1 # Single-cell resolution genetic association analysis of heterogeneous
2 bacterial communities by utilizing droplet digital PCR
3

Lara Ambrosio Leal Dutra[1,4], Matti Jalasvuori[1], Ole Franz[1], Kimi Nurminen[1], Pauliina Salmi[1,3],

Marja Tiirola[1], Reetta Penttinen[1,2]

[1] Department of Biological and Environmental Science and Nanoscience Center, FI-40014

University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland

[2] Department of Biology, FI-20014 University of Turku, Turku, Finland

[3] Faculty of Information and Technology, FI-40014 University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä,

Finland

[4] Department of Virology, Faculty of Medicine, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Helsinki,

Finland

Abstract

Microbial communities often respond to various challenges, such as the presence of antibiotics,

as a whole. Dissecting these community-level effects into separate acting entities requires the

identification of organisms that carry functional genes for the observed feature. However,

unculturable microbes abound in various environments, hence making the identification

challenging. Here we present a cultivation-free technique that can be utilized to link functional

genes with carrying bacterial species at single-cell resolution. The developed protocol is

relatively simple to use, utilizes commercially available droplet microfluidics devices, does not

require toxic reagents (as compared to some previous methods), eliminates invalid signals

emerging from extracellular DNA, and allows simultaneous analysis of community diversity

via 16S rRNA gene sequencing. The method can be customized for any given genetic trait to

accurately identify its hosting subpopulation from a heterogeneous and potentially uncultivable

bacterial community.

Keywords: 16S rRNA gene; droplet digital PCR; droplet microfluidics; functional genes;

microbial diversity analysis; single-cell.

29
30

Introduction

Microbes are ubiquitous organisms that play important roles in systems shaping life on our planet. Yet, microbes rarely exist in isolation. A single grain of sand contains tens to hundreds of thousands of bacteria of various species (Probandt *et al.* 2017), one gram of dry faecal matter has a billion microbial cells and even very slowly growing microbial mats in lava caves have hundreds of distinct taxonomical units in their thick slimy layers (Lavoie *et al.* 2017). Within these communities, bacteria form interaction networks where individual cells are often unable to reproduce in the absence of their contemporaries (Browne *et al.* 2016). Ultimately, genetic, metabolic and ecological links between microbes shape the communities and their behaviour (Stubbendieck *et al.* 2016). Bacterial systems may, for example, degrade hazardous waste materials, confer resistance to antibiotics and regulate the turnover of limiting nutrients for subsequent levels in trophic cascades. Further, horizontal gene transfer is common in microbial systems and opportunistic genetic characteristics may rapidly switch into more suitable hosts in response to environmental conditions (Mattila *et al.* 2017). Disentangling these communities into individual cells that can still be studied with precision and without the influence of potential confounding factors, such as remnant DNA from dead cells, is challenging.

Various methodological advances have been achieved in recent years to help resolve the roles of individual and potentially unculturable microbes within communities. 16S ribosomal RNA sequencing is the current standard for providing an overall picture of the diversity of microbes – living or dead – in the studied sample. However, it fails to associate genetic characteristics to the species. In contrast, total DNA sequencing can cover a lot of the overall genetic variation, but usually without a definitive answer to the question of who acted as the cellular vehicle for any particular gene – or if that gene was indeed actually a part of a living organism at all or merely a remnant from a deceased microbe (Lennon *et al.* 2018). Further, (mobile) plasmids, in particular, replicate separately from chromosomes in bacterial cells, and hence, metagenomic sequencing fails to identify the host of the potentially crucially important genetic element (Jalasvuori 2012). To link genetic traits with particular species, a number of microfluidic techniques have been utilized to identify target traits (such as the ability to cause a disease or carry bacteriophages) from heterogeneous bacterial samples (Zeng *et al.*

2010; Tadmor *et al.* 2011). Ottesen and colleagues utilized a chip containing 1176

microchambers to divide termite midgut samples to single-cell resolution and used two

fluorescent probes to determine the chambers containing 16S rRNA and/or targeted functional

gene (Ottesen *et al.* 2006). By using a needle to extract the contents of chambers that expressed

both fluorescent signals, they were able to associate 16S rRNA sequence with the functional

gene. Alternatively, fixed bacterial samples have been used for fluorescent probe hybridization

(Baptista *et al.* 2014). Hybridization methods, however, are relatively laborious and unable to

study a large number of cells. In order to study hundreds of thousands of cells from

uncharacterized bacterial samples, Spencer and colleagues developed a method to trap

individual cells into tiny acrylamide compartments prior to PCR amplification (Spencer *et al.*

2016). In this so-called epicPCR, a very localized PCR reaction is utilized to amplify targeted

functional gene and combine the gene in concatenation PCR with the 16S ribosomal RNA

gene. By sequencing the paired products, the cellular hosts harbouring the studied genetic trait

can be identified. While epicPCR is a promising tool for large-scale single-cell resolution

analyses, it still requires specific knowhow of emulsion preparation and subsequent analytical

steps.

Droplet microfluidics utilizes microchannels for the formation of nanolitre-scale

droplets. In droplet digital PCR (ddPCR), PCR reagents are enclosed into droplets surrounded

and stabilized by oil, enabling thousands of PCR reactions to occur simultaneously. The

amplified DNA is detected by using double-stranded DNA-binding fluorescent dye and

measured from each droplet one by one. As the sample is randomly distributed across the

droplets, both empty (negative) and DNA-containing (positive) droplets are formed. The

system is extremely sensitive and capable of detecting even a single copy within a droplet.

Finally, the fraction of positive droplets is compared to the total number of droplets which is

then used with Poisson statistics for absolute quantification of target DNA in the original

sample (Pinheiro *et al.* 2012; Hindson *et al.* 2011). DNA can be delivered into droplets also

within intact cells instead of pure DNA, allowing ddPCR to be applied for bacterial

communities. This principle has been harnessed to trace enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*

(EHEC) in food production samples (Capobianco *et al.* 2020; McMahon *et al.* 2017). In these

studies, single cells were delivered into droplets, and after the cell lysis initiated by the

denaturation step of ddPCR, EHEC was detectable via distinct amplification of two virulence

genes and their colocalization in the same droplet with fluorescent probes (McMahon *et al.*

2017).

In this study, we present a new adapted technique for investigating bacterial

communities at single-cell resolution. The described method utilizes commercially available

ddPCR devices (based on droplet microfluidics), is fast to perform, requires only non-toxic

reagents, contains steps to efficiently inactivate extracellular DNA from samples, employs

paired fusion PCR to link taxonomic marker to the target gene and allows accurate

fluorescence-based verification of single-cell resolution prior to fusion amplicon sequencing.

We demonstrate that the method is applicable for precise detection and identification of carriers

of a beta-lactamase resistance gene (*bla*CTX-M-14) in the heterogenous bacterial sample, and

thus, can be utilized for tracing the movements of mobile genetic elements such as plasmids

within the bacterial community. As such, the introduced single-cell ddPCR method provides a

convenient approach for different laboratories to identify specific genetic traits from multi-

species communities.

