Lifespan Extension in Female Mice By Early, Transient Exposure to Adult Female

Olfactory Cues

Michael Garratt[1], Ilkim Erturk[2], Roxann Alonzo[2], Frank Zufall[3], Trese Leinders-Zufall[3],

Scott D. Pletcher[4], Richard A. Miller[2]

[1]Department of Anatomy, School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Otago, Dunedin, New

Zealand

[2]Department of Pathology and Geriatrics Center, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

[3]Center for Integrative Physiology and Molecular Medicine, Saarland University, 66421

Homburg, Germany

[4]Department of Molecular and Integrative Physiology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

USA

Abstract

Abstract

Several previous lines of research have suggested, indirectly, that mouse lifespan is particularly

susceptible to endocrine or nutritional signals in the first few weeks of life, as tested by

manipulations of litter size, growth hormone levels, or mutations with effects specifically on

early-life growth rate. The pace of early development in mice can also be influenced by exposure

of nursing and weanling mice to olfactory cues. In particular, odors of same-sex adult mice can

in some circumstances delay maturation. We hypothesized that olfactory information might also

have a sex-specific effect on lifespan, and we show here that lifespan of female mice can be

increased significantly by odors from adult females administered transiently, i.e. from 3 days

until 60 days of age. Female lifespan was not modified by male odors, nor was male lifespan

susceptible to odors from adults of either sex. Conditional deletion of the G protein Gαo in the

olfactory system, which leads to impaired accessory olfactory system function and blunted

reproductive priming responses to male odors in females, did not modify the effect of female

odors on female lifespan. Our data provide support for the idea that very young mice are

susceptible to influences that can have long-lasting effects on disease resistance, and provide the

first example of lifespan extension by olfactory cues in mice.

Introduction

Interventions in the first few weeks of postnatal life seem to have particular power to produce life-long changes in mouse physiology, with effects on late-life illnesses and on survival. Bartke's laboratory, for example, has shown that the exceptionally long lifespan of the Ames dwarf mice, in which longevity appears to reflect lower levels of growth hormone (GH) produced by the anterior pituitary, can be reduced back to that of non-mutant controls by transient exposure to daily GH injections started at 2 weeks of age and discontinued 6 weeks later [1]. Furthermore, these early-life GH injections block the development of multi-modal stress resistance shown by skin-derived fibroblasts of Ames dwarf mice [1], and also prevent the lower levels of hypothalamic inflammatory characteristic of unmanipulated Ames mice [2]. Many other characteristics of Ames dwarf mice, plausibly connected to their longevity, insulin sensitivity [3], and cognitive health [4, 5], are also blocked by early-life GH treatments when tested at 18 months of age, including changes in fat, muscle, plasma irisin and GPLD1, liver, and brain [6]. Conversely, lifespan can be increased in genetically normal mice by pre-weaning manipulation of litter size – mice weaned from litters in which litter size has been increased to 12 pups/nursing mother live longer than those in control litters of size 8 [7]. Genetic selection experiments have also provided relevant data, by showing extended lifespan in non-inbred stocks of mice that were selected, for 17 or more generations, for exceptionally slow growth in the first 10 days of life, or between 26 – 56 days of age [8].

Age at sexual maturity is another early-life developmental factor that has been associated with lifespan. Across strains of mice, age at sexual maturity correlates positively with median lifespan

[9], and genetic manipulations that delay sexual maturity have been associated with longer

lifespan in females [10]. Age at sexual maturity in rodents is also influenced by environmental

cues, in particular olfactory cues from con-specifics. Female mice that are exposed to male odors

(typically soiled bedding or male urine) during development show hastened sexual maturity [11,

12]. In contrast, exposing females to the odors of group-housed adult virgin females can delay

sexual maturity [12, 13]. In males, exposure to females or their odors has been shown to be

associated with increased masses of reproductive organs (testes, seminal vesicles) early in life,

also indicating earlier sexual maturity in males [14]. These odor priming effects are assumed to

be adaptive, allowing animals to modulate their timing of early life reproduction to suit predicted

future environments. In one previous study, hastened female sexual maturity was associated with

an increase in mortality over the first 180 days of life, suggesting a cost to this developmental

change [15]. However, in this prior study females were exposed directly to males on the day of

their first estrous, which was earlier in females exposed to male odors producing a confounding

effect that could contribute to mortality differences.

