Stress vesicles are induced by acute mechanical force and precede the commitment of epidermal stem cells to terminal differentiation

Authors:

Sixia Huang[1], Paola Kuri[1*], Jonathan Zou[1*], Adriana Blanco[2], Maxwell Marshall[1], Gabriella Rice[1],

Stephen Prouty[1], Tzvete Dentchev[1], Miriam Doepner[1], Joel D. Boerckel[3, 4, 5], Brian C. Capell[1],

Todd W. Ridky[1] & Panteleimon Rompolas[1,5] †

Affiliations:

[1] Department of Dermatology, Institute for Regenerative Medicine, University of Pennsylvania

Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA

[2] Department of Engineering, Penn State University, PA, USA

[3] Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine,

Philadelphia, PA, USA

[4] Department of Bioengineering, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

[5] Institute for Regenerative Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

* These authors contributed equally

† To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Email: rompolas@pennmedicine.upenn.edu, Tel: 215-573-4002

Abstract

The skin has a pronounced ability to adapt to physical changes in the environment by exhibiting

plasticity at the cellular level. Transient mechanical deformations applied to the skin are

accommodated without permanent changes to tissue structure. However, sustained physical stress

induces long-lasting alterations in the skin, which are mediated by shifts in the fates of epidermal

stem cells. To investigate this phenomenon, we implemented two-photon intravital imaging to

capture the responses of epidermal cells when an acute mechanical force is applied to the live skin.

We show that mechanical stress induces the formation of intracellular vesicles in epidermal stem

cells, which are filled with extracellular fluid and gradually enlarge causing the deformation of the

cell nucleus. By lineage tracing analysis we demonstrate that the degree of nuclear deformation is

linked to cell fate. Utilizing a fluorescent *in vivo* reporter, to capture intracellular calcium dynamics,

we show that mechanical force induces a sustained increase in intracellular calcium within basal

epidermal stem cells. Conditional deletion of Piezo1, a mechanosensitive ion channel, alters

intracellular calcium dynamics and increases the number of stress vesicles in epidermal stem cells.

Using a human skin xenograft model, we show that stress vesicles are a conserved phenomenon

in mammalian skin. This study uncovers stress vesicles as key manifestations of the mechanism

that regulates the fate of epidermal stem cells under conditions of mechanical stress, in which

Piezo1 and calcium dynamics are also involved.

Introduction

The mammalian skin acts as a barrier protecting the body from environmental assaults. To
optimally serve this function, the skin has developed properties that allow the tissue to
accommodate the body's demands for flexibility and movement. Thus, the skin is able to optimally
respond to various mechanical stressors by minimizing injuries and maintaining long term
functionality[1]. The cellular and molecular mechanisms that allow the skin to adapt to mechanical
stress while maintaining its original phenotypic properties, even after acute deformations, have not
been fully resolved[2–4]. The skin consists of three major cellular layers, the epidermis, dermis and
hypodermis. It is well established that the mechanical properties of the skin are primarily dictated
by the dermis, which hosts various cell types interspersed within a rich extracellular matrix,
consisting of collagen and elastin fibers[5–10]. However, relatively little is known for how
keratinocytes - the building blocks of the skin epithelium - internalize mechanical cues to regulate
their activity and support tissue homeostasis.

Previous studies have uncovered mechanisms that describe how individual cells perceive external
forces and translate them to signaling cascades, propagated from the cell surface to the nucleus
and the genome[11–16]. This fundamental physiological process enables cells to induce a range of
biological effects, including changes in cell fate[17–19]. Mechanotransduction at plasma membrane
and the role of the nucleus as a mechanosensitive organelle are now well documented[20–28].
However, the sequence of sub-cellular events that link the plasma membrane, with the cytoskeleton
and the nucleus when acute mechanical force is exerted on a live tissue, such as the skin epidermis,
are less understood. The epidermis exhibits one of the highest cellular turnovers in the mammalian
body, fueled by the activity of keratinocytes in the basal layer[29–32]. These cells form adhesions
with the basement membrane as well as with their terminally differentiated progeny that makes up
the suprabasal layers of the epidermis. Since basal keratinocytes have the exclusive ability to
proliferate or commit to terminal differentiation, changes in the environment that can influence
their fate may have a profound effect on tissue homeostasis. A major aim of this study is to resolve
how epidermal cells respond to mechanical stress *in vivo* and how these responses may regulate
their fate.

Changes in intracellular calcium dynamics are recognized as one of the earliest events in the

mechanotransduction process, which can initiate the activation of downstream signaling

pathways[33–38]. Recent studies have made substantial progress on visualizing the mechanosensitive

calcium responses in different tissues *in vivo* and *in vitro*[26,36,39–44]. The calcium dynamics in

epidermal keratinocytes have not been resolved under conditions of mechanical stress *in vivo*.

Piezo1 is an ion channel and a bona fide mechano-sensor [39,41,45–49]. Piezo1 is involved in vascular

development and physiology [40,50,51], cell volume maintenance in erythrocytes[52–54], and cell

differentiation and proliferation[24,55–57], among other functions. Physical tension across the cell

membrane is sufficient to activate Piezo1, which allows for flux of calcium across membranes

[24,58,59]. The role of Piezo1 in the mechanobiology of epidermal keratinocytes is not yet fully

resolved, which important given the well-established role of calcium in keratinocyte differentiation.

Here, we employed intravital imaging of mouse skin to capture in real time the cellular events

induced by physical force applied directly to the live tissue. We discovered previously

unrecognized subcellular processes in basal layer epidermal keratinocytes as a direct result of acute

mechanical stress. Using single cell lineage tracing, we provide evidence that link these events to

changes in cell fate. Furthermore, our data support a role for Piezo 1 as a critical component of

this molecular mechanism that translates physical cues to cellular behaviors via calcium signaling.

Results

Mechanical stress induces intracellular changes in basal epidermal cells

To test how keratinocytes respond to physical stressors at the single cell lever we designed three

independent assays to exert acute mechanical force onto the mouse skin epidermis. These included

applying negative (suction) or positive (compression) pressure *in vivo*, as well as lateral tension

(stretching) ex vivo. These assays were designed to integrate with our two-photon intravital

imaging platform to directly visualize the morphological and structural changes that mechanical

stress induces to skin keratinocytes within their native environment (Fig. S1A). We combined

these with select mouse reporter lines to visualize different cellular structures, including the

membrane (*R26-mTmG*) and nucleus (*K14-H2BGFP)* (Fig. S1B). By performing live imaging,

during or immediately after the application of an acute mechanical force to the skin, we captured

a formation, and rapid growth, of large vesicular structures in the cytoplasm of keratinocytes (Fig.

1A-C). This phenomenon was most prevalent in the stem cell population that resides in the basal

layer of the epidermis.

Time-resolved live imaging using dual reporter mice to concurrently visualize the cell membrane

and nucleus of basal layer keratinocytes captured a dynamic process, which involved the inward

budding of the plasma membrane into vesicles and their gradual growth, eventually leading to the

deformation of the cell nucleus (Fig. 1B, C). Ultrastructural analysis confirmed the vesicular nature

of the stress-induced intracellular structures (thereafter referred to as "stress vesicles"), and

showed no obvious damages in the plasma membrane, suggesting that the cells which exhibit these

remain structurally intact (Fig. 1D).To test whether the formation of the stress vesicles is driven

by external hydrostatic pressure during the application of an acute mechanical force, we injected

the skin hypodermically with the water soluble and biologically inert fluorescent labeled dextran,

to trace the movement of extracellular fluid (Fig. 1E). By live imaging, we observed that labeled

dextran was concentrating in the newly formed stress vesicles confirming our hypothesis (Fig. 1E).

To further characterize the molecular composition of the stress vesicles, we performed histological

analysis using markers for components of the membrane, cytoskeleton, and cell adhesion

apparatuses (Fig. 2A-E). Our data show that stress vesicles are enclosed in a lipid bilayer that can

be labeled by wheat germ agglutinin (WGA; Fig. 2B). A high concentration of Myosin, Vinculin

and F-actin indicated the involvement of the cytoskeletal machinery in the induction or growth of

the stress vesicles (Fig. 2C-E). Cell membrane dynamics is associated with the organization of

contractile actin and myosin-rich stress fibers. To investigate whether actomyosin activity is

required for the formation stress vesicles, we performed the stress-inducing *in vivo* assays using

inhibitors against actin polymerization (Cytochalasin)[60], and non-muscle myosin (Blebbistatin)[61],

and documented their effect in basal keratinocytes by live imaging (Fig. 2F). Under these

conditions, Cytochalasin did not have a measurable effect on the formation of stress vesicles.

However, injection of Blebbistatin one hour before the application of acute mechanical force

reduced the number of stress vesicles by ~ 50% (Fig. 2G). Taken together, our data implicate the

Figure 1

Figure 1. Live imaging of epidermal cell behaviors in response to mechanical force
(A) Representative images of stress vesicles (yellow arrows) in adult mouse skin after application of negative pressure, captured by two-photon intravital imaging. Insets show magnified XY and XZ plane views of outlined yellow-dotted boxes. Scale bars, 10 μm. (B) Representative time frames of stress vesicle growth in mouse skin during application of positive pressure. Scale bars, 2 μm. Also see Movie S1, Movie S2. (C) 3-dimensional renderings of the same basal epidermal cells before and after mechanical force application. The cell nucleus is represented in green, plasma membrane in magenta, and stress vesicle in red. Scale bars, 2 μm. (D) High-resolution electron micrograph of mouse basal epidermal cells. A large vesicle can be seen in the cytoplasm of the stressed cell. A red dashed line demarcates the border between the epidermis and dermis. Scale bars, 1 μm. (E) Experimental strategy to label extracellular fluid before mechanical force application and a representative example of a stress vesicle filled with Dextran-Alexa488. Scale bars, 2 μm.

Figure 2

Figure 2. Cytoskeletal dynamics in the formation of stress vesicles
(A) H&E staining of normal and stressed skin samples. Scale bars, 10 µm. (B) Immunofluorescence staining of WGA (green), E-cadherin (red) in normal and stressed epidermis. Scale bars, 5 µm. (C), (D), and (E) Immunofluorescence staining of Myh9, vinculin, and F-actin. Scale bars, 5 µm. (F) Strategy to visualize the effect of pharmacologic inhibition of actomyosin contractility to stress vesicle formation. Representative images of the same epidermal cells before and after treatment. Yellow arrow indicates cells in the basal layer with stress vesicles. Scale bars, 2 µm. (G) Quantification of the relative ratio of stress vesicle formation in epidermal cells. n = 9 (PBS), n = 8 (Cytochalasin), n = 9 (Blebbistatin), p = 0.9116 (ns) and p < 0.0001 (****). (H) Graphical representation of actomyosin activity during stress vesicle formation.

contractile actomyosin cytoskeleton in modulating the formation and/or growth of stress vesicles

in epidermal stem cells (Fig. 2H).

Stress vesicles are associated with changes in stem cell fate

Epidermal homeostasis is maintained by balanced proliferation and differentiation of basal layer

keratinocytes. To investigate whether the appearance of stress vesicles in epidermal stem cells is

associated with cell fate decisions, we implemented a lineage tracing approach by longitudinal live

imaging. To selectively mark the stem cells in the interfollicular epidermis we used a photo-

activatable *in vivo* reporter (*K14H2BPAGFP)* that generates a strong nuclear signal upon

activation and which retains the long half-life of the Histone H2B moiety (Fig. 3A). First, we

labeled a small group of cells, located in the basal layer of the interfollicular epidermis. We then

applied a mechanical force to the skin and re-imaged the same group of cells immediately after as

well as 24 hours later (Fig. 3B). We reasoned that by quantifying changes in the number and

location of the labeled cells we could infer their tendency to proliferate (increase in cell number)

and/or terminally differentiate (delamination from the basal layer). In the treated skin we found

that the labeled group had increased in size, indicating higher proliferation compared to skin that

did not experience stress (Fig. 3C). Additionally, more cells appeared in the suprabasal layers of

the epidermis, 24 hours after treatment, suggesting an increase in delamination and cell

differentiation after mechanical stress (Fig. 3D).

Furthermore, in the time-resolved live imaging experiments, we observed that stress vesicles

disappeared three hours after the release of the mechanical force (Fig. S2A). To test whether

proliferation is indirectly triggered by cell differentiation under conditions of acute stress, we

isolated actively dividing cells (Ki67+) and analyzed the stage of their cell cycle by flow cytometry.

