TITLE: Organelle proteomic profiling reveals lysosomal heterogeneity in association with

longevity

AUTHOR: Yong Yu[1,2, #,*], Shihong M. Gao[3,4, #], Youchen Guan[4,5, #], Pei-Wen Hu[2], Qinghao

Zhang[2], Jiaming Liu[1], Bentian Jing[1], Qian Zhao[2], David M Sabatini, Monther Abu-Remaileh[6],

Sung Yun Jung[7], Meng C. Wang[2,4,*]

1. Current address: State Key Laboratory of Cellular Stress Biology, School of Life Sciences,

Faculty of Medicine and Life Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361102, China

2. Huffington Center on Aging, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston TX, USA 77030

3. Developmental Biology Graduate Program, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston TX, USA

77030

4. Janelia Research Campus, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Ashburn VA, USA 20147

5. Molecular and Cellular Biology Graduate Program, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston TX,

USA 77030

6. Department of Chemical Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford CA, USA 94305

7. Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston TX,

USA 77030

# Equal contribution

* Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Meng C. Wang

(mengwang@janelia.hhmi.org) or Yong Yu (yuy@xmu.edu.cn)

ABSTRACT

Lysosomes are active sites to integrate cellular metabolism and signal transduction. A collection

of proteins enriched at lysosomes mediate these metabolic and signaling functions. Both

lysosomal metabolism and lysosomal signaling have been linked with longevity regulation;

however, how lysosomes adjust their protein composition to accommodate this regulation

remains unclear. Using large-scale proteomic profiling, we systemically profiled lysosome-

enriched proteomes in association with different longevity mechanisms. We further discovered

the lysosomal recruitment of AMPK and nucleoporin proteins and their requirements for

longevity in response to increased lysosomal lipolysis. Through comparative proteomic analyses

of lysosomes from different tissues and labeled with different markers, we discovered lysosomal

heterogeneity across tissues as well as the specific enrichment of the Ragulator complex on

Cystinosin positive lysosomes. Together, this work uncovers lysosomal proteome heterogeneity

at different levels and provides resources for understanding the contribution of lysosomal

proteome dynamics in modulating signal transduction, organelle crosstalk and organism

longevity.

INTRODUCTION

Lysosomes are membrane-bound organelles that are specialized to constitute an acidic

environment in the cytosol. Lysosomes carry a large number of proteins that are essential for

maintaining lysosomal activities and mediating lysosomal regulatory effects. Inside the

lysosomal lumen, a series of acidic hydrolases, including lipases, proteases, glucosidase, acid

phosphatase, nuclease and sulfatases, are responsible for the degradation and recycling of

extracellular and intracellular materials delivered through endocytic, phagocytotic and

autophagic processes (Appelqvist et al., 2013; Ballabio & Bonifacino, 2020; Lawrence & Zoncu,

2019). On the membrane that encloses the lysosome, a group of integral transmembrane proteins

play crucial roles in the maintenance of luminal acidic pH and ion homeostasis, in the control of

lysosomal membrane potential and export of metabolic products, as well as in the regulation of

organelle interaction and signal transduction (Ballabio & Bonifacino, 2020; Lawrence & Zoncu,

2019). For example, lysosomal vacuolar-type H^+-ATPase (v-ATPase) on the membrane is the

primary driver for the active accumulation of protons in the lysosomal lumen, which also

requires a neutralizing ion movement mediated by ion channels and transporters (Graves et al.,

2008; Nicoli et al., 2019). In addition, v-ATPase coordinates with lysosomal amino acid

transporter SLC38A9 and lysosomal cholesterol exporter NPC1 in regulating the activation of

mechanistic/mammalian target of rapamycin complex I (mTORC1) by amino acid and lipid cues

(Castellano et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2015). The recruitment of mTORC1 to the lysosome is

mediated by RagA/B and RagC/D GTPase heterodimers that are associated with the scaffold

protein complex Ragulator tethered on the lysosomal membrane (de Araujo et al., 2017).