The effects of odors on sexual maturity are initiated, at least partly, by detection of odorants

through the vomeronasal organ, one of the two olfactory sub-systems in mice. Female mice that

have had their vomeronasal organ surgically removed do not show hastened sexual maturity in

response to male odors [16]. Vomeronasal sensory neurons (VSNs) express hundreds of different

sensory receptors, but these receptors largely use one of two different types of G-protein for

signal transduction [17]. The G protein Gαo is used for signal transduction in VSNs of the basal

layer of the vomeronasal organ. Cre-mediated deletion of Gαo in the olfactory system has been

shown to inhibit females from showing hastened sexual maturity in response to male odors [18],

indicating that this subset of VSNs is important in driving changes in sexual maturity, at least in

response to opposite sex cues in females.

With these precedents in mind, we tested the idea that olfactory signals, presented to mice from 3

days of age but discontinued at 60 days, would have long-lasting effects that influence age at

death. We initiated odor exposure early in life, prior to weaning, because a previous study had

shown that very early exposure influences female body weight during development [19]. In

particular, we speculated that odor from adult female mice would lead to lifespan extension in

female (but not in male) mice, and vice versa. We also hypothesized that exposure to opposite-

sex odors would reduce survival given the hastening of sexual maturity. Here we show that

transient exposure to odor from adult females starting at 2 days of age can extend lifespan of

female mice.

Results

<u>Survival:</u>

Our principal hypothesis was that early-life exposure to sex-specific olfactory cues indicative of

social environment would influence lifespan of mice. A secondary hypothesis was that the

response to those cues would depend on function of Gao-expressing neurons in the vomeronasal

organ, since these have been shown to mediate early life priming responses to opposite-sex urine,

at least in female mice [18]. Mice were exposed either to same-sex or to opposite-sex odors

starting from three days of age, with daily exposure through day 60 of life. They were housed in

same-sex cages from the age of weaning, i.e. from 19 or 20 days. **Figure 1** shows survival curves

for female and for male mice subjected either to odors from adult males ("MU") or from adult

females ("FU"), or exposed to water as a control (ZU, for zero urine). Data from male and

female mice were evaluated separately. **Table 1** shows median age, and age at 90th percentile, for

each combination of Sex and Treatment, pooling across genotypes. In female mice, FU led to an

8% increase in median lifespan, compared to the ZU control group, and a 9% increase in the age

at 90th percentile. The log-rank test, comparing all three treatment groups, showed that treatment

led to significant differences among the groups ($p = 0.04$), and a follow-up test showed that for

females the FU mice were longer-lived than the ZU controls ($p = 0.01$ by log-rank test). Male

odors did not produce a lifespan change in female mice ($p = 0.6$). Treatment did not modify

lifespan in the male mice; log-rank $p = 0.8$ for all treatment groups taken together).

Figure 1: survival curves for female (A) and male (B) mice exposed to male, female, or no odors daily from 2 days to 60 days of age. Each dot represents the age at death of an individual mouse. Both genotypes of mice are pooled for analysis. See the Supplementary Data for age at death of each mouse and its genotype, sex and treatment group.

Table 1: Median and 90th Percentile Survival Statistics for Mice Treated With Same-Sex or Opposite-Sex Odors from 2 to 60 Days of Age					
Female mice, pooled across genotype					
Rx	Count	Median	% Change	p(90)	% Change
Female Urine (FU)	59	621	8	823	9
Male Urine (MU)	58	585	2	792	5
No Urine (ZU)	57	576	(NA)	754	(NA)
Male mice, pooled across genotype					
Rx	Count	Median	% Change	p(90)	% Change
Female Urine (FU)	60	737	-1	932	-1
Male Urine (MU)	59	772	4	879	-7
No Urine (ZU)	59	741	(NA)	944	(NA)

