Contrasting roles of the wheat MRC in promoting starch granule initiation in leaves

and repressing the onset of B-type granule initiation in the endosperm

Jiawen Chen[1], Yi Chen[1], Alexander Watson-Lazowski[1], Erica Hawkins[1], J. Elaine Barclay[1],

Brendan Fahy[1], Robin Denley Bowers[1], Kendall Corbin[2,4], Frederick J. Warren[2], Andreas

Blennow[3], Cristobal Uauy[1], David Seung[1]

[1.] John Innes Centre, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, NR4 7UH, UK

[2.] Quadram Institute, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, NR4 7UQ, UK

[3.] University of Copenhagen, Thorvaldsensvej 40, 1871 Frederiksberg, Copenhagen,

Denmark

[4.] Current Address: Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Food and

Environment, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, USA

Address correspondence to: David.Seung@jic.ac.uk

Short title: Tissue-specific roles of MRC in granule initiation in wheat

Abstract

The spatial and temporal patterns by which starch granules initiate vary greatly between species and organs, but molecular factors that contribute to these diverse patterns are poorly understood. In wheat, the endosperm of grains contains two distinct types of starch granules, where the synthesis of large A-type granules is initiated during early grain development, followed by small B-type granules that initiate c. 10-15 days after the A-type granules, whereas the leaves contain uniformly-sized starch granules. Here, we reveal distinct organ-specific roles of the MYOSIN-RESEMBLING CHLOROPLAST PROTEIN (MRC) in regulating granule initiation in the endosperm and leaves of wheat. We isolated three independent TILLING mutants of tetraploid wheat (*Triticum turgidum* cv. Kronos) with premature stop or missense mutations in the A genome homeolog, which is the only active homeolog in tetraploid wheat due to a disruption of the B genome homeolog that occurred shortly after wheat hybridisation. The mutants had significantly smaller A-type granules and a higher relative volume of B-type granules than the wild type. Quantification of starch granule size distributions and microscopy during grain development showed that B-type granules initiated 15 - 20 dpa in the wild-type, but as early as 10 dpa in the *mrc-1* mutant, suggesting a novel role of MRC in suppressing B-type granule initiation during early grain development. By contrast, MRC has a role in promoting granule initiation in leaves, as the mutants carrying premature stop mutations in *MRC* had fewer granules per chloroplast in comparison to the wild type. Overall, these contrasting roles of MRC among wheat organs provide new insight into functional diversification of granule initiation proteins to facilitate the diverse patterns of granule initiation observed across species and organs.

Introduction

Starch is the major storage carbohydrate in leaves, seeds and storage organs of most plants. It is synthesised in plastids as insoluble granules composed of two glucose polymers: amylopectin and amylose. Amylopectin is a branched polymer with linear α-1,4-glucan chains and α-1,6-branch points, and forms the semi-crystalline starch granule matrix - typically constituting 70-90% *w/w* of starch (Smith and Zeeman, 2020). Amylose constitutes 10 - 30% *w/w* of starch and is composed primarily of long α-1,4-linked linear chains (Seung, 2020). The biosynthesis of the starch polymers is relatively well understood at the molecular level, and is generally conserved between leaf and storage starches (Smith and Zeeman, 2020). However, we are only beginning to understand how starch granule formation is initiated, and the factors underpinning the vast diversity in granule initiation patterns observed between different organs and species (Tetlow and Emes, 2017; Seung and Smith, 2019; Chen et al., 2021).

A prime example of diverse granule initiation patterns between species can be observed in the seed endosperms of grasses (Matsushima et al., 2013). Grass species of the Triticeae, including important cereal crops such as wheat, barley and rye, have a unique bimodal size distribution of starch granules in the grain endosperm – containing large flattened A-type granules (20-30 μm in diameter) and small round B-type granules (2-7 μm in diameter) (Howard et al., 2011). The initiation of these two different types of granules are both spatially and temporally separated: A-type granules initiate in amyloplasts as early as 4 days post anthesis (dpa), whereas B-type granules initiate 10-15 days after the A-type granules (i.e., around 15-20 dpa) and at least partly within amyloplast stromules that emanate from the amyloplast (Parker, 1985; Bechtel et al., 1990; Langeveld et al., 2000; Howard et al., 2011). This is distinct from most other grass species, which produce "compound" starch granules – where multiple granules initiate early during grain development in each amyloplast and eventually fuse (e.g., in rice) (Matsushima et al., 2013). Our recent work has identified proteins that are important for determining the initiation of bimodal starch granules in wheat. These include B-GRANULE CONTENT1 (BGC1) in wheat/*Aegilops* - which is orthologous to FLOURY ENDOSPERM6 (FLO6) in barley and rice and PROTEIN TARGETING TO STARCH 2 (PTST2) in Arabidopsis and *Brachypodium* (Peng et al., 2014; Saito et al., 2017; Seung et al., 2017; Chia et al., 2020; Watson-Lazowski et al., 2022). BGC1 in wheat has a dose-dependent effect on granule initiation, where partial reductions in gene dosage can almost eliminate B-type granules without affecting A-type granule formation, whereas

complete knockout of gene function also causes defective A-type granule formation,

including the formation of some compound/semi-compound granules that arise from multiple

initiations (Howard et al., 2011; Chia et al., 2020; Saccomanno et al., 2022). STARCH

SYNTHASE 4 (SS4) is also required for normal A-type granule formation, as in its absence,

compound granules form in place of most A-type granules (Hawkins et al., 2021). The

increased number of initiations per amyloplast that led to compound granule formation in

these mutants were unexpected since in Arabidopsis leaves, both SS4 and PTST2 promote

granule initiation, and mutants lacking either protein have reduced numbers of starch

granules per chloroplast (Roldán et al., 2007; Seung et al., 2017). These observations suggest

that the proteins involved in granule initiation are to some extent conserved between species

and organs, but they can act differently depending on the patterns of granule initiation in the

species/tissue.

To gain further insight into such differences, we explored the function of wheat MYOSIN-

RESEMBLING CHLOROPLAST PROTEIN (MRC, also known as PROTEIN INVOLVED

IN STARCH INITIATION, PII1) both in chloroplasts of leaves and amyloplasts of the

endosperm. MRC is a long coiled-coil protein that promotes granule initiation in Arabidopsis

leaves, as most chloroplasts in the *mrc* mutant contain only a single granule (Seung et al.,

2018; Vandromme et al., 2019). The exact function of MRC is unknown, but it is proposed to

act via an interaction with SS4 and PTST2 (Seung et al., 2018; Vandromme et al., 2019).

Consistent with previous work in Arabidopsis, we found that MRC promotes starch granule

initiation in wheat leaves, but we discovered an unexpected, distinct role for MRC in the

temporal control of B-type granule initiation in the wheat endosperm. MRC is expressed at

the early stages of grain development, and wheat *mrc* mutants have severe alterations in the

starch granule size distribution relative to the wild type, with smaller A-type granules and a

higher relative volume of B-type granules. We demonstrate that this phenotype arises from

the early initiation of B-type granules in the mutant, suggesting MRC represses B-type

granule initiation during early grain development. This novel role of MRC in the wheat

endosperm demonstrates how the function of granule initiation proteins can be adapted to

mediate specific patterns of granule initiation among different species/tissues.

Results

The wheat orthologs of MRC are encoded on chromosomes 6A and 6D

The starch granule initiation protein MRC is highly conserved among land plants (Seung et al., 2018). To determine the role of MRC in wheat, we searched the wheat genome for genes encoding MRC orthologs. We ran a BLASTp search using the amino acid sequence of Arabidopsis MRC (*At*MRC, At4g32190) against the protein sequences from both tetraploid durum wheat (Svevo v1.1)(Maccaferri et al., 2019) and hexaploid bread wheat (IWGSG Chinese Spring)(Appels et al., 2018) genomes on Ensembl Plants. For hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), the two top protein hits were TraesCS6A02G180500.1 (encoded on chromosome 6A) and TraesCS6D02G164600.1 (encoded on chromosome 6D), which shared 95% sequence identity with each other and were predicted as homeologs on Ensembl. Both genes had a two-exon structure like the Arabidopsis gene (Seung et al., 2018), and were in syntenic positions on the A and D genomes (Figure 1). For tetraploid durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum*), the top protein hit was TRITD6Av1G081580.1 (encoded on chromosome 6A), which was identical in nucleotide and amino acid sequence to TraesCS6A02G180500.1. To determine whether these proteins were true orthologs of *At*MRC, we repeated the phylogenetic analyses of MRC homologs from our previous study (Seung et al., 2018) with the wheat protein sequences included. The 6A and 6D proteins clustered together on the tree, distinctly within the grass clade containing the rice and maize sequences (Supplemental Figure 1A). This confirms that the proteins are the wheat orthologs of MRC and will hereafter be referred to as *Ta*MRC-A1 (TraesCS6A02G180500) or *Tt*MRC-A1 (TRITD6Av1G081580), and *Ta*MRC-D1 (TraesCS6D02G164600). Notably, we did not find a full gene model for MRC on chromosome 6B, or anywhere else on the B genome, either in the durum or bread wheat genome.

To investigate why no homeolog was detected on chromosome 6B, we looked at the syntenic region of chromosome 6B in Chinese Spring, where there was a stretch of sequence that had homology to exon 2 and the beginning of the 3'UTR (Figure 1A). Interestingly, around 14kb downstream of that, there was a region highly similar to the end of the 3' UTR of *TaMRC-A1*. We looked at the transposable element annotation of the wheat reference genome around the exon 2 fragment (Daron et al., 2014), and a complete *gypsy* retrotransposon was annotated between the two 3'UTR fragments (Figure 1B). Further, we identified the 5 bp target site duplication (GAGAT, which is part of the 3'UTR) and the inverted terminal repeat

(TGTAA and TTACA at the start and end of the retrotransposon, respectively) characteristic

of retrotransposon insertions. Further, the distance between the 5' end of the exon 2 fragment

and its upstream neighbouring gene (TraesCS6B02G20500, a respiratory burst oxidase

homolog) was much larger than the distance between *Ta*MRC and the homeologs of the same

neighbouring gene on 6A and 6D, indicating a large insertion in this 6B region (Figure 1A).

Indeed, a fragment of another *gypsy* retrotransposon was found ca. 16 kb upstream of the

exon 2 fragment. Additionally, there was a sequence with homology to the 5' UTR of

TaMRC-A1 (85% identity over 243 bp) just 3 bp upstream of the exon 2 fragment, which

suggests that there was also a ~1.3 kbp deletion (based on A genome distances) that removed

the some of the 5' UTR, all of exon 1, intron 1 and the start of exon 2 of *TaMRC-B1*. Similar

to Chinese Spring, we found identical disruptions in *TaMRC-B1* sequences with

retrotransposon insertions and deletions in ten additional wheat genome assemblies

(Walkowiak et al., 2020). Overall, it appears that a deletion and a series of transposon

insertions severely disrupted *MRC* on chromosome 6B in bread wheat.

Since no B genome copy was found in durum wheat either, it is likely that the disruption of

MRC on chromosome 6B preceded the second hybridisation that resulted in hexaploid wheat.

To further investigate when the disruption of *MRC-B1* occurred, we looked for *MRC-B1* in

more tetraploid wheat accessions by aligning genome sequencing reads from *Triticum*

dicoccoides (wild emmer) (n=10) and *Triticum turgidum* ssp. *durum* (pasta wheat) (n=12)

against the A and B-genomes of Chinese Spring (Zhou et al., 2020) (Supplemental Table 1).

The exon 1 deletion and the retrotransposon insertion at the 3' end were detected in all

accessions (except for a few lines that had poor sequencing depth in the region), suggesting

that *TaMRC* on 6B was disrupted before or right after the hybridization of the A and B

genome. To distinguish these possibilities, we examined *MRC* in *Aegilops speltoides*, the

diploid species thought to be most closely related to the progenitor species of the wheat B

genome. We ran a BLASTn search on the genome assembly of the *Aegilops speltoides*

accession TS01 using the coding sequence of *TaMRC-A1* (Li et al., 2022) (Supplemental File

1). The top hit was on chromosome 6S (homologous to chromosome 6B in wheat) with intact

exons one and two (97.5% sequence identity), and the translated protein sequence had 96%

identity to *Ta*MRC-A1 with BLASTp. Thus, it is likely that *MRC* is intact in *Aegilops*

speltoides, suggesting that the loss of the B-homeolog occurred shortly after the hybridisation

that gave rise to tetraploid wheat (Figure 1C). It is therefore expected that all tetraploid

wheats have one *MRC* homeolog (on chromosome 6A), and all hexaploids have two (on

chromosomes 6A and 6D).

MRC is expressed in leaves and developing endosperm

We then looked at expression data of *TaMRC* homeologs using the hexaploid wheat

expression browser (Borrill et al., 2016; Ramirez-Gonzalez et al., 2018). Transcripts of the

6A and 6D homoeologs were detected in both leaves and grains, suggesting that MRC plays a

role in both these tissues (Figure 2A). To obtain temporal information on MRC expression in

the endosperm during grain development, we performed RNAseq analysis on dissected

endosperms of durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* cv. Kronos) throughout grain development.