We noticed the cell cycle of proliferating cells was shifted from G1 to the G2/M phase after

treatment confirming an increased activation of the epidermal progenitors (Fig. S2A-G). Next, we

tested whether the changes we observed in the cellular turnover and the fates of epidermal stem

cells are due secondary effects of tissue damage and cell death induced by acute mechanical stress.

Our data show no obvious structural damage to the treated epidermis, including an intact

epithelium across the terminally differentiated suprabasal layers as well as an unremarkable skin

Figure 3

K14H2BPA-GFP

K14H2BPA-GFP; R26-mTom

Figure 3. Nuclear deformation links stress vesicles to cell differentiation
(A) Genetic alleles and strategy to lineage trace epidermal cells by in vivo photo-labeling. Prior to mechanical force application, equivalent groups of epidermal basal cells were scanned to activate the H2B-PAGFP reporter. The same areas were re-imaged to track changes in the population (B) Representative time frames of photo-labeling and tracking after mechanical force application. Yellow arrows show the direction of cell movement when cells differentiate from the basal layer to the suprabasal layer of the epidermis. Scale bars, 10 μm. (C and D) Quantification of the relative fraction of cell proliferation or differentiation of tracked epidermal cells 1 day after mechanical force applications. n = 248 cells (normal) and 250 cells (stressed) from 3 mice, $p < 0.0001$. (E) Representative immunofluorescence images of stress vesicle formation in K10+ and Ki67+ basal epidermal cells. Yellow arrow indicates cells in the basal layer with stress vesicles. A white dashed line demarcates the border between the epidermis and dermis. Scale bars, 5 μm. (F) Quantification of the ratio of stress vesicle formation in three different groups of basal epidermal cells after mechanical force application. n= 5 mice, $p = 0.0042$ (**), $p < 0.0001$(****), and $p = 0.003$ (***). (G) Representative time frames from live imaging lineage tracing, capturing different degrees of nuclear deformations and the subsequent fates of the epidermal cells that bear them. Labeled epidermal cells were categorized into three groups based on their cell fate: undifferentiated cells (remain in the basal layer), differentiated cells (delaminate and move into suprabasal layer), and proliferated cells (generate two daughter cells within the basal layer). Scale bars, 2 μm. (F) Quantification of nuclear deformation as a function of cell fate. n= 48 (undifferentiated) cells, 27 (differentiated) cells, and 21 (proliferated) cells from 3 mice, $p < 0.0001$, $p = 0.7760$, and $p = 0.9612$.

dermis (Fig. S3A-C). Moreover, we did not observe intracellular vesicle formation in hair follicle

epidermal cells or changes in the hair cycle after we applied a mechanical force to the skin (Fig.

S3D-E). We also did not observe changes in the skin immune environment after treatment (Fig.

S3F-G).

The live imaging data showed that basal epidermal cells do not behave in a uniform way, in

response to mechanical stress. This prompted us to investigate whether the formation of stress

vesicles is correlated with the differentiation status of epidermal stem cells. To test this, we

categorized basal epidermal cells into three discrete groups: 1) relatively quiescent epidermal stem

cells (Krt10-/Ki67- basal keratinocytes), 2) transit amplifying cells (Ki67+ basal keratinocytes),

and 3) committed differentiating cells (Krt10+ basal keratinocytes). Our analysis showed that

Krt10+ basal layer keratinocytes show a higher rate of stress vesicle formation, compared to the

other two groups (Fig. 3E, F). Taken together, these data link stress vesicles to the early

differentiation state of basal epidermal cells.

Stress-induced changes in nuclear architecture precede stem cell differentiation

Our data suggest that acute mechanical stress induces an immediate increase in the cellular

turnover of the epidermis, which is likely driven by the activity of the stem cells in the basal layer.

To test this hypothesis, we analyzed a possible link between the size of the stress vesicles - and

the degree of nuclear deformation induced by their growth - to a particular cell fate. For this, we

photo-labeled individual basal epidermal cells and traced their activity after applying mechanical

force to the skin (Fig. 3G). By analyzing the geometric characteristics of the nucleus, we found

that cells with a highly deformed nucleus exhibited a distinct tendency to differentiate and leave

the basal layer. In contrast, cells without dynamical intracellular changes, such as no stress vesicles

and intact nuclei, either divided or remained unchanged within the basal layer (Fig. 3H). Previous

studies have shown that cells in the basal layer of the epidermis experience major changes in cell

shape, cell adhesiveness and cytoskeletal architecture during the different phases of cell the cycle,

and that these cellular changes are also associated with the responses of cells to external

mechanical stimuli[62–65].

Interestingly, the pro-differentiation phenotype of epidermal stem cells as result of mechanical

stress, appears to be linked to the degree of the nuclear deformation rather that to changes in

nuclear volume (Fig. S4A-E). Previous studies demonstrated that the cell exhibits a certain level

of elasticity when mechanical force is applied[15,26,28,66–69]. The nuclear lamina is critical for the

structural stability of the nucleus and plays a key role in chromatin organization and genome

integrity. Lamin A/C is a key component of the nuclear lamina and evidence suggests that cells

with higher nuclear compression express low levels of Lamin A/C and vice versa [70–72]. After

applying mechanical stress, in epidermal cells with deformed nuclei, the shape of nuclear lamina

(Lamin A/C staining) matched that of the nuclear content (H2BGFP signal). However, we did not

observe a change in the overall levels of Lamin A/C in cells with stressed vesicles, compared to

control or to cells with intact nuclei (Fig. S4F). These data suggest that stress vesicles and their

impact to cell fate likely work independently to the stiffness of the nuclear envelope.

Epidermal cells sense stress through persistent intracellular Ca2+

Calcium is a key mediator of cellular mechanotransduction in various systems[35,38,39,44]. Moreover,

calcium is a major regulator of keratinocyte differentiation *in vivo* and in vitro[37,64,73]. Our

observation of stress vesicles in epidermal stem cells and their link to cell differentiation indicated

that calcium may be implicated in this pathway. To test this, we generated mice in which epidermal

cells express the calcium reporter GCaMP6s. Thus, we aimed to capture the calcium dynamics in

the skin, under physiological and stressed conditions, by live imaging (Fig. 4A). In the adult

epidermis, keratinocytes are organized in layers which reflects their state of differentiation. This

functional segregation of stem cells and their committed progeny is mirrored by a calcium gradient

from the basal to the terminally differentiated suprabasal layers (Fig. S5B)[74,75]. In untreated skin,

live imaging of the mouse ear skin captured complex patters of intracellular calcium fluxes,

exhibited specifically by basal layer keratinocytes, consistent with previous observations (Fig.

4B)[64].

Figure 4

Figure 4. Mechanical stress alters intracellular calcium dynamics

(A) Schematic of calcium imaging of individual epidermal cells while applying compression on live mouse skin. (B) Five representative time frames of in vivo calcium imaging of basal epidermal cells before (top panels) and after (bottom panels) mechanical stress. Each frame was pseudocolored and projected into the last frame (right). Note the initial intracellular calcium fluxes in four highlighted epidermal cells and the persistence of cytoplasmic calcium in the same cells after applying compression on the skin. Scale bars, 2 μm. Graphs show calcium signal intensity as a function of time for each highlighted cell. (C) Quantification of calcium signals at rest and under stress. Each line presents one cell, n=24 cells from 1 mouse, this experiment was repeated in 3 mice. (D) The cumulative sum of calcium signals at baseline and under stress. n = 24 cells, p < 0.0001. (E) Representative examples of calcium dynamics in the cells with or without stress vesicles Scale bars, 2 μm. (F) Quantification of the calcium intensity as a function of time for two representative cells. In this example only Cell 1 forms a stress vesicle

To detect how epidermal stem cells modulate intracellular calcium in response to an acute

mechanical stimulus, we applied a compressive force to the mouse ear skin and directly visualized

the calcium dynamics in the affected epidermal cells. Live imaging data revealed a tissue-wide

response, with persistent high calcium signal in the cytoplasm of basal layer keratinocytes, shortly

after the application of a mechanical force (Fig. 4B-D). Applying the same level of compression

for a longer time, lead to a gradual decrease in intracellular calcium, indicating a level of cellular

adaptation to the new mechanical environment. Importantly, we observed that stress vesicles

preferentially formed in cells that exhibited persistent high cytoplasmic calcium as opposed to

those in which cytoplasmic calcium fluxed (Fig. 4 E, F). Taken together, the data provide a link

between acute mechanical stress, intracellular calcium and stress vesicles in epidermal stem cells.

Piezo1 deletion increases stress vesicle formation

Piezo1 is a mechanosensitive ion channel which enables cells in various tissues to perceive and

respond to mechanical stimuli[54]. Even though Piezo1 is a general cation channel, it influences cell

activity primary by controlling calcium flows across membranes. Based on our data, we

hypothesized that Piezo1 may be involved in the same pathway that modulates stress vesicles and

their effect on epidermal stem cell fate. To test this *in vivo* we conditionally ablated Piezo1 gene

expression in adult mice using a strong basal driver (p63CreER; Fig. 5A). Piezo1 is expressed in

basal layer keratinocytes and is effectively eliminated in the Piezo1 conditional knockout (Piezo1

cKO; Fig. S5B). Under physiological conditions, there were no apparent differences in skin

morphology between Piezo1 cKO mice compared with wildtype mice. We then investigated

whether epidermal cells with Piezo1 gene deletion differ in their response to mechanical stress.

Compared to wildtype mice, cells in Piezo1 cKO exhibited a marked increase in the number of

stress vesicles under similar conditions of mechanical stress, suggesting that Piezo1 is involved in

this process (Fig. 5B, C).

An acute mechanical force exerted on the tissue is predicted to propagate across the cellular

membranes on which Piezo1 is localized, leading to channel activation and flow of calcium into

the cytoplasm from extracellular and/or intracellular stores. To investigate this in the skin

epidermis, we bred mice that allowed us to image calcium dynamics in the presence or absence of

Piezo1. Under baseline conditions, we observed no marked differences in the calcium fluxes that

we previously documented in the basal layer of the epidermis (Fig. S5C, D). Furthermore, both

control and Piezo1 basal keratinocytes exhibited an increase in intracellular calcium when an acute

mechanical force was applied to the skin (Fig. 5D). However, the kinetics of the increase in

intracellular calcium under the same mechanical stress were markedly different between the two

groups. Specifically, while in wild type keratinocytes the intracellular calcium increased gradually,

in Piezo1 cKO cells the change was abrupt (Fig. 5E). Interestingly, Piezo1 cKO cells also did not

recover in the same manner as wild type cells and experienced persistent high intracellular calcium,

long after the removal of the stress stimulus (Fig. 4E). These results demonstrate that basal

epidermal cells respond to mechanical force by increasing intracellular calcium and that the

kinetics of calcium influx partially dependent on Piezo1activity.

To directly test whether Piezo1 channel activation could prevent intracellular vesicle formation,

we intradermally injected the Piezo1-selective activator Yoda1 before application of a mechanical

force to the mouse skin. As predicted, Yoda1 induced a robust increase in calcium influx (Fig.

S5E). More importantly, Yoda1 treatment suppressed the formation of stress vesicles in live skin

under conditions of mechanical stress (Fig. S5F, G). Conversely, we found that treatment with a

calcium channel inhibitor (GsMTx4) did not prevent stress vesicles from forming (Fig. S5F, G),

which further confirmed that Piezo1 is a key mediator of stress vesicles.

To further dissect the molecular pathways involved in epidermal stem cell stress response, we

performed RNA sequencing analysis on basal layer keratinocytes isolated from the interfollicular

epidermis of wildtype and Piezo1 cKO mice. Gene expression analysis highlighted genes involved

in barrier function and cell adhesion, which where downregulated in the Piezo1 cKO (Fig. S7A,

B). Interestingly, we found that expression of genes implicated in immune activation were

upregulated in Piezo1 cKO keratinocytes compared to control (Fig. S7B). The gene signature for

development pathways primarily included TGF-beta[76], PKC[77,78], and calcium related genes: all

signaling pathways believed to be involved in mechanotransduction and cell fate determination.

To test whether Piezo1 deletion also affects epidermal integrity and barrier function, we applied a

persistent mechanical force to the live mouse skin. After 10 min of applied negative pressure,

Figure 5

Figure 5. Piezo1 ablation exaggerates stress vesicle formation
(A) Schematic of experimental design to conditionally ablate expression of Piezo1 in basal epidermal cells and observe the effect on stress vesicle formation. (B) Representative images of basal epidermal cells, from control and Piezo1 conditional knockout (cKO) mouse back skin, visualized before and right after mechanical force application. Scale bars, 10 µm. (C) Quantification of the fraction of basal epidermal cells with stress vesicles. n= 5 pairs of control and Piezo1 cKO mice, p < 0.0001. (D) Representative images of calcium signals at the plane of basal layer of the skin, from control and Piezo1 cKO mice, taken immediately after mechanical force application. Scale bars, 20 µm. (E) Quantification of calcium dynamics in 3 representative basal epidermal cells from Control and Piezo1 cKO mice under stressed conditions.

