The oomycete MAMP, arachidonic acid, and an *Ascophyllum nodosum*-derived plant

biostimulant induce defense metabolome remodeling in tomato

Domonique C. Lewis[1], Timo van der Zwan[2*], Andrew Richards[2**], Holly Little[2], Gitta L. Coaker[1],

and Richard M. Bostock[1†]

[1]Department of Plant Pathology, University of California, Davis, CA   95616, USA

[2]Acadian Plant Health, Acadian Seaplants, Ltd., Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada, B3B 1X8

[†]Corresponding author: R. M. Bostock; E-mail: rmbostock@ucdavis.edu

[*]Current address: Symvivo Corporation, Burnaby, BC, V5J 5J8, Canada

[**]Current address: Department of Plant Pathology, University of California, Davis, CA   95616,

USA

Keywords: elicitor, metabolomics, plant immunity, *Solanum lycopersicum*

Funding: Research supported in part by a Gates Millenium Scholars Program fellowship and

Jastro-Shields awards to DCL, and an unrestricted gift from Acadian Seaplants, LTD., to RMB.

Domonique C. Lewis
Phytopathology

Abstract

Arachidonic acid (AA) is an oomycete derived MAMP capable of eliciting robust defense

responses and inducing resistance in plants. Similarly, extract from the brown seaweed

Ascophylum nodosum, a plant biostimulant that contains AA, can also prime plants for defense

against pathogen challenge. A previous parallel study comparing the transcriptomes of AA and

ANE root-treated tomato demonstrated significant overlap in transcriptional profiles, a shared

induced resistance phenotype, and changes in accumulation of various defense-related

phytohormones. In this work, untargeted metabolomic analysis via LCMS was conducted to

investigate the local and systemic metabolome-wide remodeling events elicited by AA- and

ANE-root treatment in tomato.   Our study demonstrated AA and ANE's capacity to locally and

systemically alter the metabolome of tomato with enrichment of chemical classes and

accumulation of metabolites associated with defense-related secondary metabolism. AA and

ANE root-treated plants showed enrichment of fatty acyl-glycosides and strong modulation of

hydroxycinnamic acids and derivatives. Identification of specific metabolites whose

accumulation was affected by AA and ANE treatment revealed shared metabolic changes

related to ligno-suberin biosynthesis and the synthesis of phenolic compounds. However, AA-

and ANE-induced metabolomes are distinct, and do not share the extensive overlap in their

induced transcriptomes that we observed in a previous study.   This study highlights the

extensive local and systemic metabolic changes in tomato induced by treatment with a fatty

acid MAMP and a seaweed-derived plant biostimulant with implications for induced resistance

and crop improvement.

Introduction

Plants have pre-formed and inducible structural and biochemical mechanisms to prevent or

arrest pathogen ingress and colonization. These defenses include barriers such as papillae and

ligno-suberized layers to fortify cell walls, and low-molecular weight inhibitory chemicals

(Freeman and Beattie 2008). Plants undergo transcriptional changes upon perception of

microbe associated molecular patterns (MAMPs) or effectors to induce local and systemic

resistance.

The oomycete MAMPs, arachidonic acid (AA) and eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), are

potent elicitors of defense. These eicosapolyenoic acids were first identified as active

components in *Phytophthora infestans* spore and mycelial extracts capable of eliciting a

hypersensitive-like response, phytoalexin accumulation, lignin deposition, and protection

against subsequent infection in potato tuber discs (Bostock et al. 1981; Bostock et al. 1982).

Further work demonstrated root treatment with AA protects tomato and pepper seedlings

from root and crown rot caused by *Phytophthora capsici*, with associated lignification at sites of

attempted infection (Dye and Bostock 2021). AA has been shown to induce resistance, elicit

production of reactive oxygen species, and trigger programmed cell death in members of the

Solanaceae and other families (Araceli et al. 2007; Bostock et al. 1981; Cook et al. 2018;

Dedyukhina et al. 2014; Dye et al. 2020; Knight et al. 2001).

Phaeophyta and Rhodophyta members (red and brown macroalgae) contain numerous

bioactive chemicals that can elicit defense responses in plants (Klarzynski et al. 2003; Sangha et

al. 2010; Vera et al. 2011). The brown alga, *Ascophyllum nodosum*, is a rich source of

polyunsaturated fatty acids, including AA and EPA, which comprise nearly 25% of its total fatty

acid composition (Lorenzo et al. 2017; van Ginneken et al. 2011). *A. nodosum* and oomycetes

belong to the major eukaryotic lineage, the Stramenopila, and share other biochemical features

(e.g., both are rich in β-1,3-glucans). Commercial extracts of *A. nodosum*, used in organic and

conventional agriculture as plant biostimulants, may also help plants cope with biotic and

abiotic stresses. A proprietary *A. nodosum* extract, Acadian (hereafter ANE; APH-1011-00,

Acadian Seaplants, Ltd., Nova Scotia, Canada), has been shown to provide protection against

bacterial and fungal pathogens (Ali et al. 2016a). Studies in *A. thaliana* showed ANE induced

systemic resistance to *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* and *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*

(Subramanian et al. 2011). Investigation into ANE-induced resistance in *A. thaliana* and tomato

suggest the role of ROS production, jasmonic acid signaling, and upregulation of defense-

related genes and metabolites (Ali et al. 2016b; Cook et al. 2018; Jayaraj et al. 2008;

Subramanian et al. 2011). As a predominant polyunsaturated fatty acid in ANE, AA may

contribute to ANE's biological activity.

