Zebrafish screen of high-confidence effector genes at insomnia GWAS loci implicates

conserved regulators of sleep-wake behaviors

Amber J. Zimmerman[a,b], Fusun Doldur-Balli[b], Brendan T. Keenan[b], Zoe Y. Shetty[b], Justin

Palermo[c], Alessandra Chesi[a,d], Shilpa Sonti[a], Matthew C. Pahl[a], Elizabeth B. Brown[c], James A.

Pippin[a], Andrew D. Wells[a,d,e], Olivia J. Veatch[f], Diego R. Mazzotti[g,h], Anitra Krishnan[b], Phillip R.

Gehrman[i], and Alex C. Keene[c], Struan F.A. Grant[a,j,k,l#], Allan I. Pack[b#]

[#]Denotes equal contribution

[a]Center for Spatial and Functional Genomics, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia,

PA, USA

[b]Division of Sleep Medicine, Department of Medicine, Perelman School of Medicine, University

of Pennsylvania, PA, United States

[c]Department of Biology, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, United States.

[d]Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Pennsylvania Perelman

School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA.

[e]Department of Pathology, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA, USA.

[f]Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, University of Kansas Medical Center,

Kansas City, KS, USA

[g]Division of Medical Informatics, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Kansas

Medical Center, Kansas City, KS, USA

[h]Division of Pulmonary Critical Care and Sleep Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine,

University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS, USA

[i]Department of Psychiatry, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, PA, USA

jDepartment of Pediatrics, The University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine,

Philadelphia, PA, USA

kDivision of Human Genetics, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA, USA

lDepartment of Genetics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

Abstract

Recent large-scale human genome-wide association studies (GWAS) for insomnia have

identified more than 200 significant loci. The functional relevance of these loci to the

pathogenesis of insomnia is largely unknown. GWAS signals are typically non-coding variants,

which are often arbitrarily annotated to the nearest protein-coding gene; however, due to 3D

chromatin structure, variants can interact with more distal genes driving their function. The distal

gene may, therefore, represent the true causal gene influencing the phenotype. By integrating

our high-resolution chromatin interaction maps from neural progenitor cells with phenotypic data

from a *Drosophila* RNAi screen, we prioritized candidate genes that we hypothesized would

have deep phylogenetic conservation of sleep function. To determine the conservation of these

candidate genes in the context of vertebrate sleep and their relevance to insomnia-like

behaviors, we performed CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis in larval zebrafish for six highly conserved

candidate genes and examined sleep-wake behaviors using automated video-tracking. CRISPR

mutation of zebrafish orthologs of *MEIS1* and *SKIV2L* produced insomnia-like behaviors, while

mutation of *ARFGAP2* impaired activity and development in our larval zebrafish model,

demonstrating the importance of performing functional validation of GWAS-implicated effector

genes to reveal genes influencing disease-relevant mechanisms.

1. Introduction

Chronic sleep disruption is linked to a variety of negative health sequelae, including impaired metabolic and cognitive function. Nearly one-third of the adult population reports chronic sleep disturbance and symptoms of insomnia (Stranges *et al.*, 2012). Insomnia is characterized as a combination of difficulty initiating sleep (increased sleep latency), and/or difficulty maintaining sleep accompanied by daytime consequences (e.g. fatigue, irritability) despite ample opportunity for sleep (Association, 2013; Morin *et al.*, 2015). Insomnia, along with other sleep traits including sleep duration, napping, and daytime dozing, are heritable and extremely polygenic (Dashti *et al.*, 2019; Lane *et al.*, 2017). Large-scale genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have revealed several hundred genomic loci for insomnia and other sleep traits (Dashti *et al.*, 2019; Hammerschlag *et al.*, 2017; Jansen *et al.*, 2019; Lane *et al.*, 2017; Watanabe *et al.*, 2022). Publicly available datasets for chromatin accessibility and gene expression have aided gene mapping at these GWAS loci; however, the majority of loci are still typically positionally mapped to the nearest gene or mapped using *in silico* prediction on aggregate data (Watanabe *et al.*, 2022). These approaches can misidentify the true causal effector gene(s) at a GWAS locus (Claussnitzer *et al.*, 2020; Forgetta *et al.*, 2022; Fulco *et al.*, 2019; Lappalainen and MacArthur, 2021; Smemo *et al.*, 2014; Tam *et al.*, 2019), which in turn can lead to mischaracterization of mechanisms underlying insomnia and limit the utility of human genomics data for informing clinical care. Detailed fine-mapping of genome-wide significant loci should ideally be carried out in a specific cell-type setting yielding disease-relevant information to prioritize candidate effector genes (Chesi *et al.*, 2019; Lasconi *et al.*, 2021; Lasconi *et al.*, 2022; Pahl *et al.*, 2021; Su *et al.*, 2020), and should be subsequently validated through functional phenotyping in model organisms to identify those with the greatest impact on disease pathogenesis.

Given the high conservation of sleep-wake behaviors, across species, model organisms can be

leveraged to study these behaviors by assessing changes to sleep characteristics, which

provide insight into the development of insomnia-like behaviors. High-throughput phenotyping in

model organisms can greatly speed up gene prioritization for drug discovery and therapeutic

development (Freeman *et al.*, 2013; Hendricks *et al.*, 2000; Tran and Prober, 2022). Zebrafish

are an established vertebrate model organism to deploy efficient CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis

paired with large-scale sleep phenotyping given both their genetic tractability and rapid

developmental timeline (Tran and Prober, 2022). Unlike some model organisms, including mice,

zebrafish sleep is diurnally regulated and primarily consolidated to the night similar to humans.

Additionally, zebrafish sleep is circadian-regulated, reversable, and has a heightened arousal

threshold, making them an appropriate model for assaying behavior relevant to sleep

dysfunction (Barlow and Rihel, 2017; Chiu and Prober, 2013; Rihel *et al.*, 2010; Tran and

Prober, 2022). Moreover, genetic conservation between zebrafish and human is relatively high

(Howe *et al.*, 2013), as they are both vertebrates, and indeed many of the genes identified to

date that regulate sleep are highly conserved (Jansen *et al.*, 2019).

To precisely identify causal effector genes associated with human insomnia GWAS loci, we

have developed a high-resolution method for 3D genomic mapping of GWAS variants using a

disease-relevant cell type (Palermo *et al.*, 2021; Su *et al.*, 2021). This approach integrates RNA-

seq, assay for transposase-accessible chromatin using sequencing (ATAC-seq) data, and high-

resolution promoter-focused Capture C in order to identify physical contacts between putatively

causal variants and open promoters at candidate effector genes (Chesi *et al.*, 2019; Pahl *et al.*,

2021; Su *et al.*, 2020) in neural progenitor cells (NPCs) (Palermo *et al.*, 2021). The identified

effector genes serve as strong candidates for functional studies in model organisms.

A high-throughput screen using RNA interference (RNAi) in *Drosophila* was then used to identify

effector genes that produced a significant alteration in sleep duration (Palermo *et al.*, 2021).

These studies in *Drosophila* produced a refined candidate gene list identifying highly conserved

regulators of sleep function that are relevant to human insomnia, including *SKIV2L, GNB3,*

CBX1, MEIS1, TCF12 and ARFGAP2. Of these genes, only *MEIS1* has been functionally

connected to a behavioral phenotype reminiscent of insomnia (Hammerschlag *et al.*, 2017; Lane

et al., 2017; Thireau *et al.*, 2017).

The current study applied CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis in a vertebrate model (zebrafish) (Kroll *et*

al., 2021) to determine if the function of these implicated genes is conserved in vertebrates and

relevant to sleep dysfunction observed in human insomnia. Since assessment of sleep in

zebrafish is dependent on assessment of movement, we first determined if there was any

evidence of movement abnormalities before examining sleep and then proceeded to examine

sleep characteristics in CRISPR mutants.

2. Results

2.1 *Identification of high-confidence insomnia effector genes*

To examine the role and evolutionary conservation of genes regulating sleep, we integrated 3D

genomics data (Palermo *et al.,* 2021; Su *et al.*, 2021) with phenotypic data from a high-

throughput *Drosophila RNAi* screen(Palermo *et al.*, 2021). Effector genes were defined as those

with promoters residing in open chromatin regions, which also display high resolution chromatin

contacts with putative insomnia causal variants associated with significant GWAS loci (**Fig. 1A**)

in neural progenitor cells (NPCs) (Palermo *et al.*, 2021). These genes are highly expressed in

NPCs and demonstrate high conservation across human, zebrafish, and *Drosophila (Hu et al.,*

2011) (**Supplementary Table 1**)*,* making them high-priority candidates for functional

assessment.

Our previous work performed high-throughput screening of candidate effector genes using

Drosophila RNAi, revealing a subset of genes producing exceptionally strong sleep phenotypes

(Palermo *et al.,* 2021) (**Fig. 1A, right panel**), of which we chose to perform functional follow-up

using zebrafish to identify conservation of function within a vertebrate model organism. The

human orthologs of these genes were *SKIV2L*, *GNB3*, *CBX1*, *MEIS1*, *TCF12*, and *ARFGAP2*,

which are involved in a variety of conserved cellular processes in humans involving

transcriptional regulation, cellular trafficking, and signal transduction.

To determine whether these candidate effector genes exhibit strong evolutionary conservation

of function related to sleep, we employed CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis in single-cell embryos

followed by rapid behavioral screening of F0 larval zebrafish (Kroll *et al.*, 2021) 5 to 7 days post

fertilization (**Fig. 1B**).

