1

Lifespan Extension in Female Mice By Early, Transient Exposure to Adult Female Olfactory Cues

Michael Garratt[1], Ilkim Erturk[2], Roxann Alonzo[2], Frank Zufall[3], Trese Leinders-Zufall[3],

Scott Pletcher[4], Richard A. Miller[2]

[1]Department of Anatomy, School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

[2]Department of Pathology and Geriatrics Center, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

[3]Center for Integrative Physiology and Molecular Medicine, Saarland University, 66421 Homburg,

Germany

[4]Department of Molecular and Integrative Physiology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI USA

Abstract

Several previous lines of research have suggested, indirectly, that mouse lifespan is particularly

susceptible to endocrine or nutritional signals in the first few weeks of life, as tested by manipulations of

litter size, growth hormone levels, or mutations with effects specifically on early-life growth rate. The

pace of early development in mice can also be influenced by exposure of nursing and weanling mice to

olfactory cues. In particular, odors of same-sex adult mice can in some circumstances delay maturation.

We hypothesized that olfactory information might also have a sex-specific effect on lifespan, and we

show here that lifespan of female mice can be increased significantly by odors from adult females

administered transiently, i.e. from 3 days until 60 days of age. Female lifespan was not modified by male

odors, nor was male lifespan susceptible to odors from adults of either sex. Conditional deletion of the G

protein Gαo in the olfactory system, which leads to impaired accessory olfactory system function and

blunted reproductive priming responses to male odors in females, did not modify the effect of female

odors on female lifespan. Our data provide support for the idea that very young mice are susceptible to

influences that can have long-lasting effects on disease resistance, and provide the first example of

lifespan extension by olfactory cues in mice.

Introduction

Interventions in the first few weeks of postnatal life seem to have particular power to produce life-long changes in mouse physiology, with effects on late-life illnesses and on survival. Bartke's laboratory, for example, has shown that the exceptionally long lifespan of the Ames dwarf mice, in which longevity appears to reflect lower levels of growth hormone (GH) produced by the anterior pituitary, can be reduced back to that of non-mutant controls by transient exposure to daily GH injections started at 2 weeks of age and discontinued 6 weeks later [1]. Furthermore, these early-life GH injections block the development of multi-modal stress resistance shown by skin-derived fibroblasts of Ames dwarf mice [1], and also prevent the lower levels of hypothalamic inflammatory characteristic of unmanipulated Ames mice [2]. Many other characteristics of Ames dwarf mice, plausibly connected to their longevity, insulin sensitivity [3], and cognitive health [4, 5], are also blocked by early-life GH treatments when tested at 18 months of age, including changes in fat, muscle, plasma irisin and GPLD1, liver, and brain [6]. Conversely, lifespan can be increased in genetically normal mice by pre-weaning manipulation of litter size – mice weaned from litters in which litter size has been increased to 12 pups/nursing mother live longer than those in control litters of size 8 [7]. Genetic selection experiments have also provided relevant data, by showing extended lifespan in non-inbred stocks of mice that were selected, for 17 or more generations, for exceptionally slow growth in the first 10 days of life, or between 26 – 56 days of age [8].

Age at sexual maturity is another early-life developmental factor that has been associated with lifespan. Across strains of mice, age at sexual maturity correlates positively with median lifespan [9], and genetic manipulations that delay sexual maturity have been associated with longer lifespan in females [10]. Age at sexual maturity in rodents is also influenced by environmental cues, in particular olfactory cues from con-specifics. Female mice that are exposed to male odors (typically soiled bedding or male urine)

during development show hastened sexual maturity [11, 12]. In contrast, exposing females to the odors

of group-housed adult virgin females can delay sexual maturity [12, 13]. In males, exposure to females

or their odors has been shown to be associated with increased masses of reproductive organs (testes,

seminal vesicles) early in life, also indicating earlier sexual maturity in males [14]. These odor priming

effects are assumed to be adaptive, allowing animals to modulate their timing of early life reproduction

to suit predicted future environments. In one previous study, hastened female sexual maturity was

associated with an increase in mortality over the first 180 days of life, suggesting a cost to this

developmental change [15]. However, in this prior study females were exposed directly to males on the

day of their first estrous, which was earlier in females exposed to male odors producing a confounding

effect that could contribute to mortality differences.

