The methyltransferases METTL7A and METTL7B confer resistance to thiol-based histone deacetylase inhibitors

Robert W. Robey[1,*], Christina M. Fitzsimmons[1,*], Wilfried M. Guiblet[1,8], William J.E. Frye[1], José M. González Dalmasy[1], Li Wang[2], Drake A. Russell[3], Lyn M. Huff[1], Andrew J. Perciaccante[1], Fatima Ali-Rahmani[1,9], Crystal C. Lipsey[1], Heidi M. Wade[1], Allison V. Mitchell[1], Siddhardha S. Maligireddy[1], David Terrero[4], Donna Butcher[5], Elijah F. Edmondson[5], Lisa M. Jenkins[1], Tatiana Nikitina[1], Victor B. Zhurkin[1], Amit K. Tiwari[4], Anthony D. Piscopio[6], Rheem A. Totah[3], Susan E. Bates[7], H. Efsun Arda[2], Michael M. Gottesman[1,#],Pedro J. Batista[1,#]

[1]Laboratory of Cell Biology, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

[2]Laboratory of Receptor Biology and Gene Expression, Developmental Genomics Group, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

[3]Department of Medicinal Chemistry, University of Washington, Seattle, WA

[4]Department of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, Department of Cancer Cell and Cancer Biology, University of Toledo, Toledo, OH

[5]Molecular Histopathology Laboratory, Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research, Frederick, MD, USA

[6]OnKure, Inc., Boulder CO

[7]Division of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Medicine, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY and Hematology/Oncology Research Department, James J. Peters Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, New York, NY

[8]Present address: Advanced Biomedical Computational Science, Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research, Frederick, MD

[9]Present Address: Roche Laboratories, Atlanta, GA

[#]To whom correspondence should be addressed

[*]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Classification(s): Biological Sciences; Pharmacology
Keywords: Drug resistance; HDAC; Methyltransferase

ABSTRACT

Histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACis) are part of a growing class of epigenetic

therapies used for the treatment of cancer. While elevated levels of the efflux pump P-

gp are associated with *in vitro* resistance to romidepsin, this mechanism does not

translate to the clinic. We developed a romidepsin-resistant cell line with a resistance

mechanism independent of P-gp function that acts upstream of the deacetylation

process. We found that expression of the methyltransferase METTL7A is necessary for

resistance, and that expression of METTL7A in naïve cells can drive resistance to thiol-

containing HDACis. We demonstrate that METTL7A can methylate romidesin *in*

vitro and that the ability of METTL7A to drive resistance to thiol-containing HDACis can

be blocked by the methyltransferase inhibitor DCMB. Our data supports a model

whereby exposure of cells to romidepsin selects for upregulation of the

methyltransferase METTL7A, which in turn modifies the zinc-binding thiol, inactivating

the drug.

INTRODUCTION

Post-translational modification of histone tails via methylation, phosphorylation, or acetylation is an essential aspect of gene regulation in the cell [1]. Histone acetylation is controlled by the interplay between histone acetyltransferases (HATs) that catalyze the addition of acetyl groups to histones, and histone deacetylases (HDACs) that catalyze their removal. Increased histone acetylation leads to a more accessible chromatin configuration and increased gene transcription, while decreased histone acetylation is associated with transcriptional repression [2]. Alterations in the expression of HDAC proteins have been demonstrated in various cancers, potentially leading to altered transcriptional regulation of genes related to cell growth and differentiation [3]. Several HDAC inhibitors (HDACis) have been developed that can block histone deacetylation, thus resulting in increased histone acetylation via unrestrained HATs activity [4].

In clinical trials, HDACis have shown immense promise in the treatment of T-cell lymphoma. Two HDACis, vorinostat and romidepsin, have been approved as single agents by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma [5-8]. Additionally, belinostat was approved as a single agent for the treatment of peripheral T-cell lymphoma [9] and tucidinostat (chidamide) has been approved in China as a single agent for the treatment of peripheral T-cell lymphoma [10]. Romidepsin is unique among the HDACis that have been approved for cancer treatment in that it is a bicyclic depsipeptide with an internal disulfide bond that must be reduced to form the activated molecule [11]. The reduced form of romidepsin

has two free sulfhydryl groups, one of which can coordinate with zinc in the active site of

HDACis, thus reversibly inhibiting the enzymes [11].

Despite dramatic responses to treatment in some T-cell lymphoma patients, romidepsin

can lose effectiveness after the initial response period. In addition, clinical trials with

solid tumors have been unsuccessful, suggesting intrinsic mechanisms of resistance.

Romidepsin was identified as a substrate of the ATP binding cassette transporter P-

glycoprotein (P-gp, encoded by the *ABCB1* gene) based on early studies with the NCI-

60 cell lines. Several groups have reported overexpression of P-gp in cell lines that are

selected for resistance to romidepsin [12-16]. However, in clinical samples, expression

levels of *ABCB1* did not predict response to romidepsin [17], prompting us to search for

non-P-gp mechanisms of resistance.

To generate a solid tumor model of romidepsin resistance, MCF-7 breast cancer cells

were selected with romidepsin and verapamil, an inhibitor of P-gp, to prevent the

emergence of P-gp as a resistance mechanism. While the resulting cell line, MCF-7

DpVp300, was selectively resistant to romidepsin, it did not overexpress P-gp. As such,

resistance of DpVp300 cells to romidepsin is not driven by P-gp. We performed Assay

for Transposase-Accessible Chromatin sequencing (ATAC-seq) and RNA-sequencing

(RNA-seq) on MCF-7 and MCF-7 DpVp300 cells to identify possible mechanisms of

resistance. One of the genes that was most upregulated in MCF-7 DpVp300 cells

compared to MCF-7 cells was *METTL7A*, a putative methyltransferase. This gene was

also found to be upregulated in HuT78 DpVp50 and HuT78 DpP75 cells, two

romidepsin-resistant T-cell lymphoma cell lines we had generated previously [18]. Here,

we demonstrate that METTL7A overexpression can confer resistance not only to

romidepsin, but to other HDACis that have a thiol as the zinc-binding moiety.

Expression of the close homolog METTL7B can also confer resistance to HDACis

bearing a thiol group, albeit with less efficiency. Overexpression of these

methyltransferases represents a previously unknown mechanism of resistance to thiol-

based HDACis and a potential target to improve HDACi-based therapies.

RESULTS

MCF-7 DpVp300 cells are selectively resistant to romidepsin

The MCF-7 DpVp300 cell line was generated via prolonged selection of MCF-7 cells

with romidepsin in the presence of the P-gp inhibitor verapamil to prevent any selection

benefit from P-gp overexpression. To determine which drugs the MCF-7 DpVp300 cells

are sensitive or resistant to (the cross-resistance profile), cytotoxicity assays were

performed with several chemotherapeutic agents, including HDACis. Interestingly, the

DpVp300 cell line was much more resistant to romidepsin (Fig. 1A, on average 218-fold

more resistant) and much less resistant to other HDACis, such as belinostat (8-fold),

panobinostat (2.5-fold), and vorinostat (5-fold). Additionally, the MCF-7 DpVp300 line

was not cross resistant to other chemotherapeutic agents such as 5-FU, methotrexate,

teniposide, or topotecan, exhibiting no more than 3-fold more resistance to those

compounds than MCF-7 cells (Supplemental Table S1).

As HDACi treatment results in increased levels of acetylated histones and p21

transcription [19] , we examined the effect of HDACis on global histone acetylation and

p21 levels. MCF-7 and MCF-7 DpVp300 cells were treated with romidepsin, belinostat,

panobinostat, or vorinostat for 24 h after which protein was extracted and subjected to

analysis by immunoblot. MCF-7 cells treated with any of the HDACis displayed

increased levels of acetylated histone H3 and p21 compared to untreated cells (Fig.

1B). In contrast, MCF-7 DpVp300 cells treated with romidepsin did not exhibit the

increased levels of acetylated histone H3 or p21 that were found with MCF-7 cells;

however, treatment with the other HDACis resulted in higher levels of acetylated histone

H3, which suggested a resistance mechanism that is specific to romidepsin and acts

upstream of the deacetylation process.

Treatment of cancer cell lines with HDACis is known to cause cell cycle perturbations,

with some cell lines demonstrating a G_1/G_0 arrest and others a G_2/M arrest, depending

upon which checkpoints were most intact [20-22]. Cell cycle analysis was performed on

MCF-7 and DpVp300 cells treated with belinostat, romidepsin, panobinostat, or

vorinostat for 24h as outlined above. In the MCF-7 cells treated with the HDACis, a

decrease of cells in the G_1/G_0 phase and an increase in cells in the G_2/M phase was

observed (Supplemental Fig. S1A). While a similar pattern was observed in MCF-7

DpVp300 cells when treated with belinostat, panobinostat or vorinostat, no such

changes were observed with romidepsin treatment. When data from three independent

experiments were compiled (Fig. 1C), we observed a significant decrease in the

percentage of cells in the G_1/G_0 and S phases of the cell cycle and an increase of cells

in the G$_2$/M phase in MCF-7 cells treated with any of the HDACis. Similar changes were

observed when DpVp300 cells were treated with belinostat, panobinostat or vorinostat;

however, romidepsin treatment did not produce significant changes (Fig. 1C).

To examine the effects of higher doses of romidepsin, we treated MCF-7 and DpVp300

cells with increasing concentrations of romidepsin for 24 h and examined levels of

histone acetylation and p21 by immunoblot. We observed that MCF-7 cells displayed

increased levels of histone H3 acetylation when treated with as little as 1 ng/ml

romidepsin (Fig. 1D). In contrast, increased histone acetylation was not observed until

MCF-7 DpVp300 cells were treated with at least 100 ng/ml romidepsin. Based on these

results, we concluded the resistance mechanism present in MCF-7 DpVp300 cells

protected the cells from romidepsin levels at least 100 times higher than MCF-7 cells –

consistent with the earlier observation in cytotoxicity assays that MCF-7 DpVp300 cells

are at least 200-fold resistant to romidepsin (Fig. 1A).