Through interacting with Axin, Ragulator also mediates the activation of AMP-activated protein

kinase (AMPK) on the lysosomal surface (Zhang et al., 2014). Furthermore, lysosomes are not

static, isolated organelles; instead they are highly mobile vesicles that undergo frequent

movements in both anterograde (nucleus-to-periphery) and retrograde (periphery-to-nucleus)

directions and form dynamic interactions with other organelles including endosomes,

autophagosomes, endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondria (Ballabio & Bonifacino, 2020; Pu et

al., 2016). These trafficking and interaction processes are mediated by lysosomal integral

transmembrane proteins as well as diverse proteins that are recruited to lysosomes in response to

different extracellular and intracellular inputs (Ballabio & Bonifacino, 2020; Pu et al., 2016).

Lysosomes control numerous cellular processes, and dysfunction of lysosomes has been linked

with various diseases, such as lysosomal storage disorders (Ballabio & Gieselmann, 2009; Platt

et al., 2012), Alzheimer's disease (Nixon & Cataldo, 2006) and some types of cancer (Davidson

& Vander Heiden, 2017; Fehrenbacher & Jaattela, 2005). Emerging evidences also reveal the

lysosome as a central regulator of organism longevity, through its involvement in autophagy and

its modulation of metabolic signaling pathways. The induction of autophagic flux has been

observed in multiple pro-longevity circumstances, and is required for the pro-longevity effects

caused by those genetic, dietary and pharmacological interventions, such as reduced insulin/IGF-

1 signaling, caloric restriction and spermidine treatment (Hansen et al., 2018). On the other hand,

lysosomes are now recognized as the key platform to modulate the activities of mTORC1 and

AMPK signaling, two well-characterized longevity regulatory pathways (Savini et al., 2019). In

addition, our studies have discovered lysosomal lipid messenger pathways that are induced by a

lysosomal acid lipase LIPL-4 and promote longevity via both cell-autonomous and cell-

nonautonomous signaling mechanisms (Folick et al., 2015; Ramachandran et al., 2019; Savini et

al., 2022; Wang et al., 2008). Given the importance of lysosomes in regulating longevity, it will

be crucial to understand how changes in the lysosomal protein composition are associated with

longevity regulation.

To systemically profile the protein composition of lysosomes, methods have been developed to

purify lysosomes using gradient centrifugation (Gao et al., 2017; Lubke et al., 2009; Markmann

et al., 2017; Schroder et al., 2010). More recently, a lysosome immunoprecipitation method,

which uses anti-HA (human influenza virus hemagglutinin) antibody conjugated magnetic beads

to immune-purify lysosomes from mammalian cells expressing transmembrane protein 192

(TMEM192) fused with three tandem HA (3×HA) epitopes, has further improved the specificity

and speed of lysosomal isolation (Abu-Remaileh et al., 2017). This rapid isolation method has

greatly facilitated follow-up mass-spectrometry (MS) based proteomics as well as metabolomics

analyses (Abu-Remaileh et al., 2017; Eapen et al., 2021; Laqtom et al., 2022).

In the present study, we have applied an immunoprecipitation-based method for rapid isolation

of lysosomes from live *C. elegans* using transgenic strains expressing lysosomal membrane

proteins tagged with 3×HA (Lyso-Tag). We then conducted large-scale proteomic profiling using

isolated lysosomes and remaining non-lysosomal fractions, to determine the enrichment of each

identified protein on the lysosome. Based on these analyses, we have defined a lysosome-

enriched proteome and compared it between wild-type and long-lived worms, revealing

lysosomal protein composition changes associated with longevity. We have also generated

transgenic strains expressing Lyso-Tag specifically in the hypodermis (epidermal), muscle,

intestine (fat storage/digestion) and neurons, leading to the discovery of lysosomal proteome

heterogeneity in different tissues. Furthermore, by comparing the lysosome-enriched proteome

with LAMP1/LMP-1 Lyso-Tag and the one with Cystinosin/CTNS-1 Lyso-Tag, we discovered

that the Ragulator complex and other mTORC1 regulators exhibit increased enrichments on

lysosomes containing the cysteine transporter Cystinosin.

