An *In Vivo* Analysis of the Functional Motifs of DEAD-box RNA Helicase

Me31B in *Drosophila* Fertility and Germline Development

Evan Kara[1], Aidan McCambridge[1], Megan Proffer[1], Carol Dilts[1], Brooke Pumnea[1],

John Eshak[1], Korey A. Smith[1], Isaac Fielder[1], Dominique A. Doyle[2], Bianca M.

Ortega[2], Yousif Mukatash[1], Noor Malik[1], Ammaar R. Mohammed[1], Deep Govani[1],

Matthew G. Niepielko[2,3], and Ming Gao[1,*]

1. Biology Department, Indiana University Northwest, Gary, IN, USA

2. School of Integrative Science and Technology, Kean University, Union, NJ, USA

3. Department of Biological Sciences, Kean University, Union, NJ, USA

*Correspondence

M. Gao, Biology Department, Marram Hall 310, 3400 Broadway, Gary, IN 46408, USA

Fax: +1 219 980 7125

Tel: +1 219 980 6722

Email: minggao@iun.edu

Abstract

In the *Drosophila* germline, Me31B is a putative ATP-dependent, RNA helicase that

plays an important role in post-transcriptional RNA regulation to ensure mRNA's correct

spatial and temporal expression, a process crucial for proper germline development and

fertility. However, Me31B's *in vivo* working mechanism remains unclear. In this study,

we aim to analyze the functions of Me31B's key domains/motifs to understand how

these domains/motifs operate to fulfill the protein's overall activities. We generated

mutant *Drosophila* strains for six important motifs including three ATPase/helicase

motifs (DEAD-box, DVLARAK, and HRIGR), the N-terminal domain (N-ter), the C-

terminal domain (C-ter), and a protein-binding motif (FDF motif-binding motif). In

characterizing these mutants, we observed that the three ATPase/helicase motif

mutations cause dominant female sterility, which is associated with developmental

defects in oogenesis and embryogenesis. Follow-up examinations of the DVLARAK

motif mutant revealed abnormalities in germline mRNA localization and transcript level.

The Me31B N-ter domain (deletion of C-ter), C-ter domain (deletion of N-ter), and

mutation of the FDF motif-binding motif led to a decrease in female fertility and

abnormal subcellular Me31B localizations within the egg chambers. Moreover, deletion

of Me31B's N-ter or C-ter motif decreases Me31B protein levels in the ovaries. This

study indicates that these six motifs of Me31B play distinct roles in contributing to

Me31B's whole-protein functions such as ATPase, RNA helicase, protein stability,

protein localization, and partner protein binding, crucial for germline development and

fertility. Considering the Me31B protein family's conserved presence in both *Drosophila*

germline and soma and in other eukaryotes such as yeast, worms, mice, and humans,

the results from this study could expand our understanding of Me31B family helicases'

general working mechanisms in different cell types and species.

Introduction

Introduction

Drosophila Me31B is an evolutionarily conserved, ATP-dependent, DEAD-box RNA

helicase that is important for *Drosophila* germline development and fertility [1-5], with

homologs like CGH-1 (worms) [6, 7], DjCBC-1 (planarians) [8, 9], Xp54 (frogs) [10, 11],

p54 (mice) [12, 13], and DDX6/Rck (humans) [14-18] playing similar roles in diverse

animal species. In these animals, the main role of Me31B family helicases lies in their

post-transcriptional RNA regulation including RNA storage, transportation, translational

regulation, stabilization, and decay, which ensure the expression of the messages at the

correct time and location, and leads to proper germline functionality and development

[14, 19-23].

Drosophila Me31B has been employed as a valuable model to study the helicases in

the family. Me31B's necessity in the *Drosophila* germline has been underscored by

me31B's loss-of-function mutation or strong knockdown (KD) causing severe egg

chamber development defects or early oogenesis arrest, respectively [1, 24]. In normal

egg chambers, Me31B proteins are expressed and aggregated into ribonucleoprotein

(RNP) complexes, granular assemblies of proteins and RNAs. In these granules,

Me31B interacts with other partner proteins such as Tral (a translational repressor

protein that usually complexes with Me31B in various types of RNP granules) to render

post-transcriptional controls on the contained RNAs, a process necessary for proper

germline development [3, 25-29]. So far, our understanding of Me31B function mostly

came from using *Drosophila* strains with complete *me31B* loss-of-function alleles,

strains with a significant decrease of Me31B protein level, or biochemical analysis of the

protein *in vitro*. Therefore, the protein's molecular-level working mechanism *in vivo* has

remained unclear. In this study, we aim to analyze the *in vivo* functions of important

domains/motifs of Me31B and then understand how they fit together to contribute to

Me31B's whole-protein activities.

This study focuses on six Me31B domain/motifs (domains and motifs will be called

motifs from here for simplicity): DEAD-box motif (AA 207–210), DVLARAK motif (AA

97–103), HRIGR motif (AA 381–385), N-ter motif (AA 1–267), C-ter motif (AA 268–459),

and FDF motif-binding motif (AA 285–289). Previous research suggests that the first

three motifs (DEAD-box, DVLARAK, and HRIGR) are crucial for the ATPase/helicase

activities of Me31B [30-32]; the two large N-ter and C-ter motifs each contain a RecA-

like domain and participate in a broad spectrum of activities including ATPase/helicase,

protein binding, and assembly into RNP granules [19, 30, 33]; and the FDF motif-

binding motif enables Me31B to physically bind to the FDF motifs on translational

repressor partners such as Tral or EDC3 [33-36]. To study these motifs' *in vivo*

functions, we used the CRISPR gene-editing technique to create *Drosophila* strains

carrying point mutations that disrupt the motifs' functions or deletion mutations in the

me31B genes (Figure 1). Phenotype characterization of the mutants' germline revealed

that the six motifs are important for *Drosophila* fertility and germline development while

playing different molecular-level roles in localizing germline RNA, maintaining germline

RNA level, and stabilizing and localizing Me31B proteins.

Results and Discussions

Me31B ATPase/helicase motif mutations lead to dominant female sterility.

Although structural and functional studies on Me31B and its homologs identified several

ATPase/helicase motifs in Me31B and suggested these motifs' potential functions in

ATP hydrolysis, RNA binding, and RNA translational regulation [11, 19, 30, 32, 34, 37,

38], the *in vivo* functions of the motifs have not been investigated. To study this, we

introduced single or multiple point mutations to three conserved ATPase/helicase motifs

individually: E208A for DEAD box motif (D**E**AD→D**A**AD), R101A and K103A for

DVLARAK motif (DVLA**RA**K→DVLA**AA**A), and R385Q for HRIGR motif

(HRIG**R**→HRIG**Q**). The resulting *me31B* alleles are *me31B^{E208A}*, *me31B^{DVLAAAA}*, and

me31B^{R385Q}, respectively (illustrated in Figure 1). The introduced mutations were

reported to disrupt the motifs' normal functions in Me31B homologs or structurally

similar proteins [30-32, 38-41]. When maintaining the three mutant strains, we

immediately noticed that all three alleles dominantly cause female sterility. Specifically,

me31B^{E208A}/+, *me31B^{DVLAAAA}*/+, and *me31B^{R385Q}*/+ heterozygous female flies do not

produce any viable progeny in the presence of males from the wildtype *w^{1118}* strain. In

contrast, *me31B^{E208A}*/+, *me31B^{DVLAAAA}*/+, and *me31B^{R385Q}*/+ heterozygous male flies

were able to fertilize *w^{1118}* females and produce viable progenies bearing the mutant

me31B alleles, suggesting that the three *me31B* alleles cause sterility in females only.

To find out what caused the sterility, we first checked *me31B^{E208A}*/+, *me31B^{DVLAAAA}*/+,

and *me31B^{R385Q}*/+ strains for their embryo laying, development, and morphology.

Although embryo laying was observed from all three strains, none (0%) of their embryos

(n = 541 for *me31B^{E208A}*/+, n = 100 for *me31B^{DVLAAAA}*/+, and n = 17 for *me31B^{R385Q}*/+)

were able to develop to larva or later stages on grape agar plates (see Materials and

Methods for the hatchability assay conditions). A closer examination of the un-hatched

embryos from the three mutants showed that 78% of the embryos from *me31B^{E208A}*/+,

33% of the embryos from $me31B^{DVLAAAA}$/+, and 100% of the embryos from

$me31B^{R385Q}$/+ had one or more types of patterning defects like fused, very short, or no

dorsal appendages (Figure 2A), consistent with the strains being sterile. Among the

three strains, $me31B^{R385Q}$/+ showed the most severe phenotype: they laid few embryos,

and all the embryos laid had severe egg-shell shape defects along with the complete

absence of dorsal appendages (Figure 2A).

The embryonic defects in the three mutants indicate that their oogenesis did not

proceed normally, so we examined their ovaries. In $me31B^{E208A}$ and $me31B^{DVLAAAA}$

mutant ovaries, we observed defects in nurse cell dumping, a process in which the

nurse cells completely expel all their cytoplasm into late-stage eggs (reviewed in [42]).

