Title: Agnostic fungi: plant functional types and tissue stoichiometry explain nutrient transfer in

common arbuscular mycorrhizal networks of temperate grasslands

Authors: Hilary Rose Dawson[1,2*], Katherine L. Shek[1,2,3], Toby M. Maxwell[1,4], Paul B. Reed[1,2,5],

Barbara Bomfim[1,6], Scott D. Bridgham[1,2], Brendan J. M. Bohannan[1,2], Lucas C.R. Silva[1,2,7*]

Affiliations:

1. Institute of Ecology and Evolution, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403 USA

2. Department of Biology, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403 USA

3. Department of Natural Resources and the Environment, University of New Hampshire,

Durham NH 03824

4. Department of Biological Sciences, Boise State University, Boise, Idaho, 83725 USA

5. Institute for Applied Ecology, Corvallis, OR 97333 USA

6. Climate and Ecosystem Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley,

CA 94720 USA

7. Environmental Studies Program, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403 USA

Corresponding authors: H.R.D. hilaryd@uoregon.edu L.C.R.S. lsilva7@uoregon.edu

ORCIDs:

H.R.D.: 0000-0003-4613-762X

K.L.S.: 0000-0001-5629-5782

T.M.M.: 0000-0001-5171-0705

P.B.R.: 0000-0001-7143-7515

B.B.: 0000-0001-9510-2496

S.D.B.: 0000-0003-0614-2678

B.J.M.B.: 0000-0003-2907-1016

L.C.R.S.: 0000-0002-4838-327X

Summary:

Plants and mycorrhizal fungi form close mutualistic relationships that affect how resources flow

between organisms and within ecosystems. Common mycorrhizal networks (CMNs) could

facilitate preferential transfer of carbon and limiting nutrients but this preference remains

difficult to predict. Do common mycorrhizal networks favor fungal growth at the expense of

plant resource demands (a fungi-centric view), or are they passive channels through which plants

regulate resource fluxes (a plant-centric view)? We used stable isotope tracers (^{13}C and ^{15}N),

plant traits, and fungal DNA, to quantify above- and belowground allocation and transfer of

carbon and nitrogen between 18 plant species in restored prairie and introduced pasture systems

in the Pacific Northwest, USA. We found that related plant species and connectivity with fungal

communities did not predict resource flow. Instead, plant functional type and tissue

stoichiometry were the most important predictors of interspecific resource transfer. Labeled

"donor" plants assimilated isotopic tracers at similar rates; however, nitrogen was preferentially

transferred to annual and forb "receiver" plants compared to perennial and grass receiver plants.

This corresponded tissue stoichiometry differences. Our findings point to a simple mechanistic

answer for long-standing questions regarding mutualism and transfer of resources between plants

via mycorrhizal networks.

Keywords: arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, carbon, common mycorrhizal networks, ecological

stoichiometry, grasslands, nitrogen, plant functional type

Introduction:

Plant-mycorrhizal associations are thought to have emerged as rudimentary root systems over 400 million years ago, facilitating the expansion of terrestrial life that followed (Kenrick & Strullu-Derrien, 2014). The transformative power of early fungal symbioses is still evident today in all major plant lineages, from bryophytes to angiosperms (van der Heijden *et al.*, 2015). Over 85% of all contemporary flowering plant species form symbioses with fungi, with arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) associations being the most common (Brundrett, 2009). Today, the relationships between plants and AM fungi dominates both managed and unmanaged landscapes and are estimated to be responsible for up to 80% of global primary productivity (van der Heijden *et al.*, 2015). Fungi are gregarious and form symbioses with more than one individual plant (Selosse *et al.*, 2006). By extension, it has been widely hypothesized that the multi-plant-fungal relationships form "common mycorrhizal networks" (CMNs) which facilitate carbon and nutrient transfer between organisms, beyond the immediate plant-fungus mutualism formed by individuals. This relationship is often simplified to the mutual exchange of carbon-based photosynthates for limiting soil nutrients that are more readily bioavailable to fungi (Smith & Read, 2008), but is known to exist along a continuum from parasitic to mutualistic (Johnson *et al.*, 1997; Klironomos, 2003; Mariotte *et al.*, 2013). A synthesis of empirical research at macro- and micro-levels of ecological organization reveals a gap in understanding of structural and functional properties that could predict how carbon and nutrients are transferred in CMNs (Silva & Lambers, 2021).

The literature holds myriad and often complimentary, but sometimes contradictory, hypotheses that could explain the CMN mutualism as a key structural and functional component of ecosystems. For example, the "Wood Wide Web" hypothesis emerged from the analysis of isotopically labeled carbon transferred between plants, presumably through fungal mycorrhizae. Simard et al. (1997) concluded that plants that allocate carbon to sustain common fungal symbionts also benefit from shared nutrients, while plants associating with mycorrhizal fungi outside that network cannot. The "economics" hypothesis (Kiers *et al.*, 2011) proposes that plants and fungi engage in "trades" of nutrients mined by fungi in exchange for plant photosynthates (Fellbaum *et al.*, 2014; Werner & Dubbert, 2016; Averill *et al.*, 2019). In this hypothesis, the terms of trade between plant and fungi are mediated by supply and demand for limiting resources, which could create a dynamic market emerging from interactions between

environmental, biochemical, and biophysical variables. Complementing the economic analogy,

the "kinship" hypothesis proposes that phylogenetic distance within or across species promotes

functional gradients and preferential flow of resources in CMNs (Tedersoo *et al.*, 2020), in

which transfer of carbon and nutrients are predicted to be more frequent and abundant between

related individuals (e.g., seedlings and trees of the same species) than between unrelated

individuals (Pickles *et al.*, 2017).

