Combinatorial control of biofilm development by quorum-sensing and nutrient-sensing regulators in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

Gong Chen[1], Georgia Fanouraki[1], Aathmaja Anandhi Rangarajan[2], Bradford T. Winkelman[3],

Jared T. Winkelman[1], Christopher M. Waters[2], Sampriti Mukherjee[1]*

[1]The University of Chicago, Department of Molecular Genetics & Cell Biology, Chicago, Illinois, USA.

[2]Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA.

[3]Trestle, LLC, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA.

*Correspondence to: sampriti@uchicago.edu

ABSTRACT

The human pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, a leading cause of hospital-acquired infections,

inhabits and forms sessile antibiotic-resistant communities called biofilms in a wide range of biotic

and abiotic environments. In this study, we examined how two global sensory signaling pathways

– the RhlR quorum-sensing system and the CbrA/CbrB nutritional adaptation system – intersect

to control biofilm development. Previous work has shown that individually these two systems

repress biofilm formation. Here, we used biofilm analyses, RNA-seq, and reporter assays to

explore the combined effect of information flow through RhlR and CbrA on biofilm development.

We find that the Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* double mutant exhibits a biofilm morphology and an associated

transcriptional response distinct from wildtype and the parent Δ*rhlR and* Δ*cbrA* mutants indicating

codominance of each signaling pathway. The Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* mutant rapidly gains suppressor

mutations that map to the carbon catabolite repression protein Crc. The combined absence of

RhlR and CbrA leads to drastic reduction in the abundance of the Crc antagonist small RNA CrcZ.

Thus, CrcZ acts as the molecular convergence point for quorum- and nutrient-sensing cues.

Furthermore, in the absence of antagonism by CrcZ, Crc promotes the expression of biofilm matrix

components – Pel exopolysaccharide, and CupB and CupC fimbriae. Therefore, this study

uncovers a regulatory link between nutritional adaption and quorum sensing with potential

implications for anti-biofilm targeting strategies.

AUTHOR SUMMARY

Bacterial pathogens often form multicellular communities encased in an extra cytoplasmic

matrix called biofilms as a virulence strategy. Biofilm development is controlled by various

environmental stimuli that are decoded and converted into appropriate cellular responses. How

information from two or more stimuli is integrated is poorly understood. Using *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa biofilm formation as a model, we studied the intersection of two global sensory

signaling pathways – quorum sensing and nutritional adaptation. We find parallel regulation by

each pathway that converges on the abundance of a small RNA. Thus, we describe a regulatory

link between *P. aeruginosa* quorum-sensing and nutritional adaptation pathways that allows

integration of information from each system into the control of biofilm development. These

results expand our understanding of the genetic regulatory strategies that allow *P. aeruginosa* to

successfully colonize host during chronic infections.

INTRODUCTION

Bacteria predominantly exist in structured communities called biofilms. Biofilms are

defined as aggregates of cells that are embedded in a matrix made of extracellular polymeric

substances (EPS) including exopolysaccharides, proteins, lipids and nucleic acids (Hall-Stoodley

et al. 2004; Flemming et al. 2016; Karygianni et al. 2020). The EPS is crucial for the emergent

properties of biofilms such as superior resilience to environmental stresses like antimicrobials and

host immune responses. Biofilm formation is a dynamic process that is governed by various

intracellular and exogenous stimuli. Often, two-component signaling (TCS) systems integrate and

relay the information contained in sensory cues into the control of biofilm formation (Liu et al.,

2018; Prüß, 2017). TCSs are typically composed of sensor histidine kinases (HK) and partner

response regulators (RR) that, via phosphorylation cascades, couple stimulus sensing to

appropriate changes in behavior (Capra and Laub, 2012; Liu et al., 2019).

The opportunistic pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* forms biofilms in diverse free-living

environments, such as soil and water and in host-associated environments, such as burn wounds,

lungs of cystic fibrosis (CF) patients and plant tissues (Pendleton et al. 2013; Markou and

Apidianakis, 2014; Manfredi et al. 2000; Kim et al. 2015). Accordingly, *P. aeruginosa* encodes a

large suite of >60 TCS systems that allow it to respond to diverse external cues and adapt to a

wide range of infection sites and environmental conditions (Wang et al. 2021). One such TCS is

the CbrA/CbrB TCS that is involved in nutritional adaptation and was first described in *P.*

aeruginosa as a regulatory system involved in the hierarchical utilization of various carbon

sources (Monteagudo-Cascales et al. 2022; Sonnleitner et al. 2009; Yeung et al. 2011;

Sonnleitner et al. 2018). CbrA represents a non-canonical sensor HK as it can function as a

histidine transporter via its large N-terminal transmembrane region called the SLC5 (SoLute

Carrier 5) domain as well as a HK via its C-terminal catalytic core consisting of a DHp

(Dimerization and Histidine Phosphotransfer) domain and a catalytic ATP-Binding domain

(Monteagudo-Cascales et al. 2022). CbrA has two putative sensor domains – SLC5 and PAS, yet

the sensory stimulus activating its kinase is unknown. CbrA kinase phosphorylates its cognate

RR CbrB (Fig. 1A).

CbrB is an NtrC class transcription factor that possesses a REC receiver domain, a AAA+

ATPase domain and a HTH (Helix-Turn-Helix) DNA-binding domain and activates transcription

from σ^{54} dependent promoters. The genes *cbrA* and *cbrB* are encoded in the same transcriptional

unit. CbrB activates the expression of the small RNA CrcZ that is encoded immediately

downstream of *cbrB* in the genome (Kambara et al., 2018, Sonnleitner E, et al. 2018; Fig. 1A).

CrcZ small RNA sequesters complexes of the catabolite repression protein Crc with Hfq to

antagonize Crc function in the carbon catabolite repression (CCR) process termed "reverse

diauxie" or reverse CCR (rCCR, Park et al., 2020, McGill et al., 2021). In the presence of preferred

carbon sources, CrcZ mediated antagonism is relieved and Crc/Hfq post-transcriptionally inhibit

the expression of enzymes involved in catabolism of non-preferred carbon sources. In addition to

the metabolic regulation of carbon and nitrogen utilization in *P. aeruginosa*, Cbr TCS plays an

important role in various virulence-associated processes including biofilm formation and antibiotic

resistance (Yeung, et al. 2011).

Another sensory cue commonly detected by bacteria is their population density via the

chemical communication process called quorum sensing (Rutherford and Bassler, 2016).

Quorum sensing relies on production of extracellular signaling molecules called autoinducers and

their subsequent detection by cognate receptors (Miller and Bassler, 2001; Mukherjee and

Bassler, 2019), and thereby allows groups of bacteria to coordinate their gene expression patterns

in response to changes in population density to exhibit collective behaviors. Quorum sensing is

crucial for biofilm development and virulence in *P. aeruginosa* (Davies et al., 1998; Rumbaugh et

al., 2000; Mukherjee et al., 2017). The *P. aeruginosa* quorum-sensing circuit consists of two

canonical LuxI/R pairs: LasI/R and RhlI/R (Seed et al. 1995; Pearson et al. 1995; Brint and

Ohman,1995). LasI produces and LasR responds to the autoinducer 3OC12-homoserine lactone

(3OC12-HSL) while RhlR binds to the autoinducer C4-HSL, the product of RhlI. *P. aeruginosa*

LasI/R promotes biofilm formation (Davies *et al*, 1998; Sakuragi & Kolter, 2007). Conversely, we

recently discovered that the *P. aeruginosa* quorum-sensing receptor RhlR represses biofilm

formation (Mukherjee et al. 2017; Fig. 1A).

Bacteria encounter multiple sensory cues simultaneously and must integrate information

from each cue into a response. In this study, we examine how the CbrA/CbrB signaling pathway

intersects with the RhlR dependent quorum sensing system to control biofilm development. We

find that the absence of both signals – quorum sensing via RhlR and nutrient sensing via CbrA

results in (a) a biofilm morphology and an associated transcriptional response that indicates

codominance of each signaling pathway, and (b) significant reduction in the levels of the small

RNA CrcZ. We further find that the formation of a hyper-rugose biofilm in the Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* mutant

appears to be unstable and readily gives rise to spontaneous suppressor mutations in the *crc*

gene encoding for the carbon catabolite repression protein Crc. Transcriptomic profiling of

wildtype and mutant *P. aeruginosa* biofilms and reporter assays demonstrate that Crc promotes

the expression of Pel exopolysaccharide and Cup fimbriae components of the biofilm matrix.

Thus, our work uncovers a new role for Crc as a master regulator of biofilm formation that allows

the integration of quorum and nutritional cues.

RESULTS

CbrA-mediated nutrient-sensing and RhlR-mediated quorum-sensing co-dominantly control biofilm development. To study the role of the CbrA/CbrB TCS in *P. aeruginosa* biofilm formation, we first generated in-frame marker-less deletion of the *cbrA* gene in the wildtype (WT) *P. aeruginosa* UCBPP-PA14 (hereafter called PA14) background. PA14 exhibits a characteristic rugose-center/smooth-periphery colony biofilm phenotype on Congo red biofilm medium while the Δ*cbrA* mutant exhibits a distinct colony biofilm phenotype with decreased surface area coverage and increased height, defined as the maximum vertical rise from the base of the colony, when compared to WT (Fig. 1B-D, S1). CbrA is a non-canonical membrane-bound sensor kinase that can also function as a histidine transporter (Zhang et al., 2015; Monteagudo-Cascales et al., 2019; Wirtz et al. 2020). Therefore, to uncouple its two functions and determine whether the hyper-rugose biofilm phenotype of the Δ*cbrA* mutant is a consequence of the absence of information flow from CbrA kinase to CbrB response regulator, we generated a Δ*cbrB* mutant. The Δ*cbrB* mutant produces hyper-rugose biofilms identical to the Δ*cbrA* mutant (Fig. S2). In addition, we built a *cbrA*^{H766A} mutant where the histidine residue that undergoes autophosphorylation is changed to alanine to abolish kinase function of CbrA. Similar to the Δ*cbrB* strain, the *cbrA*^{H766A} mutant has a biofilm phenotype that resembles the Δ*cbrA* mutant (Fig. S2). Introduction of a plasmid expressing *cbrA* under its native promoter to the Δ*cbrA* and *cbrA*^{H766A} mutants restored biofilm formation to wildtype (WT) levels (Fig. 1B-D, S2). These analyses indicate that CbrA kinase activity is required for repression of biofilm development in *P. aeruginosa*.