Piezo1 cKO skin exhibited extensive blistering compared to control mouse back skin (Fig. S5B,

C). Taken together these data indicate that that the mechanosensitive ion channel Piezo1 plays a

protective role in maintaining skin integrity and preventing tissue rupture from acute mechanical

stress.

Stress vesicles are a conserved phenomenon in mammalian skin

Despite the molecular and functional similarities, the structural organization and mechanical

properties of mouse skin are markedly different than human. We wondered whether the

phenomena we observed in the mouse skin are also relevant to human skin physiology and if

human keratinocytes exhibit stress vesicles in response to mechanical stress. Conventional cell

culture assays cannot sufficiently recapitulate the biomechanical environment of the intact skin.

To address this challenge, we devised a strategy that would allow us to replicate the mechanical

stress conditions in a human xenograft model and use live imaging to directly visualize the

responses of human keratinocytes after application of an acute mechanical force (Fig. 6A). We

incorporated fluorescent reporter genes in the human primary keratinocytes that we used to

establish the organotypic culture, in order to illuminate the nucleus, cell cortex and cell membrane.

After the engineered human skin was fully stratified, we grafted it in mice and tested for long-term

stable integration and viability (Fig. 6B). Live imaging of the human skin xenograft validated the

presence of labeled keratinocytes in the tissue, capturing the columns of differentiating cells (Fig.

6D). Histological analysis showed that the engineered xenograft displayed the distinct morphology

and cellular organization of human skin, including the presence of ridge-like structures and the

vastly higher number of differentiated layers compared to the surrounding native mouse skin (Fig.

6D). We then applied the same mechanical stressors to the human skin xenograft and imaged their

effect to keratinocytes by live imaging. Stress vesicles with similar appearance and abundance

formed consistently in the human skin xenograft confirming that this is a conserved phenomenon.

Taken together our data illuminate a previously unrecognized mechanism that mammalian skin

has developed to respond and adapt to acute mechanical stress (Fig. 6E).

Figure 6

Figure 6. Formation of stress vesicles in a human skin xenograft
(A) Schematic of the experimental design to generate a human skin xenograft for intravital imaging. (B) Representative examples of a stable human skin xenograft in the mouse. Magnified views show the mounting (top) and the wide field epifluorescence image of the xenograft, acquired by live imaging. (C) Representative low and high magnification serial optical sections and corresponding orthogonal views of the human skin xenograft, by two-photon microscopy. Note the ridge-like structures in the epidermal-dermal junction (Second Harmonic Generation in red), the epithelial clonal columns (K14-GFP) and the distinct multilayered organization recapitulating the human skin epidermis. (D) H&E stained histological section of the entire length of the human skin xenograft. (E-F) Representative examples of stress vesicles forming in basal epidermal cells of the human skin xenograft. Note the deformation of the nucleus that recapitulates what we observed in the mouse skin.

Discussion

Tasked with maintaining a barrier that shields the body from the outside environment, the skin is

an organ uniquely exposed to physical stressors. To maintain structural integrity and deal with

cellular attrition under these harsh conditions, the skin epidermis displays a constant cellular

turnover that is driven by the activity of stem cells in the basal layer of the stratified tissue. While

the skin can appear phenotypically normal after experiencing an acute mechanical force, the

underlying cellular mechanisms that enable this robustness are not fully understood. Leveraging

intravital imaging to directly observe the responses of keratinocytes within their native tissue

environment at the single cell level, we captured a conserved sequence of intracellular events that

culminated in the formation of stress vesicles, in response to mechanical stress. We provide

evidence that link stress vesicles with intracellular calcium dynamics and the mechanosensitive

channel Piezo1. Moreover, we demonstrate that stress vesicles induce profound changes inside the

cell, leading to the deformation of the nucleus. More importantly, we show that the degree of

nuclear deformation can predict whether a cell will commit to terminal differentiation, directly

implicating this phenomenon to the regulation of cellular fate in the adult epidermis. The

physiological significance of these processes is not immediately apparent; however, the data may

point to several plausible hypotheses.

The adult skin is made up of three main layers: the epidermis, dermis, and the subcutaneous layer.

It is also perfused with extracellular fluid that acts as a bridge between cells[79,80]. Under normal

conditions the hydrostatic pressure of extracellular fluid is equilibrated across the tissue. An acute

mechanical force applied to the skin can disrupt this balance leading to rapid local increases in

hydrostatic pressure. These can lead to the displacement or shearing of cell adhesion molecules

allowing high pressure fluid to enter the space between cells pushing the plasma membranes

inward. There is precedence of phenomena described in vitro, as well as during development *in*

vivo, that pose striking similarities to our observed stress vesicles, which are induced by fluid

pressure and disruption of cell adhesion[81–85]. Our data showing that stress vesicles extracellular

fluid support this hypothesis. While localized increases of hydrostatic pressure due to mechanical

stress are likely triggering the invagination of the plasma membrane, our results suggest that

keratinocytes may have developed mechanisms to control this process by engaging their
cytoskeletal machinery, in order to minimize damage to the cell.

Epithelial cells have developed ways to translate mechanical cues into biochemical signals. Key
components of the mechanotransduction machinery are adhesion complexes embedded into the
plasma membrane that mediate the transmission of physical forces from the external environment
to the intracellular space and the nucleus to regulate gene expression[86–89]. Mechanosensitive ion
channel, such as TRP (Transient Receptor Potential) and Piezo are additional potent transducers
of physical information to the cell. Their activation in response to tensile forces applied to the cell
membrane allows calcium to pass across a gradient into the cytoplasm activating signaling
cascades that influence cell adhesion and keratinocyte differentiation[90,91]. Piezo1, a family member
of the mechanosensitive cation channels, which is also expressed in epidermal keratinocytes, has
previously been implicated in mediating keratinocyte mechanical sensitivity and migration during
wound healing[92,93]. Interestingly, Piezo1 activity appears to influence several intracellular
processes through modulation of cytoskeletal dynamics and cell adhesion[94] . Our results show that
in the epidermis conditional Piezo1 deletion deregulates intracellular calcium flux, alters the
expression of genes involved in cell adhesion and membrane dynamics and amplifies the
appearance of stress vesicles under acute mechanical stimulation. In this context, Piezo1 may act
as a sentinel mechanism priming the cytoskeletal and adhesion apparatuses of keratinocytes to
adapt in the mechanical environment of the tissue. It would be interesting to speculate an
involvement of such mechanism on the regional differences observed in skin that is exposed to
various degrees of mechanical stress.

The endpoint of the mechanotransduction processes is the regulation of gene expression that
ultimately controls the activity and fate of cells. Several pathways have been proposed to convey
physical cues to the genome to affect the function of key genes. Emerging evidence support an
intriguing concept that puts the nucleus at the center of the mechanosensory apparatus. Based on
this, mechanical forces that are exerted on nucleus are translated into different modes of chromatin
organization that affect transcriptional activity and cell fate[95]. Nuclear mechanotransduction can
be modulated through the cytoskeleton, the nuclear lamina, and nuclear actin dynamics[26,96]. Here
we show that application of an acute mechanical force to the skin induces the formation of stress

vesicles in epidermal stem cells, leading to the deformation of their nucleus. A correlation between

the degree of nuclear deformity to the subsequent differentiation fate of the cell suggest that stress

vesicles may represent and additional mode of nuclear mechanotransduction.

During the cell cycle, epidermal keratinocytes experience profound changes in cytoskeletal

architecture and cell adhesiveness. These structural changes may alter the way cells respond to

acute changes in their mechanical environment. Our single cell lineage tracing data reveals that

cells react to mechanical stress in diverse patterns responses depending on their differentiation

status. Epidermal stem cells normally express Keratins 5/14[97–99] . However, one of the earliest

indications of their commitment to terminal differentiation is a switch to expression of Keratin

1/10. The keratin intermediate filament network plays key roles in organizing the architecture of

plasma membrane and nucleus[97,100–103]. Moreover, intermediate filaments have recently been

proposed to regulate cell fate decision acting as mediators of cellular differentiation[104]. Our data

link the transition to Keratin 10 expression with the propensity of the cell to form stress vesicles

under stress conditions. It is plausible that a Keratin 1/10 intermediate filament network makes a

basal cell stiffer and thus more susceptible to mechanical stress. Future work may illuminate the

role of intermediate filaments as key mechanotranducers and effectors of cellular fate.

Altogether, our work demonstrates that in the skin epidermis mechanical stress induces a cascade

of intracellular structural changes that begin with the inward budding and growth of stress vesicles

and culminate with the deformation of the nucleus and the initiation of the terminal differentiation

program. Piezo1 plays a key role in this process, linking intracellular calcium dynamics to cell

adhesion and the formation of stress vesicles. Our findings suggest that these conserved

phenomena may be part of a robustness mechanisms to protect the tissue from structural damage

and to maintain homeostasis under conditions of mechanical stress.

Acknowledgments

We thank George Cotsarelis, John Stanley and John Seykora for their invaluable advice that guided

this study. We also thank Sara Wickström and Jean-Leon Maitre for insightful discussions. We are

grateful to the University of Pennsylvania Skin Biology and Disease Research-based Center

(SBDRC) for analysis of tissue sections and support with the establishment of human-engineered

skin xenograft. We also acknowledge the support of the Institute for Regenerative Medicine and

the entire stem cell community at Penn. S.H. was supported by an IRM postdoctoral fellowship.

G.R. was supported by training grant T32GM007229 from NIH/NIGMS. P.K. was supported by

the American Association for Cancer Research-John and Elizabeth Leonard Family Foundation

Basic Cancer Research Fellowship. P.R. was supported by grants from NIH/NEI (R01EY030599)

and from the American Cancer Society (RSG1803101DCC).

Author contributions: S.H. and P.R. conceptualized the study, designed the experiments and

wrote the manuscript. S.H., P.K., G.R. and P.R. performed the experiments. J.Z. and B.C. assisted

with the RNAseq and performed the bioinformatic analysis. S.P. and T.D. performed histological

analysis. P.K., M.D., and T.D. assisted with the establishment of human-engineered skin xenograft.

S.P. performed histological analysis. All authors discussed results and participated in the

manuscript preparation and editing. P.R. supervised the study.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Data and materials availability: Data sets and reagents presented in this study are available from

the corresponding author upon request.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Live imaging of epidermal cell behaviors in response to mechanical force

(A) Representative images of stress vesicles (yellow arrows) in adult mouse skin after application

of negative pressure, captured by two-photon intravital imaging. Insets show magnified XY and

XZ plane views of outlined yellow-dotted boxes. Scale bars, 10 µm. (B) Representative time

frames of stress vesicle growth in mouse skin during application of positive pressure. Scale bars,

2 µm. Also see Movie S1, Movie S2. (C) 3-dimensional renderings of the same basal epidermal

cells before and after mechanical force application. The cell nucleus is represented in green, plasma

membrane in magenta, and stress vesicle in red. Scale bars, 2 µm. (D) High-resolution electron

micrograph of mouse basal epidermal cells. A large vesicle can be seen in the cytoplasm of the

stressed cell. A red dashed line demarcates the border between the epidermis and dermis. Scale

bars, 1 µm. (E) Experimental strategy to label extracellular fluid before mechanical force

application and a representative example of a stress vesicle filled with Dextran-Alexa488. Scale

bars, 2 µm.

Figure 2. Cytoskeletal dynamics in the formation of stress vesicles

(A) H&E staining of normal and stressed skin samples. Scale bars, 10 µm. (B)

Immunofluorescence staining of WGA (green), E-cadherin (red) in normal and stressed epidermis.

Scale bars, 5 µm. (C), (D), and (E) Immunofluorescence staining of Myh9, vinculin, and F-actin.

Scale bars, 5 µm. (F) Strategy to visualize the effect of pharmacologic inhibition of actomyosin

contractility to stress vesicle formation. Representative images of the same epidermal cells before

and after treatment. Yellow arrow indicates cells in the basal layer with stress vesicles. Scale bars,

2 µm. (G) Quantification of the relative ratio of stress vesicle formation in epidermal cells. n = 9

(PBS), n = 8 (Cytochalasin), n = 9 (Blebbistatin), p = 0.9116 (ns) and p < 0.0001 (****). (H)

Graphical representation of actomyosin activity during stress vesicle formation.