The past two decades have seen extensive but inconclusive research on these hypotheses

and how they relate to empirical measurements of CMN structure and function. On the one hand,

economic analogies suggest that the reciprocally regulated exchange of resources between plants

and fungi in CMNs should favor the most beneficial cooperative partnerships (Kiers *et al.*, 2011;

Fellbaum *et al.*, 2014). On the other hand, reciprocal transfer is only found in a subset of

symbionts under specific conditions, while increased competition in CMNs is a more common

observation (Walder & van der Heijden, 2015; Weremijewicz *et al.*, 2016). At the core of this

controversy is whether CMNs actively support fungal growth at the expense of plant resource

demands (i.e., a fungi-centric view) or function as passive channels through which plants

regulate resource fluxes (i.e., a plant-centric view). If plant-centric, we expect to find that the

structure and functioning of CMNs give rise to consistent spatiotemporal patterns of resource

allocation akin to those predicted by the kinship hypothesis. If fungi-centric, we expect to find

that spatiotemporal patterns of resource allocation reflect the composition and functioning of the

fungal community regardless of the connecting plant nodes in CMNs. Data exist to support both

opposing views (see Silva & Lambers, 2021; Figueiredo *et al.*, 2021); therefore, we posit that

CMNs are neither plant- nor fungi-centric. Instead, perhaps rather than gregarious, AM fungi are

"agnostic" with respect to plant species composition and relatedness, and yet affected by major

plant functional traits that are known to influence resource use and allocation. There is no clear

evidence to suggest that AM fungi exhibit specificity for plant species as do host-specific

pathogens (Lee *et al.*, 2013). Even in the systems dominated by ectomycorrhizal (EM)

associations where most previous research into CMN structure and function was developed,

fungi tend to be generalists, although plant taxa-specific EM fungi occur at relatively high

proportion, especially in early successional temperate plant communities (McMahen *et al.*, 2022;

Spencer *et al.*, 2023). Building on previous research, our hypothesis represents a first-principles

simplification in which gradients in resource limitation, rather than species-specific plant-fungi

interactions, might allow us to better understand and predict the rates and direction of resource
transfer in CMNs.
To test the "agnostic fungi" hypothesis, we asked if interactions among biophysical and
biogeochemical processes could explain resource transfer in CMNs with more accuracy than
previous plant- or fungi-centric analogies. We studied how soil resource-use efficiency and plant
traits that are known to regulate physiological performance (Dawson *et al.*, 2022) and that are
expected to affect the transfer of carbon and nitrogen (Peaucelle *et al.*, 2019) in paired
experiments including restored prairie and pasture experimental sites under ambient conditions
and rain exclusion shelters (designed to affect soil water and nutrient mass flow). We replicated
our paired experimental setting at three different locations, with study sites distributed across a
520 km latitudinal gradient. Due to the climatically driven differences in communities across
sites, not all species were present at all sites (Table S1); however, all functional groups were
present at all sites and most species were present at more than one site. In this experiment, we
also sequenced strain-level variation in root fungal DNA, plant functional types, and leaf
stoichiometric traits to test if relatedness explained difference in resource transfer. This allowed
us to generalize our inferences about the structure and function of arbuscular CMNs in temperate
grasslands. The diversity of plants growth forms included in our study also affect CMN
composition and function. For example, Davison et al. (2020) found plant growth form altered
AM fungal community and functional diversity. Plant total biomass can also increase nitrogen
received through a CMN, an effect that may be compounded by whether plants are woody or
herbaceous (He *et al.*, 2019). This could be explained by larger or denser plants providing more
carbon. Both plants and fungi have economic spectra characterized by fast or slow traits and
nutrient strategies which together form an interacting continuum potentially driven by N
availability (Ward *et al.*, 2022). It is unclear to what extent plant or fungi characteristics drive
these plant-fungal interactions. Therefore, we designed an experiment to quantify how plant-
fungal interactions influence the structure and functioning of CMNs across broad environmental
gradients and resource constraints.
140
Materials and Methods:
We conducted our experiment at three sites situated on a 520 km latitudinal transect that
spans three Mediterranean climates: cool, moist (northern site; Tenino, WA) to warm, moist

(central site; Eugene, OR) to warm, dry (southern site; Selma, OR). Each circular plot was 3 m in

diameter. Half of our plots were restored prairie systems (n = 10 per site) while the other half of

the plots had introduced pasture grasses established prior to restoration (n = 10 per site).

Restored prairie plots were mowed, raked, received herbicide, and seeded in 2014-2015,

followed by seeding in fall 2015, 2016, and 2017 (Reed *et al.*, 2019). We erected rainout shelters

that excluded 40% of the rainfall on half the plots at each site (n = 10 rain exclusion, 10 control

per site; Fig. 1).

All plants in our system have the potential to form associations with AM fungi (Table S1)

(Dickie *et al.*, 2013; Chaudhary *et al.*, 2016; Soudzilovskaia *et al.*, 2020). Previous work

demonstrated that the rainout shelters had minimal effects on aboveground community structure

or function (Dawson *et al.*, 2022), possibly due to the shoulder season effect of the

Mediterranean rain seasonality. This network of experimental sites was established in 2010 and

has been extensively studied since then (Reed *et al.*, 2019, 2021a,b,c; Peterson *et al.*, 2020)

including work on mycorrhizal fungi (Vandegrift *et al.*, 2015; Wilson *et al.*, 2016). Treatments

had marginal effects on the soil water potential (especially during the early growing season).

Pasture plots were dominated by one to a few species of introduced perennial grasses which we

targeted as donors in these plots. We used different species of perennial grass at each site. For

restored prairie plots, we targeted the native perennial forb *Sidalcea malviflora ssp. virgata*

common to ambient and drought treatments at all sites. Despite those differences, we did not find

significant changes in the average plant community composition or productivity under rain

exclusion, which also did not affect morphological and functional traits (e.g., specific leaf area,

iWUE, and C:N ratios) of the functional groups we selected for this experiment (Reed *et al.*,

2021c; Dawson *et al.*, 2022).

To test our new hypothesis, we built upon those preliminary data with a new dual isotope

label approach as follows. We labeled perennial plants central to each plot (hereafter, 'donors')

and monitored leaf ^{15}N and ^{13}C for the surrounding plants ('receivers') for up to 21 days. In

addition, we sampled roots and soils at 21 days post-labelling. We characterized AM fungal

DNA isolated from the root samples, as well as stable isotopes in all leaf and root samples. Our

experimental design was nested in a multi-year experiment where data loggers were used to

continuously measure temperature and moisture in all the manipulated plots.

Figure 1. Schema of experimental set up, sampling, and effects of rainout shelters.

