1 # Large-scale phylogenomics of aquatic bacteria reveal molecular
2 mechanisms for adaptation to salinity

3
4

5 **Authors:** Krzysztof T Jurdzinski[1*], Maliheh Mehrshad[2*], Luis Fernando Delgado[1], Ziling Deng[1],
6 Stefan Bertilsson[2], Anders F Andersson[1+]

7
8 * Equal contribution

9
10 **Affiliations:**
11 1. Department of Gene Technology, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Science for Life Laboratory,
12 Stockholm, Sweden
13 2. Department of Aquatic Sciences and Assessment, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences,
14 Uppsala, Sweden

15
16 [+]**Correspondence:** anders.andersson@scilifelab.se

17
Abstract

The crossing of environmental barriers poses major adaptive challenges. Rareness of freshwater-
marine transitions separates their bacterial communities, but how these are related to brackish
counterparts remains elusive, as are molecular adaptations facilitating cross-biome transitions.
Here, we conduct large-scale phylogenomic analysis of freshwater, brackish, and marine quality-
filtered metagenome-assembled genomes (11,276 MAGs). Average nucleotide identity analyses
showed that bacterial species rarely existed in multiple biomes. Distinct brackish basins co-hosted
numerous species despite differences in salinity and geographic distance, the latter having
stronger intra-species population structuring effects. We further identified the most recent cross-
biome transitions, which were rare, ancient, and most commonly directed towards the brackish
biome. Transitions were accompanied by changes in isoelectric point distribution and amino acid
composition of inferred proteomes, as well as convergent gains or losses of specific gene
functions. Therefore, adaptive challenges entailing proteome reorganization and specific changes
in gene content result in species-level separation between aquatic biomes.

33
34
35

Introduction

Transitions between different environmental conditions have long been identified as one of the major drivers of evolutionary innovation[1]. Evidence of fast dispersal of bacteria across the globe suggests that physicochemical and biological factors constrain the distribution of bacteria to a higher extent than geography and dispersal limitation[2]. However, the highly dynamic nature of bacterial genomes[3], including the ability to acquire new genes through horizontal gene transfer[4], can potentially facilitate the crossing of environmental barriers.

Salinity, pH, temperature, and other factors important for structuring microbial diversity are predicted to change in coastal waters due to climate change[5]. Combined with the crucial role of bacteria in such ecosystems[6], there is a need to understand the potential and limitations of adaptation as well as dispersal in response to changing environmental conditions. Comparative genome analyses across major environmental barriers have the potential to uncover the molecular mechanisms of such adaptation, as phylogenetic frameworks allow to disentangle the effects of habitat from differences in taxonomic composition.

Salinity has long been considered the strongest physicochemical factor determining bacterial community composition[7,8]. Distinct bacterial lineages inhabit freshwater and marine biomes, and transitions between these biomes have been rare in the documented evolutionary history of aquatic bacteria[9–11], pinpointing differences in salinity as a particularly strong barrier for microbes to overcome. More recently, fragment-recruitment-based metagenomic analyses have indicated that brackish environments with intermediate salinity levels also host genetically distinct bacterial lineages[12,13]. However, this has not been confirmed in a rigorous phylogenomic framework and little is known about the biogeography of the potential brackish microbiome and its relationship with its freshwater and marine counterparts. Many questions remain unanswered, such as which groups of bacteria have transitioned between the aquatic biomes, how often, in which directions, and when have the transitions taken place.

Protein properties such as isoelectric points were recently shown to differ between aquatic biomes with a pattern that may reflect adaptation to different extra- and intracellular ion concentrations[14]. Detected changes are mainly seen for surfaces of soluble proteins and differ between certain groups of closely related freshwater, brackish, and marine lineages[14]. Likewise, the functional gene content of microbial communities changes in a salinity-dependent manner[11,15–17], though it is unclear to what extent these effects are merely attributable to differences in the taxonomic composition. The patterns of change accompanying bacterial transitions between aquatic biomes remain unresolved, with regards to both functional gene repertoires and proteome properties.

Here we analyze an extensive and diverse set of freshwater, brackish, and marine

metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) in a phylogenomic framework. We cluster MAGs by

average nucleotide identity (ANI) and compare the similarities of genomes from the same and

different biomes. We identify the most recent transitions between the aquatic biomes and estimate

their evolutionary timeline and directionality. Finally, we show that large-scale changes in the

properties of the predicted proteome and specific alterations in gene content accompany the

transitions in diverse bacterial lineages.

Results

A comprehensive dataset of MAGs from freshwater, brackish and marine biomes

A total of 13,783 MAGs were collected from freshwater[18–20], brackish[17,21], and marine[22,23] biomes

(Fig 1a) to analyze evolutionary histories and functional adaptations in aquatic bacteria. The

MAGs were filtered at ≥75% completeness and ≤5% contamination thresholds, and the 11,509

MAGs passing these criteria were considered for the remaining analyses (11,276 bacterial and

233 archaeal). For further analyses, we focused on the bacterial MAGs, of which 7643 were from

freshwater, 2268 from brackish, and 1365 from marine waters (Extended Data Table 1). Collected

MAGs contained representatives from 72 phyla distributed across 135 classes and 348 orders,

with the majority (91%) belonging to only 12 bacterial phyla with >100 representatives per phylum

(Supplementary Data S1).

Bacterial species are rarely shared between biomes

Clustering the bacterial MAGs using ANI at the threshold of the operational definition of species

(95%[24,25]) resulted in 3561 genome clusters (Fig 1b). MAGs of the vast majority of genome

clusters (n=3547) belonged to a single biome (2063 freshwater, 485 brackish, and 999 marine

genome clusters), while no genome cluster had representatives from all three biomes. Only

fourteen genome clusters harbored MAGs belonging to pairs of biomes (nine, four, and one

genome clusters with freshwater-brackish (FB), brackish-marine (BM), and freshwater-marine

(FM) MAGs, respectively) (Fig 1c). The only shared FM genome cluster was affiliated to the genus

Limonbacter (phylum Proteobacteria). Shared FB clusters were affiliated to phyla

Actinobacteriota, Proteobacteria, Verrucomicrobiota, and Bacteroidota. The shared BM clusters

belonged to phyla Proteobacteria, Verrucomicrobiota, and Bacteroidota.

Fortyfive of the 485 brackish genome clusters had members from both included brackish

water bodies; the Baltic and the Caspian Sea, while 300 and 141 were unique to Baltic and

Caspian, respectively. The shared clusters belonged to 13 classes in 10 different phyla

(Planctomycetota, Verrucomicrobiota, Gemmatimonadota, Bacteroidota, Actinobacteriota,
Chloroflexota, Cyanobacteria, Firmicutes, Nitrospinota, and Proteobacteria). The extent of
overlap between Baltic and Caspian Sea genome clusters (18.9%) was significantly higher than
between brackish and freshwater (0.007%) and brackish and marine clusters (0.012%; $P < 10^{-16}$
for both comparisons), despite the geographic separation and lack of hydrological connectivity
between these ecosystems. This supports the previously postulated existence of a global
brackish-specific microbiome[12,21].

The clustering results above indicate that bacterioplankton belonging to the same species
(within the 95% ANI threshold) are inhabiting the geographically distinct and distant Baltic and
Caspian Seas. To investigate intra-specific (within-species) biogeographical patterns, we used a
population genomics approach, where allele frequencies in single nucleotide variant (SNV) loci
are obtained by aligning metagenomic sequences to the genomes. As previously reported[26],
principal coordinates (PCoA) analysis of pairwise fixation indices (F_{ST}) showed that for each of
the five genome clusters where we applied this approach in the present study, populations were
highly structured according to salinity within the Baltic Sea (Fig. 2a). However, adding the Caspian
Sea data to the analysis revealed even stronger population structuring between the two seas (Fig.
2b), indicating geographic separation at the strain level within these species.

