Detyrosination enrichment on microtubule subsets is established by the interplay between a
stochastically-acting enzyme and microtubule stability

Qing Tang[1], Sebastian Sensale[2,3, †, *], Charles Bond[1, †], Andy Qiao[2], Siewert Hugelier[1], Arian,
Arab[1], Gaurav Arya[2], Melike Lakadamyali[1, *]

[1]Department of Physiology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania,
Philadelphia, PA 19104

[2]Dept. of Mechanical Engineering and Materials Science, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708,
USA

[3]Department of Physics, Cleveland State University, Cleveland, OH 44115-2214, USA

[†] equal contribution

* Correspondence should be sent to M.L.: melikel@pennmedicine.upenn.edu and S.S.:
s.sensalerodriguez@csuohio.edu

Abstract

Microtubules in cells consist of functionally diverse subpopulations carrying distinct post-
translational modifications (PTMs). Akin to the histone code, the tubulin code regulates a myriad
of microtubule functions ranging from intracellular transport to chromosome segregation. Yet, how
individual PTMs only occur on subsets of microtubules to contribute to microtubule specialization
is not well understood. In particular, microtubule detyrosination, which is the removal of the C-
terminal tyrosine on α-tubulin subunits, marks the stable population of microtubules and modifies
how microtubules interact with other microtubule-associated proteins to regulate a wide range of
cellular processes. Previously, we found that, in certain cell types, only a small subpopulation of
microtubules is highly enriched with the detyrosination mark (~30%) and that detyrosination spans
most of the length of a microtubule, often adjacent to a completely tyrosinated microtubule. How
the activity of a cytosolic detyrosinase, Vasohibin (VASH) leads to only a small subpopulation of
highly detyrosinated microtubules is unclear. Here, using quantitative super-resolution
microscopy, we visualized nascent microtubule detyrosination events in cells consisting of 1-3
detyrosinated α-tubulin subunits after Nocodazole washout. Microtubule detyrosination
accumulates slowly and in a disperse pattern across the microtubule length. By visualizing single
molecules of VASH in live cells, we found that VASH engages with microtubules stochastically on
a short time scale suggesting limited removal of tyrosine per interaction, consistent with the super-
resolution results. Combining these quantitative imaging results with simulations incorporating

parameters from our experiments, we propose a stochastic model for cells to establish a subset

of detyrosinated microtubules via a detyrosination-stabilization feedback mechanism.

Introduction

Microtubules experience numerous posttranslational modifications (PTMs), including polyglutamylation, acetylation and detyrosination, which segregate them into distinct subpopulations with diverse mechanical and functional properties [1, 2]. Little is known about how certain microtubule PTMs are present or enriched on only subsets of the total microtubule population. Microtubules are dynamic cylindrical polymers assembled from α, β-tubulin heterodimers, the C-terminal tails of which are exposed to the cytosol and are particularly enriched with PTMs. These cytosolic tubulin tail modifications allow direct tuning of interactions between microtubules and many microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs). Most α-tubulin isoforms encode a C-terminal tyrosine, which can be removed by the recently discovered carboxypeptidase Vasohibin (VASH) [3, 4] and another newly discovered tyrosine carboxylpeptidase MATCAP [5]. It has been shown that both in the mouse brain and in vitro cell lines, VASH is the major detyrosinase that accounts for the vast majority of detyrosination (~ 75% in mouse brain [3]), whereas MATCAP only contributes a modest amount of detyrosination [4, 5]. Detyrosination changes how certain MAPs interact with the C-terminal tail of α-tubulins on microtubules. For example, MAPs containing the cytoskeleton-associated protein glycine-rich (CAP-Gly) domain directly recognize the tyrosine residue of the EEY/F motif at the C terminus of α-tubulin and preferentially bind to tyrosinated tubulin [6-11]. Binding of certain motor proteins is also regulated by the detyrosination state of the microtubule [7, 12-17]. Detyrosination is a particularly important microtubule PTM as numerous cellular processes are regulated by microtubule detyrosination, including mitosis/meiosis, intracellular transport, autophagy, neurogenesis, and cardiomyocyte contractility [2, 5, 15-24]. Detyrosinated microtubules are known to persist much longer than tyrosinated microtubules in live cells [25-27]. It has long been proposed that this stability is not due to detyrosination itself altering the intrinsic dynamics of microtubules, but the change in interaction with many MAPs that collectively alter the microtubule dynamics [28-30]. Indeed, a recent in vitro study employing recombinantly expressed α-tubulin lacking the C-terminal tyrosine demonstrated that these detyrosinated microtubules are not intrinsically more stable than tyrosinated microtubules without the influence of MAPs [8].

Previously, using super-resolution microcopy, we classified microtubule sub-populations based on their PTMs in epithelial cell types and found that detyrosination only occurs on ~30% of total microtubules, while acetylation is much more predominant and constitutes ~70% of total microtubules [16]. We further showed that lysosomes and autophagosomes are enriched on this small subset of detyrosinated microtubules and that this enrichment is important in promoting their

fusion. Hence, building a small subpopulation of detyrosinated microtubules that can spatially
regulate organelle positioning seems to be crucial for cellular processes like autophagy. It has
also been shown that in neuronal dendrites, tyrosinated microtubules are concentrated on the
outer portion of the dendrite, spatially segregated from acetylated (and also presumably
detyrosinated) microtubules [31], and the two populations were proposed to mediate motor
transport in opposite directions [15]. These results overall show that in several cell types including
epithelial cells and neurons, detyrosinated microtubules constitute a distinct subset of all
microtubules to regulate diverse cellular processes.

Because VASH prefers polymerized tubulin as a substrate compared to free tubulin dimers [3, 4,
32, 33], detyrosination occurs more readily once the microtubules are assembled. On the other
hand, the tubulin tyrosine ligase (TTL) adds back the tyrosine primarily on detyrosinated free
tubulin dimers [34], therefore detyrosination is likely stably present on assembled microtubules
and α-tubulin does not revert to tyrosinated form until the tubulin subunit is released back to the
cytosolic pool upon microtubule depolymerization. It is thought that TTL stays associated with the
tubulin pool in the cytosol and sequesters tubulin dimers [34], consistent with early work
suggesting that the cytosolic tubulin pools are largely tyrosinated [35] and that nascently rescued
stretches of microtubules are also tyrosinated [36]. Additionally, early work showed that when
detyrosinated tubulin dimers were injected into the cells in which most of microtubules were
depolymerized by Nocodazole, they became tyrosinated relatively quickly [37]. Consequently,
overall detyrosination levels respond to the change of the balance between free tubulin dimers
and microtubules, i.e., total detyrosination decreases markedly upon microtubule disassembly,
and increases upon microtubule stabilization [32, 33, 35]. However, the long-standing question
remains as to how a subset of highly detyrosinated microtubules emerges adjacent to completely
tyrosinated ones through the action of a cytosolic detyrosinase that predominantly acts on
polymerized microtubules. Multiple models are possible, including cooperativity among the VASH
enzymes especially when enzyme concentration is limited, processive motion or facilitated
diffusion of the VASH enzyme along the microtubule lattice, or preferential
accumulation/recruitment of the VASH enzyme to a sub-set of microtubules.

To address this long-standing question, we investigated how cells establish and propagate
detyrosination on a subset of microtubules using a combination of multicolor DNA Point
Accumulation in Nanoscale Topography (DNA-PAINT) [38] super-resolution microscopy, single
molecule tracking and computational modeling. Our experimental results coupled with stochastic
modeling using parameters extracted from our experiments suggest a mechanism where

selective enrichment of detyrosination is a function of enzyme concentration and arises from the

positive feedback between detyrosination and microtubule stabilization.

Results

Nascent detyrosination appears as disperse and isolated puncta along microtubules and
increases slowly over time.

To understand how detyrosination progressively builds up in cells, we treated BSC-1 epithelial
cells with Nocodazole for three hours, which leads to almost complete microtubule disassembly
[36], and washed out the compound to allow the microtubules to reassemble over time. Cells were
fixed every 30 minutes post washout, stained with antibodies against β-tubulin and detyrosinated
α-tubulin and imaged using multi-color DNA-PAINT super-resolution microscopy [38]. Similar to
our previous study, in untreated cells, we observed detyrosination enriched on a subset of
microtubules while many adjacent microtubules were completely tyrosinated (**Figure 1**). Upon
Nocodazole treatment, on the other hand, the majority of microtubules, including detyrosinated
microtubules disappeared as expected (**Figure 1**) [32, 35-37], which allowed us to monitor how
nascent detyrosination re-emerges.

At 30 min post washout the majority of microtubules were already re-assembled (**Figure 1**),
whereas detyrosination was largely absent on these re-assembled microtubules. However, close
examination of the super-resolution images showed that nascent detyrosination events were
detectable as sparse, small puncta on some regions of microtubules (176 ± 98 nm nearest
neighbor distance between puncta borders), with a median area of 1326 ± 290 nm^2 and a median
length of 85 ± 12 nm (**Figures 2A-C**). At 60-90 min after washout, the frequency of the discrete
detyrosinated puncta increased. Accordingly, the median distance between them decreased (163
± 40 nm at 60 min and 109 ± 19 nm at 90 min) (**Figure 2C**), with only a slight increase of the
median detyrosination length (86 ± 9.5 nm at 60 min and 98 ± 8.5 nm at 90 min) (**Figure 2B**), and
the median area (1476 ± 329 nm^2 at 60 min and 1933 ± 237 nm^2 at 90 min) (**Figure 2A**). These
results suggest that the puncta are isolated, stochastic nascent detyrosination events rather than
growth of established detyrosination sites. At later time points (120-150 min), we found that the
distance between detyrosinated puncta did not change dramatically (**Figure 2C**) while
detyrosination median length (114 ± 15 -130 ± 22 nm) (**Figure 2B**), and median area (2454 ± 769
- 3174 ± 972 nm^2) (**Figure 2A**) continued to increase, which is consistent with the puncta merging
together as their frequency increases to generate larger stretches of detyrosinated microtubules
(**Figures 1 and 2A-C**).

We also observed that the anti-detyrosinated α-tubulin antibody stained non-specifically and
produced diffuse background puncta outside of microtubules. During our analysis, we excluded

puncta that have a density beyond two-standard deviation from the average as these very dense
puncta tend to correspond to imaging artefacts. To determine the contribution from the non-
specific background signal to what we considered to be the nascent detyrosinated puncta
overlapping with microtubules, we measured the false co-localization percentage of detyrosinated
puncta with microtubules by rotating the two images with respect to one another (**Figure S1** and
Methods). This analysis showed that the false co-localization percentage was on average only 9-
20% compared to the real co-localization (**Figure S1** and Methods), with the higher false co-
localization percentage corresponding to the early time points (30-90 min), when detyrosinated
puncta were most sparsely located on microtubules. Given that the false co-localization
percentage is overall low, especially between 120-150 minutes, we do not expect the non-specific
background signal to influence our results.

Detyrosinated puncta at early time points constitute 1-3 detyrosinated α-tubulin subunits

We next wondered whether the isolated small puncta represent single or multiple detyrosinated
α-tubulin subunits, which is a critical question to address for a mechanistic understanding of
detyrosination propagation. Since the spatial resolution of our DNA-PAINT images is ~20-30 nm,
whereas the size of a tubulin hetero-dimer is ~8 nm, we cannot directly resolve multiple
detyrosination events happening on adjacent α-tubulin subunits. In single molecule localization
microscopy (SMLM), including DNA-PAINT, images of a single protein (or fluorophore) resemble
a small cluster of localizations with a broad distribution due to repeated "blinking" and non-
stoichiometric antibody labeling [39, 40]. We previously showed that, if the distribution of the
number of localizations corresponding to a single protein target is known, it can be fit to a
lognormal distribution to obtain a calibration function [39-41]. The calibration function can then be
used to deduce the number of protein targets in the actual super-resolution clusters or puncta. To
obtain a calibration function for a single detyrosination site, we carried out an antibody titration
experiment (1:1000, 1:2500, 1:5000 dilution) to determine a primary antibody concentration that
is low enough to sparsely detect detyrosination signal from individual α-tubulin subunits in
untreated BSC-1 cells (**Figures 2D and S2**). This antibody titration approach has previously been
successfully used to estimate protein copy number in super-resolution images by us and others
[39-42].