In a parallel study we demonstrated AA's ability to systemically induce resistance and

ANE's capacity to locally and systemically induce resistance in tomato to different pathogens

(Lewis et al. 2022). Further, we showed that AA and ANE altered the phytohormone profile of

tomato by modulating the accumulation of defense-related phytohormones (Lewis et al. 2022).

Through RNA sequencing, this same study revealed a striking level of transcriptional overlap in

the gene expression profiles of AA- or ANE-root-treated tomato across tested timepoints (Lewis

et al. 2022). Gene ontology functional analysis of transcriptomic data revealed AA and ANE

enriched similar categories of genes with nearly perfect overlap also observed in categories of

Domonique C. Lewis
Phytopathology

under-represented genes. Both AA and ANE treatment protected seedings from challenge with

pathogens with different parasitic strategies while eliciting expression of genes involved in

immunity and secondary metabolism. The shared induced resistance phenotype and extensive

transcriptional overlap of AA and ANE treatments suggested similar metabolic changes may be

occurring in treated plants. In the current study, untargeted metabolomic analyses were

conducted to assess global effects of root treatment with AA and the AA-containing complex

extract, ANE, on the metabolome of tomato plants.

Materials and Methods

Plant growth and root treatment

Plant materials and hydroponic growth system

Seeds of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum cv.* 'New Yorker') were surface-sterilized and

germinated for 10 days on germination paper. Seedlings were transferred to a hydroponic

growth system and maintained in a growth chamber as previously described in (Dye et al.

2020). Seed was obtained from a commercial source (Totally Tomatoes, Randolph, WI).

Root treatment and tissue harvest

Fatty acid sodium salts (Na-FA; Nu-Chek Prep, Elysian, MN) were prepared and stored as

previously described (Dye et al. 2020). A proprietary formulation of *A. nodosum* extract (ANE;

APH-1011-00; Acadian Seaplants, Ltd., Nova Scotia, Canada) was diluted with deionized water

(diH2O) to a 10% working concentration, which was used to prepare treatment dilutions. All

chemicals were diluted to their treatment concentrations with sterile diH_2O. Hydroponically

reared, 3-week-old tomato seedlings with two fully expanded true leaves were transferred to 1

L darkened treatment containers with their respective root treatment solutions. Following 24,

48, 72, and 96 hours of root treatment, tomato seedlings were removed from treatment

containers, and leaves and roots were excised from shoots and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Each sample was the pool of roots or leaves of two seedlings with four replications per tissue,

treatment, and timepoint.

Metabolite extraction

Samples were transported on dry ice and stored at −70 °C until metabolite extraction. Tissue

samples were ground in liquid nitrogen using a mortar and pestle and 100 mg was weighed and

transferred to a 2-ml bead-beating tube containing four 2.8-mm ceramic beads. All tools and

consumables were pre-chilled in liquid nitrogen. After weighing, each sample was removed

from liquid nitrogen and kept at −20 °C until addition of extraction solution.

One ml of extraction solution (80 % v/v methanol and 0.1 % v/v formic acid in ultrapure water)

was added to each sample which was then vortexed, followed by bead-beating in a bead mill

(Bead Mill 24, Fisherbrand) at a speed of 2.9 m/s for one 3-min cycle. After bead-beating,

samples were centrifuged at 12k × g for 10 min at 4 °C (Accu Spin Micro 17R, Thermo Scientific).

Samples were diluted 5-fold using extraction solution and filtered into LCMS-grade HPLC vials

using 0.22-µm PTFE syringe filters. HPLC vials were kept at 4 °C until LCMS analysis. A blank was

prepared by adding 1 ml extraction solution to a bead-beating tube containing beads that was

processed equivalently to the samples. In addition, a quality control sample was prepared by

combining 20 µl of each of the extracted samples and processed equivalently.

Liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry run conditions

Samples were analyzed via high performance liquid chromatography (Agilent 1260 Infinity) and

electrospray ionization quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (Agilent 6530 Q-TOF)

controlled by MassHunter software in centroid data mode. Mobile phase A was ultrapure water

with 0.05 % (v/v) formic acid and mobile phase B was acetonitrile with 0.05 % (v/v) formic acid.

Before starting the run, the column (Poroshell 120 EC-C18; 3.0 mm internal diameter, 50 mm

length, 2.7 µm particle size; Agilent), equipped with a guard column (EC-C18; 3.0 mm internal

diameter, 5 mm length, 2.7 µm particle size; Agilent), was conditioned for 20 minutes with 95 %

mobile phase A and 5 % B. Column temperature was maintained at 40 °C. The sample injection

order was randomized, with individual samples being run consecutively in positive and negative

mode. The quality control sample was injected at the beginning and end of the run, as well as

after every 12 samples throughout the run to check signal and elution stability. Source

parameters were as follows: drying gas temperature of 325 °C (positive) and 350 °C (negative),

drying gas flow 12 l/min, nebulizer pressure 35 psi, sheath gas temp 375 °C (positive) and 400

°C (negative), sheath gas flow 11 l/min, capillary voltage 3500 V (positive) and 3000 V

(negative), nozzle voltage 0 V (positive) and 1500 V (negative), fragmentor 125 V, skimmer 65 V,

and octopole 750 V. Acquisition was performed over a mass range of 50 to 1700 m/z using the

all-ions MS/MS technique, cycling three different collision energies (0, 10, 30 eV) at an

acquisition rate of 3 spectra/s. Simultaneous infusion of a solution of purine and hexakis(1H,

1H, 3H-tetrafluoropropoxy)phosphazine using the reference nebulizer was used throughout the

runs for mass calibration. Samples were introduced using 2 µl injections at a flow rate of 0.5

ml/min. A needle wash of 1:1 acetonitrile:water was used between each injection. The mobile

phase gradient was: 0 min, 95 % A, 5 % B; 1 min, 95 % A, 5 % B; 10 min, 50 % A, 50 % B; 15 min,

0 % A, 100 % B; 17 min, 0 % A, 100 % B; 17.1 min, 95 % A, 5 % B; 19.1 min, 95 % A, 5 % B.