In the $me31B^{E208A}$ mutant, 52% of the stage-14 eggs (n = 13) were still connected with

egg chamber tissues that contain nurse cell materials (Figure 2B), indicating incomplete

nurse cell dumping. This "dumpless" phenotype is more prominent in $me31B^{DVLAAAA}$

mutant: approximately 78% of $me31B^{DVLAAAA}$ stage-14 egg chambers (n = 32) did not

complete dumping, and the "un-dumped" egg chamber regions were larger than that in

the $me31B^{E208A}$ mutant (Figure 2C). Consistent with this egg development defect, the

$me31B^{DVLAAAA}$ mutant showed reduced egg laying compared to the wildtype control

(Supplementary Figure 1). These phenotypes mimic previously reported dumpless

phenotypes in the *dcp-1* (encoding a caspase involved in apoptosis) mutant egg

chambers, leading to decreased egg laying and early embryo development arrest [43].

The *dcp-1* mutation-induced dumpless phenotypes and sterility were associated with

abnormal nucleus envelope breakdown and cytoskeleton organization during nurse cell

apoptosis and dumping [43]. Whether the same mechanism is involved in the

me31B^{E208A} and *me31B^{DVLAAAA}* mutations remains to be analyzed. The most severe

oogenesis defects were observed in the *me31B^{R385Q}* mutant. We observed frequent egg

chamber degenerations at early-to-mid stages (Figure 2D), consistent with this mutant's

few egg lay and sterility.

The sterility and defects in oogenesis and embryogenesis in all three mutants suggest

that each ATPase/helicase motif is needed for *Drosophila* germline growth, and their

cooperative actions are likely needed to output the correct ATPase/helicase function. An

explanation for these mutations being dominant could be that the mutations introduced

detrimental functions that lead to the mutant Me31B protein being "toxic" to germline

cells. This explanation is supported by previous research on similar mutations in other

RNA helicases. For example, in eIF4A, another DEAD-box RNA helicase essential for

germline mRNA translational control [44, 45], D**E**AD→D**Q**AD and HRIG**R**→HRIG**Q**

mutations cause the protein to become dominant negative in translation *in vitro* [46, 47].

As another example, in an assay of Xp54 (Me31B homolog in *Xenopus*)'s effect on

tethered RNAs, D**E**AD→D**Q**AD mutation, DILA**RAK** (homologous to DVLARAK in

Me31B)→DILA**AAA** mutation, and HRIG**R**→HRIG**Q** mutations changed the protein's

regulation on the RNA from translational repression to translational activation [30].

These reports made us postulate that the dominant sterility effects of *me31B^{E208A}*,

me31B^{DVLAAAA}, and *me31B^{R385Q}* mutations may be caused by altered RNA translational

control activity of the mutated proteins.

It is integral to note that the HRIG**R**→HRIG**Q** mutation caused much more severe

germline phenotypes than the D**E**AD→D**A**AD or DVLA**RAK**→DVLA**AAA** mutations. We

suspect this may be either that 1) substituting an ATPase/helicase motif's key amino

acid (like arginine, R) to glutamine (Q) causes more detrimental effects than alanine (A),

or that 2) the HRIGR motif plays separate and more significant roles than the DEAD and

DVLARAK motifs. Previous research in other systems provided conceptual support for

both explanations. For the first argument, D**Q**AD mutation in the DEAD-box motif of

GLH-1 (a conserved germ granule RNA helicase in *C. elegans*) led to a more severe

fertility decrease and embryo arrest phenotypes than D**A**AD mutation [48, 49]. For the

second, D**E**AD→D**Q**AD mutation in the DEAD-box helicase eIF4A abolish the protein's

ATPase activity but retain a small amount of RNA-binding activity, while the

HRIG**R**→HRIG**Q** mutation abolishes RNA-binding ability but retains some ATPase

activity [46], suggests that the HRIGR motif may perform unique steps/roles in the

helicase's enzymatic actions.

Me31B helicase activity functions in *nos* localization by modulating *osk* and *nos*

transcript levels

Considering Me31B's known role in post-transcriptional RNA regulation on important

germline mRNAs such as *nanos* (*nos*), we investigated whether the above

ATPase/helicase mutations affect *nos* localization to its germ plasm destination in late-

stage eggs (germ plasm is a special cytoplasm at the posterior pole of late-stage eggs

and early embryos; germ plasm contains mRNAs needed for processes including

embryo patterning and germ cell formation. For reviews, see [50-54]). Normal *nos*

localization occurs through the Oskar-dependent formation of homotypic clusters within

germ granules, aggregates that contain multiple copies of *nos* transcripts [55, 56]. We

tested this hypothesis with the *me31B^DVLAAAA*/+ strain and performed single-molecule

fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (smFISH) on stage 13 oocytes to identify both

unlocalized *nos* transcripts and *nos* clusters in the posterior germ plasm. Using

previously established imaging and image analysis techniques [55, 56] (See Material

and Methods), we quantified the number of *nos* transcripts that reside within homotypic

clusters in the *me31B^{DVLAAAA}* mutant and a control CRISPR strain (*me31B^{WT}-GFP*) with

wildtype *me31B* gene (Figure 3A). We found that the average number of *nos* transcripts

found in a homotypic cluster of the control was 6.42 ± 0.47 transcripts per cluster which

were not significantly different from the previously published average of 7.58 ± 0.42

transcript per *nos* cluster in the *yellow white* flies (p = 0.12) [56], suggesting that our

CRISPR genome modifications like adding the GFP tag do not affect *nos* localization.

However, in the *me31B^{DVLAAAA}* mutant, the average number of *nos* transcripts found in a

homotypic cluster is 2.33 ± 0.21, a significant reduction compared to the *me31B^{WT}-GFP*

control (p < 0.0001). Together, these data suggest that the Me31B helicase activity

influences *nos* localization to the posterior germ plasm.

We next explored the mechanisms by which Me31B influences *nos* localization.

Recently, it has been shown using computational modeling and experimental validation

that the localization of *nos* is influenced by 1) the number of *nos* transcripts that are

expressed, 2) the amount of Osk in the system, and 3) *nos*'s clustering factor, a

quantifiable effect that, in conjunction with *nos* transcript and Osk levels, regulates the

number of transcripts that can accumulate within a homotypic cluster [56, 57]. To

identify whether *me31B^{DVLAAAA}* affects one or more of these mechanisms, we first

conducted RT-PCR to measure the level of *nos* and *osk* RNAs in the system. We found

that *nos* transcript levels were reduced to 61% ± 7% of wild-type levels and *osk* levels

were reduced to 56% ± 4% when compared to wild-type (Figure 3C). To determine

whether these changes can account for the observed reduction of *nos* homotypic cluster

sizes in the *me31B^{DVLAAAA}* mutant, we used computational modeling to recapitulate *nos*

localization *in silico* by adjusting a previously published model's parameters [57] from

1.00 to 0.61 for *nos* transcript levels and from 1.00 to 0.56 for Osk levels (See Material

and methods). Modeled *nos* homotypic clusters contained an average of 2.86

transcripts per cluster, which was not significantly different from the average produced

by the *me31B^{DVLAAAA}* mutant (p = 0.988) (Figure 3B). Together, these data suggest that

the mechanism creating the *nos* localization defect in the *me31B^{DVLAAAA}* mutant is

caused by a combined effect generated by the reduction of *nos* and *osk* levels.

Me31B is a known component of an ATP-dependent assembly of a stable, repressed

ribonucleoprotein particle (RNP) containing *nos* [3, 22]. In the *me31B^{DVLAAAA}* mutant,

ATP-dependent helicase activity is presumably disrupted, causing a reduction of *nos*

transcripts (Figure 3C). Combining our new data with previous findings that Me31B

functions in post-transcriptional mRNA regulation like RNA stability control [1-3, 28, 36],

we suggest that Me31B functions in stabilizing unlocalized RNPs containing *nos* and

osk, allowing for stable mRNAs to reach and become incorporated into the germ plasm.

Furthermore, we suggest that disruption of the DVLARAK motif results in a

destabilization of mRNAs that have not localized to the germ plasm, and a subsequent

decrease in *nos* and *osk* that are available to form the germ plasm and ultimately

reducing the *nos* localization. Additional germ plasm mRNAs such as *cycB*, *pgc*, and *gcl*

also diffuse to the posterior RNPs containing a single transcript and form homotypic

clusters within germ granules [55]. Thus, future studies should explore whether

Me31B's helicase activity is specific to *nos* or has a more global role in stabilizing

unlocalized germ plasm mRNAs. We measured the transcript levels to determine

whether <u>*nos*</u> and *osk* transcript levels changed in the other two ATPase/helicase

mutants (*me31B^{E208A}*/+ and *me31B^{R385Q}*/+). To our surprise, in *me31B^{E208A}*/+ flies, *nos*

level remains comparable (104% ± 7%) to the *w^{1118}* control strain, while *osk* mRNA level

is significantly up-regulated (162% ± 12%) (Figure 3D). In *me31B^{R385Q}*/+ flies, *nos*

mRNA showed a significant decrease (44% ± 2%), while *osk* level showed a significant

increase (194% ± 12%) compared to the control (Figure 3E). Comparing the changes of

nos and *osk* between the three ATPase/helicase mutants (compare Figure 3C, D, and

E), we found that the three dominant-sterile mutations caused nonuniform effects on the

levels of *nos* and *osk*. This suggests that the three motifs play different roles in

maintaining germline mRNA levels or responsible for maintaining different RNAs. From

this, we further suggest that the change of *nos* and *osk* levels cannot be the only cause

for the sterility of the three mutants. Further studies are needed to reveal and contrast

how different ATPase/helicase motif mutations globally affect germline RNAs.