135
136

To see if the effect of odor was dependent on Gao expression in the vomeronasal organ, survival

data were analyzed by Cox regression, with two factors: Treatment (MU, FU or ZU), and

Genotype (WT for wild-type (Gnao1$^{fx/fx}$, OMP-cre -/-), or OMP-Mutant (Gnao1$^{fx/fx}$, OMP-cre +/-

)), with a [Treatment x Genotype] interaction term. Table 2 shows the significance levels for

each of the three terms, calculated separately for female and for male mice. The interaction term

did not achieve significance in either sex, implying that the odor effect did not depend on

genotype. In addition, there was no independent effect of genotype on survival. Only the odor

treatment had a significant effect on survival, and only in female mice (p = 0.04), consistent with

the results of the log-rank tests. The Cox regression calculation also confirmed the inference that

FU females lived longer than ZU females (p = 0.015 without adjustment for multiple

comparisons, and p = 0.045 with Sidak adjustment), and that no other pairwise comparison

between treatment groups had a significant effect in either sex.

149
150

Table 2: Significance Tests for Predictors in Cox Regression, Calculated Separately for Each Sex			
Sex of Mouse	Treatment	Genotype	Interaction
Female	p = 0.039	p = 0.5	p = 0.9
Male	p = 0.8	p = 0.6	p = 0.5

151
152

To evaluate the effects of early-life odor exposure on survival to unusually high ages, we used

the method of Wang and Allison [20], which calculates a Fisher Exact test statistic on the

proportion of mice in each treatment that remain alive at the 90th percentile of their joint survival

distribution, the method also employed by the mouse Intervention Testing Program consortium

[21]. In females, the comparison of FU to control ZU mice produced p = 0.004, showing that

FU-treated females were more likely to reach old age than ZU-treated females. None of the other

paired comparisons reached significance in female mice, and none did so in male mice.

<u>Functional testing:</u>

Each mouse surviving to 22 months of age was evaluated using a series of tests for age-sensitive

physiological function. The data were analyzed separately for each sex, using a two-factor

ANOVA (Treatment, Genotype, Interaction). There were no treatment effects, or significant

interaction terms, for grip strength (front paws or four paws, mean or maximum of three trials) or

for rotarod performance (mean or maximum of three trials) in either sex. There was, however, an

effect of early-life odor exposure on core body temperature, seen in females only ($p = 0.01$), and

a Sidak post-hoc test showed that body temperature was higher in FU females than in ZU

controls ($p = 0.007$). **Figure 2a** shows the dotplots for female mice in the three treatment groups.

Since core body temperature declines in age in mice, this result is consistent with the lifespan

benefit noted in the FU vs ZU comparison. Male mice did not show any effect on body

temperature (not shown). Weight was measured at 1, 2, 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months of age, and

there were no differences among treatment groups, except at 6 months of age (**Figure 2b**), at

which ZU females were marginally higher in weight than mice in the MU or FU groups ($p =$

0.03; if the data are tested without the MU outlier weighing 16 grams, $p = 0.04$). Plasma glucose

was measured in non-fasting animals at 12 months of age. There were no effects of treatment in

female mice, but glucose levels were significantly lower in male ZU mice than in the FU or MU

mice (**Figure 2c**; $p = 0.004$ for ANOVA, and $p = 0.01$ for each pairwise comparison to ZU male

mice.)

Figure 2: (a) Core body temperature (°C) in female mice at age 22 months of age in each odor-treatment group. (b) Weight (g) at 6 months of age in female mice. (c) Plasma glucose (mg/dl) in male mice at 12 months. Each symbol represents the value for an individual mouse. Data is pooled for genotypes, with full data matched to each genotype and treatment group available in the supplementary Data.