In contrast to images stained with saturating amounts of primary antibodies (1:100) that show a
continuous detyrosination signal along the length of the microtubule (**Figure 1, top row**),
detyrosination under dilute antibody labeling conditions appeared as sparse, small puncta (**Figure
2D and Figure S2**). At the lowest concentration used (1:5000 dilution), there was no detectable

detyrosination signal on microtubules (**Figure S2A**). We could detect detyrosination signal with
1:2500 and 1:1000 dilution (**Figures S2B and S2C**), with the 1:1000 dilution generating
detyrosinated puncta that contained a slightly higher number of localizations (median 23 vs 16)
that were more closely spaced together (median distance 147 nm vs 386 nm) than the 1:2500
dilution (**Figure S2D**). We thus used the sparser 1:2500 dilution as the calibration data for a single
detyrosination site. We plotted the frequency distribution of the number of localizations in each
detyrosinated puncta obtained from the calibration images and fit it to a lognormal distribution to
obtain the mean (μ) and variance (σ) (**Figure 2E**). We then fit the frequency distribution of the
number of localizations from the Nocodazole wash out experiments to a linear convolution of the
lognormal calibration function to estimate the number of detyrosinated subunits in these images
(**Figure 2F**). Our results showed that the puncta at early time points after Nocodazole washout
corresponded to 1-3 detyrosinated sites and the proportion of single, double, and triple
detyrosinated sites changes over time. In particular, the puncta at 30-60 min after Nocodazole
washout were predominantly (~60%) single detyrosinated sites with only a minor population of 2
and 3 detyrosinated sites (**Figure 2F**). At 90 min, the proportion of puncta containing single
detyrosinated sites decreased and the proportion of puncta containing 3 or more detyrosinated
sites increased (**Figure 2F**). These results further suggest that nascent detyrosination occurs at
a limited (mostly single) number of subunits sparsely and sporadically distributed along the length
of the microtubule.

Previously, we showed that the majority of detyrosinated microtubules are also acetylated,
although acetylated microtubules constitute a much higher proportion of the total microtubule
network [16]. Acetylation occurs on Lys40 on α-tubulin, which is located in the microtubule lumen.
To compare the kinetics of acetylation to those of detyrosination, we repeated the Nocodazole
washout experiments and stained for acetylated tubulin. The recovery of acetylation after
Nocodazole washout occurred much faster than detyrosination. We observed a significant amount
of long stretches of acetylation along microtubules at 15 min post Nocodazole washout, and by
30-60 min, a large proportion of microtubules were already acetylated along their entire length
(**Figure S3**). These results are consistent with previous reports [43] and in contrast to our results
with detyrosination, which starts at sparse, limited sites and accumulates with much slower
kinetics in cells.

Single molecules of VASH bind to microtubules stochastically and show distinct mobility modes.

Our super-resolution data suggest that detyrosination occurs stochastically and on only a few subunits at a time on microtubules. To further support this mechanism, we turned to single molecule imaging of the major carboxypeptidase, Vasohibin (VASH) [3, 4], in live cells. Mammals have two isoforms of VASH, VASH1 and VASH2, both of which constitutively complex with the small Vasohibin-binding protein (SVBP) [44] which is a 66-amino acid peptide containing mainly an alpha helix. The two VASH isoforms share conserved structures, including their catalytic cores, albeit recent Cryo-EM results showed some differences in their microtubule binding pose [32, 45].

To determine how individual VASH proteins interact with microtubules in live cells, we co-expressed human SVBP-Myc-FLAG and human VASH1 or VASH2 with a C-terminal Halo Tag from a lentiviral vector in the human U2OS cells, the microtubules of which are largely tyrosinated [46]. Detyrosination significantly increased upon ectopic WT VASH expression compared to uninfected cells (**Figure 3A**), demonstrating that the VASH-Halo/SVBP complex is active. To track single molecules of VASH, we labeled Halo-tag with its ligand conjugated with JF549 [47]. We further labeled microtubules in live U2OS cells with Tubulin tracker (**Figure S4A**) and used the microtubule images as a mask to discard cytosolic VASH molecules that did not overlap with microtubules and only analyzed those trajectories that substantially overlapped with labeled microtubules (**Figures 3B and S4B**). As the microtubule image was recorded as a snapshot prior to the single molecule tracking and the end of the single molecule movie is shifted in time by 130 seconds from the microtubule snapshot, we checked for the stability of the microtubules during this time period (**Figure S4A**). Most regions of the microtubule network overlapped but some microtubules showed a modest amount of shift in this time period. Hence, to account for the shift, we considered trajectories that had a minimum of 20% overlap with the microtubule mask to be microtubule associated (Methods).

As previously observed, VASH was predominantly cytosolic (**Figure S5**) [3, 4]. However, those trajectories that overlapped with the microtubule mask showed two types of behavior. A proportion of VASH molecules exhibited diffusive behavior on microtubules, sometimes moving bi-directionally for short time periods along a single microtubule (**Figures 3C and S4C, Supplementary Movies S1 and S2**). In some cases, we observed prolonged diffusion of VASH along microtubules, in particular in regions where multiple microtubules crisscrossed (**Figure S4D and Supplementary Movies S3 and S4**). A second population of VASH molecules exhibited highly confined motion at a given spot on microtubules for a short period of time before diffusing

out of focus (**Figures 3C and S4C, Supplementary Movies S5 and S6**). In some select cases,
we observed switching behavior between Brownian and confined motion in a single trajectory
(**Figure S4E, Supplementary Movie S7**). We carried out mean square displacement analysis for
trajectories that contained at least 10 frames (or 0.5 s in tracking time) and classified the motions
of VASH WT enzyme molecules into three categories: confined (having an MSD alpha coefficient
≤ 0.8), Brownian (having an MSD alpha coefficient between 0.8-1.5) and directed (having an MSD
alpha coefficient ≥ 1.5). The directed trajectories constituted a minimal proportion of all trajectories
(2-3%) (**Figures 3D and 3E, Table 1**). These trajectories were also mostly short lived and are
likely due to tracking errors or mis-classification due to limitations of the MSD analysis.
Interestingly, roughly equal proportion of VASH molecules exhibited Brownian (59.7%, 56.1% for
VASH1 and VASH2 respectively) or confined motion (35.9%, 40.6% for VASH1 and VASH2
respectively) on microtubules (**Figure 3E, Table 1**).

To determine the dwell time of the VASH molecules on microtubules, we fit the residence time for
Brownian and confined trajectories to a double exponential. We took the long time constant after
photobleaching correction (**Figure S4F** and see Methods) to represent the specific interaction of
VASH with microtubules as is standard in single molecule tracking experiments [48-50]. The
Brownian residence time was 1.29 s for VASH1, and 1.70 s for VASH2, and the confined
residence time was 2.53 s and 3.00 s for VASH1 and VASH2, respectively (**Figures 3F, 3G, and
Table 1**). These results show that in both cases, the residence time is brief, and VASH interacts
only transiently with microtubules. Based on the previously reported K_{cat} of VASH1 [33], which is
44.5/min (or 0.74/sec), the dwell time of both motion types only allows 1-2 catalytic cycles per
interaction with the microtubule, which is consistent with our estimation of the number of
detyrosinated sites in nascent detyrosinated puncta in super-resolution images of Nocodazole
washout cells (**Figure 2F**). In addition, in our single molecule tracking videos, especially in cells
with relatively high expression of VASH, the VASH trajectories appeared to overlap with most if
not all microtubules (**Figure S4G**). We have also observed that the number of detyrosinated
microtubules was much higher in VASH-EGFP overexpressing cells compared to untransfected
cells (**Figure S5**). Additionally, Taxol treatment drastically increased both the kinetics of
detyrosination and the percentage of detyrosinated microtubules (82 ± 25 % of total microtubule
after 3 hr Taxol treatment, **Figure S6**), which is consistent with previous literature [3, 5, 51].
Overall, these results support that VASH can bind and interact with all microtubules. Further, we
did not observe multiple VASH molecules binding to the same region consecutively, which is also
consistent with the super-resolution data and suggests that microtubule binding cooperativity is
likely not the dominant mechanism for detyrosination to build up continuously on a microtubule.

It is possible that VASH molecules may distinguish the detyrosinated versus tyrosinated α-tubulin
tails on the microtubules, which may promote a preference for VASH to bind and dwell longer on
microtubules with more tyrosinated tubulins to allow more catalytic cycles. To test this possibility,
we carried out single molecule tracking experiments of VASH1 and VASH2 at 30 min post
Nocodazole washout, when microtubules are largely reassembled but detyrosination is still mostly
absent (**Figure 1**). We found that the percentage of Brownian and confined motions of either
VASH1 or VASH2 were similar at 30-minute Nocodazole washout to the conditions where
detyrosination has been established (**Figure S7, Table 1**). The residence time of Brownian (1.34
and 1.70 sec for VASH1 and VASH2) and confined (1.38 and 2.23 sec for VASH1 and VASH2)
motions were also comparable and if anything, slightly shorter than those from cells that have
more established detyrosination (**Figure S7, Table 1**). This suggests that VASH does not dwell
longer on more tyrosinated microtubules in cells.

A microtubule binding mutant of VASH2 exhibits reduced dwell-time on microtubules.

Numerous correlative structural and functional experiments demonstrated specific mutations that
separate VASH's microtubule-binding from its free tubulin tail binding or its catalytic core. [3, 4,
32, 33, 44, 51-53]. To probe what factors can affect VASH's residence time, we tested a previously
reported VASH2 mutant (VASH2 4A) that is defective in microtubule-binding and has reduced
detyrosination efficiency (**Figures 3A and 3F-G**) [51]. Single molecule tracking of this mutant
showed that the defect in microtubule binding resulted in a significant decrease in the residence
time of both confined and Brownian trajectories (**Figures 3F and 3G**) without changing the
proportion of each motion type (**Figure 3E**). These results suggest that having a long enough
dwell time on the microtubule is important for VASH's ability to efficiently detyrosinate α-tubulin
tails. Given that the decrease of bulk detyrosination level in V2 4A is much more pronounced than
the decrease we observed in residence time (**Figures 3A and 3G**), it is possible that the on rate
of this mutant is also lower compared to the WT. However, we cannot determine the association
rate constant (k_{on}) of VASH as this rate constant is concentration dependent and the
concentrations of VASH in individual cells are unknown. Interestingly, we have also found that
the VASH1 residence time is modestly lower compared to VASH2 in both confined and Brownian
motions (**Figures 3F, 3G and S7, Table 1**), and that the relative detyrosination efficiency of
VASH1 is also modestly lower than VASH2 (~0.7 to 1, **Figure 3G**).

We further tested whether catalytic activity is crucial for VASH's dwell time and interaction with
microtubules. We tracked the single molecules of the catalytically inactive mutant VASH2 C158A
(V2 C158A), which is virtually incapable of detyrosinating microtubules (**Figures 3A and 3G**) [51,

52]. The percentage of Brownian and confined motions of the V2 C158A was similar to WT VASH2
as were the residence time of Brownian and confined trajectories (**Figures 3E and 3F-G, Table**
1). These results suggest that VASH molecules do not change their mode of interaction with
microtubules regardless of whether they catalyze detyrosination events.

Simulations support that a detyrosination-stabilization feedback mechanism can generate
a subpopulation of microtubules enriched with detyrosination

Collectively, both super-resolution microscopy and single molecule tracking experiments suggest
that detyrosination is largely limited to only a few subunits at a time and occurs stochastically on
microtubules. If detyrosination is established through stochastic and short-lived interactions
between VASH enzymes and the microtubule network, it remains unclear how only a small subset
of microtubules become enriched in detyrosination along their length. It has been shown that,
through interaction with MAPs, detyrosinated microtubules become more stable. A previous in
vitro reconstitution study showed that the level of microtubule dynamicity scales with the level of
tyrosination [8]. Given that globally stabilizing microtubules in cells using Taxol accelerated the
kinetics of detyrosination and increased the overall detyrosination levels (~ 3-fold increase,
Figure S6) [4, 5, 32], we hypothesized that the enrichment of detyrosination on a subset of
microtubules starts from a stochastic process, but as a result of stochastic variations in the levels
of detyrosination among individual microtubules, a subset of microtubules may become more
stabilized than others. Consequently, this more stable sub-population of microtubules may
accumulate more detyrosination, while the remaining microtubules turnover more readily and lose
detyrosination. This feedback between detyrosination and stabilization can then lead to the
appearance of aged, highly detyrosinated microtubules among young, tyrosinated microtubules.

Because the stability and detyrosination level of the microtubules cannot be readily determined
and tracked simultaneously in live cells, we turned to simulations to assess whether a stochastic
model with detyrosination-dependent microtubule stability can recapitulate experimentally
observed detyrosination properties. Instead of mean-field kinetic models, which report only bulk
microtubule dynamics [54, 55], we developed a computational model which additionally allows us
to visualize the 3D spatiotemporal features of microtubule detyrosination by explicitly simulating
the dynamics of individual microtubules, enzymes and the detyrosination states of the α-tubulin
subunits. In our system (**Figure 4**), the microtubule (green) minus ends were stably embedded in
the inner sphere representing the centrosome (pink) and the plus ends experience dynamic

instability through Monte Carlo simulations [56, 57] within the outer spherical boundary
representing the cell plasma membrane (green). Simultaneously, VASH molecules (small
diffusing spheres) simulated via reactive Brownian dynamics, are capable of binding, unbinding
and detyrosinating individual microtubules with certain probabilities estimated from experiments
(**Figure 4 and Supplementary Movie S8**).