The effects of odors on sexual maturity are initiated, at least partly, by detection of odorants through

the vomeronasal organ, one of the two olfactory sub-systems in mice. Female mice that have had their

vomeronasal organ surgically removed do not show hastened sexual maturity in response to male odors

[16]. Vomeronasal sensory neurons (VSNs) express hundreds of different sensory receptors, but these

receptors largely use one of two different types of G-protein for signal transduction [17]. The G protein

Gαo is used for signal transduction in VSNs of the basal layer of the vomeronasal organ. Cre-mediated

deletion of Gαo in the olfactory system has been shown to inhibit females from showing hastened

sexual maturity in response to male odors [18], indicating that this subset of VSNs is important in driving

changes in sexual maturity, at least in response to opposite sex cues in females.

With these precedents in mind, we tested the idea that olfactory signals, presented to mice from 3 days

of age but discontinued at 60 days, would have long-lasting effects that influence age at death. We

initiated odor exposure early in life, prior to weaning, because a previous study had shown that very

early exposure influences female body weight during development [19]. In particular, we speculated

that odor from adult female mice would lead to lifespan extension in female (but not in male) mice, and

vice versa. We also hypothesized that exposure to opposite-sex odors would reduce survival given the

hastening of sexual maturity. Here we show that transient exposure to odor from adult females starting

at 2 days of age can extend lifespan of female mice.

Results

Survival:

Our principal hypothesis was that early-life exposure to sex-specific olfactory cues indicative of social

environment would influence lifespan of mice. A secondary hypothesis was that the response to those

cues would depend on function of Gao-expressing neurons in the vomeronasal organ, since these have

been shown to mediate early life priming responses to opposite-sex urine, at least in female mice [18].

Mice were exposed either to same-sex or to opposite-sex odors starting from three days of age, with

daily exposure through day 60 of life. They were housed in same-sex cages from the age of weaning, i.e.

from 19 or 20 days. **Figure 1** shows survival curves for female and for male mice subjected either to

odors from adult males ("MU") or from adult females ("FU"), or exposed to water as a control (ZU, for

zero urine). Data from male and female mice were evaluated separately. **Table 1** shows median age, and

age at 90th percentile, for each combination of Sex and Treatment, pooling across genotypes. In female

mice, FU led to an 8% increase in median lifespan, compared to the ZU control group, and a 9% increase

in the age at 90th percentile. The log-rank test, comparing all three treatment groups, showed that

treatment led to significant differences among the groups (p = 0.04), and a follow-up test showed that

for females the FU mice were longer-lived than the ZU controls (p = 0.01 by log-rank test). Male odors

did not produce a lifespan change in female mice (p = 0.6). Treatment did not modify lifespan in the

male mice; log-rank p = 0.8 for all treatment groups taken together).

123
124

Figure 1: survival curves for female (A) and male (B) mice exposed to male, female, or no odors daily from 2 days to 60 days of age.

Table 1: Median and 90th Percentile Survival Statistics for Mice Treated With Same-Sex or Opposite-Sex Odors from 2 to 60 Days of Age					
Female mice, pooled across genotype					
Rx	Count	Median	% Change	p(90)	% Change
Female Urine (FU)	59	621	8	823	9
Male Urine (MU)	58	585	2	792	5
No Urine (ZU)	57	576	(NA)	754	(NA)
Male mice, pooled across genotype					
Rx	Count	Median	% Change	p(90)	% Change
Female Urine (FU)	60	737	-1	932	-1
Male Urine (MU)	59	772	4	879	-7
No Urine (ZU)	59	741	(NA)	944	(NA)

To see if the effect of odor was dependent on Gao expression in the vomeronasal organ, survival data

were analyzed by Cox regression, with two factors: Treatment (MU, FU or ZU), and Genotype (WT for

wild-type (Gnao1$^{fx/fx}$, OMP-cre -/-), or OMP-Mutant (Gnao1$^{fx/fx}$, OMP-cre +/-)), with a [Treatment x

Genotype] interaction term. Table 2 shows the significance levels for each of the three terms, calculated

separately for female and for male mice. The interaction term did not achieve significance in either sex,

implying that the odor effect did not depend on genotype. In addition, there was no independent effect

of genotype on survival. Only the odor treatment had a significant effect on survival, and only in female

mice ($p = 0.04$), consistent with the results of the log-rank tests. The Cox regression calculation also

confirmed the inference that FU females lived longer than ZU females ($p = 0.015$ without adjustment for

multiple comparisons, and $p = 0.045$ with Sidak adjustment), and that no other pairwise comparison

between treatment groups had a significant effect in either sex.