The full dataset is available in our accompanying paper (Chen et al., 2022). The Kronos

variety was chosen as it is the genetic background of the tetraploid wheat TILLING mutants

characterised below. *TtMRC-A1* (TRITD6Av1G081580.1) showed a peak of expression

during early grain development (8 dpa), but strongly decreased in expression between 10 – 20

dpa (Figure 2B). It therefore appears that *MRC* is expressed in the wheat endosperm almost

exclusively during early grain development.

Loss of MRC does not affect the growth of wheat plants, or grain development

To study the function of MRC in wheat, we obtained mutants in durum wheat (*Triticum*

turgidum cv. Kronos) defective in *MRC*. We used the wheat *in silico* TILLING mutant

resource, which has an EMS-mutagenised population of Kronos with exome-capture

sequencing data for identification of lines with mutations of interest (Krasileva et al., 2017).

We obtained three mutants that were likely to cause a loss of function in *TtMRC-6A* (Figure

1B). The K3272 and K4681 lines contained premature stop codons in place of the 258th and

550th amino acids respectively. In addition, we obtained a third line that contained a missense

Leu289Phe mutation, which was predicted to be deleterious to protein function by SIFT

scoring (Ng and Henikoff, 2006). The Leu[289] residue is highly conserved in all MRC

orthologs, and its mutation to a Phe residue is predicted to disrupt coiled coil formation in the

region of the residue (Supplemental Figure 1B). Since the 6B homoeolog of MRC has likely

become a pseudogene, we predicted that *TtMRC-A1* would be the only functional *MRC*

homeolog in tetraploid wheat. However, to rule out the possibility that the fragment of exon 2

on chromosome 6B affects MRC function, we also obtained the K4305 and K3078 lines

which contain two different premature stop codon mutations in the putative reading frame of

the exon. We generated the *mrc-1* lines by crossing K3272 and K3078, and isolated lines

homozygous for either the 6A (F_2 *aa*BB) or 6B (F_2 AA*bb*) mutation, or both (F_2 *aabb*). The

double mutant line was backcrossed twice to wild type, and the wild-type segregant (BC_2F_2

AABB) and the homozygous double mutant (BC_2F_2 *aabb*) were selected. These will be

hereafter referred to as BC2 AABB and BC2 *aabb*. The *mrc-2* lines were generated in the

same way, but the K4681 and K4305 lines were crossed and no backcrossing was done. The

mrc-3 line contained the K598 missense mutation, and no crossing was conducted.

Under our growth conditions, none of the *mrc* mutant plants showed obvious differences in

growth or in the number of tillers per plant (Figure 3A, B, Supplemental Table 2A), and the

number of grains per plant did not differ in the mutants, except for a slight decrease in *mrc-3*

and the wild-type segregant (*mrc-1* BC2 AABB) compared to the wild type (WT) (Figure 3C,

Supplemental Table 2B). The morphology of the mature grains of the mutants was

indistinguishable from the WT (Figure 3D), and there were no differences in thousand grain

weight (TGW) and grain size between the WT and any of the three mutants *mrc-1, mrc-2,*

mrc-3, or between the WT and the backcrossed *mrc-1* (*mrc-1* BC2 *aabb*); but the wild-type

segregant (*mrc-1* BC2 AABB) had a slightly higher TGW and grain size compared to WT

(Figure 3E, F, Supplemental Table 2C,D). This suggests that some of the background

mutations in the wild-type segregant may have slightly affected grain development, but these

effects are small. There was no consistent effect of the independent *mrc* mutations on plant

growth or grain development.

Loss of MRC greatly alters starch granule size distributions in the endosperm

To determine the effect of the *mrc* mutations on starch synthesis in grains, we first measured

total starch content of mature grains. Starch content was largely similar between WT and the

mrc mutants. Although some pairwise comparisons showed $p<0.05$ (WT with *mrc-2* and *mrc-*

3), the confidence intervals of the difference in means still reached close to zero, suggesting

that any effect of the mutations was small (Figure 4A). We then looked at the number of

starch granules in the *mrc* mutants using a Coulter counter. The total number of granules

relative to grain weight (granules/mg grain) was similar between genotypes (Figure 4B).

However, the backcrossed *mrc-1* mutant had more starch granules per unit grain weight than

both the WT and the wild-type segregant, and although the non-backcrossed *mrc-1* mutant

was not significantly different to the WT, it trended towards having more granules per mg

grain. The *mrc-2* mutant also had relatively more granules than the WT, but *mrc-3* did not.

Granule size distributions were determined from the Coulter counter data by plotting the percentage of starch volume in each size bin relative to the total volume of starch measured. We observed clear bimodal distributions for all genotypes, with a peak corresponding to A-type granules (~ 18 – 25 μm) and a peak corresponding to B-type granules (~3 – 10 μm). However, the distribution profiles in the mutants were greatly altered compared to the WT, with the peak corresponding to B-type granules being more prominent in the mutants (Figure 5A). The profiles of the backcrossed *mrc-1* lines looked similar to their non-backcrossed equivalents. We fitted a bimodal log-normal distribution curve to the profiles of each sample to estimate the total volume percentage of B-type granules and the mean sizes of A- and B-type granules. Comparing the means of these extracted values between genotypes showed a higher B-type granule percentage (by volume) for all three mutants (*mrc-1, mrc-2* and *mrc-3*) compared to the WT (Figure 5B). The strongest increase was seen for *mrc-1*, and this increase was consistent when comparing the double backcrossed *mrc-1* (*mrc-1* BC2 *aabb*) with WT and the wild-type segregant (*mrc-1* BC2 AABB). There was a small increase in the volume percentage of B-type granules in the wild-type segregant compared to the wild type, but the difference was much smaller than between the other genotypes. The higher B-type granule volume percentage in *mrc* mutants can be due to both an increase in B-type granule size and number. The mean B-type granule size was larger for *mrc-1* (and *mrc-1* BC2 *aabb*) and *mrc-2* compared to wild type, but not *mrc-3* (Figure 5D). By contrast, the mean A-type granule diameter was smaller for all three mutants compared to wild type (Figure 5C). Thus, the increased proportion of B-type granule volume may be due to a combination of smaller A-type granules, as well as larger and/or more numerous B-type granules.

We also explored whether the *mrc* mutations affected starch granule shape. We examined iodine-stained thin sections of mature grains of the *mrc* mutants using light microscopy. Like the wild type, all mutants had flattened A-type and round B-type granules (Figure 6A). Similarly, no defects in A- or B-type granule shape were observed in the starch granules of the mutants using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Figure 6B). We also tested whether starch polymer structure or composition was affected by mutation in MRC. The *mrc-1* mutant with the strongest alteration in granule size distribution had normal amylopectin structure and amylose content (Supplemental Figure 2).

Finally, to confirm that the 6A copy of *MRC* is the only functional homoeolog in tetraploid wheat, we quantified starch granule size distribution in the full set of homozygous genotypes

resulting from the crosses that yielded the *mrc-1* and *mrc-2* mutants [indicated as *aa*BB (6A
mutant), AA*bb* (6B mutant) and *aabb* (6A and 6B double mutant)]. The size distribution of
starch granules was identical between wild type and AA*bb*, and were similarly altered in the
*aa*BB and *aabb* genotypes. This provides experimental evidence that the fragment of exon 2
on chromosome 6B does not contribute to granule size distribution and is likely to be a
pseudogene (Supplemental Figure 3).
285
Overall, these data suggest that MRC is required for the normal size distribution of starch
granules in wheat endosperm. In tetraploid wheat, mutants in the 6A copy of *MRC*
consistently had a higher relative volume of B-type granules in the endosperm than the wild
type, and smaller A-type granules. This change in granule size distribution occurred without
accompanying changes in total starch content, starch granule shape, amylose content or
amylopectin structure.
292
Loss of MRC results in the early initiation of B-type granules
To understand how MRC affects the size distribution of endosperm starch granules, and its
effect on A-type or B-type granules specifically, we investigated granule initiation during
grain development in the strongest *mrc* mutant, *mrc-1*. We dissected the endosperm of
developing grains harvested 8, 14, 20 and 30 days post anthesis (dpa), and measured both the
total starch content and number of starch granules. The total starch content of the endosperm
increased between each time point, and there was no significant difference between the
mutant and the wild type at any time point (Figure 7A). We then quantified the total number
of starch granules in the endosperm using a Coulter counter. At the 8 dpa timepoint, the
mutant and the wild type contained a similar number of starch granules. Interestingly, for the
two subsequent time points (14 dpa and 20 dpa), the mutant endosperms contained almost
double the number of starch granules of the wild type, despite similar starch contents (Figure
7B). The largest increase in granule number during grain filling was observed between the 20
and 30 dpa timepoints in the wild type, and between the 14 and 20 dpa timepoints in the
mutant. At the 30 dpa timepoint, the difference in granule number between the mutant and
the wild type decreased. Interestingly, we also noted that in both the wild type and mutant,
the number of starch granules decreased between the 8 and 14 dpa timepoints. The reason for
this is unknown, but it has also been observed in *Aegilops* species – which are close relatives
of wheat (Howard et al., 2011).
312

We used both a Coulter counter and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) to examine A- to

B-type granule ratio in the endosperm throughout grain development. In the wild type, starch

granules formed unimodal size distributions at the 8 and 14 dpa timepoints, and only A-type

granules with their characteristic flattened morphology were observed using SEM (Figure 8).

The A-type granules grew substantially in size between the two timepoints, seen as a shift in

the granule size distribution peak. B-type granules only became prominent at the 20 dpa

timepoint in the wild type but were already present at 14 dpa in the *mrc-1* mutant, which

could be seen as a distinct shoulder that appeared in the granule size distribution of *mrc-1* at

14 dpa. Interestingly, the A-type granules in the mutant were the same size as those of the

wild type at 8 dpa, but as grain development progressed the *mrc-1* A-type granules were

smaller compared to the WT as they grew relatively less in size, particularly as B-type

granules were initiated. Taken together, these data suggest that the increased number of

granules observed between 14-20 dpa in the *mrc-1* endosperm compared to the WT (observed

in Figure 7B) is due to the early initiation of B-type granules in the mutant. The A-type

granules in the mutant likely end up smaller than in the WT as the early B-type granules

compete with the A-type granules for the substrates of starch synthesis.

B-type granules typically initiate in close proximity – appearing as 'clusters' in between the

A-type granules – and at least some B-type granules form in amyloplast stromules (Parker,

1985; Langeveld et al., 2000). Given the unusual timing of B-type granule initiation in *mrc-1*,

we explored whether the loss of MRC also affected the location of B-type granule initiation.

First, we harvested grains during their development (10, 15, and 20 dpa), subjected them to

critical point drying, and imaged sections through the endosperm tissue using SEM.

Consistent with the findings from the purified starch granules, B-type granules were

prominent already at 10 dpa in the mutant, whereas they only became prominent after 20 dpa

in the wild type (Figure 9A). The B-type granules occurred in clusters in the mutant that

resembled those of the wild type. Secondly, we examined sections from developing grain

tissue (15 dpa) using light and electron microscopy. For light microscopy, sections were

stained with toluidine blue (a negative stain for starch). At 15 dpa, most starch granules in the

wild-type endosperm were flattened A-type granules, and there were very few B-type

granules visible (Figure 9B). However, in the endosperm of the *mrc-1* mutant, many clusters

of B-type granules were present. Thus, we used transmission electron microscopy (TEM) to

investigate whether the multiple B-type granules in *mrc-1* occurred within single amyloplasts,

particularly in stromules. Indeed, multiple B-type granules were enclosed within amyloplasts,

and the elongated morphology of these amyloplast regions strongly suggested that they are

stromules (Figure 9C). It is difficult to determine the exact percentage of B-type granules in

stromules relative to those that are not, as stromules are difficult to observe in two-

dimensional electron microscopy sections. However, aside from their earlier occurrence in

the mutant, we did not observe anything unusual about the location of B-type granules in the

mrc-1 mutant.

In conclusion, B-type granule initiation during wheat grain development is under both spatial

and temporal control, and MRC appears to be required for this temporal control. It is

expressed during early grain development, and loss of MRC leads to the early initiation of B-

type granules. We therefore propose that MRC acts as a repressor of B-type granule

formation in the developing wheat endosperm during early grain development.

TaMRC promotes granule initiation in wheat leaves

Considering the role of MRC in promoting granule initiation in Arabidopsis leaves (Seung et

al., 2018; Vandromme et al., 2019), it was surprising that MRC repressed B-type granule

formation in wheat endosperm. We therefore investigated whether this repressive role also

applies to granule initiation in wheat leaves, which would suggest a divergence in MRC

function in wheat compared to Arabidopsis; or whether there was a tissue-level divergence in

MRC function in wheat, where MRC promotes granule initiation in wheat leaves as it does in

Arabidopsis leaves.