182
183
184
Discussion

Our results add to the growing evidence that late life health, including risks of lethal diseases,

can be influenced by non-genetic factors, such as litter size [7, 22] and GH levels [1, 2], to which

a newborn or pre-weanling mouse is exposed transiently. The "window of opportunity," or age

at which a mouse is susceptible to such influences, is not known, and may differ depending on

the specific form of environmental variation, but it is noteworthy that GH treatments can prevent

lifespan extension of Ames dwarf mice when these are initiated at two weeks of age, but fail in

Snell dwarf [23] and Ames dwarf animals (Bartke, unpublished results) when started at four

weeks of age. The basis for this transient susceptibility to factors that set the tempo of late-life

decline is unknown, but seems likely to involve epigenetic modulation of events that are

sensitive to nutritional and neuro-endocrine stimuli. Fibroblast cultures from adult mice of the

Ames and Snell dwarf mutant strains are resistant, in culture, to multiple forms of lethal injury

[24-26], unless the Ames mice had been subjected to early-life GH treatment [1]. In this context

it is noteworthy that fibroblasts derived from one-week old Snell dwarf and control mice do not

differ in stress resistance [25]; at this age GH levels are still largely derived from the intra-

uterine blood circulation, and are not yet controlled by the pituitary of the newborn mouse itself.

GH-exposure experience in the 2 – 8 week old mouse [2], as well as milk availability mediated

by the size of the nursing litter [22] also modulate the hypothalamic inflammatory state in mice

18 months of age or older. Ames mice treated transiently with GH also resemble wild-type, i.e.

non-mutant, controls in adipose tissue UCP1 levels, relative ratios of pro-inflammatory and anti-

inflammatory macrophages in adipose tissue, production of FNDC5 and its myokine cleavage

product irisin by skeletal muscle, hepatic and plasma levels of GPLD1, and brain levels of

protective factors BDNF and DCX [6], showing that these early-life environmental factors can

have long-lasting, presumably permanent, effects of many physiological properties of high

relevance to health maintenance and disease resistance.

The data reported here document another vector for early-life modulation of late-life fitness and

mortality risks, by manipulation of con-specific social odors. We chose to study this topic

because of data showing effects of early exposure to same-sex and opposite-sex odors on the

pace of mouse development and sexual maturation. We hypothesized that exposure to same-sex

odor, which delays development in some circumstances [15, 27], might also delay the rate of

age-related decline, and we found that indeed female mice exposed early to odors from adult

females had longer lifespan than females exposed to water. Male odor did not have this effect on

female longevity. The FU-treated female mice also resisted the age-related decline in core body

temperature, although there was no inter-group difference in grip strength or rotarod

performance, other indices of late-life physiological state. Male mice, in contrast, did not exhibit

lifespan or performance effects in response to either same-sex or opposite-sex odors. We do not

know the mechanism for the lifespan effect in the FU-treated female mice, or why we saw no

such effect in male animals; these are questions we plan to explore in future work.

The effects of odor exposure on lifespan were not modified by deletion of Gαo in the olfactory

system. This G protein is important in mediating responses to peptide and protein-based ligands

in basal VSNs [28], and has previously been shown to inhibit priming responses to male odours

in females, including changes in sexual maturity [18]. We therefore designed this experiment

using this model because this deletion is known to inhibit priming effects, but does not affect

normal body development or suckling behaviour as has been observed with manipulations that

impair main olfactory system function [29]. Previously published research with this model has

largely focused on responses to male odours, but in this study we observed changes in lifespan in

response to female odours. Exposure of female mice to female odours has previously been

shown to activate a different subset of VSNs compared to male odorants, including apical VSNs

that use a different G protein in signal transduction [30]. Thus, effects of female odors on female

survival may be mediated by a subset of VSNs different from those that were inhibited in this

study. It is also possible that effects could be mediated by detection of odorants by the main

olfactory system, or a different sensory modality, since females were exposed to a mixture of

odors and other cues that would be found in soiled bedding. Changes in sexual maturity with

exposure to conspecific odors can be initiated even by brief exposure to the diluted urine of a

conspecific after weaning, although longer term effects on female body weight have been

observed when odor exposure begins prior to weaning [31], which is why we chose an extended

treatment protocol to maximise the chance of detecting effects on survival. Future studies with a

shorter treatment time course after weaning, and with only urine or specific urinary fractions,

would help to reveal the signals and developmental periods that important causing subsequent

changes in survival.

