1

OCA-B/Pou2af1 is sufficient to promote CD4+ T cell memory and prospectively

identifies memory precursors

Wenxiang Sun[1,2,†], Erik P. Hughes[1,2,†], Heejoo Kim[1,2,†,Ψ], Jelena Perovanovic[1,2,§], Krystal R. Charley[1,2],

Bryant Perkins[1], Junhong Du[1,2], Andrea Ibarra[1,2], Amber R. Syage[1,2], J. Scott Hale[1], Matthew A.

Williams[1,2], Dean Tantin[1,2,3,*]

[1]Department of Pathology, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, U.S.A.
[2]Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, U.S.A.
[3]Lead contact

[*]Correspondence author: Dean Tantin
Email: dean.tantin@path.utah.edu

Author contributions:

J.S.H, M.A.W., D.T. designed research; W.S., E.P.H., H.K., K.R.C., B.P., J.D., A.I. performed

research; J.S.H., M.A.W. contributed research tools; W.S., E.P.H., H.K., J.P., K.R.C., B.P., A.R.S

analyzed data; W.S., E.P.H., H.K., J.P., K.R.C., J.D., A.I., J.S.H., M.A.W., D.T. wrote the manuscript.

Competing interest statement: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Classification: Immunology

Keywords: Immunological memory │ CD4 T cells │ Pou2af1/OCA-B

[†]Equal contributors

[Ψ]Current address: Janssen Research & Development, LLC., Neuroimmunology Drug Discovery, 3210

Merryfield Row, San Diego, CA 92121.

[§]Current address: Recursion Pharmaceuticals, 41 400 W, Salt Lake City, UT 84101

Words in abstract: 152
Words in MS (excluding references): 6,853

Abstract

The molecular mechanisms leading to the establishment of immunological memory are inadequately understood, limiting the development of effective vaccines and durable anti-tumor immune therapies. Here we show that ectopic OCA-B expression is sufficient to improve antiviral memory recall responses, while having minimal effects on primary effector responses. At peak viral response short lived effector T cell populations are expanded but show increased *Gadd45b* and *Socs2* expression, while memory precursor effector cells show increased expression of *Bcl2*, *Il7r* and *Tcf7* on a per-cell basis. Using an OCA-B mCherry reporter mouse line, we observe high OCA-B expression in CD4$^+$ T$_{CM}$ cells. We show that early in viral infection, endogenously elevated OCA-B expression prospectively identifies memory precursor cells with increased survival capability and memory recall potential. Cumulatively, the results demonstrate that OCA-B is both necessary and sufficient to promote CD4 T cell memory in vivo and can be used to prospectively identify memory precursor cells.

Significance

CD4$^+$ T cell memory is incompletely defined and memory progenitors difficult to identify. Here, we show that expression of the OCA-B transcription coactivator in CD4$^+$ T cells is necessary and sufficient to drive productive memory. Using a novel mCherry reporter mouse line, we show that OCA-B expression enriches for responding effector cells with elevated memory potential. The results show that OCA-B expression in T cells is sufficient to promote CD4$^+$ memory formation and marks memory precursor cells.

Introduction

The ability to respond robustly to secondary antigen exposures (immune memory) is a defining feature of the adaptive immune system and forms the physiologic basis for vaccination (1). Immune memory can also drive autoimmune and antitumor responses (2-5). Strategies that promote memory have the potential to improve both vaccine efficacy and tumor immunotherapy. Central memory T cells (T_{CM}), which home to secondary lymphoid organs, are particularly long lived and demonstrate high proliferative capacity upon antigen reencounter.

Multiple transcription factors and epigenetic regulators are known to modulate T_{CM} formation or function, including Id3 (6), Blimp1 (7-9), Suv39h1 (10), T-bet (11, 12), Foxo1 (13, 14), Bcl6 (15, 16), Eomes (17) and TCF1 (18). Many of these factors regulate memory more clearly in CD8$^+$ compared to CD4$^+$ T cells. In CD4$^+$ T cells, the lymphocyte-restricted transcriptional coregulator OCA-B (also known as OBF-1 and Pou2af1) is induced upon T cell antigen stimulation (19, 20). T cells from germline OCA-B deficient mice mount normal primary T cell responses to lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) but show attenuated CD4$^+$ memory T cell formation and recall response (21). Elevated *Ocab* (*Pou2af1*) mRNA levels are seen in CD4$^+$CD25^{lo} early effector cells that are enriched for memory potential (22). OCA-B is also associated with autoimmunity (23-26).

Here, we show that OCA-B deletion in T cells selectively reduces CD4$^+$ T cell memory potential. We show that ectopic OCA-B expression minimally affects peak CD4$^+$ T cell responses to LCMV, but is sufficient to expand antigen recall responses at the expense of empty vector (EV)-transduced controls. mRNA expression profiling of effector cells ectopically expressing OCA-B reveals gene expression changes associated with effector and memory properties, signaling and homing. These include global increases in *Socs2* and *Gadd45b*, expansion of short-lived terminal effector T cell populations, and increases in *Tcf7* and *Il7r* in specific memory precursor populations. Using an OCA-B reporter mouse, we show that elevated levels of endogenous OCA-B in effector T cells during the primary response prospectively identifies CD4$^+$ T effector cells with enhanced survival and expansion capability.

Results and Discussion

OCA-B in T cells is required for robust CD4$^+$ T cells memory recall response

We used a conditional *Ocab* (*Pou2af1*) mouse allele crossed to a CD4-Cre driver, which efficiently deletes OCA-B in T cells (26), to study effects of T cell-specific OCA-B loss. We infected *Ocab$^{fl/fl}$*;CD4-Cre and control *Ocab$^{fl/fl}$* mice with LCMV^{Arm} and measured specific T cell responses using I-A^b/gp$_{66–77}$ tetramer staining. No significant differences in the frequency of CD4$^+$ I-A^b/gp$_{66–77}$$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells were observed at 8 days post-primary infection (dpi, Fig. S1*A-B*, D8). Rechallenging these mice with *Listeria monocytogenes* expressing the LCMV glycoprotein epitope (Lm-gp61) (27) elicited a trending but nonsignificant decrease in the frequency of cells undergoing memory recall response in

Ocab^{fl/fl};CD4-Cre mice (Fig. S1*A-B*, D40+7). The responding cells did however show a significant

decrease in IFNγ production (Fig. S1*C-D*). The same cells showed minimal changes in ICOS, PD-1,

Ly6C or CD62L (Fig. S1*E*). These results indicate that infection of T cell-conditional OCA-B knockout

mice with LCMV results in deficiencies in IFNγ expression during memory recall responses.

The relatively small reductions in frequencies of tetramer$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells at recall response may

reflect selection for a small percentage of cells escaping OCA-B deletion. This selection can be

circumvented using bone marrow chimeras in which conditional deficient or control cells are competed

against wild-type T cells in the same mice. We generated bone marrow chimeras in which wild-type

donors were co-engrafted with congenically-marked *Ocab^{fl/fl}*;CD4-Cre or control *Ocab^{fl/fl}* cells in the

same recipients. After 8 weeks of engraftment, recipient mice were infected with LCMV. The ratio of

antigen-reactive *Ocab^{fl/fl}* control effector CD4$^+$ T cells to wild-type competitor was close to 1:1 at 8 dpi.

OCA-B deficient (*Ocab^{fl/fl}*;CD4-Cre) cells were slightly reduced relative to wild-type competitor (Fig.

S1*F*). To investigate the effects of T cell-specific OCA-B loss on memory recall responses, we

rechallenged mice with Lm-gp61. Following rechallenge, the control to wild-type cell ratio remained

close to 1:1 at both primary and memory recall response, while wild-type competitor cells were >8-fold

increased at the expense of OCA-B deficient cells specifically at recall (Fig. S1*F-G*). These findings

indicate that, as with T cell-conditional Oct1 deficiency and global OCA-B deficiency (21), T cell-

conditional OCA-B deficiency results in severe deficiencies in CD4$^+$ T cell memory recall responses.