152
153

Table 2: Significance Tests for Predictors in Cox Regression, Calculated Separately for Each Sex			
Sex of Mouse	Treatment	Genotype	Interaction
Female	p = 0.039	p = 0.5	p = 0.9
Male	p = 0.8	p = 0.6	p = 0.5

154
155

To evaluate the effects of early-life odor exposure on survival to unusually high ages, we used the

method of Wang and Allison [20], which calculates a Fisher Exact test statistic on the proportion of mice

in each treatment that remain alive at the 90th percentile of their joint survival distribution, the method

also employed by the mouse Intervention Testing Program consortium [21]. In females, the comparison

of FU to control ZU mice produced $p = 0.004$, showing that FU-treated females were more likely to reach

old age than ZU-treated females. None of the other paired comparisons reached significance in female

mice, and none did so in male mice.

<u>Functional testing:</u>

Each mouse was evaluated at 22 months of age using a series of tests for age-sensitive physiological

function. The data were analyzed separately for each sex, using a two-factor ANOVA (Treatment,

Genotype, Interaction). There were no treatment effects, or significant interaction terms, for grip

strength (front paws or four paws, mean or maximum of three trials) or for rotarod performance (mean

or maximum of three trials) in either sex. There was, however, an effect of early-life odor exposure on

core body temperature, seen in females only (p = 0.01), and a Sidak post-hoc test showed that body

temperature was higher in FU females than in ZU controls (p = 0.007). **Figure 2a** shows the dotplots for

female mice in the three treatment groups. Since core body temperature declines in age in mice, this

result is consistent with the lifespan benefit noted in the FU vs ZU comparison. Male mice did not show

any effect on body temperature (not shown). Weight was measured at 1, 2, 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months

of age, and there were no differences among treatment groups, except at 6 months of age (**Figure 2b**),

at which ZU females were marginally higher in weight than mice in the MU or FU groups (p = 0.03; if the

data are tested without the MU outlier weighing 16 grams, p = 0.04). Plasma glucose was measured in

non-fasting animals at 12 months of age. There were no effects of treatment in female mice, but glucose

levels were significantly lower in male ZU mice than in the FU or MU mice (**Figure 2c**; p = 0.004 for

ANOVA, and p = 0.01 for each pairwise comparison to ZU male mice.)

Figure 2: (a) Core body temperature in female mice at age 22 months of age in each odor-treatment group. (b) Weight at 6 months of age in female mice. (c) Plasma glucose in male mice at 12 months. Each symbol is an individual mouse.

Discussion

Our results add to the growing evidence that late life health, including risks of lethal diseases, can be influenced by non-genetic factors, such as litter size [7, 22] and GH levels [1, 2], to which a newborn or pre-weanling mouse is exposed transiently. The "window of opportunity," or age at which a mouse is susceptible to such influences, is not known, and may differ depending on the specific form of environmental variation, but it is noteworthy that GH treatments can prevent lifespan extension of Ames dwarf mice when these are initiated at two weeks of age, but fail in Snell dwarf [23] and Ames dwarf animals (Bartke, unpublished results) when started at four weeks of age. The basis for this transient susceptibility to factors that set the tempo of late-life decline is unknown, but seems likely to involve epigenetic modulation of events that are sensitive to nutritional and neuro-endocrine stimuli. Fibroblast cultures from adult mice of the Ames and Snell dwarf mutant strains are resistant, in culture, to multiple forms of lethal injury [24-26], unless the Ames mice had been subjected to early-life GH treatment [1]. In this context it is noteworthy that fibroblasts derived from one-week old Snell dwarf and control mice do not differ in stress resistance [25]; at this age GH levels are still largely derived from the intra-uterine blood circulation, and are not yet controlled by the pituitary of the newborn mouse itself. GH-exposure experience in the 2 – 8 week old mouse [2], as well as milk availability mediated by the size of the nursing litter [22] also modulate the hypothalamic inflammatory state in mice 18 months of age or older. Ames mice treated transiently with GH also resemble wild-type, i.e. non-mutant, controls in adipose tissue UCP1 levels, relative ratios of pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory macrophages in adipose tissue, production of FNDC5 and its myokine cleavage product irisin by skeletal muscle, hepatic and plasma levels of GPLD1, and brain levels of protective factors BDNF and DCX [6], showing that these early-life environmental factors can have long-lasting, presumably permanent, effects of many physiological properties of high relevance to health maintenance and disease resistance.

