RESEARCH ARTICLE

Integrated global analysis in spider-flowers illuminates features underlying

the evolution and maintenance of C$_4$ photosynthesis

Wei Zhao[1,†], Jun Li[1,†], Xingchao Sun[1], Qiwei Zheng[1], Wei Hua[1,2,*] and Jun Liu[1,*]

[1] Key Laboratory of Biology and Genetic Improvement of Oil Crops, Ministry of Agriculture

and Rural Affairs, Oil Crops Research Institute of the Chinese Academy of Agricultural

Sciences, Wuhan 430062, China.

[2] Hubei Hongshan Laboratory, Wuhan 430070, China.

[†] Equally contributed.

*Correspondence: liujunocr@caas.cn (J.Liu); huawei@oilcrops.cn (W.H.)

Short title: *Gynandropsis gynandra* genome evolution

One-sentence summary: We present a high-quality chromosome-scale genome assembly for

dicot C$_4$ model crop *Gynandropsis gynandra* and find an independent evolutionary trajectory

from C$_3$ to C$_4$ photosynthesis in *Cleome* genus.

The authors responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this

article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors

(https://academic.oup.com/plcell/pages/General-Instructions) are: Jun Liu (liujunocr@caas.cn)

and Wei Hua (huawei@oilcrops.cn).

ABSTRACT

The carbon concentrating mechanism—C_4 photosynthesis—represents a classic example of convergent evolution. While how this important trait originated and evolved remains largely enigmatic. Here we present a high-quality chromosome-scale annotated genome assembly of the spider-flower *Gynandropsis gynandra*, a valuable leafy vegetable crop and medicinal plant that has also been recognized as an emerging C_4 model species. Repetitive elements occupy up to 71.91% of its genome, and over half are LTR-RTs derived from recent bursts, contributing to genome size expansion. Strikingly, LTR-RT explosion also played a critical role in C_4 evolution by altering expression features of photosynthesis-associated genes via preferential insertion in promoters. Synteny analysis in the *Cleome* genus unveils that an independent species-specific whole-genome duplication in *G. gynandra*, which we name Gg-α, occurred after divergence from its close relative C_3 plant *Tarenaya hassleriana*. Integrated multi-omics profiling demonstrates that Gg-α, gene family expansion, recent LTR-RT amplification and more recent species-specific tandem duplication events have all facilitated the evolution of C_4 photosynthesis, revealing uniqueness of C_4 evolution in this lineage. Moreover, high leaf vein density and heat stress resilience are associated with shifted gene expression patterns. Altogether, this mode of C_3-to-C_4 transition yields new insights into evolutionary convergence of a complex plant trait.

Keywords: convergent evolution, reference genome, C_4 biology, model plant, *Gynandropsis gynandra*

INTRODUCTION

Photosynthesis is the basis of most life forms on the planet. C_4 photosynthesis represents a remarkable convergent innovation that results from a series of anatomical and biochemical modifications to the ancestral C_3 photosynthetic pathway, which together function to increase CO_2 concentration around the enzyme RuBisCO, thereby reducing photorespiration and enhancing photosynthetic efficiency (Schlüter and Weber, 2020). Most, if not all, C_4 species, which possess a distinctive leaf structure characterized by Kranz anatomy, are typically classified into three metabolic subtypes based on the enzymes used to decarboxylate C_4 acids in their bundle sheath cells (BSC): NADP-ME, NAD-ME and PEP-CK (Zhao et al., 2022; Furbank, 2011). C_4 plants usually have higher photosynthetic capacity and higher nitrogen and water-use efficiencies than their C_3 relatives and thus tend to be more productive (Sage, 2004; Sage et al., 2012). In particular, C_4 photosynthesis outperforms the ancestral C_3 state under sunny, hot and dry circumstances, which are projected to become more prevalent with global climate changes (Hibberd et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2021).

The introduction of dual-celled C_4 photosynthesis into C_3 plants is proposed to be a promising strategy to sustainably meet the rising food, fuel and feed demands worldwide (Wang et al., 2016; Ermakova et al., 2020). This goal requires a profound understanding of the origin, genetic architecture and developmental features of C_4 syndrome as compared to C_3. Several C_4 monocots, including maize (*Zea mays*), sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) and millets (*Setaria viridis* and *Setaria italica*), have been used as model plants for this purpose (Paterson et al., 2009; Mamidi et al., 2020; Bennetzen et al., 2012; Jiao et al., 2017). However, these models share various disadvantages including relatively large size, complex genomes, long life cycles and low transformability (Brown et al., 2005). Fortunately, a mini foxtail millet mutant, *xiaomi*, has recently been established as a new NADP-ME subtype C_4 model system (Yang et al., 2020). However, all current C_4 model plants are monocotyledonous species, and there are differences in C_4 attributes of dicots and monocots, including vein patterning, Kranz anatomy morphogenesis, regulation of C_4 photosynthetic enzymes and development of C_4 capacity (Ermakova et al., 2020; Schlüter and Weber, 2020). Therefore, it is vital to develop a dicot C_4 model plant and would furthermore be advantageous to develop a model system in NAD-ME or PEP-CK subtypes of C_4 plants. Investigation of these C_4 model organisms would accelerate systematic understanding of C_4 biology and facilitate synthetic engineering of the C_4 pathway into contemporary C_3 crops.

The dicot *Gynandropsis gynandra* (common names: spider-flower, African cabbage, bastard-mustard and cat's-whiskers) is an important traditional C_4 crop native to Asia and

Africa, currently cultivated around the world (Oshingi et al., 2019). The leaves and seeds of

G. gynandra are rich in proteins, vitamins, minerals and other beneficial health compounds

with antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and anti-microbial properties, and *G. gynandra* has thus

been widely used as a leafy vegetable or medicinal plant (Moyo and Aremu, 2021). As a C_4

species, *G. gynandra* displays high photosynthetic efficiency and adaptation to severe

environmental stresses such as high temperature, water deficit or high salinity (Sogbohossou

et al., 2018). Importantly*,* it is a diploid crop characterized by relatively short life cycle, small

size, simple growth requirements, prolific seed production, self-compatibility and autogamy.

Besides, *G. gynandra* has a rich diverse germplasm that provides valuable genetic material

for dissecting traits of interest and breeding (Sogbohossou et al., 2019). These advantages,

coupled with its efficient genetic transformation system, make this plant an ideal model plant

for C_4 biology (Newell et al., 2010).

G. gynandra belongs to family of Cleomaceae, the sister clade to Brassicaceae, and is

phylogenetically closest to the dicot C_3 model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*, enabling the

utilization of molecular resources and tools developed for *Arabidopsis* in *G. gynandra* and

facilitating knowledge transfer (Marshall et al., 2007; Koteyeva et al., 2011; Patchell et al.,

2014; Hoang et al., 2022). Moreover, *G. gynandra*, together with the congeneric C_3 species

Tarenaya hassleriana (common names: spider-flower, pinkqueen and grandfather's-whiskers),

provides an invaluable genetic platform for comparative studies of many intriguing biological

phenomena including the evolutionary trajectory and developmental progression from C_3 to

C_4 (Bräutigam et al., 2011; Külahoglu et al., 2014; Bayat et al., 2018; Hüdig et al., 2022).

However, there is no published reference genome for *G. gynandra*, which hinders its use for

fundamental biological discovery, technological advances and applications for genetic

engineering. To address this gap, we generated a high-quality chromosome-level reference

genome assembly of *G. gynandra*, establishing it as a C_4 model system for dicotyledonous

and NAD-ME subtype plants. Our comprehensive comparative genomic and transcriptomic

analyses between *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana* using new and existing data not only help

explain why C_4 photosynthesis failed to evolve in *T. hassleriana* but also demonstrate the

flexibility of the convergent evolution of this ecologically important but complex trait. The

availability of this reference-grade genomic resource, together with its amenability to genetic

transformation, makes *G. gynandra* an ideal model system for understanding the unique C_4

biology and facilitating efforts toward C_4-aimed crop engineering.

RESULTS

Chromosome-scale assembly and annotation of *G. gynandra* genome

Based on *k*-mer analysis, the dicotyledonous C_4 plant *G. gynandra* (2n=2x=34) had an estimated genome size of approximately 997.61 Mb with low heterozygosity (0.13%) but high repetitive sequence content (79.72%) (Supplemental Figure S1 and Supplemental Data Set S1). To construct a reference-grade genome for *G. gynandra*, we employed an optimized strategy combining long-read Oxford Nanopore Technology (ONT), short-read Illumina sequencing and high-throughput chromatin conformation capture (Hi-C) for chromosome scaffolding (Supplemental Figure S2). A total of 144.37 Gb (~180 ×) of ONT long sequences with an N50 read length of 24.57 kb were generated (Supplemental Figure S3 and Supplemental Data Set S2). The ONT long reads were *de novo* assembled into contigs, followed by polishing with both ONT and Illumina reads. To further anchor and orient the contigs onto chromosomes, we prepared Hi-C libraries from young leaves to construct chromatin interaction maps, generating 130 Gb (~162 ×) paired-end reads (Supplemental Data Set S3). This resulted in a final assembly of 984.21 Mb comprising 109 scaffolds, which represented 98.66% of the estimated nuclear genome (Table 1 and Supplemental Data Set S4). The contig N50 and scaffold N50 were 11.43 Mb and 51.02 Mb, respectively. Sequences of 909.61 Mb covering 171 contigs were assigned to seventeen pseudo-chromosomes (Chr1–Chr17; Figure 1A and Table 1), which accounted for 92.42% of the assembly. The pseudo-chromosomes of *G. gynandra* ranged from 41.13 to 72.98 Mb in size (Supplemental Data Set S5). Of note, a 55.56 Mb contig, which covered 98.7% of the intact Chr5, constituted a near complete, telomere-to-telomere assembly of one *G. gynandra* chromosome.

The assembly quality of the *G. gynandra* genome was evaluated by multiple approaches. First, Hi-C interaction matrices for the constructed pseudo-chromosomes visualized as a Hi-C heatmap showed a clear anti-diagonal pattern for intra-chromosomal interactions (Figure 1B). Second, the completeness of assembly as analyzed with Benchmarking Universal Single Copy Orthologues (BUSCO) was 95.6% (Supplemental Data Set S6). Third, RNA-seq reads obtained from six representative tissues of flower bud, flower, leaf, root, stem and silique were mapped back onto *G. gynandra* genome, to which approximately 96.16–98.33% of the reads could be aligned (Supplemental Data Set S7). Finally, long terminal repeat (LTR) assembly index (LAI), a metric using intact LTRs to evaluate assembly continuity, has been particularly utilized to assess assembly quality of plant genomes with high repetitive sequence content (Ou et al., 2018). The LAI score of *G. gynandra* assembly was 16.46, higher even than that of the *A. thaliana* reference genome (LAI = 15.62). Collectively, these

data demonstrate that *G. gynandra* genome assembly is of high quality in contiguity,
completeness and accuracy.

By integrating *ab initio*-based prediction, protein homology-based prediction and RNA-
seq/Iso-Seq data, we annotated a total of 34,772 protein-coding genes spanning 119.12 Mb
regions, representing 12.1% of the *G. gynandra* genome (Table 1). The gene density
distribution along each chromosome was uneven, with higher gene density towards the ends
of chromosome arms (Figure 1A). The total number of identified transcripts (including
splicing variants) was 41,843. Functional analysis predicted 33,100 (95.19%) genes with
known functional annotations in public databases, suggesting highly reliable gene prediction
(Supplemental Data Set S8). Moreover, we identified 2,359 non-coding RNAs including 120
miRNAs, 1,153 tRNAs and 1,086 rRNAs (Supplemental Data Set S9), and also 2,178
transcription factors (Supplemental Data Set S10). Of all the protein-coding genes, 95.9%
was assigned to 13,918 gene families in *G. gynandra*, which was comparable to *T.*
hassleriana (97.8% to 14,044 gene families). However, the former had an average of 2.39
genes per family, with the latter possessing 1.91 (Supplemental Data Set S11). Compared
with *A. thaliana*, *B. rapa*, *B. oleracea* and *T. hassleriana,* 1,051 gene families containing
6,517 genes were specific to *G. gynandra* (Figure 1C). These unique genes were primarily
enriched in metabolic pathways such as biosynthesis of amino acids, carbohydrates, lipids,
cofactors and vitamins, terpenoids and polyketides, which is consistent with the nutraceutical
food and ethnopharmacological medicinal uses for *G. gynandra* (Supplemental Figure S4).

Phylogenetic analysis was performed based on 661 single-copy orthologous genes among
ten angiosperm species including eight dicots (*A. thaliana*, *Brassica oleracea*, *Brassica rapa*,
G. gynandra, *T. hassleriana*, *Gossypium raimondii*, *Medicago Sativa* and *Solanum*
lycopersicum) and two monocots (*Oryza sativa* and *Z. mays*). The results revealed that *G.*
gynandra was the most closely related to *T. hassleriana*, both of which were adjacent to *A.*
thaliana (Figure 1D). Using a reference divergence times of *B. oleracea–B. rapa*, *Z. mays–O.*
sativa and *A. thaliana–O. sativa* obtained from the TimeTree database, the divergence
between Cleomaceae and Brassicaceae was estimated as 51.2 million years ago (MYA), with
C_4 *G. gynandra* and C_3 *T. hassleriana* sharing a common ancestor approximately 33.6 MYA.
Remarkably, the genome size of *G. gynandra* (984.21 Mb) is nearly fourfold as large as *T.*
hassleriana (249.93 Mb).

