Title: Universal Amplicon Sequencing of North Imperial Valley Wetlands Microbiomes

Authors: Scott Becker, Elaina Graham, Lindsay Sager, Roberto Spreafico, Jay McCarren

ABSTRACT

DNA sequencing of complex microbial communities allows for the classification and
quantification of thousands of distinct organisms in diverse environmental niches. We present a
three domain "Universal Amplicon" (UA) method to simultaneously amplify DNA from the
ribosomal small subunit locus from bacteria, archaea, and eukaryotes (and their organelles)
using a single pair of amplification primers. We demonstrate the amenability of the UA to
multiplexed Illumina library preparation and MiSeq-based sequencing. We validate the UA by
sequencing a commercially available microbial community of known quantitative composition
and through direct comparison to a shotgun metagenomics dataset. Following validation, we
apply the UA to a time-course study of the wetlands of the Northern Imperial Valley in
California and show substantial and variable microbial life in the Salton Sea and nearby waters.
We demonstrate that the microbial ecology of the Salton Sea varies on at least a monthly basis
and is distinct from the surrounding area. Finally, we contribute an open-source Shiny app for
real-time analysis of complex metagenomic communities, with application to this study and far
beyond.

INTRODUCTION

Environmental metagenomic methods seek to characterize communities of living
organisms that coexist in diverse locales. These techniques can be broadly classified into two
classes: shotgun methods that sequence total microbial community DNA[1–4], and amplicon-
based methods in which specific sequences (such as 16S ribosomal RNA or ITS) are amplified via
polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and subsequently sequenced[5–8].   Through direct
sequencing of the genomic content of an entire community, shotgun metagenomics avoids
potential biases introduced by amplification steps, can better identify rare taxa[9–12], and
provide information on the functional repertoire of the metagenome[13–15].   Despite the
continuing decline in sequencing costs, shotgun metagenomic sequencing remains significantly
more expensive than amplicon-based approaches[16]. Generally speaking, amplicon methods
are able to reconstruct and approximate relative quantitation of those organisms which are
present with far lower DNA sequencing requirements than shotgun methods. These amplicon-
based approaches have been shown to reproduce the patterns of alpha and beta-diversity
which are observed through shotgun sequencing[12]. However, amplicon methods can only
detect organisms containing conserved sequences that are recognized by whatever PCR primers
are chosen for the experiment; while there are several good choices available for bacterial 16S,
eukaryotic 18S, and the Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS), the development of improved
primers is still an area of active research [17–19].

For complex microbial communities that are expected to contain significant
representation from multiple domains of life (e.g., diverse bacteria, phototrophic eukaryotes,
microbial grazers, etc.), most existing published primers are insufficient to amplify DNA from all

community members [20, 21]. While some previous studies have separately amplified
sequences for prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms using multiple sets of primers[22–24],
multi-amplicon approaches have a limited ability to quantitatively compare taxa abundances
across different primers. There are relatively few published reports of "universal" primers that
amplify a more comprehensive subset of living organisms. Amaral-Zettler and colleagues report
on the use of "universal" primers[25, 26] but subsequent use of these primer pairs by these
authors, or others, appears limited. Two recent publications by Fuhrman et al. show that a
single primer pair can meaningfully capture the microbial diversity from all three domains of life
in a single amplicon [20, 27].

Previous amplicon and shotgun based metagenomic experiments have shown a
fascinating diversity of living organisms in wildly different environmental niches[28–31]. Much
of this diversity consists of organisms that are refractory to standard cell culture methods,
necessitating metagenomics and amplicon-based approaches for study [32]. One relatively
exotic and understudied environment is the Salton Sea, a hypersaline, endorheic lake in
Southern California. This "sea" is rapidly receding as reduced riverine and agricultural inputs
and generally low precipitation are less than the evaporation rate. As the lake recedes, lake-bed
sediments (and contaminants contained within [33]) are exposed and susceptible to movement
and transport through the air by wind, resulting in negative health consequences for the people
who live nearby[34]. The habitat of many migratory bird species that visit the Salton Sea during
their annual migrations is shrinking in conjunction with the receding waters and perturbing the
environment in unpredictable ways [35, 36]. Amplicon based metagenomics of the 16S rRNA
have previously been conducted at the Salton Sea but these samples had low sequencing depth
and were not comprehensively analyzed in the literature [37]. At Viridos, we have an R&D
biofuel production facility near Calipatria, California and have undertaken a comprehensive
environmental monitoring program in that area, including extensive amplicon-based
metagenomic sampling of multiple sites in the vicinity of the southern part of the Salton Sea.

