Title: A STIM dependent dopamine-insulin axis maintains the larval drive to feed and

grow in Drosophila

Authors: Nandashree Kasturacharya[1,2], Jasmine Kaur Dhall[1] and Gaiti Hasan[1,2,3]

1. National Centre for Biological Sciences, TIFR, Bellary Road, Bengaluru 560065

2. The University of Trans-Disciplinary Health Sciences and Technology (TDU),

Bengaluru – 560064

3. Corresponding author: gaiti@ncbs.res.in

Abstract

Appropriate nutritional intake is essential for organismal survival. In holometabolous

insects such as *Drosophila melanogaster*, the quality and quantity of food ingested as larvae

determines adult size and fecundity. Here we have identified a subset of dopaminergic

neurons (THD') that maintain the larval motivation to feed. Dopamine release from these

neurons requires the ER Ca^{2+} sensor STIM. Larvae with loss of STIM stop feeding, whereas

expression of STIM in THD' neurons rescues feeding, growth and viability of STIM null

mutants. Moreover STIM is essential for maintaining excitability and release of dopamine from

THD' neurons. Optogenetic stimulation of THD' neurons identified connectivity to

neuropeptidergic cells, including median neuro secretory cells that secrete insulin-like

peptides. Loss of STIM in THD' cells alters the developmental profile of specific insulin-like

peptides including ilp3. Loss of ilp3 partially rescues STIM null mutants and inappropriate

expression of ilp3 in larvae affects development and growth. In summary we have identified a

novel STIM-dependent dopamine-ILP circuit that regulates developmental changes in larval

feeding behaviour.

Author summary

The ability to feed appropriately when hungry is an essential feature for organismal survival

and is under complex neuronal control. An array of neurotransmitters and neuropeptides

integrate external and internal signalling cues to initiate, maintain and terminate feeding. In

adult vertebrates and invertebrates dopamine serves as a reward cue for motor actions,

including feeding. Larvae of holometabolous insects, including *Drosophila melanogaster*, feed

and grow constantly followed by gradual cessation of feeding, once sufficient growth is

achieved for transition to the next stages of development. Here we identified a subset of larval

dopaminergic neurons in *Drosophila melanogaster*, activity in which maintains continuous

feeding in larvae. By analysis of a null mutant we show that these neurons require the Stromal

Interaction Molecule (STIM) an ER Ca^{2+} sensor, to maintain excitability. In turn they modulate

activity of certain neuropeptidergic cells. Among these are the median neurosecretory cells

(MNSc) that synthesize and secrete insulin-like peptides including ilp3. The identified dopaminergic neurons dysregulate the normal pattern of larval ilp3 expression leading to premature cessation of feeding and growth. Overall, our study identified a simple dopamine-insulin feeding circuit whose manipulation could be useful for model organism studies related to feeding disorders, obesity and diabetes.

Introduction

Animal growth occurs primarily during the juvenile stage of development. In holometabolous insects, including *Drosophila*, larval development is considered equivalent to the juvenile stage [1]. Steady and appropriate nutritional intake in larvae, is essential for growth, and ultimately determines both survival and fecundity of the animal. In *Drosophila*, the feeding rate increases in the second instar larval stage and larvae continue to feed voraciously till the wandering stage of third instar larvae [2]. Increase in feeding rate is accompanied by acceleration of cell division and cell growth [3, 4].

Feeding behaviour and its modulation have been studied primarily in third instar larvae and adult *Drosophila,* where it is regulated by a range of neurotransmitters and neuropeptides [5]. Neuropeptide F (NPF; a human NPY homolog) serves as a signal for hunger and foraging in larvae and adults [6, 7]. Insulin like peptides (ILPs), Allatostatin A (AstA), Drosulfakinin (DSK) and Tackykinin (TK) access the internal metabolic state and terminate feeding based on the energy state of the organism [8–11]. The neuropeptide Sifamide (SifA), increases food intake in adults by integrating orexigenic and anorexigenic signals [12] whereas leukokinin (LK) regulates the frequency of meals and meal size [13]. Mutants for short Neuropeptide F (sNPF) affect body size by regulating food intake [14]. In addition serotonin and Hugin circuits support feeding and feeding-related muscle movements [15]. When food is restricted octopaminergic circuits regulate feeding independent of NPF signalling in 3rd instar larvae and in adults [16], whereas Dopaminergic circuits potentiate sugar sensitive Gustatory Receptor Neurons to consume more food [17]. Where essential amino acids are imbalanced a subset of dopaminergic neurons are required for rejection of food by larvae [18]. In adults, specific classes of Dopamine receptors signal on different neuropeptidergic cells. Dop1R1 on AstA neurons and DopEcR on DH44 cells [19] regulate different aspects of adult feeding behaviour. Multiple neuronal circuits thus integrate sensory inputs and internal metabolic state to maintain feeding and appropriate feeding behaviour in larvae and adults.

A key difference between larval and adult feeding behaviour is that adult *Drosophila* feed sporadically, driven by hunger and satiety signals [20, 21] whereas *Drosophila* larvae accelerate feeding as second instar larvae, feed continuously till the wandering stage of third instar larvae to optimise growth. They stop feeding for a few hours prior to pupariation [22,

23]. Despite studies identifying several neurotransmitters and neuropeptides in feeding regulation, neuronal circuits that maintain persistent feeding and presumably suppress the satiety signal till the wandering larval stage are not well understood. In this study, whilst characterizing the cellular and molecular phenotypes of null mutants for the ER-Calcium sensor protein STIM (**St**romal **I**nteraction **M**olecule) [24] we identified a novel dopaminergic-neuropeptide circuit that drives persistent feeding in early larvae. Growth deficits and lethality in STIM mutants appeared to have a focus in dopaminergic cells [25]. However, the cellular basis of STIM function and systemic phenotypes arising from loss of STIM in dopaminergic neurons remained to be understood. Here, we show that STIM function is required in a subset of central dopaminergic neurons for their excitability and dopamine release. These dopaminergic neurons connect to a range of neuropeptidergic cells in the central brain including the medial neurosecretory cells (MNSc) that synthesize and secrete insulin-like peptides (ilps). The dopamine-ilp circuit identified here regulates larval growth by providing the motivation for persistent larval feeding.

Results

Reduced food intake and growth deficits in STIM mutant larvae

$STIM^{KO}$ larvae appear normal after hatching but their transition from first to second instar stages is slower than wild-type animals (**S1A and S1B Fig**) [25] and as second instars they die gradually between 86h to 326h after egg laying (**AEL; S1B Fig**). To identify the precise time window when $STIM^{KO}$ larvae become sickly they were observed over 6h time intervals from 36h to 90h AEL. Whereas, wild type (*Canton-S* or CS) larvae transition from 1st to 2nd instar between 42-54h AEL, the same transition in $STIM^{KO}$ larvae occurs between 60-72h AEL, indicating a delay of 18h (**Fig 1A and 1B**). The delay is followed by an inability to transition to 3rd instar (**Fig 1C**). $STIM^{KO}$ larvae also exhibit retarded growth. At 72h they appear similar to CS larvae of 60h (**Fig 1D)**. After 72h however, there is a complete cessation of growth in $STIM^{KO}$ larvae, followed by gradual loss of viability after 80-86h (**S1B Fig**). From these results, it became evident that cessation of growth precedes loss of viability in $STIM^{KO}$ larvae.

The momentum of larval growth is maintained by constant cell division and cell growth. Normally, at the end of embryonic development, most mitotic cells such as neuroblasts (NBs) and imaginal disc cells enter a quiescent state [26]. Postembryonic larval development is initiated in the late first instar and early second instar stages by renewed cell proliferation [26,27]. Cessation of growth in $STIM^{KO}$ larvae (**Fig 1D**), suggested a deficit in cell division. To investigate the status of cell proliferation in $STIM^{KO}$ larvae we chose the well-characterized system of thoracic neuroblasts. Upon comparison of thoracic segments of WT and $STIM^{KO}$

larvae at 70-74h (**Fig 1E,** first two columns) it was evident that NBs exited from quiescence

and entered the proliferative state in both genotypes. Both the NB marker Deadpan (red) and

the post-mitotic cell marker Prospero (blue) appeared normal in $STIM^{KO}$ larvae of 70-74h AEL.

Subsequently, at 82-86h, the number of postmitotic cells (Prospero positive) decreased

significantly in $STIM^{KO}$ animals as compared to the controls but the number of thoracic

neuroblasts remained unchanged (**Fig 1E,** compare third and fourth columns). Upon

quantification, the ratio of dividing neuroblasts (Deadpan surrounded by Prospero positive

cells) to non-dividing neuroblasts (Deadpan with either no or few Prospero positive cells)

changed significantly in 86h aged $STIM^{KO}$ larvae (**Fig 1F and 1G**). To identify the cause

underlying the reduced number of postmitotic cells we analyzed different phases of the cell

cycle of thoracic neuroblasts in $STIM^{KO}$ larval brains. For this, we used the genetically encoded

FUCCI system [28]. Here, the G1, S, and G2 phases of interphase are marked by green, red

and green+red (yellow) fluorescent tags respectively. At 72-76h, both control and $STIM^{KO}$

showed an asynchronous pattern of division. But at 82-86h, control larvae persisted with the

asynchronous pattern, whereas a majority of thoracic neuroblasts in $STIM^{KO}$ animals remained

in the G2/M state (**S1C Fig**).

Among other factors, the exit of quiescence and maintenance of neuroblast

proliferation at the early second instar stage depends on nutritional intake [29,30]. The slow

growth and delayed exit from quiescence suggested that $STIM^{KO}$ larvae may lack adequate

nutritional inputs. As a first step staged larvae were placed on yeast, mixed with a blue dye

and tested for ingestion of food. Even as early as 40-44h AEL there was a significant reduction

of food intake in $STIM^{KO}$ larvae (**Fig 1H,** quantification in **Fig 1I**). By 80-84h AEL two classes

of $STIM^{KO}$ larvae were evident. One with reduced food intake and others with no food intake

(**Fig 1H and S1D Fig**). The proportion of $STIM^{KO}$ larvae with no food intake reached ~70%

by 82-86h AEL (**Fig 1J**). Based on these results it appears that $STIM^{KO}$ larvae gradually stop

feeding from early 2nd instar larvae and the consequent nutritional deficits prevent normal

growth.