Data analysis pipeline

Data alignment, deconvolution, and normalization

Positive and negative mode raw data files from MassHunter were analysed separately in MS-

DIAL (v. 4.80) before downstream analysis. Tolerances for MS1 and MS2 were set to 0.025 and

0.075 Da respectively (Tsugawa et al. 2015). A representative quality control sample run was

used as the reference file to align peaks. For peak detection, the mass slice width was set to 0.1

DA and the minimum peak height was set to 15,000 which was approximately 3 times the noise

level observed in the total ion chromatogram. A linear weighted moving average method was

used for peak smoothing, with a smoothing level of 3 scans and a minimum peak width of 5

scans. Deconvolution was performed with a sigma window value of 0.5 and an MS/MS

abundance cutoff of 10. The adducts permitted were $[M+H]+$, $[M+NH_4]+$, $[M+Na]+$, $[M+K]+$,

$[M+H-H_2O]+$, and $[2M+H]+$ in positive mode, and $[M-H]-$, $[M-H_2O-H]-$, $[M+Cl]-$, $[M+Na-2H]-$,

and $[M+K-2H]-$ in negative mode.

Quality control, feature annotation, and merging

Domonique C. Lewis
Phytopathology

MS-DIAL data was cleaned in MS-CleanR (*mscleanr*, v. 1.0) in RStudio (v. 1.4.1106) using the

following parameters: minimum blank ratio of 0.8, maximum relative standard deviation of 30,

minimum relative mass defect (RMD) of 50, maximum RMD of 3000, maximum mass difference

of 0.05 and maximum retention time difference of 0.15. MS-DIAL features were clustered by

applying a Pearson correlation, with a minimum correlation of 0.8 and maximum p value of

0.05, retaining two features per cluster according to most intense and the most connected peak

filters (Fraisier-Vannier et al. 2020). Selected peaks were imported into MS-FINDER (v. 3.52) for

annotation (Tsugawa et al. 2016). Mass tolerance for MS1 and MS2 were set to 5 and 15 ppm

respectively, the relative abundance cut off set at 1% and the formula finder was configured to

use C, H, O, N, P, and S atoms. FooDB, PlantCyc, ChEBI, NPA, NANPDB, COCONUT, KNApSAcK,

PubChem, and UNPD were used as local databases. During the final merge step in MS-CleanR,

the best annotation for each peak was based on MS-FINDER scores. The normalized annotated

peaks list produced by MS-CleanR was used for the final statistical analyses in R.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were completed in R (v. 4.0.5) via RStudio (v. 1.4.1106). Statistical

analyses were run separately for each tissue type using the same parameters.

Multivariate analyses

A partial least squares (PLS) supervised model of the complete log-transformed and Pareto-

scaled dataset was done using the *ropls* package (version 1.22.0), with the three treatment

groups as the response variables. Ellipses were drawn around treatments using *stat_ellipse*

(*ggplot2*) based on a 95 % confidence level. This distance type considers the correlation

between variables and the ellipses are created around the centroid data point.

Heatmaps were created using the log-transformed data within the *ComplexHeatmap* package in

R (v. 2.13.1), with hierarchical clustering according to the complete-linkage method and

Euclidean distance measure across columns and rows, displayed as dendrograms.

Pairwise multivariate analyses

Pairwise multivariate analysis was performed across all time points between ANE and H_2O, and

between AA and H_2O, using an orthogonal projections to latent structures discriminant analysis

(OPLS-DA). OPLS-DA models were generated using the *ropls* package, with the predictive

components set to 1 and orthogonal components to 7. S-plots were generated following

sample sum normalization and Pareto scaling via calculation of p1 (covariance) and pcorr1

(correlation) of the OPLS-DA scores using the *muma* package (v. 1.4) source code within R.

Chemical class enrichment analysis was achieved using ChemRICH (version 1.0 August 2020) for

each two-treatment comparison at each time point within R using the source code (Barupal and

Fiehn 2017). A student's t-test of the signal was conducted to generate p values and effect size.

The effect size in each case represents the difference compared to the water control treatment

group.

Results

Local and systemic metabolomic analysis of AA- and ANE- induced plants

Previous transcriptomic work revealed a high level of congruency in differentially expressed

genes in AA- and ANE-treated tomato seedlings compared to H_2O-treated controls (Lewis et al.

2022). To further this line of investigation, the metabolomic profiles of AA- and ANE-root-

treated plants were compared to H_2O after 24-, 48-, 72-, and 96-hours exposure to their

respective treatments. Locally-treated roots and distal leaves were harvested, flash frozen,

extracted for metabolites, and subsequently analyzed via liquid chromatography/mass

spectrometry (**Fig. 1A**).