In this report we detail a novel set of universal primers with an optimized library
preparation protocol (UA) for use in amplicon-based microbial community profiling. We
validate this approach by sequencing a mock community of known composition as well as
through comparisons to shotgun metagenomics data. Taken together, our validation studies
demonstrate that the UA approach can be used to generate an Illumina-compatible NGS library
composed of both prokaryotic and eukaryotic markers. We then utilize the UA methodology to
better characterize the unique microbial ecology of the Salton Sea and nearby wetlands,
generating a robust dataset with a higher depth of sequencing and resolution than previously
existed.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sample Collection and Processing

Water samples were collected monthly from six separate locations, which we also refer
to as stations (**Supplemental Table 1**). At each of these locations, surface water samples were
collected in duplicate 500mL sterile polycarbonate bottles, transported to the laboratory on ice,

and maintained at 4°C. Microbial biomass from each water sample was collected by vacuum
filtration onto a 0.22μm polyethersulfone membrane filter (Pall Corporation, Port Washington
NY). Depending on sample type, 50-300 ml of sample was filtered to obtain sufficient biomass
for DNA extraction and subsequent analysis (**Supplemental Table 1**). Filters, with collected
biomass, were stored in sterile tubes containing milling material (Qiagen, catalog number xxx)
at -20C prior to gDNA extraction. All sample processing was conducted within 30 hours of
sample collection. Two sites (Managed Marsh and the Alamo River) contain two coordinate
locations within close proximity as initial sampling locations became inaccessible during the
sample period.

DNA extraction

Filters stored at -20C in bead tubes were brought to room temperature before
performing DNA extractions using the DNeasy PowerWater Kit per the manufacturers protocol
(Qiagen, catalog number 14900-100-NF). The DNA was quantified by Qubit dsDNA HS kit
(Invitrogen, catalog number Q32851) and normalized to a concentration of 7.0 ng/ul in
nuclease free water.

UA PCR

Normalized gDNA samples were arrayed in 96 well plates and amplified by PCR using
barcoded primers according to methods originally described by Caporaso et al. [7], which we
have modified to incorporate more universally conserved primer binding sites. Wang et al. [38],
identified numerous putative primer binding sites that are highly conserved across eukaryota,
bacteria, and archaea. For our work we selected two of the predicted "universal" primers with
calculated coverage rates generally greater than 90% across all three domains when compared
to the SILVA full-length, non-redundant database [39]. The selected primers amplify a product
of approximately 335 bp, not including the primers; exact lengths are 328, 345, and 336 bp for
E. coli, *S. cerevisiae*, and *H. volcani* respectively. An amplicon of this size is amenable to
generating overlapping paired end sequences using Illumina 2x250bp sequencing technology.
The conserved sequences used in the primers are Uni_1046_F (5' – WGGTGBTGCATGGYYGT –
3') and Uni_1390_R (5' – GACGGGCGGTGTGTACAA – 3'). PCR began with denaturation at 95C
for 10 min, followed by 30 cycles at 95C for 30 s, 54C for 30 s and 72C for 40 s, and concluded
with a final extension for 8 min at 72C.

Library Preparation and Sequencing

PCR amplicons were pooled at an equal volume of 10ul, purified (Macherey Nagel,
Nucleospin Gel and PCR Clean-Up Kit), and eluted in a final volume of 30ul. The pooled
amplicon library was quantified by Qubit dsDNA high sensitivity kit (Invitrogen) and amplicon
size was determined by Agilent Tapestation 2200 (High Sensitivity D5000 ScreenTape Assay).
Library denaturation and dilution was performed according to the standard protocol from
Illumina (MiSeq System Denature and Dilute Libraries). MiSeq loading conditions were as
follows: 4.0pM library and 0.9375pM PhiX library. Custom read and index primers

(Supplemental Table 2) were added to the Illumina MiSeq cartridge (MiSeq Reagent Kit v2
(500-cycles)) in well 12 ("Uni_Read I"), well 13 ("Uni_Index") and well 14 ("Uni_Read 2"). All
libraries were sequenced 2x250bp on the Illumina MiSeq following the manufacturer's
instructions and multiplexed with between 100 and 200 samples per run which is sufficient to
provide greater than 10000 reads per sample.

Bioinformatics Analysis

After sequencing the demultiplexed FASTQ files were collected for analysis. FASTQC
(v0.11.7)[40] was run to verify data quality. The R package dada2 (v1.8)[41] was used to
denoise the reads, assign counts to amplicon sequence variants (ASVs), and assign taxonomy to
ASVs. The analysis pipeline follows the structure of the official pipeline tutorial modified with
the following settings: learnErrors (nbases = 4e+08, MAX_CONSIST = 40, multithread = T,
randomize = F), dada (separately for forward and reverse reads, pool="pseudo",
multithread=T), mergePairs (minOverlap= 18, maxMismatch=0, justConcatenate=F,
trimOverhang=T), removeBimeraDenovo(method="consensus"), assignTaxonomy(tryRC=T,
minBoot=50, taxLevels = c("Kingdom", "Phylum", "Class", "Order", "Family", "Genus",
"Species")). Following the mergePairs step all sequences outside of the expected range of 200
to 470 bp were dropped as these are indicative of mispriming. ASVs were retained if they
contained at minimum 10 reads in at least one sample; less abundant ASVs were excluded from
further analysis. ASV counts were then normalized to 10000 reads. The database used for
assignTaxonomy was compiled by pulling all available sequences from Phytoref[42], PR2[43],
Silva-Mitochondria [39], and the RDPtraining set 16 [44]. We removed duplicates from the
merged database, retained up to seven taxonomic assignments for each sequence, and
assigned them to the kingdom, phylum, class, order, family, genus, and species levels. Due to
variable taxonomic classification schemas across the databases, we manually curated the
taxonomy down to (preferentially) the most accurate genus level annotation or alternatively
the lowest level at which the sequence could be accurately discriminated. Prior to the
benchmarking study against known mock communities, we filtered the results further to
remove any ASV that didn't occur with at least 100 counts in at least 3 samples, allowing us to
focus on how well the measured ASV counts track with the known abundance of each species in
this standard.