Recent bursts of transposons massively bloated the *G. gynandra* genome, and LTR-RTs
facilitated the evolution of C_4 photosynthesis

By combining *de novo*- and homology-based repeat family identification approaches, we
annotated a total of 707.8 Mb repetitive sequences, representing 71.91% of the *G. gynandra*
genome (Table 1). 613.5 Mb of sequences (62.33% of the total assembly) were classified as
transposable elements (TEs), including 485.73 Mb (79.17% of TEs) of LTR retrotransposons
(LTR-RTs) and 118.88 Mb of DNA transposons, whereas their size and proportion were
dramatically lower in *T. hassleriana* (Figure 2A and Supplemental Data Set S12). The spatial
distribution of LTR-RTs along chromosomes of *G. gynandra* was uneven (Supplemental
Figure S5). Its genome was found containing a considerable number of intact LTR-RTs with
sequence length up to 10,000 bp, with a peak at around 7,500 bp, while the peak in *T.*
hassleriana occurred at approximately 5,000 bp (Figure 2B). Most (79.72%) intact LTR-RT
insertion events in *G. gynandra* genome occurred within 1 MYA, with the peak of
amplification occurring around 0.16 MYA, in contrast to approximately 0.31 MYA for *T.*
hassleriana (Figure 2C). At the superfamily level, very recent amplifications of *Gypsy*
retrotransposons occurred approximately 0.14 MYA in *G. gynandra* (Figure 2D). *Gypsy*
retrotransposons had the highest distribution density in the centromeric area and the lowest
toward telomeric regions, with *Copia* showing a continuous distribution pattern (Figure 1A).

Recent massive expansion of LTR-RTs led us to explore their biological relevance. There
were 20,094 homologous gene pairs in total between the genomes of *G. gynandra* and *T.*
hassleriana. Relative to homologues without LTR-RTs, their presence caused more to be up-
regulated (Supplemental Figure S6A). LTR-RTs were found to reside preferentially within
promoter regions of protein-coding genes, especially in *G. gynandra* (Supplemental Figure
S6B), potentiating their differential roles in regulating gene expressions between the two
Cleome species. More than 70% of *G. gynandra* genes had LTR-RT insertions in their
promoter regions, which were only 23% for *T. hassleriana* (Figure 2E). Functional
enrichment analysis of these preferentially inserted genes indicated that pathways of
development, cell cycle, transcription regulation, redox regulation, stress-related, glycolysis,
Calvin cycle, photorespiration and light reaction were over-represented in *G. gynandra*
compared with *T. hassleriana* (Figure 2F). Furthermore, we identified 6,283 genes in *G.*
gynandra whose promoter regions contained substantially more LTR-RT insertions than their
orthologs in *T. hassleriana* (Supplemental Data Set S13). To assess the consequence of LTR-
RT amplification in these genes, their expression levels were analyzed in *G. gynandra*
relative to *T. hassleriana*. Moreover, we found that the proportions of genes with up-
regulated expression were much greater than those of down-regulated across the six
developmental stages, being more pronounced at the early stages (Figure 2G). Notably, the

significantly up-regulated genes along the development gradients included a subset of genes associated with C_4 metabolism (Figure 2H), such as C_4 cycle (*NAD-MDH*, *NADP-ME1*, *NADAP-MDH*, *PEP-CK*, *NHD1*, *ALAAT2* and *ASPAT*), light-harvesting complex (*LhcA3*), photosystem (*PasG* and *PsbQ-2*), cyclic electron flow (CEF, *PnsB3* and *PGR5*), Calvin cycle (*FAB6* and *TIM*), redox regulation (*GPX6* and *NTRB*), N assimilation (*NodGS* and *NIR1*), auxin signaling (*SAUR35* and *PIN6*), vein venation (*SCR*, *TMO6* and *ANT*), as well as heat stress response (*HSP81.2* and *HSP21.7*). In contrast, photorespiration genes with more LTR-RTs (*BASS*, *DIC2* and *DiT*) were severely down-regulated in *G. gynandra*. Additionally, LTR-RT insertions caused few C_4 metabolism-related genes to be down-regulated as well, such as the copies of *NAD-MDH* (*GG09G088650* and *GG12G084140*), *NADP-ME* (*GG11G010180*) and *PEPC* (*GG02G076930*) (Supplemental Figure S6C). Intriguingly, several C_4 cycle genes whose promoter regions had less LTR-RTs in *G. gynandra* than in *T. hassleriana* showed much higher levels of expression, such as *NAD-ME* homologues (*GG09G104820* and *GG02G000360*) and *PPDK*. Together, these data reveal that recent large-scale TE bursts are the driving force behind genome size expansion and that LTR-RTs also play a role in the C_4 evolution of *G. gynandra*.

Evidence for species-specific whole-genome duplication and tandem gene duplication events in *G. gynandra*

A paleopolyploidization event has been reported for the *Cleome* genus, and *T. hassleriana* underwent a whole-genome triplication (WGT) (Cheng et al., 2013; van den Bergh et al., 2014). However, it remains to clarify whether this event is specific to *T. hassleriana* or shared with *G. gynandra*. We thus analyzed syntenic blocks within the *G. gynandra* genome through intra-genome comparisons, identifying 771 syntenic blocks with 8,801 paralogous gene pairs (Supplemental Data Set S14). We detected multiple duplications in *G. gynandra* based on synteny, with many pairs of paralogous genes. Specifically, chromosomes Chr1, Chr2, Ch3, Chr4, Chr7, Chr10 and Chr14 corresponded closely with Chr8, Chr6, Chr5, Chr12, Chr11, Chr15 and Chr16, respectively (Figures 1A and 3A). Additionally, intra-chromosomal rearrangements, especially inversions, were pervasive in *G. gynandra* such as those near the arm ends of Chr10 and Chr15. Collinearity analysis also showed that chromosome Chr9 and Chr6 shared syntenic regions with Chr2. Likewise, chromosome Chr17 and Chr8 shared syntenic regions with Chr1, and Chr5 was collinear with both Chr3 and Chr13. Overall, these chromosomes displayed high levels of structural variations, suggesting existence of considerable chromosome rearrangements in *G. gynandra*.

For inter-species comparison, we examined genomic synteny between *G. gynandra* and *A.*
thaliana and *V. vinifera* chromosomes, resulting in a 2-to-2 syntenic relationship with *A.*
thaliana, and 4-to-1 with *V. vinifera* (Figure 3B and Supplemental Figure S7, A and B). Of
note, synteny analysis between *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana* showed a 2-to-3 syntenic
relationship (Supplemental Figure S7C). Given that *V. vinifera* has not undergone genome
duplication (Jaillon et al., 2007), and that *A. thaliana* experienced a recent whole-genome
duplication (WGD, termed At-α) (Jiao et al., 2011), we thus named the species-specific WGD
event in *G. gynandra* and WGT event in *T. hassleriana* Gg-α and Th-α, respectively. To
further infer the time of this Gg-α, we calculated the density distribution of K_s (synonymous
substitution rate) and D4DTv (distance of fourfold degenerate transversion) values of
collinear gene pairs within *G. gynandra, T. hassleriana, A. thaliana* and *B. rapa*. The
distribution of K_s values showed that *G. gynandra* had one main peak at K_s of ~0.475 (~22
MYA), which was slightly earlier than *B. rapa* at ~0.313 (~14.7 MYA) and *T. hassleriana* at
~0.390 (~18.4 MYA), and later than *A. thaliana* at ~0.737 (~35 MYA; Figure 3, C and D).
The distribution of D4DTv in these four species corroborated time relationships of the WGD
or WGT event (Supplemental Figure S7D). About 85.5% of genes in *G. gynandra* genome
were duplicated and retained from WGD, which was much higher than the proportion of
63.5% for *T. hassleriana* (Figure 3E). Functional enrichment analysis of these WGD/WGT-
derived genes identified pathways such as photosynthesis, ATP synthesis and stress responses
as markedly enriched in *G. gynandra* relative to *T. hassleriana* (Supplemental Figure S8A).
In addition to WGD, we also identified 610 tandem duplicated genes (TDGs), which were
involved in various pathways (Figure 3F). Of importance, the carbon fixation pathway in
photosynthetic organisms was exceptionally enriched among *G. gynandra* TDGs, with five
duplicated genes including *PEPC2*, *NAD-MDH/mMDH1*, *GAPC1* and *PDE345*. Their K_s
values were all less than 0.1, indicating that these TDGs derived from the very recent
duplications after Gg-α (Figure 3G). Except for *PDE345*, the other TDGs exhibited dynamic
expression levels from young to mature leaves of *G. gynandra* (Supplemental Figure S8, B
and C). Collectively, these results revealed that unlike C_3 *T. hassleriana*, *G. gynandra*
experienced species-specific WGD (Gg-α) and tandem duplication events, both of which
likely facilitated C_4 photosynthesis evolution.
280

Expanded gene families have contributed to C_4 trait formation
Closer inspection of gain and loss within gene families showed that 978 and 1,449 ones have
undergone expansion and contraction in *G. gynandra*, respectively (Figure 4A). Pfam

annotation showed that the genes of expanded families contained protein kinase domain,
RING finger, DnaJ domain, PPR repeat, NAD dependent epimerase/dehydratase family,
NAD(P)H-binding and AP2 domain (Supplemental Data Set S15). Notably, we identified 221
expanded orthogroups, which were shared by the C_4 dicot *G. gynandra* and C_4 monocot *Z.*
mays (Figure 4B). These commonly enriched families could be mainly classified into six
functional categories: hormone response/signal transduction, gene transcription/protein
homeostasis, cell development, leaf development, photosynthetic performance and stress
resilience (Figure 4C and Supplemental Figure S9). Specifically, the hormone response/signal
transduction category contained a number of genes involved in metabolic processes of auxin,
abscisic acid, ethylene or cytokinin, and light signal conduction. Many of these genes also
participated in gene transcription/translation or protein homeostasis. Particularly, genes
associated with cell and leaf developments were expanded in both C_4 species, such as cell
cycle, programmed cell death, vascular bundles development, chloroplast biogenesis and
photomorphogenesis. Expansion of genes in light and in dark reactions could enhance
photosynthetic efficiency of C_4 plants, and gene families involved in resistance to abiotic or
biotic stress were also expanded (Figure 4C). These results suggest that the fitness advantages
of C_4 species may have relevance to expansions of defined gene families.

Gene expression patterns for high vein density and heat stress tolerance associated with
maitenence of C_4 photosynthesis in *G. gynandra*
The fitness advantages of C_4 species are typically linked to alerations in leaf vein density or
stress resistence during evolution. *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana* exhibited similar
phenotypes regarding the morphology and leaf development (Figure 5A). However, *G.*
gynandra possessed evidently denser veins (Figure 5B) and typical Kranz anatomy compared
to *T. hassleriana* (Supplemental Figure S10). To identify potential discrepant features
between these dicotyledonous C_3 and C_4 plants, their leaf samples from five developmental
stages were collected under normal and heat stress conditions (Supplemental Figure S11). We
found that *G. gynandra* had significantly higher vein density than *T. hassleriana* during early
(S1), middle (S3) and mature (S5) stages (Figure 5C). Many of the well-known genes
encoding vasculature developmental factors had differential expression patterns between the
two species (Supplemental Figure S12). To dissect mechanisms underlying the differences in
transcriptional regulation of the leaf vasculature, we constructed large-scale gene regulatory
networks (GRN) based on RNA-seq time series datasets of *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*
using GENIE3, and candidate target genes of TFs were then predicted (Supplemental Figure

S13). Among many of the TFs, the *Dof* family is established to be enriched in BSC and bind

to AAAG motifs (Dai et al., 2022). Twenty-one and twenty-four hub genes from *Dof*-GRNs

were identified for *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*, respectively (Figure 5D). In addition to

the well-documented *Dof* genes with important roles in vein development, such as *CDF2*,

CDF3, *OBP2*, *OBP4*, *PEAR2*, *Dof2.4*, *HCA2* and *TMO6*, we also found some *Dof* genes with

unknown functions, including *Vdof1*, *Dof1.4*, *Dof1.7*, *Dof1.8*, and *Dof2.2* (Supplemental Data

Set S16). By analyzing of the previously deposited cell-specific transcriptomic data (Aubry et

al., 2014), we found that both homologous genes of *Vdof1* in *G. gynandra* exhibited

preferential expressions in BS cells (Supplemental Figure S14). The potential target genes of

G. gynandra Vdof1 were shown to be mainly enriched in pathways for chlorophyll

metabolism, photosynthesis, and RNA and protein metabolism (Figure 5E). Overexpression

of *Vdof1* conferred significantly higher photosynthetic efficiency, leaf vein density and heat

resistance in *Arabidopsis* plants, whereas its corresponding mutants displayed the opposite

effects (Supplemental Figure S15). Research on molecular mechanisms of *Vdof1* in

regulating these C_4 photosynthesis-associated processes is currently underway.