Me31B N-ter motif, C-ter motif, and FDF motif-binding motif mutations decrease

female fertility

We next analyzed the functions of the Me31B N-ter motif (AA 1–267), C-ter motif (AA

268–459), and FDF motif-binding motif (AA 285–289). The N-ter motif contains a RecA-

like domain and contributes to the protein's ATP binding, helicase activity, and P-body

assembly ability [19, 30]. The C-ter motif also contains a RecA-like domain and

contributes to the protein's ATP hydrolysis, helicase activity, RNA translational

repression, partner-protein binding, and P-body assembly ability [30, 33, 35, 58]. The

FDF motif-binding motif allows Me31B to physically interact with FDF motifs in other

proteins such as Tral and EDC3 [3, 4, 28, 33, 34, 36]. To study these motifs'

contributions to Me31B whole-protein activities, we generated $me31B^{N\text{-}ter}$, $me31B^{C\text{-}ter}$,

$me31B^{FDF}$, and $me31B^{WT}$ alleles which contain the N-ter coding sequence, the C-ter

coding sequence, point mutations in the FDF motif-binding motif, and wildtype $me31B$

gene (as control), respectively (illustrated in Figure 1). We do note that our attempts to

generate the original N-ter (AA 1 - 267) and C-ter (AA 268 - 459) mutations were

hindered by technical difficulties, but we were able to generate N-ter (AA 1 - 276) and

C-ter (AA 277 - 459) mutations instead, so the latter constructs were used in this study.

We first screened the mutants homozygous for the three alleles ($me31B^{N\text{-}ter}$, $me31B^{C\text{-}ter}$,

and $me31B^{FDF}$) for their fertility by using a previously reported female fertility assay [59].

In this assay, the egg laying and progeny production (hatchability) were separately

analyzed to yield a more complete understanding of how fertility was affected in these

mutants. The assay showed that $me31B^{N\text{-}ter}$, $me31B^{C\text{-}ter}$, and $me31B^{FDF}$ mutant females

showed a significant fertility decrease compared to the $me31B^{WT}$ control (Figure 4A).

Specifically, in the egg laying part of the assay, a $me31B^{WT}$ control female fly laid an

average of 117 eggs during the assay period, while a $me31B^{N\text{-}ter}$, $me31B^{C\text{-}ter}$, and

$me31B^{FDF}$ fly laid an average of 68, 29, and 77 eggs, respectively, a significant

decrease of 42.9%, 75.2%, and 34.2%, respectively (Figure 4A). In the progeny

production part, a $me31B^{WT}$ control female produced an average of 106 progeny flies. In

contrast, a $me31B^{N\text{-}ter}$, $me31B^{C\text{-}ter}$, and $me31B^{FDF}$ fly produced 47, 0, and 75 progenies,

a significant decrease of 55.7 % and 100% and an insignificant decrease of 29.2%,

respectively (Figure 4B). The apparent absence of progeny from the $me31B^{C-ter}$ mutant

indicated that the $me31B^{C-ter}$ females were sterile. To validate this, we collected the

eggs (n = 97) from $me31B^{C-ter}$ females (accompanied by w^{1118} males) on grape-juice

agar plates and examined the eggs' development. We observed that none of the eggs

developed to larva or later stages and that all the eggs showed morphological defects

including fused dorsal appendages (n = 66, 68% of the eggs) or no dorsal appendages

(n = 31, 32% of the eggs) (Figure 4D), consistent with $me31B^{C-ter}$ females being sterile.

We calculated the egg hatchability rate of the three mutants by dividing the number of

viable progenies by the number of eggs laid. The hatchability rates of $me31B^{N-ter}$ and

$me31B^{C-ter}$ strains are 68.3% and 0%, respectively, a significant decrease compared to

the control's 90.8% hatchability rate (Figure 4C). For the $me31B^{FDF}$ strain, the

hatchability (97.1%) is not significantly different from the control (Figure 4C).

We conclude that Me31B's N-ter or C-ter motifs (when expressed alone) and mutation

in the FDF motif-binding motif cause *Drosophila* female fertility to decrease. Particularly,

the $me31B^{N-ter}$ mutant and $me31B^{C-ter}$ mutant cause defects in both egg laying quantity

and egg hatchability. However, we cannot neglect that the sterility of the $me31B^{C-ter}$

mutant is a more substantial fertility defect than the $me31B^{N-ter}$ mutant, suggesting the

two domains' different importance in maintaining fertility. Considering that *me31B* is an

essential gene and its loss-of-function mutations are lethal [1], we were surprised to see

that either Me31B N-ter or C-ter motif (when expressed alone) is enough to support fly

viability, suggesting that either motif can provide certain essential, full-length-protein

functions that enable *Drosophila* growth. Comparatively, the $me31B^{FDF}$ strain showed a

minor fertility decrease with no measurable defects in egg hatchability. This means that

the disruption of Me31B's FDF-motif binding function has a mildly negative effect on

fertility.

Me31B N-ter motif and C-ter motif mutations decrease Me31B protein level.

To follow up with the fertility defects in the $me31B^{N\text{-}ter}$, $me31B^{C\text{-}ter}$, and $me31B^{FDF}$

mutants, we hypothesize that these mutations may have changed Me31B protein

stability and reduced the amount of protein in the germline. To test this, we took

advantage of the GFP tags fused to the wildtype and mutant Me31B proteins and used

anti-GFP Western blots to quantify the proteins in the ovaries of the mutant strains. We

observed that the $Me31B^{N\text{-}ter}$ and $Me31B^{C\text{-}ter}$ protein levels are 40% and 11% of the

$Me31B^{WT}$ control, respectively (Figure 5A and 5B). The $Me31B^{FDF}$ protein level (114%)

is comparable to the $Me31B^{WT}$ control. We conclude that the N-ter and C-ter motifs are

essential for maintaining the Me31B protein level and deleting either half of the protein

likely destabilized the protein. We also suggest that the $Me31B^{C\text{-}ter}$ protein (N-terminal

deletion) is even less stable than the $Me31B^{N\text{-}ter}$ protein (C-terminal deletion). Together

with the fertility experiments (Figure 4), we noticed that the mutant strains' fertility and

their mutant protein expression levels share a similar trend: $me31B^{C\text{-}ter}$ mutant showing

complete sterility and the least level of protein expression and $me31B^{FDF}$ mutant

showing a mild fertility decrease and near wild type-level protein expression (compare

Figure 4 and Figure 5B). We speculate that, besides the protein functions that were

likely altered, the change of Me31B protein abundance could be a factor influencing the

fertility of the mutants.

320 **Me31B N-ter motif, C-ter motif, and FDF motif-binding motif mutations alter**

321 **Me31B subcellular localization**

322 Me31B is abundantly expressed in the cytoplasm of nurse cells and developing oocytes

323 in *Drosophila* ovaries. In these cells, Me31B complexes with partner protein Tral, and

324 aggregate together into RNP granules including perinuclear granules (nuage granules)

325 in nurse cells, P-body/sponge body granules in nurse cells and oocytes, and germ

326 plasm granules in oocytes [1, 24, 28]. To determine whether the *me31B^{N-ter}*, *me31B^{C-ter}*,

327 and *me31B^{FDF}* mutations affect Me31B subcellular localization, we used the GFP-tags

328 on the Me31B proteins to visualize them in the ovary cells and used anti-Tral

329 immunostaining to mark the RNP granules.