Isotopic labelling

At each site, we selected a healthy perennial forb (*Sidalcea malviflora* ssp. *virgata* in restored prairie plots [except in one plot where we used *Eriophyllum lanatum* due to a lack of *S. malviflora ssp. virgata*]), or a perennial grass (*Alopecurus pratensis, Schedonorus arundinaceus,* or *Agrostis capillaris*) in pasture plots at the center of each plot to receive the isotopic labels. On sunny days between 11AM and 3PM at peak productivity for each site, we applied isotopically enriched carbon (^{13}C) and nitrogen (^{15}N) as a pulse of carbon dioxide (CO_2) and ammonia (NH_3) to the leaves of target "donor" species common across experimental sites. We performed the labeling experiment using custom-made field-deployable clear chambers with internal fans built to allow gas mixing and fast in situ assimilation of isotopic labels, following established protocols developed in previous isotopically labeling studies (e.g., Silva *et al.*, 2015; Earles *et al.*, 2016; Sperling *et al.*, 2017). We covered the donor plant with a clear plastic cylinder and injected gas in sequence at 20-minute intervals. For $^{13}CO_2$, we made three injections of 2 mL pure CO_2 (enriched at 98 atm % ^{13}C) to double the amount of CO_2 in the chamber each time. For NH_3, we made two injections of 10 mL pure NH_3 (98 atm% ^{15}N) at 20 minute intervals. The dates of application were based on peak productivity estimated from Normalized Different Vegetation Index (NDVI) at each site (see Reed *et al.*, 2019 for details). We sampled leaves from each donor plant immediately after labeling (time point 0) as well as from all plants approximately 4 days (time point 1), 10 days (time point 2), and 21 days (time point 3) post-labelling as logistics permitted (Fig. 1, Table S2). We also collected leaves at time points 1, 2,

and 3 from up to twelve plants in each plot representing three replicates of factorial grass/forb

structural groups and annual/perennial life history strategies (Table S1). The number of plants

and represented groups depended on which plants were growing in each plot. For example,

pasture plots were limited to only grasses and the northern pasture plots had only perennial

grasses.

By applying enriched gases only to the aboveground portions of established perennial

donor plants, we introduced the isotopic tracer to both the plant and mycorrhizal fungal tissues

with minimal exposure of the soil to the tracers. At the end of the experiment, we harvested

entire plants and the soil surrounding the roots at time point 3 and kept them in cool conditions

until processing. We separated the roots and rhizospheres, and collected roots from each plant,

selecting approximately ten ~3 cm fine root fragments per sample (i.e., third order or finer,

where available) for DNA extraction and identification. All roots and rhizosphere soils were

stored at -80º C until processing.

Baseline and Resource Transfer Calculations

Before isotopic labeling, we collected soil, leaves, and roots from each site. We collected

soils in late spring and early summer 2019 to 20 cm depth in each plot. From these soil samples,

we removed root fragments that represented the typical roots seen in each plot. We collected

leaves for each species in each plot; however, these leaves were contaminated with ^{15}N during

transport. To replace contaminated samples, we separately sampled leaves from biomass

samplings collected in late spring and early summer 2019, ensuring that annual and perennial

grasses and forbs were represented at each site.

We oven-dried all samples at 65º C to constant mass and encapsulated them for stable

isotope analysis. All stable isotope analysis was done at UC Davis Stable Isotope Facilities using

an Elementar Vario EL Cube or Micro Cube elemental analyzer (Elementar Analysensysteme

GmbH, Hanau, Germany) interfaced to a PDZ Europa 20-20 isotope ratio mass spectrometer

(Sercon Ltd., Cheshire, UK). We calculated the amount of carbon and nitrogen in each plant

compartment (leaves and roots) using standard label recovery equations (Silva *et al.*, 2015),

using baseline values measured before application of the labelled gases to capture background

variations in isotopic composition of unenriched leaves, roots, and soil samples

228 At each relevant point in space and time, we calculated % nitrogen and % carbon derived from

229 label (%NDFL and %CDFL, respectively) as follows (Kramer *et al.*, 2002; He *et al.*, 2009; Silva

230 *et al.*, 2015).

231 **Equation 1**

232
$$\frac{atm\%_{post-label} - atm\%_{baseline}}{atm\%_{labelling\ gas}}$$

233 Baseline values were calculated from the site- and annual/perennial-specific values for each plant

234 material. Site-specific baseline soil and root isotope ratios represent the whole community

235 because of interconnected rhizospheres where is it was not possible to identify specific species.

236 In all cases, baseline values fell within the expected range for our region (Fig. 2). We calculated

237 intrinsic water-use efficiency following Farquhar and Richards (1984) using the baseline ^{13}C

238 values from the original samples because the contamination only occurred with ^{15}N.

240 **Figure 2. Natural abundance of stable isotopes in leaves, roots, and soil before labelling**.

241 Dashed lines indicate mean value.

To better understand post-labelling soil enrichment, we selected a subset of rhizosphere

soils that represented six donor plants at each site divided equally between restored prairie and

pasture plots, and selected the three most highly ^{15}N enriched interspecific receivers in each plot

across the sites. In addition, we sampled three most highly enriched interspecific receivers at

each site and restored prairie-introduced pasture combination (if they had not been sampled

previously). Because pasture plots were sometimes monodominant grasses, we sampled the top

three enriched intraspecific receivers at each site and treatment. In total, this came to 48 post-

labelling soil samples in 29 plots.

Fungal analysis

We extracted DNA from roots of 450 plants harvested at time point 3 (21 days post-label)

using Qiagen DNeasy Powersoil HTP kits (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). We only analyzed DNA

from roots, not from the soils collected from each plant's rhizosphere. We characterized each

sample's AM fungal composition with a two-step PCR protocol that amplified a ~550bp

fragment of the SSU rRNA gene (the most well-supported region for AM fungal taxonomic

resolution (Dumbrell *et al.*, 2011). We used WANDA (5'- CAGCCGCGGTAATTCCAGCT- 3')

and AML2 (5'- GAACCCAAACACTTTGGTTTCC-3') primers (Lee *et al.*, 2008; Langmead &

Salzberg, 2012). We used primers with unique indices so we could multiplex several projects on

a single run. We quantified successful PCR amplicons with the Quant-iT PicoGreen dsDNA

Assay Kit (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA) on a SpectraMax M5E Microplate Reader

(Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA, USA) before purifying with QIAquick PCR Purification kits

(Qiagen). We sequenced the purified pools on the Illumina MiSeq platform (paired-end 300bp,

Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) at the University of Oregon Genomics and Cell

Characterization Core Facility (Eugene, OR, USA). Reads were deduplicated with UMI-tools

using unique molecular identifiers (UMIs) inserted during PCR (Smith *et al.*, 2017).