We further examined the response of *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana* plants to heat stress

treatment. Apparently, *G. gynandra* was much more tolerent to high teperature than *T.*

hassleriana (Figure 5F). We then analyzed differential gene expression in the two species. A

total of 16,138 and 18,492 differential expression genes (DEGs) were identified in *G.*

gynandra and *T. hassleriana*, respectively (Supplemental Data Set S17). The heat stress

response pathway was significantly up-regulated at all the stages in *G. gynandra* but not in *T.*

hassleriana (Supplemental Figure S16). A set of *Hsf, Hsp100, Hsp90, Hsp70, Hsp60* and

sHsp was identified in both species (Supplemental Data Set S18). However, 12–40% of *Hsf*

genes in *G. gynandra* exhibited significantly up-regulated expressions after heat treatments,

compared to 7–19% for *T. hassleriana*, depending on the leaf developmental stage (Figure

5G), and a larger number of *Hsp100, Hsp90, Hsp70*, and *Hsp60* genes were up-regulated in *G.*

gynandra. Consistently, the photosynthesis pathway was over-represented among the heat-

upregulated genes of *G. gynandra*, regardless of developmental stage (Supplemental Figure

S16). The expression levels of a subset of C_4 metablism-related genes were induced in *G.*

gynandra under heat stress, such as *CA* (*GG03G120380*), *NAD-MDH* (*GG12G084140* and

GG09G089650), *PEPC* copies, *PPDK*, *ALAAT* (*GG06G105780*), *ASPAT* (*GG07G009900*)

and *NHD* copies, as were N assimilation pathway genes including *GS2* and *NiR* copies

(Supplemental Figure S17). Carbon fixation, S assimilation and redox regulation pathways

were largely unaffected, except for several *TPT* copies being up-regulated. While diffrential

expression patterns were observed for photorespriration pathway genes between *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*.

Expression features and evolution of key genes involved in C$_4$ photosynthesis and photorespiration

An illustration for NAD-ME subtype of C$_4$ photosynthesis was shown (Figure 6A). Targeted analysis of the copy number of C$_4$ cycle genes revealed that the ratio of gene copy number in *G. gynandra* vs *T. hassleriana* was largely higher than 2:3, which is the baseline expected ratio given their genomic relationship (Supplemental Figure S18). This suggests that *G. gynandra* likely retained more C$_4$ genes after WGD, whereas *T. hassleriana* could have lost a subset of these genes after WGT (Supplemental Data Set S18). For instance, there were six copies of *NAD-MDH* in both species, nine and ten copies of *GAPDH* in *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*, respectively, and even more copies of *GOGAT* (4:2) and *GDCP* (3:2) were found in *G. gynandra* compared to *T. hassleriana* (Supplemental Data Set S18). Furthermore, all the enzymes characterizing NAD-ME subtype were identified in *G. gynandra* (Figure 6B and Supplemental Data Set S18), and their gene expression in six different tissues were assessed. Transcript levels of the main candidate *NAD-ME* (*GG02G000360*) and *NAD-MDH* (*GG02G075940*) genes were over 1,406-fold and 7-fold higher in leaves than roots, respectively, whereas all the candidate *NADP-ME* and *PEP-CK* genes had drastically decreased expressions in leaves than in the other tissues. The expression levels of *Dit*, *NHD*, *BASS*, *TPT* and *AlaAT* genes were highest in leaves. These results indicate that C$_4$ enzyme genes are preferentially expressed in photosynthetic tissues and that *G. gynandra* performs the NAD-ME subtype of carbon fixation. Futhermore, candidate homologous enzymes involved in C$_4$ carbon fixation in *G. gynandra* were identified based on their preferential expression in photosynthetic tissues and phylogenetic analysis with known C$_4$ genes (Supplemental Figure S19), which could be NAD-ME2 (GG02G000360), NAD-MDH/mMDH1 (GG02G075940 and GG02G075810), PEPC2 (GG14G004940, GG14G005080 and GG14G005010), PEPC-K/PPCK1 (GG05G088230), PPDK (GG13G000810), PPDK-RP/RP1 (GG12G002660), CA1 (GG15G098730 and GG10G000490) and CA4 (GG17G084960), ASPAT/ASP5 (GG07G010040), and ALAAT2 (GG06G105780).

The restriction of Gly decarboxylase (GDC) to BSC results in formation of the photorespiratory CO$_2$ pump that is an essential step during C$_3$-to-C$_4$ transition. This promoted us to examine how P-protein of GDC (GDCP) and its promoter elements are altered in C$_3$, C$_4$

dicot and C_4 monocot species (Figure 6C). Interestingly, three copies of *GDCP* gene were

detected in *G. gynandra*, while a single copy was present in C_4 monocots (*S. bicolor*,

Sorghum italica and *Z. mays*) and 1–2 copies were in C_3 plants. A total of seven conserved

motifs (motif 1 to 7) were identified in promoter regions of these *GDCP* genes. Motif 1

containes an element (M-box) that has reported to drive expression in mesophyll cells (MC),

with motif 6 harboring a regulatory element (V-box) being required for vasculature

expression (Adwy et al., 2015, 2019). Unexpectedly, M-box, but rather V-box, was present in

the promoters of two *GDCP* genes (*GG08G031990* and *GG07G022890*) in *G. gynandra*, and

no known motifs could be detected in the other one *GG11G095250*. It should be metioned

that neither M-box nor V-box was found in the *GDCP* of C_4 monocots. Notably, a single

GDCP (*GG08G031990*) was the most abundantly expressed in *G. gynandra*, with the highest

levels in leaf tissue, while the other two duplicates were expressed at extremely low levels in

all tissues analyzed (Figure 6D). This paralog was uniquely increased along leaf

developmental gradients and could be further dramatically induced by heat stress (Figure 6E).

Based on our data, we proposed a hypothetical model for the evolution and maintenance of

C_4 photosynthesis in the spider plant (Figure 6F).

DISCUSSION

G. gynandra has been an instrumental organism for addressing evolutionary questions, often

as a pair with *T. hassleriana* (Hoang et al., 2022). This plant is valuable for the study of its

nutritional and health-promoting properties, as well as molecular mechanisms absent in C_3

model organisms such as the dicot *Arabidopsis* and the monocot rice, and represents an

emerging model plant to extensively investigate C_4 biology (Brown et al., 2005; Külahoglu et

al., 2014; Bayat et al., 2018). However, the lack of a high-quality chromosome-scale genome

of *G. gynandra* has hampered its wide adoption in basic and applied research. Here we report

a reference-grade genome of the diploid *G. gynandra* integrating long reads Nanopore

sequencing and Hi-C technologies to overcome the challenge inherent in highly repetitive

sequences (Figures 1 and 2). With a contig N50 of 11.43 Mb, scaffold N50 of 51.02 Mb and

LAI of 16.46, the assembly metrics indicate high accuracy and completeness (Table 1).

Genomics combined with transcriptomic analysis confirmed that *G. gynandra* is the typical

NAD-ME subtype of C_4 plant (Figure 6). Given that an *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated

transformation system with high efficiency has also been established for this elite crop

(Newell et al., 2010), *G. gynandra* is now suitable as a C_4 model system in dicotyledonous

plants, particularly for studies in NAD-ME subtype of C_4 species, such as the perennial
polyploid bioenergy crop switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum*).

The evolution of plant C_4 photosynthesis represents an unique example of convergent
evolution (Heyduk et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2009; Sage, 2004). With the availability of the
annotated genomes for *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*, direct comparison between these C_4
and C_3 species presents an excellent model to unravel why C_4 photosynthesis evolved in only
one of the two plants. Instead of sharing a Th-α event (van den Bergh et al., 2014), these two
species experienced independent recent WGD (Gg-α) and WGT (Th-α) events, respectively
(Figure 3). However, massive gene loss may have happened in C_3 *T. hassleriana* after Th-α
(Supplemental Figure S18 and Supplemental Data Set S19), which resulted in retention of
only 27,396 predicted genes, making *T. hassleriana* the gene-poorest species of those
analyzed here (Figure 1D). Of note, the copy numbers of multiple C_4 pathway-related genes
are higher in *G. gynandra* relative to *T. hassleriana* (Supplemental Data Set S18), including
those involved in vasculature development (*PIN1*, *SCR* and *HB-8*), C_4 metabolism (*ALAAT*
and *NHD*), Calvin-Benson cycle (*RbcSSU*), N assimilation (*FdGOGAT*), S assimilation (*APR*
and *SR*), and photorespiration (*GDCP* and *SGAT*), concomitant with markedly reduced
expressions of these vasculature development and C_4 metabolism genes in *T. hassleriana*
(Supplemental Figure S20). Moreover, the Gg-α in *G. gynandra* contributed to the expansion
of some gene families shared with the C_4 monocot *Z. mays*, which function in various C_4
metabolism-associated processes from signaling pathways to photosynthetic performance and
stress resistance (Figure 4). This is supportive of recent studies showing that WGD led to
multiple aspects of the evolution of C_4 photosynthesis in *G. gynandra* and grasses (Huang et
al., 2021, 2022). Almost all of the well-documented vein development-associated genes in *G.
gynandra* have retained their WGD-derived duplicates (Supplemental Data Set S20) (Ohashi-
Ito and Fukuda, 2010; Huang et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2022). Importantly, some of these
paralogous genes that positively control vein density became significantly up-regulated in *G.
gynandra* compared to *T. hassleriana*, with those negative ones being dramatically down-
regulated (Supplemental Figure S12) (Huang et al., 2017; Aubry et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2020),
which may have conferred the much higher leaf vein density seen in *G. gynandra* (Figure 5,
B and C). Peculiarly, *G. gynandra* has retained the paralogous genes essential for building the
photorespiratory CO_2 pump in BS cells, such as *ALAAT*, *ASPAT*, *GOGAT* and *GDCP*
(Supplemental Data Sets S18 and S20) (Huang et al., 2021), whose formation is hypothesized
to be the primary driver of C_4 evolution (Mallmann et al., 2014; Sage et al., 2012). Although
the overall level of expression for photorespiration pathway genes in *G. gynandra* is down-

regulated, photorespiration is not and cannot be lost, as it has crucial functions in plants.

These data suggest that *G. gynandra* underwent the C_3-C_4 intermediate stage required to

establish the C_4 pathway. *G. gynandra* harbors three *GDCP* gene copies; however, only one

is highly expressed in BS cells, which exhibits gradually increased expression during leaf

development (Figure 6, C–E). It is speculated that the inactivated gene copy will eventually

be lost (Schulze et al., 2016; Mercado and Studer, 2022). Consistently, a single *GDCP* is

active in monocot C_4 species (maize, foxtail millet and sorghum) and in the dicot C_3-C_4

intermediate species *Flaveria* and *Moricandia* (Figure 6C) (Schulze et al., 2013; Schlüter et

al., 2017). These imply that the C_4 trait in *G. gynandra* could be still evolving. Additionally,

genomic analysis showed that the promoter regions of the *GDCP* genes in C_3, C_4 dicots and

C_4 monocots contained diverse *cis*-active element motifs (Figure 6C). While the V-box

element has been demonstrated to orchestrate the expression of *GDCP* in the vasculature of

Moricandia (Adwy et al., 2019, 2015), it is not found in *G. gynandra* or C_4 monocots,

implying that varied modes might have evolved to regulate cell-specific *GDCP* expression

not only between C_3 and C_4 species but also among C_4 plants.

Heat, intense light and/or drought stress were proposed to drive C_4 origination and

evolution during periods of declining atmospheric CO_2, suggesting the role of adaptive

evolution in C_4 pathway formation (Blätke and Bräutigam, 2019; Sage, 2004). Not surprising,

C_4 photosynthesis has better performance than the ancestral C_3 state under stressful

environments. This will be increasingly important under the projected conditions of long-

term climate change and periodic environmental extremes currently threatening the global

food supply and bioenergy security. *G. gynandra* displays much higher tolerance to extreme

temperature than *T. hassleriana*, and this phenotype is likely associated with retention of a

subset of WGD-duplicated genes in *G. gynandra* that encode HSFs and HSPs (Figure 5F and

Supplemental Data Set S20). Although their expressions can be induced under heat stress in

both species, many more of these families are up-regulated in *G. gynandra* compared to *T.*

hassleriana (Figure 5G). Given that C_4 photosynthesis in *G. gynandra* evolved after WGD

(van den Bergh et al., 2014), this provides additional evidence on the critical role of Gg-α in

facilitating C_4 evolution.

Aside from WGD event and the expanded gene families, recent species-specific tandem

duplications after Gg-α may also be relevant to maintenance of the C_4 pathway in *G.*

gynandra, exemplified by duplication of multiple key enzymes involved in carbon fixation

including NAD-MDH/mMDH1 and PEPC2 of C_4 cycle (Figure 3, E–G). Remarkably, our

data unveiled a previously undescribed role for genomic transposon expansion in the

evolution of C_4 photosynthesis. The higher frequency of LTR-RT insertion in promoter
regions of *G. gynandra* contributes to higher ratio of up-regulated than down-regulated genes
when compared to *T. hassleriana*, independent of leaf developmental stages. Of importance,
the up-regulated genes function in various pathways involved in C_4 photosynthesis, including
but not limited to, C_4 cycle, light reaction, cyclic electron flow, Calvin cycle, redox
regulation, auxin signaling, vein patterning and stress response, whereas LTR-RT-inserted
genes involved in photorespiration exhibit lower expressions (Figure 2). Besides, a small
portion of C_4 homologous genes that contain less and more LTR-RT insertions, whose
expressions are up-regulated and down-regulated, respetively (Supplemental Figure S6C).
Therefore, it is tempting to postulate that LTR-RT activity might have promoted the C_3-to-C_4
evolution via synergistic genomic and transcriptomic alterations. Consistent to the recent
reports demonstrating that modifications in *cis*-regulatory and non-coding regions are
primary determinants of the distinct transcriptome blueprint in BSC or MC of C_4 plants (Tu
et al., 2020; Dickinson et al., 2020; Dai et al., 2022), this extensive LTR-RT insertion
frequency in promoter regions appears to be a underappreciated route through which cell-
preferential gene expression could be achieved. Future molecular characterization of
regulatory regions containing LTR-RTs will be required to test this hypothesis. Altogether,
our present study suggests a three-step scenario contributing to the evolution of C_4
photosynthesis in the *Cleome* genus and that innovation of this novel biochemical pathway in
G. gynandra may be dual consequences of duplicated gene retention and functional changes
in existing genes (Figure 6F).