223   Partial least squares (PLS) score plots of tomato root tissue revealed distinct clustering

224   by treatment irrespective of timepoint (**Fig. 1C**). No overlap was observed in the 95%

225   confidence ellipses for any treatment group.   Likewise, heatmap visualization of the $\log_{10}$ signal

226   of metabolites showed clear clustering of metabolomic profiles by treatment (**Fig. 1B**).

227   Features displayed in the heatmap were filtered from the total dataset with a p-value $< 10^{-6}$ and

228   absolute fold change > 5 in roots.

229   Less defined clustering was observed in PLS score plots of distal leaf tissue across

sampled timepoints (**Fig. 2B**). Ellipses representing the 95% confidence interval for both H_2O

and AA treatments both partially overlap with the ANE treatment group. Similarly, a heatmap

depicting metabolite $\log_{10}$ signal showed more diffuse clustering by treatment (**Fig. 1A**).

Visualized metabolites from leaves displayed in the heatmap used a p-value < 0.001 and an

absolute fold-change > 2.

Chemical enrichment analysis of AA- and ANE-induced plants

Chemical enrichment analyses were conducted to identify classes of metabolites whose

accumulation was locally or systemically altered in AA- and ANE-root-treated tomato seedlings.

Enrichment analyses of metabolites whose mean signal was significantly changed in AA- or

ANE-treated plants compared to H_2O identified numerous affected chemical classes **(Fig. 3 and**

Sup. Fig. 1). These changes were most robust in directly treated roots compared to distal

leaves. Treatment of tomato seedlings with AA showed strong modulation of metabolomic

features classified as triterpenoids and linoleic acid and derivatives in roots. AA-treated roots

also showed increases in hydroxycinnamic acids and derivatives and fatty acyl glycosides of

mono- and disaccharides. ANE-treated roots showed modulation in the accumulation of

triterpenoids, steroidal glycosides, and hydroxycinnamic acids and derivatives. Similar to AA-

treated plants, the roots of plants treated with ANE also showed increases in metabolites

classified as fatty acyl glycosides of mono- and di-saccharides.

Although less striking than the chemical enrichment analysis of roots, leaf tissue of root-

treated plants did reveal an altered metabolome **(Sup. Fig. 1)**. These changes in metabolite

accumulation occurred most prominently at 96 hours, the latest tested timepoint. Increases in

sesquiterpenoids and steroidal saponins were seen in leaves of AA-treated plants at 96 hours.

A mix of accumulation and suppression of terpenoids and an increase of methoxyphenols was

observed in the leaves of ANE-root-treated plants.

Specific metabolomic features modulated by AA- and ANE- root treatments

Chemical enrichment analyses broadly revealed classes of metabolites that were induced or

suppressed in AA- or ANE-treated plants. To examine which specific variables (metabolites)

provide the strongest discriminatory power between the two treatment groups, a two-group

comparative supervised multivariate analysis, orthogonal projections to latent structures

discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA), was utilized. OPLS-DA score plots show strong between-group

variability discrimination between AA and ANE treatment groups compared to the H_2O control

across all tested timepoints with the x-axis describing the inter-treatment variability, and the y-

axis showing the intra-treatment variability **(Suppl. Figure 2).** S-plots derived from OPLS-DA

were examined for both AA and ANE treatments in pairwise comparison with H_2O control. S-

plots of OPLS-DA revealed that treatment with AA or ANE induced shared changes in the levels

of several defense-related metabolites in roots **(Fig. 4)**. Variables (metabolites) with the most

negative and positive correlation and covariance values are the most influential in the model.

These metabolites are located on either tail of the S-plot (upper-right and lower-left) and

contribute most greatly to the separation between treatment groups

Bar charts depicting mean LCMS signals for top OPLS-DA S-plot metabolites visualized

across all timepoints illustrate that AA and ANE have similar effects on plant metabolic response

(Fig. 5). Treatment of tomato roots with AA and ANE resulted in a sharp increase in metabolic

intermediates in ligno-suberin biosynthesis. This includes AA-induced accumulation of

moupinamide (syn. N-feruloyltyramine) and significant increases in coniferyl alcohol in the

roots of ANE-treated plants across all tested timepoints. In roots, AA and ANE treatments also

induced increased levels of N-(p-hydroxyphenyl)ethyl p-hydroxycinnamide and N1-trans-

feruloylagmatine compared to H_2O treatment, reflecting strong upregulation of the shikimate

pathway and phenolic compound synthesis. Reduced levels of tomatine and dehydrotomatine

were observed in the roots of AA- and ANE-treated plants indicating suppression of steroid

Domonique C. Lewis
Phytopathology

glycoalkaloid biosynthesis. Treated plants also showed lower levels of lyso-phosphatidyl

ethanolamine (0:0/18:2(9Z,12Z)) that could reflect enhanced membrane lipid turnover.

Discussion

AA and ANE can induce disease resistance locally and systemically, alter the accumulation of

key phytohormones, and change the transcriptional profile of tomato with a striking level of

overlap between the two treatments (Lewis et al. 2022). The current study examined and

characterized the AA- and ANE-induced metabolomes of tomato. AA and ANE locally and

systemically induce metabolome remodeling toward defense-associated metabolic features.

Early studies investigating transcriptional and metabolic changes in potato revealed

selective partitioning and shifting of terpenoid biosynthesis from steroidal glycoalkaloids to

sesquiterpenes following treatment with AA or EPA or challenged with *P. infestans* (Choi et al.