Parameters and assumptions of the simulations

Based on prior literature, our simulations assume the detyrosinated α-tubulins are stably present
on the microtubule until it is released from the microtubule upon disassembly, and all nascently
assembled microtubules, including the rescued segments are initially tyrosinated. Consequently,
detyrosination level fluctuates as the microtubule plus ends experience dynamic instability.

The simulated probabilities of diffusive versus confined movement of VASH were based on the
fractions of each motion class from our single molecule tracking data, and we assumed that VASH
detyrosinated a subunit when confined on a microtubule, with a catalytic probability derived from
the enzyme catalytic rate (0.74 s^{-1}, [33]). The probability of VASH dissociation was based on the
enzyme off-rate (1/residence time) and subsequently the binding probability was calculated based
on the previously measured k_d (0.16 μM, [51]) between VASH and Taxol-stabilized microtubules.
A complete list of parameters used in our simulations is shown in **Table 2.**

Enrichment of detyrosination arises from stochasticity, high dynamicity of microtubules
and detyrosination mediated microtubule stabilization

To the best of our knowledge, there is no established, quantitative model describing how
microtubule stability evolves as detyrosination increases on a microtubule at the molecular level.
We first assumed that stability is conferred at the individual subunit level. In this model (**Model 1**),
the plus end dynamics transition to a more stable regime each time the plus end encounters
detyrosinated subunits as it grows and shrinks. The more stable plus end has catastrophe and
shortening rates reduced by a certain factor (~65 % catastrophe frequency and ~53 % shortening
rate of the tyrosinated subunits), along with minor changes in rescue frequency and growth rate
(see **Table 2**, purple letters). The percentage changes in the catastrophe and shortening were
based on the ratios we obtained from a previous study that characterized these parameters for
both dynamic and stable populations of microtubules in the same cell type [58].

To test how this model depends on the starting stability level of the microtubules, we simulated
three different scenarios consisting of highly dynamic (set A), intermediately dynamic (set B) and

fully static microtubules (set C, **Table 3, Figure S8**). To compare to our experimental data, we
blurred our simulation resolution (0.6 nm) to the typical resolution of DNA-PAINT imaging (~30
nm, about 50-fold lower resolution than the simulation) (**Figure S9, and Methods**). The cellular
concentrations of VASH are unknown but are likely in the nanomolar range. We first studied the
early stages of detyrosination establishment, similar to our nocodazole wash-out experiments.
The buildup of detyrosination in the highly dynamic microtubules (set A) (**Figure S9**) most closely
matched our experimental data, recapitulating the early kinetics of detyrosination. When the
starting level of microtubule stability was higher (Set B and C), detyrosination accumulated faster
and at higher levels, similar to our Taxol-stabilized microtubule experiments (**Figure S6**). We next
evaluated whether detyrosination reaches a plateau once steady state is reached. We increased
the enzyme concentration to reach steady state within a reasonable simulation time and found
that detyrosination indeed plateaus at long times. Once again, as expected from our experiments
using Taxol to stabilize microtubules (Figure S6), we found that higher initial microtubule stability
led to faster detyrosination as well as higher plateau levels of detyrosination at steady state
(Figure S9A).

We then sought to determine whether detyrosination-dependent stability affects detyrosination
levels. Surprisingly, when we compared the buildup and steady state levels of detyrosination
between **Model 1** and a control simulation (**control Model**) where microtubule stability does not
change with the detyrosination state of the microtubule, we found little effect of the microtubule
stabilization resulting from the detyrosination of individual α-subunits on the overall detyrosination
levels, suggesting that the dynamicity of the microtubules overrules this stabilization (**Figure S10,**
set A). We further took advantage of our spatiotemporal model to determine whether
detyrosination becomes highly concentrated on a subpopulation of microtubules under **Model 1**.
We used the distribution of the percentage of detyrosination per microtubule to assess the
enrichment of detyrosination. If detyrosination becomes enriched on a subset of microtubules, we
would expect a bimodal distribution with a population having low and a population having high
detyrosination levels. In both the **control Model** and **Model 1**, the percent detyrosination
histograms of highly dynamic microtubules showed a broad distribution, which did not fit to a
double-Gaussian distribution (**Figure 5A**), suggesting little or no detyrosination enrichment on
microtubule sub-populations. Hence, while **Model 1** captured the early kinetics of detyrosination
buildup, it did not differ drastically from the **control Model** and did not recapitulate the enrichment
of detyrosination on a subset of microtubules. We reasoned that, under **Model 1**, the stability
conferred by detyrosination is limited by the location of the detyrosinated subunit on a microtubule,
and only the few subunits clustered near the microtubule plus end will be able to provide the

stability required to slow down depolymerization. Subunits placed far from the plus end will not
confer any stability. Alternatively, it is possible that there is a threshold level of detyrosination that
needs to accumulate for a microtubule to have increased stability, regardless of the spatial
distribution of detyrosinated subunits along the microtubule lattice. To test this hypothesis, we
established **Model 2**, in which a microtubule only becomes more stable (**Table 2**) when it reaches
a certain threshold of overall detyrosination. In this model, the evolution and steady state levels
of detyrosination did drastically differ from the **control Model** at a range of microtubule stability
thresholds (**Figure S10B**).

When the threshold in **Model 2** was set to 20%, meaning that when the detyrosination level of a
microtubule reaches 20% of its maximum possible length at microscopy resolution, this individual
microtubule will become more stable overall. Under this hypothesis, two distinct populations of
microtubules emerged based on their detyrosination percentage and length. These two
populations could be fit to two well-separated Gaussian peaks (red dashed lines, **Figure 5A,** 3rd
row, **Table S1**), suggesting that a small subpopulation of microtubules had substantially higher
detyrosination levels than the rest, which was also evident visually (**Figure 5A-B**). Such well-
defined bimodality was not observed when the threshold for microtubule stabilization was set to
5 or 10 % detyrosination levels (**Figure S11**), where microtubules gain stability more rapidly.
Similarly, we did not observe this bimodality under **Model 1** with microtubules that are more
inherently stable (set B and C, **Figure S11**). In fact, the detyrosination percentage distributions
from more stable microtubules were much less heterogeneous than those from dynamic
microtubules. This heterogeneity resulting from microtubule dynamicity likely promotes the
emergence of detyrosination enrichment on microtubule subpopulations. Indeed, a close
examination of the progression of the detyrosination on highly dynamic microtubules under **Model**
2 (with 20 % threshold) showed that relatively high level of detyrosination along the entire length
of some microtubules slowed down depolymerization allowing these microtubules to further
accumulate detyrosination. In the region shown in **Figure 5B**, a sparsely detyrosinated
microtubule lasted only 0.8 minute (**Figure 5B**, magenta arrows), whereas a highly detyrosinated
microtubule persisted for over 45 minutes (**Figure 5B,** white arrows). Particularly, we observed a
significant amount of detyrosination accumulation on this microtubule between 29.9 min and 73.6
min (**Figure 5B,** white arrows), giving rise to the phenomenon of aged, highly detyrosinated
microtubules co-existing with young tyrosinated ones (**Figure 5B**, bi-directional arrow). **Model 2**
also provided a better match to the experimental results for the early kinetics of detyrosination
buildup than **Model 1** (compare **Figure S9** and **Figure S12**).

Altogether, our simulations suggest that the enrichment of detyrosination on a sub-population of
microtubules requires two components (**Figure 6**): (1) highly dynamic microtubules that lead to
the emergence of detyrosination heterogeneity via a stochastic detyrosination process, and (2) a
threshold-based microtubule stability mechanism that leads to the enrichment of detyrosination
on a subset of microtubules that accumulate a certain level of detyrosination. When microtubules
are more stable (e.g. through Taxol stabilization), the initial heterogeneity in microtubule
detyrosination as well as the feedback from detyrosination induced stabilization are lost such that
detyrosination is no longer enriched on a subpopulation of microtubules

Discussion:

Numerous PTMs are found in selected subsets of microtubules in cells, and how microtubules
are segregated into these functional subpopulations has been a long-standing question [2].
Detyrosination is among the first microtubule PTMs [59, 60] that were identified and, unlike other
PTMs such as acetylation, detyrosination can be highly compartmentalized to bias organelle
transport mediated by motors [16, 31]. Using a combination of quantitative super-resolution, live
cell single molecule tracking and spatiotemporal stochastic simulations, we propose that
detyrosination is concentrated on a small subset of microtubules through a stabilization-
detyrosination feedback mechanism starting from a stochastic process. Early after nocodazole
washout, the quantitative DNA-PAINT super-resolution images provided us the insight that
detyrosination occurs primarily on one α-tubulin tail at a time (~60% of all initial sites contain a
single detyrosinated subunit) and these initial detyrosinated sites are many tubulin subunits away
from each other (median distance, 179 nm) (**Figure 2**). Regardless of the type of enzyme that is
at play, these results suggest that consecutive or cooperative detyrosination on the microtubules
is not prevalent, instead, detyrosination is sporadic. Furthermore, throughout the time course post
washout, the proportion of single detyrosinated sites decreased but persisted and these sites
appeared closer to each other, suggesting that continuous detyrosination in untreated cells results
from the merging of separate, single detyrosination events as they appear more frequently with
time.

We tracked VASH as the primary detyrosinase, which contributes to the majority of total
detyrosination in many cell lines and in the mouse brain [3-5]. These data showed that the brief
interaction of VASH with the microtubule only allows 1-2 catalytical cycles for VASH to
detyrosinate, which is consistent with the results from our super-resolution quantification. In all

our experiments, VASH1 and VASH2 do not show major differences except that VASH2
expression results in modestly higher detyrosination than VASH1 (**Figure 3A**), and accordingly,
VASH2 consistently has a slightly longer dwell time in all motion categories (**Figures 3F and 3G**,
Table1). These results are consistent with previous literature showing conserved structures
between these two isoforms. These results are also consistent with a recent in vitro study, which
used total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy to track the binding of VASH1 and VASH2
to microtubules [45]. We note that in the in vitro work VASH2 residence time on the microtubule
was dramatically longer than VASH1 residence time [45]. It is possible that in the cell context,
presence of other microtubule associated proteins (MAPs) and PTMs dampens the dramatic
differences in the binding mode of these two enzymes, as we found that the residence time in
cells differ only slightly.   Interestingly, about half of the total trajectories are diffusive on
microtubules, and given the slow catalytic rate of VASH (0.74 s^{-1} for VASH1 [33]) and the limited
number of detyrosination events found in detyrosination puncta in our super-resolution images,
these diffusive events that span many tubulin subunits within 1-2 seconds are unlikely the
detyrosinating events. We interpret that VASH, through the diffusion, surveys the microtubule
surface to locate a substrate, as we have observed a small fraction of trajectories that transition
from diffusive behavior to confined behavior (**Figure S4E** and **Supplementary Movie S7**). Such
"facilitated 1D diffusion" mechanism has previously been suggested to help transcription factors
find their binding sites within chromatin more rapidly compared to 3D diffusion [61, 62]. Similarly,
diffusion along the microtubule can potentially help reduce VASH's search time for a target site.
So far, it is unclear what governs VASH to be confined as opposed to diffusing along the
microtubule lattice. We have found no evidence suggesting that VASH changes its behavior if the
α-tubulin tail is already detyrosinated, because we observed similar proportion of diffusive and
confined motions when we tracked VASH early after nocodazole washout, when microtubules are
mostly tyrosinated. These results are consistent with in vitro work showing very little differences
in the interaction of VASH with tyrosinated and detyrosinated microtubules [45].

It is possible that MAPs present on microtubules may influence whether VASH can be stably
bound to one α-tubulin tail for the amount of time the enzyme requires for detyrosination. For
example, a recent study suggested that presence of MAP4, but not phosphorylated MAP4, on
microtubules may block the local access of VASH2 in cardiomyocytes [63]. Previous cryo-EM
studies showed that VASH, presumably stably bound to microtubules, engages with two α-
tubulins from two adjacent protofilaments [32, 45], and structural studies also suggested that the
C-terminal tail of α-tubulin is supposedly occupied by the catalytic pocket of VASH for
detyrosination to occur [32, 33, 51, 52].

In our simulations, we constructed an idealized system incorporating the two main mechanisms,
the stochastic detyrosination and the stabilization of microtubules by detyrosination. While similar
approaches have been employed to characterize diverse biological processes involving molecular
recognition, such as the search of regulatory proteins for specific DNA-binding targets [64, 65]
and the clustering of chemotaxis sensing complexes [66, 67], to the best of our knowledge, this
is the first implementation of reaction-diffusion algorithms to characterize how dynamic
microtubules are modified by an enzyme over time with 3D spatial resolution. Our simulations
captured the nascent detyrosination kinetics shown by our experiments and showed that the
levels and kinetics of detyrosination are dependent on microtubule stability and enzyme
concentration. We also observed the appearance of "old" microtubules highly enriched in
detyrosination next to "young" tyrosinated microtubules. These results support that in the
stochastic system, the dynamicity of the tyrosinated microtubules and the stability feedback
mechanism together promote the enriched detyrosination (**Figures 5 and 6**).