We assigned amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) using the dada2 pipeline with standard

quality filtering and denoising parameters (Callahan *et al.*, 2016). The dada2 pipeline maintains

strain-level diversity at the scale of individual sequence variants rather than clustering sequences

into OTUs. This fine-scale measure of fungal sequence diversity was particularly important for

our analyses to maintain the greatest chance of detecting a single AM fungal 'individual' in

multiple plant root samples. Taxonomy was assigned to ASVs using the MaarjAM database

(2019 release) (Öpik *et al.*, 2010). We used a Bayesian mixture model in the DESeq2 package

(Love *et al.*, 2014) to scale ASV counts within and across samples to avoid artificial taxon

abundance biases (Anders & Huber, 2010).

Data analysis

We performed all analyses in R ver. 4.0.4 (R Core team, 2015). We removed one plant

with ^{15}N outlier data. We also removed five mislabeled samples. To meet statistical assumptions,

we only included data from plants with successful root fungal DNA extraction. In total, we

analyzed data from 389 unique plants: 53 donors and 336 receivers.

We tested the relationship between NDFL and plant traits (grass/forb, annual/perennial,

iWUE, C:N, degrees of connectivity, interaction term between grass/forb and annual/perennial)

and site conditions (position on latitude gradient, pasture/restored, rain exclusion treatment,

distance from donor, time from labelling) with a mixed-effect ANOVA (plot as random effect;

Table 1). We constructed a phyloseq object using the ASV table with normalized counts

(McMurdie and Holmes 2013), and used iGraph, metagMisc, and RCy3 (Nepusz and Csardi

2006, Mikryukov 2017, Gustavsen et al. 2019) to create networks for each plot. In each network,

nodes represented individual plants and edges between nodes represent plants sharing at least

one fungal DNA sequence variant. The weighted edges are based on how many fungal ASVs

were shared among plants. We calculated degrees of connectivity with tidygraph (Petersen,

2022) to examine how many plants each individual plant was 'connected' to (by means of shared

fungal ASVs) in each plot (Fig. 3). We also calculated whether each receiver plant shared fungal

ASVs with the central donor plant in each plot. We visualized individual plot networks in

CytoScape. We visualized shifts in AM fungal community composition using non-metric multi-

dimensional scaling (NMDS) in the vegan package, demonstrating the AM fungal community

similarity across plants (Oksanen *et al.*, 2022).

Figure 3. Example of how networks were constructed for each plot. The grey cylinder

indicates the donor plant for the plot. Numbers beneath the receivers are the distance (in

centimeters) from the donor. Degrees were calculated as how many plants each individual plant

was connected to by shared fungal ASVs; for example, the perennial grass at 71 cm has 7

degrees.

Results:

Assimilation of isotopic tracers was similar between labeled "donor" plants with no

significant differences on average between sites or experimental treatments within sites,

including rainfall exclusion or restored status (Fig. S1). At all sites, foliar assimilation of ^{15}N and

^{13}C by donor plants led to enrichment levels ranging from approximately 5-10 fold higher than

baselines, with only a few that were less than 2-fold from baseline. Foliar enrichment levels

decreased consistently at all sites and treatments over the 21 day sampling period. We found

significant spatial and temporal differences in foliar and root isotope ratios in donors and

receivers resulting from interspecific transfer of carbon and nitrogen (Fig. 4; Table 1). Receiver

foliar enrichment levels did not correlate with donor foliar enrichment levels within the same

plot (Fig. S2).

Figure 4. Decreased receiver enrichment with distance from donor in leaves and roots.

Dashed lines indicate natural abundance means; grey boxes indicate range of natural abundance

variation shown in Fig. 2. There is no systematic enrichment of ^{13}C; however, there is high ^{15}N

enrichment in leaves. Y-axes are $\log_{10}$ scale. Note that the y-axis scales are different between ^{15}N

leaves and ^{15}N roots. See Fig. S3 for boxplots of enrichment data by grass/forb and

annual/perennial.

324 **Table 1. ANOVA results effects on nitrogen derived from label (NDFL).** Carbon was not

325 enriched so carbon derived from label was not tested.

	χ^2	P-value
Fixed effects		
Annual/perennial	37.895	**<0.001**
Grass/forb	19.075	**<0.001**
iWUE	0.150	0.698
Degree of connectivity	0.847	0.357
C:N	77.547	**<0.001**
Site	4.913	0.086
Drought treatment	0.189	0.664
Restoration treatment	2.398	0.121
Distance from donor	15.423	**<0.001**
Time from labelling	162.582	**<0.001**
Annual/perennial:Grass/forb interaction	8.670	**0.003**
Random effect		
Plot		**<0.001**

Allocation of ^{13}C and ^{15}N tracers to roots and subsequent transfer to "receiver" species

did not follow expected patterns (e.g., despite donors being perennial, annual receivers were

more enriched, indicating that relatedness did not drive the transfer, Fig. 4, Table 1). Allocation

and transfer varied significantly between functional groups due to their intrinsic differences in

tissue stoichiometry (Table 1). We selected 18 common annual/perennial and grass/forb species

of receiver plants, which revealed significant differences between functional groups for NDFL

(Fig. 5) but no detectable CDFL relative to baseline (Fig. 4). Rain exclusion treatment,

restoration treatment, and site did not affect interspecific transfer of nitrogen (Fig S4; ANOVA,

P > 0.05, Table 1), and carbon was not enriched enough to test transfer (Fig. 4). We did,

however, observe significant differences in nitrogen transfer by functional group (Table 1),

mirroring intrinsic differences in tissue stoichiometry and iWUE (Fig. 5), despite no significant

enrichment in soils collected from the rhizosphere of those same plants (Fig. S5). We also found

that C:N affected NDFL, although not in a simple linear manner as shown by the ANOVA

(Table 1), and with no apparent correlation between NDFL and iWUE (Fig. 5). We did detect a

low level of soil enrichment in 4 out of 23 donor soil samples (ranging from 0.382 to 0.479

atm% ^{15}N).