STIM function is required in a subset of larval dopaminergic neurons

To understand how the loss of STIM might affect larval feeding we identified specific

cells that require STIM function for larval growth and viability. From previous work, we know

that knock out of *STIM* in dopaminergic neurons marked by *THGAL4* [31] leads to larval

lethality [25]. Over-expression of $STIM^{+}$ [32] in dopaminergic neurons marked by *THGAL4*

rescued larval lethality of $STIM^{KO}$ animals to a significant extent (**S2A Fig**). Further to identify

specific dopaminergic neurons that require STIM function for growth and viability we tested

rescue by overexpression of $STIM^{+}$ in two non-overlapping subsets of dopaminergic neurons

marked by *THC'GAL4* and *THD'GAL4* [33]. Rescue of $STIM^{KO}$ larvae from 2nd to 3rd instar

(~90%) was evident upon over-expression of *STIM*[+] in THD' marked neurons (**Fig 2A and**
2B). The rescue by THD' was equivalent to the rescue by *THGAL4* (20±2 and 18±1.5 viable
adults respectively; **S2A Fig;** dark and light green arrows). In contrast expression of *STIM*[+] in
THC'GAL4 marked neurons gave poor rescue (**5±1** adults; **S2A Fig;** blue arrow), indicating
that STIM function is required primarily in THD' marked dopaminergic neurons.

Next, we analysed *THD'* driven expression of mGFP and identified two classes of GFP
positive cells in the larval brain. All GFP expressing cells in the central brain (three cells of
DL1 and two cells of DL2 clusters [18], appear positive for Tyrosine Hydroxylase (TH) but a
pair of THD' cells in the ventral ganglion (VG) appear TH negative (TH[-ve]) (**Fig 2C**). To
understand the relative contribution of the VG-localised TH[-ve] cells to *THD'>STIM*[+] rescue of
STIM[KO] animals, we restricted *THD'GAL4* expression to VG localised THD' neurons using
THGAL80 [34] (**Fig 2D**). Expression of *STIM*[+] in the VG localised THD' neurons (*THD'GAL4,*
THGAL80) reduced the rescue of *STIM*[KO] larvae significantly (**S2A Fig and Fig 2E**) and was
absent in adults (**Fig 2F**). Thus, the rescue of viability in *STIM*[KO] animals derives to a significant
extent from brain-specific THD' dopaminergic neurons.

Ingestion of food is also rescued by *THD'>STIM*[+] expression in *STIM*[KO] larvae (**Fig 2G**
and 2H). Further to confirm the relevance of STIM function in THD' marked dopaminergic
neurons we knocked down STIM using a previously characterized STIM RNAi (*dsSTIM*) [35].
THD'>dsSTIM animals exhibit delayed larval growth and reduced feeding (**Fig 2I-2K; S2B**
Fig) but no larval lethality. Taken together these data identified a CNS-specific subgroup of
dopaminergic neurons that require STIM function for persistent feeding during the early stages
of larval growth.

Neuronal excitability and dopamine release requires STIM

The status of THD' dopaminergic cells was investigated next in *STIM*[KO] larval brains
at 80-84h, when a few viable organisms are still present despite cessation of growth and
feeding (**Fig 2G**). THD' cells were marked with GFP in controls, *STIM*[KO] and *STIM*[KO] animals
with STIM[+] rescue and the brains were stained with anti-TH sera. THD'-GFP cells appeared
no different in *STIM*[KO] as well as STIM[+] rescued *STIM*[KO] animals at 80-84h AEL when
compared to controls at either 58-62h or 80-84h AEL (**S3A Fig**) Moreover, the ratio of THD'
GFP cells to TH positive cells in the CNS also appeared identical (**S3B Fig**). Therefore, the
loss of STIM does not lead to the loss of dopaminergic neurons in the larval brain.

To understand how loss of STIM in THD' marked neurons might effect it's neuronal
function, we investigated their properties of excitation. For this purpose, Potassium Chloride
(KCl, 70mM) evoked cytosolic calcium transients were measured from THD' neurons using
the genetically encoded Ca^{2+} sensor GCaMP6m in *ex vivo* preparations of similarly staged
control (58-62h AEL) and *STIM*[KO] (70-74h AEL) larvae. We chose these time points because

at 72h $STIM^{KO}$ larvae appear healthy and developmentally similar to control larvae at 60h (**Fig 1D**). THD' cells responded with similar changes in GCaMP intensity, in control and $STIM^{KO}$ larvae at these time points (**Fig 3A-3C**). However, the ability to evoke and maintain cytosolic Ca^{2+} transients upon KCl depolarisation was lost in THD' neurons of $STIM^{KO}$ larvae at 76-80h AEL (**Fig 3A-3C**). Overexpression of $STIM^+$ in THD' cells of $STIM^{KO}$ larvae rescued the KCl evoked Ca^{2+} response in larvae as late as 80-84h AEL (**Fig 3A-3C**).

STIM requirement for maintaining excitability of THD' neurons was tested further by KCl stimulation of THD' neurons with STIM knockdown (*THD'>dsSTIM)*. Two classes of responses to depolarisation by KCl were observed. A few cells (70%) responded with normal or greater changes in intensity as compared to control cells, whereas in 30% of cells KCl did not evoke a Ca^{2+} transient (**S3C-S3E Fig**). We attribute this heterogeneous response to differential STIM knockdown by the RNAi in individual THD' cells and a potential effect of STIM knock-down on ER-Ca^{2+} homeostasis (see below).

These data suggest that loss of STIM affects membrane excitability properties and the ability of THD' neurons to respond to stimuli. This idea was tested directly by the expression of transgenes that alter membrane potential. Over-expression of an inward rectifier K^+ channel, Kir2.1 in THD' neurons, that is known to hyperpolarise the plasma membrane [36], resulted in developmental delays followed by the lethality of second and third instar larvae (**S4A Fig**). Further, overexpression of a bacterial Na^+ channel NaChBac [37] in THD' neurons of $STIM^{KO}$ larvae partially rescued developmental deficits, including the transition to third instar larvae (4.4$\pm$0.4) and adult viability (2.4$\pm$0.6**; Fig. 3D-3G**). Though partial, NaChBac's rescue was consistent. We attribute the variability to a stochastic effect of NaChBac in THD' cells. This is also evident from the variable extent of rescue in growth observed in $STIM^{KO}$; *THD'>NaChBac* larvae (**Fig 3E and 3F**). Control animals with overexpression of *NaChBac* in THD' neurons exhibit delayed pupariation (**S4B Fig**).

Neuronal excitability is required for neurotransmitter release at presynaptic terminals. We hypothesized that dopamine release from THD' neurons might be affected in $STIM^{KO}$ larvae. To test this idea, we identified the pre-synaptic (green) and post-synaptic (red) terminal regions of THD' neurons by marking them with *SyteGFP* and *Denmark* respectively (**Fig 4A)** [38]**.** Analysis of pre-synaptic regions (SyteGFP expression) identified three distinct areas in the CNS. One at the centre of the CNS (**Fig 4A;** asterisk), the second as a branched form (**Fig 4A;** arrowhead) and the third one in the brain-gut interaction area as punctae (**Fig 4A;** hash).

Next, dopamine release was measured in the most prominent presynaptic area of THD' neurons by change in fluorescence of the genetically encoded fluorescent GPCR-activation-based-DopAmine sensor (**GRAB$_{DA}$)** [39]**.** Dopamine release in THD' neurons of $STIM^{KO}$ larvae at 76-80h is significantly attenuated as compared with controls (**Fig 4B and**

4C). Importantly, overexpression of STIM$^+$ in THD' neurons rescued dopamine release,
though with altered dynamics from control animals (**Fig 4B and 4C**). We chose to measure
dopamine release in 76-80h $STIM^{KO}$ larvae because THD' neurons in their brains no longer
responded to KCl evoked depolarization (**Fig 3B**) even though the larvae appear normal (**Fig**
1D). Dopamine release was stimulated by Carbachol (CCh), an agonist for the muscarinic
acetylcholine receptor (mAChR), that links to Ca^{2+} release from ER-stores through the ER-
localised IP$_3$ receptor [40] and is expressed on THD' neurons [41]. CCh-induced changes in
ER-Ca^{2+} were tested directly by introducing an ER-Ca^{2+} sensor [42] in THD' neurons (**Fig 4D**
and E). Though ER-Ca^{2+} release, in response to CCh could be measured in just 7 out of 23
cells, the subsequent step of ER-store refilling, presumably after Store-operated Ca^{2+} entry
into the cytosol through the STIM/Orai pathway, could be observed in all control THD' cells
(58-62h AEL), whereas it was absent in THD' neurons from $STIM^{KO}$ brains (76-80h AEL**; Fig**
4E). The ER-Ca^{2+} response was rescued by over-expressing STIM$^+$ in THD' neurons (**Fig 4D,**
and E). Taken together our data establish an important role for STIM-dependent ER-Ca^{2+}
homeostasis in maintaining optimal dopamine release from THD' neurons in turn required for
the larval drive to feed constantly.

Because late third instar larvae stop feeding [2, 6, 22, 23] we hypothesized that CCh-
stimulated Ca^{2+} responses in THD' cells might change in wandering stage third instar larvae.
To test this idea we monitored carbachol-stimulated GCaMP activity in THD' neurons from
wild-type larvae at 96h AEL (mid 3rd instar), 120h AEL (early wandering stage) and 124h AEL
(late wandering stage). A robust GCaMP response was observed at 96h, whereas at the
beginning of the wandering stage (118-122h AEL), the peak response was both reduced and
delayed. A further delay in the peak response time was observed in late wandering stage
larvae (122-126h AEL) (**S4C and S4D Fig**). Thus with gradual cessation of feeding in late
third instar larvae, the dynamics of CCh-stimulated Ca^{2+} responses in THD' neurons also
undergo changes (see discussion).

THD' dopaminergic neurons both activate and inhibit specific neuropeptidergic cells

To understand how dopamine release modulates larval feeding we identified
downstream neuronal targets of THD' cells, using the *transTANGO* technique [43]. This
genetic tool helped identify multiple large neurons with extensive axonal projections through
the brain as postsynaptic partners of THD' cells (RFP fluorescence in **Fig 5A**). Spatial
orientation and size of the THD' postsynaptic partners suggested their identity as neuropeptide
cells (marked by GFP) [44, 45] in (**Fig 5B**). Connectivity between THD' cells and their
neuropeptidergic partners was confirmed by optogenetic stimulation of red-shifted
Channelrhodopsin (*CsChrimson*) [46] expressing in THD' (*THD'>UAS-Chrimson*) cells
followed by Ca^{2+} imaging from GCaMP6f expressing neuropeptide cells

(*C929LexA>LexAopGCaMP6f)* (**Fig 5C**). Upon optogenetic activation of THD' neurons, a

change in cellular Ca^{2+} signals was observed in a total of 64 peptidergic neurons from 9 brains,

including some lateral neurosecretory cells (LNCs), median neurosecretory cells (MNCs),

and regions of the suboesophageal ganglion (SOG) (**Fig 5C**). Elevated Ca^{2+} signals were

observed in a subset of neuropeptidergic cells (**Fig 5D and 5E,** yellow asterisks, n=24),

whereas in some cells Ca^{2+} signals were reduced (**Fig 5D and 5E,** red asterisks, n=40**)**. There

was no change in cellular Ca^{2+} upon optogenetic stimulation in the remaining cells with visible

GCaMP6f fluorescence (n=35). Upon cessation of optogenetic activation of THD' neurons

Ca^{2+} levels returned to baseline (**Fig 5D, 5F and 5G**). Individual cells exhibit either activatory

or inhibitory responses upon repeated optogenetic stimulation with pulses of red light (**S5A**

and S5B Fig), indicating that THD' cells evoke specific responses of either stimulation or

inhibition based on the class of neuropeptide cells. Specificity of the *THD'>Chrimson* evoked

response was further confirmed by testing brains from larvae that were reared without all *trans*-

Retinal (ATR, a cofactor for the function of Channelrhodopsin) [47] and by imaging in the

absence of light (**S5C Fig**). To identify dopamine receptors that might function on

neuropeptidergic cells we knocked down the Dop1R1 and Dop1R2 receptors using a

neuropeptidergic cell driver (*C929GAL4*). A delay in pupariation was observed in larvae with

knock down of Dop1R1 in peptidergic cells (*C929GAL4>UASDop1R1RNAi*; **S5D Fig**) but

larval development proceeded normally upon Dop2R2 knockdown.