The data reported here document another vector for early-life modulation of late-life fitness and

mortality risks, by manipulation of con-specific social odors. We chose to study this topic because of

data showing effects of early exposure to same-sex and opposite-sex odors on the pace of mouse

development and sexual maturation. We hypothesized that exposure to same-sex odor, which delays

development in some circumstances [15, 27], might also delay the rate of age-related decline, and we

found that indeed female mice exposed early to odors from adult females had longer lifespan than

females exposed to water. Male odor did not have this effect on female longevity. The FU-treated

female mice also resisted the age-related decline in core body temperature, although there was no

inter-group difference in grip strength or rotarod performance, other indices of late-life physiological

state. Male mice, in contrast, did not exhibit lifespan or performance effects in response to either same-

sex or opposite-sex odors. We do not know the mechanism for the lifespan effect in the FU-treated

female mice, or why we saw no such effect in male animals; these are questions we plan to explore in

future work.

The effects of odor exposure on lifespan were not modified by deletion of Gαo in the olfactory system.

This G protein is important in mediating responses to peptide and protein-based ligands in basal VSNs

[28], and has previously been shown to inhibit priming responses to male odours in females, including

changes in sexual maturity [18]. We therefore designed this experiment using this model because this

deletion is known to inhibit priming effects, but does not affect normal body development or suckling

behaviour as has been observed with manipulations that impair main olfactory system function [29].

Previously published research with this model has largely focused on responses to male odours, but in

this study we observed changes in lifespan in response to female odours. Exposure of female mice to

female odours has previously been shown to activate a different subset of VSNs compared to male

odorants, including apical VSNs that use a different G protein in signal transduction [30]. Thus, effects of

female odors on female survival may be mediated by a subset of VSNs different from those that were

inhibited in this study. It is also possible that effects could be mediated by detection of odorants by the

main olfactory system, or a different sensory modality, since females were exposed to a mixture of

odors and other cues that would be found in soiled bedding. Changes in sexual maturity with exposure

to conspecific odors can be initiated even by brief exposure to the diluted urine of a conspecific after

weaning, although longer term effects on female body weight have been observed when odor exposure

begins prior to weaning [31], which is why we chose an extended treatment protocol to maximise the

chance of detecting effects on survival. Future studies with a shorter treatment time course after

weaning, and with only urine or specific urinary fractions, would help to reveal the signals and

developmental periods that important causing subsequent changes in survival.

Studies of the effects of sensory perception on aging and lifespan in invertebrates dates back at least to

the work of Apfeld and Kenyon in Caenorhabditis elegans [32], and in the years since, a variety of

sensory modalities, including smell, taste, sight, and pain, have become established as important

modulators of aging across invertebrate taxa [33-35]. Exposure of flies and worms to food-based

odorants, for example, limit the benefits of dietary restriction and influence measures of healthy aging,

including sleep and daily activity patterns [36, 37]. Some of these studies have focused on how

perception of conspecific pheromones, detected through olfaction and gustation, can modulate

Drosophila and C. elegans lifespan [38-40]. Although there is no guarantee that mechanisms linking

pheromones to aging in worms and flies will prove to be analogous to pathways in mice, they do provide

clues that deserve to be explored. Worm perception impacts insulin-specific pathways, and conserved

motivation and reward neuropeptides, such as NPF/NPY, are required for aging effects in flies [38-40]. It

seems reasonable to test whether these same pathways influence mammalian lifespan in mice, either

with, or in the absence of, sensory cues of the kind revealed by our experimental findings.

As far as we know, this is the first observation that lifespan can be increased, in a mammal, by olfactory

signals. More generally, the work hints that very young mice, and perhaps animals of other species, are

able to assess aspects of the social environment and adjust aspects of their development that might

improve their Darwinian fitness and, as a side-effect, slow the rate of aging and make them more

resistant to late-life disease.

