Title page

Integrative multispecies omics reveals a hierarchy of cold-responsive network

launched by circadian components in rosids

Liangyu Guo[1,†], Zhiming Xu[1,†], Shuo Wang[1,†], Yuqi Nie[1], Xiaoxue Ye[2], Xuejiao Jin[1,*],

and Wenwu Wu[1,*]

1. State Key Laboratory of Subtropical Silviculture, School of Forestry and

Biotechnology, Zhejiang A&F University, Lin'an, Hangzhou 311300, China.

2. Institute of Tropical Biosciences and Biotechnology, Chinese Academy of Tropical

Agricultural Sciences, Haikou 571101, Hainan, China

[†]Equal contributors;

*Correspondence should be addressed to X.J (jinxuejiao1991@cau.edu.cn) or W.W.

(wwwu@zafu.edu.cn, Dr. Wu is fully responsible for the distribution of the materials

associated with this article).

Running title: A hierarchical cold-responsive network

Color Figures: 6

Tables: 1

Supporting information: 1 Figure and 9 Tables

Abstract

Elucidating regulators and molecular mechanisms underlying gene transcriptional and post-transcriptional co-regulatory network is key to understand plant cold responses. Previous studies were mainly conducted on single species and however, whether the regulators and mechanisms are conserved across species remains elusive. Here, we select three species that diverged at early evolution of rosids (93–115 million years ago) and integrate phylotranscriptome and regulome data to investigate cold-responsive regulators and their regulatory networks across rosids. First, we identify over ten thousand cold-responsive genes including differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and alternative splicing genes (DASGs) in each species. Among DEGs, a set of transcription factor (TF) families (AP2/ERF, MYB, WRKY, NAC, etc.) and RNA binding protein (RBP) families (Ribosomal, RRM, DEAD, Helicase_C, etc.) are conserved in cold responses in rosids. Compared to TFs, RBPs show a delayed cold-responsive pattern, likely suggesting a hierarchical regulation of DEGs and DASGs. Further, we identify 259 overlapping DE-DASG orthogroups between DEGs and DASGs and interestingly, pathway analysis on each dataset of DEGs, DASGs, and DE-DASGs coincidently shows an enrichment of circadian rhythm. Evidentially, many circadian components are cold-regulated differentially at both transcriptional and post-transcriptional level. Moreover, we reason 226 cold-responsive genes regulated by at least two of five circadian components (CCA1, LHY, RV4, RVE8, and RVE7) in rosids. Finally, we unveil a conserved hierarchical network in dynamic transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation launched by circadian components in rosids. Together, our results provide insights into core regulators and mechanisms underlying cold-responsive network across rosids, despite a long evolutionary history.

Key words: transcription factor; RNA binding protein; circadian clock; hierarchical network; cold stress; rosids

Introduction

For adaptation to environmental stressors, angiosperms have evolved sophisticated and advanced mechanisms and become the largest land plant lineage with more than 350,000 known species widely distributed from tropical to polar terrestrial zones (Wu et al., 2020). Among environmental stressors, seasonal and daily variation of temperature is a fundamental component that affects plant growth, productivity, and geographical distribution (Creux et al., 2019; Kidokoro et al., 2022). Under low temperature, plants undergo physiological and molecular changes that increase the levels of specific proteins, metabolites, and phytohormones, which are generally regulated by transcription factors (TFs) and RNA binding proteins (RBPs) at transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels (Zhu, 2016; Ding et al., 2019; Kidokoro et al., 2022).

Thousands of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified involved in cold stress (Calixto et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2022) and many TFs have been suggested in regulating and managing their expression (Chinnusamy et al., 2007; Ding et al., 2019; Kidokoro et al., 2022). The best characterized TFs are C-repeat binding factor (*CBF*) genes that are rapidly and transiently induced by cold stress and can enhance freezing tolerance of plants through binding to *cis*-elements (CCGAC) in the promoters of cold-responsive (*COR*) genes (Stockinger et al., 1997; Jaglo-Ottosen et al., 1998). RNA-seq analysis of *Arabidopsis cbf123* triple mutant showed that hundreds of *CORs* are regulated through CBF-dependent pathway (Jia et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2016). Activation of CBF target *CORs*, such as *COR15A*, *COR47*, *KIN1*, and *RD29A*, leads to an increase in plant freezing tolerance (Jaglo-Ottosen et al., 1998; Novillo et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2009). In addition, cold responsiveness of plants is also regulated by circadian clock system, which is involved in plant stress response through controlling daily energy expenditure and enabling plants to grow and reproduce under seasonal and daily temperature variation (Greenham et al., 2015; Markham et al., 2021). In the clock system, some circadian clock-related TFs, such as CIRCADIAN

CLOCK ASSOCIATED 1 (CCA1), LATE ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL (LHY), and

REVEILLES (RVEs), have been proven involved in cold tolerance by directly

regulating the expression of downstream *CORs*, such as *CBFs*, *COR15A/15B*, *COR28,*

and *COR27* (Dong et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2017; Kidokoro et al., 2021).

On the other hand, post-transcriptional regulation is also a key processing in

reprogramming gene expression to tolerate and survive constantly temperature

changes (Ding et al., 2019; Kidokoro et al., 2022). As well as TFs in regulating gene

transcription, RBPs are essential regulators in regulating post-transcriptional RNA

processing, including capping, polyadenylation, alternative splicing (AS), RNA export,

translation, and turnover (Calixto et al., 2018; Bach-Pages et al., 2020). Under cold

stress, upregulation and/or downregulation of RBP genes may cause differentially

post-transcriptional RNA processing. During RNA processing, AS is an important

post-transcriptional mechanism by producing different transcripts and protein variants

from single gene for increasing transcriptome and proteome diversity (Wu et al., 2018).

The concentration, localization, and activity of RBPs determine AS events to produce

different transcripts (Fu et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2015). In plants, extensive AS events

have been implicated in various abiotic stresses, including cold, salt, heat and other

environmental stresses (Calixto et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2021; Zheng et

al., 2022). For example, genome-wide AS profiling in *Arabidopsis* showed a massive

differentially alternative splicing genes (DASGs) after cold treatment and suggested

that plants may fine-tune AS events of gene transcripts for adapting to cold stress

(Calixto et al., 2018). Among DASGs, *CCA1*, a core component of circadian clock,

has two splice variants: CCA1α and CCA1β. CCA1β competitively inhibits the DNA

binding activity of CCA1α and LHY by forming nonfunctional heterodimers of

CCA1β-CCA1α and CCA1β-LHY (Seo et al., 2012). Under cold stress, CCA1β

production is repressed to release the activity of CCA1α and LHY, whereby CCA1α

and LHY promote the expression of downstream *CORs* for improving plant cold

tolerance (Seo et al., 2012).

In the past years, numerous cold-induced DEGs and DASGs were identified in different species by high-throughput methods (Calixto et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2021; Zheng et al., 2022). Identifying core regulators (e.g., TFs and RBPs) and elucidating molecular mechanisms underlying the outcome of DEGs and DASGs are key to understand the reprogramming of gene regulatory network in response to cold stress. The previous studies were generally performed on single species, especially the reference model *Arabidopsis*, and however, whether the regulators and mechanisms underlying transcriptional and post-transcriptional changes are conserved in different lineages remains elusive. In this study, we focus on rosids, a large group of angiosperm eudicots, and select three species: *Carya illinoinensis* and *Populus trichocarpa* from fabids (rosid I) and *Arabidopsis thaliana* from malvids (rosid II). Fabids and malvids are two main clades of rosids, comprising of >40,000 and ~15,000 species, respectively (Chase et al., 2009). The three species diverged during early evolution of rosids and evolved independently for over 100 million years (Kumar et al., 2017). The long evolutionary history caused great differences in their growth habits and geographic distributions, and accordingly, we ask whether the regulators and mechanisms underlying gene transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation are conserved (or not) across rosids in response to low temperature.

To solve the question, we perform omics analysis of genomes, transcriptomes, and regulomes and identify common core regulators in regulating cold responses across the three species. First, by phylotranscriptome sequencing (RNA-seq), we investigate global expression profiles of cold-induced DEGs and DASGs in each of the studied species. Subsequently, we analyze cold responses of TFs and RBPs and identify those TF and RBP families that are conserved in cold responses, which likely contribute to DEGs and DASGs in rosids. Moreover, we explore overlapping genes (i.e., DE-DASGs) of DEGs and DASGs and perform homologous analysis to identify conserved DE-DASG orthogroups in rosids. Finally, we select five circadian

components and integrate transcriptomes and regulome maps to identify their target

CORs for constructing a hierarchical cold-responsive regulatory network.