RESULTS

Map lysosome-enriched proteome systemically in *C. elegans*

In order to comprehensively reveal proteins that are enriched at the lysosome, we have applied

rapid lysosome immunoprecipitation followed by MS-based proteomic profiling (Lyso-IP)

(Figure 1A). We first generated a transgenic strain expressing the lysosome-associated

membrane protein, LMP-1 (Eskelinen, 2006) tagged with both 3×HA and RFP (LMP-1 *LysoTg*).

Fluorescence imaging of RFP confirmed the lysosomal localization of the LMP-1 fusion protein

in live organisms and made it possible to follow purified lysosomes *in vitro* (Figure 1B). The

3×HA epitope tag is used to purify lysosomes from homogenized worm lysate via

immunoprecipitation using anti-HA antibody conjugated magnetic beads (Figure 1A). In general,

about 160,000 worms at day-1 adulthood were harvested and homogenized. Upon centrifuge to

remove debris and nuclei, 3×HA-tagged lysosomes were immunoprecipitated and separated from

other cellular content (flow-through controls, Figure 1A). The whole process from harvested

worms to purified lysosomes takes around 25 minutes. Many purified lysosomes were able to

take up LysoTracker probes and exhibit positive fluorescence signals, indicating that they

maintain intact with an acidic pH; while there are also some broken lysosomes losing

LysoTracker staining (Figure 1C). When blotting with antibodies against different organelle

markers, we found that the purified lysosomes show no or nearly no protein markers of other

organelles, including HSP-60 (mitochondria heat shock protein) (Hartl et al., 1992; Mayer,

2010), CYP-33E1 (Endoplasmic Reticulum (ER) cytochrome P450) (Brown & Black, 1989),

SQV-8 (Golgi glucuronosyltransferase) (Hadwiger et al., 2010) and beta-actin (cytoskeleton)

(Figure 1D), while the flow-through controls show these protein markers but nearly no lysosomal

protein marker LMP-1 (Figure 1D). Together, these results demonstrate the efficacy of the Lyso-

IP approach to enrich lysosome proteins.

Next, we conducted proteomic profiling of purified lysosomes with their paired flow-through

controls (Figure 1A). The correlation analysis shows good repeatability among four independent

biological replicates (Supplementary Figure 1A), and the PCA analysis shows a clear separation

between Lyso-IP replicates and flow-through controls (Figure 1E). In parallel, we also conducted

immunoprecipitation using homogenized lysate from wild-type (WT) worms that do not carry a

Lyso-Tag and then analyzed proteomic profiles of three independent samples as non-tag controls

(Figure 1A). Based on these proteomic data, we used three criteria to identify lysosome-enriched

proteins: first, their levels in the purified lysosomes are 10-fold or higher than those in the flow-

through controls (Figure 2A); second, their enrichments can be repeated in all biological

replicates (Figure 2A); and lastly, their enrichments over non-tag controls are more than 2-fold

(Figure 2B).

Together, 216 lysosome-enriched candidates were identified from more than 6000 detected

proteins, and 178 candidates have mammalian homologs (Supplementary Figure 1B,

Supplementary Table 1). This lysosome-enriched proteome consists of 83 membrane transporters

and channels, 47 enzymes, 26 signaling factors, 12 structural components, and 6 involved in

vesicle trafficking (Figure 2C). These include known lysosomal proteins, such as various

lysosomal Cathepsins that catalyze protein degradation (Turk et al., 2012), lysosomal specific

ARL8 GTPase that mediates lysosome trafficking (Nakae et al., 2010), and subunits of

lysosomal v-ATPase that pumps protons into the lysosomal lumen to maintain an acidic pH

(Forgac, 2007) (Supplementary Table 1).