Ectopic OCA-B expression is sufficient to enhance CD4$^+$ T cell memory responses

To study the effect of forced OCA-B expression, we primed Ly5.1$^+$/5.2$^+$ (CD45.1$^+$45.2$^+$) SMARTA TCR

transgenic donor mice with GP$_{61-80}$ peptide and transduced isolated splenic CD4$^+$ T cells ex vivo with

a retroviral vector expressing mouse OCA-B and GFP. In parallel, Ly5.1$^+$/5.1$^+$ control SMARTA cells

were transduced with GFP-expressing EV. SMARTA mice express a transgenic TCR recognizing an

immunodominant LCMV glycoprotein (GP$_{61-80}$) CD4$^+$ epitope (28). GFP$^+$ SMARTA cells expressing

OCA-B and EV controls were sorted, mixed 1:1 and adoptively co-transferred into Ly5.2$^+$/5.2$^+$

recipients (Fig. 1*A*). Efficient OCA-B expression was confirmed in primary CD4$^+$ T cells (Fig. 1*B*). After

transfer, recipient mice were infected with LCMV and the cell populations were detected by flow

cytometry using congenic markers from the donor T cells and GFP expressed from the viral vector. At

8 dpi, splenic effector EV and OCA-B transduced cells expanded equivalently (Fig. 1*C* and D).

Another group of mice were infected with LCMV and allowed to clear the virus and form memory.

Recall responses were induced by re-challenge using Lm-gp61. At static memory timepoints (40 dpi)

slight but statistically nonsignificant skewing towards the OCA-B population was noted, however at

peak T cell response to rechallenge (40+7 dpi), ~50-fold more OCA-B overexpressing SMARTA cells

were present (Fig. 1*C-E* and Fig. S2*A*). Although memory recall potential was improved, increases in

Tbet and Granzyme B (Gzmb), and decreases in CXCR5, Bcl6 and TCF1 at 8 dpi were consistent

with an increased Th1 phenotype in OCA-B transduced cells (Fig. 1*F*, *G* and Fig. S2*B*). No significant

differences in IFNγ and Ki-67 were observed at 8 dpi (Fig. 1*H* and *I*). Additionally, IL-7R MFI was

significantly decreased in OCA-B expressing cells although cells with strong expression could still be

identified (Fig. S2*C*). The ability of ectopic OCA-B to promote memory recall responses was also

observed at longer timepoints in both spleen as well as peripheral blood (Fig. J and K and Fig. S2*D*).

These results indicate that OCA-B expression enhances the ability of CD4^{+} T cells to induce memory

responses.

To investigate if ectopic OCA-B expression induces gene expression changes in effector cells

associated with improved memory recall, we profiled gene expression using splenic SMARTA T cells

at 8 dpi. CD4^{+} cells were first isolated by magnetic isolation, then congenically marked GFP^{+} cells

were further isolated by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). We identified ~600 down-

regulated genes and ~200 up-regulated genes (Table S1). Unsupervised hierarchical clustering

identified differentially expressed gene clusters (Fig. 2*A*). Down-regulated genes included *Il7r* and

Bcl6, consistent with the flow cytometry findings at this timepoint. The top up-regulated gene,

Pou2af1, encodes OCA-B, providing a quality control. Other up-regulated genes were associated with

effector activity (*Tbx21*, *Zeb2*), exhaustion (*Ikzf2/Helios*) and attenuation of T cell signaling and

proliferation (*Socs2*, *Gadd45b*). *Gadd45b* promotes autoimmune disease and anti-tumor immune

responses (29-32). Example genome tracks are shown in Fig. 2*B*. Gene ontology (GO) analysis of the

up-regulated genes identified terms associated with H3K9me3 regulation. Down-regulated genes by

contrast were strongly associated with H3K27me3 in CD4^{+} and CD8^{+} memory T cells (Fig. 2*C*). ChIP-

X Enrichment Analysis (ChEA) (33) identifies Tp53 and Smc3 as factors that also regulate the gene

set induced by OCA-B ectopic expression (Fig. 2*D*). p53 and SMC3 may therefore also control

expression of these genes or could themselves be controlled by OCA-B to regulate gene expression.

Sets of significant Molecular Function, Epigenomic Roadmap and ChEA GO terms are shown in Table

S2.

Effector CD4^{+} T cell populations are heterogeneous, with memory progenitor cells comprising

a small proportion of the effector T cell population (12, 22, 34). In bulk RNA-seq, increased expression

of genes that promote memory in rare memory progenitors may therefore be obscured by decreases

in larger populations of terminal effectors. To test this, we performed single-cell RNA-sequencing

(scRNA-seq) at D8 post-LCMV infection. Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP)

using EV-transduced cells revealed a variety of populations (Fig. 3*A*, Table S3). Clusters 1 and 4

were enriched for genes such as *Id3*, *Ccr7*, *Bcl2*, *Slamf6* and *Tcf7* (both clusters), *Tox* (cluster 1), and

Cxcr5, *Il7r*, *Icos* and *Cd69* (cluster 4, Table S3). These clusters likely contain memory precursor

effectors. Clusters 0, 2 and 6 by contrast were enriched in *Ccl5*, *Ifng* and *Tbx21*, respectively (Table

S3), and likely comprise more terminally differentiated effectors. Cluster 5 was enriched for genes

encoding histones, cyclin-dependent kinases and proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) and likely

represent proliferating effectors.

OCA-B-transduced cells clustered more uniformly with fewer clusters (Fig. 3*B*). Cluster 0

effector cells were marked by *Zeb2*, *Klrg1* and *Tbx21* (Table S3). Clusters 1 and 2 were also

associated with effector activity. OCA-B transduced cluster 3 was associated with effector/memory

progenitor activity similar to EV-transduced clusters 1 and 4 (Fig. 3*C*). Although there were

proportionately fewer of these cells compared to the EV-transduced clusters 1 and 4, multiple

memory-associated genes were increased on a per-cell basis. *Tcf7* was increased by >4-fold in OCA-

B cluster 3 relative to other clusters, but only 2-fold in EV clusters 1 and 4 (Table S3). *Slamf6* was

increased by >3-fold in OCA-B cluster 3, but <2.5-fold in EV clusters 1 and 4. *Bcl2* and *Il7r* were

similarly more strongly expressed relative to the other clusters in OCA-B- compared to EV-transduced

cells. Additionally, *Foxo1* was not over-represented in either memory-associated EV cluster but was

enriched in OCA-B cluster 3, while *Ikzf2*, which has been associated with CD4$^+$ T cell exhaustion (35),

was not enriched in cluster 3 but was enriched in the equivalent EV-transduced clusters (Table S3).

Id3 was enriched in OCA-B-transduced cluster 3 more than EV cluster 4 but less so than in EV cluster

1. These findings suggest that OCA-B transduced cells in cluster 3 are qualitatively superior to their

EV-transduced counterparts at forming memory. Simultaneously, OCA-B-transduced clusters showed

broad increases in the expression of *Socs2*, which encodes an attenuator of T cell signaling, and

Gadd45b, which promotes growth arrest (Fig. 3*C*). These changes likely attenuate effector function

and/or may enable a broader transition of effectors to memory progenitors.

Clustering EV- and OCA-B-transduced cells together allows the relative proportions of cells

with common gene expression features to be determined. Again, specific clusters were enriched for

terminal effectors (Fig. 3*D*, clusters 0, 1, 2, 4, 6), proliferating effectors (cluster 5) and effector cells

with memory progenitor potential (cluster 3). Feature plots for genes associated with effector cells

(*Tbx21*) and memory function (*Bcl6*) are shown in Fig. 3*E*. Additional plots for other genes associated

with memory are shown in Fig. 3*F*. In the OCA-B-transduced condition, cluster 3 contained

proportionately fewer cells but showed elevated expression of genes such as *Il7r* and *Gadd45b* on a

per-cell basis (Fig. 3*G*). These findings identify changes in gene expression in effector T cell

populations consistent with increased memory potential.