Studies of the effects of sensory perception on aging and lifespan in invertebrates dates back at

least to the work of Apfeld and Kenyon in Caenorhabditis elegans [32], and in the years since, a

variety of sensory modalities, including smell, taste, sight, and pain, have become established as

important modulators of aging across invertebrate taxa [33-35]. Exposure of flies and worms to

food-based odorants, for example, limit the benefits of dietary restriction and influence measures

of healthy aging, including sleep and daily activity patterns [36, 37]. Some of these studies have

focused on how perception of conspecific pheromones, detected through olfaction and gustation,

can modulate Drosophila and C. elegans lifespan [38-40]. Although there is no guarantee that

mechanisms linking pheromones to aging in worms and flies will prove to be analogous to

pathways in mice, they do provide clues that deserve to be explored. Worm perception impacts

insulin-specific pathways, and conserved motivation and reward neuropeptides, such as

NPF/NPY, are required for aging effects in flies [38-40]. It seems reasonable to test whether

these same pathways influence mammalian lifespan in mice, either with, or in the absence of,

sensory cues of the kind revealed by our experimental findings.

As far as we know, this is the first observation that lifespan can be increased, in a mammal, by

olfactory signals. More generally, the work hints that very young mice, and perhaps animals of

other species, are able to assess aspects of the social environment and adjust aspects of their

development that might improve their Darwinian fitness and, as a side-effect, slow the rate of

aging and make them more resistant to late-life disease.

Methods

Mice

The proposed lifespan experiment was approved by the University of Michigan Animal Care and

Use Program, Protocol PRO00007884. All experiments strictly adhered to this approved

protocol. All mice contained a version of the G□o gene (Gnao1) that had been floxed at exons

five and six. These were crossed with mice carrying a transgene of Cre recombinase that caused

cre expression under the control of olfactory marker protein (*OMP*^{cre}). This cross has been shown

[28] to inhibit G□o expression specifically within vomeronasal sensory neurons (VSNs). The

background stock of this cross is a mix of the C57BL/6J and S129 mouse strains. All mice used

in this study were the offspring of parents that were both homozygous for the floxed G□o gene,

with one parent in each pair (either the mother or the father) also containing one copy of the

transgene for Cre recombinase. This mating system led to the production of Cre positive mice

(Gnao1$^{fx/fx}$, OMP-cre +/-, with deletion of G□o in VSNs) and cre negative (Gnao1$^{fx/fx}$, OMP-cre

-/-, control) mice in equal proportions. Mice in each individual litter were randomly assigned to

a specific treatment arm (male odor, female odor, or no odor, see below) at age 2 days, and were

weaned to same-sex cages at 4 mice/cage at 19 or 20 days of age. Litters of size 7 or lower were

not used, and litters with more than 8 pups were trimmed to 8 pups as soon as discovered. A tail

snip biopsy was taken for genotyping at age 10 – 19 days. Each cage of weanlings contained

four mice, two wild-type and two mutant, and each mouse in a cage was in the same odor-

exposure treatment group. Cages were labeled with details of mouse sex, genotype and

treatment group and so were not blinded, although the technician team that assessed mice on a

daily basis were largely unaware of the principal hypotheses of the proposed experiment. Thirty

mice were allocated per sex, genotype and odor treatment combination with each mouse assumed

to be an independent biological replicate. This sample size was selected because it provides

sufficient power to detect a change in median lifespan of approximately 10-15% within one sex

and genotype. We originally hypothesized that some effects would be consistent across sexes

allowing sexes to be pooled for analysis, further increasing statistical power. All mice received

Purina 5LG6 food and water without restriction.

Odor exposure

Urine was collected from group-housed (3 males or 4 females per cage) young adult (6 month

old) male or female UM-HET3 mice [41], and stored in aliquots at $-20^{o}C$ until use. Aliquots

were then thawed when needed and discarded within 24 hr of thawing; no aliquot was used on

more than a single day. Newborn mice had their noses moistened, using a cotton swab, with

female urine (FU), male urine (MU), or autoclaved water (ZU, control) six days each week,

starting at Day 3 of life, where Day 1 is the date on which pups were first noted in the breeding

cage. Urine was applied in the light period between 8 am and noon each day. The mother was

placed in a clean cage just prior to odor-exposure of her pups, and then returned to the nursing

cage immediately thereafter.

