Cortical gene expression architecture links healthy neurodevelopment to the imaging, transcriptomics, and genetics of autism and schizophrenia

Richard Dear, Konrad Wagstyl, Jakob Seidlitz, Ross D. Markello, Aurina Arnatkevičiūtė, Kevin M. Anderson, Richard A.I. Bethlehem, Lifespan Brain Chart Consortium, Armin Raznahan, Edward T. Bullmore, Petra E. Vértes

Affiliations

Department of Psychiatry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

Richard Dear, Richard A.I. Bethlehem, Edward T. Bullmore, Petra E. Vértes

Lifespan Brain Institute, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia and Penn Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA

Jakob Seidlitz

Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Behavioral Science, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA, USA

Jakob Seidlitz

Department of Psychiatry, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

Jakob Seidlitz

McConnell Brain Imaging Centre, Montreal Neurological Institute, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Ross D. Markello

Turner Institute for Brain and Mental Health, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia

Aurina Arnatkevičiūtė

Department of Psychology, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

Kevin M. Anderson

Wellcome Centre for Human Neuroimaging, London, UK

Konrad Wagstyl

Section On Developmental Neurogenomics, National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

Armin Raznahan

Lifespan Brain Chart Consortium

30 List of members https://github.com/brainchart/Lifespan

32 **Corresponding author:**

33 Richard Dear, rajd2@cam.ac.uk

Abstract

Human brain organisation involves the coordinated expression of thousands of genes. For example,

the first principal component (C1) of cortical transcription identifies a hierarchy from sensorimotor to

association regions. Here, optimised processing of the Allen Human Brain Atlas revealed two new

components of cortical gene expression architecture, C2 and C3, which are distinctively enriched for

neuronal, metabolic and immune processes, specific cell-types and cytoarchitecture, and genetic

variants associated with intelligence. Using additional datasets (PsychENCODE, Allen Cell Atlas, and

BrainSpan), we found that C1-C3 represent generalisable transcriptional programmes that are

coordinated within cells, and differentially phased during foetal and postnatal development. Autism

spectrum disorder and schizophrenia were specifically associated with C1/C2 and C3, respectively,

across neuroimaging, differential expression, and genome-wide association studies. Evidence

converged especially in support of C3 as a normative transcriptional programme for adolescent brain

development, which can lead to atypical supra-granular cortical connectivity in people at high genetic

risk for schizophrenia.

Main Text

Introduction

How does the complex anatomical and functional organisation of the human brain develop from the
expression of over twenty thousand genes [1]? And how does this process go awry in
neurodevelopmental disorders? In the last 10 years, whole-brain, whole-genome transcriptional
atlases, such as the Allen Human Brain Atlas (AHBA) [2], have suggested that healthy brain organisation
may depend on "transcriptional programmes" representing the coordinated expression of large
numbers of genes over development [3–7].

In 2012, Hawrylycz *et al.* showed that principal components of the AHBA dataset capture distinct
features of cortical anatomy [2]. In 2018, Burt *et al.* argued that the first principal component of cortical
gene expression (C1) reflects an anterior-to-posterior "neuronal hierarchy", defined in macaque tract-
tracing data by feedforward and feedback axonal connections between cortical areas [8–10] and indexed
in humans by the ratio of T1- and T2-weighted MRI signals, a putative marker of cortical myelination [8].
These discoveries echoed prior findings from studies of embryonic development of chick, mouse and
human brains where spatially patterned transcriptional gradients have been shown to organise
neurodevelopmental processes such as areal differentiation, axonal projection, and cortical lamination
[6,11–13]. Single-cell RNA sequencing data has also revealed an anterior-to-posterior gradient in the gene
expression of inhibitory interneurons, which is conserved across multiple species including humans [14].
It is therefore likely that the principal component of gene expression in the adult human cortex
represents a transcriptional programme key to its normative development.

However, it is not clear that C1 is the only component of spatially patterned and
neurodevelopmentally coordinated gene expression in the human brain. Hawrylycz *et al.* suggested
that principal component analysis (PCA) of a restricted set of 1,000 genes in one of the six brains of
the AHBA dataset revealed multiple biologically-relevant components [2] (**Supplementary Fig. S1**). Later,
Goyal *et al.* used nonlinear dimension reduction across whole-genome spatial expression, again from
only one of the six AHBA brains, to show that aerobic glycolysis was associated with a second
transcriptional component [15]. To our knowledge, more recent studies using all available AHBA data
have reliably found only C1 [8,16]. This first component has been linked to a general "sensorimotor-
association axis" of brain organisation [10] derived from several macro-scale brain phenotypes, including
among others the principal gradient of functional connectivity [17], maps of brain metabolism and blood
flow [15], and the map of human cortical expansion compared to other primates [18]. Although it is

parsimonious to assume that such diverse brain phenotypes could all be determined by a single

transcriptional programme, it seems more realistic to expect that multiple transcriptional programmes

are important for human brain development, as is generally the case for brain development in other

species [19].

Here we present two higher-order components of human cortical gene expression, C2 and C3, that

likely represent additional transcriptional programmes distinct from the C1 component already

reliably described [8]. These higher-order components only emerged when optimised data-filtering and

dimension-reduction methods were applied to the AHBA dataset. We found that C2 and C3 are each

specifically enriched for biologically-relevant gene sets, and spatially co-located with distinct clusters

of neuroimaging phenotypes or macro-scale brain maps. Leveraging independent RNA sequencing

datasets on single-cell and developmental gene expression, we further demonstrated that all three

components are generalisable to other datasets, representative of coordinated transcription within

cells of the same class, and dynamically differentiated over the course of foetal, childhood and

adolescent brain development. Finally, by triangulating evidence across case-control neuroimaging,

differential gene expression, and genome-wide association studies (GWAS), we demonstrated that

components C1 and C2 are specifically associated with autism spectrum disorder (ASD), and C3 with

schizophrenia. While prior studies have used the AHBA to derive gene sets correlated with disorder-

related MRI phenotypes [20–25], this disorder-first, "imaging transcriptomics" [26–28] approach is

susceptible to identifying genes whose co-location with MRI phenotypes reflects secondary

associations or consequences of a disorder, such as behavioural changes (e.g. smoking, alcohol use),

physical health disorders (e.g. obesity, diabetes), or pharmacological treatment [29–31]. What is of most

interest for neurodevelopmental disorders is to understand the pathogenic provenance of a clinically

diagnosable disorder – to ask "what developed differently?" rather than merely "what is different?".

Our approach sought to distinctively address the question of what "develops differently" based on an

understanding of "normal development", by linking genetic risks and atypical phenotypes to a

generalisable transcriptional architecture of healthy brain development.

Results

<u>Three components pattern cortical gene expression</u>

We first applied PCA to the entire AHBA dataset of 6 adult brains [2]. Microarray measurements of

relative messenger RNA levels were processed to represent mean expression of ~16,000 genes at

each of the 180 regions of the left hemispheric cortex defined by the HCP-MMP parcellation [32-34]

(**Methods**). We initially found that higher-order components (C2, C3) estimated by PCA of the

resulting {180 × 16,000} data matrix were not robust to sampling variation of the six donor brains,

with low generalisability, g, compared to C1: $g_{C1} = 0.78$, $g_{C2} = 0.09$, $g_{C3} = 0.14$ (**Methods**). However, two

data processing improvements were found to enhance the generalisability of higher order

components. First, we optimised the trade-off involved in excluding noisy data — by filtering spatially

inconsistent genes (with low differential stability [35]) and under-sampled brain regions — while seeking

to maximise the anatomic and genomic scope of the data matrix (**Extended Data Fig. 1**). Second, we

used the non-linear dimension reduction technique of diffusion map embedding (DME), instead of

linear PCA, to identify coordinated gene expression patterns from the matrix. DME is robust to noise

and more biologically plausible than PCA in this context because of its less strict orthogonality

constraints (**Methods**). We found that while PCA and DME both identified the same components from

the filtered gene expression matrix (**Extended Data Fig. 1d**), using DME was necessary to achieve high

generalisability g while also retaining sufficient genes for downstream enrichment analyses.

We applied DME to the {137 × 7,937} filtered AHBA data matrix comprising the expression of the 50%

most stable genes measured in the 137 cortical areas with data available from at least three brains.

The generalisability of the first three components was substantially increased, i.e., $g_{C1} = 0.97$, $g_{C2} =$

0.72, $g_{C3} = 0.65$, while the generalisability of even higher-order components remained low, e.g., $g_{C4} =$

0.28 (**Fig 1a**). We found that the cortical maps of C2 and C3 derived from DME on filtered data were

more spatially smooth than the corresponding PCA-derived maps on unfiltered data (**Fig. 1b**),

consistent with higher generalisability indicating less contamination by spatially random noise. C1-C3

were also robust to variations in parameters for processing the AHBA, including choice of parcellation

template (**Extended Data Fig. 2**). Finally, the transcriptional patterns represented by C1-C3 in the

AHBA dataset were reproducible in an independent PsychENCODE dataset comprising bulk RNA-seq

measurements of gene expression at 11 cortical regions from N=54 healthy controls [36] (regional

correlation $r_{C1} = 0.85$, $r_{C2} = 0.75$, $r_{C3} = 0.73$; see **Extended Data Fig. 3** and **Supplementary Table S5**).

Figure 1: Three generalisable components of human cortical gene expression were enriched for biological processes, cytoarchitecture, and cognitive capacity. a, To identify robust components of cortical gene expression, we split the six-brain AHBA dataset into two disjoint triplets of three brains, applied PCA to each triplet, and correlated the resulting matched components (C1, C2, C3…) (Methods). For each component, the median absolute correlation over all 10 permutations of triplet pairs was a proxy for its generalisability, g. Using PCA and previously published best practices for processing the AHBA dataset [33,34], generalisability decreased markedly beyond the first component: $g_{C1} = 0.78$, $g_{C2} = 0.09$, $g_{C3} = 0.14$ (Fig. 1a). Using diffusion map embedding (DME) with the top 50% most stable genes, and the 137 regions with data available from at least three brains,

*the generalisability of the first three components substantially increased: g_{C1} = 0.97, g_{C2} = 0.72, g_{C3} = 0.65 (**Fig 1a**).*

***b**, Cortical maps of brain regional scores of components C1-C3 estimated by DME on the filtered AHBA dataset*

displayed smooth spatial gradients (right; Moran's I [37] 0.48, 0.58, and 0.21 for C1-C3 respectively), unlike those of

*PCA on the unfiltered data (left; Moran's I 0.50, 0.09 and 0.07). **c**, Gene Ontology Biological Process enrichments*

for C1-C3 showed that the number of significant enrichments was greater for higher-order components,

illustrating that they were more biologically specific. C2-positive genes were enriched for metabolism while C2-

negative genes were enriched for regulatory processes; C3-positive genes were enriched for synaptic plasticity

*and learning while C3-negative genes were enriched for immune processes. **d**, C1-C3 were distinctively enriched*

*for marker genes of six cortical layers and white matter (WM) [38]. **e**, C1-C3 were also distinctively enriched for*

*marker genes of cell types and synapses [44]. **f**, All three components were significantly enriched for genes mapped*

*to common variants associated with educational attainment in prior GWAS data [39]. **g**, C2 and C3 (but not C1)*

were significantly enriched for genes mapped to common variation in intelligence and cognition across four

independent GWAS studies [40–43]. For d-g, significance was computed by two-sided permutation tests (Methods)

and FDR-corrected across all tests in each panel; *, **, *** respectively indicate FDR-corrected two-sided p-

values: 0.05, 0.01, 0.001.