Production of the extracellular matrix is a defining feature of biofilms, and the matrix composition can vary depending on the bacterial species. *P. aeruginosa* biofilm matrix has been reported to be composed of three different exopolysaccharides – Pel, Psl and alginate – depending on the strain (reviewed in Jiang et al., 2021). In PA14, Pel is the major

exopolysaccharide as Psl is not made by this strain and alginate is not a significant component of

the biofilm matrix under commonly used laboratory growth conditions (Jennings et al. 2015). Pel

biosynthetic enzymes are encoded by the *pelABCDEFG* operon. Here, we assessed the

contribution of Pel to the Δ*cbrA* mutant biofilms by comparing the Δ*pelA* and Δ*cbrA*Δ*pelA* double

mutants. Absence of PelA abolishes formation of colony biofilms and pellicles (Fig. S3 and S4).

Both the Δ*pelA* and Δ*cbrA*Δ*pelA* mutants had completely smooth colony morphologies and lower

biofilm height compared to WT (Fig. S4A-C). Furthermore, in a solid surface-associated (SSA)

biofilms assay, they showed significantly less crystal violet staining compared to the Δ*cbrA* mutant

(Fig. S4D). Thus, the Pel exopolysaccharide is required for the Δ*cbrA* mutant to exhibit its

characteristic hyper-rugose biofilm phenotype.

We have previously reported that the *P. aeruginosa* quorum-sensing receptor RhlR

represses colony biofilm development and the hyper-rugosity conferred by the absence of RhlR

requires the primary biofilm matrix exopolysaccharide Pel (Fig. 1, Mukherjee et al., 2017).

Intriguingly, although both Δ*rhlR* and Δ*cbrA* mutants appear to be hyper-rugose compared to WT

PA14, the Δ*cbrA* mutant exhibits increased biofilm height as opposed to the increased surface

area coverage of the Δ*rhlR* mutant (Fig. 1B-D, S1). To explore the combined effect of Rhl and Cbr

pathways on biofilm formation, we deleted *rhlR* and *cbrA* together. The Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* double mutant

formed colony biofilms that were markedly distinct from those of the single Δ*rhlR* and Δ*cbrA*

mutants, i.e., had significantly lower surface coverage than Δ*rhlR* mutant and lower height than

Δ*cbrA* mutant (Fig. 1B-D, S1). Introduction of a plasmid expressing *cbrA* under its native promoter

to the Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* double mutant resulted in a hyper-rugose biofilm that resembles the Δ*rhlR*

mutant in terms of biofilm morphology, surface area and height (Fig. 1B-D). We conclude that

RhlR and CbrA control different properties, surface area coverage and vertical rise, respectively,

of a growing biofilm.

Loss-of-function mutations in *crc* affects biofilm development. The Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* double

mutant formed unstable biofilms that readily gave rise to suppressor flares that allowed spreading

of the biofilm similar to the Δ*rhlR* mutant (Fig. 2A). We isolated 12 spontaneously arising

suppressor mutants from Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* colony biofilms and analyzed them by whole genome

sequencing. Ten suppressors contained deletions, insertions or missense mutations in the *crc*

gene, while the remaining two suppressors harbored mutations in the *rplP* and *rplC* genes that

encode for 50S ribosomal protein L16 and 50S ribosomal protein L3, respectively (Fig. 2A, Table

S1). We generated Δ*crc* single, Δ*rhlR*Δ*crc* and Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc* double, and Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc* mutants

and analyzed their biofilm forming behaviors. Absence of Crc showed little effect in WT and Δ*rhlR*

backgrounds but substantially altered the biofilms of the Δ*cbrA* and Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* mutants (Fig. 2B-

D). Specifically, deletion of *crc* abolished the vertical rise of the Δ*cbrA* mutant colony biofilm (Fig.

2B-D) and led to increased surface area coverage of Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* double mutant colony biofilms

(Fig. 2B-D, S1). Introduction of a plasmid expressing *crc* under its native promoter to the

Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc* triple mutant resulted in colony biofilm phenotype identical to the Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA*

double mutant validating our deletion analyses (Fig. 2B-D). We conclude that Crc promotes

colony biofilm height when CbrA is inactive and restricts colony biofilm spreading when signal

transduction via both RhlR and CbrA is absent.

To explore the extent of biofilm regulation by Crc, we analyzed the ability of WT and the

Δ*crc* single and combination mutants to form solid surface-associated (SSA) biofilms on

borosilicate glass. Crystal violet staining of these SSA biofilms showed significant increase in

mature biofilms in the Δ*cbrA* and Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* mutants compared to WT (Fig. 2E). Absence of Crc

significantly decreased SSA biofilms in both Δ*cbrA* and Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* mutant backgrounds (Fig.

2E). Taken together, our data suggest a new role for Crc beyond reverse diauxie, i.e., Crc

promotes biofilm development.

One known regulatory target of Crc beyond reverse diauxie is *phzM*, that encodes for a

key enzyme involved in pyocyanin biosynthesis (Huang et al., 2012). Furthermore, the absence

of phenazines contributes to the colony biofilm spreading phenotype of the Δ*rhlR* mutant

(Mukherjee et al., 2017). To determine the contribution of phenazines to the Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* double

mutant phenotype, we deleted the two phenazine biosynthesis operons *phzA1-G1* and *phzA2-G2*

(Δ*phz*) in the WT, the Δ*cbrA* single and the Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* double mutant backgrounds and assayed

pyocyanin production and biofilm development. As reported previously, the Δ*phz* mutant did not

produce pyocyanin pigment and its biofilm spread radially outwards and covered more surface

area than WT (Fig. S5A-C, Dietrich *et al*, 2013). Mutation of the phenazine biosynthesis operons,

however, failed to increase biofilm spreading in cells lacking CbrA (Fig. S5B-C). Likewise, there

was no detectable change in biofilm morphology of the Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA*Δ*phz* mutant when compared

with its parent Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* strain (Fig. S5B-C). We infer that phenazines do not affect biofilm

morphology when CbrA is absent.

CrcZ small RNA serves as the point of convergence for quorum and nutrient-sensing cues.

To define the molecular basis underpinning the different Δ*rhlR* and Δ*cbrA* biofilm phenotypes, we

used RNA-seq to compare the global transcriptional profiles of the biofilms of WT PA14 and the

Δ*rhlR,* Δ*cbrA,* and Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* double mutants. We harvested RNA from biofilms grown on Congo

red agar medium for 72 h. Principal component analysis (PCA) of normalized read counts for

5,978 genes showed that biofilm samples of each mutant clustered separately from WT (Fig. S6).

Comparative transcriptomic analysis revealed a total of 1366 differentially expressed genes

(DEGs; genes with expression fold-changes ≤−2 and ≥2, and the P values (Padj), adjusted using

the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure, <0.05; see Table S3 in the supplemental material) in the

Δ*cbrA* mutant (Fig. 3A). In the Δ*rhlR* mutant, we find a total of 709 DEGs, of which 326 transcripts

overlapped with the CbrA regulon. Comparing the Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* double to the Δ*cbrA* single mutant

shows a 51.6% reduction in the number of DEGs in the double mutant (Fig. 3A). Furthermore,

125 genes were uniquely regulated in the Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* mutant (Fig. 3A). We conclude that the

combined absence of each regulator – CbrA and RhlR – gives rise to a transcriptomic response

distinct from mere addition of their individual regulons.

Next, we determined the transcriptomic response to the absence of Crc. The Crc regulon

consists of 680 genes, of which ~48% are also regulated by CbrA and ~36% coregulated by RhlR

(Fig. 3A, Table S3). We note that, similar to the Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* biofilm samples, a subset of genes

was uniquely regulated in the Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc* triple mutant, i.e., these 174 genes were not

differentially expressed in the Δ*cbrA*, Δ*rhlR* and Δ*crc* single mutant strains compared to WT (Fig.

3A). Thus, the combined absence of RhlR, CbrA and Crc results in a distinct regulon that does

not overlap with the single mutants. We infer that CbrA, RhlR and Crc regulons exhibit complex

non-linear interactions.

The expression of the small RNA CrcZ that antagonizes Crc to allow coordinated utilization

of carbon sources is activated by CbrA/CbrB (Fig. 1A; Sonnleitner et al., 2009; Sonnleitner et al.,

2018). Thus, CrcZ levels are downregulated in the Δ*cbrA* mutant compared to WT (Fig. 3B).

However, our RNA-seq data revealed a more severe reduction in CrcZ abundance in the

Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* double mutant compared to WT than in the Δ*rhlR* and Δ*cbrA* single mutants (Fig. 3B,

3C). Indeed, quantitative RT-PCR shows that *crcZ* transcript levels are ~ 6-fold lower in the Δ*cbrA*

mutant than WT but 23-fold lower in the Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* mutant than WT after 120 h of colony biofilm

growth (Fig. 3D). Furthermore, consistent with previous report that CrcZ small RNA is stabilized

by Crc in *Pseudomonas putida* (Hernandez-Arranz et al., 2016), we find significant reduction in

CrcZ abundance in the Δ*crc* and Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc* mutants compared to WT PA14 (Fig. 3A-B,

Table S3). Taken together, our data suggest that low levels of CrcZ promote biofilm morphology

with reduced surface coverage and increased height.

To assess the contribution of CrcZ small RNA to biofilm development, we introduced a

plasmid expressing *crcZ* from *Plac* promoter to WT and the Δ*cbrA* single and Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* double

mutants. Overexpression of CrcZ small RNA led to increased surface area coverage and a severe

reduction in biofilm height in the Δ*cbrA* mutant compared to the empty vector control (Fig. 3E-G).