Figure 3. Nuclear deformation links stress vesicles to cell differentiation

(A) Genetic alleles and strategy to lineage trace epidermal cells by *in vivo* photo-labeling. Prior to

mechanical force application, equivalent groups of epidermal basal cells were scanned to activate

the H2B-PAGFP reporter. The same areas were re-imaged to track changes in the population (B)

Representative time frames of photo-labeling and tracking after mechanical force application.

Yellow arrows show the direction of cell movement when cells differentiate from the basal layer

to the suprabasal layer of the epidermis. Scale bars, 10 µm. (C and D) Quantification of the relative

fraction of cell proliferation or differentiation of tracked epidermal cells 1 day after mechanical

force applications. n = 248 cells (normal) and 250 cells (stressed) from 3 mice, p < 0.0001. (E)

Representative immunofluorescence images of stress vesicle formation in K10+ and Ki67+ basal

epidermal cells. Yellow arrow indicates cells in the basal layer with stress vesicles. A white dashed

line demarcates the border between the epidermis and dermis. Scale bars, 5 µm. (F) Quantification

of the ratio of stress vesicle formation in three different groups of basal epidermal cells after

mechanical force application. n= 5 mice, p = 0.0042 (**), p < 0.0001(****), and p = 0.003 (***).

(G) Representative time frames from live imaging lineage tracing, capturing different degrees of

nuclear deformations and the subsequent fates of the epidermal cells that bear them. Labeled

epidermal cells were categorized into three groups based on their cell fate: undifferentiated cells

(remain in the basal layer), differentiated cells (delaminate and move into suprabasal layer), and

proliferated cells (generate two daughter cells within the basal layer). Scale bars, 2 µm. (F)

Quantification of nuclear deformation as a function of cell fate. n= 48 (undifferentiated) cells, 27

(differentiated) cells, and 21 (proliferated) cells from 3 mice, p < 0.0001, p = 0.7760, and p =

0.9612.

Figure 4. Mechanical stress alters intracellular calcium dynamics

(A) Schematic of calcium imaging of individual epidermal cells while applying compression on

the live mouse skin. (B) Five representative time frames of *in vivo* calcium imaging of basal

epidermal cells before (top panels) and after (bottom panels) mechanical stress. Each frame was

pseudocolored and projected into the last frame (right). Note the initial intracellular calcium fluxes

in four highlighted epidermal cells and the persistence of cytoplasmic calcium in the same cells

after applying compression on the skin. Scale bars, 2 µm. Graphs show calcium signal intensity as

a function of time for each highlighted cell. (C) Quantification of calcium signals at rest and under

stress. Each line presents one cell, n=24 cells from 1 mouse, this experiment was repeated in 3

mice. (D) The cumulative sum of calcium signals at baseline and under stress. n = 24 cells, p <

0.0001. (E) Representative examples of calcium dynamics in the cells with or without stress

vesicles Scale bars, 2 µm. (F) Quantification of the calcium intensity as a function of time for two

representative cells. In this example only Cell 1 forms a stress vesicle

Figure 5. Piezo1 ablation exaggerates stress vesicle formation

(A) Schematic of experimental design to conditionally ablate expression of Piezo1 in basal

epidermal cells and observe the effect on stress vesicle formation. (B) Representative images of

basal epidermal cells, from control and Piezo1 conditional knockout (cKO) mouse back skin,

visualized before and right after mechanical force application. Scale bars, 10 µm. (C)

Quantification of the fraction of basal epidermal cells with stress vesicles. n= 5 pairs of control

and Piezo1 cKO mice, $p < 0.0001$. (D) Representative images of calcium signals at the plane of

basal layer of the skin, from control and Piezo1 cKO mice, taken immediately after mechanical

force application. Scale bars, 20 µm. (E) Quantification of calcium dynamics in 3 representative

basal epidermal cells from Control and Piezo1 cKO mice under stressed conditions.

Figure 6. Formation of stress vesicles in a human skin xenograft

(A) Schematic of the experimental design to generate a human skin xenograft for intravital imaging.

(B) Representative examples of a stable human skin xenograft in the mouse. Magnified views

show the mounting (top) and the wide field epifluorescence image of the xenograft, acquired by

live imaging. (C) Representative low and high magnification serial optical sections and

corresponding orthogonal views of the human skin xenograft, by two-photon microscopy. Note

the ridge-like structures in the epidermal-dermal junction (Second Harmonic Generation in red),

the epithelial clonal columns (K14-GFP) and the distinct multilayered organization recapitulating

the human skin epidermis. (D) H&E stained histological section of the entire length of the human

skin xenograft. (E-F) Representative examples of stress vesicles forming in basal epidermal cells

of the human skin xenograft. Note the deformation of the nucleus that recapitulates what we

observed in the mouse skin.

Supplementary Figure 1.

Schematic representations of the *in vivo* and ex vivo assays employed in this study to analyze the

effect of acute mechanical forces applied on the mouse skin, by intravital imaging.

Supplementary Figure 2.

(A) Representative time frames of basal epidermal cells, visualizing the stress vesicle resorption

after force removal. Yellow arrows indicate the location of stress vescicles. Scale bars, 5 µm. (B)

Schematic of the experimental design to isolate epidermal cells from mouse skin before and after

mechanical stress. (C) Representative Flow cytometry data showing the percentage of Ki67+ cell

in the interfollicular epidermis (Itga6+ and Sca1+) that were collected from normal skin, stressed

skin, and the recovered skin (3 hours after treatment). (D) Quantification of Ki67 rates in distinct

samples. n= 6 mice for each group, p=0.0.0739 (ns) and 0.3447 (ns). (E) Representative data of

cell phase shifts in Ki67+ cells, showing G1/S to G2/M transition. (F) Quantification of the rates

of Ki67 cells that still stayed in G1 phase. n= 6 mice for each group, p=0.0048 (**) and 0.0109

(*). (G) Quantification of the rates of Ki67 cells that stayed in G2/M phase. n= 6 mice for each

group, p=0.0.0172 (*) and 0.0002 (***).

Supplementary Figure 3.

(A) H&E staining of full thickness back skin samples that were collected from control and stressed

mice. Scale bars, 500 µm. (B) H&E staining of normal and stressed skin samples with magnified

hair follicle area. Scale bars, 50 µm. (C) Representative live images at the plane of the cornified

layer of the epidermis captured at the indicated time points. Scale bars, 10 µm. (D) Representative

images of TUNEL staining. A white dashed line demarcates the border between the epidermis and

dermis. Scale bars, 20 µm. (E) Quantification of the rates of apoptotic cells in samples collected

at the indicated time points. n = 15 areas from 3 mice, 15 areas from 3 mice, 16 areas from 3 mice,

and 16 areas from 3 mice, p= 0.7290 (ns), 0.0725 (ns) and $p < 0.0001$ (****). (F)

Immunofluorescence staining of T-cells (CD3) in normal, stressed (3 min treatment) and recovered

epidermis (1 day after treatment), and highly stressed epidermis (10 min treatment). Scale bars, 20

µm. (G) Quantification of CD3+ immune cells in distinct samples that were collected at the

indicated time points. n = 13 areas from 3 mice, 18 areas from 3 mice, 11 areas from 3 mice, and

11 areas from 3 mice, p= 0.7759 (ns), 0.1841(ns), and 0.1956 (ns).

Supplementary Figure 4.

(A) High-magnification 3-dimensional surface rendering demonstrating the nuclear deformation

under stressed condition. Scale bars, 2 µm. (B) Quantification of nuclear volume before and after

mechanical force application. n = 10, 16, and 14 cells from 3 mice, p = 0.7025 (ns), 0.3713 (ns),

and 0.0023 (**). (C) Quantification of cell volume. n = 28 cells from 3 mice, p = 0.0072 (**). (D)

and (E) Quantification of nuclear volume in differentiated (left graph) or proliferated (right graph)

cells compared to undifferentiated. n= 18 undifferentiated cells, 15 differentiated cells, and 12

proliferated cells from 3 mice, p = 0.9058 (ns) and 0.7597 (ns). (F) Co-localization by

immunofluorescence of Lamin A/C (green) and Keratin 14 (red) in normal and stressed epidermis.

Scale bars, 5 µm.

Supplementary Figure 5.

(A) Representative planes from serial optical sectioning of the live mouse epidermis showing the

calcium gradient from the basal to suprabasal layers. (B) Representative RNA-scope staining

indicating the efficiency of Piezo1 gene deletion epidermal cells. Scale bars, 10 µm. (C) Maximum

intensity projection of calcium signals from timelapse imaging of control and Piezo1 cKO mouse

skin under normal conditions. Individual frames were pseudocolored and projected into a single

image to illustrate areas of persistent calcium signal (white). Scale bars, 50 µm. (D) Quantification

of calcium signals from (C). (E) Representative images of *in vivo* imaging of calcium flux after

Yoda1 (Piezo1 activator) treatment. (F) Representative images of stress vesicle formation after

treatment with PBS Yoda1 or GsMTx4 (Piezo1 inhibitor). Scale bars, 20 µm. (G) Quantification

of the number of cells with vesicle formation after the treatment of PBS, Yoda1, and GsMTx4. n=

5 mice for each group, p = 0.004 (***) and 0.9940 (ns).

Supplementary Figure 6.

(A) Volcano plot of RNA sequencing data, comparing the transcripts in the basal epidermal cells

that are collected from control and Piezo1 cKO. Red dots represent upregulated genes, and blue

dots represent downregulated genes; adjusted p < 0.05. (B) Gene Ontology analysis of genes

upregulated genes and downregulated genes from the RNA-seq analysis.

Supplementary Figure 7.

(A) Examples of mouse back skin imaged by brightfield (top panel) and two-photon (lower panel)

microscopy after 10 min of applied negative pressure. Scale bars, 100 µm. (B) Quantification of

the number of blisters on control and Piezo1 cKO back skin after after 10 min of applied negative

pressure. n= 7 pairs of mice, p < 0.0001.

Methods

Mice

All procedures involving animal subjects were performed with the approval of the Institutional

Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of the University of Pennsylvania. *K14CreER, R26^{loxp-}*

$^{tdTom-stop-loxp-EGFP}$ (*R26-mTmG* in text), *R26-CAG-GCaMP6s*, *Piezo1$^{fl/fl}$* mice were obtained from

The Jackson Laboratory. *p63^{CreER}* mice were created by J. Xu (Baylor College of Medicine. *K14-*

H2B-PAGFP mice were generated by the center for Animal Transgenesis and Germ Cell Research,

at the School of Veterinary Medicine of the University of Pennsylvania. All mice that were used

in this study were bred for multiple generations into a Crl:CD1(ICR) mixed background. For

lineage tracing experiments and Piezo1 gene deletion experiments, Cre activation was induced

with intraperitoneal injections of Tamoxifen in corn oil (0.1-2 mg per 20 g body weight). Mice

were induced between 6-8 weeks old and subsequent experiments were conducted at the indicated

times after induction. Experiments included equal representation of males and females. There was

no apparent difference in phenotype between genders. Mice were housed in a temperature and

light-controlled environment and received food and water ad libitum.

Mechanical force assays

Mice were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of Ketamine / Xylazine cocktail I PBS

(0.1 mL / 20 g body weight: 87.5 mg /kg Ketamine, 12.5 mg / kg Xylazine). Mouse skin was

shaved and treated with depilatory cream (Nair) prior to mechanical force application. Negative

pressure: A modified cutaneous suction system with a glass vacuum chamber (10 mm in diameter)

was applied to generate a negative pressure on the back skin of anesthetized mice. Briefly, a

vacuum of 80 Kpa was used to generate negative pressure to the mouse back skin. For monitoring

the formation of stress vesicles negative pressure was applied to mouse back skin for 3 minutes

before imaging. For mouse skin fragility study, the skin was carefully monitored for blistering

formation during a 10 minute application of suction force. The number of blisters was counted

right after treatment. Positive pressure (Compression): The ear and back skin on each mouse was

compressed by using a modified pinching method, in which a metal arm connected a cover slip

compressed the mounted skin. Using this approach to generate compression on mouse skin, we

can visualize the responses of epidermal cells to mechanical forces by live imaging in real time.

Mechanical stretching (lateral tension): Full thickness skin (2 cm in width and 3.5 cm in length)

was collected from adult mouse back and put into PBS. Each skin sample was subsequently

mounted onto a custom-designed tensile stretching device with a 37ºC heating element that was

placed onto an imaging stage. The explant was then stretched to its original dimensions before

applying tensile stress. During linear stretching the explant was continually moisturized with PBS

that was preheated to 37 C°. Individual skin samples were stretched to three different strain rates

(low, medium, high) from 10% to 30% strain and that are quantified by the elongated length of the

skin tissue after stretching.