The first three DME components, C1-C3, explained 38%, 10%, and 6.5%, respectively, of the total

variance of the filtered AHBA dataset (**Methods**). The proportion of variance explained was related to

the number of genes that were strongly weighted (absolute correlation $|r| \geq 0.5$) on each component:

4,867 genes (61%) were strongly weighted on C1, 967 genes (12%) on C2, and 437 genes (5.5%) on C3

(**Supplementary Fig. S2**). The three components also had distinct axial alignments in anatomical space,

and the co-expression network of cortical regions displayed clear anatomical structure even when the

highest-variance C1 component was regressed out (**Extended Data Fig. 4**). These findings demonstrate

that these three expression patterns shared across hundreds to thousands of genes are likely to be

biologically relevant.

To interpret the DME-derived components in more detail, we first used enrichment analyses of the

weights of the 7,973 genes on each component (**Methods**). Many more Gene Ontology (GO) Biological

Process terms were significantly enriched (with false discovery rate [FDR] = 5%) for C2 (59 GO terms)

and C3 (111 GO terms) than for C1 (15 GO terms) (**Fig. 1c**).

Although C1 was enriched for relatively few, functionally general biological processes, it precisely

matched the first principal component previously reported (r = 0.96) [8]. The same interneuron marker

genes (*SST, PVALB, VIP, CCK*) and glutamatergic neuronal genes (*GRIN* and *GABRA*) were strongly

weighted with opposite signs (positive or negative) on C1 (**Supplementary Fig. S3**).

For genes positively-weighted on C2, 23 of 36 enrichments were for metabolic processes, and for
negatively-weighted genes, 19 of 23 enrichments were for epigenetic processes (**Fig. 1c,**
Supplementary Table 2). Whereas, for genes positively-weighted on C3, 19 of 27 enrichments were
related to synaptic plasticity or learning, and for negatively-weighted genes, 33 of 84 enrichments
involved the immune system. We further analysed enrichment for genes identified as markers of
specific cortical layers [38] (**Fig. 1e**) and cell types [44] (**Fig. 1f**), and in each case observed distinct
enrichment profiles for C1-C3. For example, genes positively-weighted on C3 were enriched for
marker genes of neurons, synapses, and cortical layers 2 and 3 (L2, L3), whereas genes negatively-
weighted on C3 were enriched for glial (especially oligodendroglial) marker genes.

We also explored the biological relevance of the three components by enrichment tests for genes
associated with variation in adult cognitive capacity. We found that all three components C1-C3 were
enriched for genes significantly associated with educational attainment (**Fig. 1f**) [39]. Across four
independent GWAS studies of intelligence and cognition [40–43], genes strongly weighted on C1 were not
significantly enriched, but genes negatively-weighted on C2 were enriched for genetic variants
associated with intelligence in three of the four studies, and genes positively-weighted on C3 were
enriched for genes identified by all four prior GWAS studies of intelligence (**Fig. 1g**).

Neuroimaging maps align to three transcriptional components

Prior work has linked gene transcription to a multimodal "sensorimotor-association axis" (S-A axis) [10]
of brain organisation, defined as the composite of 10 brain maps, comprising the first principal
component of gene expression (C1) and 9 other MRI or PET neuroimaging maps that were selected to
differentiate sensorimotor and association cortices. We first aimed to build on this work by analysing
the correlation matrix of the same set of 9 brain maps together with the three transcriptional
components derived from DME of the filtered AHBA dataset. Data-driven cluster analysis of this {12 x
12} correlation matrix identified three clusters, each including one of the orthogonal transcriptional
components (**Fig. 2a, Methods**). C1 was clustered together with 2 MRI maps: the T1w/T2w
myelination marker [45] and cortical thickness [46]; C2 was clustered with 5 maps: aerobic glycolysis [47],
cerebral blood flow [48], cortical expansion in humans relative to non-human primates [18], inter-areal
allometric scaling [49] and external pyramidal cell density [50]; and C3 was clustered with 2 maps: the
principal gradient of fMRI connectivity [17] and first principal component of cognitive terms meta-
analysed by Neurosynth [51]. While some maps were specifically aligned to one component, e.g. aerobic
glycolysis $r_{C2} = 0.66$ ($p_{spin} = 0.004$, FDR < 5%), others were moderately correlated with multiple
transcriptional components, e.g., for cerebral blood flow: $r_{C1} = 0.25$, $r_{C2} = 0.28$ and $r_{C3} = 0.33$. This

clustering analysis suggests that it is overly parsimonious to align all 9 neuroimaging phenotypes with

just one transcriptional component (C1) as part of a singular sensorimotor-association cortical axis.

Figure 2: Neuroimaging and macro-scale maps of brain structure, function, and development were
distinctively co-located with three components of cortical gene expression. a, Correlation matrix of intrinsic
transcriptional components C1-C3 together with the nine neuroimaging- and physiologically-derived maps that
Sydnor et al. combined with C1 to define a 'sensorimotor-association axis' of brain organisation [10]. Many of the
maps were not highly correlated to each other (median |r|=0.31), and data-driven clustering of the matrix
revealed three distinct clusters around each of the mutually orthogonal transcriptional components C1-C3,
demonstrating that all three components are relevant for understanding macroscale brain organisation. b,
Distributions of regional scores of C1-C3 in histologically-defined regions of laminar cytoarchitecture [52]. C1
distinguished idiotypic (p = 0.005) and paralimbic regions (p = 0.002), while C3 distinguished idiotypic (p = 0.002)
*and heteromodal regions (p = 0.01). * indicates FDR-adjusted two-sided p-value < 0.05, where p-value was*
computed by permutation test as the percentile of the mean z-score relative to null spin permutations, with
adjustment for multiple comparisons across all 12 tests. c, Degree of fMRI functional connectivity [53,54] was
significantly aligned to C1 (r = 0.78, p_{spin} < 0.001). Blue/yellow highlighted points correspond to
idiotypic/paralimbic cytoarchitectural regions as in b. d, MEG-derived theta power [55] was significantly aligned to
C2 (r = 0.78, p_{spin} = 0.002). e, Regional change in myelination over adolescence [56,57] was significantly aligned to C3
(r = 0.43, p_{spin} = 0.009). Blue/red highlighted points correspond to idiotypic/heteromodal cytoarchitectural regions
*as in b. For panels c-d, *, **, *** respectively indicate FDR-corrected two-sided spin-permutation p-values: 0.05,*
0.01, 0.001, with corrections for multiple comparisons of all maps in panels c-d being compared with all of C1-C3.
245

We also found that the three transcriptional components were associated with a wider range of
cellular, functional and developmental phenotypes than the 9 neuroimaging maps above, and that
these associations were again distinct for the three components. For example, at cellular scale,
histologically-defined regions of laminar cytoarchitectural differentiation [52] were co-located with C1
and C3, but not C2 (ANOVA, *p* < 0.001; **Fig. 2b**). In functional MRI and magnetoencephalography
(MEG) data, we found that weighted nodal degree of cortical regions in an fMRI network [53,54] was
strongly correlated with C1 (r_{C1} = 0.78, p_{spin} < 0.001, FDR = 5%, **Fig. 2c**) but not C2 or C3 (r_{C2} = -0.01, r_{C3}
= 0.00); across all canonical frequency intervals of MEG data [55], an FDR-significant association was
observed between theta band (4-7 Hz) oscillations and C2 (r_{C2} = 0.78, p_{spin}=0.002, FDR = 5%, **Fig. 2d**)
but not C1 or C3 (r_{C2} = -0.18, r_{C3} = -0.02); see **Extended Data Fig. 5** for other MEG results. And in
support of the hypothetical prediction that adult brain transcriptional programmes are
neurodevelopmentally relevant, we found that a prior map of adolescent cortical myelination, as
measured by change in magnetisation transfer between 14-24 years (ΔMT) [56,57], was significantly co-
located with C3 (r_{C3} = 0.43, p_{spin} = 0.009, **Fig. 2e**) but not C1 or C2 (r_{C2} = 0.17, r_{C3} = 0.15).
260

<u>C1-C3 are distinctly developing intra-cellular programmes</u>

We next used two additional RNA-seq datasets to investigate the consistency of AHBA-derived

components with gene co-expression in single cells, e.g., neurons or glia, and to explore the

developmental phasing of gene transcription programmes represented by C1-C3.

First, for single-cell RNA-seq data comprising 50,000 nuclei sampled from five cortical regions of three

donor brains [58], the total weighted expression of the C1-C3 gene weights in each sample was

computed separately for genes positively and negatively weighted in each component (**Methods**). We

reasoned that if the components derived from bulk tissue microarray measurements in the AHBA

dataset were merely reflective of regional differences in cellular composition, e.g. neuron-glia ratio,

then genes weighted positively and negatively on each component should not have anti-correlated

expression across cells of the same class. However, we observed that genes weighted positively and

negatively on the same component had strongly anti-correlated expression at the single-cell level (**Fig.**

3a), whereas genes that were positively and negatively weighted on different components were not

anti-correlated (**Supplementary Fig. S5**). The anti-correlation of genes positively and negatively

weighted on C1 or C2 was stronger within each class of cells than across multiple cell classes; and even

stronger when the single-cell data were stratified by sub-classes of cells in specific cortical layers, e.g.,

L2 VIP-expressing interneurons (**Fig. 3a inset**). In contrast, for C3 the anti-correlation of positively and

negatively weighted genes was stronger across cell classes than within each class, although there was

still evidence for significantly coupled expression across cells of the same class or subclass.

*Figure 3: Transcriptional components represent intracellular coordination of gene expression programmes with distinct developmental trajectories. **a**, For each of ~50,000 single-cell RNAseq samples, the weighted average expression of the negatively-weighted genes of each AHBA component C1-C3 is plotted against that of the positively-weighted genes (Methods). Samples are coloured by cell-type, demonstrating that genes positively and negatively weighted on C1-C3 have correlated expression within each major class of brain cells: N-Ex, excitatory neurons; N-In, inhibitory neurons; Astro, astrocytes; Endo, endothelial cells; Micro, microglia; Oligo, oligodendrocytes; and OPC, oligodendrocyte precursor cells. Inset, a subset of samples from Layer 2 VIP interneurons, illustrating that C1-C3 weighted genes were transcriptionally coupled even within a fine-grained, homogeneous group of cells. **b**, Cortical maps representing the regional scores of components C1-C3 for each of 11 regions with transcriptional data available in the BrainSpan cohort of adult brains (left) and C1-C3 component scores for the matching subset of regions in the AHBA (right). **c**, Scatter plots of matched regional C1-C3 scores*

from b, demonstrating that the three transcriptional components defined in the AHBA had consistent spatial
expression in BrainSpan. ***d****, Correlations between AHBA C1-C3 scores and BrainSpan C1-C3 scores (as in c) for*
each of 3 age-defined subsets of the BrainSpan dataset. C1 and C2 component scores were strongly correlated
between datasets for all age subsets, whereas C3 component scores were strongly correlated between datasets
only for the 18-40y subset of BrainSpan. This indicates that C1 and C2 components were expressed in nearly adult
form from the earliest measured phases of brain development, whereas C3 was not expressed in adult form until
after adolescence. ***e****, Developmental trajectories of brain gene expression as a function of age (-0.5 to 40 years;*
x-axis, log scale) were estimated for each gene (Methods) and then averaged within each decile of gene weights
for each of C1-C3; fitted lines are colour-coded by decile. Genes weighted positively on C3 were most strongly
expressed during adolescence, whereas genes weighted strongly on C1 or C2 were most expressed in the first 5
years of life. Dots above the x-axis represent the post-mortem ages of the donor brains used to compute the
curves. RPKM: reads per kilobase million.