Transitions between aquatic biomes are rare, ancient, and in most cases directed into the brackish biome

We reconstructed the phylogeny of the aquatic MAGs using a set of conserved housekeeping
genes[27]. The resulting tree was pruned to contain a maximum of one MAG from each biome per
previously identified genome cluster (i.e. ~species) (Fig. 3). The most recent transitions between
the aquatic biomes, if detected, should be represented on the phylogenetic tree as pairs of closest
related monophyletic and biome-specific groups. We call these clades monobiomic sister groups
(MSGs, Fig. 4a). We identified 310 MSG pairs, with brackish and marine (BM; n=136) and
freshwater and brackish (FB; n=119) transitions being twice as numerous as freshwater and
marine (FM; n=55), despite the larger number of freshwater and marine MAGs in the pruned tree
(Fig. 4b). The number of FB and FM transitions were also significantly lower than would be
expected if phylogeny would not correlate with biome annotation (Fig. 4c). This corroborates
observations for the previously described genome clustering.

Most of the identified FB and BM MSG pairs belonged to the same genus (around 55% in
both cases), while for FM transitions the lowest-level shared taxonomic annotation was most often
(49%) at the family level (Fig. 4d, Extended Data Table 2). The taxonomic distribution of MSG

pairs followed the overall distribution in the corresponding biomes for BM and FM, but not for FB,

probably due to the overrepresentation of Actinobacteriota and Cyanobacteriia in this transition

type (Fig. 4e, Extended Data Fig. 1).

We estimated the minimal time since divergence of the MSG pairs based on phylogenetic

distances, using a method which allows for variable evolutionary rates[28] (Fig. 3, Fig.4f, Extended

Data Fig. 2). As time constraints, we used minimal times since divergence of genomes of

endosymbiotic bacteria known from fossil records of their hosts[29–37] (Supplementary Table S1).

As obligate endosymbionts have high evolutionary rates that exceed those of free-living

bacteria[37], this model gives conservative estimates of time since the transitions started. The timing

differed depending on the transition type (Fig. 4f; $P < 10^{-6}$ for all comparisons), FB being the most

recent and FM the oldest. The difference in median times since FB and BM transitions could not

be attributed to the uneven representation of biomes in the dataset (Extended Data Fig. 2b).

The most recent transition was estimated to have happened at least 44.8 kya, and all other

transitions millions of years ago (Supplementary Table S2). Therefore, all the detected transitions

started long before the current brackish conditions were established in the Baltic Sea (8 kya[38])

and only the six most recent ones to the period since the Caspian has continuously been brackish

(2-3 mya[39]). These results imply that the evolutionary history of the global brackish microbiome[12]

by far predates the formation of these two brackish basins.

To infer the directions of the biome transitions, we conducted ancestral (biome) state

reconstructions of the most recent common ancestors (MRCAs) of the MSG pairs, correcting for

the uneven representation of biomes in the pruned tree (see Methods). FB and BM transitions

were generally more likely to happen into than out of the brackish biome, while for FM transitions

no significant direction preference was observed (Fig. 4g-h, Extended Data Fig. 3 and 4).

Large-scale changes in proteome properties follow cross-biome transitions

We next assessed if changes in proteome properties accompany the biome transitions. The

average distributions of isoelectric points (pIs) of the proteins encoded in the genomes were

compared between MSGs in a pairwise manner. Significant differences in the proportion of neutral

proteins were observed for all the transition types (Fig. 5a-b, Supplementary Data S3), with a

higher proportion in the biomes of lower salinity. The frequencies of acidic proteins showed the

opposite pattern and were significantly different for the comparisons that included freshwater

MSGs, but not for the BM transition type. The results suggest that the higher representation of

neutral pIs observed in freshwater bacteria[14] gradually diminishes with increasing salinity while

low proportion of acidic proteins is a distinctive feature of freshwater bacteria. However, the extent

of transition-related changes in pI distribution highly depended on taxonomy, with particularly
small changes for Actinobacteria (Extended Data Fig. 5).
Polar, charged, and acidic amino acid frequencies broadly increased with salinity across
the MSG pairs (Fig 5c, Supplementary Data S3). Changes were most significant for acidic amino
acids, which is a subcategory of the other two categories and may thus also explain the changes
observed for those. In contrast, the frequency of basic amino acids differed significantly only for
BM transitions.
Among the acidic amino acids, the difference for glutamate was larger and more significant
than for aspartate across all the transition types (Extended Data Fig. 6, Supplementary Data S3).
For BM transitions, the higher proportion of basic residues in marine proteomes could be
attributed to lysine. Big and significant differences in the frequency of alanine across BM and FM
transitions suggest that this non-polar amino acid with a relatively "neutral" effect on protein
structure[40] is often substituted by charged residues in transitions to higher salinity. For all
transition types, there was significantly less proline in the predicted proteomes at higher salinity.
To investigate the dynamics in how the proteome is reshaped after biome transitions, we
compared the differences in pI distributions to inferred times since divergence. The overall change
in pI distributions followed different logarithmic relationships for within-MSG (no transition)
divergence times as compared to any of the transition types (Fig. 5d). Moreover, the differences
in pI distribution across transitions were consistently larger than changes resulting from within-
MSG (no transition) divergence events when the latter were at least 44 to 181 million years more
recent (Fig. 5g-i). This suggests that proteomes are under strong selection and continue to evolve
to match the new salinity conditions long after the switch to a new biome (Extended Data Fig. 7).
194
195
Specific changes in gene content accompany cross-biome transitions
For each transition type, we were able to identify specific functional genes (KEGG orthology
groups [KOs]), significantly over-represented in the MSGs of one of the compared biomes after
correcting for differences in estimated completeness of the MAGs (Fig. 6, Supplementary Data
S4). However, transitions did not change the gain and loss rates (Fig 5e), even though gene
numbers significantly differed for BM transitions (Fig. 5f, Supplementary Data S3), with brackish
MAGs having a median of 386 more genes. Therefore, the gains or losses of the same gene
functions (KOs) we observed in multiple independent transition events most likely result from
analogous changes in selective pressures caused by the biome transitions.

Transporters were the only functional category (KO level C) over-represented in all
transition types (Fig. 6, Extended Data Figure S8), some with known roles in adaptation to altered
salinity. For FB transitions, brackish MAGs more frequently contained components of two (or of
the same[41]) potassium uptake systems (K03498 and K03499) co-acting to allow growth in higher
osmotic pressure[42]. A component of the uptake system with higher affinity, K03499[42], was also
more prevalent in marine genomes across FM transitions. Additionally, for both FB and FM MSG
pairs, a Na^+/Ca^{2+} antiporter (K07301) was more often found in the more saline biomes. Analogous
changes in presence of the same K^+ uptake systems and of Na^+/Ca^{2+} antiporters were reported
in a comparison of terrestrial and marine *Flavobacteriaceae*[43]. Finally, K03282, more frequently
present in brackish across BM transitions, is a mechanoreceptor involved in managing
hypoosmotic stress[44].

Two distinct magnesium uptake systems[45,46] were more frequently present in lower-salinity
biomes for FB and BM MSG pairs (K01531 and K03284, respectively). Moreover, for BM
transitions, brackish MAGs displayed a higher presence of chemotaxis (K03413) and two-
component system sensors (K02482 and K20974) involved in regulation of motility[47,48]. While
Mg^{2+} is a major component of sea salt[11] and salinity can alter chemotactic responses and
motility[49–51], to the best of our knowledge, there are no previous reports on the eco-evolutionary
relevance of these genes. For FB, a gene connected to either pigment synthesis (K15777) or
aromatic hydrocarbon degradation (K04100) was more often found in freshwater MAGs. Both of
these functions may be connected to salinity-related changes in dissolved organic carbon
concentration (see Supplementary Discussion for more details).

Other differentially present genes were less directly connected to physicochemical
differences between the biomes, suggesting more complex and/or cryptic biological mechanisms
associated with transitions. For BM transitions, genes connected to various metabolic pathways
(including modifications of charged amino acids), as well as transposases, antitoxins, and
competence proteins, were more often present in brackish MAGs than their marine counterparts.
Typical housekeeping genes were absent among the differentially present genes, which verifies
the specificity of the method.