Annuals had greater ^{15}N foliar enrichment compared to perennials (ANOVA, P < 0.001,

Tables 1 and 2). Foliar enrichment decreased over both time and space (ANOVA P < 0.001; Fig.

4, Fig. S1). On average, annuals had a lower leaf nitrogen content and higher C:N than

perennials (Table 2, Fig. 5). Forbs had higher NDFL than grasses (ANOVA, P < 0.001, Table 1)

as well as a lower C:N. There was a significant interaction between annual/perennial and

grass/forb form (ANOVA, P = 0.003, Table 1).

Table 2. Nitrogen derived from label (NDFL) and leaf tissue nitrogen (% N) four days after

labeling.

		n	NDFL					% N				
			Mean	±	*SD*	*Min*	*Max*	*Mean*	±	*SD*	*Min*	*Max*
Annual	**Forb**	54	0.206	±	0.281	0.003	1.427	1.731	±	0.849	0.528	4.081
	Grass	93	0.130	±	0.199	0.001	1.534	1.233	±	0.919	0.359	8.004
Perennial	**Forb**	67	0.033	±	0.026	0.006	0.144	2.521	±	0.753	1.079	4.136
	Grass	148	0.040	±	0.028	0.005	0.147	1.600	±	0.529	0.614	2.938

Figure 5. Stoichiometric and functional traits compared to nitrogen derived from transfer.

A) Leaf C:N by annual/perennial. B) Percent ^{15}N derived from label (DFL) compared to C:N in

leaves. C) iWUE by annual/perennial as measured before labelling. D) Percent ^{15}N DFL

compared to iWUE in leaves at all time points. Letters above boxplots indicate significant

differences.

Our analysis of fungal community composition shows a high degree of connectivity

between plants of different species but no obvious pattern of connectedness that could explain

preferential nutrient transfer by plant functional groups. We found that 97.25 % ± 8.01 (SD) of

all plants roots within each experimental plot shared at least one fungal DNA sequence variant

(ASV) with another plant of the same plot. Fungal community composition was similar across

plant functional groups (Fig. 6, PERMANOVA pseudo-F statistic = 2.269, R^2 = 0.005, p =

0.001). Annual plants shared fungi with more plants in the same plot (4.74 plants ± 2.62 SD)

compared to perennials (4.04 plants ± 2.49 SD ; t-test, P = 0.019; Table S3), but degrees of

connectivity did not predict nitrogen transfer (Table 1). Seventy-three percent of plants were

colonized by four or fewer fungi and shared fungi with five or fewer other plants in the plot,

making it difficult to determine if strength of connectivity altered nitrogen transfer (Fig. S6).

Figure 6. NMDS of fungal communities. Each point represents an individual plant ordinated by

the Bray Curtis dissimilarities for AM fungal composition. PERMANOVA pseudo-F statistic =

2.269, R^2 = 0.005, p = 0.001.

Discussion:

In our extensively replicated spatiotemporal isotopic tracer experiment, the assimilation and allocation of limiting resources in CMNs was neither plant- nor fungi-centric. Our data show that AM fungi are "agnostic" with respect to plant species composition and relatedness, and that the transfer of nitrogen (an important nutrient that can limit plant growth in our system) is regulated by major functional traits that are known to influence resource use and allocation in plant communities. The rates and direction of resource transfer in CMNs, inferred from pulse labeling and recovery of ^{15}N in leaves and rhizospheres, can be predicted from leaf C:N and distance from donor species (Fig 1). Across all sites and treatments, we observed a stronger sink for ^{15}N in annual plants close to the source donor, indicating preferential transfer of limiting resources to that functional group of plants. This observation is contrary to the expectation of the kinship hypothesis that preferential transfer would be expected to occur from a perennial donor to a perennial receiver. Although plants shared a high proportion of fungal ASVs in their roots (Fig. 6), connectivity did not predict ^{15}N transfer (Table 1), and thus we found no evidence for a fungi-centric hypothesis based on which all connected plants would have been expected to be equally enriched in ^{15}N. Our data suggest that rates and direction of resource transfer in CMNs reflect plant nutrient requirements and spatial proximity.

We designed this experiment to be informed by previous hypotheses on how carbon and nitrogen transfer occurs in highly connected CMNs, where a dilution of the applied ^{13}C label is to be expected given the vast amounts of carbon in the soil and plant biomass. We conducted repeated spatiotemporal sampling of isotopic enrichment levels at increasing distances from donor species, days to weeks after labelling, and in well-established communities exposed to multiple years of experimental treatments, expecting to find evidence of kinship (i.e., greatest resource transfer in related plants), driven by CMN economics (i.e., ^{15}N transfer rates coinciding with ^{13}C investment in root and fungal mass). Our donor plants were perennials while annuals received, on average, an order of magnitude higher enrichment. Thus, we discarded kinship as a possible driver of transfer in this system. We also did not find evidence of a relationship between ^{15}N in leaves and ^{13}C in roots because we did detect ^{15}N enrichment in leaves but no ^{13}C enrichment in roots. We did, however, find significant ^{13}C enrichment in the donor plants. Therefore, our data do not support either hypothesis, and instead suggest AM fungi are

"agnostic" with respect to plant species partnerships, forming CMNs where the rates and

direction of resource transfer ultimately reflects a sink-source strength effects, consistent with

previous observations of stoichiometric source-sink manipulations of carbon and nitrogen within

plants (Ruiz-Vera $et\ al.$, 2017; Tegeder & Masclaux-Daubresse, 2018; Cai $et\ al.$, 2021), but in

our case observed at the community scale.

Nitrogen enrichment levels remained high in leaves and many roots at the end of the

experiment, allowing us to measure NDFL across the community and infer the main drivers of N

transfer. However, carbon enrichment levels faded before plants were harvested approximately

21 days post-labelling, either due to losses via respiration or redistribution in CMNs where it

became undetectable in all but a few cases. After controlling for variation in assimilation rates by

calculating NDFL, we found that annual plants received greater ^{15}N enrichment than perennial

plants. Plants closest to the donor were most enriched, and ^{15}N enrichment decreased over time

(Fig. 4). Plant connectivity through an assumed CMN did not predict ^{15}N enrichment. Although

the rainout shelters had limited effect, there were major differences across the latitudinal gradient

represented by the sites in temperature and soil moisture availability (Reed $et\ al.$, 2019; Dawson

$et\ al.$, 2022); however, neither treatment nor site affected our results.