330 In the early-stage egg chambers (Figure 6A), wildtype Me31B^{WT}-GFP and Tral both

331 localize to the nuage granules and the P body/sponge body granules in the nurse cells

332 and developing oocytes (Figure 6A, first row). The two proteins showed extensive

333 overlap at these granules, suggesting likely colocalization. This localization pattern of

334 Me31B^{WT}-GFP and Tral is indistinguishable from the wildtype proteins in Oregon-R

335 strains or GFP-Trap strains carrying wildtype *me31B* genes [1, 24]. However, in

336 *me31B^{N-ter}*, *me31B^{C-ter}*, and *me31B^{FDF}* egg chambers, the three mutant Me31B-GFP

337 proteins were conspicuously more diffused in the nurse cells and oocytes, and they no

338 longer overlap with Tral, whose localization remains similar to that in the *me31B^{WT}*

339 control (Figure 6A, three bottom rows). This indicates that the Me31B N-ter motif, C-ter

340 motif, and the FDF motif-binding motif are needed for Me31B's appropriate aggregation

341 status. Furthermore, the three mutant proteins each showed distinct

342 localization/distribution patterns. Me31B^{N-ter}-GFP proteins are found in the nurse cell

nucleus (Figure 6A, second row), which is further confirmed by co-staining the egg

chambers with DNA stain DAPI (Supplementary Figure 3). Although a small number of

Me31B^{C-ter}-GFP aggregates were observed (Figure 6A, third row), they are fewer and

smaller than Me31B^{WT}-GFP aggregates. From these observations, we conclude that

Me31B's proper aggregation into germline RNP granules requires the presence of both

the N-ter motif and the C-ter motif. Previous studies suggest that the two motifs contain

different sequence components and, therefore, different potential functions. The N-ter

motif contains several ATPase/helicase motifs, including the DEAD-box motif and

DVLAAAA motif but no known protein-binding motifs [30-32, 35]; the C-ter motif

contains several protein-binding motifs and one putative helicase motif, HRIGR) [10, 19,

30, 33, 35, 58]. This means that Me31B likely uses its RNA-interaction ability on both N-

ter and C-ter motifs and the protein-binding ability on the C-ter motif to aggregate into

the RNP granules. In line with this speculation, the failure of Me31B^{FDF}-GFP to

aggregate (Figure 6A, bottom row) suggests binding and recruitment by FDF-motif

proteins like Tral and EDC3 [34, 60] is necessary for the aggregation process. Another

protein-aggregation factor in Me31B worth noting is the two predicted Intrinsically

Disordered Regions (IDRs) at the N-ter end (AA 1- 53) and the C-ter ends (AA 431 –

459). Deleting the IDRs was demonstrated to cause rapid self-assembly of Me31B into

aggregate-like structures *in vitro*, so the IDRs were suggested to attenuate the

interactions between the folded N-ter and C-ter motifs [61]. The above evidence shows

that Me31B aggregation to germline RNP granule could be a complex interplay between

RNA-interacting, partner protein binding, and IDRs.

About the confounding nuclear localization of Me31B^{N-ter} proteins, we speculate that

Me31B may be a nucleocytoplasmic shuttling protein with a Nuclear Localization Signal

(NLS) sequence in the N-terminal motif. This NLS sequence leads Me31B into the

nucleus, and then the C-terminal motif mediates the protein's export and retention in the

cytoplasm. This speculation is conceptually supported by the nucleocytoplasmic

shuttling activities of DDX6 (human homolog of Me31B) [58] and Xp54 (*Xenopus*

homolog) [11] in cell culture models. However, our efforts to identify a Nuclear

Localization Signal (NLS) sequence by using prediction tools (NLStradamus [62], cNLS

Mapper [63], and SeqNLS Prediction Server [64]) were unsuccessful. Although we were

able to locate amino acid sequences in Me31B (KSKLKLPPKDNRFK and

CIPVLEQIDP) that are homologous to the putative NLS and Nuclear Export Signals

(NES) sequences in DDX6 [58], respectively, experimental evidence is still needed to

validate their *in vivo* functionality. We do not exclude the possibility that the N-terminal

NLS is just a non-functional sequence normally masked in folded, full-length Me31B

protein. The sequence was accidentally exposed to nucleus transportation machinery

upon the deletion of the C-terminal motif.

In the early-to-mid stage egg chambers (Figure 6B), we observed that Me31B

enrichment in developing oocytes is abolished in the three mutants. In the *me31B^{WT}*

control egg chambers, Me31B^{WT}-GFP and Tral were highly enriched in the developing

oocytes, with the two proteins extensively overlapping (Figure 6B, first row). However, in

the *me31B^{N-ter}* and *me31B^{C-ter}* egg chambers, the mutant Me31B proteins show no

pronounced enrichment in the future oocytes (Figure 6B, second and third row), while

Tral's enrichment pattern remains unaffected. In the *me31B^{FDF}* mutants, the Me31B^{FDF}-

GFP proteins still showed enrichment in the oocytes, but it is much weaker than the

Me31B^{WT}-GFP control. We conclude that the Me31B N-ter motif, C-ter motif, and the

FDF motif-binding motif are needed for the protein's proper accumulation into future

oocytes at early-to-mid stages. For similar reasons discussed before, we suggest that

Me31B's transport/accumulation into the oocytes depends on its RNA interaction and

protein-binding abilities. This speculation is in line with the observation that Me31B^{FDF}

proteins maintained a reduced enrichment in the oocytes: the protein's intact RNA-

interacting motifs or other protein-binding motifs could have enabled ineffective but

functional transportation into the oocytes.

In the mid-stage egg chambers (Figure 6C), Me31B^{WT} proteins were found along the

cortex and localized to the germ plasm area of the oocytes (Figure 6C, first row), similar

to the Me31B proteins in wildtype strains as previously reported [28]. In the *me31B^{N-ter}*,

me31B^{C-ter}, and *me31B^{FDF}* mutants, the three mutant proteins localized to the above

areas, but the localized proteins appeared to have less granularity (Figure 6C, bottom

three rows), consistent with the diffused status of the mutant proteins in earlier stages.

All in all, this study took a mutagenesis approach to investigate the *in vivo* functions of

six key motifs of DEAD-box RNA helicase Me31B, and the results are summarized in

Figure 7. The three ATPase/helicase motifs (DEAD-box, DVLARAK, and HRIGR) are

conserved among the members of the Me31B protein family, and mutations in each of

them result in alleles (*me31B^{E208A}*, *me31B^{DVLAAAA}*, and *me31B^{R385Q}*) that cause

dominant female sterility. Their sterility exhibits oogenesis defects like nurse cell

dumping defects, egg chamber degeneration, and early embryogenesis arrest

accompanied by embryo morphological abnormalities. In our attempt to find the

molecular-level mechanism by which the ATPase/helicase motif mutations cause

sterility, we analyzed the *me31B^{DVLAAAA}* heterozygous mutant and showed that the

mutation altered *nos* mRNA localization in the germ plasm and decreased *nos* and *osk*

transcript levels, highlighting Me31B ATPase/helicase's function in maintaining germline

mRNA localization and transcript level. Our additional analysis of Me31B's N-ter motif,

C-ter motif, and FDF motif-binding motif demonstrated their impotence in fertility,

maintaining Me31B protein level and aggregation status, and subcellular localization.

The results from this study provided insight into the molecular mechanisms of key

functional motifs in *Drosophila* Me31B. Considering the conserved nature of Me31B and

its homologs, these data aid in paving the road to understanding the functions and

important regions of Me31B family proteins in various cell types and across different

species.

Materials and Methods

Fly strain generation by CRISPR gene editing

Mutant *me31B Drosophila* strains were generated using the previously reported

CRISPR procedure [65, 66]. Specifically, CRISPR Optimal Target Finder [65] and

DRSC/TRiP Functional Genomics Resources (Harvard Medical School) were used to

find gRNA cutting sites flanking the *me31B* gene in the *Drosophila* genome. Then, the

found gRNA sequences were cloned into gRNA-expressing plasmid vector pCFD5

(Addgene) according to a previously published protocol [67]. According to suggested

protocols, HDR donor plasmids carrying wild type *me31B* gene were constructed by

cloning *me31B* gene DNA into the pHD-sfGFP-ScarlessDsRed cloning vector

(Addgene). In the constructed HDR plasmids, the super-fold GFP (*sfGFP*) gene is

positioned in-frame and downstream of the *me31B* gene so that the sfGFP protein is

tagged to the C-terminal end of the expressed Me31B proteins. The *DsRed* marker

gene is positioned in the intergenic region downstream of the *me31B* gene. HDR

plasmid with mutant *me31B* genes was generated by mutating the *me31B* wild-type

gene in the wild type HDR donor plasmid using the Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit (New

England Biolabs) according to the manufacturer's recommended protocols. The

resulting HDR plasmids containing different *me31B* alleles are named after the

mutations (Figure 1). The gRNA-expressing plasmid and the HDR donor plasmids were

co-injected into the Cas9-expressing strain (Genetivision) to generate desired wild-type

and *mutant* me31B strains, which were crossed with a second chromosome balancer to

establish balanced stocks when possible. The plasmid vectors constructed and the

obtained *me31B* strains were validated by sequencing.

Genetic crosses

Balanced *me31B* wild type and mutant strains were self-crossed to obtain homozygous

mutant strains. For the dominant female sterile *me31B* strains, males carrying the

mutant allele were crossed with w^{1118} (Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center 3605)

females to obtain heterozygous strains.

Immunohistochemistry

Drosophila ovary immunostaining was performed as previously described [24, 68, 69].

The following antibody dilutions were used: Rabbit-anti-Tral (1:1,000). Donkey-anti-

Rabbit-Cy3 secondary antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch) were used at 1:500.

Images were captured by an Olympus FV3000 confocal laser scanning microscope.

Western blots

Western blot antibodies were used at the following dilutions: rabbit-anti-GFP

(1:100,000), and mouse-anti-α-Tubulin (1:100,000). Secondary antibodies were used at

the following dilutions: mouse-anti-rabbit HRP (Jackson ImmunoResearch) (1:10,000 for

rabbit-anti-GFP), goat-anti-mouse HRP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) (1: 50,000 for

mouse-anti-α-Tubulin primary antibody). The protein band quantification analysis was

performed by using ImageJ (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/)

Fertility assay (for *me31B^{WT}*, *me31B^{N-ter}*, *me31B^{C-ter}*, and *me31B^{FDF}* strains)

Fertility assays were performed according to previously established protocols [59].