Discussion
In this study, we combined several large-scale datasets, which allowed us to comparatively
analyze bacterial genomes from freshwater, brackish and marine biomes at an unprecedented
scale. Including brackish genomes allowed for a more detailed analysis of the evolutionary history
of aquatic bacteria than in previous studies focusing on the two biomes at the extremes of the

spectrum[9–11,16,43,52]. The brackish biome is a significant part of our global waters and combined,

the two major brackish systems analyzed here have a similar volume (~99,000 km^3 [53]) as all

freshwater lakes on earth (≤102,000 km^3 [54]), albeit only a fraction of the volume of the global

ocean (~1.35 billion km^3 [55]).

We observed bacterial communities from the different biomes to be separated on at least

the species level - nearly all >95% ANI genome clusters were restricted to a single biome.

Brackish genome clusters often had representatives from both the Baltic and Caspian Sea. These

results provide further support that the brackish biome hosts a distinct, globally distributed set of

bacterial species[12]. However, the fact that populations of individual species were more genetically

differentiated between the two brackish seas than across the strong salinity gradient within the

Baltic Sea, indicates that geographical barriers still have a structuring effect on the distribution of

aquatic   bacteria.

Our analyses support previous concepts of freshwater-marine transitions being rare[9–11]

and show more common but still rare freshwater-brackish and brackish-marine transitions, which

most often are directed towards the brackish biome. However, as the three biomes have

continuously existed throughout geological history and bacterial species appear to be globally

distributed within each of them[2], one might ask why any recent transitions have even happened.

A plausible scenario may be that genetic innovation (gene gain/loss or mutation) can make a

lineage competitive in a different biome, either by opening up a new niche or out-competing others

in an existing one, in accordance with the stable ecotype model[56]. However, the benefits of the

innovation need to outweigh the decrease in fitness due to switching to a new salinity regime.

Thus, the smaller salinity difference between the brackish and the other biomes would explain

why it is associated with more transitions.

The more frequent transitions into than out of the brackish environment are harder to

explain. For FB, the reason could simply be hydrological, i.e. that freshwater usually flows into

brackish water rather than the other way around. For BM, differences in population sizes may

instead be the explanation, as both the volume and surface of the ocean are much bigger than of

all brackish waters. Beneficial mutations are thus more likely to appear among the more numerous

marine bacteria. As the formation of neither the Baltic nor the Caspian Sea caused an observable

increase in transition rates, which is consistent with previous notions of major geological events

having little impact on bacterial speciation rates[57], formation of new brackish habitats does not

seem to play a key role in promoting cross-biome transitions.

Our results corroborate previous reports on differences in predicted proteomes at different

salinities[14], and support them with a systematic analysis across the bacterial tree of life. These

changes likely occur over longer evolutionary timescales after the actual transition, meaning that
in the period after the transitions, bacteria may have a suboptimal protein repertoire in their new
environment. This environmental mismatch would potentially lower the fitness of newly
transitioned lineages in addition to the bottleneck effects, traces of which are present in LD12
clade genomes[58], and which can lead to fixation of slightly deleterious mutations[59].

We observed a shift towards more acidic protein pIs at higher salinity, also reported
before[14,60], and showed the underlying proteome-scale increase in proportion of acidic amino
acids, most notably glutamate. This likely reflects that charged amino acids increase protein
solubility at higher ionic strength[61,62]. However, an even stronger pattern observed was the
proportion of neutral proteins gradually diminishing with increasing salinity, which is also known
from previous studies but lacks explanation[14]. We hypothesize that this effect might be due to
diminishing extracellular pH variation as the concentration of buffering salts increases[11,63].
Moreover, Na$^+$-coupled transport is the key mechanism of pH homeostasis under alkaline
conditions[64], to which both freshwater[11] and brackish environments[63,65] transcend. Consistently,
lower NaCl concentrations have been connected with higher intracellular pH variation in alkaline
conditions[64]. Thus, neutral proteins could be soluble and active outside as well as inside the cell
under pH variations in less saline environments. Unlike freshwater, brackish pH rarely changes
to an acidic state[63,65], which may explain why the difference in frequency of acidic proteins was
less significant for BM than for other transition types, accompanied by a change in the frequency
of   not   only   acidic   but   also   basic   amino   acids.

For BM transitions, we identified genes involved in glutamate post-translational
modifications (K03412, K05844, K14940, K18310) to be more frequent in brackish genomes. All
these modifications increase the acidity of residues[66–69]. Thus, depending on the specificity of the
enzymes, brackish bacteria may change the charges (and pIs) of their proteins to adjust to
different salinities (and varying pH). We also observed that brackish bacteria were enriched in
gene functions for other physiological responses to environmental cues, including chemotaxis,
transcriptional regulation, as well as synthesis of trehalose and polyamines (both osmolytes) (see
Supplementary Discussion). Thus, phenotypic plasticity might be an important hallmark of
brackish bacteria, sustaining the populations across the physicochemical gradients typical for
many brackish environments.

BM transitions seem to expose bacteria to a different set of mobile genetic elements
(MGEs). This is suggested by a set of transposases (previously reported to be unusually abundant
in the Baltic Sea[70]), competence proteins, and, indirectly, antitoxins[71] being more often present in
the brackish MAGs (Fig. 6a). Consequently, more MGEs in the brackish MAGs could explain the

higher numbers of genes as compared to the marine relatives (Fig. 5f). MGEs tend to increase
gene gain and loss processes[72,73], and may thus facilitate fast adaptation to new physiochemical
conditions. It also promotes the establishment of a recombination barrier between biomes[74]. As
homologous recombination inhibits divergence[74–78], these effects would give a further mechanistic
explanation for the "species-level" separation.
Ultimately, we extend the view of transitions between aquatic biomes and highlight the
brackish environment, showing trends specific for certain transition types and common across all
of them. We report patterns prevalent across the bacterial tree of life, providing supplementary
materials that allow investigation of the changes for chosen taxonomic groups. We observed
many changes related to previously undescribed adaptation mechanisms and/or poorly
characterized genes, both of which call for further exploration. In the future, phylogenomic
analyses should be supplemented with experimental and ecological approaches. This would allow
examining the relevance of the unraveled adaptation mechanisms in the context of ecosystem
functioning and physiology.
321
322

323 ## Methods
324
MAG collection and sources
Representative metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) from freshwater, brackish, and
marine biomes were collected from seven previous studies as specified in Extended Data Table
1. Freshwater MAGs originate from two North American lakes (Lake Mendota and Trout Bog), 44
lakes of the StratfreshDB (mainly in Sweden, Finland and Canada), and Lake Baikal (Russia)[18–
20]. Brackish MAGs originate from the Baltic Sea[17] and the Caspian Sea[21]. Finally, marine MAGs
that were published by Tully et al.[22] and Delmont et al.[23] originate from the Tara Oceans
Survey[79,80].
333

MAG statistics, ANI calculations and classification
Completeness and contamination of the collected MAGs were assessed using CheckM[81]. MAGs
with ≥75% completeness and ≤5% contamination were considered for further analyses. Pairwise
Average Nucleotide Identity (ANI) between all MAGs was calculated using FastANI (V1.2) and
MAGs were clustered at the threshold of 95% [82]. Clustering of MAGs was done using hclust and
cuttree functions in Rstudio. To remove redundant information and reduce the complexity of the
phylogenetic tree we kept one random MAG per cluster per biome to generate a pruned tree.

Taxonomic affiliation of MAGs was assigned using the "classify_wf" workflow of the Genome Taxonomy Database Toolkit (GTDB-tk) (v 0.3.2)[25,27]. To compare proportion of inter-biome and brackish inter-basin clusters, we used Fisher's exact test conditioned on the lower number of clusters (2 categories: the basin/biome with a lower number of clusters, and the number of shared clusters).