The major drivers of differences in allocation of ^{13}C and ^{15}N to roots and subsequent

transfer to "receiver" species were the intrinsic difference in plant functional types and correlated

traits, including measured leaf C:N. Previous studies in northern California under environmental

conditions similar to those found in our southernmost experimental site showed rapid (days to

weeks) transfer of ^{15}N applied to the leaves of ectomycorrhizal pines to surrounding annual AM

plant receivers (He $et\ al.$, 2006). Those results were interpreted as evidence for agnostic CMNs

because "direct fungal connections are not necessary for N transfer among plants" and "leaves of

the annual plants had greater ^{15}N derived from source and were more enriched (^{15}N at % excess

and δ^{15}N values) than perennial receivers, irrespective of the mycorrhizal type." Similarly, as

proposed by He et al. (2006), our observation of agnostic ^{15}N transfer from donor to receivers

suggests that annual plants were a strong sink for N which could be explained by stoichiometric

gradients that affect root exudation and recapture of N-containing materials from rhizodeposition

(Høgh-Jensen & Schjoerring, 2001; Mayer $et\ al.$, 2003). Our data corroborate rapid agnostic

transfer among AM plants, with no detectable enrichment in root or soil ^{13}C near roots 21 days

post-labelling, but do not allow us to determine general mechanisms that are responsible for the
^{15}N transfers.

We inferred a high connectivity between plants within each given treatment and site
given the highly similar fungal composition in the root systems of both perennial and annual
plants (Fig. 6). Given the constraints of ASV-identified data, we did this analysis on a strain-
level scale and it is possible that separate spores of the same ASV separately infected plants
within the same plot. However, we are reasonably confident in our use of fungal ASVs as a
proxy for connectivity given the strong overlap in our community and because individuals of one
ASV can anastomose in the soil (Mikkelsen *et al.*, 2008). This overlap could explain the lack of
support for the kinship hypothesis in our dataset and offers further support for stoichiometric
gradients in general, and C:N gradients in particular, as a principal control of terms of trade in
CMNs (Kiers *et al.*, 2011).

We found that plant-soil stoichiometric gradients and functional traits were the strongest
drivers of resource sharing in grassland CMNs. We interpret this finding as evidence of
biochemical and biophysical sinks, in which nutrients are allocated to plants with the greatest
need for those nutrients, either through a 'passive' mycorrhizal network or direct uptake from
soil, or that nutrients should be allocated through water flow. Expanding on previous studies, we
propose that agnostic AM fungi facilitate spatiotemporal dynamics of carbon and nitrogen
transfer through CMNs in ways that are neither plant- nor fungi-centric. That is, plants and fungi
that are located closer together in space and with stronger demand for resources over time are
more likely to receive larger amounts of those limiting resources.

Acknowledgements:
The authors thank the Siskiyou Field Institute, The Nature Conservancy, and Capitol Land Trust
for providing sites for this experiment, Laurel Pfeifer-Meister, Bitty Roy, Bart Johnson, Graham
Bailes, Aaron Nelson, and Matthew Krna for their contributions to experimental design, Emily
Scherer for her assistance with sample analysis, Bitty Roy and Leonel Sternberg for comments
on the manuscript, and numerous others for assistance with the HOPS project. This experiment
was funded by National Science Foundation Macrosystems Biology grant #1340847, Plant Biotic
Interactions grant #1758947, and Convergence Accelerator Pilot grant #1939511.

Competing interests:

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

Author contributions:

L.C.R.S, S.D.B., and B.J.M.B. designed the research; H.R.D., K.L.S., T.M.M., B.B., and P.B.R.

performed the research; H.R.D. led the data analysis and manuscript preparation with K.L.S.

assisting; all authors contributed to revising the manuscript and approved the publication.

Data availability:

Data used in these analyses are available online at the Data Dryad repository

(https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.7pvmcvdxt).

References:

Anders S, Huber W. **2010**. Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. *Genome*

Biology **11**: 1–12.

Averill C, Bhatnagar JM, Dietze MC, Pearse WD, Kivlin SN. **2019**. Global imprint of

mycorrhizal fungi on whole-plant nutrient economics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

Sciences of the United States of America **116**: 23163–23168.

Brundrett MC. **2009**. Mycorrhizal associations and other means of nutrition of vascular plants:

understanding the global diversity of host plants by resolving conflicting information and

developing reliable means of diagnosis. *Plant and Soil* **320**: 37–77.

Cai Z, Xie T, Xu J. **2021**. Source–sink manipulations differentially affect carbon and nitrogen

dynamics, fruit metabolites and yield of Sacha Inchi plants. *BMC Plant Biology* **21**: 1–14.

Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. **2016**. DADA2:

High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nature Methods 2016 13:7* **13**:

581–583.

Chaudhary VB, Rúa MA, Antoninka A, Bever JD, Cannon J, Craig A, Duchicela J, Frame

A, Gardes M, Gehring C, *et al.* 2016. Data Descriptor: MycoDB, a global database of plant

response to mycorrhizal fungi. *Nature*: 3:160028.

Davison J, García de León D, Zobel M, Moora M, Bueno CG, Barceló M, Gerz M, León D,

Meng Y, Pillar VD, *et al.* 2020. Plant functional groups associate with distinct arbuscular

mycorrhizal fungal communities. *New Phytologist* **226**: 1117–1128.

Dawson HR, Maxwell TM, Reed PB, Bridgham SD, Silva LCR. **2022**. Leaf traits predict
water-use efficiency in U.S. Pacific Northwest grasslands under rain exclusion treatment.
Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences **127**: e2022JG007060.

Dickie IA, Martínez-García LB, Koele N, Grelet GA, Tylianakis JM, Peltzer DA,
Richardson SJ. **2013**. Mycorrhizas and mycorrhizal fungal communities throughout ecosystem
development. *Plant and Soil* **367**: 11–39.