P-gp is not responsible for romidepsin resistance in MCF-7 DpVp300 cells

We and others have demonstrated that selection with romidepsin as a single agent

leads to overexpression of P-gp as a resistance mechanism [13, 15, 16, 23]. The MCF-

7 DpVp300 line was generated to identify alternative mechanisms of resistance,

blocking P-gp activity with verapamil to prevent its emergence as a resistance

mechanism. To confirm that P-gp does not play a role in romidepsin resistance in the

DpVp300 cell line, surface expression of P-gp was measured by flow cytometry using

the UIC2 antibody. P-gp was readily detected in the *ABCB1*-transfected MDR-19 cell

line, as shown by the difference in fluorescence between the isotype control peak (solid

line) and UIC-2 peak (dashed line) (Supplemental Fig. S1B). However, P-gp was largely

undetected in the empty vector transfected line, as shown by the overlapping isotype

control (solid line) and UIC-2 peak (dashed line). We did not detect P-gp expression in

either the MCF-7 cells or in MCF-7 DpVp300 cells, as shown by the overlapping isotype

control (solid line) and UIC-2 (dashed line) peaks. Cross-resistance to romidepsin in the

MDR-19 line compared to empty vector transfected cells leads to approximately the

same degree of resistance as that observed between DpVp300 cells and MCF-7 cells

(Supplemental Fig. S1C). Thus, we concluded the mechanism of resistance at work in

the MCF-7 DpVp300 cells is P-gp-independent.

MCF-7 DpVp300 cells have significant changes in gene expression

Both MCF-7 DpVp300 and HuT78 DpVp50 cells, which we previously generated [18],

have acquired mechanisms of resistance to romidepsin that do not involve P-gp/ABCB1

function. To determine which molecular pathways might be involved in the resistance

mechanism we assessed changes in gene expression between the sensitive and the

drug-resistant cells.

We observed significant changes in steady-state mRNA levels between the sensitive

and DpVp cell lines (Fig. 2A) for both MCF-7 and Hut78. Approximately 500 genes were

upregulated, and 180 genes were downregulated ($|l2fc| > 1.0$ and $padj < 0.05$) in both

HuT78 and MCF-7 DpVp cells. The majority of GO-terms enriched for up- or down-

regulated genes were distinct between the two cell lines and offered no indication of

underlying genes or molecular pathways that could drive resistance to romidepsin

(Supplemental Fig. S2A). As expected, we observed little change in the expression level

of *ABCB1* mRNA. We focused our analysis on the genes most up-regulated in both the

MCF-7 and HutT78 cells. We identified 5 genes that are highly up-regulated in both

DpVp variants (log2 fold change >= 5.0 and padj < 1e-300) (Fig. 2B). These genes

include *PEG10*, *PRAME*, *SEMA3A*, *SGCE*, and *METTL7A*. We decided to focus on

METTL7A, a putative methyltransferase that has not been extensively studied but has

been linked to lipid droplet formation in cells [24]. METTL7B, a paralog of METTL7A, is

an alkyl-thiol methyltransferase that is capable of methylating thiol groups of various

compounds such as captopril, hydrogen sulfide, and dithiothreitol [25]. As the active

form of romidepsin contains a thiol as the zinc binding group, and methylation of the

thiol group would prevent coordination of the molecule with zinc in the HDAC binding

pocket, we hypothesized that METTL7A might be able to inactivate romidepsin or other

HDACis with a thiol as the zinc-binding group. Immunoblot analysis confirmed high

levels of METTL7A protein not only in MCF-7 DpVp300 and HUT78 DpVp50 cells but

also in another resistant cell line, HuT78 DpP75 [18] (Fig. 2C). Taken together, these

results indicate that METTL7A is upregulated in both MCF-7 DpVp300 and HuT78

DpVp50 and may play a role in romidepsin resistance.

METTL7A is upregulated at the transcriptional level

Several mechanisms could drive upregulation of METTL7A in DpVp cells. To determine

if expression of METTL7A is regulated at the level of transcription, we compared

chromatin accessibility in the parent and the DpVp lines for MCF-7 and HuT78. A total

of 19,937 differentially open regions (DORs) (padj < 0.05) in the MCF-7 cells and

34,737 DORs in the HuT78 cells were identified (Fig. 2D). Consistent with our

observation that there is little overlap between up- and down-regulated genes at the

mRNA level, we also observe little overlap between MCF-7 and HuT78 DpVp DORs,

with only 737 DORs common to both DpVp cell lines (Fig. 2E). *De novo* motif discovery

of transcriptional binding sites at DORs shows little overlap between the two cell lines,

(Supplemental Fig. S2B), suggesting different transcriptional networks are active in the

two DpVp cell lines. As expected, genes associated with highly accessible DORs tend

to be upregulated in the DpVp cells (Fig. 2F). Finally, upregulation of METTL7A is

associated with open DORs at the genomic locus (Figure 2G) and an increase in

density of subnucleosomal DNA fragments (Supplemental Fig 2C). The mRNA coding

for METTL7A has been shown to undergo extensive editing at the 3' end, and protein

expression has been shown to be suppressed by adenosine deaminase acting on RNA

(ADAR1/2) and miR-27a [32]. We observe extensive editing of the 3'-UTR of METTL7A

in both of our resistance models (Supplemental Fig. S2D), suggesting that post-

transcriptional regulation might also play a role in the upregulation of METTL7A.

MCF-7 DpVp300 cells are resistant to other thiol-based HDACIs

Since METTL7B has been shown to be an alkyl-thiol methyltransferase, we

hypothesized that if METTL7A is the driver of romidepsin resistance, the MCF-7

DpVp300 might be resistant to other HDACis with a thiol group. We performed

cytotoxicity assays on MCF-7 and MCF-7 DpVp300 cells with largazole, a natural-

product HDACi [26]; OKI-005, an HDACi based on the structure of largazole and

currently in clinical trials [27]; KD5170, a class I and II HDACi [28]; and NCH-51, an

HDACi based on the structure of vorinostat [29]. All of these small molecules are

thioester prodrugs that hydrolyze to yield a thiol as the zinc binding group. We found

that the DpVp300 cells were resistant to all the thiol-based HDACis tested (Fig. 3A and

Supplementary Table S2).

Immunoblot analysis of histone acetylation and p21 expression showed that while

acetylated histone H3 and p21 expression increased when MCF-7 cells were treated

with any of the above thiol-based HDACis, no increase in expression of these proteins

was observed in DpVp300 cells treated with any of the HDACis (Fig. 3B). This suggests

that MCF-7 DpVp300 cells were selectively resistant to the effects of thiol-based

HDACis. Further, these results support the hypothesis that METTL7A methylates and

inactivates thiol-based HDACis, driving resistance to treatment.

Knockout of METTL7A in MCF-7 DpVp300 cells restores sensitivity to thiol-based

HDACIs

To investigate whether METTL7A was responsible for the observed resistance to

romidepsin and other HDACis with an active thiol group, we performed CRISPR-Cas9-

mediated knockout of *METTL7A*. We generated two clones that were completely

negative for METTL7A expression, 7A KO B1 and 7A KO B3, as well as one clone that

had reduced expression but not total loss of METTL7 protein, 7A KO B4 (Fig. 4A).

When the clones were treated with romidepsin for 24 h, the ability of romidepsin to

induce histone H3 acetylation and p21 was restored in the complete knockouts while

little effect was observed in the clone with partial knockdown (Fig. 4A). In cytotoxicity

assays, sensitivity to all thiol-based HDACis was restored nearly to the level of parent

MCF-7 cells in the knockout clones, while resistance to the HDACis was only slightly

decreased in the partial knockdown clone (Fig. 4B). Sensitivity to vorinostat, which does

not contain a thiol group, was unchanged (Supplemental Table S3).

MCF-7, MCF-7 DpVp300 and 7A KO B1 cells were subsequently treated with

romidepsin, KD-5170, largazole, NCH-51, or OKI-005 for 24 h, after which the effects on

histone acetylation and p21 expression were examined by immunoblot (Fig. 4C). While

MCF-7 cells displayed increases in histone acetylation and p21 after treatment with any

of the HDACis, no increases were observed in MCF-7 DpVp300 cells, and knockout of

METTL7A restored the ability of the thiol-based HDACis to induce histone acetylation

and p21.

In addition, we performed cell cycle analysis on MCF-7, MCF-7 DpVp300 cells and the

3 knockout clones (Fig. 4D and Supplemental Fig. S3). Cells were treated at the

concentrations used above for the immunoblot for 24 hrs. We observed that MCF-7

cells had fewer cells in the G_1/G_0 and S phases of the cell cycle and an increased

number of cells in G_2/M phase after treatment with any of the compounds (Fig. 4D).

Changes in the cell cycle were not observed in DpVp300 cells treated with any of the

drugs except in the case of NCH-51. In agreement with the cytotoxicity data, we

observed significant cell cycle perturbations after treatment with the thiol-based HDACis

in both METTL7A knockout clones. These effects were comparable to what we

observed with MCF-7 cells. In the partial knockout clone, we noted some significant cell-

cycle changes; however, these changes were less pronounced compared to MCF-7

cells, suggesting that even a low level of METTL7 expression is still protective to the

cells. These results all pointed to METTL7A as a mediator of resistance to HDACis with

a thiol as the zinc-binding moiety.

Overexpression of METTL7A or METTL7B confers resistance to thiol-based HDACis

To further test the ability of METTL7A to confer resistance to thiol-containing HDACis,

we transfected HEK-293 cells, which are sensitive to HDACis, with *METTL7A* and the

close paralog *METTL7B*. We selected two clones of each with comparable protein

levels of METTL7A or METTL7B (Fig. 5A) and performed three-day cytotoxicity assays

with largazole, OKI-005, romidepsin, NCH-51 or KD5170 along with two non-thiol HDAC

inhibitors, vorinostat and mocetinostat. METTL7A overexpression conferred resistance

to all thiol-based HDACis tested (Fig. 5B and Supplemental Table S4) compared to

empty vector transfected cells (Vector). METTL7B overexpression conferred low-level

resistance to romidepsin and it also appeared to be less effective than METTL7A for

largazole and KD5170 (Fig. 5B).

We next treated HEK-293 cells transfected with empty vector (Vector) or one of the

METTL7A (7A 1-2) and METTL7B (7B 2-2) clones with romidepsin, KD-5170, largazole,

NCH-51, or OKI-005 for 24 h and examined changes in histone acetylation and p21

expression. In agreement with cytotoxicity assays, cells expressing METTL7B were not

resistant to the effects of romidepsin and displayed increased levels of acetylated

histone H3 and p21, while cells expressing METTL7A were resistant to the effects of all

the thiol-based HDACis (Fig. 5C).

Cell cycle analysis was also performed on the transfected cells after treatment with

romidepsin, KD-5170, largazole, NCH-51, or OKI-005 for 24 h. As was observed with

MCF-7 cells, all thiol-based HDACs induced cell cycle changes in empty-vector

transfected cells, causing a decrease in the number of cells in the G_1/G_0 and S phases

and an increase in the number of cells in the G_2/M phase (Supplemental Fig. S4). In

contrast, no significant cell cycle changes were observed in cells transfected to express

METTL7A. In the METTL7B clones, while no significant cell cycle changes were

observed with a majority of the thiol-based HDACs, we did note significant cell cycle

perturbations in cells treated with romidepsin and KD-5170 (Fig. 5D).