2.2 *Screening for gross movement phenotypes reveals ARFGAP2 ortholog as a*

neurodevelopmental gene

Given that our quantification of sleep in zebrafish is dependent on activity patterns, we first

sought to examine gross motor behaviors and activity patterns to eliminate potential confounds

that could contribute to the measured sleep behaviors. To do this, we measured waking activity,

which is calculated as the duration of movement only during "awake" minutes (awake threshold

>0.5 s/min) and serves as a proxy for general movement disruptions that may indicate gross

motor changes following genetic manipulation. Since many sleep-wake behaviors are not

normally distributed, we used a Wilcoxon rank sum test to test for significance between each

CRISPR mutant and its own control correcting for multiple comparisons across all eleven

measured sleep traits using a Hochberg step-up procedure (Hochberg, 1988; Huang and Hsu,

2007) (see **Methods**), which performs well when outcomes are correlated, as sleep traits

generally are. While, s*kiv2l*, *gnb3a*, *cbx1b*, *meis1b, and tcf12* mutants showed no significant (*P*

> 0.05 following multiple comparisons) changes to daytime or nighttime waking activity (**Fig. 2A-**

E, G-K), *Gnb3a* (**Fig. 2B**), *cbx1b* (**Fig. 2C**), and *tcf12* (**Fig. 2E**) mutants showed mildly

increased nighttime waking activity that did not reach the threshold for significance following

multiple comparisons, which may represent a subtle hyperactive phenotype during the night.

Although we observed no difference in nighttime waking activity of *arfgap2* mutants (mean

difference = -0.03 s/awake minute (-0.12, 0.06, *P* = 0.20, standardized mean difference (smd) =

-0.18)), we found a large reduction in daytime waking activity in *arfgap2* mutants (**Fig. 2L-M**)

(mean difference (95% CI) -0.90 s/awake minute (-1.21, -0.59), *P* <0.0001, smd = -1.34)

indicative of an impaired movement phenotype.

Genes influencing insomnia are also commonly involved in neuronal development and can

differentially influence behavior across developmental stages. Larval zebrafish develop an intact

nervous system within the first few days of development and gene mutations that disrupt

neurodevelopment lead to apparent changes in body morphology (Tran and Prober, 2022). We

observed no gross morphological changes to *skiv2l*, *gnb3a*, *cbx1b*, *meis1b*, or *tcf12* mutants

(data not shown); however, a clear and consistent morphological abnormality in *arfgap2*

mutants was apparent beginning on approximately day 3 post fertilization, whereby nearly all

mutants presented with a curvature in the tail (**Fig. 2N**). This morphological change paired with

the markedly reduced waking activity suggests *arfgap2* is important during early development

for proper motor development. Given this, we cannot reliably assess sleep/wake behavior in

mutants with knockout of this gene.

2.2 *Diurnal activity patterns are impacted by insomnia-associated genes.*

We next sought to describe the diurnal activity patterns in each of the mutants to determine

whether insomnia-associated genes influence patterns of rest and activity. Insomnia complaints

are often described as states of hypervigilance (Chen *et al.*, 2014) and hyperarousal

(Fernandez-Mendoza *et al.*, 2016; Kalmbach *et al.*, 2018). To capture similar states relating to

hyperactivity in zebrafish, we measured activity patterns across light and dark periods. As

expected, activity patterns showed robust entrainment by light-dark cycles in those mutants

(**Fig. 3A-C**). Our previous work using neuron-specific RNAi resulted in significantly reduced

activity duration in *Drosophila* (Palermo *et al.*, 2021). In zebrafish, however, we observed

significantly increased daytime activity duration in *skiv2l* mutants (mean difference (95% CI) =

20.56 s/h (5.61, 35.51), *P* = 0.005, smd = 0.43) (**Fig. 3D**) with no change in night activity mean

difference (95% CI) = 1.97 s/h (-1.53, 5.46), P = 0.28, smd = 0.18) (**Fig. 3E**). *MEIS1* has

commonly been associated with insomnia (Jansen *et al.*, 2019; Watanabe *et al.*, 2022) and

restless leg syndrome (Lam *et al.*, 2022; Salminen *et al.*, 2017; Schulte *et al.*, 2014; Spieler *et*

al., 2014), and knockdown in *Drosophila* resulted in reduced sleep with no change to

activity(Palermo *et al.*, 2021). Likewise, in zebrafish, we observed no significant change to

daytime activity (mean difference (95% CI) = 10.93 s/h (-1.62, 23.48), *P* = 0.2, smd = 0.23)

(**Fig. 3F**) or nighttime activity (mean difference (95% CI) = 1.37 s/h (-2.21, 4.94), *P* = 0.25, smd

= 0.10) (**Fig. 3G**). While knockdown of the *Drosophila* ortholog of *GNB3* displayed markedly

reduced activity(Palermo *et al.*, 2021), CRISPR mutation of the zebrafish ortholog did not

present with altered activity (**Supplementary Fig. 1A and B),** nor did *cbx1b* or *tcf12* mutants

(**Supplementary Fig. 1C-F**).

Given the robust reduction in daytime waking activity observed in *arfgap2* mutants, we

anticipated a reduction in total activity measured. Indeed, *arfgap2* mutants displayed

significantly reduced daytime activity (mean difference (95% CI) = -64.92 (-83.98, -45.87), *P* <

0.0001, smd = -1.60) (**Fig. 3H**) and nighttime activity (mean difference (95% CI) = -5.62 (-9.81, -

1.43), *P* = 0.004, smd = -0.62) (**Fig. 3I**), demonstrating mutation of *arfgap2* greatly impairs

movement in zebrafish.

2.3 *Total sleep duration and latency to sleep onset is perturbed in CRISPR mutants*

After screening for developmental and activity phenotypes, we characterized sleep in the six

zebrafish mutants to determine if these insomnia-associated genes influenced sleep duration in

vertebrates (zebrafish) in a similar manner to invertebrates (*Drosophila)*. We measured sleep

across the fourteen-hour day and the ten-hour night using a standardized criterion of inactivity

bouts lasting one minute or longer, as this has reliably been associated with the characteristics

observed in mammalian sleep (e.g. elevated arousal threshold) (Chiu and Prober, 2013; Prober

et al., 2006; Singh *et al.*, 2015; Tran and Prober, 2022; Zhdanova *et al.*, 2001). Diurnal sleep-

wake patterns were intact in mutant and control fish (**Fig. 4A-B and Supplementary Fig. 3A**).

Drosophila knockdown of the *SKIV2L* ortholog resulted in a robust increase in sleep duration

(Palermo *et al.*, 2021), but loss of *skiv2l* in zebrafish significantly reduced daytime sleep

duration (mean difference (95% CI) = -5.11 minutes/hour (-7.77, -2.45) , *P* = 0.0001, smd = -

0.61) (**Fig. 4C**), with a modest reduction in nighttime sleep duration (mean difference (95% CI) =

-2.65 minutes/hour (-5.68, 0.37), *P* = 0.07, smd = -0.28) (**Fig. 4D**).

Since waking activity and development were impacted by mutation of *arfgap2*, we cannot

reliably assess sleep in these fish. Because our measurement of sleep is defined as bouts of

inactivity greater than one minute, calculations of sleep appear to demonstrate an increase in

both daytime (mean difference (95% CI) = 26.09 minutes/hour (20.52, 31.66), *P* < 0.0001, smd

= 2.16) (**Supplementary Fig. 3B**) and nighttime sleep duration (mean difference (95% CI) =

8.37 minutes/hour (4.65, 12.09), *P* < 0.0001, smd = 1.06) (**Supplementary Fig. 3C**); however,

this is likely an artifact caused by significantly reduced movement. These data demonstrate the

importance of screening for developmental and activity phenotypes when relying on activity as a

metric for sleep.

Despite finding a significant reduction in total sleep duration measured in *Drosophila* following

knockdown of the *MEIS1 ortholog(Palermo et al., 2021),* loss of *meis1b* in zebrafish did not

significantly ($P > 0.05$) alter total sleep duration (**Fig. 4E-F**). No significant changes in total sleep

duration were observed in *gnb3a*, *tcf12*, and *cbx1b* mutants (**Supplementary Fig. 1G-L**).

Another key feature of insomnia is difficulty initiating sleep with an increase in latency to sleep

onset. Since zebrafish sleep is tightly regulated by light-dark transition, a measure of sleep

latency at night can be used to indicate time to sleep onset following lights-off. Mutations in

skiv2l produced an increase in sleep latency (mean difference (95% CI) = 1.43 minutes (0.33,

2.52), $P = 0.02$, smd = 0.41) (**Fig. 4G**); however, this difference did not strictly meet the

threshold for significance following multiple comparisons (see **Methods**). *Meis1b* mutants

showed an increased sleep latency (mean difference (95%) = 1.13 minutes (0.44, 1.82), $P =$

0.0004, smd = 0.45) (**Fig. 4H**), supporting the role of this gene in insomnia-like behavior.

Despite having reduced nighttime activity, *arfgap2* mutants did not have a significantly different

sleep latency relative to controls (mean difference = -1.40 minutes (-2.56, -0.24), $P = 0.08$, smd

= -0.54) (**Supplementary Fig. 3D**). Sleep latency was not altered in *gnb3a*, *tcf12*, or *cbx1b*

mutants (**Supplementary Fig. 2A-C**).

2.4 *Insomnia-associated genes contribute to changes in sleep continuity*

Total sleep duration is not always impacted in patients with insomnia; rather, sleep is

fragmented or considered not restorative leading to excessive daytime sleepiness (Association,

2013). We measured sleep bout length (the average length of each sleep period) during night

and day as well as arousal threshold to observe changes to sleep depth across mutant lines.