RESULTS

A microbial single-cell screening from a community

In this study, we developed an easy-to-use microbial single-cell screening method to identify

or track hosts of any gene of interest in heterogenous communities. Our experimental setup

focused on tracking an antibiotic resistance gene (ARG) *bla*CTX-M-14 (hereafter *bla*). A

fragment of *bla* is paired with the 16S rRNA gene in fusion PCR to identify taxonomic groups

that harbour the ARG. For enabling analysis of individual cells, the bacterial samples are

partitioned into compartments by utilizing QX200™ Droplet Digital PCR System from Bio-

Rad. This automated system employs droplet microfluidics for generating equal-sized 1

nanolitre droplets that encapsulate both individual bacterial cells and PCR reagents (Figure 1).

During thermal cycling, the bacterial DNA is released from cells via lysis and used as a template for paired amplification of target ARG and 16S rRNA gene. As single-cell PCR-based methods are highly sensitive to any contaminating DNA present in the droplet but outside of the cell, the protocol includes steps for inactivating extracellular DNA. These steps were observed to be crucial for the accurate detection of genetic content that is indeed bound within cellular membranes. By sequencing the paired amplicons, the studied bacterial community can be allocated into gene-carriers and gene-free individuals. Four bacterial species were employed in the development and optimization of the described method: *Escherichia coli* (carrying the target *bla* gene in a conjugative plasmid pEC13), *Pseudomonas fluorescens, Salmonella* Typhimurium and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*.

Figure 1. Overview of the single-cell ddPCR method workflow. A) Bacterial samples are prepared and partitioned using droplet microfluidics technology to obtain a single cell per droplet. This step includes treatment with PMA to inactivate exDNA (extracellular DNA), the addition of PCR reagents and, finally, the generation of single-cell -containing droplets with a droplet microfluidics device. B) One-step fusion PCR assay is carried out within each droplet. In droplets containing a carrier cell, *i.e.* a cell that potentially has the functional gene, the taxonomic marker gene and the functional gene are amplified and fused into a concatemer PCR product. The taxonomic marker is the sole product in droplets containing non-carrier bacterial cells. C) The produced amplicons are recovered from droplets by breaking the emulsion using liquid nitrogen method (Dutra *et al.* 2020). D) The recovered amplicons are used to construct sequencing libraries and E) sequenced by massive parallel sequencing. F) The sequence analysis determines the bacterial population carrying the functional gene as well as the overall bacterial diversity in the given sample. Created with BioRender.com.

Extracellular DNA (exDNA) inactivation from cell samples

Microfluidic encapsulation traps any content in the sample, including free DNA. Preliminary analysis (not shown) indicated that free DNA (*i.e.* DNA outside of bacterial cells) is abundant in bacterial suspensions even after DNAse treatment and/or repeated washing of the cells. As such, this DNA forms a notable confounding factor for single-cell analyses that aim to reliably identify a specific DNA target that is indeed within the cellular membranes (*i.e.* a single viable cell). To this end, we ensured that the process only amplifies DNA originating from a unique cell by treating the samples with propidium monoazide (PMA). PMA is a DNA binding dye that covalently attaches to double stranded DNA (dsDNA) via photoactivation, thus preventing primer annealing and polymerization to occur. Cell membranes are impermeable to PMA, hence only DNA external to intact cells is rendered non-amplifiable.

PMA treatment – fluorescence emission spectral shift

After initial screening, we hypothesized that PMA may influence PCR. As such, the maximum

non-inhibitory concentration of PMA in PCR amplification was assessed by adding increasing

concentrations of photoactivated PMA to ddPCR EvaGreen -based assay (Supplementary

Figure 1). The reactions targeting the 16S rRNA region (amplified with 27F and 338R primers)

of digested *E. coli* DNA were prepared with 0.125 μM up to 2.5 μM of PMA. The Relative

Fluorescence Unit (RFU) was obtained from each droplet by the Droplet Reader through

fluorescence detection channels 1 (Ch1) and 2 (Ch2). Analysis of RFU showed that two droplet

clusters could be seen: a cluster of negative droplets where amplification had not occurred (low

RFU) and a cluster of positive droplets with successful amplification (high RFU). Nonetheless,

in Ch1, a pronounced drop in RFU from positive droplets cluster could be seen when increasing

concentrations of PMA were used (Figure 2A). The same pattern could not be observed in Ch2

data. Here, instead, the positive droplets cluster showed an increase in RFU in higher PMA

concentrations. The analysis of Ch1 *versus* Ch2 (Figure 2B) showed a clear distinction between

positive and negative droplet clusters. These results suggest that PMA does not inhibit PCR

reactions when used in concentrations up to 2.5 μM but affects the fluorescence emission

spectrum that disturbs the detection of the DNA binding dye (EvaGreen) from Ch1.

Figure 2. Effect of PMA concentration on RFU reading. Light-inactivated PMA in final

concentration ranging from 0.125 to 2.5 μM was added to 16S rRNA ddPCR. After

amplification, the fluorescence of each droplet was measured through both detection channels.

The increasing PMA concentration resulted in a drop in RFU when measured via channel 1

(Ch1) (A, upper panel), but not with channel 2 (Ch2) (A, lower panel). The positive droplets

were identified by two-dimensional plotting of RFU values detected from Ch1 and Ch2

channels (B).

Further, we studied whether PMA inactivates exDNA in samples containing bacterial

cells. Cell suspensions of *E. coli* and *P. fluorescens* and their filtrates (suspension filtered

through a 0.2 μm filter) were treated with 2 μM PMA and used as a template in ddPCR

amplifying a region within the gene for 16S rRNA. For controls, the corresponding samples

were treated with sterile water instead of PMA. PMA treatment reduced the obtained 16S rRNA

concentration by as much as 71 % in *P. fluorescens* and 22 % in *E. coli* cell samples (Figure

3). This suggests that exDNA is abundant even in pure bacterial cultures and potentially causes

erroneous PCR amplification if the DNA is present inside a droplet together with the trapped

bacterial cell. To verify the complete inactivation of exDNA, we filtered out the bacterial cells

and exposed the filtrate to PMA. Less than 0.12 % of the original contaminating DNA was

amplifiable after PMA treatment (Figure 3).

Figure 3. Effect of PMA treatment on inactivation of exDNA. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Escherichia coli* cell dilution (1:10 or 1:100 of an overnight-grown bacterial culture) and their filtrated (0.2 μm) supernatant treated with 10 μM PMA or sterile water as control. 16S rRNA was amplified with single-cell ddPCR method and is presented as copies/μl.

One-step fusion PCR

The developed method relies on linking two targeted DNA fragments together by using fusion PCR. To produce the concatemer, we employed one-step fusion PCR with four primers. In this approach, the amplification of both targets occurs within the same reaction. Introduction of a fusion primer into the reaction prompts the attachment of the distinct target fragments into a unified DNA molecule (Figure 4). The fusion primer consists of a priming sequence for a given target sequence added by additional bases at the 5'-end that encodes the complementary reverse sequence of the forward primer of the other target. The dynamics of the reaction is driven by a difference in the ratio of forward to reverse primer (within a pair) and the concentration of each primer pair. The outer primers that flank the concatemer sequence are used in higher concentrations than the inner primers. Amplification of the target sequence will eventually diminish due to the depletion of inner primers, thus the matching overhang will prompt the fusion of both target sequences into a paired concatemer. The excess of concatemer flanking primers will provide amplification of the linked sequence.