Furthermore, overexpression of CrcZ small RNA in the Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* double mutant resulted in a

hyper-rugose biofilm that resembled the Δ*rhlR* mutant in terms of biofilm morphology, surface

area and height (Fig. 3E-G). We conclude that the abundance of CrcZ small RNA is a key

determinant of biofilm development in response to signals transduced via CbrA and RhlR. We

further conclude, that reduced *crcZ* expression leads to high Crc activity which in turn restricts

surface area coverage and promotes height, likely explaining why *crc* suppressors arose in the

Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* mutant to allow biofilm expansion.

Crc activates the expression of biofilm matrix components. Closer inspection of the

transcriptomics data revealed the over expression of genes involved in Pel biosynthesis such as

pelA in the Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* double mutant compared to the Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc* triple mutant (Fig. 3B and

Table S3). These data suggest that Crc can be a positive regulator of Pel biosynthesis. To validate

the role of Crc in activating *pelABCDEFG* operon expression, we performed quantitative RT-PCR

for *pelA* from colony biofilm samples in the WT and a series of mutants - Δ*rhlR,* Δ*cbrA,* Δ*crc,*

Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA,* Δ*rhlR*Δ*crc,* Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc* and Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc* (Fig. 4A, S7A-B). We performed these

experiments on biofilms grown for 72 h i.e., early biofilms and 120 h i.e., mature biofilms. Our

analyses demonstrate that RhlR activates the expression of *pelA* as the Δ*rhlR* mutant showed

four-fold reduction in *pelA* transcript levels compared to WT in mature biofilms (Fig. 4A). Thus,

although RhlR represses biofilm surface area coverage, it is a positive regulator of Pel

biosynthesis.

Conversely, we find a fifteen-fold and five-fold increase in *pelA* transcript levels in the

Δ*cbrA* mutant compared to WT at 72 h and 120 h timepoints, respectively. In addition, there is a

two-fold reduction in the relative abundance of *pelA* transcript in the Δ*crc* mutant compared to WT

at 72 h and 120 h timepoints respectively, and twentyseven-fold and eight-fold reduction

compared to the Δ*cbrA* strain at 72 h and 120 h timepoints respectively. Furthermore, the absence

of Crc is epistatic to Δ*cbrA* as *pelA* transcript levels are drastically reduced in the Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc* and

Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc* mutants (Fig. 4A). Thus, we conclude that Crc activates *pelABCDEFG* operon

expression and the high expression of *pelABCDEFG* operon in the Δ*cbrA* and Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA*

mutants is due to the unchecked activity of Crc in the absence of CrcZ small RNA.

To determine whether different expression level of *pelABCDEFG* operon is involved in the

distinct surface area and height of the Δ*rhlR* and Δ*cbrA* mutants, we generated a Pel

overexpression strain where we replaced the native promoter of *pelABCDEFG* operon with a

constitutively expressed artificial promoter (*PpelA::Physpank*; we call this strain *Phy-pel*).

Compared to WT, relative transcript abundance of *pelA* is increased about eighteen folds in the

the *Phy-pel* strain (Fig. S7B). Accordingly, the *Phy-pel* strain exhibits a hyper-wrinkled biofilm

phenotype that is distinct from WT in the colony biofilm assay (Fig. 4B), and increased attachment

to borosilicate glass in the solid-surface attachment assay (Fig. 5A). Consistent with the result

that RhlR activates the expression of *pelA* (Fig. 4A), overexpression of *pelABCDEFG* is epistatic

to Δ*rhlR* as the Δ*rhlR Phy-pel* strain shows reduced biofilm spreading compared to the parent

Δ*rhlR* mutant (Fig. 4B-C). Furthermore, the absence of *cbrA* is epistatic to overexpression of

pelABCDEFG as the Δ*cbrA Phy-pel* double mutant formed biofilms identical to the Δ*cbrA* mutant

(Fig. 4B-D). Although the abundance of *pelA* transcript is similar between Δ*cbrA* and *Phy-pel*

mutants, the *Phy-pel* strain produced a hyper-rugose biofilm morphology that is markedly distinct

from the Δ*cbrA* mutant biofilm (Fig. 4B-D, S7B). We infer (and we return to this point later) that

the hyper-rugose biofilms of Δ*cbrA* mutant likely involves another biofilm matrix factor in addition

to Pel exopolysaccharide. Notably, the Δ*crc Phy-pel* double mutant mirrors the Δ*crc* mutant biofilm

phenotypes in the colony biofilm and solid-surface attachment assays (Fig. 4B-D, 5A). Thus, we

conclude that the absence of *crc* is epistatic to transcriptional activation of the *pelABCDEFG*

operon.

Because Crc functions as a post-transcriptional regulator in reverse carbon catabolite

repression, we hypothesized that Crc mediates increase in *pelABCDEFG* expression via post-

transcriptional mechanism. One well-known post-transcriptional mode of control of Pel

biosynthesis is the small molecule c-di-GMP such that high c-di-GMP levels correlate with biofilm

formation (reviewed in Ha and O'Toole, 2015). Furthermore, Hfq, and thereby carbon catabolite

repression, has been linked to c-di-GMP concentration in cells (Pusic, et al., 2018). This prompted

us to test whether changes in intracellular c-di-GMP concentration played a role in the difference

in biofilm development between the mutants in this study. Fig. 5B shows that while c-di-GMP

concentration is lowered in the Δ*crc* mutant compared to WT, none of the Δ*rhlR,* Δ*cbrA,*

Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* and Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc* mutants displayed any discernable changes from WT. We

conclude that c-di-GMP levels do not contribute to the difference in biofilm development of the

Δ*rhlR,* Δ*cbrA,* and Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* mutants.

In addition to Pel, the biofilm matrix involves a large arsenal of cell surface-associated

structures, including flagella, type IVa or type IVb pili, Fap fibrils and the cup fimbriae (Ruer et al.

2007; de Bentzmann et al. 2006; Klausen et al. 2003; O'Toole and Kolter, 1998; Vallet et al. 2001;

Dueholm et al. 2010). *P. aeruginosa* can produce five types of cup fimbriae – CupA, CupB, CupC,

CupD and CupE, products of *cupA*, *cupB*, *cupC*, *cupD*, and *cupE* gene clusters each of which

encodes an usher, a chaperone, and at least one fimbrial subunit. Our RNA-seq data revealed

that genes required for the biosynthesis of CupB and CupC matrix components are upregulated

in the Δ*cbrA* and Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* mutants while those for CupA are upregulated in the Δ*rhlR* and

Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* mutants (Fig. 3B, Table S3). To assess the coordinated regulation of the Cup

components of the biofilm matrix by RhlR, CbrA and Crc over time, we performed quantitative

RT-PCR for *cupA1*, *cupB1*, *cupC2*, *cupD4*, and *cupE1* from colony biofilm samples after 72 and

120 hours of growth, respectively, in the WT and the Δ*rhlR,* Δ*cbrA,* Δ*crc,* Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA,* Δ*rhlR*Δ*crc,*

Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc* and Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc* mutants (Fig. 4A, S7A). Our data show that the relative mRNA

levels of the *cupB1* and *cupC2* genes increased greater than five-folds at 72 h and more than

three-folds at 120 h in the Δ*cbrA* single and Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* double mutants but these increases in

transcript levels were abolished in the Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc* double and Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc* triple mutants (Fig.

4A, S7A). This suggests that, in addition to Pel, Crc promotes the expression of CupB and CupC

matrix components.

The CupB and CupC fimbriae could contribute to increased adhesive properties of the

Δ*cbrA* biofilm due to the high expression of *cupB* and *cupC* operons in this mutant (Fig. 4A; Vallet

et al, 2001). To determine the role of CupB and CupC fimbriae in biofilms of the Δ*cbrA* mutant,

we generated deletion mutants where we removed entire *cupB* (*cupB1-B5*) and *cupC* (*cupC1-C3*)

operons in WT and Δ*cbrA* backgrounds. The Δ*cbrA*Δ*cupB* mutant exhibited significant, albeit

minor, decrease in biofilm height compared to the Δ*cbrA* mutant while for the Δ*cbrA*Δ*cupC* mutant,

surface area coverage and height parameters show values similar to the Δ*cbrA* mutant (Fig. 4B-

D). We infer that although Crc activates the expression of both *cupB* and *cupC* operons, only

CupB appears to contribute to biofilm matrix in laboratory settings.

Next, to probe the expression of biofilm matrix genes in response to carbon sources we

made transcriptional reporter fusions to the *cupB* and *cupC* promoters (P*cupB-lacZ* and P*cupC-*

lacZ). We incorporated the reporter fusion into an intergenic region on the chromosomes of WT

P. aeruginosa and the Δ*cbrA,* Δ*crc* and Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc* mutants. The P*cupB-lacZ* and P*cupC-lacZ*

reporters exhibited approximately four-fold and eight-fold lower expression in the Δ*crc* and

Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc* mutants than the WT in tryptone broth (Fig. 5C-D). These results show that Crc is

absolutely required for the expression of *cupB* and *cupC* operons in both WT and Δ*cbrA*

backgrounds. In *P. aeruginosa*, "reverse" carbon catabolite repression is known to be triggered

by organic acids such as pyruvate (Collier et al., 1996; Liu, 1952; MacGregor et al., 1991; Wolff

et al., 1991). Furthermore, pyruvate activates the expression of *crcZ* in both *P. aeruginosa* and *P.*

putida (Valentini et al, 2014). Therefore, we tested pyruvate as preferred carbon source and

glucose as non-preferred sugar. Expression of the reporters in the WT was reproducibly and

significantly lowered in the presence of pyruvate but not glucose (Fig. 5C-D). Taken together, our

data lead to a regulatory model in which environmental cues that reduce the levels of CrcZ small

RNA allow for Crc-dependent activation of biofilm matrix gene expression in *P. aeruginosa* (Fig.

5E).