After weaning, mice were exposed to same-sex, opposite-sex, or control soiled bedding instead

of urine. Spent bedding was obtained from cages housing 4 adult female or 3 adult male UM-

HET3 mice, aged 4 – 12 months, after the cage had been occupied for seven days.   Bedding

from each donor cage was thoroughly mixed by hand, and then 10% of bedding from a donor

cage was placed in each recipient cage, with an equal proportion of the bedding from the

recipient's cage removed. Spent bedding was added once each day for six days per week until

mice were 12 weeks of age. For control cages, no bedding was added but the bedding in the

cage was re-arranged to simulate the MU and FU intervention.

Lifespan data

Lifespan analysis and criteria for inclusion in final survival statistics followed the established

protocols of the Interventions Testing Program [21]. Each mouse was inspected daily and the

date of natural death recorded. Mice were euthanized to comply with humane-use protocols if

they appeared unlikely to survive more than another 7 days using a symptom checklist. Animals

were excluded from analysis and censored if they were injured due to fighting, showed

malocclusion, or had dermatitis covering greater than 20% of their body. Six females and two

males were removed from the study as a consequence of these exclusion factors, leaving the final

sample sizes for survival analysis presented in Table 1.

Assessment of temperature, grip strength, and rotarod performance

Mice were evaluated at 22 months of age. Cages of mice were transferred to the testing room

between 8 am and 10 am, and immediately evaluated for core body temperature using a rectal

thermometer (Braintree RET3). Mice in the cage were then individually weighed. The mice

were then allowed to acclimate in their home cage for one hour prior to further testing, after

which grip strength was evaluated. Mice were held by the base of the tail and lowered toward a

wire grid connected to a strain gauge (Bioseb Research Instruments). Once both forepaws

grasped the grid, they were firmly but gently pulled horizontally until they released their grip.

Strain gauge measurements were recorded for each of three trials for each mouse ("forepaw

grip"). Three additional trials were then conducted, allowing all four paws to simultaneously

grasp the grid ("allpaws grip"). The cage was then returned to its original housing room. On the

next day, cages were again moved to the testing room and mice allowed to acclimate for an hour,

after which a RotaRod test device (Ugo Basile) was used to measure motor coordination on an

accelerating, rotating rod, for three trials. Mice were placed on the RotaRod at an initial speed of

5 RPM. The Rotarod accelerated to a maximum of 40 RPM over 300 seconds. The trial ended

when the mouse fell from the RotaRod, and the latency to fall was recorded. Each mouse was

tested three times, with a one minute interval between trials to allow the apparatus to be cleaned.

Assessment of non-fasting blood glucose and weight.

Blood samples (approximately 50 microliters) were taken by tail venipuncture from restrained,

non-anesthetized 12 month old mice into 300 microliter tubes containing EDTA, and tested using

a Bayer Contour Next EZ Glucometer. Body weight was periodically assessed between 9 am

and noon.

Statistical analysis

We tested for differences among treatment groups, for each sex, using the log-rank test, with the

two genotypes pooled. When the overall log-rank test, with all three odor groups, failed to

confirm the null hypothesis, we then proceeded to consider all pairs of odor groups using the log-

rank test. To determine if genotype modulated the response to odors, we used Cox regression

with two factors, genotype and odor group, including the interaction term, and did so for each

sex separately. The significance of the interaction term was used as the indicator of whether

response to odor was or was not genotype-dependent within each sex. As a surrogate for

"maximum" lifespan, we used the Wang/Allison test [20], comparing odor groups for the

proportion of mice still alive at the 90th percentile of the joint survival distribution. Calculations

of median and 90th percentile age were performed on the number of mice shown in Table 1, i.e.

excluding mice that had died because of fighting or other accident. All analyses were conducted

using STATA version 17.

Data Availability

All survival data and data used for figure two are provided as supplementary information.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NIA grant AG024824 and by the Glenn Foundation for Medical

Research. Funding from the American Federation for Aging Research, The Michigan Society of

Fellows, and The Marsden Fund are also acknowledged.