Furthermore, we measured sleep bout number (total number of sleep episodes) during day and

night as a representation of sleep fragmentation. Consistent with reduced daytime sleep in

skiv2l mutants, these larvae also demonstrated a reduction in sleep bout number during the day

(mean difference (95% CI) = -1.75 bouts/hour (-2.61, -0.89), *P* = 0.0001, smd = -0.65) (**Fig. 5A**),

with no change in bout number at night (mean difference (95% CI) = 0.26 bouts/hour (-0.39,

0.91), *P* = 0.52, smd = 0.13) (**Fig. 5B**). Although *meis1b* mutants did not present with a

generalized sleep duration abnormality, they did demonstrate a significant increase in the

number of sleep bouts at night (mean difference (95% CI) = 1.34 (0.80, 1.87) bouts/hour, *P* <

0.001, smd = 0.67) (**Fig. 5D**), with no change during the day (mean difference (95% CI) = 0.08

bouts/hour (-0.73, 0.90), *P* = 0.27, smd = 0.027) (**Fig. 5C**), indicating nighttime-specific sleep

fragmentation caused by a gene that is commonly associated with RLS (El Gewely *et al.*, 2018;

Schulte *et al.*, 2014).

There was no significant change in sleep bout length observed for *skiv2l* mutants during the day

(mean difference (95% CI) = -0.22 minutes/bout (-0.58, 0.14), *P* = 0.51, smd = -0.20) or night

(mean difference (95% CI) = -1.22 minutes/bout (-2.39, -0.05), P = 0.20, smd = -0.33 (**Fig. 5E**

and F). Consistent with a fragmented sleep phenotype, nighttime sleep bout length was

significantly shortened in *meis1b* mutants (mean difference (95% CI) = -1.49 minutes/bout (-

2.52, -0.46), *P* = 0.002, smd = -0.4) (**Fig. 5H**), with no changes observed during the day (mean

difference (95% CI) = -0.12 minutes/bout (-0.33, 0.10), *P* = 0.21, smd = -0.15) (**Fig. 5G**),

demonstrating depth of sleep at night is also impacted by this gene.

We measured sleep bout number and bout length in *arfgap2* mutants to identify fragmented

activity patterns throughout the day and night. Bouts of prolonged inactivity were apparent in

arfgap2 mutants manifesting as an increase in the number of daytime "sleep" bouts (mean

difference (95% CI) = 4.11 bout/hour (2.39, 5.83), *P* < 0.0001, smd = 1.12) (**Supplementary**

Fig. 3E). No change was observed for nighttime sleep bout number in *arfgap2* mutants

(**Supplementary Fig. 3F**). Both day (mean difference = 2.85 minutes/bout (1.46, 4.23), $P <$

0.0001, smd = 1.04) (**Supplementary Fig. 3G**) and night inactivity bouts were longer (mean

difference (95% CI) = 3.68 minutes/bout (1.25, 6.11), P = 0.002, smd = 0.75) (**Supplementary**

Fig. 3H). These data imply that while *arfgap2* mutants spend the majority of their day in an

immobile state, they do frequently switch between states of complete immobility and activity, as

marked by an increase in the number of daytime "sleep" bouts.

Despite having no changes to total sleep or activity duration, *tcf12* mutants had fewer sleep

bouts during the night (mean difference (95% CI) = -0.98 bouts/h (-1.74, -0.22), P = 0.005, smd

= -0.47) (**Supplementary Fig. 4F**) and shorter sleep bouts during the night (mean difference

(95.% CI) = -1.14 minutes/bout (-2.39, 0.10, P = 0.03, smd = -0.34)) (**Supplementary Fig. 4L**);

however, these differences did not meet the strict threshold for significance following multiple

comparisons (see **Methods**). No significant changes were observed for these sleep

characteristics in the *gnb3a* or *cbx1b* mutants (**Supplementary Fig. 5A-D and G-J**). Together,

these data support a conserved role for *meis1b* and *skiv2l* in promoting sleep-wake disruption

primarily through altering sleep duration and consolidation. Although, the measured sleep

characteristics in *tcf12* mutants did not meet the conservative threshold for significance

following multiple comparisons, loss of *tcf12* does appear to impact nighttime sleep continuity.

Arousal threshold is increased during sleep in zebrafish (Prober *et al.*, 2006; Zhdanova *et al.*,

2001) similar to humans, and increased nighttime arousal is a common feature of insomnia

(Bonnet and Arand, 2000; Mahowald and Schenck, 2005). Using mechano-acoustic stimuli of

different intensities (**Supplementary Fig. 5B**), we measured the arousal response of each

mutant line during the night. We measured EC50 for each mutant line and their respective

control. EC50 compares the half-maximal response and corresponds to the stimulus intensity

which elicits a response half-way between the minimum and maximum response and represents

a threshold at which approximately half of the fish are aroused. While there is a slight shift to the

right in the response curves at lower frequencies for *skiv2l* (**Supplementary Fig. 5C)**, *meis1b*

(Supplementary Fig. 5D), and *arfgap2* mutants **(Supplementary Fig. 5E),** suggesting reduced

arousal response at low stimuli intensities, the EC50 and maximal responses did not

significantly differ for any group ($P > 0.05$ by extra sum-of-squares F-test). There was an

expected step-wise increase in the fraction of responsive larvae as stimulus intensity increased

(**Supplementary Fig. 5C-E**) indicating the majority of fish were asleep pre-stimulus and had an

intact arousal response.

3. Discussion

There is an abundance of genomic data available through public repositories generated from

GWAS and other sequencing approaches; however, functional characterization lags in

validating the actual underlying genomic factors contributing to different phenotypes. Here, we

demonstrate a proof-of-principle approach for moving from GWAS-implicated effector genes to

validation in a vertebrate model organism for insomnia.

We elected to perform functional validation of top candidate genes identified using 3D genomics

and *Drosophila* sleep data (Palermo *et al.*, 2021). The candidate genes screened in this study

have been mapped to insomnia GWAS-associated loci using ATAC-seq and promoter-focused

Capture C protocols to identify high-resolution contacts between insomnia GWAS signals and

effector genes (Palermo *et al.*, 2021). Through a large-scale neuron-specific RNAi screen in

adult *Drosophila melanogaster*, loss of function of these genes was shown to produce robust

sleep phenotypes (Palermo *et al.*, 2021), demonstrating their high conservation and potential

regulatory function in sleep. The studies reported here tested the evolutionary conservation of

function related to six genes which are highly conserved at the amino acid level across species

and produced strong sleep phenotypes in *Drosophila* (*MEIS1*, *CBX1*, *TCF12, ARFGAP2,*

SKIV2L, and *GNB3).*

Increasingly, studies have shown that in addition to total sleep duration, altered sleep

characteristics and poor sleep quality are predictive of negative health sequelae (Fernandez-

Mendoza, 2017; Martin *et al.*, 2011; Wallace *et al.*, 2018). This includes day-to-day variability in

sleep duration, sleep onset and waking time, as well as sleep fragmentation and excessive

daytime sleepiness. Zebrafish provide a model system to observe these nuanced behaviors

absent of external influences. Our results indicated disruptions to sleep continuity in multiple

mutant lines as well as altered daytime sleep and activity, suggesting these genes play a role in

more complex sleep-wake maintenance.

We observed that CRISPR mutation of *meis1b*, the strongest ortholog for human *MEIS1*, results

in significantly fragmented nighttime sleep as well as increased sleep latency after lights-off.

MEIS1 is highly conserved, with 95% amino acid identity between zebrafish and humans(Hu *et*

al., 2011). This gene encodes the Myeloid Ecotropic Viral Integration Site 1 protein, which acts

as a transcription factor (Moskow *et al.*, 1995). The *MEIS1* locus is one of the strongest

association signals from previous insomnia GWAS (Jansen *et al.*, 2019; Lane *et al.*, 2017;

Watanabe *et al.*, 2022). Our variant 3D-mapping approach identified a putative causal variant in

strong linkage disequilibrium (LD) with the sentinel GWAS SNP at this locus, rs1519102, which

contacted the *MEIS1* promoter residing in open chromatin within NPCs (Palermo *et al.*, 2021).

rs1519102 resides within an intronic region, which suggests it is harbored in a cis-regulatory

element acting as a transcriptional enhancer in a cell-specific manner(Lam *et al.*, 2022). Work

by Lam and colleagues (Lam *et al.*, 2022) further identified expression quantitative trait loci

(eQTL) residing within this region specific to brain cell types, including within the cerebellum,

one of the brain regions where *MEIS1* is highly expressed. While *MEIS1* has repeatedly been

identified as a candidate gene for insomnia (Hammerschlag *et al.*, 2017; Jansen *et al.*, 2019;

Lane *et al.*, 2017), there is debate as to whether its role in sleep disturbance is primarily due to

its association with RLS (El Gewely *et al.*, 2018; Watanabe *et al.*, 2022). The consistent finding

of *MEIS1* in GWAS for insomnia may represent a large proportion of undiagnosed RLS in the

UK Biobank sample from which these data are derived (El Gewely *et al.*, 2018). *MEIS1*

knockouts have shown hyperactive phenotypes in mice (Salminen *et al.*, 2017; Spieler *et al.*,

2014), but it is unclear if this phenotype translates to sleep. Our model reveals a phenotype

indicative of fragmented sleep that predominantly occurs at night, which is in line with the

potential role in RLS, and implies that MEIS1 is acting in a circadian pattern to alter arousal and

sleep consolidation. These mutants also had an increase in nighttime sleep latency, which is a

common characteristic of insomnia.

