Cluster-driven evolution and modularity uncover paths of cholera emergence

Mario López-Pérez[a,b,c], Trudy-Ann Grant[a,b#], Jose M. Haro-Moreno[c#], Asier Zaragoza-Solas[c], Salvador Almagro-Moreno[a,b*].

[a]Burnett School of Biomedical Sciences, College of Medicine, University of Central Florida, Orlando, Florida, USA; [b]National Center for Integrated Coastal Research, University of Central Florida, Orlando, Florida, USA; [c]Evolutionary Genomics Group, División de Microbiología, Universidad Miguel Hernández, Alicante, Spain.

*Address correspondence to: Salvador Almagro-Moreno, samoreno@ucf.edu
# Contributed equally

Running title: Cluster-driven evolution of *Vibrio cholerae*

Keywords:
Pathogen emergence / *Vibrio cholerae* / aquatic pathogen / virulence evolution / population genomics / cluster divergence

ABSTRACT

Pathogen emergence remains one of the most pressing public health concerns of our times. Here, using the agent of cholera, the *Vibrio cholerae* pandemic cholera group (PCG) as a model system, we investigate the evolutionary dynamics that lead to the emergence of human pathogens from environmental populations. Genomic comparison of over 1,100 *V. cholerae* genomes including novel isolates from this study, reveal a generalized cluster-driven phylogeny and evolution of the species. Emergence of PCG is largely based on modular acquisition of mobile genetic elements including small gene clusters and allelic variations, with distinct phylogenomic clusters acting as differential reservoirs of virulence factors. Surprisingly, PCG encodes few unique genes, which are mostly encoded within the super-integron, however, many genes within the group exhibit an aberrant degree of positive selection. Our analyses provide a compelling scenario for the emergence of pandemic clones in *V. cholerae* stablishing a blueprint for other bacterial pathogens.

INTRODUCTION

The emergence of human pathogens is one of the most pressing and persistent public health concerns of modern times, with new outbreaks of varying magnitude occurring regularly around the world[1–4]. Pathogen emergence is a complex and multifactorial phenomenon that culminates with a microorganism acquiring the ability to colonize and harm the human host, cause outbreaks and persist in the environment between them[5]. To date, the specific factors that allow certain strains within a species to emerge as pathogens remain mostly enigmatic[4]. Elucidating how the interplay between the numerous molecular and environmental drivers lead to this complex phenomenon is critical to develop surveillance platforms to predict emergent events and potential sources of outbreaks[4].

The Vibrionaceae is a highly diverse family of aquatic bacteria that encompass several species that represent distinct paradigms of how pathogens can emerge from environmental populations[6,7]. *Vibrio cholerae* is the most widely studied member of the Vibrionaceae and has been a model organism for countless molecular, ecological and epidemiological studies. *V. cholerae* is the causative agent of the severe diarrheal disease cholera, a scourge that affects millions of people each year and is responsible for over 100,000 deaths annually[8]. The disease typically affects nations with limited access to clean water and poor sanitation and can be lethal if not properly treated[8,9]. Cholera has existed in the Indian subcontinent for centuries, however, the disease started to spread worldwide after 1817 causing seven pandemics[8–10]. The first six pandemics are thought to have been caused by the Classical biotype *V. cholerae* O1, a strain that is now considered virtually extinct[8–11]. The seventh and current pandemic is caused by the El Tor biotype of *V. cholerae* O1 and started in Indonesia in 1961[12,13]. The recent cholera epidemics have increased in duration, intensity, and frequency warranting urgent attention to this infectious disease[8,9,14]. Furthermore, extended periods of warm weather, driven by climate change, have provided suitable conditions for the proliferation of pathogenic *Vibrio* spp.[14,15]. For instance, a recent multidecadal study demonstrated a

steady increase in the abundance of pathogenic Vibrios, including *V. cholerae*, over the

past half-century[16]. Overall, these concerning patterns only exacerbate the problem of

the emergence and reemergence of pathogenic Vibrios, the spread of virulence genes

and their proliferation[17].

Interestingly, only a limited number of *V. cholerae* strains can cause pandemic

cholera[18–20]. Specifically, only those confined to a phylogenetic group, the pandemic

cholera group (PCG), can cause the disease[19,21,22]. Even though the mechanisms of

cholera pathogenesis in humans have been extensively studied over the last several

decades, the evolutionary events and molecular constraints that explain why only

members from PCG can cause pandemic cholera remain unknown[23–28]. Some of the

major general events that are essential for the emergence of pandemic *V. cholerae* from

environmental populations include the acquisition of the lipopolysaccharide O1 antigen

cluster and the mobile genetic elements (MGEs) such as CTXΦ phage, Vibrio

pathogenicity Island-1 (VPI-1) and Vibrio pathogenicity Island-2 (VPI-2)[11]. Among

several other differences with Classical, El Tor strains have also acquired two unique

pathogenicity islands (PAIs): *Vibrio* Seventh Pandemic (VSP) 1 and 2. CTXΦ encodes

the genes for the cholera toxin, which is responsible for the severity of the profuse

diarrhea associated with cholera[29]. The toxin coregulated pilus (TCP), an essential

colonization factor, is encoded within VPI-1[30,31]. [35]This island also encodes several major

virulence regulators such as ToxT and TcpP[31,32]. VPI-2, among other, encodes the genes

for sialic acid utilization, which confers a competitive advantage in the gut[33]. VSP-I

encodes a regulator that plays a role in intestinal colonization and chemotaxis[34]. VSP-II

is associated with environmental survival and fitness of the bacterium[35–37]. It was recently

found that VSP-II and VPI-2 encode systems that prevent the uptake of foreign genetic

material[38]. These MGEs are always found in the PCG, however, they are not exclusive

to them as they are also encoded in some environmental strains of *V. cholerae*[39–41].

However, their abundance, specific distribution and dynamics within the *V. cholerae*

species remains poorly understood.

Horizontal acquisition of key virulence genes is a critical step in the emergence

of toxigenic clones of *V. cholerae*, however, it is not sufficient to explain why the ability

to cause cholera is limited to clones belonging to the PCG. This phylogenetically confined

distribution together with the presence of CTXΦ and the PAIs in some environmental

strains strongly indicate that other barriers and requirements exist that stringently limit

their emergence. To begin determining these barriers and the evolutionary origins of

pandemic clones of *V. cholerae*, we recently investigated the genomic prerequisites that

must be present in a population before a pathogenic clone can emerge from an

environmental gene pool[22]. We determined that the environmental ancestor of the

pandemic group had a particular genomic background containing a specific set of alleles

of core genes that conferred preadaptations to virulence and enhanced its potential to

give rise to pandemic disease, what we term virulence adaptive polymorphisms

(VAPs)[22]. Interestingly, our data shows that VAPs are present in environmental

populations and confer virulence-associated traits to strains encoding them. Overall, our

results indicate that there are core genomic factors beyond the acquisition of MGEs that

are critical for the emergence of pandemic *V. cholerae*. To date, the unique core genes

and allelic variations in the genomic background of pandemic *V. cholerae* that explain

their narrow distribution remain enigmatic.

In this study, we investigated the evolutionary paths that led with the emergence

of pandemic *V. cholerae* from environmental populations. To do this, first, we isolated

environmental *V. cholerae* strains from the Indian River Lagoon (IRL), a highly diverse

and expansive estuary located in Eastern Florida. Genome comparisons of these strains

against *V. cholerae* PCG unveil a phylogeny in which strains form cluster-like groups

following a similar pattern as what we recently found with *Vibrio vulnificus*[42,43]. We

extended our analyses to over 1,100 publicly available genomes and found that *V.*

cholerae strains are divided into four major clusters and numerous other minor clades.

We found that PCG belong to one large cluster (C24) and is located within one lineage

shared with among other environmental strains, the pandemic cholera lineage (PCL).

This topology suggests a scenario where clusters may differ in their virulence gene

content. In order to test this, first, we first investigated the evolutionary history,

environmental reservoirs and abundance of the O1 capsule and MGEs associated with

cholera emergence. We found widespread modularity of these elements, with distinct

phylogenomic clusters acting as differential reservoirs of virulence factors. However,

certain allelic variations and scarcely distributed islands act as bottlenecks drastically

narrowing the distribution of PCG-like gene clusters. Furthermore, PCG encodes very

few unique genes, which are mostly encoded within the super-integron, however, many

genes within the group exhibit an aberrant degree of positive selection, which may further

explain the confined distribution of these isolates. Our analyses provide a compelling

scenario for the emergence of pandemic clones in *V. cholerae* stablishing a blueprint for

other bacterial pathogens.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Isolation of *Vibrio cholerae* in the Indian River Lagoon. *V. cholerae* is a natural

inhabitant of aquatic ecosystems where it can be found as free-living or attached to

abiotic or biotic surfaces[44,45]. We recently stablished two sampling sites along the eastern

coast of Florida where we isolated pathogenic strains of *Vibrio vulnificus*[43]. We sampled

those two sites, Feller's House (FH) and Shepards Park (SP), to investigate the potential

presence of *V. cholerae* strains (**Fig. 1A**). Using the isolation, enrichment and selection

methods described below, we identified a total of 178 potential *V. cholerae* isolates from

the different fractions at both locations during our sampling events (42 FH and 136 SP).