162 Lysosomal v-ATPase consists of both V0 and V1 domains that are associated with the lysosomal

163 membrane and faced the cytosol, respectively. Reversible dissociation of the V1 and V0 domains

164 responds to nutritional signals and plays a crucial role in the regulation of the lysosomal v-

165 ATPase activity (Kane, 1995; McGuire & Forgac, 2018; Ratto et al., 2022; Stransky & Forgac,

166 2015). Except for VHA-18 (V1 H subunit), we were able to detect all other subunits of

167 lysosomal v-ATPase, including VHA-5, 6, 7 and UNC-32 (V0 a subunits), VHA-1, 2, 3 and 4

168 (V0 c subunits), VHA-16 (V0 d subunit), VHA-17 (V0 e subunit), VHA-13 (V1 A subunit),

169 VHA-12 (V1 B subunit), VHA-11 (V1 C subunit), VHA-14 (V1 D subunit), VHA-8 (V1 E

170 subunit), VHA-9 (V1 F subunit), VHA-10 (V1 G subunit), and VHA-15 (V1 H subunit), and

171 also two v-ATPase transporting accessory proteins, VHA-19 and VHA-20 (Figure 2D,

172 Supplementary Table 1). Among the V0 domain subunits, VHA-4, 5, 6, 7 and 16 and UNC-32

173 are enriched over 10-fold in all four replicates, VHA-1, 2 and 3 are enriched over 10-fold in

174 three replicates and over 5-fold in one replicate, and the low abundant VHA-17 was only

175 detected in two replicates, with more than 10-fold enrichments in both (Figure 2D). The VHA-19

176 transporting accessory protein is enriched over 10-fold in two replicates and less than 5-fold in

177 two replicates (Figure 2D). In contrast, for the subunits of the V1 domain and the VHA-20

178 transporting accessory protein, they show no enrichment in the purified lysosomes compared to

179 the flow-through controls (Figure 2D). These results suggest that the free form of the V1 domain

180 and the associated form bound with the V0 domain at lysosomes both exist under the well-fed

181 condition in wild-type worms.

183 In addition to 30.7% of proteins with known lysosome/endosome localization, the lysosome-

184 enriched proteome includes a small portion of proteins localized to other cellular organelles,

ER/Golgi (6.0%), mitochondria (1.4%), peroxisome (0.4%), lipid droplet (0.9%), and synaptic

vesicle (1.8%) (Figure 2E). On the other hand, there is a large portion of proteins with annotated

plasma membrane localization (24.8%) (Figure 2E). Many of these plasma membrane proteins

are receptors that are known to be subject to endocytosis and subsequent recycling lysosomal

degradation, such as INA-1/integrin alpha-6 (De Franceschi et al., 2015), VER-3/vascular

endothelial growth factor receptor (Ewan et al., 2006), PTC-1/protein patched receptor (Gallet &

Therond, 2005), and IGLR-2/leucine-rich repeat-containing G-protein coupled receptor (Snyder

et al., 2013) (Supplementary Table 1) (Braulke & Bonifacino, 2009). We also identified proteins

involved in the endocytosis process, including low-density lipoprotein receptor-related proteins,

LRP-1 (Grant & Hirsh, 1999) and arrestin domain-containing proteins, ARRD-13 and ARRD-18

(Kang et al., 2014) (Supplementary Table 1) that mediate the internalization of plasma

membrane receptors (Ma et al., 2002). Thus, the lysosome-enriched proteome also reveals

membrane receptor proteins that undergo recycling through the endo-lysosomal system.

