NetAllergen, a random forest model integrating MHC-II presentation propensity for improved allergenicity prediction

Yuchen Li[1], Morten Nielsen[1,2], Carolina Barra[1,*]

[1] Department of Health Technology, Technical University of Denmark, Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

[2] Instituto de Investigaciones Biotecnológicas, Universidad Nacional de San Martín, Argentina

* Corresponding author - carolet@dtu.dk

Abstract

Allergy is a pathological immune reaction towards innocuous protein antigens. Although only a small fraction of plant or animal proteins induce allergy, the atopic disorders affect millions of children and adults, and cost billions in healthcare systems worldwide. In-silico predictors can aid in the development of more innocuous food sources. Previous allergenicity predictors are based on sequence similarity, common structural domains, and amino acid physicochemical features. However, these predictors strongly rely on similarity to previous allergens and fail to accurately predict allergenicity when protein sequence similarity diminishes. Furthermore, allergen is a wide terminology that may include different compounds, hindering the classification task. To overcome these limitations, we used allergens collected from AllergenOnline, a curated database of IgE inducing allergens, carefully removed allergen redundancy with a novel protein partitioning pipeline, and developed a new allergen prediction method, NetAllergen, introducing MHC presentation propensity as a novel feature. NetAllergen was demonstrated to out-perform a sequence similarity-based Blast method as well as previous allergenicity predictors when similarity to known allergens is diminished. NetAllergen is available as a web-service

23 (services.healthtech.dtu.dk/service.php?NetAllergen-1.0) and can predict allergenicity from a

24 protein sequence.

Introduction

Currently, allergy affects as many as 20% of the worldwide population[1,2] and only in the US,

healthcare treatments costs 24.8 billion dollars annually[3]. Moreover, the prevalence of allergic

diseases has increased in the past decades, and it is likely to rise in the future[4,5].

Allergy is characterised by a pathological immune reaction, triggered by normally ubiquitous and

innocuous protein antigens in susceptible individuals[1]. In addition, allergy is a wide terminology

that encompasses a number of diseases primarily sharing a IgE-dependent pathway[6]. Allergy is

triggered through ingestion, inhalation or direct contact with the allergen[4]. Once the allergen

contacts the skin or mucosal tissues, antigen-presenting cells (APCs) uptake and digest allergens

into small peptides. Peptides with specific motifs are next presented by the major

histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II alleles to activate T helper (Th) 2 cells. In susceptible

individuals, Th2 cells further stimulate B cells to produce IgE antibodies in the first sensitization

stage. An individual develops an allergy when the immune system is re-exposed to the allergen.

The allergen is targeted by multiple IgE antibodies, which bind to Fc receptors in basophils and

mast cells activating the degranulation process[6]. Why and how only a small fraction of proteins

induce allergy is largely unknown. However, it has been suggested that allergens share specific

features, that according to the route and level of exposure, genetic predisposition, and

environmental factors can develop into allergies[7,8].

Different approaches have been applied to identify common patterns in allergens to predict

allergenicity. Early predictors were relational databases that clustered allergens according to their

protein sequence, or later to common structural domains, biochemical and functional

properties[7,9,10]. Allermatch, a sequence-comparing method, identifies allergens by finding shared

amino acids segments to known allergens[11]. Likewise, Blast has also been applied to search query

sequences against allergen databases in AllerTool[12]. Later, motif-profile predictions, such as in

WebAllergen, improved prediction by searching for similarities in potential functional domains[13].

Finally, machine learning-based methods with various features have been widely applied to predict

allergenicity. AlgPred was developed using support vector machines (SVMs) combining IgE

epitopes, motifs with amino acids and dipeptide compositions as features[14]. AllerHunter predicts

allergenicity using SVM with iterative pairwise sequence similarity as feature vectors[15]. In

addition to Blast, faster alignment tools were employed on Allerdictor, which uses K-mer

frequencies in a SVM[16]. The auto- and cross-covariance of Z-descriptors, the principal components

derived from 29 physicochemical properties matrix for 20 amino acids, were adopted in AllerTOP,

and AllerTOP v2 used the same transformation of E-descriptors which takes the principal

components from a matrix containing 237 properties[17,18]. AllergenFP was developed based on

descriptor fingerprints, which is based on Tanimoto coefficient similarity search[19]. Q-repeats, 3D

structural similarities, and sequential similarities were incorporated into the decision tree in

AllerCatPro[20]. AlgPred2 predicts sequences with hybrid scores by integrating Blast, and motif

features using a Random Forest model[21].

Although using different algorithms and alignment methods, current allergenicity predictors

highly rely on sequence similarity to known allergens, probably due to the FAO/WHO guidelines

for computational assessment of allergenic potential and the insurmountable task of IgE epitope

mapping towards allergens[5,20,22]. MHC class II antigen presentation plays an essential role in the

allergic response as allergen peptides need to be presented on MHC-II in order to develop Th2 and

IgE producing immune responses. However, to the best of our knowledge, yet no predictor has

used MHC presentation in allergenicity prediction. Recently, genome wide association studies

(GWAS) have revealed that several allergies are strongly associated with specific HLA alleles, in

particular HLA-DQ and HLA-DR alleles[23–25]. For example, allergic rhinitis has shown a strong

association with HLA-DRB1*04:01, HLA-DQB1*02:02, and HLA-DQB1*03:01[24]. The allele

HLA-DQA1*01:03-DQB1*06:01 was found to be associated with wheat allergy, and patients who

had celiac diseases carried HLA-DQA1*05:01-DQB1*02:01 and HLA-DQA1*03:01-

DQB1*03:02[23]. In allergic respiratory disease researches, HLA-DRB1*15:01 had association

with mite (Der p I)[26]   and pollen (Bet v 1) allergens[27]. However, the same allele was negatively

associated with gelatine[28] and cow's milk[29]. These associations not only point to HLA class II

specific alleles, but also suggest that specific amino acid positions in the peptide binding groove

shared among different alleles provide susceptibility or protection against different types of

allergies[23,24]. Together these findings strongly suggest that differential MHC-II presentation might

be involved in allergy.