References

1. Panici, J.A., et al., *Early life growth hormone treatment shortens longevity and decreases cellular stress resistance in long-lived mutant mice.* FASEB J., 2010. **24**(12): p. 5073-5079.
2. Sadagurski, M., et al., *Growth hormone modulates hypothalamic inflammation in long-lived pituitary dwarf mice.* Aging Cell, 2015. **14**(6): p. 1045-54.
3. Dominici, F.P., et al., *Increased insulin sensitivity and upregulation of insulin receptor, insulin receptor substrate (IRS)-1 and IRS-2 in liver of Ames dwarf mice.* J.Endocrinol., 2002. **173**(1): p. 81-94.
4. Kinney, B.A., et al., *Evidence that Ames dwarf mice age differently from their normal siblings in behavioral and learning and memory parameters.* Hormones & Behavior, 2001. **39**(4): p. 277-284.
5. Sun, L.Y., et al., *Increased neurogenesis in dentate gyrus of long-lived Ames dwarf mice.* Endocrinology, 2005. **146**(3): p. 1138-1144.
6. Li, X., et al., *Transient early life growth hormone exposure permanently alters brain, muscle, liver, macrophage, and adipocyte status in long-lived Ames dwarf mice.* FASEB J, 2022. **36**(7): p. e22394.
7. Sun, L., et al., *Life-span extension in mice by preweaning food restriction and by methionine restriction in middle age.* J.Gerontol.A Biol.Sci.Med.Sci., 2009. **64**(7): p. 711-722.
8. Miller, R.A., C. Chrisp, and W.R. Atchley, *Differential longevity in mouse stocks selected for early life growth trajectory.* J.Gerontol.Biol.Sci., 2000. **55A**: p. B455-B461.
9. Yuan, R., et al., *Genetic coregulation of age of female sexual maturation and lifespan through circulating IGF1 among inbred mouse strains.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2012. **109**(21): p. 8224-9.
10. Wang, J., et al., *Deletion of Nrip1 Extends Female Mice Longevity, Increases Autophagy, and Delays Cell Senescence.* J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci, 2018. **73**(7): p. 882-892.
11. Drickamer, L.C., *Male acceleration of puberty in female mice (Mus musculus).* J Comp Psychol, 1983. **97**(3): p. 191-200.
12. Vandenbergh, J.G., *Acceleration and inhibition of puberty in female mice by pheromones.* J Reprod Fertil Suppl, 1973. **19**: p. 411-9.
13. Drickamer, L.C., *Delay of sexual maturation in female house mice by exposure to grouped females or urine from grouped females.* J Reprod Fertil, 1977. **51**(1): p. 77-81.
14. Vandenbergh, J.G., *The influence of the social environment on sexual maturation in male mice.* J Reprod Fertil, 1971. **24**(3): p. 383-90.
15. Drickamer, L.C., *Long-term effects of accelerated or delayed sexual maturation on reproductive output in wild female house mice (Mus musculus).* J Reprod Fertil, 1988. **83**(1): p. 439-45.
16. Lomas, D.E. and E.B. Keverne, *Role of the vomeronasal organ and prolactin in the acceleration of puberty in female mice.* J Reprod Fertil, 1982. **66**(1): p. 101-7.
17. Munger, S.D., T. Leinders-Zufall, and F. Zufall, *Subsystem organization of the mammalian sense of smell.* Annu Rev Physiol, 2009. **71**: p. 115-40.
18. Oboti, L., et al., *A wide range of pheromone-stimulated sexual and reproductive behaviors in female mice depend on G protein Galphao.* BMC Biol, 2014. **12**: p. 31.
19. Cowley, J.J. and D.R. Wise, *Some effects of mouse urine on neonatal growth and reproduction.* Anim Behav, 1972. **20**(3): p. 499-506.
20. Wang, C., et al., *Statistical methods for testing effects on "maximum lifespan".* Mech Ageing Dev, 2004. **125**(9): p. 629-632.