In our experiments, we used the 16S rRNA universal primers, 27F and 338R, to amplify a variable region that can be used to identify the used bacterial strains. As for ARG target, we designed primers 293F and 320R for amplifying a 101 bp region of *bla* gene. Furthermore, we modified *bla* reverse primer 320R with a 5'-extension of the reverse complementary sequence of 27F (therefore producing a fragment of 121 bp). The complementary sequence to be produced during PCR was to act as primer and allow annealing of *bla*-27F overhang onto 16S rRNA fragment, thus fusing both target sequences as a concatemer.

Step 1 - Amplification of targets

Step 2 - Concatenation of fragments

Step 3 - Concatemer amplification

Figure 4. One-step fusion PCR with four primers. The amplification and fusion of 16S rRNA and beta-lactamase gene *bla* are carried out in the same reaction. The fusion process is driven by a fusion primer that is one of the *bla* primers with a 5' -end extension that encodes the reverse complement sequence of the 16S rRNA primer 27F. In step 1, both target regions are simultaneously and independently amplified, generating the intermediate product of the fusion: the *bla* fragment with the tail complementary to 27F. In step 2, the tail will prompt the fusion of 16S and *bla* products. Lower concentration of the inner primers (27F and the modified *bla* primers that bind to the fusion region) facilitates the reaction dynamic to produce the concatemer. After the depletion of the inner primers, in step 3, the amplification of the fusion product is carried out by using the excess of external primers. Created with BioRender.com.

Optimization of primer sets

The optimization of one-step fusion PCR was carried out by screening the primer ratio and

concentration to assess the efficiency of concatemer production. First, the pairs were tested

separately to verify the production of each target in different reaction conditions. After this, the

primers were combined in a single ddPCR reaction to ensure the amplification of the

concatemer. The separation of positive and negative clusters was evaluated to define the

concentration and ratio to be used in the following assays. Clustering was more efficient at

lower ratios and with higher primer concentrations (Supplementary Figure 3).

In ddPCR, the amplification of DNA fragments of distinct sizes is indicated by RFU

level. Thus, the occurrence and separation of four droplet clusters were sought: a cluster with

very low RFU was expected for negative droplets; two clusters with intermediate RFU were

expected to result from droplets where a single target was amplified, either a low RFU cluster

for *bla* (121 bp product) or higher RFU cluster for 16S rRNA (350 bp product). The cluster

with the highest RFU indicated the amplification of the fused concatemer (of 450 bp) (for

detailed information, see Supplementary Figure 3). Finally, the conditions for one step fusion

reaction in ddPCR were defined by using 225 nM of 16S rRNA primers in 1:5

(forward:reverse) ratio, and 200 nM of *bla* primers in 10:1 (forward:reverse) ratio.

Partition of single cells into droplets

In order to verify whether single cells could be delivered to droplets with the ddPCR

microfluidic system, we designed an assay where PMA-treated dilutions of *E. coli,*

Pseudomonas fluorescens, Salmonella Typhimurium and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* cells were

used as template in the ddPCR targeting the 16S rRNA gene. Serial plating assay was prepared

in parallel, and the obtained cell density compared to that estimated with the ddPCR. With all

tested bacterial strains, the bacterial cell density was comparable when measured with serial

plating and 16S rRNA single-cell ddPCR; the decreasing trend was detectable between adjacent dilutions. However, in *P. fluorescens* and *K. pneumoniae*, a higher cell concentration was consistently observed with ddPCR in comparison to plating (Figure 5).

Figure 5. Single cells of *Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas fluorescens, Salmonella Typhimurium* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* within droplets. A dilution series of overnight-grown bacterial cultures were enclosed into droplets and amplified with 16S rRNA single-cell ddPCR. The cell number per ddPCR sample was determined by 16S rRNA ddPCR and is presented as cells. Parallel serial plating of bacterial cultures on LB agar was performed to measure cfu (colony forming units) per sample.

Identifying the ARG carriers by concatemer sequencing

To investigate how effectively the single-cell ddPCR method identifies target gene hosts from a heterogeneous sample, *E. coli* cells carrying *bla*CTX-M-14 were mixed with *S.* Typhimurium and *K. pneumoniae* cells not carrying the gene. Bacterial cell suspensions were first pre-treated

with PMA and immediately added to ddPCR reaction to include either a single strain or two

strains: *E. coli* with either *S.* Typhimurium or *K. pneumoniae.* The portion of each strain was

determined by i) serial plating of each bacterial pure culture, ii) single-cell ddPCR amplifying

16S rRNA and iii) OTU abundance (determined via sequencing of bla_16S concatemer

produced in single-cell ddPCR) (Figure 6). The portion of *E. coli* cells in the samples ranged

from 28-61% when estimated by separate serial plating of the pure cultures. A comparable ratio

was obtained with ddPCR from a mix of *E. coli* and S. Typhimurium cells, however from *E.*

coli and *K. pneumoniae* cell mixes, ddPCR resulted in higher portion of *K. pneumoniae* cells.

The mixed cell samples were delivered into single-cell ddPCR where bla_16S concatemers

were produced and proceeded to library preparation and sequencing. The 16S rRNA part of the

concatemer was used for identifying the host strain associated with *bla*CTX-M-14 and the

abundance of *E. coli*, *S.* Typhimurium or *K. pneumoniae* hosts was determined in each sample.

In the mixed samples, the portion of noncarrier (*S.* Typhimurium or *K. pneumoniae*) hosts was

0.47-1.15%, suggesting that single-cell ddPCR process effectively diminish the occurrence of

false positive host sequences.

Figure 6. Portions of *Escherichia coli, Salmonella* Typhimurium (A) and *Klebsiella*

***pneumoniae* (B) cells in mixed heterogeneous samples determined by serial plating, 16S**

rRNA single-cell ddPCR and bla_16S concatemer single-cell ddPCR and sequencing. Cell

density (colony forming units/ml) from each pure culture was measured separately by serial

dilution and plate counting. 16S rRNA single-cell ddPCR was used to measure the cell density

of each pure culture separately to determine the portion of each bacterial strain in mixed sample. Single-cell ddPCR with primers producing bla_16S concatemer followed by fusion amplicon sequencing was utilized to identify and quantify the *bla*CTX-M-14 carriers in mixed samples. The fraction of *bla*CTX-M-14 hosts is presented as OTU abundance.

Horizontal transfer of betalactamase *bla*CTX-M-14 in synthetic bacterial community

To demonstrate the usage of the here-developed method for tracking horizontal transfer of conjugative plasmid within microbial community, we set up a synthetic bacterial community, consisting of *Klebsiella pneumoniae, Comamonas* sp. and *Salmonella* Typhimurium strains, all of which were resistant to rifampicin. *E. coli* harboring conjugative plasmid pEC13 that confers cephalothin resistance to its host via *bla*CTX-M-14 gene, was harnessed as plasmid donor as it is previously shown to possess evolutionary rescue potential (Ruotsalainen *et al.* 2020; Mattila *et al.* 2017). Namely, pEC13 is able to transfer to new bacterial hosts even during antibiotic treatment, reviving susceptible bacteria from its proximity. The synthetic communities were either sequentially or simultaneously exposed to cephalothin and rifampicin antibiotics as follows: *K. pneumoniae, Comamonas* sp. and *Salmonella* Typhimurium were co-cultured (N=4) in 1) cephalothin, 2) cephalothin and rifampicin (simultaneous exposure) or 3) rifampicin. *E. coli* carrying pEC13 was introduced into the communities after 30 minutes, allowing evolutionary rescue to occur. After 1 h, treatments 1 and 3 with sequential antibiotic treatment, were supplied with rifampicin and cephalothin, respectively. Synthetic communities were cultivated 3.5 h after which pEC13 transconjugant population was identified via the developed single cell ddPCR method (Figure 7).

