The prophylactic value of TNF-α inhibitors against retinal ganglion cell and optic nerve axon loss after corneal surgery or trauma

Eleftherios I. Paschalis MSc, PhD[*,1,2,3] Chengxin Zhou PhD[*,1,2,3] Jyoti Sharma, PhD,[1,2,3] Sarah Kim, BA,[1,2] Fengyang Lei MD, PhD,[1,2,3] James Chodosh, MD, MPH,[1,2,3] Demetrios Vavvas, MD, PhD,[1,4] Arto Urtti, PhD,[5] George Papaliodis, MD,[1] Claes H. Dohlman MD, PhD[1,2]

[*]Equal contribution

[1]Massachusetts Eye and Ear, Department of Ophthalmology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

[2]Schepens Eye Research Institute, Boston Keratoprosthesis Laboratory/Massachusetts Eye and Ear, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

[3]Disruptive Technology Laboratory, Massachusetts Eye and Ear, Department of Ophthalmology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

[4]Angiogenesis Laboratory, Massachusetts Eye and Ear, Department of Ophthalmology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

[5]Division of Pharmaceutical Biosciences, University of Helsinki, Finland and School of Pharmacy, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland.

Corresponding author and reprints: Claes H. Dohlman, Massachusetts Eye and Ear, Boston, MA 02114, e-mail: claes_dohlman@meei.harvard.edu, or Eleftherios I. Paschalis, Boston Keratoprosthesis Laboratory, Department of Ophthalmology, Massachusetts Eye and Ear and Schepens Eye Research Institute, email: eleftherios_paschalis@meei.harvard.edu, and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02114, USA.

Conflict of Interest: The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists

Key Words: Glaucoma; inflammation; TNF-α antibodies; biologics; sub-conjunctival.

Running title: Retinal protection with subconjunctival anti-TNF-α administration.

Funding: Supported by Boston Keratoprosthesis funds

Abstract

Background and Purpose: Late secondary glaucoma is an often severe complication after anterior segment surgery, trauma, infection, etc. TNF-α is a major mediator that is rapidly upregulated and causes retinal cell apoptosis and optic nerve axon degeneration (mediating steps to glaucomatous damage). Anti-TNF-α antibodies are in animals very effective in protecting the ganglion cells and the optic nerve—and might therefore be useful prophylactically against secondary glaucoma in patients. Here we evaluate 1) toxicity and 2) efficacy of two TNF-α inhibitors (adalimumab and infliximab), in rabbits by subconjunctival administration.

Methods: For drug _toxicity_, animals with _normal, unburned_ corneas were injected with adalimumab (0.4, 4, or 40 mg), or infliximab (1, 10, or 100 mg). For drug _efficacy_, other animals were subjected to alkali burn before such injection, or steroids. The rabbits were evaluated clinically with slit lamp and photography, electroretinography, optical coherence tomography, and intraocular pressure manometry. Some eyes were stained _ex vivo_ after 3 days for retinal ganglion cell apoptosis (TUNEL). In other experiments the optic nerves were evaluated with paraphenylenediamine staining after 50 or 90 days. Loss of retinal ganglion cells and optic nerve degeneration were quantified.

Results: Subconjunctival administration of 0.4 mg or 4.0 mg adalimumab were well tolerated, whereas 40.0 mg was toxic to the retina. 1, 10, or 100 mg infliximab were also well tolerated. Analysis of the optic nerve axons after 50 days confirmed the safety of 4.0 mg adalimumab or of 100 mg infliximab.
For _efficacy, 4.0 mg adalimumab subconjunctivally in 0.08 mL_ provided practically full protection against ganglion cell apoptosis 3 days following alkali burn, and infliximab 100 mg only slightly less. At 90 days following a burn, the control optic nerve showed about 50% axon loss but only about 8% if protected with adalimumab.

Conclusions: _Subconjunctival injection of 4.0 mg adalimumab_ in rabbits shows no eye toxicity and provides excellent neuroprotection, short (3 days) and long-term (90 days). _Our total accumulated data from several studies,z combined with the present paper, suggest that corneal_

57 *injuries, including surgery, might benefit from routine administration of anti-TNF-α biologics to*

58 *reduce inflammation and future secondary glaucoma.*

<u>Introduction</u>

It is well known and well documented by clinical ophthalmologists that acute traumatic events to

the cornea (e.g. chemical burns, ruptured globes, infections), as well as standard penetrating

corneal surgery (such as transplantations, keratoprosthesis (KPro), lacerations, etc.), often

develop a late sight-threatening optic nerve neuropathy that phenotypically appears similar or

identical to chronic open-angle or closed-angle glaucomas[1-30]. However, due to having an

identifiable triggering cause, this category has often been labeled "secondary glaucoma."

Secondary glaucoma is the most consequential complication after corneal surgery.

The magnitude of this secondary glaucomatous complication has most likely been

underestimated in the past due to its frequently delayed manifestation, sometimes many years

("time bomb"). Epidemiological studies on secondary glaucoma are therefore limited and

numbers citing incidence and outcomes vary substantially with geography and level of economy

of the area[31-37]. One source estimated that about 6 million patients in the world have secondary

glaucoma compared with 67 million with the primary glaucomas[4]. In totality, the prevalence of

secondary glaucoma across the world has been stated to vary from 6 to 22% among various

glaucoma studies[31]. WHO in 2002 estimated the prevalence of _blindness_ from secondary

glaucoma to be 2.7 million people worldwide[37]. Glaucomatous blindness is of course presently

irreversible.

The immediate cause of secondary glaucoma, according to many studies, has been primarily

attributed to surgery or trauma with corresponding inflammation rather than to chronic

diseases[32]—pointing to "an acute single-event episode." Such an acute episode should require

only a relatively short period of treatment, including prophylaxis against complications such as

secondary glaucoma. The majority of postsurgical glaucoma has been described as unilateral, but

the eventual visual outcome has been severe with a very high percentage of angle closure[31].

With regards to the pathophysiology of secondary glaucoma there have been recent shifts of

view. The IOP was almost universally blamed in the past, especially in cases of angle closure

with markedly elevated pressure. However, difficulties in explaining glaucomatous damage fully

in the presence of "normal" pressure has led to a greater interest in neuroinflammation and

genetics[38-45]. In fact, an intensive research effort has been directed towards key inflammatory

mediators for glaucoma in general, rather than strictly mechanical (IOP) factors (see a review[39]).

The TNF-α pathway has received attention in its involvement in these processes.

Our observations in the 1990ies of the often-dramatic effect of anti-TNF-α antibodies in

preventing destructive cornea melt around keratoprostheses in autoimmune patients[43,44,46-49]

stimulated a series of experimental studies on the pathophysiology of such treatment[49-53]. With

secondary glaucoma in mind, we focused on the model of alkali burns of the cornea. These

studies showed that such an alkali burn can upregulate TNF-α anteriorly which will very rapidly

diffuse to the retina and result in considerable apoptosis of the ganglion cells (TUNEL), and

degeneration of their nerve axons ("the hallmarks of glaucoma"). It had earlier been shown in

studies by Kinoshita et al. that other cytokines could reach the retina in early stages after corneal

burn[59]. (The alkali itself cannot reach the retina—it is effectively buffered at the iris plane[50,52,60].)

These events occur very rapidly while IOP is still normal or low, pointing to the existence of a

rapid, inflammatory, IOP-independent pathway to secondary glaucomatous damage after acute

events elsewhere in the eye[61]. These results have later been corroborated elswhere[62].

Thus, at present, it is not known clinically how much of any late secondary glaucoma may be

due to this newly identified pathway in contrast to the classic IOP-triggered influence, but it

would be advisable to probe further, especially since _prophylactic prevention_ should be a

possibility since drugs are already available and can be promptly applied. Thus, importantly, it

has been shown that not only corticosteroids but also monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) to TNF-α,

such as etanercept, infliximab, or adalimumab, can be markedly protective of the ganglion cells

from damage in animals if administered rapidly enough systemically after the instigating event[50,

52,63]. In recently published animal work, we have further demonstrated that prolonged

administration of anti-TNF-α antibody to the retina can be achieved by subconjunctival

implantation of a polymer-based drug delivery system (DDS)[64]. Although the DDS was loaded

with only 85 μg of infliximab (~30 μg/kg), a biological effect was observed for over a month,

manifested by a significant reduction of retinal cell apoptosis after an alkali burn to the cornea[65].