In order to confirm those isolates, we performed a PCR screening for the species-specific

gene *ompW*[46]. Interestingly, only 65 isolates were confirmed as bona-fide *V. cholerae* all

of them isolated from SP (**Fig. 1A**). *V. cholerae* isolates from SP were collected from all

the fractions that we examined (sediment, cyanobacteria, particle-associated and water)

except for oysters. Subsequently, we performed PCR-based fingerprinting of the strains

to reduce redundancies and minimize clonality. We used a combination of PCR-based

fingerprinting analyses, VCR-PCR and ERIC-PCR[47]. Based on fingerprinting patterns

and cluster analysis performed using BIONUMERICS v8.0 we generated a dendrogram

to group these observed patterns. Using this resulting dataset, we selected 35 isolates

with unique fingerprinting patterns for whole genome sequencing (**Fig. 1B and S1**).

Phylogenomic and clustering of *V. cholerae* strains. The 35 *V. cholerae* strains that

we sequenced were isolated from a diverse set of fractions: 6 from sediment, 10 from

cyanobacteria, 9 from the free-living water fraction and 10 from the particle-associated

one (**Table S1**). We examined the relationship of these strains with *V. cholerae* PCG by

analyzing the average nucleotide identity (ANI) in a pairwise comparison against *V.*

cholerae N16961, an O1 strain from the El Tor biotype (**Fig. S2**). The minimum values

obtained were ca. 97.5, always within the established cut-off for strains of the same

species. However, up to nine environmental strains showed a greater divergence with

the PCG (ANI > 98.2%) than with the rest of the strains (**Fig. S2**). Interestingly,

phylogenomic tree analysis based on whole-genome single nucleotide polymorphism

(SNP) (230,824 SNPs identified in 3,19 Mb of core genome) show that the majority of

the IRL strains form two major clusters one of them including the toxigenic strains of *V.*

cholerae (**Fig. 1B**). This evolutionary relationship shares similarity with that of *V.*

vulnificus, in which the pathogenic strains capable of causing septicemia in humans

belong to one clearly defined cluster[42]. This prompted us to characterize the *V. cholerae*

species to investigate whether the evolution of the pandemic strains follow a similar

cluster-based pattern as this would shed important light in the emergence and evolution

of the pathogen.

First, we collected the *V. cholerae* genomes available in public databases (based

on NCBI classification accessed in July 2020; see Materials and Methods) together with

our environmental isolates. Naturally, there is a significant bias in the databases towards

V. cholerae O1 and O139 strains, which are mostly clonal. Furthermore, it is likely that

several *V. cholerae* environmental strains that were uploaded in the databases are also

from clonal origin due to enrichment during the early steps of isolation. Therefore, in

order to obtain an unbiased phylogeny, we dereplicated all these genomes to an identity

of ANI>99%. From a total of 1,127 initial genomes, we obtained 227 non-clonal reference

V. cholerae clades (**Table S2**). To maximize the consistency of our analyses, we built

both a SNP-based phylogeny and genome clustering using ANI to determine the groups

that make up the *V. cholerae* species. Clustering using a threshold of 98% of ANI shows

that the species encompasses 34 clusters that include *V. cholerae* strains (**Table S3**).

The application of an ANI>97% results in a single cluster indicating that boundary of

intra-population sequence diversity in *V. cholerae* species is 97%. Next, we used a total

of 458,798 SNPs from a core genome size of 2.46 Mb to build the species phylogeny

using non-clonal reference clades and IRL strains (**Fig. 1C**). The species phylogeny

topology based on SNPs or ANI clustering are virtually identical, with some minor

exceptions that might be due to certain strains having a convergent history. Interestingly,

both approximations reveal that four major clusters account for 69.2% of the non-clonal

clades (157 out of a total of 227) including 31 of the 35 IRL isolates from this study (**Table

S3**). All IRL isolates are grouped together with previously isolated strains except for

strains IRLE0050 and IRLE0074 which formed a separate cluster (**Table S3**). Cluster 24

(C24) is the largest of the four main clusters containing 68 non-clonal clades including

PCG. On the other hand, most IRL strains belong to C5 (22 of the 35) (**Fig. 1C**). We did

not find a pattern that associate the phylogeny of the IRL isolates and their fraction of

isolation. The C24 phylogeny also reveals that PCG groups with nine other non-clonal

clades, including one from this study IRLE0178, into a separate branch in the

phylogenomic tree, what we have termed the Pandemic Cholera Lineage (PCL) (**Fig.

1C**). This provides us with a novel hierarchical approach based on three levels to

decipher the evolutionary history of pandemic strains of *V. cholerae*: (from highest to

lowest) a) the C24 within all *V. cholerae* strains, b) the PCL within C24 non-clonal clades

and c) the clonal *V. cholerae* pandemic strains (PCG) within the PCL lineage.

Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) cluster. The LPS plays numerous roles in the pathogenesis of pandemic *V. cholerae* (e.g., endotoxic activities, immunological responses, etc.[48–50]) and is critical to determine the antigenic properties and classification of the bacterium[50]. Specifically, given that only O1 strains, together with the extinct O139, can cause pandemic cholera we examined its LPS cluster to determine its distribution and evolutionary history within the *V. cholerae* species (**Fig. 2**). The O1 gene cluster is 29.7Kb long and encompasses approximately 26 ORFs with potential or demonstrated function (some are truncated preventing an exact number). The *rfa* gene cluster (LPS core synthesis) is encoded at the 5' end of the island (**Fig. 2A** blue arrows). The *rfa* cluster is widely distributed across all clades, with the notable exception of RfaF (ADP-heptose--LPS heptosyltransferase 2, VC_0235) and RfaL (O-antigen ligase, VC_0237) present in ca. 35 non-clonal clades. Nonetheless, the genes in the *rfa* cluster exhibit the highest divergence within the LPS cluster (median identity 86-88%) and accumulate numerous synonymous polymorphisms (**Fig. 2A**). Indicating that, like *V. vulnificus*, they appear to be a preferred site to act as hot spots for the recombination of this island due to the conservation and synteny of these genes[42]. The distribution of the central region of the LPS cluster is much more restricted and is only present in 17 *V. cholerae* non-clonal clades, however, those that encode it share 100% identity with the region of PCG. This region contains the *rfb* cluster which is associated with antigen-O synthesis[51] (**Fig. 2A,** green arrows). Interestingly, only 5 out the 17 clades that encode this region belong to the PCL, indicating that there is no direct correlation between the phylogeny and its presence in PCG. The LPS cluster of PCG encodes four transposases, which likely increase the variability within the island (**Fig. 2A and 2B**). These IS elements are widely distributed among *V. cholerae* strains providing insight into how small gene clusters can be introduced in the island. For instance, even though strains VC22 (C24) and 2479-86 (C27) encode the same version of the PCG LPS cluster (identity >99%), they do not encode two of these transposases (**Fig. 2B**). Interestingly, these 2 transposases are inserted within *rfbT* in PCG, leaving a truncated version of the gene (**Fig. 2B and 2C**).

rfbT encodes a methyltransferase that is responsible for seroconversion between *V.*

cholerae O1 Ogawa and Inaba serotypes, suggesting a major role for these IS

sequences in the emergence of PCG[52]. Furthermore, several strains from C23 encode

the same version of the hypervariable region as VC22 and 2479-86 (identity 99%) but

the *rfa* cluster is different (**Fig. 2B**). The first three genes of the island encode different

alleles of *rfaF*, *rfaG* and *rfaL*, and the identity of the remaining three is 95% (**Fig. 2B**).

The *rfa* cluster pattern of these strains is similar to that of strain A325 (C30) (**Fig. 2B**). In

addition, in this strain the two transposases that truncate the *rfb*T gene in the PCG are

inverted maintaining an intact version of the gene (**Fig. 2C**). Overall, we found a

remarkable degree of conservation of the LPS cluster, which is present in almost twenty

clades encoding a PCG-like version. This is particularly striking as capsular

hypervariability is a universal bacterial characteristic, suggesting some strong

evolutionary pressure maintaining this version of the island widespread in the population.

It appears that the island is a) exchanged by homologous recombination leading to the

whole gene cluster being conserved and b) the presence of IS elements increases the

variability by truncating genes such as *rfbT*.

Abundance and distribution of virulence-associated mobile genetic elements in *V.*

***cholerae* species.** There are several horizontally acquired MGEs that are directly

associated with the emergence of pandemic clones of *V. cholerae*. After we stablished

the phylogeny and clustering of the *V. cholerae* species, we investigated the distribution,

evolutionary dynamics and composition of the different virulence-associated MGEs.

1. CTXΦ phage. The genes for cholera toxin (CT) are encoded within the CTXΦ

phage[29]. The production of CT in the intestine is responsible for the severity of the

profuse diarrhea associated with cholera. CT leads to the secretion of electrolytes and

nutrients such as fatty acids into the lumen of the small intestine[8,53,54]. Due to the

lysogenic nature of CTXΦ, the insertion and deletion dynamics of this phage enables

recombination of genes, which leads to diversity within the pandemic strains ultimately

serving as an opportunity to increase the pathogenic potential of pandemic strains[29,55,56].