To assess granule number, shape and size in leaf chloroplasts of our wheat mutants, leaf

tissue was harvested from the middle of the older of two leaves of 10-day-old wheat

seedlings and sections were imaged with light microscopy (Figure 10A, B). The number of

granules per chloroplast was quantified from these images. We measured three individual

plants per genotype for each experiment and compared the mean granules per chloroplast

between genotypes using a negative binomial mixed effects model with individual biological

replicates as random effect. We first compared all three *mrc* mutants with the WT (Figure

10C, Table 1). This showed that *mrc-1* and *mrc-2* had fewer granules per chloroplast than the

WT, and like in the endosperm, the *mrc-1* mutant showed the strongest effect, having almost

50% fewer granules per chloroplast compared to the wild-type (Table 1). Interestingly, there

was no effect observed for *mrc-3*, suggesting that the L289F mutation does not affect MRC

function in leaves. We also compared the backcrossed *mrc-1* mutant (*mrc-1* BC2 *aabb*) to the

WT and the wild-type segregant (*mrc-1* BC2 AABB) (Figure 10 D, Table 1). The

backcrossed line also had fewer granules per chloroplast compared to WT, similar to the non-

backcrossed *mrc-1*. However, the wild-type segregant also had significantly fewer granules

per chloroplast compared to WT, although not to the same extent as the backcrossed *mrc-1*.

Likely due to this difference between the WT and the wild-type segregant, the comparison

between the backcrossed *mrc-1* and the wild-type segregant did not come out as significant

(Table 1), despite the clear shift in granule number distribution between the two genotypes.

Overall, the fewer granules in *mrc* chloroplasts in comparison to wild type suggests that

MRC promotes granule initiation in wheat leaves, pointing to distinct roles of MRC across

organs.

While the effect of the *mrc-1* mutation on starch granule number per chloroplast was

consistent, two other aspects of leaf starch – granule size and total starch content – appeared

to be interdependent and varied between our experiments. We quantified the size of granules

from these images by measuring the area of granules in the sections. The distributions

showed a trend towards larger granule sizes in the *mrc-1* mutant (Figure 10E, F). However,

after fitting a linear mixed effects model with individual biological replicates as random

effect (Table 2), pairwise comparisons showed no differences between any of the non-

backcrossed *mrc* mutants and wild type. However, in the experiment comparing the

backcrossed *mrc-1* mutants to wild type and wild-type segregant, the granules were larger in

both backcrossed and non-backcrossed *mrc-1* mutants. Aside from the differences in size,

there was no visible difference in starch granule shape in any of the mutants compared to

wild type.

Similar variability between experiments was seen in total starch content, which was measured

in both leaves of 10-day-old wheat seedlings at the end of the day, when maximum starch is

expected. Our first three experiments compared the genotypes WT, *mrc-1, mrc-2* and *mrc-3*

(Experiments 1, 2, 3; Supplemental Table 4), and the pooled data showed a lower starch

content in all *mrc* mutants compared to wild type (Figure 11A). Our next two experiments

(Experiments 4 and 5, Supplemental Table 4) compared end of day starch content in WT,

mrc-1, mrc-1 BC2 *aabb* and *mrc-1* BC2 AABB. By contrast, these experiments showed no

difference in starch content between *mrc-1* and WT (Figure 11B). In fact, when comparing

mrc1 BC2 *aabb* with *mrc-1* BC2 AABB or with the WT, there was a small increase in starch

content in the backcrossed mutant. The reason for the discrepancy between the results from

experiments 1 – 3 compared to experiments 4 and 5 is unknown, but it may indicate that total
starch content in wheat leaves is particularly variable and dependent on many environmental
and physiological factors.

Most interestingly, lower starch content in *mrc* mutants compared to the wild type was
observed in the same batch of plants where we did not observe a significant difference in -
granule size between *mrc* mutants (Experiment 2, Figure 10E), and there was identical (or
greater) starch content observed for *mrc-1* mutants in the same batch of plants where we
observed larger granules for these mutants (Experiment 5, Figure 10F). Since the reduction in
granule number described above was consistently observed in all experiments, it is plausible
that the effect on granule size depends on starch content, such that the *mrc-1* mutant only
produces larger granules under conditions where total starch content is equal. Pooled data
from plants harvested at the end of the night (quantified in experiments 1 and 2) showed very
low starch content in all three *mrc* mutants, indicating substantial nocturnal starch turnover in
all genotypes, and no differences between wild type and mutants (Figure 11C).

Discussion
A novel role for MRC in repressing B-type granule initiation during endosperm starch
synthesis
Starch granule initiation remains the most enigmatic part of the starch synthesis process,
where we understand little about how the diverse numbers and morphologies of starch
granules are determined in our most important crops (Seung and Smith, 2019; Abt and
Zeeman, 2020; Chen et al., 2021). Although we know that the initiation of A-type and B-type
granules in wheat is spatially and temporally separated, we do not fully understand which
proteins are involved in determining these different patterns.

Here, we have demonstrated a novel role of MRC in ensuring the correct timing of B-type
granule formation in the wheat endosperm, by repressing B-type granule initiation during
early grain development. MRC is expressed in the endosperm between 6–10 dpa but
decreases rapidly in expression between 10–15 dpa, remaining low after 15 dpa (Figure 2).
This decline in expression coincides with when B-type granules start to form in the wild type
(between 14 –20 dpa; Figures 7 - 9). In the *mrc-1* mutant, B-type granules were initiated
earlier than in the wild type, already at 10 dpa (Figures 8 and 9), which could be due to the

loss of B-type granule repression by MRC in early grain development. There was an

increased number of starch granules in the *mrc-1* mutant compared to wild type from 14 to 30

dpa (Figure 7), and this increase remained apparent in the mature grains of the backcrossed

mrc-1 mutant (Figure 4).

We propose that the early initiation of B-type granules results in drastically altered starch

granule size distributions in the endosperm. The early appearance of B-type granules in the

mrc-1 mutant means that they compete with A-type granules for substrates for granule

growth (i.e., ADP-glucose) from an earlier stage of grain development than in the wild type,

resulting in a higher volume of B-type granules and lower volume of A-type granules in the

mature mutant grains (Figure 5). At 8 dpa, before the appearance of B-type granules, the size

distribution curves of A-type granules were almost identical between mutant and wild type

(Figure 8), and it was only at the later stages of grain development following B-type granule

initiation that the A-type granules became smaller in the mutant compared to wild type. The

lack of difference in A-type granule number and size at 8 dpa suggests that the *mrc-1*

mutation did not affect A-type granule initiation.

Rather, the early B-type granule initiation alone could result in the increased proportion of B-

type granule volume in mature grains, possibly caused by a combination of smaller A-type

granules and larger and perhaps relatively more numerous B-type granules (Figure 12). We

measured a decrease in A-type granule size in all three *mrc* mutants, and an increase in B-

type granule size in *mrc-1* and *mrc-2,* but not *mrc-3* (Figure 5). We also observed a slight

increase in total granule number in our *mrc-2* and backcrossed *mrc-1* lines (Figure 4B),

which may indicate an increase in the number of B-type granules that could also contribute to

the higher relative volume of B-type granules in these lines; although it should be noted that

there is currently no method for specifically quantifying the number of B-type granules,

considering our definition of B-type granules comes from curve fitting volume/size

distribution graphs from the Coulter counter. For *mrc-3,* a higher B-type granule volume

percentage was observed (Figure 5B) without a measurable increase in B-type granule size or

in total granule number (Figure 4B), but it is still possible that there is a relative increase in

B-type granule number in *mrc-3*. Small differences in B-type granule number may be

difficult to detect as the B-type granules already make up a much larger proportion of the

total granule number in wild type.

Despite all three *mrc* mutants having an increased proportion of B-type granule volume, they

differed in severity (Figure 5). The strongest effect on B-type granule percentage was seen in

mrc-1, and since the phenotypes of the *mrc-1* backcrossed and non-backcrossed lines were

similar, the severity of this line is not due to background mutations. The *mrc-1* line may have

the strongest phenotype because the premature stop codon occurs earlier in the coding

sequence than in *mrc-2*, and it is possible that the truncated protein in *mrc-2* is partially

functional. The *mrc-3* mutant had the weakest phenotypes, suggesting the Leu289Phe

mutation might also produce a partially functional protein.

However, the phenotype of the wild-type segregant from the *mrc-1* backcross was not

identical to the wild type, indicating the presence of additional background mutations in the

wild-type segregant line. This line had slightly higher TGW and grain size, decreased grains

per plant (Figure 3), and a small increase in B-type granule volume percentage (Figure 5)

compared to the wild type. The presence of background mutations is not unusual in these

EMS-mutagenised wheat TILLING lines (Uauy et al., 2017), and importantly, the effects

observed in the wild-type segregant were minor compared to the effects observed in the

mutants.

MRC has tissue-specific roles in promoting or repressing granule initiation

Since wheat produces starch in both leaves and endosperm, the effects of *mrc* mutations

could be explored in both organs. We discovered that MRC has contrasting functions in the

endosperm compared to the leaves. Rather than repressing granule initiation, MRC promotes

granule initiation in the leaves, similar as in Arabidopsis leaves, although the mutant

phenotype in wheat appears to be less severe than in Arabidopsis. In Arabidopsis *mrc*

mutants, there is one large granule per chloroplast rather than the multiple smaller granules in

wild-type chloroplasts (Seung et al., 2018; Vandromme et al., 2019). By contrast, the wheat

mrc chloroplasts still have multiple, but fewer granules per chloroplast (Figure 10). This

difference in phenotype severity is similar to those observed for the orthologs of other

granule initiation proteins of Arabidopsis (BGC1 and SS4) in wheat (Hawkins et al., 2021;

Watson-Lazowski et al., 2022). The *mrc-1* and *mrc-2* mutants both have fewer granules per

chloroplast compared to wild type, with *mrc-1* having the strongest effect, consistent with the

endosperm phenotype. Also similar to the endosperm phenotype, the wild-type segregant

shows slightly fewer granules per chloroplast compared to wild type, indicating the

background mutations also have an effect in the leaves.

The primary effect of the *mrc* mutations in leaves was the reduction in granule number per

chloroplast, but we also saw varying effects on granule size. In one set of experiments, we

detected larger granules in *mrc-1* compared to wild type, although in another set we did not

(Figure 10). This appears to be linked to whether a change in total leaf starch content was

observed or not, since in both experiments, there was a reduced number of starch granules in

the mutants. In the experiment where there was no difference in total starch at the end of the

day, the individual (fewer) granules were larger in the mutant, as would be expected.

However, in the experiment where the total starch content was lower in the *mrc-1* mutant

compared to wild type, the increase in granule size was not observed.

In Arabidopsis, lack of MRC does not reduce the total end of day leaf starch content; in fact,

this is slightly higher in the mutant compared to the wild type (Seung et al., 2018). Overall, in

wheat leaves the effect of *mrc* mutations on the total end of day starch content was variable in

our experiments (Figure 11). We used both leaves of 10-day old seedlings rather than older

plants to minimise the variability in starch content across leaves which we observed in mature

plants, but this did not prevent the variability across experiments, perhaps suggesting that

starch content in wheat leaves may be more sensitive to small differences in environmental

conditions. This could reflect differences in the regulation of starch turnover in wheat vs.

Arabidopsis leaves, since soluble sugars make up the majority of the wheat leaf carbohydrate

content and are thought to be more important as a storage reserve than starch (Nie et al.,

1995; Müller et al., 2018; Watson-Lazowski et al., 2022). These differences may also

contribute to the less severe effect on granule number in wheat *mrc* mutants compared to

Arabidopsis leaves. The effect of MRC on leaf starch granule initiation is likely to differ

depending on the developmental stage, so future studies should focus on the biochemistry of

starch granule initiation across leaf and plant development to reveal a complete picture of the

role of MRC and other granule initiation proteins in the wheat chloroplast. In Arabidopsis,

reduced *At*SS4 abundance has a much stronger effect on granule formation in younger leaves

compared to mature leaves (Crumpton-Taylor et al., 2013).

Exploring the biochemical basis of the contrasting roles of MRC between organs

Since MRC is a long coiled-coil protein with no known enzymatic domains, it is possible that

it can exert opposite effects on granule initiation by interacting with different interaction

partners. The Arabidopsis *At*MRC can interact directly with *At*SS4 in yeast two hybrid

(Vandromme et al., 2019), and in Arabidopsis leaves *At*MRC was also pulled down in

association with *At*PTST2, the ortholog of wheat BGC1 (Seung et al., 2018). Therefore, it is

possible that in wheat, protein-protein interactions also play an important role in the function

of MRC, although the nature of the interactions may be quite different. Recent work has

begun to uncover the distinct roles of Arabidopsis starch granule initiation orthologs in wheat

– in the endosperm, SS4 restricts granule initiation during early grain development to ensure

proper A-type granule formation and mutants defective in SS4 form compound granules

(Hawkins et al., 2021). Similar, but less severe, is the *bgc1* mutant in wheat which also forms

irregular compound-like granules but has a higher proportion of normal-looking A-type

granules than *ss4* mutants (Chia et al., 2020; Hawkins et al., 2021). BGC1 not only represses

granule initiation during A-type granule formation, but also promotes B-type granule

formation, as mutants with reduced gene dosage of BGC1 have fewer B-type granules than

wild type, with no apparent impact on A-type granules (Chia et al., 2017; Chia et al., 2020).