The bacterial population carrying pEC13 was strongly affected by the order by which the antibiotics were introduced into the population (Figure 7). In treatment 1, where the community was first exposed to cephalothin, the plasmid carrier population was dominated by

the original host, *E. coli*, whereas in treatment 3 (first exposure to rifampicin) the most abundant plasmid carrier species was *K. pneumoniae*. Simultaneous exposure to both antibiotics led to relatively stochastic results, the most abundant hosts being *E. coli* and *Comamonas* sp. However, further pairwise conjugation tests showed that pEC13 conjugation between *E. coli* and *Comamonas* sp. was unlikely (data not shown).

Figure 7. Carrier population of *bla*CTX-M-14 resistance gene after sequential or simultaneous antibiotic exposure. Rifampicin resistant mock communities consisting of *Klebsiella pneumoniae, Comamonas* sp. and *Salmonella* Typhimurium were exposed to antibiotics in three different treatments (N=4): 1) cephalothin (CEPH), 2) cephalothin and rifampicin (RIF) or 3) rifampicin. After 30 minutes, *Escherichia coli* (pEC13 with *bla*CTX-M-14) was introduced into the communities. Second antibiotic exposure was given after 1 h with 1) rifampicin and 3) cephalothin. Horizontal transfer of pEC13 within the community was determined with single cell ddPCR (*bla*CTX-M-14 and 16S rRNA fusion) after 3.5 h co-culture and is presented as the frequency of plasmid host species.

DISCUSSION

Characterizing microbial communities at the resolution of their true operating units, individual cells, is essential for understanding the functions and biological interactions that take place in complex systems. In this study, we provide a stepwise pipeline that utilizes droplet microfluidics for partitioning bacterial samples into single-cell -containing compartments. The method employs fusion PCR that links 16S rRNA taxonomic marker with the target functional gene (here an antibiotic resistance gene *bla*CTX-M-14), thus enabling the identification of bacteria carrying the target gene after sequencing the paired amplicons.

Microbial communities, albeit natural or experimental, are supplemented with exDNA that can prevent accurate analysis of genetic material that solely resides within the boundaries of individual viable cells. exDNA does not only originate from lysed cells, but it is also actively released from bacteria because of different functionalities in the microbial system, such as to aid biofilm formation or mediate horizontal gene transfer (see Nagler *et al.* 2018 and Ibáñez de Aldecoa *et al.* 2017 for review). To exemplify, Carini and colleagues demonstrated that extracellularly located relic DNA may significantly bias the observed microbial diversity in soil samples (Carini *et al.* 2016). This bias, however, was shown to be corrected by treating the soil samples with PMA. PMA is a DNA-intercalating dye that only enters dead cells or cells with compromised membranes and hence it has been used in microbiology to identify viable bacteria. The covalent binding of PMA to DNA occurs via photoactivation, preventing the DNA from serving as a template for PCR (Nocker *et al.* 2007). While exDNA skews the community analyses when conducted on total microbial DNA, it may also disrupt single-cell methodologies that rely on DNA.

To investigate the prevalence of exDNA in overnight cultures of *E. coli* and *P. fluorescens*, we filtered out the cells and performed 16S rRNA ddPCR for the remaining supernatant. Cell-free supernatant contributed 14-17 % of the total 16S rRNA gene

concentration when compared to the corresponding sample where cells were still present. In a

preliminary analysis, DNAse treatment was not sufficient in reducing the amplification of

exDNA. Hence, the possibility to employ PMA in ddPCR was evaluated. This was done by

determining a concentration that did not inhibit the PCR, but effectively blocked the

amplification of exDNA in cell-containing and filtrated cell-free samples. The presence of

PMA in ddPCR caused a shift in the emitted fluorescence spectrum, however, an accurate

categorization of the droplets into positive and negative clusters was still achieved with the 2-

dimensional plot of the droplet-emitted fluorescence using two detection channels. As such,

potential errors stemming from exDNA can be significantly reduced or eliminated with PMA

also in single-cell methods where individual cells and exDNA are trapped within the same

compartment.

Production of paired concatemer requires a special primer design that allows

amplification and fusion of two physically distant genetic regions. The here-employed protocol

includes four primers for amplifying both 16S rRNA and target *bla* regions that are then

combined with a fusion primer. Four-primer setup changes the reaction dynamics compared to

the three-primer protocol utilized in comparable methods such as epicPCR (Spencer *et al.*

2016). In the three-primer protocol, the production of fused concatemer is dependent on the

presence of the target gene; in case the trapped bacterial cell does not carry it, neither the 16S

rRNA gene nor fusion amplicons are produced. The addition of a fourth primer (in this case,

27F) not only enables the production of 16S rRNA gene fragments of noncarrier cells, but also

increases the yield of the fusion product (data not shown). Higher outer primer concentration

(compared to inner primers) may enhance the production of fusion concatemer (Liu *et al.*

2018). Thus, we tested several primer ratios and total concentrations in order to achieve the

most efficient production of bla_16S concatemer. The results showed that the best fusion

concatemer production and droplet separation was obtained when outer primer concentrations

were several times higher compared to that of inner primers (forward to reverse primer ratios

10:1 for *bla* and 1:5 for 16S rRNA primers, where *bla* forward and 16S rRNA reverse primers

acted as outer primers). The application of the here-described system for alternative genetic

targets may require optimization of primer concentrations and ratios in order to enhance

amplification for better DNA yield and for allowing sufficient separation of negative droplets

from positive ones in the subsequent fluorescence analysis.

In this method, the droplets are generated with a microfluidic device that produces

equal-sized droplets with a volume of one nanolitre. Bacterial cells are encapsulated into oil-

surrounded droplets during droplet generation. The accuracy of ddPCR to quantify DNA

concentrations assumes that targets are randomly distributed into droplets of equal size. In the

presented approach, individual cells (instead of gene copies) serve as the quantifiable unit. As

such, we first assured the applicability of single-cell ddPCR individually for *E. coli, P.*

fluorescens, K. pneumoniae and *Salmonella* Typhimurium. To investigate the capability of the

method to differentiate *bla*-carriers from non-carriers, *E. coli bla*-carriers were mixed with non-

carriers in different ratios and combinations. These mixtures were immediately divided into

droplets and the droplets were transferred to a thermal cycler. We employ a prolonged initial

denaturation at 95 °C in the ddPCR thermal cycling protocol to ensure cell lysis and

accessibility of PCR reagents to the released DNA. As noted above, during PCR, the four

primers enable the production of both fusion concatemer from carrier cells and the sole 16S

amplicon from noncarriers. As fluorescence is measured after PCR from each droplet one by

one, each cell-containing droplet can be detected and hence the ratio of positive to negative

droplets is quantified unlike in methods that rely solely on statistical probabilities. The

advantage of the QX200 system is that, in addition to droplet fluorescence measurement, it also

inspects droplet shape and size and excludes abnormal droplets from the analysis. In

comparable methods, such as in epicPCR and OIL-PCR (Spencer *et al.* 2016; Diebold *et al.*

2021), partitions of various sizes are formed, hence producing compartments with various

ratios of PCR reagents to the target DNA. This, in turn, may result in notably differing amounts

of amplified DNA that are produced from a single target cell. As such, the here-described

method can provide also a somewhat more reliable proxy of the number of positive targets in

the initial sample, not only their diversity. Nevertheless, Poisson distribution is used to select

a suitable number of cells to be loaded into a single ddPCR reaction. In other words, a cell

concentration that statistically should enclose only a single cell in a droplet while most droplets

remain cell-free is used to seed the droplet generation. We confirmed single-cell resolution by

parallel single-cell 16S ddPCR to reveal the potential maximum number of positive droplets

produced from the sample and proceeded with only those samples that had a target

concentration within the confidence interval.