Second, to explore the developmental trajectories of the transcriptional components, we used
BrainSpan, an independent dataset where gene expression was measured by RNA-seq of bulk tissue
samples from between 4 and 14 cortical regions for each of 35 donor brains ranging in age from -0.5
years (mid-gestation) to 40 postnatal years [6]. We first asked if the gene weights for each of the
components derived from the AHBA dataset would exhibit similar spatial patterns in the BrainSpan
dataset. We projected the C1-C3 gene weights from the AHBA onto the subset of adult brains (18-40
years, N = 8) in BrainSpan (**Fig. 3b**, **Methods**) and found that the resulting cortical maps of component
scores in the BrainSpan data were highly correlated with the corresponding cortical maps derived
from the AHBA dataset (r_{C1} = 0.96, r_{C2} = 0.88, r_{C3} = 0.84; **Fig. 1d**). This indicated that the three
components defined in the AHBA were generalisable to the adult brains in the BrainSpan dataset (for
a full replication of C1-C3 in independent data see **Extended Data Fig. 3**). We then similarly compared
the cortical component maps derived from the AHBA dataset to the corresponding maps calculated
for subsets of the BrainSpan cohort from two earlier developmental stages (prebirth, N = 20, and
birth-13 years, N = 14). We observed that for C1 and C2, AHBA component scores were almost as
highly correlated with BrainSpan component scores in foetal (prebirth) and childhood (birth-13 years)
brains as in the adult (18-40 years) brains (birth-13 years, r_{C1} = 0.87, r_{C2} = 0.91; prebirth, r_{C1} = 0.74, r_{C2} =
0.66; **Fig. 3d**). However, C3 scores in the AHBA dataset were not so strongly correlated with C3 scores
in the foetal and childhood subsets of the BrainSpan dataset (prebirth, r_{C3} = 0.29; birth-13 years, r_{C3} =
0.47). These results suggested that C3 may only emerge developmentally during adolescence, whereas
the C1 and C2 have nearly-adult expression from the first years of life.

We tested this hypothesis by further analysis of the BrainSpan dataset, modelling the non-linear
developmental trajectories of each gene over the age range -0.5 to 40 years (**Methods**) and then

averaging trajectories over all genes in each decile of the distributions of gene weights on each of the

three components. We found that genes in the top few deciles of C3 gene weights became more

strongly expressed during and after adolescence, whereas genes in the top few (C2) or bottom few

(C1) deciles of gene weights on the other two components were most strongly expressed in the first 5

years of life and then declined or plateaued during adolescence and early adult life (**Fig. 3e**). These

results confirmed that components C1-C3 have distinct neurodevelopmental trajectories, with genes

positively weighted on C3 becoming strongly expressed after the first postnatal decade.

Autism and schizophrenia have specific links to C1/C2 and C3

Finally, we explored the clinical relevance of C1-C3 by analysis of prior neuroimaging, differential gene

expression, and GWAS associations for autism spectrum disorder (ASD), major depressive disorder

(MDD), and schizophrenia.

First, we leveraged the BrainChart neuroimaging dataset of >125,000 MRI scans [59], in which atypical

deviation of regional cortical volumes in psychiatric cases was quantified by centile scores relative to

the median growth trajectories of normative brain development over the life-cycle (**Fig. 4a**). Using the

Desikan-Killiany parcellation of 34 cortical regions necessitated by alignment with this dataset

(**Methods**), we found that cortical shrinkage in ASD was significantly associated with both C1 and C2

(r_{C1} = 0.49, p_{spin} = 0.0002, FDR < 5%; r_{C2} = -0.28, p_{spin} = 0.0006, FDR < 5%), while shrinkage in

schizophrenia was specifically associated with C3 (r_{C3} = 0.43, p_{spin} = 0.008, FDR < 5%) (**Fig. 4b**).

Second, we compiled consensus lists of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) from RNA-seq

measurements of dorsolateral prefrontal cortex tissue in independent studies of ASD [36,64,65], MDD [66],

and schizophrenia [65,67–70] (**Methods**). We found that genes differentially expressed in ASD were

specifically enriched in both C1 and C2 (but not C3); whereas genes differentially expressed in

schizophrenia were enriched in C3 (but not C1 or C2); and genes differentially enriched in MDD were

enriched only in C1 (**Fig. 4b**). Corroborating the enrichments of ASD DEGs, case-control differences in

expression at 11 cortical regions for ASD cases compared to healthy controls showed the positively

weighted genes on C1 and C2 were significantly less strongly expressed in ASD cases than in controls

(**Extended Data Fig. 3**).

Figure 4: Genetics, transcriptomics, and neuroimaging of autism and schizophrenia were consistently and

*specifically linked to normative transcriptional programmes. **a**, First row: cortical volume shrinkage in autism*

spectrum disorder (ASD), major depressive disorder (MDD), and schizophrenia (SCZ) cases. Red indicates greater

shrinkage, computed as z-scores of centiles from normative modelling of >125,000 MRI scans. Second row: AHBA

*components projected into the same Desikan-Killiany parcellation. **b**, Spatial correlations between volume*

changes and AHBA components, C1-C3. Significance tested by spatially autocorrelated spin permutations, and

corrected for multiple comparisons: *, **, *** respectively indicate FDR-adjusted two-sided p-values: 0.05, 0.01,

*0.001. **c,** Enrichments in C1-C3 for consensus lists of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in postmortem brain*

tissue of donors with ASD, MDD, and SCZ compared to healthy controls (Methods). Significance assessed as

percentile of mean weight of DEGs in each component relative to randomly permuted gene weights, and

corrected for multiple comparisons: *, **, *** respectively indicate FDR-adjusted two-sided p-values: 0.05, 0.01,

*0.001. **d,** Enrichment in C1-C3 for GWAS risk genes for ASD[60], MDD[61], and SCZ[62], tested for significance as in c,*

*demonstrating alignment with both spatial associations to volume changes and enrichments for DEGs. **e,** Venn*

diagrams showing the lack of overlap of DEGs and GWAS risk genes reported by the primary studies summarised

*in panels c and d. **f,** DEGs and GWAS risk genes for each disorder were filtered for only C3-positive genes, then*

tested for enrichment with marker genes for each cortical layer [38]. Significance was tested by one-sided Fisher's

exact test and corrected for multiple comparisons across all 42 tests. C3-positive DEGs and GWAS genes for SCZ

(but not ASD or MDD) were both enriched for L2 and L3 marker genes, despite the DEGs and GWAS gene sets

*having nearly no overlap for each disorder (see **Extended Data Fig. 6** for more detail). **g,** Convergent with L2/L3*

enrichment in the C3-positive schizophrenia-associated DEGs and GWAS genes, a cortical map of supragranular-

specific cortical thinning in schizophrenia [63] was significantly and specifically co-located with C3 (r = 0.55, two-

sided spin-permutation p-value = 0.002); each point is a region, color represents C3 score.

Third, using data from the most recent GWAS studies of ASD [60], MDD [61], and schizophrenia [62], we

found that genetic variants significantly associated with ASD were enriched in both C1 and C2 (but not

C3); whereas genes associated with schizophrenia were enriched in C3 (but not C1 or C2) (**Fig. 4d**).

Genes associated with MDD were not significantly enriched in any transcriptional component. These

associations were replicated when using alternative methods (MAGMA [71] and H-MAGMA [72]) to test

the association between GWAS-derived p-values for the association of each gene with ASD, MDD or

schizophrenia and the C1-C3 gene weights without requiring an explicit prioritisation of GWAS-

associated genes (**Supplementary Fig. S6**). This pattern of results for autism and schizophrenia GWAS

associations evidently mirrored the pattern of prior results from analysis of case-control neuroimaging

(**Fig. 4b**) and differential gene expression studies (**Fig. 4c**), with ASD consistently linked to components

C1 and C2, and schizophrenia consistently linked to C3.

Notably, this consistency of association between disorders and specific transcriptional components

was observed despite minimal overlap between the DEGs and GWAS risk genes identified as

significant by the primary studies of each disorder [73] (**Fig. 4e**). However, motivated by the association

of C3 with regions of greatest laminar differentiation (**Fig. 2b**), we found that the subsets of the

schizophrenia-associated DEG and GWAS gene sets that were positively-weighted on C3 were both

significantly enriched for marker genes of layers L2 and L3 (**Fig. 4g; Extended Data Fig. 6**). These

shared laminar associations between the non-overlapping DEG and GWAS gene sets were only present

when subsetting to C3-positive genes, and were specific to schizophrenia (i.e. C3-positive subsets of

ASD and MDD genes did not show the same L2/L3 enrichments). Convergent with C3 revealing an

L2/L3 association in schizophrenia-associated genes from DEG and GWAS, we found that the cortical

map of C3 was significantly co-located with an MRI-derived map of specifically supragranular, L2/L3

predominant thinning in schizophrenia [63] (r_{C3} = 0.55, p_{spin} = 0.002, FDR < 1%, **Fig. 4g**).

Discussion

Our results offer a new perspective on how the brain's macroscale organisation develops from the

microscale transcription of the human genome. Through optimized processing of the AHBA and

replication in PsychENCODE, we have shown that the transcriptional architecture of the human cortex

comprises at least three generalisable components of coordinated gene expression. The two higher-

order components (C2 and C3) had not previously been robustly demonstrated, although the initial

AHBA paper identified similar components to C1 and C2 by applying PCA to one of the six AHBA brains

and filtering for only 1000 genes [2] (**Supplementary Fig. S1**). Here we derive C2 and C3 from all six

AHBA brains and show they each represent the coordinated expression of hundreds of genes

(**Supplementary Fig. S2**). Broadly, the C2 genes were enriched for "metabolic" and "epigenetic"

processes, while the C3 genes were enriched for "synaptic" and "immune" processes (**Fig. 1c**). Both

higher-order components were significantly enriched for genes associated with intelligence and

educational attainment (**Fig. 1f-g**), indicating their relevance to the brain's ultimate purpose of

generating adaptive behaviour. The brain maps corresponding to each of the components were also

distinctively co-located with multiple neuroimaging or other macroscale brain phenotypes (**Fig. 2**).

These co-locations were often convergent with the gene enrichment results, triangulating evidence

for C2 as a metabolically specialised component and for C3 as a component specialised for synaptic

and immune processes underpinning adolescent plasticity; see **Table 1**. Together, these convergent

results expand on the proposal of a single "sensorimotor-association axis" [10,74] by demonstrating that

macro-scale brain organisation emerges from multiple biologically-relevant transcriptional

components.