Population genomics

Population genomics was conducted with the POGENOM software as described in Sjöqvist et al.[26]. The same set of 22 MAGs (from[17]) were used as references for mapping of metagenomic data from the Baltic[17] and Caspian[21] seas. Only five ese obtained sufficient coverage in the three Caspian Sea samples and were included in the downstream analysis. The five MAGs all represent different (>95% ANI) genome clusters. The Input_POGENOM pipeline was used for the automatic generation of input files for POGENOM., The pairwise F_{ST} values output from POGENOM were used to conduct Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) using the Vegan package in *R*. Maps were plotted with the rworldmap package. For parameter settings of Input_POGENOM and POGENOM, see Sjöqvist et al.[26].

Phylogeny reconstruction

The "de_novo_wf" function of GTDB-tk (v 0.3.2) was used to reconstruct the phylogeny of MAGs[25,27]. The WAG (Whelan and Goldman) amino acid evolution model was selected in the infer step and phylum Patescibacteria was selected as the outgroup[83]. Most downstream phylogenetic analyses were performed in RStudio (V3.6.2) with the R packages ape, phytools, phangorn and ggplot. The multi2di function in R was used to resolve multichotomies (that appear because some MAGs were nearly identical) in the phylogenetic tree. Since this function rendered some branches with zero length, we arbitrarily changed all zero-length branches to 5 x 10^{-4} (which was the smallest length of all other branches) to ensure all branch lengths were > 0.

Gene prediction and annotation

Prodigal (v2.6.3) was run with default settings to identify protein-coding genes[84]. Gene function annotation was performed with eggNOG-mapper (v2.0.0), based on the eggNOG v5.0 database[85,86]. A custom Python script was used to count the number of occurrences of each Kegg Ortholog (KO) in each annotated MAG.

Identifying monobiomic sister groups (MSGs) for comparative analyses

Pairs of MSGs (Fig. 4a) were identified based on the pruned tree. The most recent common ancestors (MRCAs) of the monobiomic groups were identified by choosing nodes for which on each of the two downstream branches there were MAGs from a different and only one biome. Transition types were defined by the two biomes from which the MAGs originate and do not take into consideration inferred direction of the transition. The biggest possible pairs of monophyletic groups within MSGs (coming from the same biome; only one pair for each MSG with >1 representative MAG on the pruned tree) were used as "no transition" divergence events.

Expected number of transitions

Biome annotation of the tips of the pruned tree was randomly permuted 100 times and MSG pairs were re-identified using the same tree structure and the random biome annotations. Rounded averages of transitions obtained in all the iterations were used as the expected numbers. One-sided P-values were calculated as the number of iterations in which a lower/higher number of transitions of a type was observed. The fractions of each transition type among all expected transitions were used as probabilities for X-squared test on the observed values.

Minimal time since divergence

Six divergence-time constraints were set as minimal estimates of time since divergence for pairs of obligate endosymbiont taxa based on fossil record of host species[29–37] (Supplementary Table S1, Supplementary Data S2). Divergence times were calculated using RelTime[28] based on the unpruned trees, including GTDB reference sequences. Maximum relative rate ratio was set to 100. To correct for the difference between the placing of the root based on phylogenetic distances only[83] and the most probable position of the last bacterial common ancestor (LBCA) on the tree[87], *Fusobacteriota* were chosen as an outgroup. Only the tips present in the pruned tree were chosen for further analyses.

Transition directions

One MAG from each MSG was randomly chosen. Additional MAGs from outside from the MSGs were randomly chosen to downsample the dataset to the same number of genomes from each biome, equal to the lowest number for any of the biomes (396 for brackish after removing multiple MAGs within each MSG). "ace" function from the "ape" package in R was used to obtain maximum likelihood ancestral states of the MRCAs of MSG pairs using an all-rates-different model[88]. Pairwise Wilcoxon rank-sum test of likelihoods of the ancestral states for the two biomes between

which transition occurred was performed for each transition type. Random selection of MAGs

within and outside the MSGs was iterated 100 times and mean likelihoods and p-values were

used for further statistical analyses.

Comparison of isoelectric points and amino-acid frequencies

Isoelectric points (pI) of all proteins within inferred bacterial proteomes were obtained using the

pepstats function from the EMBOSS package (v6.6.0)[89]. To get amino acid and amino acid

category frequencies the whole proteomes were concatenated into one sequence before running

separate pepstats analyses. pI frequencies within bins of pH width of 0.5 spanning the range from

3.0 to 12.5 were used to average, plot and compare the distributions of pIs between MSGs.

Average values over MAGs (95% ANI cluster representatives) were used for MSGs consisting of

more than 1 MAG.

For analysis of total changes in pI distribution, the absolute values of differences for each

0.5 pH wide bin were summed up. To compare transitions to divergence events within the same

biome, within each MSG bigger than 1 representative MAG, a pair of monophyletic groups which

diverged the longest time ago were identified. The differences between these "no transtion"

(within-MSG) monophyletic groups were compared with differences across the ancestral nodes

(MRCAs of MSG pairs) in a pairwise manner.

Functional gene overlap and gene numbers

Occurrences of KOs were transformed into a binary table of gene (KO) presence/absence. Gene

overlap was calculated as: $\frac{N_{gS}}{(N_{g1}+N_{g2})/2}$, where N_{gS} is the number of KOs shared between 2

genomes and N_{g1} and N_{g2} are the total numbers of KOs present in the respective genomes[74].

Formulas *log(gene overlap) ~ divergence times* and *gene overlap ~ divergence times* were used

to fit logarithmic and linear models for each transition type, including no transition (see

Comparison of isoelectric points and amino-acid frequencies). The models were compared using

a method based on the least-square means statistic[90]. Numbers of distinct polypeptide sequences

in the predicted proteomes were used as the gene numbers.

Gene presence/absence analysis

Occurrences of KOs were transformed into a binary table of gene (KO) presence/absence.

Random pairs of MAGs from the MSGs were chosen for comparison for each transition type. To

correct for differences in genome completeness, the presence count for the more complete MAG

in each pair was randomly downsampled to the level of the less complete MAG. For each

transition type, KOs present in at least one of the MAGs within any of the MSGs were analyzed.

For each of the KOs, differences in presence between MAGs from each combination of two

biomes were analyzed using pairwise Wilcoxon rank-sum test[91]. The procedure was iterated 100

times, starting from the step of randomly choosing pairs of MAGs. The Benjamini-Hochberg

method[92] was used to obtain false-discovery rate (FDR)-adjusted p-values (q-values), and

average q-values from the 100 iterations for each KO were used to determine the significance of

difference in each KO's presence/absence. The identified KOs were annotated using KEGG

orthology[93] table collected from the KEGG website on the 24th of November 2021.

Statistical analyses

452   If not stated otherwise, pairwise Wilcoxon rank-sum test[91] was used to compare values across

453   MSG pairs of a certain type. If not stated otherwise, family-wise error rate (FWER) ≤ 0.05 was

454   considered as the threshold of significance. The analyses were performed using R.

Data availability

457   All the MAGs used in this study are made publicly available via their original publications as

458   referenced in the text and Extended Data Table 1. Data files describing intermediate data analysis

459   steps, including the phylogenetic trees, and the code for in-house data transformation procedures,

460   are available at https://doi.org/10.17044/scilifelab.20732170.

Funding

463   This study was supported by a grant from the Swedish Research Council VR to A.F.A. (grant

464   no. 2021-05563). Publication funding was provided by KTH Royal Institute of Technology.

Author contributions

467   A.F.A designed the study in consultation with all coauthors. K.T.J., M.M, A.F.A, Z.D., F.D.

468   analyzed the data. K.T.J. and M.M. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed

469   to the interpretation of the data, revised the manuscript and approved the final version before

470   submission.

Acknowledgements

473   Authors would like to thank Dr. Moritz Buck for providing access to the StratFreshDB MAGs that

474   were included in this study.

Conflict of interest

Authors declare no conflict of interest.