After single-cell ddPCR, the DNA is recovered from all droplets in a single step, thus

mixing their contents. At this point, the overall DNA concentration is typically extremely low

and needs downstream amplification and barcoding steps to enable amplicon sequencing. Four-

primer setup produces single 16S rRNA gene products that can serve as a primer in the

subsequent processing and hence may result in false positive host sequences. To prevent cross-

binding of fusion amplicons and 16S rRNA products originating from distinct droplets, we

used blocking primers in the library preparation steps as described by Spencer *et al.* (2016).

Excessive concentration of blocking primers binding to both strands of the 27F region was used

to prevent the amplification to start from the 16S rRNA region (*i.e.* in the middle of fusion

product, single 16S rRNA gene amplicon or genomic DNA), as in this phase, only fused

concatemers are to be enriched. Sequencing of full-length fusion amplicons from mixed cell

samples revealed that the vast majority of *bla* host sequences belonged to *E. coli*, which was

the only true host carrying the resistance gene. To conclude, our results suggest that the steps

for eliminating signals that would potentially cause biased host diversity were sufficient to

diminish the false host sequences. However, it should be noted that especially in the case of

unknown complex communities, the extremely rare hosts of the target functional gene may be

shadowed by the background 16S rRNA gene sequences.

To demonstrate its applicability, we utilized the single cell ddPCR method for tracing

the horizontal transfer of conjugative resistance plasmid pEC13 within a simple synthetic

microbial community consisting of cephalothin resistant (rifampicin sensitive) *E. coli*(pEC13)

and rifampicin resistant (cephalothin sensitive) strains of *P. fluorescens, K. pneumoniae* and

Salmonella Typhimurium. The communities were exposed to three different treatments: 1)

cephalothin and after 1 h 30 min to rifampicin, 2) simultaneously to cephalothin and rifampicin

or 3) rifampicin and after 1 h 30 min to cephalothin. *E. coli*(pEC13 with *bla*CTX-M-14) was

introduced after 30 min of the first antibiotic exposure. Carriers of *bla*CTX-M-14, and thereby

the potential evolutionary rescue via pEC13, were identified with the single cell ddPCR. When

exposed to cephalothin, the susceptible synthetic population is expectedly diminished. Further,

the introduction of *E. coli* with a potential to rescue its accompanying bacteria with the

resistance-conferring plasmid, new transconjugant hosts do not seem to appear in the

community. In contrast, when rifampicin is administered as the first antibiotic, the initial

resistant population is restored, allowing the spread of rescuing pEC13 plasmid into new hosts

before its original host (susceptible to rifampicin) is eliminated from the population. The

second antibiotic exposure to cephalothin selects for those bacteria that took in the resistance

plasmid and were mainly identified as *K. pneumoniae.* This is in accordance with our previous

work where pEC13 was shown to rescue *K. pneumoniae* cells even under lethal cephalothin

concentration (Ruotsalainen *et al.* 2020). The simultaneous exposure to both antibiotics is

lethal to all bacterial strains in the community, and therefore assumed not to give enough time

for conjugation events. Plasmid host population from this treatment was drastically inconsistent

between biological replicates, suggesting that the observed results were likely biased by dead

cells dominating the bacterial sample. Moreover, *Comamonas* was not observed to host pEC13

plasmid, however it was over-represented in some samples, suggesting that certain bacterial

behavior, such as secretion of extracellular vesicles, may have significant effects on sequencing

results when viable host cells are rare in the community. These results show the method is

useful for identifying the plasmid-carrying population which is shaped by the used antibiotics

as well as the resistance phenotypes of the initial community compartments. Hence, the method

has potential for future applications *e.g.* for studying plasmid-host interactions in more

complex microbial communities, such as the gut or soil microbiota – yet it is acknowledged

diverse sample types may require further pre-processing steps.

In summary, we have outlined a ddPCR-based protocol that enables both counting the

number of bacterial cells as well as providing a phylogenetic assignment of functional genes

or extrachromosomal elements (plasmids) to their hosts. Available in many molecular biology

laboratories, the ddPCR system offers a valuable droplet microfluidic device and necessary

plasticware for high-throughput genomic research of microbial communities.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and cultivation

Bacterial strains with and without the target beta-lactam resistance gene were used in the

development of the gene tracking method presented in this study. *Escherichia coli* K-12

BL21Gold carrying the conjugative plasmid pEC13 with the target beta-lactamase gene

*bla*CTX-M-14 (Mattila *et al.* 2017) served as the antibiotic resistance gene carrier. *Klebsiella*

pneumoniae DSM681, *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium SL5676 and *Pseudomonas*

fluorescens (ATCC 13525) were used as a noncarriers. The bacteria were cultivated in Luria

Bertani Lennox-broth (LB) (Lennox *et al.* 1955), *E. coli, S.* Typhimurium and *K. pneumoniae*

at +37˚C with 120 or 220 rpm shaking, and *P. fluorescens* at room temperature (RT) with 150

rpm. LB-agar (1%) plates were used for quantifying bacterial densities from liquid cultures. *E.*

coli, S. Typhimurium and *K. pneumoniae* plates were incubated overnight at +37˚C and *P.*

fluorescens 2 days at RT. Media for *E. coli* was supplemented with ampicillin (150 μg/ml) in

order to select for pEC13 plasmid.

ddPCR reactions

The QX200™ Droplet Digital™ PCR system (ddPCR, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.) was used

to generate droplets and read the results following the manufacturer's instructions. The

reactions were run in C1000 Touch™ Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc) with 96

Deep-Well Reaction Module. The ddPCR reactions were kept overnight at 12 ˚C before reading

the droplets. The results were obtained from channels 1 (FAM) and 2 (HEX/VIC) and analysed

with QuantaSoft™ Analysis Pro 1.0 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc). All ddPCR reactions were

prepared with QX200™ ddPCR™ EvaGreen® Supermix (2x).

16S rRNA gene amplification

The amplification of the 16S rRNA gene was done using universal primers 27F and 338R (the

primers used in this study are listed in Table 1). After initial optimization for ddPCR (see

Supplementary Figure 2 for more information), the reactions were prepared using 1x ddPCR

supermix and 100 nM of each primer. The amplification conditions consisted of initial

incubation at 95 ˚C for 10 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 ˚C for 60

seconds, annealing at 55˚C for 60 seconds and elongation at 72 ˚C for 2 minutes, and a final

step for fluorescence stabilization with incubation at 4 ˚C for 5 minutes followed by incubation

at 90 ˚C for 5 minutes. The ramp rate between steps was 2 ˚C/sec until the last elongation

incubation, and 1 ˚C /sec for the fluorescence stabilization.