		C1: Neuronal hierarchy	C2: Cognitive metabolism	C3: Adolescent plasticity
Normative	***Biological processes*** (Fig. 1c)	*Most genes are aligned, especially PVALB, SST*	*Metabolism Epigenetics*	*Synaptic plasticity Learning/memory Immunity*
	Architectonics (Fig. 1d)	*L4 L1, L2, L6*	*L4, L5, L6 L2*	*L2, L3, L4, L5, L6 L1, WM*
	Cell types (Fig. 1e)	*Oligodendrocytes Astrocytes*	*Synapses, Endothelial cells*	*Synapses, Neurons Oligodendrocytes, Microglia*
	GWAS (Fig. 1f-g)	*Educational attainment*	*Intelligence/cognition Educational attainment*	*Intelligence/cognition Educational attainment*
	Imaging (Fig. 2)	fMRI degree T1w/T2w Cortical thickness	MEG theta power Aerobic glycolysis	Adolescent change in myelination
	Development (Fig. 3b-c)	Prenatal, greatest expression at birth	Prenatal, greatest expression in first decade	Adolescence, greatest expression in adulthood
Atypical	**Imaging** (Fig. 4a-b, g)	ASD volume shrinkage	ASD volume shrinkage	SCZ volume shrinkage and L2, L3-specific thinning
	RNA-seq of brain tissue (Fig. 4c,f)	ASD DEGs	ASD DEGs	SCZ DEGs, with L2, L3 enrichment
	GWAS (Fig. 4d,f)	*ASD risk genes*	*ASD risk genes*	*SCZ risk genes, with L2, L3 enrichment*

Table 1: Summary of convergent results on the biological and clinical relevance of three human brain

transcriptional programmes. *Each of three components of normative human brain gene expression (C1, C2, C3;*

table columns) was biologically validated by testing for enrichment of gene weights on each component, and for

co-location of regional component scores with neuroimaging or other macro-scale brain phenotypes, in healthy

brain samples (normative) and in studies of neurodevelopmental disorders (atypical). Each row summarises

results for a distinct gene enrichment analysis (italicised) or spatial co-location analysis (plain font). Based on

prior knowledge that theta oscillations are linked to intelligence and cognition [75] *as well as to glucose*

metabolism [76]*, the spatial alignments between C2 and maps of MEG theta power (**Fig. 2d**) and aerobic glycolysis*

*(**Fig. 2a**) were convergent with the enrichment of C2 for genes linked to cognitive capacity (**Fig. 1f-g**) and*

*metabolism (**Fig. 1c**). Similarly, prior knowledge implicates microglia and oligodendrocytes in the immune-*

mediated synaptic pruning and myelination that over adolescence gives rise to adult cognitive capacity [77,78]*, such*

*that the spatial alignment between C3 and the map of adolescent myelination (**Fig. 2d**) was convergent with the*

*enrichments of C3 for genes related to immunity, synaptic development, and learning (**Fig. 1c**); oligodendrocytes,*

*microglia, and synapses (**Fig. 1e**); and cognitive capacity (**Fig. 1f-g**), among which one GWAS study explicitly*

linked intelligence to myelination [41].

The discovery of these biologically-relevant, higher-order transcriptional components in the AHBA

dataset raised further questions: i) do the components reflect coordinated gene expression within

cells, or only variation in cell composition; ii) when do the components emerge during brain

development; and (iii) how do they intersect with neurodevelopmental disorders? We addressed

these questions using additional RNA-seq datasets (**Supplementary Table S5**). First, we found that

genes positively or negatively weighted on the components derived from the AHBA bulk tissue

samples had consistently coupled co-expression across RNA-seq measurements in single cells, e.g.

individual neurons and glia (**Fig. 3a**). This indicated that C1-C3 represent transcriptional programmes

coordinated at the intracellular level, not merely regional variation in the proportion of different cell

types. Second, we found that C1-C3 have differentially phased developmental trajectories of

expression, e.g. that the positive pole of C3 becomes strongly expressed only during adolescence,

convergent with its spatial co-location with a map of adolescent cortical myelination (**Fig. 3b-c**).

Finally, we established that these transcriptional programmes are not only critical for healthy brain

development but, as might be expected, are also implicated in the pathogenesis of

neurodevelopmental disorders (**Fig. 4**).

The pattern of results for disorders was strikingly convergent across multiple data modalities: C1 and

C2 were both enriched for genes implicated by both GWAS and DEG data on ASD, whereas C3 was

specifically enriched for genes implicated by both GWAS and DEG data on schizophrenia (**Table 1**). We

observed a similar pattern of significant co-location between C1-C3 maps and MRI phenotypes:

developmentally normalised scores on reduced cortical volume in ASD were correlated with maps of

C1 and C2, and for schizophrenia with the map of C3 (**Fig. 4a-b**). In contrast, there was no evidence for

enrichment of C1-C3 by genes associated with risk of Alzheimer's disease [79] (**Supplementary Fig. S6**).

An intuitive generalisation of these results is that the developmental processes which give rise to

these three components of gene expression in the healthy adult brain are pathogenically more

relevant for neurodevelopmental disorders than for neurodegenerative disease.

Overall, our results were strongly supportive of the motivating hypothesis that the transcriptional

architecture of the human cortex represents developmental programmes crucial both to the brain's

healthy organisation and to the emergence of neurodevelopmental disorders. For example, when

interpreting C3 as a transcriptional programme mediating adolescent plasticity (**Table 1**), our finding

that C3 represents coupled transcription of synapse- and immune-related genes within cells (**Fig. 3a**) is

consistent with prior work indicating that the neuronal expression of immune-related, typically glial

genes can play a mechanistic role in synaptic pruning [80]; and, vice-versa, that neuronal genes

associated with synapse and circuit development can also be expressed in glial cells [81]. While atypical

synaptic pruning has long been hypothesised to be a mechanistic cause of schizophrenia [82–84], prior

results on the biology of schizophrenia have shown limited consistency, both between the primary

data modalities of GWAS, post-mortem expression, and neuroimaging [85,86], and even between DEG

studies [73]. Here, we demonstrate that the C3 transcriptional programme offers a unifying link between

these disparate prior results. When parsed by the C3 positive genes, the otherwise non-overlapping

GWAS and DEG gene-sets for schizophrenia display a shared enrichment for supra-granular marker

genes (**Fig. 4e-f**), and, convergently, C3 was spatially associated with supra-granular-specific thinning

in schizophrenia (**Fig. 4g**). Supra-granular layers have dense cortico-cortical connections and are

expanded in humans relative to other species [87–89], mature latest in development [90], have been linked

to intelligence [91], and have previously been linked to schizophrenia [92–94]. This triangulation of evidence

strongly suggests that the third component of the brain's gene expression architecture represents the

transcriptional programme coordinating the normative, neuro-immune processes of synaptic pruning

and myelination in adolescence [56], such that atypical expression of C3 genes due to schizophrenia

genetic risk variants can result in atypical development of supra-granular cortical connectivity leading

to the clinical emergence of schizophrenia.

Clearly there are limits to what can be learnt from RNA measurements of bulk tissue samples from six

healthy adult brains. Here, we explicitly identified the limits of the AHBA dataset by optimizing data

processing against an unbiased measure of generalisability, g, which yielded three components. The

architecture of human brain gene expression likely involves more than three components; however,

our analysis suggests that their discovery will rely on additional high-granularity transcriptional data.

In particular, gene expression varies with sex, age, genetics, and environment [95], so we expect that

future data will reveal additional components that are more individually variable and demographically

diverse than the three we have characterised here. Meanwhile, the code and data that supplement

our results can help future research to leverage our work with the unique AHBA resource.

519 **Extended Data Figures**

520
*Extended Data Fig. 1: Optimised processing of the AHBA identified three generalisable components. **a**, In the*
*HCP-MMP parcellation, 43/180 regions are matched to samples representing less than 3 of the 6 AHBA donors. **b**,*
Distribution of differential stability of genes measured in the AHBA dataset processed in the HCP-MMP
*parcellation. **c**, Generalisability of first five components of the AHBA dataset computed with either principal*
components analysis (PCA) or diffusion map embedding (DME). Color represents generalisability g, *defined as the*
median absolute correlation between matched components computed across all 10 disjoint triplet pairs
(Methods); x-axis represents variation in the proportion of genes filtered out by differential stability prior to
PCA/DME; y-axis represents variation in which regions are filtered out prior to PCA/DME. Tick mark indicates
parameter combinations that exceed generalisability g > 0.6. Green highlights for C3 indicate the best parameter
option with PCA and DME respectively, showing that switching to DME achieves similar generalisability while
*retaining more genes. **d**, Scatter plots of regional scores for AHBA components computed using the best*
PCA/DME options, demonstrating that PCA and DME derive spatially equivalent components.

Extended Data Fig. 2: Transcriptional components were robust to parcellation and processing. *Transcriptional*

components were computed in four different parcellation templates (Methods). For each parcellation, the gene

weights for the first three components were correlated with the weights obtained from the HCP-MMP

parcellation used throughout. Gene weights were highly consistent, although in the less-granular (34-

regions/hemisphere) Desikan-Killiany parcellation, C2 and C3 were less well aligned to the other parcellations. ***b,***

A wide range of parameters for processing the AHBA data were varied, and the resulting component region

scores were correlated with the components obtained from the optimised parameters. For nearly all variations in

parameters, highly consistent components were obtained, demonstrating the robustness of C1-C3.

Extended Data Figure 3: AHBA transcriptional components were reproducible in independent PsychENCODE

control data, with differential spatial expression in autism. a, *Gene weights from dimension reduction applied*

to group-averaged bulk RNA-seq measurements from 11 cortical regions in N = 54 healthy control brains from

the PsychENCODE dataset [36] were correlated with gene weights from the components of the AHBA (derived by

DME in the 180-region HCP-MMP parcellation), showing that the genetic profiles of AHBA C1, C2, and C3 were

*reproduced by PsychENCODE C1, C2, and C4, respectively (highlighted in green). **b***, *Regional scores of*

PsychENCODE C1, C2 and C4 were also correlated with region scores of AHBA C1, C2 and C3, showing that the

*matching genetic profiles correspond to matching spatial expression patterns. **c***, *Variance explained by the first*

five components of each dataset, showing that AHBA C3 and PsychENCODE C4 account for similar proportions of

*variance (6.5% and 7.1%, respectively). **d**, 1st row: Cortical maps of AHBA C1-C3 in the same 11 regions sampled*

in the PsychENCODE data. 2nd row: Cortical maps of PsychENCODE C1, C2, and C4 demonstrating their spatial

similarity to AHBA C1-C3. 3rd row: Gene weights from the PsychENCODE healthy control data were projected

onto transcriptional data of cases with autism spectrum disorder (ASD; N = 58) from the same dataset,

demonstrating lower regional expression at the positive (red) pole of each component in the ASD cases compared

*to healthy controls. **e**, Distributions of regional scores for C1, C2 and C4, computed on group-average healthy*

controls as in a-d and projected to individual donor brains in the PsychENCODE dataset, demonstrating

significant case-control differential expression for regions at the positive poles of C1-C3. T-tests of case-control

differences were corrected for multiple comparisons across all 33 tests; boxplots represent the median, first, and

third quartiles with whiskers showing 1.5 * inter-quartile range; *, **, *** indicate FDR-corrected two-sided p-

value < 0.05, 0.01, 0.001 respectively. Region names refer to the sampled Brodmann Areas (BA) [36]: Visual = BA17,

Temporal Pole = BA38, Somatosensory = BA3-1-2-5, Motor = BA4-6, Anterior Cingulate = BA24, Prefrontal = BA9,

Broca's Area = BA44-45, Fusiform Gyrus = BA20-37, Auditory = BA41-42-22, Lateral Parietal = BA39-40, Dorsal

Parietal = BA7.