Inquiry into the distribution and composition of this element within *V. cholerae* shows that

there is no environmental strain that recapitulates all the phage genes of PCG. At most,

five clades and two clusters encode 10 of the 14 genes that make up the CTXΦ phage

of *V. cholerae* N16961: three from C24 (represented by AAS91, PivertUAT4Aug and

V060002) and two from C17 (3541-04 and 3528-08) (**Fig. 3A**). Four of these strains

encode the two subunits of the cholera toxin (CtxAB). However, genes coding for the

duplicated transcriptional regulator RstR (VC_1455 and VC_1464) are only found in one

strain: AAS91 (**Fig. 3A**, brown shade). This strain belongs to the PCL and encodes the

complete version of CTXΦ except for VC_1460. This gene encodes a minor coat protein

pIII related to host interaction as part of the infection mechanism (**Fig. 3B**)[57]. Instead, a

transposase has been inserted in this locus in strain AAS91, followed by a APH(3')-II

family aminoglycoside O-phosphotransferase (**Fig. 3B**). In addition, AAS91 has a third

copy of the triplet (RstA-RstB-RstR) instead of the two copies present in PCG strains

(**Fig. 3B**). The second most complete version of CTXΦ in *V. cholerae* belongs to strains

V060002 from PCL and 3528-08 (C17). Both strains have lost the gene coding for RstC

and encode a different allele of the transcriptional regulators RstR (**Fig. 3B**).

Genomic comparison of *V. cholerae* strains reveal that the phage variation

dynamics are of the "additive" kind, where small gene cassettes are integrated into the

3' part of the gene coding for RtxA[58]. All the CTXΦ variants have conserved intergenic

regions that separate and mark the insertion of these gene cassettes (*att* sites, marked

as black arrows). These types of insertions have been reported previously in *V. cholerae*

O139[59]. Interestingly, some strains such as 3528-08 (C17) can have up to five insertions

(**Fig. 3C**). The first two of the five cassettes are identical, and each cassette has a copy

of the two subunits of CT. The other three have lost the toxin genes and those coding for

RstB, RstR and RstA, and acquired a replication endonuclease (**Fig. 3C**). The

presence/absence of these cassettes is not correlated with the phylogeny and, when

present, they have a high identity indicating a fast turnover of these elements (**Fig. 3B**).

Furthermore, these cassettes can be integrated into other genome locations, as in the

case of the strain PivertUAT4Aug (**Fig. 3B**). In addition, using the sequence of *att* sites

as reference we also found a collection of cassettes in the same order (including the one

containing the two subunits of CT) in the close relative species *Vibrio mimicus*,

suggesting another potential donor of CTXΦ to non-toxigenic *V. cholerae* (**Fig S2**).

2. Vibrio Pathogenicity Island-1 (VPI-1). The toxin coregulated pilus (TCP), an

essential colonization factor, is encoded within VPI-1[30,31]. TCP mediates microcolony

formation, which is crucial for intestinal colonization, and acts as the receptor of CTXΦ[32].

VPI-1 also encodes two critical virulence regulators: ToxT and TcpP[31,32]. VPI-1 can be

transferred, via generalized transduction, between strains of *V. cholerae* and can also

form circular intermediates[60,61]. The variable region of the island is located between the

CDS VC_0821 (hypothetical protein) and VC_0846 (integrase) (**Fig. 4A**). The most

conserved version of this region can be found in nine clades, four of them within C24 (2

from PCL) and five from C17, including strain IRLE0081 isolated in this study (**Fig. 1C**).

The average identity of the proteins was highly conserved compared to PCG (*ca*. >97%),

however, we found some notable exceptions (**Fig. 4A**). First, among the proteins that

are part of the TCP operon, we found high divergence rates in the master regulator ToxT

(VC_0838) (**Fig. 4C**) and the toxin-coregulated pilus major pilin, TcpA (VC_0828) (**Fig.**

4D) with a median protein identity *ca*. 81% (**Fig. 4**). In addition, we found that the PCG

allele of the colonization factor TcpF (VC_0837) (**Fig. 4E**) was only present in three

strains (one PCL and two C17), in the rest, despite the conservation of synteny and

similarity of the whole TCP operon, the identity of this protein was less than 40%, likely

encoding a different allele of this poorly understood secreted protein[62–64] (**Fig. 4B**).

Finally, our genomic comparisons reveal that the gene coding for ToxR-activated gene

A, TagA (VC_0820) was absent from the C17 genomes, while being retained in three of

the four C24 genomes (**Fig. 4B**). Overall, despite widespread conservation in the synteny

and size of the clusters of genes that comprise VPI-1 in the *V. cholerae* species, the

existence of unique major allelic variations in a limited number of genes act as a stringent

bottleneck in the emergence of PCG.

3. Vibrio Pathogenicity Island-2 (VPI-2). VPI-2 is a large PAI that encodes the

genes for sialic acid utilization[33]. The capacity to utilize sialic acid as a carbon and energy

source confers *V. cholerae* a competitive advantage in the mucus-rich environment of

the gut, where sialic acid availability is extensive[65]. Sialic acid catabolism also mediates

a chemotactic response towards mucin and several environmental reservoirs of *V.*

cholerae[66]. VPI-2 is excisable and form a circular intermediate[61,67]. Interestingly, during

the excision event, there is cross-talk between VPI-2 and VPI-1[68]. Unlike other MGEs,

the differential abundance of the gene clusters within VPI-2 suggests that the island is

made up of different modules. Also, all but one of the IS elements of the island are

widespread among several clades in diverse locations, which favours the transfer of the

different modules. The canonical VPI-2 from O1 strains encodes three major modules,

from 5' to 3': a) a restriction modification system (RM), b) the Nan-Nag cluster, and c) a

Mu-phage like region (**Fig. 4F**). At the 3' end of VPI-2 there is also a small group of genes

encoding hypothetical proteins. The Nan-Nag cluster is present in 88 clades, whereas

the distribution of the RM system is more limited, being encoded by only 7 clades (**Fig.**

4F). The Mu-phage like module is present in nine clades, however, there is no

relationship between specific modules and species phylogeny. Like VPI-1, no clade other

than PCG encode a PCG-like version of VPI-2. Furthermore, strains that contain the

three individual modules sometimes encoded them in different locations within the

genome. For instance, strain PivertUAT4Aug (C24), the only one with the three major

modules, encode the first two modules (Nan-Nag and RM) within a version of VPI-2,

whereas the Mu-phage region is encoded in a different location in the genome (**Fig. 4G**).

Besides several genes missing, PivertUAT4Aug encodes a different allele of *hsdS*

(VC_1768) (**Fig. 4G**). Another instance of this modular distribution is exemplified by

strain N2824 (C16), which possesses the first two modules but not the Mu-phage.

Furthermore, the strain has lost the genes encoding the HsdS and HsdM proteins from

the RM cluster involved in DNA sequence recognition and methylation, respectively (**Fig.**

4G). Strain 3541-04 (C17) encodes a different cluster of genes at the insertion site of the

Nan-Nag cluster and RM system, with the Nan-Nag cluster being in another

chromosomal region (**Fig. 4G**). Although this strain is the only one that contains a small

cluster of four genes just like PCG and in the same position (from VC_1801 to VC_1804).

Besides the gene mentioned above that codes for HsdS (VC_1768), there are three

others that code for hypothetical proteins (VC_1787, VC_1788 and VC_1791) that are

only present in the PCG (highlighted in **Fig. 4F**). Genomic comparisons with

PivertUAT4Aug (C24) show that, similar to *rfb*T in the LPS gene cluster, VC_1788 and

VC_1791 are the two parts of a gene coding for the tape measure protein of phage Mu

that have been truncated by the insertion of two transposases in the PCG, annotated as

VC_1789 and VC_1790 (**Fig. 4G**). Overall, VPI-2 in PCG must be understood based on

its unique modular arrangement not by the individual units that constitute it. Its four

modules are associated with IS elements that are widely distributed among *V. cholerae*

environmental strains and scattered throughout the genome. This leads to the

convergence of all modules in the same genome being extremely low which contributes

to the exceptionality of PCG.

4. Vibrio Seventh Pandemic Island I (VSP-I). The role of VSP-I in *V. cholerae*

pathogenesis is not as clearly defined as other MGEs. VSP-I has been suggested to play

an environmental role related to chemotaxis[69] and encodes a regulator involved in

intestinal colonization (VC_0177)[34]. We found homologs of the eleven genes that

comprise this island in ten *V. cholerae* clades (**Fig. 5A**). Although four of them are found

in strains isolated from this study, IRLE0062, IRLE0049, IRLE0042 and IRLE0077, that

belonged to the same clade. The greatest sequence divergence corresponded to an

integrase (VC_0183) and an XRE family transcriptional regulator (VC_0176) (**Fig. 5A**). Nonetheless, only two strains encode a PCG-like VSP-I, V060002, which is phylogenetically closer to PCG (C24), and strain VcCHNf4 from C5. V060002 has two identical copies of the island in the genome that are in close proximity of each other in the genome with the LPS cluster located between them (**Fig. 5B**). Another strain belonging to C24 (2000), has the first gene at the 5' end replaced with a cluster of four genes (**Fig. 5B**). The landscape of evolutionary possibilities for VSP-I is much more limited than for the other MGEs due to its small size, nonetheless, and despite of this, it is the rarest of all the islands. Suggesting this is a critical bottleneck in the emergence of the current El Tor strains.