Mutation of *skiv2l* in zebrafish significantly reduced sleep duration and had a modest effect in

increasing sleep latency. In addition, these mutants showed daytime hyperactivity, suggesting a

state of hyperarousal consistent with an insomnia-like condition. *SKIV2L* encodes the

Superkiller Viralicilic Activity 2-Like RNA helicase and was 3D-mapped to the *MICB* GWAS

locus (sentinel SNP rs3131638) on chromosome 6 (Palermo *et al.*, 2021). It is located within a

conserved region of the major histocompatibility complex (Dangel *et al.*, 1995; Sultmann *et al.*,

2000) and is believed to play a role in antiviral activity by blocking translation of poly(A) deficient

mRNAs (Dangel *et al.*, 1995). *SKIV2L* is fairly highly conserved between zebrafish and humans

with 60% overall amino acid conservation and >90% conservation of the specific sequence

encoding the DEAD box helicase (Hu *et al.*, 2011), suggesting the role in RNA metabolism is

particularly crucial. The mechanism by which *SKIV2L* acts to influence sleep is unknown;

however, RNA metabolism is circadian-regulated and disturbed sleep has been shown to be

associated with alterations in RNA metabolism (Moller-Levet *et al.*, 2013). Loss-of-function in

SKIV2L produced robust sleep phenotypes in both flies (Palermo *et al.,* 2021) and zebrafish,

suggesting strong conservation of function related to this gene. Interestingly, the sleep duration

phenotype was opposite in these two model organisms. Although the *SKIV2L* locus is

conserved, the GWAS variant (intron of MICB) is not. This suggests that while *SKIV2L* acts to

regulate sleep in both species, its interaction with regulatory factors within each species may

differentially modulate the behavior.

ARFGAP2 encodes the ADP Ribosylation Factor GTPase Activating Protein 2 and was 3D-

mapped to the *NDUFS3* GWAS locus (sentinel SNP rs11605348) on chromosome 11 (Palermo

et al., 2021). It is 66% conserved at the amino acid level between human and zebrafish (Hu *et*

al., 2011) and is highly expressed early in development (Alliance of Genome Resources, 2020),

likely explaining the developmental phenotype observed in our larval model.

ARFGAP2 has not been extensively studied in the context of behavioral characteristics;

however, it has been associated with synaptic plasticity (Colameo *et al.*, 2021; Zhang *et al.*,

2012) and neurocognitive disorders including depression(Nagel *et al.*, 2018) and Alzheimer's

disease (Gouveia *et al.*, 2022), which are both associated with disrupted sleep. The zebrafish

harboring mutations in *arfgap2* appeared normal through early development (1-2 days post

fertilization); however, by the third day, approximately half of the larvae began to appear

abnormal with a curved tail. By 5 days post fertilization, when the sleep assay began, nearly all

mutant larvae appeared developmentally abnormal; however, very few died. *Arfgap2* expression

is high during this time frame and likely serves an important role during a critical period of

development. Single cell RNA sequencing data show expression of *ARFGAP2* in skeletal

myocytes (Karlsson *et al.*, 2021), which may contribute to the morphological and movement

abnormalities.

ATAC-seq and promoter-focused capture C showed proxy SNPs at the *TCF12* insomnia GWAS

locus contacting its own promoter (Palermo *et al.*, 2021). Human data indicate *TCF12* is highly

expressed in oligodendrocytes and their precursors (Karlsson *et al.*, 2021) and controls

oligodendroglial cell proliferation through transcriptional regulation (Wang *et al.*, 2014), which

has been implicated in sleep regulation (Bellesi, 2015; Bellesi *et al.*, 2013). Although the sleep

traits we measured in *tcf12* mutants showed modest effects (smd 0.34-0.48) that did not meet

the significance threshold following multiple comparisons, their sleep was consistently abnormal

across multiple nighttime parameters including nighttime waking activity, sleep bout number,

and sleep bout length at night, indicating this transcription factor may play a role in night-specific

activity regulation similar to *meis1*.

Surprisingly, we did not observe a significant phenotype in *gnb3a* mutants. This gene has been

shown to be associated with sleep quality and diurnal preference in humans (Parsons *et al.*,

2014) and is highly conserved in vertebrates (Ritchey *et al.*, 2010) . Single cell RNA sequencing

data indicate *GNB3* is most highly expressed in retinal cells (Karlsson *et al.*, 2021) and is

associated with congenital stationary night blindness (Vincent *et al.*, 2016). While *gnb3* may be

important for sensing changes to light that may influence diurnal activity regulation, zebrafish

larvae have other photoreceptive cells that may compensate for loss of this gene (Fernandes *et*

al., 2012).

We did not observe significant changes to sleep or activity in *cbx1* mutant zebrafish. Our 3D

genomics data identified multiple genes at this GWAS locus on chromosome 17 contacted by

the insomnia-associated SNPs (Palermo *et al.*, 2021); therefore, this gene may not act

independently in vertebrates to influence sleep-wake behaviors.

4. **Limitations**

The current work was based on GWAS data primarily from individuals of European ancestry and

may not be generalizable across all ancestral groups.

Several of these genes exhibit differential expression across development. In these

experiments, we assayed larval zebrafish, while, in contrast, our previous work tested sleep in

adult *Drosophila*. Knockdown of the *arfgap2* ortholog in *Drosophila* produced a significant

reduction in sleep duration, yet the CRISPR mutation in zebrafish produced abnormal wake and

sleep patterns paired with developmental abnormalities. The differences in phenotypes

observed between larval zebrafish and adult *Drosophila* with perturbed *arfgap2* expression is

likely due to developmental expression differences, demonstrating the benefit of cross-species

and cross-development observation. Moreover, our experiments in *Drosophila* (Palermo *et al*.,

2021) used neuron-specific RNA-interference to knockdown gene expression in neuronal cells,

sparing expression elsewhere. This, too, likely contributed to the phenotypic differences

observed.

Using F0 larvae for screening is a rapid and efficient approach for assaying sleep behavior to

narrow long lists of candidate genes (Kroll *et al*., 2021); however, it has inherent limitations.

While we found that extremely little mosaicism was apparent in our larvae harboring the

CRISPR mutations, there was a high degree of variability in behavior of both mutants and

controls. The variability is possibly due to trauma induced by the injections, which is why we

used scramble-sgRNA-injected controls for comparison. This variability likely diminishes true

sleep-activity phenotypes and may result in false-negative results. Given we previously

observed robust sleep phenotypes in *Drosophila* RNAi lines for these genes (Palermo *et al*.,

2021), we cannot rule out those candidate genes that demonstrated minimal phenotypes;

however this approach highlights those with particularly strong phenotypes in a vertebrate

model. Rather, future studies assessing stable F1 mutant lines represent a promising tool to

assess these genes. Additionally, several of these genes are duplicated in zebrafish and one

ortholog may offer compensatory action over the mutated gene. We chose to focus on the

ortholog with strongest conservation across species as we hypothesized that this would be most

consistent with the *Drosophila* screen; however, double knockouts are warranted in the future to

assess behavior.

5.　Conclusion

The genes examined in the current study were implicated as putative causal genes associated

with insomnia GWAS signals through 3D genomics approaches and were shown to significantly

impact invertebrate sleep characteristics indicating high conservation of function. We

demonstrate that the orthologs of *SKIV2L* and *MEIS1* are deeply conserved and important for

vertebrate sleep maintenance as well. We also find that *ARFGAP2* is required for proper

development of motor behaviors to produce normal activity rhythms. This work also

demonstrates the utility of employing cross-species paradigms to examine conserved behaviors,

as we show that not all genes have strong conservation of function across different model

organisms. Together, we provide rationale for the functional interrogation of GWAS-associated

effector genes using large-scale screening approaches in model organisms to identify promising

target genes for disease intervention.

6.　Methods

6.1 Animal use

All experiments with zebrafish were conducted in accordance with the University of

Pennsylvania Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines. Breeding pairs consisted

of wild-type AB and TL (Tupfel Long-fin) strains. Fish were housed in standard conditions with

14-hour:10-hour light:dark cycle at 28.5°C, with lights on at 9 a.m (ZT0). and lights off at 11 p.m

(ZT14).

6.2 CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis

Single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) were designed using the online tool Crispor

(http://crispor.tefor.net/) with the reference genome set to "NCBI GRCz11" and the protospacer

adjacent motif (PAM) set to "20bp-NGG-Sp Cas9, SpCas9-HF, eSpCas9 1.1." sgRNAs were

prioritized by specificity score (>95%) with 0 predicted off-targets with up to 3 mismatches. The

zebrafish sequence was obtained using Ensembl (https://useast.ensembl.org/) with GRCz11 as

the reference genome. Sequence was aligned to the human amino acid sequence using

MARRVEL (http://marrvel.org/) to identify the region with highest conservation, and each sgRNA

was designed targeting this conserved exonic region (**Supplementary Table 2**). AB/TL

breeding pairs were set up overnight and embryos collected in embryonic growth media (E3

medium; 5mM NaCl, 0.17 mM KCl, 0.33 mM CaCl2, 0.33 mM MgSO4) the following morning

shortly after lights-on. Pre-formed ribonuclear protein (RNP) complexes containing the sgRNA

and Cas9 enzyme were injected at the single-cell stage alternating between the gene group and

scramble-injected negative control group. Embryos were left unperturbed for one day before

being transported to fresh E3 media in petri dishes (approximately 50 per dish). All embryos and

larvae were housed in an incubator at 28.5°C, with lights on at 9 a.m. (ZT0) and lights off at 11

p.m (ZT14). Dead embryos and chorion membranes were removed daily until day 5 post

fertilization. On day 5, CRISPR mutants and scramble-injected controls were pipetted into

individual wells of a 96-well plate and placed into a zebrabox (Viewpoint Life Sciences) for

automated video monitoring. Genotypes were placed into alternating rows to minimize location

bias within the plate. Each zebrabox is sound-attenuating and contains circulating water held at

a temperature of 28.5ºC with automated lights cycling on the same 14-hour:10-hour light/dark

cycle. Sleep-wake behaviors were measured through automated video-tracking, as described

previously (Kroll *et al.*, 2021; Palermo *et al.*, 2021; Rihel *et al.*, 2010).