Evidence of methylated romidepsin in culture media

If METTL7A can methylate romidepsin, we rationalized that we should observe

methylated romidepsin in the culture medium of MCF-7 DpVp300 cells incubated with

the drug. Using liquid-chromatography coupled to tandem-mass spectrometry (LC-

MS/MS) we observed high levels of dimethylated romidepsin in the media of MCF-7

DpVp300 cells (Fig. 6A), whereas much lower levels were observed in the media of

MCF-7 cells and the two METTL7A knockout clones, supporting the hypothesis that

METTL7A can methylate and inactivate romidepsin.

The ability of METTLA and METTL7B to methylate romidepsin was also examined in a

cell-free system. Recombinant METTL7A and METTL7B were incubated with reduced

romidepsin for 2 hours and mass spectrometry performed to quantify any

monomethylate reduced romidepsin. Both METTL7A and METTL7B were found to

methylate reduced romidepsin (Fig. 6B). In contrast, no methylated product, or trace

amounts was noted when the reactions were performed in the absence of the methyl

donor S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM), or when the enzyme was pre-treated with

boiling. Together, these results confirm the methyltransferase activity of these enzymes

using romidepsin as a substrate.

The phenylethanolamine-N-methyltransferase inhibitor 2,3-Dichloro-α-

methylbenzylamine hydrochloride (DCMB) was recently reported to selectively inhibit

the methyltransferase activity of METTL7A but not METTL7B [30]. We therefore

conducted cytotoxicity assays in cells over expressing METTL7A or METTL7B

combining DCMB and NCH-51, since NCH-51 was found to be a substrate of both

METTL7A and METTL7B. Using a non-toxic concentration of DCMB we found that

DCMB completely reversed resistance to NCH-51 mediated by METTL7A but not

METTL7B. Inhibitors of other methyltransferases could also be potential inhibitors of

METTL7A and METTL7B and may reverse resistance to thiol-based HDACis.

Tissue expression of METTL7A and METTL7B

While our work with resistant cells implicates METTL7A in resistance to HDACis, its

physiological role in development and homeostasis remains to be determined. To

understand the localization of METTL7A and METTL7B within tissues, we performed

immunohistochemistry on normal tissue microarrays. Both METTL7A and METTL7B

were found to be highly expressed in hepatocytes (Fig 7A and 7B, respectively) and

distinct segments of the nephron (Fig. 7C and 7D), while METTL7B was found in the

intestinal enterocytes (Fig. 7E and 7F) and METTL7A was found in breast epithelium

(Fig. 7G and 7H). METLL7A is also highly expressed in glial cells, certain nephron

segments, and Leydig cells of the testes, while METTL7B is highly expressed in

enterocytes, neurons, and certain nephron segments. Modest expression of both

METTL7A and METTL7B is also found in cardiomyocytes, gastric mucosa, and

subcutaneous adipocytes (Sup. Table 5). The localization pattern of METTL7A and

METTL7B is consistent with a role in detoxification, which might have treatment

implications for drugs in this class.

DISCUSSION

Precise control of gene expression through acetylation and deacetylation of lysine

residues within the tails of histones is important for timely expression of genes, and this

epigenetic regulation is frequently dysregulated in cancers (reviewed in [31]). HDACis

are a novel class of chemotherapeutic drugs that modulate the acetylation levels of

histones by coordinating with Zn^{2+} in the catalytic pocket of HDACs, thus inhibiting

enzyme activity. Reduced HDAC activity results in hyperacetylation of substrates and

induces downstream cell cycle arrest or apoptosis. Vorinostat and romidepsin were the

first FDA-approved HDACis for the treatment of T-cell lymphomas [5-7, 32]. Despite

dramatic responses in some patients, romidepsin is not always effective and resistance

can develop after responses. Furthermore, the activity of HDACis in solid tumors has

been limited, suggesting intrinsic mechanisms of resistance. While elevated levels of

the efflux pump P-gp are associated with *in vitro* resistance to romidepsin, this

mechanism does not translate to the clinic [17]. Thus, we set out to identify mechanisms

of resistance to HDACis. Here, we identify overexpression of the putative

methyltransferases METTL7A and METTL7B as a mechanism of drug resistance to

thiol-based HDACis (Fig. 7I).

In this study, we identified overexpression of METTL7A as a mechanism of romidepsin

resistance in two different cell models. In both models, we uncovered a mechanism of

resistance that is independent of P-gp function and acts upstream of the deacetylation

process. Expression of the methyltransferase METTL7A is necessary for resistance,

and expression of METTL7A in naïve cells can drive resistance to thiol-containing

HDACis. METTL7A-dependent resistance to romidepsin correlated with the presence of

methylated drug in cell media, and the ability of METTL7A to drive resistance to thiol-

containing HDACis can be blocked by the methyltransferase inhibitor DCMB. Finally,

METTL7A can methylate romidesin *in vitro*. Our data supports the model whereby

exposure of cells to romidepsin selects for upregulation of the methyltransferase

METTL7A. Once expressed, METTL7A methylates the zinc-coordinating thiol to

prevents the drug from inhibiting HDAC, rendering the cells resistant to this class of

therapeutic agents. We have noted that the cells selected for high levels of resistance to

romidepsin are also resistant, albeit to a lesser extent, to other HDACis that do not

contain thiol groups. This suggests that one or more factors in the resistant cells

promote more general resistance to HDACis. Whether these are mediated by some of

the other molecular changes we have observed in these cells remains to be determined.

Several mechanisms might be involved in METTL7A upregulation. As previously

described [33], we observe extensive editing of the 3′-UTR of METTL7A in both of our

resistance models (Supplemental Fig. S2D), suggesting that post-transcriptional

regulation may play a role in the up-regulation of METTL7A. We also observe changes

in chromatin accessibility at the METTL7A locus that support up-regulation of METTL7A

at the transcriptional level. The upregulation in METTL7A transcription in the DpVp

cells could be driven by changes in transcriptional networks, changes in DNA

methylation at CpG sites in the METTL7A gene body, or both. In thyroid cancer,

expression of METTL7A has been shown to be regulated by methylation of a CpG

island at exon 2 of *METTL7A* [34]. Since HDACis have been shown to affect DNA

methylation [35-37], one hypothesis is that exposure to HDACis results in a reduction of

DNA methylation at the METTL7A locus that allows for the expression of the METTL7A

mRNA.

Few studies have linked expression of METTL7A or METTL7B to drug resistance. Jun

and colleagues identified METTL7A as a mechanism of resistance in methotrexate

resistant choriocarcinoma cells [38]. However, when we performed cytotoxicity assays

with methotrexate on MCF-7 and DpVp300 cells, we did not find increased resistance in

the DpVp300 line. Additionally, we note that methotrexate does not contain thiol groups.

Thus, other potential factors besides METTL7A may be involved in methotrexate

resistance. A role for METTL7B in resistance to tyrosine kinase inhibitors has been

suggested by Song and colleagues who noted increased expression of METTL7B in

lung adenocarcinoma cell lines that were resistant to the tyrosine kinase inhibitors

(TKIs) gefitinib or osimertinib [39]. In their report, METTL7B was not found to directly

interact with the TKIs, rather METTL7B overexpression was associated with increased

antioxidant capacity and reactive oxygen species (ROS) scavenging [39].

While two previous studies suggested METTL7A methylates RNA [40] and DNA [41],

our studies, in line with the work of Totah and colleagues on both METTL7A [30] and

the close paralog METTL7B [25], suggest that METTL7A directly methylates thiol

groups on small molecules in the cell.

While we observed that both METTL7A and METTL7B were able to methylate

romidepsin in a cell-free system, experiments with our cell line models suggests that the

two paralogs have different roles in drug resistance, with METTL7A being the relevant

driver of resistance to romidepsin. Several reasons can explain this discrepancy and

warrant further investigation. Differences in reaction site preferences, post-translational

modifications, binding partners, or sub-cellular localization between the two enzymes

could results in differences in activity toward romidepsin.

While our work reveals a role for METTL7A and METTL7B in drug resistance, the

function of these methyltransferases during development and a physiological role for

them remains to be elucidated. One of the murine homologs of METTL7A, Mettl7a1, is

transiently and significantly upregulated during reprogramming of mouse embryonic

fibroblasts (MEFs) to induce endoderm progenitors [42]. Addition of Mettl7a1 to the

reprogramming cocktail enhanced conversion of MEFs into induced endoderm

progenitors by promoting reprogramming efficiency. METTL7A also plays a role in

osteogenic differentiation by mediating the effects of glucose on cell survival and

osteogenic induction [41]. Lastly, a role in hydrogen sulfide homeostasis has also been

proposed for both METTL7A and METTL7B [25, 30]. Both paralogs are expressed in

the stomach, kidney, and liver which may suggest a detoxifying role for these proteins

under normal physiological conditions.

The data presented here show that METTL7A has the ability to inactivate thiol-

containing HDAC inhibitors before they reach their targets. It is possible that this

mechanism of resistance, despite the potential to reduce effective drug concentrations

in cancer cells, reduces the systemic effects of the drugs given to patients. The key

challenge in oncopharmacology is that we do not always know if a drug reached its

target. How much the presence of METTL7A is responsible for the inability to translate

the unique activity seen in T-cell lymphomas to solid tumors remains to be investigated

([43-46]). We can further hypothesize a role in the emergence of resistance in T-cell

lymphomas, and this remains to be investigated as well. Together, these findings are a

reminder of just how much remains to be learned and solved regarding how cancers

become resistant to treatment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank members of the Batista, Gottesman and Arda Labs for discussion and critical

reading of the manuscript. The authors thank the Center for Cancer Research (CCR)

Genomics Core in Bethesda, Maryland, as well as the CCR Sequencing Facility in

Frederick, Maryland, for help with high-throughput sequencing. This work utilized the

computational resources of the NIH HPC Biowulf cluster (http://hpc.nih.gov). We

appreciate the editorial assistance of George Leiman. The views expressed in this

article are those of authors and may not reflect the official policy or position of the

National Institute of Health, Department of the Army, Department of Defense. Mention

of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S.

Government. Supported by the Intramural Research Program at the National Cancer

Institute (NCI) of the National Institutes of Health. A.K.T. is supported by Department of

Defense – BCRP Level 2 award (1W81XWH-21-1-0053). C.M.F. is partially supported

by a Postdoctoral Fellowship from the American Cancer Society (PF-19-157-01-RMC).