1992; Stermer and Bostock 1987; Tjamos and Kuc 1982). Similarly, our work here with AA- and

ANE-treated tomato seedlings has shown a marked decrease in the levels of two abundant

glycoalkaloids, tomatine and dehydrotomatine **(Fig. 5)**. Our data also show strong enrichment

of sesquiterpenes in leaves of AA-treated plants at 96 hours post treatment, although the

identity of these sesquiterpenes is unresolved **(Sup. Fig. 1A)**. This work further supports

evidence for differential regulation and sub-functionalization of sterol/glycoalkaloid and

sesquiterpene biosynthetic pathways in solanaceous plants in different stress contexts (Choi et

al. 1992; Stermer and Bostock 1987).

AA and EPA are strong elicitors that are abundant in structural and storage lipids of

oomycete pathogens, but absent from higher plants. Although their initial perception by the

plant is likely different from that of canonical MAMPs (e.g., flg22, chitosan, lipopolysaccharide),

there is some convergence in downstream defenses induced by these various MAMPs. Work to

characterize the effect of canonical MAMP treatment on the metabolomes of various plant

species has implicated common metabolic changes that prime for defense. Cells and leaf tissue

of *A. thaliana* treated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) showed enrichment of phenylpropanoid

pathway metabolites, including cinnamic acid derivatives and glycosides (Finnegan et al. 2016).

In the same study, SA and JA were also positively correlated with LPS treatment, as we also

observed in tomato following treatment with AA (Finnegan et al. 2016; Lewis et al. 2022).

Recent work in *A. thaliana* wild-type and receptor mutants treated with two chemotypes of LPS

showed increases in hydroxycinnamic acid and derivatives and enrichment of the associated

phenylpropanoid pathway (Offor et al. 2022). Work in tobacco similarly found treatment with

LPS, chitosan, and flg22 all induced accumulation of hydroxycinnamic acid and derivatives, and

that defense responses elicited by these MAMPs were modulated by both SA and JA (Mhlongo

et al. 2016). More recent work in the cells of *Sorghum bicolor* treated with LPS showed

enrichment of hydroxycinnamic acids and other phenylpropanoids in coordination with

accumulation of both SA and JA (Mareya et al. 2020). Treatment of tomato with flg22 and flgII-

28 also enriched hydroxycinnamic acids, and tomato treatment with cps22 revealed a

metabolic shift toward the phenylpropanoid pathway with hydroxycinnamic acid, conjugates

and derivatives as key biomarkers (Zeiss et al. 2021a, b). Similar to traditional MAMPs, AA and

the AA/EPA-containing complex mixture, ANE, both induce enrichment of cinnamic acid and

derivatives in tomato seedlings **(Fig. 3)**. This supports the hypothesis that MAMPs broadly

induce similar metabolic changes to enrich pools of specialized secondary metabolites that

contribute to plant immunity.

AA- and ANE-treated roots showed strong enrichment of metabolic features classified as

fatty acyl glycosides of mono- and disaccharides **(Fig. 3)**. Fatty acyl glycosides have been

studied in several plant families and are most extensively characterized in members of

Solanaceae (Asai and Fujimoto 2010; Asai et al. 2010; Dalsgaard et al. 2006; Moghe et al.

2017). Investigations into the function of fatty acyl glycosides in plants suggest they may act to

protect against insect herbivory through various mechanisms and provide protection against

fungal pathogens (Leckie et al. 2016; Luu et al. 2017; Puterka et al. 2003; Simmons et al. 2004;

Weinhold and Baldwin 2011). A recent study isolated and identified fatty acyl glycosides from

strawberry capable of inducing immune responses in *A. thaliana*, including ROS burst, callose

deposition, increased expression of defense-related genes, and induced resistance to bacterial

and fungal challenge (Grellet Bournonville et al. 2020). This same work also demonstrated that

the strawberry-derived fatty acyl glycosides induced resistance in soybean and, due to their

antimicrobial activity, also protected lemon fruits postharvest from fungal infection (Grellet

Bournonville et al. 2020). AA- and ANE-root treatments locally elicit accumulation of the same

class of defense associated metabolites that Grellet et al. illustrated to have direct antimicrobial

activity and protect against disease (Grellet Bournonville et al. 2020).

Cell wall fortification is an important plant defense often initiated upon pathogen

infection. Cell wall lignification is a well-studied mechanism with localized accumulation of

phenolic intermediates and lignin at attempted penetration sites (Nicholson and

Hammerschmidt 1992; Vance et al. 1980; Zeyen et al. 2002). Lignification reinforces and

Domonique C. Lewis
Phytopathology

rigidifies the cell wall to create an impervious barrier to microbial ingress (Nicholson and

Hammerschmidt 1992; Vance et al. 1980; Zeyen et al. 2002). In our study, AA treatment of

tomato roots induced accumulation of a phenylcoumaran intermediate in lignin biosynthesis,

while ANE treatment induced accumulation of coniferyl alcohol, an important monomer unit of

lignin. Interestingly, coniferyl alcohol has recently been shown to act in a signaling capacity in a

regulatory feedback mechanism to intricately control lignin biosynthesis, an irreversible process

that is energetically costly (Guan et al. 2022). The findings of our study coincide with the well-

characterized role of lignin and its intermediates in plant defense.