6.3 DNA extraction and PCR for genotyping

DNA extraction was performed per the manufacturer's protocol (Quanta bio, Beverly, MA)

immediately following completion of the sleep assay, as described previously(Palermo *et al.*,

2021). Larvae were euthanized by rapid cooling on a mixture of ice and water between 2-4°C for

a minimum of 30 minutes after complete cessation of movement was observed. Genotyping was

performed on individual fish at the conclusion of each sleep assay. Either restriction digest or

headloop PCR methods were used to validate mutations (Kroll *et al.*, 2021; Palermo *et al.*,

2021; Rand *et al.*, 2005). Primers for genotyping are listed in **Supplementary Table 3**. All

primers were run on a 2% agarose gel and sequence verified using Sanger sequencing to verify

the target region.

6.4 Data collection and analysis for sleep phenotyping

Activity data were captured using automated video tracking (Viewpoint Life Sciences) software

in quantization mode (Palermo *et al.*, 2021). As described previously (Chen *et al.*, 2017),

threshold for detection was set as the following: detection threshold: 20; burst: 29; freeze: 3; bin

size: 60 seconds. Data were processed using custom MATLAB scripts (Lee *et al.*, 2022) to

calculate the following parameters for both day and night separately: sleep duration

(minutes/hour), activity duration (seconds/hour), waking activity (seconds/awake minute/hour),

sleep bout length (minutes/bout), sleep bout number (number/hour) and nighttime sleep latency

(minutes). All animals were allowed to acclimate to the zebrabox for approximately 24 hours

before beginning continuous data collection for 48 hours starting at lights-on.

6.5 Arousal Threshold Assay

A mechano-acoustic stimulus was used to determine arousal threshold using a protocol adapted

from previous work (Reichert *et al.*, 2019; Singh *et al.*, 2015). Individual fish were placed into

alternating columns of a 96-well plate (**Supplementary Fig. 5A**) to avoid location bias. Ten

different vibration frequencies were applied, which consistently produced a step-wise increase

in arousability. Frequencies were pseudo-randomly assigned to prevent acclimation to any

given stimulus frequency throughout the trials. Frequency steps of 40Hz were ordered as

follows: 560Hz, 400Hz, 520Hz, 720Hz, 440Hz, 680Hz, 480Hz, 760Hz, 600Hz, 640Hz. These ten

frequencies were each presented ten times for 5 seconds every 3 minutes (5 seconds on, 2

mins 55 seconds off) beginning at 1 a.m. (ZT16) and ending at 6 a.m. (ZT21) (**Supplementary**

Fig. 5B). Lower frequencies produced larger changes in movement and an increase in the

fraction of responsive larvae. Therefore, analyses were presented as highest-to-lowest

frequency representing lowest-to-highest intensity of stimulation (i.e. 760Hz, 720Hz, 680Hz,

640Hz, 600Hz, 560Hz, 520Hz, 480Hz, 440Hz, 400Hz). The response to stimuli was measured

by automated video tracking and analyzed using Matlab (Mathworks) and Excel (Microsoft). The

response fraction represents the percentage of larvae considered to be asleep during the 5

second pre-stimulus baseline (activity <0.01s/5sec, determined empirically using average

movement prestimulus) and whose activity increased over baseline during the stimulus

presentation. Curve-fit and statistical analyses were carried out in Prism V9 (Graphpad) using

non-linear regression (Agonist vs Response--Variable slope (four parameters)) with extra sum-

of-squares F-test used to compare EC50 (half-maximal response) and top (maximal response)

as described previously (Singh *et al.*, 2015).

6.6 Statistical analysis and control for multiple comparisons across sleep traits

Continuous data are summarized using means and 95% confidence intervals (CI). Effect sizes

are described as standardized mean difference (smd). Phenotypes of interest included a total of

eleven measurements related to sleep and activity, including day and night sleep duration,

activity, waking activity, sleep bout length, and sleep bout number, as well as nocturnal sleep

latency. Analyses compared these traits between scramble-injected and CRIPSR mutant fish for

six different candidate genes – *skiv2l, meis1b*, *gnb3a*, *cbx1b*, *tcf12*, and *arfgap2.* Primary

comparisons between mutant and scramble-injected fish were performed using non-parametric

Wilcoxon rank-sum tests to mitigate any potential impact of non-normality of the endpoints. A

Hochberg step-up procedure (Hochberg, 1988; Huang and Hsu, 2007) was applied to the

analysis of each gene to maintain gene-specific type I error at the desired level of 0.05 across

the tested hypotheses. Briefly, to implement the Hochberg method the p-values for the set of

eleven null hypotheses are ordered from largest to smallest, and each p-value is compared to a

sequentially decreasing alpha-level to determine whether the associated null hypothesis (and

subsequent hypotheses) should be rejected. Symbolically, for the set of p-values $\{p_1, \ldots p_{11}\}$,

ordered from largest to smallest and testing the corresponding set of null hypotheses $\{H_{o1}, \ldots$

$H_{o11}\}$, the procedure is implemented as:

Step 1: Evaluate whether $p_1 < 0.05$. If yes, reject H_{o1} and all subsequent null hypotheses

$\{H_{o2}, \ldots H_{o11}\}$. Else, do not reject H_{o1} and go to Step 2.

Step 2: Evaluate whether $p_2 < 0.05/2$. If yes, reject H_{o2} and all subsequent null hypotheses

$\{H_{o3}, \ldots H_{o11}\}$. Else, do not reject H_{o2} and go to Step 3.

[…]

Step 11: Evaluate whether $p_k < 0.05/11$. If yes, reject H_{o11}. Else, none of the null hypotheses

$\{H_{o1}, \ldots H_{o11}\}$ are rejected and stop.

Comparisons may be significant by the rank sum analysis but not reach the threshold for

significance following multiple comparisons using the Hochberg approach described. In this

case, results should be interpreted with caution.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by NIH grant T32 HL07953, R01 HL143790, and P01 HL094307. Dr.

Grant is supported by NIH awards R01 AG057516 and R01 HD056465 and the Daniel B. Burke

Endowed Chair.

Disclosure Statement

The authors have nothing to disclose.

Author contributions

A.J.Z. designed and carried out experiments, analyzed data, and wrote and edited the

manuscript. F.D.B. assisted with experiments and edited the manuscript. B.T.K. performed

statistical analyses and edited the manuscript. Z.Y.S. assisted with experiments. J.P., A.C.,

S.S., M.C.P., E.B.B., J.A.P., A.D.W., O.J.V., D.R.M., and A.K., assisted with experimental

design, data collection, interpretation, and edited the manuscript. P.R.G., A.C.K., S.F.G., and

A.I.P conceptualized and designed experiments and contributed to writing and editing the

manuscript.

References

Alliance of Genome Resources, C., 2020. Alliance of Genome Resources Portal: unified model
organism research platform. *Nucleic Acids Res* 48**,** D650-D658.
Association, A.P., 2013. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders*
Barlow, I.L., Rihel, J., 2017. Zebrafish sleep: from geneZZZ to neuronZZZ. *Curr Opin*
Neurobiol 44**,** 65-71.
Bellesi, M., 2015. Sleep and oligodendrocyte functions. *Curr Sleep Med Rep* 1**,** 20-26.
Bellesi, M., Pfister-Genskow, M., Maret, S., Keles, S., Tononi, G., Cirelli, C., 2013. Effects of
sleep and wake on oligodendrocytes and their precursors. *J Neurosci* 33**,** 14288-14300.
Bonnet, M.H., Arand, D.L., 2000. Activity, arousal, and the MSLT in patients with insomnia.
Sleep 23**,** 205-212.
Chen, M.C., Chang, C., Glover, G.H., Gotlib, I.H., 2014. Increased insula coactivation with
salience networks in insomnia. *Biol Psychol* 97**,** 1-8.