Results

Global gene expression profiles in rosids under cold stress

Physiological and molecular changes in plants at low temperature are usually caused

by up- or downregulated expression of genes (Kidokoro et al., 2022). To capture the

landscape of DEGs at low temperature, we performed RNA-seq experiments in three

rosid species before and after cold treatments (**see Methods and materials**). Based on

RNA-seq analysis, we identified a huge number of cold-induced DEGs: 7,579, 8,878,

and 8,480 respectively in *A. thaliana*, *C. illinoinensis*, and *P. trichocarpa* (**Table S1**).

Notably, more upregulated than downregulated DEGs are observed in all three plants

(**Fig 1A**). Expression profiles show that these upregulated DEGs are mainly clustered

in three expression patterns following three timepoints of 2 hours (h), 24 h, 168 h

after cold treatments (**Fig 1B**). Inspecting the expression patterns, we identified that

the number of upregulated DEGs in *A. thaliana* is significantly more at 2 h but less at

168 h than the counterparts in other two plants after cold treatments. Likewise, there

are significantly more downregulated DEGs at 2 h and less downregulated DEGs at

168 h in *A. thaliana* than that in *C. illinoinensis* and *P. trichocarpa* (**Fig 1B**). These

findings show that over seven thousand genes are differentially cold-regulated in

rosids with three common expression patterns but likely in different cold-responsive

speeds: an early extensive response in *A. thaliana* but a delayed extensive response in

C. illinoinensis and *P. trichocarpa*.

Common biological processes of cold responses in rosids

Despite of different cold-responsive speeds between the three plants, Gene Ontology

(GO) term enrichment analysis of the DEGs identifies a very similar enrichment of

biological processes (**Fig 1C, 1D**). The upregulated DEGs are mainly enriched in

abiotic stress processes, e.g., response to hypoxia, water, and cold, and circadian

rhythm (**Fig 1C**). Additionally, regulations of transcription and RNA processing are
also amongst the most enriched terms, which imply that the regulators (e.g., TFs and
RBPs) at transcriptional and post-transcriptional level are likely to be more activated
at low temperature (**Fig 1C**). The downregulated DEGs are mainly enriched in
catalytic activity, photosynthesis, developmental growth, small molecular and/or lipid
biosynthetic/metabolic process, etc (**Fig 1D**). It is reasonable that for improving cold
tolerance, plants reduce expression of the genes involved in activities of plant
development and growth (Kidokoro et al., 2022).

Conserved cold-responsive TF families in rosids
Differential changes of gene expression at transcriptional level are likely caused by
fluctuations of TFs (Calixto et al., 2018; Kidokoro et al., 2022). To detect the roles of
TFs under cold stress, we first identified a total of 1,717, 1,899, and 2,466 TFs in *A.*
thaliana, *C. illinoinensis*, and *P. trichocarpa* genomes, respectively (**Table S2, see**
Methods and materials). Transcriptome analysis shows that over five hundred TFs
are differentially cold-regulated, covering a high proportion of the genome TFs, that is,
32%, 35%, and 22% respectively in *A. thaliana*, *C. illinoinensis*, and *P. trichocarpa*
(**Fig 2A, Table S2**). Notably, there are more upregulated than downregulated TFs and
their expression patterns in cold-responsive speeds agree well with the
aforementioned global expression patterns of DEGs (**Fig 1B, Fig 2B**). This finding
might suggest more the upregulated TFs, more the regulatory links, and more the
differentially regulated genes under cold stress.

Moreover, we classified all TFs into gene families based on their protein domain
architectures (**Table S2, see Methods and materials**). Interestingly, the up- and
downregulated TFs are respectively clustered in common gene families in three plants
(**Fig 2C, 2D**). For examples, the main families of upregulated TFs are AP2/ERF,
MYB, WRKY, and NAC, which are the best-known TF families involved in abiotic
stresses (Khan et al., 2018; Guo et al., 2022). The counterparts of downregulated TFs
are MYB, bHLH, Homeobox, and AP2/ERF. Notably, AP2/ERF and MYB are two

most prominent families with many more upregulated members but also a

considerable number of downregulated members in all three plants under cold stress.

It is explainable that members from the same TF families can often function in a

negative feedback mechanism in abiotic responses (Dubos et al., 2010; Xie et al.,

2019). According to the maximum parsimony principle, we suggest that the conserved

cold-responsiveness of those TF families in three plants is largely descended from

their common ancestor.

Global alternative splicing profiles in rosids under cold stress

In addition to transcriptional regulation, we also identified an enrichment of

post-transcriptional regulation, such as RNA processing, in response to cold stress

(**Fig 1C**). Based on transcriptome analysis, we detected 2,572, 7,428, and 7,817

cold-induced DASGs, which occupy 10%, 24%, and 18% of coding genes in *A.*

thaliana, *C. illinoinensis*, and *P. trichocarpa*, respectively (**Fig 3A**, **Table S3**). Among

DASGs, many have multiple AS sites, producing 3,192, 11,972, and 13,373

differentially AS events (DASEs), respectively in *A. thaliana*, *C. illinoinensis*, and *P.*

trichocarpa (**Fig 3A**, **Table S3**). This is mainly caused by AS occurrences at the same

or different exon/intron boundaries across a gene, which leads to more diversities of

RNA transcripts. In consistence with previous studies (Calixto et al., 2018; Zhao et al.,

2021), intron retention (IR) is the most common AS mode in three plants (**Fig 3B**).

Despite a relatively small role of skipping exon (SE) in *A. thaliana*, SE provides a

crucial role in other two plants (*C. illinoinensis* and *P. trichocarpa*). Further, GO

enrichment analysis shows that these DASGs from three different plants are enriched

in very similar biological processes, such as metabolic processes, gene expression,

RNA splicing, circadian rhythm, etc (**Fig 3C**). Given a key role of RBPs in RNA

processing (Calixto et al., 2018; Bach-Pages et al., 2020), thousands of cold-induced

DASEs might at least partially result from fluctuations of RBP genes. Next, we

determine expression changes of RBP genes under cold stress.

A delayed cold responsivity of RBP genes

In *A. thaliana*, we collected a total of 788 high-confident RBPs that were experimentally confirmed by RNA-binding (Marondedze et al., 2016; Bach-Pages et al., 2020). Using the RBPs for homologs searching, we identified 1,110 and 1,379 RBPs in *C. illinoinensis* and *P. trichocarpa*, respectively (**Fig 4A**, **Table S4**). Transcriptome analysis shows that 36%, 31%, and 35% RBP genes are cold-regulated differentially in *A. thaliana*, *C. illinoinensis*, and *P. trichocarpa*, respectively (**Table S4**). Like TFs, we identified more upregulated RBPs than downregulated ones, especially in *A. thaliana* (**Fig 4A**). Interestingly, in contrast to TF genes, RBP genes show a delayed responsive pattern after cold treatment in all three plants (**Fig 2B, 4B**). For example, only a relatively small number of RBP genes are observed to be differentially regulated at early stage (2 h) of cold stress. This finding shows that cold responsivity of RBP genes lags after that of TF genes, which might suggest a hierarchy of temporal dynamics of transcriptional and post-transcriptional network in response to cold stress.

Conserved cold-responsive RBP families in rosids

Based on RNA binding domains, we classified RBP genes into different gene families (**Table S4**). Among the top 15 cold-responsive RBP families, Ribosomal and RRM are two most prominent families with more genes being upregulated than downregulated under cold stress in all three plants (**Fig 4C-D**). DEAD and Helicase_C families are mainly enriched in the upregulated RBPs (**Fig 4C**), while Chloroa_b-bind and MIP families are more clustered in the downregulated RBPs (**Fig 4D**). Like TF families, according to the maximum parsimony principle, we suggest that the conserved cold responsivity of the RBP families in three plants is largely descended from their common ancestor.

Cold association of transcriptional and post-transcriptional activities in rosids

As described above, thousands of genes were identified as cold-induced DEGs and/or

DASGs in three plants (**Fig 1, Fig 3**). Further, we identified that upregulated DEGs

show a significant overlapping association with DASGs, that is, 558, 1340, and 1419

up-DE-DASGs respectively in *A. thaliana*, *C. illinoinensis*, and *P. trichocarpa* (**Fig**

5A, **Table S5**). Similarly, downregulated DEGs also show a significant association

with DASGs in the three species. The findings suggest that many cold-responsive

genes are differentially regulated not only by TFs at transcriptional level but also by

RBPs at post-transcriptional level, and these genes might play an important role in

rosids under cold stress. In the follows, we determine whether these genes across the

three studied plants are homologous and function in a conserved way.

