571–583. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10841-021-00324-9

Bonte D (2005) Anthropogenic induced changes in nesting densities of the dune-specialised digger
wasp *Bembix rostrata* (Hymenoptera: Sphecidae). Eur J Entomol 102:809–812.
https://doi.org/10.14411/eje.2005.114

Bonte D, Maes D (2008) Trampling affects the distribution of specialised coastal dune arthropods.
Basic Appl Ecol 9:726–734. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2007.09.008

Bonte D, Van Dyck H, Bullock JM, et al (2012) Costs of dispersal. Biol Rev 87:290–312.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2011.00201.x

Bowler DE, Benton TG (2005) Causes and consequences of animal dispersal strategies: relating
individual behaviour to spatial dynamics. Biol Rev 80:205–225.
https://doi.org/10.1017/S1464793104006645

Buckles BJ, Harmon-Threatt AN (2019) Bee diversity in tallgrass prairies affected by management and
its effects on above- and below-ground resources. J Appl Ecol 56:2443–2453.
https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13479

Cardoso P, Barton PS, Birkhofer K, et al (2020) Scientists' warning to humanity on insect extinctions.
Biol Conserv 242:108426. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2020.108426

Černá K, Straka J, Munclinger P (2013) Population structure of pioneer specialist solitary bee *Andrena*
vaga (Hymenoptera: Andrenidae) in central Europe: the effect of habitat fragmentation or
evolutionary history? Conserv Genet 14:875–883. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-013-0482-
y

Chadœuf J, Millon A, Bourrioux J, et al (2018) Modelling unbiased dispersal kernels over continuous
space by accounting for spatial heterogeneity in marking and observation efforts. Methods
Ecol Evol 9:331–339. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12872

Châline N, Ratnieks FLW, Raine NE, et al (2004) Non-lethal sampling of honey bee, *Apis mellifera*,
DNA using wing tips. Apidologie 35:311–318. https://doi.org/10.1051/apido:2004015

Cheptou P-O, Hargreaves AL, Bonte D, Jacquemyn H (2017) Adaptation to fragmentation:
evolutionary dynamics driven by human influences. Phil Trans R Soc B 372:20160037.
https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0037

De Keersmaeker L, Onkelinx T, De Vos B, et al (2015) The analysis of spatio-temporal forest changes
(1775–2000) in Flanders (northern Belgium) indicates habitat-specific levels of fragmentation
and area loss. Landsc Ecol 30:247–259. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-014-0119-7

De Ro A, Vanden Broeck A, Verschaeve L, et al (2021) Occasional long-distance dispersal may not
prevent inbreeding in a threatened butterfly. BMC Ecol Evo 21:224.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-021-01953-z

Decleer K (2007) Europees beschermde natuur in Vlaanderen en het Belgisch deel van de Noordzee.
Habitattypen | Dier- en plantensoorten. Mededelingen van het Instituut voor Natuur- en
Bosonderzoek INBO.M.2007.01. Instituut voor Natuur- en Bosonderzoek, Brussels

Didham RK, Basset Y, Collins CM, et al (2020) Interpreting insect declines: seven challenges and a way
forward. Insect Conserv Divers 13:103–114. https://doi.org/10.1111/icad.12408

Diniz-Filho JAF, Soares TN, Lima JS, et al (2013) Mantel test in population genetics. Genet Mol Biol
611   36:475–485. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1415-47572013000400002

612 Drake J, Lambin X, Sutherland C (2022) The value of considering demographic contributions to
613   connectivity: a review. Ecography 2022:. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.05552

614 Duputié A, Massol F (2013) An empiricist's guide to theoretical predictions on the evolution of
615   dispersal. Interface Focus 3:20130028. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsfs.2013.0028

616 European Environment Agency, Schwick C, Madriñán L, Kienast F (2011) Landscape fragmentation in
617   Europe: joint EEA-FOEN report. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg

618 Evans HE, O'Neill KM (2007) The sand wasps: natural history and behavior. Harvard University Press,
619   Cambridge, MA, United States

620 Evans JD, Shearman DCA, Oldroyd BP (2004) Molecular basis of sex determination in haplodiploids.
621   Trends Ecol Evol 19:1–3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2003.11.001

622 Exeler N, Kratochwil A, Hochkirch A (2008) Strong genetic exchange among populations of a specialist
623   bee, *Andrena vaga* (Hymenoptera: Andrenidae). Conserv Genet 9:1233–1241.
624   https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-007-9450-8

625 Field J, Gonzalez-Voyer A, Boulton RA (2020) The evolution of parental care strategies in subsocial
626   wasps. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 74:78. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-020-02853-w

627 Goudet J, Jombart T (2020) hierfstat: Estimation and Tests of hierarchical F-Statistics. Version R
628   package 0.5-7URL https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=hierfstat