5. Vibrio Seventh Pandemic Island II (VSP-II). The presence of VSP-II in clinical and environmental strains might be associated with environmental survival and fitness of the bacterium[35–37]. A group of *V. cholerae* strains that caused an outbreak in Florida associated with oyster consumption, encoded a novel bacteriocin and a pyocin protein in the VSP-II element, which are typically associated with bacterial competition[37]. Our analyses indicate that, similar to VPI-2, VSP-II is highly modular with several clusters of genes that have a tRNA-Met insertion point. The direct repetition of the end of the tRNA (highlighted as purple triangles) marks the insertion points of each module, which in most cases match the presence of IS elements (**Fig. 5C and 5D**). Overall, this island serves as an example of an "additive" island with three small modules. Module 1 comprises genes VC_0490 to VC_0498, five of which are of viral origin but lack essential proteins for packaging, indicating it is a defective prophage. Module 2 encompasses from VC_0502 to VC_0510, however, the lack of possible annotation of its genes precludes from assigning a specific function to this module. Nonetheless, VC_0502 and VC_0503 from this module are only present in strains from C24 (**Fig. 5C**). Module 3 is comprised of four genes (VC_0512 to VC_0515): VC_0512 and VC_0514 encode methyl-accepting chemotaxis proteins, VC_0513 a transcriptional regulator and VC_0515 a predicted

signal transduction protein. These four genes are only present in C24 strains and

according to their annotation could be associated with environmental adaptations (**Fig.**

5D). Genomic comparison with strain MZO-3 (C24) reveal the presence of a novel CDS

in the PCG between VC_0512 and VC_513 that codes for a TnsD family Tn7-like

transposition protein (**Fig. 5D**). Overall, there are no identical versions of VSP-II outside

of PCG. The closest versions are encoded by strains N2723, MZO-3, and V060002

(C24), which are the only ones that possess a similar PCG-like modularity and synteny

of VSP-II (**Fig. 5D**). Relative to PCG, the changes in VSP-II from strain MZO-3 involve

only IS elements, the loss of a transposase (VC_0501) in Module 1 and the gain of

another in Module 3 (**Fig. 5D**). Whereas strain N2723 has lost genes coding for two

hypothetical proteins (VC_0496 and VC_0509) and a transcriptional regulator

(VC_0497) (**Fig. 5B**). In the rest of *V. cholerae* strains, VSP-II only maintains some of

these modules or clusters of genes, with the addition of other types of clusters different

from that of the PCG being common.

Overall, our analyses of the virulence-associated MGEs indicate that **a)** strains

from *V. cholerae* PCG have acquired the gene clusters encoding the major virulence

genes in a modular fashion, **b)** those MGEs are more widespread than previously

thought within the *V. cholerae* species, and **c)** a select set of genes dispersed in the

phylogeny of the species have a very limited distribution and **d)** unique allelic variations

in PCG act as stringent bottlenecks for emergence.

Pangenome analysis. Besides the modular acquisition of MGEs, are there other genes

associated with the emergence of PCG? In order to start addressing this question, we

investigated the unique genes encoded by PCG and those that the group inherited from

its closer ancestors. We considered the three major hierarchical levels in which the

pandemic strains of *V. cholerae* are included: cluster (C24), pandemic cholera lineage

(PCL), and the pandemic cholera group (PCG) (**Fig. 1C**). We analyzed the sequences

defining each of these groups at the pangenome scale, using the remaining set of clades

as outgroups to remove the background of other environmental *V. cholerae* sequences.

The Venn diagram in **Figure 6A** shows the total unique and shared gene family

clusters among the pangenomes of the different groups analyzed. The total number of

shared clusters of the *V. cholerae* species is 3,278 (core genome). The PCG encodes

29 unique gene families, 62% of which (18/29) are located in the integron of chromosome

II (**Fig. 6A**). Most of the genes could not be assigned a function or annotation,

nonetheless, among these unique genes families we found a transposase, a pullulanase,

a lysozyme inhibitor LprI family protein and several acetyltransferases (**Table S4**).

Among the remaining specific clusters that are encoded within the integron, most of them

are localized in MGEs. PCG inherited ten genes from the PCL. Half of those are also

concentrated in the integron and three are present in PAIs: the two homologs of the

transcriptional repressor RstR of CTXΦ (VC_1455 and VC_1464) and the ToxR-

activated gene A from VPI-1 (VC_0820) (**Table S4**). Finally, PCG inherited 17 unique

gene families from C24 with more than 80% of them being encoded within the integron

and the MGEs (**Fig. 6A**). Among these 17 genes we found two small clusters located in

VSP-II (VC_0502-VC_0503 and VC_0512-VC_0515) mentioned above in the island

description, as well as a cluster of genes inserted downstream of the 5' of CTXΦ (**Table

S4**). This cluster encodes a helicase, a transcriptional regulator, and a phage replication

protein, along with a Xer recombination activation factor involved in fragment integration

into the chromosome. Overall, our pangenome analyses reveal that, besides the

acquisition and modularity of MGEs, the integron plays a most critical role in the

emergence and evolution of the PCG significantly greater than the absence or presence

of other genes in the core genome.

Evolution of the Pandemic Cholera Lineage. Next, in order to understand at

fine resolution the evolution of PCG from the root of the PCL, we paired a) the history of

MGE acquisition, b) module exchange and c) gene gain and loss of the entire lineage.

Our analyses indicate that the capsule is the only element that is not modular in the PCL,

which contains 10 clades including the PCG, and appears to have evolved early in the

branching of PCL. It is present in 6 of the 9 non-PCG strains and is directly associated

with branching event 3 (**Fig. 6B**). VPI-1 and CTXΦ also appear to have been acquired

during branching event 3 and is present in the 2 clades that branch with PCG. On the

other hand, VSP-I and VSP-II are only found in 1 strain each, none of them with a direct

branching pattern related to PCG, which suggests independent acquisition events of the

islands by PCG (**Fig. 6B**). Only the closest relative to PCG encodes VPI-2 suggesting a

late acquisition of the island, likely during branching event 5. Overall, our results

demonstrate the mosaic-like relationship between mobile element acquisition and the

emergence of pandemic cholera strains with some (e.g. capsule) appearing early in the

phylogeny and others (e.g. VPI-2) being late acquisitions.

Given the importance of branching event 3 in the evolution of PCG, we analysed the

gene gain and loss of the different branches during the evolutionary process that led to

the PCG group excluding those genes related to PAIs. Our analyses highlight the

acquisition of small gene clusters by PCG during the evolution of its lineage after the split

in node 3 (**Table S5**). Several of these genes colocalize consecutively in the integron

suggesting a common evolutionary history. On chromosome I, we found a cluster of

genes related to sugar transfer, such as a glycosyltransferase, possibly involved in cell

wall decoration (**Table S5**). Two clusters were associated with transporters such as ion

channels and type IV toxin/antitoxin systems. On chromosome II, apart from the integron,

we found gene clusters associated with a transposase related to regulatory proteins and

one linked to a peptidase. On the other hand, the genes lost in relation to the other PCL

branches by PCG are related to membrane proteins such as one of the OmpA family, as

well as several secretion systems and transcriptional regulators (**Table S5**). Interestingly,

we found that PCG had lost a chitinase, which is a critical adaptation to the environment,

the reason behind this remains to be elucidated.

Genetic variations associated with pathogen emergence (GVPEs). From our

analyses it appears that differences in overall gene content minimally account for the

confined distribution of pandemic cholera clones other than genes within the well-known

MGEs and virulence-associated clusters. In light of this, we investigated whether allelic

variations of core genes could help explain this confined distribution. We examined the

core genome microdiversity of PCG by estimating the ratio of nonsynonymous (dN) to

synonymous (dS) substitutions of each gene of the cholera group against the genomes

of every clade in Fig. 1C. We found a total of 248 genes on chromosome I and 153 on

chromosome II that showed signs of positive selection (dN/dS>1) (**Fig. 6C**). We

reasoned that those genes could possibly serve as genetic variations associated with

pathogen emergence (GVPEs)[4]. This recently coined term encompasses environmental

preadaptations such as virulence adaptive polymorphisms and host-associated

pathoadaptive mutations[4,22,43]. Interestingly, our analyses did not retrieve a single gene

that had a dN/dS>1 when compared to every other clade. Nonetheless, we identified a

total of eight genes in PCG (four from each chromosome) that exhibit positive selection

against more than 60 clades (**Fig. 6C**). Six of these genes (VC_0714, VC_1587,

VC_A0224, VC_A0233, VC_A0609 and VC_A0973) had no match in the databases and

therefore no function could be inferred, however, five of them encode transmembrane

domains or signal peptides indicating a possible functional relationship with

environmental sensing or interaction, either as secreted molecules, as receptors,

channels, or membrane regulators. The remaining two genes encode a 2-succinyl-6-

hydroxy-2,4-cyclohexadiene-1- carboxylate synthase (VC_1974), which is a hydrolytic

enzyme involved in vitamin K synthesis, as well as, a NifB/NifX family molybdenum-iron

cluster-binding protein (VC_1323), a cofactor of the enzyme dinitrogenase involved in

the reduction of nitrogen to ammonium (**Fig. 6C**). There is no clear pattern regarding the

functions associated with these genes, however, it is remarkable that, out of the over

200 clades analyzed, the PCG strongly exhibit signatures of selection for these 8. We

hypothesized that many of them could have arisen as GVPEs such as pathoadaptive

mutations in the human host after the emergence of toxigenic strains from PCG.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we took a systematic approach towards unraveling the evolutionary history

of the enigmatic Pandemic cholera group (PCG), which, to date, remains the only clade

within the *V. cholerae* species capable of causing the severe diarrheal disease cholera.

Phylogenomic analyses of the species reveal a cluster-based evolution of *V. cholerae*

with several hierarchical levels leading to the emergence of the PCG, which is contained

within a separate lineage (PCL) embedded within cluster 24. Our investigations on the

abundance and distribution of the virulence-associated genes and MGEs suggest the

existence of several layers in the evolutionary dynamics and emergence of *V. cholerae*

(**Fig. 7**).