Methods

Mice

All mice contained a version of the Gαo gene (Gnao1) that had been floxed at exons five and six. These

were crossed with mice carrying a transgene of Cre recombinase that caused cre expression under the

control of olfactory marker protein (*OMP*$^{\text{cre}}$). This cross has been shown [28] to inhibit Gαo expression

specifically within vomeronasal sensory neurons (VSNs). The background stock of this cross is a mix of

the C57BL/6J and S129 mouse strains. All mice used in this study were the offspring of parents that

were both homozygous for the floxed Gαo gene, with one parent in each pair (either the mother or the

father) also containing one copy of the transgene for Cre recombinase. This mating system led to the

production of Cre positive mice (Gnao1$^{\text{fx/fx}}$, OMP-cre +/-, with deletion of Gαo in VSNs) and cre negative

(Gnao1$^{\text{fx/fx}}$, OMP-cre -/-, control) mice in equal proportions. Mice in each individual litter were assigned

to a specific treatment arm (male odor, female odor, or no odor, see below) at age 2 days, and were

weaned to same-sex cages at 4 mice/cage at 19 or 20 days of age. Litters of size 7 or lower were not

used, and litters with more than 8 pups were trimmed to 8 pups as soon as discovered. A tail snip

biopsy was taken for genotyping at age 10 – 19 days. Each cage of weanlings contained four mice, two

wild-type and two mutant, and each mouse in a cage was in the same odor-exposure treatment group.

All mice received Purina 5LG6 food and water without restriction.

Odor exposure

Urine was collected from group-housed (3 males or 4 females per cage) young adult (6 month old) male

or female UM-HET3 mice [41], and stored in aliquots at -20°C until use. Aliquots were then thawed

when needed and discarded within 24 hr of thawing; no aliquot was used on more than a single day.

Newborn mice had their noses moistened, using a cotton swab, with female urine (FU), male urine

(MU), or autoclaved water (ZU, control) six days each week, starting at Day 3 of life, where Day 1 is the

date on which pups were first noted in the breeding cage. Urine was applied in the light period between

8 am and noon each day. The mother was placed in a clean cage just prior to odor-exposure of her

pups, and then returned to the nursing cage immediately thereafter.

After weaning, mice were exposed to same-sex, opposite-sex, or control soiled bedding instead of urine.

Spent bedding was obtained from cages housing 4 adult female or 3 adult male UM-HET3 mice, aged 4 –

12 months, after the cage had been occupied for seven days.   Bedding from each donor cage was

thoroughly mixed by hand, and then 10% of bedding from a donor cage was placed in each recipient

cage, with an equal proportion of the bedding from the recipient's cage removed. Spent bedding was

added once each day for six days per week until mice were 12 weeks of age. For control cages, no

bedding was added but the bedding in the cage was re-arranged to simulate the MU and FU

intervention.

Lifespan data

Each mouse was inspected daily and the date of natural death recorded. Mice were euthanized to

comply with humane-use protocols if they appeared unlikely to survive more than another 7 days using

a symptom checklist.

Assessment of temperature, grip strength, and rotarod performance

Mice were evaluated at 22 months of age. Cages of mice were transferred to the testing room between

8 am and 10 am, and immediately evaluated for core body temperature using a rectal thermometer

(Braintree RET3). Mice in the cage were then individually weighed. The mice were then allowed to

acclimate in their home cage for one hour prior to further testing, after which grip strength was

evaluated. Mice were held by the base of the tail and lowered toward a wire grid connected to a strain

gauge (Bioseb Research Instruments). Once both forepaws grasped the grid, they were firmly but gently

pulled horizontally until they released their grip. Strain gauge measurements were recorded for each of

three trials for each mouse ("forepaw grip"). Three additional trials were then conducted, allowing all

four paws to simultaneously grasp the grid ("allpaws grip"). The cage was then returned to its original

housing room. On the next day, cages were again moved to the testing room and mice allowed to

acclimate for an hour, after which a RotaRod test device (Ugo Basile) was used to measure motor

coordination on an accelerating, rotating rod, for three trials. Mice were placed on the RotaRod at an

initial speed of 5 RPM. The Rotarod accelerated to a maximum of 40 RPM over 300 seconds. The trial

ended when the mouse fell from the RotaRod, and the latency to fall was recorded. Each mouse was

tested three times, with a one minute interval between trials to allow the apparatus to be cleaned.

Assessment of non-fasting blood glucose and weight.