629 Grünwald NJ, Goss EM (2011) Evolution and Population Genetics of Exotic and Re-Emerging
630   Pathogens: Novel Tools and Approaches. Annu Rev Phytopathol 49:249–267.
631   https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-072910-095246

632 Haddad NM, Brudvig LA, Clobert J, et al (2015) Habitat fragmentation and its lasting impact on
633   Earth's ecosystems. Sci Adv 1:e1500052. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1500052

634 Hanski I, Erälahti C, Kankare M, et al (2004) Variation in migration propensity among individuals
635   maintained by landscape structure. Ecol Lett 7:958–966. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-
636   0248.2004.00654.x

637 Hanski I, Moilanen A, Gyllenberg M (1996) Minimum Viable Metapopulation Size. Am Nat 147:527–
638   541. https://doi.org/10.1086/285864

639 Hanski I, Ovaskainen O (2002) Extinction Debt at Extinction Threshold. Conserv Biol 16:666–673.
640   https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.2002.00342.x

641 Harvey JA, Heinen R, Armbrecht I, et al (2020) International scientists formulate a roadmap for insect
642   conservation and recovery. Nat Ecol Evol 4:174–176. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-
643   1079-8

644 Hodgson JA, Randle Z, Shortall CR, Oliver TH (2022) Where and why are species' range shifts
645   hampered by unsuitable landscapes? Glob Chang Biol 28:4765–4774.
646   https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16220

Johnstone RA, Cant MA, Field J (2012) Sex-biased dispersal, haplodiploidy and the evolution of
helping in social insects. Proc R Soc B 279:787–793. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2011.1257

Jombart T (2008) adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers.
Bioinformatics 24:1403–1405. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn129

Jombart T, Devillard S, Balloux F (2010) Discriminant analysis of principal components: a new method
for the analysis of genetically structured populations. BMC Genet 11:94.
https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-11-94

Jost L (2008) G_{ST} and its relatives do not measure differentiation. Mol Ecol 17:4015–4026.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03887.x

Kamvar ZN, Larsen MM, Kanaskie AM, et al (2015) Spatial and Temporal Analysis of Populations of
the Sudden Oak Death Pathogen in Oregon Forests. Phytopathology 105:982–989.
https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-12-14-0350-FI

Kamvar ZN, Tabima JF, Grünwald NJ (2014) *Poppr*: an R package for genetic analysis of populations
with clonal, partially clonal, and/or sexual reproduction. PeerJ 2:e281.
https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.281

Keenan K, McGinnity P, Cross TF, et al (2013) diveRsity: An R package for the estimation and
exploration of population genetics parameters and their associated errors. Methods Ecol Evol
4:782–788. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12067

Kim KS, Sappington TW (2013) Population genetics strategies to characterize long-distance dispersal
of insects. J Asia Pac Entomol 16:87–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aspen.2012.11.004

Kimoto C, DeBano SJ, Thorp RW, et al (2012) Short-term responses of native bees to livestock and
implications for managing ecosystem services in grasslands. Ecosphere 3:88.
https://doi.org/10.1890/ES12-00118.1

Klein WF, Lefeber V (2004) Crabronidae – graafwespen. In: De wespen en mieren van Nederland (ed.
by T.M.J. Peeters). Naturalis en KNNV, Utrecht, The Netherlands, pp 356–430

Knight SM, Pitman GM, Flockhart DTT, Norris DR (2019) Radio-tracking reveals how wind and
temperature influence the pace of daytime insect migration. Biol Lett 15:20190327.
https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2019.0327

Kun Á, Scheuring I (2006) The evolution of density-dependent dispersal in a noisy spatial population
model. Oikos 115:308–320. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2006.0030-1299.15061.x

Larsson FK (1986) Increased nest density of the digger wasp *Bembix rostrata* as a response to
parasites and predators (Hymenoptera: Sphecidae). Entomol Gener 12:71–75

Larsson FK, Tengö J (1989) It is not always good to be large; some female fitness components in a
temperate digger wasp, *Bembix rostrata* (Hymenoptera: Sphecidae). J Kans Entomol Soc
62:490–495

Leitch KJ, Ponce FV, Dickson WB, et al (2021) The long-distance flight behavior of *Drosophila* supports
an agent-based model for wind-assisted dispersal in insects. PNAS 118:e2013342118.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2013342118

Lohse K, Ross L (2015) What haplodiploids can teach us about hybridization and speciation. Mol Ecol
24:5075–5077. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13393

Lowe WH, Kovach RP, Allendorf FW (2017) Population Genetics and Demography Unite Ecology and
Evolution. Trends Ecol Evol 32:141–152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.12.002

Maes D, Bonte D (2006) Using distribution patterns of five threatened invertebrates in a highly
fragmented dune landscape to develop a multispecies conservation approach. Biological
Conservation 133:490–499. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2006.08.001