In this study, we developed a random forest predictor, NetAllergen, using MHC class II peptide

presentation propensity for the relevant and strongly associated HLA-DR and HLA-DQ alleles in

combination with physicochemical properties, structural information, and evolutionary

information, and investigated the relevance of MHC presentation propensity for allergenicity

predictions. NetAllergen was benchmarked against a sequence-based Blast model and other state-

of-the-art predictors and demonstrated to achieve unprecedented performance particularly for

allergens that share limited similarity to previously known and well characterised allergens.

Materials and Methods
93

Training dataset
94

2171 allergen protein IDs were retrieved from AllergenOnline, a curated database of IgE

producing allergens (On September 2020)[30]. Available allergen fasta sequences were downloaded

from NCBI and filtered to include protein sequences that ranged in length from 50 to 1000 amino

acids. To ensure that no highly redundant sequences existed in the dataset, a Hobohm 1[31] algorithm

with 90% sequence identity was applied to the dataset, gathering 686 allergic protein sequences.

In Hobohm 1, the protein sequences were firstly sorted from longer to shorter length. The first and

longest protein sequence was added to a "unique list" and the subsequent sequence was queried

against the protein in the unique list using Blast alignment tool. Sequence percentage identity was

determined as the number of amino acids that have an exact match with regard to the shorter of

the DB/query protein length. If the sequence identity was greater than 90% to any protein in the

unique list, the query sequence was discarded, otherwise appended to the unique list. This strategy

was repeated for each allergen in the sorted list.

For each allergen, five non-allergen protein candidates were selected by searching matching

species names with the allergen, and excluding results with some keywords (allergen, hypothetical,

uncertain, predicted, probable, putative) in NCBI. Additionally, sequence lengths were limited to

the ±20% of the allergen length. Considering possible annotation issues and duplicates, candidate

sequences were searched against allergen and adopted non-allergen datasets. The candidates were

finally randomly selected with E-values higher than 1×10^{-10} against the positives (to avoid

including unannotated proteins with high similarity to the positives) and 1×10^{-100} (to avoid

including duplicates) against negative sequences. The number of non-allergens collected per

allergen subset may vary (below five), because of the limited set of proteins for some species

applying the current filters. The negative dataset consisted of 2477 non-allergic protein sequences.

Feature constructions

MHC-II presentation propensity scores

NetMHCIIpan 4.0[32] was used to predict the number of peptides with a likelihood of being

presented on MHC class II with a percentile rank below 10%. Here, predictions were made for all

possible peptides in the allergen protein sequence using a sliding window of length 15. From these

numbers, two different features were collected. Binder 1 includes the counts binders to HLA-

DRB1*04:01,   HLA-DQA1*02:01-DQB1*02:02,   HLA-DQA1*04:01-DQB1*03:01,   HLA-

DQA1*01:03-DQB1*06:01,   HLA-DQA1*03:01-DQB1*03:02,   and   HLA-DQA1*05:01-

DQB1*02:01 and Binder 2 the counts for HLA-DRB1*15:01. These sets of alleles were selected

as they were previously demonstrated to be positively (Binder 1) or negatively (Binder 2)

associated with allergies. Final MHC presentation propensity scores were calculated by summing

the binders over different allele settings normalised based on protein sequence length.

Physicochemical properties

The physicochemical properties collected here included average residue weight, average charge,

and isoelectric point. Amino acids were also classified into tiny, small, aliphatic, aromatic, non-

polar, polar, charged, basic, and acidic groups by size, structure and charge. These were obtained

from Pepstats API in the EMBL-EBI tools[33]. Additionally, hydropathy indices were calculated

using the Kyte-Doolittle scale[34]. Positive scores on the Kyte-Doolittle scale indicate that the amino

acid is hydrophilic, while negative scores indicate that the amino acid is hydrophobic. A window

size of 9 equally weighted amino acids was used to determine position-specific hydropathy indices.

Based on the sequential indices, we calculated an overall score for a protein by counting the

number of hydrophobic or hydrophilic residues in a sliding window of 5. If all consecutive residues

within a window have the same tendency to be hydrophobic or hydrophilic, a 1 was added to the

overall score. To eliminate the influence of length on feature value, the scores were normalised by

the protein sequence length.

Local structural features

Structural features were represented here by alpha-helix, beta-sheet, relative solvent accessibility

(RSA) and disordered regions. These features were predicted by using NetSurfP 2.0 [19]. NetSurfP

predicts secondary structures specific to a given position. To obtain a single count for each

structural property, the occurrences in a sliding window of seven amino acids was counted. The

occurrences of 5 predicted alpha-helix residues and 3 predicted beta-sheet residues within the

sliding window summarised both alpha-helix and beta-sheet counts per protein. For RSA, the

average value in the same window size was calculated and compared to a threshold of 0.3. If the

threshold was exceeded, the average relative solvent accessibility was added 1. Additionally, under

the same window size setting, the presence of more than three residues with disorder higher than

0.5 was considered to contribute to the overall disorder. Likewise, these structural features were

normalised by the sequence length.