Propidium monoazide (PMA) for sample treatment

Initially, the maximum non-inhibitory concentration (MNIC) of propidium monoazide (PMA)

in ddPCR reaction was tested. PMA (PMAxx™, Biotium) was diluted in PCR grade water to

10 µM of final concentration and photoactivated. The treatment consisted of initial incubation

in the dark for 10 minutes, followed by incubation for 10 minutes under blue LED light (460

nm). The solution was prepared in 500 µl thin-walled polypropylene Qubit™ assay tubes

(Invitrogen™), with final volume of 200 µl. Samples were kept on ice layer and mixed

occasionally during the treatment by inverting the tube. Lower concentrations were prepared

from the treated PMA solution and used in ddPCR, with final concentration ranging from 0.125

to 2.5 µM. *E. coli* DNA digested with FastDigest HindIII and NotI (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

MA, USA) was used as a template in ddPCR reactions optimized for 16S rRNA. The ddPCR

was prepared using 100 nM of each universal primer (27F and 338R) for 16S rRNA

amplification. The amplification program was carried out using 5 minutes of initial incubation

at 95 ˚C, and 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 ˚C for 30 seconds, annealing at 55 ˚C for 60

seconds and elongation at 72 ˚C for 2 minutes. The final fluorescence stabilization and ramp

rate were the same as mentioned above.

Next, cell samples prepared from *E. coli* and *P. fluorescens* cultures were treated with

PMA and used as template in ddPCR. Bacterial suspensions were freshly prepared for every

new assay by diluting an overnight culture up to 1000-fold in 1x phosphate buffer solution

(PBS). The filtrate was obtained by individually centrifuging 250 µl of each dilution at 5000 ×

g for 3 minutes through a 0.2 µm spin filter (Centrifugal Filter, Modified Nylon 0.2 µm, VWR).

Both sample types, cell suspension and filtrate, were treated with 10 µM of PMA as described

above. After PMA treatment, the cells were diluted in PCR grade water and used as template

in ddPCR reaction using 16S rRNA primers. The same reaction conditions used for the MNIC

assay were used here. The cell density of the samples was determined by serial dilution and

plate cultivation of cell suspensions, before and/or after PMA treatment.

One-step fusion PCR for concatemer production

Specific primers were designed to detect the pEC13 plasmid and prompt the bla_16S

concatemer formation. The plasmid sequence was retrieved from the GeneBank database under

accession number KU932024.1. The class A beta lactamase gene (*bla*CTX-M-14) present in

the plasmid was the target sequence chosen and here referred to as *bla*. Primers were designed

using the online Primer Designer™ Tool (Thermo Fischer Scientific). To amplify a 101-bp *bla*

fragment, 293F was used as a forward primer together with a modified reverse primer rc27F-

bla_320R (Table 1). It contains *bla* reverse primer part (320R) and 5'-overhang encoding the

reverse complement sequence of 16S rRNA forward primer 27F. Fusion primer enables the

production of the 450-bp concatemer via the attachment of the amplified *bla* fragment on its

27F-overhang to 16S rRNA fragment (350 bp PCR product amplified by primers 27F and

338R) in the same reaction (Figure 2). Initially, both primer pairs (for amplifying *bla* and 16S

fragments) were screened separately for forward to reverse ratio and primer pair concentration

in ddPCR (Supplementary Figure 3). Reactions were prepared with up to a 100-fold difference

between forward and reverse primer molarity, and total concentration ranging from 100 to 400

nM. After the initial screening, both primer pairs were used in the same reaction to produce the

concatemer. The total concentration of 16S rRNA primers was fixed to 225 nM and the ratio

of forward to reverse in 1:5. *bla* primers were used in concentrations ranging from 100 to 300

nM and ratios from 10:1 to 30:1 (Supplementary Figure 3C-E). Finally, the primer ratios and

concentrations were defined for single-cell experiments. The further reactions for concatemer

production were prepared with 225 nM and a ratio of 1:5 (forward to reverse) of 16S rRNA

primers, and 200 nM and 10:1 (forward:reverse) of *bla* primers.

Single bacterial cells in droplets

To test whether single bacterial cells are suitable to be used as templates in ddPCR, overnight

cultures of *E. coli, P. fluorescens, K. pneumoniae,* and *S.* Typhimurium were diluted to reach

a cell density of approximately 1×10^7 cfu/ml (cfu; colony forming units). Bacterial dilution

was PMA-treated as described above with the final PMA concentration of 10 µM. A dilution

series of 1:2 was prepared (in PCR grade water) from the PMA-treated cell samples and used

as a template in ddPCR. The bacterial cell suspension always constituted ¼ of the total ddPCR

reaction volume. The maximum detectable cell number was determined by using 16S rRNA

primers 27F and 338R. The corresponding bacterial cell density of the samples was also

determined by dilution series and plating on LB plates. The protocol optimized for the

amplification of the 16S rRNA gene in ddPCR and specified in the above section was used.

Detection of beta-lactamase host bacteria from mixed samples with single-cell ddPCR

Overnight cultures of *E. coli, K. pneumoniae,* and *S.* Typhimurium were diluted for PMA-

treatment and a 1:2 dilution series was prepared as described above. Diluted bacterial samples

containing either one bacterial strain or a mix of two strains: beta-lactamase-carrying *E. coli*

and a non-carrier strain *K. pneumoniae* or *S.* Typhimurium was used as template in ddPCR.

Both 16S rRNA (amplified with 27F and 338R) and concatemer bla_16S (amplified with four

primers; 293F, rc27F-320R, 27F and 338R) were amplified from the same samples in separate

reactions. Two replicates were prepared for reading the droplets from the 16S rRNA reaction,

and three replicates were prepared for the one-step fusion reaction to be saved and used in the

following procedures. The ratio of positive:negative droplets was determined with Droplet

Reader and the samples containing a sufficient number of positive droplets according to 16S

rRNA amplification (and therefore verified to contain the cell density required for single cell

analysis) were proceeded to DNA recovery. The amplification conditions were the same as in

the protocol optimized for 16S rRNA gene amplification in ddPCR (described in the specific

section above).

DNA recovery from droplets and library preparation for sequencing

The amplified bla_16S concatemers were recovered from droplets and enriched before preparing the sequencing libraries. The recovery was done by freeze-thawing the ddPCR emulsion using liquid nitrogen (LN2), a method we described recently (Dutra *et al.* 2020). After freeze-thawing, phase separation was achieved, and the amplicon-containing phase was collected for further processing. The recovered concatemers were purified with the Agencourt AMPure XP purification system (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA) using a 0.9x ratio.