Briefly, virgin females from *me31B^{WT}*, *me31B^{N-ter}*, *me31B^{C-ter}*, and *me31B^{FDF}* strains

were collected and allowed to age for 3 – 4 days separately in fly food vials. Each

female was then put in a vial with a *w^{1118}* male. After 24 hours, the males were removed

from the vials, and the fertilized females were transferred to a new vial every day for the

next ten days. The eggs laid and the progenies hatched from each vial were counted.

The hatch rate of each vial is calculated by dividing the progenies hatched by the

number of eggs laid. An equal amount (15 ml) of fly food medium (Molasses

Formulation, Genesee Scientific) was used in each vial.

Number of egg laying, embryo morphology, and embryo hatchability (*me31B^{E208A}*,

***me31B^{DVLAAAA}*, and *me31B^{R385Q}* strains)**

To record the number of eggs laid from the *me31B^{DVLAAAA}*/+ strain, 40 females from the

strain were put into a small embryo collection cage with grape-agar plates

(Genetivision) in the presence of 10 *w^{1118}* males. After letting the flies adjust for 24

hours, the flies were given a fresh grape agar plate, and the eggs laid in the next 24

hours were counted. Control experiments were conducted with w^{1118} females, and six

independent replicates were performed. To observe the morphology and the hatchability

of the embryos from $me31B^{E208A}$/+, $me31B^{DVLAAAA}$/+, $me31B^{R385Q}$/+, and w^{1118} control

strains, approximately 80 females from each strain were put into a small embryo

collection cage in the presence of 20 w^{1118} males. The embryos were collected on grape

agar plates at 25ºC after 24 hours. The embryos were counted and analyzed under a

dissection microscope for their morphology. The embryos' hatchability was calculated

by counting the number of embryos that failed to develop to later stages 72 hours after

the eggs were laid and subtracting this number from the total number of eggs laid.

RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and quantitative RT-PCR

According to the manufacturer's instructions, total ovarian RNA was extracted from 5 μl

freshly dissected fly ovaries using Qiagen RNeasy Purification Kit (Qiagen). The

obtained RNA samples' concentrations were measured by using NanoDrop 2000c. The

RNAs were reversely transcribed to cDNAs using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse

Transcription Kit (ThermoFisher) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The

synthesized cDNAs were then used for quantitative PCR using Luna Universal qPCR

Master Mix (New England Biolabs). The following PCR Primers were used in this study:

nos forward 5' GTCACCAGCAAACGGACGAGATT -3', *nos* reverse

CGGAGCACTCCCGTAGGACAT, *osk* forward 5'- TTGCTGAGCCACGCCCAGAA -3',

osk reverse 5'- ACATTGGGAATGGTCAGCAGGAAATC -3', *rp49* forward 5'-

GCTAAGCTGTCGCACAAA, *rp49* reverse 5'- TCCGGTGGGCAGCATGTG -3'. *rp49*

RNA was used as the reference. Data analysis was conducted by using the CFX

Manager Software (BioRad) and Microsoft Excel.

smFISH, image analysis, and computational modeling

smFISH was carried out as previously described using published *nos* probe sequences

[56, 57, 70]. In summary, ovaries were dissected from yeast-fed females in cold PBS in

under 10 minutes, and tissues were fixed for 30 minutes in 4% paraformaldehyde and

PBS solution. Tissue was incubated with smFISH probes overnight at 37 ºC in the

hybridization solution previously described [56]. For imaging, egg chambers were

mounted in Prolong Glass (Life Technologies) and were allowed to cure for 72 hours at

room temperature [56, 57]. A Leica STELLARIS 5 confocal microscope was used for

imaging *nos* smFISH experiments described in detail [57]. The identification and

quantification of unlocalized single *nos* transcripts and localized *nos* homotypic clusters

were carried out using a custom MATLAB (Mathworks) program described and

published [56, 57]. Confocal images shown in Figure 3 are maximum projections that

were filtered by a balanced circular difference-of-Gaussian with a center radius size of

1.2 pixels and surround size of 2.2 pixels as previously done for other germ plasm

studies [55, 57]. The total number of homotypic clusters identified is reported in the

figure legends. For modeling experiments, we employed a previously published model

that simulates the formation of germ granules, including *nos* homotypic clusters [57].

The only modeling parameters that were adjusted were 1) carrying capacity, which is

regulated by Osk levels, was set from 1 (wild type) to 0.56, and 2) the pool of *nos*

transcript expression was set from 1 (wild type) to 0.61 to mimic the RT-PCR levels

reported in our results section [57].

524 Statistical Analysis

Reported p-values between average *nos* homotypic cluster sizes were performed using

an ANOVA test with a post-hoc Tukey test that was calculated using R statistical

programming and R Studio using the function aov and TukeyHSD functions [71, 72].

Violin plots were created using the ggplot and ggstatsplot packages [73, 74].

Acknowledgments

We thank the members of the Gao Lab for discussing and revising this manuscript. We

thank Dr. Olivia Rissland and Dr. Akira Nakamura for their kind gifts of antibodies. We

thank the Center for Biological Imaging at Kean University for assisting with image

acquisition and the members and the Niepielko Lab for their helpful comments and

fruitful discussions. Research reported in this publication was supported by the Eunice

Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health & Human Development of the

National Institutes of Health under award no. R15HD102960 to MGN and

1R15HD092925-01A1 to MG.

Author Contributions

MG conceived and directed the project. MG and MGN wrote the manuscript with input

from all authors. EK, MP, IF, and NM performed the fertility assays. AM, CD, and JE

generated the CRISPR strains. MGN, DAD, and BMO performed the microscopic

analysis, smFISH experiments, and modeling. KAS and YM performed the confocal

microscopy experiments. BP, ARM, and DG performed the Western blots and data

analysis. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Data Availability

548 All the data presented in this study are included in this manuscript or its

549 supplementary information.

References

1. Nakamura, A., Amikura, R., Hanyu, K. & Kobayashi, S. (2001) Me31B silences

translation of oocyte-localizing RNAs through the formation of cytoplasmic RNP

complex during Drosophila oogenesis, *Development.* **128**, 3233-42.

2. Wang, M., Ly, M., Lugowski, A., Laver, J. D., Lipshitz, H. D., Smibert, C. A. &

Rissland, O. S. (2017) ME31B globally represses maternal mRNAs by two distinct

mechanisms during the Drosophila maternal-to-zygotic transition, *Elife.* **6**.

3. Gotze, M., Dufourt, J., Ihling, C., Rammelt, C., Pierson, S., Sambrani, N., Temme,

C., Sinz, A., Simonelig, M. & Wahle, E. (2017) Translational repression of the

Drosophila nanos mRNA involves the RNA helicase Belle and RNA coating by Me31B

and Trailer hitch, *RNA*.

4. Kugler, J. M., Chicoine, J. & Lasko, P. (2009) Bicaudal-C associates with a Trailer

Hitch/Me31B complex and is required for efficient Gurken secretion, *Dev Biol.* **328**, 160-

72.

5. Liu, L., Qi, H., Wang, J. & Lin, H. (2011) PAPI, a novel TUDOR-domain protein,

complexes with AGO3, ME31B and TRAL in the nuage to silence transposition,

Development. **138**, 1863-73.

6. Langerak, S., Trombley, A., Patterson, J. R., Leroux, D., Couch, A., Wood, M. P. &

Schisa, J. A. (2019) Remodeling of the endoplasmic reticulum in Caenorhabditis

elegans oocytes is regulated by CGH-1, *Genesis.* **57**, e23267.

7. Ko, S., Kawasaki, I. & Shim, Y. H. (2013) PAB-1, a Caenorhabditis elegans poly(A)-

binding protein, regulates mRNA metabolism in germline by interacting with CGH-1 and

CAR-1, *PLoS One.* **8**, e84798.

8. Kashima, M., Kumagai, N., Agata, K. & Shibata, N. (2016) Heterogeneity of

chromatoid bodies in adult pluripotent stem cells of planarian Dugesia japonica, *Dev*

Growth Differ. **58**, 225-37.

9. Yoshida-Kashikawa, M., Shibata, N., Takechi, K. & Agata, K. (2007) DjCBC-1, a

conserved DEAD box RNA helicase of the RCK/p54/Me31B family, is a component of

RNA-protein complexes in planarian stem cells and neurons, *Dev Dyn.* **236**, 3436-50.

10. Alves-Rodrigues, I., Mas, A. & Diez, J. (2007) Xenopus Xp54 and human RCK/p54

helicases functionally replace yeast Dhh1p in brome mosaic virus RNA replication, *J*

Virol. **81**, 4378-80.

11. Smillie, D. A. & Sommerville, J. (2002) RNA helicase p54 (DDX6) is a shuttling

protein involved in nuclear assembly of stored mRNP particles, *J Cell Sci.* **115**, 395-407.

12. Aravin, A. A., van der Heijden, G. W., Castaneda, J., Vagin, V. V., Hannon, G. J. &

Bortvin, A. (2009) Cytoplasmic compartmentalization of the fetal piRNA pathway in

mice, *PLoS Genet.* **5**, e1000764.