However, a B-type granule suppressing mechanism during early grain development, like we

report here for MRC, has not previously been described, and suggests that MRC and BGC1

might have opposing roles in the wheat endosperm, despite their similar initiation promoting

roles seen in leaves of Arabidopsis (Seung et al., 2017; Seung et al., 2018) and wheat

(Watson-Lazowski et al., 2022). Similarly, wheat SS4 also promotes leaf granule initiation,

as mutants had mostly starchless chloroplasts in the leaves (Hawkins et al., 2021). Together

with our finding for MRC, this suggests that there may be more distinct differences for

granule initiation proteins between different organs of the same species in comparison to the

same organs from different species. The Arabidopsis granule initiation proteins localise to

distinct puncta in the chloroplast, but we do not yet know anything about the localisation of

these wheat orthologs in the chloroplast or amyloplast. In the future, exploring the protein

localisation, protein-protein interactions, and the connection between the two in both tissues

could reveal what underpins these distinct functions of MRC.

The specific effect of the L289F mutation in the *mrc-3* mutant on endosperm starch may

provide an important clue in these studies, since *mrc-3* had increased B-type granule

percentage in the endosperm, but no differences in granule number in leaves. Determining

whether the L289F mutation affects protein conformation, interactions and localisation might

help dissect the differences in MRC function in leaves versus endosperm.

MRC as a gene target for biotechnological modification of starch granule size

There is significant industrial interest in manipulating starch granule size in crop species, as

granule size affects the physico-chemical properties of starch and digestibility (Jobling, 2004;

Lindeboom et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2021; Li et al., 2021). Our results establish MRC as a

promising gene target for modifying starch granule size distribution in wheat, specifically to

achieve smaller starch granules and a narrower granule size distribution range than

conventional cultivars. Small granules are more efficiently digested *in vitro* than large

granules, due to their larger surface area to volume ratio (Dhital et al., 2010). Possible uses

for wheat *mrc* starch within the food industry include pasta making, where more B-type

granules positively affect pasta quality due to their higher rate of water absorption (Soh et al.,

2006). Wheat *mrc* starch may also be useful in industrial applications like papermaking and

biodegradable plastics, where small granules are desirable (Lindeboom et al., 2004).

Functional tests can be directly performed on our material to provide proof of concept that

the altered granule size distribution in the *mrc* mutants improves grain/starch quality.

MRC is a promising target because different granule size can be achieved without

accompanying effects on overall plant growth (Figure 3), on grain weight and total starch

content (Figure 4), starch granule shape (Figure 6), or on amylopectin structure and amylose

content (Supplemental figure 3). It is also ideal that the B genome homeolog has become a

pseudogene (Figure 1), meaning that only one or two homeologs need to be mutated in durum

and bread wheat respectively to achieve an effect on granule size. Further, we have also

demonstrated that different mutations in MRC can be used to fine-tune the volume of B-type

granules in endosperm starch, such as those in *mrc-2* and *mrc-3* to achieve moderate

increases, and *mrc-1* to achieve larger increases. Along this line, we are currently

investigating whether overexpression of MRC can be used to reduce the volume of B-type

granules.

Since the repression of B-type granule initiation is likely to be a role specific to Triticeae

species carrying a bimodal size distribution of endosperm starch, it remains to be determined

what the role of MRC is in cereal species that do not have a bimodal distribution of starch

granules, such as those that have compound granules (e.g. in rice). Also, oats have a bimodal

distribution of starch granules, with large compound granules and smaller simple granules.

However, in oat, the smaller granules initiate at the same time as the larger compound

granules (Saccomanno et al., 2017), and it would therefore be interesting to determine if

differences in MRC function play a role in timing the initiation of the small granules during

oat endosperm development. Exploring the role of MRC in multiple crop species would

therefore not only reveal the molecular differences that result in distinct spatiotemporal

patterns of granule initiation between species, but also potentially increase its

biotechnological potential.

Materials and Methods

TaMRC bioinformatic analysis

To characterize *TtMRC-B1* in tetraploid wheat, we aligned the whole genome-sequencing

reads of *Triticum dicoccoides* (n = 10) and *Triticum turgidum ssp. durum* (n = 10) from Zhou

et al., 2020 against the 'tetraploid' version of the Ref-Seqv1.0 Chinese Spring assembly

(Appels et al., 2018). We used HiSat2-v-2.1.0 (Kim et al., 2019) with the default settings and

visualized the read alignments on the genetic signatures of retrotransposon insertion on *MRC-*

B1 (Figure 1B) using Integrated Genomics Viewer (Robinson et al., 2011). Phylogenetic

analyses were performed as described in Seung et al., (2018).

Plant materials and growth

EMS mutants of tetraploid wheat (*Triticum turgidum* cv. Kronos) carrying mutations in

TtMRC-A1 and the chromosome 6B pseudogene were identified from the wheat *in silico*

TILLING database (http://www.wheat-tilling.com; Krasileva et al., 2017) and obtained from

the John Innes Centre Germplasm Resource Unit. The selected mutants for *TtMRC-A1* were

Kronos3272(K3272), Kronos598(K598) and Kronos4681(K4681); while

Kronos4305(K4305) and Kronos3078(K3078) were selected for the 6B pseudogene. From

these mutants, we generated three different sets of lines. The *mrc-1* lines descend from a

cross between K3272 and K3078, while the *mrc-2* lines descend from a cross between K4681

and K4305. For both crosses, *aa*BB, AA*bb* and *aabb* genotypes were obtained in the F2

generation. The *mrc-3* lines are the original K598 mutants. The KASP markers used to

genotype the mutations are provided in Supplemental Table 3.

For all experiments on grains, plants were grown in soil in a controlled environment room

fitted with fluorescent lamps and supplemented with LED panels. The chambers were set to

provide a 16-h light/8-h dark cycle, with light intensity of 300 μmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} and

relative humidity of 60%. Temperature was set to 20°C during the light period, and 16°C

during the dark period. Grains were harvested when the entire spike had senesced and dried

(approximately 4 months after sowing). The grains from the first three tillers were used for

analysis. For experiments on leaves, plants were grown in a controlled environment chamber

with the same settings as above. Leaves were harvested 10 days after germination, when two

leaves were present. Both leaves were harvested for total starch quantification, and a section

from the middle of the older leaf was used for light microscopy.

Grain morphometrics

The number of grains harvested per plant, as well as grain size traits (area, thousand grain

weight) were quantified using the MARViN seed analyser (Marvitech GmbH, Wittenburg).

Multiple grains from each plant (15 - 88 individual grains per plant) were measured for grain

area to calculate the average value for each plant, and these values were used in the plots of

Figure 3F and the analysis of Supplemental Table 2D.

Starch purification from mature grains or developing endosperm

Starch was purified from mature grains using 3-6 grains per extraction. Dry grains were

soaked overnight at 4°C in 5 mL of sterile water. The softened grains were homogenised in

10 mL sterile water using a mortar and pestle, and the homogenate was filtered through a 100

μm mesh. The starch was pelleted by centrifugation at 3,000g for 5 minutes, and resuspended

in 2 mL of water. The resuspended starch was loaded on top of a 5 mL 90% Percoll (Sigma)

cushion buffered with 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, and was spun at 2,500g for 15 minutes. We

verified that no intact granules were left in the Percoll interface after the spin. The starch

pellet was washed twice with wash buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8; 10 mM EDTA; 4%

SDS; and 10 mM DTT), then three times with water, followed by a final wash in absolute

ethanol. The starch was then air dried overnight.

For starch extraction from developing endosperm, developing grains were harvested at the

indicated timepoints and were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until

analysis. Each grain was thawed just prior to extraction and the endosperm was carefully

dissected and placed into a chilled tube and weighed. The tissue was then homogenised in

sterile water with a pestle, then filtered through a 60 μm mesh. The pellet was washed three

times in 90% Percoll (Sigma) buffered with 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, then three times with

wash buffer (as above), followed by three times with water.

Coulter counter analysis of starch granule size and number

For profiles of granule size distribution, purified starch was suspended in Isoton II diluent

(Beckman Coulter) and analysed with a Multisizer 4e Coulter counter fitted with a 70 μm

aperture (Beckman Coulter). Granules were counted either in volumetric mode, measuring 1

mL from a total 100 mL volume preparation containing 20 μL of purified starch or set to

count at least 100,000 granules. For calculations of granule counts in volumetric mode, the

number of granules per mg grain weight was back calculated to the total starting grain

weight. The granules were sized, with the Coulter counter collecting the data using

logarithmic bins for the granule diameter (standard settings).

For each plant, to calculate the mean A- and B-type granule size, as well as relative B-type

granule volume, we fitted a mixed bimodal gaussian curve to the distribution using R

(https://github.com/JIC-CSB/coulter_counter_fitting). As the data collection on the Coulter

counter is set to logarithmic bins on the x-axis, for these calculations and for the traces in

Figures 5A, 8A, and Supplemental Figure 3, we transformed the x-axis to even bins, by

changing the y-axis to volume percentage density (volume percentage for each bin divided by

bin width). For each of the extracted phenotypes of mean A- and B-type granule size and

relative B-type granule volume, we fitted individual linear models and performed a one-way

ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc tests for pairwise comparisons of the genotypes, using the lm()

and emmeans() functions in R, from the 'stats' and 'emmeans' packages. Nine individual

plants per genotype were used for this experiment.

Light and electron microscopy

For light microscopy of starch granules in leaf chloroplasts, leaf material (1.5 mm x 1.5 mm

squares) was harvested and fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.05 M sodium cacodylate, pH

7.4, which was vacuum infiltrated into the leaf. The segments were post-fixed with osmium,

then dehydrated in an ascending ethanol series, and embedded in LR white resin using EM

TP embedding machine (Leica). Semi-thin sections (0.5 μm thick) were produced from the

embedded leaves using a glass knife and were dried onto PTFE-coated slides. Chloroplasts

and cell walls were stained using toluidine blue stain (0.5% toluidine blue 'O', 0.5% sodium

borate) for 1 min. Starch was stained using reagents from the Periodic Acid-Schiff staining

kit (Abcam): using a 30 min incubation with periodic acid solution, followed by 5 min with

Schiff's solution. Then, chloroplasts and cell walls were again stained using toluidine blue

stain for 1 min. The sections were mounted with Histomount (National Diagnostics) and

imaged on a DM6000 microscope with 63X oil immersion lens (Leica).

For light microscopy of endosperm sections from mature grains, thin sections (1 μm thick) of

mature grains were made using a microtome fitted with a glass knife. Sections were mounted

onto a glass slide and stained with 3% Lugol's iodine solution (Sigma) prior to imaging on a

DM6000 (Leica) or AxioObserver Z1 (Zeiss) microscope.

For light/electron microscopy of developing endosperm tissue, developing grains (15 dpa)

were harvested into 4% paraformaldehyde, 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.05 M sodium

cacodylate, pH 7.4. The osmium post-fixation, dehydration and embedding into LR white

resin was done as described above for leaves. For light microscopy, semi-thin sections were

stained with toluidine blue and imaged as described above.

For transmission electron microscopy (TEM), ultra-thin sections (approximately 90 nm) were

produced from the embedded grains by sectioning with a diamond knife using a Leica UC7

ultramicrotome (Leica, Milton Keynes). The sections were picked up on 200 mesh copper

grids which had been formvar and carbon coated, then stained with 2% (w/v) uranyl acetate

for 1hr and 1% (w/v) lead citrate for 1 minute, washed in distilled water and air dried. The

grids were viewed in a FEI Talos 200C transmission electron microscope (FEI UK Ltd,

Cambridge, UK) at 200kV and imaged using a Gatan OneView 4K x 4K digital camera

(Gatan, Cambridge, UK) to record DM4 files.

For scanning electron microscopy (SEM): For imaging starch granules, a drop of purified

starch suspended in water (5 mg/mL) was air-dried onto a glass coverslip attached onto an

SEM stub. For imaging sections through developing endosperm, harvested grains were fixed

in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.05 M sodium cacodylate, pH 7.4. The fixative was removed by

washing with 0.05 M sodium cacodylate, pH 7.4, after which the grains were dehydrated in

an ascending ethanol series, and then subjected to critical point drying in a CPD300

instrument (Leica) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Thick transverse sections

were produced from the dried grains and were glued onto SEM stubs. All stubs were sputter

coated with gold and observed using either a Supra 55 VPFEG (Zeiss) or Nova NanoSEM

450 (FEI) SEM instrument.

Analysis of leaf light microscopy images

For quantification of the number of granules per chloroplast, light microscopy images from three individual plants of each of experiments 2 and 5 were used (Supplemental Table 4). Using the cell counter plug-in in ImageJ, the number of granules per chloroplast were counted manually, until 200 – 250 chloroplasts were reached. Cells were chosen across the section, where most of the granules were stained well and clearly visible, and cells directly next to vasculature were avoided. It should be noted that these are counts of granule sections, rather than the actual numbers of granules per chloroplast, as the actual number cannot be determined from two-dimensional sections. As much as possible, all chloroplasts in a cell were counted to avoid bias, although occasionally a few chloroplasts where the granules were unclear had to be omitted. Both lighter and darker stained granules were counted, but occasionally light-staining patches that were difficult to discern as granules were observed in all samples, and these were not included in the count.