Lysosome-enriched proteome alterations associate with longevity

Lysosomes are known as a heterogeneous population of vesicles, differing in their size, shape,

pH and cellular distribution. Considering the emerging role of lysosomes as a cellular hub to

integrate protein signals and regulate longevity, we expect that the protein composition of

lysosomes may exhibit heterogeneity in association with different longevity mechanisms. To test

this hypothesis, we crossed LMP-1 *LysoTg* with the long-lived *lipl-4* transgenic strain (*lipl-4 Tg*)

that constitutively expresses a lysosomal acid lipase (Wang et al., 2008) and also the long-lived

loss-of-function mutant of *daf-2* (*daf-2(lf)*) that encodes the insulin/IGF-1 receptor (Kenyon et

al., 1993; Martins et al., 2016). Reducing insulin/IGF-1 signaling is a well-conserved mechanism

to promote longevity in diverse organisms ranging from *C. elegans, Drosophila,* mice to humans

(Kenyon et al., 1993; Martins et al., 2016). We conducted Lyso-IP proteomic analyses and

compared lysosome-enriched proteomes between wild-type and long-lived strains. The

correlation analysis shows good repeatability among three independent biological replicates

(Supplementary Figure 1C, 1D), and the PCA analysis shows a clear separation between Lyso-IP

replicates and flow-through controls (Supplementary Figure 1E).

Using LMP-1 Lyso-IP, we have identified 449 lysosome-enriched proteins in the *lipl-4 Tg*

worms (Supplementary Table 2), and 176 of them overlap with the LMP-1 Lyso-IP candidates

from WT worms (Figure 3A). Thus, 82% of proteins enriched at WT lysosomes are also

enriched at *lipl-4 Tg* lysosomes; however, 61% of proteins enriched at *lipl-4 Tg* lysosomes are

absent at WT lysosomes (Figure 3A). Interestingly, among the proteins that are specific to *lipl-4*

Tg lysosomes, there are autophagosome proteins and proteins that mediate the fusion between

autophagosomes and lysosomes, including ATG-9/ATG9A(Popovic & Dikic, 2014), EPG-

7/RB1CC1 (Nishimura et al., 2013), VAMP-7/VAMP8 (Diao et al., 2015; Itakura et al., 2012)

and Y75B8A.24/PI4KIIα (Sugiura et al., 1989) (Figure 3B), suggesting that autophagy is

induced by *lipl-4 Tg*. Consistently, the induction of autophagy has been reported in the *lipl-4 Tg*

worms, which is required for the longevity effect (Lapierre et al., 2011).

In addition, we found that the Ragulator complex, LMTR-2/LAMTOR2, LMTR-3/LAMTOR3

and LMTR-5/LAMTOR5, that serves as a scaffold for the activation of mTORC1 and AMPK

(Zhang et al., 2014) is more specifically enriched at the lysosome from the *lipl-4 Tg* worms than

WT (Figure 3B). There are two homologs of AMPK catalytic units, AAK-1 and AAK-2 in *C.*

elegans. We found that AAK-2 is enriched more than 10-fold in the Lyso-IP samples compared

to the flow-through controls from the *lipl-4 Tg* worms, but it is only detected in the flow-through

controls from WT worms (Figure 3C). Likely due to its low abundance, AAK-1 was detected

twice in the Lyso-IP samples from the *lipl-4 Tg* worms but once only in the flow-through sample

from WT worms (Figure 3C). These results suggest that AMPK is specifically recruited to the

lysosomal surface and becomes activated to promote longevity in the *lipl-4 Tg* worms. In

supporting this idea, we found that the inactivation of AMPK using the *aak-2* loss-of-function

mutant together with the *aak-1* RNA interference (RNAi) knockdown reduces the lifespan

extension in the *lipl-4 Tg* worms from 72% to 40% (Figure 3D, Supplementary Table 3). Thus,

the lysosomal activation of AMPK responds to LIPL-4-induced lysosomal lipolysis and mediates

the longevity regulation.