Bacteria of the genus Pseudomonas are metabolically versatile, can be isolated from a

wide range of niches and preferentially catabolize organic acids as they lack a functional glycolytic

pathway (reviewed in Rojo, 2010). This "reverse" carbon catabolite repression is thought to

provide adaptive advantage in different niche colonization. As such, the CbrA/CbrB/CrcZ/Crc

signaling cascade is conserved in most Pseudomonads and components of this pathway have

been found to be exchangeable between *P. aeruginosa* and *P. putida* (Valentini et al., 2014). We

explored whether Crc in other species of *Pseudomonas* might also be involved in controlling

biofilm formation via phylogenetic analysis of the co-occurrence of *cbrA, crc, pelA, cupB1* and

cupC2 in the genomes of diverse Pseudomonads (Fig. 6). Consistent with previous reports,

majority of the Pseudomonads encode for CbrA and Crc orthologs. PelA and CupB1 are found to

co-occur in a subset of the genomes that include several CF isolates of *P. aeruginosa* while

CupC2 is present in ~45% of the genomes analyzed here. For example, Pseudomonads that

colonize the rhizosphere of plants such as *P. stutzeri*, *P. chlororaphis*, *P. syringae* and *P.*

protegens encode for genes required to assemble CupC fimbriae. These bacteria are exposed to

glucose as well as organic acids like succinate and pyruvate that are commonly found in root

exudates and likely derive ecological advantage by integrating nutritional cues to control adhesion

to root surfaces. Thus, it appears that Crc has a conserved function in the regulation of CupC

fimbriae which might also contribute to biofilm development in the environmental Pseudomonads.

DISCUSSION

Combinatorial gene regulation by multiple two-component systems is highly utilized in bacteria in responding to environmental cues to allow distinct gene expression patterns. *P. aeruginosa* is a versatile human pathogen that causes both acute and chronic infections such as in the lungs of cystic fibrosis (CF) patients and in patients suffering from burn and diabetic wounds that are often associated with worse disease outcomes (Rumbaugh et al., 1999; Hoffman et al, 2009; Hammond et al, 2015; Feltner et al, 2016). In this study, we sought to define the interaction of Rhl quorum sensing with another global regulatory system, i.e., CbrA/CbrB pathway. As the sensory signal that activates CbrA kinase is unknown (reviewed in Monteagudo-Cascales et al. 2022), we studied biofilm development and biofilm-associated transcriptomic changes in the absence of RhlR, CbrA and both. Our data highlight the complexity of sensory regulation mediated by these two signaling pathways in *P. aeruginosa* biofilms and reveals a previously unexplored facet of Crc as it promotes expression of specific biofilm matrix components – Pel exopolysaccharide and CupB/CupC fimbriae.

P. aeruginosa, one of the major causes of morbidity and mortality of CF patients, colonize the lung mucus which is a complex substrate that provides carbon, nitrogen and other energy sources during infection (Palmer et al, 2005). Although glucose is a less preferred carbon source for *P. aeruginosa*, it is present at high concentration in the mucus of both CF and non-CF patients, with CF mucus typically having higher glucose content than those of other pulmonary diseases (Palmer et al, 2007; La Rosa et al, 2019). Our work suggests that glucose (or another less preferred carbon source) could function as an inducer of biofilm formation via the CbrA/CbrB/CrcZ/Crc pathway. We do not yet know the molecular mechanism by which Crc activates the expression of *pel, cupB* or *cupC* genes. We speculate that one possible mechanism might be post-transcriptional for *pel* as the absence of Crc decreases biofilm formation even after

exchanging the *PpelA* promoter with an artificial promoter. Nonetheless, given that both carbon

catabolite repression and Pel biosynthetic genes are widespread in bacteria (Whitfield, 2021), we

expect future studies defining the molecular details underlying the link between "reverse" carbon

catabolite repression and *pel* expression would be informative.

The material properties of the matrix contribute to biofilm growth and architecture. For

instance, in *Vibrio cholerae* and *Bacillus subtilis*, matrix production allows biofilm-dwelling cells to

establish an osmotic pressure difference between the biofilm interior and the external environment

that promotes colony biofilm expansion (Yan et al, 2017; Seminara et al., 2012). Specifically, *V.*

cholerae colony biofilms were found to expand more and cover greater surface area in the

absence of the matrix protein RbmA (Yan et al., 2017). Our work suggests that in PA14, lower

Pel polysaccharide levels allow greater surface area coverage as observed in the Δ*rhlR* mutant.

Conversely, increased Pel polysaccharide levels limits biofilm expansion as is the case with Δ*cbrA*

and Δ*rhlR* Δ*cbrA* mutants.

P. aeruginosa PA14 has five different *cup* operons that encode proteins involved in the

assembly of surface adhesive fimbriae, but the *cup* genes are poorly expressed in standard

laboratory conditions making it difficult to study their regulation. To date, few regulators such as

the H-NS-like protein MvaT that represses *cupA* gene expression and the two-component

systems named Roc1 and Roc2 that induce the expression of *cupB* and *cupC* genes have been

described (Vallet-Gely et al., 2005; Ruer et al., 2007). Nonetheless, the Cup fimbrial structures

have been reported to facilitate bacterial attachment to host tissue, and promote biofilm formation

and pathogenesis (Busch et al., 2012; Vallet et al., 2001). Our finding that organic acids such as

pyruvate can repress the expression of *cupB* and *cupC* fimbrial genes hints at change in adhesion

depending on nutritional cues that might contribute to biofilm architecture within hosts.

Transcriptome analysis may reveal both direct and indirect targets of Crc. Crc/Hfq binding

to transcripts is thought to involve at least one CA motif (AAnAAnAA) in the neighborhood of the

translational start site in target mRNAs (Sonnleitner E, et al. 2018, Kambara et al., 2018).

Accordingly, we scanned the 5' untranslated region upstream of the genes that are regulated by

Crc in two RNA-seq datasets - Δ*crc* versus WT and Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* versus Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc* mutants.

We found 32 and 73 Crc-regulated transcripts having a putative CA motif respectively, but none

of these transcripts belong to biofilm-matrix biosynthesis genes (Table S4). One caveat is that the

Crc/Hfq recognition motif for biofilm-matrix biosynthesis genes might be distinct from the

aforementioned CA motif. Another possibility is that Crc indirectly activates the expression of

biofilm matrix components.

Our RNA-seq analysis sheds light on the transcriptomic landscape of WT *P. aeruginosa*

biofilms and provides a map of the regulatory network orchestrated by RhlR, CbrA and Crc. When

we bin the differentially expressed genes according to their function defined by their PseudoCAP

categories (Winsor et al., 2016), CbrA appears to upregulate the "Carbon compound metabolism",

"Amino acid biosynthesis and metabolism", "Central intermediary metabolism" and "Energy

metabolism" functional classes (Fig. S8). In addition, CbrA controls the expression of several

genes in the "Biosynthesis of cofactors, prosthetic groups, "Two component regulatory system",

"Transport of small molecules", "Protein secretion/export" and "Membrane proteins" functional

classes. For instance, genes involved in the Type II secretion system (*xcpUVWYZ* operon) and

the Hcp Secretion Island-I-encoded type VI secretion system (H1-T6SS) are activated while those

involved in Type III secretion system (*exsB, exsC, pcrV*) are repressed by the Cbr system (Table

S3). This highlights the importance of the Cbr system in cellular physiology in biofilms beyond

carbohydrate and amino acid metabolism.

The RhlR regulon exhibits enrichment in the "Secreted factors", "Chaperones and heat

shock proteins" and "Adaptation/Protection" functional classes (Fig. 3B). However, most of genes

regulated by CbrA and RhlR belong to the "Hypothetical, unclassified, unknown" category limiting

the power of our analysis. Nonetheless, we note that several virulence factors including *lecA*,

phz1, *phz2*, *mexH* are downregulated in the Δ*rhlR* and Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* mutants, highlighting the

importance of RhlR in *P. aeruginosa* virulence (Fig. 3B). Comparing the Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* and

Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc* mutants shows that Crc represses several genes in the "Transport of small

molecules", "Energy metabolism", and "Relative to phage, transposon or plasmid" classes (Fig.

S8). Notably, in biofilms, Crc appears to both positively and negatively regulate several categories

unrelated to carbon catabolite repression such as "Cell wall/LPS/Capsule", "Protein

secretion/export apparatus" and "Adaptation, Protection" (Fig. S8). Therefore, by characterizing

the convergence of global signaling pathways on biofilm formation, we have expanded our

understanding of the molecular interplay between key regulators - CbrA and RhlR, and identified

Crc as a master regulator of biofilm matrix gene expression in response to environmental stimuli.

.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and growth conditions. *P. aeruginosa* UCBPP-PA14 strain was grown in lysogeny broth

(LB) (10 g tryptone, 5 g yeast extract, 5 g NaCl per L), in 1% Tryptone broth (TB) (10 g tryptone

per L) and on LB plates fortified with 1.5% Bacto agar at 37°C. When appropriate, antimicrobials

were included at the following concentrations: 400 µg/mL carbenicillin, 50 µg/mL gentamycin, 100

µg/mL irgasan.

Strain construction. Strains and plasmids were constructed as described previously (Mukherjee

et al. 2017). To construct marker-less in-frame chromosomal deletions in *P. aeruginosa*, DNA

fragments flanking the gene of interest were amplified, assembled by the Gibson method, and

cloned into pEXG2 (Hmelo et al., 2015). The resulting plasmids were used to

transform *Escherichia coli* SM10λ*pir*, and subsequently, mobilized into *P. aeruginosa* PA14 via

biparental mating. Exconjugants were selected on LB containing gentamicin and irgasan, followed

by recovery of deletion mutants on LB medium containing 5% sucrose. Candidate mutants were

confirmed by PCR. The *cbrA* complementation plasmid was constructed by inserting DNA

containing the promoter of *dksA* and the entire *cbrA* open-reading frame using HindIII and XbaI,

followed by cloning into similarly digested pUCP18. The *crc* complementation plasmid was

constructed by inserting DNA containing the promoter and entire *crc* open-reading frame using

HindIII and XbaI, followed by cloning into similarly digested pUCP18. The *crcZ* overexpression

plasmid was constructed by inserting DNA containing the entire *crcZ* gene using HindIII and XbaI,

followed by cloning into similarly digested pUCP18.