Extended Data Figure 4: Higher-order components of cortical gene expression reflect anatomically relevant co-expression structure. a, *C1-C3 were orthogonally aligned in anatomical space, as computed by the Pearson's correlations of the regional scores with the XYZ coordinates of the region centroids: C1 and C2 were both aligned with the anterior-to-posterior (y) and ventral-to-dorsal (z) plane, but with opposite signs along the anterior-to-posterior axis, while only C3 was aligned to the medial-lateral (x) axis. The middle panel represents these alignments as vectors in 3D space. The right-hand upper table shows the correlations of C1-C3 with each anatomical axis, and the lower table shows the angle in degrees between the vectors, showing that C1-C3 are orthogonal.* **b**, *Co-expression matrices computed by Pearson's correlations of gene expression between brain regions, computed with and without regressing out the first component C1, and annotated by the major cortical lobes as defined in the HCP-MMP parcellation* [32]. *This further demonstrates that the gene co-expression structure captured by C2 and C3 (i.e., the residual variation beyond C1) is anatomically relevant.*

583
Extended Data Figure 5: Transcriptional components were distinctively associated with the regional power of

canonical brain oscillation frequencies. *Several MEG power bands* [55] *were highly correlated (|r|>0.6) with C1*

(delta, alpha, high-gamma) and C2 (beta, theta), although only the theta association to C2 survived FDR

correction of the spin-test p-values (r=0.78, FDR_{spin}=0.05). No MEG band was aligned with C3.

Extended Data Figure 6: C3 reveals shared biology across inconsistent postmortem brain RNA-seq studies of

differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in schizophrenia. a, Euler diagram demonstrating the relative lack of

overlap of genes linked to schizophrenia in four independent RNA-seq postmortem brain studies, as well as the

latest GWAS study. b, Histogram of the schizophrenia GWAS and consensus DEG genes by C3 decile. The skew of

the histograms towards higher C3 deciles reflects the significant enrichment of both non-overlapping gene sets,

as in Fig. 4c-d. c, Histograms of the schizophrenia GWAS and DEG genes from each separate study by C3 decile,

coloured by cortical layer where the gene was identified as a marker gene [38]. *L2 genes are distinctly clustered*

towards the C3+ pole, while L1 and WM genes are clustered towards C3-. **d**, *For schizophrenia and ASD,*

enrichments of the GWAS/DEG genes from each separate study for marker genes of cortical layers, showing that

no consistent significant enrichments are found across the entire gene sets for studies of either disorder. **e**,

Enrichments as in d, except for only genes positively weighted in C3 (corresponding to the right-hand five deciles

of each histogram in panel c). For schizophrenia, significant enrichments for L2 and L3 are observed for three of

the four DEG studies, as well as the GWAS study. No such enrichments were observed for ASD, demonstrating

that C3 reveals convergent biology across otherwise inconsistent results specifically for schizophrenia.

Significance was tested by one-sided Fisher's exact test and corrected for multiple comparisons across all tests in

each panel. *, **, *** indicate FDR-corrected one-sided p-value < 0.05, 0.01, 0.001 respectively.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge and thank Sofie Valk and Varun Warrier for their helpful comments on the manuscript.

R.D. was supported by the Gates Cambridge Trust. J.S. was supported by NIMH T32MH019112-29 and K08MH120564. A.A. was funded by a grant from the Australian Research Council (ARC) under its Linkage Project scheme (LP160101592). R.A.I.B. was supported by the Autism Research Trust. K.S.W. was supported by the Wellcome Trust (215901/Z/19/Z). E.T.B. was supported by an NIHR Senior Investigator award and the Wellcome Trust collaborative award for the Neuroscience in Psychiatry Network (NSPN). A.R. was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health Intramural Research Program (NIH Annual Report Number, 1ZIAMH002949-04). P.E.V. is a Fellow of MQ: Transforming Mental Health (MQF17_24). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

Data were curated and analysed using a computational facility funded by an MRC research infrastructure award (MR/M009041/1) to the School of Clinical Medicine, University of Cambridge. All research at the Department of Psychiatry in the University of Cambridge is supported by the NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre (BRC-1215-20014) and NIHR Applied Research Centre. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIH, NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. For the purpose of open access, the authors have applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

Author Contributions statement

P.E.V., R.D., J.S., K.W., and A.R. designed research. J.S., A.A., K.W., and R.B. contributed data. R.D. and P.E.V. performed research, and E.T.B., K.A., K.W., A.R., J.S., R.M., and A.A. helped interpret results. R.D., P.E.V., and E.T.B. wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Competing Interests statement

K.M.A. is an employee of Neumora Therapeutics. R.D.M. is an employee of Octave Biosciences. E.T.B. has consulted for Boehringer Ingelheim, SR One, GlaxoSmithKline, Sosei Heptares, Monument Therapeutics. All other authors have no disclosures to make.

References

1. van den Heuvel, M. P. & Yeo, B. T. T. A spotlight on bridging microscale and macroscale human

brain architecture. *Neuron* **93**, 1248–1251 (2017).

2. Hawrylycz, M. J. *et al.* An anatomically comprehensive atlas of the adult human brain

transcriptome. *Nature* **489**, 391–399 (2012).

3. Oldham, M. C. *et al.* Functional organization of the transcriptome in human brain. *Nat.*

Neurosci. **11**, 1271–1282 (2008).

4. Kang, H. J. *et al.* Spatio-temporal transcriptome of the human brain. *Nature* **478**, 483–489

(2011).

5. Ayoub, A. E. *et al.* Transcriptional programs in transient embryonic zones of the cerebral

cortex defined by high-resolution mRNA sequencing. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **108**, 14950–

14955 (2011).

6. Miller, J. A. *et al.* Transcriptional landscape of the prenatal human brain. *Nature* **508**, 199–206

(2014).

7. Bakken, T. E. *et al.* A comprehensive transcriptional map of primate brain development.

Nature **535**, 367–375 (2016).

8. Burt, J. B. *et al.* Hierarchy of transcriptomic specialization across human cortex captured by

structural neuroimaging topography. *Nat. Neurosci.* **21**, 1251–1259 (2018).

9. Fulcher, B. D., Murray, J. D., Zerbi, V. & Wang, X.-J. Multimodal gradients across mouse cortex.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **116**, 4689–4695 (2019).

10. Sydnor, V. J. *et al.* Neurodevelopment of the association cortices: Patterns, mechanisms, and

implications for psychopathology. *Neuron* **109**, 2820–2846 (2021).

11. Rayon, T., Maizels, R. J., Barrington, C. & Briscoe, J. Single-cell transcriptome profiling of the

human developing spinal cord reveals a conserved genetic programme with human-specific

features. *Development* **148**, (2021).

12. Kudo, L. C., Karsten, S. L., Chen, J., Levitt, P. & Geschwind, D. H. Genetic analysis of anterior

posterior expression gradients in the developing mammalian forebrain. *Cereb. Cortex* **17**, 2108–

2122 (2007).

13.Polleux, F., Ince-Dunn, G. & Ghosh, A. Transcriptional regulation of vertebrate axon guidance

and synapse formation. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **8**, 331–340 (2007).

14.Krienen, F. M. *et al.* Innovations present in the primate interneuron repertoire. *Nature* **586**,

262–269 (2020).

15.Goyal, M. S., Hawrylycz, M., Miller, J. A., Snyder, A. Z. & Raichle, M. E. Aerobic glycolysis in the

human brain is associated with development and neotenous gene expression. *Cell Metab.* **19**, 49–

57 (2014).

16.Huntenburg, J. M., Bazin, P.-L. & Margulies, D. S. Large-scale gradients in human cortical

organization. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* **22**, 21–31 (2018).

17.Margulies, D. S. *et al.* Situating the default-mode network along a principal gradient of

macroscale cortical organization. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **113**, 12574–12579 (2016).

18.Hill, J. *et al.* Similar patterns of cortical expansion during human development and evolution.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **107**, 13135–13140 (2010).

19.Goulas, A., Margulies, D. S., Bezgin, G. & Hilgetag, C. C. The architecture of mammalian cortical

connectomes in light of the theory of the dual origin of the cerebral cortex. *Cortex* **118**, 244–261

(2019).

20.Morgan, S. E. *et al.* Cortical patterning of abnormal morphometric similarity in psychosis is

associated with brain expression of schizophrenia-related genes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*

116, 9604–9609 (2019).

21.Romero-Garcia, R., Warrier, V., Bullmore, E. T., Baron-Cohen, S. & Bethlehem, R. A. I. Synaptic

and transcriptionally downregulated genes are associated with cortical thickness differences in

autism. *Mol. Psychiatry* **24**, 1053–1064 (2019).

22.Seidlitz, J. *et al.* Transcriptomic and cellular decoding of regional brain vulnerability to

neurogenetic disorders. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 3358 (2020).

23. Romero-Garcia, R. *et al.* Schizotypy-related magnetization of cortex in healthy adolescence Is

colocated with expression of schizophrenia-related genes. *Biol. Psychiatry* **88**, 248–259 (2020).

24. Anderson, K. M. *et al.* Transcriptional and imaging-genetic association of cortical interneurons,

brain function, and schizophrenia risk. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 2889 (2020).

25. Anderson, K. M. *et al.* Convergent molecular, cellular, and cortical neuroimaging signatures of

major depressive disorder. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **117**, 25138–25149 (2020).

26. Fornito, A., Arnatkevičiūtė, A. & Fulcher, B. D. Bridging the gap between connectome and

transcriptome. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* **23**, 34–50 (2019).

27. Arnatkeviciute, A., Fulcher, B., Bellgrove, M. & Fornito, A. Imaging transcriptomics of brain

disorders. *PsyArXiv* (2021) doi:10.31234/osf.io/4exug.

28. Martins, D. *et al.* Imaging transcriptomics: Convergent cellular, transcriptomic, and molecular

neuroimaging signatures in the healthy adult human brain. *Cell Rep.* **37**, 110173 (2021).

29. Voineskos, A. N. *et al.* Effects of antipsychotic medication on brain structure in patients with

major depressive disorder and psychotic features: neuroimaging findings in the context of a

randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial. *JAMA Psychiatry* **77**, 674–683 (2020).

30. Perzel Mandell, K. A. *et al.* Molecular phenotypes associated with antipsychotic drugs in the

human caudate nucleus. *Mol. Psychiatry* **27**, 2061–2067 (2022).

31. Schulmann, A. *et al.* Antipsychotic drug use complicates assessment of gene expression

changes associated with schizophrenia. *Transl. Psychiatry* **13**, 93 (2023).

32. Glasser, M. F. *et al.* A multi-modal parcellation of human cerebral cortex. *Nature* **536**, 171–178

(2016).

33. Arnatkevičiūtė, A., Fulcher, B. D. & Fornito, A. A practical guide to linking brain-wide gene

expression and neuroimaging data. *Neuroimage* **189**, 353–367 (2019).

34. Markello, R. D. *et al.* Standardizing workflows in imaging transcriptomics with the abagen

toolbox. *bioRxiv* 2021.07.08.451635 (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.07.08.451635.

35. Hawrylycz, M. *et al.* Canonical genetic signatures of the adult human brain. *Nat. Neurosci.* **18**,

1832–1844 (2015).

36. Gandal, M. J. *et al.* Broad transcriptomic dysregulation occurs across the cerebral cortex in

ASD. *Nature* **611**, 532–539 (2022).

37. Moran, P. A. P. Notes on continuous stochastic phenomena. *Biometrika* **37**, 17–23 (1950).

38. Maynard, K. R. *et al.* Transcriptome-scale spatial gene expression in the human dorsolateral

prefrontal cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* **24**, 425–436 (2021).

39. Lee, J. J. *et al.* Gene discovery and polygenic prediction from a genome-wide association study

of educational attainment in 1.1 million individuals. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 1112–1121 (2018).