It is unclear how microtubule stability precisely evolves as detyrosination progresses on
microtubules in the cells. In our simulations, we have found that a threshold-based model (**Model
2**) led to a much more pronounced detyrosination enrichment on a subset of microtubules. This
is possibly because this model is independent of spatial distribution of detyrosination on a
microtubule whereas in **Model 1**, microtubule stability changes most significantly when
detyrosinated tubulin are clustered near the plus end. We also observed partially detyrosinated
microtubules in all simulations, which were not observed experimentally in epithelial cells. This
discrepancy could be due to a couple of reasons. First, it is possible that we underestimated the
stability of detyrosinated microtubules. Indeed, in either **Model 1** or **Model 2**, the change in
stability upon detyrosination is only a factor of ~2 in reduction of catastrophe frequency and
shortening rate, which produced limited effect on overall detyrosination level. Second, the
microtubule stability increase is likely more complex in cells than what our models assume. In
Model 1, when stability change is conferred at single tubulin level, the overall stability will continue
to rise with detyrosination increase, but a microtubule with a detyrosinated subunit close to the
plus end will not depolymerize as readily as a microtubule with a detyrosinated subunit close to
the minus end, despite having similar levels of detyrosination. In **Model 2**, the microtubule stability
goes through a binary switch rather than a continuous or step-wise increase as the detyrosination
builds up, which limits the lifespan of the highly detyrosinated microtubules that may otherwise
persist even longer [8, 25, 26, 68]. Additionally, in our simulations the detyrosination levels on the
enriched microtubule sub-population did not reach 100% even after resolution blurring, regardless
of the simulation model. This discrepancy between the simulations and experimental results is

likely due to the fact that our segmentation algorithms may miss small gaps in detyrosination that
exist along the microtubule lattice, leading to an artificially higher level of detyrosination. It is
possible and likely that the entire lattice is not fully saturated with detyrosinated subunits.

It has been observed that unlike in epithelial cells or neurons, some other cell types do not have
distinct detyrosinated versus tyrosinated microtubule populations, but rather partial detyrosination
on many microtubules like in our simulations [19]. Decades of literature have provided highly
variable, cell type-dependent measurements for the catastrophe and shortening rates of
microtubules. This is likely due to various factors, including concentration of VASH and MAPs,
and the composition of MAPs, which likely account for the cell type-dependent degree of stability.
These variables may impact how restricted or widespread detyrosination is depending on the cell
type. Therefore, simulating detyrosination process with additional models for microtubule
stabilization may generate testable predictions for future experiments.

It is interesting to postulate whether this feedback mechanism can explain enrichment of other
PTMs on subsets of microtubules, for example, stable microtubules are also enriched in
acetylation and glutamylation [1, 2]. Incorporating the results and simulations from our study, we
reason that the microtubules which persist longer will allow the time-dependent accumulation of
PTMs if polymers are the preferred substrate and the enzyme acts on a time scale that is much
slower than the inherent stable periods of the microtubules. Additionally, we found that the
enzyme concentration can strongly influence the outcome when the enzyme is diffusion limited
(**Figure S10A**). In our study and a previous report [43], L40 acetylation is re-established in the
cells much faster than detyrosination after nocodazole washout and acetylation is also much more
prominent than detyrosination, occurring on 70% of all microtubules. Previous in vitro work indeed
showed that acetylation, similar to what we find for detyrosination in cells, is stochastic and that
TAT can access and enter the microtubule lumen not only through microtubule ends but also
within the microtubule lattice [34]. These results are consistent with the cellular patterns of
widespread acetylation and suggest that two different stochastically acting enzymes can give rise
to differential modification kinetics and different levels of modified microtubules, likely by tuning
the enzyme concentration, the enzyme catalytic rate and the enzyme binding time to the
microtubule with respect to the timescale of microtubule stability. It is also possible that since
acetylation happens in the microtubule lumen, in cells, TAT becomes trapped inside the
microtubule and that this confinement helps build continuous stretches of acetylation [43]. It has
been shown that glutamylation is more efficient on the microtubule polymer as well (Audebert et
al., 1993), however, the relationship between glutamylation and stability is complex, for example,

polyglutamylation regulates microtubule severing mediated by spastin and katanin in a biphasic
manner, therefore at an intermediate level, polyglutamylation results in less stable microtubules
whereas high level polyglutamylation stabilizes microtubules [69-73]. Since tubulin PTMs play
diverse roles and that the modifying enzymes have different catalytic rates (reviewed in [2]), the
proposed feedback mechanism between PTMs and microtubule stability in our study provides a
general framework for determining the kinetics, steady-state levels and patterns of modified
microtubules in different cell types. Our experimental and computational approach should provide
useful tools in studying how various PTMs are established in the cellular context.

 Figures

Figure 1: Super-resolution reveals detyrosination is established gradually from small,
disperse puncta over time.

Representative two-color DNA-PAINT images showing detyrosinated α-tubulin (magenta) and β-
tubulin (green) in fixed BSC-1 cells that are treated with 0.1% DMSO (untreated), or 33 μM
Nocodazole for 3 hr at 37 ºC, and at 30-, 60-, 90-, 120-, 150-min after the Nocodazole washout.
Yellow boxes indicate the regions selected for the zoom images on the right most column. Scale
bars, 5 μm. Scale bars in zoom, 500 nm.

Figure 2: Diffraction limited, nascent detyrosinated puncta correspond to 1-3 detyrosination sites accumulate slowly over time and merge into larger detyrosinated areas.

Superplots showing quantitative measurement of detyrosination cluster area (**A**), length (**B**), and the distance to the nearest neighbor cluster (**C**) at each time point after Nocodazole washout shown in Figure1. Many detyrosination events have the appearance of small puncta at early time

points (30-min to 60-min), but appear larger and closer to each other at later time points. The

measurement of individual puncta at each time point were pooled from 3-5 independent

experiments. The scatter plot was overlaid with box and whisker showing 25th, 75th percentiles as

well as the median values corresponding to each independent experiment (open blue diamonds

in (**A**), open purple square in (**B**) and open brown circle in (**C**)). The red crosses indicate the mean

values of all data points from each time point. For the statistics of each time point after Nocodazole

washout 30 min, n = 2204 puncta, N=15 cells from 5 experiments; 60 min, n = 7846 puncta, N

=17 cells from 4 experiments; 90 min, n = 10686 puncta, N = 17 cells from 3 experiments; 120

min, n =5957 puncta, N = 13 cells from 3 experiments; 150 min, n = 7631 puncta, N = 9 cells from

3 experiments. One-way ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparison were performed on median

values from individual experiments. n.s, not significant, **P < 0.01, *P < 0.05, P > 0.05. (**D**) A

representative two-color DNA-PAINT super-resolution image used for the purpose of single-

detyrosination site calibration, showing detyrosinated α-tubulin and acetylated α-tubulin in

untreated BSC-1 cells. Detyrosination appears as small puncta instead of being continuous

because the primary antibody was used at 1 to 2500 dilution, a concentration that is 25-fold lower

than the saturating amount used in Figure 1. Scale bar in the merge, 2 µm, scale bar in zoom,

200 nm. (**E**) The number of localizations per puncta in images from (**A**) was plotted as a histogram

(red) and fitted to a lognormal calibration function (blue, see Methods). The mean (μ) and variance

(σ) extracted from the fit are shown. A total of 559 puncta from two experiments were pooled. (**F**)

Percentage of puncta having single-, double-, triple or more detyrosinated sites was obtained by

the fit of the experimental data for the number of localizations per puncta (red) to a weighted

convolution of single, double, triple (or more) calibration functions. The weights were extracted as

the percentages. Black dotted lines show the residuals from the fit.

Figure 3: Single molecule tracking reveals VASH briefly interacts with microtubules and catalyzes 1- 2 detyrosination events at a time.

(**A**) A Western blot showing the ectopic expression of VASH1 WT-, VASH2 WT-, V2 C158A-, and V2 4A-Halo in U2OS cells and the corresponding detyrosination levels (anti detyrosinated α-tubulin). GAPDH was used as a housekeeping protein as a loading control. The detyrosination level significantly increased upon VASH1-Halo WT or VASH2-Halo WT expression. (**B**) Schematic showing the experimental and analysis workflow. (**C**) Representative trajectories showing Brownian and confined motions, each from a single JF549 labeled VASH2-Halo molecule. The trajectories overlapped with the confocal images of microtubules labeled with Tubulin Tracker Deep red (magenta) in live U2OS cells. The duration(t) of each trajectory is indicated. (**D**) Example MSD curves showing a diffusive motion (red) and a confined motion respectively. Insets: the corresponding trajectories. The y-axes are color-coded as the trajectories and scaled to the MSD range of each motion type. The α exponent for each curve is shown. (**E**) Motion classifications for VASH1, VASH2 and VASH2 mutants. The fractions of confined, Brownian and directed motions are plotted as mean ± range (total of 4047, 2992, 2858, and 3974 trajectories pooled from 38 cells, 37 cells, 39 cells and 37 cells for VASH1, VASH2, V2 C158A, and V2 4A respectively, N= 2 experiments). Representative trajectories for each motion type are shown on the right (**F**) Double exponential fits for extracting residence time for confined or Brownian motions for each VASH variant. The insets show zoomed regions (red boxes) of the fits. (**G**) Residence time for confined and Brownian motions extracted from the long time constant of the exponential fit and corrected for photobleaching. Error bars correspond to the 95% confidence interval. The detyrosination level was calculated as a normalized value against the detyrosination level of VASH2 WT, using the western blot in (**A**). Krauskal-Willis test with Dunn's multiple comparison test was performed on all trajectories from each condition. *** $P<0.001$, **** $P<0.0001$, $P> 0.05$, ns, not significant.

Figure 4: Schematics of our computational model and microtubule dynamic processes. (A) Simulated system. VASH enzymes (dark blue circles) diffusing inside the cell are capable to bind to existing microtubules (cylinders) and detyrosinate them (purple regions represent detyrosinated areas while green regions represent tyrosinated ones), locally increasing the stability of the microtubule. Light blue circles represent a previous position of a VASH enzyme in the trajectory (black arrow). **(B)** Enzyme dynamics. Upon binding to a microtubule (what happens with a probability p_{bind}), a diffusing enzyme either becomes confined to an α-tubulin subunit with probability p_{conf}, or it performs a random walk along the surface of the microtubule with a probability p_{brown}. Confined enzymes and diffusing enzymes are capable of unbinding from a microtubule with probabilities p_{unconf} and $p_{unbrown}$, respectively. Confined enzymes are also capable of detyrosinating the α-tubulin subunit they are bound to with probability p_{det}. **(C)** Dynamic instability of a single microtubule. An α-tubulin subunit with growth and shortening rates v_g and v_s, respectively, and rescue and catastrophe frequencies k_r and k_c, respectively, changes its dynamic properties upon detyrosination ($v_{g_{det}}$, $v_{s_{det}}$, $k_{r_{det}}$, and $k_{c_{det}}$). A complete list of parameters are reported in **Table 2**.

Figure 5: Due to their increased stability, highly detyrosinated microtubules co-exist with newly polymerized ones. (A) (LEFT) Representative images showing zoomed regions of highly dynamic (set A) microtubules at total detyrosination level of 12% with 500 nM VASH. A VASH concentration higher than the one considered in **Figure S9** was used to achieve detyrosination

levels of 12% for our dynamic microtubules in manageable simulation times. Detyrosinated α-
tubulin subunits are shown in magenta and β-tubulin in green. Scale bars, 2 μm. Images were
blurred to experimental resolution (Methods). **(RIGHT)** Histograms of the total microtubule length,
detyrosinated segment length, and percentage of detyrosination. Fully depolymerized
microtubules were neglected in our histograms. Percentages of detyrosination were fitted by
double-Gaussian distributions (red dashed lines), and the fit parameters are listed in
Supplementary Table 1. **(B) (LEFT)** Images showing a region of randomly selected 50 out of
250 microtubules (simulated using Model 2) in the presence of 500 nM VASH at time points and
total detyrosination levels indicated. Detyrosinated α-tubulin subunits are shown in magenta and
β-tubulin in green. Scale bars: 2.5 μm. Yellow open boxes indicate regions selected for zoom.
Images were blurred to experimental resolution. Note that a tyrosinated microtubule (magenta
arrows) rapidly shortened (0.8-minute lifetime) while a highly detyrosinated one persisted for over
45 minutes (white arrows). The orange bi-direction arrow shows an example of a highly
detyrosinated microtubule positioned right next to a tyrosinated one at 29.9 min. Note that they
mostly tyrosinated microtubule had already disassembled by 73.6 min. **(RIGHT)** Histograms of
the percentage of detyrosination of the total 250 microtubules at the indicated time points.

Figure 6: The feedback between microtubule stability and detyrosination.