This data was merged into an Phyloseq object (version 1.26.1)[45] for further analysis.
The Phyloseq function tax_glom was used for agglomeration. This function relies on the
annotated phylogeny of each ASV to group them together at a given level (kingdom, phylum,
etc.). ASVs without an annotation at the desired level are dropped. The Phyloseq functions
plot_ordination, plot_richness, plot_bars, and plot_heatmap paired with R's ggplot functions
were used to visualize data.

Shotgun metagenomics

To compare the phylogenetic coverage of our UA primers we conducted shotgun
sequencing in addition to the UA sequencing on a select set of samples (**Supplemental Table 3**),
which were made into a 2x150 library and sequenced on a single lane of the illumina HiSeq
platform by Genewiz as part of their Whole Genome Metagenomics service in April of 2020.
The reads were trimmed with Trimmomatic (version 0.36; parameters: LEADING:3 TRAILING:3
SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15 MINLEN:26)[46] using standard paired-end Illumina adaptors.
MetaWrap (v1.2) [47]was used to QC, assemble, and bin each metagenome using default
parameters. Due to low shotgun metagenome coverage a high percentage of bins were <50%
complete, so we only include read-based classification via Kraken2 (git hash 18f067d;
parameters: -l 150), using a database built on 7-21-2020 with the kracken2-build command (--
download-library nt) from the NCBI NT database. The fraction of reads assigned to each phylum
were compared to the ratios assigned via the UA method. Phyla representing at least 1% of the
reads for any sample were retained for analysis. We then refined the quantifications with
Bracken (v2.6.0)[48] and used R (ggplot2)[49] for data visualization.

RESULTS

The Universal Amplicon (UA) accurately quantifies a mock community DNA standard

We amplified and sequenced a microbial mock community DNA standard that contains
both bacteria and eukaryotes (Zymo Research, Irvine CA) 25 times over the course of this
analysis. **Figure 1A** demonstrates the ability of the UA amplicon method to reproduce the
relative abundance of the microbial community composition for a standard Zymo mock
community. The UA primers capture microbial community composition of both bacteria and
eukaryotes with good reproducibility and accuracy, but one bias stands out: the abundance of
Salmonella is overestimated while the abundance of Listeria is underestimated. Saccharomyces
also shows some minor issues with regard to precision having relative abundances more
dispersed across runs than other community members but the average across runs indicates it
correlates with the expected abundance (**Figure 1B**). This data demonstrates that the UA
primers are capable of detecting both prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms with relative
accuracy despite some dispersion in the data across runs.

UA amplicons show qualitative agreement with shotgun metagenomes at the phylum-level

We performed shotgun metagenomic sequencing of four of our samples (Morton Bay samples from 2018-04-30, 2018-05-30, 2018-06-26, and 2018-07-25) to uncover any potential biases with the UA in real-world data. **Figure 2** shows that most phyla are detected using both the UA and shotgun methods with a high fidelity when comparing the fraction of total reads. Note that the custom UA database (derived from ribosomal marker sequences and explained in the methods) we utilized differentiates between nuclear and chloroplast markers in some cases whereas the NT database used to taxonomically assign reads in the shotgun analysis does not. Some level of discrepancy between the relative abundance of certain phyla in the UA dataset and the shotgun dataset may be due to poor characterization of reads originating from organelles for the shotgun analysis. In addition, marker gene copy numbers (ribosomal and

otherwise) per cell vary across lineages [50–52] posing significant quantification challenges
when directly comparing relative abundances via shotgun metagenomics and rRNA amplicon
relative abundances. Despite these limitations the fraction of total reads from each phylum
derived from either shotgun metagenomics or the UA amplicon method overlaps with high
fidelity, indicating that the UA primers can generate amplicons across domains without
introducing excessive amplification bias.

218
Figure 2 Comparison of shotgun sequencing versus UA characterization of microbial community composition. Heatmap
representation of the fraction of sequencing reads corresponding to the given Phyla. Our UA reads contained a significant
quantity of reads that could not be classified any more specifically than the Kingdom of Fungi, and hence this kingdom appears
adjacent to other phyla.