***In vivo* imaging**

Imaging preparation and procedures were performed with the protocol that previously described[105].

Mice were anaesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of ketamine/xylazine cocktail in PBS (0.1 mL

/ 20 g body weight; 87.5 mg / kg Ketamine, 12.5 mg / kg Xylazine). A surgical plane of anesthesia

was verified by absence of pedal reflex responses following physical stimulation and was

maintained during the imaging period with 1% vaporized isoflurane in oxygen and air delivered

through a nose cone. The skin was mounted on a custom-made stage with a glass coverslip placed

directly against it. To maintain body temperature, mice were placed on a heating pad throughout

the experiment. Image acquisition was performed with an upright Olympus FV1200MPE

microscope, equipped with a Chameleon Vision II Ti: Sapphire laser. The laser beam was focused

through 10X, 20X or 25X objective lenses (Olympus UPLSAPO10X2, N.A. 0.40; UPLSAPO20X,

N.A. 0.75; XLPLN25XWMP2, N.A. 1.05). Emitted fluorescence was collected by two multi-alkali

and two gallium arsenide phosphide (GaAsP) non-descanned detectors (NDD). The following

wavelengths were collected by each detector: NDD1 419-458 nm; NDD1 458–495 nm; GaAsP-

NDD1 495–540 nm; GaAsP -NDD2 575–630 nm. GFP and Tomato reporters were excited at 930

nm and their signal was collected by GaAsP-NDD1 and GaAsPNDD2, respectively. Second

harmonic generation signal was generated using 930nm excitation wavelength and detected in

NDD2. Serial optical sections were acquired in 2.5-3 mm steps, starting from the surface of the

epidermis and capturing the entire thickness of the epidermis and a partial section of the dermis

(epidermis 30 mm, dermis 60-80 mm). Multi-day tracing experiments were performed by re-

imaging the same field of view at the indicated times after the initial acquisition, with vasculature

and micro-tattoos used as landmarks to identify the imaging region at low magnification and

clusters of hair follicles used as landmarks higher magnification. For all time-lapse videos, the live

mouse remained anesthetized for the length of the experiment and serial optical sections were

capture at intervals of 10 seconds. After each imaging session, the mice were monitored and

allowed to recover in a warm chamber before being returned to the housing facility.

***In vivo* photo-labeling**

The procedures were performed with the protocol that previously described[106]. The pre-activated

form of the H2B-PAGFP fluorescent proteins was visualized by exciting with 850 nm wavelength

and emission signal was collected in GaAsP-NDD1. Excitation with 930 nm verified that no signal

is emitted by the reporters before activation. Photolabeling was achieved by scanning a defined

region-of-interest (ROI) at the plane of the basal layer of the epidermis, with the laser tuned to

750nm wavelength, for 5-10 s, using 5%−10% laser power. Immediately after photo-activation, a

series of optical sections, with a range that includes the entire thickness of the skin, were acquired

using the same acquisition settings as for GFP. Visualizing the signal of the activated form of

PAGFP only within the ROI confirmed the successful photo-labeling of basal layer keratinocytes.

Following the initial image acquisition immediately after photo-labelling, the same area of skin

was re-imaged at the indicated times to evaluate the responses of the individual labeled basal

keratinocytes or groups of labeled basal keratinocytes to mechanical forces compared to control

cells or control groups.

Hypodermal drug injection

To label extracellular fluid, 10 kDa fluorescent dextran (Alexa Fluor 488 dextran Invitrogen

D22910) was injected subcutaneous into back skin prior to mechanical forces application. Briefly,

single anaesthetized mouse was placed on the heat pad, fluorescent dye at a concentration of

20mg/ml and 10 µl volume in total was injected into skin dermal area. Mechanical force was

applied right after fluorescent dye injection. Images were taken in green channel immediately after

mechanical force application. For the inhibitor experiments, mice were locally injected with

Cytochalasin D (Abcam, ab143484, 50 µM), blebbistatin (Sigma, B0560, 2 mg/kg per mouse) 1

hour before mechanical force application, PBS was used as a vehicle control. For the activation of

calcium influx experiment, 5 µM Yoda1 was injected locally in back skin prior to mechanical force

application, PBS diluted DMSO was used as a vehicle control. For the inhibitor of calcium

dynamic experiments, GsMTx4 (R&D, 4912, 1 µM) was locally injected in the area prior to

mechanical force application, and PBS was used as a vehicle control.

Immunostaining, TUNEL assays, and RNAscope

For section staining, dorsal skins were dissected, laid flat and directly fixed with 4%

paraformaldehyde in PBS for overnight at 4 C°. Fixed tissues were paraffin embedded and

sectioned. Deparaffinized slides were incubated in a 1:50 dilution of Antigen Unmasking Solution

(Vector Laboratories) and heated in a boiling bath for 10 min. Sections were permeabilized with

0.2% Tween 20 in PBS and incubated in blocking solution (10% normal goat or horse serum in

0.5% Tween 20 in PBS for 1 hours. Samples were incubated with primary antibody diluted in

staining solution (2% normal goat or horse serum 0.2% Tween 20 in PBS) overnight at 4 C°. After

2 hours of washing in 0.2% Tween 20 in PBS, tissue sections were incubated with secondary

antibody and DAPI diluted in staining solution for 1 hour at room temperature, followed by

washing three times with 0.2% Tween 20 in PBS for 5 min each. Terminal deoxynucleotidyl

transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) assay was performed using the In Situ Cell Death

Detection Kit (Roche) according to manufacturer's instructions. In brief, following

deparaffinization and slide rehydration, slides were treated with a 20 mg/ml Proteinase K (Denville

Scientific) solution in PBS for 15 min at room temperature, washed in 0.2% Tween 20 PBS and

incubated in TUNEL reaction mixture for 1 hour at 37 C°, then washed prior to mounting. As a

positive control for the reaction, we included a slide treated with DNase I for 15 min at room

temperature prior to TUNEL reaction mixture incubation. For Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)

staining, sections were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, washed in PBS and stained in

hematoxylin for 8 min and eosin for 1 min. The following primary antibodies were used: Anti-

Ki67 (1:100, 14-5698, eBioscience), anti-Keratin 14 (1:1000, 905301, BioLegend), anti-Keratin

10 (1:500, 905401, BioLegend), anti-BrdU (1:100, B-35128, Invitrogen), anti-Lamin A/C (1:100,

ab133256, abcam), anti-Ecadherin (1:200, ab16505, abcam), anti-Vinculin (1:50, ab155120,

abcam), anti-F-actin (1:100, MA1-80729, Invitrogen), anti-CD3 (1:200, ab5690, abcam), Wheat

Germ Agglutinin (WGA) (1:1000, 29022-1, Biotium), and Non-muscle Myosin II A (1:100,

909802, BioLegend). The following secondary antibodies were used: Alexa Fluor 488 Goat anti-

Mouse (1:500, A-11001, Invitrogen), Alexa Fluor 594 Goat anti-Rabbit (1:500, A-11012,

Invitrogen), Alexa Fluor 488 Goat anti-chicken (1:500, A-110039, Invitrogen), Alexa Fluor 594

Donkey anti-goat (1:500, A-110058, Invitrogen) and Alexa Fluor 647 Donkey anti-goat (1:500,

A-21447, Invitrogen). RNAscope experiment was performed by using the RNAscope Multiplex

Fluorescent Reagent Kit, version 2 (#323100, Advanced Cell Diagnostic, Newark, CA) with

Murine Piezo1 and K14 specific probe (Advanced Cell Diagnostics). All images were acquired

using an Olympus BX51 equipped a Hamamatsu Orca CCD camera or a Leica DM6 B equipped

with a Leica DFC9000 GT fluorescent camera and a Leica DMC2900 brightfield camera.

Cell preparation for flow cytometry and sorting

The dorsal skin was dissected, and subcutaneous fat was removed from the skin. To separate

epidermal and dermal layers, skin was cut into small pieces and floated, epidermis side up, on 0.25%

Trypsin (GIBCO) in PBS for 1 hour at 37 C°. Following the incubation, the epidermis was

carefully scraped off and transferred to 10% FBS (fetal bovine serum) in DMEM (GIBCO. Single

cell suspensions were obtained by pipetting gently and filtering through 70 mm cell strainers

(VWR). Each sample was centriguged and resuspended in 2% FBS in PBS. For cell staining,

antibodies were directly added to the cell suspension and incubated for 10 min on ice. Afterward,

cells were washed using 2% FBS PBS and resuspended for sorting in 5 mM EDTA PBS. The

following antibodies were used for cell sorting experiments: anti-CD49f-APC (1:300, 313615,

BioLegend), anti-CD49f-PercP/Cy5.5 (1:300, 313618, BioLegend), anti-CD34-Alexa Fluor 647

(1:50, 560230, BD PharMingen), anti-Sca1-Ly-6A/E-Violet 605 (1:1000, 108133, BioLegend),

and DAPI (1:1000, Biotium). Epidermal cells for RNA-sequencing experiments were membrane

GFP+, CD49f+, Sca1+, CD34- and DAPI-. Analysis of cell cycle: cells were fixed and

permeabilized with BD Cytofix / Cytoperm buffer (BD Pharmingen) on ice after

immunofluorescent staining of antibodies specific for cell surface markers; Afterward,

resuspended cells were washed using 1X BD Perm/Wash buffer (BD Pharmingen), then cells were

stained with intracellular antigen-specific antibodies, including Ki67 antibody (1:100, 14-5698,

eBioscience); cells were incubated with DNase-free RNase A to digest doble stranded RNA; At

last, to label the toal cellular DNA content for cell cycle analysis, cells were stained with propidium

iodide (PI) (1:1000, P3566, Invitrogen). Stained cells were acquired on a flow cytometer. The

following antibodies were used for cell cycle analysis: anti-CD49f-FITC (1:200, 313606,

BioLegend), anti-Sca1-Ly-6A/E-Violet 605 (1:1000, 108133, BioLegend), anti-Ki67 (1:100, 14-

5698, eBioscience), propidium iodide (PI) (1:1000, P3566, Invitrogen), Zombie Kit (1:1000,

423105, BioLegend), and DAPI (1:1000, Biotium). We used Flow cytometry was performed on a

BD LSRII cytometer (BD Biosciences), sorting on a BD FACS Aria II sorter (BD Biosciences).

Flow cytometry data was collected and exported using BD FACs Diva software (BD Biosciences)

and analyzed and plotted using FlowJo software.

RNA sequencing/data analysis

p63CreER; Piezo1fl/fl ; R26-mTmG (Piezo1 cKO) mice were administered tamoxifen injections

(3 times, 20ug/dose) at P45-P52 to activate cre recombination and delete Piezo1 gene expression

in basal layer keratinocytes. Basal epidermal cells were isolated from Piezo1 cKO and control

mouse back skin using flow sorting. RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN)

following manufacturer's instructions. The libraries for sequencing were prepared using the

method described before90. Briefly, RNA-seq libraries were prepared at the same time for all

samples belonging to a single experimental cohort to reduce batch effects. All RNA-seq libraries

were prepared using the NEBNext Poly(A) mRNA magnetic isolation module, followed by

NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA library preparation kit for Illumina. Library quality was checked

by Agilent BioAnalyzer 2100, and libraries were quantified using the Library Quant Kit for

Illumina. Libraries were then sequenced using a NextSeq500 platform [75–base pair (bp) single-

end reads]. All RNA-seq was aligned using RNA STAR 91 under default settings to Mus musculus

GRCm38 fragments per kilobase per million mapped fragments, and differential expression

analysis were performed using DESeq292. Statistical significance was obtained using an adjusted

P value generated by DESeq2 of less than 0.05. Replicates were generated from 3 control and 3

Piezo1 cKO mice, isolated and sorted at different time. All GO analyses were performed using

PANTHER at http://pantherdb.org/ to determine statistically overrepresented GO terms using

Fisher's exact test under the "biological process" category. P values for GO terms are false

discovery rate statistics. The top 12 plotted GO terms represent the GO terms with the highest fold

enrichment under PANTHER's default hierarchical clustering categorization. GO term figures are

generated using ggplot2.

Human-engineered skin xenografts

Organotypic skin grafts containing human primary keratinocytes were performed as previously detailed

methods[107–110]. Primary human keratinocytes that provided from SBDRC Core B were initiated from adult

human skin and plated directly for experiments. Human primary keratinocytes that genetically encoded

with fluorescent reporter genes were cultured in a 1:1 mixture of Keratinocyte Growth Media (KGM) and

Keratinocyte Media 50/50 (Gibco) containing 2% FBS, 1.2 mM calcium chloride, 100 nM Et-3 (endothelin

3), 10 ng/mL recombinant human stem cell factor, and 4.5 ng/mL recombinant basic fibroblast growth

factor. Briefly, primary human keratinocytes were transduced with K14H2B-PAGFP and Lifeactin-EGFP.