Chen, S., Reichert, S., Singh, C., Oikonomou, G., Rihel, J., Prober, D.A., 2017. Light-Dependent
Regulation of Sleep and Wake States by Prokineticin 2 in Zebrafish. *Neuron* 95**,** 153-168
e156.
Chesi, A., Wagley, Y., Johnson, M.E., Manduchi, E., Su, C., Lu, S., Leonard, M.E., Hodge,
K.M., Pippin, J.A., Hankenson, K.D., Wells, A.D., Grant, S.F.A., 2019. Genome-scale
Capture C promoter interactions implicate effector genes at GWAS loci for bone mineral
density. *Nat Commun* 10**,** 1260.
Chiu, C.N., Prober, D.A., 2013. Regulation of zebrafish sleep and arousal states: current and
prospective approaches. *Front Neural Circuits* 7**,** 58.
Claussnitzer, M., Cho, J.H., Collins, R., Cox, N.J., Dermitzakis, E.T., Hurles, M.E., Kathiresan,
S., Kenny, E.E., Lindgren, C.M., MacArthur, D.G., North, K.N., Plon, S.E., Rehm, H.L.,
Risch, N., Rotimi, C.N., Shendure, J., Soranzo, N., McCarthy, M.I., 2020. A brief history
of human disease genetics. *Nature* 577**,** 179-189.
Colameo, D., Rajman, M., Soutschek, M., Bicker, S., von Ziegler, L., Bohacek, J., Winterer, J.,
Germain, P.L., Dieterich, C., Schratt, G., 2021. Pervasive compartment-specific
regulation of gene expression during homeostatic synaptic scaling. *EMBO Rep* 22**,**
e52094.
Dangel, A.W., Shen, L., Mendoza, A.R., Wu, L.C., Yu, C.Y., 1995. Human helicase gene
SKI2W in the HLA class III region exhibits striking structural similarities to the yeast
antiviral gene SKI2 and to the human gene KIAA0052: emergence of a new gene family.
Nucleic Acids Res 23**,** 2120-2126.
Dashti, H.S., Jones, S.E., Wood, A.R., Lane, J.M., van Hees, V.T., Wang, H., Rhodes, J.A.,
Song, Y., Patel, K., Anderson, S.G., Beaumont, R.N., Bechtold, D.A., Bowden, J., Cade,
B.E., Garaulet, M., Kyle, S.D., Little, M.A., Loudon, A.S., Luik, A.I., Scheer, F.,
Spiegelhalder, K., Tyrrell, J., Gottlieb, D.J., Tiemeier, H., Ray, D.W., Purcell, S.M.,
Frayling, T.M., Redline, S., Lawlor, D.A., Rutter, M.K., Weedon, M.N., Saxena, R.,
2019. Genome-wide association study identifies genetic loci for self-reported habitual
sleep duration supported by accelerometer-derived estimates. *Nat Commun* 10**,** 1100.
El Gewely, M., Welman, M., Xiong, L., Yin, S., Catoire, H., Rouleau, G., Montplaisir, J.Y.,
Desautels, A., Warby, S.C., 2018. Reassessing GWAS findings for the shared genetic
basis of insomnia and restless legs syndrome. *Sleep* 41.
Fernandes, A.M., Fero, K., Arrenberg, A.B., Bergeron, S.A., Driever, W., Burgess, H.A., 2012.
Deep brain photoreceptors control light-seeking behavior in zebrafish larvae. *Curr Biol*
22**,** 2042-2047.
Fernandez-Mendoza, J., 2017. The insomnia with short sleep duration phenotype: an update on
it's importance for health and prevention. *Curr Opin Psychiatry* 30**,** 56-63.
Fernandez-Mendoza, J., Li, Y., Vgontzas, A.N., Fang, J., Gaines, J., Calhoun, S.L., Liao, D.,
Bixler, E.O., 2016. Insomnia is Associated with Cortical Hyperarousal as Early as
Adolescence. *Sleep* 39**,** 1029-1036.
Forgetta, V., Jiang, L., Vulpescu, N.A., Hogan, M.S., Chen, S., Morris, J.A., Grinek, S., Benner,
C., Jang, D.K., Hoang, Q., Burtt, N., Flannick, J.A., McCarthy, M.I., Fauman, E.,
Greenwood, C.M.T., Maurano, M.T., Richards, J.B., 2022. An effector index to predict
target genes at GWAS loci. *Hum Genet* 141**,** 1431-1447.
Freeman, A.A., Syed, S., Sanyal, S., 2013. Modeling the genetic basis for human sleep disorders
in Drosophila. *Commun Integr Biol* 6**,** e22733.

Fulco, C.P., Nasser, J., Jones, T.R., Munson, G., Bergman, D.T., Subramanian, V., Grossman, S.R., Anyoha, R., Doughty, B.R., Patwardhan, T.A., Nguyen, T.H., Kane, M., Perez, E.M., Durand, N.C., Lareau, C.A., Stamenova, E.K., Aiden, E.L., Lander, E.S., Engreitz, J.M., 2019. Activity-by-contact model of enhancer-promoter regulation from thousands of CRISPR perturbations. *Nat Genet* 51**,** 1664-1669.

Gouveia, C., Gibbons, E., Dehghani, N., Eapen, J., Guerreiro, R., Bras, J., 2022. Genome-wide association of polygenic risk extremes for Alzheimer's disease in the UK Biobank. *Sci Rep* 12**,** 8404.

Hammerschlag, A.R., Stringer, S., de Leeuw, C.A., Sniekers, S., Taskesen, E., Watanabe, K., Blanken, T.F., Dekker, K., Te Lindert, B.H.W., Wassing, R., Jonsdottir, I., Thorleifsson, G., Stefansson, H., Gislason, T., Berger, K., Schormair, B., Wellmann, J., Winkelmann, J., Stefansson, K., Oexle, K., Van Someren, E.J.W., Posthuma, D., 2017. Genome-wide association analysis of insomnia complaints identifies risk genes and genetic overlap with psychiatric and metabolic traits. *Nat Genet* 49**,** 1584-1592.

Hendricks, J.C., Sehgal, A., Pack, A.I., 2000. The need for a simple animal model to understand sleep. *Prog Neurobiol* 61**,** 339-351.

Hochberg, Y., 1988. *A sharper bonferroni procedure for multiple tests of significance*. New York University Graduate School of Business Administration.

Howe, K., Clark, M.D., Torroja, C.F., Torrance, J., Berthelot, C., Muffato, M., Collins, J.E., Humphray, S., McLaren, K., Matthews, L., McLaren, S., Sealy, I., Caccamo, M., Churcher, C., Scott, C., Barrett, J.C., Koch, R., Rauch, G.J., White, S., Chow, W., Kilian, B., Quintais, L.T., Guerra-Assuncao, J.A., Zhou, Y., Gu, Y., Yen, J., Vogel, J.H., Eyre, T., Redmond, S., Banerjee, R., Chi, J., Fu, B., Langley, E., Maguire, S.F., Laird, G.K., Lloyd, D., Kenyon, E., Donaldson, S., Sehra, H., Almeida-King, J., Loveland, J., Trevanion, S., Jones, M., Quail, M., Willey, D., Hunt, A., Burton, J., Sims, S., McLay, K., Plumb, B., Davis, J., Clee, C., Oliver, K., Clark, R., Riddle, C., Elliot, D., Threadgold, G., Harden, G., Ware, D., Begum, S., Mortimore, B., Kerry, G., Heath, P., Phillimore, B., Tracey, A., Corby, N., Dunn, M., Johnson, C., Wood, J., Clark, S., Pelan, S., Griffiths, G., Smith, M., Glithero, R., Howden, P., Barker, N., Lloyd, C., Stevens, C., Harley, J., Holt, K., Panagiotidis, G., Lovell, J., Beasley, H., Henderson, C., Gordon, D., Auger, K., Wright, D., Collins, J., Raisen, C., Dyer, L., Leung, K., Robertson, L., Ambridge, K., Leongamornlert, D., McGuire, S., Gilderthorp, R., Griffiths, C., Manthravadi, D., Nichol, S., Barker, G., Whitehead, S., Kay, M., Brown, J., Murnane, C., Gray, E., Humphries, M., Sycamore, N., Barker, D., Saunders, D., Wallis, J., Babbage, A., Hammond, S., Mashreghi-Mohammadi, M., Barr, L., Martin, S., Wray, P., Ellington, A., Matthews, N., Ellwood, M., Woodmansey, R., Clark, G., Cooper, J., Tromans, A., Grafham, D., Skuce, C., Pandian, R., Andrews, R., Harrison, E., Kimberley, A., Garnett, J., Fosker, N., Hall, R., Garner, P., Kelly, D., Bird, C., Palmer, S., Gehring, I., Berger, A., Dooley, C.M., Ersan-Urun, Z., Eser, C., Geiger, H., Geisler, M., Karotki, L., Kirn, A., Konantz, J., Konantz, M., Oberlander, M., Rudolph-Geiger, S., Teucke, M., Lanz, C., Raddatz, G., Osoegawa, K., Zhu, B., Rapp, A., Widaa, S., Langford, C., Yang, F., Schuster, S.C., Carter, N.P., Harrow, J., Ning, Z., Herrero, J., Searle, S.M., Enright, A., Geisler, R., Plasterk, R.H., Lee, C., Westerfield, M., de Jong, P.J., Zon, L.I., Postlethwait, J.H., Nusslein-Volhard, C., Hubbard, T.J., Roest Crollius, H., Rogers, J., Stemple, D.L., 2013. The zebrafish reference genome sequence and its relationship to the human genome. *Nature* 496**,** 498-503.