Amino acid compositions and Evolutionary information

The relative amino acid frequencies in the protein sequence were represented as 20 features

regarded as amino acid compositions (AAC). Further, the evolutionary information was

represented by the position-specific scoring matrix (PSSM). The PSI-Blast from the NCBI-Blast

2.12.0 tool packages was performed to produce the PSSM[35]. To search query sequences with PSI-

Blast, the sequences in the training datasets were used as a Blast database. The E-value threshold

was set to 0.001. We replaced the PSSMs of query sequences with no hits with the default scoring

matrix BLOSUM62 due to the database limit. We applied the autocovariance (AC) transformation

to vectorize the PSSM for a protein.

$$AC_{i,l} = \frac{1}{L-l} \sum_{j=1}^{L-l} (P_{i,j} - \bar{P}_i)(P_{i,j+l} - \bar{P}_i)$$

where i, i1, or i2 represents a specific amino acid, l is the lag to calculate the covariance, L is the

protein sequence length, j is the amino acid position, Pi,j is a score of amino acid i at position j in

the PSSM. As a result of vectorizing the PSSM, 20 autocovariance features are generated.

Model development

Clustering

Allergen proteins sharing sequence similarity were clustered together using Blast E-score and

minimum spanning tree (MST). First, each allergen in the dataset was aligned against all the other

allergens using BlastP (from BLAST 2.12.0) using a leave-one-out (LOO) database that consisted

of 685 allergens leaving the query sequence out. After comparing every pair of sequences, a

squared matrix of E-values is obtained. Next, the threshold E-value $1x10^{-10}$ was used to construct

a binary similarity matrix. If the E-value was lower than $1x10^{-10}$ it was assigned to 1, if bigger than

0. Based on the binary matrix, clusters were generated by the minimum spanning tree algorithm,

implying that all the sequences sharing similarity to at least one common neighbour (value of 1)

were clustered together. While the use of E-value score is dependent on sequence and database

length, its use is more robust and independent of the alignment length when the database is fixed

compared to percentage of identity.

Redundancy reduction

Redundancy in the training dataset was further reduced by removing the proteins that after

clustering with MST had more than 20 edges (several numbers of edges were tried to find the

optimal, see results section). The final positive dataset had 537 allergic proteins after removing

sequence redundancy.

Data partitioning

After clustering the positive allergens, all the non-allergens associated with each allergen (sharing

the same species and length range) were grouped together. The clusters from MST were randomly

distributed into five partitions with similar sizes. Ten different random seeds were used to generate

different partitions.

Cross-validation

A nested cross-validation was used for model training and performance evaluation. Based on the

data partitioning, the outer layer of nested cross-validation had five folds, and the inner layers had

four folds to ensure that partitions were mutually independent. Hyperparameter tuning and model

selection were carried out on the training and validation datasets. The selected optimal models

predicted on the outer test datasets. The inner four folds provided an ensemble prediction by an

average of the four predictions. By concatenating the predictions from the five outer test sets, we

obtained the final machine learning prediction results for the entire dataset.

Normalisation

In our study, we performed standardisation on our data to eliminate the effect of different feature

scales introduced by average residue weights and other features. All features were transformed by

z-score before model training. The z-scores were calculated based upon the means and standard

deviations of each training dataset. Data in test datasets was normalised by the means and standard

deviations from the training datasets which were used for model construction.

Random forest

In this study, random forest (RF) were applied for model constructions. We implemented grid

searches for hyperparameter tuning to determine a set of hyperparameters that would best fit data.

The hyperparameters include the number of estimators, the maximum depth of the decision tree,

the minimum samples for split and leaf, and impurity criterion. We evaluated all combinations of

hyperparameters in the grid and the set of hyperparameters with the best performance was selected.

Ten models were generated from the ten different seeds used for partitioning. The final model was

made as an ensemble of the ten different models.

Baseline model

Allergens in training datasets were used to construct the Blast database. Sequences in the outer

folds were searched against these databases and the E-values were extracted as the predictions of

the Blast-baseline model.

Evaluation datasets

To validate the models, two independent validation datasets were collected. The first one was collected from the AlgPred2 validation dataset[21]. Here identical duplicates were removed leaving 765 allergen and 2015 non-allergen sequences. Since the publicly available AlgPred2 method was retrained adding the validation dataset, this data set cannot be used to validate AlgPred2. Therefore, we constructed a new validation dataset to further evaluate and benchmark against AlgPred2. Here, allergens were retrieved from Allergen Nomenclature[36], Allerbase[37], COMPARE[38], Allergome[39], and SDAP[10] databases (On December 2021). Allergenic protein sequences that were identical to positive sequences in the training dataset were excluded. Next, the same procedure to collect non-allergens in the training dataset was applied here to obtain the negative dataset. This validation dataset contained 1065 positive and 4914 negative proteins.

Evaluation metrics

The predictive performance was evaluated by the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC or AUC), AUC 0.1 and the positive predictive value (PPV). AUC 0.1 was calculated as the area integrated to 10% false positive rate, thus indicating a value of 0.1 as random performance. The higher AUC or AUC 0.1, the better model performance. For the PPV, the predicted values were firstly sorted in descending order, next PPV was obtained by counting the number of predicted values with positive targets for the first n instances and normalised by n, where n was the number of allergens.

Bootstrapping was used to statistically compare the predictions of the different models. In this case, to find the significant differences between models, paired predictions from two models were

randomly sampled with replacement. Next the AUC of resampled data was calculated for each

model. This was repeated 10,000 times. In the final step, the p-value for model 1 outperforming

model 2 was calculated by counting the occurrences of AUC from model 2 greater than model 1

and divided by the number of samplings.

Results

Data redundancy reduction

One of the big and current challenges in machine learning approaches is data leakage, which

originates from data used for the model to learn, with high redundancy to what is later evaluated

on[40]. This issue not only over-estimates model accuracy but also curbs its generalisation and

therefore hinders its prediction ability. In particular, the multiple allergen databases that exist

contain a great number of homologous sequences or iso-allergens[37]. While highly relying in

sequence similarity may facilitate the task of finding homologous candidate allergens in

unexplored species, it might derange the effort to find new protein families or unrelated unknown

allergens. Hence, we first developed a pipeline to efficiently remove similar sequences from the

allergens dataset using Blast sequence alignment and minimum spanning tree (MST), which

connects into single clusters all proteins sharing sequence similarity over a threshold.