40. Davies, G. *et al.* Study of 300,486 individuals identifies 148 independent genetic loci

influencing general cognitive function. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 2098 (2018).

41. Hill, W. D. *et al.* A combined analysis of genetically correlated traits identifies 187 loci and a

role for neurogenesis and myelination in intelligence. *Mol. Psychiatry* **24**, 169–181 (2019).

42. Savage, J. E. *et al.* Genome-wide association meta-analysis in 269,867 individuals identifies

new genetic and functional links to intelligence. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 912–919 (2018).

43. Hatoum, A. S. *et al.* Genome-wide association study shows that executive functioning is

influenced by GABAergic processes and is a neurocognitive genetic correlate of psychiatric

disorders. *Biol. Psychiatry* **93**, 59–70 (2023).

44. Lake, B. B. *et al.* Integrative single-cell analysis of transcriptional and epigenetic states in the

human adult brain. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **36**, 70–80 (2018).

45. Glasser, M. F. & Van Essen, D. C. Mapping human cortical areas in vivo based on myelin

content as revealed by T1- and T2-weighted MRI. *J. Neurosci.* **31**, 11597–11616 (2011).

46. Van Essen, D. C. *et al.* The WU-Minn Human Connectome Project: an overview. *Neuroimage*

80, 62–79 (2013).

47. Vaishnavi, S. N. *et al.* Regional aerobic glycolysis in the human brain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.*

A. **107**, 17757–17762 (2010).

48. Satterthwaite, T. D. *et al.* Impact of puberty on the evolution of cerebral perfusion during

adolescence. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **111**, 8643–8648 (2014).

49. Reardon, P. K. *et al.* Normative brain size variation and brain shape diversity in humans.

Science **360**, 1222–1227 (2018).

50. Paquola, C. *et al.* A multi-scale cortical wiring space links cellular architecture and functional

dynamics in the human brain. *PLoS Biol.* **18**, e3000979 (2020).

51. Yarkoni, T., Poldrack, R. A., Nichols, T. E., Van Essen, D. C. & Wager, T. D. Large-scale

automated synthesis of human functional neuroimaging data. *Nat. Methods* **8**, 665–670 (2011).

52. Mesulam, M.-M. *Principles of behavioral neurology*. (Oxford University Press, USA, 1985).

53. Glasser, M. F. *et al.* The Human Connectome Project's neuroimaging approach. *Nat. Neurosci.*

19, 1175–1187 (2016).

54. Paquola, C. *et al.* Shifts in myeloarchitecture characterise adolescent development of cortical

gradients. *Elife* **8**, (2019).

55. Larson-Prior, L. J. *et al.* Adding dynamics to the Human Connectome Project with MEG.

Neuroimage **80**, 190–201 (2013).

56. Whitaker, K. J. *, Vértes, P. E. *. *et al.* Adolescence is associated with genomically patterned

consolidation of the hubs of the human brain connectome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **113**,

9105–9110 (2016).

57. Váša, F. *et al.* Conservative and disruptive modes of adolescent change in human brain

functional connectivity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **117**, 3248–3253 (2020).

58. Hodge, R. D. *et al.* Conserved cell types with divergent features in human versus mouse

cortex. *Nature* **573**, 61–68 (2019).

59. Bethlehem, R. A. I. *et al.* Brain charts for the human lifespan. *Nature* **604**, 525–533 (2022).

60. Matoba, N. *et al.* Common genetic risk variants identified in the SPARK cohort support DDHD2

as a candidate risk gene for autism. *Transl. Psychiatry* **10**, 265 (2020).

61. Howard, D. M. *et al.* Genome-wide meta-analysis of depression identifies 102 independent

variants and highlights the importance of the prefrontal brain regions. *Nat. Neurosci.* **22**, 343–352

(2019).

62.Trubetskoy, V. *et al.* Mapping genomic loci implicates genes and synaptic biology in

schizophrenia. *Nature* **604**, 502–508 (2022).

63.Wagstyl, K. *et al.* Multiple markers of cortical morphology reveal evidence of supragranular

thinning in schizophrenia. *Transl. Psychiatry* **6**, e780 (2016).

64.Parikshak, N. N. *et al.* Genome-wide changes in lncRNA, splicing, and regional gene expression

patterns in autism. *Nature* **540**, 423–427 (2016).

65.Gandal, M. J. *et al.* Transcriptome-wide isoform-level dysregulation in ASD, schizophrenia, and

bipolar disorder. *Science* **362**, (2018).

66.Jaffe, A. E. *et al.* Decoding shared versus divergent transcriptomic signatures across cortico-

amygdala circuitry in PTSD and depressive disorders. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **179**, 673–686 (2022).

67.Fromer, M. *et al.* Gene expression elucidates functional impact of polygenic risk for

schizophrenia. *Nat. Neurosci.* **19**, 1442–1453 (2016).

68.Birnbaum, R. *et al.* Investigating the neuroimmunogenic architecture of schizophrenia. *Mol.*

Psychiatry **23**, 1251–1260 (2018).

69.Collado-Torres, L. *et al.* Regional heterogeneity in gene expression, regulation, and coherence

in the frontal cortex and hippocampus across development and schizophrenia. *Neuron* **103**, 203–

216.e8 (2019).

70.Jaffe, A. E. *et al.* Developmental and genetic regulation of the human cortex transcriptome

illuminate schizophrenia pathogenesis. *Nat. Neurosci.* **21**, 1117–1125 (2018).

71.de Leeuw, C. A., Mooij, J. M., Heskes, T. & Posthuma, D. MAGMA: generalized gene-set

analysis of GWAS data. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **11**, e1004219 (2015).

72.Sey, N. Y. A. *et al.* A computational tool (H-MAGMA) for improved prediction of brain-disorder

risk genes by incorporating brain chromatin interaction profiles. *Nat. Neurosci.* **23**, 583–593

(2020).

73.Merikangas, A. K. *et al.* What genes are differentially expressed in individuals with

schizophrenia? A systematic review. *Mol. Psychiatry* **27**, 1373–1383 (2022).

74. Hilgetag, C. C., Goulas, A. & Changeux, J.-P. A natural cortical axis connecting the outside and

inside of the human brain. *Netw Neurosci* **6**, 950–959 (2022).

75. Senoussi, M. *et al.* Theta oscillations shift towards optimal frequency for cognitive control. *Nat*

Hum Behav **6**, 1000–1013 (2022).

76. Pizzagalli, D. A., Oakes, T. R. & Davidson, R. J. Coupling of theta activity and glucose

metabolism in the human rostral anterior cingulate cortex: an EEG/PET study of normal and

depressed subjects. *Psychophysiology* **40**, 939–949 (2003).

77. Choudhury, S., Charman, T. & Blakemore, S.-J. Development of the teenage brain. *Mind Brain*

Educ. **2**, 142–147 (2008).

78. Brenhouse, H. C. & Schwarz, J. M. Immunoadolescence: Neuroimmune development and

adolescent behavior. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **70**, 288–299 (2016).

79. Bellenguez, C. *et al.* New insights into the genetic etiology of Alzheimer's disease and related

dementias. *Nat. Genet.* **54**, 412–436 (2022).

80. Faust, T. E., Gunner, G. & Schafer, D. P. Mechanisms governing activity-dependent synaptic

pruning in the developing mammalian CNS. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **22**, 657–673 (2021).

81. Kalish, B. T. *et al.* Single-cell transcriptomics of the developing lateral geniculate nucleus

reveals insights into circuit assembly and refinement. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115**, E1051–

E1060 (2018).

82. Feinberg, I. Schizophrenia: caused by a fault in programmed synaptic elimination during

adolescence? *J. Psychiatr. Res.* **17**, 319–334 (1982).

83. Johnson, M. B. & Hyman, S. E. A critical perspective on the synaptic pruning hypothesis of

schizophrenia pathogenesis. *Biol. Psychiatry* **92**, 440–442 (2022).

84. Howes, O. D. & Onwordi, E. C. The synaptic hypothesis of schizophrenia version III: a master

mechanism. *Mol. Psychiatry* (2023) doi:10.1038/s41380-023-02043-w.

85. Harrison, P. J. & Weinberger, D. R. Schizophrenia genes, gene expression, and neuropathology:

on the matter of their convergence. *Mol. Psychiatry* **10**, 40–68; image 5 (2005).

86. Kleinman, J. E. *et al.* Genetic neuropathology of schizophrenia: new approaches to an old

question and new uses for postmortem human brains. *Biol. Psychiatry* **69**, 140–145 (2011).

87. Semendeferi, K., Armstrong, E., Schleicher, A., Zilles, K. & Van Hoesen, G. W. Prefrontal cortex

in humans and apes: a comparative study of area 10. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **114**, 224–241

(2001).

88. Hutsler, J. J., Lee, D.-G. & Porter, K. K. Comparative analysis of cortical layering and

supragranular layer enlargement in rodent carnivore and primate species. *Brain Res.* **1052**, 71–81

(2005).

89. Galakhova, A. A. *et al.* Evolution of cortical neurons supporting human cognition. *Trends Cogn.*

Sci. **26**, 909–922 (2022).

90. Petanjek, Z. *et al.* Extraordinary neoteny of synaptic spines in the human prefrontal cortex.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **108**, 13281–13286 (2011).

91. Heyer, D. B. *et al.* Verbal and general IQ associate with supragranular layer thickness and cell

properties of the left temporal cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* **32**, 2343–2357 (2022).

92. Chance, S. A., Walker, M. & Crow, T. J. Reduced density of calbindin-immunoreactive

interneurons in the planum temporale in schizophrenia. *Brain Res.* **1046**, 32–37 (2005).

93. Cullen, T. J. *et al.* Anomalies of asymmetry of pyramidal cell density and structure in

dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in schizophrenia. *Br. J. Psychiatry* **188**, 26–31 (2006).

94. Batiuk, M. Y. *et al.* Upper cortical layer-driven network impairment in schizophrenia. *Sci Adv* **8**,

eabn8367 (2022).

95. Viñuela, A. *et al.* Age-dependent changes in mean and variance of gene expression across

tissues in a twin cohort. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **27**, 732–741 (2018).

Methods

AHBA data and donor-level parcellation images

Probe-level gene expression data with associated spatial coordinates were obtained from the Allen
Institute website (https://human.brain-map.org), which collected the data after obtaining informed
consent from the deceased's next-of-kin. HCP-MMP1.0 parcellation images matched to the individual
native MRI space of each donor brain (N=6) were obtained from Arnatkevičiūtė *et al.*
(https://figshare.com/articles/dataset/AHBAdata/6852911) [33]. The use of native donor parcellation
images (rather than a standard parcellation image with sample coordinates mapped to MNI space)
was chosen as it optimised the triplet generalisability metric (see following).

AHBA processing parameters

To correctly match AHBA samples to regions in native donor space parcellation images using published
processing pipelines, we recommend the use of either (i) *abagen* version 0.1.3 or greater (for Python)
[34], or (ii) the version of the *AHBAprocessing* pipeline updated in June 2021 or later (for Matlab) [33].

Here we processed the AHBA with the *abagen* package, with one modification: we filtered the AHBA
samples for only those annotated as cortical samples prior to subsequent processing steps. This was
done such that subcortical and brainstem samples did not influence the intensity filter and probe
aggregation steps. This modification was chosen as it optimised the triplet generalisability metric (see
following). The code used to apply the modification is available in the *code/processing_helpers.py* file
at https://github.com/richardajdear/AHBA_gradients.