OCA-B knock-in reporter mice label CD4$^+$ memory T cell populations

To monitor OCA-B expression in viable cells, we knocked 3 mCherry reporter cassettes preceded by

P2A elements into the mouse *Pou2af1* (*Ocab*) locus. The resulting reporter allele contained the

mCherry cassettes inserted immediately before the stop codon and the 3' UTR (Fig. 4*A*). A successful

hemizygous knock-in founder and a homozygote generated by intercrossing the progeny were

confirmed by PCR (Fig. S3*A*). Hemizygous and homozygous reporter mice retained normal OCA-B

protein expression (Fig. S3*B*). OCA-B was readily detectable in peripheral blood B cells from

hemizygous and homozygous reporter mice (Fig. S3*C, D*). Peripheral T cell expression was weaker,

forming a shoulder in hemizygous reporters, but an observable peak in homozygotes (Fig. S3*C, D*).

We therefore used heterozygous mice to perform baseline characterization of B cell populations, and

homozygotes to study T cells.

Robust mCherry expression could be detected in the bone marrow, peritoneal cavity, spleen

and lymph nodes of specific pathogen-free hemizygous reporter mice. Total thymocytes by contrast

expressed little OCA-B (Fig. S3*E*). OCA-B expression was readily detected in developing, immature

and recirculating B cell populations (Fig. S3*F*). Expression was nearly uniform in the different

populations, except for a small population of immature B cells that lacked OCA-B expression.

Peritoneal B-1 and B-2 B cells were also homogeneous, with stronger expression in B-1 relative to B-

2 cells (Fig. S3*G*). Splenic B cells can be partitioned into transitional and mature populations. T1/2/3

subsets uniformly expressed OCA-B (Fig. S3*H*). Marginal zone (MZ), follicular and non-follicular

populations all expressed OCA-B strongly (Fig. S3*I*). Expression was largely uniform, but there was a

significant population of newly formed $CD21^{lo}CD23^{lo}$ B cells expressing no OCA-B. The nature and

significance of these cells is unknown. These results document strong reporter activity in B cell

populations, with the highest level of activity in MZ and B-1 B cells. MZ B cells are largely absent in

OCA-B knockout mice (36). These results indicate that the OCA-B reporter robustly labels B cells.

Gating out B cells, approximately 5% of thymocytes from unchallenged, specific pathogen-free

homozygous reporter mice expressed OCA-B (Fig. S3*J*). mCherry expression could be identified in

CD4/CD8 double-negative (DN), DP and SP compartments, with the highest amounts in DN and CD8

SP cells (Fig. S3*K*). In the spleen, the relative abundance of $CD4^{+}$ and $CD8^{+}$ T cells was unaltered by

the reporter allele (Fig. 4*B*). Splenic $CD4^{+}$ T cells express mCherry at ~50-fold lower levels compared

to B cells and with a wider range of expression (Fig. 4*C*). Peripheral blood T cell mCherry levels

varied over a similar range (Fig. S3*C* and not shown).

We used CD62L and CD44 to stratify resting splenic $CD4^{+}$ and $CD8^{+}$ cells into naïve,

activated/effector and central memory compartments. Reporter activity could be detected in

approximately 7% of naïve ($CD62L^{hi}CD44^{lo}$) cells (Fig. 4*D*). The nature of these cells is unknown.

Progressively larger fractions of early activated ($CD62L^{lo}CD44^{lo}$), activated ($CD62L^{lo}CD44^{hi}$) and T_{CM}

($CD62L^{hi}CD44^{hi}$) cells expressed mCherry (Fig. 4*D*). Naïve $CD8^{+}$ T cells showed comparatively higher

expression levels, but decreased expression in the activated state and similar expression in T_{CM} cells

(Fig. 4*E*). Therefore, within the $CD4^{+}$ T cell compartment, increased OCA-B expression as measured

by mCherry fluorescence progressively labels greater fractions of naïve, activated and central

memory $CD4^{+}$ cells.

Elevated OCA-B expression prospectively enriches memory precursor CD4$^+$ T cells

To assess OCA-B responses during infection, memory formation and rechallenge, we infected reporter mice with LCMV and used MHC tetramers to identify splenic T cells recognizing immunodominant LCMV epitopes. Prior to infection, >90% of cells were CD44^{lo} naïve phenotype. Up to 30% of cells became CD44^{hi} following infection or rechallenge, and a significant number of these were Tet$^+$ as expected (Fig. S4*A*, upper plots). A high fraction of activated CD44^{hi} cells expressed mCherry regardless of tetramer status (Fig. S4*A*, lower plots). mCherry was gated relative to a nonreporter control (Fig. S4*B*). Fewer activated CD8$^+$ cells expressed mCherry relative to nonreporter controls (Fig. S4*C,D*). After LCMV clearance, memory timepoint CD4$^+$ cells maintained high mCherry percentages (Fig. S4*A*, D43, 61.6%), though with ~2-fold lower expression per cell (Fig. S4*E*). Rechallenge with Lm-gp61 to generate CD4$^+$ T cell antigen-specific recall responses reduced the percentage of mCherry$^+$ cells compared to resting memory, with high-affinity tetramer$^+$ cells declining from >60% to <10% mCherry (Fig. S4*A*, D42+7). In contrast, a higher percentage of CD8$^+$ reporter T cells expressed mCherry prior to infection. Higher percentages of tetramer$^+$mCherry$^+$ cells were also present at 5 dpi, but by 8 dpi (peak T cell response) the mCherry percentage was reduced (Fig. S4*B*). Antigen specific memory CD8$^+$ T cells also showed reduced mCherry levels relative to CD4$^+$ (Fig. S4*B*). We did not collect data for CD8$^+$ rechallenge because Lm-gp61 does not express the CD8-dominant epitope recognized by H2-D^b LCMV tetramers.

To determine if OCA-B expression in responding CD4$^+$ T cells is associated with increased memory potential, we crossed the reporter allele to a SMARTA TCR transgenic background to fix the TCR specificity and to Ly5.1 to track engrafted cells. We transferred cells into recipients, infected with LCMV, isolated mCherry^{hi} and mCherry^{lo} cells at 8 dpi, transferred large numbers of cells (8×10^5) into naïve secondary recipient mice, and isolated splenic CD4$^+$ T cells to assess contraction (Fig. 5*A*). mCherry gating for the cells is shown in Fig. S4*F*. More cells were recovered from mice engrafted with mCherry^{hi} cells, indicating that these cells contracted less than mCherry^{lo} controls (Fig. 5*B*, *C*). Interestingly the cells that did survive showed similar mCherry levels (Fig. 5*D*). These findings indicate that effector CD4$^+$ cells expressing higher OCA-B contract less when transferred into naïve animals.

To test the ability of OCA-B expressing cells to mount antigen recall responses, we similarly transferred congenically marked SMARTA cells into recipient mice and infected with LCMV, but collected mCherry^{hi} and mCherry^{lo} cells at 43 dpi. 4×10^4 memory SMARTA cells were transferred into naïve hosts, which were challenged with LCMV and analyzed at 7 dpi (Fig. 5*E*). mCherry^{hi} SMARTA cells responded better compared to mCherry^{lo} (Fig. 5*F*, *G*) though again with expression normalizing following antigen re-encounter (Fig. 5*H*). Example flow cytometry plots are shown in Fig. S4G.

In mice, OCA-B expression can be detected, varying over a 10-fold range, in responding cells as early as one day after LCMV infection (21). Memory progenitor cells characterized by low CD25

expression can be identified as early as early as 3 dpi (15, 22). We transferred SMARTA homozygous

OCA-B mCherry reporter T cells into congenic recipients, infected with LCMV, and collected spleens

at 3 dpi to study mCherry and CD25 levels (Fig. 5*I*). A large fraction of responding CD25^{lo} SMARTA

cells expressed mCherry (Fig. 5*J*). SMARTA cells from the same mouse expressing low levels of

CD25 also expressed high levels of TCF1, as expected (22). mCherry and TCF1 were not compared

as cell fixation quenches mCherry, however we were able to directly compare CD25 and OCA-B.