However, of considerable importance are also previous findings in animals that not only the

described rapid inflammatory response can be suppressed by the biologics but also, quite likely,

the classic IOP-triggered insult as well, since the optic nerve degeneration found after 3 months

could have resulted from either or both pathways. Several of these studies showed a very marked

effect of the antibodies to protect the ganglion cells and the optic nerve (50-100% protection),

strengthening the possibility of prophylaxis of secondary glaucoma at the time of the

inflammatory event (surgery, trauma, etc.), and perhaps also shortly afterwards. These findings

alone should have substantial therapeutic promise.

Because of these insights and therapeutic possibilities, it seems that further investigations of the

ocular use of anti-inflammatory biologics are warranted. Not only postoperative glaucoma is at

stake but also other inflammatory complications such as corneal tissue melt, retroprosthetic

membranes, uveitis, vitritis, retinal detachments, etc. This study is meant to focus specifically on

the following issues: The risks and benefits of the two presently leading marketed TNF-α

inhibitors (adalimumab and infliximab), when administered locally to the eyes, should be

clarified. Also, it is still not clear whether a drug delivery system (DDS) is the most practical

way for the administration, or whether a single injection of an agent would suffice—and be safer

and more practical—or whether intravitreal or systemic route would still be preferable for long-

term use. The present study has therefore attempted to investigate the toxicity and efficacy of

such anti-inflammatory administration by the _subconjunctival_ route.

Materials and Methods

Rabbit model

All animal-based procedures were performed in accordance with the Association For Research in

Vision and Ophthalmology Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision

Research, and the National Institutes of Health Guidance for the Care and Use of Laboratory

Animals. This study was approved by the Animal Care Committee of the Massachusetts Eye and

Ear Infirmary and Schepens Eye Research Institute. 32 Dutch-belted pigmented rabbits were

used for this study and were obtained from Covance (Dedham, MA, USA). Rabbits were used at

the ages of 4-10 months.

Rabbit anesthesia, recovery, and euthanasia

Rabbits were anesthetized with intramuscular injection of ketamine hydrochloride INJ, USP (35

mg/kg; KetaVed, VEDCO, St. Joseph, MO, USA), xylazine (5 mg/ kg; AnaSed, LLOYD,

Shenandoah, IA, USA), and acepromazine (0.75 mg/kg; PromAce®, Boehringer Ingelheim

Vetmedica, Inc. MO, USA). Reversal of anesthesia was obtained with intravenous (IV)

yohimbine (0.1 mg/kg; Yobine, LLOYD) administration in a marginal ear vein. Rabbits were

placed on a warm pad until becoming sternal and able to move. Anesthetized rabbits were

euthanized at the completion of the experiment with 100 mg/kg Fatal Plus IV injection (sodium

pentobarbital).

Retinal injury

Sterile inflammatory retinal injury was accomplished by applying a corneal surface alkali burn,

an established retinal injury model[50,52]. Topical anesthetic (0.5% proparacaine hydrochloride,

Bausch & Lomb, Tampa, FL, USA) was applied to the study eye while the contralateral eye was

protected using GenTeal gel (Alcon, Fort Worth, TX, USA). Alkali burn was performed by using

an 8-mm diameter filter paper soaked in 2 N NaOH that was applied to the center of the cornea

for 10 seconds followed by immediate eye irrigation with saline solution for 15 minutes.

Buprenorphine (0.03 mg/kg; Buprenex Injectable, Reckitt Benckiser Health- care Ltd, United

Kingdom) was administered subcutaneously prior to the burn procedure for pain management

and a transdermal fentanyl patch (12 mcg/hr; LTS Lohmann Therapy System, Corp., NJ, USA)

was placed on the right skin to alleviate pain for 3 days.

Dose titration of anti-TNF-α and monoclonal antibodies

Two FDA approved TNF-α inhibitors were selected: a) infliximab (Remicade® Janssen Biotech

Inc., Johnson and Johnson, Titusville, NJ, USA), and b) adalimumab (Humira® Abbvie Inc.,

North Chicago, IL, U.S.A.). Infliximab was reconstituted in 0.8 mL sterile saline for injection

and administered subconjunctivally at 1, 10, or 100 mg dose (n=3) in otherwise intact eyes

immediately after the irrigation of alkali injury. Likewise, adalimumab in 0.08 mL saline was

administered subconjunctivally at 0.4 mg, 4 mg, and 40 mg dose (n=3). Two sham injection

(control) animals were used (one for each study group) that received 0.8 mL of sterile saline

subconjunctivally without drug. Subconjunctival injections of infliximab were performed using a

30-G needle and adalimumab using the pre-fitted syringe needle.

Clinical Evaluation

Clinical evaluation was performed on all rabbits before and after treatment and 0.5%

proparacaine hydrochloride was applied to the operated eyes. Eyes were photographed using a

digital SLR camera (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) attached to a surgical microscope (S21; Carl Zeiss,

Jena, Germany). Remote photography was performed using an iPhone 7plus (Apple Inc) fitted

with a magnifying clip-on lens (12x, Pictek Fisheye Lens, Amazon.com Inc, WA, USA).

IOP measurements

Intraocular pressure measurements were performed in anesthetized rabbits using a custom-made

intracameral pressure transducer connected to a 27-gauge needle. The device was designed using

a differential microelectromechanical pressure sensor 40 PC (Honeywell, Freeport, IL)

connected to a 14-bit, 48 kilo samples per second data acquisition NI USB-6009 (National

Instruments, Austin, TX), controlled by a proprietary software algorithm operating in Labview

2017 (National Instruments) environment. A special algorithm was designed to compensate for

aqueous humor volume displacement during *in vivo* pressure measurements. The device was

assembled using microfluidic components (IDEX Health & Science, Oak Harbor, WA) with

minimum dead volume. Before measurements, the remaining dead volume of the syringe was

pre-filled with sterile water, thus minimizing air compressibility only within the micro-

electromechanical cavity, which was co-evaluated by the software algorithm. To perform

measurements, the needle was inserted into the anterior chamber of the eye through a temporal

clear corneal puncture, adjacent to the limbus, and the needle was advanced approximately 5 mm

toward the center of the chamber[52].

In vivo **optical coherence tomography**

Posterior segment optical coherent tomography (OCT) was performed in anesthetized animals

using the Heidelberg Spectralis (Heidelberg Engineering GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany). A

speculum was used to retract the lids. Vertical and horizontal raster scans were performed to

acquire images of the 4 retinal quadrants adjacent to the optic nerve (ON). Radial scans were

performed to image the ON. Quantification of the retinal thickness was performed with image

segmentation using ImageJ software version 1.43 or above (NIH, Bethesda, MD;

http://imagej.nih.gov/ij). Thickness was measured from the borders of the ganglion cell layer to

the outer nuclear layer. Six images of superior, inferior, temporal, and nasal retina were

measured. Baseline images were compared to images obtained 50 days after subconjunctival

injection.

Retinal damage and cell death

Cell death was assessed in tissue sections using terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated

dUTP nick- end labeling (TUNEL, Roche TUNEL kit (12156792910; Roche, Basel,

Switzerland), as previously described[50,52]. Mounting medium with DAPI (Ultra- Cruz; sc-24941;

Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX) was placed over the tissue, followed by a coverslip. Tile

images were taken using an epifluorescent microscope (Zeiss Axio Imager M2; Zeiss,

Oberkochen, Germany). DAPI signal (blue) was overlayed with Texas red (TUNEL+ cells) and

quantified with ImageJ software version 1.43 or above (NIH, Bethesda, MD;

http://imagej.nih.gov/ij) to assess the number of TUNEL+ cells overlapping with DAPI in the

areas of interest. At least 3 different tissue sections per eye were analyzed, and data were

presented as a percentage of the total DAPI area.