Hu, Y., Flockhart, I., Vinayagam, A., Bergwitz, C., Berger, B., Perrimon, N., Mohr, S.E., 2011.
An integrative approach to ortholog prediction for disease-focused and other functional
studies. *BMC Bioinformatics* 12**,** 357.
Huang, Y., Hsu, J.C., 2007. Hochberg's Step-up Method: Cutting Corners off Holm's Step-down
Method. *Biometrika* 94**,** 965-975.
Jansen, P.R., Watanabe, K., Stringer, S., Skene, N., Bryois, J., Hammerschlag, A.R., de Leeuw,
C.A., Benjamins, J.S., Munoz-Manchado, A.B., Nagel, M., Savage, J.E., Tiemeier, H.,
White, T., andMe Research, T., Tung, J.Y., Hinds, D.A., Vacic, V., Wang, X., Sullivan,
P.F., van der Sluis, S., Polderman, T.J.C., Smit, A.B., Hjerling-Leffler, J., Van Someren,
E.J.W., Posthuma, D., 2019. Genome-wide analysis of insomnia in 1,331,010 individuals
identifies new risk loci and functional pathways. *Nat Genet* 51**,** 394-403.
Kalmbach, D.A., Cuamatzi-Castelan, A.S., Tonnu, C.V., Tran, K.M., Anderson, J.R., Roth, T.,
Drake, C.L., 2018. Hyperarousal and sleep reactivity in insomnia: current insights. *Nat*
Sci Sleep 10**,** 193-201.
Karlsson, M., Zhang, C., Mear, L., Zhong, W., Digre, A., Katona, B., Sjostedt, E., Butler, L.,
Odeberg, J., Dusart, P., Edfors, F., Oksvold, P., von Feilitzen, K., Zwahlen, M., Arif, M.,
Altay, O., Li, X., Ozcan, M., Mardinoglu, A., Fagerberg, L., Mulder, J., Luo, Y., Ponten,
F., Uhlen, M., Lindskog, C., 2021. A single-cell type transcriptomics map of human
tissues. *Sci Adv* 7.
Kroll, F., Powell, G.T., Ghosh, M., Gestri, G., Antinucci, P., Hearn, T.J., Tunbak, H., Lim, S.,
Dennis, H.W., Fernandez, J.M., Whitmore, D., Dreosti, E., Wilson, S.W., Hoffman, E.J.,
Rihel, J., 2021. A simple and effective F0 knockout method for rapid screening of
behaviour and other complex phenotypes. *Elife* 10.
Lam, D.D., Antic Nikolic, A., Zhao, C., Mirza-Schreiber, N., Krezel, W., Oexle, K.,
Winkelmann, J., 2022. Intronic elements associated with insomnia and restless legs
syndrome exhibit cell-type-specific epigenetic features contributing to MEIS1 regulation.
Hum Mol Genet 31**,** 1733-1746.
Lane, J.M., Liang, J., Vlasac, I., Anderson, S.G., Bechtold, D.A., Bowden, J., Emsley, R., Gill,
S., Little, M.A., Luik, A.I., Loudon, A., Scheer, F.A., Purcell, S.M., Kyle, S.D., Lawlor,
D.A., Zhu, X., Redline, S., Ray, D.W., Rutter, M.K., Saxena, R., 2017. Genome-wide
association analyses of sleep disturbance traits identify new loci and highlight shared
genetics with neuropsychiatric and metabolic traits. *Nat Genet* 49**,** 274-281.
Lappalainen, T., MacArthur, D.G., 2021. From variant to function in human disease genetics.
Science 373**,** 1464-1468.
Lasconi, C., Pahl, M.C., Cousminer, D.L., Doege, C.A., Chesi, A., Hodge, K.M., Leonard, M.E.,
Lu, S., Johnson, M.E., Su, C., Hammond, R.K., Pippin, J.A., Terry, N.A., Ghanem, L.R.,
Leibel, R.L., Wells, A.D., Grant, S.F.A., 2021. Variant-to-Gene-Mapping Analyses
Reveal a Role for the Hypothalamus in Genetic Susceptibility to Inflammatory Bowel
Disease. *Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol* 11**,** 667-682.
Lasconi, C., Pahl, M.C., Pippin, J.A., Su, C., Johnson, M.E., Chesi, A., Boehm, K., Manduchi,
E., Ou, K., Golson, M.L., Wells, A.D., Kaestner, K.H., Grant, S.F.A., 2022. Variant-to-
gene-mapping analyses reveal a role for pancreatic islet cells in conferring genetic
susceptibility to sleep-related traits. *Sleep* 45.
Lee, D.A., Oikonomou, G., Prober, D.A., 2022. Large-scale Analysis of Sleep in Zebrafish. *Bio*
Protoc 12**,** e4313.

Mahowald, M.W., Schenck, C.H., 2005. Insights from studying human sleep disorders. *Nature*
437**,** 1279-1285.
Martin, J.L., Fiorentino, L., Jouldjian, S., Mitchell, M., Josephson, K.R., Alessi, C.A., 2011.
Poor self-reported sleep quality predicts mortality within one year of inpatient post-acute
rehabilitation among older adults. *Sleep* 34**,** 1715-1721.
Moller-Levet, C.S., Archer, S.N., Bucca, G., Laing, E.E., Slak, A., Kabiljo, R., Lo, J.C., Santhi,
N., von Schantz, M., Smith, C.P., Dijk, D.J., 2013. Effects of insufficient sleep on
circadian rhythmicity and expression amplitude of the human blood transcriptome. *Proc*
Natl Acad Sci U S A 110**,** E1132-1141.
Morin, C.M., Drake, C.L., Harvey, A.G., Krystal, A.D., Manber, R., Riemann, D., Spiegelhalder,
K., 2015. Insomnia disorder. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 1**,** 15026.
Moskow, J.J., Bullrich, F., Huebner, K., Daar, I.O., Buchberg, A.M., 1995. Meis1, a PBX1-
related homeobox gene involved in myeloid leukemia in BXH-2 mice. *Mol Cell Biol* 15**,**
5434-5443.
Nagel, M., Jansen, P.R., Stringer, S., Watanabe, K., de Leeuw, C.A., Bryois, J., Savage, J.E.,
Hammerschlag, A.R., Skene, N.G., Munoz-Manchado, A.B., andMe Research, T., White,
T., Tiemeier, H., Linnarsson, S., Hjerling-Leffler, J., Polderman, T.J.C., Sullivan, P.F.,
van der Sluis, S., Posthuma, D., 2018. Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies
for neuroticism in 449,484 individuals identifies novel genetic loci and pathways. *Nat*
Genet 50**,** 920-927.
Pahl, M.C., Doege, C.A., Hodge, K.M., Littleton, S.H., Leonard, M.E., Lu, S., Rausch, R.,
Pippin, J.A., De Rosa, M.C., Basak, A., Bradfield, J.P., Hammond, R.K., Boehm, K.,
Berkowitz, R.I., Lasconi, C., Su, C., Chesi, A., Johnson, M.E., Wells, A.D., Voight, B.F.,
Leibel, R.L., Cousminer, D.L., Grant, S.F.A., 2021. Cis-regulatory architecture of human
ESC-derived hypothalamic neuron differentiation aids in variant-to-gene mapping of
relevant complex traits. *Nat Commun* 12**,** 6749.
Palermo, J., Chesi, A., Zimmerman, A., Sonti, S., Lasconi, C., Brown, E.B., Pippin, J.A., Wells,
A.D., Doldur-Balli, F., Mazzotti, D.R., Pack, A.I., Gehrman, P.R., Grant, S.F.A., Keene,
A.C., 2021. Variant-to-gene-mapping followed by cross-species genetic screening
identifies GPI-anchor biosynthesis as novel regulator of sleep. *bioRxiv***,**
2021.2012.2019.472248.
Parsons, M.J., Lester, K.J., Barclay, N.L., Archer, S.N., Nolan, P.M., Eley, T.C., Gregory, A.M.,
2014. Polymorphisms in the circadian expressed genes PER3 and ARNTL2 are
associated with diurnal preference and GNbeta3 with sleep measures. *J Sleep Res* 23**,**
595-604.
Prober, D.A., Rihel, J., Onah, A.A., Sung, R.J., Schier, A.F., 2006. Hypocretin/orexin
overexpression induces an insomnia-like phenotype in zebrafish. *J Neurosci* 26**,** 13400-
13410.
Rand, K.N., Ho, T., Qu, W., Mitchell, S.M., White, R., Clark, S.J., Molloy, P.L., 2005. Headloop
suppression PCR and its application to selective amplification of methylated DNA
sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res* 33**,** e127.
Reichert, S., Pavon Arocas, O., Rihel, J., 2019. The Neuropeptide Galanin Is Required for
Homeostatic Rebound Sleep following Increased Neuronal Activity. *Neuron* 104**,** 370-
384 e375.
Rihel, J., Prober, D.A., Schier, A.F., 2010. Monitoring sleep and arousal in zebrafish. *Methods*
Cell Biol 100**,** 281-294.

Ritchey, E.R., Bongini, R.E., Code, K.A., Zelinka, C., Petersen-Jones, S., Fischer, A.J., 2010. The pattern of expression of guanine nucleotide-binding protein beta3 in the retina is conserved across vertebrate species. *Neuroscience* 169**,** 1376-1391.

Salminen, A.V., Garrett, L., Schormair, B., Rozman, J., Giesert, F., Niedermeier, K.M., Becker, L., Rathkolb, B., Racz, I., German Mouse Clinic, C., Klingenspor, M., Klopstock, T., Wolf, E., Zimmer, A., Gailus-Durner, V., Torres, M., Fuchs, H., Hrabe de Angelis, M., Wurst, W., Holter, S.M., Winkelmann, J., 2017. Meis1: effects on motor phenotypes and the sensorimotor system in mice. *Dis Model Mech* 10**,** 981-991.

Schulte, E.C., Kousi, M., Tan, P.L., Tilch, E., Knauf, F., Lichtner, P., Trenkwalder, C., Hogl, B., Frauscher, B., Berger, K., Fietze, I., Hornyak, M., Oertel, W.H., Bachmann, C.G., Zimprich, A., Peters, A., Gieger, C., Meitinger, T., Muller-Myhsok, B., Katsanis, N., Winkelmann, J., 2014. Targeted resequencing and systematic in vivo functional testing identifies rare variants in MEIS1 as significant contributors to restless legs syndrome. *Am J Hum Genet* 95**,** 85-95.

Singh, C., Oikonomou, G., Prober, D.A., 2015. Norepinephrine is required to promote wakefulness and for hypocretin-induced arousal in zebrafish. *Elife* 4**,** e07000.

Smemo, S., Tena, J.J., Kim, K.H., Gamazon, E.R., Sakabe, N.J., Gomez-Marin, C., Aneas, I., Credidio, F.L., Sobreira, D.R., Wasserman, N.F., Lee, J.H., Puviindran, V., Tam, D., Shen, M., Son, J.E., Vakili, N.A., Sung, H.K., Naranjo, S., Acemel, R.D., Manzanares, M., Nagy, A., Cox, N.J., Hui, C.C., Gomez-Skarmeta, J.L., Nobrega, M.A., 2014. Obesity-associated variants within FTO form long-range functional connections with IRX3. *Nature* 507**,** 371-375.