Identification of 259 conserved orthogroups in rosids

Biased retention and loss of gene duplicates in plants after independent

whole-genome and/or small-scale duplications lead to complex and intertwined

evolution of co-orthologs and in-paralogs across different species (Koonin, 2005; Guo

et al., 2022). To determine common cold-responsive genes between species, we

classified those genes that are differentially regulated at both transcriptional and

post-transcriptional level into orthogroups: sets of homologous genes descended from

the recent common ancestor of the plants (Emms et al., 2019) (**see Methods and**

materials). For example, 558, 1,340, and 1,419 up-DE-DASGs are classified into 305,

626, and 625 orthogroups, respectively in *A. thaliana*, *C. illinoinensis*, and *P.*

trichocarpa (**Table S6**). Among these orthogroups, Venn diagram analysis shows a

significant overlapping of 150 orthogroups among three plants (**Fig 5B, Table S6**).

From the 150 orthogroups, we extracted 186 *A. thaliana* genes and performed GO

term enrichment analysis (**Fig 5C**). As expected, the genes are mainly enriched in

abiotic stresses, such as response to abiotic stresses (salt and cold stress) and circadian

rhythm (**Fig 5C**). The same process was also conducted for down-DE-DASGs, and

we identified 109 overlapping orthogroups with many genes enriched in diverse

enzymatic actives (**Fig 5D, 5E, Table S7**). The findings together show a total of 259

orthogroups (150 + 109) that are regulated at both transcriptional and

post-transcriptional levels in a conserved manner in rosids under low temperature, likely suggesting a conserved role of these orthogroups under cold stress.

Seven striking TF orthogroups involved in circadian rhythms

Among the 259 orthogroups, there are 27 upregulated TF orthogroups (**Table S8**) and it is noteworthy that seven are known circadian clock components including *CCA1/LHY*, *RVE4/8*, *RVE7*, *PRR9*, *BBX19*, *COL9*, and *JMJD5* genes (**Table 1**). In agreement, previous studies have reported that *CCA1, LHY* and *PRR9* can be regulated at transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels under cold stress in *Arabidopsis* (James et al., 2012; Seo et al., 2012). Here, they are also cold-regulated in *C. illinoinensis*, and *P. trichocarpa* and besides, we found other more circadian clock or related genes that are differentially cold-regulated at both transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels in rosids (**Table 1**). This explains well aforementioned repeated observations that the biological process of circadian rhythm is enriched (**Fig 1C**, **Fig 3C**, **Fig 5C**). The seven orthogroups are upregulated under cold stress in all three plants, and in contrast, AS types of genes in each orthogroup show a great diversity, which suggest a conservation of gene expression but a rapid evolution of AS events after rosid radiation (**Table 1**). Moreover, MYB is the most prominent family including three orthogroups (*CCA1/LHY*, *RVE7*, and *RVE4/8*). The finding suggests that circadian clock components might play important roles in regulating cold responses in a conserved manner in rosids.

Cold-responsive genes regulated by circadian clock components

First, we collected experimentally genome-wide regulome maps of *A. thaliana CCA1*, *LHY*, *RVE4*, *RVE7*, and *RVE8* from chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP-seq) (Kamioka et al., 2016) and DNA affinity purification followed by deep sequencing (DAP-seq) (O'Malley et al., 2016). Subsequently, we developed a stringent pipeline to identify cold-responsive genes regulated by the five TFs (see **Materials and methods**). In brief, we performed ChIP-seq and DAP-seq analysis to identify *A.*

thaliana genes bound by either of the five TFs and investigated their orthogroup

genes in *C. illinoinensis* and *P. trichocarpa* whose promoters (1-Kb) also have at least

one *cis*-regulatory binding site of the TFs. Finally, and of importance, we integrated

phylotranscriptomic data to identify those orthologous genes that have DNA binding

sites of the five TFs and are differentially cold-regulated as well as the five TFs in all

three species. Thus, we designated those orthologous genes as Conserved COR genes

(CoCORs) targeted by clock components *CCA1*, *LHY*, *RVE4*, *RVE7*, or *RVE8* in

rosids (**Fig 6A, Table S9**).

By inspecting Fig 6A for closer analysis, the five circadian components regulate

different numbers of CoCORs but with a common pattern of dominant upregulation in

three rosids. For instance, as compared to only 17 downregulated CoCORs, there are

101 CoCORs identified to be upregulated by CCA1 (**Fig 6A, Table S9**). GO analysis

further shows that these CoCORs are enriched in environmental stresses, such as

response to light, cold, and circadian rhythm (**Fig 6B**). Moreover, Venn diagram

shows a significance of overlapping CoCORs regulated by the five TFs (**Fig 6C**), and

among the overlapping CoCORs, 16 are regulated by all five TFs and 210 are

regulated by at least two of the five TFs. The intertwined DNA binding of CoCORs

by the five circadian TFs suggests a complex regulatory network launched by

circadian clock components.

A conserved hierarchy of cold-responsive network in rosids

To construct the network, we employed the CoCORs involved in abiotic stresses that

are directly regulated by the five circadian components. Taking account of

cold-responsive speeds of the genes under cold treatments at 2, 24, and 168 h and also

their regulatory relationships, we constructed a hierarchical regulatory network with

three layers launched by the five circadian clock components and elaborated this

network as follows.

The first layer is composed of 30 TFs including many well-known cold-regulated

genes, such as *CBFs*, *PRR5*, *ZAT6*, *ZAT10*, *COL9*, *BT2* etc. In this layer, the five clock components play a dominant role to upregulate the expression of 21 TFs and in turn work together with these upregulated TFs to further regulate the expression of downstream genes (e.g., RBPs and structural genes in the second and third layers). In addition to upregulated TFs launched by circadian clock components, there are four downregulated TFs (*TCP7*, *EBS*, *IBH1*, and *PIF1*). This demonstrates a potential negative regulatory loop between differentially regulated TFs for controlling the balance between plant growth and cold tolerance. Evidentially, phytochrome-interacting factor 1 (PIF1) negatively regulates plant freezing tolerance likely through repressing *CBFs* expression (Jiang et al., 2020).

The second layer is composed of 14 RBPs. Among the 14 RBPs, 12 are upregulated by the first layer TFs and function in a feedback mechanism by potentially regulating AS of TFs in the first layer and also function in regulating AS of the downstream layer genes. For example, by analyzing individual nucleotide resolution crosslinking and immunoprecipitation (iCLIP)-seq experimental data (Meyer et al., 2017), we found that GRP7 can directly bind to transcripts of *BT2* and *HB6* in the first layer and *COR27*, *KCS6*, *BAP1*, *COR413-PM1*, *ERD15*, *LTL1*, *PSBTN*, and *MEE14* in the third layer, and many of these genes undergo differentially AS events under cold stress.

The third layer is composed of 140 *COR*s mainly encoding for structural proteins. These genes are differentially regulated in expression and/or alternative splicing by the first and/or second layer regulators. Among the genes, overexpression or knockout of some genes (e.g., *COR47*, *TIL*, *SLD1*, *LOS2*, and *ADC1/2*) can affect plant freezing tolerance (Lee et al., 2002; Cao et al., 2005; Charron et al., 2008; Cuevas et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2012). Altogether, our findings suggest that circadian clock components are actively regulated under cold stress to launch a hierarchy of gene regulatory network for rosid adaptation to cold stress.

Discussion

When exposed to low temperature, plants undergo a series of molecular changes to protect themselves from freezing damage (Ding et al., 2019; Kidokoro et al., 2022). By high-throughput methods, previous studies provided insights into the reprogramming of gene regulation in response to cold stress (Calixto et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2021; Zheng et al., 2022). However, the studies were generally performed on single species and focused on the best-known CBF-dependent pathway genes. Here, we used three species (*A. thaliana*, *C. illinoinensis*, and *P. trichocarpa*) form different rosid lineages which diverged during the early evolution of rosids (~100 million years ago) and performed RNA-seq experiments under cold stress to investigate conserved regulators and mechanisms underlying rosids in response to cold stress. Over seven thousand DEGs were identified in each species and show common responses of biological processes, such as cold stress process and circadian rhythm (**Fig 1C**). Interestingly, the species show different cold-responsive speeds: an early in *A. thaliana* but a delayed extensive cold-response in *C. illinoinensis* and *P. trichocarpa* (**Fig 1B, 2B**). The difference in cold-responsive speeds maybe due to the different growth characteristics between herbs (e.g., *A. thaliana*) and trees (e.g., *C. illinoinensis* and *P. trichocarpa*). Compared to trees, herbs are more sensitive to temperature change (Cornelius et al., 2013), which might cause an early extensive cold-response.