Tissue preparation for H&E

Eyes were enucleated at predetermined time points. The eyes were surgically dissected and fixed

in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) solution for 3 days at 4ºC.

Following fixation, eyes were sagittally dissected and half of the eye ball was embedded in

optimal cutting temperature (OCT) compound and flash-frozen, and the other half in glycol

methacrylate. OCT embedded tissues were sectioned at 10 μm thickness and glycol methacrylate

embedded at 3 μm and transferred to positively charged glass slides (Superfrost glass slides,

Thermo Fisher, IL, USA). Hematoxylin/eosin (H&E) staining was performed for general

histologic observation.

Optic nerve evaluation with paraphenylenediamine staining

Optic nerve axon degeneration was evaluated in explanted rabbit eyes using

paraphenylenediamine staining (PPD). Optic nerves were dissected from the explanted eyes,

fixed in Karnovsky fixative solution for 24 hours at 4ºC, then processed and embedded in acrylic

resin. Tissue cross sections (1 μm thick) were stained with 1% PPD in absolute methanol. Each

section was mounted onto a glass slide and imaged using a bright field microscope (Nikon

eclipse E800 DIC; Tokyo, Japan) with a 100X objective lens. Tile images of the whole nerve

section were obtained, and axon degeneration was quantified using ImageJ software, according

to previous protocols[52].

Retinal neuroprotection

The efficacy of subconjunctival anti-TNF-α administration in retinal neuroprotection was

assessed using the aforementioned ocular burn injury model[50,52]. Immediately after the burn and

lavage, the eye received subconjunctival injection of either infliximab (1, 10, or 100 mg) or

adalimumab (0.4, 4, or 40 mg). Sterile saline (sham) subconjunctival injection (0.8 mL, n=3) was

used as control. Additional controls included: subconjunctival injection of triamcinolone (20 mg,

n=3). Retinal protection was assessed using TUNEL assay. Long-term efficacy of

subconjunctival 4 mg adalimumab was assessed in rabbit eyes, 90 days after injury using retinal

H&E and ON PPD staining.

Statistical analysis

Results were analyzed with the statistical package of social sciences (SPSS) Version 17.0

(Statistical Package for the Social Sciences Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The normality of

continuous variables was assessed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Quantitative variables

were expressed as mean ± standard error of mean (SEM) and qualitative variables were

expressed as frequencies and percentages. The Mann-Whitney test was used to assess differences

between groups. All tests were two-tailed, and statistical significance was determined at $p < 0.05$.

The independent student t-test was used to compare means between two groups, and pairwise t-

test to compare changes within the same group. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for

comparisons of multiple groups. Alpha level correction was applied, as appropriate, for multiple

comparisons.

Results

To assess toxicity, naive Dutch-Belted pigmented rabbits received subconjunctival injection of

adalimumab (0.4, 4, 40 mg) or infliximab (1, 10, 100 mg) in one eye. All injections were

uneventful. A conjunctival bleb was observed immediately after the injection of a higher dose of

adalimumab (40 mg) or infliximab (100 mg), which resolved within a day **(Fig. 1 A-C)**. No bleb

was generated following injection of 4 mg adalimumab in 0.08 mL saline **(Fig. 1 D-F)**. Fifty

days after injection of the agents, all eyes looked normal, with intact corneal and conjunctival

epithelium and lack of signs of inflammation or vascularization **(Fig. 1 C, F)**.

Nor did subconjunctival injection of adalimumab or infliximab, at various doses, cause

immediate or long-term intraocular pressure elevation, as assessed by intracameral manometry 7

days before injection and 1, 3, 7, 28 and 50 days after injection **(Fig. 1 G)**. A slight IOP elevation

occurred only 7, 28, and 50 days after injection of sterile saline (sham group) but was not

statistically significant **(Fig. 1 G)**.

Additional evaluation was performed to assess potential retinal toxicity following

subconjunctival injection of adalimumab (up to 40 mg) or infliximab (up to 100 mg). Dark-

adapted and light-adapted electroretinography (ERG) were performed at baseline (7 days prior to

injection) and 3, 7, 28, and 45 days post injection. Adalimumab and infliximab, at the highest

doses, did not cause appreciable changes, and ERG responses following flash stimulation with

0.01, 3, or 10 cd.S/m2 were similar between injected and un-injected contralateral eyes.

Moreover, a and b wave amplitudes and time responses were statistically similar with saline

injected eyes **(Supplemental figures 1, 2)**.

In vivo histology was performed by using OCT imaging at baseline and 50 days after

subconjunctival injection of adalimumab and infliximab. 4 mg of adalimumab did not cause

changes in retinal thickness **(Fig. 2 A)**, while high dose (40 mg) caused increase in superior

retinal thickness (P<0.05) as compared to baseline measurements **(Fig. 2 B)**. No retinal thickness

changes were observed following 100 mg subconjunctival injection of infliximab **(Fig. 2 C)**.

Lower dose of adalimumab 0.4 or infliximab 1 and 10 mg likewise did not cause changes in

retinal thickness measured by OCT.

Further toxicity assessment was performed by analyzing the optic nerve axons 50 days after

subconjunctival injection of either 0.4, 4, 40 mg of adalimumab or 1, 10, 100 mg of infliximab.

The results confirmed the safety of 4 mg adalimumab and the adverse effect of 40 mg

adalimumab, which resulted in axonal degeneration and drop-out, as compared to the

contralateral un-injected eye and control saline (sham) injected eye **(Fig. 2 A, B, D-F, H, I)**.

Infliximab 100 mg on the other hand did not cause optic nerve axonal degeneration/drop-out,

consistent with the OCT retinal thickness measurements **(Fig. 2 C, G, J)**.

The efficacy of subconjunctival injection of adalimumab and infliximab in acute retinal

protection was evaluated 3 days after corneal burn. We have previously shown that corneal alkali

burn causes acute uveal inflammation, release of TNF-α, and subsequent retinal cell apoptosis

within 3 days in rabbits[52]. Indeed, saline treated (subconjunctival) rabbits exhibited peripheral

and central retinal cell apoptosis within 3 days after the injury, extending in all 3 retinal layers

(Fig. 3 A, B, I). In contrast, subconjunctival injection of infliximab 100 mg reduced retinal cell

apoptosis **(Fig. 3 C, D, I)** but not as efficiently as subconjunctival adalimumab 4 mg, which

provided complete protection to the retina **(Fig. 3 G, H, I, J)**. Likewise, subconjunctival

triamcinolone 20 mg was very protective **(Fig. 3 E, F, I, J)**. In contrast, high dose (40 mg)

subconjunctival adalimumab was toxic and caused retinal cell apoptosis instead of retinal

protection (compared to sham control) **(Fig. 3 I, J)**.

The long-term efficacy of subconjunctival 4 mg adalimumab in retinal and optic nerve protection

was evaluated in a separate study, by evaluating the retina and optic nerve 90 days after corneal

burn. A single subconjunctival injection of 4 mg adalimumab after the injury was able to prevent

retinal ganglion cells loss and change in retinal thickness, as compared to saline treatment that

exhibited significant loss in RGCs and increase in retinal thickness **(Fig. 4 A-D)**. Moreover,

analysis of the optic nerve axons confirmed the above results, showing that 4 mg adalimumab

was able to preserve 92% of the ON axons at 90 days, as compared to saline treatment which

exhibited almost 50% axonal loss **(Fig. 4 E-G)**.