Transduced human keratinocytes (10×10^5) were suspended in 80 µl mixture media, seeded onto the

decellularized human dermis that Ridky lab and SBDRC Core B generously provided, and incubated at 37

C° for 4 days at the air-liquid interface to establish organotypic skin. Organotypic skin tissues were graft

onto 5- to 7- week- old female ICR SCID mice (Taconic) according to an International Animal Care and

Use Committee (IACUC) – approved protocol at the University of Pennsylvania. Mice were anesthetized

in an isoflurane chamber, and murine skin was removed from the upper dorsal region of the mouse.

Organotypic human skin was trimmed to a uniform 11 mm by 11 mm square and grafted onto the back of

the mouse with individual interrupted 6-0 nylon sutures. Mice were dressed in Bactroban ointment, Adaptic,

Telfa pad, and Coban wrap. Dressings were removed 2 weeks after grafting. Mechanical treatments were

performed 2 months after grafting.

Calcium imaging data analysis

To investigate the cellular response to mechanical forces, calcium dynamics were captured in

individual epidermal cells within basal layer of epidermis *in vivo* using *R26-CAG-GCaMP6s*; *R26-*

mTmG mice. At both rest and stressed condition, time lapses of one z plane (the basal layer of

epidermis) were recorded. Time-lapse images were analyzed and plotted using the following steps.

First, raw image stacks were imported into FIJI (ImageJ, NIH); To measure the calcium dynamics

in the same cells in response to mechanical forces, region of interest (ROIs) on the cells that

responded to stresses were chose and segmented manually based on tomato fluorescent labeled

cell membrane. After setting multiple RO1s using ROI manager, the mean fluorescence intensity

(GFP) of each segmented cell was measured and normalized to basal level for all segmented cells

and frames.

Digital data analysis

Raw image files from two-photon imaging were imported into FIJI (Image J NIH) or to Imaris

(Bitplane) for analysis. Individual optical planes and sequential optical sections were used to

assemble figures as previously described. Fig. 1D and Extended Data Fig. 2A were created using

Imaris software. To quantify population clonal dynamics and high-resolution optical sections were

obtained sequentially and used to construct 3-dimensional tiled views of the epidermis. The same

mice were then re-imaged using identical acquisition parameters. For single-cell lineage tracing,

individual high-magnification serial optical sections were obtained for each traced clone and 3-

dimensional analysis was performed once the entire imaging time course was competed to analyze

the state of basal and suprabasal cells in each time point. Departure of a cell from the basal layer

and subsequent upward transit was scored as differentiation while continuous increase in the basal

cell number was scored as self-renewal. Clone measurements were performed manually. Images

shown in figures typically represent maximum projections or single optical sections selected from

the z stacks unless otherwise specified.

Electron microscopy

Trimmed skin samples were fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde and 2% paraformaldehyde in 0.1M

sodium cacodylate buffer pH7.4 overnight. Samples were submitted to and processed by

Electronic Microscopy Resource Lab (EMRL), a core facility at UPenn. Images were taken using

Morada CCD and iTEM (Olympus) software.

Statistics and reproducibility

Data are expressed as column bar graphs and scatter plots. An unpaired Student's t-test was used

to analyze data sets with two groups and *P < 0.05 to ****P < 0.0001 indicated a significant

difference. Statistical calculations were performed using the Prism software package (GraphPad).

No statistical method was used to predetermine sample size.

References

1. Joodaki, H. & Panzer, M. B. Skin mechanical properties and modeling: A review. *Proc*
Institution Mech Eng Part H J Eng Medicine 232, 323–343 (2018).

2. Biggs, L. C., Kim, C. S., Miroshnikova, Y. A. & Wickström, S. A. Mechanical forces in the
skin: roles in tissue architecture, stability, and function. *J Invest Dermatol* 140, 284–290 (2019).

3. Filippo, R. E. D. & Atala, A. Stretch and Growth: The Molecular and Physiologic Influences of
Tissue Expansion. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 109, 2450–2462 (2002).

4. Tepole, A. B., Gosain, A. K. & Kuhl, E. Stretching skin: The physiological limit and beyond.
Int J Nonlinear Mech 47, 938–949 (2012).

5. Yang, W., Sherman, V. R., Gludovatz, B., Schaible, E., Stewart, P., Ritchie, R. O. & Meyers,
M. A. On the tear resistance of skin. *Nat Commun* 6, 6649 (2015).

6. Ge, Y., Miao, Y., Gur-Cohen, S., Gomez, N., Yang, H., Nikolova, M., Polak, L., Hu, Y., Verma,
A., Elemento, O., Krueger, J. G. & Fuchs, E. The aging skin microenvironment dictates stem cell
behavior. *Proc National Acad Sci* 117, 5339–5350 (2020).

7. Oxlund, H., Manschot, J. & Viidik, A. The role of elastin in the mechanical properties of skin.
J Biomech 21, 213–218 (1988).

8. Huang, X., Yang, N., Fiore, V. F., Barker, T. H., Sun, Y., Morris, S. W., Ding, Q., Thannickal,
V. J. & Zhou, Y. Matrix Stiffness–Induced Myofibroblast Differentiation Is Mediated by Intrinsic
Mechanotransduction. *Am J Resp Cell Mol* 47, 340–348 (2012).

9. Balaban, N. Q., Schwarz, U. S., Riveline, D., Goichberg, P., Tzur, G., Sabanay, I., Mahalu, D.,
Safran, S., Bershadsky, A., Addadi, L. & Geiger, B. Force and focal adhesion assembly: a close
relationship studied using elastic micropatterned substrates. *Nat Cell Biol* 3, 466–472 (2001).

10. Plikus, M. V., Wang, X., Sinha, S., Forte, E., Thompson, S. M., Herzog, E. L., Driskell, R. R.,
Rosenthal, N., Biernaskie, J. & Horsley, V. Fibroblasts: Origins, definitions, and functions in
health and disease. *Cell* 184, 3852–3872 (2021).

11. Le, H. Q., Ghatak, S., Yeung, C. Y., Tellkamp, F., Günschmann, C., Dieterich, C., Yeroslaviz,
A., Habermann, B., Pombo, A., Niessen, C. M., & Wickström, S. A. Mechanical regulation of
transcription controls Polycomb-mediated gene silencing during lineage commitment. *Nature Cell*
Biology, 18(8), 864–875 (2016).

12. Carley, E., Stewart, R. M., Zieman, A., Jalilian, I., King, D. E., Zubek, A., Lin, S., Horsley, V.,
& King, M. C. The LINC complex transmits integrin-dependent tension to the nuclear lamina and
represses epidermal differentiation. *eLife*, 10, e58541. (2021).

13. Lamaze, C. & Torrino, S. Caveolae and cancer: A new mechanical perspective. *Biomed J* 38,
367 (2015).

14. Swift, J. & Discher, D. E. The nuclear lamina is mechano-responsive to ECM elasticity in
mature tissue. *J Cell Sci* 127, 3005–3015 (2014).

15. Alisafaei, F., Jokhun, D. S., Shivashankar, G. V. & Shenoy, V. B. Regulation of nuclear
architecture, mechanics, and nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of epigenetic factors by cell geometric
constraints. *Proc National Acad Sci* 116, 13200–13209 (2019).

16. Pfeifer, C. R., Alvey, C. M., Irianto, J. & Discher, D. E. Genome variation across cancers scales
with tissue stiffness – An invasion-mutation mechanism and implications for immune cell
infiltration. *Curr Opin Syst Biology* 2, 102–113 (2017).

17. LeGoff, L. & Lecuit, T. Mechanical Forces and Growth in Animal Tissues. *Csh Perspect Biol*
8, a019232 (2015).

18. Matamoro-Vidal, A. & Levayer, R. Multiple Influences of Mechanical Forces on Cell
Competition. *Curr Biol* 29, R762–R774 (2019).

19. Jaalouk, D. E. & Lammerding, J. Mechanotransduction gone awry. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio* 10,
63–73 (2009).

20. Aureille, J., Belaadi, N. & Guilluy, C. Mechanotransduction via the nuclear envelope: a distant
reflection of the cell surface. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 44, 59–67 (2017).

21. Stan, R. V. Structure of caveolae. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta Bba - Mol Cell Res* 1746,
334–348 (2005).

22. Sinha, B., Köster, D., Ruez, R., Gonnord, P., Bastiani, M., Abankwa, D., Stan, R. V., Butler-
Browne, G., Vedie, B., Johannes, L., Morone, N., Parton, R. G., Raposo, G., Sens, P., Lamaze, C.
& Nassoy, P. Cells Respond to Mechanical Stress by Rapid Disassembly of Caveolae. *Cell* 144,
402–413 (2011).

23. Joseph, J. G. & Liu, A. P. Mechanical Regulation of Endocytosis: New Insights and Recent
Advances. *Adv Biosyst* 4, 1900278 (2020).

24. Gudipaty, S. A., Lindblom, J., Loftus, P. D., Redd, M. J., Edes, K., Davey, C. F., Krishnegowda,
V. & Rosenblatt, J. Mechanical stretch triggers rapid epithelial cell division through Piezo1.
Nature 543, 118–121 (2017).

25. Apodaca, G. Modulation of membrane traffic by mechanical stimuli. *Am J Physiol-renal* 282,
F179–F190 (2002).

26. Nava, M. M., Miroshnikova, Y. A., Biggs, L. C., Whitefield, D. B., Metge, F., Boucas, J.,
Vihinen, H., Jokitalo, E., Li, X., Arcos, J. M. G., Hoffmann, B., Merkel, R., Niessen, C. M., Dahl,

K. N. & Wickström, S. A. Heterochromatin-Driven Nuclear Softening Protects the Genome
against Mechanical Stress-Induced Damage. *Cell* 181, 800-817.e22 (2020).

27. Aragona, M., Sifrim, A., Malfait, M., Song, Y., Herck, J. V., Dekoninck, S., Gargouri, S.,
Lapouge, G., Swedlund, B., Dubois, C., Baatsen, P., Vints, K., Han, S., Tissir, F., Voet, T., Simons,
B. D. & Blanpain, C. Mechanisms of stretch-mediated skin expansion at single-cell resolution.
Nature 584, 268–273 (2020).

28. Khilan, A. A., Al-Maslamani, N. A. & Horn, H. F. Cell stretchers and the LINC complex in
mechanotransduction. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 702, 108829 (2021).

29. Senoo, M. Epidermal Stem Cells in Homeostasis and Wound Repair of the Skin. *Adv Wound*
Care 2, 273–282 (2013).

30. Kaur, P. Interfollicular Epidermal Stem Cells: Identification, Challenges, Potential. *J Invest*
Dermatol 126, 1450–1458 (2006).

31. Lim, X., Tan, S. H., Koh, W. L. C., Chau, R. M. W., Yan, K. S., Kuo, C. J., Amerongen, R.
van, Klein, A. M. & Nusse, R. Interfollicular epidermal stem cells self-renew via autocrine Wnt
signaling. *Sci New York N Y* 342, 1226–30 (2013).

32. Dekoninck, S. & Blanpain, C. Stem cell dynamics, migration and plasticity during wound
healing. *Nat Cell Biol* 21, 18–24 (2019).

33. Martino, F., Perestrelo, A. R., Vinarský, V., Pagliari, S. & Forte, G. Cellular
Mechanotransduction: From Tension to Function. *Front Physiol* 9, 824 (2018).

34. Liu, Y.-S. & Lee, O. K. In Search of the Pivot Point of Mechanotransduction: Mechanosensing
of Stem Cells. *Cell Transplant* 23, 1–11 (2012).

35. Gerard, J., Borst, G. & Helmchen, F. [20] Calcium influx during an action potential. *Methods*
Enzymol 293, 352–371 (1998).

36. Cho, C.-H., Woo, J. S., Perez, C. F. & Lee, E. H. A focus on extracellular Ca2+ entry into
skeletal muscle. *Exp Mol Medicine* 49, e378–e378 (2017).

37. Snoeck, H. Calcium regulation of stem cells. *Embo Rep* 21, e50028 (2020).

38. Miroshnikova, Y. A., Manet, S., Li, X., Wickström, S. A., Faurobert, E. & Albiges-Rizo, C.
Calcium signaling mediates a biphasic mechanoadaptive response of endothelial cells to cyclic
mechanical stretch. *Mol Biol Cell* 32, 1724–1736 (2021).