We determined that even the hypervariable region of the LPS is found in a large

number of clusters. The high prevalence of the LPS cluster may be due to the different

exchange mechanism of this island compared to the acquired MGEs. The LPS locus

exhibit characteristics of a "replacement island", as it is transferred as a unit by

homologous recombination and not in small units like the other MGEs (**Fig. 7A**). On the

other hand, complete islands are composed of several modules generally bordered by

IS elements that contain small groups of genes resembling "additive islands" (**Fig. 7B**).

Furthermore, the small gene groups within these additive islands also demonstrate allelic

exchange within them and some can be fully conserved in synteny and similarity,

whereas a very limited number of them are exclusive to PCG (**Fig. 7C**). Our results

suggest that in order to analyze the evolutionary history of these elements, rather than

investigating presence or absence of the island, it is necessary to examine the specific

modules and individual alleles of some genes within them (**Fig. 7**). The evolution of the

PCL shows that we can only trace the phylogeny of the LPS cluster, the only island that

is exchanged by homologous recombination and which appears to have slower

replacement dynamics than the MGEs. However, both the example of the PCL and the

phylogeny of the different modules in the whole species suggest that the rest of the

islands have a rapid turnover and remain associated with each clade for a short time.

Overall, this fractal-like degree of molecular sophistication explains the absence of a

complete set of PCG-like elements in any other *V. cholerae* clade.

Genetic variations associated with pathogen emergence (GVPEs) in the form of

environmental preadaptations or intra-host pathoadaptive mutations are becoming

increasingly appreciated as major agents in the transition that allow bacteria to emerge

as human pathogens. We examined the core genome microdiversity of *V. cholerae* and

determined the large extent of allelic variations of genes that uniquely exhibit strong

signatures of positive selection in PCG. This finding strongly suggests that, besides the

intricate mosaic associated with MGEs modularity, unique allelic variations in PCG could

help explain this confined distribution (**Fig. 7D**). Future work will determine whether these

GVPEs stem from host adaptations or were present during the early evolution of the

PCG. Overall, our investigations provide unique insights on the paths that led to the

emergence of a major human pathogen and can extrapolated to other bacterial threats.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1. Phylogenomic and population structure of *Vibrio cholerae*. A. Map of Florida

indicating the locations where the environmental *V. cholerae* strains were isolated

(Shepard Park and Feller´s House). **B**. Whole-genome SNP-based phylogenetic tree

showing the relationships among the *V. cholerae* genomes obtained in this study and a

representative strain from the Pandemic Cholera Group (*V. cholerae* O1 biovar El Tor

N16961; red branch) **C**. Phylogenomic tree of dereplicated *V. cholerae* strains available

in public databases and strains from this study. The tree was reconstructed from single

nucleotide polymorphisms of the core genome. Branches of members belonging to the

four main clusters are colored in green (C5), violet (C11), blue (C16) and red (C24). The

colored circles represent the source of isolation of the environmental strains collected in

this study. Grey circles located at the branch nodes represent the bootstrap values.

Fig. 2. Distribution and evolutionary dynamics of the O1 Lipopolysaccharide (LPS)

cluster in the *V. cholerae* species. A. The area outlined in gray represents the number

of homologous proteins found in the dereplicated *V. cholerae* genomes. The white circles

with dotted lines indicate the median protein identity. **B**. Genomic comparison of PCG-

like LPS clusters identified in *V. cholerae* environmental genomes. **C**. Schematic

representation of the variable zone of the LPS containing the *rfb*T gene and the IS

elements inserted in the PCG allele. IS elements are highlighted in yellow.

Fig. 3. Integron-like dynamics govern genetic variation of CTXΦ phage. A.

Distribution and structure of the CTXΦ phage in the *V. cholerae* species. The area

outlined in gray represents the number of homologous proteins found in the dereplicated

V. cholerae genomes. The white circles with dotted lines indicate the median protein

identity. Red rectangles on the genes indicate their exclusive presence in the PCL. The

black arrows indicate the genomic position where the cassettes have been inserted. **B**.

Genomic comparison of similar CTXΦ phage found in dereplicated *V. cholerae* genomes.

C. Schematic representation CTXΦ phage found in *V. cholerae* 3528-08 both the

numbers and the rectangles mark the different clusters acquired. Clusters of genes with

a known function follow the same color pattern. IS elements are highlighted in yellow.

Fig. 4. Modularity and allelic variations shape the evolutionary dynamics of Vibrio

pathogenicity islands 1 and 2. Structures of **(A)** VPI-1 and **(F)** VPI-2. The area outlined

in gray represents the number of homologous proteins found in the dereplicated *V.*

cholerae genomes. The white circles with dotted lines indicate the median protein

identity. **B**. Genomic comparison of homologous VPI-1 in dereplicated *V. cholerae*

genomes denote a reduced number of allelic variations in some PCG genes. Sequence

alignment of the protein sequences of **(C)** ToxT **(D)** TcpA and **(E)** TcpF from PCG and

IRLE0081 (C17). **G**. Genomic comparison of VPI-2 variants encoded in dereplicated *V.*

cholerae genomes highlight pervasive modularity of the island. Clusters of genes with a

known function follow the same color pattern. IS elements are highlighted in yellow.

Fig. 5. Distribution and evolutionary dynamics of the Vibrio seventh pandemic

islands I and II. Structures of **(A)** VSP-I and **(C)** VSP-II. The gray area represents the

number of homologous proteins found in the dereplicated *V. cholerae* genomes. The

white circles with dotted lines indicate the median protein identity. Red rectangles on the

genes indicate their exclusive presence in the PCL. Pink triangles indicate the presence

of tRNA-direct repeat genomic islands. **B**. Genomic comparison of homologous VSP-I

across *V. cholerae* genomes. **D**. Genomic comparison of VSP-II variants encoded in

dereplicated *V. cholerae* genomes highlight pervasive modularity of the island. IS

elements are highlighted in yellow.

Fig. 6. Evolutionary trajectories and genome dynamics of *V. cholerae* PCG. A.

Pangenome analysis. The Venn diagram shows the numbers of unique and shared gene

families between the pandemic cholera group (purple), pandemic cholera lineage (blue),

Cluster 24 (light blue) and the rest of clades (greyQ) **B**. *PCL evolution*. The tree

designates the evolutionary relationships of the different clades within the PCL

highlighting the loss and gain of genes through the lineage. Black numbers indicate an

overall gain and grey an overall loss. The colored circles represent the specific element

as well as the percentage of completeness in each of the clades in reference to PCG.

LPS, O1 lipopolysaccharide cluster; VPI-1, Vibrio pathogenicity island-1; VPI-2, Vibrio

pathogenicity island-2; VSP-1, Vibrio seventh pandemic island-1; VSP-2, Vibrio seventh

pandemic island-2. **C.** *Microdiversity analysis*. PCG genes under positive selection

compared to the rest of the clades. X-axis indicates the number of clades in which

dN/dS>1 and the Y-axis shows the average of the dN/dS value

Fig. 7. Hierarchical model of the emergence of the Pandemic cholera group in *V. cholerae*. The evolutionary history of PCG suggests that the emergence of this group has not been a linear process. Our analyses indicate that this process has unfolded at four levels: A) The first one involving only the LPS where the island is replaced as a unit by homologous recombination ("replacement island"). This replacement is slow and allows tracing its phylogeny. B) The second level is related to the MGEs, which are islands of the additive type composed of several modules bordered by IS elements that have a very rapid exchange dynamics. In addition, these modules have the potential to be inserted into other regions of the genome. This rapid exchange precludes from associating these modules to specific clades. This is exemplified by the MGEs such as VPI-2 and VSP-II. C) Furthermore, a third level in the process of PCG emergence is associated with allelic variations within these modules, such as those we identified in the genes encoding TcpF or ToxT in VPI-1. D) Finally, the fourth level is composed of genetic variations associated with pathogen emergence (GVPEs), which are mutations in core genes associated with the emergence of pathogenic strains (e.g. VC1323 or VC0714) and exhibit strong positive selection in the PCG.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Table S1. List of *Vibrio cholerae* collected in this study, together with genomic features and metadata

Table S2. List of dereplicated (ANI>99%) *Vibrio cholerae* reference strains from the NCBI

Table S3. List of dereplicated (ANI>98%) *Vibrio cholerae* reference including IRL strains

Table S4. Shared family gene clusters in the pangenomes of the three hierarchical levels

Table S5. Summary of the gene gain/loss of the node 3 from the pandemic cholera lineage.

Figure S1. **Dendrogram representing the relatedness of *V. cholerae* isolates**. The dendrogram is based on PCR fingerprints generated by VCR-PCR. Groups of similarity were established using the UPGMA method in BioNumerics v8.0. The red circles indicate clonal isolates that were selected for whole genome sequencing.

Figure S2. Pairwise comparison among the *V. cholerae* genomes. The pairwise comparison was obtained using the average nucleotide identity (ANI) from strains isolated in this study and the Pandemic Cholera Group (*V. cholerae* O1 biovar El Tor).