STIM expression in THD' neurons regulates the expression of insulin-like peptides

Connectivity of THD' neurons and their trans-TANGO partners suggested

dopaminergic modulation of neuropeptidergic neurons (**Fig 5A and 5B**) by THD' cells, but did

not allow identification of specific neuropeptides that function downstream of the THD' neurons

to promote larval food intake. Optogenetic activation of THD' and Ca^{2+} responses in

neuropeptidergic cells (marked by *C929LexA>LexAopmCherry*) helped identify three clusters

of neuropeptidergic cells that are synaptically downstream of THD' neurons and include the

well-characterised DILP expressing MNC cluster [45], as a putative synaptic target of THD'

neurons (**Fig 5C and 5D**). ilp2 positive MNCs also synthesise and secrete other neuropeptide

including Drosulfakinin(DSK) [48]. Analysis of an RNAseq experiment, conducted

independently to identify changes in gene expression in brains from second instar *STIM^{KO}*

larvae (72-76h AEL) with developmentally comparable CS brains (58-62h;

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE202098; to be published

elsewhere), found significant changes in expression of *ilp2*, *ilp3* and *ilp5*. Whereas *ilp2* and

ilp5 were significantly downregulated, *ilp3* was upregulated more than 5 fold (**Fig 6A**). The

differential regulation of *ilp2, -3* and *-5* expression in *STIM^{KO}* brains was further validated by

qPCRs (**Fig 6B**). Importantly, expression of *ilp3* and *ilp5* were restored back to normal in

brains from $STIM^{KO}$ larvae, rescued by overexpression of $STIM^{+}$ in THD' neurons (**Fig 6B**). During normal larval development *ilp3* transcript levels are low in actively feeding larvae (L2 and L3, 12h) and are gradually upregulated in later stages of L3 when larvae stop feeding (**Fig 6C**; DGET [49]). Based on the up-regulation of *ilp3* in $STIM^{KO}$ larvae (**Fig 6A and 6B**), we hypothesised that knock down of *ilp3* in MNSc might rescue $STIM^{KO}$ larvae. Indeed, partial rescue of larval lethality in 2nd instar larvae followed by their transition to 3rd instar larvae (5 ± 0.5) was observed (**Fig 6D and F**). A few of the rescued 3rd instar larvae pupariated (**Fig. 6F**, L3a type larvae) and some even eclosed as adults (2.3 ± 0.3; **S6A and S6B Fig**). The partial rescue observed may be due to lower expression of ilp2 and ilp5 in $STIM^{KO}$ animals because both ilps are growth signals in 2nd and 3rd instar larvae (**Fig 6A and 6B**). A small proportion of $STIM^{KO}$ larvae rescued by ilp3 knockdown appear significantly larger than control larvae (**Fig 6F and 6G**), suggesting excess feeding in the absence of ilp3. This idea is further supported by over-expression of *ilp3* in MNSc [50] where we observed smaller sized larvae (**Fig 6H and 6L**), suggesting reduced feeding due to untimely over-expression of *ilp3*, and a delay in larval moults from 2nd to 3rd instar stage (**Fig 6J**). Conversely, knock-down of *ilp3* resulted in larger sized pupae (**Fig 6K and 6L**), suggesting prolonged feeding in 3rd instar larvae, accompanied by an ~24h delay in pupariation (**Fig 6M**). Taken together, these data show that loss of dopamine signals from THD' cells changes the normal expression profile of *ilp3* in the MNCs and demonstrate that in normal development Ilp3 is one of the neuropeptides that signals an end to larval feeding prior to pupariation.

Discussion

In *Drosophila*, as in other holometabolous insects, growth is restricted to the larval stages. In early stages of larval development cells exit mitotic quiescence and re-enter mitosis resulting in organismal growth [1,3,26].This change is accompanied by an increase in the feeding rate of the organism so as to provide sufficient nutrition for the accompanying growth in organismal size. In $STIM^{KO}$ larvae we observed a loss of this ability to feed persistently starting from early second instar larvae. The focus of this feeding deficit lies in a subset of central dopaminergic neurons that require STIM function to maintain excitability. Importantly, these dopaminergic neurons communicate with multiple neuropeptidergic cells in the brain including the MNSc (**Fig 5D**) to regulate appropriate changes in larval feeding behaviour through development.

STIM and excitability of dopaminergic neurons

We identified the THD' cells as critical for larval feeding from their inability to function in the absence of the SOCE regulator STIM. Loss of excitability and the absence of dopamine

release from THD' cells in *STIM*[KO] larvae (**Fig. 3A-3C and Fig 4B-4D**) suggests that voltage-

dependent receptor activity [51] is required to maintain growth in early 2nd instar larvae.

Changes in expression of ion channels and presynaptic components have been observed

earlier upon knockdown of STIM in *Drosophila* and mammalian neurons [52,53]. Moreover,

loss of STIM-dependent SOCE in *Drosophila* neurons effects their synaptic release properties

[54]. Partial rescue of viability in *STIM*[KO] organisms by over-expression of a bacterial sodium

channel NaChBac (**Fig 3D-3G**) and restoration of dopamine release upon rescue by STIM[+]

(**Fig. 4B and 4C**) supports the idea that STIM-dependant SOCE maybe required for

appropriate function and/or expression of ion channels and synaptic components in THD'

neurons. Changes in ER-Ca^{2+} (**Fig 4D and 5E**) suggest that STIM is also required to maintain

neuronal Ca^{2+} homeostasis.

Dopaminergic control of larval food seeking

Whilst mechanisms that regulate developmental progression of *Drosophila* larvae

have been extensively studied, neural control of essential changes in feeding behaviour that

need to accompany each larval developmental stage have not been identified previously.

Artificial manipulation of activity in the central dopaminergic neuron subset studied here

(THD'), either by expression of an inward rectifying potassium channel (Kir2.1) (**S4A Fig**) or

the bacterial sodium channel NaChBac (**S4B Fig**), suggests an important role for THD'

neurons during larval development. This idea is supported by the altered dynamics of

muscarinic acetylcholine receptor (mAChR) stimulated Ca^{2+} release observed in THD'

neurons between early, mid and late third instar larvae when larval feeding slows down and

ultimately stops (**S4C and S4D Fig**) and re-iterates that signaling in and from these neurons

drives larval feeding whereas lower carbachol-induced Ca^{2+} responses signal cessation of

feeding.

Though the cells that provide cholinergic inputs to THD' cells have not been identified

it is possible that such neurons sense the nutritional state. In this context, two pairs of cells in

the THD' subset also motivate the search for food in hungry adult *Drosophila* [55]. Starved

flies with knock down of the mAChR on THD' neurons exhibit a decrease in food seeking

behaviour [41]. Cholinergic inputs to THD' neurons for sensing nutritional state/hunger may

thus be preserved between larval and adult stages.

Interestingly, dopamine is also required for reward-based feeding, initiation, and

reinforcement of feeding behaviour in mice [56]. These findings parallel past studies where

mice genetically deficient for dopamine ($DA^{-/-}$), were unable to feed and died from starvation.

Feeding could however be initiated upon supplementation with L-DOPA [57] allowing them to

survive. More recent findings show that dopaminergic neurons in the ventral tegmental area

(VTA), and not the substantia nigra, drive motivational behaviour and facilitate action initiation

for feeding in mice [58].

Dopaminergic control of neuropeptide signaling

Both activation and inhibition of specific classes of neuropeptidergic cells by

optogenetic activation of THD' cells suggests a dual role for dopamine possibly due to the

presence of different classes of DA receptors. The *Drosophila* genome encodes four DA

receptors referred to as Dop1R1, Dop1R2, DD2R and a non-canonical DopEcR [59]. Dop1R1,

Dop1R2 and DopEcR activate adenylate cyclase and stimulate cAMP signaling whereas

DD2R is inhibitory [59]. Cell specific differences among dopamine receptors have been

observed in adults. Down regulation of *Dop1R1* on AstA and NPF cells shifted preference

towards sweet food whereas down regulation of *DopEcR* in DH44 cells shifted preference

towards bitter food [19]. In third instar larvae a dopaminergic-NPF circuit, arising from central

dopaminergic DL2 neurons, two cells of which are marked by *THD'GAL4* (**Fig 2E**), motivates

feeding in presence of appetitive odours [6,7]. The dopamine-neuropeptide axis identified here

demonstrates a broader role for dopamine in regulating neuropeptide release and/or

synthesis, in the context of larval feeding behaviour, perhaps similar to the mammalian circuit

described above.

Of specific interest is the untimely upregulation of *ilp3* transcripts in $STIM^{KO}$ larvae.

Rescue of lethality in $STIM^{KO}$ larvae either by bringing back activity to THD' neurons or by

reducing ilp3 levels suggests an interdependence of Dopamine-Insulin signaling that is likely

conserved across organisms [60–65]. Our data suggest that ilp3 expression is suppressed

during the feeding stages of larvae (**Fig 6H-6M**), and once enough nutrition accumulates

expression of ilp3 is up-regulated, concurrent with a reduction in carbachol-induced Ca^{2+}

signals in THD' neurons, possibly followed by release of ilp3. The idea of ilp3 as a metabolic

signal that is required to end persistent feeding prior to pupariation is supported by the

observation that knock-down of ilp3 in the MNSc leads to larger pupae in wild type animals

and larger larvae in $STIM^{KO}$ (**Fig 6F and G**) . To our knowledge this is the first report of ilp3

as a larval satiety signal. Expression of other neuropeptides did not show significant changes

in $STIM^{KO}$ larval brains (**S1 table**), suggesting that for neuropeptidergic cells in the LNC and

SOG dopamine signals alter release properties rather than synthesis. However, we were

unable to identify specific neuropeptides for cells in the LNC and the SOG that responded

upon activation of THD'.