39. Passini, F. S., Jaeger, P. K., Saab, A. S., Hanlon, S., Chittim, N. A., Arlt, M. J., Ferrari, K. D.,
Haenni, D., Caprara, S., Bollhalder, M., Niederöst, B., Horvath, A. N., Götschi, T., Ma, S., Passini-
Tall, B., Fucentese, S. F., Blache, U., Silván, U., Weber, B., Silbernagel, K. G. & Snedeker, J. G.

Shear-stress sensing by PIEZO1 regulates tendon stiffness in rodents and influences jumping
performance in humans. *Nat Biomed Eng* 5, 1457–1471 (2021).

40. Li, J., Hou, B., Tumova, S., Muraki, K., Bruns, A., Ludlow, M. J., Sedo, A., Hyman, A. J.,
McKeown, L., Young, R. S., Yuldasheva, N. Y., Majeed, Y., Wilson, L. A., Rode, B., Bailey, M.
A., Kim, H. R., Fu, Z., Carter, D. A. L., Bilton, J., Imrie, H., Ajuh, P., Dear, T. N., Cubbon, R. M.,
Kearney, M. T., Prasad, K. R., Evans, P. C., Ainscough, J. F. X. & Beech, D. J. Piezo1 integration
of vascular architecture with physiological force. *Nature* 515, 279–282 (2014).

41. Friedrich, E. E., Hong, Z., Xiong, S., Zhong, M., Di, A., Rehman, J., Komarova, Y. A. & Malik,
A. B. Endothelial cell Piezo1 mediates pressure-induced lung vascular hyperpermeability via
disruption of adherens junctions. *Proc National Acad Sci* 116, 12980–12985 (2019).

42. Kraus, D., Khoury, S., Fendyur, A., Kachalsky, S. G., Abu-Hatoum, T. & Rahamimoff, R.
Intracellular Calcium Dynamics - Sparks of Insight. *J Basic Clin Physiology Pharmacol* 11, 331–
366 (2000).

43. Casteels, R. & Droogmans, G. Cell Membrane Responsiveness and Excitation-Contraction
Coupling in Smooth Muscle. *J Cardiovasc Pharm* 6, S304–S312 (1984).

44. Ji, G., Barsotti, R. J., Feldman, M. E. & Kotlikoff, M. I. Stretch-induced Calcium Release in
Smooth Muscle. *J Gen Physiology* 119, 533–543 (2002).

45. Retailleau, K., Duprat, F., Arhatte, M., Ranade, S. S., Peyronnet, R., Martins, J. R., Jodar, M.,
Moro, C., Offermanns, S., Feng, Y., Demolombe, S., Patel, A. & Honoré, E. Piezo1 in Smooth
Muscle Cells Is Involved in Hypertension-Dependent Arterial Remodeling. *Cell Reports* 13, 1161–
1171 (2015).

46. Chen, X., Wanggou, S., Bodalia, A., Zhu, M., Dong, W., Fan, J. J., Yin, W. C., Min, H.-K.,
Hu, M., Draghici, D., Dou, W., Li, F., Coutinho, F. J., Whetstone, H., Kushida, M. M., Dirks, P.
B., Song, Y., Hui, C., Sun, Y., Wang, L.-Y., Li, X. & Huang, X. A Feedforward Mechanism
Mediated by Mechanosensitive Ion Channel PIEZO1 and Tissue Mechanics Promotes Glioma
Aggression. *Neuron* 100, 799-815.e7 (2018).

47. Syeda, R., Florendo, M. N., Cox, C. D., Kefauver, J. M., Santos, J. S., Martinac, B. &
Patapoutian, A. Piezo1 Channels Are Inherently Mechanosensitive. *Cell Reports* 17, 1739–1746
(2016).

48. Coste, B., Mathur, J., Schmidt, M., Earley, T. J., Ranade, S., Petrus, M. J., Dubin, A. E. &
Patapoutian, A. Piezo1 and Piezo2 Are Essential Components of Distinct Mechanically Activated
Cation Channels. *Science* 330, 55–60 (2010).

49. Gnanasambandam, R., Bae, C., Gottlieb, P. A. & Sachs, F. Ionic Selectivity and Permeation
Properties of Human PIEZO1 Channels. *Plos One* 10, e0125503 (2015).

50. Wang, S., Chennupati, R., Kaur, H., Iring, A., Wettschureck, N. & Offermanns, S. Endothelial
cation channel PIEZO1 controls blood pressure by mediating flow-induced ATP release. *J Clin*
Invest 126, 4527–4536 (2016).

51. Liu, T., Du, X., Zhang, B., Zi, H., Yan, Y., Yin, J., Hou, H., Gu, S., Chen, Q. & Du, J. Piezo1-
Mediated Ca2+ Activities Regulate Brain Vascular Pathfinding during Development. *Neuron* 108,
180-192.e5 (2020).

52. Ma, S., Dubin, A. E., Zhang, Y., Mousavi, S. A. R., Wang, Y., Coombs, A. M., Loud, M.,
Andolfo, I. & Patapoutian, A. A role of PIEZO1 in iron metabolism in mice and humans. *Cell* 184,
969-982.e13 (2021).

53. Cahalan, S. M., Lukacs, V., Ranade, S. S., Chien, S., Bandell, M. & Patapoutian, A. Piezo1
links mechanical forces to red blood cell volume. *Elife* 4, e07370 (2015).

54. Murthy, S. E., Dubin, A. E. & Patapoutian, A. Piezos thrive under pressure: mechanically
activated ion channels in health and disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio* 18, 771–783 (2017).

55. Eid, E. S. & Kurban, M. S. A Piez-o the jigsaw: the Piezo1 channel in skin biology. *Clin Exp*
Dermatol 47, 1036–1047 (2022).

56. Eisenhoffer, G. T., Loftus, P. D., Yoshigi, M., Otsuna, H., Chien, C.-B., Morcos, P. A. &
Rosenblatt, J. Crowding induces live cell extrusion to maintain homeostatic cell numbers in
epithelia. *Nature* 484, 546–549 (2012).

57. He, L., Si, G., Huang, J., Samuel, A. D. T. & Perrimon, N. Mechanical regulation of stem-cell
differentiation by the stretch-activated Piezo channel. *Nature* 555, 103–106 (2018).

58. Cox, C. D., Bae, C., Ziegler, L., Hartley, S., Nikolova-Krstevski, V., Rohde, P. R., Ng, C.-A.,
Sachs, F., Gottlieb, P. A. & Martinac, B. Removal of the mechanoprotective influence of the
cytoskeleton reveals PIEZO1 is gated by bilayer tension. *Nat Commun* 7, 10366 (2016).

59. Lewis, A. H. & Grandl, J. Mechanical sensitivity of Piezo1 ion channels can be tuned by
cellular membrane tension. *Elife* 4, e12088 (2015).

60. Casella, J. F., Flanagan, M. D. & Lin, S. Cytochalasin D inhibits actin polymerization and
induces depolymerization of actin filaments formed during platelet shape change. *Nature* 293,
302–305 (1981).

61. Liu, Z., Grunsven, L. A. van, Rossen, E. V., Schroyen, B., Timmermans, J., Geerts, A. &
Reynaert, H. Blebbistatin inhibits contraction and accelerates migration in mouse hepatic stellate
cells. *Brit J Pharmacol* 159, 304–315 (2010).

62. Li, Y. & Burridge, K. Cell-Cycle-Dependent Regulation of Cell Adhesions: Adhering to the
Schedule. *Bioessays* 41, 1800165 (2019).

63. Jones, M. C., Zha, J. & Humphries, M. J. Connections between the cell cycle, cell adhesion
and the cytoskeleton. *Philosophical Transactions Royal Soc B* 374, 20180227 (2019).

64. Moore, J. L., Gao, F., Matte-Martone, C., Du, S., Lathrop, E., Ganesan, S., Shao, L., Bhaskar,
D., Cox, A., Hendry, C., Rieck, B., Krishnaswamy, S. & Greco, V. G2 stem cells orchestrate time-
directed, long-range coordination of calcium signaling during skin epidermal regeneration. *Biorxiv*
2021.10.12.464066 (2022). doi:10.1101/2021.10.12.464066

65. Bayarmagnai, B., Perrin, L., Pourfarhangi, K. E., Graña, X., Tüzel, E. & Gligorijevic, B.
Invadopodia-mediated ECM degradation is enhanced in the G1 phase of the cell cycle. *J Cell Sci*
132, jcs227116 (2019).

66. Versaevel, M., Riaz, M., Grevesse, T. & Gabriele, S. Cell confinement: putting the squeeze on
the nucleus. *Soft Matter* 9, 6665–6676 (2013).

67. Lele, T. P., Dickinson, R. B. & Gundersen, G. G. Mechanical principles of nuclear shaping
and positioning. *J Cell Biology* 217, 3330–3342 (2018).

68. Kalukula, Y., Stephens, A. D., Lammerding, J. & Gabriele, S. Mechanics and functional
consequences of nuclear deformations. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio* 1–20 (2022). doi:10.1038/s41580-
022-00480-z

69. Jones, M. L., Dahl, K. N., Lele, T. P., Conway, D. E., Shenoy, V., Ghosh, S. & Szczesny, S.
E. The Elephant in the Cell: Nuclear Mechanics and Mechanobiology. *J Biomechanical Eng* 144,
(2022).

70. Amiad-Pavlov, D., Lorber, D., Bajpai, G., Reuveny, A., Roncato, F., Alon, R., Safran, S. &
Volk, T. Live imaging of chromatin distribution reveals novel principles of nuclear architecture
and chromatin compartmentalization. *Sci Adv* 7, eabf6251 (2021).

71. Srivastava, L. K., Ju, Z., Ghagre, A. & Ehrlicher, A. J. Spatial distribution of lamin A/C
determines nuclear stiffness and stress-mediated deformation. *J Cell Sci* 134, jcs248559 (2021).

72. Goelzer, M., Goelzer, J., Ferguson, M. L., Neu, C. P. & Uzer, G. Nuclear envelope
mechanobiology: linking the nuclear structure and function. *Nucleus* 12, 90–114 (2021).

73. Munaron, L., Antoniotti, S. & Lovisolo, D. Intracellular calcium signals and control of cell
proliferation: how many mechanisms? *J Cell Mol Med* 8, 161–168 (2004).

74. Rinnerthaler, M., Streubel, M. K., Bischof, J. & Richter, K. Skin aging, gene expression and
calcium. *Exp Gerontol* 68, 59–65 (2015).

75. Feingold, K. R., Schmuth, M. & Elias, P. M. The Regulation of Permeability Barrier
Homeostasis. *J Invest Dermatol* 127, 1574–1576 (2007).

76. Maeda, T., Sakabe, T., Sunaga, A., Sakai, K., Rivera, A. L., Keene, D. R., Sasaki, T., Stavnezer, E., Iannotti, J., Schweitzer, R., Ilic, D., Baskaran, H. & Sakai, T. Conversion of Mechanical Force into TGF-β-Mediated Biochemical Signals. *Curr Biol* 21, 933–941 (2011).

77. Kröger, C., Loschke, F., Schwarz, N., Windoffer, R., Leube, R. E. & Magin, T. M. Keratins control intercellular adhesion involving PKC-α–mediated desmoplakin phosphorylation. *J Cell Biology* 201, 681–692 (2013).

78. Jin, Y.-H., Wu, X.-S., Shi, B., Zhang, Z., Guo, X., Gan, L., Chen, Z. & Wu, L.-G. Protein Kinase C and Calmodulin Serve As Calcium Sensors for Calcium-Stimulated Endocytosis at Synapses. *J Neurosci* 39, 9478–9490 (2019).

79. Gonzalez-Molina, J., Zhang, X., Borghesan, M., Silva, J. M. da, Awan, M., Fuller, B., Gavara, N. & Selden, C. Extracellular fluid viscosity enhances liver cancer cell mechanosensing and migration. *Biomaterials* 177, 113–124 (2018).

80. Choudhury, M. I., Benson, M. A. & Sun, S. X. Trans-epithelial fluid flow and mechanics of epithelial morphogenesis. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* (2022). doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2022.05.020

81. Dumortier, J. G., Verge-Serandour, M. L., Tortorelli, A. F., Mielke, A., Plater, L. de, Turlier, H. & Maître, J.-L. Hydraulic fracturing and active coarsening position the lumen of the mouse blastocyst. *Science* 365, 465–468 (2019).