21.   Macchiarini, F., et al., *NIA Interventions Testing Program: A collaborative approach for*
investigating interventions to promote healthy aging, in *Handbook of the Biology of Aging*, N.
Musi and P.J. Hornsby, Editors. 2021, Academic Press: London (UK).
22.   Sadagurski, M., et al., *Transient early food restriction leads to hypothalamic changes in the long-*
lived crowded litter female mice. Physiol Rep, 2015. **3**(4).
23.   Vergara, M., et al., *Hormone-treated Snell dwarf mice regain fertility but remain long-lived and*
disease resistant. J.Gerontol.Biol.Sci., 2004. **59**: p. 1244-1250.
24.   Murakami, S., A. Salmon, and R.A. Miller, *Multiplex stress resistance in cells from long-lived*
dwarf mice. FASEB Journal, 2003. **17**: p. 1565-1566.
25.   Salmon, A.B., et al., *Fibroblast cell lines from young adult mice of long-lived mutant strains are*
resistant to multiple forms of stress. Am.J Physiol Endocrinol.Metab, 2005. **289**(1): p. E23-E29.
26.   Salmon, A.B., M. Ljungman, and R.A. Miller, *Cells from long-lived mutant mice exhibit enhanced*
repair of UV lesions. J.Gerontol.Biol.Sci., 2008. **63**: p. 219-231.
27.   Drickamer, L.C., *Delay and acceleration of puberty in female mice by urinary chemosignals from*
other females. Dev Psychobiol, 1982. **15**(5): p. 433-45.
28.   Chamero, P., et al., *G protein G(alpha)o is essential for vomeronasal function and aggressive*
behavior in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2011. **108**(31): p. 12898-903.
29.   Mandiyan, V.S., J.K. Coats, and N.M. Shah, *Deficits in sexual and aggressive behaviors in Cnga2*
mutant mice. Nat Neurosci, 2005. **8**(12): p. 1660-2.
30.   Silvotti, L., et al., *In-vivo activation of vomeronasal neurons shows adaptive responses to*
pheromonal stimuli. Sci Rep, 2018. **8**(1): p. 8490.
31.   Drickamer, L.C., *Acceleration and delay of sexual maturation in female mice via chemosignals:*
circadian rhythm effects. Biol Reprod, 1982. **27**(3): p. 596-601.
32.   Apfeld, J. and C. Kenyon, *Regulation of lifespan by sensory perception in Caenorhabditis elegans.*
Nature, 1999. **402**(6763): p. 804-9.
33.   Libert, S., et al., *Regulation of Drosophila life span by olfaction and food-derived odors.* Science,
2007. **315**(5815): p. 1133-7.
34.   Waterson, M.J., T.P. Chan, and S.D. Pletcher, *Adaptive Physiological Response to Perceived*
Scarcity as a Mechanism of Sensory Modulation of Life Span. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci, 2015.
70(9): p. 1088-91.
35.   Riera, C.E. and A. Dillin, *Emerging Role of Sensory Perception in Aging and Metabolism.* Trends
Endocrinol Metab, 2016. **27**(5): p. 294-303.
36.   Miller, H.A., et al., *Serotonin and dopamine modulate aging in response to food odor and*
availability. Nat Commun, 2022. **13**(1): p. 3271.
37.   Linford, N.J., et al., *Gustatory and metabolic perception of nutrient stress in Drosophila.* Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A, 2015. **112**(8): p. 2587-92.
38.   Shi, C. and C.T. Murphy, *Mating induces shrinking and death in Caenorhabditis mothers.* Science,
2014. **343**(6170): p. 536-40.
39.   Maures, T.J., et al., *Males shorten the life span of C. elegans hermaphrodites via secreted*
compounds. Science, 2014. **343**(6170): p. 541-4.
40.   Gendron, C.M., et al., *Drosophila life span and physiology are modulated by sexual perception*
and reward. Science, 2014. **343**(6170): p. 544-8.
41.   Miller, R.A., D. Burke, and N. Nadon, *Announcement: four-way cross mouse stocks: a new,*
genetically heterogeneous resource for aging research. J.Gerontol.A.Biol.Sci.Med.Sci., 1999. **54A**:
p. B358-B358.
469