In addition to transcriptional regulation, post-transcriptional regulation is also a key processing in reprogramming gene expression under cold stress (Calixto et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2021). Like DEGs, thousands of DASGs were identified in rosids under cold stress. Interestingly, the number of DASGs in *A. thaliana* is significantly much less than the counterparts in *C. illinoinensis* and *P. trichocarpa* (**Fig 3A**). This difference may be due to shorter introns in *Arabidopsis* than in *C. illinoinensis* and *P. trichocarpa* (**Fig S1**), and alternative splicing tends to occur around the exons interrupted by long introns (Fox-Walsh et al., 2005; Roy et al., 2008). In consistence

with DEGs, DASGs show an enrichment of circadian rhythm (**Fig 3C**). Unexpectedly, enrichments of biological processes related to cold or abiotic stress are not observed for DASGs. This is probably because a limited knowledge of cold-induced DASGs and their genetic roles as compared to an extensive study of cold-induced DEGs. Regarding this, we provide a complete list of cold-induced DASGs in three rosid species (**Table S3**) and hope this list will be a potential reference for future research of splicing regulation at low temperature.

Moreover, association analysis of transcriptional and post-transcriptional activities revealed 259 conserved DE-DASG orthogroups in rosids. From DE-DASG orthogroup genes, circadian rhythm is again significantly enriched (**Fig 5C**). Circadian clock system can allocate stress-responsive processes to specific times of the day, which provides a control of over daily energy expenditure and enables plants to grow and reproduce under temperature changes (Greenham et al., 2015; Markham et al., 2021). Here, we found that some conserved circadian clock components are reprogrammed at both transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels under cold stress in rosids (Fig 5C). Among them, MYB is the most prominent TF family including three orthogroups with five *Arabidopsis* genes (*CCA1/LHY*, *RVE7*, and *RVE4/8*), which likely contribute to the flexibility and controllability of the cold response network. Thus, by integrative omics of phylotranscriptomes and regulome maps (RNA-seq and ChIP/DAP-seq data), we identified their direct targets and constructed a conserved hierarchy of cold-responsive network launched by the five MYB TFs. The existence of many well-known cold-response genes, such as *CBFs*, *RBG7/8*, *ZAT6/10*, and *COR27*, in the network demonstrate a high confidence of the network but also imply an importance of circadian clock for plants in response to cold stress. Altogether, our research shed light on conserved hierarchical cold-responsive network in rosids at transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels via circadian clock components, which provide insights into conserved core regulators and ancestral mechanisms underlying cold-responsive gene regulatory network across rosids.

Materials and Methods

Identification and characterization of TFs and RBPs

Proteome sequences and gene annotations of three rosid species (*A. thaliana*, *C. illinoinensis*, and *P. trichocarpa*) were directly downloaded from the public databases (Goodstein et al., 2012; Aken et al., 2016). Their divergence times were extracted and assessed from the website TimeTree (Kumar et al., 2017). TFs and RBPs in the species were obtained through the following strategies. For TFs, we downloaded *A. thaliana* and *P. trichocarpa* TFs from plantTFDB 4.0 (Jin et al., 2017) and identified TFs in *C. illinoinensis* according to the method as described (Jin et al., 2017)(**Table S2**). For RBPs, we obtained *A. thaliana* RBPs, which were experimentally confirmed RNA-binding (Marondedze et al., 2016; Bach-Pages et al., 2020). By using *A. thaliana* RBPs as queries, we employed BLASTP 2.5.0 (Altschul et al., 1990) to retrieve RBP homologs in *P. trichocarpa* and *C. illinoinensis* (E-value < 1e–10, identity > 60%) (**Table S4**).

To identify protein families of TFs and RBPs, we downloaded all Hidden Markov Model (HMM) profiles (>19,000) of protein domains from Pfam database (Finn et al., 2016) and searched them against the proteome sequences of the three plants by HMMER 3.1b2 with an E-value < 1e–5 (Eddy, 1998). Accordingly, we obtained gene families for each TF and RBP (**Table S4**).

Genome-wide analysis of cold-induced DEGs and DASEs

To explore molecular changes of rosids under low temperature, we performed RNA-seq experiments in three above plants with cold treatment (4℃) at 0, 2, 24, and 168 hours (h). The experiment and analysis were described as a previous report (Nie et al., 2022). Gene expression in normal and cold treatment conditions was represented by Trimmed Means of M value (TMM) calculated from StringTie v2.0.3 (Pertea et al., 2015). Subsequently, cold-induced DEGs were defined based on three

criteria: at least one sample with TMM greater than 5, more than two-fold change

expression before and after cold treatment, and a statistical difference with P value <

0.01. Additionally, five type AS events, including intron retention (IR), alternative 3'

splice site (A3SS), alternative 5' splice site (A5SS), skipping exon (SE), and mutually

exclusive exons (MXE), were identified by rMATS v4.0.2 (Shen et al., 2014) coupled

with CASH v2.2.1 (Wu et al., 2018). A false discovery rate (FDR) ≤ 0.05 was set as

the criterion for identifying cold-induced DASEs between normal and cold

treatments.

GO term enrichments

The GO term annotations of *A. thaliana* genes were directly acquired from The

Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR) database (Rhee et al., 2003). According to

method described by the previous reports (Salojärvi et al., 2017; Guo et al., 2022), the

genes in *P. trichocarpa*, and *C. illinoinensis* were annotated with the highest

alignment score matches against *A. thaliana* proteins from BLASTP searches (E-value

< 1e–5) (Altschul et al., 1990). The GO term enrichment analysis was performed

using the OmicShare tools (www.omicshare.com/tools).

Identification of DE-DASG orthogroups

To explore whether DE-DASGs across the rosids are homologous, we classified the

up- and down-DE-DASGs of three rosids into different orthogroups using Orthofinder

v2.3.8 (Emms et al., 2019) with the parameters (-M dendroblast; -S diamond; -A mafft;

-I 1.5). Subsequently, we obtained a total of 1,532 DE-DASG orthogroups and among

which, 259 were identified to be present in all three species. In 259 DE-DASG

orthogroups, 150 and 109 are respectively upregulated and downregulated under cold

stress (**Table S6, S7**).

Identification of CoCORs targeted by circadian components

To determine CoCORs regulated by circadian components in rosids, we developed the

following pipeline. First, we obtained *A. thaliana* ChIP-seq (CCA1) and DAP-seq

(LHY, RVE7, RVE4, and RVE8) datasets (Kamioka et al., 2016; O'Malley et al., 2016)

and performed ChIP/DAP-seq analysis as described (36070250). The genes with at

least one binding peak of the five TFs in the 1-Kb upstream of translation initiation

site (TIS) were considered as direct target genes of the corresponding TFs in *A.*

thaliana. Second, we collected *cis*-regulatory binding motifs of CCA1, *LHY*, *RVE7*,

RVE4, and *RVE8* (Kamioka et al., 2016; O'Malley et al., 2016) and searched them

against the 1-Kb promoter sequences of *P. trichocarpa* and *C. illinoinensis* genes

using FIMO (E-value <1e–3) (Grant et al., 2011). The genes with at least one

cis-regulatory binding site for the five TFs were identified as potentially targets of the

TFs in *P. trichocarpa* and *C. illinoinensis*. Subsequently, all coding genes in the three

species were classified into orthogroups according to their homology. The orthogroup

genes that have binding sites of the five TFs and are differentially regulated after cold

stress in all three rosids are defined as CoCORs. Finally, a total of 101/17, 167/67,

193/93, 110/34, and 38/10 CoCORs (**Fig 6A**, **Table S9**) were identified as to be

up/down-regulated by CCA1, LHY, RVE7, RVE4 and RVE8, respectively.

Statistical analysis

The significance of enrichment analysis between gene groups was calculated by

Fisher Exact test through R programming.

Acknowledgments

We thank the members from Dr. Wenwu Wu's laboratory in Zhejiang A&F University

for suggestions to improve the quality of the study. This work was supported by the

National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number 31871233).

Contributions

WW, ZJ, and JX designed the research; LG and XZ performed the study; SW did the

RNA-seq experiments of the plants and YX performed the RNA-seq analysis; WW

and ZJ wrote and edited the manuscript.

Data availability statement

The RNA-seq datasets of the three plants including *A. thaliana*, *C. illinoinensis*, and *P.*

trichocarpa under cold stress have been deposited to NCBI BioProject (Accession:

PRJNA767196) and CNGBdb (Accession: CNP0002243).

Conflict of interests

489   There are no conflicts of interest.

Supplementary information

Fig S1. Alternative splicing seems to occur in the genes with longer introns.

Table S1. RNA-seq values of DEGs in rosids at four timepoints (0, 2, 24, and 168 h)
of cold treatments (4℃).

Table S2. TF family genes and expression in rosids under cold stress.

Table S3 A list of cold-induced DASGs and their AS types in rosids.

Table S4. RBP family genes and expression in rosids under cold stress.

Table S5. The detailed information of DE-DASGs.

Table S6. The orthogroups of up-DE-DASGs in rosids.

Table S7. The orthogroups of down-DE-DASGs in rosids.

Table S8. Details of 27 up-DE-DASG TF orthogroups in rosids.