CD25^{hi} cells expressed a range of OCA-B, while CD25^{lo} cells were highly enriched for mCherry^{hi} cells

(Fig. 5*K, L*). These findings show that high OCA-B expression can be used to prospectively mark live

CD4^{+} memory precursor effector T cells with augmented capacity to form central memory.

Cumulatively, this study indicates that OCA-B expression within CD4^{+} T cells is both necessary for,

and sufficient to promote, the emergence of T$_{CM}$.

Materials and methods

Mice

All mice used in this study were on the C57BL6/J strain background. All mouse experiments were approved by the University of Utah Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (protocol 00001553). *Pou2af1* (*Ocab*) conditional (floxed) mice were described previously (26). *Pou2af1*-3×mCherry reporter knock-in mice were generated on a C57BL/6N background (Biocytogen), but were backcrossed >5 times to C57BL/6J prior to generation of data herein.

LCMV and *Listeria* infection

LCMV Armstrong 53b (LCMV^{Arm}) (37) was grown in BHK cells and titered using Vero cells (28). For primary infection, 8-to 12-week-old *Ocab$^{fl/fl}$* or *Ocab$^{fl/fl}$*;CD4-Cre mice were inoculated i.p. with 2×10^5 plaque-forming units (PFU) of LCMV intraperitoneally.

For heterologous rechallenge with *Listeria monocytogenes* expressing LCMV glycoprotein 61-80 (Lm-gp61) (27), bacteria were grown in log phase in BHI media, and concentrations were calculated using OD at 600 nm (OD of $1=1\times10^9$ CFU/mL). Mice were rechallenged intravenously (i.v.) with 2×10^5 colony forming units (CFU) of Lm-gp61 the indicated number of days after primary infection as published (38).

Bone marrow radiation chimeras

Radiation chimeras were generated as published (39). Briefly, male Ly5.1 C57BL/6J mice were used as recipients. Mice received a split dose of 900 Rad (2×450 RAD, spaced 1 hr apart), and were engrafted one day later with 1 million mixed donor bone marrow cells. Competitor bone marrow cells from Ly5.2/Thy1.1 but otherwise wild-type mice, and experimental cells from Ly5.2/Thy1.2 *Ocab$^{fl/fl}$* or littermate *Ocab$^{fl/fl}$*;CD4-Cre mice, were isolated from femurs and tibias of donor mice. After red blood cell lysis, CD3$^+$ cells were depleted using biotinylated anti-CD3 antibodies (eBioScience) and anti-biotin magnetic MicroBeads (Miltentyi Biotech) according to the manufacturer's instructions. CD3-depeleted bone marrow cells were mixed 1:1 and injected retro-orbitally. The chimeras were rested for 8 weeks to allow engraftment, after which mice were infected as above. Virus-reactive cells were identified by LCMVgp$_{66-77}$:I-Ab tetramer staining.

pMSCV-IRES-GFP-OCA-B vector generation

Wild-type *Ocab* cDNA was amplified from the plasmid pcDNA3.1-OCA-B (40) (a gift from Robert Roeder, Rockefeller University) by PCR and cloned into the pMSCV-IRES-GFP (pMIGR1) retroviral vector (a gift from Ryan O'Connell, University of Utah). Primers used for amplification were mOcab-F-XhoI, 5'CTCGAGCTGTCTGCTTCAAAGAGAAAAGGCAAC; mOcab-R-*Eco*RI, 5'

GAATTCCTAAAAGCCCTCCACGGAGAGGGT. The PCR product was gel purified, digested with

*Eco*RI and *Xho*I, and inserted into a similarly digested pMIGR1 backbone using T4 DNA ligase to

generate pMIGR1-OCA-B. All constructs were validated by resequencing.

CD4$^+$ T cell isolation and stimulation

Naïve splenic CD4$^+$ T cells were isolated and simulated as described previously (41). Briefly, spleens

were dissociated by grinding and passing through a 70 μm nylon strainer. Red blood cells were lysed

by ACK lysis buffer (150 mM NH_4Cl, 10 mM $KHCO_3$, 0.1 mM EDTA). Cells were isolated from mice

using a naïve CD4$^+$ T cell isolation kit (Miltenyi), and stimulated in culture using 10 μg/mL plate-bound

anti-CD3ϵ and 2 μg/mL anti-CD28 antibodies (eBioscience).

Ectopic OCA-B expression in CD4$^+$ T cells

Ly5.1$^+$/5.1$^+$ SMARTA and Ly5.1$^+$/5.2$^+$ SMARTA donor mice were i.v. primed with 200 μg GP$_{61-80}$

peptide (AnaSpec). The next day, T cells were purified using a CD4$^+$ T cell isolation kit (Miltenyi).

Cells were transduced by spin infection with pMIGR retroviruses packaged in 239T cells, with or

without mouse OCA-B cDNA. For spin infection, cells were centrifuged with 293T retroviral

supernatant at 1000$\times g$ for 2 hr at 37°C in the presence of 4 μg/mL polybrene (Sigma). Following spin

infection, cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 50

U/mL penicillin, 50 μg/mL streptomycin, 2 mM L-glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1× MEM

nonessential amino acids, 55 μM 2-mercaptoethanol, and 20 IU/mL recombinant human IL-2 for two

days. All components were from ThermoFisher with the exception of IL-2, which was supplied by R&D

Systems. After two days, transduced GFP$^+$ cells were isolated by FACS (BD FACSAria). 1×10^4

pMIGR1- and pMIGR1-OCA-B-transduced cells were combined and co-transferred into wild-type

(Ly5.2/5.2) C57BL6/J mice. Chimeric mice were infected with LCMV and re-challenged with Lm-gp61

as described above. For the experiment shown in Fig. 1*J*, *K*, T cells from Ly5.1 SMARTA and Thy1.1

SMARTA mice were purified using a CD4$^+$ T cell isolation kit (Miltenyi) and stimulated with

CD3ϵ/CD28 for 2 days followed by spin transfection.

Flow cytometry

Spleens were dissociated and passed through a 70 μm nylon strainer. Red blood cells were lysed by

ACK lysis buffer (150 mM NH_4Cl, 10 mM $KHCO_3$, 0.1 mM EDTA). For intracellular staining, cell

suspensions in RPMI supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum were re-stimulated for 4 hr with 1

μM LCMV GP$_{61-80}$ or 0.1 μM GP$_{33-41}$ peptide along with Brefeldin A (GolgiPlug Becton-Dickinson, 1

μl/mL) as published (42, 43). Cells were subsequently fixed by cell fixation/permeabilization solution

(Cytofix/Cytoperm, Becton-Dickinson) according to the manufacturer's protocol. For tetramer staining,

APC-conjugated gp_{66-77}:I-A^b and gp_{33-41}:H-2D^b tetramers were provided by the NIH Tetramer Core

Facility (Emory Vaccine Center). Cell suspensions were incubated at 37°C for 3 hr in RPMI with the

tetramer followed by cell-surface staining. Tetramer fluorescence was normalized with isotype

tetramer (hCLIP:I-A^b) staining. Fig. 1*C, H*, and *I* were generated using a Cytek Aurora spectral

cytometer. All other flow cytometry data were generated using a BD Fortessa LSR. The following anti-

mouse antibodies were supplied by Ebioscience: CD4-APC (RM4-5), CD3-Biotin (145-2C11),

CD90.2-FITC (53-2.1), IL-2-PE (JES6-5H4), IFNy-APC (XMG1.2), CD8a-PerCP/Cy5.5 (53-6.7),

CD90.1/Thy1.1-APC (HIS51). The following antibodies were supplied by Biolegend: CD4-