For quantification of the granule size, we analysed the same samples as for the granules per chloroplast, using the 'analyse particles' function in ImageJ, and manually adjusted the thresholding in an image until the dark granules in the images were correctly separated. This was done for several images across the section, until 100 – 400 granules were reached for each plant. As much as possible, we removed instances where the thresholding had fused multiple granules in proximity by checking obvious outliers against the original images.

For the statistics of the quantification of the number of granules per chloroplast, we consulted the Statistical Services Centre Ltd (Reading, UK), who wrote the statistical analysis script in R for us, available at [https://github.com/Jiaawen/2022_MRC_wheat_Rscripts/tree/main/Fig10]. We used a negative binomial mixed effects model, since we were analysing count data and wanted to account for both the random effect of biological replicate and the frequency distribution of number of granules per chloroplast. We used the mixed_model() function with 'family=negative.binomial' from the 'GLMMadaptive' package, and the emmeans() function from the 'emmeans' package for pairwise comparisons in R. Our data had overdispersion when fitting a Poisson model but not when using a negative binomial. We used individual plants as the random effect (for both experiments: 3 plants for each of 4 genotypes – 12 plants total), and genotype as fixed effect.

For the statistics of the granule area, we used a linear mixed effects model, also with the

individual plants as random effect and genotype as fixed effect. We used the lmer() function

from the 'lmerTest' package, and the emmeans() function from the 'emmeans' package for

pairwise comparisons.

Quantification of starch content in leaves and endosperm

Starch was quantified in leaf tissue as previously described (Smith and Zeeman, 2006).

Briefly, frozen leaf tissue was ground into a powder with a ball mill and then extracted with

perchloric acid. Starch in the insoluble fraction of the extraction was gelatinised at 95°C and

digested to glucose with α-amylase (Megazyme) and amyloglucosidase (Roche). The glucose

released was measured using the hexokinase/glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase method

(Roche). Starch content (in glucose equivalents) was calculated relative to the original dry

weight of the analysed grains.

Five individual experiments were done to quantify the total end of day leaf starch content

(Supplemental Table 4). One set of genotypes was measured in experiments 1 – 3: WT, *mrc-*

1, mrc-2, mrc-3. Another set was measured in experiments 4 and 5: WT, *mrc-1,* the double

backcrossed *mrc-1* BC2 *aabb* and the wild-type segregant from that backcross, *mrc-1* BC2

AABB. Therefore, in the statistical analysis experiments 1, 2, 3 were pooled together and

experiments 4 and 5 were pooled together. An ANOVA using a fixed effects model showed

no interaction between the experiment effect and genotype effect, and experiment and

genotype were set as fixed effects in our linear model. We fitted individual linear models for

end of day starch in experiments 1 – 3, end of day starch in experiments 4 & 5 and end of

night starch in experiments 1 & 2. Then we performed ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc tests

using these linear models for pairwise comparisons of the genotypes. For the details of

number of replicates, see Supplemental Table 4. We used the lm() function from the 'stats'

package in R for the linear models, and used the emmeans() function from the 'emmeans'

package for calculating adjusted means and pairwise comparisons.

A similar method to leaves was used for starch quantification in grains. Mature grains (5-6

grains) were soaked overnight at 4°C in 5 mL of sterile water and were homogenised using a

mortar and pestle. Developing endosperm tissue was extracted in 1 mL of sterile water with

the pestle. Insoluble material in an aliquot of the homogenate was collected by centrifugation

at 5,000*g* for 5 mins, then washed once in 0.7 M perchloric acid, once in sterile water, then

three times in 80% ethanol. The pellet was then resuspended in water. Starch in the pellet was

gelatinised by heating at 95°C for 15 min, then digested using α-amylase (Megazyme) and

amyloglucosidase (Roche).

Analysis of amylopectin structure and amylose content

Amylopectin structure and amylose content were analysed using purified starch. Amylopectin

structure in terms of chain length distribution was quantified using High Performance Anion

Exchange Chromatography with Pulsed Amperometric Detection (HPAEC-PAD) (Blennow

et al., 1998). For amylose content, granules were dispersed in DMSO and quantified using an

iodine-binding method (Warren et al., 2016).

Statistics

All statistical analyses were done in R version 4. Overall, we used the emmeans() function

from the 'emmeans' package throughout for pairwise comparisons. For most experiments, we

used linear models using the lm() function from the 'stats' package and did one-way

ANOVAs with Tukey post-hoc tests. Details for individual experiments where we used other

models with more than a single fixed effect are described above for the relevant sections.

Tables 1, 2 and Supplemental Table 2 also describe details of statistics for individual

experiments.

Accession numbers

The accession numbers corresponding to the genes investigated in this study are*: Tt*MRC-A1

(TRITD6Av1G081580), *Ta*MRC-A1 (TraesCS6A02G180500), *Ta*MRC-D1

(TraesCS6D02G164600).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the John Innes Centre (JIC) Horticultural Services for providing growth

facilities and maintenance of plant material, and JIC Bioimaging for providing access to

microscopes. This work was funded through a John Innes Foundation (JIF) Chris J. Leaver

Fellowship (to D.S), a Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC,

UK) Future Leader Fellowship BB/P010814/1 (to D.S.), a JIF Rotation Ph.D. studentship (to

856 **Author contributions**

857 J.C. and D.S. conceived the study. J.C., Y.C, A.W-L., F.J.W., A.B., C.U., and D.S. designed

858 the research. J.C., Y.C., A.W-L., E.H., J.E.B., B.F., R.D.B., K.C., F.J.W., A.B., C.U., and

859 D.S. performed the research and analysed data; J.C. and D.S. wrote the article with input

860 from all authors.

References

Abt, M.R., and Zeeman, S.C. (2020). Evolutionary innovations in starch metabolism. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **55,** 109-117.

Appels, R., Eversole, K., Stein, N., Feuillet, C., Keller, B., Rogers, J., Pozniak, C.J., Choulet, F., Distelfeld, A., Poland, J., Ronen, G., Sharpe, A.G., Barad, O., Baruch, K., Keeble-Gagnère, G., Mascher, M., Ben-Zvi, G., Josselin, A.-A., Himmelbach, A., Balfourier, F., Gutierrez-Gonzalez, J., Hayden, M., Koh, C., Muehlbauer, G., Pasam, R.K., Paux, E., Rigault, P., Tibbits, J., Tiwari, V., Spannagl, M., Lang, D., Gundlach, H., Haberer, G., Mayer, K.F.X., Ormanbekova, D., Prade, V., Šimková, H., Wicker, T., Swarbreck, D., Rimbert, H., Felder, M., Guilhot, N., Kaithakottil, G., Keilwagen, J., Leroy, P., Lux, T., Twardziok, S., Venturini, L., Juhász, A., Abrouk, M., Fischer, I., Uauy, C., Borrill, P., Ramirez-Gonzalez, R.H., Arnaud, D., Chalabi, S., Chalhoub, B., Cory, A., Datla, R., Davey, M.W., Jacobs, J., Robinson, S.J., Steuernagel, B., van Ex, F., Wulff, B.B.H., Benhamed, M., Bendahmane, A., Concia, L., Latrasse, D., Bartoš, J., Bellec, A., Berges, H., Doležel, J., Frenkel, Z., Gill, B., Korol, A., Letellier, T., Olsen, O.-A., Singh, K., Valárik, M., van der Vossen, E., Vautrin, S., Weining, S., Fahima, T., Glikson, V., Raats, D., Číhalíková, J., Toegelová, H., Vrána, J., Sourdille, P., Darrier, B., Barabaschi, D., Cattivelli, L., Hernandez, P., Galvez, S., Budak, H., Jones, J.D.G., Witek, K., Yu, G., Small, I., Melonek, J., Zhou, R., Belova, T., Kanyuka, K., King, R., Nilsen, K., Walkowiak, S., Cuthbert, R., Knox, R., Wiebe, K., Xiang, D., Rohde, A., Golds, T., Čížková, J., Akpinar, B.A., Biyiklioglu, S., Gao, L., N'Daiye, A., Kubaláková, M., Šafář, J., Alfama, F., Adam-Blondon, A.-F., Flores, R., Guerche, C., Loaec, M., Quesneville, H., Condie, J., Ens, J., Maclachlan, R., Tan, Y., Alberti, A., Aury, J.-M., Barbe, V., Couloux, A., Cruaud, C., Labadie, K., Mangenot, S., Wincker, P., Kaur, G., Luo, M., Sehgal, S., Chhuneja, P., Gupta, O.P., Jindal, S., Kaur, P., Malik, P., Sharma, P., Yadav, B., Singh, N.K., Khurana, J.P., Chaudhary, C., Khurana, P., Kumar, V., Mahato, A., Mathur, S., Sevanthi, A., Sharma, N., Tomar, R.S., Holušová, K., Plíhal, O., Clark, M.D., Heavens, D., Kettleborough, G., Wright, J., Balcárková, B., Hu, Y., Salina, E., Ravin, N., Skryabin, K., Beletsky, A., Kadnikov, V., Mardanov, A., Nesterov, M., Rakitin, A., Sergeeva, E., Handa, H., Kanamori, H., Katagiri, S., Kobayashi, F., Nasuda, S., Tanaka, T., Wu, J., Cattonaro, F., Jiumeng, M., Kugler, K., Pfeifer, M., Sandve, S., Xun, X., Zhan, B., Batley, J., Bayer, P.E., Edwards, D., Hayashi, S., Tulpová, Z., Visendi, P., Cui, L., Du, X., Feng, K., Nie, X., Tong, W., and Wang, L. (2018). Shifting the limits in wheat research and breeding using a fully annotated reference genome. Science **361,** eaar7191.

Bechtel, D.B., Zayas, I., Kaleikau, L., and Pomeranz, Y. (1990). Size-distribution of wheat starch granules during endosperm development. Cereal Chemistry **67,** 59-63.

Blennow, A., Bay-Smidt, A.M., Wischmann, B., Olsen, C.E., and Møller, B.L. (1998). The degree of starch phosphorylation is related to the chain length distribution of the neutral and the phosphorylated chains of amylopectin. Carbohydrate Research **307,** 45-54.

Borrill, P., Ramirez-Gonzalez, R., and Uauy, C. (2016). expVIP: a customizable RNA-seq data analysis and visualization platform. Plant Physiology **170,** 2172-2186.

Chen, J., Hawkins, E., and Seung, D. (2021). Towards targeted starch modification in plants. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **60,** 102013.

Chen, J., Watson-Lazowski, A., Vickers, M., and Seung, D. (2022). Gene expression profile of the developing endosperm in wheat. BioRxiv (link available shortly).

Chia, T., Adamski, N.M., Saccomanno, B., Greenland, A., Nash, A., Uauy, C., and Trafford, K. (2017). Transfer of a starch phenotype from wild wheat to bread wheat by deletion of a locus controlling B-type starch granule content. Journal of Experimental Botany **68,** 5497-5509.

Chia, T., Chirico, M., King, R., Ramirez-Gonzalez, R., Saccomanno, B., Seung, D., Simmonds, J., Trick, M., Uauy, C., Verhoeven, T., and Trafford, K. (2020). A carbohydrate-binding protein, B-GRANULE CONTENT 1, influences starch granule size distribution in a dose-dependent manner in polyploid wheat. Journal of Experimental Botany **71,** 105-115.

Crumpton-Taylor, M., Pike, M., Lu, K.J., Hylton, C.M., Feil, R., Eicke, S., Lunn, J.E., Zeeman, S.C., and Smith, A.M. (2013). Starch synthase 4 is essential for coordination of starch granule formation with chloroplast division during Arabidopsis leaf expansion. New Phytologist **200,** 1064-1075.

Daron, J., Glover, N., Pingault, L., Theil, S., Jamilloux, V., Paux, E., Barbe, V., Mangenot, S., Alberti, A., Wincker, P., Quesneville, H., Feuillet, C., and Choulet, F. (2014). Organization and evolution of transposable elements along the bread wheat chromosome 3B. Genome Biol **15,** 546.

Dhital, S., Shrestha, A.K., and Gidley, M.J. (2010). Relationship between granule size and in vitro digestibility of maize and potato starches. Carbohydrate Polymers **82,** 480-488.

Hawkins, E., Chen, J., Watson-Lazowski, A., Ahn-Jarvis, J., Barclay, J.E., Fahy, B., Hartley, M., Warren, F.J., and Seung, D. (2021). STARCH SYNTHASE 4 is required for normal starch granule initiation in amyloplasts of wheat endosperm. New Phytologist **230,** 2371-2386.

Howard, T., Rejab, N.A., Griffiths, S., Leigh, F., Leverington-Waite, M., Simmonds, J., Uauy, C., and Trafford, K. (2011). Identification of a major QTL controlling the content of B-type starch granules in Aegilops. Journal of Experimental Botany **62,** 2217-2228.