Blood samples (approximately 50 microliters) were taken by tail venipuncture from restrained, non-

anesthetized 12 month old mice into 300 microliter tubes containing EDTA, and tested using a Bayer

Contour Next EZ Glucometer. Body weight was periodically assessed between 9 am and noon.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NIA grant AG024824 and by the Glenn Foundation for Medical Research.

Funding from the American Federation for Aging Research, The Michigan Society of Fellows, and The

Marsden Fund are also acknowledged.

References

343
1.   Panici, J.A., et al., *Early life growth hormone treatment shortens longevity and decreases cellular*
stress resistance in long-lived mutant mice. FASEB J., 2010. **24**(12): p. 5073-5079.
2.   Sadagurski, M., et al., *Growth hormone modulates hypothalamic inflammation in long-lived*
pituitary dwarf mice. Aging Cell, 2015. **14**(6): p. 1045-54.
3.   Dominici, F.P., et al., *Increased insulin sensitivity and upregulation of insulin receptor, insulin*
receptor substrate (IRS)-1 and IRS-2 in liver of Ames dwarf mice. J.Endocrinol., 2002. **173**(1): p.
81-94.
4.   Kinney, B.A., et al., *Evidence that Ames dwarf mice age differently from their normal siblings in*
behavioral and learning and memory parameters. Hormones & Behavior, 2001. **39**(4): p. 277-
284.
5.   Sun, L.Y., et al., *Increased neurogenesis in dentate gyrus of long-lived Ames dwarf mice.*
Endocrinology, 2005. **146**(3): p. 1138-1144.
6.   Li, X., et al., *Transient early life growth hormone exposure permanently alters brain, muscle,*
liver, macrophage, and adipocyte status in long-lived Ames dwarf mice. FASEB J, 2022. **36**(7): p.
e22394.
7.   Sun, L., et al., *Life-span extension in mice by preweaning food restriction and by methionine*
restriction in middle age. J.Gerontol.A Biol.Sci.Med.Sci., 2009. **64**(7): p. 711-722.

8.   Miller, R.A., C. Chrisp, and W.R. Atchley, *Differential longevity in mouse stocks selected for early*
life growth trajectory. J.Gerontol.Biol.Sci., 2000. **55A**: p. B455-B461.
9.   Yuan, R., et al., *Genetic coregulation of age of female sexual maturation and lifespan through*
circulating IGF1 among inbred mouse strains. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2012. **109**(21): p. 8224-9.
10.   Wang, J., et al., *Deletion of Nrip1 Extends Female Mice Longevity, Increases Autophagy, and*
Delays Cell Senescence. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci, 2018. **73**(7): p. 882-892.
11.   Drickamer, L.C., *Male acceleration of puberty in female mice (Mus musculus).* J Comp Psychol,
1983. **97**(3): p. 191-200.
12.   Vandenbergh, J.G., *Acceleration and inhibition of puberty in female mice by pheromones.* J
Reprod Fertil Suppl, 1973. **19**: p. 411-9.
13.   Drickamer, L.C., *Delay of sexual maturation in female house mice by exposure to grouped*
females or urine from grouped females. J Reprod Fertil, 1977. **51**(1): p. 77-81.
14.   Vandenbergh, J.G., *The influence of the social environment on sexual maturation in male mice.* J
Reprod Fertil, 1971. **24**(3): p. 383-90.
15.   Drickamer, L.C., *Long-term effects of accelerated or delayed sexual maturation on reproductive*
output in wild female house mice (Mus musculus). J Reprod Fertil, 1988. **83**(1): p. 439-45.
16.   Lomas, D.E. and E.B. Keverne, *Role of the vomeronasal organ and prolactin in the acceleration of*
puberty in female mice. J Reprod Fertil, 1982. **66**(1): p. 101-7.
17.   Munger, S.D., T. Leinders-Zufall, and F. Zufall, *Subsystem organization of the mammalian sense*
of smell. Annu Rev Physiol, 2009. **71**: p. 115-40.
18.   Oboti, L., et al., *A wide range of pheromone-stimulated sexual and reproductive behaviors in*
female mice depend on G protein Galphao. BMC Biol, 2014. **12**: p. 31.
19.   Cowley, J.J. and D.R. Wise, *Some effects of mouse urine on neonatal growth and reproduction.*
Anim Behav, 1972. **20**(3): p. 499-506.
20.   Wang, C., et al., *Statistical methods for testing effects on "maximum lifespan".* Mech Ageing Dev,
2004. **125**(9): p. 629-632.
21.   Macchiarini, F., et al., *NIA Interventions Testing Program: A collaborative approach for*
investigating interventions to promote healthy aging, in *Handbook of the Biology of Aging*, N.
Musi and P.J. Hornsby, Editors. 2021, Academic Press: London (UK).
22.   Sadagurski, M., et al., *Transient early food restriction leads to hypothalamic changes in the long-*
lived crowded litter female mice. Physiol Rep, 2015. **3**(4).
23.   Vergara, M., et al., *Hormone-treated Snell dwarf mice regain fertility but remain long-lived and*
disease resistant. J.Gerontol.Biol.Sci., 2004. **59**: p. 1244-1250.
24.   Murakami, S., A. Salmon, and R.A. Miller, *Multiplex stress resistance in cells from long-lived*
dwarf mice. FASEB Journal, 2003. **17**: p. 1565-1566.
25.   Salmon, A.B., et al., *Fibroblast cell lines from young adult mice of long-lived mutant strains are*
resistant to multiple forms of stress. Am.J Physiol Endocrinol.Metab, 2005. **289**(1): p. E23-E29.
26.   Salmon, A.B., M. Ljungman, and R.A. Miller, *Cells from long-lived mutant mice exhibit enhanced*
repair of UV lesions. J.Gerontol.Biol.Sci., 2008. **63**: p. 219-231.
27.   Drickamer, L.C., *Delay and acceleration of puberty in female mice by urinary chemosignals from*
other females. Dev Psychobiol, 1982. **15**(5): p. 433-45.
28.   Chamero, P., et al., *G protein G(alpha)o is essential for vomeronasal function and aggressive*
behavior in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2011. **108**(31): p. 12898-903.
29.   Mandiyan, V.S., J.K. Coats, and N.M. Shah, *Deficits in sexual and aggressive behaviors in Cnga2*
mutant mice. Nat Neurosci, 2005. **8**(12): p. 1660-2.
30.   Silvotti, L., et al., *In-vivo activation of vomeronasal neurons shows adaptive responses to*
pheromonal stimuli. Sci Rep, 2018. **8**(1): p. 8490.