To construct the P*cupB-lacZ* and P*cupC-lacZ* transcriptional reporter fusions, 500 bp of

DNA upstream of the *cupB1 and cupC1* genes and the DNA encoding the *lacZ* open-reading

frame were amplified using *P. aeruginosa* PA14 genomic DNA and the plasmid pIT2 as templates,

respectively. Next, two DNA fragments of ~730 bp, one corresponding to the intergenic region

~700 bp downstream of the *P. aeruginosa PA14_20500* gene and the other corresponding to

~1000 bp upstream of the *P. aeruginosa PA14_20510* gene, were amplified from *P. aeruginosa*

PA14 genomic DNA. The four DNA fragments were assembled by the Gibson method and cloned

into pEXG2. The resulting plasmid was used to transform *E. coli* SM10λ*pir*, and subsequently

mobilized into *P. aeruginosa* PA14 WT and the Δ*rhlR* and Δ*rhlI* mutants via biparental mating as

described above.

Colony biofilm assay. One microliter of overnight *P. aeruginosa* cultures grown at 37°C in 1%

Tryptone broth was spotted onto 60 x 15 mm Petri plates containing 10 mL 1% Tryptone medium

fortified with 40 mg per L Congo red and 20 mg per L Coomassie brilliant blue dyes, and solidified

with 1% agar. Colonies were grown at 25°C and images were acquired after 120 h using a Zeiss

AxioZoom v16 microscope.

Pellicle biofilm assay and crystal violet staining of SSA biofilms. *P. aeruginosa* was cultured

overnight in 200 μL LB in a BioTek Synergy Neo2 microplate reader at 37°C under shaking

conditions. The OD600 of the culture was taken by the plate reader to calculate the amount of

culture needed to inoculate 2 ml 1% Tryptone broth so that the final OD_{600} is 0.005. Pellicle

biofilms were developed for 72 hours in stationary 16*100 mm glass test tubes at room

temperature. After 72 h, the cultures were vortexed to disrupt the pellicles. The samples were

washed vigorously with tap water and were left to dry for an hour. The biofilms were then stained

with 4 mL 0.1% crystal violet solution for half hour. The excess solution was poured out, the

samples were washed thrice with tap water and let to dry overnight. For elution, 4 mL of 33%

glacial acetic acid solution was used in each tube and samples were left to rest for an hour. The

crystal violet stain was quantified at OD_{550} using a spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific Genesys

20).

RNA-seq. *P. aeruginosa* colony biofilms were grown for 40 h before harvested with a plastic

inoculation loop. Half of each biofilm was picked up and transferred into 600 μL of Tri-reagent

(Zymo Research) and disrupted by pipetting with a 1 mL tip. Total RNA was extracted with the

Zymo Direct-zol RNA kit following the manufacturer's instructions. Samples were subjected to

DNAse treatment using Ambion DNase I (RNase-free) kit, followed by rRNA depletion and library

preparation with Illumina Stranded Total RNA Prep with Ribo-Zero kit. Libraries were sequenced

on Illumina's NextSeq 2000, with 51 bp paired-end reads and 10 bp long index reads (51-10-10-

51).

RNA-seq analysis. RNA-seq reads were processed using the nf-core RNAseq pipeline version

3.4. Briefly, low-quality bases and contaminant adapters were trimmed using Cutadapt version

3.4 and Trimgalore version 0.6.7. RNA-seq reads passing quality filters were mapped against the

reference genome of *P. aeruginosa* UCBPP_PA14 strain (GenBank assembly accession number

CP000438.1) using HISAT2 version 2.2.0 (with parameters --rna-strandness RF, --no-mixed, --

no-discordant, --no-spliced-alignment; Kim et al., 2019). Next, read mappings for each annotated

gene were counted using the featureCounts program within the Subread package version 2.0.1

(with parameters -B -C and -s 2, Liao et al. 2014). Analysis of differentially expressed genes

(DEGs) was performed using the DESeq2 package 1.28.0 (Love et al. 2014). Genes were

considered significantly differentially expressed when the P value (Padj), adjusted using the

Benjamini-Hochberg procedure, was <0.05. Assessment of functional classification enrichment

was performed by assigning DEGs to at least one of 27 manually-defined and curated

PseuodCAP functional classifications (Winsor et al., 2005). The percentage of genes in each

category that exhibited downregulation or upregulation was then calculated.

qRT-PCR. *P. aeruginosa* colony biofilms were grown for 40 h before harvested with a plastic

inoculation loop. Half of each biofilm was picked up and transferred into 600 ul of Tri-reagent

(Zymo Research) and disrupted by pipetting with a 1 ml tip. Total RNA was extracted with the

Zymo Direct-zol RNA kit following the manufacturer's instructions and quantified with a BioTek

Synergy Neo2 microplate reader. 0.5 µg of total RNA was used for reverse-transcription using the

TaKaRa PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser. The resulting cDNA was used for real-

time PCR using the Applied biosystems PowerTrack SYBR Green Master Mix on a Bio-Rad

C1000 Touch Thermal Cycler. The results were exported into RDML v1.1 format and analyzed

with web-based LinRegPCR (https://www.gear-genomics.com/rdml-tools/). Relative fold change

was calculated with the qBase method (Hellemans et al. 2007) using *16s, clpX*, *ostA* and *rpsO* as

reference genes.

β-galactosidase assay. Briefly, bacterial cultures were grown to OD600 1.3. Pellets of 1mL

cultures were collected and redissolved in 1mL Z-buffer with the addition of 200µg lysozyme for

permeabilization. Samples were then incubated in 30°C for 15 min and diluted as needed in a

total volume of 500µL. 4mg ONPG solution was added in the samples and time was recorded.

The samples were incubating in 30°C until sufficient color change was observed. The reaction

was quenched by 250µL 1M Na_2CO_3. Standard activity was calculated in Miller units.

Intracellular cyclic di-GMP measurements. For intracellular cyclic di-GMP determination, cells

were grown in tryptone broth and an aliquot was taken for OD measurement. 1 mL of cells were

spun down, resuspended in 100 μL nucleotide extraction solution (40% acetonitrile, 40%

Methanol, 0.1% Formic acid and 19.9% Water) and incubated at -20°C for 20 min. The samples

were centrifuged at 15000 rpm for 5 min and the supernatant was transferred to a fresh tube. The

samples were dried under vacuum, resuspended in 100 μL HPLC grade H2O and analyzed by

HPLC-MS/MS as described previously (Massie et al, 2012). Intracellular cyclic di-GMP levels was

determined by fitting the peak intensity to a standard curve with the known concentrations of cyclic

di-GMP and by normalizing the levels of to the total number of cells.

Phylogenetic tree construction. Genomes and associated proteomes GFF annotation files of

strains from the Pseudomonas (238), Acinetobacter (5), and Burkholderia (1) genera were

downloaded from the NCBI datasets database. To determine orthologous relationships between

protein-coding genes, we used OrthoFinder version 2.5.4. The analysis was performed on an

AWS EC2 instance type (c6a.48xlarge) with default settings. OrthoFinder computed hierarchical

orthologous groups (HOGs) for each internal node in the species tree. To improve HOG prediction

accuracy, an outgroup proteome (Burkholderia) was used to root the resulting species tree. HOGs

are sets of proteins descended from a single gene in the ancestral species corresponding to the

respective internal node. In this study, we focused on analyzing HOGs associated with the

species tree node representing the last common ancestor of all A. Pseudomonas, Acinetobacter,

and Burkholderia. Specifically, we examined HOGs containing cbrA, crc, and members of the *pel*,

cupB, and *cupC* operons. For visualizing and annotating phylogenetic trees, custom Python

scripts were employed to generate the datasets for annotation in the Interactive Tree of Life (iTol)

tool (https://itol.embl.de/). Jupyter notebooks for downloading genomes from NCBI, processing

OrthoFinder   results,   and   creating   figures   are   available   on   GitHub   at

https://github.com/JonWinkelman/dash_app_pseudomonas.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS We thank Eric Littman (Duchossois Family Institute) and the Genomics

Core Facility at the University of Chicago for help with whole genome sequencing. RNA

sequencing was performed by Microbial Genome Sequencing Center (MiGS). Bioinformatics

analysis was carried out by Trestle LLC. We thank Jonathan Winkelman for help with generating

the phylogenetic tree and Dan Kearns for comments on the manuscript. This work was supported

by the NIH Grant R35GM139537 to C.M.W., and NIH Grant R00GM129424 and the Searle

Scholars Program Grant SSP-2022-104 to S.M.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Brint JM, Ohman DE. 1995. Synthesis of multiple exoproducts in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is under the control of RhlR-RhlI, another set of regulators in strain PAO1 with homology to the autoinducer-responsive LuxR-LuxI family. *J. Bacteriol.* 177: 7155–7163.

Busch A, Waksman G. Chaperone-usher pathways: diversity and pilus assembly mechanism. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2012 Apr 19;367(1592):1112-22.

Capra EJ, Laub MT. 2012.Evolution of two-component signal transduction systems. *Annu Rev Microbiol*. 66:325-47.

Davies DG, et al. 1998. The involvement of cell-to-cell signals in the development of a bacterial biofilm. *Science* 280:295-8.

de Bentzmann S, Aurouze M, Ball G, Filloux A. FppA, a novel *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* prepilin peptidase involved in assembly of type IVb pili. *J Bacteriol*. 2006 Jul;188(13):4851-60.

Dietrich LEP, Okegbe C, Price-Whelan A, Sakhtah H, Hunter RC, Newmana DK (2013) Bacterial community morphogenesis is intimately linked to the intracellular redox state. *J Bacteriol* 195: 1371–1380

Dueholm MS, Petersen SV, Sønderkær M, Larsen P, Christiansen G, Hein KL, Enghild JJ, Nielsen JL, Nielsen KL, Nielsen PH, Otzen DE. Functional amyloid in *Pseudomonas*. *Mol Microbiol*. 2010 Aug;77(4):1009-20.

Feltner JB, Wolter DJ, Pope CE, Groleau MC, Smalley NE, Greenberg EP, Mayer-Hamblett N, Burns J, Déziel E, Hoffman LR, Dandekar AA. LasR Variant Cystic Fibrosis Isolates Reveal an Adaptable Quorum-Sensing Hierarchy in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. mBio. 2016 Oct 4;7(5):e01513-16.