Allergen protein sequences were collected from AllergenOnline[30] and filtered to remove atypically

short and long sequences, highly redundant or identical sequences (detailed in Methods section,

Figure 1A). After this, 686 allergen sequences were clustered by MST using leave-one-out (LOO)

Blast sequence similarity E-values lower than 1×10^{-10} to define neighbours (Fig 1B). Non-allergens

are not trivial to obtain since they are not experimentally validated. Here, for each allergen, we

collected five protein sequences not annotated as allergens from the same species of the allergen

as described in material and methods (Fig 1C).

Figure 1. Data collection. A. Positive data collection. Allergens were collected from the AllergenOnline database

and filtered by length (50-100) and similarity (90% identity). **B.** Clustering. Clusters were generated using the

minimum spanning tree algorithm and distances from Blast leave one out (LOO) E-values (detailed in methods

section). **C.** Negative data collection. Non-allergen sequences (blue) were collected from Uniprot and added in a 5:1

ratio to positive allergens (red) searching for the same species in each allergen. Candidates that meet the length (range

20% allergen) and similarity (E-values) requirements were selected. The similarity was determined by searching

against the allergen dataset and non-allergen proteins that had been adopted.

MHC presentation propensity

In order to induce Th2 responses and IgE production, allergens are first digested by antigen

presenting cells and selected peptides are presented on MHC-II complexes. Therefore, we

hypothesised that allergens must contain MHC-II presentable peptides to induce allergy. Recently,

GWAS studies of patients with allergic rhinitis, wheat allergy and other food allergies have shown

strong association to specific MHC class II alleles, including HLA-DR and HLA-DQ[23,24,26].

Therefore, NetMHCIIpan was used to predict MHC presentation potential for allergens and non-

allergens on a set of selected alleles[41]. MHC-II presentation propensity was collected by adding

the counts of predicted binders for a set of relevant alleles derived from genetic associations found

in allergies. In particular two features were created grouping all positive and negative allele

associations called binder 1 (including HLA-DRB1*04:01, HLA-DQA1*02:01-DQB1*02:02,

HLA-DQA1*04:01-DQB1*03:01,   HLA-DQA1*01:03-DQB1*06:01,   HLA-DQA1*03:01-

DQB1*03:02, HLA-DQA1*05:01-DQB1*02:01), and binder 2 (including HLA-DRB1*15:01)

respectively.

In addition to MHC-II presentation propensity, previously described classification features for

allergen/non-allergens were included (for details see methods). Those include composition of

amino acids, physicochemical properties, structural features (alpha helix, beta sheets, coiled

regions and relative solvent accessibility), and evolutionary properties. Feature distributions for

allergen and non-allergens were illustrated by violin plots for the most significant features

displaying the median, quantiles, and densities of each set of data (Figure 2). T-statistic and p-

values were calculated to statistically investigate the feature differences. For binder 1, the t-statistic

and p-value were 12.892 and 4.97×10^{-34} respectively, indicating a higher MHC-II presentation

propensity on allergens compared to non-allergens. Differences on binder 2 were not statistically

significant, suggesting that HLA-DRB1*15:01 presentation propensity was less discriminative for

this dataset. When comparing the average residue weight, the t-test had a negative t-statistic value

at the significant level. These results corresponded to the composition of small-size amino acids

and suggested that allergens are characterised by a higher proportion of small-size amino acids.

Likewise, allergens were found to be more likely hydrophilic and less hydrophobic, as previously

described[7], and containing less arginine and more aspartic acid. The t-statistic for alpha-helix was

negative, and beta-sheet positive which indicated the allergens are depleted in alpha-helices and

enriched to beta-sheet compared to non-allergens confirming previous observations[42].

We next determined the AUC of each single feature to estimate how well each feature

discriminated when used as an individual prediction. Here, AUC values below 0.5 would suggest

that allergic proteins have lower feature values than non-allergic proteins. As expected, the results

of these analyses aligned with the t-statistics above, i.e. allergens had more MHC-II binder1, more

small-size amino acids, less alpha-helices, more beta sheets, more hydrophilic proteins and

containing more aspartic acid and less Arginine (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Distribution and individual-feature performances on selected features for allergens and non-

allergens. T-test was used to compare the significant difference between allergens (red, A) and non-allergens (blue,

NA). The AUC was calculated with feature values and the targets. "small_perc" corresponds to the relative abundance

of small-size amino acids (A, C, D, G, N, P, S, T, V) in a given protein sequence. Likewise, D and R correspond to

the frequencies of Aspartic acid and Arginine per protein.

Cross validation performances

After showing that MHC presentation propensity is a discriminatory feature for allergens, we

sought to incorporate this feature into machine learning models in order to improve state of the art

allergenicity predictors. Each allergen set from MST clustering and its negative associated

sequences were kept together, and the clusters were randomly distributed into five partitions

(Figure 3). A five-fold nested cross-validation scheme was performed, and the best random forest

hyperparameters were selected in the inner loop to avoid overfitting (Fig 3B). MHC presentation

propensity was combined with different sets of features, optimal performance on the training data

was obtained with a random forest model with 60 features (60F) (Supp. Table 2). The 60F model

included MHC presentation propensity, physicochemical features, amino acid compositions, auto-

covariances and structural features (for details refer to Material and Methods section). Finally, the

partitions from MST clusters were repeated ten times using different random seeds and a final

random forest model ensemble was generated. The ensemble outperformed significantly the

individual models in each random seed (Fig 3C).