Sample enrichment was performed before library preparation by reamplifying the recovered bla_16S amplicons with primers M13_bla.2_F and 338R (Table 1). The samples were then barcoded with bc_M13 and bc_338R primers, both extended with unique 5'-barcode sequences (bc). Both enrichment and barcoding qPCR reactions were prepared with 1x Maxima SYBR Green/Fluorescein qPCR Master Mix (ThermoScientific™) in a final volume of 25 µl. To prevent the undesired pairing of bla-27F overhang with other 16S rRNA fragments that were present in the sample, the reactions included blocking primers block_27F and block_27R, both in a final concentration of 1 µM. The product of barcoding qPCR was cleaned by gel extraction using NucleoSpin Gel and PCR clean-up kit (MACHEREY-NAGEL, GmbH & Co, KG, Germany), after 1% AGE electrophoresis run for 1 hour at 100 V. The fragment was further analysed with High Sensitivity D1000 ScreenTape assay in 2200 TapeStation System (Agilent Technologies, Inc, CA, USA). PCR conditions for enrichment and barcoding reactions were as follows: the amplification run started with pre-incubation at 95 ºC for 5 minutes, followed by 15 cycles of denaturation at 95 ºC for 30 seconds, annealing at 53 ºC for 30 seconds, and elongation at 72 ºC for 30 seconds (with plate read after each cycle). After the run, the product was analysed in a 1.5 % AGE run for 1 hour at 110V. The barcoded and purified bla_16S amplicons were proceeded to library preparation with NEBNext® Ultra™ II DNA Library Prep Kit and sequenced with Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform using SP flowcell

(PE250). Further sequence analysis of 250-bp paired-end read data was run with CLC

Genomics Workbench 12 (Qiagen Bioinformatics). The obtained reads were trimmed with *bla*

and 16S rRNA primer sequences bla_293F, bla_320R, 27F and 338R to confirm that they

contain both parts of the concatemer. The genetic region between 27F and 338R was extracted

and used for *de novo* OTU clustering with a 97 % similarity threshold. Rare OTUs (abundance

<100 reads) were excluded from the analysis. The reference sequence of each OTU was

exposed to BLAST search, using the 16S Ribosomal RNA sequences database. Each OTU was

identified according to the BLAST results. Finally, the abundance of identified bacterial hosts

carrying *bla*CTX-M-14 present in each sample was determined.

Horizontal transfer of betalactamase under lethal antibiotic exposure

Rifampicin-resistant strains of *K. pneumoniae, S.* Typhimurium and *Comamonas* sp. (bacterial

isolate originating from the human fecal sample as part of gut bacterial strain library collected

under research permit T300/2020 for Hospital District of Southwest Finland) were used to

construct a synthetic bacterial community. 5 µl of each bacterial culture, cultivated overnight

in LB medium without antibiotics, were added to 5 ml of fresh LB medium containing 1)

cephalothin 2) rifampicin and cephalothin or 3) rifampicin, with four replicates per treatment.

The bacterial communities were incubated at +37 ºC for 30 min with 120 rpm agitation, after

which *E. coli* harboring conjugative plasmid pEC13 with *bla*CTX-M-14 (conferring resistance

to cephalothin) was introduced by adding 5 µl of overnight grown culture into each bacterial

community. After 1 h incubation, a second antibiotic pulse was given to treatment 1 with

rifampicin and treatment 3 with cephalothin, resulting in the same final antibiotic concentration

in all the treatments (50 µg/ml of both cephalothin and rifampicin). The bacterial communities

were incubated for 3.5 h after which one cell sample from each community was taken for single

cell analysis for tracing the *bla*CTX-M-14 carrier cells.

Cell samples from each community were PMA-treated and diluted as described earlier.

bla_16S concatemers were produced by single-cell ddPCR. Each reaction was run in two

replicates. One reaction was read with Droplet Reader to ensure cell density and single-cell

resolution. The other replicate was used for DNA recovery with LN2. Recovered fusion

amplicons were first purified with QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen) and proceeded to

library preparation first with re-amplification PCR (PCR conditions: 95 ºC 5 min, followed by

30 cycles of denaturation at 95 ºC for 30 seconds, annealing at 53 ºC for 30 seconds, and

elongation at 72 ºC for 30 seconds, with plate read after each cycle) followed by PCR

purification (sparQ PureMag Beads, Quantabio) and inspecting the correct amplicon size with

agarose gel electrophoresis (1.5%, 30 min, 120 V). Purified fusion amplicons were barcoded

with both 5' and 3' extensions in barcoding PCR (PCR conditions as described above but with

9 cycles). Barcoded amplicons were extracted from agarose gel (1.5%, 1 h, 100 V) by using

NucleoSpin® Gel and PCR Cleanup XS kit (Macherey-Nagel) and the amplicon size and DNA

concentration were checked with High Sensitivity D1000 ScreenTape assay in 2200

TapeStation System (Agilent Technologies, Inc, CA, USA). Sequencing libraries were

prepared with NEBNext® Ultra™ II DNA Library Prep Kit and the samples were sequenced

with Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform using SP flowcell (PE250).

Further sequence analysis of 250-bp paired-end read data was run with CLC Genomics

Workbench 21 (Qiagen Bioinformatics) and Microbial Genomics module. Paired reads were

first merged and trimmed by quality (0.05 as threshold). Reads were then trimmed with forward

and reverse primer sequences of *bla*CTX-M-14 to ensure they contain the complete sequence

of the target gene, and then with 16S forward primer. Single reads and merged read sequences

not covering the complete fusion amplicon length were discarded from the analysis. Finally,

the remaining sequence between 16S rRNA forward (27F) and reverse (338R) primer binding

sites was used to determine the carriers of the betalactamase gene. Only reads containing all

the primer sequences and with the length of 300-315 bp (after trimming) were taken to the final

analysis. A local 16S database was constructed to represent the synthetic community

(consisting of *K. pneumoniae, S.* Typhimurium, *Comamonas* sp. and *E. coli* 16S rRNA gene

sequences) and used for reference-based OTU clustering with 99% similarity, allowing the

creation of new OTUs (99% taxonomy similarity). OTUs with a total abundance lower than

4000 or recognized as chimeras were left out from the final analysis. The subpopulation

carrying *bla*CTX-M-14 was assessed as OTU frequency (OTU abundance/total OTU

abundance).

Table 1. Primers used in this study.

Primer name	Sequence 5'-3'	Target
27F (Lane, 1991)	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG	16S rRNA forward primer
338R (Suzuki & Giovannoni 1996)	TGCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT	16S rRNA reverse primer
bla_293F	GAAACGTCTCATCGCCGATC	betalactamase forward primer
rc27F-bla_320R	CTGAGCCAKGATCAAACTCTGCAGTACAGCGACAATACCG	fusion primer; betalactamase reverse primer with 16S rc27F primer
M13_bla.2_F	TGTAAAACGACGGCCAGTCGAGCTGGGCAATCAATTTG	M13 adapter attachment and bla shortening
M13_27F	TGTAAAACGACGGCCAGTAGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG	M13 adapter
27F_block	TTTTTTTTTTAGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG/3SpC3/	blocking primer
rc27F_block	TTTTTTTTTTCTGAGCCAKGATCAAACTCT/3SpC3/	blocking primer
bc_M13F	[bc]TGTAAAACGACGGCCAGT	barcoding primer
bc_338R	[bc]TGCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT	barcoding primer

rc = reverse complementary; bc = barcode sequence

665
666

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by the Academy of Finland grants 347531 to M.J., 323063 to M.T.

and 322204 to R.P., ERC Consolidator Grant (615146) to M.T., Finnish Cultural Foundation

grant (00210277) to L.A.L.D. and Jane and Aatos Erkko Foundation (to M.J.).