The importance of dopamine for multiple aspects of feeding behaviour is well

documented in mice [56, 57]. Of interest are more recent findings linking dysregulation of

dopamine-insulin signaling with the regulation of energy metabolism [66] and the induction of

binge eating [67,68]. The identification of a simple neuronal circuit where dopamine-insulin

signaling regulates feeding could serve as a useful model for investigating new therapeutic
strategies targeted towards the treatment of psychological disorders for obesity and metabolic
syndrome [66, 67].
406

Material and methods

Fly rearing and Stocks:

Drosophila strains were reared on standard cornflour agar media at 25°C, unless otherwise specified, under a 12:12 hr light : dark cycle. In all studies the *Canton S* (*CS*) strain was used as a wild-type control and CRISPR-Cas9 generated deficiency for *STIM* referred to as *STIM^{KO}* served as a null mutant for the *Drosophila STIM* gene [25]. Details of other fly lines used are provided in Table 1 below.

Staging:

Synchronized larvae of the appropriate ages as described below were collected and transferred to agar less media consisting of Yeast (4gm), Sucrose (8gm), cornflour (16gm), Propionic acid (1ml) and 0.05gm of Benzoic acid in 1ml of absolute alcohol. These reagents were mixed into 100 ml of distilled water. The number of viable organisms and the developmental stage were scored at specific time points as mentioned below and in the figures and figure legends.

Larval staging experiments were performed to obtain lethality and developmental profiles of the indicated genotypes as described previously [69]. Depending on the experiment, timed and synchronized egg-laying was done either for 6h to allow development profiling at 60-66h, 80-86h, 128-136h, 176-182h and 320-326h after egg laying or for 2h at 35-37h(36h), 41-43h(42h), 47-49h(48h), 53-55h(54h), 59-61h(60h), 65-67h(66h), 71-73h(72h), 83-85h(84h), and 89-91h(90h) after egg laying for identifying a lethality window between 36-90h. Larvae were collected at either 60–66h or 35-37h after egg laying (AEL) in batches of 25 (for developmental profile) or 10 (for lethality window). They were screened and staged subsequently. Heterozygous larvae were identified using dominant markers (*FM7iGFP*, *TM6Tb,* and *CyOGFP*) and removed. Each batch of larvae was placed in a separate vial and minimally three vials containing agar-less media were tested for every genotype at each time point. The larvae were screened at the indicated time points for the number of survivors and stage of development, determined by the morphology of the anterior spiracles and mouth hooks(71). Experiments to determine the viability of experimental genotypes and their corresponding genetic controls were performed simultaneously in all cases. Larval images were taken on the MVX10 Olympus stereo microscope using an Olympus DP71 camera.

Feeding assay:

Feeding assay was performed at specific developmental time points in larvae (40-44h, 58-62h, 80-84h AEL) of the specified genotypes. Larvae were placed in a 35mm punched dish with coverslip at the base thus creating a small depression in the centre of the coverslip. In this depression a coin sized cotton swab was placed containing 4.5.% of yeast paste with 0.25% eriogluasin dye (blue dye). For scoring the number of larvae that fed, 30 larvae per plate were taken and incubated in the feeding plate for 4hrs at 25°C. Larvae were removed from the paste, washed, collected and scored for presence of blue dye (Dye^{+ve}) and absence of blue dye within the gut (Dye^{-ve}).

For quantification of ingested blue dye, 12-15 larvae were incubated for 2h in yeast paste with the blue dye. Larvae were removed from the yeast paste and 10 larvae with blue dye in the gut were washed, and homogenized in $50\mu l$ of cold 1xPBS. The homogenate was spun at 5k for 2 minutes in a table top Eppendorf centrifuge. The supernatant ($2\mu l$) was taken for quantification of protein using the Thermo scientific:Pierce^{TM} Protein assay kit, Cat#23227. Optical density (OD) at 625nm as a measure of ingested blue dye was measured from $30\mu l$ of the homogenate. Due to variation in larval sizes between control and experimental samples, the OD was normalized to whole larval protein concentration ($\mu g/\mu l$). OD was obtained using the SkanIt Software 6.1.1 RE for Microplate Readers RE, ver. 6.1.1.7. Larval imaging and processing was performed on the Olympus MVX10 stereo microscope using FIJI software.

Larval imaging and measurement:

Staged larvae from specified genotypes were collected at specific development time points, anesthetized on ice for 1h and mounted with ice cold HL3 buffer. The mounted larvae were imaged immediately using an Olympus MVX10 stereo microscope. For measurement of larval length from mouth hook to tip of the posterior spiracle FIJI software was used. A minimum of 10 larvae were taken per genotype for length analysis.

Pupal volume measurement:

Pupal volume was measured by obtaining the width and height of each pupal image from the Olympus MVX10 stereo microscope. A formula for obtaining the volume of a cylinder, ($\pi r^2 h$) was applied to calculate the volume.

Immunohistochemistry:

Larval brains were dissected in ice-cold 1xPBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in 1xPBS on the shaker for 20mins at room temperature. Fixed brains were washed with PBTx (0.3% TritonX-100 in 1XPBS) 3-4 times at 10minutes intervals, blocked with 5% normal

goat serum (NGS) in PBTx for 2hrs at room temperature, and incubated with primary antibodies diluted in 5%NGS+PBTx at the appropriate concentration as mentioned below, overnight at 4°C. Antibody solution was removed and re-used upto three times. Brains were washed with PBTx 3 times at 10 minute intervals followed by incubation with secondary antibodies at the dilutions described below, for 2hrs at room temperature and three washes in PBTx of 10minute intervals each. Brains were mounted in 70% glycerol diluted in 1xPBS. Confocal images were acquired by using FV3000 LSM and the Fluoview imaging software.

Following primary antibodies were used: Chick anti-GFP (1:8000, Abcam Cat#13970 RRID: AB_300798), Rabbit anti-RFP (1:400, Rockland Immunochemicals, Inc. Cat#600-401-379; RRID: AB_2209751), Mouse anti-RFP (1:50, Rockland Immunochemicals, Inc). mouse anti-Prospero (1:100, DSHB Cat# Prospero (MR1A), RRID:AB_528440), Rabbit anti-Deadpan (1:400, Abcam– ab195172), Mouse anti-TH (1:5, ImmunoStar Cat#22941; RRID: AB_572268), Mouse anti-bruchpilot (NC82) (dilution1:50, monoclonal, Gift from Eric Buchner, University of Wuerzburg, Germany).

Following secondary antibodies were used :Goat anti-Chicken IgY (H+L), Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Cat#A-11039; RRID: AB_2534096), Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L), Alexa Fluor 568(Thermo Fischer Scientific Cat# A-11011; RRID: AB_143157), Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 633 (Thermo Fischer Scientific Cat# A-21052; RRID: AB_2535719), Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 594 (Thermo Fischer Scientific Cat#A-11037; RRID: AB_2534095).

Ex-vivo imaging of the larval brain:

GCaMP signals were obtained from appropriately aged larval brains dissected from the specified genotypes and dissected in hemolymph like saline (HL3) (70mM NaCl, 5mM KCl, 20mM MgCl2, 10mM NaHCO3, 5mM trehalose, 115mM sucrose, 5 mM HEPES, 1.5mM Ca2+, pH 7.2). Dissected brains were transferred to a 35mm punched dish with a cover slip adhered to the bottom. Brains were embedded in ~5µl of 0.8% low melt agarose (Invitrogen, Cat#16520-100) and bathed in 86µl of HL3. Images were acquired as a time series on an XY plane at an interval of 2sec using a 20X-oil objective on an Olympus FV3000 inverted confocal microscope (Olympus Corp., Japan). For KCl stimulation, at the 40th frame, 7µl of HL3 was added and at the 80th frame 7µl of 1M KCl was added. The final concentration of KCl in the solution surrounding the brain was 70mM. For stimulation with Carbachol (Sigma Aldrich Cat# C4382), 10µl of HL3 was added at the 40th frame followed by 10µl of 100mM Carbachol at the 80th frame. Final carbachol concentration was maintained at 0.5mM Ca^{2+} responses were imaged till the 300th frame (600sec).

Changes in ER-Ca^{2+} were measured using an ER-GCaMP-210 strain(42). The brain

sample was prepared as above. Images were acquired as a time series on an XY plane at an

interval of 1 sec using a 20X oil objective on an Olympus FV3000 inverted confocal microscope

(Olympus Corp., Japan). For Carbachol stimulation, 10μl of HL3 was added at the 50th frame

and 10μl of 100mM of Carbachol was added at the 100th frame. Final carbachol concentration

was maintained at 0.5mM. Images were obtained for 600 frames (600 secs).

Analysis of Optogenetic signals:

Larvae from the specified genotypes were reared in fly media containing 0.2mM ATR

(All-trans retinal Sigma-Aldrich Cat#R2500). Brain samples were prepared as mentioned

above. Images were acquired as a time series on an XY plane at an interval of 2 sec/frame

using a 20X oil objective on an Olympus FV3000 inverted confocal microscope (Olympus

Corp., Japan). For optogenetic stimulation of *CsChrimson*, a 633nm LED (from Thor labs) was

used and GCaMP6f fluorescent images were obtained simultaneously using a 488nm laser

line so as to measure changes in cytosolic Ca^{2+} upon CsChrimson activation. Image acquired

till 200th frames (400 secs).

A minimum of 6 independent brain preparations were used for all live imaging

experiments and the exact number of cells imaged are indicated in the figures. Raw

fluorescence data were extracted from the marked ROIs using a time series analyzer plugin in

Fiji. $\Delta F/F$ was calculated using the following formula for each time point (t): $\Delta F/F = (F_t/F_0)/F_0$,

where F_0 is the average basal fluorescence obtained from the first 40 frames.

RNA isolation and quantitative PCR

Larval brains from appropriate genotypes and their respective age were dissected in

ice cold 1XPBS. Larval brains (10-15) were transferred to a microtube containing 500μl TRIzol

(Life Technologies), and vortexed immediately for 10-15secs. After vortexing the samples

were either processed within 30 min or stored at −80 °C for processing for up to 2 weeks. RNA

was isolated following manufacturer's protocol for TRIzol. Concentration and integrity of RNA

was determined on a bioanalyzer (Agilent 2100). RNA (1μg) was taken for cDNA synthesis.

DNAse treatment and first strand synthesis was performed as previously described [70].