Flemming, HC, et al. 2016. Biofilms: An emergent form of bacterial life. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 14, 563–575.

Gao CH, Yu G, Cai P. ggVennDiagram: An Intuitive, Easy-to-Use, and Highly Customizable R Package to Generate Venn Diagram. *Front Genet*. 2021 Sep 7;12:706907.

Gomez S, Bureau L, John K, Chêne EN, Débarre D, Lecuyer S. Substrate stiffness impacts early biofilm formation by modulating *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* twitching motility. Elife. 2023 May 9;12:e81112.

Hall-Stoodley L, et al. 2004. Bacterial biofilms: from the natural environment to infectious diseases. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2: 95

Hammond JH, Dolben EF, Smith TJ, Bhuju S, Hogan DA. Links between Anr and Quorum Sensing in Pseudomonas aeruginosa Biofilms. J Bacteriol. 2015 Sep;197(17):2810-20.

Hellemans J, Mortier G, De Paepe A, Speleman F, Vandesompele J. qBase relative quantification framework and software for management and automated analysis of real-time quantitative PCR data. Genome Biol. 2007;8(2):R19.

Hmelo, L.R., Borlee, B.R., Almblad, H., Love, M.E., Randall, T.E., Tseng, B.S., Lin, C., Irie, Y., Storek, K.M., Yang, J.J., et al. (2015). Precision-engineering the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* genome with two-step allelic exchange. Nat. Protoc. *10*, 1820–1841.

Hoffman LR, Kulasekara HD, Emerson J, Houston LS, Burns JL, Ramsey BW, Miller SI.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa lasR mutants are associated with cystic fibrosis lung disease
progression. J Cyst Fibros. 2009 Jan;8(1):66-70.

Huang J, Sonnleitner E, Ren B, Xu Y, Haas D. Catabolite repression control of pyocyanin
biosynthesis at an intersection of primary and secondary metabolism in Pseudomonas
aeruginosa. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2012 Jul;78(14):5016-20.

Jennings LK, Storek KM, Ledvina HE, Coulon C, Marmont LS, Sadovskaya I, Secor PR, Tseng
BS, Scian M, Filloux A, Wozniak DJ, Howell PL, Parsek MR. Pel is a cationic exopolysaccharide
that cross-links extracellular DNA in the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm matrix. *Proc Natl Acad*
Sci U S A. 2015 Sep 8;112(36):11353-8.

Jiang Z, Nero T, Mukherjee S, Olson R, Yan J. Searching for the Secret of Stickiness: How
Biofilms Adhere to Surfaces. *Front Microbiol.* 2021 Jul 8;12:686793.

Kambara TK, Ramsey KM, Dove SL. Pervasive Targeting of Nascent Transcripts by Hfq. *Cell*
Rep. 2018 May 1;23(5):1543-1552.

Karygianni L, et al. 2020. Biofilm Matrixome: Extracellular Components in Structured Microbial
Communities. *Trends Microbiol.* 28, 668-681.

Kim D, Paggi JM, Park C, Bennett C, Salzberg SL. Graph-based genome alignment and
genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. Nat Biotechnol. 2019 Aug;37(8):907-915.

Kim M, et al. 2015. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* wound infection involves activation of its iron
acquisition system in response to fascial contact. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 78(4):823-829.

Klausen M, Heydorn A, Ragas P, Lambertsen L, Aaes-Jørgensen A, Molin S, Tolker-Nielsen T.
Biofilm formation by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* wild type, flagella and type IV pili mutants. *Mol*
Microbiol. 2003 Jun;48(6):1511-24.

Kulasekara HD, Ventre I, Kulasekara BR, Lazdunski A, Filloux A, Lory S. A novel two-component
system controls the expression of Pseudomonas aeruginosa fimbrial cup genes. Mol Microbiol.
2005 Jan;55(2):368-80.

La Rosa R, Johansen HK, Molin S. Adapting to the Airways: Metabolic Requirements of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa during the Infection of Cystic Fibrosis Patients. Metabolites. 2019 Oct
16;9(10):234.

Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning
sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics*. 2014 Apr 1;30(7):923-30.

Liu C, Sun D, Zhu J, Liu W. Two-Component Signal Transduction Systems: A Major Strategy for
Connecting Input Stimuli to Biofilm Formation. *Front Microbiol*. 2019 Jan 10;9:3279.

Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq
data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol*. 2014;15(12):550.

Manfredi R, et al. 2000. Pseudomonas spp. Complications in patients with HIV disease: an eight-
year clinical and microbiological survey. *Eur. J. Epidemiol*. 16:111-8.

Markou P, Apidianakis Y. 2014. Pathogenesis of intestinal *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in
patients with cancer. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 3:115.

Massie JP, Reynolds EL, Koestler BJ, Cong JP, Agostoni M, Waters CM. 2012. Quantification of
high-specificity cyclic diguanylate signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109(31):12746-51.

McGill, S.L., Yung, Y., Hunt, K.A. et al. 2021. Pseudomonas aeruginosa reverse diauxie is a
multidimensional, optimized, resource utilization strategy. *Sci. Rep.* 11:1457.

Mendler K, et al. 2019. AnnoTree: visualization and exploration of a functionally annotated
microbial tree of life. *Nucleic Acids Research* 47:4442-4448.

Merz AJ, So M, Sheetz MP. Pilus retraction powers bacterial twitching motility. *Nature*. 2000 Sep
7;407(6800):98-102.

Miller MB, Bassler BL (2001) Quorum Sensing In Bacteria. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 55: 165–199

Monteagudo-Cascales E, García-Mauriño SM, Santero E, Canosa I. Unraveling the role of the
CbrA histidine kinase in the signal transduction of the CbrAB two-component system in
Pseudomonas putida. Sci Rep. 2019 Jun 24;9(1):9110.

Monteagudo-Cascales E, et al. 2022. The Regulatory Hierarchy Following Signal Integration by
the CbrAB Two-Component System: Diversity of Responses and Functions. *Genes* (Basel)
13(2):375.

Mukherjee, S., Moustafa, D., Smith, C.D., Goldberg, J.B., and Bassler, B.L. (2017). The RhlR
quorum-sensing receptor controls *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pathogenesis and biofilm
development independently of its canonical homoserine lactone autoinducer. *PLoS Pathog. 13*,
1–25.

Mukherjee, S., and Bassler, B.L. (2019). Bacterial quorum sensing in complex and dynamically
changing environments. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 17*, 371–382.

O'Toole GA, Gibbs KA, Hager PW, Phibbs PV Jr, Kolter R. The global carbon metabolism
regulator Crc is a component of a signal transduction pathway required for biofilm development
by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Bacteriol*. 2000 Jan;182(2):425-31.

O'Toole GA, Kolter R. Flagellar and twitching motility are necessary for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
biofilm development. *Mol Microbiol*. 1998 Oct;30(2):295-304.

Palmer KL, Aye LM, Whiteley M. Nutritional cues control Pseudomonas aeruginosa multicellular
behavior in cystic fibrosis sputum. J Bacteriol. 2007 Nov;189(22):8079-87.

Paranchych W, Sastry PA, Frost LS, Carpenter M, Armstrong GD, Watts TH. Biochemical studies
on pili isolated from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain PAO. *Can J Microbiol*. 1979
Oct;25(10):1175-81.

Park H, McGill SL, Arnold AD, Carlson RP. Pseudomonad reverse carbon catabolite repression,
interspecies metabolite exchange, and consortial division of labor. *Cell Mol Life Sci*.
2020;77(3):395-413.

Pearson JP, et al. 1995. A second N-acylhomoserine lactone signal produced by *Pseudomonas
aeruginosa*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 92: 1490–1494.

Pei XY, et al. 2019. Architectural principles for Hfq/Crc-mediated regulation of gene expression.
Elife 8:e43158.

Pendleton JN, et al. 2013. Clinical relevance of the ESKAPE pathogens. *Expert. Rev. Anti-
Infective Therapy*. 1: 297-308.

Prüß, B.M. (2017). Involvement of Two-Component Signaling on Bacterial Motility and Biofilm
Development. *J. Bacteriol. 199*, 1–12.

Fernando Rojo. (2010). Carbon catabolite repression in Pseudomonas: optimizing metabolic
versatility and interactions with the environment, FEMS Microbiology Reviews, 34(5): 658–684

Ruer S, Stender S, Filloux A, de Bentzmann S. Assembly of fimbrial structures in *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa: functionality and specificity of chaperone-usher machineries. *J Bacteriol*. 2007
May;189(9):3547-55.

Rumbaugh KP, Griswold JA, Iglewski BH, Hamood AN. Contribution of quorum sensing to the
virulence of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in burn wound infections. Infect Immun. 1999
Nov;67(11):5854-62.

Rumbaugh KP, Griswold JA, Hamood AN (2000) The role of quorum sensing in the in vivo
virulence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Microbes Infect* 2: 1721–1731

Rutherford, S.T., and Bassler, B.L. (2016). Bacterial Quorum Sensing : Its Role in Virulence and
Possibilities for Its Control. 1–26.

Saha CK, et al. 2021. Rodrigo Sanches Pires, Harald Brolin, Maxence Delannoy, Gemma
Catherine Atkinson, FlaGs and webFlaGs: discovering novel biology through the analysis of gene
neighbourhood conservation. *Bioinformatics*, 37:1312–1314.

Sakuragi Y, Kolter R. 2007. Quorum-sensing regulation of the biofilm matrix genes (pel) of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *J Bacteriol* 189: 5383–5386

Seed PC, et al. 1995. Activation of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa lasI* gene by LasR and the
Pseudomonas autoinducer PAI: An autoinduction regulatory hierarchy. *J. Bacteriol.* 177: 654–
659.

Seminara A, Angelini TE, Wilking JN, Vlamakis H, Ebrahim S, Kolter R, Weitz DA, Brenner MP.
Osmotic spreading of *Bacillus subtilis* biofilms driven by an extracellular matrix. Proc Natl Acad
Sci U S A. 2012 Jan 24;109(4):1116-21.