Figure 3. Model development pipeline of NetAllergen. A. Partitioning. Five partitions randomly combining the clusters obtained from minimum spanning tree (MST) clustering were distributed using ten different random seeds. Every allergen (red bar) and its five associated negatives (blue bars) share the same partition. **B.** Cross Validation. A nested cross-validation setup was used using five folds in the outer layer and four folds in the inner layer. **C.** Ensemble prediction. AUC Prediction performance of the individual models for each seed and the ensemble performance based on the average of the ten model predictions. Ensemble AUC was significantly higher than the individual partitions (P, p-value < 0.05) except when comparing to P3, which it was not significant (p-value 0.911).

Since we have previously observed that data redundancy in the training dataset greatly influences

the trained model partitions (data not shown), we assessed further removing clusters which

contained highly similar sequences to further cut-out redundancy in the dataset. Cross-validation

predictive performance was evaluated to find the optimal filter for removing the allergens with a

higher number of neighbours in the tree network after MST clustering (Figure 4). The removal of

highly connected vertices in the tree reduced the bias effect of highly similar sequences and

improved the model performance. However, when applying more stringent filters (removing

vertices with fewer than 20 neighbours) caused a loss of informative allergen sequences as well as

the performance. Hence, we applied a filter of 20 and removed the sequences that had more than

20 neighbours in the MST clusters.

Figure 4. AUC performances and data sizes for models with different pruning filters for the number of

neighbours. The AUROC was evaluated on the minimal common subset (the dataset with a filter of 5).

MHC presentation propensity is amongst the most important features

The advantage of simple machine learning (ML) models such as random forest is their ease of

feature importance interpretation. Here, we calculated feature importance as the mean decrease of

Gini impurity for each specific feature in all the trees. A higher value indicates a better split of the

data using that feature. We explored the contribution of the different features in the random forest

model of 60F and in a reduced model of 20F (Performance shown in Supp. Table 2) only including;

MHC presentation propensity (MHC), structural properties (NSP), and physicochemical features

(PCP). MHC II presentation propensity binder 1 was the most important feature in the simpler 20F

model (Fig 5A). The frequency of small amino acids (Small), average relative solvent accessibility

(AvgRSA), binder 2, acidic amino acids, and alpha helix and beta sheet were also informative

features to discriminate allergens and non-allergens. In the 60F model, although the binder 1 was

no longer the most important feature, it still played an important role in the prediction (Fig 5B).

The binder 1 feature thus showed consistency of importance in both the 20F and the 60F model.

In contrast, the binder 2 feature became less important in the 60F model. Further, the amino acid

composition of arginine, aspartic acid, and lysine, together with the auto-covariance of PSSM on

Glutamine (AC_Q) were found to be among the most discriminatory features in the 60F model.

The difference in feature importance between shared features of the 60F and 20F models may

indicate feature correlations on the amino acid composition features.

Figure 5. Median feature importance for random forest (RF) models. Medians are calculated from cross-validation partitions and 10 random seeds in each random forest as the mean decrease of Gini impurity for **A.** 20 features (20F) model, and **B.** 60F model. Individual features were grouped into physicochemical properties (PCP, blue), hydropathy (HYD, pink), structural information (NSP, yellow), MHC-II presentation propensity (MHC, red), amino acid compositions (COMP, green), and evolutionary information (AC, purple). Average relative solvent accessibility (AvgRSA).

To further investigate the importance of MHC II features, models removing the binder 1 and binder 2 were trained and evaluated using cross-validation. When the MHC II features were removed from the 60F model (58F model), AUC was reduced from 0.913 to 0.911 and AUC 0.1 from 0.509 to 0.505 (Table 1). Only the AUC difference of 58F and 60F was however found to be statistically significant. For the 20F model however, when taking out the MHC presentation propensity features (18F), the reduction in prediction power was found to be significant for all performance metrics (AUC, AUC 0.1 and PPV) (Table 1). Consistently with feature importance in those models, this

401   result might indicate that the addition of amino acid compositions and autocovariances could be

402   correlated with MHC presentation propensity.

404   **Table 1. Predictive performance and significant difference of models removing MHC II features**

Model	AUC	p-value	AUC 0.1	p-value	PPV	p-value
58F	0.911	0.022	0.505	0.288	0.657	0.612
60F	0.913		0.509		0.646	
18F	0.858	< 0.001	0.317	0.003	0.516	0.016
20F	0.869		0.348		0.544	

406   Note: All p-values were obtained using bootstrapping.

Model comparison

Sequence similarity to previously known allergens is the main driver of previously developed

allergenicity predictors[5,20,22]. Therefore, we have constructed a sequence similarity baseline model

using the Blast algorithm. In the Blast-baseline model, the predicted value for each potential

allergen from the validation dataset was taken from the best hit in the training dataset. This baseline

model was compared to the random forest model of 60F on the AlgPred2 independent data set (see

Methods). Overall, the Blast-baseline model had a higher performance (AUC of 0.923) on the full

validation dataset compared to the random forest of 60F (AUC 0.886, Supp Table 3). This very

high performance of the Blast-baseline model clearly suggests the high similarity of the allergens

in the validation dataset towards allergens in the training data set. However, it is expected that the

Blast-baseline model will decrease performance on unknown or unrelated new allergen sequences.

To investigate this and quantify how model-performance correlates with sequence similarity to the

training dataset, we sorted the validation dataset from high to low similarity. Here, similarity is

defined as the lowest E-value between the query protein and the positive training data-set. We

observed that the Blast-baseline model performed slightly better than our 60F model for highly

query entries with high similarity to the training data, but that the performance drops dramatically

when sequence similarity decreases (Figure 6). On the contrary, the random forest model (RF_60F)

demonstrated a more consistent AUC performance on all the dataset and outperformed Blast on

proteins that were less similar (E-value > 1×10^{-50}) to sequences in the allergen database (Figure

6A). The same trend can be observed for AUC 0.1 (Figure 6B).