Figures

Fig. 1 | Status of shared bacterial clusters in different biomes. a. Map showing origins of MAGs [refs to papers from where we got the MAGs] **b.** Number of MAG clusters at different nucleotide distance cut-offs in each biome. **c.** Number of MAG clusters at different nucleotide distance cut-offs with members of different biome combinations. **d**. relative abundance of MAGs affiliated to different phyla in the three different biomes. Frequency distributions of pairwise inter-MAG ANI values in the range of 90 - 100% in **e.** freshwater, **f.** brackish, and **g.** marine genomes.

Fig. 2 | Population structure of brackish genome clusters. **a-b,** Geographic origin of each metagenome sample included for the MAG is shown to the left and a PCoA based on pairwise F_{ST} values to the right. Only Baltic Sea samples were included in **a**. whereas both Baltic Sea and Caspian Sea samples were included in **b.**

Branch colour

- ■ Actinobacteriota
- ■ Bacteroidota
- ■ Alphaproteobacteria
- ■ Gammaproteobacteria
- ■ Cyanobacteriia
- ■ Verrucomicrobiota
- ■ Planctomycetota
- ■ Other

Biome (tip colour)

- ● Brackish
- ● Freshwater
- ● Marine

Transitions

- ● BF
- ● BM
- ● FM

Fig. 3 | Reconstructed phylogeny of MAGs of the three aquatic biomes. Tree with branch lengths corresponding to estimated minimal times since divergence. Only tips present in the pruned tree are visualized. Identified transitions, i.e. nodes corresponding to most recent common ancestors of MSG pairs, are color-labeled by type.

Fig. 4 | The most recent transitions between biomes. a. Illustration of an MSG pair corresponding to an FB transition **b**. Observed numbers of transitions between the biomes (their proportion in all transitions as a percentage). Numbers of MAGs included in the pruned tree are mentioned in brackets under the biome name. The total number of all identified cross-biome transitions is shown in gray in the middle of the plot. **c.** Expected numbers of transitions between the biomes as predicted by random permutations of biome annotations. On the internal sides of the arrows are given P-values for the estimated numbers being smaller (for FM and FB) or bigger (for BM) than the observed numbers of transitions. **d.** The number of transitions distributed across the lowest shared taxonomic level is the lowest to which both MSGs pairs. If no annotation was available at a taxonomic level, a higher one was considered. Three FB pairs of MSGs belonged to the same >95% ANI cluster, but were not annotated to the same species by GTDB and species was considered as the lowest taxonomic level.**e.** Proportion of pairs of MSGs for broader taxonomic groups. **f.** The distributions of estimated minimal times since divergence for all nodes on the unpruned tree ("All MAGs"), nodes corresponding to most recent divergence events (pairs of bacterial species sharing an exclusive MRCA on the pruned tree, i.e. "Different species"), and nodes corresponding to transitions grouped by type. The timing of transitions within >95% ANI clusters is marked as "X". **g.** Mean likelihoods of ancestral biome-states for transition between the biomes. Next to the sides of the triangles are given p-values from pairwise Wilcoxon rank-sum test for difference in the likelihood for ancestral states of the 2 biomes in which the MSGs for the transition type were identified (for ex. between brackish and freshwater for FB transition type, right-hand side of the figure). **h.** Numbers (and proportion) of transitions of each type for which

 the direction of the transition indicated by the arrows was more probable than the two other
ancestral biome-states taken together. Both-sided arrows indicated transitions for which no
dominant transition direction was identified. MAG: metagenome-assembled genome; MSG:
monobiomic sister groups; MRCA: most recent common ancestor; BF: brackish ↔ freshwater;
BM: brackish ↔ marine; FM: freshwater ↔ marine; mya: million years ago.

Fig. 5 | Changes in proteome properties and gene content with cross-biome transitions. a.
Averaged distribution of pI values in predicted proteomes of MAGs. The distributions were
averaged over all the MSGs from the same biome and the same transition type by taking the
mean frequencies of proteins within 0.5 pH wide bins (from pI ∈ [3.0, 3.5) to pI ∈ [12.0, 12.5)).
Gray vertical lines correspond to boundaries set to divide proteins based on their pI into three

groups: acidic (pI ∈ [3.0, 5.5)), neutral (pI ∈ [5.5, 8.5)) and basic (pI ∈ [8.5, 12.5)). **b.** Differences
in pI distributions for each transition type (the difference in values between the pair of biomes for
each transition type presented in **a**). **c.** Differences in fractions of the proteomes which the chosen
categories of amino acids make up. The lower categories are subsets of the higher ones: polar ⊃
(charged = acidic + basic). **d.** Sum of pI differences, as the sum of absolute values of differences
over all used pI bins, vs. minimal estimated divergence times, for different transition types and for
no transition pairs. For no transition (same biome), the most distantly related pairs of monophyletic
groups within MSGs were used. A logarithmic relationship was fitted for each transition type and
no transition events. The vertical lines and values written above correspond to the lowest minimal
time since transition for which predicted value - SE of the fit for a transition type is bigger than
predicted value + SE for no transition events. **e.** Overlap in gene content vs minimal time since
divergence for transitions and no transition, oldest divergence events within MSGs (as in **d**). **f.**
Differences in numbers of genes between the pairs of MSGs. The horizontal bars represent
median values. **g-i.** Differences in the sum of pI differences between MSG pairs and between
biggest monophyletic groups within the MSGs formed after the transitions plotted against
differences in minimal time since transition/divergence between the same events as in **d.** for FB
(**g**), BM (**h**), and FM (**i**). The vertical lines correspond to the highest values in mya for which the
differences of sums of pI differences are not significantly higher than zero (Wilcoxon rank-sum
test p-value > 0.05). In **b**, **c** and **f**, the value differences are presented as value in the more saline
- less saline biome. pI: isoelectric point; MSG: monobiomic sister groups; MRCA: most recent
common ancestor; B: brackish; F: freshwater; M: marine; BF: brackish ↔ freshwater; BM:
brackish ↔ marine; FM: freshwater ↔ marine; mya: million years ago; SE - standard error.

Fig. 6 | Functional genes differentially present across transitions ("gain-loss maps").
Genes present in only one MSG from BM (**a**), FB (**b**), and FM (**c**) pairs of MSGs. Each column is a pair of MSGs, with taxonomic annotation marked above, and each row is an orthologous group, with its KO number and a descriptive name given on the right and a broader (KO level C) functional annotation marked to the left. To correct for labels inconsistent with the literature reference, the annotations of K01531[45] and K07301[94] were manually curated. The category "Enzymes with EC numbers" (represented by K00809) has been merged with "General function prediction only". The genes are marked as present in an MSG if they were found in >0.5 of the MAGs of the MSG. Only KOs found significantly (FDR adjusted $P < 0.1$) differentially present across transitions in a pairwise analysis are displayed. Descriptions of genes identified in more than one transition type were marked in bold. Groups of genes with at least one gene being in >50% of the cases being annotated also as the other(s) (Supplementary Data S4) were marked by adding #, $, ^ or * before the KO number.

Extended Data

Extended Data Table 1- Number of MAGs originated from each dataset

Dataset	#metagenomes	#Total MAGs	#filtered MAGs	#filtered Bacteria	#filtered Archaea	Reference
North American lakes (F)	141	193	84	84	0	Linz et al. (2018)
StratfreshDB (F)	267	8554	7654	7554	100	Buck et al. (2021)
Lake Baikal (F)	2	35	7	5	2	Cabello-Yeves et.al. (2018)
Baltic Sea (B)	82	1989	1989	1954	35	Alneberg et al. (2020)
Caspian Sea (B)	3	324	324	314	10	Mehrshad et al. (2016)
Global Ocean T (M)	243*	2631	990	932	58	Tully et al. (2018)
Global Ocean D (M)	243*	957	461	433	28	Delmont et al. (2017)

* The same metagenomes were used to obtain MAGs by Delmont et al. (2017) and Tully et al. (2018) using different approaches.