In the cells where MAPs are present, VASH stochastically acts on microtubules and by chance detyrosination level varies among different microtubules. Over time, some microtubules accumulate more detyrosination above a certain threshold and become stable (shown in light blue), while the rest turnover readily and lose detyrosination. The stability of the microtubule allows more accumulation of detyrosination events (exemplified by a purple microtubule here) and eventually lead to much higher stability when detyrosination reaches an even higher threshold. The stability and detyrosination form a feedback loop, indicated by the anti-parallel arrows. It is possible that additional factors can stabilize microtubules regardless of microtubule detyrosination level, which will accelerate the evolution of stability, also leading to accumulation of more detyrosination on stable microtubules.

724 **Tables**

725 **Table 1. Residence time and percent population of motion classes.**

VASH WT and mutants	Brownian				Confined			
	Percent of total population	Residence time (s)	95% confidence interval (s)		Percent of total population	Residence time (s)	95% confidence interval (s)	
			upper limit	lower limit			upper limit	lower limit
VASH 1 WT	59.7	1.289	1.357	1.227	35.9	2.533	2.708	2.375
VASH2 WT	56.1	1.695	1.797	1.604	40.6	3.002	3.101	2.910
VASH2 C158A	56.2	1.971	2.065	1.885	40.0	2.601	2.774	2.450
VASH2 4A	58.0	1.482	1.544	1.425	37.5	2.286	2.446	2.143
VASH1 WT (washout)	51.3	1.336	1.393	1.284	45.2	1.376	1.458	1.302
VASH2 WT (washout)	53.7	1.703	1.870	1.558	42.5	2.227	2.333	2.128

727 All residence times shown here are corrected against photobleaching (see Methods and Figure
728 S4).

731 **Table 2. Common parameters used in simulations of the microtubule array**. A timestep of

Parameter Name	Parameter Value
Simulation Time Step, Δt	0.01 s
Temperature, T	310 K
Cell Diameter, d_{cell}	25 μm [this work]
Centrosome Diameter, d_{center}	1 μm [74, 75]
Microtubule Diameter, d_t	25 nm [76, 77]
Enzyme Diameter, d_e	7 nm [33, 51-53]
Nucleation Rate per Site, k_n	0.0005 s^{-1} [57]
Number of Dimers in a MT n_d	1624 μm^{-1} [57]
Probability of Diffusive VASH, p_{brown}	0.605 [this work]
Probability of Confined VASH, p_{conf}	0.395 [this work]
Concentration-dependent growth factor k_{on}	0.0167 mM^{-1}s^{-1} [57]
Ratio of Shortening Rates, $v_s/v_{s_{det}}$	1.54 [58]
Ratio of Catastrophe Frequencies, $k_c/k_{c_{det}}$	1.89 [58]
Ratio of Growth Rates, $v_g/v_{g_{det}}$	0.93 [58]
Ratio of Rescue Frequencies, $k_r/k_{r_{det}}$	0.95 [58]
Translational Diffusion Coefficient in MT, D_{1D}	0.0079 μm^2/s [this work]
Diffusion Coefficient in Bulk, D_{3D}	1.067 μm^2/s [this work]
Rotational Diffusion Coefficient, D_θ	0.7 rad^2/s
Binding probability p_{bind}	1-e$^{-0.48\Delta t}$ [this work]
Unbinding Probability while Diffusive, $p_{unbrown}$	1-e$^{-0.64\Delta t}$ [this work]
Unbinding Probability while Confined, p_{unconf}	1-e$^{-0.33\Delta t}$ [this work]
Detyrosination Probability, p_{det}	1-e$^{-0.74\Delta t}$ [this work]

733 A temporal resolution of 0.01 s was selected as an optimal value balancing total simulation time

734 and accuracy. A rotational diffusion coefficient of 0.7 rad^2/s was selected to randomize the point

735 of escape of the bound enzyme on the microtubule. Binding, unbinding and detyrosination

736 probabilities (p) are related to their associated times (T) by means of $p = e^{-\Delta t/T}$.

738 **Table 3. Parameter sets for microtubule plus-end dynamic instability.**

Parameter	Set A	Set B
Initial Growth Rate v_{g_0} (µm/s)	0.142	0.192
Shortening Rate v_s (µm/s)	0.188	0.218
Catastrophe Frequency k_c (s^{-1})	0.053	0.026
Rescue Frequency k_r (s^{-1})	0.086	0.175
Tubulin Concentration (µM)	25	35

740 Parameters were selected based on a previous study [57]. For **Set C**, microtubules were kept at

741 their maximum lengths with their plus ends fixed at the cell boundary throughout the simulations.

Captions for videos

Movie S1 A movie showing Brownian events (a and b in Fig 3C) from two VASH2-Halo molecules, pseudo-colored in yellow on microtubules (magenta) labeled by Tubulin Tracker. Scale bar, 1 µm. The movie is playing at 1× speed or 20 frames per second (fps).

Movie S2 A movie showing a VASH1-Halo molecule (Fig S4C) diffusing back and forth on the microtubules. Scale bar, 1 µm. The movie is playing at 1× speed or 20 fps.

Movie S3 A movie showing a prolonged diffusion from a VASH1-Halo molecule (Fig S4D) on the microtubule dense area. Scale bar, 1 µm. The movie is playing at 1× speed or 20 fps.

Movie S4 A movie showing a prolonged diffusion from a VASH2-Halo molecule (Fig S4D) on the microtubule-dense area where microtubules possibly bundle or criss-cross. Scale bar, 1 µm. The movie is playing at 1× speed or 20 fps.

Movie S5 A movie corresponding to Fig 3C, showing a VASH2-Halo (JF549) molecule is confined on Tubulin Tracker labeled microtubules (magenta). Scale bar, 1 µm. The movie is playing at 1× speed or 20 fps.

Movie S6 A movie showing a VASH1-Halo molecule is confined on a microtubule (Fig S4C). Scale bar, 1 µm. The movie is playing at 1× speed or 20 fps.

Movie S7 An example movie showing a VASH2-Halo molecule (Fig S4E) transition between Brownian and confined motions while staying on microtubules. Scale bar, 1 µm. The movie is playing at 1× speed or 20 fps.

Movie S8. An example movie showing a system of 10 microtubules (cylinders) and 10 VASH molecules (spheres) in a cell of radius 5 µm. Microtubule and enzyme diameters were increased for visualization purposes as well as the size of an α-subunit. Tyrosinated regions of the microtubules appear in green while detyrosinated regions appear in purple. Multiple parameters were modified to show faster detyrosination. As an example, one VASH molecule (black) was tracked during the simulation time and its cumulative trajectory is shown in the lower left panel.

Methods

Cell culture expression constructs.

BSC-1 or U2OS cells (BS-C-1; CCL-26; American Type Culture Collection) were routinely
maintained in MEM or DMEM media (ThermoFisher) supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) FBS, 1x
Glutamax, 1x Anti-Anti, 1 mM sodium pyruvate at 37 °C with 5% CO_2. U2OS and 293T cells were
cultured in DMEM media supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) FBS, 1x Glutamax, 1x Anti-Anti, 1 mM
sodium pyruvate at 37 °C with 5% CO_2. All culture media and supplements were purchased from
ThermoFisher.

DNA constructs and lentivirus production of VASH and SVBP coexpression

For transient expression from plasmids, VASH1-eGFP or VASH2-eGFP [51] (kind gifts from Dr.
Marie-Jo Moutin) were each co-expressed with SVBP-Myc-FLAG. Briefly, BSC-1 cells were
grown to 60% confluency in 6-well plate and were co-transfected with 500 ng each of VASH-
eGFP and SVBP-Myc-FLAG using Lipofectamine 2000 (ThermoFisher) following manufacturer's
instructions. The media was replaced the next day and the cells were trypsinized and re-seeded
onto Lab-TekII imaging chamber (Nalge Nunc, ThermoFisher). The transfected cells were imaged
~40 h post transfection.

Lentiviral expression constructs pLV- CBh-SVBP-Myc-FLAG:IRES:VASH-HALO, co-expressing
SVBP and VASH WT or mutants, were commercially made (VectorBuilder, Chicago, IL and
Genscript). Briefly, human SVBP-Myc-FLAG sequence were synthesized and inserted
downstream of a CBh promoter and VASH coding sequences were placed downstream of an
IRES element. Human VASH1 WT, VASH2 WT, VASH2 C158A, VASH2 4A coding sequences
were amplified from previously published mammalian expression constructs VASH1-eGFP,
VASH2-WT-eGFP, VASH2 C158A-eGFP, VASH2-4A-eGFP [51] (kind gifts from Dr. Marie-Jo
Moutin).

Lentiviral particles were packaged produced by co-transfecting the expression construct pLV-
CBh-SVBP-Myc-FLAG:IRES:VASH-HALO and packaging constructs (kind gifts from Dr. Ben
Prosser) pCMV-VSVG, pRSV-REV, pCgpV at 3:1:1:1 ratio into 293T cells. Briefly, 45 µg of
expression construct and 15 µg of each of the packaging constructs were mixed in 900 µl Opti-
Mem media (ThermoFisher) and subsequently mixed with 900 µl Opti-Mem media containing 90
µl Lipofectamin2000 for 5 min. The mixture was then added to a 10 cm-plate of T293 cells at 50-

60% confluency for overnight incubation at 37 ºC. The media was replaced with fresh growth

media the next day and the cells were allowed to produce virus for the next 48 hr. The media

containing the packaged viral particles were collected and spun at 100 ×g for 5 min and the

supernatant was passed through a 0.45 µm filter, aliquoted and stored at -80 ºC.

Nocodazole washout and immunostaining for DNA-PAINT

BSC-1 cells were grown in Lab-TekII imaging chamber. For cells treated with Nocodazole, the

BSC-1 growth media containing 33 µM Nocodazole was filtered through a 0.45 µm filter and

added to the cells for three hours at 37ºC. For cells that are allowed to reassemble microtubule

after Nocodazole treatment (washout conditions), the cells were then washed three times with

warm complete growth media and then incubated in growth media for different amount of time as

indicated in the results section. For washout experiments followed by Taxol treatment, cells were

quickly washed three times after Nocodazole treatment and was incubated with 2 µM Taxol or the

equivalent amount of DMSO (0.04%, vol/vol, labeled as untreated) for 30 min at 37ºC. To fix the

cells, they were briefly (10-30 s) permeabilized by incubating with extraction buffer (80 mM

PIPES-KOH pH 7.1, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.5% Triton X-100 (vol/vol), 10% glycerol (vol/vol))

warmed to 37°C and immediately replaced by the fixation buffer (3% paraformaldehyde (PFA)

and 0.1% glutaraldehyde in PBS) at 37°C for 10 min. Cells were then washed twice with PBS,

incubated with fresh 0.1% NaBH4 (wt/vol) solution for 7 min and washed again three times with

PBS. The fixed cells were then permeabilized for 10 minutes with 0.2% Triton X-100 (vol/vol) in

PBS and blocked for one hour at 25°C using Primary Blocking Buffer (10% donkey serum (vol/vol),

0.2% Triton X-100 (vol/vol), and 0.05 mg/mL sonicated salmon sperm single stranded DNA

(Stratagene, La Jolla, California) in PBS). Salmon sperm DNA was denatured at 95 ºC for 3

minutes before addition to the buffer and was included in the blocking buffer to reduce off-target

binding of DNA-PAINT secondary antibodies and imagers to nuclear DNA. Cells were then

incubated with primary antibodies at 1:100 unless otherwise indicated in the figure legends in

Primary Blocking Buffer, for one hour at 25°C or at 4ºC overnight. Following primary antibody

incubation, cells were washed once with PBS, followed by three five-minute washes with Wash

Buffer (Massive Photonics, Gräfelfing Germany). Cells were then incubated with secondary

antibodies (anti-mouse and anti-rabbit DNA-PAINT secondary antibodies, Massive Photonics) at

1:100 dilution in Antibody Incubation Buffer (Massive Photonics). Cells were then washed once

with PBS briefly, followed by three ten-minute washes with 1X Wash buffer (Massive Photonics).

Finally, cells were washed once for five minutes with PBS, and stored in fresh PBS at 4°C until
imaging.