13. Swetloff, A., Conne, B., Huarte, J., Pitetti, J. L., Nef, S. & Vassalli, J. D. (2009)

Dcp1-bodies in mouse oocytes, *Mol Biol Cell.* **20**, 4951-61.

14. Lin, F., Wang, R., Shen, J. J., Wang, X., Gao, P., Dong, K. & Zhang, H. Z. (2008)

Knockdown of RCK/p54 expression by RNAi inhibits proliferation of human colorectal

cancer cells in vitro and in vivo, *Cancer Biol Ther.* **7**, 1669-76.

15. Marcon, B. H., Rebelatto, C. K., Cofre, A. R., Dallagiovanna, B. & Correa, A. (2020)

DDX6 Helicase Behavior and Protein Partners in Human Adipose Tissue-Derived Stem

Cells during Early Adipogenesis and Osteogenesis, *Int J Mol Sci.* **21**.

16. Wang, Y., Arribas-Layton, M., Chen, Y., Lykke-Andersen, J. & Sen, G. L. (2015)

DDX6 Orchestrates Mammalian Progenitor Function through the mRNA Degradation

and Translation Pathways, *Mol Cell.* **60**, 118-30.

17. Hansen, M., Zeddies, S., Meinders, M., di Summa, F., van Alphen, F. P. J.,

Hoogendijk, A. J., Moore, K. S., Halbach, M., Gutierrez, L., van den Biggelaar, M.,

Thijssen-Timmer, D. C., Auburger, G. W. J., van den Akker, E., von Lindern, M. &

Rollmann, E. (2020) The RNA-Binding Protein ATXN2 is Expressed during

Megakaryopoiesis and May Control Timing of Gene Expression, *Int J Mol Sci.* **21**.

18. Di Stefano, B., Luo, E. C., Haggerty, C., Aigner, S., Charlton, J., Brumbaugh, J., Ji,

F., Rabano Jimenez, I., Clowers, K. J., Huebner, A. J., Clement, K., Lipchina, I., de Kort,

M. A. C., Anselmo, A., Pulice, J., Gerli, M. F. M., Gu, H., Gygi, S. P., Sadreyev, R. I.,

Meissner, A., Yeo, G. W. & Hochedlinger, K. (2019) The RNA Helicase DDX6 Controls

Cellular Plasticity by Modulating P-Body Homeostasis, *Cell Stem Cell.* **25**, 622-638 e13.

19. Weston, A. & Sommerville, J. (2006) Xp54 and related (DDX6-like) RNA helicases:

roles in messenger RNP assembly, translation regulation and RNA degradation, *Nucleic*

Acids Res. **34**, 3082-94.

20. Chu, C. Y. & Rana, T. M. (2006) Translation repression in human cells by

microRNA-induced gene silencing requires RCK/p54, *PLoS Biol.* **4**, e210.

21. Barckmann, B., Pierson, S., Dufourt, J., Papin, C., Armenise, C., Port, F.,

Grentzinger, T., Chambeyron, S., Baronian, G., Desvignes, J. P., Curk, T. & Simonelig,

M. (2015) Aubergine iCLIP Reveals piRNA-Dependent Decay of mRNAs Involved in

Germ Cell Development in the Early Embryo, *Cell Rep.* **12**, 1205-16.

22. Jeske, M., Moritz, B., Anders, A. & Wahle, E. (2011) Smaug assembles an ATP-

dependent stable complex repressing nanos mRNA translation at multiple levels, *EMBO*

J. **30**, 90-103.

23. Sudhakaran, I. P., Hillebrand, J., Dervan, A., Das, S., Holohan, E. E., Hulsmeier, J.,

Sarov, M., Parker, R., VijayRaghavan, K. & Ramaswami, M. (2014) FMRP and Ataxin-2

function together in long-term olfactory habituation and neuronal translational control,

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. **111**, E99-E108.

24. DeHaan, H., McCambridge, A., Armstrong, B., Cruse, C., Solanki, D., Trinidad, J.

C., Arkov, A. L. & Gao, M. (2017) An in vivo Proteomic Analysis of the Me31B

Interactome in Drosophila Germ Granules, *FEBS Lett*.

25. Arkov, A. L. & Ramos, A. (2010) Building RNA-protein granules: insight from the

germline, *Trends Cell Biol.* **20**, 482-90.

26. Voronina, E., Seydoux, G., Sassone-Corsi, P. & Nagamori, I. (2011) RNA granules

in germ cells, *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* **3**.

27. Rangan, P., DeGennaro, M., Jaime-Bustamante, K., Coux, R. X., Martinho, R. G. &

Lehmann, R. (2009) Temporal and spatial control of germ-plasm RNAs, *Curr Biol.* **19**,

72-7.

28.   McCambridge, A., Solanki, D., Olchawa, N., Govani, N., Trinidad, J. C. & Gao, M.

(2020) Comparative Proteomics Reveal Me31B's Interactome Dynamics, Expression

Regulation, and Assembly Mechanism into Germ Granules during Drosophila Germline

Development, *Sci Rep.* **10**, 564.

29.   Sankaranarayanan, M., Emenecker, R. J., Jahnel, M., Trussina, I. R. E. A.,

Wayland, M., Alberti, S., Holehouse, A. S. & Weil, T. T. (2021) The arrested state of

processing bodies supports mRNA regulation in early development, *bioRxiv*,

2021.03.16.435709.

30.   Minshall, N., Kress, M., Weil, D. & Standart, N. (2009) Role of p54 RNA helicase

activity and its C-terminal domain in translational repression, P-body localization and

assembly, *Mol Biol Cell.* **20**, 2464-72.

31.   Barbee, S. A., Estes, P. S., Cziko, A. M., Hillebrand, J., Luedeman, R. A., Coller, J.

M., Johnson, N., Howlett, I. C., Geng, C., Ueda, R., Brand, A. H., Newbury, S. F.,

Wilhelm, J. E., Levine, R. B., Nakamura, A., Parker, R. & Ramaswami, M. (2006)

Staufen- and FMRP-containing neuronal RNPs are structurally and functionally related

to somatic P bodies, *Neuron.* **52**, 997-1009.

32.   Minshall, N., Thom, G. & Standart, N. (2001) A conserved role of a DEAD box

helicase in mRNA masking, *RNA.* **7**, 1728-42.

33.   Tritschler, F., Eulalio, A., Helms, S., Schmidt, S., Coles, M., Weichenrieder, O.,

Izaurralde, E. & Truffault, V. (2008) Similar modes of interaction enable Trailer Hitch

and EDC3 to associate with DCP1 and Me31B in distinct protein complexes, *Mol Cell*

Biol. **28**, 6695-708.

34.   Tritschler, F., Braun, J. E., Eulalio, A., Truffault, V., Izaurralde, E. & Weichenrieder,

O. (2009) Structural basis for the mutually exclusive anchoring of P body components

EDC3 and Tral to the DEAD box protein DDX6/Me31B, *Mol Cell.* **33**, 661-8.

35.   Peter, D., Ruscica, V., Bawankar, P., Weber, R., Helms, S., Valkov, E., Igreja, C. &

Izaurralde, E. (2019) Molecular basis for GIGYF-Me31B complex assembly in 4EHP-

mediated translational repression, *Genes Dev.* **33**, 1355-1360.

36.   Hara, M., Lourido, S., Petrova, B., Lou, H. J., Von Stetina, J. R., Kashevsky, H.,

Turk, B. E. & Orr-Weaver, T. L. (2018) Identification of PNG kinase substrates uncovers

interactions with the translational repressor TRAL in the oocyte-to-embryo transition,

Elife. **7**.

37.   Cheng, Z., Coller, J., Parker, R. & Song, H. (2005) Crystal structure and functional

analysis of DEAD-box protein Dhh1p, *RNA.* **11**, 1258-70.

38.   Intile, P. J., Balzer, G. J., Wolfgang, M. C. & Yahr, T. L. (2015) The RNA Helicase

DeaD Stimulates ExsA Translation To Promote Expression of the Pseudomonas

aeruginosa Type III Secretion System, *J Bacteriol.* **197**, 2664-74.

39.   Liao, S. E., Kandasamy, S. K., Zhu, L. & Fukunaga, R. (2019) DEAD-box RNA

helicase Belle posttranscriptionally promotes gene expression in an ATPase activity-

dependent manner, *RNA.* **25**, 825-839.

40.   Mugler, C. F., Hondele, M., Heinrich, S., Sachdev, R., Vallotton, P., Koek, A. Y.,

Chan, L. Y. & Weis, K. (2016) ATPase activity of the DEAD-box protein Dhh1 controls

processing body formation, *Elife.* **5**.

41. Story, R. M., Li, H. & Abelson, J. N. (2001) Crystal structure of a DEAD box protein

from the hyperthermophile Methanococcus jannaschii, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* **98**,

1465-70.

42. Buszczak, M. & Cooley, L. (2000) Eggs to die for: cell death during Drosophila

oogenesis, *Cell Death Differ.* **7**, 1071-4.

43. McCall, K. & Steller, H. (1998) Requirement for DCP-1 caspase during Drosophila

oogenesis, *Science.* **279**, 230-4.