In summary, we have generated a highly continuous and accurate genome assembly of the
C_4 model crop *G. gynandra*. Systematic comparison with the closely related C_3 species *T.*
hassleriana is useful for identification of previously uncharacterized genes involved in C_4
photosynthesis-related processes. Moreover, we present evidence that LTR-RT bursts have
functioned in driving genome size increase and may have been important for C_4 evolution as
well. WGD, gene family expansion and tandem duplication events have also facilitated the
evolution of C_4 photosynthesis in *G. gynandra*. We found corresponding transcriptional
changes of gene expression features associated with C_4 pathway, photorespiration, vein
venation and heat stress response for boosting photosynthetic efficiency. These findings shed
light on the commonalities and differences in the evolution of C_4 photosynthesis, supporting
the existence of numerous independent evolutionary trajectories to C_4. The genomic and
transcriptomic data generated in this study provide valuable new resources for further
dissecting the genetic basis underlying the transition from C_3 to C_4 photosynthesis as well as

the exceptional nutritional and medicinal traits of this species. *G. gynandra* is thus now a

promising model species to accelerate both basic and applied research, in C_4 biology and

beyond.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials and growth conditions

C_4 *Gynandropsis gynandra* Linn. and C_3 *Tarenaya hassleriana* (Purple Queen) species were

grown in plastic pots with Pro-Mix BX soil, in a controlled environment room with a

photoperiod of 16h/8h, a light intensity of 200 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}, 25/18 °C (day/night), and a

humidity of 50–60%. The leaves (4 weeks), stems (4 weeks), roots (4 weeks), flower buds (6

weeks), flowers (7 weeks) and siliques (10 weeks) of plants were harvested and immediately

frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Heat treatment

5-week-old plants of both species were transferred to a growth chamber (PercivalE-41, USA)

using the conditions above, except with the day/night temperature set to 45/35 °C, which is

10–15 °C above optimum range of germination for *G. gynandra* and is considered as heat

stress (Motsa et al., 2015). Leaves at different developmental stages were sampled from

plants at 10:00 am before and after heat treatment. Meanwhile, leaf samples at the equivalent

stages under normal growth conditions were collected as controls (Supplemental Figure S11).

Stages 1 to 5 (S1 to S5) were leaves from young to mature, with Stage 1 as the youngest (1.5

cm in length). All experiments were performed in triplicate.

RNA-Seq analysis

For RNA-Seq experiments, total RNAs were extracted and purified from the collected leaf

samples above using Trizol RNA extraction kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The mRNA

was enriched from approximately 50 ng of high-quality total RNA with NEXTflex™ Poly(A)

Beads (Bioo Scientific, Austin, TX, USA), and used to produce RNA-seq libraries with the

NEBNext Ultra RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA,

USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The RNA-Seq libraries were sequenced with

Illumina HiSeq4000 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) under PE150 mode. The raw reads of

RNA-seq were filtered using Trimmomatic (version 0.40) with default parameters (Bolger et

al., 2014). The remaining clean reads were mapped to the genome using HISAT2 (version

2.1.0) (Kim et al., 2019). The reads count per gene was calculated with HTSeq (version

0.9.172) (Anders et al., 2015). The differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified

using the DEseq2 package with a significance threshold of q value < 0.01 and $|\log_2(\text{fold}$

change)$| > 1$ (Love et al., 2014).

Iso-Seq analysis

Total RNAs extracted from different tissues collected above were combined to generate a full

RNA sample of *G. gynandra*. The mRNA was enriched from total RNA using a magnetic d(T)

bead binding procedure, and then was transcribed to cDNA using the Clontech SMARTer

PCR cDNA Synthesis Kit (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA) following the

manufacturer's instructions. The amplified cDNA fragments were size-selected using a

BluePippin Size Selection System (Sage Science, Massachusetts, United States) with a bin

of >4 kb. The amplified and size-selected cDNA products were used to generate SMRT Bell

libraries according to the Iso-Seq protocol (P/N100-377-100-05 and P/N100-377-100-04).

The libraries were prepared for sequencing by annealing a sequencing primer and binding

polymerase to the SMRT bell templates using the DNA/Polymerase Binding Kit (Pacific

Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA, USA). One SMRT cell was sequenced on the PacBio Sequel

instrument (Pacific Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA, USA). The high-quality, full-length and

consistent transcript sequences were obtained from long reads data using the PacBio Iso-Seq3

pipeline.

Genome sequencing

Nanopore, Illumina HiSeq and Hi-C were used for sequencing the complete genome of *G.*

gynandra. For Illumina sequencing library construction, the genomic DNA of *G. gynandra*

was extracted from young leaves using a Qiagen DNA purification kit (Qiagen, Darmstadt,

Germany). The integrity of DNA was assessed by agarose gel electrophoresis, and the purity

and concentration were determined on NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, MA, USA) and Qubit Fluorometer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), respectively.

The short-read genomic sequencing libraries were constructed using the MGIEasy FS DNA

Library Prep Set (Item No.1000006988) with 270 bp fragment size according to the

manufacturer's instructions, and sequenced on an Illumina Hiseq4000 platform (Illumina,

San Diego, CA) to generate paired-end (PE) reads of 150 bp. For Nanopore sequencing

library preparation, the high-quality genomic DNA of *G. gynandra* was extracted from young

leaves using the CTAB method. Approximately 15 μg of genomic DNA was used to collect

larger DNA fragments (>20 kb) with the BluePippin Size-Selection system (Sage science,

Beverly, MA, USA). The obtained genomic DNA was processed using ONT Ligation

Sequencing Kit (SQK-LSK109; Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford, United Kingdom)

according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, the genomic DNA was end-repaired

and dA-tailed using a NEBNext UltraII End Prep Reaction Module (New England Biolabs,
Ipswich, MA, USA). The sequencing adaptors were ligated using NEBNext UltraII Ligation
module (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA). Libraries were purified using AMpure
XP beads (Beckman Coulter , California, USA) and short fragment buffer (SFB). Then,
Nanopore libraries were added into a single ONT MinION R9.4 flowcell (FLO-MIN106) and
sequenced on the platform of PromethION (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, UK). The ONT
MinKNOW   software   (https://github.com/nanoporetech/minknow_lims_interface.git)
acquired raw sequence data with live basecalling by ONT Guppy (https://nanoporetech.com/).
For Hi-C sequencing, the fresh leaf tissues of *G. gynandra* were fixed (cross-linking) with
formaldehyde. The cross-linked chromatin was extracted and digested by *Mbo*I restriction
enzyme, the 5' overhangs filled in with biotinylated nucleotides, and blunt-end proximity-
ligated to generate circular molecules. Subsequently, the circular DNA molecules were
purified from protein, and sheared to ~350 bp mean fragment size, and then enriched by
biotin pull down. The sequencing libraries were generated using NEBNext Ultra enzymes
(New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) and Illumina-compatible adapters (Illumina, San
Diego, CA, USA). The Hi-C libraries were processed to paired-end sequencing on the
Illumina Hiseq 4000 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) platform with read length of 150 bp.

Genome size estimation

The genome size of *G. gynandra* was estimated using the *k*-mer analysis. Raw reads from
Illumina   sequencing   were   subjected   to   SOAPnuke   (https://github.com/BGI-
flexlab/SOAPnuke) for base quality control. The genome size, abundance of repetitive
elements and heterozygosity were estimated based on the *k*-mer frequencies generated from
the short reads using GenomeScope (https://github.com/schatzlab/genomescope).

De novo **genome assembly**

The ONT long reads were corrected and assembled using CANU (version 1.7.1) with the
parameters (corOutCoverage=50, saveOverlaps=TRUE, ovsMemory=64, minMemory=30G,
batMemory=200G, minOverlapLength=700, minReadLength=1000) (Koren et al., 2017).
The resulting contigs were polished with both long reads and short reads using three rounds
of Racon (version 1.4.13) with default parameters (Vaser et al., 2017). The Illumina short
reads were mapped onto the polished assembly with BWA-MEM (https://github.com/bwa-
mem2/bwa-mem2). Based on the alignment, error correction was conducted using Pilon
(version 1.23) (Walker et al., 2014). To scaffold the assembled contigs, the ONT-based
polished contigs were anchored into a chromosome-scale assembly using a Hi-C proximity-
based assembly approach. Illumina reads from the Hi-C library were processed with

SOAPnuke to remove adaptor and low-quality sequences. The clean Hi-C read pairs were
used as input for the Juicer (version 1.6) and 3d-DNA Hi-C analysis and scaffolding pipelines
(version 180922) (Dudchenko et al., 2017; Durand et al., 2016). Valid interaction pairs were
mapped onto the polished contigs and anchored to the pseudo-chromosomes using 3d-DNA
pipeline with default parameters. The Hi-C interaction matrix was visualized using Juicebox
Assembly Tools, and mis-assemblies and mis-joins were manually corrected based on
neighboring interactions to generate the final pseudo-chromosome-length genome assembly.

Genome assembly quality assessment

Three assessment strategies including BUSCO alignment, transcriptome alignment and LTR
assembly index, were used to evaluate the quality and completeness of the *G. gynandra*
genome assembly. In brief, the completeness of the genome assembly was evaluated using
the BUSCO pipeline based on the datasets of embryophyta_odb10. The coverage and base-
level accuracy of the genome assembly were assessed by aligning transcriptome reads to the
G. gynandra assembly using HISAT2 with default parameters. LAI score of the *G. gynandra*
genome assembly was calculated using LTR_retriever (version 2.9.0) with default parameters
(Ou and Jiang, 2018).

Genome annotation

The *G. gynandra* genome was annotated including annotations of repeat sequences, protein-
coding genes and RNA genes. First, we adopted two complementary methods (one
homology-based and the other *de novo*-based) to predict repeat sequences. RepeatMasker
(version 4.0.7) and RepeatProteinMask (http://www.repeatmasker.org) were used to discover
and classify repetitive sequences with the homology-based library generated from Repbase
(www.girinst.org/repbase) (Bedell et al., 2000). LTR_FINDER (version 1.06) and
RepeatModeler (http://www.repeatmasker.org/RepeatModeler) were utilized to build the *de*
novo-based library (Xu and Wang, 2007). TRF (version 4.09) was employed to annotate
tandem repeats with default parameters (Benson, 1999). Full length LTR-RTs were identified
using LTR_retriever. Second, we deployed a strategy combining *ab initio*, homology-based
and transcriptome-based methods for gene structure annotation. We used both Augustus
(version 3.3.2) and SNAP (version 1.0.4) to perform *ab initio* predictions with self-trained
prediction models (Stanke et al., 2004; Korf, 2004). We aligned the protein sequences from *A.*
thaliana, *B. oleracea*, *B. rapa*, *T. hassleriana*, *G. raimondii*, *M. Sativa*, *S. lycopersicum*, *O.*
sativa and *Z. mays* to the repeat-masked genome of *G. gynandra*, and then parsed the
resultant alignments by GeneWise (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/~birney/wise2/) to achieve
homolog predictions. We mapped the transcripts generated from both PacBio Iso-Seq and

Illumina RNA-Seq to the genome with PASA (version r20140407) to conduct transcriptome-

based predictions (Haas et al., 2008). Finally, we combined all the evidences to finalize the

consensus gene models using Maker (version 2.31.9) (Cantarel et al., 2008). Third, we

performed RNA genes annotation by tRNAscan-SE (version 2.0) for tRNA (Lowe and Chan,

2016), RNAmmer (version 1.2) for rRNA (Lagesen et al., 2007), and INFERNAL (version

1.1.2) for miRNA and snRNA (Nawrocki and Eddy, 2013). In addition, we annotated

transcription factor encoding genes using iTAK (version 1.7) (Zheng et al., 2016). We

generated functional assignments of protein coding genes by performing BLAST searches

against five public protein databases including the NCBI non-redundant (nr) database,

SwissProt database, Clusters of Orthologous Genes (COG) database, KEGG database and

GO database. Pfam domains of genes were identified using InterProScan.