DNA-PAINT Imaging

DNA-PAINT images were acquired using a Nanoimager (ONI, Oxford, UK) equipped with 405-,
488-, 561- and 640-nm lasers, 498-551- and 576-620-nm band-pass filters in channel 1, 666-705-
nm band-pass filters in channel 2, a 100 x 1.45 NA oil immersion objective (Olympus), and a
Hamamatsu Flash 4 V3 sCMOS camera. All images were acquired with 100 ms exposure at 30°C
using HILO illumination [78]. Before imaging, imager DNA oligos (Massive Photonics)
complementary to the conjugated secondary antibody docking oligos were diluted in Imaging
Buffer (Massive Photonics) and added to imaging wells. To perform two-color imaging, for beta
tubulin and acetylated tubulin, 0.25 nM of Imager-1 Cy3B or Imager-1 ATTO655 was used, and
5,000 total frames were acquired. This was sufficient to capture a continuous image of
microtubules, allowing for their use as a location reference for detyrosination signal in subsequent
analysis. For detyrosinated tubulin, 0.5 nM of Imager-2 Cy3B or Imager-2 ATTO655 was used,
and 10,000 total frames were acquired. The laser program (Oxford Nanoimaging) alternates
acquisitions between the two channels (100 frames of beta or acetylated tubulin followed by 200
frames of the detyrosinated tubulin) until the total 15,000 frames were recorded. For single-color
imaging of acetylated microtubules for Nocodazole washout experiments, 0.5 nM of Imager-1
Cy3B or Imager-1 ATTO655 was used were used and 10,000 total frames were acquired. Images
were then drift-corrected and filtered using the NimOS localization software (ONI) with filtering
parameters as follows: X/Y localization precision (0-30 nm), Sigma X/Sigma Y (10-150 nm). All
images regardless of experimental condition were filtered using these same parameters.

Western blot

U2OS cells were grown to 50-60% confluency in 6-well plate and incubated with 400 µl lentivirus
carrying the appropriate expression cassettes in a total volume of 1 mL culture media containing
final concentration of Polybrene at 10 µg/mL per well overnight. The lentivirus containing media
was removed the next day and the cells were trypsinized and seeded onto new wells to continue
growth for another day. The cells were trypsinized and pelleted 40 hr post viral transduction and
the pellets were solubilized with 1x LDS Sample buffer (ThermoFisher) supplemented with 1x

Sample Reducing agent (ThermoFisher) and boiled for 7 min at 98 ºC. The samples were resolved
on a 10% Bis-Tris gel (Novex) and transferred to nitrocellulose blot. The blot was incubated with
Odysee TBS blocking buffer (Licor) for 1 hr at 25 ºC followed by incubation with primary
antibodies, anti-Halo (1:1000, mouse, Promega), anti-Myc (1:100, Rabbit, Proteintech), anti-
detyrosinated α-tubulin (1:1000, Rabbit, Abcam) and anti-GAPDH (1:2000, mouse, Proteintech)
overnight, and the blot washed three times with 1xTBST (ThermoFisher) and secondary
antibodies (680-anti mouse, 800-anti rabbit) at 1:10000 for 1 hr at 25 ºC followed by three washes
of TBST. The Western blot was imaged on Licor imaging system and the signal was quantified
using the Licor imaging studio software. Detyrosination level was normalized to GAPDH level. To
compare the exogenous level of detyrosination due to the ectopic expression of VASH-Halo,
detyrosination was first quantified and normalized to GAPDH, and the basal level of detyrosination
in un-transduced U2OS was then subtracted from all conditions that express VASH-Halo. The
subtracted detyrosination level was then normalized to VASH2-Halo level. Detyrosination level
due to VASH2 WT expression was arbitrarily set to one and all other conditions were described
as a relative detyrosination level normalized to VASH2 WT (Figure 3A and 3G).

Single molecule imaging of VASH-Halo

U2OS cells were grown to 50-60% confluency in a 12-well plate and transduced with 100 µl of
lentivirus carrying the appropriate expression cassettes in a total volume of 400 µl culture media
containing final concentration of Polybrene at 10 µg/mL per well overnight. The lentivirus
containing media was removed the next day and the cells were trypsinized and seeded onto Lab-
TekII imaging chamber and allowed to grow overnight. On the day of imaging (~40 hr post viral
transduction), cells were incubated with 20 nM of Halo ligand JF549 (Promega) in growth media
for 15 min at 37 °C followed by three washes of warm growth media. Then the cells were labeled
with Tubulin Tracker Deep red (ThermoFisher) following the manufacturer's instruction. Briefly,
the cells were incubated with 1× Tubulin tracker (1 µM) in growth media for 30 min at 37 °C. The
cells were then washed three times with imaging media (L-15 lebovitz media (ThermoFisher)
supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) FBS, 1× Glutamax, 1× Anti-Anti, 10 mM HEPES, 770 µg/mL
Probenecid) and left in imaging media for imaging for no more than two hours. For single molecule
imaging post Nocodazole washout, the cells were grown, infected with lentivirus and seed
similarly as aforementioned. Prior to imaging, the cells were treated with 33 µM Nocodazole (0.45
µm membrane filtered) for 3 h at 37 ºC. The cells were then quickly washed three times with

complete DMEM culture media and incubated with Tubulin Tracker Deep red for 30 min at 37 ⁰C,
followed by similar wash and imaging steps with imaging media.

Single molecules and microtubules are visualized and recorded using an Nanoimager (ONI)
warmed to 30 °C. For each recording, the microtubule confocal image was first captured in the
confocal mode on Nanoimager with 500 ms exposure per slice using 640 nm laser at 65%-75%
power for 10 consecutive slices. Immediately after, VASH-Halo was imaged in the widefield mode
with HILO illumination using 560 nm laser at 15% laser power and the images were acquired at
50 ms exposure for 2500 frames, or a total of 125 s.

Data Analysis

DNA PAINT

DNA PAINT images were analyzed using a custom-written Matlab code modified from a previous
version [16]. Drift-corrected and sigma filtered (Nanoimager software, Oxford Nanoimaging)
localizations were Voronoi segmented and clustered based on consistent Voronoi area threshold
across all conditions (616.005 nm^2 for detyrosinated tubulin and 5475.6 nm^2 for beta/acetylated
tubulin, each with minimum of 5 localizations per cluster/puncta). The large Voronoi area
threshold for beta/acetylated tubulin is to ensure microtubules were clustered as a continuous
network for localization references. Detyrosinated puncta that are denser (in localization per
Voronoi area) than two standard-deviation from the mean were excluded from the detyrosinated
images as non-specific background noise. Microtubule network clusters with Voronoi area less
than 41067 nm^2 were discarded as background noise. Detyrosinated microtubules were then
overlaid with the reference microtubules and the detyrosinated puncta with ≥40% of their area
overlapped with microtubules were considered colocalized with microtubules. The microtubule-
colocalized detyrosinated puncta areas were calculated from the bounding puncta areas
contoured by a Matlab built-in alphaShape function. Colocalized detyrosinated puncta from all
experiments were filtered against a minimum area of 400 nm^2 (area calculated from alphaShape
objects), which is considered the resolution limit for DNA-PAINT. The lengths of the detyrosinated
puncta were defined as the longest axes from principle component analysis (PCA) rotation of the
puncta. The distance to the nearest punctum was the smallest Euclidean distance between
borders of puncta.

To calculate the contribution of background noise to all detyrosinated signal, the puncta that have
density (number of localizations per Voronoi area) larger than two standard-deviation away from
the mean were first excluded. Then detyrosinated image was flipped horizontally and then
vertically with respect to the microtubule reference image. The fraction of false positive co-
localization was determined as the sum of the area of the flipped detyrosinated α-tubulin image
co-localized with the β-tubulin image divided by the sum of the area of the original detyrosinated
α-tubulin image co-localized with the β-tubulin image.

To calculate the number of detyrosination site per puncta, we used the detyrosination image
obtained by staining with anti detyrosinated α-tubulin antibody at 1:2500 dilution as the single
detyrosination calibration. The detyrosinated images (Figure 2D, 2E and Figure S2) were post-
processed and colocalized with acetylated microtubules same as described above. The number
of localizations per colocalized detyrosinated punctum was plotted as a histogram distribution and
fitted to a lognormal function:

940
$$pdf = \frac{1}{x\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{\frac{-(\ln(x)-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

The x is the number of localizations. The mean (μ) and variance (σ) were obtained from the fit.

The 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-detyrosination site calibration functions were obtained by linear convolution of
the single detyrosination site calibration function from the lognormal fit using a custom-written
Matlab code. The number of localizations per colocalized detyrosinated puncta from images at 30
min, 60 min and 90 min post Nocodazole washout were plotted as histograms and were fitted to
the combination of calibration functions with weights w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5 corresponding to the
proportions of 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 detyrosination sites. The 3+ sites in the graph of 90 min post
Nocodazole washout (Figure 2F) corresponds to the combined weight of w_3, w_4 and w_5.

The total experimental detyrosination level (Figure 5B) is the calculated as the area sum of the
detyrosinated clusters were divided by the area sum of the total microtubules for each cell.

Single molecule tracking and analysis

Single molecules of VASH-Halo were tracked with ImageJ (NIH) plugin Trackmate [79]. Spots
were detected using the LoG detector with 0.8 μm diameter threshold and subpixel localization
and were subsequently linked as trajectories using Simple Lap Tracker with 0.6 μm maximum
linking distance and maximum 1 frame gap. The linked trajectories were exported as XML files

and analyzed using a custom-written MATLAB code. Briefly, Mean square displacement (MSD)
of each trajectory is fitted to the power law function as below [48]:

959
$$MSD = 4Dt^{\alpha}$$

The D is the diffusion coefficient, t is the time lag between time points of the trajectories, and the
first 25% of time lag of the MSD was fitted to extract the α exponent. Only trajectories ≥ 10 frames
(0.5 s) were analyzed. Trajectories were then overlaid with the corresponding microtubule
confocal image which were binarized using the local thresholding function in ImageJ. Trajectories
with less than 20% of their segments overlapped with microtubules were considered not on the
microtubule network. The 20% overlap threshold accounts for certain degree of microtubule
fluctuations during the 125 s imaging time at 30 °C (Fig S4A). All trajectories were then manually
inspected against the original confocal images to correct any mis-categorization. The motions
were then classified based on the value of the α exponent as follows, α ≤ 0.8, confined; 0.8 < α <
1.5, Brownian; α ≥ 1.5, directed, and trajectories in all categories are filtered with coefficient of
determination $R^2 \geq 0.8$.

Residence time calculation

The residence time was calculated for Brownian and confined categories separately. In each
category, all trajectories were pooled and plotted as survival fraction of molecules using 1-
Cumulative Distribution Function of the trajectory duration. We then fit the survival curve with a
two exponential decay function as follows ([49, 50, 80].

977
$$F(t) = fe^{-k_{fast}(t-0.5)} + (1-f)e^{-k_{slow}(t-0.5)}$$

The f is the fraction of short-lived trajectories and the (1-f) is the fraction of long-lived trajectories.
We used t - 0.5 instead of t because the minimum trajectory duration is 0.5 s (10 frames) as
previously mentioned. The residence time is the fitted slow lifetime (long-lived component). The
dissociation rate constant (k_{off}) used in the simulation is extracted from the confined residence
time from VASH2-Halo.

Correction for photobleaching

The photobleaching rate constant ($k_{photobleaching}$) was calculated by fitting a single exponential
decay function with an offset to the number of detected spots per frame over time.

The corrected slow dissociate rate constant ($k_{corrected}$) is calculated as below:

$$k_{corrected} = k_{slow(measured)} - k_{hotobleaching}$$

The residence time was corrected based on the corrected k_{slow} (slow dissociation rate constant).

The statistics and fits for residence time and correction for photobleaching were performed using

Graphpad Prism software.

Computational Model

Monte Carlo model of Microtubule Dynamics

A Monte Carlo model was implemented to simulate an array of dynamic microtubules [57].

Microtubules grow and shrink by exchanging polymeric subunits with a soluble pool of tubulin,

found in cells at a total concentration C_T. All microtubules are nucleated from the boundary of a

sphere of diameter d_{center} located in the center of a spherical shell of diameter d_{cell}, representing

the centrosome and outer boundary of our cell, respectively. The number of nucleation sites is

set as N_T, which also sets the maximum number of microtubules. At time $t = 0$, all microtubules

are put in growth state, and they grow at a rate v_{g_0} for a time Δt. After this initial step, each

microtubule can either stay in growing state or a catastrophe event can happen, putting the

microtubule in shortening state. Catastrophe events (growing to shortening transitions) happen to

a growing microtubule with a frequency k_c. For those microtubules that stay in growing state, the

growth rate is considered as dependent on the free tubulin concentration after this initial step,

$v_g = k_{on}C$. As one micrometer of microtubule is made up of $1\,\mu m \cdot n_d$ dimers, $C_T = C +$

$(n_d \sum_{i=1}^N L_i)/(N_A V_{cell})$, where L_i is the length of microtubule i, V_{cell} is the cell volume and N_A is the

Avogadro number. microtubules keep growing until they either undergo a catastrophe or reach

the cell boundary, where they immediately transition to shortening state. Shortening microtubules

reduce their lengths at a rate v_s independent of tubulin concentration, and the shortening of

microtubule i increases the free tubulin concentration by $(n_d \Delta L_i)/(N_A V_{cell})$, where ΔL_i is the

change in length of microtubule i. During its shortening state, a microtubule can either reach the

centrosome of the cell or undergo a rescue event (shortening to growing transition). A microtubule

which reaches the centrosome will completely depolymerize, and a new microtubule can be

nucleated from this empty site with a frequency k_n. Rescue events happen with a frequency k_r

and they put a microtubule in growth state. All microtubules grow and shorten linearly, and their

nucleation sites and directions of growth are selected at random during the first nucleation event.

microtubules are modeled as cylinders of diameter d_t, and their positions are selected to avoid

intersections between microtubules or between microtubules and the centrosome. Two different

sets of parameters for microtubule dynamics were employed in our simulations. Set B generates

overly stable microtubules, which grow to long lengths and rarely depolymerize. Set A generates

more dynamic microtubules, with lower tubulin concentrations, lower rescue frequencies and

higher catastrophe frequencies, which better agree with experimental data [57]. Common

parameters used to simulate microtubule arrays are shown in Table 2. Parameters associated to

each of our microtubule sets are shown in Table 3. Schematics of our system and microtubule

dynamic processes are shown in Figure 4. Comparison between the dynamics of sets A and set

B are shown in Figure S8.