Spieler, D., Kaffe, M., Knauf, F., Bessa, J., Tena, J.J., Giesert, F., Schormair, B., Tilch, E., Lee, H., Horsch, M., Czamara, D., Karbalai, N., von Toerne, C., Waldenberger, M., Gieger, C., Lichtner, P., Claussnitzer, M., Naumann, R., Muller-Myhsok, B., Torres, M., Garrett, L., Rozman, J., Klingenspor, M., Gailus-Durner, V., Fuchs, H., Hrabe de Angelis, M., Beckers, J., Holter, S.M., Meitinger, T., Hauck, S.M., Laumen, H., Wurst, W., Casares, F., Gomez-Skarmeta, J.L., Winkelmann, J., 2014. Restless legs syndrome-associated intronic common variant in Meis1 alters enhancer function in the developing telencephalon. *Genome Res* 24**,** 592-603.

Stranges, S., Tigbe, W., Gomez-Olive, F.X., Thorogood, M., Kandala, N.B., 2012. Sleep problems: an emerging global epidemic? Findings from the INDEPTH WHO-SAGE study among more than 40,000 older adults from 8 countries across Africa and Asia. *Sleep* 35**,** 1173-1181.

Su, C., Argenziano, M., Lu, S., Pippin, J.A., Pahl, M.C., Leonard, M.E., Cousminer, D.L., Johnson, M.E., Lasconi, C., Wells, A.D., Chesi, A., Grant, S.F.A., 2021. 3D promoter architecture re-organization during iPSC-derived neuronal cell differentiation implicates target genes for neurodevelopmental disorders. *Prog Neurobiol* 201**,** 102000.

Su, C., Johnson, M.E., Torres, A., Thomas, R.M., Manduchi, E., Sharma, P., Mehra, P., Le Coz, C., Leonard, M.E., Lu, S., Hodge, K.M., Chesi, A., Pippin, J., Romberg, N., Grant, S.F.A., Wells, A.D., 2020. Mapping effector genes at lupus GWAS loci using promoter Capture-C in follicular helper T cells. *Nat Commun* 11**,** 3294.

Sultmann, H., Sato, A., Murray, B.W., Takezaki, N., Geisler, R., Rauch, G.J., Klein, J., 2000. Conservation of Mhc class III region synteny between zebrafish and human as determined by radiation hybrid mapping. *J Immunol* 165**,** 6984-6993.

Tam, V., Patel, N., Turcotte, M., Bosse, Y., Pare, G., Meyre, D., 2019. Benefits and limitations
of genome-wide association studies. *Nat Rev Genet* 20**,** 467-484.
Thireau, J., Farah, C., Molinari, N., Bouilloux, F., Torreilles, L., Winkelmann, J., Scholz, S.,
Richard, S., Dauvilliers, Y., Marmigere, F., 2017. MEIS1 variant as a determinant of
autonomic imbalance in Restless Legs Syndrome. *Sci Rep* 7**,** 46620.
Tran, S., Prober, D.A., 2022. Validation of Candidate Sleep Disorder Risk Genes Using
Zebrafish. *Front Mol Neurosci* 15**,** 873520.
Vincent, A., Audo, I., Tavares, E., Maynes, J.T., Tumber, A., Wright, T., Li, S., Michiels, C.,
Consortium, G.N.B., Condroyer, C., MacDonald, H., Verdet, R., Sahel, J.A., Hamel,
C.P., Zeitz, C., Heon, E., 2016. Biallelic Mutations in GNB3 Cause a Unique Form of
Autosomal-Recessive Congenital Stationary Night Blindness. *Am J Hum Genet* 98**,** 1011-
1019.
Wallace, M.L., Stone, K., Smagula, S.F., Hall, M.H., Simsek, B., Kado, D.M., Redline, S., Vo,
T.N., Buysse, D.J., Osteoporotic Fractures in Men Study Research, G., 2018. Which
Sleep Health Characteristics Predict All-Cause Mortality in Older Men? An Application
of Flexible Multivariable Approaches. *Sleep* 41.
Wang, J., Pol, S.U., Haberman, A.K., Wang, C., O'Bara, M.A., Sim, F.J., 2014. Transcription
factor induction of human oligodendrocyte progenitor fate and differentiation. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci U S A 111**,** E2885-2894.
Watanabe, K., Jansen, P.R., Savage, J.E., Nandakumar, P., Wang, X., andMe Research, T.,
Hinds, D.A., Gelernter, J., Levey, D.F., Polimanti, R., Stein, M.B., Van Someren, E.J.W.,
Smit, A.B., Posthuma, D., 2022. Genome-wide meta-analysis of insomnia prioritizes
genes associated with metabolic and psychiatric pathways. *Nat Genet* 54**,** 1125-1132.
Zhang, G., Neubert, T.A., Jordan, B.A., 2012. RNA binding proteins accumulate at the
postsynaptic density with synaptic activity. *J Neurosci* 32**,** 599-609.
Zhdanova, I.V., Wang, S.Y., Leclair, O.U., Danilova, N.P., 2001. Melatonin promotes sleep-like
state in zebrafish. *Brain Res* 903**,** 263-268.
870

Variant-to-gene mapping for insomnia GWAS loci

Identify candidate effector genes

Screen candidate effector genes for sleep-wake behaviors using *Drosophila* RNAi

IR emitter

IR detector

Determine conservation of function in vertebrate model using CRISPR-Cas9

Graphical Abstract. Created using BioRender.

Fig. 1. Identification of insomnia candidate genes from GWAS signals. A. To identify putative causal genes, insomnia GWAS variants were mapped to target effector gene in neural progenitor cells using 3D genomics, then candidate effector genes were screened using *Drosophila* RNA interference to identify those producing significant sleep phenotypes (adapted from Palermo et. al.). **B**. Single cell embryos were injected with preformed CRISPR-Cas9 complexes to create mutations in candidate effector genes. F0 larvae were tested for sleep characteristics beginning on 5 days post fertilization (dpf) and phenotyped for sleep-wake behaviors from day 6-7 using automated video tracking. Figure created in BioRender.

Fig. 2. Waking activity and development is disrupted by mutation of *arfgap2*. A-F. Nighttime waking activity displayed as mean ± 95% CI for all mutants. **G-L**. Daytime waking activity displayed as mean ± 95% CI for all mutants. **M.** Waking activity graph for *arfgap2* mutants displayed as mean (line) and SD (shaded). Gray shaded regions represent lights-off period. **N.** Representative image of *arfgap2* mutants and controls. Notable tail curvature (white arrow) is apparent in nearly all *arfgap2* mutants. ****p<0.0001 determined by Wilcoxon rank sum test and Hochberg step-up procedure for multiple comparisons (see **Methods**). † indicates significant (p < 0.05) before multiple comparisons. (n = 77 neg. control, 80 *skiv2l*); **(**n = 64 neg. control, 67 *gnb3a*); (n = 83 neg. control, 102 *cbx1b*); (n = 100 control, 111 *meis1b*); (n = 49 neg. control, 73 *tcf12*); (n = 42 control, 32 *arfgap2*).

Fig. 3. Activity duration is altered by *skiv2l* and *arfgap2* mutations. A-C. Rest-activity graphs for CRISPR mutants represented as mean (line) ± SD (shaded) for activity duration (s/h). Shaded regions represent lights-off period. **D-E**. Mean ± 95% CI for day (**D**) and night (**E**) activity duration in *skiv2l* mutants (n = 77 neg control, 80 *skiv2l*). **F-G**. Mean ± 95% CI for day (**F**) and night (**G**) activity duration in *meis1b* mutants (n = 100 control, 111 meis1b). **H-I.** Mean ± 95% CI for day (**H**) and night (**I**) activity duration in arfgap2 mutants (n = 42 control, 32 arfgap2). Significance determined by Wilcoxon rank sum test followed by Hochberg step-up procedure for multiple comparisons (see **Methods**). *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001.

Fig. 4. Total sleep duration in *skiv2l* and *meis1b* CRISPR mutants. Rest-activity graphs for *skiv2l* (**A**) and *meis1b* (**B**) mutants represented as mean (line) ± SD (shaded) for sleep duration (min/h). Shaded regions represent lights-off period. Mean ± 95% CI for day (**C**) and night (**D**) sleep duration in *skiv2l* mutants (n = 77 neg. control, 80 *skiv2l*). Mean ± 95% CI for day (**E**) and night (**F**) sleep duration in *meis1b* mutants (n = 100 neg. control, 111 *meis1b*). Mean ± 95% CI for nighttime sleep latency in *skiv2l* (**G**) and *meis1b* (**H**) mutants. Significance determined by Wilcoxon rank sum test followed by Hochberg step-up procedure for multiple comparisons (see **Methods**). *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001. † indicates significant (p < 0.05) before multiple comparisons.

Fig. 5. Sleep characteristics are significantly altered by CRISPR mutation of *skiv2l* and *meis1b.* Mean ± 95% CI for day and night sleep bout number in *skiv2l* (**A-B**) and *meis1b* (**C-D**) mutants. Mean ± 95% CI for day and night sleep bout length for *skiv2l* (**E-F**) and *meis1b* (**G-H**) mutants. Significance determined by Wilcoxon rank sum test followed by Hochberg step-up procedure for multiple comparisons (see **Methods**). *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001. (n = 77 neg. control, 80 *skiv2l*); (n = 100 control, 111 *meis1b*).