PerCP/Cy5.5 (RM4-5), CD44-APC/Cy7 (IM7), CD278/ICOS-BV510 (C398.4A), CD62L-FITC (MEL-

14), CD279/PD-1-BV605 (29F.1A12), CD45.1-PerCP-Cy5.5 (A20), CD45.1-BV711 (104), CD45.2-

FITC (104), IgM-APC/Cy7 (RMM-1), B220-APC (RA3-6B2), CD21-PE (7E9), CD23-FITC (B3B4),

CD93-APC (AA4.1), CD19-FITC (1D3/CD19), Tbet-APC (4B10), CXCR5-PE-Cy7 (L138D7),

CD127/IL7Ra-PE/Cy5 (A7R34), IFNy-APC (XMG1.2), CD8a-APC (53-6.7). The following antibodies

were supplied by BD Biosciences: Ly-6C-BV450 (AL-21), Bcl6-BV421 (k112-91), Tcf1-PE (S33-966),

Ki67-V450 (B56), CD4-BUV395 (GK1.5), CD19-BUV661 (ID3), CD8a-BUV737 (53-6.7). Gzmb-PE

(NGZB) was supplied by Invitrogen. Tcf1-AF488 (C63D9) was supplied by Cell Signaling.

Bulk RNAseq

CD4$^+$ T cells were purified from female Ly5.1 SMARTA and Ly5.1/5.2 SMARTA mice, and separately

transduced with pMIGR1-OCA-B or pMIGR1 (EV), respectively. On the following day, transduced

GFP$^+$ cells were sorted, 20,000 of each combined 1:1, and injected intravenously into 24 male

C57BL/6J male recipients. 24h later, each recipient mouse was injected intraperitoneally with $2x10^5$

pfu LCMV. After eight days, the 24 mice were divided into six groups of four mice by combining four

spleens together. CD4$^+$ T cells were purified using a Miltenyi CD4 T cell isolation kit. Cells then were

stained with PerCP-Cy5.5 Ly5.1 and AF700 Ly5.2 antibodies. GFP$^+$Ly5.1$^+$Ly5.2$^+$ (EV) and

GFP$^+$Ly5.1$^+$ (OCA-B-expressing) cells were sorted by FACS and used for RNA purification (RNeasy

Mini Kit, QIAGEN). RNA concentrations were determined using a Quant-iT RNA assay kit and a Qubit

fluorometer (ThermoFisher). Because limited RNA from EV-transduced cells was obtained, the six EV

samples were combined into three samples. Five OCA-B-transduced samples (each comprising four

mice) were submitted for RNAseq analysis. These showed a high degree of concordance (not

shown). Total RNA samples (200-500 ng) were hybridized with Ribo-Zero Plus (Illumina) to deplete

cytoplasmic and mitochondrial and ribosomal RNA from samples. RNA sequencing libraries were

prepared as described using the Stranded Total RNA Prep, Ligation with Ribo-Zero Plus kit (Illumina)

and IDT for Illumina RNA UD Indexes Set A, Ligation (Illumina). Purified libraries were qualified on an

Agilent Technologies 2200 TapeStation using a D1000 ScreenTape assay. The molarity of adapter-

modified molecules was defined by qPCR using the Kapa Biosystems Kapa Library Quant Kit.

Individual libraries were normalized to 0.65 nM in preparation for Illumina sequence analysis.

Sequencing libraries were chemically denatured and applied to an Illumina NovaSeq flow cell using

the NovaSeq XP workflow (20043131). Following transfer of the flow cell to an Illumina NovaSeq 6000

instrument, a 150 x 150 cycle paired-end sequence run was performed using a NovaSeq 6000 S4

reagent Kit v1.5 (Illlumina).

Bulk RNA-seq analysis

Bulk RNA-seq analysis was performed as previously described (44). Briefly, Reads were aligned to

Mm10 using STAR (v2.7.3a) and checked for quality using multiqc (v1.10). Between 12 and 14 million

pair-end reads were generated for each sample, with >98% of aligned reads mapping to the correct

strand and >93% of the reads uniquely aligned to the gene. Differentially expressed genes were

identified using DESeq2 version 1.24.0 (45) with a 5% FDR cutoff. Features with zero counts and 5 or

fewer reads in every sample were removed from the analysis. Genes increased by 1.4-fold or more or

decreased by 2-fold or more, and with adjusted $p<0.05$ were selected as differentially expressed (0.5

< log2FC < -1; padj $\leq$ 0.05). Figures were generated in R version 4.0.0 using functions from ggplots

libraries and pheatmap.

Single-cell RNA-seq

To profile gene expression at the single-cell level, splenic GFP$^+$Ly5.1$^+$Ly5.2$^+$ (EV-transduced) and

GFP$^+$Ly5.1$^+$ (OCA-B-transduced) SMARTA cells from four pooled mice per condition were isolated,

resuspended in PBS with 0.04% bovine serum albumin (ThermoFisher), and filtered through 40 μm

strainers. Viability and cell count were assessed using a Countess II (ThermoFisher). Equilibrium to

targeted cell recovery of 6,000 cells along with Gel Beads and reverse transcription reagents were

loaded to Chromium Single Cell A to form Gel-bead-in Emulsions (GEMs). Within individual GEMs,

cDNA generated from captured and barcoded mRNA was synthesized by reverse transcription at

53°C for 45 min. Samples were then heated to 85°C for 5 min. Single cell transcriptomes were

assessed using a 10X Genomics Chromium Single Cell Gene Expression instrument. Individual cells

were tagged with 16 bp barcodes, and specific transcripts with 10 bp Unique Molecular Identifiers

(UMIs) according to manufacturer instructions.

Single-cell RNA-seq analysis

Single cell transcriptome data were analyzed and clustered as described previously (44). Sequences

from the Chromium platform were de-multiplexed and aligned using CellRanger ver. 3.1.0 (10X

Genomics) with default parameters mm10-3.0.0. Clustering, filtering, variable gene selection and

dimensionality reduction were performed using Seurat ver.4.0.4 (46) according to the following

workflow: 1, Cells with <200 detected genes were excluded further analysis. 2, Cells with <5% UMIs

mapping to mitochondrial genes and *Cd44* expression >0 were retained for downstream analysis, as

SMARTA cells are uniformly responding to LCMV glycoprotein antigen at this time. 3, The UMI counts

per ten thousand were log-normalized for each cell using the natural logarithm. 4, Variable genes

(2000 features) were selected using the FindVariableFeatures function. 5, Common anchors between

the conditions were identified using FindIntegrationAnchors function that were further used to

integrate these sets. 6, Gene expression levels in the integrated set were scaled along each gene and

linear dimensional reduction was performed. The number of principal components was decided

through the assessment of statistical plots (JackStrawPlot and ElbowPlot). 7, Cells were clustered

using a shared nearest neighbor (SNN) modularity optimization-based clustering algorithm and

visualized using two-dimensional uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP). 8, One

cluster in each condition marked predominantly by mitochondrial genes (indicative of dying cells) was

excluded from the analysis. This resulted in 12,886 EV-transduced cells with 45,748 mean reads per

cell, and 2,595 median genes per cell. The total number of reads was 589,513,069, with 59.6%

mapping to exonic regions. Similarly, there were 11,709 OCA-B-transduced cells with 68,931 mean

reads per cell, and 2,668 median genes per cell. Total number of reads was 807,111,486, with 57.4%

mapping to exonic regions. Clusters were identified using manual interrogation of gene expression

enrichment for each population (Table S3), the ImmGene cluster identity predictor (Table S4, S5), and

PanglaoDB annotation terms. Clusters comprised of contaminating macrophages and neutrophils

were manually deleted from the visualization.