Despite similarity in changes to the metabolic profile of tomato, the degree of

transcriptional overlap previously identified in AA- and ANE-treated plants is not reflected in

the degree of metabolic overlap here. Principle component analysis showed AA and ANE

transcriptomes clustered tightly together, while metabolomic data indicate discreet clustering

of AA and ANE treatments (Lewis et al. 2022). This suggests that although largely overlapping

changes to gene expression occur, more varied and distinctive metabolic outcomes can result.

This work characterizes local and systemic metabolic profiles of AA- and ANE-treated

tomato with the oomycete-derived MAMP, AA, and the AA-containing biostimulant, ANE. AA

and ANE profoundly alter the tomato metabolome toward defense-associated secondary

metabolites with notable overlap in enriched metabolite classes compared to H_2O control.

Further investigation is required to elucidate the functional contribution of these metabolic

features in AA- and ANE induced resistance and, more broadly, plant immunity. Our study adds

to the understanding of MAMP-induced metabolomes with implications for further

development of seaweed-derived biostimulants for crop improvement.

Domonique C. Lewis
Phytopathology

Author contributions

Study planned by all authors. Experiments performed by DCL, metabolite analyses conducted

by TVDZ and AR. Paper written by DCL and RMB, with editing by GLC.

Literature cited

Ali, N., Farrell, A., Ramsubhag, A., and Jayaraman, J. 2016a. The effect of *Ascophyllum nodosum* extract

on the growth, yield and fruit quality of tomato grown under tropical conditions. J. Appl. Phycol.

28:1353-1362.

Ali, N., Ramkissoon, A., Ramsubhag, A., and Jayaraj, J. 2016b. *Ascophyllum* extract application causes

reduction of disease levels in field tomatoes grown in a tropical environment. Crop Protect.

83:67-75.

Araceli, A.-C., Elda, C.-M., Edmundo, L.-G., and Ernesto, G.-P. 2007. Capsidiol production in pepper fruits

(*Capsicum annuum L.*) induced by arachidonic acid is dependent of an oxidative burst. Physiol.

Mol. Plant Pathol. 70:69-76.

Asai, T., and Fujimoto, Y. 2010. Cyclic fatty acyl glycosides in the glandular trichome exudate of *Silene*

gallica. Phytochemistry 71:1410-1417.

Asai, T., Hara, N., and Fujimoto, Y. 2010. Fatty acid derivatives and dammarane triterpenes from the

glandular trichome exudates of *Ibicella lutea* and *Proboscidea louisiana*. Phytochemistry 71:877-

894.

Barupal, D. K., and Fiehn, O. 2017. Chemical Similarity Enrichment Analysis (ChemRICH) as alternative to

biochemical pathway mapping for metabolomic datasets. Sci. Rep. 7:14567.

Bostock, R. M., Kuc, J. A., and Laine, R. A. 1981. Eicosapentaenoic and arachidonic acids from

Phytophthora infestans elicit fungitoxic sesquiterpenes in the potato. Science 212:67-69.

Bostock, R. M., Laine, R. A., and Kuć, J. A. 1982. Factors affecting the elicitation of sesquiterpenoid

phytoalexin accumulation by eicosapentaenoic and arachidonic acids in potato. Plant Physiol.

70:1417-1424.

Choi, D., Ward, B. L., and Bostock, R. M. 1992. Differential induction and suppression of potato 3-

hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase genes in response to *Phytophthora infestans*

and to its elicitor arachidonic acid. Plant Cell 4:1333-1344.

Cook, J., Zhang, J., Norrie, J., Blal, B., and Cheng, Z. 2018. Seaweed extract (Stella Maris(®)) activates

innate immune responses in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and protects host against bacterial pathogens.

Mar. Drugs 16:221.

Dalsgaard, P. W., Potterat, O., Dieterle, F., Paululat, T., Kühn, T., and Hamburger, M. 2006. Noniosides E -

H, new trisaccharide fatty acid esters from the fruit of *Morinda citrifolia* (Noni). Planta Med.

72:1322-1327.

Dedyukhina, E. G., Kamzolova, S. V., and Vainshtein, M. B. 2014. Arachidonic acid as an elicitor of the

plant defense response to phytopathogens. Chem. Biol. Technol. 1:18.

Dye, S. M., and Bostock, R. M. 2021. Eicosapolyenoic fatty acids induce defense responses and

resistance to *Phytophthora capsici* in tomato and pepper. Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.

114:101642.

Dye, S. M., Yang, J., and Bostock, R. M. 2020. Eicosapolyenoic fatty acids alter oxylipin gene expression

and fatty acid hydroperoxide profiles in tomato and pepper roots. Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.

109:101444.

Finnegan, T., Steenkamp, P. A., Piater, L. A., and Dubery, I. A. 2016. The lipopolysaccharide-induced

metabolome signature in *Arabidopsis thaliana* reveals dynamic reprogramming of phytoalexin

and phytoanticipin pathways. PLoS One 11:e0163572.

Fraisier-Vannier, O., Chervin, J., Cabanac, G., Puech, V., Fournier, S., Durand, V., Amiel, A., André, O.,

Benamar, O. A., Dumas, B., Tsugawa, H., and Marti, G. 2020. MS-CleanR: A feature-filtering

workflow for untargeted LC–MS based metabolomics. Anal. Chem. 92:9971-9981.

Freeman, B., and Beattie, G. 2008. An overview of plant defenses against pathogens and herbivores.

Plant Health Instr. 149.