Figure 6. Model performance for variable datasets with decreasing similarity thresholds on AlgPred2

validation dataset. The sequences were sorted by similarities against allergens in the training dataset with a

descending order. The vertical line indicated the changes of performance and split the curves into areas of higher and

lower similarities. For the rightmost curve, the number of sequences reduced as the decreasing similarity, which

resulted in that the evaluation was less robust because of noise. Blast-baseline model (BLAST). **A.** AUC. **B.** AUC 0.1.

In order to compare to state of the art allergenicity predictors, we benchmark the random forest

model against AlgPred2[21]. Since AlgPred2 was available in a re-trained format using the validation

dataset used in their publication, we collected a second and independent validation dataset of

allergens that were published after the AlgPred2 method was retrained (detailed information in

Methods). Due to a temporary inaccessibility of NetSurfP 2.0, the full feature space could not be

constructed. Thus, we benchmarked against AlgPred2 and Blast, a reduced 56F model by

removing the four secondary structure features. Removing the structural features displayed a

significant drop in performance (Table 2). As in the earlier benchmark, the new independent

validation set was ranked from higher to lower similarity Blast E-values against the positive

sequences in the combined training data sets of the RF and AlgPred2 models. Performance

evaluation was measured in a similar fashion as before for the baseline model. Both AUC and

AUC 0.1 performances behaved similarly as before, showing a higher performance of AlgPred2

and Blast for the highly similar sequences (Figure 7). In a similar fashion both AlgPred2 and Blast

performances dropped below that of the RF_56 model when similarity to training-allergens E-

values were higher than 1×10^{-42}. This result suggests the 56F model had superior predictive power

on novel sequences which were not included in current allergen databases.

Table 2. Cross validation performance of models with (60F) or without structural features (56F)

Model	AUC	p-value	AUC 0.1	p-value	PPV	p-value
RF_60F	0.913	< 0.001	0.509	< 0.001	0.646	0.066
RF_56F	0.903		0.481		0.639	

Figure 7. Model performance with different similarity thresholds on the new validation dataset. The similarities

were represented by -log(E-value) which were obtained from the Blast-baseline model(BLAST). The searching

database consisted of the positive sequences from our and AlgPred2 dataset. The noise in decreasing data size led to

the less robust curves at the rightmost part. **A.** AUC. **B.** AUC0.1.

Web server

The 56F random forest model is deployed as a web server considering the inaccessibility and

computational cost of NetSurfP 2.0. The allergenicity predictor is available at

https://services.healthtech.dtu.dk/service.php?NetAllergen-1.0. The program accepts a fasta file of

protein sequences and provides a prediction result table (Figure 8).

Figure 8. Web service of NetAllergen-1.0.

Discussion

Understanding the nature of allergenicity is of high importance as it contributes to the development

of future diagnostic tools and therapeutic strategies. Previous allergen predictors have focused on

answering the question of what makes an allergen. We have here proposed a first attempt of

answering the question of why only some individuals develop allergies towards ubiquitous

proteins. The answer may relate to presentation on particular HLA class II alleles.

Here, we have developed a new allergen predictor called NetAllergen which incorporates a

significant novel feature regarding MHC class II presentation. NetAllergen was trained on a

carefully curated dataset where redundant allergen sequences were removed. The method was

demonstrated to perform better than a state-of-the-art predictor AlgPred2, and a Blast-baseline

model when sequence similarity towards known allergens is reduced.

Although it has long been recognised that allergy has a strong HLA association component[43], still

very little is known about the mechanisms that trigger allergy. Recently, large genome-wide

association studies pointed to conserved specific amino acid positions in the HLA-II binding

groove determining protective or risk variants for certain allergies[23,24]. This observation suggests

that MHC-II presentation in those alleles might have an important role in allergies. We have

therefore hypothesised that allergen protein sequences might have an increased number of

presentation motifs towards those specific alleles compared to non-allergens. Here, we showed

that this is indeed the case. HLA presentation propensity to binder 1 alleles (HLA-DRB1*04:01,

HLA-DQA1*02:01-DQB1*02:02, HLA-DQA1*04:01-DQB1*03:01, HLA-DQA1*01:03-

DQB1*06:01, HLA-DQA1*03:01-DQB1*03:02, and HLA-DQA1*05:01-DQB1*02:01) was the

single stronger discriminant feature for allergens (AUC=0.68), compared to previously reported

hydrophilicity (AUC=0.61) or beta sheets (AUC=0.55). In addition, incorporation of this novel

feature into random forest models boosted performance for allergenicity prediction.

The advantage of random forest compared to other more complex models in machine learning is

its ease of interpretability. Therefore, we have picked this simple model to better analyse feature

importance. Also, here, MHC presentation propensity binder 1 was found to be one of the most

important features when analysing which features best split the data in the random forest.

Moreover, removing MHC class II presentation features from the model (18F), significantly

reduced performance compared to a model including those features (20F) in several performance

measures (Table 1). This suggested that MHC-II presentation is an informative classification

allergen feature. However, when incorporating additional features such as amino acid

compositions, and evolutionary information of the amino acids, those improvements were only

moderate). In the 60F models, Arginine frequency was the most important feature followed by

MHC-II presentation binder 1, auto-covariance of glutamine (AC_Q), and amino acid

compositions of Aspartic acid, Leucine, Cysteine and Lysine. While MHC-II presentation features

are based on the count of presentation motifs to specific MHC II alleles, the amino acid

compositions count the percentage of amino acids in a protein sequence. They both capture

important amino acids information in two distinct approaches, explaining why the 60F model did

not show very significant improvements against the 58F model.