Table S9. The predicted CoCORs targeted by clock components of CCA1, LHY,
RVE4, RVE8, and RVE7 in rosids.

References:

Aken BL, Ayling S, Barrell D, Clarke L, Curwen V. et al. (2016) The Ensembl gene annotation system. Database (Oxford) **2016**

Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol **215:** 403-410

Bach-Pages M, Homma F, Kourelis J, Kaschani F, Mohammed S. et al. (2020) Discovering the RNA-Binding Proteome of Plant Leaves with an Improved RNA Interactome Capture Method. Biomolecules **10**

Calixto C, Guo W, James AB, Tzioutziou NA, Entizne JC. et al. (2018) Rapid and Dynamic Alternative Splicing Impacts the *Arabidopsis* Cold Response Transcriptome. Plant Cell **30:** 1424-1444

Cao S, Ye M, Jiang S (2005) Involvement of GIGANTEA gene in the regulation of the cold stress response in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell Rep **24:** 683-690

Charron JB, Ouellet F, Houde M, Sarhan F (2008) The plant Apolipoprotein D ortholog protects *Arabidopsis* against oxidative stress. BMC Plant Biol **8:** 86

Chase MW, Reveal JL (2009) A phylogenetic classification of the land plants to accompany APG III. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society **161**

Chen M, Markham JE, Cahoon EB (2012) Sphingolipid Delta8 unsaturation is important for glucosylceramide biosynthesis and low-temperature performance in *Arabidopsis*. Plant J **69:** 769-781

Chinnusamy V, Zhu J, Zhu J (2007) Cold stress regulation of gene expression in plants. Trends in Plant Science **12:** 444-451

Cornelius C, Estrella N, Franz H, Menzel A (2013) Linking altitudinal gradients and temperature responses of plant phenology in the Bavarian Alps. Plant Biol (Stuttg) **15 Suppl 1:** 57-69

Creux N, Harmer S (2019) Circadian Rhythms in Plants. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol **11**

Cuevas JC, Lopez-Cobollo R, Alcazar R, Zarza X, Koncz C. et al. (2008) Putrescine is involved in *Arabidopsis* freezing tolerance and cold acclimation by regulating abscisic acid levels in response to low temperature. Plant Physiol **148:** 1094-1105

Ding Y, Shi Y, Yang S (2019) Advances and challenges in uncovering cold tolerance regulatory mechanisms in plants. New Phytol **222:** 1690-1704

Dong MA, Farré EM, Thomashow MF (2011) CIRCADIAN CLOCK-ASSOCIATED 1 and LATE ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL regulate expression of the C-REPEAT BINDING FACTOR (CBF) pathway in *Arabidopsis*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **108:** 7241-7246

Dubos C, Stracke R, Grotewold E, Weisshaar B, Martin C. et al. (2010) MYB transcription factors in *Arabidopsis*. Trends Plant Sci **15:** 573-581

Eddy SR (1998) Profile hidden Markov models. Bioinformatics **14:** 755-763

Emms DM, Kelly S (2019) OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative genomics. Genome Biol **20:** 238

Finn RD, Coggill P, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, Mistry J. et al. (2016) The Pfam protein families database: towards a more sustainable future. Nucleic Acids Res **44:** D279-D285

Fox-Walsh KL, Dou Y, Lam BJ, Hung SP, Baldi PF. et al. (2005) The architecture of pre-mRNAs affects mechanisms of splice-site pairing. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **102:** 16176-16181

Fu XD, Ares MJ (2014) Context-dependent control of alternative splicing by RNA-binding proteins.
Nat Rev Genet **15:** 689-701

Goodstein DM, Shu S, Howson R, Neupane R, Hayes RD. et al. (2012) Phytozome: a comparative
platform for green plant genomics. Nucleic Acids Res **40:** D1178-D1186

Grant CE, Bailey TL, Noble WS (2011) FIMO: scanning for occurrences of a given motif.
Bioinformatics **27:** 1017-1018

Greenham K, McClung CR (2015) Integrating circadian dynamics with physiological processes in
plants. Nature Reviews Genetics **16:** 598-610

Guo L, Wang S, Nie Y, Shen Y, Ye X. et al. (2022) Convergent evolution of AP2/ERF III and IX
subfamilies through recurrent polyploidization and tandem duplication during eudicot adaptation to
paleoenvironmental changes. Plant Commun**:** 100420

Jaglo-Ottosen KR, Gilmour SJ, Zarka DG, Schabenberger O, Thomashow MF (1998) *Arabidopsis*
CBF1 overexpression induces COR genes and enhances freezing tolerance. Science **280:** 104-106

James AB, Syed NH, Bordage S, Marshall J, Nimmo GA. et al. (2012) Alternative splicing mediates
responses of the *Arabidopsis* circadian clock to temperature changes. Plant Cell **24:** 961-981

Jia Y, Ding Y, Shi Y, Zhang X, Gong Z. et al. (2016) The cbfs triple mutants reveal the essential
functions of CBFs in cold acclimation and allow the definition of CBF regulons in *Arabidopsis*. New
Phytol **212:** 345-353

Jiang B, Shi Y, Peng Y, Jia Y, Yan Y. et al. (2020) Cold-Induced CBF-PIF3 Interaction Enhances
Freezing Tolerance by Stabilizing the phyB Thermosensor in *Arabidopsis*. Mol Plant **13:** 894-906

Jin J, Tian F, Yang DC, Meng YQ, Kong L. et al. (2017) PlantTFDB 4.0: toward a central hub for
transcription factors and regulatory interactions in plants. Nucleic Acids Res **45:** D1040-D1045

Kamioka M, Takao S, Suzuki T, Taki K, Higashiyama T. et al. (2016) Direct Repression of Evening
Genes by CIRCADIAN CLOCK-ASSOCIATED1 in the *Arabidopsis* Circadian Clock. Plant Cell **28:**
696-711

Khan SA, Li MZ, Wang SM, Yin HJ (2018) Revisiting the Role of Plant Transcription Factors in the
Battle against Abiotic Stress. Int J Mol Sci **19**

Kidokoro S, Hayashi K, Haraguchi H, Ishikawa T, Soma F. et al. (2021) Posttranslational regulation
of multiple clock-related transcription factors triggers cold-inducible gene expression in *Arabidopsis*.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **118**

Kidokoro S, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K (2022) Transcriptional regulatory network of plant
cold-stress responses. Trends in Plant Science

Koonin EV (2005) Orthologs, paralogs, and evolutionary genomics. Annu Rev Genet **39:** 309-338

Kumar S, Stecher G, Suleski M, Hedges SB (2017) TimeTree: A Resource for Timelines, Timetrees,
and Divergence Times. Mol Biol Evol **34:** 1812-1819

Lee H, Guo Y, Ohta M, Xiong L, Stevenson B. et al. (2002) LOS2, a genetic locus required for
cold-responsive gene transcription encodes a bi-functional enolase. EMBO J **21:** 2692-2702

Lee Y, Rio DC (2015) Mechanisms and Regulation of Alternative Pre-mRNA Splicing. Annu Rev
Biochem **84:** 291-323

Liu Z, Qin J, Tian X, Xu S, Wang Y. et al. (2018) Global profiling of alternative splicing landscape
responsive to drought, heat and their combination in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Plant Biotechnol J
16: 714-726

Markham KK, Greenham K (2021) Abiotic stress through time. New Phytol **231:** 40-46

Marondedze C, Thomas L, Serrano NL, Lilley KS, Gehring C (2016) The RNA-binding protein
repertoire of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Sci Rep **6:** 29766

Meyer K, Köster T, Nolte C, Weinholdt C, Lewinski M. et al. (2017) Adaptation of iCLIP to plants
determines the binding landscape of the clock-regulated RNA-binding protein AtGRP7. Genome
Biology **18**

Nie Y, Guo L, Cui F, Shen Y, Ye X. et al. (2022) Innovations and Stepwise Evolution of CBFs/DREB1s
and Their Regulatory Networks in Angiosperms. J Integr Plant Biol

Novillo F, Medina J, Salinas J (2007) *Arabidopsis* CBF1 and CBF3 have a different function than
CBF2 in cold acclimation and define different gene classes in the CBF regulon. Proc Natl Acad Sci U
S A **104:** 21002-21007

O'Malley RC, Huang SC, Song L, Lewsey MG, Bartlett A. et al. (2016) Cistrome and Epicistrome
Features Shape the Regulatory DNA Landscape. Cell **165:** 1280-1292

Pertea M, Pertea GM, Antonescu CM, Chang TC, Mendell JT. et al. (2015) StringTie enables
improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. Nat Biotechnol **33:** 290-295

Rhee SY, Beavis W, Berardini TZ, Chen G, Dixon D. et al. (2003) The *Arabidopsis* Information
Resource (TAIR): a model organism database providing a centralized, curated gateway to *Arabidopsis*
biology, research materials and community. Nucleic Acids Res **31:** 224-228