Phylogenomic evolution analysis

Orthology prediction for ten species including eight eudicots (*A. thaliana*, *B. oleracea*, *B.*

rapa, *G. gynandra*, *T. hassleriana*, *G. raimondii*, *M. Sativa* and *S. lycopersicum*), two

monocots (*O. sativa* and *Z. mays*) was performed using OrthoFinder (version 2.2.6) package

with default parameters (Emms and Kelly, 2019). Single-copy orthologous genes were

extracted from the clustering results, and were used to reconstruct the phylogenetic tree. In

brief, the proteins of single-copy gene families were aligned by MUSCLE (version 3.8.31)

(Edgar, 2004). The alignments were finally joined into a super gene matrix for the species

phylogenetic tree construction using RAxML (version 8.2.12) (Stamatakis, 2014) with the

JTT+I+GAMMA model and 1,000 bootstrap replicates. The best suitable evolution model for

phylogeny construction was evaluated using jModelTest (version 2.1.10) (Darriba et al.,

2012). Time estimation among species was performed with r8s (version 1.81) (Sanderson,

2003). The divergence times of *B. oleracea* and *B. rapa* (2.02–3.21 MYA), *Z. mays* and *O.*

sativa (42–52 MYA) and *A. thaliana* and *O. sativa* (115–308 MYA) obtained from TimeTree

(http://timetree.org) were used for calibration. Syntenic blocks and gene duplications were

identified within the *G. gynandra* genome or between the *Cleome* plants and other species

using MCScanX (version 0.8) with the parameters (-s 5, -m 5) (Wang et al., 2012). The

synonymous mutation rate values for gene pairs within syntenic blocks were calculated using

the PAML (version 4.9) with yn00 and NG model (Yang, 2007). Fourfold degenerative

transversion (4DTv) rates were calculated by aligning all orthologous or paralogous gene

pairs using an in-house Perl script. We identified the WGD event within the *G. gynandra*

genome using the approach from PGDD (Lee et al., 2013). CAFE (version 4.2.1) was used to

identify the gene families with rapid expansion or contraction based on the species

phylogenetic tree and divergence time (De Bie et al., 2006). The species-specific gene

families were determined according to the presence and absence of genes for specific species.

Analysis of leaf anatomy

Leaf samples were rinsed twice in water and placed in 70% ethyl alcohol for 3–4 days. The

70% ethyl alcohol was changed every eight hours until the leaves became colorless and

transparent. Leaves were rinsed again with water and mounted in 66% glycerol on slides for

observation. Images of the cleared leaves were taken with an OLYMPUS BX43 microscope

system equipped with an OLYMPUS DP74 camera at 2× magnification (Olympus, Tokyo,

Japan). Vein length and area size were assessed for six sites at a central region of each leaf

bounded by the midvein using phenoVein tools developed from MeVisLab

(www.mevislab.de) (Bühler et al., 2015). Vein density (Vd) was calculated as the total length

of all veins within the region divided by the area of the region, which was expressed in mm

mm^{-2}. Measurements were conducted with three independent leaves of each stage per species.

Phylogenetic and cis-acting regulatory elements analysis

The protein sequences of *GDCP* genes were used to perform multiple sequence alignment

using MUSCLE. Phylogenetic tree was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining (NJ) method

available in MEGA7 (version 7.0.26) (Kumar et al., 2016). The robustness of each node in

the tree was determined using 1000 bootstrap replicates. The upstream 1.5 kb regions from

transcription start site (TSS) of each *GDCP* gene were extracted from genome sequence of

each species, and used to identify conserved motifs using MEME, and *cis*-acting regulatory

elements by PlantCARE server (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html).

Accession Numbers

All sequence information has been uploaded to Genome Sequence Archive

(https://bigd.big.ac.cn/gsa). Genomic data: *Gynandropsis gynandra*-PRJCA008306;

Transcriptomic data: *Gynandropsis gynandra*-PRJCA008307; *Tarenaya hassleriana*-

PRJCA008308. The chromosome-scale annotated genome assembly of *Gynandropsis*

gynandra is available at the Genome Warehouse in the National Genomics Data Center

(https://ngdc.cncb.ac.cn) under accession number GWHBHRJ00000000.

Supplemental Files

721 Supplemental Figure S1. Statistics for the frequencies and depths of 15 *k*-mers in the *G.*

722 *gynandra* genome.

Supplemental Data Set S1. Estimate of *G. gynandra* genome size.

Supplemental Data Set S2. The evaluation of ONT sequencing data for *G. gynandra*.

Supplemental Data Set S3. Statistics of Hi-C data for *G. gynandra*.

Supplemental Data Set S4. Summary of *G. gynandra* genome assembly.

Supplemental Data Set S5. Summary of *G. gynandra* seventeen pseudo-chromosomes.

Supplemental Data Set S6. BUSCO analysis of *G. gynandra* genome assembly.

Supplemental Data Set S7. RNA-seq data mapping summary.

Supplemental Data Set S8. Functional annotation of the predicted genes in the assembly of *G. gynandra* genome.

Supplemental Data Set S9. Statistics of the annotated non-coding RNAs.

Supplemental Data Set S10. Gene numbers of transcription factor family among *G. gynandra* and nine other species.

Supplemental Data Set S11. Numbers of gene families among *G. gynandra* and nine other species.

Supplemental Data Set S12. Summary of repeat DNA in *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*.

Supplemental Data Set S13. List of gene IDs and their RPKM values in leaf transcriptomes from S0 to S5 developmental stages of *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*.

Supplemental Data Set S14. Syntenic blocks of *G. gynandra*.

Supplemental Data Set S15. Pfam annotation of the expanded genes families in *G. gynandra* genome.

Supplemental Data Set S16. The hub genes of *Dof*-GRNs of *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*.

Supplemental Data Set S17. Summary of differentially expressed genes after heat stress treatment at different leaf stages in *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*.

Supplemental Data Set S18. Copy numbers of genes that regulate vasculature development, C_4 photosynthesis and heat shock response in *G. gynandra* compared to *T. hassleriana*.

Supplemental Data Set S19. Statistics analysis suggesting that *T. hassleriana* may have undergone massive gene loss compared to *G. gynandra*.

Supplemental Data Set S20. Genes that regulate vasculature development, C_4 photosynthesis and heat shock response were mostly derived from WGD in *G. gynandra*.

Supplemental Data Set S21. Abbreviations of genes and protein enzymes in this study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Prof. Y. Jiao at Henan Agricultural University and Prof. S. Gao at Henan University of Science and Technology for providing G. gynandra and T. hassleriana seeds

used in this study. The bioinformatic analyses are performed with the supercomputing system

in the Supercomputing Center of Oil Crops Research Institute, Chinese Academy of

Agricultural Sciences.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Agricultural Science and Technology Innovation Project of

the Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (Grant CAAS-ASTIP-OCRI) and the National

Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant 32072096).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

W.H., J.Liu, and W.Z. conceived project. W.H., J.Liu, and W.Z. designed study. J.Li, X.S.

and Q.Z. conducted experiments. W.Z. and J.Liu performed data analysis. W.Z. and J.Liu

wrote and revised the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

Adwy, W., Laxa, M., and Peterhansel, C. (2015). A simple mechanism for the establishment of C_2-specific
gene expression in Brassicaceae. *Plant J*. **84**: 1231–1238.

Adwy, W., Schlüter, U., Papenbrock, J., Peterhansel, C., and Offermann, S. (2019). Loss of the M-box
from the glycine decarboxylase P-subunit promoter in C_2 *Moricandia* species. *Plant Gene* **18**: 100176.

Anders, S., Pyl, P.T., and Huber, W. (2015). HTSeq-A Python framework to work with high-throughput
sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **31**: 166–169.

Aubry, S., Kelly, S., Kümpers, B.M.C., Smith-Unna, R.D., and Hibberd, J.M. (2014). Deep Evolutionary
Comparison of Gene Expression Identifies Parallel Recruitment of Trans-Factors in Two Independent
Origins of C_4 Photosynthesis. *PLoS Genet*. **10**: e1004365.

Bayat, S., Schranz, M.E., Roalson, E.H., and Hall, J.C. (2018). Lessons from Cleomaceae, the Sister of
Crucifers. *Trends Plant Sci*. **23**: 808–821.

Bedell, J.A., Korf, I., and Gish, W. (2000). MaskerAid: A performance enhancement to RepeatMasker.
Bioinformatics **16**: 1040–1041.

Bennetzen, J.L. et al. (2012). Reference genome sequence of the model plant Setaria. *Nat. Biotechnol*. **30**:555–
561.

Benson, G. (1999). Tandem repeats finder: A program to analyze DNA sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res*. **27**: 573–
580.

van den Bergh, E., Külahoglu, C., Bräutigam, A., Hibberd, J.M., Weber, A.P.M., Zhu, X.G., and Schranz,
827    **M.E.** (2014). Gene and genome duplications and the origin of C$_4$ photosynthesis: Birth of a trait in the
828    Cleomaceae. *Curr. Plant Biol*. **1**: 2–9.

829 **De Bie, T., Cristianini, N., Demuth, J.P., and Hahn, M.W.** (2006). CAFE: A computational tool for the study
830    of gene family evolution. *Bioinformatics* **22**: 1269–1271.

831 **Blätke, M.A. and Bräutigam, A.** (2019). Evolution of C$_4$ photosynthesis predicted by constraint-based
832    modelling. *Elife* **8**: e49305.

833 **Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B.** (2014). Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.
834    *Bioinformatics* **30**: 2114–2120.

835 **Bräutigam, A. et al.** (2011). An mRNA blueprint for C$_4$ photosynthesis derived from comparative
836    transcriptomics of closely related C$_3$ and C$_4$ species. *Plant Physiol*. **155**: 142–156.

837 **Brown, N.J., Parsley, K., and Hibberd, J.M.** (2005). The future of C$_4$ research - Maize, *Flaveria* or *Cleome*?
838    *Trends Plant Sci*. **10**: 215–221.

839 **Bühler, J., Rishmawi, L., Pflugfelder, D., Huber, G., Scharr, H., Hülskamp, M., Koornneef, M., Schurr,**
840    **U., and Jahnke, S.** (2015). Phenovein—a tool for leaf vein segmentation and analysis. *Plant Physiol*. **169**:
841    2359–2370.

842 **Cantarel, B.L., Korf, I., Robb, S.M.C., Parra, G., Ross, E., Moore, B., Holt, C., Alvarado, A.S., and**
843    **Yandell, M.** (2008). MAKER: An easy-to-use annotation pipeline designed for emerging model organism
844    genomes. *Genome Res*. **18**: 188–196.

845 **Cheng, S. et al.** (2013). The *Tarenaya hassleriana* genome provides insight into reproductive trait and genome
846    evolution of crucifers. *Plant Cell* **25**: 2813–2830.

847 **Dai, X., Tu, X., Du, B., Dong, P., Sun, S., Wang, X., Sun, J., Li, G., Lu, T., Zhong, S., and Li, P.** (2022).
848    Chromatin and regulatory differentiation between bundle sheath and mesophyll cells in maize. *Plant J*.
849    **109**: 675–692.

850 **Darriba, D., Taboada, G.L., Doallo, R., and Posada, D.** (2012). JModelTest 2: More models, new heuristics
851    and parallel computing. *Nat. Methods* **9**: 772.

852 **Dickinson, P.J., Kneřová, J., Szecówka, M., Stevenson, S.R., Burgess, S.J., Mulvey, H., Bågman, A.M.,**
853    **Gaudinier, A., Brady, S.M., and Hibberd, J.M.** (2020). A bipartite transcription factor module
854    controlling expression in the bundle sheath of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nat. Plants* **6**: 1468–1479.

855 **Dudchenko, O., Batra, S.S., Omer, A.D., Nyquist, S.K., Hoeger, M., Durand, N.C., Shamim, M.S., Machol,**
856    **I., Lander, E.S., Aiden, A.P., and Aiden, E.L.** (2017). *De novo* assembly of the *Aedes aegypti* genome
857    using Hi-C yields chromosome-length scaffolds. *Science* **356**: 92–95.

858 **Durand, N.C., Shamim, M.S., Machol, I., Rao, S.S.P., Huntley, M.H., Lander, E.S., and Aiden, E.L.** (2016).
859    Juicer Provides a One-Click System for Analyzing Loop-Resolution Hi-C Experiments. *Cell Syst*. **3**: 95–
860    98.

861 **Edgar, R.C.** (2004). MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic*
862    *Acids Res*. **32**: 1792–1797.

863 **Emms, D.M. and Kelly, S.** (2019). OrthoFinder: Phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative genomics.
864    *Genome Biol*. **20**: 238.

Ermakova, M., Danila, F.R., Furbank, R.T., and von Caemmerer, S. (2020). On the road to C_4 rice:
advances and perspectives. *Plant J.* **101**: 940–950.

Furbank, R.T. (2011). Evolution of the C_4 photosynthetic mechanism: are there really three C_4 acid
decarboxylation types? *J. Exp. Bot.* **62**: 3103–3108.

Haas, B.J., Salzberg, S.L., Zhu, W., Pertea, M., Allen, J.E., Orvis, J., White, O., Robin, C.R., and
Wortman, J.R. (2008). Automated eukaryotic gene structure annotation using EVidenceModeler and the
Program to Assemble Spliced Alignments. *Genome Biol.* **9**: R7.

Heyduk, K., Moreno-Villena, J.J., Gilman, I.S., Christin, P.A., and Edwards, E.J. (2019). The genetics of
convergent evolution: insights from plant photosynthesis. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **20**: 485–493.

Hibberd, J.M., Sheehy, J.E., and Langdale, J.A. (2008). Using C_4 photosynthesis to increase the yield of rice-
rationale and feasibility. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **11**: 228–231.

Hoang, N. V et al. (2022). The genome of *Gynandropsis gynandra* provides insights into whole-genome
duplications and the evolution of C_4 photosynthesis in Cleomaceae. *bioRxiv*: 2022.07.09.499295.

Huang, C.F., Liu, W.Y., Lu, M.Y.J., Chen, Y.H., Ku, M.S.B., and Li, W.H. (2021). Whole-Genome
Duplication Facilitated the Evolution of C_4 Photosynthesis in *Gynandropsis gynandra*. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **38**:
4715–4731.

Huang, C.F., Yu, C.P., Wu, Y.H., Lu, M.Y.J., Tu, S.L., Wu, S.H., Shiu, S.H., Ku, M.S.B., and Li, W.H.
(2017). Elevated auxin biosynthesis and transport underlie high vein density in C_4 leaves. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
Sci. U. S. A. **114**: E6884–E6891.