Figure S3. **Genomic comparison of CTXΦ phage**. Comparison between the CTXΦ phage genomic island encoded by Pandemic Cholera Group strains (*V. cholerae* O1 biovar El Tor N16961) and *Vibrio mimicus*.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sampling sites. We collected samples at two environmentally distinctive locations along the IRL (Easter Florida, USA) in three sampling events. For each sampling campaign we obtained samples from several fractions: three associated with the water bodies (Water filtered through 20μm, 5μm, and 0.22μm) and one from the sediment. One of the sampling locations, Fellers House Field Station (N28˚54'25.315"; W80˚49'15.017"), is located within the federally-protected Canaveral National Seashore. The second sampling site, Shepard Park, is located in the Port St. Lucie area (N27˚11'48.864"; W80˚15'33.172"), due to urbanization and agricultural expansion, experiences nutrient over-enrichment leading to excessive macroalgal bloom (Fig. 1A)[43].

Isolation of *V. cholerae*. *Water.* Isolation of *V. cholerae* from water samples collected at the aforementioned sampling locations was performed using a modified protocol from Huq *et al* [71]. Briefly, water samples (~3L) were collected in triplicate from each site and stored cold until arrival at the laboratory. To investigate the prevalence of *V. cholerae* in

various fractions of the aquatic column and to separate particle-associated and free-

living bacteria, 500 mL of collected water was filtered successively through 20µm, 5µm

and 0.2µm filters (Sterlitech) using a vacuum filtration system. The respective membrane

filters harboring potential *vibrio* spp. were then suspended in 25ml phosphate buffered

saline (PBS) and vortexed vigorously to release the contents. Each resuspended sample

was then inoculated (1:10 v/v) in alkaline peptone water (APW) and incubated at 37°C

for 12-14 hrs. This was repeated for each replicate. Enriched cultures were subjected to

growth on a series of selective agar specific for the isolation of *V. cholerae.* First,

enriched cultures were serially diluted 10-fold and plated on CHROMagar Vibrio (CaV;

CHROMagar), a chromagenic media on which *V.* cholerae forms turquoise blue colonies*.*

Secondly, turquoise blue colonies from CaV were transferred onto Thiosulfate Citrate

Bile Salts Sucrose (TCBS; Sigma) agar plates. Colonies that appeared turquoise blue

on CaV followed by yellow on TCBS were presumed to be *V. cholerae*. *Sediment.*

Isolation of *V. cholerae* was done using previous methods [43]. Briefly, sediment samples

were collected in triplicates from each sampling site using universal corer. 25g of the

sediment samples from each replicate was weighed and suspended in 25 ml of PBS

(Gibco). Samples were homogenized, enriched, and studied for the presence of *V.*

cholerae as described above. *Cyanobacteria.* Cyanobacteria floccules were pelleted,

supernatant removed and fresh APW added to homogenize the sample. Samples were

enriched and studied for the presence of *V. cholerae* as described above.

Strains and growth conditions. *V. cholerae* strains were routinely grown on Luria

Bertani (LB) agar at 37 ºC for approximately 16hrs, unless otherwise stated. For liquid

cultures, isolated colonies selected from agar plates were grown aerobically at 37ºC in

LB broth for ~16hrs.

Clonal analysis of *V. cholerae* isolates. To confirm isolates of *V. cholerae,* presence

of the species-specific gene *ompW* was determined by PCR[46]*.* Genomic DNA from the

isolates was extracted using the Gentra Puregene Yeast/Bact. Kit (Qiagen) and used as

template for PCRs. To identify the clonal populations of *V.* cholerae in the confirmed

dataset, DNA fingerprinting patterns were examined using a combination of *Vibrio*

cholerae Repeats-PCR (VCR-PCR) and Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic

Consensus Sequence (ERIC)-PCR as described by Teh *et al* [47]. Genomic DNA was used

to perform VCR- and ERIC-PCR and resulting PCR products were electrophoresed and

imaged using UVP ChemStudio (AnalytikJena) to observe fingerprinting patterns. Cluster

analysis of DNA patterns was performed using BioNumerics v8.0 (Applied Maths, Inc.)

and dendrogram generated based on the Unweighted Pair Group Method with the

Arithmetic Mean (UPGMA) method[47,72,73]. Based on resulting DNA fingerprinting

patterns, a total of 35 independent clones were identified and selected for whole genome

sequencing (WGS). Genomic DNA of each clone was submitted to Microbial Genome

Sequencing Center (MiGS) for WGS using the Illumina NextSeq 2000 platform.

Genome assembly, gene prediction, and annotation. Reads were trimmed using

Trimmomatic v0.36[74] and assembled de novo with SPAdes version 3.11.1[75]. Coding DNA

sequences (CDS) from the assembled contigs were predicted using Prodigal version

2.6.3 using *-a output.proteins -d output.genes -c -p meta* parameters[76]. Then, tRNAs

were obtained using tRNAscan-SE version 1.4[77] together with ssu-align version 0.1.1,

and rRNA genes using meta-rna[78]. Predicted proteins were compared against the

National Center for Biotechnology Information nonredundant database (NCBI nr) using

DIAMOND[79]. In addition, for functional annotation we used HMMscan version 3.1b2[80] for

the comparison against COG v2003 (update 2014)[81] and TIGFRAM v15.0 (September

2014)[82] databases.

Recovery of *V. cholerae* genomes. A total of 1,127 *V. cholerae* genomes were

downloaded from RefSeq (accessed July 2021) and subjected to a dereplication step

with drep[83] at 99 % nucleotide identity. Briefly, we force dRep to sort and select as seeds

for the clustering genomes with better score. For instance, chromosomes in a single

contig, followed by higher N50 and L50 values, and lastly by the completeness and

contamination values calculated with checkm[84]. This resulted in 227 dereplicated

reference *V. cholerae* genomes.

Phylogeny of *V. cholerae* dereplicated genomes. Single nucleotide polymorphisms

(SNPs) among *V. cholerae* dereplicated genomes and the PCG reference genome *Vibrio*

cholerae El Tor N16961 (RefSeq accession number GCF_900205735.1) were calculated

with PhaME[85] with default options, resulting in a core genome length of 2,468,623 bp

and 458,798 polymorphic positions considered. Then, a maximum likelihood

phylogenetic tree of SNPs was constructed using iqtree v 1.6.12[86] using the ultrafast

bootstrap approach (5000 replicates) and the best fitted model GTR+F+R4.

Gene cluster search. To determine the distribution and degree of prevalence of proteins

within the studied *V. cholerae* genomes, we extracted the LPS cluster, CTXΦ and

pathogenicity islands from the reference PCG strain based on the manual annotation

found in the NCBI Genbank. Proteins were isolated from the clusters and analyzed using

Prodigal[76], then used as a reference in a BLASTP search against the proteins from the

genomes[87,88]. We kept all proteins that matched against the pathogenic protein database

with at least 70% identity and the alignment covered at least 70% of the both proteins.

Pangenome analysis. To evaluate the pangenome of *V. cholerae*, in a first approach

dereplicated sequences were grouped in clusters at 98% identity using cd-hit[89], resulting

in 34 clusters, four of them (C5, C11, C16, and C24) comprising 188 out of 262 genomes.

Then, pangenomes were calculated for each cluster individually using PPanGGOLiN[90]

with default parameters. A second pangenome step with the protein families of clusters

C5, C11 y C16 was performed using PPanGGOLiN to simplify the comparison among

the pathogenic reference genome el Tor, C24 and the new superpangenome C5-C11-

C16. Ortholog proteins among the three groups (el Tor, C24 and C5-C11-C16 were calculated using orthoMCL[91] (> 30 % amino acid identity, > 70 % alignment). A Venn diagram showing proteins shared and not shared among groups was performed in R using the ggVennDiagram package (https://github.com/gaospecial/ggVennDiagram).

Determination of Gain and Loss of genes in the pandemic cholera lineage. In order to understand the flow of genes among pandemic *V. cholerae* and the closest genomes from cluster C24, those belonging to the pandemic cholera lineage, gene presence and absence was tested using the gain loss mapping engine GLOOME[92]. The expectations and probabilities of both gain and loss events were estimated using stochastic mapping. The phyletic pattern of presence/absence of orthologous genes was then classified using hmmscan[80] against the Pfam database v35.0[93], using an evalue of $< 1e^{-5}$ as a threshold for protein annotation.

Microdiversity analysis. To identify which genes in each genome were under positive selection, we calculated the nonsynonymous (dN) and synonymous (dS) substitutions between ortholog proteins found in both the studied *V. cholerae* genomes and the reference PCG strain, we used the orthologr package in R[42], a pipeline that identifies orthologous protein pairs, performs codon alignment and finally computes the final dN/dS values. We considered a gene under positive selection if the dN/dS ratio > 1.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by an NSF CAREER award (#2045671) to SAM. This work was also supported by grant "FLEX3GEN" PID2020-118052GB-I00 (cofounded with FEDER funds) from the Spanish Ministerio de Economía, Industria y Competitividad to MLP.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

788 SAM conceived the study. MLP, AZS and JHM performed bioinformatic analyses. TAG

789 collected samples, isolated *V. cholerae* and sequenced genomes. The manuscript was

790 written by MLP and SAM. All authors read and approved the final version.

REFERENCES

1. Alexander, K. A. *et al.* What factors might have led to the emergence of Ebola in
West Africa? *PLOS Negl Trop Dis* 9, e0003652 (2015).

2. Faye, O. *et al.* Molecular Evolution of Zika Virus during Its Emergence in the 20th
Century. *PLOS Negl Trop Dis* 8, e2636 (2014).

3. Wellington, E. M. *et al.* The role of the natural environment in the emergence of
antibiotic resistance in Gram-negative bacteria. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 13,
155–165 (2013).