31.   Drickamer, L.C., *Acceleration and delay of sexual maturation in female mice via chemosignals:*
circadian rhythm effects. Biol Reprod, 1982. **27**(3): p. 596-601.

32.   Apfeld, J. and C. Kenyon, *Regulation of lifespan by sensory perception in Caenorhabditis elegans.*
Nature, 1999. **402**(6763): p. 804-9.

33.   Libert, S., et al., *Regulation of Drosophila life span by olfaction and food-derived odors.* Science,
2007. **315**(5815): p. 1133-7.

34.   Waterson, M.J., T.P. Chan, and S.D. Pletcher, *Adaptive Physiological Response to Perceived*
Scarcity as a Mechanism of Sensory Modulation of Life Span. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci, 2015.
70(9): p. 1088-91.

35.   Riera, C.E. and A. Dillin, *Emerging Role of Sensory Perception in Aging and Metabolism.* Trends
Endocrinol Metab, 2016. **27**(5): p. 294-303.

36.   Miller, H.A., et al., *Serotonin and dopamine modulate aging in response to food odor and*
availability. Nat Commun, 2022. **13**(1): p. 3271.

37.   Linford, N.J., et al., *Gustatory and metabolic perception of nutrient stress in Drosophila.* Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A, 2015. **112**(8): p. 2587-92.

38.   Shi, C. and C.T. Murphy, *Mating induces shrinking and death in Caenorhabditis mothers.* Science,
2014. **343**(6170): p. 536-40.

39.   Maures, T.J., et al., *Males shorten the life span of C. elegans hermaphrodites via secreted*
compounds. Science, 2014. **343**(6170): p. 541-4.

40.   Gendron, C.M., et al., *Drosophila life span and physiology are modulated by sexual perception*
and reward. Science, 2014. **343**(6170): p. 544-8.

41.   Miller, R.A., D. Burke, and N. Nadon, *Announcement: four-way cross mouse stocks: a new,*
genetically heterogeneous resource for aging research. J.Gerontol.A.Biol.Sci.Med.Sci., 1999. **54A**:
p. B358-B358.