Jobling, S. (2004). Improving starch for food and industrial applications. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **7,** 210-218.

Kim, D., Paggi, J.M., Park, C., Bennett, C., and Salzberg, S.L. (2019). Graph-based genome alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. Nat Biotechnol **37,** 907-915.

Krasileva, K.V., Vasquez-Gross, H.A., Howell, T., Bailey, P., Paraiso, F., Clissold, L., Simmonds, J., Ramirez-Gonzalez, R.H., Wang, X., Borrill, P., Fosker, C., Ayling, S., Phillips, A.L., Uauy, C., and Dubcovsky, J. (2017). Uncovering hidden variation in polyploid wheat. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **114,** E913-E921.

Langeveld, S.M.J., Van wijk, R., Stuurman, N., Kijne, J.W., and de Pater, S. (2000). B-type granule containing protrusions and interconnections between amyloplasts in developing wheat endosperm revealed by transmission electron microscopy and GFP expression. Journal of Experimental Botany **51,** 1357-1361.

Li, L.F., Zhang, Z.B., Wang, Z.H., Li, N., Sha, Y., Wang, X.F., Ding, N., Li, Y., Zhao, J., Wu, Y., Gong, L., Mafessoni, F., Levy, A.A., and Liu, B. (2022). Genome sequences of five Sitopsis species of Aegilops and the origin of polyploid wheat B subgenome. Mol Plant **15,** 488-503.

Li, M., Daygon, V.D., Solah, V., and Dhital, S. (2021). Starch granule size: Does it matter? Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition **0,** 1-21.

961   **Lindeboom, N., Chang, P.R., and Tyler, R.T.** (2004). Analytical, biochemical and
962   physicochemical aspects of starch granule size, with emphasis on small granule
963   starches: a review. Starch - Stärke **56,** 89-99.
964   **Maccaferri, M., Harris, N.S., Twardziok, S.O., Pasam, R.K., Gundlach, H., Spannagl,**
965   **M., Ormanbekova, D., Lux, T., Prade, V.M., Milner, S.G., Himmelbach, A.,**
966   **Mascher, M., Bagnaresi, P., Faccioli, P., Cozzi, P., Lauria, M., Lazzari, B., Stella,**
967   **A., Manconi, A., Gnocchi, M., Moscatelli, M., Avni, R., Deek, J., Biyiklioglu, S.,**
968   **Frascaroli, E., Corneti, S., Salvi, S., Sonnante, G., Desiderio, F., Marè, C.,**
969   **Crosatti, C., Mica, E., Özkan, H., Kilian, B., De Vita, P., Marone, D., Joukhadar,**
970   **R., Mazzucotelli, E., Nigro, D., Gadaleta, A., Chao, S., Faris, J.D., Melo, A.T.O.,**
971   **Pumphrey, M., Pecchioni, N., Milanesi, L., Wiebe, K., Ens, J., MacLachlan, R.P.,**
972   **Clarke, J.M., Sharpe, A.G., Koh, C.S., Liang, K.Y.H., Taylor, G.J., Knox, R.,**
973   **Budak, H., Mastrangelo, A.M., Xu, S.S., Stein, N., Hale, I., Distelfeld, A.,**
974   **Hayden, M.J., Tuberosa, R., Walkowiak, S., Mayer, K.F.X., Ceriotti, A.,**
975   **Pozniak, C.J., and Cattivelli, L.** (2019). Durum wheat genome highlights past
976   domestication signatures and future improvement targets. Nature Genetics **51,** 885-
977   895.
978   **Matsushima, R., Yamashita, J., Kariyama, S., Enomoto, T., and Sakamoto, W.** (2013). A
979   phylogenetic re-evaluation of morphological variations of starch grains among
980   Poaceae species. Journal of Applied Glycoscience **60,** 131-135.
981   **Müller, L.M., Gol, L., Jeon, J.-S., Weber, A.P.M., Davis, S.J., and von Korff, M.** (2018).
982   Temperature but not the circadian clock determines nocturnal carbohydrate
983   availability for growth in cereals. bioRxiv.
984   **Ng, P.C., and Henikoff, S.** (2006). Predicting the effects of amino acid substitutions on
985   protein function. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet **7,** 61-80.
986   **Nie, G., Hendrix, D.L., Webber, A.N., Kimball, B.A., and Long, S.P.** (1995). Increased
987   accumulation of carbohydrates and decreased photosynthetic gene transcript levels in
988   wheat grown at an elevated CO_2 concentration in the field. Plant Physiol **108,** 975-
989   983.
990   **Parker, M.L.** (1985). The relationship between A-type and B-type starch granules in the
991   developing endosperm of wheat. Journal of Cereal Science **3,** 271-278.
992   **Peng, C., Wang, Y., Liu, F., Ren, Y., Zhou, K., Lv, J., Zheng, M., Zhao, S., Zhang, L.,**
993   **Wang, C., Jiang, L., Zhang, X., Guo, X., Bao, Y., and Wan, J.** (2014). FLOURY
994   ENDOSPERM6 encodes a CBM48 domain-containing protein involved in compound
995   granule formation and starch synthesis in rice endosperm. Plant Journal **77,** 917-930.
996   **Ramirez-Gonzalez, R.H., Borrill, P., Lang, D., Harrington, S.A., Brinton, J., Venturini,**
997   **L., Davey, M., Jacobs, J., van Ex, F., Pasha, A., Khedikar, Y., Robinson, S.J.,**
998   **Cory, A.T., Florio, T., Concia, L., Juery, C., Schoonbeek, H., Steuernagel, B.,**
999   **Xiang, D., Ridout, C.J., Chalhoub, B., Mayer, K.F.X., Benhamed, M., Latrasse,**
D., Bendahmane, A., International Wheat Genome Sequencing, C., Wulff,
B.B.H., Appels, R., Tiwari, V., Datla, R., Choulet, F., Pozniak, C.J., Provart,
N.J., Sharpe, A.G., Paux, E., Spannagl, M., Brautigam, A., and Uauy, C. (2018).
The transcriptional landscape of polyploid wheat. Science **361.**
Robinson, J.T., Thorvaldsdottir, H., Winckler, W., Guttman, M., Lander, E.S., Getz, G.,
and Mesirov, J.P. (2011). Integrative genomics viewer. Nat Biotechnol **29,** 24-26.
Roldán, I., Wattebled, F., Mercedes Lucas, M., Delvallé, D., Planchot, V., Jiménez, S.,
Pérez, R., Ball, S., D'Hulst, C., and Mérida, A. (2007). The phenotype of soluble
starch synthase IV defective mutants of Arabidopsis thaliana suggests a novel
function of elongation enzymes in the control of starch granule formation. Plant
Journal **49,** 492-504.

Saccomanno, B., Chambers, A.H., Hayes, A., Mackay, I., McWilliam, S.C., and
Trafford, K. (2017). Starch granule morphology in oat endosperm. Journal of Cereal
Science **73,** 46-54.
Saccomanno, B., Berbezy, P., Findlay, K., Shoesmith, J., Uauy, C., Viallis, B., and
Trafford, K. (2022). Characterization of wheat lacking B-type starch granules.
Journal of Cereal Science **104**.
Saito, M., Tanaka, T., Sato, K., Vrinten, P., and Nakamura, T. (2017). A single
nucleotide polymorphism in the "Fra" gene results in fractured starch granules in
barley. Theoretical and Applied Genetics **131,** 353-364.
Seung, D. (2020). Amylose in starch: towards an understanding of biosynthesis, structure and
function. New Phytologist **228,** 1490-1504.
Seung, D., and Smith, A.M. (2019). Starch granule initiation and morphogenesis – progress
in Arabidopsis and cereals. Journal of Experimental Botany **70,** 771-784.
Seung, D., Schreier, T.B., Bürgy, L., Eicke, S., and Zeeman, S.C. (2018). Two plastidial
coiled-coil proteins are essential for normal starch granule initiation in Arabidopsis.
Plant Cell **30,** 1523-1542.
Seung, D., Boudet, J., Monroe, J.D., Schreier, T.B., David, L.C., Abt, M., Lu, K.-J.,
Zanella, M., and Zeeman, S.C. (2017). Homologs of PROTEIN TARGETING TO
STARCH control starch granule initiation in Arabidopsis leaves. Plant Cell **29,** 1657-
1677.
Smith, A.M., and Zeeman, S.C. (2006). Quantification of starch in plant tissues. Nature
Protocols **1,** 1342-1345.
Smith, A.M., and Zeeman, S.C. (2020). Starch: A flexible, adaptable carbon store coupled
to plant growth. Annual Review of Plant Biology **71,** 217-245.
Soh, H.N., Sissons, M.J., and Turner, M.A. (2006). Effect of starch granule size
distribution and elevated amylose content on durum dough rheology and spaghetti
cooking quality. Cereal Chemistry **83,** 513-519.
Tetlow, I., and Emes, M. (2017). Starch biosynthesis in the developing endosperms of
grasses and cereals. Agronomy **7,** 81.
Uauy, C., Wulff, B.B.H., and Dubcovsky, J. (2017). Combining traditional mutagenesis
with new high-throughput sequencing and genome editing to reveal hidden variation
in polyploid wheat. Annu Rev Genet **51,** 435-454.
Vandromme, C., Spriet, C., Dauvillée, D., Courseaux, A., Putaux, J.-l., Wychowski, A.,
Krzewinski, F., Facon, M., D'Hulst, C., and Wattebled, F. (2019). PII1: a protein
involved in starch initiation that determines granule number and size in Arabidopsis
chloroplast. New Phytologist **221,** 356-370.
Walkowiak, S., Gao, L., Monat, C., Haberer, G., Kassa, M.T., Brinton, J., Ramirez-
Gonzalez, R.H., Kolodziej, M.C., Delorean, E., Thambugala, D., Klymiuk, V.,
Byrns, B., Gundlach, H., Bandi, V., Siri, J.N., Nilsen, K., Aquino, C.,
Himmelbach, A., Copetti, D., Ban, T., Venturini, L., Bevan, M., Clavijo, B., Koo,
D.H., Ens, J., Wiebe, K., N'Diaye, A., Fritz, A.K., Gutwin, C., Fiebig, A., Fosker,
C., Fu, B.X., Accinelli, G.G., Gardner, K.A., Fradgley, N., Gutierrez-Gonzalez,
J., Halstead-Nussloch, G., Hatakeyama, M., Koh, C.S., Deek, J., Costamagna,
A.C., Fobert, P., Heavens, D., Kanamori, H., Kawaura, K., Kobayashi, F.,
Krasileva, K., Kuo, T., McKenzie, N., Murata, K., Nabeka, Y., Paape, T.,
Padmarasu, S., Percival-Alwyn, L., Kagale, S., Scholz, U., Sese, J., Juliana, P.,
Singh, R., Shimizu-Inatsugi, R., Swarbreck, D., Cockram, J., Budak, H.,
Tameshige, T., Tanaka, T., Tsuji, H., Wright, J., Wu, J., Steuernagel, B., Small,
I., Cloutier, S., Keeble-Gagnere, G., Muehlbauer, G., Tibbets, J., Nasuda, S.,
Melonek, J., Hucl, P.J., Sharpe, A.G., Clark, M., Legg, E., Bharti, A., Langridge,

P., Hall, A., Uauy, C., Mascher, M., Krattinger, S.G., Handa, H., Shimizu, K.K., Distelfeld, A., Chalmers, K., Keller, B., Mayer, K.F.X., Poland, J., Stein, N., McCartney, C.A., Spannagl, M., Wicker, T., and Pozniak, C.J.** (2020). Multiple wheat genomes reveal global variation in modern breeding. Nature **588,** 277-283.

Warren, F.J., Gidley, M.J., and Flanagan, B.M. (2016). Infrared spectroscopy as a tool to characterise starch ordered structure - A joint FTIR-ATR, NMR, XRD and DSC study. Carbohydrate Polymers **139,** 35-42.

Watson-Lazowski, A., Raven, E., Feike, D., Hill, L., Elaine Barclay, J., Smith, A.M., and Seung, D. (2022). Loss of PROTEIN TARGETING TO STARCH 2 has variable effects on starch synthesis across organs and species. J Exp Bot.

Zhou, Y., Zhao, X., Li, Y., Xu, J., Bi, A., Kang, L., Xu, D., Chen, H., Wang, Y., Wang, Y.-g., Liu, S., Jiao, C., Lu, H., Wang, J., Yin, C., Jiao, Y., and Lu, F. (2020). Triticum population sequencing provides insights into wheat adaptation. Nat Genet **52,** 1412-1422.