Expected retinal bioavailability of adalimumab after subconjunctival administration has been

roughly estimated computationally. It is known that about 0.06% of a hydrophilic compound

(Gd-DTPA) permeates to the rabbit retina after subconjunctival injection[66]. Similar results were

obtained by computational simulation of protein drug permeation from the subconjunctival space

to the retina and vitreous (i.e. 0.1–1% of the dose; fraction of 0.001-0.01)[67]. This estimate takes

into account permeability in the sclera, choroid, and RPE as well as drug loss to the conjunctival

and choroidal blood flows. Average retinal adalimumab concentration after subconjunctival

injection can be estimated using equation:

$$C_{ss,av} = (F_{ret} \times D_{sc}) / (\tau \times CL_{ret})$$

where F_{ret} (retinal bioavailability) = 0.001-0.01, D_{sc} (subconjunctival dose) = 4 mg, τ (follow-up

period after the dose) = 72 h (Fig. 5), and CL_{ret} (clearance from the retina/vitreous humor

compartment) = 0.066 ml/h[68]. Therefore, adalimumab (4 mg; molecular weight 144,190 g/ml)

concentration in the retina/vitreous compartment is expected to be 0.8-8 µg/ml (5.8-58 nM);

about 10^3-10^4 times higher than its affinity (K_d = 8.6 pM) to soluble TNF-α[69], and 10-100 times

higher than adalimumab affinity towards membrane bound TNF-α (K_d = 468 pM). Thus, it is

likely that direct permeation of adalimumab from the subconjunctival space to the retina results

in therapeutic activity in the retina.

It is known that substantial systemic drug absorption takes place from the subconjunctival

injection site. Experimental data[70] and computational follow-up analysis[67] suggest systemic

absorption of 72–83% for large molecules. Then, average steady-state concentration of

adalimumab ($C_{ss,av}$) in human plasma and adalimumab quantity entering retina during 72 hours

can be estimated as

$$Q_{ret} = P_{RPE} \times S_{RPE} \times C_{ss,av} \times t = P_{RPE} \times S_{RPE} (F \times D_{sc}) / (\tau \times CL) \times t$$

where $P_{RPE} = 0.035 \times 10^{-6}$ cm/s (RPE permeability of bevacizumab from)[71], $S_{RPE} = 12$ cm^2 (area

of human RPE)[72], F = 0.72, $D_{sc} = 4$ mg, $\tau = 72$ h, CL (plasma clearance) = 12 ml/h[73] and t = 72 h.

Average concentration in plasma is calculated to be ≈ 3.2 mg/L (22 nM) resulting in distribution

of ≈ 0.35 µg of adalimumab across the RPE into the retinal compartment within 72 hours.

Permeation of proteins across the blood retinal barrier is slow[74] and the result (0.35 µg) is about

0.01% of the subconjunctival dose. *Therefore, we conclude that direct permeation of*

adalimumab across the ocular tissues to the retina represents about 10-100 higher

bioavailability (≈ 0.1–1%) than its retinal entry via systemic blood circulation (≈ 0.01%).

(In a very recent rabbit study, the development of a thermosensitive biodegradable slow-release

DDS was reported. Using this device loaded with 2 mg infliximab reduced the axon loss after

alkali burn to the cornea 3 months earlier, from about 50% (n=4) to about 8% (n=3) [Zhou et

al[75]]—thus very similar to what is reported in this paper.)

Discussion

The inflammatory cytokine TNF-α seems to be playing a major role in the triggering of the optic

neuropathy after acute traumatic events in the eye[50,63]. Most likely other inflammatory cytokines

are involved as well, especially IL-6 and IL-1β[52], but the role of TNF-α in glaucoma has been

under strong suspicion for over two decades[76,77]. Development of monoclonal antibodies have

helped to pinpoint the role of this cytokine in the process. Thus, it has been previously shown in

animals that ganglion cell death after short exposure to high intraocular pressure, can be blocked

by etanercept, a TNF-α antibody[63]. In the likely IOP-independent, inflammatory and rapid

pathway described by us in animals, infliximab and adalimumab similarly show a high level of

ganglion cell protection[50,52]. Whether these seemingly separate pathophysiological pathways to

optic nerve damage (IOP-independent and IOP-triggered) are truly separate or merge into one,

remains to be determined.

When interpreting the results on past alkali burn or corneal surgery experiments, the timing of

events is important. Thus, the TUNEL staining of the retinal ganglion cell apoptosis performed 3

days after the corneal burn, should reflect only the initial, rapid, seemingly IOP-independent

events, whereas the optic nerve degeneration after 50 days (in earlier experiments 90 days[52])

might reflect not only this first phase but also on the second, IOP-related phase during the

healing process (increased outflow resistance, etc.). Under any circumstances, both phases might

be blocked with prompt delivery of TNF-α inhibitors already on the market, and this therapeutic

possibility deserves to be subjected to further evaluation. Here we have tried to identify efficacy,

toxicity, and optimal tissue for administration.

Potential toxicity of TNF-α antibodies to the retina received attention already two decades ago,

triggered by the introduction of the intravitreal route of administration to target wet macular

degeneration. Thus, in one such rabbit study, *intravitreal* adalimumab did not appear toxic in

concentrations of 0.5 or 5 mg[78]—these results being pertinent here. Infliximab was tested

intravitreally in a similar rabbit study and was found to be non-toxic in doses up to 2.0 mg[79].

Likewise, intravitreal infliximab was found safe in another rabbit study at a dose of up to 1.7

mg[80]. However significant toxicity has been encountered in humans after intravitreal injection of

infliximab with doses of 0.5–2.0 mg, which underscores the possible importance of species

differences[81-83].

Not only biologics but also corticosteroids are neuroprotective against acute ganglion cell

apoptosis and should be useful drugs in this respect. In our experiments in animals the short-

acting dexamethasone, for unknown reasons, showed less efficacy than the more long-acting

Kenalog® preparation of triamcinolone, in clinically most used concentrations[52]. However, the

well-known complications of local corticosteroids, particularly their suppressive effect on

corneal wound healing, limit their use post events to modest concentrations or short duration.

Toxicity of steroids has also been previously studied in intravitreal rabbit experiments; 0.5 mg or

1.0 mg triamcinolone into the vitreous did not produce morphological changes in the retina but

4.0 mg, 8.0 mg, and 20 mg produced toxic effects in the outer retina[83]. Another study on

intravitreal triamcinolone confirmed that 4.0 mg was retinotoxic and suggested that the vehicle

was to blame[84].

It is difficult to compare the results from these toxicology studies with the outcomes from our

subconjunctival administrations, but they seem grossly compatible with our findings of a single

injection of 4.0 mg adalimumab being well-tolerated subconjunctivally, but 40 mg is not. The

computerized exercise on bioavailability (see under Results) supports the intuitive assumption

that a lower dose of 4.0 mg adalimumab to the eye would have less systemic toxicity than a

subcutaneous injection of 40.0 mg of the same drug.

Not only severe trauma but also less invasive standard corneal surgery (PK, KPro, laceration

etc.) can lead to some rapid upregulation of TNF-α in the eye and ganglion cell apoptosis, which

may, at least in part, be the cause of subsequent secondary glaucoma[85]. Even though such routine

surgery rarely triggers as much inflammation as severe trauma, it makes sense to apply similar

prophylactic principles as long as the patients are suspected to be at risk.

The clinical implications of the combined clinical experience in the past and more recent animal

experiments point to the need for heavier and more sustained anti-inflammatory medication than

is presently practiced after acute ocular trauma or routine surgery. Emphasis should be on very

prompt delivery after the acute event, with administration of the drug to an easily accessible part

of the eye but where it can reliably protect the retinal ganglion cells—pointing to subconjunctival

application as practical and relatively safe. A more detailed pharmacokinetic study on the

relative efficacy of various routes of administration of TNF-α inhibitors was beyond the scope of

this investigation, however, one may cautiously draw some analogies from work on

bevacizumab, the full-length monoclonal antibody against vascular endothelial growth factor

(VEGF). Intravitreal injection was by far the most effective route of administration for retinal

delivery but subconjunctival injection was sufficient and should be less dangerous in a more

primitive clinical setting. Both pathways resulted in similar systemic exposure, with the

subconjunctival route better targeting the cornea[86]. Thus, a subconjunctival injection of anti-

TNF-α drugs would be safer and more practical than intravitreal injection for a corneal surgeon,

and would also be effective against corneal complications.

A limitation of this study should include the fact that the alkali burn rabbit model used in this

paper resulted in a lower percentage of affected ganglion cell than in the mice model used in

many previous studies. This seems to be attributed to ocular size differences between models.