Huang, W. et al. (2022). A well-supported nuclear phylogeny of Poaceae and implications for the evolution of
C_4 photosynthesis. *Mol. Plant* **15**: 755–777.

Hüdig, M., Tronconi, M.A., Zubimendi, J.P., Sage, T.L., Poschmann, G., Bickel, D., Gohlke, H., and
Maurino, V.G. (2022). Respiratory and C_4-photosynthetic NAD-malic enzyme coexist in bundle sheath
cell mitochondria and evolved via association of differentially adapted subunits. *Plant Cell* **34**: 597–615.

Jaillon, O. et al. (2007). The grapevine genome sequence suggests ancestral hexaploidization in major
angiosperm phyla. *Nature* **449**: 463–467.

Jiao, Y. et al. (2011). Ancestral polyploidy in seed plants and angiosperms. *Nature* **473**: 97–100.

Jiao, Y. et al. (2017). Improved maize reference genome with single-molecule technologies. *Nature* **546**: 524–
527.

Kim, D., Paggi, J.M., Park, C., Bennett, C., and Salzberg, S.L. (2019). Graph-based genome alignment and
genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**: 907–915.

Koren, S., Walenz, B.P., Berlin, K., Miller, J.R., Bergman, N.H., and Phillippy, A.M. (2017). Canu:
Scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive k-mer weighting and repeat separation. *Genome*
Res. **27**: 722–736.

Korf, I. (2004). Gene finding in novel genomes. *BMC Bioinformatics* **5**: 59.

Koteyeva, N.K., Voznesenskaya, E. V., Roalson, E.H., and Edwards, G.E. (2011). Diversity in forms of C_4
in the genus *Cleome* (Cleomaceae). *Ann. Bot.* **107**: 269–283.

Külahoglu, C. et al. (2014). Comparative transcriptome atlases reveal altered gene expression modules between
two Cleomaceae C_3 and C_4 plant species. *Plant Cell* **26**: 3243–3260.

Kumar, S., Stecher, G., and Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version
7.0 for Bigger Datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **33**: 1870–1874.

Lagesen, K., Hallin, P., Rødland, E.A., Stærfeldt, H.H., Rognes, T., and Ussery, D.W. (2007). RNAmmer:
Consistent and rapid annotation of ribosomal RNA genes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **35**: 3100–3108.

Lee, T.H., Tang, H., Wang, X., and Paterson, A.H. (2013). PGDD: A database of gene and genome
duplication in plants. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41**: D1152–D1158.

Liu, W.-Y., Lin, H.-H., Yu, C.-P., Chang, C.-K., Chen, H.-J., Lin, J.-J., Lu, M.-Y.J., Tu, S.-L., Shiu, S.-H.,
Wu, S.-H., Ku, M.S.B., and Li, W.-H. (2020). Maize ANT1 modulates vascular development,
chloroplast development, photosynthesis, and plant growth. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **117**: 21747–21756.

Liu, W.-Y., Yu, C.-P., Chang, C.-K., Chen, H.-J., Li, M.-Y., Chen, Y.-H., Shiu, S.-H., Ku, M.S.B., Tu, S.-
L., Lu, M.-Y.J., and Li, W.-H. (2022). Regulators of early maize leaf development inferred from
transcriptomes of laser capture microdissection (LCM)-isolated embryonic leaf cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
Sci. U. S. A. **119**: e2208795119.

Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-
seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**: 550.

Lowe, T.M. and Chan, P.P. (2016). tRNAscan-SE On-line: integrating search and context for analysis of
transfer RNA genes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**: W54–W57.

Mallmann, J., Heckmann, D., Bräutigam, A., Lercher, M.J., Weber, A.P.M., Westhoff, P., and Gowik, U.
(2014). The role of photorespiration during the evolution of C_4 photosynthesis in the genus *Flaveria*. *Elife*
3: e02478.

Mamidi, S. et al. (2020). A genome resource for green millet *Setaria viridis* enables discovery of agronomically
valuable loci. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **38**: 1203–1210.

Marshall, D.M., Muhaidat, R., Brown, N.J., Liu, Z., Stanley, S., Griffiths, H., Sage, R.F., and Hibberd,
J.M. (2007). *Cleome*, a genus closely related to *Arabidopsis*, contains species spanning a developmental
progression from C_3 to C_4 photosynthesis. *Plant J.* **51**: 886–896.

Mercado, M.A. and Studer, A.J. (2022). Meeting in the Middle: Lessons and Opportunities from Studying C_3-
C_4 Intermediates. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **73**: 43–65.

Motsa, M.M., Slabbert, M.M., van Averbeke, W., and Morey, L. (2015). Effect of light and temperature on
seed germination of selected African leafy vegetables. *South African J. Bot.* **99**: 29–35.

Moyo, M. and Aremu, A.O. (2021). Nutritional, phytochemical and diverse health-promoting qualities of
Cleome gynandra. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **62**: 3535–3552.

Nawrocki, E.P. and Eddy, S.R. (2013). Infernal 1.1: 100-fold faster RNA homology searches. *Bioinformatics*
29: 2933–2935.

Newell, C.A., Brown, N.J., Liu, Z., Pflug, A., Gowik, U., Westhoff, P., and Hibberd, J.M. (2010).
Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation of *Cleome gynandra* L., a C_4 dicotyledon that is
closely related to *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J. Exp. Bot.* **61**: 1311–1319.

Ohashi-Ito, K. and Fukuda, H. (2010). Transcriptional regulation of vascular cell fates. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.*
13: 670–676.

Oshingi, S., Fekadu, F.D., Emmanuel, O.O., Traud, W., and Mary, O.A.-O. (2019). *Cleome gynandra* L.
origin, taxonomy and morphology: A review. *African J. Agric. Res.* **14**: 1568–1583.

Ou, S., Chen, J., and Jiang, N. (2018). Assessing genome assembly quality using the LTR Assembly Index
(LAI). *Nucleic Acids Res*. **46**: e126.

Ou, S. and Jiang, N. (2018). LTR_retriever: A highly accurate and sensitive program for identification of long
terminal repeat retrotransposons. *Plant Physiol*. **176**: 1410–1422.

Patchell, M.J., Roalson, E.H., and Hall, J.C. (2014). Resolved phylogeny of Cleomaceae based on all three
genomes. Taxon **63**: 315–328

Paterson, A.H. et al. (2009). The *Sorghum bicolor* genome and the diversification of grasses. Nature **457**: 551–
556.

Sage, R.F. (2004). The evolution of C_4 photosynthesis. *New Phytol*. **161**: 341–370.

Sage, R.F., Sage, T.L., and Kocacinar, F. (2012). Photorespiration and the evolution of C_4 photosynthesis.
Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. **63**: 19–47.

Sanderson, M.J. (2003). r8s: Inferring absolute rates of molecular evolution and divergence times in the
absence of a molecular clock. *Bioinformatics* **19**: 301–302.

Schlüter, U., Bräutigam, A., Gowik, U., Melzer, M., Christin, P.A., Kurz, S., Mettler-Altmann, T., and
Weber, A.P.M. (2017). Photosynthesis in C_3-C_4 intermediate *Moricandia* species. J. Exp. Bot. **68**: 191–
206.

Schlüter, U. and Weber, A.P.M. (2020). Regulation and evolution of C_4 photosynthesis. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol*.
71: 183–215.

Schulze, S., Mallmann, J., Burscheidt, J., Koczor, M., Streubel, M., Bauwe, H., Gowik, U., and Westhoff,
P. (2013). Evolution of C_4 photosynthesis in the genus *flaveria*: Establishment of a photorespiratory CO_2
pump. *Plant Cell* **25**: 2522–2535.

Schulze, S., Westhoff, P., and Gowik, U. (2016). Glycine decarboxylase in C_3, C_4 and C_3-C_4 intermediate
species. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol*. **31**: 29–35.

Sogbohossou, E.O.D., Achigan-Dako, E.G., Maundu, P., Solberg, S., Deguenon, E.M.S., Mumm, R.H.,
Hale, I., Van Deynze, A., and Schranz, M.E. (2018). A roadmap for breeding orphan leafy vegetable
species: A case study of *Gynandropsis gynandra* (Cleomaceae). Hortic. Res. **5**: 2.

Sogbohossou, E.O.D., Kortekaas, D., Achigan-Dako, E.G., Maundu, P., Stoilova, T., Van Deynze, A., de
Vos, R.C.H., and Schranz, M.E. (2019). Association between vitamin content, plant morphology and
geographical origin in a worldwide collection of the orphan crop *Gynandropsis gynandra* (Cleomaceae).
Planta **250**: 933–947.

Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large
phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 1312–1313.

Stanke, M., Steinkamp, R., Waack, S., and Morgenstern, B. (2004). AUGUSTUS: A web server for gene
finding in eukaryotes. *Nucleic Acids Res*. **32**: W309–W312.

Tu, X., Mejía-Guerra, M.K., Valdes Franco, J.A., Tzeng, D., Chu, P.Y., Shen, W., Wei, Y., Dai, X., Li, P.,
Buckler, E.S., and Zhong, S. (2020). Reconstructing the maize leaf regulatory network using ChIP-seq
data of 104 transcription factors. *Nat. Commun*. **11**: 5089.

Vaser, R., Sović, I., Nagarajan, N., and Šikić, M. (2017). Fast and accurate *de novo* genome assembly from
long uncorrected reads. *Genome Res*. **27**: 737–746.

Walker, B.J., Abeel, T., Shea, T., Priest, M., Abouelliel, A., Sakthikumar, S., Cuomo, C.A., Zeng, Q.,
Wortman, J., Young, S.K., and Earl, A.M. (2014). Pilon: An integrated tool for comprehensive
microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. *PLoS One* **9**: e112963.

Wang, D., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Z., Zhu, J., and Yu, J. (2010). KaKs_Calculator 2.0: A Toolkit Incorporating
Gamma-Series Methods and Sliding Window Strategies. *Genomics, Proteomics Bioinforma.* **8**: 77–80.

Wang, P., Vlad, D., and Langdale, J.A. (2016). Finding the genes to build C_4 rice. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **31**:
44–50.

Wang, X., Gowik, U., Tang, H., Bowers, J.E., Westhoff, P., and Paterson, A.H. (2009). Comparative
genomic analysis of C_4 photosynthetic pathway evolution in grasses. *Genome Biol.* **10**: R68.

Wang, Y., Chan, K.X., and Long, S.P. (2021). Towards a dynamic photosynthesis model to guide yield
improvement in C_4 crops. *Plant J.* **107**: 343–359.

Wang, Y., Tang, H., Debarry, J.D., Tan, X., Li, J., Wang, X., Lee, T.H., Jin, H., Marler, B., Guo, H.,
Kissinger, J.C., and Paterson, A.H. (2012). MCScanX: A toolkit for detection and evolutionary analysis
of gene synteny and collinearity. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **40**: e49.

Xu, Z. and Wang, H. (2007). LTR-FINDER: An efficient tool for the prediction of full-length LTR
retrotransposons. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **35**: W265–W268.

Yang, Z. et al. (2020). A mini foxtail millet with an *Arabidopsis*-like life cycle as a C_4 model system. *Nat.*
Plants **6**: 1167–1178.

Yang, Z. (2007). PAML 4: Phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **24**: 1586–1591.

Zhao, H., Wang, Y., Lyu, M.-J.A., and Zhu, X.-G. (2022). Two major metabolic factors for an efficient
NADP-malic enzyme type C_4 photosynthesis. *Plant Physiol.* **189**: 84–98.

Zheng, Y. et al. (2016). iTAK: A Program for Genome-wide Prediction and Classification of Plant
Transcription Factors, Transcriptional Regulators, and Protein Kinases. *Mol. Plant* **9**: 1667–1670.

1006

Table 1 Global statistics of *G. gynandra* genome assembly and annotation

Genomic feature	Value
Genome assembly	
Estimated genome size	997.61 Mb
Assembled genome size (≥1,000 bp)	984.21 Mb
Assembled genome percentage	98.66%
GC content	39.20%
Total number of scaffolds	109
Scaffold N50	51.02 Mb
Longest scaffold	72.99 Mb
Total number of contigs	687
Contig N50	11.43 Mb
Longest contig	55.87 Mb
Sequence assigned to pseudochromosomes	909.61 Mb
Number of pseudochromosomes	17
Number of anchored and oriented contigs	171
Genome annotation	
Repetitive sequences	707.76 Mb
Repetitive sequences percentage	71.91%
Number of genes	34,772
Size of total gene length	119.12 Mb
Number of transcripts	41,843
Average length of transcripts	1,824 bp
Noncoding RNAs	12,441

Figure 1 The high-quality assembly, genome features and evolutionary analysis of *G. gynandra*.
(A) An overview of the genomic characteristics of *G. gynandra*. The outermost annotations number successively the seventeen assembled chromosomes in descending order of size. Tracks from outer to inner circles depict length (Mb) of each chromosome (a), *Gypsy* retrotransposon distribution (b), *Copia* retrotransposon distribution (c), DNA transposon distribution (d), tandem repeats (e), gene density (f), GC content (g) and curve lines in the interior link the syntenic regions that have been retained presumably since the last whole-genome duplication event (h). Sliding window size is 200 kb.
(B) Genome-wide Hi-C heatmap of the *G. gynandra* genome. Heatmap shows the diagonal pattern for strong Hi-C interactions within intra-chromosome of *G. gynandra*. The X-axis and

Y-axis indicate the order positions of scaffolds on corresponding pseudo-chromosomes. The color bar denotes the interaction frequencies of the Hi-C links.