Reverse Transcription followed by PCR (RT-PCR) was performed in a reaction mixture of 25

μl with 1 μl of the cDNA. Quantitative real time PCRs (qPCRs) were performed in a total volume

of 10μl with Kapa SYBR Fast qPCR kit (KAPA Biosystems) on a QuantStudio[TM] 3 Real-Time

PCR system. Duplicates were performed for each qPCR reaction. Minimum of three biological

replicates were taken for each qPCR reaction. *rp49* was used as the internal control. The fold

change of gene expression in any experimental condition relative to wild-type was calculated

as $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$, where $\Delta\Delta Ct$ = (Ct (target gene) − Ct (rp49))$_{Expt.}$ − (Ct (target gene) − Ct (rp49))$_{Control.}$

Sequences of PCR primers used are as follows:

rp49

550   F_5'CGGATCGATATGCTAAGCTGT3'

551   R_5'GCGCTTGTTCGATCCGTA3'

552   **dilp2**

553   F_5'CCATGAGCAAGCCTTTGTCC3'

554   R_5'TTCACTGCAGAGCGTTCCTTG3'

555   **dilp3**

556   F_5'ACTCGACGTCTTCGGGATG3'

557   R_5'CGAGGTTTACGTTCTCGGCT3'

558   **dilp5**

559   F_5'ACTCACTGTCGAGCATTCGG3'

560   R_5'GAGTCGCAGTATGCCCTCAA3'

Quantification and Statistical Analysis

All bar graphs and line plots show the means and standard error of means. In boxplots,

horizontal lines in the box indicate median, box limits are from 25th-75th percentiles, and

individual data points are represented by closed circles (unless otherwise specified in the figure

legends). Unpaired student t-Test is done to calculate the P value. All graphs were plotted

using Origin 8.0 software. Origin 7.5 MicroCal, Origin Lab, Northampton, MA, USA N/A, Fiji

Open access (RRID:SCR_002285).

Table 1: Fly strains

Fly line	Description	Source
Canton S	Wild type	
STIM^{KO}	Null mutant for STIM gene generated with help of CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing technique	Generated in the lab
THGAL4	Dopaminergic GAL4 driver marks TH (Tyrosine Hydroxylase) positive cells in brain and hypoderma	Serge Birman CNRS, ESPCI Paris Tech, France

THGAL80	Inhibits Gal4 expression in Dopaminergic cells	Toshihiro Kitamoto, University of Iowa, Carver College of Medicine.
THD'GAL4	Marks subgroup of Dopaminergic cells in larval brain	Mark N Wu, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore
THC'GAL4	Marks dopaminergic cells of larval brain	
C929GAL4	Marks neuropeptidergic cells	P. H. Taghert, Washington University
c929LexA (DimmLexA)	Marks neuropeptidergic cells	Michael Texada, Janelia Farms, USA
transTango	Marks Post synaptic neurons with RFP	Michael Rosbash, Brandeis University, USA
UASSTIM+	*Stim* wildtype cDNA under UAS control	Generated in the lab
UASGRAB$_{DA}$	A Genetically Encoded Fluorescent Sensor for Dopamine	Yulong Li, Peking University School of Life Sciences, Beijing, China
LexAopmCherry	Expresses mCherry under LexAop control	Claude Desplan, New York University, USA
UASmCD8GFP	Expresses membrane tagged GFP under UAS control	RRID: BDSC_5130
UASKir2.1	Prevents membrane depolarization	RRID: BDSC_6595
UASGCaMP6m	Ca^{2+} Sensor with intermediate kinetics expresses under UAS	RRID: BDSC_42748 from Douglas Kim
LexAopGCaMP6f	Ca^{2+} Sensor with faster kinetics expresses under LexAop	RRID: BDSC_44277

UASSyteGFP,Denmark	Syt-GFP marks presynaptic terminal and Denmark (RFP) marks post synaptic part of the neuron.	RRID: BDSC_33065
UASCsChrimson	Optogenetically encoded cation channel that is activated at 625nm	RRID: BDSC_55136
InscGAL4	Marks neuroblasts of larval brain with GAL4	RRID: BDSC_8751
UASFUCCI	Marks different phases of cell cycle with fluorescent markers	RRID: BDSC_55100
UASNaChBac	Increases sodium conductance and therefore activates the neuron	RRID: BDSC_9468
UASERGCaMP-210	ER specific Ca^{2+} sensor	Cahir O'Kane, Cambridge University, UK
dsSTIM (III)	UAS-RNAi against Stim gene	VDRC_47073
UASDicer2(X)	Enhancer for RNAi	RRID: BDSC_24648
Dilp2GAL4	Marks ilp producing MNSc cells of larval brain	RRID: BDSC_37516
UASilp3⁺	Over expression of wildtype ilp3 gene	Ernst Hafen's lab
UASdsilp3	RNAi for ilp3 gene	RRID: BDSC_33681
UASDop1R1RNAi	RNAi for Dop1R1 receptor	RRID: BDSC_62193
THD'GAL4, THGAL80/CyO		
THD'GAL4, UASGCaMP6m/CyO	Recombinant line made for this study	
c929LexA, LexAopGCaMP6f, LexAopmCherry/TM6Tb		
THD'GAL4, UASCsChrimson/CyO		

STIM^{KO}; +; THGAL4/TM3SerG	Strains made for this study
STIM^{KO}; THD'GAL4/CyOG	
STIM^{KO}; THC'GAL4/CyOG	
STIM^{KO}; UASSTIM/CyOG	
STIM^{KO}; UASGCaMP6m/CyOG	
STIM^{KO}; UASmCD8GFP/CyOG	
STIM^{KO}; UASdsilp3/CyOG	
STIM^{KO}; UASMNScGAL4/CyOG	
UAS-STIM+; UASGRAB$_{DA}$	
UAS-STIM+; UASERGCaMP-210	

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by core funds from NCBS (TIFR), a SERB distinguished fellowship to GH (SB/S9/YSCP/SERB-DF/2018-1) and a DST-WOS-A grant (SR/WOS-A/LS-703/2016) to NK. We thank Fly Facility at NCBS for fly lines and NCBS Central Imaging and Flow Facility (CIFF) for confocal imaging and NCBS lab support for timely help.

References:

1. Tennessen JM, Thummel CS. Coordinating growth and maturation - Insights from Drosophila. Curr Biol. 2011;21: R750–757. PMID: 21959165

2. Sewell D, Burnet B, Connolly K. Genetic analysis of larval feeding behaviour in Drosophila melanogaster. Genet. Res. 1974;24: 163–173. PMID: 4217753

3. Colombani J, Raisin S, Pantalacci S, Radimerski T, Montagne J, Léopold P. A Nutrient Sensor Mechanism Controls Drosophila Growth. Cell. 2003 ;114: 739–749. PMID: 14505573

4. Layalle S, Arquier N, Léopold P. The TOR Pathway Couples Nutrition and Developmental Timing in Drosophila. Dev Cell. 2008;15: 568–77. PMID: 18854141

5. Nässel DR, Zandawala M. Hormonal axes in Drosophila: regulation of hormone release and multiplicity of actions. Cell Tissue Res. 2020;382: 233–266. PMID: 32827072

6. Wu Q, Wen T, Lee G, Park JH, Cai HN, Shen P. Developmental Control of Foraging and
Social Behavior by the Drosophila Neuropeptide Y-like System. Neuron. 2003;39: 147–
161. PMID: 12848939

7. Pu Y, Zhang Y, Zhang Y, Shen P. Two Drosophila Neuropeptide Y-like Neurons Define a
Reward Module for Transforming Appetitive Odor Representations to Motivation. Sci Rep.
2018;8: 11658. PMID: 30076343

8. Erion R, Sehgal A. Regulation of insect behavior via the insulin-signaling pathway. Front
Physiol. 2013;4: 1–6. PMID: 24348428

9. Guo D, Zhang YJ, Zhang S, Li J, Guo C, Pan YF, et al. Cholecystokinin-like peptide
mediates satiety by inhibiting sugar attraction. PLoS Genet. 2021;17(8): e1009724.
PMID: 34398892

10. Hergarden AC, Tayler TD, Anderson DJ. Allatostatin-A neurons inhibit feeding behavior
in adult Drosophila. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109: 3967–372. PMID: 22345563

11. Qi W, Wang G, Wang L. A novel satiety sensor detects circulating glucose and
suppresses food consumption via insulin-producing cells in Drosophila. Cell Res.
2021;31: 580–8. PMID: 33273704

12. Martelli C, Pech U, Kobbenbring S, Pauls D, Bahl B, Sommer MV, et al. SIFamide
Translates Hunger Signals into Appetitive and Feeding Behavior in Drosophila. Cell Rep.
2017;20: 464–78. PMID: 28700946

13. Al-Anzi B, Armand E, Nagamei P, Olszewski M, Sapin V, Waters C, et al. The leucokinin
pathway and its neurons regulate meal size in Drosophila. Curr Biol. 2010;20: 969–78.
PMID: 20493701

14. Lee KS, You KH, Choo JK, Han YM, Yu K. Drosophila short neuropeptide F regulates
food intake and body size. J Biol Chem. 2004;279: 50781–50789. PMID: 15385546

15. Schoofs A, Hückesfeld S, Pankratz MJ. Serotonergic network in the subesophageal zone
modulates the motor pattern for food intake in Drosophila. J Insect Physiol. 2018;106:36–
46. PMID: 28735009

16. Youn H, Kirkhart C, Chia J, Scott K. A subset of octopaminergic neurons that promotes
feeding initiation in Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS One. 2018;13: e0198362.
PMID: 29949586

17. Inagaki HK, Ben-Tabou De-Leon S, Wong AM, Jagadish S, Ishimoto H, Barnea G, et al.
Visualizing neuromodulation in vivo: TANGO-mapping of dopamine signaling reveals
appetite control of sugar sensing. Cell. 2012;148: 583–95. PMID: 22304923

18. Bjordal M, Arquier N, Kniazeff J, Pin JP, Léopold P. Sensing of Amino Acids in a
Dopaminergic Circuitry Promotes Rejection of an Incomplete Diet in Drosophila. Cell.
2014;156: 510–521. PMID: 24485457

19. Sareen PF, McCurdy LY, Nitabach MN. A neuronal ensemble encoding adaptive choice
during sensory conflict in Drosophila. Nat Commun. 2021;12: 4131. PMID: 34226544

20. Ahima RS, Antwi DA. Brain Regulation of Appetite and Satiety. Endocrinol Metab Clin
North Am. 2008;37: 811–823.

21. Lin S, Senapati B, Tsao CH. Neural basis of hunger-driven behaviour in Drosophila. Open
Biol. 2019;9. PMID: 30914005

22. Almeida de Carvalho MJ, Mirth CK. Food intake and food choice are altered by the
developmental transition at critical weight in Drosophila melanogaster. Anim Behav.
2017;126: 195–208.