Also, the number of animals used had to be kept low due to the great expense of the biologics

used. However, all critical data have been at least in triplicate.

On the positive side, the low level of toxicity of adalimumab corroborates previous findings.

Also, the substantial protective effect on the retinal cells by the biologics and the steroids, in

various concentrations, is very high, very persuasive (Fig. 4). Thus, particularly 4 mg

adalimumab subconjunctivally seems to suppress apoptosis almost completely (and be locally

seemingly non-toxic). Subconjunctival injection of 20 mg triamcinolone as Kenalog® also seems

very protective in the rapid, IOP-independent pathway. Particularly encouraging are the more

final 3 months results on both ganglion cells and nerve axons. Based on the results of these and

earlier animal experiments, it seems reasonable to initiate human studies with biologic low-risk

anti-inflammatory regimens following corneal surgery or unexpected trauma. In support, we

have already shown in patients that infliximab can have a dramatic effect in preventing corneal

tissue melt around a keratoprothesis[49]. Also, FDA has approved the successful use of

subcutaneous adalimumab in non-infectious uveitis. A pilot clinical study on the protective

effects on the eye should be the next logical step.

Significance statement: This study demonstrates that low dose (4 mg) subconjunctival

administration of the TNF-α antibody adalimumab can be safe and very effective in protecting

against retinal damage in a rabbit model. This suggests the possibility of using this inhibitor as

prophylaxis against late secondary glaucoma, with minimal systemic risk for the patient.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Leonard Levin, MD, PhD, for valuable advice.

Bibliography

1. Becker B, Shaffer RN. Classification of the glaucomas. In: *Diagnosis and Therapy of the Glaucomas*, St. Louis: Mosby. 1961:15-20.

2. Weinreb RN, Aung T, Medeiros FA. The pathophysiology and treatment of glaucoma: a review. *JAMA*. 2014; 311:1901-1911.

3. Quigley HA. Neuronal death in glaucoma. *Prog Retin Eye Res*. 1999; 18:39-57.

4. Quigley, HA, Broman AT. The number of people with glaucoma worldwide in 2010 and 2020. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2006; 90:262-267.

5. Kuckelkorn R, Keller GK, Redbrake C. [Glaucoma after extremely severe chemical and thermal eye burns. Surgical possibilities]. *Ophthalmologe*. 2001; 98:1149-1156.

6. Tsai JH, Derby E, Holland EJ, Khatana AK. Incidence and prevalence of glaucoma in severe ocular surface disease. *Cornea*. 2006; 25:530-532.

7. Lin MP, Eksioglu U, Mudumbai RC, Slabaugh MA, Chen PP. Glaucoma in patients with ocular chemical burns. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2012; 154:481-485.

8. Dohlman CH, Robert MC, Paschalis EI. Treatment of Chemical Burn to the Eye: A Changing Picture. In: Kathryn Colby, Reza Dana eds. *Foundations of Corneal Disease: Past, Present and Future*, Denver, CO: Springer International Publishing. 2020:109-119.

9. Irvine, AR and Kaufman, HE. Intraolar pressure following penetrating keratoplasty. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 1969; 68(5): 835-44.

10. Thoft RA, Gordon JM, Dohlman CH. Glaucoma following keratoplasty. *Trans Am Acad Ophthalmol Otolaryngol*. 1974; 78:352-364.

11. Foulks, GN. Glaucoma associated with penetrating keratoplasty. *Ophthalmology*. 1987; 94(7): 871-4.

12. Ayyala RS. Penetrating keratoplasty and glaucoma. *Surv Ophthalmol*. 2000; 45:91-105.

13. Aldave AJ, Rudd JC, Cohen EJ, Rapuano CJ, Laibson PR. The role of glaucoma therapy in the need for repeat penetrating keratoplasty. *Cornea*. 2000; 19:772-776.

14. Rumelt S, Bersudsky V, Blum-Hareuveni T, Rehany U. Preexisting and postoperative glaucoma in repeated corneal transplantation. *Cornea*. 2002; 21:759-765.

15. Al-Mahmood AM, Al-Swailem SA, Edward DP. Glaucoma and corneal transplant
procedures. *J Ophthalmol*. 2012; 2012:576394.

16. Haddadin RI, Chodosh J. Corneal transplantation and glaucoma. *Semin Ophthalmol*. 2014;
29:380-396.

17. Wu S, Xu J. Incidence and risk factors for post-penetrating keratoplasty glaucoma: A
systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2017; 12:e0176261.

18. Baltaziak M, Chew HF, Podbielski DW, Ahmed IIK. Glaucoma after corneal replacement.
Surv Ophthalmol. 2018; 63:135-148.

19. Liesenborghs I, Schouten J, Berendschot T, Beckers HJM, Nuijts R, Visser N, Webers CAB.
Risk factors for the development of ocular hypertension after keratoplasty: A systematic
review. *Cornea*. 2020; 39:394-402.

20. Netland PA, Terada H, Dohlman CH. Glaucoma associated with keratoprosthesis.
Ophthalmology. 1998; 105:751-757.

21. Yaghouti F, Nouri M, Abad JC, Power WJ, Doane MG, Dohlman CH. Keratoprosthesis:
preoperative prognostic categories. *Cornea*. 2001; 20:19-23.

22. Harissi-Dagher M, Dohlman CH. The Boston Keratoprosthesis in severe ocular trauma. *Can
J Ophthalmol*. 2008; 43:165-169.

23. Cade F, Grosskreutz CL, Tauber A, Dohlman CH. Glaucoma in eyes with severe chemical
burn, before and after keratoprosthesis. *Cornea*. 2011; 30:1322-1327.

24. Crnej A, Paschalis EI, Salvador-Culla B, Tauber A, Drnovsek-Olup B, Shen LQ, Dohlman
CH. Glaucoma progression and role of glaucoma surgery in patients with Boston
keratoprosthesis. *Cornea*. 2014; 33:349-354.

25. Kumar RS, Tan DT, Por YM, Oen FT, Hoh ST, Parthasarathy A, Aung T. Glaucoma
management in patients with osteo-odonto-keratoprosthesis (OOKP): the Singapore OOKP
Study. *J Glaucoma*. 2009; 18:354-360.

26. Kamyar R, Weizer JS, de Paula FH, Stein JD, Moroi SE, John D, Musch DC, Mian SI.
Glaucoma associated with Boston type I keratoprosthesis. *Cornea*. 2012; 31:134-139.

27. Talajic JC, Agoumi Y, Gagne S, Moussally K, Harissi-Dagher M. Prevalence, progression,
and impact of glaucoma on vision after Boston type 1 keratoprosthesis surgery. *Am J*
Ophthalmol. 2012; 153:267-274.e261.

28. Iyer G, Srinivasan B, Agarwal S, Shetty R, Krishnamoorthy S, Balekudaru S, Vijaya L.
Glaucoma in modified osteo-odonto-keratoprosthesis eyes: role of additional stage 1A and
Ahmed glaucoma drainage device-technique and timing. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2015; 159:482-
489.

29. Ali MH, Dikopf MS, Finder AG, Aref AA, Vajaranant T, de la Cruz J, Cortina MS.
Assessment of Glaucomatous Damage After Boston Keratoprosthesis Implantation Based on
Digital Planimetric Quantification of Visual Fields and Optic Nerve Head Imaging. *Cornea*.
2018; 37:602-608.

30. Geoffrion D, Harissi-Dagher M. Glaucoma Risk Factors and Outcomes Following Boston
Keratoprosthesis Type 1 Surgery. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2021; 226:56-67.

31. Dubey S, Jain K, Mukherjee S, Sharma N, Pegu J, Gandhi M, Bhoot M. Current profile of
secondary glaucoma in a Northern India tertiary eye care hospital. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol*.
2019; 26:200-207.

32. Gong H, Ren J, Zheng B, Huang X, Liao Y, Zhou Y, Lin M. The Profile of Secondary
Glaucoma in China: A Study of Over 10,000 Patients. *J Glaucoma*. 2021; 30:895-901.