Memory progenitor isolation, normalization and transfer

To assess contraction of the effector cells with different OCA-B levels, splenic CD4$^+$ T cells were

purified from 8 week-old female Ly5.1/5.2 homozygous knock-in reporter, SMARTA TCR transgenic

mice. 2×10^4 SMARTA cells were intravenously injected into 15 male Ly5.2/5.2 C57BL/6J recipients,

which were infected intraperitoneally 1 day later with 2×10^5 plaque-forming units of LCMV in 250 μL

phosphate-buffered saline. After 8 days, responding Ly5.1$^+$5.2$^+$ SMARTA T cells were sorted into

mCherry^{hi} and mCherry^{lo} populations. Cells were pooled and 8×10^5 mCherry^{hi} and mCherry^{lo} cells

were separately injected intravenously into 7 naïve age-matched 9 week-old male C57BL/6J

secondary recipients in the case of mCherry^{lo} and 5 secondary recipients in the case of mCherry^{hi}.

After 8 additional days, mice were sacrificed and splenic Ly5.1$^+$5.2$^+$ SMARTA cells were counted by

flow cytometry. To assess proliferative responses to rechallenge, 15 similar virus-naïve secondary

recipients were rested for 43 days post-infection prior to isolation of mCherry^{hi} and mCherry^{lo}

populations. 1.3×10^4 SMARTA cells were transferred into naïve recipients, which were infected with

LCMV one day later. 7 days post-infection, splenic CD4$^+$Ly5.1$^+$5.2$^+$ SMARTA cells were evaluated by

flow cytometry. Mice with poor infection/response (total splenocyte count <6×10^7) were excluded from

the analysis.

Quantification and statistical analysis

Excel (Microsoft) and Prism (GraphPad) were used for statistics and graphing. Two-tailed Student T-

tests were used to ascribe statistical significance unless otherwise indicated. For all figures, *=*p*-

value≤0.05; **=*p*≤0.005; ***=p<0.001. All error bars denote ± standard error of the mean.

Acknowledgements: We thank M. Chandrasekharan for help with graphics. We thank J. Marvin and the University of Utah Health Sciences Center Flow Cytometry Core facility. We thank O. Allen, B. Dalley and the High-Throughput Genomics Core. MHC tetramers were provided by the NIH Tetramer Core Facility (Atlanta, GA). We thank R. Roeder for the mouse OCA-B cDNA and R. M. O'Connell for the pMIGR1 plasmid.

Funding: This work was supported by NIH grants R01AI100873 and R01AI162929 to DT.

Data and materials availability: All data are available in the main text or the supplementary materials. Materials used in the study are available to any researcher for purposes of reproducing or extending the findings. Bulk and single-cell RNA-seq data have been deposited at the Gene Expression Omnibus GEO) website (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/, GSE214310).

 Figure legends

Fig. 1. Ectopic OCA-B expression enhances CD4+ T cell memory recall responses in vivo. (*A*)

Experimental schematic for OCA-B transduction and T cell mixed adoptive transfer. Ly5.1$^+$ SMARTA

cells were transduced with pMSCV-IRES-GFP (pMIGR1, EV) and Ly5.1$^+$/5.2$^+$ SMARTA cells were

transduced with pMIGR1 expressing mouse OCA-B (pMIGR1-OCA-B). The donors were age- and

sex-matched littermates. Two days following transduction, GFP$^+$Ly5.1$^+$ SMARTA cells (EV) and

GFP$^+$Ly5.1$^+$/5.2$^+$ SMARTA cells (OCA-B) were sorted, combined 1:1, co-transferred into Ly5.2$^+$/5.2$^+$

C57BL6/J recipient mice, and infected with LCMV. Recipients were tested for static memory on day

40 post-infection, and also rechallenged with Lm-gp61 on day 40 analyzed after 7 days. (*B*) Lysates

from primary CD4+ T cells transduced with either empty pMIGR1 (EV) or pMIGR1-OCA-B were

immunoblotted using antibodies against OCA-B. Histone H3 is shown as an internal loading standard.

(*C*) Flow cytometric analysis of Ly5.1$^+$ pMIGR1 EV-transduced and Ly5.1$^+$/5.2$^+$ pMIGR1-OCA-B-

transduced (OCA-B) SMARTA cells in the spleen and blood of a representative recipient mouse at

peak effector response (D8), resting memory (D40) and memory recall (D40+7). Live cells were gated

based on CD4 and GFP positivity. (*D*) Ratio of OCA-B/EV transduced cells at D8, D40, and D40+7.

(*E*) GFP+ cell counts per spleen for EV and OCAB transduced cells D40 and D40+7 after LCMV

infection. (*F*) D8 response representative flow cytometry plots showing Tbet, Gzmb, Bcl6, Tcf1, and

CXCR5 expression in splenic GFP+ CD4+ T cells by EV or OCA-B transduction condition. (*G*) Mean

relative percentages of CXCR5$^-$Tbet$^+$, CXCR5$^-$Gzmb$^+$, CXCR5$^+$Bcl6$^+$, and CXCR5$^+$Tcf1$^+$ cells between

transduction condition at peak response. Individual mice are connected by lines. (*H*) Representative

flow plots and frequency quantification of IFNγ producing GFP$^+$ EV or OCA-B transduced cells. (*I*)

Representative flow plots and frequency quantification of Ki67 producing GFP$^+$ EV or OCA-B

transduced cells. (J) Representative plots showing relative percentages of splenic EV- or pMIGR1-

OCA-B-transduced (GFP$^+$) SMARTA cells were plotted at D8, D52+7 and 110+7. Each datapoint

represents an individual mouse harboring both EV- and pMIGR1-OCA-B-transduced cells. 5, 7 and 10

mice were sacrificed at each timepoint to obtain measurements from spleen. (*K*) Mean ratios of

pMIGR1-OCA-B-transduced relative to EV-transduced SMARTA cells (OCA-B/EV) are plotted.

Splenic SMARTA T cells are shown at day 8 post-infection, or 7 days post-rechallenge with Lm-gp61

(52+7 or 110+7).

Fig. 2. Gene expression changes associated with ectopic OCA-B expression in SMARTA transgenic

primary effector T cells. (*A*) Heatmap showing top up- and down-regulated genes. Genes with log2

fold-change >0.5 or <-1.0, and padj $\leq$ 0.05 are shown. Example genes are shown at right. Control

and OCA-B-transduced cells were purified from the same mice using magnetic isolation and FACS.

(*B*) Example genome tracks showing two up-regulated genes, *Socs2* and *Gadd45b*. *Pou2af1* (*Ocab*)

is shown as a positive control. (*C*) Top Epigenomic roadmap and Molecular function GO terms for the

set of OCA-B up- and down-regulated genes. (*D*) Top ChIP-X Enrichment Analysis (ChEA 2016)

factors potentially regulating the same set of genes up- and down-regulated by OCA-B ectopic

expression.

Fig. 3. Single-cell RNA-seq analysis of OCA-B-transduced vs. control SMARTA cells at 8 days post

LCMV infection. (*A*) UMAP projections of independently clustered EV-transduced (GFP$^+$) SMARTA

cells purified similar to Figs. 2 and 3, and subjected to scRNA-seq. Cluster identities were annotated

using a combination of gene expression enrichment (Table S3), cluster identity predictor ImmGen

identity scores (Table S4) and PanglaoDB annotation terms. Clusters 1 and 4 were associated with

memory formation. Top PanglaoDB annotation terms for cluster 4 are shown below. (*B*) Similar UMAP

projection using OCA-B-transduced cells purified from the same mice as in (A). Cluster identities were

annotated similarly to (A). Cluster 3 in OCA-B-transduced cells was most strongly associated with

memory formation. Top PanglaoDB annotation terms for cluster 3 are shown below.