Roy M, Kim N, Xing Y, Lee C (2008) The effect of intron length on exon creation ratios during the
evolution of mammalian genomes. RNA **14:** 2261-2273

Salojärvi J, Smolander O, Nieminen K, Rajaraman S, Safronov O. et al. (2017) Genome sequencing
and population genomic analyses provide insights into the adaptive landscape of silver birch. Nature
Genetics **49:** 904-912

Seo PJ, Park MJ, Lim MH, Kim SG, Lee M. et al. (2012) A self-regulatory circuit of CIRCADIAN
CLOCK-ASSOCIATED1 underlies the circadian clock regulation of temperature responses in
Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **24:** 2427-2442

Shen S, Park JW, Lu ZX, Lin L, Henry MD. et al. (2014) rMATS: robust and flexible detection of
differential alternative splicing from replicate RNA-Seq data. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **111:**
E5593-E5601

Song Y, Zhang X, Li M, Yang H, Fu D. et al. (2021) The direct targets of CBFs: In cold stress response
and beyond. J Integr Plant Biol **63:** 1874-1887

Stockinger EJ, Gilmour SJ, Thomashow MF (1997) *Arabidopsis thaliana CBF1* encodes an AP2
domain-containing transcriptional activator that binds to the C-repeat/DRE, a cis-acting DNA
regulatory element that stimulates transcription in response to low temperature and water deficit. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A **94:** 1035-1040

Wang P, Cui X, Zhao C, Shi L, Zhang G. et al. (2017) *COR27* and *COR28* encode nighttime repressors
integrating *Arabidopsis* circadian clock and cold response. J Integr Plant Biol **59:** 78-85

Wang Y, Hua J (2009) A moderate decrease in temperature induces COR15a expression through the
CBF signaling cascade and enhances freezing tolerance. Plant J **60:** 340-349

Wang Y, Ji J, Tong L, Fang Z, Yang L. et al. (2021) Global Survey of the Full-Length Cabbage
Transcriptome (*Brassica oleracea* Var. capitata L.) Reveals Key Alternative Splicing Events Involved
in Growth and Disease Response. International Journal of Molecular Sciences **22:** 10443

Wu S, Han B, Jiao Y (2020) Genetic Contribution of Paleopolyploidy to Adaptive Evolution in
Angiosperms. Molecular Plant **13:** 59-71

Wu W, Zong J, Wei N, Cheng J, Zhou X. et al. (2018) CASH: a constructing comprehensive splice site
method for detecting alternative splicing events. Brief Bioinform **19:** 905-917

Xie Z, Nolan TM, Jiang H, Yin Y (2019) AP2/ERF Transcription Factor Regulatory Networks in
Hormone and Abiotic Stress Responses in *Arabidopsis*. Front Plant Sci **10:** 228

Zhao C, Zhang Z, Xie S, Si T, Li Y. et al. (2016) Mutational Evidence for the Critical Role of CBF
Transcription Factors in Cold Acclimation in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol **171:** 2744-2759

Zhao X, Tan L, Wang S, Shen Y, Guo L. et al. (2021) The SR Splicing Factors: Providing Perspectives
on Their Evolution, Expression, Alternative Splicing, and Function in *Populus trichocarpa*.
International Journal of Molecular Sciences **22:** 11369

Zheng Y, Luo L, Chen Q, Yang D, Gong Y. et al. (2022) Cold Response Transcriptome Analysis of the
Alternative Splicing Events Induced by the Cold Stress in *D. catenatum*. Int J Mol Sci **23**

Zhu JK (2016) Abiotic Stress Signaling and Responses in Plants. Cell **167:** 313-324

 Figure legends

Fig 1. Identification and enrichment analysis of cold-induced DEGs.

(**A**) Overview of DEGs under cold stress. The number in parentheses below each

species indicates the number of all coding genes in the genome. The evolutionary

relationship and divergence times of the species were obtained from the website

TimeTree (Kumar et al., 2017). Bar heights indicate gene numbers of upregulated

DEGs (in red) and downregulated DEGs (in green). (**B**) Expression profiles of DEGs

before and after cold treatments. The expression values of DEGs at four different

timepoints (0, 2, 24, and 168 h) of cold treatments were normalized by row scale.

(**C-D**) GO term enrichments of upregulated DEGs and downregulated DEGs. The top

20 significant GO terms were shown in a conserved manner with common

cold-responsive biological processes in three species.

Fig 2. Cold-responsive TFs in conserved TF families.

(**A**) Upregulated and downregulated TFs under cold stress. Bar percentage indicates

the proportion of upregulated, downregulated, and no significant expression changed

TFs to all TFs. The number in parentheses after the species indicates the number of

TFs in the genome. (**B**) Expression profiles of up- and downregulated TFs under cold

stress. The expression values of the TFs at four different timepoints (0, 2, 24, and 168

h) of cold treatments were normalized by row scale. (**C-D**) The numbers of up- and

downregulated TFs in TF families. The top 15 up- and downregulated TF families

were selected for visualization.

Fig 3. Identification and enrichment analysis of cold-induced DASGs.

(**A**) Overview of DASGs and DASEs under cold stress. The number in circle indicates

the number of cold-induced DASGs or DASEs in the corresponding species. (**B**)

Proportions of five AS types of cold-induced DASEs. IR: intron retention; SE:

skipping exon; A3SS: alternative 3' splicing site; A5SS: alternative 5' splicing site;

MXE: mutually exclusive exons. (**C**) GO term enrichments of DASGs. The top 20

significant GO terms were shown in a conserved manner with common

cold-responsive biological processes in three species.

Fig 4. Cold-responsive RBPs in conserved RBP families.

(**A**) Upregulated and downregulated RBPs under cold stress. Bar percentage indicates
the proportion of upregulated, downregulated, and no significant expression changed
RBPs to all RBPs. The number in parentheses after the species indicates the number
of RBPs in the genome. (**B**) Expression profiles of up- and downregulated RBPs
under cold stress. The expression values of the RBPs at four different timepoints (0, 2,
24, and 168 h) of cold treatments were normalized by row scale. (**C-D**) The numbers
of up- and downregulated RBPs in RBP families. The top 15 up- and downregulated
RBP families were selected for visualization.

Fig 5. Association of cold-induced DEGs and DASGs and identification of
conserved DE-DASG orthogroups in rosids.

(**A**) Overlapping and unique genes between DEGs and DASGs in three species. The
overlapping significance was calculated by Fisher's Exact Test. (**B-E**) Identification
and enrichment analysis of conserved DE-DASG orthogroups in three species. Venn
diagram shows overlapping and unique orthogroups of up- (**B**) and down-DE-DASGs
(**D**) in three species. Of the 150 up- and 109 down-DE-DASG orthogroups, we
extracted 186 (**C**) and 157 (**E**) *A. thaliana* genes representatively for GO term analysis.
The top 15 significant enriched terms were selected to be shown.

Fig 6. A hierarchical cold-responsive regulatory network launched by circadian
clock components in rosids.

(A) Numbers of up- and downregulated CoCORs targeted by CCA1, LHY, RVE4,
RVE7, and RVE8. These CoCORs were predicted by a stringent pipeline (see
Materials and methods). (**B**) GO enrichment analysis of CoCORs. The top 10
significant GO terms were selected to be shown. (**C**) Overlapping and unique
CoCORs regulated by the five circadian-related TFs. (**D**) A hierarchical network
launched by the five circadian-related TFs. The network is arranged in three layers
(TFs, RBPs, and Structural proteins). To improve the robustness of the network, we
also integrated the regulatory relations between CBFs, ZAT10, HB6, HB-7, NAC053,
WRKY7, MYB73, and TCP7 on CoCORs from ChIP/DAP-seq data (O'Malley et al.,
2016; Song et al., 2021) and identified GRP7 target CoCORs from iCLIP-seq data
(Meyer et al., 2017). Solid arrow lines indicate that the regulatory relations between
TFs (or RBPs) and CoCORs were from ChIP/DAP-seq (or iCLIP-seq) experiments.

Tables

Table 1. Cold-responsive circadian-related TFs in rosids.