33. Suleiman, Y, Amm, M, Duncker, GIW, and Nölle, B. Prognose von Korneatransplantationen
nach Bulbusperforation. *Klin Monbl Augenheilkd*. 2004; 221: 658-673.

34. Li, KX, Durrani, AF, Zhou, Y, Zhao, PY, Tannen, BL, Mian, SI, Musch, DC, and Zacks,
DN. Outcomes of Penetrating Keratoplasty After Open Globe Injury. *Cornea*. 2021. doi:
10.1097/ico.0000000000002918. Online ahead of print.

35. Doren, GS, Cohen, EJ, Brady, SE, Arentsen, JJ, and Laibson, PR. Penetrating keratoplasty
after ocular trauma. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 1990; 110: 408-11.

36. Dana, MR, Moyes, AL, Gomes, JA, Rosheim, KM, Schaumberg, DA, Laibson, PR, Holland,
EJ, Sugar, A, and Sugar, J. The indications for and outcome in pediatric keratoplasty. A
multicenter study. *Ophthalmology*. 1995; 102(8): 1129-38.

37. World Health Organization, 2004. *International Statistical Classification of Diseases and*
Related Health Problems: Alphabetical index (Vol. 3). World Health Organization.

38. Quigley, HA, Dunkelberger, GR, and Green, WR. Retinal ganglion cell atrophy correlated
with automated perimetry in human eyes with glaucoma. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 1989; 107(5): 453-
464.

39. Williams, PA, Marsh-Armstrong, N, and Howell, GR. Neuroinflammation in glaucoma: A new
opportunity. *Exp Eye Res*. 2017; 157: 20-27.

40. Wiggs, JL. Glaucoma Genes and Mechanisms. *Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci*. 2015; 134: 315-342.

41. Wei X, Cho KS, Thee EF, Jager MJ, Chen DF. Neuroinflammation and microglia in glaucoma: time
for a paradigm shift. *J Neurosci Res*. 2019; 97:70-76.

42. Mélik Parsadaniantz S, Réaux-le Goazigo A, Sapienza A, Habas C, Baudouin C. Glaucoma: A
Degenerative Optic Neuropathy Related to Neuroinflammation? *Cells*. 2020; 9(3).

43. Dohlman JG, Foster CS, Dohlman CH. Boston Keratoprosthesis in Stevens-Johnson
syndrome: a case of using infliximab to prevent tissue necrosis. *Digit J Ophthalmol*. 2009;
15:5-8.

44. Dohlman, CH, Cade, F, Regatieri, CV, Zhou, C, Lei, F, Crnej, A, Harissi-Dagher, M, Robert,
MC, Papaliodis, GN, Chen, D, Aquavella, JV, Akpek, EK, Aldave, AJ, Sippel, KC,
D'Amico, DJ, Dohlman, JG, Fagerholm, P, Wang, L, Shen, LQ, González-Andrades, M,
Chodosh, J, Kenyon, KR, Foster, CS, Pineda, R, Melki, S, Colby, KA, Ciolino, JB, Vavvas,
DG, Kinoshita, S, Dana, R, and Paschalis, EI. Chemical Burns of the Eye: The Role of
Retinal Injury and New Therapeutic Possibilities. *Cornea*. 2018; 37(2): 248-251.

45. Harwerth RS, Carter-Dawson L, Smith EL, 3rd, Barnes G, Holt WF, Crawford ML. Neural
losses correlated with visual losses in clinical perimetry. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2004;
45:3152-3160.

46. Dohlman CH, Dudenhoefer EJ, Khan BF, Dohlman JG. Corneal blindness from end-stage
Sjögren's Syndrome and graft-versus-host disease. In: Sullivan DA, Stern ME, Tsubota K,
Dartt DA, Sullivan RM, Bromberg BB eds. *Lacrimal Gland, Tear Film, and Dry Eye
Syndromes 3: Basic Science and Clinical Relevance Part B*, Boston: Springer. 2002:1335-
1338.

47. Ciralsky J, Papaliodis GN, Foster CS, Dohlman CH, Chodosh J. Keratoprosthesis in
autoimmune disease. *Ocul Immunol Inflamm*. 2010; 18:275-280.

48. Robert MC, Črnej A, Shen LQ, Papaliodis GN, Dana R, Foster CS, Chodosh J, Dohlman CH.

Infliximab after Boston Keratoprosthesis in Stevens-Johnson Syndrome: An Update. *Ocul*
Immunol Inflamm. 2017; 25:413-417.
668
669 49. Dohlman CH. The Boston Keratoprosthesis—the first 50 years. Some reminiscences. *Annu*
670 *Rev Vis Sci*. 2022. in press
671
672 50. Cade F, Paschalis EI, Regatieri CV, Vavvas DG, Dana R, Dohlman CH. Alkali burn to the
673 eye: protection using TNF-alpha inhibition. *Cornea*. 2014; 33:382-389.
674
675 51. Crnej A, Omoto M, Dohlman TH, Gonzalez-Andrades M, Paschalis EI, Cruzat A, Vu TH,
676 Doorenbos M, Chen DF, Dohlman CH, Dana R. Effect of Penetrating Keratoplasty and
677 Keratoprosthesis Implantation on the Posterior Segment of the Eye. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis*
678 *Sci*. 2016; 57:1643-1648.
679
680 52. Paschalis, EI, Zhou, C, Lei, F, Scott, N, Kapoulea, V, Robert, MC, Vavvas, D, Dana, R,
681 Chodosh, J, and Dohlman, CH. Mechanisms of Retinal Damage after Ocular Alkali Burns.
682 *Am J Pathol*. 2017; 187(6): 1327-1342.
683
684 53. Paschalis EI, Taniguchi EV, Chodosh J, Pasquale LR, Colby K, Dohlman CH, Shen LQ.
685 Blood Levels of Tumor Necrosis Factor Alpha and Its Type 2 Receptor Are Elevated in
686 Patients with Boston Type I Keratoprosthesis. *Curr Eye Res*. 2019; 44:599-606.
687
688 59. Miyamoto F, Sotozono C, Ikeda T, Kinoshita S. Retinal cytokine response in mouse alkali-
689 burned eye. *Ophthalmic Res*. 1998; 30:168-171.
690
691 60. Kompa S, Redbrake C, Hilgers C, Wüstemeyer H, Schrage N, Remky A. Effect of different
692 irrigating solutions on aqueous humour pH changes, intraocular pressure and histological
693 findings after induced alkali burns. *Acta Ophthalmol Scand*. 2005; 83:467-470.
694
695 61. Dohlman CH, Zhou C, Lei F, Cade F, Regatieri CV, Crnej A, Dohlman JG, Shen LQ,
696 Paschalis EI. Glaucoma After Corneal Trauma or Surgery-A Rapid, Inflammatory, IOP-
697 Independent Pathway. *Cornea*. 2019; 38:1589-1594.
698
699 62. Huang, Y, Yuan, M, Duan, F, Yang, Y, Lou, B, and Lin, X. Inhibition of endoplasmic
700 reticulum stress by 4-phenylbutyrate alleviates retinal inflammation and the apoptosis of
701 retinal ganglion cells after ocular alkali burn in mice. *Inflamm Res*. 2022. doi:
702 10.1007/s00011-022-01565-3. Online ahead of print.
703
704 63. Roh, M, Zhang, Y, Murakami, Y, Thanos, A, Lee, SC, Vavvas, DG, Benowitz, LI, and
705 Miller, JW. Etanercept, a widely used inhibitor of tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-alpha),

706   prevents retinal ganglion cell loss in a rat model of glaucoma. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7(7): e40065.
707

708   64. Robert, MC, Frenette, M, Zhou, C, Yan, Y, Chodosh, J, Jakobiec, FA, Stagner, AM, Vavvas,
709   D, Dohlman, CH, and Paschalis, EI. A Drug Delivery System for Administration of Anti-
710   TNF-alpha Antibody. *Transl Vis Sci Technol*. 2016; 5:11.
711