Reactive Brownian Dynamics

When a VASH enzyme is introduced in a cell containing an array of microtubules, the enzyme

diffuses in the cell until it binds to one of the microtubules, which it can detyrosinate locally. VASH

enzymes are modeled as spheres of diameter d_e which undergo 3D Brownian motion in the cell

with a diffusion coefficient D_{3D}. As enzymes cannot leave the cell, enter the centrosome, or

traverse microtubules, special considerations must be taken to avoid these events. After a move

is made at each time step Δt, the new location of the enzyme is checked to make sure that it

follows these restrictions. If it does not, the location is rejected, and the enzyme is moved to a

new location before the start of the next time step. When the enzyme either leaves the cell or

enters the centrosome, this new location is determined by calculating the distance between the

rejected location and the boundary in question and moving the enzyme along the vector going

from the rejected location to the previous position with a magnitude equal to the measured

distance. When the enzyme traverses a microtubule, it either binds to the tubule at the first

intersection of the line defined by the previous and present positions and the microtubule with a

probability p_{bind}, or it instantly rebounds from the tubule with a probability $1 - p_{bind}$. In such case,

the rebound is modeled akin to a rebound from the cell wall. A number N_E of enzymes is randomly

introduced in the system, and enzyme-enzyme interactions are neglected to keep the system

computationally inexpensive.

When an enzyme successfully binds to a microtubule, it can slide along it in a diffusive

("Brownian") manner, modeled by adding together a 1D Brownian motion with diffusion coefficient

D_{1D} along the axis of the microtubule and a 1D rotational Brownian motion with diffusion coefficient

D_θ along this same axis. Otherwise, it can stay confined in a certain tubulin subunit of length δ,

modeled by a pure 1D rotational Brownian motion with diffusion coefficient D_θ along the axis of

the microtubule. Directed modes of motion are neglected in this model. A molecule that binds to

a microtubule can be found in Brownian (diffusive) mode with probability p_{brown}, or in confined

mode with probability $p_{conf} = 1 - p_{brown}$. As a Brownian enzyme rarely becomes confined and

vice versa, we will assume enzymes do not change modes during their bound state. The motion

of Brownian enzymes can lead to escapes from the cell or into the centrosome. Hence, previously

described boundary considerations are also applicable in this case. Brownian enzymes rebound

at the end of the microtubules as well.

Another relevant process that enzymes may undergo after binding to a microtubule is unbinding,

which can happen either randomly or as the result of the depolymerization of the subunit the

enzyme is currently positioned in. The probability of unbinding differs based on the state of the

enzyme. If the enzyme was diffusing along the microtubule, the probability of unbinding is given

by $p_{unbrown}$. On the other hand, if the enzyme was confined, the probability of unbinding is given

by p_{unconf}. After depolymerization, any enzyme located on a depolymerized subunit unbinds

immediately. When an enzyme unbinds, it returns to its 3D Brownian motion regime, where it is

again able to freely diffuse in all directions throughout the cell. The ejection of the enzyme from

the microtubule is done along random direction, selected to avoid traversing the microtubule, over

the same distance the enzyme would move were it freely diffusing in 3D.

Modeling Detyrosination

To detyrosinate a microtubule subunit, an enzyme must stay bound to it for a time $t_{det} \sim 1.35$ s

based on its previously measured catalytic rate K_{cat} [33]. Molecules exhibiting confined motion

stay bound to a subunit for an average time $t_{conf} \sim 3.03$ s, while those exhibiting Brownian motion

stay bound to a microtubule for an average time $t_{brown} \sim 1.56$ s. During these ~ 1.56 s, diffusing

molecules move through many microtubule subunits very rapidly, staying on a particular one only

for a time $\sim (\delta_t^2/D_{1D})^{1/\alpha}$ before moving to the next one, with δ_t the length of the α-tubulin subunit

(~ 4 nm) and D_{1D} the coefficient of Brownian motion (MSD(t) = $D_{1D}t^\alpha$). This time is much smaller

than t_{det}. Hence, we will assume only confined enzymes are capable of detyrosinating the subunit

they are bound to, what they do with a probability $p_{det} = 1 - e^{-\Delta t/t_{det}}$. Note that, as $t_{conf} > t_{det}$,

most confined enzymes are effectively capable of detyrosinating the subunit they are located at.

Once a subunit is detyrosinated, the dynamic properties associated to that subunit are modified,

impacting the overall trends observed. This is modeled by changing the local frequencies and

rates of a certain subunit k_r, k_c, v_g, v_s into $k_{r_{det}}, k_{c_{det}}, v_{g_{det}}, v_{s_{det}}$. The most significant changes

involve the microtubule shortening properties. When a subunit is detyrosinated, catastrophe

frequency and shortening rates decrease while growth parameters remain relatively invariant. As

catastrophe events occur much less frequently, and shortening rates are significantly reduced,

detyrosination leads to increased microtubule stability (see **Figure S8**).

Due to the polymeric nature of the microtubules, microtubule dynamics are dictated by the state

of the subunit at the end of the microtubule, meaning that when a microtubule is in shortening

state, its dynamics may change from subunit to subunit as they are removed (depolymerize).

Subunits that have been detyrosinated cannot return to a tyrosinated state while they are

polymerized in a microtubule [34]. The only way in which these subunits may return to the

tyrosinated state is if they are depolymerized. Newly polymerized subunits are exclusively

tyrosinated, meaning that after regrowth the previously detyrosinated subunit will now be in a

tyrosinated state. For simplicity, we will consider each cylindrical microtubule to be split into

uniformly distributed subunits bound by equidistant planes parallel to the cylinder's base. As each

micrometer of a microtubule has $1 \ \mu m \ast n_d$ subunits, our subunits will have a height of $\delta = 1 / n_d$,

or approximately 0.6 nm. This length is much smaller than the size of an α-tubulin subunit.

However, we assume the detyrosination level will be well modeled using this treatment, as the

number of subunits per micrometer remains constant.

Mimicking Experimental Resolution

Measuring the detyrosination level of each microtubule in our simulations is straight-forward, as

we can keep track of the plus-end position of each microtubule and the tyrosination state of its

subunits. The ratio between total detyrosinated length of a microtubule and its full length provides

its detyrosination level. Averaging this ratio among all microtubules provides the total

detyrosination level of our system. To compare this value to experiments, we reduced the

resolution of the simulation system to that of DNA-PAINT experiments. The location of each

microtubule subunit (whether detyrosinated or not) was blurred by jittering, with the purpose of

generating a certain number of localizations per subunit, similarly to the ones from DNA-PAINT

experiments. The number of localizations for each subunit was selected based on the log-normal

probability that described the distribution of the number of localizations from single detyrosinated

subunit from our experiments (**Figure 2E**), with μ= 2.7952 and standard deviation σ=0.64166.

The directions of the jittered points are random and the distance of the jittered points from the

true subunit position was randomly selected from a Gaussian distribution with full width at half

maximum (FWHM) of 30 nm, which approximates our DNA-PAINT imaging resolution. For a

system of sparse microtubules, where subunits associated with two different microtubules are

unlikely to coexist in a single punctum, each punctum may include up to $\sim 30/0.6 = 50$ subunits.

A detyrosinated punctum will then be equivalent to ~ 50 detyrosinated sites, increasing the
detyrosination level of a microtubule array. The detyrosination puncta and the total microtubule
areas were defined by bounding points generated during resolution blurring described above. The
detyrosination percentage was calculated by dividing the puncta area by the total microtubule
area. Overall, at (relatively) low detyrosination levels (< 20% after blurring), the detyrosination
level of our blurred microtubule arrays was well related to the "true" detyrosination level by
multiplying it by a constant $\chi \sim 50$, as shown in **Figure S9 and S12**.

The previously described methodology applies both to **Model 1** and **Model 2**. For **Model 2**, when
20% of the maximum microtubule length is detyrosinated (percentage calculated after blurring to
microscope resolution), the full microtubule gains the (more stable) kinetic properties of a
detyrosinated microtubule over its full polymerized length.

1127

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Elena Sorokina, Dr. Melina Gyparaki, and Dr. Alexey Bogush for technical
assistance, and we are grateful for reagents provided by Dr. Marie-Jo Moutin (University Grenoble
Alpes) and Dr. Benjamin Prosser (University of Pennsylvania). We also thank Dr. Ekaterina
Grishchuk, Dr. Michael Ostap, Dr. Benjamin Prosser, and Dr. Dimitrios Vavlylonis for critically
reading the manuscript. This work is funded by R01 GM133842 (to M.L.), RM1 GM136511 (to
M.L.) and CMMI-1548571 (to M.L.). Computational simulations used services provided by the
OSG Consortium [81, 82], supported by the National Science Foundation awards #2030508 and
#1836650, and by the Texas Advanced Computing Center (TACC) at The University of Texas at
Austin.

1143

References

1.   Janke, C. and M.M. Magiera, *The tubulin code and its role in controlling microtubule*
properties and functions. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology, 2020.

2.   Roll-Mecak, A., *How cells exploit tubulin diversity to build functional cellular microtubule*
mosaics. Current Opinion in Cell Biology, 2019. **56**: p. 102-108.

3.   Aillaud, C., et al., *Vasohibins/SVBP are tubulin carboxypeptidases (TCPs) that regulate*
neuron differentiation. Science, 2017. **358**(6369): p. 1448-1453.

4.   Nieuwenhuis, J., et al., *Vasohibins encode tubulin detyrosinating activity.* Science, 2017.
358(6369): p. 1453-1456.

5.   Landskron, L., et al., *Posttranslational modification of microtubules by the MATCAP*
detyrosinase. Science, 2022. **376**(6595): p. eabn6020.

6.   Weisbrich, A., et al., *Structure-function relationship of CAP-Gly domains.* Nature
Structural & Molecular Biology, 2007. **14**(10): p. 959-967.

7.   McKenney, R.J., et al., *Tyrosination of α-tubulin controls the initiation of processive*
dynein–dynactin motility. The EMBO Journal, 2016. **35**(11): p. 1175-1185.

8.   Chen, J., et al., *α-tubulin tail modifications regulate microtubule stability through*
selective effector recruitment, not changes in intrinsic polymer dynamics. Developmental
Cell, 2021. **56**(14): p. 2016-2028.e4.

9.   Bieling, P., et al., *CLIP-170 tracks growing microtubule ends by dynamically recognizing*
composite EB1/tubulin-binding sites. Journal of Cell Biology, 2008. **183**(7): p. 1223-
1233.

10.   Peris, L., et al., *Tubulin tyrosination is a major factor affecting the recruitment of CAP-*
Gly proteins at microtubule plus ends. Journal of Cell Biology, 2006. **174**(6): p. 839-849.

11.   Steinmetz, M.O. and A. Akhmanova, *Capturing protein tails by CAP-Gly domains.*
Trends in Biochemical Sciences, 2008. **33**(11): p. 535-545.

12.   Sirajuddin, M., L.M. Rice, and R.D. Vale, *Regulation of microtubule motors by tubulin*
isotypes and post-translational modifications. Nature Cell Biology, 2014. **16**(4): p. 335-
344.

13.   Jeffrey, et al., *α-Tubulin Tyrosination and CLIP-170 Phosphorylation Regulate the*
Initiation of Dynein-Driven Transport in Neurons. Cell Reports, 2016. **14**(11): p. 2637-
2652.

14.   Peris, L., et al., *Motor-dependent microtubule disassembly driven by tubulin tyrosination.*
Journal of Cell Biology, 2009. **185**(7): p. 1159-1166.

15.   Tas, R.P., et al., *Differentiation between Oppositely Oriented Microtubules Controls*
Polarized Neuronal Transport. Neuron, 2017. **96**(6): p. 1264-1271.e5.

16.   Mohan, N., et al., *Detyrosinated microtubules spatially constrain lysosomes facilitating*
1180   *lysosome–autophagosome fusion.* Journal of Cell Biology, 2019. **218**(2): p. 632-643.

1181   17.   Barisic, M., et al., *Microtubule detyrosination guides chromosomes during mitosis.*
1182   Science, 2015. **348**(6236): p. 799-803.