Maes D, Van Dyck H (2022) Climate-driven range expansion through anthropogenic landscapes:
Landscape connectivity matters. Glob Chang Biol 28:4920–4922.
https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16180

Meirmans PG (2015) Seven common mistakes in population genetics and how to avoid them. Mol
Ecol 24:3223–3231. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13243

Nei M (1972) Genetic Distance between Populations. Am Nat 106:283–292

Nielsen ET (1945) Moeurs des Bembex. Spoolia Zoologica Musei Hauniensis VII. Universitetets
Zoologiske Museum, København, Denmark

Paetkau D, Slade R, Burden M, Estoup A (2004) Genetic assignment methods for the direct, real-time
estimation of migration rate: a simulation-based exploration of accuracy and power. Mol Ecol
13:55–65. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.2004.02008.x

Paradis E (2010) pegas: an R package for population genetics with an integrated-modular approach.
Bioinformatics 26:419–420. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp696

Patten MM, Carioscia SA, Linnen CR (2015) Biased introgression of mitochondrial and nuclear genes:
a comparison of diploid and haplodiploid systems. Mol Ecol 24:5200–5210.
https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13318

Piry S, Alapetite A, Cornuet J-M, et al (2004) GENECLASS2: A Software for Genetic Assignment and
First-Generation Migrant Detection. J Hered 95:536–539.
https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esh074

Provoost S, Ampe C, Bonte D, et al (2004) Ecology, management and monitoring of grey dunes in
Flanders. J Coast Conserv 10:33–42. https://doi.org/10.1652/1400-
0350(2004)010[0033:EMAMOG]2.0.CO;2

Provoost S, Jones MLM, Edmondson SE (2011) Changes in landscape and vegetation of coastal dunes
in northwest Europe: a review. J Coast Conserv 15:207–226. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11852-
009-0068-5

QGIS Development Team (2009) QGIS Geographic Information System. Open Source Geospatial
Foundation

Rannala B, Mountain JL (1997) Detecting immigration by using multilocus genotypes. PNAS 94:9197–
9201. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.17.9197

Sanllorente O, Ruano F, Tinaut A (2015) Large-scale population genetics of the mountain ant
Proformica longiseta (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Popul Ecol 57:637–648.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10144-015-0505-2

Schneiders A, Alaerts K, Michels H, et al (2020) Natuurrapport 2020: feiten en cijfers voor een nieuw
biodiversiteitsbeleid. Mededelingen van het Instituut voor Natuur- en Bosonderzoek, Nr. 2.
Instituut voor Natuur- en Bosonderzoek

Schöne H, Tengö J (1981) Competition of males, courtship behaviour and chemical communication in
the digger wasp *Bembix rostrata* (Hymenoptera, Sphecidae). Behaviour 77:44–66

Takezaki N, Nei M (1996) Genetic Distances and Reconstruction of Phylogenetic Trees From
Microsatellite DNA. Genetics 144:389–399. https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/144.1.389

Van Dyck H, Baguette M (2005) Dispersal behaviour in fragmented landscapes: Routine or special
movements? Basic Appl Ecol 6:535–545. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2005.03.005

van Klink R, van der Plas F, van Noordwijk CGE (Toos), et al (2015) Effects of large herbivores on
grassland arthropod diversity. Biol Rev 90:347–366. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12113

van Strien MJ, Holderegger R, Van Heck HJ (2015) Isolation-by-distance in landscapes: considerations
for landscape genetics. Heredity 114:27–37. https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2014.62

Wagner DL, Grames EM, Forister ML, et al (2021) Insect decline in the Anthropocene: Death by a
thousand cuts. PNAS 118:e2023989118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2023989118

Waples RS (2015) Testing for Hardy–Weinberg Proportions: Have We Lost the Plot? J Hered 106:1–
19. https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esu062

Weir BS, Cockerham CC (1984) Estimating F-Statistics for the Analysis of Population Structure.
Evolution 38:1358–1370

Welti EAR, Joern A, Ellison AM, et al (2021) Studies of insect temporal trends must account for the
complex sampling histories inherent to many long-term monitoring efforts. Nat Ecol Evol
5:589–591. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-021-01424-0

Wheeler B, Torchiano M (2016) lmPerm: Permutation Tests for Linear Models. Version R package
2.1.0.URL https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=lmPerm

Wiklund C, Fagerström T (1977) Why do males emerge before females? A hypothesis to explain the
incidence of protandry in butterflies. Oecologia 31:153–158.
https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00346917

Zayed A (2004) Effective population size in Hymenoptera with complementary sex determination.
Heredity 93:627–630. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.hdy.6800588

Zayed A (2009) Bee genetics and conservation. Apidologie 40:237–262.
https://doi.org/10.1051/apido/2009026