23. Wegman LJ, Ainsley JA, Johnson WA. Developmental timing of a sensory-mediated larval
surfacing behavior correlates with cessation of feeding and determination of final adult
size. Dev Biol. 2010;345: 170–179. PMID: 20630480

24. Zhang SL, Yu Y, Roos J, Kozak JA, Deerinck TJ, Ellisman MH, et al. STIM1 is a Ca2+
sensor that activates CRAC channels and migrates from the Ca2+ store to the plasma
membrane. Nature. 2005;437: 902–905. PMID: 16208375

25. Pathak T, Trivedi D, Hasan G. CRISPR-Cas-Induced Mutants Identify a Requirement for
dSTIM in Larval Dopaminergic Cells of *Drosophila melanogaster*. G3. 2017;7: 923–933.
PMID: 28131984

26. Doe CQ. Neural stem cells: balancing self-renewal with differentiation. Development.
2008;135: 1575–1587. PMID: 18356248

27. Spéder P, Brand AH. Systemic and local cues drive neural stem cell niche remodelling
during neurogenesis in Drosophila. Elife. 2018;7: 1–16. PMID: 29299997

28. Zielke N, Korzelius J, Straaten M Van, Bender K, Schuhknecht GFP, Dutta D, et al.
Resource Fly-FUCCI : A Versatile Tool for Studying Cell Proliferation in Complex Tissues.
Cell Rep. 2014;7: 588–598. PMID: 24726363

29. Britton JS, Edgar BA. Environmental control of the cell cycle in Drosophila: Nutrition
activates mitotic and endoreplicative cells by distinct mechanisms. Development.
1998;125: 2149–2158. PMID: 9570778

30. Sousa-Nunes R, Cheng LY, Gould AP. Regulating neural proliferation in the Drosophila
CNS. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2010;20: 50–57. PMID: 20079625

31. Friggi-Grelin F, Coulom H, Meller M, Gomez D, Hirsh J, Birman S. Targeted gene
expression in Drosophila dopaminergic cells using regulatory sequences from tyrosine
hydroxylase. J Neurobiol. 2003;54: 618–627. PMID: 12555273

32. Agrawal N, Venkiteswaran G, Sadaf S, Padmanabhan N, Banerjee S, Hasan G. Inositol
1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor and dSTIM function in Drosophila insulin-producing neurons
regulates systemic intracellular calcium homeostasis and flight. J Neurosci. 2010;30:
1301–1313. PMID: 20107057

33. Liu Q, Liu S, Kodama L, Driscoll MR, Wu MN. Two dopaminergic neurons signal to the
dorsal fan-shaped body to promote wakefulness in Drosophila. Curr Biol. 2012;22: 2114–
2123. PMID: 23022067

34. Sitaraman D, Zars M, LaFerriere H, Chen YC, Sable-Smith A, Kitamoto T, et al. Serotonin
is necessary for place memory in *Drosophila*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105: 5579–
5584 PMID: 18385379

35. Venkiteswaran G, Hasan G. Intracellular Ca2+ signaling and store-operated Ca2+ entry
are required in Drosophila neurons for flight. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106: 10326–
10331. PMID: 19515818

36. Nitabach MN, Blau J, Holmes TC. Electrical Silencing of Drosophila Pacemaker Neurons
Stops the Free-Running Circadian Clock An important area of circadian rhythm research
is the relationship between the function of the molecular clock in pacemaker neurons and
the central physiological. Cell. 2002; 109: 485–495. PMID: 12086605

37. Nitabach MN, Wu Y, Sheeba V, Lemon WC, Strumbos J, Zelensky PK, et al. Electrical
hyperexcitation of lateral ventral pacemaker neurons desynchronizes downstream
circadian oscillators in the fly circadian circuit and induces multiple behavioral periods. J
Neurosci. 2006;26: 479–489. PMID: 16407545

38. Nicolaï LJJ, Ramaekers A, Raemaekers T, Drozdzecki A, Mauss AS, Yan J, et al.
Genetically encoded dendritic marker sheds light on neuronal connectivity in Drosophila.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010;107: 20553–20558. PMID: 21059961

39. Sun F, Zeng J, Jing M, Zhou J, Feng J, Owen SF, et al. A Genetically Encoded
Fluorescent Sensor Enables Rapid and Specific Detection of Dopamine in Flies, Fish, and
Mice. Cell. 2018;174: 481-496.e19. PMID: 30007419

40. Luo D, Broad LM, Bird GSJ, Putney JW. Signaling Pathways Underlying Muscarinic
Receptor-induced [Ca 2+]i Oscillations in HEK293 Cells. J Biol Chem. 2001;276: 5613–
5621. PMID: 11096083

41. Sharma A, Hasan G. Modulation of flight and feeding behaviours requires presynaptic
IP3Rs in dopaminergic neurons. Elife. 2020;9: 1–25. PMID: 33155978

42. Oliva MK, Pérez-Moreno JJ, O'Shaughnessy J, Wardill TJ, O'Kane CJ. Endoplasmic
Reticulum Lumenal Indicators in Drosophila Reveal Effects of HSP-Related Mutations on
Endoplasmic Reticulum Calcium Dynamics. Front Neurosci. 2020;14: 1–10.
PMID: 32903680

43. Talay M, Richman EB, Snell NJ, Hartmann GG, Fisher JD, Sorkaç A, et al. Transsynaptic
Mapping of Second-Order Taste Neurons in Flies by trans-Tango. Neuron. 2017;96: 783-
795.e4. PMID: 29107518

44. Park D, Veenstra JA, Park JH, Taghert PH. Mapping peptidergic cells in Drosophila:
Where DIMM fits in. PLoS One. 2008;3(3). PMID: 18365028

45.   Nässel DR, Zandawala M. Recent advances in neuropeptide signaling in Drosophila, from
genes to physiology and behavior. Prog Neurobiol. Elsevier Ltd; 2019;179.
PMID: 30905728

46.   Klapoetke NC, Murata Y, Kim SS, Pulver SR, Birdsey-Benson A, Cho YK, et al.
Independent optical excitation of distinct neural populations. Nat Methods. 2014;11: 338–
346. PMID: 24509633

47.   Fenno L, Yizhar O, Deisseroth K. The Development and Application of Optogenetics.
Annu Rev Neurosci 2011;34: 389–412. PMID: 21692661

48.   Söderberg JAE, Carlsson MA, Nässel DR. Insulin-Producing Cells in the Drosophila Brain
also Express Satiety-Inducing Cholecystokinin-Like Peptide, Drosulfakinin. Front
Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2012;3. PMID: 22969751

49.   Hu Y, Comjean A, Perrimon N, Mohr SE. The Drosophila Gene Expression Tool (DGET)
for expression analyses. BMC Bioinformatics. 2017;18. PMID: 28187709

50.   Rulifson EJ, Kim SK, Nusse R. Ablation of Insulin-Producing Neurons in Flies: Growth
and Diabetic Phenotypes. Science. 2002;296:1118–1120. PMID: 1200413

51.   Rozenfeld E, Tauber M, Ben-Chaim Y, Parnas M. GPCR voltage dependence controls
neuronal plasticity and behavior. Nat Commun. 2021;12: 7252. PMID: 34903750

52.   Mitra R, Hasan G. Store-operated Ca2+ entry regulates neuronal gene expression and
function. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2022;73: 102520. PMID: 35220059

53.   Dhanya SK, Hasan G. Purkinje Neurons with Loss of STIM1 Exhibit Age-Dependent
Changes in Gene Expression and Synaptic Components. J Neurosci. 2022;41(17): 3777–
3798. PMID: 33737457

54.   Richhariya S, Jayakumar S, Abruzzi K, Rosbash M, Hasan G. A pupal transcriptomic
screen identifies Ral as a target of store-operated calcium entry in Drosophila neurons.
Sci Rep. 2017;7: 1–12. PMID: 28195208

55.   Tsao CH, Chen CC, Lin CH, Yang HY, Lin S. Drosophila mushroom bodies integrate
hunger and satiety signals to control innate food-seeking behavior. Elife. 2018;7: 1–35.
PMID: 29547121

56.   Wise RA. Role of brain dopamine in food reward and reinforcement. Philos Trans R Soc
Lond B: Biol Sci. 2006;361: 1149–1158. PMID: 16874930

57.   Szczypka MS, Rainey MA, Kim DS, Alaynick WA, Marck BT, Matsumoto AM, et al.
Feeding behavior in dopamine-deficient mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1999;96: 12138–
12143. PMID: 10518589

58.   Boekhoudt L, Roelofs TJM, De Jong JW, De Leeuw AE, Luijendijk MCM, Wolterink-
Donselaar IG, et al. Does activation of midbrain dopamine neurons promote or reduce
feeding? Int J Obes. 2017;41: 1131–1140. PMID: 28321131

59. Karam CS, Jones SK, Javitch JA. Come Fly with Me: An overview of dopamine receptors
in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol. 2020; 126: 56–65.
PMID: 31219669

60. Kleinridders A, Pothos EN. Impact of Brain Insulin Signaling on Dopamine Function, Food
Intake, Reward, and Emotional Behavior. Curr Nutr Rep. 2019;8: 83–91. PMID: 3100179

61. Fiory F, Perruolo G, Cimmino I, Cabaro S, Pignalosa FC, Miele C, et al. The Relevance
of Insulin Action in the Dopaminergic System. Front Neurosci. 2019;13. PMID: 31474827

62. Tavares G, Martins FatimaO, Melo BernardeteF, Matafome P, Conde Silvia v. Peripheral
Dopamine Directly Acts on Insulin-Sensitive Tissues to Regulate Insulin Signaling and
Metabolic Function. Front Pharmacol. 2021;12. PMID: 34566639

63. Stouffer MA, Woods CA, Patel JC, Lee CR, Witkovsky P, Bao L, et al. Insulin enhances
striatal dopamine release by activating cholinergic interneurons and thereby signals
reward. Nat Commun. 2015;6: 8543. PMID: 26503322

64. Labouèbe G, Liu S, Dias C, Zou H, Wong JCY, Karunakaran S, et al. Insulin induces long-
term depression of ventral tegmental area dopamine neurons via endocannabinoids. Nat
Neurosci. 2013;16: 300–308. PMID: 23354329

65. Li Y, Ren L, Fu H, Yang B, Tian J, Li Q, et al. Crosstalk between dopamine and insulin
signaling in growth control of the oyster. Gen Comp Endocrinol. 2021;313: 113895.
PMID: 34480943

66. Nash AI. Crosstalk between insulin and dopamine signaling: A basis for the metabolic
effects of antipsychotic drugs. J Chem Neuroanat. 2017;83–84: 59–68. PMID: 27480675

67. Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Baler RD. Reward, dopamine and the control of food intake:
Implications for obesity. Trends Cogn Sci. 2011;15: 37–46. PMID: 21109477

68. Wang GJ, Geliebter A, Volkow ND, Telang FW, Logan J, Jayne MC, et al. Enhanced
striatal dopamine release during food stimulation in binge eating disorder. Obesity (Silver
Spring) 2011;8: 1601–1608. PMID: 21350434

69. Joshi R, Venkatesh K, Srinivas R, Nair S, Hasan G. Genetic Dissection of *itpr* Gene
Function Reveals a Vital Requirement in Aminergic Cells of Drosophila Larvae. Genetics.
2004;166: 225–236. PMID: 15020420

70. Pathak T, Agrawal T, Richhariya S, Sadaf X, Hasan XG. Store-Operated Calcium Entry
through Orai Is Required for Transcriptional Maturation of the Flight Circuit in Drosophila.
2015;35: 13784–13799. PMID: 26446229

71. Ashburner, M. (1989) Drosophila. A Laboratory Hand- book. Cold Spring Harbor
Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor.

Figure 1:*STIM^{KO}* larvae exhibit reduced feeding followed by systemic growth arrest.