44. Shen, R., Weng, C., Yu, J. & Xie, T. (2009) eIF4A controls germline stem cell self-

renewal by directly inhibiting BAM function in the Drosophila ovary, *Proc Natl Acad Sci*

U S A. **106**, 11623-8.

45. Oberer, M., Marintchev, A. & Wagner, G. (2005) Structural basis for the

enhancement of eIF4A helicase activity by eIF4G, *Genes Dev.* **19**, 2212-23.

46. Pause, A., Methot, N. & Sonenberg, N. (1993) The HRIGRXXR region of the DEAD

box RNA helicase eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4A is required for RNA binding

and ATP hydrolysis, *Mol Cell Biol.* **13**, 6789-98.

47. Pause, A. & Sonenberg, N. (1992) Mutational analysis of a DEAD box RNA

helicase: the mammalian translation initiation factor eIF-4A, *EMBO J.* **11**, 2643-54.

48. Chen, W., Hu, Y., Lang, C. F., Brown, J. S., Schwabach, S., Song, X., Zhang, Y.,

Munro, E., Bennett, K., Zhang, D. & Lee, H. C. (2020) The Dynamics of P Granule

Liquid Droplets Are Regulated by the Caenorhabditis elegans Germline RNA Helicase

GLH-1 via Its ATP Hydrolysis Cycle, *Genetics.* **215**, 421-434.

49. Marnik, E. A., Fuqua, J. H., Sharp, C. S., Rochester, J. D., Xu, E. L., Holbrook, S.

E. & Updike, D. L. (2019) Germline Maintenance Through the Multifaceted Activities of

GLH/Vasa in Caenorhabditis elegans P Granules, *Genetics.* **213**, 923-939.

50. Lehmann, R. (2016) Germ Plasm Biogenesis--An Oskar-Centric Perspective, *Curr*

Top Dev Biol. **116**, 679-707.

51. Gao, M. & Arkov, A. L. (2013) Next generation organelles: structure and role of

germ granules in the germline, *Mol Reprod Dev.* **80**, 610-23.

52. Jamieson-Lucy, A. & Mullins, M. C. (2019) The vertebrate Balbiani body, germ

plasm, and oocyte polarity, *Curr Top Dev Biol.* **135**, 1-34.

53. Bilinski, S. M., Jaglarz, M. K. & Tworzydlo, W. (2017) The Pole (Germ) Plasm in

Insect Oocytes, *Results Probl Cell Differ.* **63**, 103-126.

54. Wang, J. T. & Seydoux, G. (2013) Germ cell specification, *Adv Exp Med Biol.* **757**,

17-39.

55. Little, S. C., Sinsimer, K. S., Lee, J. J., Wieschaus, E. F. & Gavis, E. R. (2015)

Independent and coordinate trafficking of single Drosophila germ plasm mRNAs, *Nat*

Cell Biol. **17**, 558-68.

56. Niepielko, M. G., Eagle, W. V. I. & Gavis, E. R. (2018) Stochastic Seeding Coupled

with mRNA Self-Recruitment Generates Heterogeneous Drosophila Germ Granules,

Curr Biol. **28**, 1872-1881 e3.

57. Valentino, M., Ortega, B. M., Ulrich, B., Doyle, D. A., Farnum, E. D., Joiner, D. A.,

Gavis, E. R. & Niepielko, M. G. (2022) Computational modeling offers new insight into

Drosophila germ granule development, *Biophys J.* **121**, 1465-1482.

58. Huang, J. H., Ku, W. C., Chen, Y. C., Chang, Y. L. & Chu, C. Y. (2017) Dual

mechanisms regulate the nucleocytoplasmic localization of human DDX6, *Sci Rep.* **7**,

42853.

59. Mossman, J. A., Mabeza, R. M. S., Blake, E., Mehta, N. & Rand, D. M. (2019) Age

of Both Parents Influences Reproduction and Egg Dumping Behavior in Drosophila

melanogaster, *J Hered.* **110**, 300-309.

60. Tritschler, F., Eulalio, A., Truffault, V., Hartmann, M. D., Helms, S., Schmidt, S.,

Coles, M., Izaurralde, E. & Weichenrieder, O. (2007) A divergent Sm fold in EDC3

proteins mediates DCP1 binding and P-body targeting, *Mol Cell Biol.* **27**, 8600-11.

61. Sankaranarayanan, M., Emenecker, R. J., Wilby, E. L., Jahnel, M., Trussina, I.,

Wayland, M., Alberti, S., Holehouse, A. S. & Weil, T. T. (2021) Adaptable P body

physical states differentially regulate bicoid mRNA storage during early Drosophila

development, *Dev Cell.* **56**, 2886-2901 e6.

62. Nguyen Ba, A. N., Pogoutse, A., Provart, N. & Moses, A. M. (2009) NLStradamus:

a simple Hidden Markov Model for nuclear localization signal prediction, *BMC*

Bioinformatics. **10**, 202.

63. Kosugi, S., Hasebe, M., Tomita, M. & Yanagawa, H. (2009) Systematic

identification of cell cycle-dependent yeast nucleocytoplasmic shuttling proteins by

prediction of composite motifs, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* **106**, 10171-6.

64. Lin, J. R. & Hu, J. (2013) SeqNLS: nuclear localization signal prediction based on

frequent pattern mining and linear motif scoring, *PLoS One.* **8**, e76864.

65. Gratz, S. J., Ukken, F. P., Rubinstein, C. D., Thiede, G., Donohue, L. K.,

Cummings, A. M. & O'Connor-Giles, K. M. (2014) Highly specific and efficient

CRISPR/Cas9-catalyzed homology-directed repair in Drosophila, *Genetics.* **196**, 961-

71.

66. Gratz, S. J., Rubinstein, C. D., Harrison, M. M., Wildonger, J. & O'Connor-Giles, K.

M. (2015) CRISPR-Cas9 Genome Editing in Drosophila, *Curr Protoc Mol Biol.* **111**, 31 2

1-20.

67. Port, F. & Bullock, S. L. (2016) Augmenting CRISPR applications in Drosophila with

tRNA-flanked sgRNAs, *Nat Methods.* **13**, 852-4.

68. Creed, T. M., Loganathan, S. N., Varonin, D., Jackson, C. A. & Arkov, A. L. (2010)

Novel role of specific Tudor domains in Tudor-Aubergine protein complex assembly and

distribution during Drosophila oogenesis, *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* **402**, 384-9.

69. Arkov, A. L., Wang, J. Y., Ramos, A. & Lehmann, R. (2006) The role of Tudor

domains in germline development and polar granule architecture, *Development.* **133**,

4053-62.

70. Eagle, W. V. I., Yeboah-Kordieh, D. K., Niepielko, M. G. & Gavis, E. R. (2018)

Distinct cis-acting elements mediate targeting and clustering of Drosophila polar granule

mRNAs, *Development.* **145**.

71. Team, R. (2020) RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for R in, RStudio,

PBC., Boston, MA.

72. Team, R. C. (2020) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing in, R

Foundation for Statistical Computing,

73. Wickham, H. (2016) *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*, pringer-Verlag

New York.

74. Patil, I. (2021) Visualizations with statistical details: The ggstatsplot approach,

Journal of Open Source Software. **6**, 3167.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Diagram of the CRISPR-generated Me31B wild-type and mutant proteins in the *Drosophila* strains generated for this study. The bar shape after each Me31B protein name represents the protein's primary structure with numbers on top of the bars to mark the number of amino acids and their positions in the protein. Green Fluorescence Protein (GFP) tags are expressed at the C-terminal end of the constructed Me31B proteins. The point mutations are as follows: E208A, glutamic acid 208 replaced by alanine; R101A, arginine 101 replaced by alanine; K103A, lysine 103 replaced by alanine; R385Q, arginine 385 replaced by glutamine; C285A, cysteine 285 replaced by alanine; L289A, leucine 289 replaced by alanine; Y273S, tyrosine 273 replaced by serine; Y274N, tyrosine 274 replaced by asparagine. Me31B^{N-ter} protein (deletion of amino acids 277 to 459) contains the first 276 amino acids of the wild-type protein. Me31B^{C-ter} protein (deletion of amino acids 1 to 276) contains the last 183 amino acids of the wild-type protein.

*Note that two unintended, missense mutations were detected in the *me31B^{FDF}* strain when sequencing its *me31B* gene. The two mutations (Y273S and Y274N) are outside the FDF motif-binding motif.