712   65. Zhou C, Robert MC, Kapoulea V, Lei F, Stagner AM, Jakobiec FA, Dohlman CH, Paschalis
713   EI. Sustained Subconjunctival Delivery of Infliximab Protects the Cornea and Retina
714   Following Alkali Burn to the Eye. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2017; 58:96-105.
715

716   66. Kim, H, Robinson, MR, Lizak, MJ, Tansey, G, Lutz, RJ, Yuan, P, Wang, NS, and Csaky,
717   KG. Controlled drug release from an ocular implant: an evaluation using dynamic three-
718   dimensional magnetic resonance imaging. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2004; 45: 2722-31.
719

720   67. Ranta, VP, Mannermaa, E, Lummepuro, K, Subrizi, A, Laukkanen, A, Antopolsky, M,
721   Murtomäki, L, Hornof, M, and Urtti, A. Barrier analysis of periocular drug delivery to the
722   posterior segment. *J Control Release*. 2010; 148(1): 42-48.
723

724   68. Subrizi, A, Del Amo, EM, Korzhikov-Vlakh, V, Tennikova, T, Ruponen, M, and Urtti, A.
725   Design principles of ocular drug delivery systems: importance of drug payload, release rate,
726   and material properties. *Drug Discov Today*. 2019; 24(8): 1446-1457.
727

728   69. Kaymakcalan, Z, Sakorafas, P, Bose, S, Scesney, S, Xiong, L, Hanzatian, DK, Salfeld, J, and
729   Sasso, EH. Comparisons of affinities, avidities, and complement activation of adalimumab,
730   infliximab, and etanercept in binding to soluble and membrane tumor necrosis factor. *Clin
731   Immunol*. 2009; 131(2): 308-16.
732

733   70. Kim, SH, Csaky, KG, Wang, NS, and Lutz, RJ. Drug elimination kinetics following
734   subconjunctival injection using dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging.
735   *Pharm Res*. 2008; 25(3): 512-20.
736

737   71. Ramsay, E, Hagström, M, Vellonen, KS, Boman, S, Toropainen, E, Del Amo, EM, Kidron,
738   H, Urtti, A, and Ruponen, M. Role of retinal pigment epithelium permeability in drug
739   transfer between posterior eye segment and systemic blood circulation. *Eur J Pharm
740   Biopharm*. 2019; 143: 18-23.
741

742   72. Panda-Jonas, S, Jonas, JB, Jakobczyk, M, and Schneider, U. Retinal photoreceptor count,
743   retinal surface area, and optic disc size in normal human eyes. *Ophthalmology*. 1994; 101(3):
744   519-23.
745

746   73. Mascelli, MA, Zhou, H, Sweet, R, Getsy, J, Davis, HM, Graham, M, and Abernethy, D.
747   Molecular, biologic, and pharmacokinetic properties of monoclonal antibodies: impact of
748   these parameters on early clinical development. *J Clin Pharmacol*. 2007; 47(5): 553-65.
749

750   74. Vellonen, KS, Soini, EM, Del Amo, EM, and Urtti, A. Prediction of Ocular Drug
751   Distribution from Systemic Blood Circulation. *Mol Pharm*. 2016; 13(9): 2906-11.
752

753   75. Zhou C, Hui PC, Wolkow N, Dohlman CH, Vavvas DG, Paschalis EI. Corneal and retinal
754   protection using a novel slow-release injectable anti-TNF-α/anti-VEGF drug delivery
755   system. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2021; ARVO Abstract 3546816.
756

757   76. Madigan MC, Sadun AA, Rao NS, Dugel PU, Tenhula WN, Gill PS. Tumor necrosis factor-
758   alpha (TNF-alpha)-induced optic neuropathy in rabbits. Neurol Res. 1996; 18(2):176-184.
759

760   77. Yuan, L and Neufeld, AH. Tumor necrosis factor-alpha: a potentially neurodestructive
761   cytokine produced by glia in the human glaucomatous optic nerve head. *Glia*. 2000; 32(1):
762   42-50.
763

764   78. Tsilimbaris M, Diakonis VF, Naoumidi I, Charisis S, Kritikos I, Chatzithanasis G, Papadaki
765   T, Plainis S. Evaluation of potential retinal toxicity of adalimumab (Humira). Graefes Arch
766   Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2009; 247(8):1119-1125.
767

768   79. Theodossiadis PG, Liarakos VS, Sfikakis PP, Charonis A, Agrogiannis G, Kavantzas N,
769   Vergados IA. Intravitreal administration of the anti-TNF monoclonal antibody infliximab in
770   the rabbit. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2009; 247(2):273-281.
771

772   80. Giansanti F, Ramazzotti M, Vannozzi L, Rapizzi E, Fiore T, Iaccheri B, Degl' Innocenti D,
773   Moncini D, Menchini U. A pilot study on ocular safety of intravitreal infliximab in a rabbit
774   model. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2008; 49(3):1151-1156.
775

776   81. Rosenfeld PJ, Goodman KW. When is off-label drug use in the patient's best interest? Am J
777   Ophthalmol. 2009; 147(5):761-763.
778

779   82. Giganti M, Beer PM, Lemanski N, Hartman C, Schartman J, Falk N. Adverse events after
780   intravitreal infliximab (Remicade). Retina. 2010; 30(1):71-80.
781

782   83. Yu SY, Damico FM, Viola F, D'Amico DJ, Young LH. Retinal toxicity of intravitreal
783   triamcinolone acetonide: a morphological study. Retina. 2006; 26(5):531-536.
784

84. Lang Y, Zemel E, Miller B, Perlman I. Retinal toxicity of intravitreal kenalog in albino

rabbits. Retina. 2007; 27(6):778-788.

85. Chen X, Lei F, Zhou C, Chodosh J, Wang L, Huang Y, Dohlman CH, Paschalis EI.
Glaucoma after Ocular Surgery or Trauma: The Role of Infiltrating Monocytes and Their
Response to Cytokine Inhibitors. Am J Pathol. 2020; 190(10):2056-2066.

86. Nomoto H, Shiraga F, Kuno N, Kimura E, Fujii S, Shinomiya K, Nugent AK, Hirooka K,
Baba T. Pharmacokinetics of bevacizumab after topical, subconjunctival, and intravitreal
administration in rabbits. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2009; 50(10):4807-4813.

Figures and Legends

Figure 1. Toxicity: Subconjunctival injection of infliximab and adalimumab in normal

eyes.

(A) Photograph of subconjunctival injection of **100 mg infliximab (0.8 ml)** showing elevation of

the superior and inferior conjunctiva immediately after the injection as the volume was

distributed equally in the superior and inferior conjunctiva. **(B)** The same eye the following day

showing lack of bleb and **(C)** at 50 days with normal appearance. **(D)** Photograph of the superior

subconjunctiva after injection of **4 mg adalimumab (0.08 ml)**. No elevation of the conjunctiva is

evident immediately after the injection. **(E - F)** Normal appearance of the eye at day one and 50

days post injection.

(G) Longitudinal intraocular pressure measurements using cannulation in eyes injected

subconjunctivally with either 1, 10, or 100 mg of infliximab or 0.4, 4, or 40 mg of adalimumab.

No IOP elevation is evident up to 50 days post injection. A slight elevation was observed in the

saline (sham) injected eyes at day 28 and 50, which did not reach statistical significance.

Figure 2. Toxicity: Retinal and optic nerve histology, 50 days after subconjunctival

administration of adalimumab or infliximab in normal eyes.

Quantification of the retinal thickness from the boundaries of the ganglion cell layer (GCL) to

the outer nuclear layer (ONL) shows **(A)** 4 mg adalimumab did not cause any change in the

retinal thickness. **(B)** 40 mg adalimumab caused significant increase in the thickness of the

superior quadrant 50 days post injection. **(C)** 100 mg infliximab did not cause any appreciable

change in retinal thickness.

p-Phenylenediamine (PPD) staining of the optic nerves 50 days after subconjunctival injection

of **(D)** saline (sham) or **(E, H)** 4 mg adalimumab did not cause optic axon degeneration. **(F, I)**

marked axonal degeneration was evident after subconjunctival injection of 40 mg of

adalimumab. All comparisons were performed using the contralateral un-injected eye. **(G, J)** 100

mg of infliximab did not cause appreciable optic nerve axon degeneration.