(C) Venn diagram illustrating the shared orthologous groups (orthogroups) among five species: *G. gynandra, T. hassleriana, B. rapa, B. oleracea* and *A. thaliana*. Each number represents the number of gene families shared among genomes. The number listed in parentheses is the total gene number among the orthogroups.

(D) Phylogenetic relationships of the two Cleomaceae and eight other species used in the study (*B. oleracea, B. rapa, A. thaliana, G. raimondii, M. sativa, S. lycopersicum, O. sativa* and *Z. mays*). The phylogenetic tree was constructed from single-copy orthologs of these species. Lineage divergence time is indicated at each branch point. The photosynthesis subtype of each species is marked by colored dots at each node. The genome size, gene family number, gene number and number of genes belonging to gene families for each species are listed in the heatmap at right.

Figure 2 Comparative analysis of TEs in *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana* genomes, and the role of LTR-RTs in C_4 photosynthesis evolution.

(A) Genomic makeup by category of *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*. The colored blocks indicate the sizes (Mb) of different components, including LTR-RTs (*Gypsy* and *Copia*), DNA transposons, unclassified LTR-RTs, other repeats and coding regions. The number in parentheses is the percentage each taking of the genome. Each number denotes the size (Mb) of each composition. *Gypsy*, *Copia* and DNA transposons were much more abundant in the *G. gynandra* genome than in *T. hassleriana*.

(B) Length distribution of intact LTR-RTs in *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*. Boxes within violin plots indicate the first quartile, the median and the third quartile with whiskers extending up to 1.5× inter quartile range (IQR). Outliers are shown as dots, defined as data

points outside 1.5× IQR. The *G. gynandra* genome contained much more intact LTR-RTs of over 10 kb in length than *T. hassleriana*.

(C) Estimated insertion times of LTR-RTs into *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana* genomes. The X and Y axes indicate the insertion times and the density of intact LTR-RTs at each time, respectively. *G. gynandra* underwent more extensive LTR-RT explosion than *T. hassleriana* during the last 2 MYA.

(D) Temporal patterns of *Gypsy* and *Copia* bursts in *G. gynandra* as compared to *T. hassleriana*. The X-axis and Y-axis indicate the density of *Gypsy*/*Copia* and the insertion times, respectively. Despite *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana* shared similar density distributions of *Copia*, the former experienced a surge of *Gypsy* insertion very recently.

(E) Percentage of LTR-RT exon-, intron- or promoter-inserted genes. The 2 kb region upstream of the transcription start site is defined as the promoter region of gene. Genes with LTR-RT insertion in promoter regions are much more abundant than in exons or introns, especially in *G. gynandra*.

(F) MapMan-Bin enrichment of the LTR-RT promoter-inserted genes in *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*. Annotations of protein sequences with MapMan terms were performed with the online Mercator (https://www.plabipd.de/portal/mercator4). The MapMan4 program was used to conduct the analysis, and the results were visualized with R software. The MapMan terms with clear differences between the two species are highlighted in bold.

(G) Histograms showing the proportion of selected LTR-RT promoter-inserted genes with up- or down-regulation in *G. gynandra* relative to *T. hassleriana*. The 6,283 genes, which have more LTR-RT insertions in their promoter regions in *G. gynandra* than in *T. hassleriana*, were used for expression analysis. This was performed with the previously deposited RNA-seq data of leaves at six developmental stages (from young to mature, S0 to S5) for these two *Cleome* species (Külahoglu et al., 2014). The gene expression levels were normalized with the upper quartile normalization procedure using the youngest S0 leaf stage of *G. gynandra* as the reference. No difference is defined if the levels of gene expression show lower than a 1.5 fold change between *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*. The X-axis and Y-axis indicate leaf developmental stages and the proportion of genes in each regulation category, respectively. The proportions of up-regulated genes are overall higher than those down-regulated at all the analyzed leaf stages.

(H) Expression patterns of C_4 photosynthesis-related pathway genes that have more LTR-RT insertions in their promoter regions in *G. gynandra* than in *T. hassleriana*. Pathways and member genes are indicated at left. The two columns of numbers show how many LTR-RTs were found in the promoter region of each gene in *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*, respectively. The right panel shows the ID of each gene copy in *G. gynandra*. The heatmap illustrates differential expression ratios of the genes between the two species at various leaf developmental stages (S0 to S5). Color reflects fold differences ($\log_2$ ratios) in gene expression. LTR no., number of LTR-RTs insertion.

G

Duplicated gene 1[a]	Duplicated gene 2[b]	K_s	Gene name	Functional annotation
GG14G005010	GG14G004940	0.0067	PEPC2	phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase 2
GG02G075940	GG02G075810	0.0595	mMDH1	Lactate/malate dehydrogenase family protein
GG03G000480	GG03G000470	0.0794	GAPC1	glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase C subunit 1
GG07G010450	GG07G010300	0.0905	PDE345	Aldolase superfamily protein
GG07G010460	GG07G010640	0.0004	PDE345	Aldolase superfamily protein

[a] the enriched tandem duplicated genes in the pathway of carbon fixation in photosynthetic organisms
[b] paralogous gene of the duplicated gene 1

Figure 3 Analysis of WGD and tandem duplications in the *G. gynandra* genome.

(A) Dotplot figure showing syntenic duplicates within *G. gynandra* genome. The red squares linked by dash lines denote the extensive collinear relationships between chromosomes of *G. gynandra* due to the WGD event. The blue circle represents an intrachromosomal segment inversion. The big and small yellow boxes on Chr1 depict synteny with the chromosomes of Chr8 and Chr17, respectively, suggesting occurrence of potential chromosome breakage and fusion events following WGD.

(B) Micro-collinearity of *G. gynandra* genome with those of *A. thaliana* and *Vitis vinifera.* The parallel horizontal lines represent the chromosomes of *A. thaliana*, *G. gynandra* and *V. vinifera* genomes, with the connected grey ribbons indicating syntenic blocks. The 4-to-1 collinear relationship between *G. gynandra* and *V. vinifera* is highlighted by one syntenic set in blue, with one segment in *V. vinifera* traced to four regions in *G. gynandra*. The 2-to-2 collinear relationship between *G. gynandra* and *A. thaliana* is marked by two syntenic sets in green.

(C) K_s distribution of syntenic orthologs from *G. gynandra*, *T. hassleriana*, *A. thaliana* and *B. rapa.* The X and Y axis denotes $\log_2(K_s)$ and the percentage of gene pairs in the syntenic

blocks, respectively. The values of K_s peaks for each species are showed on the top right corner.

(D) The evolutionary relationship and paleo-polyploidization events in the five sequenced species of Brassicaceae and Cleomaceae. The paleo-polyploidization and time estimation are indicated on branches of the phylogenetic tree. The yellow and pink background colors represents the Brassicaceae and Cleomaceae families, respectively. The cyan circle and star highlight WGD (Gg-α) and WGT (Th-α) events in *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*, respectively. The divergence time is listed in the brackets. The time scale (MYA) is shown at bottom.

(E) Gene duplication patterns in the genomes of *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*. The graph indicates the proportion of genes classified by duplication mode relative to total genes of each species. The gene duplication modes were determined by MCScanX, including singletons, dispersed, proximal, tandem and WGD/WGT. WGD and WGT are the major modes of gene duplication for *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*, respectively.

(F) KEGG enrichments of the tandem duplicated genes in *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*. The size of the circle indicates enriched gene numbers in each pathway, with the color of the circle indicating enrichment *p*-value. Pathways with *p*-value < 0.05 are shown. The "carbon fixation in photosynthetic organisms" pathway is specifically enriched in *G. gynandra* (marked in bold).

(G) Tandem duplicated genes of the carbon fixation pathway enriched in *G. gynandra*. Duplicated gene paralogs are listed in columns 1–2. K_s values were calculated using the KaKs_Calculator for duplicated gene pairs (Wang et al., 2010). The K_s values of these genes were much lower than the K_s peak of Gg-α (0.475).

Figure 4 Analysis of gene family expansion and contraction in representative C₃ and C₄ plants.

(A) The number of gene families that expanded (red) or contracted (blue) during evolution mapped to the species phylogenetic tree. The black number at each node denotes the divergence time between the two branches. The time scale (MYA) is shown at bottom.

(B) Numbers of expanded and contracted orthogroups shared among the C₃ and C₄ lineages. The middle panels show phylogenetic tree and comparison groups of the four species, *G. gynandra* (C₄), *T. hassleriana* (C₃), *O. sativa* (C₃) and *Z. mays* (C₄). The upper and lower panels indicate the numbers of shared expanded and contracted orthogroups, respectively, with numbers noted for each bar. The number of expanded orthogroups shared between C₄ plants *G. gynandra* and *Z. mays* is marked in orange (221).

(C) Functional annotation of the expanded gene families common to *G. gynandra* and *Z. mays*. Arrows indicate biological processes potentially associated with the fitness advantages of C₄ plants, including hormone response/signal transduction, gene transcription/protein homeostasis, cell development, leaf development, photosynthetic performance and stress resilience. The genes within each biological process are divided into different categories based on their functional annotations from MapMan.

Figure 5 Higher leaf vein density and heat stress resistance in *G. gynandra* compared to *T. hassleriana* associated with gene expression modifications.

(A) The growth phenotype of *G. gynandra* (lower panel) and *T. hassleriana* (upper panel) under normal conditions. The photographs are taken from 5-week old plants. The left and right panels are side and top views, respectively. Scale bar = 5 cm.

(B) Overview of leaf vein patterns in *G. gynandra* (bottom) and *T. hassleriana* (top). Representative images from left to right illustrate vein density of the central region at leaf developmental stages from young to mature as numbered (S1, S3, S5). Scale bar = 200 μm.

(C) Analysis of the leaf vein density between *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana.* Vein density (vein length/area) for each leaf was assessed for six positions at a central region bounded by the midvein over three developmental stages. Student's *t* test (means ± SD; *n* = 3); ***P < 0.001.

(D) Networks of gene regulatory relationships between Dof transcription factors and their candidate target genes in *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*. The gene regulatory networks (GRN) were constructed using GENIE3 and visualized with Gephi. The size of the labeled

hub gene names is based on the degree of connecting nodes. The edges of *G. gynandra* (left) and *T. hassleriana* (right) GRNs are indicated in green and tangerine colors, respectively. The *Vdof1* gene in the two GRNs is highlighted in red.

(E) KEGG pathway enrichment of the candidate target genes of the Vdof1 transcription factor in *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*. The size of the circle indicates enriched gene numbers in each pathway, with the color of the circle indicating enrichment *p*-value. Pathways with *p*-value < 0.05 are shown. The photosynthesis-related pathways are marked in bold.

(F) *G. gynandra* displays exceptional tolerance to continuous high temperature stress compared with *T. hassleriana*. 5-week-old plants were subjected to heat treatments in a controlled growth chamber under conditions of 45/35 °C (16/8h) and 60% relative humidity for 15 days. Scale bar = 5 cm.

(G) The proportions of up- and down-regulated genes for *Hsf/Hsp* families after heat treatments in *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*. The X-axis and Y-axis indicate *Hsf/Hsp* family names and different leaf developmental stages (from young to mature, S1 to S5), respectively. The size of the circle denotes the ratio of differentially expressed gene count relative to the total gene number of each *Hsf/Hsp* family. The lower (red) panel depicts the proportions of up-regulated genes, and the upper (green) panel shows the proportions of down-regulated genes.

Figure 6 Evolution of C₄ photosynthesis in *G. gynandra*.
(A) Diagrammatic representation of main proteins and metabolic fluxes for the NAD-ME C₄ photosynthetic subtype in *G. gynandra*. Aspartate (Asp) converted from oxaloacetate (OAA) by AspAT in the mitochondria of mesophyll (M) cells is the main metabolite transported from M cells to BS cells. Asp is converted to OAA and then to malate (Mal), which is decarboxylated by NAD dependent malate dehydrogenase (NAD-MDH); Mal is further decarboxylated by NAD-ME, releasing CO₂ to the chloroplast of BS cells. The green arrows show the carbon dioxide (CO₂) accumulation pathway of NAD-ME subtype. Red arrows mark the photorespiratory pathway. The three key enzymes, RUBISCO, NAD-ME and GDC,

are in bold. Full names of metabolites and enzyme abbreviations are listed in Supplemental Data Set S21. PCR cycle, photosynthetic carbon reduction cycle; CBC, Calvin-Benson cycle.

(B) Heatmap showing the expression pattern of key genes involved in C_4 photosynthesis in photosynthetic and non-photosynthetic tissues of *G. gynandra*. The color denotes the expression level from low (blue) to high (red) expressed as $\log_{10}$FPKM. The ID of each gene copy in *G. gynandra* was indicated at right. The candidate C_4 genes for *G. gynandra* are highlighted in red.

(C) Phylogenetic tree and motifs in the promoter regions of *GDCP* genes in representative C_3 and C_4 plants. The left panel shows the phylogenetic tree of GDCPs, with light blue and dark blue backgrounds marking dicot and monocot species, respectively. The middle panel shows the type, location and *p*-values of conserved motifs in the upstream 1.5 kb regions from the transcription start site of each *GDCP* gene. The right panel shows the motif sequence and *cis*-acting regulatory elements highlighted by dashed boxes. The scale length (bp) and motif color key are shown at the bottom.