(A-C) Number of 1st instar (L1), 2nd instar (L2) and 3rd instar (L3) larvae from *CS* (grey) and
STIM^{KO} (red) measured at 6h intervals after egg laying (AEL) at the specified time
points (mean ± SEM). Number of sets (N) = 3, number of larvae per replicate (n) = 10.

(D) Representative images of larvae from *CS* and *STIM^{KO}* at the indicated time. Scale
bar = 1mm

(E) Representative images of thoracic neuroblasts marked with *Insc>mCD8GFP* (green), a
neuroblast marker (anti-Deadpan, red) and a marker for post-mitotic cells (anti-Prospero,
blue) from control and $STIM^{KO}$ animals at the indicated ages. Similar images were
obtained from four or more animals. Scale bar = 20µm.
(F) Diagrammatic summary of neuroblast proliferation in control and $STIM^{KO}$ animals.
(G) Stack bar graph showing number of dividing neuroblasts to non-dividing neuroblasts.
*$P < 0.05$, Student's *t*-test with unequal variances, n = 4 animals from each genotype.
(H) Representative images of dye-fed larvae from *CS* and $STIM^{KO}$ at the indicated times
AEL, scale bar = 200µm.
(I) Quantification (mean ± SEM) of ingested blue dye in *CS* and $STIM^{KO}$ larvae at the
indicated ages by normalizing optical density (OD) of the dye at 655nm to concentration
of protein. Number of feeding plates per time point (N) = 6, number of larvae per plate
(n) =10. *$P < 0.05$, Student's *t*-test with unequal variances.
(J) Bar graph showing average number of dye-fed *CS* and $STIM^{KO}$ larvae at the indicated
ages (mean ± SEM). Number of feeding plates per time point (N) = 3, number of larvae
per plate (n) = 30. *$P < 0.05$, Student's *t*-test with unequal variances.

Figure 2 : Feeding and growth deficits of *STIM^{KO}* larvae arise from central dopaminergic

neurons

(A) Number (mean ± SEM) of 3rd instar larvae (L3) are restored close to wildtype (CS) levels

by expression of *STIM^+* in *THD'* cells of *STIM^{KO}* larvae (rescue). Larvae were monitored

at 6h intervals from 66h to 96h AEL. Number of sets (N) = 3, number of larvae per set

(n) = 10.

(B) Stack bars with the number of adults (mean ± SEM) that eclosed at 320 to 326h AEL
from the indicated genotypes. The genotype of rescue larvae is *STIM^{KO}; THD'>STIM$^+$*.
Number of sets (N) = 3, number of organisms per set (n)=25.

(C) Representative confocal images of the larval brain from animals of the genotype
THD'>mCD8GFP. Anti-GFP (green) indicates the expression of *THD'GAL4* and anti-TH
(red) marks all dopaminergic cells. Asterisks mark TH^{+ve} cells in CNS (i, ii and iii, a and
b marks 3 cells of DL1 and 2 cells of DL2 cluster respectively) whereas arrowheads
mark non-TH positive cells in ventral ganglia of larval brain (i and ii). Scale bar = 20µm.

(D) Representative confocal images of the larval brain from animals of the genotype
THGAL80,THD'>mCD8GFP. *THD'GAL4* driven GFP expression (green) is suppressed
in the CNS (asterisks) by *THGAL80* but not in the ventral ganglia (arrowheads). Scale
bar = 20µm.

(E) Line graph shows the number (mean ± SEM) of 3rd instar larvae from *CS, STIM^{KO},*
STIM^{KO};THD>STIM$^+$ and STIM^{KO};THGAL80,THD'>STIM$^+$ at 6h intervals between 60 to
96h AEL. Number of sets (N) = 3, number of larvae per set (n) = 10. **$P < 0.01$, Student's
t-test with unequal variances.

(F) Stack bar graph showing the number of adults eclosed (mean ± SEM) at 320 to 326h
AEL from *CS (*wildtype*), STIM^{KO}* (mutant), *STIM^{KO};THD'>STIM$^+$* (rescue) and
STIM^{KO};THGAL80,THD'>STIM$^+$ (THGAL80 - restrictive rescue) genotypes. Number of
sets (N) = 3, number of organisms per set (n) = 25.

(G) Representative images of dye-fed larvae of *CS, STIM^{KO}* and rescue (*STIM^{KO};*
THD'>STIM$^+$) genotypes at 40-44h, 58-62h and 80-84h AEL Scale bar = 200µm.

(H) Bar graph with quantification of ingested food containing a blue dye in larvae of the
indicated genotypes (*CS, STIM^{KO}* and rescue) at the indicated developmental times.
Mean (mean ± SEM) optical density (655nM) of blue dye in larval lysates after
normalizing to larval protein concentration (OD/Protein concentration X 10^{-2}) was
obtained from 6 feeding plates (N) each containing 10 larvae (n). *$P<0.05$, Student's *t*-
test with unequal variances

(I) Number of 3r^{d} instar larvae (mean ± SEM) from RNAi knockdown of STIM$^+$ in THD'
neurons (*THD'>dsSTIM*) along with control genotypes *THD'/+* and *dsSTIM/+* at 6h
intervals between 66h to 96h AEL (After Egg laying); Number of sets (N) = 3, number of
larvae per set (n) = 10.

(J) Representative images of dye-fed larvae of the indicated genotypes at 58-62h and 82-
86h AEL. Scale bar = 200µm.

(K) Quantification (mean ± SEM) of blue dye containing ingested food (OD/Protein
concentration X 10^{-2}; similar to panel D above) in larvae of the indicated genotypes at

58-62h and 82-86h AEL. No. of plates for each time point, (N) = 3, number of larvae per

plate (n) = 10. *$P<0.05$, Student's *t*-test with unequal variances.

Figure 3: **The maintenance of excitability of THD' neurons is important for larval growth.**

(A)   Representative images of the central brain (left panels) indicating the region of focus

865   (boxed), followed by images of THD' cells with Ca^{2+} transients at the indicated time points

866   before and after addition of a depolarizing agent (KCl – 70mM). Ca^{2+} transients were

867   measured by changes in the intensity of GCaMP6m fluorescence in the indicated

868   genotypes. The rescue genotype is *$STIM^{KO}$;THD'>STIM*. Scale bar 20μm

869   **(B)**   Changes in GCaMP6m fluorescence (mean ± SEM of ΔF/F) from THD' neurons of the

870   indicated genotypes. Number of brains, (N) $\geq$ 5, number of cells, (n) $\geq$ 15.

(C)   Peak intensities of GCaMP6m fluorescence (ΔF) in THD' cells from the indicated

genotypes. Box plots show 25th and 75th percentiles, the median (bar), and mean

(square) of ΔF of each cell (small circles).

(D)   Number of 3rd instar larvae of *CS, STIM^{KO}* and *STIM^{KO};THD'>NaChBac* genotypes at the

indicated times AEL (mean ± SEM). Number of sets (N) = 3, number of larvae per set (n)

= 15.

(E)   Representative images of larvae from *CS, STIM^{KO}* and *STIM^{KO}; THD'>NaChBac* at 76-

80h AEL, scale bar = 1mm.

(F)   Quantification of larval length from the indicated genotypes. Box plots show the 25th and

75th percentiles with median (bar), mean (square) and sizes of individual larvae (circles),

n=10.

(G)   Number of adults eclosed at 320h AEL (mean ±_SEM) from wildtype (*CS),* mutant

(*STIM^{KO}*) and NaChBac rescue (*STIM^{KO}; THD'>NaChBac*) animals*.* Numbers were

obtained from three experiments (N = 3), number of organisms per experiment, n = 15.

     Figure 4: STIM is necessary for dopamine release and ER-Ca²⁺ homeostasis

(A-D) Axonal and dendritic projections of THD' neurons visualized by expression of SyteGFP

(green) and Denmark (anti-RFP) respectively in a representative brain immunostained

for anti-Brp (blue). Panels marked as (d) contain magnified images from (c) of

presynaptic terminals (green) located at the centre (asterisk), as branches extruding from

the centre (arrowhead) and the brain-gut interaction region (hash) of the CNS.

(E)   Representative images of dopamine release before and after addition of Carbachol
915   (CCh) as measured by changes in intensity of $GRAB_{DA}$ at the presynaptic terminals of
916   THD' neurons in the indicated genotypes. Scale bar = 20mm

917   **(F)**   Normalized changes in fluorescence (ΔF/F) of $GRAB_{DA}$ measuring dopamine release
918   from THD' neurons of the indicated genotypes. Traces show the average change in
919              $GRAB_{DA}$ florescence (mean ± SEM of (ΔF/F)) measured from individual presynaptic
920   regions of interest ($\geq$ 10) taken from N $\geq$ 6 brains of each genotype.

921   **(G)**   Representative time series images of ER calcium transients as measured by changes in
922   intensity of ER-GCaMP in THD' neurons of the indicated genotypes.

923   **(H)**   i) Traces of normalized ER-GCaMP (ΔF/F) responses (mean ± SEM) from THD' neurons
924   of the indicated genotypes. Control (*THD'>ER-GCaMP-210*) Type 1, indicates cells that
925   exhibit ER-Ca^{2+} release upon CCh addition followed by refilling of ER-stores. Control
926   Type 2   indicates cells where ER-Ca^{2+} release was not evident. Rescue indicates
927   *STIM^{KO}*; *THD'>STIM$^+$*. For each genotype, number of cells (n) $\geq$ 15 and N $\geq$ 5 brains. (ii)
928   Quantification of control cells that exhibit ER Ca^{2+} release (Type 1) and cells where ER
929   Ca^{2+} release was not observed (Type 2). (iii) Boxed region from (i) enlarged to show ER
930   Ca^{2+} response of Type 1 and Type 2 control cells.

 Figure 5: Differential regulation of neuropeptidergic cell activity by THD' neurons

(A) Mapping of neurons that are trans-synaptic to THD' with *THD'>transTANGO* (red) in the larval brain. THD' cells are marked with mGFP (green). The boxed region (left) is shown enlarged on the right. Scale bars = 20μm.

(B) Peptidergic neurons (green) marked by *C929LexA>LexAopGFP* in the larval brain. The
boxed region (left) is shown enlarged on the right. Note similarity to some red cells in
A (arrowheads). Scale bars = 20µm

(C) Pictorial representation of an experimental setup for testing functional connectivity of
dopaminergic (THD') and peptidergic (C929) cells in organisms of the genotype
THD'>Chrimson, C929LexA>LexAopGCaMP6m. The lower panel shows the
peptidergic neurons containing regions in which change in Ca^{2+} transients were
observed upon activation of THD' cells.

(D) Ca^{2+} transients in peptidergic Median Neurosecretory cells (MNSc, top), lateral
neurosecretory cells (LNSc, middle) and suboesophageal region (SOG, bottom) as
measured by the intensity of GCaMP6m before Chrimson activation (100s) and after
Chrimson activation (300s and 400s) of THD' cells. Activated cells (red asterisks) and
inhibited cells (yellow asterisks) are marked. Scale bar = 20um.