(*C*) Feature plots highlighting expression of representative genes for the two UMAP projections in (A)

and (B). (*D*) UMAP projections of the the EV- and OCA-B-transduced single-cell RNA-seq datasets

clustered together. For each projection, the percentage of each cluster relative to the total number

cells in condition is shown. Cluster identities were annotated using a combination of gene expression

enrichment (Table S3), cluster identity predictor ImmGen identity scores (Table S5) and PanglaoDB

annotation terms. (*E*) Feature plots highlighting expression of two representative genes (*Tbx21* and

Bcl6) associated with specific clusters. (*F*) Additional combined feature plots supporting the

association of cluster 3 with memory progenitors. (*G*) Violin plots depicting expression of six genes

(*Il7r*, *Zeb2*, *Gadd45b*, *Foxo1*, *Tcf7* and *Tox*) across each of the 10 clusters in EV-transduced cells

(blue, left) and OCA-B-transduced cells (red, right).

Fig. 4. mCherry expression in splenic T cell populations in specific pathogen-free homozygous OCA-

B-3×mCherry mice. (*A*) Mouse *Pou2af1* locus, targeting vector and the targeted *Pou2af1* locus. (*B*)

CD4 and CD8 expression are shown for an allelic series of example wild-type (+/+) and homozygous

(KI/KI) OCA-B knock-in reporter mice. (*C*) OCA-B homozygous reporter expression in splenic CD4$^+$ T

cell populations. (*D*) The same CD4$^+$ cells as in (C) were further stratified by CD62L and CD44 into

naïve, early activated, activated and central memory (Tcm) populations. CD62L$_{lo}$CD44$_{lo}$ cells are likely

recently activated cells that have down-regulated CD62L but not yet up-regulated CD44. (*E*) Similar

analysis as in (D) except for CD8$^+$ cells.

Fig. 5. CD4$^+$ T cells expressing high levels of OCA-B reporter activity preferentially form central

memory cells. (*A*) Schematic for assessing contraction of mCherry^{hi} vs. mCherry^{lo} SMARTA T cells

following LCMV infection. Congenically marked SMARTA T cells were isolated from donor mice,

transferred into naïve secondary recipients and infected with LCMV. At 8 days post-infection,

mCherry^{hi} and mCherry^{lo} populations were isolated by FACS. 8×10^5 SMARTA cells were transferred

into naïve recipients. After 8 days, splenic CD4$^+$Ly5.1$^+$5.2$^+$ SMARTA cells were evaluated by flow

cytometry. (*B*) Flow cytometric analysis of SMARTA donor T cells engrafted into naïve mice to monitor

rates of decline. Representative mice engrafted with mCherry^{lo} (left) or mCherry^{hi} (right) cells are

shown. (*C*) Quantification of averaged donor T cell numbers from mice engrafted with mCherry^{lo} or

mCherry^{hi} cells. N=7 for the mCherry^{lo} group and N=5 for the mCherry^{hi} group. (*D*) Similar analysis to

(C) except quantifying mean mCherry fluorescence intensity. (*E*) Schematic for assessing recall

responses of mCherry^{hi} vs. mCherry^{lo} SMARTA T cells following LCMV infection. Congenically

marked SMARTA T cells were isolated from donor mice, transferred into naïve secondary recipients

and infected with LCMV. However, mice were allowed to clear LCMV and form memory. After 43

days, mCherry^{hi} and mCherry^{lo} memory T cell populations were isolated by FACS. 1.3×10^4 SMARTA

cells were transferred into naïve recipients, which were infected with LCMV one day later. 7 days

post-infection, splenic CD4$^+$Ly5.1$^+$5.2$^+$ SMARTA cells were evaluated by flow cytometry. (*F*) Flow

cytometric analysis of SMARTA donor T cells 7 days post-rechallenge to monitor recall responses.

Representative mice engrafted with mCherry^{lo} (left) or mCherry^{hi} (right) cells are shown. (*G*)

Quantification of averaged donor T cell percentages and numbers from mice engrafted with mCherry^{lo}

or mCherry^{hi} cells. N=7 for the mCherry^{lo} group and N=5 for the mCherry^{hi} group. (*H*) Similar analysis

to (G) except quantifying mean mCherry fluorescence intensity. (*I*) Schematic for assessing mCherry

levels in SMARTA T at early timepoints following LCMV infection. 2×10^5 congenically marked

(CD45.1$^+$) SMARTA T cells were isolated from donor mice and transferred into naïve secondary

recipients. One day later mice were intraperitoneally infected with 2×10^5 PFU LCMV. Splenic T cells

were collected at 3 dpi. (*J*) Gated unfixed splenic CD4$^+$ T cells were assessed for the SMARTA

congenic marker Ly5.1 and mCherry (left), while fixed Ly5.1$^+$ (SMARTA) cells from the same mice

were used to assess CD25 and TCF1 (right). (*K*) CD25 and mCherry expression were assessed from

a representative animal. Left: distribution of SMARTA cell CD25 and mCherry expression in SMARTA

mice. Right: CD25 levels of gated mCherry^{hi} and mCherry^{lo} SMARTA cells displayed as a

concatenated histogram. (*L*) Quantification of averaged mCherry MFI in CD25^{hi} and CD25^{lo} cells (left),

and frequencies (center) and numbers (right) of CD25^{lo} cells in mCherry^{lo} or mCherry^{hi} cells SMARTA

T cells. N=6 independent recipient mice.

References and Notes

1. F. Sallusto, A. Lanzavecchia, K. Araki, R. Ahmed, From vaccines to memory and back. *Immunity* **33**, 451-463 (2010).
2. S. V. Gearty *et al.*, An autoimmune stem-like CD8 T cell population drives type 1 diabetes. *Nature* **602**, 156-161 (2022).
3. A. Schnell *et al.*, Stem-like intestinal Th17 cells give rise to pathogenic effector T cells during autoimmunity. *Cell* **184**, 6281-6298 e6223 (2021).
4. P. C. Rosato *et al.*, Virus-specific memory T cells populate tumors and can be repurposed for tumor immunotherapy. *Nat Commun* **10**, 567 (2019).
5. G. Cafri *et al.*, Memory T cells targeting oncogenic mutations detected in peripheral blood of epithelial cancer patients. *Nat Commun* **10**, 449 (2019).
6. L. A. Shaw *et al.*, Id3 expression identifies CD4(+) memory Th1 cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **119**, e2204254119 (2022).
7. R. L. Rutishauser *et al.*, Transcriptional repressor Blimp-1 promotes CD8(+) T cell terminal differentiation and represses the acquisition of central memory T cell properties. *Immunity* **31**, 296-308 (2009).
8. A. Kallies, A. Xin, G. T. Belz, S. L. Nutt, Blimp-1 transcription factor is required for the differentiation of effector CD8(+) T cells and memory responses. *Immunity* **31**, 283-295 (2009).
9. H. M. Shin *et al.*, Epigenetic modifications induced by Blimp-1 Regulate CD8(+) T cell memory progression during acute virus infection. *Immunity* **39**, 661-675 (2013).
10. L. Pace *et al.*, The epigenetic control of stemness in CD8(+) T cell fate commitment. *Science* **359**, 177-186 (2018).
11. H. D. Marshall *et al.*, Differential expression of Ly6C and T-bet distinguish effector and memory Th1 CD4(+) cell properties during viral infection. *Immunity* **35**, 633-646 (2011).
12. N. S. Joshi *et al.*, Inflammation directs memory precursor and short-lived effector CD8(+) T cell fates via the graded expression of T-bet transcription factor. *Immunity* **27**, 281-295 (2007).
13. R. Hess Michelini, A. L. Doedens, A. W. Goldrath, S. M. Hedrick, Differentiation of CD8 memory T cells depends on Foxo1. *J Exp Med* **210**, 1189-1200 (2013).
14. R. R. Rao, Q. Li, M. R. Gubbels Bupp, P. A. Shrikant, Transcription factor Foxo1 represses T-bet-mediated effector functions and promotes memory CD8(+) T cell differentiation. *Immunity* **36**, 374-387 (2012).
15. M. Pepper, A. J. Pagan, B. Z. Igyarto, J. J. Taylor, M. K. Jenkins, Opposing signals from the Bcl6 transcription factor and the interleukin-2 receptor generate T helper 1 central and effector memory cells. *Immunity* **35**, 583-595 (2011).
16. H. Ichii *et al.*, Bcl6 is essential for the generation of long-term memory CD4+ T cells. *Int Immunol* **19**, 427-433 (2007).
17. A. Banerjee *et al.*, Cutting edge: The transcription factor eomesodermin enables CD8+ T cells to compete for the memory cell niche. *J Immunol* **185**, 4988-4992 (2010).
18. D. T. Utzschneider *et al.*, T Cell Factor 1-Expressing Memory-like CD8(+) T Cells Sustain the Immune Response to Chronic Viral Infections. *Immunity* **45**, 415-427 (2016).
19. P. Sauter, P. Matthias, The B cell-specific coactivator OBF-1 (OCA-B, Bob-1) is inducible in T cells and its expression is dispensable for IL-2 gene induction. *Immunobiology* **198**, 207-216 (1997).
20. S. Zwilling, A. Dieckmann, P. Pfisterer, P. Angel, T. Wirth, Inducible expression and phosphorylation of coactivator BOB.1/OBF.1 in T cells. *Science* **277**, 221-225. (1997).
21. A. Shakya *et al.*, Oct1 and OCA-B are selectively required for CD4 memory T cell function. *J Exp Med* **212**, 2115-2131 (2015).
22. J. P. Snook, C. Kim, M. A. Williams, TCR signal strength controls the differentiation of CD4(+) effector and memory T cells. *Sci Immunol* **3**, eaas9103 (2018).
23. S. Chevrier, T. Kratina, D. Emslie, A. Karnowski, L. M. Corcoran, Germinal center-independent, IgM-mediated autoimmunity in sanroque mice lacking Obf1. *Immunol Cell Biol* **92**, 12-19 (2014).