Dumbrell AJ, Ashton PD, Aziz N, Feng G, Nelson M, Dytham C, Fitter AH, Helgason T.
2011. Distinct seasonal assemblages of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi revealed by massively
parallel pyrosequencing. *New Phytologist* **190**: 794–804.

Earles JM, Sperling O, Silva LCR, McElrone AJ, Brodersen CR, North MP, Zwieniecki
MA. **2016**. Bark water uptake promotes localized hydraulic recovery in coastal redwood crown.
Plant, Cell & environment **39**: 320–328.

Farquhar GD, Richards RA. **1984**. Isotopic Composition of Plant Carbon Correlates With
Water-Use Efficiency of Wheat Genotypes. *Functional Plant Biology* **11**: 539–552.

Fellbaum CR, Mensah JA, Cloos AJ, Strahan GE, Pfeffer PE, Kiers ET, Bücking H. **2014**.
Fungal nutrient allocation in common mycorrhizal networks is regulated by the carbon source
strength of individual host plants. *New Phytologist* **203**: 646–656.

Figueiredo AF, Boy J, Guggenberger G. **2021**. Common Mycorrhizae Network: A Review of
the Theories and Mechanisms Behind Underground Interactions. *Frontiers in Fungal Biology* **0**:
48.

Gustavsen JA, Pai S, Isserlin R, Demchak B, Pico AR. **2019**. RCy3: Network biology using
Cytoscape from within R. *F1000Research* **8**: 1774.

He X, Bledsoe CS, Zasoski RJ, Southworth D, Horwath WR. **2006**. Rapid nitrogen transfer
from ectomycorrhizal pines to adjacent ectomycorrhizal and arbuscular mycorrhizal plants in a
California oak woodland. *New Phytologist* **170**: 143–151.

He Y, Cornelissen JHC, Wang P, Dong M, Ou J. **2019**. Nitrogen transfer from one plant to
another depends on plant biomass production between conspecific and heterospecific species via
a common arbuscular mycorrhizal network. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **26**:
8828–8837.

He X, Xu M, Qiu GY, Zhou J. **2009**. Use of 15N stable isotope to quantify nitrogen transfer
between mycorrhizal plants. *Journal of Plant Ecology* **2**: 107–118.

van der Heijden MGA, Martin FM, Selosse MA, Sanders IR. **2015**. Mycorrhizal ecology and
evolution: the past, the present, and the future. *New Phytologist* **205**: 1406–1423.

Høgh-Jensen H, Schjoerring JK. **2001**. Rhizodeposition of nitrogen by red clover, white clover
and ryegrass leys. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **33**: 439–448.

Johnson NC, Graham JH, Smith FA. **1997**. Functioning of mycorrhizal associations along the
mutualism–parasitism continuum. *New Phytologist* **135**: 575–585.

Kenrick P, Strullu-Derrien C. **2014**. The Origin and Early Evolution of Roots 1. *Plant*
Physiology: 570–580.

Kiers ET, Duhamel M, Beesetty Y, Mensah JA, Franken O, Verbruggen E, Fellbaum CR,
Kowalchuk GA, Hart MM, Bago A, *et al.* 2011. Reciprocal rewards stabilize cooperation in
the mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Science* **333**: 880–882.

Klironomos JN. **2003**. Variation in plant response to native and exotic arbuscular mycorrhizal
fungi. *Ecology* **84**: 2292–2301.

Kramer AW, Doane TA, Horwath WR, Kessel C van. **2002**. Combining fertilizer and organic
inputs to synchronize N supply in alternative cropping systems in California. *Agriculture,*
Ecosystems & Environment **91**: 233–243.

Langmead B, Salzberg SL. **2012**. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature Methods*
2012 9:4 **9**: 357–359.

Lee E-H, Eo J-K, Ka K-H, Eom A-H. **2013**. Mycobiology diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal
fungi and their roles in ecosystems. **41**: 121–125.

Lee J, Lee S, Young JPW. **2008**. Improved PCR primers for the detection and identification of
arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **65**: 339–349.

Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. **2014**. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology* **15**: 1–21.

Mariotte P, Meugnier C, Johnson D, Thébault A, Spiegelberger T, Buttler A. **2013**.
Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi reduce the differences in competitiveness between dominant and
subordinate plant species. *Mycorrhiza* **23**: 267–277.

Mayer J, Buegger F, Jensen ES, Schloter M, Heß J. **2003**. Estimating N rhizodeposition of
grain legumes using a 15N in situ stem labelling method. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **35**: 21–
28.

McMahen K, Guichon SHA, Anglin CD, Lavkulich LM, Grayston SJ, Simard SW. **2022**.

Soil microbial legacies influence plant survival and growth in mine reclamation. *Ecology and*

Evolution **12**: e9473.

McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. **2013**. phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis

and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. *PLOS ONE* **8**: e61217.

Mikkelsen BL, Rosendahl S, Jakobsen I. **2008**. Underground resource allocation between

individual networks of mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytologist* **180**: 890–898.

Mikryukov V. **2017**. metagMisc.

Nepusz T, Csardi G. **2006**. The igraph software package for complex network research.

Oksanen J, Simpson G, Blanchet F, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin P, O'Hara R, Solymos

P, Stevens M, Szoecs E, *et al.* 2022. vegan: Community Ecology Package.

Öpik M, Vanatoa A, Vanatoa E, Moora M, Davison J, Kalwij JM, Reier Ü, Zobel M. **2010**.

The online database MaarjAM reveals global and ecosystemic distribution patterns in arbuscular

mycorrhizal fungi (Glomeromycota). *New Phytologist* **188**: 223–241.

Peaucelle M, Bacour C, Ciais P, Vuichard N, Kuppel S, Peñuelas J, Belelli Marchesini L,

Blanken PD, Buchmann N, Chen J, *et al.* 2019. Covariations between plant functional traits

emerge from constraining parameterization of a terrestrial biosphere model. *Global Ecology and*

Biogeography **28**: 1351–1365.

Petersen T. **2022**. tidygraph: A Tidy API for Graph Manipulation.

Peterson ML, Bailes G, Hendricks LB, Pfeifer-Meister L, Reed PB, Bridgham SD, Johnson

BR, Shriver R, Waddle E, Wroton H, *et al.* 2020. Latitudinal gradients in population growth

do not reflect demographic responses to climate. *Ecological Applications*.