Figure 2. Defective embryogenesis and oogenesis with heterozygous *me31B^{E208A}*, *me31B^{DVLAAAA}*, and *me31B^{R385Q}* strains. (A) Embryos laid by *w^{1118}* control females all had normal (100%) dorsal appendages. In contrast, embryos laid by *me31B^{E208A}*/+ females had fused (45%), shortened (33%), and normal (22%, not shown) dorsal appendages; embryos laid by *me31B^{DVLAAAA}*/+ females had shortened (33%) or normal (67%, not shown) dorsal appendages; embryos laid by *me31B^{R385Q}*/+ females all had

severe morphological defects (100%). **(B)** In 52% of late-stage (stage 14) eggs of

me31B^{E208A}/+ mutant (right panel), dumping of the nurse cell content into the oocyte

was incomplete (see highlighted region with yellow dashed lines), in contrast to the

complete dumping of *w^{1118}* control eggs (left panel). DAPI staining of the same eggs

was shown at the bottom panels, and nurse cell nuclei-like materials were present in the

"un-dumped" region of the *me31B^{E208A}*/+ mutant egg. **(C)** Similar dumpless phenotypes

were observed in 78% of *me31B^{DVLAAAA}*/+ mutant's late-stage (stage 14) eggs. The "un-

dumped" regions (highlighted with yellow dashed lines) were broader than the

me31B^{E208A}/+ mutant from (B). Only 22% of the *me31B^{DVLAAAA}*/+ mutant's eggs

appeared normal. **(D)** Ovarioles from *w^{1118}* and *me31B^{R385Q}*/+ females were stained by

DAPI stain. The ovarioles are oriented so that the early-stage egg chambers are on the

left and the later-stage egg chambers are on the right. Early-to-mid stage egg chamber

degenerations in *me31B^{R385Q}*/+ mutant (right panel) are indicated by arrows, in contrast

to the successfully developed early- and mid-stage egg chambers in the *w^{1118}* control

(left panel).

Figure 3. smFISH analysis of *nos* mRNA in *me31B^{DVLAAAA}* heterozygous mutant

and quantitative RT-PCR analysis of *nos* and *osk* mRNA levels in heterozygous

***me31B^{E208A}*, *me31B^{DVLAAAA}*, and *me31B^{R385Q}* mutants. (A)** Stage 13 oocytes from

me31B^{WT} and *me31B^{DVLAAAA}* flies, with *nos* (magenta) mRNAs detected using smFISH.

The white solid box indicates the unlocalized single transcripts of *nos* that are found in

the bulk cytoplasm (enlarged in the second panel) while the broken yellow box

highlights *nos* that has localized to the germ plasm by forming homotypic clusters

(enlarged and shown as a heatmap in the third panel). **(B)** The distribution of *nos* cluster

size (number of *nos* transcripts calculated within a granule) found in each genotype's

germ plasm and the computational model. Total clusters identified and analyzed include

3,295 from *me31B^{DVLAAA}*, 5,551 from the computational model, 19,195 *me31B^{WT}*, and

19,147 from *yellow white* (*yw*). Of note, only oocytes that did not display a dumpless

phenotype were included in this analysis. **(C)** In *me31B^{DVLAAAA}*/+ ovaries, *nos* transcript

level is 61% ± 7% of that in the *w^{1118}* control (*p* < 0.05) and *osk* transcript level is 56% ±

4% of that in the control (*p* < 0.05). **(D)** In *me31B^{E208A}*/+ ovaries, *nos* transcript level is

104% ± 7% of that in the *w^{1118}* control (NS), and *osk* transcript level is 162% ± 12% of

that in the control (NS, *p* = 0.054). (E) In *me31B^{R285Q}*/+ ovaries, *nos* transcript level is

44% ± 2% of that in the *w^{1118}* control (*p* < 0.001) and *osk* transcript level is 194% ± 12%

of that in the control (*p* < 0.001). NS: not significant. Error bars represent the standard

error of the mean.

Figure 4. Fertility assays of *me31B^{N-ter}*, *me31B^{C-ter}*, and *me31B^{FDF}* mutants. (A) A

me31B^{WT} (control), *me31B^{N-ter}*, *me31B^{C-ter}*, and *me31B^{FDF}* female flies lay an average of

117, 68, 29, and 77 eggs, respectively. The egg number of *me31B^{N-ter}*, *me31B^{C-ter}*, and

me31B^{FDF} mutants are 58% (*p* < 0.01), 25% (*p*< 0.001), and 66% (*p* < 0.05) of the

me31B^{WT} (control), respectively. **(B)** A *me31B^{WT}* (control), *me31B^{N-ter}*, *me31B^{C-ter}*, and

me31B^{FDF} female fly produced an average of 106, 47, 0, and 75 progenies, respectively.

The progeny number of *me31B^{N-ter}*, *me31B^{C-ter}* and *me31B^{FDF}* mutants are 44% (*p* <

0.001), 0% (*p* < 0.0001), and 71% (NS) respectively. NS: not significant. **(C)** The

average egg hatch rates for *me31B^{WT}* (control), *me31B^{N-ter}*, *me31B^{C-ter}*, and *me31B^{FDF}*

strains are 90.8%, 68.3%, 0%, and 97.1%, respectively. Compared to *me31B^{WT}*, the

hatch rate decreases in *me31B^{N-ter}* and *me31B^{C-ter}* mutants are statistically significant

but not significant in *me31B^FDF*. **(D)** Embryos laid by *me31B^C-ter* flies had fused dorsal

appendages (68%) or missing dorsal appendages (32%), in contrast to the normal

dorsal appendages (100%) in *me31B^WT* (control). Error bars represent the standard

error of the mean.

Figure 5. Western blot quantification of Me31B protein level in *me31B^N-ter*,

***me31B^C-ter*, and *me31B^FDF* mutants. (A)** Anti-GFP Western blots were used to quantify

the Me31B^WT-GFP, Me31B^N-ter-GFP, Me31B^C-ter-GFP, and Me31B^FDF-GFP proteins in

the ovaries of the corresponding fly strains. Anti-Tubulin Western blots were used as

loading controls. Me31B^N-ter and Me31B^C-ter proteins' expression levels were

conspicuously lower than the Me31B^WT control protein, while the Me31B^FDF protein level

is comparable to the control. The images shown are representative images of multiple

biological replicates. The additional, uncropped biological replicate images are

presented in Supplementary Figure 2. **(B)** The Me31B^N-ter-GFP and Me31B^C-ter-GFP

protein levels are 40% ($p < 0.01$) and 11% ($p < 0.001$) relative to the Me31B^WT-GFP

control protein, respectively. The me31B^FDF-GFP protein level is 114% relative to the

control (NS). Western blot image analysis was performed with ImageJ and protein

quantifications were normalized using the alpha-tubulin proteins. NS: not statistically

significant. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean.

Figure 6. Mutant Me31B proteins in *me31B^N-ter*, *me31B^C-ter*, and *me31B^FDF* strain

show altered aggregation and localization in developing egg chambers. (A) In

early-stage egg chambers, mutant Me31B-GFP proteins (green channel) in *me31B^N-ter*,

me31B^C-ter, and *me31B^FDF* strains are much more diffused in the nurse cell and oocytes,

in contrast to the aggregated status of Me31B^WT in RNP granules like nuage granules

and P-bodies. Furthermore, none of the three mutant proteins overlap with partner

protein Tral. Unlike Me31B mutant proteins, Tral (Red channel) localization is not

affected in the three mutants. In particular, Me31B$^{N\text{-}ter}$-GFP proteins are present in the

nuclei of nurse cells. Me31B$^{C\text{-}ter}$-GFP proteins form fewer numbers and smaller size

granules than Me31B^{WT}-GFP, and the Me31B$^{C\text{-}ter}$ granules do not associate with Tral-

marked granules. Nurse cell perinuclear regions (nuage) are indicated by arrowheads.

Arrows indicate p-body granules marked by Tral. Me31B$^{C\text{-}ter}$-GFP and Me31B^{FDF}-GFP

proteins were found in ring-like structures that appear to be ring canals (yellow dashed

squares, same for B and C), structures that connect the cytoplasm between nurse cells

and oocytes and allow for intracellular transportations. **(B)** In early-to-mid stage egg

chambers, mutant Me31B-GFP proteins (green channel) in *me31B$^{N\text{-}ter}$* and *me31B$^{C\text{-}ter}$*

strains do not enrich within the developing oocytes like that in the *me31B^{WT}* control.

Me31B^{FDF}-GFP protein's enrichment in the developing oocytes is weaker than in the

control. Tral's (red channel) enrichment in the oocytes is not affected in the three

mutants. Developing oocytes are indicated by arrowheads. **(C)** In mid-stage egg

chambers, mutant Me31B-GFP proteins of *me31B$^{N\text{-}ter}$*, *me31B$^{C\text{-}ter}$, and me31B^{FDF}*

strains localize to the cortex and the germplasm area at the posterior of oocytes, like the

control. However, all three mutant Me31B proteins appear more diffused than the

aggregated Me31B^{WT}-GFP proteins in the above areas. Arrowheads indicate the germ-

plasm areas. Tral's (Red channel) localization to the cortex and germplasm was not

affected in the three mutants.

Figure 7. Summary of the Me31B motif functions. This study uses a target-motif-

mutation approach to investigate six functionally important domains/motifs of *Drosophila*

Me31B. Our characterization of the generated *me31B* mutants revealed

ATPase/helicase motifs' function in female fertility, oogenesis, and embryogenesis

(DVLARARK, DEAD-box, and HRIGR motifs). An in-depth analysis of the DVLARAK

motif mutation uncovered its function in maintaining *nos* mRNA localization and the

transcript level of *nos* and *osk* mRNA levels. We further showed the Me31B N-terminal

motif, C-terminal motif, and FDF motif-binding motif's function in female fertility and their

different roles in maintaining the Me31B protein level and subcellular localization.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

A

B

C

D

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7