1183   18.   Akera, T., et al., *Spindle asymmetry drives non-Mendelian chromosome segregation.*
1184   Science, 2017. **358**(6363): p. 668-672.

1185   19.   Robison, P., et al., *Detyrosinated microtubules buckle and bear load in contracting*
1186   *cardiomyocytes.* Science, 2016. **352**(6284): p. aaf0659.

1187   20.   Gundersen, G.G. and J.C. Bulinski, *Distribution of tyrosinated and nontyrosinated alpha-*
1188   *tubulin during mitosis.* Journal of Cell Biology, 1986. **102**(3): p. 1118-1126.

1189   21.   Konishi, Y. and M. Setou, *Tubulin tyrosination navigates the kinesin-1 motor domain to*
1190   *axons.* Nature Neuroscience, 2009. **12**(5): p. 559-567.

1191   22.   Liao, S., et al., *Molecular basis of vasohibins-mediated detyrosination and its impact on*
1192   *spindle function and mitosis.* Cell Research, 2019. **29**(7): p. 533-547.

1193   23.   Johnson, K.A., *The axonemal microtubules of the Chlamydomonas flagellum differ in*
1194   *tubulin isoform content.* Journal of Cell Science, 1998. **111**(3): p. 313-320.

1195   24.   Stepanek, L. and G. Pigino, *Microtubule doublets are double-track railways for*
1196   *intraflagellar transport trains.* Science, 2016. **352**(6286): p. 721-724.

1197   25.   Kreis, T.E., *Microtubules containing detyrosinated tubulin are less dynamic.* The EMBO
1198   Journal, 1987. **6**(9): p. 2597-2606.

1199   26.   Webster, D.R., et al., *Differential turnover of tyrosinated and detyrosinated microtubules.*
1200   Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 1987. **84**(24): p. 9040-9044.

1201   27.   Schulze, E. and M. Kirschner, *Dynamic and stable populations of microtubules in cells.*
1202   Journal of Cell Biology, 1987. **104**(2): p. 277-288.

1203   28.   Khawaja, S., G.G. Gundersen, and J.C. Bulinski, *Enhanced stability of microtubules*
1204   *enriched in detyrosinated tubulin is not a direct function of detyrosination level.* Journal
1205   of Cell Biology, 1988. **106**(1): p. 141-149.

1206   29.   Webster, D.R., *Detyrosination of alpha tubulin does not stabilize microtubules in vivo*
1207   *[published erratum appears in J Cell Biol 1990 Sep;111(3):1325-6].* The Journal of Cell
1208   Biology, 1990. **111**(1): p. 113-122.

1209   30.   Raybin, D. and M. Flavin, *An enzyme tyrosylating α-tubulin and its role in microtubule*
1210   *assembly.* Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 1975. **65**(3): p.
1211   1088-1095.

1212   31.   Katrukha, E.A., et al., *Quantitative mapping of dense microtubule arrays in mammalian*
1213   *neurons.* eLife, 2021. **10**.

32.   Li, F., et al., *Cryo-EM structure of VASH1-SVBP bound to microtubules.* eLife, 2020. **9**.

33. Li, F., et al., *Structural basis of tubulin detyrosination by vasohibins.* Nature Structural &
Molecular Biology, 2019. **26**(7): p. 583-591.

34. Szyk, A., et al., *Tubulin tyrosine ligase structure reveals adaptation of an ancient fold to*
bind and modify tubulin. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology, 2011. **18**(11): p. 1250-
1258.

35. Gundersen, G.G., S. Khawaja, and J.C. Bulinski, *Postpolymerization detyrosination of*
alpha-tubulin: a mechanism for subcellular differentiation of microtubules. Journal of Cell
Biology, 1987. **105**(1): p. 251-264.

36. Bré, M.H., T.E. Kreis, and E. Karsenti, *Control of microtubule nucleation and stability in*
Madin-Darby canine kidney cells: the occurrence of noncentrosomal, stable
detyrosinated microtubules. Journal of Cell Biology, 1987. **105**(3): p. 1283-1296.

37. Webster, D.R., et al., *Assembly and turnover of detyrosinated tubulin in vivo.* Journal of
Cell Biology, 1987. **105**(1): p. 265-276.

38. Jungmann, R., et al., *Multiplexed 3D cellular super-resolution imaging with DNA-PAINT*
and Exchange-PAINT. Nat Methods, 2014. **11**(3): p. 313-8.

39. Zanacchi, F.C., et al., *A DNA origami platform for quantifying protein copy number in*
super-resolution. Nature Methods, 2017. **14**(8): p. 789-792.

40. Gyparaki, M.T., et al., *Tau forms oligomeric complexes on microtubules that are distinct*
from tau aggregates. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2021. **118**(19):
p. e2021461118.

41. Cella Zanacchi, F., et al., *Quantifying Protein Copy Number in Super Resolution Using*
an Imaging-Invariant Calibration. Biophysical Journal, 2019. **116**(11): p. 2195-2203.

42. Ehmann, N., et al., *Quantitative super-resolution imaging of Bruchpilot distinguishes*
active zone states. Nature Communications, 2014. **5**(1).

43. Ly, N., et al., *αTAT1 controls longitudinal spreading of acetylation marks from open*
microtubules extremities. Scientific Reports, 2016. **6**(1): p. 35624.

44. Suzuki, Y., et al., *Isolation of a small vasohibin-binding protein (SVBP) and its role in*
vasohibin secretion. 2010. **123**(18): p. 3094-3101.

45. Ramirez-Rios, S., et al., *VASH1–SVBP and VASH2–SVBP generate different*
detyrosination profiles on microtubules. Journal of Cell Biology, 2023. **222**(2).

46. Kesarwani, S., et al., *Genetically encoded live-cell sensor for tyrosinated microtubules.*
Journal of Cell Biology, 2020. **219**(10).

47. Grimm, J.B., et al., *A general method to improve fluorophores for live-cell and single-*
molecule microscopy. Nature Methods, 2015. **12**(3): p. 244-250.

48.   Gómez-García, P.A., et al., *Mesoscale Modeling and Single-Nucleosome Tracking*
Reveal Remodeling of Clutch Folding and Dynamics in Stem Cell Differentiation. Cell
Reports, 2021. **34**(2): p. 108614.

49.   Chen, J., et al., *Single-Molecule Dynamics of Enhanceosome Assembly in Embryonic*
Stem Cells. Cell, 2014. **156**(6): p. 1274-1285.

50.   Ball, D.A., et al., *Single molecule tracking of Ace1p in Saccharomyces cerevisiae defines*
a characteristic residence time for non-specific interactions of transcription factors with
chromatin. Nucleic Acids Research, 2016. **44**(21): p. e160-e160.

51.   Wang, N., et al., *Structural basis of tubulin detyrosination by the vasohibin–SVBP*
enzyme complex. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology, 2019. **26**(7): p. 571-582.

52.   Zhou, C., et al., *Structural basis of tubulin detyrosination by VASH2/SVBP heterodimer.*
Nature Communications, 2019. **10**(1).

53.   Adamopoulos, A., et al., *Crystal structure of the tubulin tyrosine carboxypeptidase*
complex VASH1–SVBP. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology, 2019. **26**(7): p. 567-570.

54.   Grignard, J., et al., *Mathematical modeling of the microtubule detyrosination/tyrosination*
cycle for cell-based drug screening design. PLOS Computational Biology, 2022. **18**(6): p.
e1010236.

55.   Dogterom, M. and S. Leibler, *Physical aspects of the growth and regulation of*
microtubule structures. Physical Review Letters, 1993. **70**(9): p. 1347-1350.

56.   Buttenschön, A. and L. Edelstein-Keshet, *Correlated random walks inside a cell: actin*
branching and microtubule dynamics. Journal of Mathematical Biology, 2019. **79**(5): p.
1953-1972.

57.   Cassimeris, L., J.C. Leung, and D.J. Odde, *Monte Carlo simulations of microtubule*
arrays: The critical roles of rescue transitions, the cell boundary, and tubulin
concentration in shaping microtubule distributions. PLOS ONE, 2018. **13**(5): p.
e0197538.

58.   Shelden, E. and P. Wadsworth, *Observation and quantification of individual microtubule*
behavior in vivo: microtubule dynamics are cell-type specific. Journal of Cell Biology,
1993. **120**(4): p. 935-945.

59.   Barra, H.S., et al., *A SOLUBLE PREPARATION FROM RAT BRAIN THAT*
INCORPORATES INTO ITS OWN PROTEINS [14C]ARGININE BY A RIBONUCLEASE-
SENSITIVE SYSTEM AND [14C]TYROSINE BY A RIBONUCLEASE-INSENSITIVE
SYSTEM. Journal of Neurochemistry, 1973. **20**(1): p. 97-108.

60.   Arce, C.A., et al., *Incorporation of l-Tyrosine, l-Phenylalanine and l-3,4-*
Dihydroxyphenylalanine as Single Units into Rat Brain Tubulin. European Journal of
Biochemistry, 1975. **59**(1): p. 145-149.

61.   Normanno, D., et al., *Probing the target search of DNA-binding proteins in mammalian*
cells using TetR as model searcher. Nature Communications, 2015. **6**(1): p. 7357.

62.   Izeddin, I., et al., *Single-molecule tracking in live cells reveals distinct target-search strategies of transcription factors in the nucleus.* eLife, 2014. **3**.

63.   Yu, X., et al., *MARK4 controls ischaemic heart failure through microtubule detyrosination.* Nature, 2021. **594**(7864): p. 560-565.

64.   Brackley, C.A., M.E. Cates, and D. Marenduzzo, *Intracellular Facilitated Diffusion: Searchers, Crowders, and Blockers.* Physical Review Letters, 2013. **111**(10).

65.   Mirny, L., et al., *How a protein searches for its site on DNA: the mechanism of facilitated diffusion.* Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical, 2009. **42**(43): p. 434013.

66.   Schöneberg, J., A. Ullrich, and F. Noé, *Simulation tools for particle-based reaction-diffusion dynamics in continuous space.* BMC Biophysics, 2014. **7**(1).

67.   Ullrich, A., et al., *Dynamical Organization of Syntaxin-1A at the Presynaptic Active Zone.* PLOS Computational Biology, 2015. **11**(9): p. e1004407.

68.   Schulze, E. and M. Kirschner, *Microtubule dynamics in interphase cells.* The Journal of Cell Biology, 1986. **102**(3): p. 1020-1031.

69.   Lacroix, B., et al., *Tubulin polyglutamylation stimulates spastin-mediated microtubule severing.* Journal of Cell Biology, 2010. **189**(6): p. 945-954.

70.   Valenstein, Max L. and A. Roll-Mecak, *Graded Control of Microtubule Severing by Tubulin Glutamylation.* Cell, 2016. **164**(5): p. 911-921.

71.   Shin, S.C., et al., *Structural and Molecular Basis for Katanin-Mediated Severing of Glutamylated Microtubules.* Cell Reports, 2019. **26**(5): p. 1357-1367.e5.

72.   Audebert, S., et al., *Reversible polyglutamylation of alpha- and beta-tubulin and microtubule dynamics in mouse brain neurons.* Molecular Biology of the Cell, 1993. **4**(6): p. 615-626.

73.   Szczesna, E., et al., *Combinatorial and antagonistic effects of tubulin glutamylation and glycylation on katanin microtubule severing.* Dev Cell, 2022. **57**(21): p. 2497-2513.e6.

74.   Schatten, H., *The mammalian centrosome and its functional significance.* Histochemistry and Cell Biology, 2008. **129**(6): p. 667-686.

75.   Alieva, I.B. and R.E. Uzbekov, *Where are the limits of the centrosome?* BioArchitecture, 2016. **6**(3): p. 47-52.

76.   Beese, L., G. Stubbs, and C. Cohen, *Microtubule structure at 18 Å resolution.* Journal of Molecular Biology, 1987. **194**(2): p. 257-264.

77.   Ledbetter, M.C. and K.R. Porter, *A "MICROTUBULE" IN PLANT CELL FINE STRUCTURE.* Journal of Cell Biology, 1963. **19**(1): p. 239-250.

78.   Tokunaga, M., N. Imamoto, and K. Sakata-Sogawa, *Highly inclined thin illumination enables clear single-molecule imaging in cells.* Nature Methods, 2008. **5**(2): p. 159-161.

79.   Tinevez, J.-Y., et al., *TrackMate: An open and extensible platform for single-particle
1323   tracking.* Methods, 2017. **115**: p. 80-90.

1324   80.   Mazza, D., et al., *A benchmark for chromatin binding measurements in live cells.* Nucleic
1325   Acids Research, 2012. **40**(15): p. e119-e119.

1326   81.   Pordes, R., et al., *The open science grid.* Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2007.
1327   **78**: p. 012057.

1328   82.   Sfiligoi, I., et al. *The Pilot Way to Grid Resources Using glideinWMS.* in *2009 WRI World
1329   Congress on Computer Science and Information Engineering.* 2009.

1330