Authors' Discosures: Anthony D. Piscopio is CEO and president of OnKure, Inc

HIGH-THROUGHPUT SEQUENCING DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY

RNA-seq and ATAC-seq data were deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus

database GSE217130. Code and scripts used to generate data and plots are available

at https://github.com/BatistaLab/METTL7A

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals

Methotrexate, 5-fluoruracil, teniposide, apicidin, trichostatin a, and topotecan were

obtained from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Romidepsin was obtained from Selleck

Chem (Houston, TX). Belinostat, mocetinostat, and panobinostat were purchased from

ChemieTek (Indiannapolis, IN). Dacinostat, resminostat, pracinostat, chidamide,

vorinostat, entinostat, NCH-51, and KD 5170 were obtained from Cayman Chemical

(Ann Arbor, MI). Rocilinostat was purchased from ApexBio Technology (Houston, TX).

PCI-34051 was from Tocris (Minneapolis, MN). Largazole and OKI-005 were

synthesized in-house by OnKure.

Cells

MCF-7 breast cancer cells were selected in increasing concentrations of romidepsin to

generate the MCF-7 DpVp300 cell line that is maintained in 300 ng/ml romidepsin with 5

µg/ml verapamil. The HUT78 cell line was purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA) and

the romidepsin-resistant HUT78 DpVp50 and DpP75 cell lines have been previously

described [18]. All cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FCS and

Pen/Strep. HEK293 cells were also from ATCC and were maintained in Eagle's

minimum essential medium with 10% FCS and Pen/Strep. HEK293 cells were

transfected with empty pcDNA3.1 vector, or vector containing full-length *METTL7A* or

METTL7B with a C-terminus FLAG tag (all vectors from Genscript, Piscataway, NJ)

using Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Clones were selected and were maintained in 1 mg/mL

G418. CRISPR-mediated knockout of METTL7A from MCF-7 DpVp300 cells was

achieved by co-transfecting knockout and homology directed repair vectors for

METTL7A (obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX) using Lipofectamine

2000 and subsequent selection with puromycin (3 µg/ml). Clones were then isolated

and characterized for loss of METTL7A protein via immunoblot.

Cytotoxicity assays

Cells were plated at a density of 5000 cells/well in opaque, white 96-well plates and

allowed to attach overnight. The next day drugs were added, and plates were incubated

for 3 days after which CellTiterGlo (Promega, Madison, WI) was added according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Plates were read on a Tecan Infinite M200 Pro (Tecan

Systems, Inc., San José, CA).

Immunoblot analysis

Whole cell lysates were obtained by harvesting cells in RIPA buffer and sonicating

samples in an inverted cup horn sonicator for 3 bursts of 30 sec, after which the

samples were centrifuged at 10000 RPM for 10 min and soluble proteins were removed.

When examining histone acetylation, RIPA buffer additionally contained 500 nM

trichostatin A to inhibit histone deacetylases after lysing cells. Protein lysates (approx.

15-20 µg) were separated by PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes that

were subsequently probed with antibodies against total histone H3 (cat# 05-499,

Millipore-Sigma, Burlington, MA), pan-Acetyl histone H3 (cat# 06-599, Millipore-Sigma),

METTL7A, METTL7B, beta-actin, (generated in mouse cat# 3700, or rabbit cat# 4970,

Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA) and p21 (cat# 2946, Cell Signaling). Anti-

FLAG antibody (cat# F1804-200UG) was from also from Millipore-Sigma.

Flow cytometry

To determine surface expression of P-glycoprotein, trypsinized cells were incubated

with phycoerythrin-labeled UIC2 antibody or phycoerythrin-labeled isotype control IgG2a

kappa (both from ThermoFisher, Grand Island, NY) for 20 min at room temperature in

2% bovine serum albumin/PBS. Cell fluorescence was measured with a FACSCanto

flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San José, CA) and data analysis was performed using

FloJo v10.4.2 (FlowJo LLC, Ashland OR). At least 10,000 events were collected per

sample. For cell cycle analysis, cells were plated and allowed to attach overnight before

being treated with HDACIs for 24 h. Cells were then harvested by trypsinization,

centrifuged, and the supernatant removed. Cell pellets were resuspended in equal

volumes of staining solution (0.05 mg/ml propidium iodide and 0.1% Triton X) and

RNAse A solution (200 U/mL RNAse A in deionized water). Cells were stained for 20

min and were subsequently read on a FACSCanto Flow Cytometer with at least 10,000

events collected per sample. The percentage of cells in each phase of the cell cycle

was determined by Modfit LT for Mac version 5.0 (Verity Software House, Topsham,

ME). Statistically significant changes in the percent of cells in each phase of the cell

cycle was determined by a one-way ANOVA test with a correction for multiple

comparisons.

RNA sequencing analysis

RNA was extracted from the MCF-7, MCF-7 DpVp300, HuT78, HuT78 DpVp50 and

HuT78 DpP50 cell lines using the RNeasy kit from Qiagen (Germantown, MD). RNA

sample integrity and quantity for three biological replicates were assessed by the Center

for Cancer Research Genomics Core using RNA ScreenTape Analysis (Agilent). All

RNA samples had RNA Integrity Numbers of (> 8.0). RNA libraries were generated

using a TruSeq Stranded mRNA library prep kit (Illumnia) and paired-end RNA

sequencing (2 x 75) was performed by the CCR Sequencing Facility and Genomics

Technology Core on a NextSeq 500 Sequencing System. Read quality analysis was

performed using FASTQC. Reads of the samples were trimmed for adapters and low-

quality bases using Cutadapt (version 2.3) before alignment with the hg38 reference

genome using Spliced Transcripts Alignment to a Reference (version 2.7.0f). The

number of reads mapping to each transcript was determined with HTseq-count (version

0.9.1). Differential expression was determined using DESeq2 (version 1.26.0) within the

R Studio (version 3.6.3).

Omni-ATAC-seq assay

Library Generation

Approximately 20,000 cells per sample were used for omni ATAC-seq assay following

the protocol described in Corces et al. [47] with minor modifications. For each cell line,

two biological replicates were included for control and resistant lines, respectively. To

isolate the nuclei, cells were resuspended in cold ATAC-Resuspension Buffer (RSB)

containing 0.1% NP40, 0.1% Tween-20, and 0.01% Digitonin, and incubated on ice for

3 minutes. The lysis was washed out by addition of 1 mL of RSB containing 0.1%

Tween-20 and mixed by inverting tubes. The nuclei were then pelleted at 500RCF for

10 minutes at 4C. For transposition, each nuclei pellet was resuspended in 50 µL of

transposition mix (25 µl 2x TD buffer, 2.5 µl transposase (100 nM final), 16.5 µl PBS,

0.5 µl 1% digitonin, 0.5 µl 10% Tween-20, 5 µl H_2O) and incubated at 37C for 30

minutes in a thermomixer with 1000 rpm shaking. After transposition, reactions were

stopped by adding EDTA to a final concentration of 40 mM. Transposed DNA fragments

were purified using Zymo DNA Clean and Concentrator-5 Kit (cat# D4014) and PCR

amplified for 5 cycles. Each PCR reaction contained 2.5 µl of 25 µM i5 primer, 2.5 µl of

25 µM i7 primer, 25 µl 2x NEBNext high-fidelity 2x master mix (NEB # M0541), and 20

µl transposed/cleaned-up DNA sample. PCR conditions were as follows: 72ºC for 5 min,

98ºC for 30 sec, followed by 5 cycles of [98ºC for 10 sec, 63ºC for 30 sec, 72ºC for 1

min] and then kept at 4ºC. PCR reactions were purified using the Zymo kit. The purified

ATAC libraries were quantified on an Aligent TapeStation using D5000 high sensitivity

tapes and mixed at an equal molar ratio. The library pool then was size-selected for

100-1000 bp on a 1% agarose gel. The final library pool was sequenced on Illumina

NextSeq2000 for a 100 bp pair-ended run to obtain 100 million reads per sample.

<u>ATAC-seq Analysis</u>

FASTQ files were processed using the PEPATAC (v 2.0.0) pipeline [48] against the

hg38 build of the human genome. Briefly, reads were trimmed of adaptors using Skewer

and aligned to hg38 using Bowtie2. Duplicates were removed using Samblaster. Peaks

were called using MACS2. Differently accessible regions were determined using

DESeq2 (version 1.26.0) within R Studio (version 3.6.3). *De novo* and known motif

enrichment analysis was performed with HOMER [49]. Changes in TF occupancy

between the two cell states was performed with the BaGFoot algorithm [50].

Chromatin extraction and MNase+ExoIII-seq assay

Cells were harvested at 80% confluency using a cell scraper, centrifuged at 125g for 5

min and washed once with cold phosphate-buffered saline. Cells were then

resuspended in cold RSB buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM $MgCl_2$)

containing 0.5% Nonidet P-40, 1 mM PMSF and put on ice. The cell suspensions were

homogenized by 20 strokes of pestle B in a Dounce homogenizer over 30 min on ice.

Release nuclei were centrifuged in Sorvall X Pro centrifuge at 150g, 4ºC for 5 min,

nuclei pellet was resuspended in cold RSB buffer supplemented with 1 mM PMSF.

Before digestion, 1×10^6 nuclei in RSB buffer with 1 mM PMSF were

supplemented with 2 mM $CaCl_2$ and kept at 37ºC for 5 min. 5 units of Micrococcal

nuclease (Nuclease S7 Micrococcal Nuclease, cat# 10107921001; Roche Applied

Science) with 200 units ExoIII (cat# M0206S; New England Biolabs) were added to

each nuclei aliquot and incubated for 10 min at 37ºC. The reaction was stopped by

adding EDTA to a final concentration of 5 mM. Digested nuclei were centrifuged at

4,600g in a Sorvall Legend Micro tabletop centrifuge, supernatant S1 was removed and

discarded. Pellet was resuspended in cold TE buffer, (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM

EDTA), gently pipetted and incubated on ice for 10 min. After centrifugation supernatant

S2 was collected, pelleted material was resuspended in TE buffer, and after third

centrifugation supernatant S3 was collected. Supernatants 2 and 3 were analyzed on

1% agarose gel in TAE buffer to confirm the release of DNA fragments of various

length, then combined and treated with 1% SDS and 20 µg of proteinase K (New

England Biolabs, #P8107S). The reaction was incubated for 1 h at 55ºC. DNA was

extracted with phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol, precipitated in 2.5 volumes of 100%

alcohol supplemented with 50 mM sodium-acetate and left at -80ºC for overnight.