Shrout JD, Chopp DL, Just CL, Hentzer M, Givskov M, Parsek MR. 2006The impact of quorum
sensing and swarming motility on Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm formation is nutritionally
conditional. *Mol Microbiol.*62(5):1264-77.

Sonnleitner E, et al. 2009. Small RNA as global regulator of carbon catabolite repression in
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 106(51):21866-71.

Sonnleitner E, et al. 2018. Interplay between the catabolite repression control protein Crc, Hfq
and RNA in Hfq-dependent translational regulation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Nucleic Acids*
Res. 46(3):1470-1485

Vallet I, Olson JW, Lory S, Lazdunski A, Filloux A. The chaperone/usher pathways of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa: identification of fimbrial gene clusters (cup) and their involvement in
biofilm formation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2001 Jun 5;98(12):6911-6.

Vallet-Gely I, Donovan KE, Fang R, Joung JK, Dove SL. Repression of phase-variable cup gene
expression by H-NS-like proteins in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005
Aug 2;102(31):11082-7.

Valentini M, García-Mauriño SM, Pérez-Martínez I, Santero E, Canosa I, Lapouge K. Hierarchical
management of carbon sources is regulated similarly by the CbrA/B systems in Pseudomonas
aeruginosa and Pseudomonas putida. Microbiology (Reading). 2014 Oct;160(Pt 10):2243-2252.

Wang BX, et al. 2021. Two-Component Signaling Systems Regulate Diverse Virulence-
Associated Traits in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 11;87(11):e03089-20.

Whitfield GB, Howell PL. The Matrix Revisited: Opening Night for the Pel Polysaccharide Across
Eubacterial Kingdoms. Microbiol Insights. 2021 Feb 15;14:1178636120988588.

Winsor GL, Griffiths EJ, Lo R, Dhillon BK, Shay JA, Brinkman FSL. Enhanced annotations and
features for comparing thousands of Pseudomonas genomes in the Pseudomonas genome
database. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016;44: D646–D653. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1227

Wirtz L, Eder M, Schipper K, Rohrer S, Jung H. Transport and kinase activities of CbrA of
Pseudomonas putida KT2440. Sci Rep. 2020 Mar 25;10(1):5400.

Yan J, Nadell CD, Stone HA, Wingreen NS, Bassler BL. Extracellular-matrix-mediated osmotic
pressure drives Vibrio cholerae biofilm expansion and cheater exclusion. Nat Commun. 2017 Aug
23;8(1):327.

Yeung AT, et al. 2011. The sensor kinase CbrA is a global regulator that modulates metabolism,
virulence, and antibiotic resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Bacteriol.*193(4):918-31.

Zhang XX, Gauntlett JC, Oldenburg DG, Cook GM, Rainey PB. Role of the Transporter-Like
Sensor Kinase CbrA in Histidine Uptake and Signal Transduction. *J Bacteriol.* 2015
Sep;197(17):2867-78.
765

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1: *P. aeruginosa* Δ*cbrA* **and** Δ*rhlR* **mutants have distinct hyper-rugose biofilm phenotypes.** A) Schematic of the RhlR quorum sensing and CbrA nutrient sensing pathways. The two gray horizontal lines represent the cytoplasmic membrane, black circles represent autoinducer sensed by RhlR, red hexagon represents the unknown signal that activates CbrA sensor kinase. CbrA also functions as a transporter of histidine but its kinase function appears to be independent of histidine transport (Monteagudo-Cascales et al. 2022). B) Colony biofilm phenotypes of WT PA14 and the designated mutants on Congo red agar medium after 120 h of growth. Scale bar, 5 mm. C) Colony biofilm surface area quantitation for the indicated strains after 120 h of growth. Error bars represent standard deviation of three independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined using two-tailed *t*-test with Welch's correction in GraphPad Prism software. *** P <0.001, ** P <0.01, * P <0.05. D) Colony biofilm height quantitation for the indicated strains after 120 h of growth. Error bars represent standard deviation of three independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined using two-tailed *t*-test with Welch's correction in GraphPad Prism software. **** P <0.0001, ** P <0.01, * P <0.05.

Fig. 2: *P. aeruginosa* Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* **double mutant forms unstable biofilms.** A) (Top) Shown is a representative isolation of a suppressor mutation of the Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* biofilm phenotype. The white arrows in the left panel indicate flares radiating from the biofilm diagnostic of the emergence of suppressor mutations. The right panel shows the biofilm phenotype of a mutant following isolation. (Bottom) Chromosomal arrangement of the *crc* (orange) gene. Large white arrows represent open reading frames (lengths not to scale), black bent arrow indicates promoter, red stem-loops indicate STOP mutations and black triangles indicate the locations of insertion and deletion suppressor mutations. B) Colony biofilm phenotypes of WT PA14 and the designated

mutants on Congo red agar medium after 120 h of growth. Scale bar, 5 mm. C) Colony biofilm

surface area quantitation for the indicated strains after 120 h of growth. Error bars represent

standard deviation of three independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined

using unpaired *t*-test in GraphPad Prism software. ** P <0.01, * P <0.05. D) Colony biofilm height

quantitation for the indicated strains after 120 h of growth. Error bars represent standard deviation

of three independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined using two-tailed *t*-test

with Welch's correction in GraphPad Prism software. ** P <0.01, * P <0.05. E) Biofilm

development assays followed by crystal violet staining for WT and indicated mutant strains. Error

bars represent standard deviation of three biological replicates. Statistical significance was

determined using two-tailed *t*-test with Welch's correction in GraphPad Prism software. ** P <0.01,

* P <0.05, and ns means not significant.

Fig. 3: CrcZ small RNA allows integration of Rhl- and Cbr-signaling pathways and

modulates biofilm development. A) Upset plot showing overlaps in genes that are differentially

regulated in Δ*rhlR*, Δ*cbrA*, Δ*crc*, Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* double, and Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc* triple mutants compared

to WT. Numbers on top of each vertical bar indicate number of genes differentially regulated in

each intersection. Set size indicates the total number of genes that are significantly differentially

regulated in a particular mutant when compared to WT. B) Volcano plots of RNA-seq data for

Δ*rhlR*, Δ*cbrA*, Δ*crc*, Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* and Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc* compared to WT, and Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc*

compared to Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA*. Light blue solid circles with gray outlines represent genes with

expression fold-changes ≤−2 and the P values (Padj), adjusted using the Benjamini-Hochberg

procedure, <0.05; dark blue solid circles with gray outlines represent genes with expression fold-

changes ≥2 and the P values (Padj), adjusted using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure, <0.05.

Gray solid circles represent genes with gene expression fold changes ≥-2 or ≤2 or Padj ≥ 0.05.

Genes reported to be involved in virulence are outlined in yellow and those reported to be

associated with biofilms are outlined in red. C) Normalized read counts obtained via Median Ratio

Normalization (MRN) analysis for *crcZ* gene from RNAseq run on biofilm samples of WT and

indicated mutants. D) Relative expression of *crcZ* gene normalized to 16S RNA, *ostA* and *rpsO*

transcript levels measured by qRT-PCR in WT PA14 and indicated mutants after 120 h of colony

biofilm growth. AU denotes arbitrary units. Error bars represent standard deviation of three

biological replicates. Statistical significance was determined using two-tailed *t*-test with Welch's

correction in GraphPad Prism software. ** P <0.01, * P <0.05, and ns means not significant. E)

Colony biofilm phenotypes of WT PA14 and the designated mutants on Congo red agar medium

after 120 h of growth. Scale bar, 5 mm. F) Colony biofilm surface area quantitation for the

indicated strains after 120 h of growth. Error bars represent standard deviation of three

independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined using two-tailed *t*-test with

Welch's correction in GraphPad Prism software. ** P <0.01. G) Colony biofilm height quantitation

for the indicated strains after 120 h of growth. Error bars represent standard deviation of three

independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined using two-tailed *t*-test with

Welch's correction in GraphPad Prism software. *** P <0.001, ** P <0.01, * P <0.05.

Fig. 4: Crc promotes biofilm matrix gene expression. A) Relative expression of *pelA*, *cupB1*,

cupC2 genes normalized to 16S RNA, *ostA* and *rpsO* transcript levels in WT PA14 and indicated

mutants after 72 h and 120 h of colony biofilm growth. AU denotes arbitrary units. Error bars

represent standard deviation of three biological replicates. B) Colony biofilm phenotypes of WT

PA14 and the designated mutants on Congo red agar medium after 120 h of growth. Scale bar,

5 mm. C) Colony biofilm surface area quantitation for the indicated strains after 120 h of growth.

Error bars represent standard deviation of three independent experiments. Statistical significance

was determined using two-tailed *t*-test with Welch's correction in GraphPad Prism software. ** P

<0.01, * P <0.05. D) Colony biofilm height quantitation for the indicated strains after 120 h of

growth. Error bars represent standard deviation of three independent experiments. Statistical

significance was determined using two-tailed *t*-test with Welch's correction in GraphPad Prism

software. ** P <0.01, * P <0.05.

Fig. 5: Crc dependent increase in *pel* expression is post-transcriptional. A) Biofilm crystal

violet staining assays for WT and indicated mutant strains. Error bars represent standard

deviation of three biological replicates. Statistical significance was determined using two-tailed *t*-

test with Welch's correction in GraphPad Prism software. * P <0.05. B) c-di-GMP levels of the

indicated strains. Error bars represent standard deviation of three biological replicates. Statistical

significance was determined using unpaired *t*-test in GraphPad Prism software. ** P <0.01, * P

<0.05, and ns means not significant. C-D) β-galactosidase assays of *PcupB-lacZ* transcriptional

(B) or *PcupC-lacZ* transcriptional (C) fusions for background genotypes indicated on the X-axis

and grown in tryptone broth with no addition (black), 50mM pyruvate (light grey), or 50mM glucose

(dark grey). Error bars represent SEM of three biological replicates. Statistical significance was

determined using two-tailed *t*-test with Welch's correction in GraphPad Prism software. * P <0.05,

and ns means not significant. C) Model for Crc-dependent activation of biofilm matrix components.