Other than this modification, *abagen* was run using the following parameters, which follow published
recommendations [33] unless otherwise specified:

- *Hemisphere*: The right hemisphere samples that are present for two of the six donors were
reflected along the midline and processed together with the left hemisphere samples of those
donor datasets to increase sample coverage.
- *Intensity-based filter*: Probes were filtered to retain only those exceeding background noise
(as defined by the binary flag provided with the data by the Allen Institute) in at least 50% of
the samples [33].
- *Probe aggregation*: Probes were aggregated to genes by differential stability, meaning that for
each gene, the probe with the highest mean correlation across donor pairs was used.

▢ *Distance threshold*: Samples were matched to regions with a tolerance threshold of 2mm,
using the voxel-mass algorithm in the *abagen* package.

▢ *Sample normalisation*: Prior to aggregating over donors, samples were normalised across all
genes, using the scaled robust sigmoid method (a sigmoid transformation that is robust to
outliers [33]).

▢ *Gene normalisation*: Prior to aggregating over donors, genes were normalised across all
samples, again using the scaled robust sigmoid.

To ensure robustness, the primary analysis of computing components of the AHBA was repeated in a
series of sensitivity analyses varying all of the processing parameters above, e.g. not mirroring right
hemisphere samples to the left hemisphere, different or no intensity filter for genes, different
methods for aggregating and normalising probes. Sensitivity analyses also included running the
pipeline with alternative parcellation templates: HCP-MMP1.0 [32], Schaefer-400 [96], Desterieux [97], and
Desikan-Killiany [98]. (**Extended Data Fig. 2**).

Gene filtering by differential stability

Genes were filtered for those that showed more similar spatial patterns of expression across the six
donors using the metric of differential stability (DS) as previously described by Hawrylycz *et al.* [35]. For
each gene, DS was calculated as the average correlation of that gene's regional expression vector
between each donor pair (15 pairs with all six brains, or 3 pairs in the triplets analysis, see below).
Genes were ranked by DS and then only the top 50% percent of genes were retained. The 50%
threshold was chosen on the basis of a grid-search (in combination with the region filter to optimise
for generalisability) where the threshold for DS was varied between 10% and 100% (**Extended Data
Fig. 1**).

Filtering regions by donors represented

Regions were filtered for those that included samples from at least three of the six AHBA donor brains,
which in the HCP-MMP1.0 parcellation retained 137/180 regions. Note that in the triplets analysis (see
below), this means only brain regions with samples from all three donors in the triplet were retained.
The choice to filter for representation of three of the six donors was chosen on the basis of a grid-
search in combination with the differential stability gene filter to optimise for generalisability
(**Extended Data Fig. 1**).

Triplets analysis: disjoint triplet correlation as a proxy for generalisability

To test for generalizability we separated the six AHBA brains into pairs of disjoint triplets (for example

donor brains 1,2,3 in one triplet and 4,5,6 in another). We applied our full analysis pipeline (including

all processing steps e.g. probe aggregation, normalisation, and filters) independently to each of the

twenty possible combinations of triplets, and correlated the regional scores for each DME or PCA

component between each of the ten disjoint pairs (Pearson's *r*). When filtering for consistently-

sampled regions, the retained regions were different for each triplet of donor brains, so correlations

were performed on only the intersection of regions retained in both triplets of each pair.

As the order of principal components can vary across different triplets, we employed a matching

algorithm in which the full correlation matrix was computed between the top 5 principal components

of both triplets (e.g. C1 from triplet A was correlated with each of C1-C5 of triplet B). The highest

absolute correlation value in the matrix was then identified as representing two matched components

and removed from the matrix, with the process repeated until all components were matched. The

components were then ranked by the mean variance explained in each matched pair.

The median absolute correlation across all ten disjoint triplet pairs represented the generalisability, *g*,

of the AHBA components processed using the given set of parameters. Processing parameters, in

particular the filters for regions and donors, were optimised so as to maximise *g* while retaining as

many genes and regions as possible; see **Extended Data Fig. 1**.

Dimension reduction methods

Dimension reduction was performed using both principal component analysis (PCA) and diffusion map

embedding (DME), the latter having been described for use in spatial gradient analysis of brain

imaging data by Margulies *et al.* [17]. For DME, the normalised cosine function was used as the kernel

for the affinity matrix. No sparsity was added, and the alpha parameter was set at 1. These

parameters were chosen as they optimised the inter-triplet correlation metric for generalisability.

Both PCA and DME methods were implemented using the BrainSpace package [99]. See Supplementary

Methods for further explanation on DME and its benefits over PCA and other alternatives (e.g. ICA).

Component gene weights

For each component, gene weights were computed as the Pearson correlation of each gene's

individual spatial expression vector with the regional scores of the component. For PCA these

correlations are equivalent to the PCA loadings (eigenvectors) multiplied by the square root of the

variance explained by the component (eigenvalues).

Variance explained

For PCA, variance explained is given directly by the squared eigenvalues of the singular value

decomposition. For DME, eigenvalues do not represent variance explained as the gene expression

matrix is first converted to an affinity matrix using a kernel (here the normalized cosine). Therefore,

variance explained was calculated as the difference in the total variance of the region-by-gene

expression matrix before and after regressing the matrix on each component's region scores.

That is, defining the residual regional expression vector of gene g after regressing out i components as

$e_{g,i}$, the total variance V_i of the residualised region-by-gene expression matrix is

$$V_i = \sum_g \; Var\left(e_{g,i}\right)$$

946 and for each component C_i, variance explained VE_i is given by

947 $$VE_i = V_{i-1} - V_i \; .$$

Gene Ontology enrichment analysis for biological processes

Biological process enrichments of the gene weights for each component were computed using the

'proteins with values/ranks' function of online software *STRING* [103], which tests whether the mean

weight of each annotated gene list is significantly higher or lower than random permutations of the

same gene weights (the "aggregate fold change" method [103,104]), and includes a Benjamini-Hochberg

adjustment of the False Discovery Rate (FDR).

The aggregate fold change method was chosen as it does not require thresholding the gene weights of

the components to define 'target' vs 'background' gene lists (as in e.g. Fisher's exact test). That is,

rather than setting a threshold for which genes are 'in' or 'out' of each component, we took the

weighted gene list where all genes can have some contribution to each component, and for each

component tested whether each Gene Ontology gene list was in aggregate more positively- or

negatively-weighted than chance.

Layer and cell-type enrichment analyses

The gene lists for cortical layer marker genes were obtained from published analyses of laminar

enrichment in spatial transcriptomic data from human postmortem tissue in the dorsolateral

prefrontal cortex [38] (columns Q-W of Table S4B in Maynard *et al.* [38]).

Cell-type gene lists were obtained from Seidlitz *et al.* [22], who compiled lists of significantly

differentially expressed genes from five independent single-cell RNA-seq studies [44,105–108]. The gene list

for synaptic marker genes was the unfiltered gene list from SynaptomeDB [109].

All enrichments for layers and cell-types were computed by the same aggregate fold change method

[104] as in the *STRING* software [103], whereby the mean gene weight of each gene list was computed for

both the true set of gene weights of each component, and for 5,000 random permutations of the

weights. The *Z*-scores and permutation test *P*-values for significance testing of enrichment were

corrected for multiple comparisons with the Benjamini-Hochberg FDR.

GWAS enrichment analyses for educational attainment and intelligence

Genes associated with cognitive capacity by GWAS were obtained from:

▪ Lee *et al.* 2018, Supplementary Table 7 [39] (educational attainment).

▪ Davies *et al.* 2018, Supplementary Table 6 [40]

▪ Savage *et al.* 2018, Supplementary Table 15 [42]

▪ Hill *et al.* 2019, Supplementary Table 5 [41]

▪ Hatoum *et al.* 2023, Supplementary Table 16 [43]

Enrichment tests were performed by the aggregate fold change method [104], as above.

Neuroimaging and other macro-scale brain maps (Fig. 2)

Neuroimaging and other macro-scale maps were obtained as follows:

▪ The 9 neuroimaging and macro-scale maps in the clustering analysis (**Fig. 2a**) were obtained

from the *Neuromaps* package [110], and are also available in Sydnor *et al.* [10].

▪ The regions of cytoarchitectural differentiation (**Fig. 2b**) were obtained from Paquola *et al.*

2019 [111] and averaged into the HCP-MMP parcellation using the *Neuromaps* package [110].

▪ The map of fMRI degree (**Fig. 2c**) was obtained from Paquola *et al.* 2020 [50], and was originally

computed from the HCP S900 release [112].

⬚   The maps of MEG power bands (**Fig. 2d, Extended Data Fig. 5**) were obtained from the

Neuromaps package [110].

⬚   The map of adolescent change in cortical myelination was obtained from Váša *et al.* 2020 [57].

All maps were aggregated into HCP-MMP parcellation, and are provided in **Supplementary Table 3**.

Spatial associations between maps and the transcriptional components were computed by Pearson

correlations and tested for significance using spin permutation tests (5,000 spins) by the Cornblath

method [113], leveraging tools from *Neuromaps* [110], and tested for significance with FDR correction for

multiple testing.

1003

For the regions of cytoarchitectural differentiation, the mean component scores in each architectonic

class were tested for differences between class mean scores using analysis of variance (ANOVA)

against spin-permuted null models, followed by correction for FDR. The associations between

individual cytoarchitectural regions and each component were computed by the Z-score of the mean

component score in each region normalised by a spin permutation distribution of the regional mean

component score with significance testing corrected for FDR.

Single-cell co-variation analysis (Fig. 3a)

Single-cell RNA-seq data were obtained from the Allen Cell Types Database ([https://portal.brain-](https://portal.brain-map.org/atlases-and-data/rnaseq)

[map.org/atlases-and-data/rnaseq](https://portal.brain-map.org/atlases-and-data/rnaseq)) [58].

1013

1014 Single-cell gene expression was filtered for the 7,873 genes in the optimally filtered AHBA dataset. To

1015 perform the analysis in **Fig. 3a**, the positive and negative gene weights were separated for each of C1-

1016 C3, and the dot product taken with the gene expression matrix of single-cell samples. This produced a

1017 vector of six numbers, representing the weighted total expression of C1+, C1-, C2+, C2-, C3+, C3- genes

1018 respectively, for each of the 50,000 single-cell samples.

1019

1020 That is, given the gene expression vector s_j of each single-cell sample j, we computed the total

1021 weighted positive and negative expression $s^+_{j,Ci}$ and $s^-_{j,Ci}$ from the C1-C3 gene weights as:

1022 $$s^+_{j,Ci} = s_j \cdot u^+_{Ci} \quad \text{and} \quad s^-_{j,Ci} = s_j \cdot u^-_{Ci}$$

1023 where $u^+_{Ci} = max\{u_{Ci}, 0\}$ and $u^-_{Ci} = min\{u_{Ci}, 0\}$.

BrainSpan developmental gene expression processing (Fig. 3b-d)

BrainSpan data were obtained directly from the Allen Institute website [6] (http://brainspan.org) and

processed as follows:

1. The 11 cortical regions in the BrainSpan data were manually matched to the HCP-MMP1.0

parcellation regions according to the descriptions in the BrainSpan documentation. This

mapping is provided online at https://github.com/richardajdear/AHBA_gradients.

2. Exon-level expression data were filtered for only the matched BrainSpan regions.

3. Donor brains from which fewer than 4 regions were sampled were dropped.

4. Within each donor, expression of each gene was Z-normalised over regions.

5. Donors were aggregated into three age ranges (pre-birth, birth-13 years, and 18-40 years) and

expression was averaged for each gene.