82. Mosaliganti, K. R., Swinburne, I. A., Chan, C. U., Obholzer, N. D., Green, A. A., Tanksale, S., Mahadevan, L. & Megason, S. G. Size control of the inner ear via hydraulic feedback. *Elife* 8, e39596 (2019).

83. Casares, L., Vincent, R., Zalvidea, D., Campillo, N., Navajas, D., Arroyo, M. & Trepat, X. Hydraulic fracture during epithelial stretching. *Nat Mater* 14, 343–351 (2015).

84. Latorre, E., Kale, S., Casares, L., Gómez-González, M., Uroz, M., Valon, L., Nair, R. V., Garreta, E., Montserrat, N., Campo, A. del, Ladoux, B., Arroyo, M. & Trepat, X. Active superelasticity in three-dimensional epithelia of controlled shape. *Nature* 563, 203–208 (2018).

85. Morris, C. E., Wang, J. A. & Markin, V. S. The Invagination of Excess Surface Area by Shrinking Neurons. *Biophys J* 85, 223–235 (2003).

86. Engler, A. J., Sen, S., Sweeney, H. L. & Discher, D. E. Matrix Elasticity Directs Stem Cell Lineage Specification. *Cell* 126, 677–689 (2006).

87. Humphrey, J. D., Dufresne, E. R. & Schwartz, M. A. Mechanotransduction and extracellular matrix homeostasis. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio* 15, 802–812 (2014).

88. Charras, G. & Yap, A. S. Tensile Forces and Mechanotransduction at Cell–Cell Junctions. *Curr Biol* 28, R445–R457 (2018).

89. Kechagia, J. Z., Ivaska, J. & Roca-Cusachs, P. Integrins as biomechanical sensors of the microenvironment. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio* 20, 457–473 (2019).

90. Elias, P. M., Ahn, S. K., Denda, M., Brown, B. E., Crumrine, D., Kimutai, L. K., Komeves, L., Lee, S. H. & Feingold, and K. R. Modulations in Epidermal Calcium Regulate the Expression of Differentiation Specific Markers. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology* doi:https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1747.2002.19512.x

91. Wang, D., Chadha, G. K., Feygin, A. & Ivanov, A. I. F-actin binding protein, anillin, regulates integrity of intercellular junctions in human epithelial cells. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 72, 3185–3200 (2015).

92. Holt, J. R., Zeng, W.-Z., Evans, E. L., Woo, S.-H., Ma, S., Abuwarda, H., Loud, M., Patapoutian, A. & Pathak, M. M. Spatiotemporal dynamics of PIEZO1 localization controls keratinocyte migration during wound healing. *Elife* 10, e65415 (2021).

93. Mikesell, A. R., Isaeva, O., Moehring, F., Sadler, K. E., Menzel, A. D. & Stucky, C. L. Keratinocyte PIEZO1 modulates cutaneous mechanosensation. *Elife* 11, (2022).

94. Nourse, J. L. & Pathak, M. M. How cells channel their stress: Interplay between Piezo1 and the cytoskeleton. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 71, 3–12 (2017).

95. Dupont, S. & Wickström, S. A. Mechanical regulation of chromatin and transcription. *Nat Rev Genet* 23, 624–643 (2022).

96. Biedzinski, S., Agsu, G., Vianay, B., Delord, M., Blanchoin, L., Larghero, J., Faivre, L., Théry, M. & Brunet, S. Microtubules control nuclear shape and gene expression during early stages of hematopoietic differentiation. *Embo J* 39, e103957 (2020).

97. Yoon, S. & Leube, R. E. Keratin intermediate filaments: intermediaries of epithelial cell migration. *Essays Biochem* 63, 521–533 (2019).

98. Santos, M., Paramio, J. M., Bravo, A., Ramirez, A. & Jorcano, J. L. The Expression of Keratin K10 in the Basal Layer of the Epidermis Inhibits Cell Proliferation and Prevents Skin Tumorigenesis*. *J Biol Chem* 277, 19122–19130 (2002).

99. Ho, M., Thompson, B., Fisk, J. N., Nebert, D. W., Bruford, E. A., Vasiliou, V. & Bunick, C. G. Update of the keratin gene family: evolution, tissue-specific expression patterns, and relevance to clinical disorders. *Hum Genomics* 16, 1 (2022).

100. Wang, F., Chen, S., Liu, H. B., Parent, C. A. & Coulombe, P. A. Keratin 6 regulates collective keratinocyte migration by altering cell–cell and cell–matrix adhesion. *J Cell Biology* 217, 4314–4330 (2018).

101. Russell, D., Andrews, P. D., James, J. & Lane, E. B. Mechanical stress induces profound
remodelling of keratin filaments and cell junctions in epidermolysis bullosa simplex keratinocytes.
J Cell Sci 117, 5233–5243 (2004).

102. Vassar, R., Coulombe, P. A., Degenstein, L., Albers, K. & Fuchs, E. Mutant keratin
expression in transgenic mice causes marked abnormalities resembling a human genetic skin
disease. *Cell* 64, 365–380 (1991).

103. Jacob, J. T., Nair, R. R., Poll, B. G., Pineda, C. M., Hobbs, R. P., Matunis, M. J. & Coulombe,
P. A. Keratin 17 regulates nuclear morphology and chromatin organization. *J Cell Sci* 133,
jcs254094 (2020).

104. Redmond, C. J. & Coulombe, P. A. Intermediate filaments as effectors of differentiation.
Curr Opin Cell Biol 68, 155–162 (2021).

105. Huang, S. & Rompolas, P. Two-photon microscopy for intracutaneous imaging of stem cell
activity in mice. *Exp Dermatol* 26, 379–383 (2017).

106. Huang, S., Kuri, P., Aubert, Y., Brewster, M., Li, N., Farrelly, O., Rice, G., Bae, H., Prouty,
S., Dentchev, T., Luo, W., Capell, B. C. & Rompolas, P. Lgr6 marks epidermal stem cells with a
nerve-dependent role in wound re-epithelialization. *Cell Stem Cell* (2021).
doi:10.1016/j.stem.2021.05.007

107. Ridky, T. W., Chow, J. M., Wong, D. J. & Khavari, P. A. Invasive three-dimensional
organotypic neoplasia from multiple normal human epithelia. *Nat Med* 16, 1450–1455 (2010).

108. McNeal, A. S., Liu, K., Nakhate, V., Natale, C. A., Duperret, E. K., Capell, B. C., Dentchev,
T., Berger, S. L., Herlyn, M., Seykora, J. T. & Ridky, T. W. CDKN2B Loss Promotes Progression
from Benign Melanocytic Nevus to Melanoma. *Cancer Discov* 5, 1072–1085 (2015).

109. Natale, C. A., Li, J., Zhang, J., Dahal, A., Dentchev, T., Stanger, B. Z. & Ridky, T. W.
Activation of G protein-coupled estrogen receptor signaling inhibits melanoma and improves
response to immune checkpoint blockade. *Elife* 7, e31770 (2018).

110. Doepner, M., Lee, I., Natale, C. A., Brathwaite, R., Venkat, S., Kim, S. H., Wei, Y., Vakoc,
C. R., Capell, B. C., Katzenellenbogen, J. A., Katzenellenbogen, B. S., Feigin, M. E. & Ridky, T.
W. Endogenous DOPA inhibits melanoma through suppression of CHRM1 signaling. *Sci Adv* 8,
eabn4007 (2022).

Figure S1

Negative pressure

Suction

Positive pressure

Pressure

Lateral tension

Stretching

Supplementary Figure 1.
Schematic representations of the in vivo and ex vivo assays employed in this study to analyze the effect of acute mechanical forces applied on the mouse skin, by intravital imaging.

Figure S2

Supplementary Figure 2.
(A) Representative time frames of basal epidermal cells, visualizing the stress vesicle resorption after force removal. Yellow arrows indicate the location of stress vescicles. Scale bars, 5 μm. (B) Schematic of the experimental design to isolate epidermal cells from mouse skin before and after mechanical stress. (C) Representative Flow cytometry data showing the percentage of Ki67+ cell in the interfollicular epidermis (Itga6+ and Sca1+) that were collected from normal skin, stressed skin, and the recovered skin (3 hours after treatment). (D) Quantification of Ki67 rates in distinct samples. n= 6 mice for each group, p=0.0.0739 (ns) and 0.3447 (ns). (E) Representative data of cell phase shifts in Ki67+ cells, showing G1/S to G2/M transition. (F) Quantification of the rates of Ki67 cells that still stayed in G1 phase. n= 6 mice for each group, p=0.0048 (**) and 0.0109 (*). (G) Quantification of the rates of Ki67 cells that stayed in G2/M phase. n= 6 mice for each group, p=0.0.0172 (*) and 0.0002 (***).

Figure S3

Supplementary Figure 3.
(A) H&E staining of full thickness back skin samples that were collected from control and stressed mice. Scale bars, 500 μm. (B) H&E staining of normal and stressed skin samples with magnified hair follicle area. Scale bars, 50 μm. (C) Representative live images at the plane of the cornified layer of the epidermis captured at the indicated time points. Scale bars, 10 μm. (D) Representative images of TUNEL staining. A white dashed line demarcates the border between the epidermis and dermis. Scale bars, 20 μm. (E) Quantification of the rates of apoptotic cells in samples collected at the indicated time points. n = 15 areas from 3 mice, 15 areas from 3 mice, 16 areas from 3 mice, and 16 areas from 3 mice, p= 0.7290 (ns), 0.0725 (ns) and p < 0.0001 (****). (F) Immunofluorescence staining of T-cells (CD3) in normal, stressed (3 min treatment) and recovered epidermis (1 day after treatment), and highly stressed epidermis (10 min treatment). Scale bars, 20 μm. (G) Quantification of CD3+ immune cells in distinct samples that were collected at the indicated time points. n = 13 areas from 3 mice, 18 areas from 3 mice, 11 areas from 3 mice, and 11 areas from 3 mice, p= 0.7759 (ns), 0.1841(ns), and 0.1956 (ns).

Figure S4

Supplementary Figure 4.
(A) High-magnification 3-dimensional surface rendering demonstrating the nuclear deformation under stressed condition. Scale bars, 2 μm. (B) Quantification of nuclear volume before and after mechanical force application. n = 10, 16, and 14 cells from 3 mice, p = 0.7025 (ns), 0.3713 (ns), and 0.0023 (**). (C) Quantification of cell volume. n = 28 cells from 3 mice, p = 0.0072 (**). (D) and (E) Quantification of nuclear volume in differentiated (left graph) or proliferated (right graph) cells compared to undifferentiated. n= 18 undifferentiated cells, 15 differentiated cells, and 12 proliferated cells from 3 mice, p = 0.9058 (ns) and 0.7597 (ns). (F) Co-localization by immunofluorescence of Lamin A/C (green) and Keratin 14 (red) in normal and stressed epidermis. Scale bars, 5 μm.

Figure S5

Supplementary Figure 5.
(A) Representative planes from serial optical sectioning of the live mouse epidermis showing the calcium gradient from the basal to suprabasal layers. (B) Representative RNA-scope staining indicating the efficiency of Piezo1 gene deletion epidermal cells. Scale bars, 10 μm. (C) Maximum intensity projection of calcium signals from timelapse imaging of control and Piezo1 cKO mouse skin under normal conditions. Individual frames were pseudocolored and projected into a single image to illustrate areas of persistent calcium signal (white). Scale bars, 50 μm. (D) Quantification of calcium signals from (C). (E) Representative images of in vivo imaging of calcium flux after Yoda1 (Piezo1 activator) treatment. (F) Representative images of stress vesicle formation after treatment with PBS Yoda1 or GsMTx4 (Piezo1 inhibitor). Scale bars, 20 μm. (G) Quantification of the number of cells with vesicle formation after the treatment of PBS, Yoda1, and GsMTx4. n= 5 mice for each group, p = 0.004 (***) and 0.9940 (ns).

Figure S6

Supplementary Figure 6.
(A) Volcano plot of RNA sequencing data, comparing the transcripts in the basal epidermal cells that are collected from control and Piezo1 cKO. Red dots represent upregulated genes, and blue dots represent downregulated genes; adjusted p < 0.05. (B) Gene Ontology analysis of genes upregulated genes and downregulated genes from the RNA-seq analysis.

Figure S7

A   B

Supplementary Figure 7.
(A) Examples of mouse back skin imaged by brightfield (top panel) and two-photon (lower panel) microscopy after 10 min of applied negative pressure. Scale bars, 100 µm. (B) Quantification of the number of blisters on control and Piezo1 cKO back skin after after 10 min of applied negative pressure. n= 7 pairs of mice, p < 0.0001.