References

Baptista, J. D., Lunn, M., Davenport, R. J., Swan, D. L., Read, L. F., Brown, M. R., Morais,

C., & Curtis, T. P. (2014). Agreement between amoA gene-specific quantitative PCR and

fluorescence in situ hybridization in the measurement of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in

activated sludge. *Applied and environmental microbiology*, *80*(19), 5901–5910.

https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01383-14

Browne, H. P., Forster, S. C., Anonye, B. O., Kumar, N., Neville, B. A., Stares, M. D.,

Goulding, D., & Lawley, T. D. (2016). Culturing of 'unculturable' human microbiota reveals

novel taxa and extensive sporulation. *Nature*, *533*(7604), 543–546.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17645

Capobianco, J. A., Clark, M., Cariou, A., Leveau, A., Pierre, S., Fratamico, P., Strobaugh, T.

P., Jr, & Armstrong, C. M. (2020). Detection of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC)

in beef products using droplet digital PCR. *International journal of food microbiology*, *319*,

108499. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2019.108499

Carini, P., Marsden, P. J., Leff, J. W., Morgan, E. E., Strickland, M. S., & Fierer, N. (2016).

Relic DNA is abundant in soil and obscures estimates of soil microbial diversity. *Nature*

microbiology, *2*, 16242. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.242

Diebold, P. J., New, F. N., Hovan, M., Satlin, M. J. & Brito, I.L. (2021). Linking plasmid-

based beta-lactamases to their bacterial hosts using single-cell fusion PCR. *eLife* 10:e66834.

https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.66834

Dutra, L., Franz, O., Puupponen, V. M., & Tiirola, M. (2020). DNA recovery from Droplet

Digital™ PCR emulsions using liquid nitrogen. *BioTechniques*, *69*(6), 450–454.

https://doi.org/10.2144/btn-2020-0076

Hindson, B. J., Ness, K. D., Masquelier, D. A., Belgrader, P., Heredia, N. J., Makarewicz, A.

J., Bright, I. J., Lucero, M. Y., Hiddessen, A. L., Legler, T. C., Kitano, T. K., Hodel, M. R.,

Petersen, J. F., Wyatt, P. W., Steenblock, E. R., Shah, P. H., Bousse, L. J., Troup, C. B., Mellen,

J. C., Wittmann, D. K., … Colston, B. W. (2011). High-throughput droplet digital PCR system

for absolute quantitation of DNA copy number. *Analytical chemistry*, *83*(22), 8604–8610.

https://doi.org/10.1021/ac202028g

Ibáñez de Aldecoa, A. L., Zafra, O., & González-Pastor, J. E. (2017). Mechanisms and

Regulation of Extracellular DNA Release and Its Biological Roles in Microbial

Communities. *Frontiers in microbiology*, *8*, 1390. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01390

Lane D. J. (1991). 16S/23S rRNA sequencing, in Nucleic Acid Techniques In Bacterial

Systematics. Eds Stackebrandt E., Goodfellow M. (Chichester: John Wiley & Sons;), 115–

175.

Lavoie, K. H., Winter, A. S., Read, K. J., Hughes, E. M., Spilde, M. N., & Northup, D. E.

(2017). Comparison of bacterial communities from lava cave microbial mats to overlying

surface soils from Lava Beds National Monument, USA. *PloS one*, *12*(2), e0169339.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0169339

Lennon, J. T., Muscarella, M. E., Placella, S. A., & Lehmkuhl, B. K. (2018). How, When, and

Where   Relic   DNA   Affects   Microbial   Diversity. *mBio*, *9*(3),   e00637-18.

https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00637-18

Lennox E. S. (1955). Transduction of linked genetic characters of the host by bacteriophage

P1. *Virology*, *1*(2), 190–206. https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(55)90016-7

Liu, Y., Chen, J., & Thygesen, A. (2018). Efficient One-Step Fusion PCR Based on Dual-

Asymmetric Primers and Two-Step Annealing. *Molecular biotechnology*, *60*(2), 92–99.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s12033-017-0050-7

Mattila, S., Ruotsalainen, P., Ojala, V., Tuononen, T., Hiltunen, T., & Jalasvuori, M. (2017).

Conjugative ESBL plasmids differ in their potential to rescue susceptible bacteria via

horizontal gene transfer in lethal antibiotic concentrations. *The Journal of antibiotics*, *70*(6),

805–808. https://doi.org/10.1038/ja.2017.41

McMahon, T. C., Blais, B. W., Wong, A., & Carrillo, C. D. (2017). Multiplexed Single Intact

Cell Droplet Digital PCR (MuSIC ddPCR) Method for Specific Detection of

Enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC)   in   Food   Enrichment   Cultures. *Frontiers in*

microbiology, *8*, 332. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00332

Nagler, M., Insam, H., Pietramellara, G., & Ascher-Jenull, J. (2018). Extracellular DNA in

natural environments: features, relevance and applications. *Applied microbiology and*

biotechnology, *102*(15), 6343–6356. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-9120-4

Nocker, A., Sossa-Fernandez, P., Burr, M. D., & Camper, A. K. (2007). Use of propidium

monoazide for live/dead distinction in microbial ecology. *Applied and environmental*

microbiology, *73*(16), 5111–5117. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02987-06

Ottesen, E. A., Hong, J. W., Quake, S. R., & Leadbetter, J. R. (2006). Microfluidic digital PCR

enables multigene analysis of individual environmental bacteria. *Science (New York,*

N.Y.), *314*(5804), 1464–1467. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1131370

Pinheiro, L. B., Coleman, V. A., Hindson, C. M., Herrmann, J., Hindson, B. J., Bhat, S., &

Emslie, K. R. (2012). Evaluation of a droplet digital polymerase chain reaction format for DNA

copy   number   quantification. *Analytical   chemistry*, *84*(2),   1003–1011.

https://doi.org/10.1021/ac202578x

Probandt, D., Eickhorst, T., Ellrott, A., Amann, R., & Knittel, K. (2018). Microbial life on a

sand grain: from bulk sediment to single grains. *The ISME journal*, *12*(2), 623–633.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2017.197

Ruotsalainen, P., Given, C., Penttinen, R. & Jalasvuori, M. (2020). Beta-Lactam Sensitive

Bacteria Can Acquire ESBL-Resistance via Conjugation after Long-Term Exposure to Lethal

Antibiotic Concentration. *Antibiotics.* 9:296. doi:10.3390/antibiotics9060296

Spencer, S. J., Tamminen, M. V., Preheim, S. P., Guo, M. T., Briggs, A. W., Brito, I. L., A

Weitz, D., Pitkänen, L. K., Vigneault, F., Juhani Virta, M. P., & Alm, E. J. (2016). Massively

parallel sequencing of single cells by epicPCR links functional genes with phylogenetic

markers. *The ISME journal*, *10*(2), 427–436. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2015.124

Stubbendieck, R. M., Vargas-Bautista, C., & Straight, P. D. (2016). Bacterial Communities:

Interactions to Scale. *Frontiers in microbiology*, *7*, 1234.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01234

Suzuki M. T., Giovannoni S. J. Bias caused by template annealing in the amplification of

mixtures of 16S rRNA genes by PCR. *Appl Environ Microb.* 1996;**62**:625–630.

Tadmor, A. D., Ottesen, E. A., Leadbetter, J. R., & Phillips, R. (2011). Probing individual

environmental bacteria for viruses by using microfluidic digital PCR. *Science (New York,*

N.Y.), *333*(6038), 58–62. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1200758

Zeng, Y., Novak, R., Shuga, J., Smith, M. T., & Mathies, R. A. (2010). High-performance

single cell genetic analysis using microfluidic emulsion generator arrays. *Analytical*

chemistry, *82*(8), 3183–3190. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac902683t