In addition to the MHC II binders, the exploration revealed other important features. The average

residue weight was negatively correlated to allergenicity and small amino acids composition (A,

C, D, G, N, P, S, T, V) had positive correlation. In particular, Threonine and Valine are branched

on the beta-carbon and thus are more often found on beta strands than in alpha helices. On the

other hand, Proline is also disfavoured on alpha helices as its imino ring is not able to adopt normal

helical main chain conformations[44]. These findings align with previous observations also found

here that allergens have a higher abundance of beta sheets and less alpha helices compared to non-

allergens[42].

Other properties, such as acidic amino acids, were significant but lower than some single amino

acid compositions (such as AC_Q, R or D). Compositions related to Arginine and Aspartic acid

tended to have respectively a negative and positive correlation to allergy (Figure 2), in agreement

with more acid amino acid compositions. Auto-covariance (AC) represents the average covariance

between the sequence and itself. Hence, the coefficients of AC_Q indicated that Glutamine might

be present in a large number of repetitions in the sequence. This information suggested by AC of

Glutamine was similar to the Q-repeats features used in AllerCatPro, thus aligning with previous

findings for allergens[20].

Many important applications in bioinformatics, including sequence alignment and machine

learning algorithms, employ sequence weighting schemes to mitigate the effects of non-

independent homologous sequences over-represented in a dataset[45,46]. In particular, allergen

datasets are highly redundant as many homologous proteins are discovered in closely related

species. Moreover, strict sequence similarity guidelines proposed by the FAO/WHO to assess

allergenicity in-silico may have favoured the discovery of iso-allergens. As part of the

development of NetAllergen, we have proposed a novel pipeline to deal with highly redundant

datasets and avoid data leakage issues. Here we have showcased how this pipeline can be applied

to real-life datasets aiding the development of data-driven prediction methods. We believe this

proposed pipeline to be broadly applicable and expect it to be of general use for the community.

Key points

- NetAllergen-1.0, a random forest allergenicity predictor, incorporates novel MHC II presentation features outperforming state-of-the-art classifiers for allergens that share less similarities with known allergens.

- Here we developed a new sequence redundancy reduction pipeline to prevent data leakage between training and test and ensure the model could effectively learn patterns from less similar protein sequences.

- MHC class II presentation propensity of allergen-specific HLA II alleles is highly associated with protein allergenicity.

- Feature exploration in the random forest reveals the importance of physicochemical properties, secondary structures and motifs for differentiating allergens from non-allergens.

Data Availability Statement

The data used in this manuscript is available at the web-server link. https://services.healthtech.dtu.dk/service.php?NetAllergen-1.0.

Authorship

YL collected the data, developed the pipeline, trained the models, analysed and interpreted the data; MN analysed and interpreted the data, provided critical revision of the article; and CB

562   analysed and interpreted the data, provided critical revision of the article, and conceived the work.

563   All authors wrote the paper and approved the final version to be published.

564   Competing interest

565   All authors declare no competing interest.

References

1. Yang, L., Fu, J. & Zhou, Y. Research Progress in Atopic March. *Front Immunol* **11**, 1907 (2020).

2. Cianferoni, A. Non-IgE Mediated Food Allergy. *Curr Pediatr Rev* **16**, 95–105 (2020).

3. Gupta, R. *et al.* The economic impact of childhood food allergy in the United States. *JAMA Pediatr* **167**, 1026–1031 (2013).

4. Sicherer, S. H. & Sampson, H. A. Food allergy: A review and update on epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, prevention, and management. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* **141**, 41–58 (2018).

5. European Food Safety Authority. Workshop on allergenicity assessment – prediction. *EFS3* **18**, (2021).

6. Yu, W., Freeland, D. M. H. & Nadeau, K. C. Food allergy: immune mechanisms, diagnosis and immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Immunol* **16**, 751–765 (2016).

7. Scheurer, S., Toda, M. & Vieths, S. What makes an allergen? *Clin Exp Allergy* **45**, 1150–1161 (2015).

8. du Toit, G., Tsakok, T., Lack, S. & Lack, G. Prevention of food allergy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* **137**, 998–1010 (2016).

9. Ivanciuc, O., Schein, C. H. & Braun, W. Data mining of sequences and 3D structures of allergenic proteins. *Bioinformatics* **18**, 1358–1364 (2002).

10. Ivanciuc, O., Schein, C. H. & Braun, W. SDAP: database and computational tools for allergenic proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res* **31**, 359–362 (2003).

11. Fiers, M. W. E. J. *et al.* Allermatch, a webtool for the prediction of potential allergenicity according to current FAO/WHO Codex alimentarius guidelines. *BMC Bioinformatics* **5**, 133 (2004).

12. Zhang, Z. H. *et al.* AllerTool: a web server for predicting allergenicity and allergic cross-reactivity in proteins. *Bioinformatics* **23**, 504–506 (2007).

13. Riaz, T., Hor, H. L., Krishnan, A., Tang, F. & Li, K.-B. WebAllergen: a web server for predicting allergenic proteins. *Bioinformatics* **21**, 2570–2571 (2005).

14. Saha, S. & Raghava, G. P. S. AlgPred: prediction of allergenic proteins and mapping of IgE epitopes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **34**, W202-209 (2006).

15. Muh, H. C., Tong, J. C. & Tammi, M. T. AllerHunter: A SVM-Pairwise System for Assessment of

Allergenicity and Allergic Cross-Reactivity in Proteins. *PLoS ONE* **4**, e5861 (2009).

16. Dang, H. X. & Lawrence, C. B. Allerdictor: fast allergen prediction using text classification techniques.

Bioinformatics **30**, 1120–1128 (2014).

17. Dimitrov, I., Flower, D. R. & Doytchinova, I. AllerTOP--a server for in silico prediction of allergens.

BMC Bioinformatics **14 Suppl 6**, S4 (2013).