Samples were centrifuged at 17,000g at a tabletop centrifuge, pellet was rinsed in 70%

alcohol, air dried and dissolved in TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 0.2 mM EDTA).

Total DNA was sequenced on Illumina NextSeq2000 for a 100 bp pair-ended run to

obtain 300 million reads per sample.

LC-MS to detect methylated romidepsin in culture medium

Media was centrifuged 5000 × g 15 minutes to pellet any insoluble material and 50 µL of

supernatant removed for mass spectrometry analysis. For each, 10 10 µL was injected

on an Exion liquid chromatography system (SCIEX, Framingham, MA) coupled inline to

an X500B QTOF mass spectrometer (SCIEX, Framingham, MA) in-line with an Exion

liquid chromatography system (SCIEX, Framingham, MA). Each sample was separated

on a 2.1 x 50 mm Aeris 3.6 µm WIDEPORE XB-C8 200 Å column (Phenomenex,

Torrance, CA) at 0.3 mL/min using a 4 min linear gradient from 98% A (0.1% formic

acid) to 40% B (acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid). Data was acquired in positive mode

over a mass range of 100-800 m/z with data dependent acquisition MS/MS performed

over the mass range of 50-750 m/z. Relative quantitation was performed from extracted

ion chromatogram peak areas using SCIEX OS software (SCIEX, Framingham, MA).

Reduction of romidepsin

Romidepsin was added to 1 mL of deoxygenated 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH 7.5 with 10

mM TCEP. The final romidepsin concentration was 1 mM and was incubated at 37 °C

for 1.5 h in the TCEP-containing, buffered solution. At 1.5 h the romidepsin, now almost

completely reduced, was extracted with 1X ethyl acetate. Briefly, 1mL of ethyl acetate

was added to the 1 mL solution of, now reduced, romidepsin. The entire solution was

vortexed, centrifuged briefly at 5000 x g, and then incubated at -80 °C to freeze the

aqueous components. The top layer of ethyl acetate does not freeze and was

transferred to a new vial and dried with a slow stream of nitrogen gas. The dried

reduced romidepsin was then reconstituted with acetonitrile.

Synthesis of (D3)2-diemthyl-romidepsin

We determined that, when reduced with TCEP as previously described, romidepsin was

almost completely converted to the reduced form. We therefore assumed that when

reconstituted with acetonitrile, the solution contains approx. 1 µmol of reduced

romidepsin. All reagents are added based on this estimated yield of reduced

romidepsin. To a solution of acetonitrile containing 1 µmol of reduced romidepsin

dissolved in acetonitrile, 10 µmol of N,N-Diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) and 5 umol of

D3-iodomethane were added. The solution was incubated at room temperature for 2 h

before adding a small volume of water to quench the remaining iodomethane. The

solution was then dried down with a slow stream of nitrogen gas. The dried D3-

dimethyl-romidepsin was then reconstituted with acetonitrile and stored at -80 °C.

Romidepsin methylation activity with purified enzyme

Purified N-GST-METTL7A and -METTL7B were individually diluted to 0.2 mg/mL in KPi

reaction buffer (50 mM KPi pH 7.0, 20% glycerol, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM CHAPS). The

diluted purified thiol methyltransferases were then added 1:2 to a solution of 9 mg/mL

1,2-Dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-rac-(1-glycerol) sodium salt (DMPG) dissolved in

KPi reaction buffer. The final protein concentration was 0.07 mg/mL for each protein.

The solutions of diluted protein were incubated on ice for 30 mins. Small volumes of

diluted METTL7A and METTL7B were then aliquoted into separate vials and boiled for 5

min to inactivate the enzymes. A small volume of reduced romidepsin was deposited

into relevant wells of a 96-well reaction plate. The acetonitrile solvent was removed by

placing the plate in a vacuum chamber and pulling a vacuum for several minutes. The

diluted proteins were added to the plate wells containing dried reduced romidepsin.

Vehicle control was then added to relevant wells containing the diluted thiol

methyltransferases. The well plate was allowed to shake at 625 rpm on a plate shaker

for 5 min. Finally, SAM or a vehicle control of liquid chromatography-grade water was

added to the appropriate wells to initiate the reaction. The final concentration of protein

was 0.06 mg/mL and the final concentration of SAM was 500 µM. The estimated final

concentration of reduced romidepsin was approx. 500 µM. After SAM was added to

initiate the reaction, the samples were incubated at 37 °C for 2 h. After 2 h, ice-cold

acetonitrile containing the (D3)2-dimethyl-romidepsin internal standard was added to

precipitate salts and proteins at a ratio of 3:7 (reaction volume:MeCN). The plate was

centrifuged at 5000 x g for 20 min and then the supernatant from each well was

transferred to a new well in a 96-well plate for LC-MS analysis.

Samples were analyzed with a Waters Xevo TQS mass spectrometer paired with a

Waters Acquity LC using a 2.1 × 50 mm Waters 1.7 µm C18 column and 0.2% acetic

acid in water (Buffer A) and 0.2% acetic acid in MeCN (Buffer B). Consistent elution of

697 romidepsin analytes was achieved with the following gradient: solvent B held at 5% from

698 0 to 0.15 min, then increased to 95% from 0.15 to 5 min, followed by re-equilibration to

699 the starting conditions for another 2 min for a total run time of 7 min. Flow rate was held

700 constant at 0.35 mL/min. The transition used to monitor for the early monomethyl

701 metabolites was 557.74> 255.

703 *Immunohistochemistry*

704  Serial sections from normal tissue microarrays (BCN921 and BN1021) were

705 obtained from tissuearray.com (Derwood, MD). Slides were immunolabeled for METTLA

706 and METTLB according to the conditions in Supplemental Table S6. Serial sections

707 were also stained with a negative control protocol in which primary antibody was

708 replaced by nonclonal antibody from the same species and of the same isotypes

709 (isotype controls). Positive controls included cell pellet slides with known expression of

710 METTL7A (7A 1-2, 7A 1-4) and METTL7B (7B 1-3, 7B 2-2). Slides were analyzed and

711 each tissue was manually evaluated for positive staining along with negative controls;

712 positive cell types were listed and assigned a semi-quantitative grade for positivity

713 (minimal, mild, moderate, or marked; 1+, 2+, 3+, 4+). Slides were also digitalized and

714 analyzed using QuPath (v 0.3.2) [51]. Digital image analysis included identifying stain

715 vectors, de-arraying tissue microarrays, defining regions of interest, cell detection, and

716 quantifying chromogenic immunohistochemistry staining. Following automated TMA grid

717 detection, manual pathologist review was performed to exclude spots or regions of

718 spots that were non-assessable, non-tumor containing, or obscured by artifact.

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. MCF-7 DpVp300 cells are selectively resistant to romidepsin.

(A) Three-day cytotoxicity assays were performed on MCF-7 cells (black circles) and

MCF-7 DpVp300 cells (blue triangles) with the histone deacetylase inhibitors

romidepsin, belinostat, panibinostat and vorinostat.

(B) MCF-7 and MCF-7 DpVp300 cells were plated and allowed to attach overnight.

Cells were subsequently treated with belinostat (5 µM), romidepsin (10 ng/ml),

panobinostat (5 µM), or vorinostat (5 µM) for 24 h after which protein was extracted and

subjected to immunoblot analysis. Membranes were incubated with antibodies to pan

acetylated histone H3 (AcH3), total histone H3 (total H3), p21 or actin. One of three

independent experiments is shown.

(C) MCF-7 and MCF-7 DpVp300 cells were plated and allowed to attach overnight after

which cells were exposed to belinostat (5 µM), romidepsin (10 ng/ml), panobinostat (5

µM), or vorinostat (5 µM) for 24 h. Cells were subsequently analyzed by flow cytometry

and the percentage of cells in each phase of the cell cycle was determined using ModFit

LT v 5.0. The graph was generated from data compiled from three independent

experiments. Error bars are standard deviation.

(D). MCF-7 and MCF-7 DpVp300 cells were plated and allowed to attach overnight after

which cells were exposed to increasing concentrations of romidepsin for 24 h. After

harvesting cells and subjecting extracted protein to immunoblot analysis, proteins were

probed with antibodies as described in (B). One of three independent experiments is

shown.

Fig. 2. RNA Seq and ATAC Seq analysis of MCF-7 and MCF-7 DpVp300 cells.

(A) Volcano plot depicting steady state fold change in mRNA levels between MCF-7 and

MCF-7 DpVp300 (left) and HuT78 and HuT78 DpVp50 (right). Changes in gene

expression were determined with DEseq2. The drug efflux gene *ABCB1* and *METTL7A*

are indicated with a red line

(B) Scatterplot depicting the log2 fold change of transcripts in MCF-7 and MCF-7

DpVp300 compared to the log2 fold change in HuT78 and HuT78 DpVp50 cells. A log2

fold change of 5 is indicated on the x and y-axis with a dashed line. *METTL7A* is

indicated with a red line.

(C) Immunoblot of METTL7A in the MCF-7 and HuT78 resistance models.

(D) Volcano plot depicting the fold change of differentially open regions in MCF7 cells

(left) and Hut78 cells (right) identified with PEPATAC.

(E) Heatmap depicting changes in the differentially open regions in MCF-7, MCF-7

DpVp300, HuT78 and HuT78 DpVp50 cells.

(F) Box plot showing log2 fold change in mRNA levels for genes linked to differentially

open region that gain or lose accessibility in DpVp cells. Genes linked to differentially

open regions with both gain and loss of accessibility are excluded.

(G) Differentially open regions at the genomic locus of METTL7A in MCF-7, MCF-7

DpVp300, HuT78, and HuT78 DpVp50 cells.

Fig. 3. METTL7A overexpression confers resistance to thiol-based HDACIs in the

MCF-7 DpVp300 line

(A) Three-day cytotoxicity assays were performed on MCF-7 cells (black circles) and

MCF-7 DpVp300 cells (blue triangles) with KD-5170, largazole, NCH-51, and OKI-005.

(B) MCF-7 and MCF-7 DpVp300 cells were plated and allowed to attach overnight.

Cells were subsequently treated with romidepsin (10 ng/ml), KD-5170 (10 µM),

largazole (250 nM), NCH-51 (25 µM), or OKI-005 (500 nM) for 24 h after which protein

was extracted and subjected to immunoblot. Blots were incubated with antibodies to

pan acetylated histone H3 (AcH3), total histone H3 (total H3), p21 or actin. Results from

one of three independent experiments are shown.