California's Salton Sea and Imperial Valley Wetlands reveal unique communities of diverse
organisms

As part of an ongoing environmental monitoring effort associated with farming algae for
biofuel production R&D, we sequenced and analyzed a total of 139 water samples (with an
average of 39,766 reads per sample) collected approximately monthly from six distinct
locations in the North Imperial Valley of California (Error! Reference source not found.) from
early 2018 until late 2019. The Salton Sea, which is quickly receding, has become increasingly
saline. To date there have been only a handful of analyses of the microbial community within
the Salton Sea, including two T-RFLP based studies[53, 54] and two amplicon-based studies [55,
56], of which one only produced data for the prokaryotic community using 16S rRNA primers
with low sequencing depth and minimal analysis[56]. The other amplicon study indicates that
amplicon sequencing was conducted but meaningful biological data is not presented in the
manuscript[55]. Our Salton Sea UA amplicon dataset presented here is the most comprehensive
analysis of the microbial community to date indicating that the Salton Sea and nearby
environment is home to a variety of distinct organisms with some indication of monthly
periodicity in community composition. Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling (NMDS) was used
to analyze beta-diversity patterns which demonstrate diversity between samples by measuring
similarity vs dissimilarity (**Figure 3A).** This demonstrated a stark separation of samples collected
from the hypersaline Salton Sea and those collected from fresh or brackish waters (e.g Alamo
River, N. MacDonald Road, and Managed Marsh/Morton bay), possibly due to different
communities of microbes thriving in different salinity conditions.

Within these distinct samples we observe thousands of unique Amplicon Sequence
Variants (ASVs). While ASVs are useful in identifying fine scale community level differences,
each unique ASV is not necessarily representative of a distinct species because many organisms
have multiple rRNA copies (which are assigned to unique ASVs unless they are identical in
sequence across the amplicon we used) and individual members of species have slight
variations in exact rRNA sequence. Rarefication analysis, which allows us to calculate full
sample richness vs observed sample richness indicated asymptomatic behavior in most samples
with the exception of early samples from the Alamo River site in 2018 (which was abandoned
early in the study due to accessibility issues) **(Supplemental Figure 1)**.

254
255

In total we observed over 8000 ASVs and the most prevalent 75 taxa were identified for
visualization across samples (**Supplemental Figure 2**). NMDS ordination immediately shows that
distinct communities of microorganisms are present in the Salton Sea and the nearby wetlands.
The Salton Sea has abundant Trebouxiophyceae, Dinophyceae, and Gammaproteobacteria;
whereas the surrounding wetlands have abundant Cyanobacteria. When agglomerated by class
these differences are even more pronounced and the non-Salton Sea samples are almost
completely devoid of certain classes of organisms (**Figure 3B**).

263
Figure 3 (A) Non-metric multidimensional scaling ordination of each sample. Samples are colored by station ID. (B) Bar
plot of ASVs over time at each station agglomerated to the Phylum level. Vertical lines mark where specific sampling
locations for the Alamo River and Managed Marsh changed due to previous locations becoming inaccessible.

When we agglomerate to the kingdom level (**Supplemental Figure 3**), we see significant
shifts in the prokaryotic/eukaryotic ratio of ASVs across sites and over time, potentially
indicating some form of seasonal periodicity, but more sampling over an extended period of
time would need to be conducted to confirm this. Phylum level agglomeration shows that in
both 2018 and 2019, a dramatic shift from Proteobacteria and toward Actinobacteria was
observed in the early summer at the Obsidian Butte sampling location in the Salton Sea. This
trend is similarly reflected, somewhat less starkly, at the Salton Sea Beach sampling station. At
Obsidian Butte we see that between the extremes of this shift, ASVs assigned to Bacteriodetes,
Chlorophyta, Metazoa, and Ochrophyta increase in abundance before being completely
overtaken by Actinobacteria. Limited sampling at the Salton Sea Beach during this transition
makes it difficult to assess whether this same trend occurs here, but the available sampling
suggests this could be a shared trend.

For a deeper analysis, we focus on Obsidian Butte samples from March through June of
2018, retaining all ASVs from these months with more than 10 counts in at least one sample.
Alpha diversity indices show a peak in ASV richness in the May 15 sample (**Figure 4A**). At the
phylum level of this subset of samples there is a dramatic shift from proteobacteria to
actinobacteria (**Figure 4B**). The mid-May sample has a higher abundance of phototrophs
(Chlorophyta, Ochrophyta, and dinoflagellates), suggesting a potential spring bloom. The
subsequent late May sample shows a significant increase of actinobacteria. A previous study
has demonstrated that during a dinoflagellate bloom showed that Gammaproteobacteria,
Bacteriodetes, and Alphaproteobacteria were prevalent during the initial bloom stages while
Cyanobacteria and Actinobacteria were more prevalent during bloom termination[57]. The
April and late May samples also show increases in the Metazoa assigned ASVs (specifically
Apocyclops royi, which is a copepod). While extensive grazer activity may be related to drastic
shifts in species abundance in microbiomes, we did not conduct size filtering while sampling
and the high potential copy number of rRNA amplicons proliferating from a single copepod
could produce technical bias, so we cannot make a strong case for grazer-related shifts in this
dataset.