At high population density, RhlR mediated quorum sensing activates the expression of Pel

exopolysaccharide while Crc, depending on the abundance of CrcZ small RNA, promotes the

expression of Pel, CupB and CupC fimbriae components of the biofilm matrix. Arrow indicates

activation and T-bar indicates inhibition.

Fig. 6: The CbrA and Crc proteins and their regulatory targets Pel, CupB and CupC are

conserved in diverse Pseudomonads. Co-occurrences of CbrA and Crc are depicted in red

and orange, respectively, while that of their regulatory target genes obtained from this study –

pelA, *cupB1*, and *cupC2* - are depicted in shades of grey as indicated in the dataset legend.

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURES
868
869
870 **Supplemental Fig. 1: Increase in biofilm surface area coverage of Δ*cbrA* and Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA***

871 **mutants is slower compared to WT and other studied mutants.** Five-day time courses

872 showing development of the colony biofilm surface area of the WT and indicated mutant strains.

873 Error bars represent standard deviation of three biological replicates.

874
875 **Supplemental Fig. 2: CbrA mediated repression of biofilms requires its cognate response**

876 **regulator CbrB and its kinase activity.** Colony biofilm phenotypes of the designated mutants

877 on Congo red agar medium after 120 h of growth. Scale bar, 5 mm.

878
Supplemental Fig. 3: CbrA and RhlR pathways repress pellicle development in addition to

colony biofilms. Pellicle biofilms of the indicated *P. aeruginosa* mutants imaged after 72 h of

growth in standing cultures.

Supplemental Fig. 5: Pel polysaccharide contributes to biofilm formation of the Δ*cbrA*

mutant. A) Colony biofilm phenotypes of WT PA14 and the designated mutants on Congo red

agar medium after 120 h of growth. Scale bar, 5 mm. B) Colony biofilm surface area quantitation

for the indicated strains after 120 h of growth. Error bars represent standard deviation of three

independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined using two-tailed *t*-test with

Welch's correction in GraphPad Prism software. * P <0.05. C) Colony biofilm height quantitation

for the indicated strains after 120 h of growth. Error bars represent standard deviation of three

independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined using two-tailed *t*-test with

Welch's correction in GraphPad Prism software. ** P <0.01. D) Biofilm crystal violet staining

assays for WT and indicated mutant strains. Error bars represent standard deviation of three

biological replicates. Statistical significance was determined using two-tailed t-test with Welch's

correction in GraphPad Prism software. *** P <0.001, * P <0.05.

Supplemental Fig. 5: Absence of phenazines is not epistatic to the combined absence of

RhlR and CbrA. A) Pyocyanin production phenotypes of the WT PA14 and indicated mutants

imaged after overnight growth in LB. B) Colony biofilm phenotypes of the designated mutants on

Congo red agar medium after 120 h of growth. Scale bar, 5 mm. C) Colony biofilm surface area

quantitation for the indicated strains after 120 h of growth. Error bars represent standard deviation

of three independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined using two-tailed t-test

with Welch's correction in GraphPad Prism software. ** P <0.01, * P <0.05.

Supplemental Fig. 6: Principal component analysis (PCA) for RNA-seq on biofilm samples

from the indicated strains.

Supplemental Fig. 7: Expression of genes encoding biofilm matrix components in WT and

mutant strains in this study. A) Relative expression of *cupA1*, *cupD4*, *cupE1* genes normalized

to 16S RNA, *ostA* and *rpsO* transcript levels measured by qRT-PCR in WT PA14 and indicated

mutants after 72 h and 120 h of colony biofilm growth. AU denotes arbitrary units. Error bars

represent standard deviation of three biological replicates. B) Relative expression of *pelA* gene

normalized to 16S RNA, *ostA* and *rpsO* transcript levels measured by qRT-PCR in WT PA14 and

indicated mutants after 72 h of colony biofilm growth. AU denotes arbitrary units. Error bars

represent standard deviation of three biological replicates.

Supplemental Fig. 8: Gene Ontology analysis of RNA-seq data. Percentage of genes in each

PseudoCAP category that are downregulated (light blue) or upregulated (dark blue) in Δ*rhlR,*

Δ*cbrA,* Δ*crc*, Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* or Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc* compared to WT and Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA*Δ*crc* compared to

Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA*. Numbers next to bars indicated the number of genes up- or downregulated and total

number of genes in each PseudoCAP category.

921
922

923 **SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES**
924
925 **TABLE S1: Unique suppressor mutations of the Δ*rhlR*Δ*cbrA* biofilm phenotype**

Strain	PA14 ID[a]	Gene name	Nucleotide position	Mutation
SPa1068	PA14_70390	*crc*	6275079	+T (frameshift)
SPa1070	PA14_70390	*crc*	6276133	+T (frameshift)
SPa1073	PA14_70390	*crc*	6275042	M1I (ATG→ATA)
SPa1074	PA14_70390	*crc*	6275704	+G (frameshift)
SPa1078	PA14_70390	*crc*	6275207	+G (frameshift)
SPa1079	PA14_70390	*crc*	6275254	Δ2 bp (frameshift)
SPa1088	PA14_08920	*rplP*	763531	R82P (CGT→CCT)
SPa1090	PA14_08850	*rplC*	759596	T13N (ACC→AAC)
SPa1092	PA14_70390	*crc*	6275734	Δ55 bp (frameshift)
SPa1093	PA14_70390	*crc*	6275223	E61STOP (GAG→TAG)
SPa1095	PA14_70390	*crc*	6275107	W22STOP (TGG→TAG)
SPa1096	PA14_70390	*crc*	6275757	Δ9 bp

926 a: annotation from www.pseudomonas.com (Winsor et al., 2016)

928 **TABLE S2: Bacterial strains and plasmids**

Strain	Description	Reference
UCBPP-PA14	Wild type *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*	Laboratory stock
SM32	Δ*rhlR*	(Mukherjee et al., 2017)
SM404	Δ*pelA*	(Mukherjee et al., 2017)
SPa1058	Δ*crc*	This study
SPa1111	Δ*cbrA* Δ*crc*	This study
SPa1117	Δ*rhlR* Δ*cbrA* Δ*crc* pUCP18-P$_{crc}$-*crc*	This study
SPa1128	Δ*rhlR* Δ*crc*	This study
SPa1170	Δ*cbrA*	This study
SPa1174	Δ*rhlR* Δ*cbrA*	This study
SPa1183	Δ*rhlR* Δ*cbrA* Δ*crc*	This study
SPa1187	*cbrA*^{H766A} pUCP18-P$_{cbrA}$-*cbrA*	This study
SPa1189	*cbrA*^{H766A} pUCP18	This study
SPa1191	Δ*cbrA* pUCP18-P$_{cbrA}$-*cbrA*	This study
SPa1193	Δ*cbrA* pUCP18	This study
SPa1200	pUCP18-*crcZ*	This study
SPa1609	Δ*cbrA* pUCP18-*crcZ*	This study
SPa1202	Δ*rhlR* Δ*cbrA* pUCP18-P$_{cbrA}$-*cbrA*	This study
SPa1206	Δ*rhlR* Δ*cbrA* Δ*crc* pUCP18	This study
SPa1220	Δ*rhlR* Δ*cbrA* pUCP18-*crcZ*	This study
SPa1379	Δ*cbrA* Δ*pelA*	This study
SPa594	P$_{pelA}$::P$_{hyspank}$-*pelABCDEFG*	This study

SPa1236	$\Delta cbrA\ P_{pelA}$::$P_{hyspank}$-$pelABCDEFG$	This study
SPa1234	$\Delta crc\ P_{pelA}$::$P_{hyspank}$-$pelABCDEFG$	This study
SPa1489	$\Delta cupB1$-$B5$	This study
SPa1487	$\Delta cbrA\ \Delta cupB1$-$B5$	This study
SPa1565	$\Delta cupC1$-$C3$	This study
SPa1554	$\Delta cbrA\ \Delta cupC1$-$C3$	This study
SPa1567	P_{cupB}-$lacZ$	This study
SPa1543	$\Delta cbrA\ P_{cupB}$-$lacZ$	This study
SPa1484	$\Delta cbrA\ \Delta crc\ P_{cupB}$-$lacZ$	This study
SPA1468	$\Delta crc\ P_{cupB}$-$lacZ$	This study
SPa1464	P_{cupC}-$lacZ$	This study
SPa1545	$\Delta cbrA\ P_{cupC}$-$lacZ$	This study
SPa1542	$\Delta cbrA\ \Delta crc\ P_{cupC}$-$lacZ$	This study
SPa1470	$\Delta crc\ P_{cupC}$-$lacZ$	This study
SM645	$\Delta phz\ (\Delta phzA1$-$G1,\ \Delta phzA2$-$G2)$	This study
SPa1646	$\Delta cbrA\ \Delta phz$	This study
SPa1238	$\Delta rhlR\ \Delta cbrA\ \Delta phz$	This study
SPa1279	$\Delta pilA$	This study
E.coli DH5α	F⁻ *endA1 glnV44 thi-1 recA1 relA1 gyrA96 deoR nupG purB20* φ80d*lacZ*ΔM15 Δ(*lacZYA-argF*) U169, hsdR17(r_K^- m_K^+), λ⁻	Laboratory stock
E.coli SM10λ*pir*	*thi thr leu tonA lacY supE recA*::RP4-2-Tc::Mu	Laboratory stock
pEXG2	Allelic exchange vector with pBR origin, gentamicin resistance, *sacB* gene	(Hmelo et al., 2017)
pUCP18	*E. coli-Pseudomonas* Amp^r shuttle vector	Laboratory stock

931 **TABLE S3: RNAseq datasets**

933 **TABLE S4: Crc binding site prediction in selected target transcripts.**

A)

WT   ΔrhlR   ΔcbrA   ΔrhlRΔcbrA   ΔpelA   P$_{pelA}$::P$_{hyspank}$-pelABCDEFG

B)

WT   Δcrc   ΔrhlRΔcrc   ΔcbrAΔcrc   ΔrhlRΔcbrAΔcrc

A)

B)