(E) Quantification of total C929 marked cells from 9 brains that were either optogenetically
activated or inhibited.

(F) Average GCaMP6m responses (mean ± SEM of ∆F/F) across time in C929 marked
cells that were activated upon Chrimson activation of THD' neurons at 633nm. The box
plot shows 25th and 75th percentiles of peak responses measured by change in
GCaMP6m of individual activated peptidergic cells (circles), with the median (bar), and
mean (square). n = 24 cells from (N) = 9 brains

(G) Average GCaMP6m responses (mean ± SEM of ∆F/F) across time in C929 marked
cells that were inhibited upon Chrimson activation of THD' neurons at 633nm. The box
plot shows 25th and 75th percentiles of peak responses measured by change in
GCaMP6m of individual inhibited peptidergic cells (circles), with the median (bar), and
mean (square). n = 40 cells from (N) = 9

Figure 6: Developmental expression of insulin-like peptide 3 (ilp3) is regulated by STIM in THD' neurons

(A) FPKM values obtained for transcripts encoding the indicated insulin like peptides (*ilp*) obtained from an RNAseq experiment performed from *CS* brains (58-62h, mid 2nd instar stage) and *STIM^{KO}* brains (72-76h, mid 2nd instar stage).

(B) Quantification of *ilp2, ilp3* and *ilp5* transcripts in *STIM^{KO}* brains (pink bar). Expression

changes of *ilp3* and *ilp5* transcripts in *STIM^{KO}* brains were rescued upon over-

expression of *STIM$^+$* in THD' neurons (green bar). Transcripts were measured relative

to controls (grey bar). Data show (mean ± SEM) of fold changes of gene expression

(N=3).

(C) Heatmap of *ilp3* expression from larval to pupal stages. FPKM values were obtained

from the DGET database https://www.flyrnai.org/tools/dget/web/).

(D) Stack bar graph showing the number of viable organisms (mean ± SEM) and their

developmental stage at the specified hours after egg laying for the indicated genotypes.

Number of sets (N) = 3, number of larvae per set (n) = 10

(E) Number of 3rd instar larvae of *CS, STIM^{KO}*; *MNScGAL4, STIM^{KO};UASdsilp3* and

STIM^{KO};MNSc>dsilp3 genotypes at the indicated times AEL (mean ± SEM). Number of

sets (N) = 3, number of larvae per set (n) = 10.

(F) Representative images of larvae from *CS* and *STIM^{KO};MNSc>dsilp3* at 118-122h AEL,

scale bar = 1mm.

(G) Quantification of larval length from the indicated genotypes. Box plots show the 25th and

75th percentiles with median (bar), mean (square) and sizes of individual larvae (circles),

n=10.

(H) Representative images of larvae from controls (*MNSc/+* and *ilp3$^+$/+*) and with over-

expression of ilp3 (*MNSc>ilp3$^+$*) at 94-98h AEL, scale bar = 1mm.

(I) Quantification of larval length from the indicated genotypes. Box plots show the 25th

and 75th percentiles with median (bar), mean (square) and sizes of individual larvae

(circles), n $\geq$24.

(J) Number of 2nd instar larvae obtained from the genotypes *MNSc/+, ilp3$^+$/+* (controls)

and MNSc>ilp3$^+$ at the indicated time AEL (mean $\pm$ SEM). N = 3 and n = 10.

(K) Representative images of pupae from controls (*MNSc/+, dsilp3/+*) and with knock-down

of ilp3 with an RNAi (*MNSc> dsilp3*) at 144-156h AEL, scale bar = 1mm.

(L) Quantification of pupal volume from the indicated genotypes. Box plots show the 25th

and 75th percentiles with median (bar), mean (square) and sizes of individual pupa

(circles), n=30

(M) Number of 3rd instar larvae of controls (*MNSc/+ and dsilp3/+,* and with knockdown of

ilp3 (*MNSc>dsilp3*) at the indicated times AEL (mean $\pm$ SEM). Number of sets (N) = 3

and number of larvae (n) = 10.

1031

1032

1033

1034

Supplementary Table 1: FPKM values of selected neuropeptides

Gene name	CS	STIM^{KO}	Log2fold	p-value
Ilp2	278.6493	60.52423	-2.207	0.0598
Ilp3	2.516758	30.66396	+3.418	0.0032
Ilp5	130.6938	7.366336	-4.166	0.0024
Ilp6	28.88770	17.25519	-0.755	0.4784
Ilp7	75.89015	54.04743	-0.481	0.6072
CCHa1	52.93767	173.7131	+1.686	0.0446
LK	462.6413	1354.287	+1.546	0.0618
Sifa	116.1298	44.68951	-1.377	0.0232
AstA	53.96644	93.98807	+0.831	0.1853
PDF	98.60125	92.96726	-0.071	0.9157
CCHa2	2.113158	7.342901	+1.599	0.1952
Lst	7.543376	3.688641	+0.864	0.5004
Akh	145.5645	333.8186	+1.190	0.1600
Crz	332.7732	576.2431	+0.787	0.2208
sNPF	2867.630	5488.131	+0.936	0.1941
DH44	301.0334	333.9668	+0.149	0.7875
DSk	53.60334	36.63676	+0.547	0.6110
PTTH	1.878419	1.306375	-0.733	0.6971
FMRFa	206.0697	294.7297	-0.515	0.4000
ITP	23.63023	32.28403	+0.458	0.5496
Rya	0.846811	1.815414	+2.264	0.3660
GPA2	99.54322	183.6279	+0.887	0.3712

 Supplementary figure legends:

1049 **Supplementary figure 1:**

1050
1051

(A) Number of viable organisms (mean ± SEM) at the indicated developmental stage after
egg laying. Number of sets (N) = 3, number of organisms per set (n) = 25.

(B) Confocal images of larval brains at the indicated time points expressing the FUCCI
marker. Control genotype is *Insc>FUCCI* (top row) and the mutant genotype is *STIM^KO*;
Insc>FUCCI (bottom row). Here late mitosis/G1 phase, S-phase and G2/early mitosis
are marked by green, red, and yellow fluorescent indicators respectively. Scale bar =
20mm; n = 5 larval brains.

(C) Representative image of dye-fed larvae from *CS* and *STIM^KO* at 80-84h AEL.

1060

1061 **Supplementary figure 2:**

1062

(A) Stack bar graph showing the number of viable organisms (mean ± SEM) and their
developmental stage at the specified hours after egg laying for the indicated genotypes.
Coloured arrows mark bars that exhibit rescue of *STIM^KO* upon expression of STIM^+
driven by *THGAL4* (dark green)*, THC'GAL4* (blue)*, THD'GAL4* (green) and restricted
rescue in presence *of THGAL80* (red). Number of sets (N) = 3, number of organisms per
set (n) = 25.

Supplementary figure 3:

(A) Representative confocal images of larval brains showing THD' neurons marked with anti-GFP (green) and anti-TH (red) from control (*THD'>mCD8GFP*), *STIM^KO* (*STIM^KO*; *THD'>mCD8GFP*) and rescue (*STIM^KO;THD'>mCD8GFP, STIM⁺*) animals at the indicated developmental time points. Scale bars = 20μm.

(B) Ratio of TH positive cells (red) to THD' cells (green) in the larval CNS of the indicated genotypes. n ≥ 3 brains.

(C) Representative images of the central brain (left panels) indicating the region of focus (boxed), followed by images of THD' cells with Ca^{2+} transients at the indicated time points after addition of a depolarizing agent (KCl, 70mM). Ca^{2+} transients were measured in the indicated genotypes by measuring changes in the intensity of GCaMP6m fluorescence. Scale bar = 20µm

(D) Quantified changes in GCaMP6m fluorescence (mean ± SEM of ΔF/F) from THD' neurons of the indicated genotypes. Number of brains, (N) ≥ 6, number of cells, (n) ≥11.

(E) Peak intensities of GCaMP6m fluorescence (ΔF) in THD' cells from the indicated genotypes. Box plots show 25th and 75th percentiles, the median (bar), and mean (square) of ΔF of each cell (small circles).

Supplementary figure 4:

(A) Altered excitability in THD' neurons by expression of either a mammalian inward rectifying potassium channel (Kir2.1) or **(B)** a bacterial sodium channel affects larval

1098		developmental progression. Stack bar graphs show the number of viable organisms
1099		(mean ± SEM) and their developmental stage at the indicated hours after egg laying (top)
1100		for the indicated genotypes. Number of sets (N) = 3, number of organisms per set (n) =
1101		25.
1102	**(C)**	Representative images of the central brain (left panels) indicating the region of focus
1103		(boxed), followed by images of THD' cells with Ca^{2+} transients at the indicated time points
1104		after addition of mAChR agonist (CCh 100μM). Ca^{2+} transients were measured in the
1105		indicated genotype by measuring the changes in the intensity of GCaMP6m
1106		fluorescence. Scale bar = 20μm
1107	**(D)**	Quantified changes in GCaMP6m fluorescence (mean ± SEM of ΔF/F) from THD'
1108		neurons of the indicated genotypes. Number of brains, (N) ≥ 4, number of cells, (n) ≥12.
1109		
1110		**Supplementary figure 5:**

1111		
1112	**(A)**	Pulsed activation of THD' neurons produce corresponding pulses of activation in certain
1113		peptidergic neurons as evident from their GCaMP6m responses (mean ± SEM). Red
1114		panels indicate the time intervals (50s each) of *THD'>Chrimson* activation. n = 21 cells
1115		from N = 11 brains.

(B) Pulsed activation of THD' neurons produce corresponding pulses of inhibition in certain
peptidergic neurons as evident from their GCaMP6m responses (mean ± SEM). Red
panels indicate the time intervals (50s each) of *THD'>Chrimson* activation. n = 19 cells
from N = 9 brains.

(C) GCaMP6m responses (mean ± SEM) are absent in C929 cells in the absence of red light
and in larvae that were not fed with All-trans Retinol (ATR). n = 50 cells from N=9 brains.

(D) Stack bar graph showing the number of viable organisms and their developmental stage
at the specified hours after egg laying for the indicated genotypes. Number of organisms
per genotype = 30.

1125

1126 **Supplementary figure 6:**

1127

(A) Number of adults eclosed at 320h AEL (mean ± SEM) from *CS, STIM^{KO}; MNSc GAL4,*
STIM^{KO};UASdsilp3 and *STIM^{KO};MNSc>dsilp3* animals. Numbers were obtained from
three experiments (N = 3), number of organisms per experiment, n = 10

(B) Representative image of *STIM^{KO};MNSc>dsilp3* rescued male at 320-326h AEL

(C) Stack bar graph showing the number of viable organisms (mean ± SEM) and their
developmental stage at the specified hours after egg laying for the indicated genotypes.

Numbers were obtained from three experiments (N = 3), number of organisms per

experiment, n = 25

1136
1137

1138