18. Dimitrov, I., Bangov, I., Flower, D. R. & Doytchinova, I. AllerTOP v.2--a server for in silico prediction

of allergens. *J Mol Model* **20**, 2278 (2014).

19. Dimitrov, I., Naneva, L., Doytchinova, I. & Bangov, I. AllergenFP: allergenicity prediction by descriptor

fingerprints. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 846–851 (2014).

20. Maurer-Stroh, S. *et al.* AllerCatPro-prediction of protein allergenicity potential from the protein

sequence. *Bioinformatics* **35**, 3020–3027 (2019).

21. Sharma, N. *et al.* AlgPred 2.0: an improved method for predicting allergenic proteins and mapping of

IgE epitopes. *Brief Bioinform* **22**, bbaa294 (2021).

22. Pomés, A., Mueller, G. A. & Chruszcz, M. Structural Aspects of the Allergen-Antibody Interaction.

Front Immunol **11**, 2067 (2020).

23. Noguchi, E. *et al.* HLA-DQ and RBFOX1 as susceptibility genes for an outbreak of hydrolyzed wheat

allergy. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* **144**, 1354–1363 (2019).

24. The 23andMe Research Team *et al.* Genome-wide association and HLA fine-mapping studies

identify risk loci and genetic pathways underlying allergic rhinitis. *Nat Genet* **50**, 1072–1080 (2018).

25. Marenholz, I. *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies the SERPINB gene cluster as a

susceptibility locus for food allergy. *Nat Commun* **8**, 1056 (2017).

26. Moffatt, M. F. *et al.* Association between quantitative traits underlying asthma and the HLA-DRB1

locus in a family-based population sample. *Eur J Hum Genet* **9**, 341–346 (2001).

27. Van Overtvelt, L. *et al.* Assessment of Bet v 1-Specific CD4^{+} T Cell Responses in Allergic and

Nonallergic Individuals Using MHC Class II Peptide Tetramers. *J Immunol* **180**, 4514–4522 (2008).

28. Sakaguchi, M. *et al.* Analysis of HLA in children with gelatin allergy: Sakaguchi et al : HLA in children

with gelatin allergy. *Tissue Antigens* **59**, 412–416 (2002).

29. Dimitrov, I. & Doytchinova, I. Associations between Milk and Egg Allergens and the HLA-DRB1/DQ

Polymorphism: A Bioinformatics Approach. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* **169**, 33–39 (2016).

30. Goodman, R. E. *et al.* AllergenOnline: A peer-reviewed, curated allergen database to assess novel

food proteins for potential cross-reactivity. *Mol Nutr Food Res* **60**, 1183–1198 (2016).

31. Hobohm, U., Scharf, M., Schneider, R. & Sander, C. Selection of representative protein data sets.

Protein Sci **1**, 409–417 (1992).

32. Reynisson, B., Alvarez, B., Paul, S., Peters, B. & Nielsen, M. NetMHCpan-4.1 and NetMHCIIpan-4.0:

improved predictions of MHC antigen presentation by concurrent motif deconvolution and integration

of MS MHC eluted ligand data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **48**, W449–W454 (2020).

33. Madeira, F. *et al.* The EMBL-EBI search and sequence analysis tools APIs in 2019. *Nucleic Acids*

Res **47**, W636–W641 (2019).

34. Kyte, J. & Doolittle, R. F. A simple method for displaying the hydropathic character of a protein. *J Mol*

Biol **157**, 105–132 (1982).

35. Klausen, M. S. *et al.* NetSurfP-2.0: Improved prediction of protein structural features by integrated

deep learning. *Proteins* **87**, 520–527 (2019).

36. Pomés, A. *et al.* WHO/IUIS Allergen Nomenclature: Providing a common language. *Mol Immunol*

100, 3–13 (2018).

37. Kadam, K., Karbhal, R., Jayaraman, V. K., Sawant, S. & Kulkarni-Kale, U. AllerBase: a

comprehensive allergen knowledgebase. *Database (Oxford)* **2017**, (2017).

38. van Ree, R. *et al.* The COMPARE Database: A Public Resource for Allergen Identification, Adapted

for Continuous Improvement. *Front. Allergy* **2**, 700533 (2021).

39. Mari, A. & Scala, E. Allergome: a unifying platform. *Arb Paul Ehrlich Inst Bundesamt Sera Impfstoffe*

Frankf A M 29–39; discussion 39-40 (2006).

40. Gibney, E. Could machine learning fuel a reproducibility crisis in science? *Nature* d41586-022-02035-

w (2022) doi:10.1038/d41586-022-02035-w.

41. Reynisson, B. *et al.* Improved Prediction of MHC II Antigen Presentation through Integration and

Motif Deconvolution of Mass Spectrometry MHC Eluted Ligand Data. *J. Proteome Res.* **19**, 2304–

2315 (2020).

42. Dall'antonia, F., Pavkov-Keller, T., Zangger, K. & Keller, W. Structure of allergens and structure

based epitope predictions. *Methods* **66**, 3–21 (2014).

43. Levine, B. B., Stember, R. H. & Fotino, M. Ragweed hay fever: genetic control and linkage to HL-A

haplotypes. *Science* **178**, 1201–1203 (1972).

44. Betts, M. J. & Russell, R. B. Amino Acid Properties and Consequences of Substitutions. in

Bioinformatics for Geneticists (eds. Barnes, M. R. & Gray, I. C.) 289–316 (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd,

2003). doi:10.1002/0470867302.ch14.

45. De Maio, N. *et al.* A phylogenetic approach for weighting genetic sequences. *BMC Bioinformatics* **22**,

285 (2021).

46. Nielsen, H., Tsirigos, K. D., Brunak, S. & von Heijne, G. A Brief History of Protein Sorting Prediction.

Protein J **38**, 200–216 (2019).

660