Family(orthogroup)	Cold regulation *Ath*/*Cil*/*Ptr*	*Ath* (AS-type)	*Cil* (AS-type)	*Ptr* (AS-type)
MYB (OG0000072)	UP/UP/UP	*CCA1* (A5SS) *LHY* (A3SS,A5SS,RI,SE)	*CIL1205S0016* (A3SS,A5SS,MXE,RI,SE)	*Potri.014G106800* (A3SS,A5SS,MXE,IR,SE)
MYB (OG0000110)	UP/UP/UP	*RVE4 (A3SS,SE)* *RVE8 (A3SS,IR)*	*CIL0982S0042* (A5SS)	*CIL0982S0042* (A5SS)
MYB (OG0000043)	UP/UP/UP	*RVE7* (SE)	*CIL1030S0151* (IR) CIL1245S0064 (IR)	*Potri.004G074300 (IR)* *Potri.012G038300 (IR)*
PRR (OG0000018)	UP/UP/UP	*PRR9* (A5SS)	*CIL0897S0115* (IR) *CIL1083S0010* (IR) *CIL1194S0023* (IR)	*Potri.002G179800 (A3SS,IR,SE)* *Potri.014G106000 (A3SS,IR,SE)*
BBX (OG0000101)	UP/UP/UP	*COL9* (IR)	*CIL1155S0003* (IR)	*Potri.002G214500 (IR)* *Potri.014G170600 (IR)*
BBX (OG0000088)	UP/UP/UP	*BBX19* (IR,SE)	*CIL0978S0047* (IR)	*Potri.001G061800* (A3SS)
Cupin (OG0000219)	UP/UP/UP	JMJD5 (A3SS,IR)	*CIL1465S0014* (IR)	*Potri.001G016200* (IR)

716 Note: The short names (*Ath*, *Cil*, and *Ptr*) indicate the species (*A. thaliana*, *C.*
717 *illinoinensis*, and *P. trichocarpa*)

Fig 1. Identification and enrichment analysis of cold-induced DEGs.
(A) Overview of DEGs under cold stress. The number in parentheses below each species indicates the number of all coding genes in the genome. The evolutionary relationship and divergence times of the species were obtained from the website TimeTree (Kumar et al., 2017). Bar heights indicate gene numbers of upregulated DEGs (in red) and downregulated DEGs (in green). (B) Expression profiles of DEGs before and after cold treatments. The expression values of DEGs at four different timepoints (0, 2, 24, and 168 h) of cold treatments were normalized by row scale. (C-D) GO term enrichments of upregulated DEGs and downregulated DEGs. The top 20 significant GO terms were shown in a conserved manner with common cold-responsive biological processes in three species.

Fig 2. Cold-responsive TFs in conserved TF families.
(A) Upregulated and downregulated TFs under cold stress. Bar percentage indicates the proportion of upregulated, downregulated, and no significant expression changed TFs to all TFs. The number in parentheses after the species indicates the number of TFs in the genome. (B) Expression profiles of up- and downregulated TFs under cold stress. The expression values of the TFs at four different timepoints (0, 2, 24, and 168 h) of cold treatments were normalized by row scale. (C-D) The numbers of up- and downregulated TFs in TF families. The top 15 up- and downregulated TF families were selected for visualization.

Fig 3. Identification and enrichment analysis of cold-induced DASGs.
(A) Overview of DASGs and DASEs under cold stress. The number in circle indicates the number of cold-induced DASGs or DASEs in the corresponding species. (B) Proportions of five AS types of cold-induced DASEs. IR: intron retention; SE: skipping exon; A3SS: alternative 3' splicing site; A5SS: alternative 5' splicing site; MXE: mutually exclusive exons. (C) GO term enrichments of DASGs. The top 20 significant GO terms were shown in a conserved manner with common cold-responsive biological processes in three species.

Fig 4. Cold-responsive RBPs in conserved RBP families.
(A) Upregulated and downregulated RBPs under cold stress. Bar percentage indicates the proportion of upregulated, downregulated, and no significant expression changed RBPs to all RBPs. The number in parentheses after the species indicates the number of RBPs in the genome. (B) Expression profiles of up- and downregulated RBPs under cold stress. The expression values of the RBPs at four different timepoints (0, 2, 24, and 168 h) of cold treatments were normalized by row scale. (C-D) The numbers of up- and downregulated RBPs in RBP families. The top 15 up- and downregulated RBP families were selected for visualization.

Fig 5. Association of cold-induced DEGs and DASGs and identification of conserved DE-DASG orthogroups in rosids.
(A) Overlapping and unique genes between DEGs and DASGs in three species. The overlapping significance was calculated by Fisher's Exact Test. (B-E) Identification and enrichment analysis of conserved DE-DASG orthogroups in three species. Venn diagram shows overlapping and unique orthogroups of up- (B) and down-DE-DASGs (D) in three species. Of the 150 up- and 109 down-DE-DASG orthogroups, we extracted 186 (C) and 157 (E) *A. thaliana* genes representatively for GO term analysis. The top 15 significant enriched terms were selected to be shown.

Fig 6. A hierarchical cold-responsive regulatory network launched by circadian clock components in rosids.
(A) Numbers of up- and downregulated CoCORs targeted by CCA1, LHY, RVE4, RVE7, and RVE8. These CoCORs were predicted by a stringent pipeline (see Materials and methods). (B) GO enrichment analysis of CoCORs. The top 10 significant GO terms were selected to be shown. (C) Overlapping and unique CoCORs regulated by the five circadian-related TFs. (D) A hierarchical network launched by the five circadian-related TFs. The network is arranged in three layers (TFs, RBPs, and Structural proteins). To improve the robustness of the network, we also integrated the regulatory relations between CBFs, ZAT10, HB6, HB-7, NAC053, WRKY7, MYB73, and TCP7 on CoCORs from ChIP/DAP-seq data (O'Malley et al., 2016; Song et al., 2021) and identified GRP7 target CoCORs from iCLIP-seq data (Meyer et al., 2017). Solid arrow lines indicate that the regulatory relations between TFs (or RBPs) and CoCORs were from ChIP/DAP-seq (or iCLIP-seq) experiments.

Parsed Citations

Aken BL, Ayling S, Barrell D, Clarke L, Curwen V. et al. (2016) The Ensembl gene annotation system. Database (Oxford) 2016
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215: 403-410
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Bach-Pages M, Homma F, Kourelis J, Kaschani F, Mohammed S. et al. (2020) Discovering the RNA-Binding Proteome of Plant Leaves with an Improved RNA Interactome Capture Method. Biomolecules 10
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Calixto C, Guo W, James AB, Tzioutziou NA, Entizne JC. et al. (2018) Rapid and Dynamic Alternative Splicing Impacts the Arabidopsis Cold Response Transcriptome. Plant Cell 30: 1424-1444
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Cao S, Ye M, Jiang S (2005) Involvement of GIGANTEA gene in the regulation of the cold stress response in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell Rep 24: 683-690
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Charron JB, Ouellet F, Houde M, Sarhan F (2008) The plant Apolipoprotein D ortholog protects Arabidopsis against oxidative stress. BMC Plant Biol 8: 86
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Chase MW, Reveal JL (2009) A phylogenetic classification of the land plants to accompany APG III. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 161
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Chen M, Markham JE, Cahoon EB (2012) Sphingolipid Delta8 unsaturation is important for glucosylceramide biosynthesis and low-temperature performance in Arabidopsis. Plant J 69: 769-781
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Chinnusamy V, Zhu J, Zhu J (2007) Cold stress regulation of gene expression in plants. Trends in Plant Science 12: 444-451
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Cornelius C, Estrella N, Franz H, Menzel A (2013) Linking altitudinal gradients and temperature responses of plant phenology in the Bavarian Alps. Plant Biol (Stuttg) 15 Suppl 1: 57-69
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Creux N, Harmer S (2019) Circadian Rhythms in Plants. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 11
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Cuevas JC, Lopez-Cobollo R, Alcazar R, Zarza X, Koncz C. et al. (2008) Putrescine is involved in Arabidopsis freezing tolerance and cold acclimation by regulating abscisic acid levels in response to low temperature. Plant Physiol 148: 1094-1105
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Ding Y, Shi Y, Yang S (2019) Advances and challenges in uncovering cold tolerance regulatory mechanisms in plants. New Phytol 222: 1690-1704
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Dong MA, Farré EM, Thomashow MF (2011) CIRCADIAN CLOCK-ASSOCIATED 1 and LATE ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL regulate expression of the C-REPEAT BINDING FACTOR (CBF) pathway in Arabidopsis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 108: 7241-7246
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Dubos C, Stracke R, Grotewold E, Weisshaar B, Martin C. et al. (2010) MYB transcription factors in Arabidopsis. Trends Plant Sci 15: 573-581
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Eddy SR (1998) Profile hidden Markov models. Bioinformatics 14: 755-763
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Emms DM, Kelly S (2019) OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative genomics. Genome Biol 20: 238
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Finn RD, Coggill P, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, Mistry J. et al. (2016) The Pfam protein families database: towards a more sustainable future. Nucleic Acids Res 44: D279-D285
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Fox-Walsh KL, Dou Y, Lam BJ, Hung SP, Baldi PF. et al. (2005) The architecture of pre-mRNAs affects mechanisms of splice-site

pairing. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102: 16176-16181
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Fu XD, Ares MJ (2014) Context-dependent control of alternative splicing by RNA-binding proteins. Nat Rev Genet 15: 689-701
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Goodstein DM, Shu S, Howson R, Neupane R, Hayes RD. et al. (2012) Phytozome: a comparative platform for green plant genomics. Nucleic Acids Res 40: D1178-D1186
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Grant CE, Bailey TL, Noble WS (2011) FIMO: scanning for occurrences of a given motif. Bioinformatics 27: 1017-1018
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Greenham K, McClung CR (2015) Integrating circadian dynamics with physiological processes in plants. Nature Reviews Genetics 16: 598-610
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Guo L, Wang S, Nie Y, Shen Y, Ye X. et al. (2022) Convergent evolution of AP2/ERF III and IX subfamilies through recurrent polyploidization and tandem duplication during eudicot adaptation to paleoenvironmental changes. Plant Commun: 100420
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Jaglo-Ottosen KR, Gilmour SJ, Zarka DG, Schabenberger O, Thomashow MF (1998) Arabidopsis CBF1 overexpression induces COR genes and enhances freezing tolerance. Science 280: 104-106
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