297
Figure 4 A) Seven Alpha diversity indexes shown side by side to assess evenness and richness of the community. X axis reflects
dates of interest and y axis the calculated alpha diversity. The higher the value of y the more diverse the community is. The
measures used include Observed diversity index, Chao1 diversity index, ACE diversity index, Shannon diversity index, Simpson
diversity index, Inverse Simpson diversity index and Fisher diversity index. (B) ASV abundance at Obsidian Butte from March
through June of 2018. ASV abundances were agglomerated to the phylum level.

Discussion

Through the use of control standards and comparisons to shotgun metagenomics, we
have herein demonstrated an efficient, single-amplicon based approach that is able to
quantitatively distinguish both prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms simultaneously through
straightforward sequencing on the Illumina MiSeq platform. The data analysis tools for this sort
of data are (with the exception of requiring publicly available eukaryotic marker sequences)
essentially identical to typical 16s methods. While a very limited number of previous
publications have demonstrated some of the same capabilities, we have synthesized a
workflow that can be rapidly applied to varied environmental niches for many different
purposes.

As a proof of concept, we sequenced the wetlands near the southern portion of the
Salton Sea numerous times over many months. While the Salton Sea outwardly appears to be a
dying ecosystem, our study of microbial life clearly shows a tremendous and highly variable
diversity of microorganisms. While outside the scope of our current study, one could imagine
correlating environmental variables with microbial communities to better understand how the
ecosystem might change as the Salton Sea continues to recede. Such an effort might also
suggest ways in which microbial life could contribute to mitigating the worst effects of the
gradual disappearance of the sea.
One limitation of sequencing relatively short (<500 bp) amplicons for phylogenetic
characterization of communities is that the level at which many organisms can be uniquely
classified tends to be less specific than species. We see this phenomenon in much of the data
presented herein, where agglomeration even up to the phylum level is sometimes needed to
present clear trends. Looking forward, the Oxford Nanopore platform offers an interesting
opportunity to sequence longer amplicons and better distinguish between closely related
organisms[58], possibly even at the species level. Due to the form factor, this technology could
potentially allow sequencing on site rather than transporting samples significant distances.
Prior to implementation across kingdoms of life, new primers for a longer universal amplicon
would need to be validated.
Finally, while dedicated researchers in the field, especially the team working on
phyloseq, have made great progress in enabling straightforward microbiome data analysis,
advanced computational skills are still a barrier to entry into the field. By providing a GUI-based
app for microbiome analysis, we hope to allow a wider group of researchers a quicker route
into sophisticated, first-class, microbiome data analysis.

Conclusions

We present a novel method for microbiome amplicon sequencing (UA) that is able to
simultaneously amplify DNA from all three kingdoms of life and show that it is in agreement
with a known control community and a proven shotgun metagenomics approach. We
demonstrate the value and potential of the UA by sequencing water samples in the North
Imperial Valley wetlands of California, including the Salton Sea, and show microbial diversity
across both space and time in this sensitive geographic area.

Data and software availability

We have written code for a highly functional R Shiny app for interactive, user-driven
analysis of amplicon microbiome data. Inspired by the Shiny-phyloseq app
(https://joey711.github.io/shiny-phyloseq/) and the rich capabilities of the phyloseq package,
we have coded a real-time user interface for common microbiome analysis and visualization
tasks. The app takes a phyloseq object as input and is capable of a variety of analyses, including
sample/taxa filtering, tree-building, beta diversity and ordination, bar plots, heatmaps,
permanova, and differential abundance. In essence, we wrapped a GUI around what we see as

best practices for command-line microbiome analysis in R. The app is a work in progress, and
open to contributions from the community at gitlab.com/viridos-asv. A webpage pre-loaded
with the data from this study can be accessed at asv.viridos.com. Users should note that some
of the analysis results described in this paper require code beyond what is available in this app;
we have also posted a R Markdown document that recreates this analysis on gitlab along with
the app code.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge Bhargavi Ramanathan for help gathering samples, Laura
de Boer for critical comments on the manuscript, and Rob Brown for inspirational discussions.
Environmental sampling activities were performed during work authorized under U.S. EPA TERA
Permits R-19-0001 and R-20-0001. We greatly appreciate the assistance and cooperation of our
neighbors in Calipatria, CA. Our thanks go to Mr. Walter Slovak, the County of Imperial, the
Imperial Irrigation District, CA Department of Fish & Wildlife, BHE Renewables, Controlled
Thermal Resources Ltd., and EnergySource Inc. for access to their lands and waters.