Tables

Table 1. Statistics of pairwise comparisons of leaf granule per chloroplast in young wheat leaves, from negative binomial mixed effect models with biological replicate (3 of each genotype) as random effect, using Tukey post-hoc tests. Individual models for each experiment were used. SE = standard error, 95% CI = 95% confidence interval.

contrast	Experiment	ratio	SE	- 95% CI	+ 95 CI	z.ratio	p.value
WT / *mrc-1*	2	1.997	0.190	1.564	2.550	7.271	2.17E-12
WT / *mrc-2*	2	1.390	0.131	1.091	1.769	3.497	0.00265
WT / *mrc-3*	2	1.091	0.102	0.858	1.387	0.929	0.789273
mrc-1 / mrc-2	2	0.696	0.067	0.544	0.890	-3.783	0.000892
mrc-1 / mrc-3	2	0.546	0.052	0.427	0.698	-6.336	1.41E-09
mrc-2 / mrc-3	2	0.785	0.074	0.616	1.000	-2.564	0.05063
mrc-1 BC2 *aabb* / *mrc-1*	5	1.030	0.097	0.810	1.311	0.318	0.988897
mrc-1 BC2 *AABB* / *mrc-1*	5	1.234	0.115	0.971	1.569	2.256	0.108551
mrc-1 BC2 *AABB* / *mrc-1* BC2 *aabb*	5	1.198	0.111	0.943	1.522	1.943	0.210022
WT / *mrc-1*	5	1.635	0.152	1.287	2.077	5.285	7.52E-07
WT / *mrc-1* BC2 *aabb*	5	1.587	0.147	1.251	2.014	4.982	3.76E-06
WT / *mrc-1* BC2 *AABB*	5	1.325	0.122	1.045	1.679	3.048	0.012347

Table 2. Statistics of pairwise comparisons of granule size in young wheat leaves, from linear mixed effect models with biological replicate (3 of each genotype) as random effect, using Tukey post-hoc tests and Satterthwaite degrees of freedom calculation. Individual models for each experiment were used. SE = standard error, df = degrees of freedom, 95% CI = 95% confidence interval.

contrast	Experiment	difference	SE	- 95% CI	+ 95 CI	df	t.ratio	p.value
WT – *mrc-1*	2	-0.304	0.125	-0.706	0.0975	7.998	-2.42484	0.149207
WT – *mrc-2*	2	-0.087	0.125	-0.488	0.3150	7.979	-0.69054	0.89788
WT – *mrc-3*	2	0.045	0.125	-0.357	0.4461	7.941	0.356537	0.983352
mrc-2 – mrc-1	2	-0.218	0.126	-0.619	0.1841	8.055	-1.73159	0.368589
mrc-3 – mrc-1	2	-0.349	0.125	-0.750	0.0529	8.017	-2.77906	0.090736
mrc-3 – mrc-2	2	-0.131	0.125	-0.533	0.2704	7.998	-1.04602	0.729078
mrc-1 BC2 *aabb* - *mrc-1*	5	-0.034	0.0394	-0.160	0.0924	7.990	-0.85905	0.825337
mrc-1 BC2 *AABB* - *mrc-1*	5	-0.255	0.0398	-0.381	-0.1289	8.317	-6.41375	0.000799
mrc-1 BC2 *AABB* - *mrc-1* BC2 *aabb*	5	-0.221	0.0400	-0.348	-0.0949	8.470	-5.5362	0.002041
WT - *mrc-1*	5	-0.260	0.0396	-0.386	-0.1335	8.122	-6.56687	0.000748
WT - *mrc-1* BC2 *aabb*	5	-0.226	0.0397	-0.352	-0.0996	8.274	-5.68343	0.001851
WT - *mrc-1* BC2 *AABB*	5	-0.005	0.0401	-0.131	0.1218	8.607	-0.11473	0.999416

 Figure Legends

 Figure 1. *MRC* homeologs in wheat are encoded on chromosomes 6A and 6D, with a
disruption of the 6B homeolog. A) Location of *TaMRC* homeologs on chromosome 6A and
6D. The pink boxes represent *TaMRC* homeologs, while homeologs of the adjacent genes are
shown in green (cytochrome P450 family protein), purple (respiratory burst oxidase
homolog) and blue (uncharacterised protein). Arrowheads on the boxes indicate direction of
transcription. The syntenic region on chromosome 6B has a large insertion, depicted with a
black arrowhead. The diagram is drawn to scale, and chromosome coordinates of the region
are indicated. **B)** Gene models of the *TaMRC-A1* and *–D1* homeologs and 6B pseudogene.
Exons are represented with pink boxes, while light pink boxes represent the 5' and 3' UTRs.
On the 6B region, areas with sequence similarity to exon 2 and UTRs of *TaMRC-A1* are
indicated, as well as the location of *gypsy* retrotransposons. The locations of the mutations in
the *mrc* mutants are depicted with red arrows, and the mutated codons/amino acids are shown
in red letters. Large black arrowheads show where the sequence has been truncated for
illustration - the length of truncated sequence is indicated above. **C)** Summary of species
analysed for the loss of *MRC-B1* during wheat hybridisation

Figure 2. *MRC* is expressed in wheat leaves and wheat endosperm during early grain
development. A) Expression of *TaMRC* homeologs between between different tissue types in
Triticum aestivum. Data were obtained from the wheat expression browser, and values
represent transcript per million (TPM) ± SEM from n = 89 (roots), 48 (leaves/shoots), 280
(spike), 166 (grain) samples from different experiments. **B)** Expression of *TtMRC-A1*
(TRITD6Av1G081580.1) in the starchy endosperm during grain development of *Triticum*
turgidum (variety Kronos). Data are from an RNAseq experiment described in Chen *et al.*
(2022). Values represent TPM ± SEM from n = 3 for all timepoints.

Figure 3. Mutations in *MRC* do not affect plant growth or the number and size of
grains. A) Photographs of 7-week-old wild type (WT) and *mrc* mutant plants. Bar = 9 cm. **B)**
Number of tillers per plant. **C)** Number of grains per plant. **D)** Photographs of mature grains.
Bar = 1 cm. **E)** Thousand Grain Weight (TGW) of mature grains. **F)** Grain size, measured as
the average area of individual mature grains from each plant. For statistical analysis of B, C,
E, F, see Supplemental Table 1. For all boxplots, each box encloses the middle 50% of the
distribution, the middle line is the median and the whiskers are the minimum and maximum
values within 1.5 of the interquartile range. Dots are measurements from individual plants,
with the same group of plants measured for all phenotypes and n = 9 – 10 individual plants.

Figure 4. Mutations in *MRC* have variable effects on total starch in mature grains. A)
Total endosperm starch content in mature grains in WT and *mrc* mutants. B) Number of
starch granules per mg of grain, counted with a Coulter counter. For A and B, dots on the
boxplots indicate individual plants, with n = 8 - 9. Each box encloses the middle 50% of the
distribution, the middle line is the median and the whiskers are the minimum and maximum
values within 1.5 of the interquartile range. All statistical analyses were performed using a
linear model, with a one-way ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc test. Panels on the right indicate
differences in means between genotypes from pairwise comparisons based on these models.
The difference in means is indicated by a dot, with whiskers showing the 95% confidence
interval (CI) of this difference, with the corresponding p-value. Grey indicates the WT or
mrc-1 mutant compared to the backcrossed line with the equivalent genotype at the *MRC* loci,
and blue indicates all other pairwise comparisons.

Figure 5. Endosperm starch in mature grains of *mrc* mutants have altered granule size distribution. A) Coulter counter traces with evenly binned x-axes show a bimodal distribution of granule sizes from purified wheat endosperm starch. Data points are mean values from 9 individual plants of each genotype (3 grains from each plant), with the standard error of the mean shown as a shaded ribbon. WT and *mrc-1* in the left and right panel are the same data. **B, C, D)** Mean B-type granule percentage volume (B), A-type granule diameter (C) and B-type granule diameter (D) values extracted from bimodal log-normal distribution curves fitted to Coulter counter traces of individual plants. Extracted values and boxplots are shown on the left, where dots indicate mean values from individual plants ($n = 9$). Each box encloses the middle 50% of the distribution, the middle line is the median and the whiskers are the minimum and maximum values within 1.5 of the interquartile range. All statistical analyses were performed using linear models for each panel, with a one-way ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc test. Panels on the right indicate differences in means between genotypes from pairwise comparisons based on these models. The difference in means is indicated by a dot, with whiskers showing the 95% confidence interval (CI) of this difference, with the corresponding p-value. Grey indicates the WT or *mrc-1* mutant compared to the backcrossed line with the equivalent genotype at the *MRC* loci, and blue indicates all other pairwise comparisons.

Figure 6. Endosperm starch granules of mature grains in three wheat *mrc* mutants are similar in shape compared to wild type. A) Thin sections of mature endosperm tissue were stained with Lugol's solution and imaged using light microscopy. Bar = 40 μm. **B)** Purified endosperm starch granules were observed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Bar = 20 μm.

Figure 7. In developing endosperm, granule number increases in *mrc-1* compared to WT despite having similar starch content. The endosperm was dissected from developing grains of WT and *mrc-1*, harvested at 8, 14, 20 and 30 dpa, with $n = 3 - 4$ individual plants for each genotype per time point, indicated by coloured dots in the upper panels, with the mean ± 95% confidence interval (CI) in black dots and whiskers. **A)** Starch content of the endosperm. Values are expressed relative to the fresh weight of the dissected endosperm. **B)** Starch granule number in the endosperm. Starch was purified from dissected endosperm and the number of granules was determined using a Coulter counter. Values are expressed relative to the fresh weight of the dissected endosperm. For A and B, individual linear models were fitted to the data of each time point, with a one-way ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc test to compare the means of WT and *mrc-1*. Panels on the bottom summarise the differences in means from these linear models, indicated by a dot, with whiskers showing the 95% CI of this difference, with the corresponding p-value.

Figure 8. The *mrc-1* mutant initiates B-type granules earlier in grain development than in the wild type (WT). The endosperm was dissected from developing grains of WT and *mrc-1* harvested at 8, 14, 20 and 30 dpa. **A)** Coulter counter traces with evenly binned x-axes show the starch granule size distribution of endosperm starch. Distributions are the average of $n = 4$ measurements, each carried out on grains harvested from a different plant (three grains per measurement). Data points are mean values from these 4 measurements, with the standard error of the mean shown as a shaded ribbon. The B-type granule peak in the *mrc-1* mutant at 14 dpa is indicated with a blue arrow. **B)** Endosperm starch granules were observed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Bars = 20 μm. Examples of A-type granules and B-type granules are marked with red and blue arrows respectively.

Figure 9. The early initiating B-type granules in *mrc-1* occur at least partially in stromules. A) Scanning electron micrographs of developing endosperm tissue subjected to critical point drying. Grains were harvested from WT and *mrc-1* at 10, 15 and 20 days post anthesis (dpa). A representative region of each panel has been pseudo-coloured, with A-type granules in red shading and B-type granules in blue shading. Bars = 50 μm. **B)** Light micrographs of endosperm sections. Semi-thin sections of embedded developing grains (15 dpa) were stained with toluidine blue as a negative stain for starch granules. Examples of A-type granules and B-type granules are marked with red and blue arrows respectively. Bars = 50 μm. **C)** Endosperm sections observed using transmission electron microscopy, using the same samples as in B. The periphery of amyloplast membranes, where visible, are indicated with a dotted white line. Bars = 1 μm.

Figure 10. Chloroplasts of *mrc-1* mutants have fewer and larger granules than the wild type. A, B) Representative light microscopy images of thin sections (500 nm) from the middle of the older of two leaves in 10-day old wheat seedlings, collected at the end of day. Sections were stained for starch using periodic acid and Schiff staining. Scale bar = 10 μm. **C, D)** Distributions of counts of granule sections per chloroplast section, counted from light microscopy images as in A and B. The three different colours correspond to three individual plants of each genotype, per experiment. For each sample, 200 – 250 chloroplasts were counted, with absolute values varying between samples. Dots represent individual chloroplasts. **E, F)** Distributions of starch granule sizes measured as the area in the light microscopy images as in A and B. The three different colours correspond to three individual plants of each genotype, per experiment. 100 – 400 granules were measured in each sample. Dots represent individual granules. For all boxplots, each box encloses the middle 50% of the distribution, the middle line is the median and the whiskers are the minimum and maximum values within 1.5 of the interquartile range. Measurements were made on the same batch of plants as Figure 11, experiment 2 (panels A, C, E here) and experiment 5 (panels B, D, F here).

Figure 11. Loss of MRC has variable effects on the total end of day (ED) leaf starch content in wheat leaves. A) Pooled starch content data of wheat leaves harvested at the end of day (ED) in experiments 1 (dark brown, $n = 5 – 6$ per genotype), 2 (light brown, $n = 5 – 6$ per genotype) and 3 (orange, $n = 16 – 19$ per genotype). **B)** Pooled starch content data from wheat leaves in experiments 4 (dark brown, $n = 10 – 15$ per genotype) and 5 (light brown, $n = 10 – 12$ per genotype) harvested at ED. **C)** Pooled starch content data of wheat leaves harvested at the end of night (EN) in experiments 1 (dark brown, $n = 4 – 6$ per genotype) and 2 (light brown $n = 4 – 6$ per genotype) **For all panels**, raw data and boxplots are shown on the left, where dots indicate values from individual wheat plants, with colours indicating each experiment. Each box encloses the middle 50% of the distribution, the middle line is the median and the whiskers are the minimum and maximum values within 1.5 of the interquartile range. All statistical analyses were performed using a linear model with genotype and experiment as fixed effects for each panel, ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc tests. Panels on the right indicate differences in adjusted means of total starch content based on these models and pairwise comparisons of the genotypes. The difference in means is indicated by a dot, with whiskers showing the 95% confidence interval (CI) of this difference, with the corresponding p-value. For A and C, blue indicates comparisons between mutant and wild type, while grey indicates comparisons between mutants. For B, grey indicates the WT or *mrc-1* mutant compared to the backcrossed line with the equivalent genotype at the *MRC* loci, and blue indicates all other pairwise comparisons.