(D) The expression patterns of the three *GDCP* genes in different tissues of *G. gynandra*. Unique among the paralogs, *GG08G031990* gene showed very high level of expression in the leaf or stem.

(E) Analysis of expression levels of the three *GDCP* genes during *G. gynandra* leaf development under normal or heat stress conditions. The X-axis and Y-axis indicate gene expression level (FPKM) and leaf developmental stages (from young to mature, S1 to S5), respectively. Consistent with the results from Figure 6D, only *GG08G031990* was dominantly expressed in each stage analyzed, and its expression was even inducible by heat.

(F) A conceptual model reconstructing hypothesized key steps in the evolution and maintenance of C_4 photosynthesis in the spider plant. First, a recent whole-genome duplication (WGD, Gg-α) is the origin of C_4 pathway-related genes in *G. gynandra*, including genes involved in the hormone response, vein venation, C_4 metabolism, Calvin cycle, N and S assimilations and photorespiratory CO_2 pump. Second, expansions of defined gene families enable the establishment of C_4 features including signal transduction, vascular development, chloroplast biogenesis and stress response. Finally, more recent LTR-RT explosion and species-specific tandem duplications fine-tune the expression of C_4 photosynthesis-associated genes, including those involved in the light reaction, C_4 cycle, redox regulation and carbon fixation. While these steps can overlap and may not have taken place independently. The much higher leaf vein density and heat stress tolerance in *G. gynandra* compared to *T. hassleriana* are linked with shifted patterns of gene expression. Despite *T. hassleriana* underwent a whole-genome triplication (WGT, Th-α) event later than Gg-α, subsequent massive gene loss likely occurred, including missing the duplicated copies of certain C_4 metabolism genes. Besides, contrary to the photorespiration pathway, the overall expression levels of the C_4 pathway-related genes in *T. hassleriana* are markedly lower than in *G. gynandra*, which might have also constrained its evolution of C_4 photosynthesis.

Supplemental Figure S1. Statistics for the frequencies and depths of 15 *k*-mers in the *G. gynandra* genome. The frequency indicates a bimodal curve caused by heterozygosity. X and Y axes show *k*-mer depth and frequency, respectively. Based on the formula Genome size = *k*-mer number/*k*-mer depth, the genome size of *G. gynandra* was measured to be 997.61 Mb.

Supplemental Figure S2. Overview of the processing pipeline for assembling the *G. gynandra* genome. Three different datasets, Oxford Nanopore Technology (ONT) reads, Illumina short-reads and Hi-C mapping, were utilized for hybrid assembly strategy. ONT reads were used to performed contigs assembly. Subsequently, the ONT reads and Illumina short reads were used to correct these scaffolds, and Hi-C mapping was used to anchor and orient the scaffolds into pseudomolecule chromosomes.

Supplemental Figure S3. Length distribution of ONT sequencing reads. The X axis and Y axis show length and frequency, respectively.

Supplemental Figure S4. KEGG functional enrichment analysis of gene families specific to *G. gynandra*. The size of the circle indicates enriched gene number of pathway. The color of the circle indicates the enrichment *p*-value of pathway. The significant cutoff for the enrichments was set as *p*-value < 0.05.

Chr1 Chr2 Chr3 Chr4 Chr5 Chr6 Chr7 Chr8 Chr9 Chr10 Chr11 Chr12 Chr13 Chr14 Chr15 Chr16 Chr17

Supplemental Figure S5. The density distribution of intact LTRs, *Gypsy* and *Copia* in each chromosome of *G. gynandra*. The window size was 100 kb for *Gypsy* and *Copia*, and 1 Mb for intact LTRs. The color from blue to red on the bar density scale indicates a density of LTR-RTs from low to high. These data were visualized using the R package RIdeogram.

Supplemental Figure S6. Genomic distribution of LTR-RTs and their impacts on expression patterns of the C$_4$ pathway-associated genes in *G. gynandra* compared to *T. hassleriana*. (A) Histograms showing effects of absence or presence of LTR-RT insertions on the gene expression patterns in *G. gynandra* compared to *T. hassleriana*. The 20,094 homologous gene pairs identified between *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana* were used for expression analysis. The genes were classed into three groups including genes with no LTR-RT in promoters of both *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana* (dark grey), genes with LTR-RT only in promoters of *G. gynandra* (pink), and genes with LTR-RT only in promoters of *T. hassleriana* (blue). The analysis was performed with RNA-seq data of leaves at six developmental stages (from young to mature, S0 to S5) for these two *Cleome* species (Figure 2G). The gene expression levels were normalized with the upper quartile normalization procedure using the youngest S0 leaf stage of *G. gynandra* as the reference. No difference (light grey) is defined if the levels of gene expression show lower than a 1.5 fold change between *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*. The X-axis and Y-axis indicate leaf developmental stages and the proportion of genes in each regulation category, respectively. **(B)** Numbers of LTR-RTs inserted in exon, intron and promoter regions of the *G. gynandra* or *T. hassleriana* genome. The 2 kb region upstream of the transcription start site (TSS) was designated as the promoter region of gene. Much more of LTR-RTs insertion in promoter regions than exons or introns seen both in *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*. **(C)** Expression patterns of the C$_4$ pathway-related genes with altered number of LTR-RTs in *G. gynandra* relative to *T. hassleriana*. The gene names and gene IDs are showed at left and right, respectively. The two columns of numbers show how many LTR-RTs were found in the promoter region of each gene in *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*, respectively. The right panel shows the ID of each gene copy in *G. gynandra*. The heatmap depicts differential expression ratios of the genes between the two species at various leaf developmental stages (S0 to S5). Color reflects fold differences (log$_2$ ratios) in gene expression. The pathways of C$_4$ circle (orange) and photorespiration (magenta) are indicated beside the heatmap. LTR no., number of LTR-RTs insertion.

Supplemental Figure S7. Comparative genomic analysis of the WGD event in *G. gynandra*. (A) Syntenic dot plot in *G. gynandra* versus *A. thaliana* genome comparison. The red squares linked by dash lines indicate the synteny blocks between the two genomes. The collinear relationship of 2-to-2 is showed between *G. gynandra* and *A. thaliana*. **(B)** Dotplot figure of the collinear blocks between *V. vinifera* and *G. gynandra* genomes. The red squares linked by dash lines indicate the synteny blocks between the two genomes. The collinear relationship of 4-to-1 is showed between *G. gynandra* and *V. vinifera*. **(C)** Dotplot figure showing the collinear blocks between *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana* genomes. Listed are the 45 largest scaffolds of the genome assembly against the 17 *G. gynandra* chromosomes. The red squares linked by dash lines indicate the synteny blocks between the two genomes. The collinear relationship of 3-to-2 is showed between *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*. **(D)** Distribution of 4DTv distance between syntenic orthologous genes within *A. thaliana*, *G. gynandra*, *T. hassleriana* and *B. rapa*. The X axis and Y axis show 4DTv values and the percentage of gene pairs in the syntenic blocks, respectively.

Supplemental Figure S8. Functional enrichment of WGD-derived genes and expression analysis of TD-derived genes in *G. gynandra*. **(A)** MapMan-Bin enrichment analysis of WGD-derived genes in *G. gynandra* and WGT-derived genes in *T. hassleriana*. The annotation of *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana* protein sequences with MapMan terms was performed with the online Mercator (https://www.plabipd.de/portal/mercator4). MapMan4 program was used to conduct MapMan-Bin enrichment analysis for the WGD/WGT-derived genes of the two Cleome species. The size of the circle represents $-\log_{10}(q\text{-value})$ of pathway enrichment. The distinctive MapMan terms in *G. gynandra* as compared to *T. hassleriana* were marked in red bold font. **(B)** Altered gene expression patterns of the carbon fixation pathway in photosynthetic organisms along leaf development gradients for *G. gynandra*. Histograms showing expression levels of the tandem duplicated genes (red) and their paralogues (black). Except for the *PDE345* gene, all the other tandem duplicated genes and their paralogues were differentially expressed in leaves of different developmental stages (from young to mature, S0 to S5). The gene expression analysis was performed as described in Figure 2G. **(C)** Histograms showing expression levels of all the duplicated copies of *PDE345* gene. Note that only one copy of the *PDE345* (*GG03G000090*) was expressed and the tandem duplicated ones (*GG07G010450* and *GG07G010460*) were also not.

Supplemental Figure S9. MapMan-Bin enrichment analysis of 221 expanded gene families common to *G. gynandra* and *Z. mays*. The color and size of the circle indicate *p*-value and enriched gene number of pathway, respectively.

Supplemental Figure S10. Representative anatomy of the leaf cross-section of *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*. The red dotted boxes indicate the cross-sectional area of bundle sheath (BS) cells.

Supplemental Figure S11. The morphology of leaves at different developmental stages for *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*. Images of leaves from left to right represent stages of 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 from young to mature for the 5-week-old plants. Bars = 3 cm.

Supplemental Figure S12. The expression patterns of leaf and vasculature-related regulatory genes along leaf development gradients for *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*. The red box represented the up-regulated genes, while the blue box represented the down-regulated genes in *G. gynandra* as compared to *T. hassleriana* (from young to mature, S1 to S5).

G. gynandra

T. hassleriana

Supplemental Figure S13. The gene regulatory networks (GRNs) between transcription factors and their candidate target genes in *G. gynandra* or *T. hassleriana*. GRNs were constructed using GENIE3 based on the expression levels of genes during the development of leaves. The networks were visualized with Gephi. The size of the labeled hub genes was proportional to the degree of interconnected nodes. The green and red dots represented the TF families of *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*, respectively. The *Dof* families were highlighted by blue and pink circles in the GRNs of *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*, respectively.

Supplemental Figure S14. Enrichment of *Vdof1* gene in leaf BS cells. *G. gynandra* has two homologous genes for *Vdof1* (*Vdof1a* and *Vdof1b*; highlighted in red). BSC, bundle sheath (BS) cells; MC, mesophyll (M) cells.

Supplemental Figure S15. Overexpression of *Vdof1* gene led to enhanced photosynthetic capacity, leaf vein density and tolerance to heat stress. (A) Analysis of rates of leaf photosynthetic carbon assimilation (Pn) in *Arabidopsis* wild type (WT), *Vdof1* (*AT4G24060*) overexpression lines (OE) and *vdof1* mutants (*vdof1-1*, SALK152104; *vdof1-2*, SAIL554A02). **(B)** Analysis of leaf vein density in *Arabidopsis* WT, *Vdof1* overexpression lines and *vdof1* mutants. Student's *t* test (means ± SD; *n* = 3); *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$; ***$P < 0.001$. **(C)** Phenotypes of *Arabidopsis* WT, *Vdof1* overexpression lines and *vdof1* mutants subjected to heat stress. 5-week-old plants were treated with high temperature of 45 °C for two days and then shifted to normal conditions allowing recovery for additional two days.

Supplemental Figure S16. MapMan-Bin enrichment analysis of DEGs at different stages of leaves from *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana* subjected to heat stress. The X axis and Y axis indicate leaf developmental stages (from young to mature, S1 to S5) and pathways, respectively. The sizes of the circle indicate -$\log_{10}$(q-value) of pathway enrichment. The red and green represent *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*, respectively. The left and right panels show the enrichments of up-regulated and down-regulated genes, respectively. The significantly up-regulated pathways in *G. gynandra* relative to *T. hassleriana* were highlighted by red bold font.

Supplemental Figure S17. Heatmap showing expression patterns of C₄ photosynthesis-related pathway genes under heat stress in *G. gynandra* and *T. hassleriana*. Pathways and member genes are indicated at left. The gene IDs are marked at right. The heatmaps show differential expression ratios of the genes after heat treatments at various leaf developmental stages (from young to mature, S1 to S5) within the two species. The color denotes fold differences (log₂ ratios) in gene expression. FPKM: fragments per kb per million mapped reads.

**Supplemental Figure S18. Copy number ratio of genes for C₄ photosynthesis-related pathways in
G. gynandra as compared to *T. hassleriana*.** The red dash line indicates the expected theoretical 2-to-3 relationship for *G. gynandra* (WGD event) versus *T. hassleriana* (WGT event).

Supplemental Figure S19. Phylogenetic trees of C₄ photosynthesis-related genes. NAD-ME **(A)**, NAD-MDH **(B)**, NADP-MDH **(C)**, NADP-ME **(D)**, PEP-CK **(E)**, PEPC **(F)**, CA **(G)**, PPA **(H)**, AlaAT **(I)**, AspAT **(J)**, PEPC-K **(K)**, Dit **(L)**, PPDK **(M)**, PPDK-RP **(N)**, NHD **(O)**, BASS **(P)** and DIC1 **(Q)**. Maximum-likelihood gene trees were reconstructed from multiple protein sequence alignment using IQ-TREE. Numbers at each branch point indicate the percentage of supports from 1000 bootstraps. The best-fit evolutionary model of each tree is showed in the parentheses beside each gene name. Candidate C₄ genes from *G. gynandra* are in red fonts. Genes from different plant species are distinguished by the leading letters of the accession. Os - rice, PM - *Panicum miliaceum*, GRMZM - maize, GG - *G. gynandra*, LOC - *T. hassleriana*, AT - *A. thaliana*.

Supplemental Figure S20. The expression levels of C$_4$ pathway-related genes with lower copy numbers in *T. hassleriana* than in *G. gynandra* along leaf development gradients. S1 to S5 represented the different developmental stages of leaf from young to mature. The color from blue to red on the color bar scale indicates a normalized gene expression level from low to high.