Grellet Bournonville, C., Filippone, M. P., Di Peto, P. d. l. Á., Trejo, M. F., Couto, A. S., Mamaní de

Marchese, A., Díaz Ricci, J. C., Welin, B., and Castagnaro, A. P. 2020. Strawberry fatty acyl

glycosides enhance disease protection, have antibiotic activity and stimulate plant growth. Sci.

Rep. 10:8196.

Guan, M., Li, C., Shan, X., Chen, F., Wang, S., Dixon, R. A., and Zhao, Q. 2022. Dual mechanisms of

coniferyl alcohol in phenylpropanoid pathway regulation. Front. Plant Sci. 13.

Jayaraj, J., Wan, A., Rahman, M., and Punja, Z. K. 2008. Seaweed extract reduces foliar fungal diseases

on carrot. Crop Protect. 27:1360-1366.

Klarzynski, O., Descamps, V., Plesse, B., Yvin, J.-C., Kloareg, B., and Fritig, B. 2003. Sulfated fucan

oligosaccharides elicit defense responses in tobacco and local and systemic resistance against

Tobacco Mosaic Virus. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 16:115-122.

Knight, V. I., Wang, H., Lincoln, J. E., Lulai, E. C., Gilchrist, D. G., and Bostock, R. M. 2001. Hydroperoxides

of fatty acids induce programmed cell death in tomato protoplasts. Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.

59:277-286.

Leckie, B. M., D'Ambrosio, D. A., Chappell, T. M., Halitschke, R., De Jong, D. M., Kessler, A., Kennedy, G.

G., and Mutschler, M. A. 2016. Differential and synergistic functionality of acylsugars in

suppressing oviposition by insect herbivores. PLoS One 11:e0153345.

Lewis, D. C., Stevens, D. M., Little, H., Coaker, G. L., and Bostock, R. M. 2022. Overlapping local and

systemic defense induced by an oomycete fatty acid MAMP and brown seaweed extract in

tomato.2022.2008.2026.505481.

Lorenzo, J. M., Agregán, R., Munekata, P. E. S., Franco, D., Carballo, J., Şahin, S., Lacomba, R., and Barba,

F. J. 2017. Proximate composition and nutritional value of three macroalgae: *Ascophyllum*

nodosum, *Fucus vesiculosus* and *Bifurcaria bifurcata*. Mar. Drugs 15:360.

Luu, V. T., Weinhold, A., Ullah, C., Dressel, S., Schoettner, M., Gase, K., Gaquerel, E., Xu, S., and Baldwin,

I. T. 2017. O-acyl sugars protect a wild tobacco from both native fungal pathogens and a

specialist herbivore. Plant Physiol. 174:370-386.

Mareya, C. R., Tugizimana, F., Di Lorenzo, F., Silipo, A., Piater, L. A., Molinaro, A., and Dubery, I. A. 2020.

Adaptive defence-related changes in the metabolome of *Sorghum bicolor* cells in response to

lipopolysaccharides of the pathogen *Burkholderia andropogonis*. Sci. Rep. 10:7626.

Mhlongo, M. I., Piater, L. A., Madala, N. E., Steenkamp, P. A., and Dubery, I. A. 2016. Phenylpropanoid

defences in *Nicotiana tabacum* cells: overlapping metabolomes indicate common aspects to

priming responses induced by lipopolysaccharides, chitosan and flagellin-22. PLoS One

11:e0151350.

Moghe, G. D., Leong, B. J., Hurney, S. M., Daniel Jones, A., and Last, R. L. 2017. Evolutionary routes to

biochemical innovation revealed by integrative analysis of a plant-defense related specialized

metabolic pathway. ELife 6.

Nicholson, R. L., and Hammerschmidt, R. 1992. Phenolic compounds and their role in disease resistance.

Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 30:369-389.

Offor, B. C., Mhlongo, M. I., Steenkamp, P. A., Dubery, I. A., and Piater, L. A. 2022. Untargeted

metabolomics profiling of Arabidopsis WT, *lbr-2-2* and *bak1-4* mutants following treatment with

two LPS chemotypes. Metabolites 12.

Puterka, G. J., Farone, W., Palmer, T., and Barrington, A. 2003. Structure-function relationships affecting

the insecticidal and miticidal activity of sugar esters. J. Econ. Entomol. 96:636-644.

Sangha, J. S., Ravichandran, S., Prithiviraj, K., Critchley, A. T., and Prithiviraj, B. 2010. Sulfated macroalgal

polysaccharides λ-carrageenan and ι-carrageenan differentially alter *Arabidopsis thaliana*

resistance to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol. 75:38-45.

Simmons, A. T., Gurr, G. M., McGrath, D., Martin, P. M., and Nicol, H. I. 2004. Entrapment of *Helicoverpa*

armigera (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on glandular trichomes of *Lycopersicon* species.

43:196-200.

Stermer, B. A., and Bostock, R. M. 1987. Involvement of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme a

reductase in the regulation of sesquiterpenoid phytoalexin synthesis in potato. Plant Physiol.

84:404-408.

Subramanian, S., Sangha, J. S., Gray, B. A., Singh, R. P., Hiltz, D., Critchley, A. T., and Prithiviraj, B. 2011.

Extracts of the marine brown macroalga, *Ascophyllum nodosum*, induce jasmonic acid

dependent systemic resistance in *Arabidopsis thaliana* against *Pseudomonas syringae pv.*

tomato DC3000 and *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 131:237-248.