Extended Data Table 2- The number (proportion) of transitions of each kind for which a given taxonomic level is the lowest to which both MSGs belong. If no annotation was available at a taxonomic level, a higher one was considered. Pairs of MSGs belonged to the same >95% ANI cluster, but were not annotated to the same species by GTDB (3 FB cases), the lowest taxonomic level was considered to be species.

	FB	BM	FM
Domain	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (3.6%)

Phylum	1 (0.84%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Class	5 (4.2%)	3 (2.2%)	3 (5.5%)
Order	7 (5.9%)	12 (8.8%)	16 (29%)
Family	35 (29%)	43 (32%)	27 (49%)
Genus	66 (55%)	74 (54%)	6 (11%)
Species	5 (4.2%)	4 (2.9%)	1 (1.8%)

591 **Extended Data Fig. 1 | Observed proportion of MSG pairs annotated to each of the chosen**
592 **taxonomic groups vs expected numbers** (geometric means of the proportion of lineages from
593 each of taxonomic groups in respective biomes between which inferred transitions happened). *P*-
594 values obtained from *X*-squared are given for each transition type below the bar charts.

Extended Data Fig. 2 | Estimated times since the start of transitions a. grouped by taxa and **b.** based on the pruned tree further subsampled to the same number of freshwater and marine species. **a.** Dots correspond to estimated times since the start of transitions, and horizontal lines connect the most recent and the most ancient ones for each taxonomic group & transition type combination present in the data. **b.** The distributions of estimated minimal times since divergence for all nodes on the unpruned tree ("All MAGs") and for transitions of each type based on the subsampled tree.

607
608 **Extended Data Fig. 3 | Treemaps of dominant transition directions within taxa** for **a.** FB, **b.**
609 BM and **c.** FM transitions. The sizes of the boxes correspond to numbers of transitions for which
610 the biome-ancestral state indicated by the letter (F - freshwater, B - brackish, M - marine) had
611 higher likelihood than the two other ancestral biome-states taken together (*i.e.* likelihood >0.5).
612 "?" indicates that the likelihood of all the ancestral states was <0.5.
613

614
615 **Extended Data Fig. 4 | The likelihood of MRCAs of MSG pairs originating from a different**
616 **biome than any of its descendant MAGs (i.e. having a third-biome ancestral state).** The
617 transitions are ordered by increasing likelihood of the third-biome ancestral state.

618
Extended Data Fig. 5 | Averaged distribution of isoelectric point (pI) values in predicted
proteomes of MAGs. The distributions were averaged over all the MSGs from the same biome,
transition type, and taxonomic group. The mean abundances of proteins within 0.5 pH wide bins
(from pI ∈ [3.0, 3.5) to pI ∈ [12.0, 12.5)) were used to make the figure.

624
625
626 **Extended Data Fig. 6 | Differences in frequencies of amino acids in the proteomes across**
627 **the transitions.** Presented as **a.** absolute differences in the relative frequencies or **b.** ratio of
628 frequencies (logarithmic scale on x-axis).
629

Extended Data Fig. 7 | Models of proteome reorganization following cross-biome transitions. The curves illustrate hypothetical dynamics of change in pI distribution after divergence events (as in Fig. 5d) connected to cross-biome transitions (coloured lines) or within the same biome (dashed black line). **a.** Abrupt change in the distribution of pIs driven by biome-specific selection occurs in the early stage after transition, followed by random changes occurring in the diverging lineages regardless of selective pressure (model A) **b.** The transition alters the rate at which the distributions of pIs change, which is observable over a long time span as a combined effect of random changes and selective pressure (model B). Fig. 5d does not allow to correctly distinguish between the models, as taxonomic biases and differences in distributions of times since divergence affect the fitted logarithmic models. However, differences in pI distributions between transitions and no-transition divergence events within the same taxonomic groups (as in Fig. 5g-i) allows distinguishing which dynamics are more prevalent. Under model A, differences in pI distribution between transitions and divergence events occurring shortly after them should be significant, corresponding to abrupt changes after transitions (gray background in **a.**). Regardless of the size of change induced by the transition (orange vs purple line), it should be distinguishable from random effects after a similarly short time span. On the other hand, under model B, the increased rate of changes would lead to systematic differences being distinguishable from the noise only after a significant amount of time. The difference in time needed for differences in pI distributions to be distinguishable between transition and "no-transition" events would depend on how much the rate of change increases after transitions. Under a highly increased rate of change (orange line), only in the early stages (dark-gray background in **b.**), the differences are indistinguishable from the noise. If the rate increase is weaker, it takes substantially longer for the differences to reach the same level (light-gray background in **b.**). Results shown in Fig. 5g-i suggest that model B is the most common dynamic in the bacterial world.

Extended Data Fig. 8 | Results of over-representation analysis of the functional categories (KEGG Orthology level C) of differentially present KOs (i.e. gained/lost genes). The probability of obtaining the observed number of KOs annotated to a category among the differentially present KOs, as if they were drawn at random from all the KOs analyzed for a transition type, was assessed using a hypergeometric test.

References

References

1. Gould & Eldredge. Punctuated equilibria: an alternative to phyletic gradualism. *Models in*
paleobiology.

2. Louca, S. The rates of global bacterial and archaeal dispersal. *ISME J.* **16**, 159–167 (2022).

3. Puigbò, P., Lobkovsky, A. E., Kristensen, D. M., Wolf, Y. I. & Koonin, E. V. Genomes in
turmoil: quantification of genome dynamics in prokaryote supergenomes. *BMC Biol.* **12**, 66
(2014).

4. Vos, M., Hesselman, M. C., Te Beek, T. A., van Passel, M. W. J. & Eyre-Walker, A. Rates
of Lateral Gene Transfer in Prokaryotes: High but Why? *Trends Microbiol.* **23**, 598–605
(2015).

5. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Coastal Systems and Low-Lying Areas. in
Climate Change 2014 – Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability: Part A: Global and Sectoral
Aspects: Working Group II Contribution to the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report 361–410
(Cambridge University Press, 2014).

6. Falkowski, P. G., Fenchel, T. & Delong, E. F. The microbial engines that drive Earth's
biogeochemical cycles. *Science* **320**, 1034–1039 (2008).

7. Ali, S. S., Yu, Y., Pfosser, M. & Wetschnig, W. Inferences of biogeographical histories
within subfamily Hyacinthoideae using S-DIVA and Bayesian binary MCMC analysis
implemented in RASP (Reconstruct Ancestral State in Phylogenies). *Ann. Bot.* **109**, 95–107
(2012).

8. Thompson, L. R. *et al.* A communal catalogue reveals Earth's multiscale microbial diversity.
Nature **551**, 457–463 (2017).

9. Logares, R. *et al.* Infrequent marine-freshwater transitions in the microbial world. *Trends*
Microbiol. **17**, 414–422 (2009).

10. Barberán, A. & Casamayor, E. O. Global phylogenetic community structure and β-diversity

patterns in surface bacterioplankton metacommunities. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.* **59**, 1–10

(2010).

11. Walsh, D. A., Lafontaine, J. & Grossart, H.-P. On the Eco-Evolutionary Relationships of

Fresh and Salt Water Bacteria and the Role of Gene Transfer in Their Adaptation. in *Lateral*

Gene Transfer in Evolution (ed. Gophna, U.) 55–77 (Springer New York, 2013).

12. Hugerth, L. W. *et al.* Metagenome-assembled genomes uncover a global brackish

microbiome. *Genome Biol.* **16**, 279 (2015).

13. Delgado, L. F. & Andersson, A. F. Evaluating metagenomic assembly approaches for

biome-specific gene catalogues. *Microbiome* **10**, 72 (2022).

14. Cabello-Yeves, P. J. & Rodriguez-Valera, F. Marine-freshwater prokaryotic transitions

require extensive changes in the predicted proteome. *Microbiome* **7**, 117 (2019).

15. Dupont, C. L. *et al.* Functional tradeoffs underpin salinity-driven divergence in microbial

community composition. *PLoS One* **9**, e89549 (2014).