References

1. Venter JC, Remington K, Heidelberg JF, Halpern AL, Rusch D, Eisen JA, et al. Environmental
Genome Shotgun Sequencing of the Sargasso Sea. Science (1979). 2004;304:66–74.
2. Hug LA, Baker BJ, Anantharaman K, Brown CT, Probst AJ, Castelle CJ, et al. A new view of the
tree of life. Nature Microbiology 2016 1:5. 2016;1:1–6.
3. Parks DH, Rinke C, Chuvochina M, Chaumeil PA, Woodcroft BJ, Evans PN, et al. Recovery of
nearly 8,000 metagenome-assembled genomes substantially expands the tree of life. Nature
Microbiology 2017 2:11. 2017;2:1533–42.
4. Qin J, Li R, Raes J, Arumugam M, Burgdorf KS, Manichanh C, et al. A human gut microbial
gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. Nature 2010 464:7285. 2010;464:59–
65.
5. Catlett D, Matson PG, Carlson CA, Wilbanks EG, Siegel DA, Iglesias-Rodriguez MD. Evaluation
of accuracy and precision in an amplicon sequencing workflow for marine protist communities.
Limnol Oceanogr Methods. 2020;18:20–40.
6. Buchheim MA, Keller A, Koetschan C, Förster F, Merget B, Wolf M. Internal Transcribed
Spacer 2 (nu ITS2 rRNA) Sequence-Structure Phylogenetics: Towards an Automated
Reconstruction of the Green Algal Tree of Life. PLoS One. 2011;6:e16931.
7. Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Huntley J, Fierer N, et al. Ultra-high-
throughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. The ISME
Journal 2012 6:8. 2012;6:1621–4.
8. Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Lozupone CA, Turnbaugh PJ, et al. Global
patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. Proc Natl Acad
Sci U S A. 2011;108 SUPPL. 1:4516–22.

9. Durazzi F, Sala C, Castellani G, Manfreda G, Remondini D, de Cesare A. Comparison between
16S rRNA and shotgun sequencing data for the taxonomic characterization of the gut
microbiota. Scientific Reports 2021 11:1. 2021;11:1–10.

10. Jia Y, Zhao S, Guo W, Peng L, Zhao F, Wang L, et al. Sequencing introduced false positive rare
taxa lead to biased microbial community diversity, assembly, and interaction interpretation in
amplicon studies. Environmental Microbiome 2022 17:1. 2022;17:1–18.

11. Ranjan R, Rani A, Metwally A, McGee HS, Perkins DL. Analysis of the microbiome:
Advantages of whole genome shotgun versus 16S amplicon sequencing. Biochem Biophys Res
Commun. 2016;469:967.

12. Peterson D, Bonham KS, Rowland S, Pattanayak CW, Klepac-Ceraj V, Deoni SCL, et al.
Comparative Analysis of 16S rRNA Gene and Metagenome Sequencing in Pediatric Gut
Microbiomes. Front Microbiol. 2021;12:1651.

13. Coughlan LM, Cotter PD, Hill C, Alvarez-Ordóñez A. Biotechnological applications of
functional metagenomics in the food and pharmaceutical industries. Front Microbiol. 2015;6
JUN.

14. Singleton CM, Petriglieri F, Kristensen JM, Kirkegaard RH, Michaelsen TY, Andersen MH, et
al. Connecting structure to function with the recovery of over 1000 high-quality metagenome-
assembled genomes from activated sludge using long-read sequencing. Nature
Communications 2021 12:1. 2021;12:1–13.

15. Deng M, Hou J, Song K, Chen J, Gou J, Li D, et al. Community metagenomic assembly reveals
microbes that contribute to the vertical stratification of nitrogen cycling in an aquaculture
pond. Aquaculture. 2020;520.

16. Liu YX, Qin Y, Chen T, Lu M, Qian X, Guo X, et al. A practical guide to amplicon and
metagenomic analysis of microbiome data. Protein Cell. 2021;12:315–30.

17. Zhang RY, Zou B, Yan YW, Jeon CO, Li M, Cai M, et al. Design of targeted primers based on
16S rRNA sequences in meta-transcriptomic datasets and identification of a novel taxonomic
group in the Asgard archaea. BMC Microbiol. 2020;20.

18. Fischer MA, Güllert S, Neulinger SC, Streit WR, Schmitz RA. Evaluation of 16S rRNA Gene
Primer Pairs for Monitoring Microbial Community Structures Showed High Reproducibility
within and Low Comparability between Datasets Generated with Multiple Archaeal and
Bacterial Primer Pairs. Front Microbiol. 2016;7 AUG.

19. Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T, Peplies J, Quast C, Horn M, et al. Evaluation of general
16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation sequencing-based
diversity studies. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41:e1–e1.