James AB, Syed NH, Bordage S, Marshall J, Nimmo GA. et al. (2012) Alternative splicing mediates responses of the Arabidopsis circadian clock to temperature changes. Plant Cell 24: 961-981
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Jia Y, Ding Y, Shi Y, Zhang X, Gong Z. et al. (2016) The cbfs triple mutants reveal the essential functions of CBFs in cold acclimation and allow the definition of CBF regulons in Arabidopsis. New Phytol 212: 345-353
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Jiang B, Shi Y, Peng Y, Jia Y, Yan Y. et al. (2020) Cold-Induced CBF-PIF3 Interaction Enhances Freezing Tolerance by Stabilizing the phyB Thermosensor in Arabidopsis. Mol Plant 13: 894-906
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Jin J, Tian F, Yang DC, Meng YQ, Kong L. et al. (2017) PlantTFDB 4.0: toward a central hub for transcription factors and regulatory interactions in plants. Nucleic Acids Res 45: D1040-D1045
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Kamioka M, Takao S, Suzuki T, Taki K, Higashiyama T. et al. (2016) Direct Repression of Evening Genes by CIRCADIAN CLOCK-ASSOCIATED1 in the Arabidopsis Circadian Clock. Plant Cell 28: 696-711
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Khan SA, Li MZ, Wang SM, Yin HJ (2018) Revisiting the Role of Plant Transcription Factors in the Battle against Abiotic Stress. Int J Mol Sci 19
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Kidokoro S, Hayashi K, Haraguchi H, Ishikawa T, Soma F. et al. (2021) Posttranslational regulation of multiple clock-related transcription factors triggers cold-inducible gene expression in Arabidopsis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 118
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Kidokoro S, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K (2022) Transcriptional regulatory network of plant cold-stress responses. Trends in Plant Science
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Koonin EV (2005) Orthologs, paralogs, and evolutionary genomics. Annu Rev Genet 39: 309-338
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Kumar S, Stecher G, Suleski M, Hedges SB (2017) TimeTree: A Resource for Timelines, Timetrees, and Divergence Times. Mol Biol Evol 34: 1812-1819
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lee H, Guo Y, Ohta M, Xiong L, Stevenson B. et al. (2002) LOS2, a genetic locus required for cold-responsive gene transcription encodes a bi-functional enolase. EMBO J 21: 2692-2702
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lee Y, Rio DC (2015) Mechanisms and Regulation of Alternative Pre-mRNA Splicing. Annu Rev Biochem 84: 291-323
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Liu Z, Qin J, Tian X, Xu S, Wang Y. et al. (2018) Global profiling of alternative splicing landscape responsive to drought, heat and their combination in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Plant Biotechnol J 16: 714-726
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Markham KK, Greenham K (2021) Abiotic stress through time. New Phytol 231: 40-46
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Marondedze C, Thomas L, Serrano NL, Lilley KS, Gehring C (2016) The RNA-binding protein repertoire of Arabidopsis thaliana. Sci Rep 6: 29766
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Meyer K, Köster T, Nolte C, Weinholdt C, Lewinski M. et al. (2017) Adaptation of iCLIP to plants determines the binding landscape of the clock-regulated RNA-binding protein AtGRP7. Genome Biology 18
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Nie Y, Guo L, Cui F, Shen Y, Ye X. et al. (2022) Innovations and Stepwise Evolution of CBFs/DREB1s and Their Regulatory Networks in Angiosperms. J Integr Plant Biol
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Novillo F, Medina J, Salinas J (2007) Arabidopsis CBF1 and CBF3 have a different function than CBF2 in cold acclimation and define different gene classes in the CBF regulon. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104: 21002-21007
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

O'Malley RC, Huang SC, Song L, Lewsey MG, Bartlett A. et al. (2016) Cistrome and Epicistrome Features Shape the Regulatory DNA Landscape. Cell 165: 1280-1292
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Pertea M, Pertea GM, Antonescu CM, Chang TC, Mendell JT. et al. (2015) StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. Nat Biotechnol 33: 290-295
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Rhee SY, Beavis W, Berardini TZ, Chen G, Dixon D. et al. (2003) The Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR): a model organism database providing a centralized, curated gateway to Arabidopsis biology, research materials and community. Nucleic Acids Res 31: 224-228
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Roy M, Kim N, Xing Y, Lee C (2008) The effect of intron length on exon creation ratios during the evolution of mammalian genomes. RNA 14: 2261-2273
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Salojärvi J, Smolander O, Nieminen K, Rajaraman S, Safronov O. et al. (2017) Genome sequencing and population genomic analyses provide insights into the adaptive landscape of silver birch. Nature Genetics 49: 904-912
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Seo PJ, Park MJ, Lim MH, Kim SG, Lee M. et al. (2012) A self-regulatory circuit of CIRCADIAN CLOCK-ASSOCIATED1 underlies the circadian clock regulation of temperature responses in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 24: 2427-2442
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Shen S, Park JW, Lu ZX, Lin L, Henry MD. et al. (2014) rMATS: robust and flexible detection of differential alternative splicing from replicate RNA-Seq data. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111: E5593-E5601
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Song Y, Zhang X, Li M, Yang H, Fu D. et al. (2021) The direct targets of CBFs: In cold stress response and beyond. J Integr Plant Biol 63: 1874-1887
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Stockinger EJ, Gilmour SJ, Thomashow MF (1997) Arabidopsis thaliana CBF1 encodes an AP2 domain-containing transcriptional activator that binds to the C-repeat/DRE, a cis-acting DNA regulatory element that stimulates transcription in response to low temperature and water deficit. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 94: 1035-1040
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Wang P, Cui X, Zhao C, Shi L, Zhang G. et al. (2017) COR27 and COR28 encode nighttime repressors integrating Arabidopsis circadian clock and cold response. J Integr Plant Biol 59: 78-85
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Wang Y, Hua J (2009) A moderate decrease in temperature induces COR15a expression through the CBF signaling cascade and enhances freezing tolerance. Plant J 60: 340-349
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Wang Y, Ji J, Tong L, Fang Z, Yang L. et al. (2021) Global Survey of the Full-Length Cabbage Transcriptome (Brassica oleracea

Var. capitata L.) Reveals Key Alternative Splicing Events Involved in Growth and Disease Response. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 22: 10443

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Wu S, Han B, Jiao Y (2020) Genetic Contribution of Paleopolyploidy to Adaptive Evolution in Angiosperms. Molecular Plant 13: 59-71

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Wu W, Zong J, Wei N, Cheng J, Zhou X. et al. (2018) CASH: a constructing comprehensive splice site method for detecting alternative splicing events. Brief Bioinform 19: 905-917

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Xie Z, Nolan TM, Jiang H, Yin Y (2019) AP2/ERF Transcription Factor Regulatory Networks in Hormone and Abiotic Stress Responses in Arabidopsis. Front Plant Sci 10: 228

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Zhao C, Zhang Z, Xie S, Si T, Li Y. et al. (2016) Mutational Evidence for the Critical Role of CBF Transcription Factors in Cold Acclimation in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 171: 2744-2759

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Zhao X, Tan L, Wang S, Shen Y, Guo L. et al. (2021) The SR Splicing Factors: Providing Perspectives on Their Evolution, Expression, Alternative Splicing, and Function in Populus trichocarpa. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 22: 11369

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Zheng Y, Luo L, Chen Q, Yang D, Gong Y. et al. (2022) Cold Response Transcriptome Analysis of the Alternative Splicing Events Induced by the Cold Stress in D. catenatum. Int J Mol Sci 23

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Zhu JK (2016) Abiotic Stress Signaling and Responses in Plants. Cell 167: 313-324

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title