16. Eiler, A. *et al.* Productivity and salinity structuring of the microplankton revealed by

comparative freshwater metagenomics. *Environ. Microbiol.* **16**, 2682–2698 (2014).

17. Alneberg, J. *et al.* Ecosystem-wide metagenomic binning enables prediction of ecological

niches from genomes. *Commun Biol* **3**, 119 (2020).

18. Linz, A. M. *et al.* Freshwater carbon and nutrient cycles revealed through reconstructed

population genomes. *PeerJ* **6**, e6075 (2018).

19. Cabello-Yeves, P. J. *et al.* Genomes of Novel Microbial Lineages Assembled from the Sub-

Ice Waters of Lake Baikal. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **84**, (2018).

20. Buck, M. *et al.* Comprehensive dataset of shotgun metagenomes from oxygen stratified

freshwater lakes and ponds. *Sci Data* **8**, 131 (2021).

21. Mehrshad, M., Amoozegar, M. A., Ghai, R., Shahzadeh Fazeli, S. A. & Rodriguez-Valera,

F. Genome Reconstruction from Metagenomic Data Sets Reveals Novel Microbes in the

Brackish Waters of the Caspian Sea. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **82**, 1599–1612 (2016).

22.   Tully, B. J., Graham, E. D. & Heidelberg, J. F. The reconstruction of 2,631 draft

metagenome-assembled genomes from the global oceans. *Sci Data* **5**, 170203 (2018).

23.   Delmont, T. O. *et al.* Nitrogen-fixing populations of Planctomycetes and Proteobacteria are

abundant in surface ocean metagenomes. *Nat Microbiol* **3**, 804–813 (2018).

24.   Varghese, N. J. *et al.* Microbial species delineation using whole genome sequences.

Nucleic Acids Res. **43**, 6761–6771 (2015).

25.   Parks, D. H. *et al.* A complete domain-to-species taxonomy for Bacteria and Archaea. *Nat.*

Biotechnol. **38**, 1079–1086 (2020).

26.   Sjöqvist, C., Delgado, L. F., Alneberg, J. & Andersson, A. F. Ecologically coherent

population structure of uncultivated bacterioplankton. *ISME J.* **15**, 3034–3049 (2021).

27.   Chaumeil, P.-A., Mussig, A. J., Hugenholtz, P. & Parks, D. H. GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify

genomes with the Genome Taxonomy Database. *Bioinformatics* (2019)

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btz848.

28.   Battistuzzi, F. U., Tao, Q., Jones, L., Tamura, K. & Kumar, S. RelTime Relaxes the Strict

Molecular Clock throughout the Phylogeny. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **10**, 1631–1636 (2018).

29.   Kim, H., Lee, S. & Jang, Y. Macroevolutionary patterns in the Aphidini aphids (Hemiptera:

Aphididae): diversification, host association, and biogeographic origins. *PLoS One* **6**,

e24749 (2011).

30.   Moran, N. A., Munson, M. A., Baumann, P. & Ishikawa, H. A molecular clock in

endosymbiotic bacteria is calibrated using the insect hosts. *Proceedings of the Royal*

Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences **253**, 167–171 (1993).

31.   Thao, M. L. *et al.* Cospeciation of psyllids and their primary prokaryotic endosymbionts.

Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **66**, 2898–2905 (2000).

32.   Cockerell, T. D. A. The Third Fossil Tsetse-Fly. *Nature* **98**, 70–70 (1916).

33.   Lo, N., Bandi, C., Watanabe, H., Nalepa, C. & Beninati, T. Evidence for cocladogenesis

between diverse dictyopteran lineages and their intracellular endosymbionts. *Mol. Biol.*

Evol. **20**, 907–913 (2003).

34. Thorne, B. L., Grimaldi, D. A. & Krishna, K. Early Fossil History of the Termites. in *Termites:*

Evolution, Sociality, Symbioses, Ecology (eds. Abe, T., Bignell, D. E. & Higashi, M.) 77–93

(Springer Netherlands, 2000).

35. Moran, N. A., Tran, P. & Gerardo, N. M. Symbiosis and insect diversification: an ancient

symbiont of sap-feeding insects from the bacterial phylum Bacteroidetes. *Appl. Environ.*

Microbiol. **71**, 8802–8810 (2005).

36. Shcherbakov, D. The 270 million year history of Auchenorrhyncha (Homoptera).

https://www.academia.edu/download/33480266/Denisia__Biologiezentrum_Linz__Austria_

Vol_0004-0029-0036.pdf.

37. Kuo, C.-H. & Ochman, H. Inferring clocks when lacking rocks: the variable rates of

molecular evolution in bacteria. *Biol. Direct* **4**, 35 (2009).

38. Björck, S. A review of the history of the Baltic Sea, 13.0-8.0 ka BP. *Quat. Int.* **27**, 19–40

(1995).

39. Dumont, H. J. The Caspian Lake: History, biota, structure, and function. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*

43, 44–52 (1998).

40. Morrison, K. L. & Weiss, G. A. Combinatorial alanine-scanning. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **5**,

302–307 (2001).

41. Bossemeyer, D. *et al.* K+-transport Protein TrkA of Escherichia coli Is a Peripheral

Membrane Protein That Requires other trk Gene Products for Attachment to the

Cytoplasmic Membrane*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **264**, 16403–16410 (1989).

42. Holtmann, G., Bakker, E. P., Uozumi, N. & Bremer, E. KtrAB and KtrCD: two K+ uptake

systems in Bacillus subtilis and their role in adaptation to hypertonicity. *J. Bacteriol.* **185**,

1289–1298 (2003).

43. Zhang, H. *et al.* Repeated evolutionary transitions of flavobacteria from marine to non-

marine habitats. *Environ. Microbiol.* **21**, 648–666 (2019).

782 44. Levina, N. *et al.* Protection of Escherichia coli cells against extreme turgor by activation of

783   MscS and MscL mechanosensitive channels: identification of genes required for MscS

784   activity. *EMBO J.* **18**, 1730–1737 (1999).

785 45. Tao, T., Snavely, M. D., Farr, S. G. & Maguire, M. E. Magnesium transport in Salmonella

786   typhimurium: mgtA encodes a P-type ATPase and is regulated by Mg2+ in a manner similar

787   to that of the mgtB P-type ATPase. *J. Bacteriol.* **177**, 2654–2662 (1995).

788 46. Papp, K. M. & Maguire, M. E. The CorA Mg2+ transporter does not transport Fe2+. *J.*

789   *Bacteriol.* **186**, 7653–7658 (2004).

790 47. Hsu, J.-L., Chen, H.-C., Peng, H.-L. & Chang, H.-Y. Characterization of the Histidine-

791   containing Phosphotransfer Protein B-mediated Multistep Phosphorelay System in

792   Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283**, 9933–9944 (2008).

793 48. Wösten, M. M. S. M., Wagenaar, J. A. & van Putten, J. P. M. The FlgS/FlgR Two-

794   component Signal Transduction System Regulates the fla Regulon in Campylobacter

795   jejuni*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 16214–16222 (2004).

796 49. Futamata, H. *et al.* Chemotactic response to amino acids of fluorescent pseudomonads

797   isolated from spinach roots grown in soils with different salinity levels. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*

798   **44**, 1–7 (1998).

799 50. Larsen, M. H., Blackburn, N., Larsen, J. L. & Olsen, J. E. Influences of temperature, salinity

800   and starvation on the motility and chemotactic response of Vibrio anguillarum. *Microbiology*

801   **150**, 1283–1290 (2004).

802 51. Xu, X. *et al.* cheA, cheB, cheR, cheV, and cheY Are Involved in Regulating the Adhesion of

803   Vibrio harveyi. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **10**, 591751 (2020).

804 52. Ramachandran, A., McLatchie, S. & Walsh, D. A. A Novel Freshwater to Marine

805   Evolutionary Transition Revealed within Methylophilaceae Bacteria from the Arctic Ocean.

806   *MBio* **12**, e0130621 (2021).