20. McNichol J, Berube PM, Biller SJ, Fuhrman JA. Evaluating and Improving Small Subunit rRNA
PCR Primer Coverage for Bacteria, Archaea, and Eukaryotes Using Metagenomes from Global
Ocean Surveys. mSystems. 2021;6.

21. Sambo F, Finotello F, Lavezzo E, Baruzzo G, Masi G, Peta E, et al. Optimizing PCR primers
targeting the bacterial 16S ribosomal RNA gene. BMC Bioinformatics. 2018;19:1–10.

22. Beyter D, Tang PZ, Becker S, Hoang T, Bilgin D, Lim YW, et al. Diversity, Productivity, and
Stability of an Industrial Microbial Ecosystem. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2016;82:2494–505.

23. Bell TAS, Prithiviraj B, Wahlen BD, Fields MW, Peyton BM. A lipid-accumulating alga
maintains growth in outdoor, alkaliphilic raceway pond with mixed microbial communities.
Front Microbiol. 2016;6 JAN:1480.

24. Kittelmann S, Seedorf H, Walters WA, Clemente JC, Knight R, Gordon JI, et al. Simultaneous
Amplicon Sequencing to Explore Co-Occurrence Patterns of Bacterial, Archaeal and Eukaryotic
Microorganisms in Rumen Microbial Communities. PLoS One. 2013;8:e47879.
25. Amaral-Zettler LA, Zettler ER, Theroux SM, Palacios C, Aguilera A, Amils R. Microbial
community structure across the tree of life in the extreme Río Tinto. The ISME Journal 2011 5:1.
2010;5:42–50.
26. Sinigalliano CD, Gidley ML, Shibata T, Whitman D, Dixon TH, Laws E, et al. Impacts of
Hurricanes Katrina and Rita on the microbial landscape of the New Orleans area. Proc Natl Acad
Sci U S A. 2007;104:9029–34.
27. Yeh YC, McNichol J, Needham DM, Fichot EB, Berdjeb L, Fuhrman JA. Comprehensive single-
PCR 16S and 18S rRNA community analysis validated with mock communities, and estimation of
sequencing bias against 18S. Environ Microbiol. 2021;23:3240–50.
28. Tyson GW, Chapman J, Hugenholtz P, Allen EE, Ram RJ, Richardson PM, et al. Community
structure and metabolism through reconstruction of microbial genomes from the environment.
Nature 2003 428:6978. 2004;428:37–43.
29. DeLong EF, Preston CM, Mincer T, Rich V, Hallam SJ, Frigaard NU, et al. Community
genomics among stratified microbial assemblages in the ocean's interior. Science (1979).
2006;311:496–503.
30. Ley RE, Harris JK, Wilcox J, Spear JR, Miller SR, Bebout BM, et al. Unexpected diversity and
complexity of the Guerrero Negro hypersaline microbial mat. Appl Environ Microbiol.
2006;72:3685–95.
31. Tringe SG, von Mering C, Kobayashi A, Salamov AA, Chen K, Chang HW, et al. Comparative
metagenomics of microbial communities. Science (1979). 2005;308:554–7.
32. Steen AD, Crits-Christoph A, Carini P, DeAngelis KM, Fierer N, Lloyd KG, et al. High
proportions of bacteria and archaea across most biomes remain uncultured. The ISME Journal
2019 13:12. 2019;13:3126–30.
33. Xu EG, Bui C, Lamerdin C, Schlenk D. Spatial and temporal assessment of environmental
contaminants in water, sediments and fish of the Salton Sea and its two primary tributaries,
California, USA, from 2002 to 2012. Sci Total Environ. 2016;559:130–40.
34. Doede AL, DeGuzman PB. The Disappearing Lake: A Historical Analysis of Drought and the
Salton Sea in the Context of the GeoHealth Framework. Geohealth. 2020;4:e2020GH000271.
35. Lyons DE, Patterson AGL, Tennyson J, Lawes TJ, Roby DD. The Salton Sea: Critical Migratory
Stopover Habitat for Caspian Terns (Hydroprogne caspia) in the North American Pacific Flyway.
https://doi.org/101675/0630410206. 2018;41:154–65.
36. Anderson TW, Tiffany MA, Hurlbert SH. Stratification, sulfide, worms, and decline of the
Eared Grebe (Podiceps nigricollis) at the Salton Sea, California.
http://dx.doi.org/101080/07438140709354034. 2009;23:500–17.
37. Hawley ER, Schackwitz W, Hess M. Metagenomic Sequencing of Two Salton Sea
Microbiomes. Genome Announc. 2014;2.
38. Wang Y, Tian RM, Gao ZM, Bougouffa S, Qian PY. Optimal Eukaryotic 18S and Universal
16S/18S Ribosomal RNA Primers and Their Application in a Study of Symbiosis. PLoS One.
2014;9:90053.

39. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA ribosomal RNA
gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Res.
2013;41 Database issue:D590.

40. Babraham Bioinformatics - FastQC A Quality Control tool for High Throughput Sequence
Data. https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/. Accessed 29 Aug 2022.

41. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. DADA2: High-
resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods. 2016;13:581–3.

42. Decelle J, Romac S, Stern RF, Bendif EM, Zingone A, Audic S, et al. PhytoREF: a reference
database of the plastidial 16S rRNA gene of photosynthetic eukaryotes with curated taxonomy.
Mol Ecol Resour. 2015;15:1435–45.

43. Guillou L, Bachar D, Audic S, Bass D, Berney C, Bittner L, et al. The Protist Ribosomal
Reference database (PR2): a catalog of unicellular eukaryote Small Sub-Unit rRNA sequences
with curated taxonomy. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41:D597–604.

44. Cole JR, Wang Q, Fish JA, Chai B, McGarrell DM, Sun Y, et al. Ribosomal Database Project:
data and tools for high throughput rRNA analysis. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42 Database
issue:D633.

45. McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis and
Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. PLoS One. 2013;8:e61217.

46. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.
Bioinformatics. 2014;30:2114–20.

47. Uritskiy G v., Diruggiero J, Taylor J. MetaWRAP - A flexible pipeline for genome-resolved
metagenomic data analysis 08 Information and Computing Sciences 0803 Computer Software
08 Information and Computing Sciences 0806 Information Systems. Microbiome. 2018;6:1–13.

48. Lu J, Breitwieser FP, Thielen P, Salzberg SL. Bracken: Estimating species abundance in
metagenomics data. PeerJ Comput Sci. 2017;2017:e104.

49. Create Elegant Data Visualisations Using the Grammar of Graphics • ggplot2.
https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org/. Accessed 29 Aug 2022.

50. Bodilis J, Nsigue-Meilo S, Besaury L, Quillet L. The Variability of the 16S rRNA Gene in
Bacterial Genomes and Its Consequences for Bacterial Community Analyses. PLoS One.
2013;8:e57923.

51. Milivojević T, Rahman SN, Raposo D, Siccha M, Kucera M, Morard R. High variability in SSU
rDNA gene copy number among planktonic foraminifera revealed by single-cell qPCR. ISME
Communications 2021 1:1. 2021;1:1–8.

52. Bodilis J, Nsigue-Meilo S, Besaury L, Quillet L. Variable Copy Number, Intra-Genomic
Heterogeneities and Lateral Transfers of the 16S rRNA Gene in Pseudomonas. PLoS One.
2012;7:e35647.

53. Swan BK, Reifel KM, Valentine DL. Periodic sulfide irruptions impact microbial community
structure and diversity in the water column of a hypersaline lake. Aquatic Microbial Ecology.
2010;60:97–108.

54. Swan BK, Ehrhardt CJ, Reifel KM, Moreno LI, Valentine DL. Archaeal and Bacterial
Communities Respond Differently to Environmental Gradients in Anoxic Sediments of a
California Hypersaline Lake, the Salton Sea. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2010;76:757.

55. Hennequin LM, Kim S, Monroe EA, Eckles TP, Beck N, Mays WD, et al. Reclamation of
nutrients, carbon, and metals from compromised surface waters fated to the Salton Sea:

Biomass production and ecosystem services using an attached periphytic algae flow-way. Algal Res. 2022;66:102757.

56. Hawley ER, Schackwitz W, Hess M. Metagenomic Sequencing of Two Salton Sea Microbiomes. Genome Announc. 2014;2:1208–21.

57. Zhou J, Richlen ML, Sehein TR, Kulis DM, Anderson DM, Cai Z. Microbial community structure and associations during a marine dinoflagellate bloom. Front Microbiol. 2018;9 JUN:1201.

58. Curry KD, Wang Q, Nute MG, Tyshaieva A, Reeves E, Soriano S, et al. Emu: species-level microbial community profiling of full-length 16S rRNA Oxford Nanopore sequencing data. Nature Methods 2022 19:7. 2022;19:845–53.

Observed and Shannon Diversity by depth of Sequencing and Sample Date

536 *Supplemental Figure 1: Rarefication analysis across sample sites with predicted, observed, and Shannon diversity calculated*
537 *across sequencing depth. Points are colored by unique sampling date.*

538
539   *Supplemental Figure 2: Heatmap of the top 75 ASVs agglomerated to the class level columns sorted by sample name.*

542   *Supplemental Figure 3 Bar plot of ASVs over time at each station agglomerated to the Kingdom level*

544
545   *Supplemental Figure 4. A bar chart showing ASV abundance at Obsidian Butte from March through June. ASV abundances were*
546   *agglomorated to the lowest level at which a unique classification was possible.*

Supplemental Figure 5. Shannon diversity (calculated using phyloseq's plot_richness) function across all sample sites.

552 *Supplemental Figure 6 Obsidian Butte Phylum level abundances from March through June of 2018 separated into unique facet*
553 *groups. Groups were chosen based on known correlations between certain species in the literature and overlapping trends in*
554 *abundances.*