4. Balasubramanian, D., López-Pérez, M., Grant, T.-A., Ogbunugafor, C. B. & Almagro-
Moreno, S. Molecular mechanisms and drivers of pathogen emergence. *Trends*
Microbiol (2022) doi:10.1016/j.tim.2022.02.003.

5. Jones, K. E. *et al.* Global trends in emerging infectious diseases. *Nature* 451, 990–
993 (2008).

6. Thompson, F. L., Iida, T. & Swings, J. Biodiversity of vibrios. *Microbiology and*
molecular biology reviews : MMBR 68, 403–431 (2004).

7. Reen, F. J., Almagro-Moreno, S., Ussery, D. & Boyd, E. F. The genomic code:
inferring Vibrionaceae niche specialization. *Nature reviews. Microbiology* 4, 697–704
(2006).

8. Kanungo, S., Azman, A. S., Ramamurthy, T., Deen, J. & Dutta, S. Cholera. *Lancet*
399, 1429–1440 (2022).

9. Clemens, J. D., Nair, G. B., Ahmed, T., Qadri, F. & Holmgren, J. Cholera. *The*
Lancet 390, 1539–1549 (2017).

10. Ali, M., Nelson, A. R., Lopez, A. L. & Sack, D. A. Updated Global Burden of Cholera
in Endemic Countries. *PLOS Negl Trop Dis* 9, e0003832 (2015).

11. Balasubramanian, D., Murcia, S., Ogbunugafor, C. B., Gavilan, R. & Almagro-
Moreno, S. Cholera dynamics: lessons from an epidemic. *Journal of medical*
microbiology 20, 106 (2021).

12. Mutreja, A. *et al.* Evidence for several waves of global transmission in the seventh
cholera pandemic. *Nature* 477, 462–465 (2011).

13. Islam, M. T., Alam, M. & Boucher, Y. Emergence, ecology and dispersal of the
pandemic generating Vibrio cholerae lineage. *International microbiology : the official*
journal of the Spanish Society for Microbiology 20, 106–115 (2017).

14. Baker-Austin, C. *et al.* Vibrio spp. infections. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers* 4, 8
(2018).

15. Austin, C. B., Pruzzo, C., Oliver, J. D. & Garzón, D. D. Vibrios – from genes to
ecosystems. *Environmental microbiology* 22, 4093–4095 (2020).

16. Vezzulli, L. *et al.* Climate influence on Vibrio and associated human diseases
during the past half-century in the coastal North Atlantic. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences 113, E5062-71 (2016).

17. Trinanes, J. & Martinez-Urtaza, J. Future scenarios of risk of Vibrio infections in a
warming planet: a global mapping study. *Lancet Planet Heal* 5, e426–e435 (2021).

18. Chatterjee, S. *et al.* Incidence, virulence factors, and clonality among clinical strains
of non-O1, non-O139 Vibrio cholerae isolates from hospitalized diarrheal patients in
Kolkata, India. *Journal of clinical microbiology* 47, 1087–1095 (2009).

19. Chun, J. *et al.* Comparative genomics reveals mechanism for short-term and long-
term clonal transitions in pandemic Vibrio cholerae. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences 106, 15442–15447 (2009).

20. Dziejman, M. *et al.* Comparative genomic analysis of Vibrio cholerae: genes that
correlate with cholera endemic and pandemic disease. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 99, 1556–1561 (2002).

21. Boucher, Y. Sustained local diversity of Vibrio cholerae O1 biotypes in a previously
cholera-Free country. *mBio* 7, e00570-16 (2016).

22. Shapiro, B. J., Levade, I., Kovacikova, G., Taylor, R. K. & Almagro-Moreno, S.
Origins of pandemic Vibrio cholerae from environmental gene pools. *Nature*
Microbiology 2, 16240 (2016).

23. DiRita, V. J. & Mekalanos, J. J. Periplasmic interaction between two membrane
regulatory proteins, ToxR and ToxS, results in signal transduction and transcriptional
activation. *Cell* 64, 29–37 (1991).

24. DiRita, V. J., Parsot, C., Jander, G. & Mekalanos, J. J. Regulatory cascade controls
virulence in Vibrio cholerae. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*
United States of America 88, 5403–5407 (1991).

25. Finkelstein, R. A. & LoSpalluto, J. J. Pathogenesis of experimental cholera.
Preparation and isolation of choleragen and choleragenoid. *The Journal of*
experimental medicine 130, 185–202 (1969).

26. Miller, V. L., Taylor, R. K. & Mekalanos, J. J. Cholera toxin transcriptional activator
toxR is a transmembrane DNA binding protein. *Cell* 48, 271–279 (1987).

27. Skorupski, K. & Taylor, R. K. A new level in the Vibrio cholerae ToxR virulence
cascade: AphA is required for transcriptional activation of the tcpPH operon. *Molecular*
microbiology 31, 763–771 (1999).

28. Taylor, R. K., Manoil, C. & Mekalanos, J. J. Broad-host-range vectors for delivery of
TnphoA: use in genetic analysis of secreted virulence determinants of Vibrio cholerae.
The Journal of Bacteriology 171, 1870–1878 (1989).

29. Waldor, M. K. & Mekalanos, J. J. Lysogenic conversion by a filamentous phage
encoding cholera toxin. *Science* 272, 1910–1914 (1996).

30. Taylor, R. K., Miller, V. L., Furlong, D. B. & Mekalanos, J. J. Use of phoA gene
fusions to identify a pilus colonization factor coordinately regulated with cholera toxin.
Proc National Acad Sci 84, 2833–2837 (1987).

31. Karaolis, D. K. *et al.* A Vibrio cholerae pathogenicity island associated with
epidemic and pandemic strains. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of*
the United States of America 95, 3134–3139 (1998).

32. Faruque, S. M. & Mekalanos, J. J. Pathogenicity islands and phages in Vibrio
cholerae evolution. *Trends in microbiology* 11, 505–510 (2003).

33. Jermyn, W. S. & Boyd, E. F. Characterization of a novel Vibrio pathogenicity island
(VPI-2) encoding neuraminidase (nanH) among toxigenic Vibrio cholerae isolates.
Microbiology 148, 3681–3693 (2002).

34. Davies, B. W., Bogard, R. W., Young, T. S. & Mekalanos, J. J. Coordinated
regulation of accessory genetic elements produces cyclic di-nucleotides for V. cholerae
virulence. *Cell* 149, 358–370 (2012).

35. O'Shea, Y. A. *et al.* The Vibrio seventh pandemic island-II is a 26.9 kb genomic
island present in Vibrio cholerae El Tor and O139 serogroup isolates that shows
homology to a 43.4 kb genomic island in V. vulnificus. *Microbiology* 150, 4053–4063
(2004).

36. Taviani, E. *et al.* Discovery of novel Vibrio cholerae VSP-II genomic islands using
comparative genomic analysis. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 308, 130–137 (2010).

37. Haley, B. J. *et al.* Genomic and Phenotypic Characterization of Vibrio cholerae
Non-O1 Isolates from a US Gulf Coast Cholera Outbreak. *PLoS ONE* 9, e86264
(2014).

38. Jaskólska, M., Adams, D. W. & Blokesch, M. Two defence systems eliminate
plasmids from seventh pandemic Vibrio cholerae. *Nature* 604, 323–329 (2022).

39. Rivera, I. N., Chun, J., Huq, A., Sack, R. B. & Colwell, R. R. Genotypes associated
with virulence in environmental isolates of Vibrio cholerae. *Applied and environmental*
microbiology 67, 2421–2429 (2001).

40. Faruque, S. M. *et al.* Genetic diversity and virulence potential of environmental
Vibrio cholerae population in a cholera-endemic area. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 101, 2123–2128 (2004).

41. Gennari, M., Ghidini, V. & Lleo, M. M. Virulence genes and pathogenicity islands in
environmental Vibrio strains non-pathogenic to humans. *FEMS microbiology ecology*
82, 563–573 (2012).

42. López-Pérez, M. *et al.* Evolutionary model of cluster divergence of the emergent
marine pathogen Vibrio vulnificus: From genotype to ecotype. *mBio* 10, 697 (2019).

43. López-Pérez, M. *et al.* Ecological diversification reveals routes of pathogen
emergence in endemic Vibrio vulnificus populations. *Proc National Acad Sci* 118,
e2103470118 (2021).

44. Almagro-Moreno, S. & Taylor, R. K. Cholera: Environmental Reservoirs and Impact
on Disease Transmission. *Microbiology Spectrum* 1, 149–165 (2013).

45. Lutz, C., Erken, M., Noorian, P., Sun, S. & McDougald, D. Environmental reservoirs
and mechanisms of persistence of Vibrio cholerae. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 4, 375
(2013).

46. Nandi, B. *et al.* Rapid Method for Species-Specific Identification of Vibrio cholerae
Using Primers Targeted to the Gene of Outer Membrane Protein OmpW. *J Clin
Microbiol* 38, 4145–4151 (2000).

47. Teh, C. S. J., Thong, K. L., Osawa, R. & Chua, K. H. Comparative PCR-based
fingerprinting of Vibrio cholerae isolated in Malaysia. *J Gen Appl Microbiol* 57, 19–26
(2011).

48. Chatterjee, S. N. & Chaudhuri, K. Lipopolysaccharides of Vibrio cholerae I. Physical
and chemical characterization. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta Bba - Mol Basis Dis*
1639, 65–79 (2003).