Fig. 4. Deletion of METTL7A restores sensitivity to thiol-based HDACIs in MCF-7

DpVp300 cells

(A) MCF-7 and MCF-7 DpVp300 cells, as well as knockout clones (7A KO B1 and 7A

KO B3) and partial knockdown clone 7A KO B4 were plated and allowed to attach

overnight. Cells were subsequently left untreated or treated with romidepsin (10 ng/ml)

for 24 h. After harvesting cells and subjecting extracted protein to PAGE, proteins were

transferred to nitrocellulose that was probed with METTL7A antibody as well as pan

acetylated histone H3 (AcH3), total histone H3 (total H3), p21 or actin antibodies.

(B) Three-day cytotoxicity assays were performed with romidepsin, KD-5170, largazole,

NCH-51, OKI-005 and vorinostat on MCF-7 cells (black circles), MCF-7 DpVp300 cells

(blue triangles), 7A KO B1 cells (open black squares), 7A KO B3 (inverted green

triangles) and 7A KO B4 (purple diamonds).

(C) MCF-7, MCF-7 DpVp300 and 7A KO B1 cells were plated and allowed to attach

overnight. Cells were subsequently treated with romidepsin (10 ng/ml), KD-5170 (10

µM), largazole (250 nM), NCH-51 (25 µM), or OKI-005 (500 nM) for 24 h after which

protein was extracted and subjected to PAGE. Proteins were transferred to

nitrocellulose membranes and blots were incubated with antibodies to pan acetylated

histone H3 (AcH3), total histone H3 (total H3), p21 or actin. Results from one of three

independent experiments is shown.

(D) MCF-7, MCF-7 DpVp300 and 7A KO B1 cells were plated and allowed to attach

overnight after which cells were exposed to belinostat (5 µM), romidepsin (10 ng/ml),

panobinostat (5 µM), or vorinostat (5 µM) for 24 h. Cell cycle analysis was subsequently

performed and the percentage of cells in each phase was determined using ModFit LT v

5.0. The graph was generated from data compiled from three independent experiments.

Error bars indicate standard deviation.

Fig. 5. METTL7A and METTL7B overexpression confers varying levels of

resistance to thiol-based HDACis.

(A) HEK293 cells were transfected with empty vector (vector) or with vector encoding

METTL7A (clones 7A 1-2 and 7A 1-4) or METTL7B (clones 7B 1-3 and 7B 2-2).

Proteins were extracted and subjected to immunoblot. The blots were probed with

antibodies to METTL7A, METTL7B or actin.

(B) Three-day cytoptoxicity assays were performed with romidepsin, KD-5170,

largazole, or OKI-005 on empty vector (vector) cells (black circles), 7A 1-2 cells (blue

triangles), 7A 1-4 cells (open black squares), 7B 1-3 (inverted green triangles) and 7B

2-2 (purple diamonds).

(C) Empty vector (vector) cells, 7A 1-2 cells, 7A 1-4 cells, 7B 1-3 cells, and 7B 2-2 cells

were plated and treated with romidepsin (10 ng/ml), KD-5170 (10 µM), largazole (250

nM), NCH-51 (25 µM), or OKI-005 (500 nM) for 24 h after which protein was extracted

and subjected to PAGE. Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes and

blots were incubated with antibodies to pan acetylated histone H3 (AcH3), total histone

H3 (total H3), p21 or actin. Results from one of three independent experiments is

shown.

(D) Empty vector (vector) cells, 7A 1-2 cells, 7A 1-4 cells, 7B 1-3 cells, and 7B 2-2 cells

were plated and treated with romidepsin (10 ng/ml), KD-5170 (10 µM), largazole (250

nM), NCH-51 (25 µM), or OKI-005 (500 nM) for 24 h after which cell cycle analysis was

performed. The percentage of cells in each phase was determined using ModFit LT v

5.0. The graph was generated from data compiled from 3 independent experiments.

Error bars indicate standard deviation.

Figure 6. METTL7A methylation of romidepsin *in vitro*

(A) Measurement of dimethylated romidepsin by LC-MS/MS in cell culture media

following 24 h of incubation with MCF-7, MCF-7 DpVp300, 7A KO B1 and 7A KO B3

cells.

(B) Measurement of methylated romidepsin with METTL7A and METTL7B in a cell-free

system. Methylation reactions were carried out with recombinant enzyme and the

amount of methylated product was measured by LC-MS/MS. Omission of the methyl

donor SAM, and boiling the enzyme were used as controls.

(C) Three-day cytotoxicity assays were performed with NCH-51 on empty vector

(vector) cells (black circles), empty vector cells with DCMB (blue triangles) 7A 1-2 cells

(open black squares) 7A 1-2 cells with DCMB (inverted green triangles) 7A 1-4 cells

(purple diamonds), and 7A 1-2 cells with DCMB (open black circles).

(D) Three-day cytotoxicity assays were performed with NCH-51 on empty vector

(vector) cells (black circles), empty vector cells with DCMB (blue triangles) 7B 1-3 cells

(open black squares) 7B 1-3 cells with DCMB (inverted green triangles) 7B 2-2 cells

(purple diamonds), and 7B 2-2 cells with DCMB (open black circles).

Figure 7: Normal Tissue Expression and proposed model

Normal tissue expression of METTL7A and METTL7B. A strong cytoplasmic pattern is

observed in hepatocytes (A and B), distinct regions of the nephron (C and D), small

intestinal enterocytes (E and F), and breast epithelium (G and H). Arrows and asterisks

indicate distinct METTL7A and METTL7B expression patterns in renal tubules on serial

sections. Scale bars represent 20 µm (A and B), 100 µm (C and D), and 50 µm (E, F, G,

and H). (I) Proposed model of drug resistance through activity of METTL7A.

Supplemental Fig. S1. MCF-7 DpVp300 are selectively resistant to romidepsin and

do not overexpress P-gp.

(A)   MCF-7 and MCF-7 DpVp300 cells were plated and treated with the noted

concentrations of HDACI for 24 h, after which cells were harvested and cell cycle

analysis was performed as detailed in the materials and methods.

(B)　MCF-7, MCF-7 DpVp300, pcDNA, and MDR-19 cells were trypsinized and

incubated with isotype control antibody (solid line) or UIC-2 antibody (dashed line) and

fluorescence was measured on a FACSCanto flow cytometer.

(C)　Three-day cytotoxicity assays were performed with romidepsin on pcDNA cells

(black circles) and MDR-19 (blue triangles). Results from one of three experiments is

shown.

Supplemental Fig. S2. RNA Seq and ATAC Seq analysis of MCF-7, MCF-7

DpVp300, HuT78 and HuT78 DpVp50 cells.

(A) Gene ontology (GO)-Term analysis of up- and down-regulated genes from RNA-

seq analysis in the MCF-7 and HuT-78 romidpesin resistance models. (B) *De novo* motif

analysis of open DORs or closed DORs in the MCF-7 and Hut-78 romidepsin resistance

models. (C) Histogram representation of short nucleosome (80-140bp) density in MCF-7

and MCF-7 DpVp300 cells. METTL7B is shown as example of a gene that does not

change. (D) Histogram representing misincorporation frequency in RNA sequencing

experiments in MCF-7 DpVp300 and HuT78 DpVp50 cells. Red represents A to G

changes, black all other changes.

Supplemental Fig. S3. Thiol-based HDACIs induce cell cycle changes after

deletion of METTL7A in MCF-7 DpVp300 cells.

MCF-7, MCF-7 DpVp300, 7A KO B1, 7A KO B3 and 7A KO B4 cells were plated and

treated with the noted concentrations of HDACi for 24 h, after which cells were

harvested and cell cycle analysis was performed as detailed in Materials and Methods.

Supplemental Fig. S4. METTL7A overexpression prevents cell cycle changes

mediated by thiol-based HDACis

Vector, 7A 1-2, 7A 1-4, 7B 1-3 and 7B 2-2 cells were plated and treated with the noted

concentrations of HDACI for 24 h, after which cells were harvested and cell cycle

analysis was performed as detailed in Materials and Methods.

REFERENCES

1.   Bates SE. Epigenetic Therapies for Cancer. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(7):650-63. Epub 2020/08/14. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1805035. PubMed PMID: 32786190.

2.   Piekarz RL, Sackett DL, Bates SE. Histone deacetylase inhibitors and demethylating agents: clinical development of histone deacetylase inhibitors for cancer therapy. Cancer J. 2007;13(1):30-9. PubMed PMID: 17464244.

3.   Richon VM. Targeting histone deacetylases: development of vorinostat for the treatment of cancer. Epigenomics. 2010;2(3):457-65. Epub 2010/06/01. doi: 10.2217/epi.10.20. PubMed PMID: 22121904.

4.   Robey RW, Chakraborty AR, Basseville A, Luchenko V, Bahr J, Zhan Z, et al. Histone deacetylase inhibitors: emerging mechanisms of resistance. Mol Pharm. 2011;8(6):2021-31. doi: 10.1021/mp200329f. PubMed PMID: 21899343; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3230675.

5.   Duvic M, Talpur R, Ni X, Zhang C, Hazarika P, Kelly C, et al. Phase 2 trial of oral vorinostat (suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid, SAHA) for refractory cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL). Blood. 2007;109(1):31-9. PubMed PMID: 16960145.

6.   Olsen E, Kim Y, Kuzel T, Pacheco T, Foss F, Parker S, et al. Phase IIb multicenter trial of vorinostat in patients with persistent, progressive, or treatment refractory cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25(21):3109-15. PubMed PMID: 17577020.

7.   Whittaker SJ, Demierre MF, Kim EJ, Rook AH, Lerner A, Duvic M, et al. Final results from a multicenter, international, pivotal study of romidepsin in refractory cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28(29):4485-91. doi: JCO.2010.28.9066 [pii] 10.1200/JCO.2010.28.9066. PubMed PMID: 20697094.

8.   Piekarz R, Frye R, Turner M, Wright J, Allen S, Kirschbaum M, et al. Phase II multi-institutional trial of the histone deacetylase inhibitor romidepsin as monotherapy for patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27(32):5410-7. PubMed PMID: 19826128.

9.   O'Connor OA, Horwitz S, Masszi T, Van Hoof A, Brown P, Doorduijn J, et al. Belinostat in Patients With Relapsed or Refractory Peripheral T-Cell Lymphoma: Results of the Pivotal Phase II BELIEF (CLN-19) Study. J Clin Oncol. 2015;33(23):2492-9. Epub 2015/06/22. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2014.59.2782. PubMed PMID: 26101246; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5087312.