AHBA-BrainSpan developmental consistency analysis (Fig. 3b-d)

Consistency between the AHBA components and BrainSpan was evaluated as follows:

1. Processed BrainSpan data were filtered for only the 7,973 genes retained in the filtered AHBA

dataset (top 50% by differential stability; see above).

2. The dot product of the gene weights for C1-C3 were taken against the BrainSpan data,

resulting in 'BrainSpan scores' for each of C1-C3, for each of the 11 BrainSpan regions, at each

age range (pre-birth, birth-13 years, and 18-40 years).

3. In each of the 11 BrainSpan regions, 'AHBA scores' were computed as the mean of the

matching HCP-MMP region scores from the original C1-C3 maps derived from the AHBA.

4. The 'BrainSpan scores' and 'AHBA scores' were correlated over the 11 BrainSpan regions

(Pearson's *r*), for each of C1-C3 and for each age bucket of the BrainSpan data.

1046

1047 As further clarification: given gene weights u_i for AHBA component C_i and the vector of expression

1048 over genes b_j for each BrainSpan sample *j* (with a given age and region), the 'BrainSpan score' is

$$y_{j,i} = b_j \cdot u_i$$

1050 and the consistency was tested as the correlation across the matched regions of the AHBA scores *x*

1051 and the mean of the BrainSpan scores $\underline{y}$ of BrainSpan donors in each age range.

BrainSpan developmental trajectory modelling (Fig. 3e)

The developmental trajectories of each decile of C1-C3 were computed as follows:

1. The ages in the BrainSpan data were converted to post-conception days on a log10 scale.

2.   For each gene, a Generalised Additive Model was fitted using the *GLMGam* function in the

statsmodels python package with alpha=1, and 12 3rd-degree basis splines as a smoothing

function (df=12, degree=3 in the *BSplines* function). Sex and brain region were included as

covariates.

3.   Developmental curves were plotted from the fitted models for each gene, sex, and region,

then averaged by decile of gene weight for each of C1-C3.

<u>Disorder spatial associations (Fig. 4a-b)</u>

Maps of the regional centile score differences in cortical volume for ASD, MDD, and schizophrenia

were obtained from the BrainCharts project by Bethlehem, Seidlitz, White *et al.* [59], in which normative

models were computed for multiple brain phenotypes across the human lifespan from a harmonised

dataset of >125,000 total MRI scans ($N_{controls}$ = 38,839, N_{ASD} = 381, N_{MDD} = 3,861, N_{SCZ} = 315). As these

data were in the Desikan-Killiany parcellation, the AHBA components in the HCP-MMP parcellation

were mapped to a vertex-level surface map (FreeSurfer's 41k fsaverage atlas) then re-averaged into

the Desikan-Killiany parcellation. Pearson correlations with cortical maps of C1-C3 scores were

computed, significance was assessed by spin permutation tests, and corrected for FDR across all nine

tests (three disorders by three components).

1071

1072   These disorder maps are provided in **Supplementary Table 4**.

1073   <u>Disorder DEG associations (Fig. 4c)</u>

1074   Differentially expressed genes (DEGs; FDR < 5%) from RNA-seq of postmortem brain tissue were

1075   obtained from the following case-control studies for each of ASD, MDD, and schizophrenia:

1076   ▢   ASD:

1077   ▢   Gandal *et al.* 2022, Supplementary Table S3 [36], WholeCortex_ASD_FDR < 0.05

1078   ▢   Gandal *et al.* 2018, Supplementary Table S1 [65], ASD.fdr < 0.05

1079   ▢   Parikshak *et al.* 2016, Supplementary Table S2 [64], FDR-adjusted P value, ASD vs CTL <

1080   0.05

1081   ▢   MDD

1082   ▢   Jaffe *et al.* 2022, Supplementary Table S2 [66], Cortex_adjPVal_MDD < 0.05

1083   ▢   Schizophrenia

1084   ▢   Fromer *et al.* 2016, Supplementary Table S16 [67], FDR estimate < 0.05

1085   ▢   Gandal *et al.* 2018, Supplementary Table S1 [65], SCZ.fdr < 0.05

1086   ▢   Jaffe *et al.* 2018, Supplementary Table S9 [70], fdr_qsva < 0.05

1087   ▢   Collado-Torres *et al.* 2019, Supplementary Table S11 [69], adj.P.Val < 0.05 & region ==
1088   'DLPFC'

1089

1090 A consensus list of DEGs was compiled for each disorder (except MDD where only one study was
1091 included) by including only those genes identified in at least 2 studies.

1092

1093 Enrichments for these gene sets in each disorder were computed by the aggregate fold change
1094 method [104], i.e. computing the percentile of the mean weight of the DEGs in C1-C3 relative to the
1095 5,000 random permutations of the gene labels.

Disorder-associated genes from GWAS (Fig. 4d)

1097 Genes significantly associated with ASD, MDD, and schizophrenia by GWAS were obtained from:

1098   ▢   ASD: Matoba *et al.* 2020, Supplementary Table S7 [60]

1099   ▢   MDD: Howard *et al.* 2019, Supplementary Table S9 [61]

1100   ▢   Schizophrenia: Trubetskoy *et al.* 2022, Extended GWAS [62]:
1101   https://figshare.com/articles/dataset/scz2022/19426775?file=35775617

1102

Associations with GWAS were calculated using three methods (**Supplementary Figure S6**):

▢   Enrichment of the prioritised genes identified in each of the specific studies, using the
aggregate fold change method [104] as described above.

▢   MAGMA [71], a regression technique which tests for association between each of the
components C1-C3 and the *P*-values for each gene's association with ASD, MDD or SCZ (from
corresponding primary GWAS studies) without requiring a threshold to be applied to the
GWAS-derived *P*-values to define a prioritised subset of genes for enrichment analysis.
MAGMA additionally accounts for gene length and gene-gene correlations. The COVAR
function of MAGMA was used to test for association of the GWAS *P*-values with the C1-C3
gene weights as a continuous variable. For standard MAGMA, a SNP-to-gene mapping window
of +35kb/-10kb was used.

▢   H-MAGMA [72], an extension of MAGMA where SNP-to-gene mapping is performed using Hi-C
chromatin measurements from postmortem brain tissue so as to capture trans-regulatory
effects. We used the Hi-C mapping from adult brain DLPFC <u>available online</u> from the original
H-MAGMA authors.

<u>Laminar enrichments shared across DEG and GWAS gene sets (Fig. 4f)</u>

Enrichments for the marker genes of each cortical layer [38] were computed for the disorder-associated
gene lists from DEGs and GWAS using Fisher's exact test. These enrichments were computed both
with and without filtering for only genes with positive C3 weights.

<u>Schizophrenia supragranular-specific cortical thinning (Fig. 4g)</u>

The MRI-derived map of supragranular cortical thinning in schizophrenia was obtained from Wagstyl
et al. [63] (N=90 subjects, 46 cases), and parcellated using the HCP-MMP1.0 parcellation. Pearson's
correlations were computed with C1-C3 and significance assessed by spin permutation tests, corrected
for FDR.
1127
1128

1129 # Data availability

1130 Regional scores and gene weights for the transcriptional components C1-C3 are provided in
1131 **Supplementary Table 1**.
1132

Gene expression datasets used are all publicly available:
- The Allen Human Brain Atlas is available at http://human.brain-map.org, and individual donor
HCP-MMP parcellation images at https://figshare.com/articles/dataset/AHBAdata/6852911.
- The BrainSpan Atlas is available at https://www.brainspan.org/.
- The Allen Human Cell Atlas is available at https://portal.brain-map.org/atlases-and-
data/rnaseq.
- The PsychENCODE dataset is available at https://github.com/dhglab/Broad-transcriptomic-
dysregulation-across-the-cerebral-cortex-in-ASD.
1141

1142 Neuroimaging maps of healthy brain features are available in the neuromaps package
1143 (https://github.com/netneurolab/neuromaps). For convenience all brain maps used are provided in
1144 **Supplementary Table 3-4**. Gene lists used for enrichment analyses were all obtained from prior
1145 publications as detailed in **Methods**.
1146

Code availability

1148 Analyses were performed with Python v3.10.5 and R v.2.2. Key python packages include:
1149 abagen==0.1.3, brainspace==0.1.10, neuromaps==0.0.3. Full details of all packages, a Dockerfile and
1150 link to docker image, and all code used for these analyses are publicly available at
1151 https://github.com/richardajdear/AHBA_gradients.
1152

Methods-only References

96. Schaefer, A. *et al.* Local-global parcellation of the human cerebral cortex from intrinsic functional
connectivity MRI. *Cereb. Cortex* **28**, 3095–3114 (2018).

97. Destrieux, C., Fischl, B., Dale, A. & Halgren, E. Automatic parcellation of human cortical gyri and
sulci using standard anatomical nomenclature. *Neuroimage* **53**, 1–15 (2010).

98. Desikan, R. S. *et al.* An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on
MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *Neuroimage* **31**, 968–980 (2006).

99. Vos de Wael, R. *et al.* BrainSpace: a toolbox for the analysis of macroscale gradients in
neuroimaging and connectomics datasets. *Commun Biol* **3**, 103 (2020).

100. Coifman, R. R. *et al.* Geometric diffusions as a tool for harmonic analysis and structure definition
of data: diffusion maps. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **102**, 7426–7431 (2005).

101. Lafon, S. & Lee, A. B. Diffusion maps and coarse-graining: A unified framework for dimensionality
reduction, graph partitioning, and data set parameterization. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach.*
Intell. **28**, 1393–1403 (2006).

102. Kairov, U. *et al.* Determining the optimal number of independent components for reproducible
transcriptomic data analysis. *BMC Genomics* **18**, 712 (2017).

103. Szklarczyk, D. *et al.* STRING v11: protein–protein association networks with increased coverage,
supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**,
D607–D613 (2018).

104. Yu, C. *et al.* A strategy for evaluating pathway analysis methods. *BMC Bioinformatics* **18**, 453

(2017).

105. Zhang, Y. *et al.* Purification and characterization of progenitor and mature human astrocytes

reveals transcriptional and functional differences with mouse. *Neuron* **89**, 37–53 (2016).

106. Habib, N. *et al.* Massively parallel single-nucleus RNA-seq with DroNc-seq. *Nat. Methods* **14**, 955–

958 (2017).

107. Darmanis, S. *et al.* A survey of human brain transcriptome diversity at the single cell level. *Proc.*

Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **112**, 7285–7290 (2015).

108. Li, M. *et al.* Integrative functional genomic analysis of human brain development and

neuropsychiatric risks. *Science* **362**, (2018).

109. Pirooznia, M. *et al.* SynaptomeDB: an ontology-based knowledgebase for synaptic genes.

Bioinformatics **28**, 897–899 (2012).

110. Markello, R. D. *et al.* neuromaps: structural and functional interpretation of brain maps. *bioRxiv*

2022.01.06.475081 (2022) doi:10.1101/2022.01.06.475081.

111. Paquola, C. *et al.* Microstructural and functional gradients are increasingly dissociated in

transmodal cortices. *PLoS Biol.* **17**, e3000284 (2019).

112. Glasser, M. F. *et al.* The minimal preprocessing pipelines for the Human Connectome Project.

Neuroimage **80**, 105–124 (2013).

113. Markello, R. D. & Misic, B. Comparing spatial null models for brain maps. Preprint at

https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.13.249797.