Scale bar: 50 μm. **(A - C)** Two-way ANOVA with Sidak's correction *P<0.05, **(H - J)** Student t-

test ****P<0.0001 (sections of one eye).

Figure 3. Efficacy: Pilot experiment. Acute protection (3 days) of the retina with

subconjunctival adalimumab after corneal burn.

Evaluation of peripheral and central retinal cell apoptosis using TUNEL assay 3 days after acute

corneal surface injury with alkali. **(A, B)** Saline (sham) treatment results in significant cell

apoptosis in all 3 retinal layers. **(C - D)** Subconjunctival administration of 100 mg of infliximab

15 minutes after the injury results in appreciable reduction of retinal cell apoptosis. **(E, F)**

Subconjunctival injection of 20 mg triamcinolone also shows almost complete protection to the

retina, with some apoptosis evident in the ganglion cell layer of the peripheral retina. **(G, H)**

Subconjunctival injection of 4 mg adalimumab provides 100% retinal protection in the peripheral

and central retina.

(I) Quantification of retinal cell apoptosis following subconjunctival injection of various doses

and drugs. Note that 40 mg adalimumab exacerbates cell apoptosis, indicative of its toxic effect

at high dose, however, 4 mg adalimumab provides the most optimal retinal protection, as

compared in all studied regimens. **(J)** Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's correction. (n=3: 20 mg

trim., 4 mg adalim; n=2: 40 mg adalim; n=1: saline, 100 mg inflix).

Figure 4. Efficacy: Pilot experiment. Long-term protection (90 days) of the retina with 4 mg

subconjunctival adalimumab after corneal burn. (A-D)

Histologic examination (H&E) of the retinas with hematoxylin and eosin shows significantly

increased in retinal thickness (p= 0.03, n= 3) and reduction in retinal ganglion cell count in the

saline treated group (n=3), as compared to adalimumab (4 mg) treated group (n=3) which exhibits

minimal changes in retinal thickness and RGC count (p= 0.024, n= 3), indicative of long-term

neuroprotective effect. **(E - G)** The saline (sham) treated group exhibits significant 55% reduction

in optic nerve axons (p=0.014, n=3) as compared to adalimumab treated eyes that exhibit minimal

9% loss of optic nerve axons. **(C, D, G)** Student t-test.

Table 1. Summary of results of testing adalimumab, infliximab and triamcinolone, injected

subconjunctivally, for **(A)** toxicity and **(B)** efficacy in reducing inflammation of the retinal

ganglion cells and optic nerve degeneration, after alkali burn to the corneas of rabbits. (The

results from Zhou, Robert et al. drug delivery systems with infliximab are added for comparison.

Adalim=adalimumab, Inflix=infliximab, Triam=triamcinolone, DDS=drug delivery system

(inserted subconjunctivally)

Supplemental Figure 1. Toxicity: Dark-adapted ERG assessment after subconjunctival

injection of adalimumab and infliximab.

Dark-adapted electroretinography (ERG) using 0.01, 3 and 10 cd.s/m^2 light intensities after

subconjunctival injection of **(A - C)** 40 mg adalimumab and **(D - F)** 100 mg infliximab.

The contralateral eyes were used as internal controls, while saline (sham) injected eyes served as

treatment controls. ERG quantification in **(G)** 40 mg adalimumab injected eyes, **(H)** their

corresponding saline control, **(I)** 100 mg infliximab, and **(J)** their corresponding saline control.

Measurements were performed at baseline (7 days before injection) and 3, 7, 28, and 45 days

after injection. **(G - J)** Quantification was performed for "a" and "b"-wave "A"mplitude and

"T"ime responses at "0.01", "3", and "10" cd.s/m^2 light intensities in the "OD" injected and "OS"

un-injected eyes. High doses of subconjunctival injection of adalimumab and infliximab did not

cause appreciable changes in the dark-adapted ERG responses (of optic nerve degeneration after

40 mg of adalimumab).

Supplemental Figure 2. **Toxicity: Light-adapted ERG assessment after subconjunctival**

injection of adalimumab and infliximab.

Light-adapted electroretinography (ERG) using 3 cd.s/m^2 flash and flicker light stimulation after

subconjunctival injection of **(A, B)** 40 mg adalimumab and **(C, D)** 100 mg infliximab.

Their contralateral eyes were used as internal controls, while saline (sham) injected eyes served

as treatment controls. ERG quantification in **(E)** 40 mg adalimumab injected eyes, **(F)** their

corresponding saline control, **(G)** 100 mg infliximab, and **(H)** their corresponding saline control.

Measurements were performed at baseline (7 days before injection) and 3, 7, 28, and 45 days

after injection. **(E - H)** Quantification was performed for "a" and "b"-wave "A" amplitude and

"T" Time responses at "3" cd.s/m^2 "flash" and "flicker" light intensity in the "OD" injected and

"OS" un-injected eyes. High dose subconjunctival injection of adalimumab and infliximab did

not cause appreciable changes in the light-adapted ERG responses.

1011

Figure 1

	Day of injection	1 day post-injection	50 days post-injection

Infliximab 100mg (0.8mL) SC

Adalimumab 4mg (0.08mL) SC

G

Intraocular Pressure

IOP (mmHg)

Days: Baseline, 1, 3, 7, 28, 50

Legend:
- ▼ Saline
- ▼ Adal 0.4mg
- ▼ Adal 4mg
- ▼ Adal 40mg
- ▼ Saline
- ▼ Inflix 1mg
- ▼ Inflix 10mg
- ▼ Inflix 100mg

Figure 2

Figure 3

Peripheral retina - 3 days   Central retina - 3 days

Apoptosis 3 days post-injury

Tukey's multiple comparisons test	Adj. P-value
20mg triam (subconj) vs. saline (subconj)	**
20mg triam (subconj) vs. 100mg inflix (subconj)	ns
20mg triam (subconj) vs. 4mg adalimumab (subconj)	ns
20mg triam (subconj) vs. 40mg adalim (subconj)	****
saline (subconj) vs. 100mg inflix (subconj)	*
saline (subconj) vs. 4mg adalimumab (subconj)	**
saline (subconj) vs. 40mg adalim (subconj)	****
100mg inflix (subconj) vs. 4mg adalimumab (subconj)	ns
100mg inflix (subconj) vs. 40mg adalim (subconj)	****
4mg adalimumab (subconj) vs. 40mg adalim (subconj)	****

Figure 4

Table 1.

Toxicity to retina or optic nerve from subconjunctival injection of drugs in normal rabbit eyes

Drug concentration	Observation days/Toxicity		Summarized from
Adalim 0.4 mg	50	-	Fig 1
Adalim 4 mg	50	-	Figs 1, 2
Adalim 40 mg	50	++	Figs 1, 2
Inflix 1 mg	50	-	Fig 1
Inflix 10 mg	50	-	Fig 1
Inflix 100 mg	50	-	Figs 1, 2
Saline (sham)	50	-	Figs 1, 2

Efficacy: Protective effect on retina and optic nerve after burn to cornea

Drug concentration	Observation days/Efficacy				Summarized from
Adalim 0.4 mg	3				
Adalim 4 mg	3	+++	90	+++	Figs 3, 4
Adalim 40 mg	3				
Inflix 1 mg	3				
Inflix 10 mg	3				
Inflix 100 mg	3	++			Fig 3
Triam 20 mg	3	++			Fig 3
Saline (sham)	3	-	90	-	Figs 3, 4
(DDS' Inflix			90	+++	p. 14, refs 65, 75)

Sup. Figure 1. Toxicity evaluation of subconjunctival injection of adalimumab or infliximab at maximum dose with dark-adapted ERG

Sup. Figure 2. Toxicity evaluation of subconjunctival injection of adalimumab or infliximab at maximum dose with light-adapted ERG