24.　M. Nakamura *et al.*, Genome-wide association study identifies TNFSF15 and POU2AF1 as
susceptibility loci for primary biliary cirrhosis in the Japanese population. *Am J Hum Genet* **91**,
721-728 (2012).
25.　M. Ban *et al.*, Linkage disequilibrium screening for multiple sclerosis implicates JAG1 and
POU2AF1 as susceptibility genes in Europeans. *J Neuroimmunol* **179**, 108-116 (2006).
26.　H. Kim *et al.*, Targeting OCA-B/Pou2af1 blocks activated, autoreactive T cells in the pancreas
and type-1 diabetes. *J Exp Med* **218**, e20200533 (2021).
27.　H. Shen *et al.*, Recombinant Listeria monocytogenes as a live vaccine vehicle for the induction
of protective anti-viral cell-mediated immunity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **92**, 3987-3991
(1995).
28.　A. Oxenius, M. F. Bachmann, R. M. Zinkernagel, H. Hengartner, Virus-specific MHC-class II-
restricted TCR-transgenic mice: effects on humoral and cellular immune responses after viral
infection. *Eur J Immunol* **28**, 390-400 (1998).
29.　L. Liu *et al.*, Gadd45 beta and Gadd45 gamma are critical for regulating autoimmunity. *J Exp
Med* **202**, 1341-1347 (2005).
30.　P. Rodriguez-Jimenez *et al.*, Growth arrest and DNA damage-inducible proteins (GADD45) in
psoriasis. *Sci Rep* **11**, 14579 (2021).
31.　Y. Luo *et al.*, Suppression of collagen-induced arthritis in growth arrest and DNA damage-
inducible protein 45beta-deficient mice. *Arthritis Rheum* **63**, 2949-2955 (2011).
32.　S. Ju *et al.*, Gadd45b and Gadd45g are important for anti-tumor immune responses. *Eur J
Immunol* **39**, 3010-3018 (2009).
33.　A. Lachmann *et al.*, ChEA: transcription factor regulation inferred from integrating genome-
wide ChIP-X experiments. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 2438-2444 (2010).
34.　J. N. Blattman *et al.*, Estimating the precursor frequency of naive antigen-specific CD8 T cells.
J Exp Med **195**, 657-664 (2002).
35.　A. Crawford *et al.*, Molecular and transcriptional basis of CD4(+) T cell dysfunction during
chronic infection. *Immunity* **40**, 289-302 (2014).
36.　T. Samardzic, D. Marinkovic, P. J. Nielsen, L. Nitschke, T. Wirth, BOB.1/OBF.1 deficiency
affects marginal-zone B-cell compartment. *Mol Cell Biol* **22**, 8320-8331 (2002).
37.　R. Ahmed, A. Salmi, L. D. Butler, J. M. Chiller, M. B. Oldstone, Selection of genetic variants of
lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus in spleens of persistently infected mice. Role in suppression
of cytotoxic T lymphocyte response and viral persistence. *J Exp Med* **160**, 521-540 (1984).
38.　C. Kim, D. C. Jay, M. A. Williams, Stability and function of secondary Th1 memory cells are
dependent on the nature of the secondary stimulus. *J Immunol* **189**, 2348-2355 (2012).
39.　D. M. Mitchell, E. V. Ravkov, M. A. Williams, Distinct roles for IL-2 and IL-15 in the
differentiation and survival of CD8+ effector and memory T cells. *J Immunol* **184**, 6719-6730
(2010).
40.　Y. Luo, R. G. Roeder, Cloning, functional characterization, and mechanism of action of the B-
cell-specific transcriptional coactivator OCA-B. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **15**, 4115-4124 (1995).
41.　A. Shakya, J. Kang, J. Chumley, M. A. Williams, D. Tantin, Oct1 is a switchable, bipotential
stabilizer of repressed and inducible transcriptional states. *J Biol Chem* **286**, 450-459 (2011).
42.　K. Murali-Krishna *et al.*, Counting antigen-specific CD8 T cells: a reevaluation of bystander
activation during viral infection. *Immunity* **8**, 177-187 (1998).
43.　M. A. Williams, E. V. Ravkov, M. J. Bevan, Rapid culling of the CD4+ T cell repertoire in the
transition from effector to memory. *Immunity* **28**, 533-545 (2008).
44.　J. Perovanovic *et al.*, Oct1 cooperates with the Smad family of transcription factors to promote
mesodermal lineage specification. *Sci. Signal.* **16**, eadd5750 (2023).
45.　M. I. Love, W. Huber, S. Anders, Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-
seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550 (2014).
46.　T. Stuart *et al.*, Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data. *Cell* **177**, 1888-1902 e1821
(2019).

A SMARTA LCMV D8

EV   OCA-B

Sell
Ccr1
Zbtb16
Bcl6
Ifngr2
Il7r
Pou2af1 (Ocab)
Smad3
Tbx21 (Tbet)
Notch3
Zeb2
Ikzf2 (Helios)
Socs2
Gadd45b

B

Pou2af1
EV [0-80]
OCA-B [0-80]
20 kb
Pou2af1

Socs2
EV [0-3.6]
OCA-B [0-3.6]
5 kb
Socs2

Gadd45b
EV [0-5.4]
OCA-B [0-5.4]
5 kb
Gadd45b

C

OCA-B upregulated genes

Epigenomic roadmap HM	*p*-value
H3K9me3 Breast vHMEC	0.048
Molecular function	*p*-value
Toll-like receptor binding	0.006
Aspartic-type peptidase activity	0.007

OCA-B downregulated genes

Epigenomic roadmap HM	*p*-value
H3K27me3 CD4 Memory Primary Cells	1.45E-04
H3K27me3 CD8 Memory Primary Cells	9.30E-04
Molecular function	*p*-value
Superoxide-generating NADPH oxidase activator activity	1.29E-09
Cytokine receptor activity	1.24E-06

D

OCA-B upregulated genes

ChEA 2016	*p*-value
Tp53	0.01936
Smc3	0.02544

OCA-B downregulated genes

ChEA 2016	*p*-value
IRF8	1.24E-20
SMRT	1.14E-15
MYB	1.73E-09
Nerf2	4.79E-09
NCOR	1.52E-08