49. Chatterjee, S. N. & Chaudhuri, K. Lipopolysaccharides of Vibrio cholerae II.
Genetics of biosynthesis. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta Bba - Mol Basis Dis* 1690, 93–
109 (2004).

50. Chatterjee, S. N. & Chaudhuri, K. Lipopolysaccharides of Vibrio cholerae: III.
Biological functions. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta Bba - Mol Basis Dis* 1762, 1–16
(2006).

51. Schnaitman, C. A. & Klena, J. D. Genetics of lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis in
enteric bacteria. *Microbiol Rev* 57, 655–682 (1993).

52. Liang, W. *et al.* Sequence polymorphisms of rfbT among the Vibrio cholerae O1
strains in the Ogawa and Inaba serotype shifts. *Bmc Microbiol* 13, 173–173 (2013).

53. Harris, J. B., LaRocque, R. C., Qadri, F., Ryan, E. T. & Calderwood, S. B. Cholera.
Lancet 379, 2466–2476 (2012).

54. Rivera-Chávez, F. & Mekalanos, J. J. Cholera toxin promotes pathogen acquisition
of host-derived nutrients. *Nature* 572, 244–248 (2019).

55. Faruque, S. M. & Mekalanos, J. J. Phage-bacterial interactions in the evolution of
toxigenic Vibrio cholerae. *Virulence* 3, 556–565 (2014).

56. Mukhopadhyay, A. K., Chakraborty, S., Takeda, Y., Nair, G. B. & Berg, D. E.
Characterization of VPI pathogenicity island and CTXphi prophage in environmental
strains of Vibrio cholerae. *The Journal of Bacteriology* 183, 4737–4746 (2001).

57. Houot, L. *et al.* Electrostatic interactions between the CTX phage minor coat protein
and the bacterial host receptor TolA drive the pathogenic conversion of Vibrio cholerae.
J Biol Chem 292, 13584–13598 (2017).

58. Rodriguez-Valera, F., Martin-Cuadrado, A.-B. & López-Pérez, M. Flexible genomic
islands as drivers of genome evolution. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 31, 154–160 (2016).

59. Dorman, M. J. *et al.* High quality reference genomes for toxigenic and non-toxigenic
Vibrio cholerae serogroup O139. *Sci Rep-uk* 9, 5865 (2019).

60. O'Shea, Y. A. & Boyd, E. F. Mobilization of the Vibrio pathogenicity island between
Vibrio cholerae isolates mediated by CP-T1 generalized transduction. *FEMS*
Microbiology Letters 214, 153–157 (2002).

61. Murphy, R. A. & Boyd, E. F. Three pathogenicity islands of Vibrio cholerae can
excise from the chromosome and form circular intermediates. *Journal of bacteriology*
190, 636–647 (2008).

62. Kirn, T. J., Bose, N. & Taylor, R. K. Secretion of a soluble colonization factor by the
TCP type 4 pilus biogenesis pathway in Vibrio cholerae. *Molecular microbiology* 49,
81–92 (2003).

63. Kirn, T. J. & Taylor, R. K. TcpF is a soluble colonization factor and protective
antigen secreted by El Tor and classical O1 and O139 Vibrio cholerae serogroups.
Infection and immunity 73, 4461–4470 (2005).

64. Megli, C. J. *et al.* Crystal structure of the Vibrio cholerae colonization factor TcpF
and identification of a functional immunogenic site. *Journal of molecular biology* 409,
146–158 (2011).

65. Almagro-Moreno, S. & Boyd, E. F. Sialic acid catabolism confers a competitive
advantage to pathogenic Vibrio cholerae in the mouse intestine. *Infection and immunity*
77, 3807–3816 (2009).

66. Reddi, G., Pruss, K., Taylor, R. K., Cottingham, K. L. & Almagro-Moreno, S.
Catabolism of mucus components influences motility of Vibrio cholerae in the presence
of environmental reservoirs. *PLoS ONE* 13, e0201383 (2018).

67. Almagro-Moreno, S., Napolitano, M. G. & Boyd, E. F. Excision dynamics of Vibrio
pathogenicity island-2 from Vibrio cholerae: role of a recombination directionality factor
VefA. *BMC microbiology* 10, 306 (2010).

68. Carpenter, M. R., Rozovsky, S., Boyd, E. F. & DiRita, V. J. Pathogenicity Island
Cross Talk Mediated by Recombination Directionality Factors Facilitates Excision from
the Chromosome. *The Journal of Bacteriology* 198, 766–776 (2016).

69. Grim, C. J. *et al.* Occurrence of the Vibrio cholerae Seventh Pandemic VSP-I Island
and a New Variant. *OMICS: A Journal of Integrative Biology* 14, 1–7 (2010).

70. Iqbal, N., Guérout, A.-M., Krin, E., Roux, F. L. & Mazel, D. Comprehensive
Functional Analysis of the 18 Vibrio cholerae N16961 Toxin-Antitoxin Systems
Substantiates Their Role in Stabilizing the Superintegron. *J Bacteriol* 197, 2150–2159
(2015).

71. Huq, A. *et al.* Detection, Isolation, and Identification of Vibrio cholerae from the
Environment. *Curr Protoc Microbiol* 26, 6A.5.1-6A.5.51 (2012).

72. Naha, A. *et al.* Development and Evaluation of a PCR Assay for Tracking the
Emergence and Dissemination of Haitian Variant ctxB in Vibrio cholerae O1 Strains
Isolated from Kolkata, India. *J Clin Microbiol* 50, 1733–1736 (2012).

73. Zou, W. *et al.* Evaluation of Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis Profiles for
Identification of Salmonella Serotypes. *J Clin Microbiol* 48, 3122–3126 (2010).

74. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2114–2120 (2014).

75. Bankevich, A. *et al.* SPAdes: A New Genome Assembly Algorithm and Its
Applications to Single-Cell Sequencing. *Journal of Computational Biology* 19, 455–477
(2012).

76. Hyatt, D. *et al.* Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site
identification. *BMC Bioinformatics* 11, 1–11 (2010).

77. Lowe, T. M. & Eddy, S. R. tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of
transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence. *Nucleic acids research* 25, 955–964 (1997).

78. Huang, Y., Gilna, P. & Li, W. Identification of ribosomal RNA genes in metagenomic
fragments. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1338–1340 (2009).

79. Buchfink, B., Xie, C. & Huson, D. H. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using
DIAMOND. *Nature methods* 12, 59–60 (2015).

80. Eddy, S. R. Accelerated Profile HMM Searches. *PLOS Computational Biology* 7,
e1002195 (2011).

81. Tatusov, R. L. *et al.* The COG database: new developments in phylogenetic
classification of proteins from complete genomes. *Nucleic acids research* 29, 22–28
(2001).

82. Haft, D. H. *et al.* TIGRFAMs: a protein family resource for the functional
identification of proteins. *Nucleic acids research* 29, 41–43 (2001).

83. Olm, M. R., Brown, C. T., Brooks, B. & Banfield, J. F. dRep: a tool for fast and
accurate genomic comparisons that enables improved genome recovery from
metagenomes through de-replication. *Isme J* 11, 2864–2868 (2017).

84. Parks, D. H., Imelfort, M., Skennerton, C. T., Hugenholtz, P. & Tyson, G. W.
CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single
cells, and metagenomes. *Genome Research* 25, 1043–1055 (2015).

85. Shakya, M. *et al.* Standardized phylogenetic and molecular evolutionary analysis
applied to species across the microbial tree of life. *Sci Rep-uk* 10, 1723 (2020).

86. Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H. A., Haeseler, A. von & Minh, B. Q. IQ-TREE: A Fast and
Effective Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. *Mol*
Biol Evol 32, 268–274 (2015).

87. Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. & Lipman, D. J. Basic local
alignment search tool. *J Mol Biol* 215, 403–410 (1990).

88. Altschul, S. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database
search programs. *Nucleic acids research* 25, 3389–3402 (1997).

89. Fu, L., Niu, B., Zhu, Z., Wu, S. & Li, W. CD-HIT: accelerated for clustering the next-
generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 28, 3150–3152 (2012).

90. Gautreau, G. *et al.* PPanGGOLiN: Depicting microbial diversity via a partitioned
pangenome graph. *Plos Comput Biol* 16, e1007732 (2020).

91. Li, L., Stoeckert, C. J. & Roos, D. S. OrthoMCL: identification of ortholog groups for
eukaryotic genomes. *Genome Research* 13, 2178–2189 (2003).

92. Cohen, O., Ashkenazy, H., Belinky, F., Huchon, D. & Pupko, T. GLOOME: gain
loss mapping engine. *Bioinformatics* 26, 2914–2915 (2010).

93. Mistry, J. *et al.* Pfam: The protein families database in 2021. *Nucleic Acids Res* 49,
gkaa913- (2020).

1030

A

Florida

Feller's House

Shepard Park

Source of isolation:
- Water. Particle-associated (≥5μm)
- Water. Free-living (≤0.2μm)
- Sediment
- Cyanobacteria

B

El Tor str. N16961 (PCG)

Tree scale: 0.1

C

C11

C05

C24

C16

Tree scale: 0.1
Bootstrap (%)
100 75 50

Pandemic Cholera Lineage

Pandemic cholera group (PCG)

A

B

C

Environment

Host

A   Environmental pool   **Replacement Island** (Full)

B   Environmental pool   **Additive Island** (Module)

C   **Allelic Exchange** (Gene)

D   **GVPEs** (SNPs)