10.   Shi Y, Dong M, Hong X, Zhang W, Feng J, Zhu J, et al. Results from a multicenter, open-label, pivotal phase II study of chidamide in relapsed or refractory peripheral T-cell lymphoma. Ann Oncol. 2015;26(8):1766-71. Epub 2015/06/25. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdv237. PubMed PMID: 26105599.

11.   Furumai R, Matsuyama A, Kobashi N, Lee KH, Nishiyama M, Nakajima H, et al. FK228 (depsipeptide) as a natural prodrug that inhibits class I histone deacetylases. Cancer Res. 2002;62(17):4916-21.

12.   Lee JS, Paull K, Alvarez M, Hose C, Monks A, Grever M, et al. Rhodamine efflux patterns predict P-glycoprotein substrates in the National Cancer Institute Drug Screen. Mol Pharmacol. 1994;46:627-38.

13.   Robey RW, Zhan Z, Piekarz RL, Kayastha GL, Fojo T, Bates SE. Increased MDR1 expression in normal and malignant peripheral blood mononuclear cells obtained from patients receiving

depsipeptide (FR901228, FK228, NSC630176). Clin Cancer Res. 2006;12(5):1547-55. PubMed
PMID: 16533780.

14.   Tabe Y, Konopleva M, Contractor R, Munsell M, Schober W, Jin L, et al. Up-regulation of
MDR1 and induction of doxorubicin resistance by histone deacetylase inhibitor depsipeptide
(FK228) and ATRA in acute promyelocytic leukemia cells. Blood. 2006;107(4):1546-54. PubMed
PMID: 16223781.

15.   Xiao JJ, Foraker AB, Swaan PW, Liu S, Huang Y, Dai Z, et al. Efflux of depsipeptide FK228
(FR901228, NSC-630176) is mediated by P-glycoprotein and multidrug resistance-associated
protein 1. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2005;313(1):268-76.

16.   Yamada H, Arakawa Y, Saito S, Agawa M, Kano Y, Horiguchi-Yamada J. Depsipeptide-
resistant KU812 cells show reversible P-glycoprotein expression, hyper-acetylated histones, and
modulated gene expression profile. Leuk Res. 2006;30(6):723-34. PubMed PMID: 16260035.

17.   Bates S, Zhan Z, Steadman K, Obrzut T, Luchenko V, Frye R, et al. Laboratory correlates
for a phase II trial of romidepsin in cutaneous and peripheral T-cell lymphoma. Br J Haematol.
2010;148(2):256-67. PubMed PMID: 19874311.

18.   Chakraborty AR, Robey RW, Luchenko VL, Zhan Z, Piekarz RL, Gillet JP, et al. MAPK
pathway activation leads to Bim loss and histone deacetylase inhibitor resistance: rationale to
combine romidepsin with an MEK inhibitor. Blood. 2013;121(20):4115-25. doi: 10.1182/blood-
2012-08-449140. PubMed PMID: 23532732.

19.   Richon VM, Sandhoff TW, Rifkind RA, Marks PA. Histone deacetylase inhibitor selectively
induces p21WAF1 expression and gene-associated histone acetylation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2000;97(18):10014-9. PubMed PMID: 10954755.

20.   Sandor V, Robbins AR, Robey R, Myers T, Sausville E, Bates SE, et al. FR901228 causes
mitotic arrest but does not alter microtubule polymerization. Anticancer Drugs. 2000;11(6):445-
54.

21.   Landreville S, Agapova OA, Matatall KA, Kneass ZT, Onken MD, Lee RS, et al. Histone
deacetylase inhibitors induce growth arrest and differentiation in uveal melanoma. Clin Cancer
Res. 2012;18(2):408-16. Epub 2011/10/28. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-0946. PubMed
PMID: 22038994; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3261307.

22.   Bernhart E, Stuendl N, Kaltenegger H, Windpassinger C, Donohue N, Leithner A, et al.
Histone deacetylase inhibitors vorinostat and panobinostat induce G1 cell cycle arrest and
apoptosis in multidrug resistant sarcoma cell lines. Oncotarget. 2017;8(44):77254-67. Epub
2017/11/05. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.20460. PubMed PMID: 29100385; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC5652778.

23.   Piekarz RL, Robey RW, Zhan Z, Kayastha G, Sayah A, Abdeldaim AH, et al. T-cell
lymphoma as a model for the use of histone deacetylase inhibitors in cancer therapy: impact of
depsipeptide on molecular markers, therapeutic targets, and mechanisms of resistance. Blood.
2004;103(12):4636-43.

24.   Yi X, Liu J, Wu P, Gong Y, Xu X, Li W. The key microRNA on lipid droplet formation during
adipogenesis from human mesenchymal stem cells. J Cell Physiol. 2020;235(1):328-38. Epub
2019/06/19. doi: 10.1002/jcp.28972. PubMed PMID: 31210354.

25.   Maldonato BJ, Russell DA, Totah RA. Human METTL7B is an alkyl thiol methyltransferase
that metabolizes hydrogen sulfide and captopril. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):4857. Epub 2021/03/03.

doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-84218-5. PubMed PMID: 33649426; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC7921093.
26.   Liu Y, Salvador LA, Byeon S, Ying Y, Kwan JC, Law BK, et al. Anticolon cancer activity of
largazole, a marine-derived tunable histone deacetylase inhibitor. J Pharmacol Exp Ther.
2010;335(2):351-61. Epub 2010/08/27. doi: 10.1124/jpet.110.172387. PubMed PMID:
20739454; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2967399.
27.   Wang X, Waschke BC, Woolaver RA, Chen Z, Zhang G, Piscopio AD, et al. Histone
Deacetylase Inhibition Sensitizes PD1 Blockade-Resistant B-cell Lymphomas. Cancer Immunol
Res. 2019;7(8):1318-31. Epub 2019/06/27. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-18-0875. PubMed
PMID: 31235619; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6679731.
28.   Hassig CA, Symons KT, Guo X, Nguyen PM, Annable T, Wash PL, et al. KD5170, a novel
mercaptoketone-based histone deacetylase inhibitor that exhibits broad spectrum antitumor
activity in vitro and in vivo. Mol Cancer Ther. 2008;7(5):1054-65. Epub 2008/05/17. doi:
10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-07-2347. PubMed PMID: 18483295.
29.   Suzuki T, Nagano Y, Kouketsu A, Matsuura A, Maruyama S, Kurotaki M, et al. Novel
inhibitors of human histone deacetylases: design, synthesis, enzyme inhibition, and cancer cell
growth inhibition of SAHA-based non-hydroxamates. J Med Chem. 2005;48(4):1019-32. Epub
2005/02/18. doi: 10.1021/jm049207j. PubMed PMID: 15715470.
30.   Russell DA, Chau MK, Shi Y, Maldonato BJ, Totah RA. METTL7A and METTL7B are
responsible for S-thiol methyl transferase activity in liver. bioRxiv.
2022:2022.10.12.511968. doi: 10.1101/2022.10.12.511968.
31.   Glozak M, Seto E. Histone deacetylases and cancer. Oncogene. 2007;26(37):5420-32.
PubMed PMID: 17694083.
32.   Piekarz RL, Frye R, Prince HM, Kirschbaum MH, Zain J, Allen SL, et al. Phase 2 trial of
romidepsin in patients with peripheral T-cell lymphoma. Blood. 2011;117(22):5827-34. doi:
10.1182/blood-2010-10-312603. PubMed PMID: 21355097; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC3112033.
33.   Qi L, Song Y, Chan THM, Yang H, Lin CH, Tay DJT, et al. An RNA editing/dsRNA binding-
independent gene regulatory mechanism of ADARs and its clinical implication in cancer. Nucleic
Acids Res. 2017;45(18):10436-51. Epub 2017/10/07. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx667. PubMed PMID:
28985428; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5737565.
34.   Zhou S, Shen Y, Zheng M, Wang L, Che R, Hu W, et al. DNA methylation of METTL7A
gene body regulates its transcriptional level in thyroid cancer. Oncotarget. 2017;8(21):34652-
60. Epub 2017/04/19. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.16147. PubMed PMID: 28416772; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC5470999.
35.   Valdez BC, Brammer JE, Li Y, Murray D, Liu Y, Hosing C, et al. Romidepsin targets
multiple survival signaling pathways in malignant T cells. Blood Cancer J. 2015;5:e357. Epub
2015/10/17. doi: 10.1038/bcj.2015.83. PubMed PMID: 26473529; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4635192.
36.   Arzenani MK, Zade AE, Ming Y, Vijverberg SJ, Zhang Z, Khan Z, et al. Genomic DNA
hypomethylation by histone deacetylase inhibition implicates DNMT1 nuclear dynamics. Mol
Cell Biol. 2011;31(19):4119-28. Epub 2011/07/28. doi: 10.1128/MCB.01304-10. PubMed PMID:
21791605; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3187370.