Figure 12. Model of MRC function in wheat developing endosperm. MRC is required for the control of the timing of B-type granule initiation during early grain development. In wild type, A-type granules initiate around 4 days post anthesis (dpa), and B-type granules initiate around 15 – 20 dpa. We propose that the expression of MRC during early endosperm development prevents the B-type granule formation, and B-type granule volume increases as MRC expression decreases after 10 dpa. This process is disrupted in mutants lacking a functional MRC protein, and granules initiate already from 10 dpa. The early initiation of B-type granules provides them with more time and substrate to grow, leading to higher volume of B-type granules in the mutant at grain maturity compared to the wild type, and a concomitant decrease in A-type granule size in the mutant.

Supplemental data

Supplemental File 1. *Aegilops speltoides* MRC gene model

Supplemental Figure 1. Phylogenetic analysis of wheat MRC sequences.

Supplemental Figure 2. Chain length distribution and amylose content of the *mrc* mutants.

Supplemental Figure 3. The 6B pseudogene does not contribute to granule size distribution in wheat endosperm starch.

Supplemental Table 1. Reads mapped to retrotransposon insertion genetic signatures on *MRC-B1*.

Supplemental Table 2. Pairwise comparisons of wheat growth phenotypes.

Supplemental Table 3. KASP markers for genotyping the wheat mutants.

Supplemental Table 4. Summary of leaf starch quantification experiments.

Figure 1. *MRC* homeologs in wheat are encoded on chromosomes 6A and 6D, with a disruption of the 6B homeolog. A) Location of *TaMRC* homeologs on chromosome 6A and 6D. The pink boxes represent *TaMRC* homeologs, while homeologs of the adjacent genes are shown in green (cytochrome P450 family protein), purple (respiratory burst oxidase homolog) and blue (uncharacterised protein). Arrowheads on the boxes indicate direction of transcription. The syntenic region on chromosome 6B has a large insertion, depicted with a black arrowhead. The diagram is drawn to scale, and chromosome coordinates of the region are indicated. **B)** Gene models of the *TaMRC-A1* and –*D1* homeologs and 6B pseudogene. Exons are represented with pink boxes, while light pink boxes represent the 5' and 3' UTRs. On the 6B region, areas with sequence similarity to exon 2 and UTRs of *TaMRC-A1* are indicated, as well as the location of *gypsy* retrotransposons. The locations of the mutations in the *mrc* mutants are depicted with red arrows, and the mutated codons/amino acids are shown in red letters. Large black arrowheads show where the sequence has been truncated for illustration - the length of truncated sequence is indicated above. **C)** Summary of species analysed for the loss of *MRC-B1* during wheat hybridisation

Figure 2. ***MRC* is expressed in wheat leaves and wheat endosperm during early grain development. A)** Expression of *TaMRC* homeologs between between different tissue types in *Triticum aestivum*. Data were obtained from the wheat expression browser, and values represent transcript per million (TPM) ± SEM from n = 89 (roots), 48 (leaves/shoots), 280 (spike), 166 (grain) samples from different experiments. **B)** Expression of *TtMRC-A1* (TRITD6Av1G081580.1) in the starchy endosperm during grain development of *Triticum turgidum* (variety Kronos). Data are from an RNAseq experiment described in Chen *et al.* (2022). Values represent TPM ± SEM from n = 3 for all timepoints.

Figure 3. Mutations in *MRC* do not affect plant growth or the number and size of grains. A) Photographs of 7-week-old wild type (WT) and *mrc* mutant plants. Bar = 9 cm. **B)** Number of tillers per plant. **C)** Number of grains per plant. **D)** Photographs of mature grains. Bar = 1 cm. **E)** Thousand Grain Weight (TGW) of mature grains. **F)** Grain size, measured as the average area of individual mature grains from each plant. For statistical analysis of B, C, E, F, see Supplemental Table 1. For all boxplots, each box encloses the middle 50% of the distribution, the middle line is the median and the whiskers are the minimum and maximum values within 1.5 of the interquartile range. Dots are measurements from individual plants, with the same group of plants measured for all phenotypes and *n* = 9 – 10 individual plants.

Figure 4. Mutations in *MRC* have variable effects on total starch in mature grains. A) Total endosperm starch content in mature grains in WT and *mrc* mutants. B) Number of starch granules per mg of grain, counted with a Coulter counter. For A and B, dots on the boxplots indicate individual plants, with *n* = 8 - 9. Each box encloses the middle 50% of the distribution, the middle line is the median and the whiskers are the minimum and maximum values within 1.5 of the interquartile range. All statistical analyses were performed using a linear model, with a one-way ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc test. Panels on the right indicate differences in means between genotypes from pairwise comparisons based on these models. The difference in means is indicated by a dot, with whiskers showing the 95% confidence interval (CI) of this difference, with the corresponding p-value. Grey indicates the WT or *mrc-1* mutant compared to the backcrossed line with the equivalent genotype at the *MRC* loci, and blue indicates all other pairwise comparisons.

Figure 5. Endosperm starch in mature grains of *mrc* mutants have altered granule size distribution. A) Coulter counter traces with evenly binned x-axes show a bimodal distribution of granule sizes from purified wheat endosperm starch. Data points are mean values from 9 individual plants of each genotype (3 grains from each plant), with the standard error of the mean shown as a shaded ribbon. WT and *mrc-1* in the left and right panel are the same data. **B, C, D)** Mean B-type granule percentage volume (B), A-type granule diameter (C) and B-type granule diameter (D) values extracted from bimodal log-normal distribution curves fitted to Coulter counter traces of individual plants. Extracted values and boxplots are shown on the left, where dots indicate mean values from individual plants (*n* = 9). Each box encloses the middle 50% of the distribution, the middle line is the median and the whiskers are the minimum and maximum values within 1.5 of the interquartile range. All statistical analyses were performed using linear models for each panel, with a one-way ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc test. Panels on the right indicate differences in means between genotypes from pairwise comparisons based on these models. The difference in means is indicated by a dot, with whiskers showing the 95% confidence interval (CI) of this difference, with the corresponding p-value. Grey indicates the WT or *mrc-1* mutant compared to the backcrossed line with the equivalent genotype at the *MRC* loci, and blue indicates all other pairwise comparisons.

Figure 6. Endosperm starch granules of mature grains in three wheat *mrc* mutants are similar in shape compared to wild type. A) Thin sections of mature endosperm tissue were stained with Lugol's solution and imaged using light microscopy. Bar = 40 μm. **B)** Purified endosperm starch granules were observed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Bar = 20 μm.

Figure 7. In developing endosperm, granule number increases in *mrc-1* compared to WT despite having similar starch content. The endosperm was dissected from developing grains of WT and *mrc-1*, harvested at 8, 14, 20 and 30 dpa, with *n* = 3 - 4 individual plants for each genotype per time point, indicated by coloured dots in the upper panels, with the mean ± 95% confidence interval (CI) in black dots and whiskers. **A)** Starch content of the endosperm. Values are expressed relative to the fresh weight of the dissected endosperm. **B)** Starch granule number in the endosperm. Starch was purified from dissected endosperm and the number of granules was determined using a Coulter counter. Values are expressed relative to the fresh weight of the dissected endosperm. For A and B, individual linear models were fitted to the data of each time point, with a one-way ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc test to compare the means of WT and *mrc-1*. Panels on the bottom summarise the differences in means from these linear models, indicated by a dot, with whiskers showing the 95% CI of this difference, with the corresponding p-value.

Figure 8. The *mrc-1* mutant initiates B-type granules earlier in grain development than in the wild type (WT). The endosperm was dissected from developing grains of WT and *mrc-1* harvested at 8, 14, 20 and 30 dpa. **A)** Coulter counter traces with evenly binned x-axes show the starch granule size distribution of endosperm starch. Distributions are the average of *n* = 4 measurements, each carried out on grains harvested from a different plant (three grains per measurement). Data points are mean values from these 4 measurements, with the standard error of the mean shown as a shaded ribbon. The B-type granule peak in the *mrc-1* mutant at 14 dpa is indicated with a blue arrow. **B)** Endosperm starch granules were observed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Bars = 20 μm. Examples of A-type granules and B-type granules are marked with red and blue arrows respectively.

Figure 9. The early initiating B-type granules in *mrc-1* occur at least partially in stromules.
A) Scanning electron micrographs of developing endosperm tissue subjected to critical point drying. Grains were harvested from WT and *mrc-1* at 10, 15 and 20 days post anthesis (dpa). A representative region of each panel has been pseudo-coloured, with A-type granules in red shading and B-type granules in blue shading. Bars = 50 μm. **B)** Light micrographs of endosperm sections. Semi-thin sections of embedded developing grains (15 dpa) were stained with toluidine blue as a negative stain for starch granules. Examples of A-type granules and B-type granules are marked with red and blue arrows respectively. Bars = 50 μm. **C)** Endosperm sections observed using transmission electron microscopy, using the same samples as in B. The periphery of amyloplast membranes, where visible, are indicated with a dotted white line. Bars = 1 μm.

Figure 10. Chloroplasts of *mrc-1* mutants have fewer and larger granules than the wild type. A, B) Representative light microscopy images of thin sections (500 nm) from the middle of the older of two leaves in 10-day old wheat seedlings, collected at the end of day. Sections were stained for starch using periodic acid and Schiff staining. Scale bar = 10 μm. **C, D)** Distributions of counts of granule sections per chloroplast section, counted from light microscopy images as in A and B. The three different colours correspond to three individual plants of each genotype, per experiment. For each sample, 200 – 250 chloroplasts were counted, with absolute values varying between samples. Dots represent individual chloroplasts. **E, F)** Distributions of starch granule sizes measured as the area in the light microscopy images as in A and B. The three different colours correspond to three individual plants of each genotype, per experiment. 100 – 400 granules were measured in each sample. Dots represent individual granules. For all boxplots, each box encloses the middle 50% of the distribution, the middle line is the median and the whiskers are the minimum and maximum values within 1.5 of the interquartile range. Measurements were made on the same batch of plants as Figure 11, experiment 2 (panels A, C, E here) and experiment 5 (panels B, D, F here).

Figure 11. Loss of MRC has variable effects on the total end of day (ED) leaf starch content in wheat leaves. A) Pooled starch content data of wheat leaves harvested at the end of day (ED) in experiments 1 (dark brown, $n = 5 - 6$ per genotype), 2 (light brown, $n = 5 - 6$ per genotype) and 3 (orange, $n = 16 - 19$ per genotype). **B)** Pooled starch content data from wheat leaves in experiments 4 (dark brown, $n = 10 - 15$ per genotype) and 5 (light brown, $n = 10 - 12$ per genotype) harvested at ED. **C)** Pooled starch content data of wheat leaves harvested at the end of night (EN) in experiments 1 (dark brown, $n = 4 - 6$ per genotype) and 2 (light brown $n = 4 - 6$ per genotype) **For all panels**, raw data and boxplots are shown on the left, where dots indicate values from individual wheat plants, with colours indicating each experiment. Each box encloses the middle 50% of the distribution, the middle line is the median and the whiskers are the minimum and maximum values within 1.5 of the interquartile range. All statistical analyses were performed using a linear model with genotype and experiment as fixed effects for each panel, ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc tests. Panels on the right indicate differences in adjusted means of total starch content based on these models and pairwise comparisons of the genotypes. The difference in means is indicated by a dot, with whiskers showing the 95% confidence interval (CI) of this difference, with the corresponding p-value. For A and C, blue indicates comparisons between mutant and wild type, while grey indicates comparisons between mutants. For B, grey indicates the WT or *mrc-1* mutant compared to the backcrossed line with the equivalent genotype at the *MRC* loci, and blue indicates all other pairwise comparisons.

Figure 12. Model of MRC function in wheat developing endosperm. MRC is required for the control of the timing of B-type granule initiation during early grain development. In wild type, A-type granules initiate around 4 days post anthesis (dpa), and B-type granules initiate around 15 – 20 dpa. We propose that the expression of MRC during early endosperm development prevents the B-type granule formation, and B-type granule volume increases as MRC expression decreases after 10 dpa. This process is disrupted in mutants lacking a functional MRC protein, and granules initiate already from 10 dpa. The early initiation of B-type granules provides them with more time and substrate to grow, leading to higher volume of B-type granules in the mutant at grain maturity compared to the wild type, and a concomitant decrease in A-type granule size in the mutant.