Pickles BJ, Wilhelm R, Asay AK, Hahn AS, Simard SW, Mohn WW. **2017**. Transfer of [13]C

between paired Douglas-fir seedlings reveals plant kinship effects and uptake of exudates by

ectomycorrhizas. *New Phytologist* **214**: 400–411.

R Core team. **2015**. R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.*

R Foundation for Statistical Computing , Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL

http://www.R-project.org/. **55**: 275–286.

Reed PB, Bridgham SD, Pfeifer-Meister LE, DeMarche ML, Johnson BR, Roy BA, Bailes

GT, Nelson AA, Morris WF, Doak DF. **2021a**. Climate warming threatens the persistence of a

community of disturbance-adapted native annual plants. *Ecology* **102**: e03464.

Reed PB, Peterson ML, Pfeifer-Meister LE, Morris WF, Doak DF, Roy BA, Johnson BR,

Bailes GT, Nelson AA, Bridgham SD. **2021b**. Climate manipulations differentially affect plant

population dynamics within versus beyond northern range limits (I Stott, Ed.). *Journal of*

Ecology **109**: 664–675.

Reed PB, Pfeifer-Meister LE, Roy BA, Johnson BR, Bailes GT, Nelson AA, Boulay MC,

Hamman ST, Bridgham SD, Pfeifer-Meister LE, *et al.* 2019. Prairie plant phenology driven

more by temperature than moisture in climate manipulations across a latitudinal gradient in the

Pacific Northwest, USA. *Ecology and Evolution* **9**: 3637–3650.

Reed PB, Pfeifer-Meister LE, Roy BA, Johnson BR, Bailes GT, Nelson AA, Bridgham SD.

2021c. Introduced annuals mediate climate-driven community change in Mediterranean prairies

of the Pacific Northwest, USA (S Irl, Ed.). *Diversity and Distributions* **00**: 1–12.

Ruiz-Vera UM, De Souza AP, Long SP, Ort DR. **2017**. The role of sink strength and nitrogen

availability in the down-regulation of photosynthetic capacity in field-grown Nicotiana tabacum

L. at elevated CO_2 concentration. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **8**: 998.

Selosse MA, Richard F, He X, Simard SW. **2006**. Mycorrhizal networks: des liaisons

dangereuses? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **21**: 621–628.

Silva LCR, Lambers H. **2021**. Soil-plant-atmosphere interactions: structure, function, and

predictive scaling for climate change mitigation. *Plant and Soil*: 1–23.

Silva LCR, Salamanca-Jimenez A, Doane TA, Horwath WR. **2015**. Carbon dioxide level and

form of soil nitrogen regulate assimilation of atmospheric ammonia in young trees. *Scientific*

reports **5**: 13141.

Simard SW, Perry DA, Jones MD, Myrold DD, Durall DM, Molina R. **1997**. Net transfer of

carbon between ectomycorrhizal tree species in the field. *Nature* **388**: 579–582.

Smith T, Heger A, Sudbery I. **2017**. UMI-tools: modeling sequencing errors in Unique

Molecular Identifiers to improve quantification accuracy. *Genome Research* **27**: 491–499.

Smith SE, Read DJ (David J). **2008**. *Mycorrhizal symbiosis*. Academic Press.

Soudzilovskaia NA, Vaessen S, Barcelo M, He J, Rahimlou S, Abarenkov K, Brundrett

MC, Gomes SIF, Merckx V, Tedersoo L. **2020**. FungalRoot: global online database of plant

mycorrhizal associations. *New Phytologist* **227**: 955–966.

Spencer MW, Roy BA, Thornton TE, Silva LCR, McGuire KL. **2023**. Logging has legacy
effects on the structure of soil fungal communities several decades after cessation in Western
Cascade forest stands. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change* **6**: 41.
Sperling O, Silva LCR, Tixier A, Théroux-Rancourt G, Zwieniecki MA. **2017**. Temperature
gradients assist carbohydrate allocation within trees. *Scientific Reports* **7**: 3265.
Tedersoo L, Bahram M, Zobel M. **2020**. How mycorrhizal associations drive plant population
and community biology. *Science* **367**.
Tegeder M, Masclaux-Daubresse C. **2018**. Source and sink mechanisms of nitrogen transport
and use. *New Phytologist* **217**: 35–53.
Vandegrift R, Roy BA, Pfeifer-Meister L, Johnson BR, Bridgham SD. **2015**. The herbaceous
landlord: integrating the effects of symbiont consortia within a single host. *PeerJ* **3**: e1379.
Walder F, van der Heijden MGA. **2015**. Regulation of resource exchange in the arbuscular
mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Nature Plants* **1**.
Ward EB, Duguid MC, Kuebbing SE, Lendemer JC, Bradford MA. **2022**. The functional
role of ericoid mycorrhizal plants and fungi on carbon and nitrogen dynamics in forests. *New
Phytologist*.
Weremijewicz J, Sternberg L da SLO, Janos DP. **2016**. Common mycorrhizal networks
amplify competition by preferential mineral nutrient allocation to large host plants. *New
Phytologist* **212**: 461–471.
Werner C, Dubbert M. **2016**. Resolving rapid dynamics of soil-plant-atmosphere interactions.
New Phytologist **210**: 767–769.
Wilson H, Johnson BR, Bohannan B, Pfeifer-Meister LE, Mueller R, Bridgham SD. **2016**.
Experimental warming decreases arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal colonization in prairie plants
along a Mediterranean climate gradient. *PeerJ* **2016**: 1–22.
643

Supporting information
Table S1. List of species at each site with number of leaf samples per species.
Table S2. Collection dates for each time point at each site.
Table S3. List of fungal ASVs by annuals and perennials.
Figure S1. Enrichment decreases over time in labelled plants.
Figure S2. Receiver enrichment did not correlate with donor enrichment.

Figure S3: Annuals are more greatly enriched with ^{15}N than perennials.

Figure S4. No trend in ^{15}N enrichment across site and rain exclusion treatment.

Figure S5. Limited soil enrichment 21 days after labelling.

Figure S6. Histogram of connectivity metrics showing left skew.