Tjamos, E. C., and Kuc, J. A. 1982. Inhibition of steroid glycoalkaloid accumulation by arachidonic and

eicosapentaenoic acids in potato. Science 217:542-544.

Tsugawa, H., Kind, T., Nakabayashi, R., Yukihira, D., Tanaka, W., Cajka, T., Saito, K., Fiehn, O., and Arita,

M. 2016. Hydrogen rearrangement rules: computational MS/MS fragmentation and structure

elucidation using MS-FINDER software. Anal. Chem. 88:7946-7958.

Tsugawa, H., Cajka, T., Kind, T., Ma, Y., Higgins, B., Ikeda, K., Kanazawa, M., VanderGheynst, J., Fiehn, O.,

and Arita, M. 2015. MS-DIAL: data-independent MS/MS deconvolution for comprehensive

metabolome analysis. Nat. Methods 12:523-526.

van Ginneken, V. J., Helsper, J. P., de Visser, W., van Keulen, H., and Brandenburg, W. A. 2011.

Polyunsaturated fatty acids in various macroalgal species from North Atlantic and tropical seas.

Lipids Health Dis 10:104.

Vance, C., Kirk, T., and Sherwood, R. 1980. Lignification as a mechanism of disease resistance. Annu. Rev.

Phytopathol. 18:259-288.

Vera, J., Castro, J., Gonzalez, A., and Moenne, A. 2011. Seaweed polysaccharides and derived

oligosaccharides stimulate defense responses and protection against pathogens in plants. Mar.

Drugs 9:2514-2525.

Weinhold, A., and Baldwin, I. T. 2011. Trichome-derived O-acyl sugars are a first meal for caterpillars

that tags them for predation. PNAS 108:7855-7859.

Zeiss, D. R., Steenkamp, P. A., Piater, L. A., and Dubery, I. A. 2021a. Altered metabolomic states elicited

by Flg22 and FlgII-28 in *Solanum lycopersicum*: intracellular perturbations and metabolite

defenses. BMC Plant Biol. 21:429.

Zeiss, D. R., Steenkamp, P. A., Piater, L. A., and Dubery, I. A. 2021b. Metabolomic Evaluation of *Ralstonia*

solanacearum Cold Shock Protein Peptide (csp22)-Induced Responses in *Solanum lycopersicum*.

Front. Plant Sci. 12:803104.

Zeyen, R., Carver, T., and Lyngkjaer, M. 2002. Epidermal cell papillae In: Belanger R R, Bushnell WR (ed)

The powdery mildew: a comprehensive treatise. APS Press, MN, USA.

Domonique C. Lewis
Phytopathology

Figure 1. (A) Experimental procedure for the untargeted metabolomics study. Tomato roots

were treated with 10 µM AA, 0.4% ANE, or H_2O. Following 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours of root

exposure to their respective treatments, plants were harvested, root and leaf tissue dissected

from shoots, and the collected tissue flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Harvested tissue was then

subjected to metabolite extraction and liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry. **(B)** Log10

signal of metabolites from root tissue of 10 µM AA-, 0.4% ANE-, or H_2O-treated plants sampled

at 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours or treatment. Features visualized in the heatmap are filtered from

the total dataset having an adjusted p-value < 10^{-6} and a fold change > 5. Heatmap data is

log10 transformed and hierarchical clustering was conducted across rows and columns. **(C)**

Domonique C. Lewis
Phytopathology

514   Partial least squares score plots of tomato root metabolites after 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours of

515   treatment with 10 µM AA, 0.4% ANE, or H_2O. Ellipses indicate the 95% confidence intervals.

516   Score plots show variance of 4 biological replicates performed per timepoint and treatment.

Figure 2. (A) Log10 signal of metabolites from leaf tissue of 10 μM AA-, 0.4% ANE-, or H_2O-

treated plants at 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours of treatment. Features visualized in the heatmap are

filtered from the total dataset having an adjusted p-value < 0.001 and a fold change > 2.

Heatmap data is log10 transformed and heirachical clustering was conducted across rows and

columns. **(B)** Partial least squares score plots of tomato leaf metabolites after 24,48, 72, and

96 hours of treatment with 10 μM AA, 0.4% ANE, or H_2O. Score plots show variance of 4

biological replicates performed per timepoint and treatment. Ellipses indicate the 95%

confidence intervals.

Domonique C. Lewis
Phytopathology

Figure 3. Dot plot illustrating chemical enrichment analysis of significantly altered metabolite

clusters in roots of **(A)** AA- and **(B)** ANE-root-treated plants compared to H_2O after 24, 48, 72,

96 hours of treatment. Dot sizes indicate the number of altered metabolites per identified

cluster. Dot color scale indicates the ratio of up (red) and down (blue) compounds in AA- and

ANE-treated plants compared to H_2O. Up ratio represents the proportion of

increased/decreased metabolites compared to H_2O.

Domonique C. Lewis
Phytopathology

Figure 4. S-plots derived from orthogonal projections to latent structures discriminant analysis

(O-PLS-DA) models for the roots of **(A)** AA-treated and **(B)** ANE-treated plants in pairwise

comparison with H_2O across all tested timepoints. Color scale represents covariance strength

and direction with red data points indicating most positive covariance and blue indicating most

negative covariance.

570 **Figure 5.** Mean LCMS signals for the top O-PLS-DA S-plot metabolites visualized across 24, 48,

571 72, and 96 hour timepoints for **(A)** AA- and **(B)** ANE-treated roots in pairwise comparison with

572 H₂O.

573