37.   Sarkar S, Abujamra AL, Loew JE, Forman LW, Perrine SP, Faller DV. Histone deacetylase
inhibitors reverse CpG methylation by regulating DNMT1 through ERK signaling. Anticancer Res.
2011;31(9):2723-32. PubMed PMID: 21868513.
38.   Jun F, Peng Z, Zhang Y, Shi D. Quantitative proteomic analysis identifies novel regulators
of methotrexate resistance in choriocarcinoma. Gynecol Oncol. 2020. Epub 2020/01/21. doi:
10.1016/j.ygyno.2020.01.013. PubMed PMID: 31955862.
39.   Song H, Liu D, Wang L, Liu K, Chen C, Wang L, et al. Methyltransferase like 7B is a
potential therapeutic target for reversing EGFR-TKIs resistance in lung adenocarcinoma. Mol
Cancer. 2022;21(1):43. Epub 2022/02/12. doi: 10.1186/s12943-022-01519-7. PubMed PMID:
35144642; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8830004.
40.   Wang Z, He J, Bach DH, Huang YH, Li Z, Liu H, et al. Induction of m(6)A methylation in
adipocyte exosomal LncRNAs mediates myeloma drug resistance. J Exp Clin Cancer Res.
2022;41(1):4. Epub 2022/01/05. doi: 10.1186/s13046-021-02209-w. PubMed PMID: 34980213;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8722039.
41.   Lee E, Kim JY, Kim TK, Park SY, Im GI. Methyltransferase-like protein 7A (METTL7A)
promotes cell survival and osteogenic differentiation under metabolic stress. Cell Death Discov.
2021;7(1):154. Epub 2021/07/07. doi: 10.1038/s41420-021-00555-4. PubMed PMID: 34226523;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8257615.
42.   Biddy BA, Kong W, Kamimoto K, Guo C, Waye SE, Sun T, et al. Single-cell mapping of
lineage and identity in direct reprogramming. Nature. 2018;564(7735):219-24. Epub
2018/12/07. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0744-4. PubMed PMID: 30518857; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC6635140.
43.   Otterson GA, Hodgson L, Pang H, Vokes EE, B CaLG. Phase II study of the histone
deacetylase inhibitor Romidepsin in relapsed small cell lung cancer (Cancer and Leukemia
Group B 30304). J Thorac Oncol. 2010;5(10):1644-8. doi: 01243894-201010000-00024 [pii]
10.1097/JTO.0b013e3181ec1713. PubMed PMID: 20871263.
44.   Whitehead R, Rankin C, Hoff P, Gold P, Billingsley K, Chapman R, et al. Phase II trial of
romidepsin (NSC-630176) in previously treated colorectal cancer patients with advanced
disease: a Southwest Oncology Group study (S0336). Invest New Drugs. 2009;27(5):469-75.
PubMed PMID: 18941712.
45.   Stadler WM, Margolin K, Ferber S, McCulloch W, Thompson JA. A phase II study of
depsipeptide in refractory metastatic renal cell cancer. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer.
2006;5(1):57-60. PubMed PMID: ISI:000242527000007.
46.   Molife L, Attard G, Fong P, Karavasilis V, Reid A, Patterson S, et al. Phase II, two-stage,
single-arm trial of the histone deacetylase inhibitor (HDACi) romidepsin in metastatic
castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC). Ann Oncol. 2010;21(1):109-13. PubMed PMID:
19608618.
47.   Corces MR, Trevino AE, Hamilton EG, Greenside PG, Sinnott-Armstrong NA, Vesuna S, et
al. An improved ATAC-seq protocol reduces background and enables interrogation of frozen
tissues. Nat Methods. 2017;14(10):959-62. Epub 2017/08/28. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.4396.
PubMed PMID: 28846090; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5623106.
48.   Smith JP, Corces MR, Xu J, Reuter VP, Chang HY, Sheffield NC. PEPATAC: an optimized
pipeline for ATAC-seq data analysis with serial alignments. NAR Genom Bioinform.

2021;3(4):lqab101. Epub 2021/12/04. doi: 10.1093/nargab/lqab101. PubMed PMID: 34859208;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8632735.
49.   Heinz S, Benner C, Spann N, Bertolino E, Lin YC, Laslo P, et al. Simple combinations of
lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis-regulatory elements required for
macrophage and B cell identities. Mol Cell. 2010;38(4):576-89. Epub 2010/06/02. doi:
10.1016/j.molcel.2010.05.004. PubMed PMID: 20513432; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC2898526.
50.   Baek S, Goldstein I, Hager GL. Bivariate Genomic Footprinting Detects Changes in
Transcription Factor Activity. Cell Rep. 2017;19(8):1710-22. Epub 2017/05/26. doi:
10.1016/j.celrep.2017.05.003. PubMed PMID: 28538187; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC5530758.
51.   Bankhead P, Loughrey MB, Fernandez JA, Dombrowski Y, McArt DG, Dunne PD, et al.
QuPath: Open source software for digital pathology image analysis. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):16878.
Epub 2017/12/06. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-17204-5. PubMed PMID: 29203879; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC5715110.
1072

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

A

KD-5170 (μM)

largazole (μM)

NCH-51 (μM)

OKI-005 (μM)

Cell Survival %

● MCF-7   ▲ MCF-7 DpVp300

B

	AcH3
	p21
	total H3
	actin

control | romidepsin | KD-5170 | largazole | NCH-51 | OKI-005 | control | romidepsin | KD-5170 | largazole | NCH-51 | OKI-005

MCF-7   **MCF-7 DpVp300**

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

	Liver	Kidney	Small Intestine	Breast
METTL7A	A	C	E	G
METTL7B	B	D	F	H

Supplemental Figure 1

Supplemental Figure 2

A

MCF-7 GO Biological Process

HuT78 GO Biological Process

B

MCF-7

Open in DpVp

Closed in DpVp

HuT78

Open in DpVp

Closed in DpVp

C

MCF-7

MCF-7 DpVp

D

MCF-7 DpVp

HuT-78 DpVp50

Supplemental Figure 3

Supplemental Figure 4

1073 **Supplemental Table S1. Cross resistance profile of MCF-7 DpVp300 cells**
1074

Drug	n	MCF-7	MCF-7 DpVp300	RR
Romidepsin (ng/ml)	10	1.7±0.10	361±33	212
Belinostat (µM)	3	0.57±0.067	4.7±0.93	8.4
Panobinostat (µM)	3	0.025±0.0065	0.063±0.0012	2.5
Vorinostat (µM)	3	1.4±0.056	6.8±0.84	4.8
Entinostat (µM)	3	2.1±0.25	18±9.3	8.1
Chidamide (µM)	4	15±0.69	49±1.9	3.3
Pracinostat (µM)	3	0.53±0.033	2.6±0.68	4.9
Mocetinostat (µM)	5	1.1±0.037	13±3.1	12
Dacinostat (µM)	3	0.063±0.0033	0.45±0.14	7.5
Rocilinostat (µM)	4	5.6±0.16	25±1.9	4.5
Apicidin (µM)	3	0.59±0.26	2.8±1.3	4.7
Resminostat (µM)	5	2.3±0.33	7.6±1.1	3.3
Trichostatin A (µM)	5	0.051±0.0055	0.16±0.014	3.1
PCI 34051 (µM)	5	12±1.8	23±1.1	1.9
Methotrexate (µM)	4	0.023±0.0035	0.028±0.0035	1.4
Topotecan (µM)	4	2.0±0.14	2.9±0.16	1.4
Teniposide (µM)	3	6.3±0.90	18±3.5	2.9
5-fluorouracil (µM)	3	122±6.0	199±17	1.6

1075
1076
1077 Results presented are mean GI_{50} values +/- SEM. Relative resistance (RR) value was
1078 determined by dividing the GI_{50} value for the MCF-7 DpVp300 cells by the GI_{50} value for
1079 the MCF-7 cells.
1080

1081

1082 **Supplemental Table S2. Cross resistance profile of MCF-7 DpVp300 cells with**
1083 **thiol-based HDACIs**
1084

Drug	n	MCF-7	DpVp300	RR
KD5170	3	4.9±1.3	173±11	35
Largazole	3	0.020±0.0015	7.1±4.3	355
NCH-51	3	9.4±0.15	149±28	16
OKI-005	3	0.11±0.015	7.5±0.10	68

1085
1086
1087 Results presented are mean GI_{50} values +/- SEM. Relative resistance (RR) value was
1088 determined by dividing the GI_{50} value for the MCF-7 DpVp300 cells by the GI_{50} value for
1089 the MCF-7 cells.
1090

1091

Supplemental Table S3. The cross resistance profile of MCF-7, MCF-7 DpVp300,
 7A KO B1, 7A KO B3 and 7A KO B4 cells

1094

1095

Drug	n	MCF-7	MCF-7 DpVp300	7A KO B1	7A KO B3	7A KO B4
Romidepsin (ng/ml)	4	1.0±0.085	240±31	3.3±0.24	5.1±0.27	74±4.1
KD-5170 (μM)	3	1.1±0.21	234±24	9.7±1.8	8.9±2.2	193±43
Largazole (μM)	3	0.014±0.0027	9.6±0.48	0.026±0.0058	0.040±0.0075	2.1±0.18
NCH-51 (μM)	3	9.5±1.4	137±27	39±9.7	35±9.5	70±17
OKI-005 (μM)	3	0.085±0.0029	15±1.2	0.17±0.030	0.19±0.010	4.0±0.55
Vorinostat (μM)	4	2.7±0.62	7.6±1.2	5.6±0.39	5.7±0.67	5.3±0.49

1096

1097 Results presented are mean GI_{50} values +/- SEM.

1098

1099 **Supplemental Table S4. The cross resistance profile of Vector, 7A 1-2, 7A 1-4, 7B**
1100 **1-3 and 7B 2-2 cells**
1101
1102

Drug	n	Vector	7A 1-2	7A 1-4	7B 1-3	7B 2-2
Romidepsin	3	3.5±0.50	104±21	149±17	4.5±0.74	8.0±1.5
KD-5170	5	4.2±1.0	134±12	133±9.7	40±7.1	47±13
Largazole	3	0.049±0.011	4.9±0.34	5.6±1.5	0.51±0.042	0.42±0.073
NCH-51	3	7.5±1.7	103±12	71±17	182±47	117±31
OKI-005	3	0.14±0.032	5.6±0.30	6.5±1.4	2.8±0.40	2.3±0.40
Mocetinostat	4	0.89±0.084	0.72±0.11	0.90±0.077	1.0±0.17	0.78±0.066
Vorinostat	3	1.1±0.19	0.90±0.076	1.4±0.12	1.2±0.088	1.2±0.058

1103
1104 Results presented are mean GI_{50} values +/- SEM.

1105

1106 **Supplemental Table S5. Normal tissue expression of METTL7A and METTL7B**
1107

Tissue	METTL7A positive samples	METTL7B positive samples
Brain	9/14	9/14
Testis	15/15	15/15
Prostate	0/5	0/5
Ovary	0/7	0/7
Breast	5/5	0/5
Cervix	0/5	0/5
Heart	10/10	10/10
Kidney	15/15	15/15
Liver	13/14	13/14
Lung	0/15	0/15
Pancreas	0/5	0/5
Esophagus	0/5	0/5
Stomach	15/15	15/15
Small intestine	0/4	4/4
Colon	0/13	10/13
Rectum	0/5	1/5
Skin	3/3	3/6
Lymph node	0/5	0/5
Spleen	0/16	0/16
Bone marrow	0/5	0/5
Thymus	0/5	0/5

1108
1109

1110 **Supplemental Table S6: Staining methods and antibodies for**
1111 **immunohistochemistry**
1112

Antibody	Dilution	Antigen Retrieval	Secondary Antibody kit	Control Tissue	Manufacturer	Catalog Number
METTL7A	1:500	Citrate 20'	Bond polymer refine kit (minus postprimary reagent)	Empty vector and *METTL7A* transfected HEK-293 cells	Origene	TA346478 (rabbit polyclonal)
METTL7B	1:500	Citrate 20'	Bond polymer refine kit (minus postprimary reagent)	Empty vector and *METTL7B* transfected HEK293 cells	Sigma	HPA830644 (rabbit polyclonal)

1113