807 53. Snoeijs-Leijonmalm, P. & Andrén, E. Why is the Baltic Sea so special to live in? in

Biological Oceanography of the Baltic Sea (eds. Snoeijs-Leijonmalm, P., Schubert, H. &

Radziejewska, T.) 23–84 (Springer Netherlands, 2017).

54. Gleick, P. H. *Water in Crisis: A Guide to the World's Fresh Water Resources*. (Oxford

University Press, 1993).

55. Garrison, T. S. *Oceanography: An Invitation to Marine Science*. (Cengage Learning, 2012).

56. Cohan, F. M. Bacterial species and speciation. *Syst. Biol.* **50**, 513–524 (2001).

57. Louca, S. *et al.* Bacterial diversification through geological time. *Nat Ecol Evol* **2**, 1458–

1467 (2018).

58. Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka, K. *et al.* Single-cell genomics reveal low recombination

frequencies in freshwater bacteria of the SAR11 clade. *Genome Biol.* **14**, R130 (2013).

59. Ohta, T. Slightly deleterious mutant substitutions in evolution. *Nature* **246**, 96–98 (1973).

60. Kiraga, J. *et al.* The relationships between the isoelectric point and: length of proteins,

taxonomy and ecology of organisms. *BMC Genomics* **8**, 163 (2007).

61. Lanyi, J. K. Salt-dependent properties of proteins from extremely halophilic bacteria.

Bacteriol. Rev. **38**, 272–290 (1974).

62. Mevarech, M., Frolow, F. & Gloss, L. M. Halophilic enzymes: proteins with a grain of salt.

Biophys. Chem. **86**, 155–164 (2000).

63. Carstensen, J. & Duarte, C. M. Drivers of pH Variability in Coastal Ecosystems. *Environ.*

Sci. Technol. **53**, 4020–4029 (2019).

64. Slonczewski, J. L., Fujisawa, M., Dopson, M. & Krulwich, T. A. Cytoplasmic pH

Measurement and Homeostasis in Bacteria and Archaea. in *Advances in Microbial*

Physiology (ed. Poole, R. K.) vol. 55 1–317 (Academic Press, 2009).

65. Schneider, B. *et al.* Biogeochemical cycles. in *Biological Oceanography of the Baltic Sea*

(eds. Snoeijs-Leijonmalm, P., Schubert, H. & Radziejewska, T.) 87–122 (Springer

Netherlands, 2017).

66. Kirsch, M. L., Peters, P. D., Hanlon, D. W., Kirby, J. R. & Ordal, G. W. Chemotactic

methylesterase promotes adaptation to high concentrations of attractant in Bacillus subtilis.

J. Biol. Chem. **268**, 18610–18616 (1993).

67. Collard, F. *et al.* Molecular Identification of N-Acetylaspartylglutamate Synthase and β-

Citrylglutamate Synthase*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**, 29826–29833 (2010).

68. Li, H., Xu, H., Graham, D. E. & White, R. H. Glutathione synthetase homologs encode α-l-

glutamate ligases for methanogenic coenzyme F_{420} and tetrahydrosarcinapterin

biosyntheses. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **100**, 9785–9790 (2003).

69. Kino Kuniki, Arai Toshinobu & Arimura Yasuhiro. Poly-α-Glutamic Acid Synthesis Using a

Novel Catalytic Activity of RimK from Escherichia coli K-12. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **77**,

2019–2025 (2011).

70. Vigil-Stenman, T., Ininbergs, K., Bergman, B. & Ekman, M. High abundance and

expression of transposases in bacteria from the Baltic Sea. *ISME J.* **11**, 2611–2623 (2017).

71. Rankin, D. J., Rocha, E. P. C. & Brown, S. P. What traits are carried on mobile genetic

elements, and why? *Heredity* **106**, 1–10 (2011).

72. Koonin, E. V. & Wolf, Y. I. Genomics of bacteria and archaea: the emerging dynamic view

of the prokaryotic world. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **36**, 6688–6719 (2008).

73. Soucy, S. M., Huang, J. & Gogarten, J. P. Horizontal gene transfer: building the web of life.

Nat. Rev. Genet. **16**, 472–482 (2015).

74. Iranzo, J., Wolf, Y. I., Koonin, E. V. & Sela, I. Gene gain and loss push prokaryotes beyond

the homologous recombination barrier and accelerate genome sequence divergence. *Nat.*

Commun. **10**, 5376 (2019).

75. Hoetzinger, M. & Hahn, M. W. Genomic divergence and cohesion in a species of pelagic

freshwater bacteria. *BMC Genomics* **18**, 794 (2017).

76. Hanage, W. P., Spratt, B. G., Turner, K. M. E. & Fraser, C. Modelling bacterial speciation.

Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. **361**, 2039–2044 (2006).

77. Marttinen, P., Croucher, N. J., Gutmann, M. U., Corander, J. & Hanage, W. P.

Recombination produces coherent bacterial species clusters in both core and accessory

genomes. *Microb Genom* **1**, e000038 (2015).

78. Whitaker, R. J., Grogan, D. W. & Taylor, J. W. Recombination shapes the natural

population structure of the hyperthermophilic archaeon Sulfolobus islandicus. *Mol. Biol.*

Evol. **22**, 2354–2361 (2005).

79. Sunagawa, S. *et al.* Tara Oceans: towards global ocean ecosystems biology. *Nat. Rev.*

Microbiol. **18**, 428–445 (2020).

80. Rusch, D. B. *et al.* The Sorcerer II Global Ocean Sampling expedition: northwest Atlantic

through eastern tropical Pacific. *PLoS Biol.* **5**, e77 (2007).

81. Parks, D. H., Imelfort, M., Skennerton, C. T., Hugenholtz, P. & Tyson, G. W. CheckM:

assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and

metagenomes. *Genome Res.* **25**, 1043–1055 (2015).

82. Jain, C., Rodriguez-R, L. M., Phillippy, A. M., Konstantinidis, K. T. & Aluru, S. High

throughput ANI analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species boundaries.

Nat. Commun. **9**, 5114 (2018).

83. Zhu, Q. *et al.* Phylogenomics of 10,575 genomes reveals evolutionary proximity between

domains Bacteria and Archaea. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 5477 (2019).

84. Hyatt, D. *et al.* Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site

identification. *BMC Bioinformatics* **11**, 119 (2010).

85. Huerta-Cepas, J. *et al.* Fast Genome-Wide Functional Annotation through Orthology

Assignment by eggNOG-Mapper. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **34**, 2115–2122 (2017).

86. Huerta-Cepas, J. *et al.* eggNOG 5.0: a hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically

annotated orthology resource based on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. *Nucleic Acids*

Res. **47**, D309–D314 (2019).

87. Coleman, G. A. *et al.* A rooted phylogeny resolves early bacterial evolution. *Science* **372**,

(2021).

88. Pagel, M. Detecting correlated evolution on phylogenies: a general method for the

comparative analysis of discrete characters. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London.*

Series B: Biological Sciences **255**, 37–45 (1994).

89. Rice, P., Longden, I. & Bleasby, A. EMBOSS: the European Molecular Biology Open

Software Suite. *Trends Genet.* **16**, 276–277 (2000).

90. Lenth, R. V. Least-Squares Means: The R Package lsmeans. *J. Stat. Softw.* **69**, 1–33

(2016).

91. Wilcoxon, F. Individual Comparisons by Ranking Methods. *Biometrics Bulletin* **1**, 80–83

(1945).

92. Benjamini, Y. & Hochberg, Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful

approach to multiple testing. *J. R. Stat. Soc.* **57**, 289–300 (1995).

93. Kanehisa, M., Sato, Y., Kawashima, M., Furumichi, M. & Tanabe, M. KEGG as a reference

resource for gene and protein annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**, D457–62 (2016).

94. Besserer, G. M., Nicoll, D. A., Abramson, J. & Philipson, K. D. Characterization and

Purification of a Na+/Ca2+ Exchanger from an Archaebacterium*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **287**,

8652–8659 (2012).