Moreover, compared to WT lysosomes, the enrichment of lysosomal v-ATPase is higher in *lipl-4*

Tg lysosomes, especially for the V0 subunits, VHA-1, 2, 3 the V1 subunits, VHA-11 and VHA-

15, and the v-ATPase transporting accessory proteins, VHA-19 and VHA-20 (Figure 3B). There

are also 13 lysosomal channels/transporters, including T14B4.3/ATP6AP2, the proton-

translocating ATPases required for the v-ATPase-mediated lysosomal acidification (Cruciat et

al., 2010) and CLH-6/CLCN7, the H(+)/Cl(-) exchange transporter mediating the acidification of

the lysosome (Graves et al., 2008; Nicoli et al., 2019), and 16 lysosomal hydrolases that are

specifically associated with the *lipl-4 Tg* lysosomes (Figure 3B). Together, these results suggest

that the proportion of mature lysosomes is increased in the *lipl-4 Tg* worms, which may lead to

increased autophagy, the lysosomal activation of AMPK, and consequently the induction of

longevity.

In parallel, 259 lysosome-enriched proteins were identified in the *daf-2(lf)* mutant using LMP-1

Lyso-IP (Supplementary Table 4), 147 of them overlapping with the LMP-1 Lyso-IP candidates

from WT worms, 197 of them overlapping with the LMP-1 Lyso-IP candidates from the *lipl-4*

Tg worms, and 55 unique to the *daf-2(lf)* mutant (Figure 3A). The *daf-2(lf)* lysosome-enriched

proteome shows enrichment of autophagic components, including ATG-9/ATG9A (also shown

in *lipl-4 Tg* lysosome-enriched proteome) and the autophagy receptor SQST-1/SQSTM1 (Figure

3B), which supports the previously reported induction of autophagy in the *daf-2(lf)* mutant. On

the other hand, the Ragulator complex components LMTR-2/3/5 were not more enriched in the

lysosome from the *daf-2(lf)* mutant than that from WT worms (Figure 3B). For the AMPK

catalytic subunits, AAK-2 was not identified in the lysosome-enriched proteome from the *daf-*

2(lf) mutant, and the low abundant AAK-1 was not detected in either Lyso-IP or flow-through

samples (Figure 3C). It is known that the activation of AMPK displays high spatial specificity in

the cell when responding to different upstream stimuli (Khan & Frigo, 2017). In *C. elegans,* it

was previously shown that AAK-2 mediates the longevity effect conferred by the *daf-2(lf)*

mutant (Apfeld et al., 2004). Our results indicate that this regulation might not be associated with

the lysosomal activation of AMPK. Thus, the spatial specificity of AMPK activation at different

subcellular compartments may be linked with different longevity mechanisms.

Enhanced lysosome-nucleus interaction mediates longevity

In our previous studies, we found that upon LIPL-4-induced lysosomal lipolysis, a lysosome-to-

nucleus retrograde lipid messenger signaling pathway is activated in intestinal cells to promote

longevity (Folick et al., 2015; Ramachandran et al., 2019; Savini et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2008).

Interestingly, when analyzing the LMP-1 lysosome-enriched proteome in the *lipl-4 Tg* worms,

we found an enrichment of nucleus-localized proteins (Figure 4A), including two nucleoporin

proteins NPP-6/Nup160 and NPP-15/Nup133 in the Nup160 complex that localizes at the basket

side of the nuclear pore (Figure 4B) (Vasu et al., 2001). Such enrichment of nucleoporin proteins

was not found in the LMP-1 lysosome-enriched proteome of either WT or the *daf-2(lf)* mutant

(Figure 4B). In the cell, mobile lysosomes change their distribution along the perinuclear-

peripheral axis in response to different nutrient signals and metabolic status (Ballabio &

Bonifacino, 2020; Pu et al., 2016). Moreover, it is known that the cellular position of lysosomes

affects their luminal pH, with perinuclear lysosomes being more acidic (Johnson et al., 2016).

We found that the lysosome-enriched proteome of the *lipl-4 Tg* worms consists of lysosomal v-

ATPase V1 subunits, proton-translocating ATPase and exchanging ion transporters that mediate

lysosomal acidification, but these components were not enriched in the WT lysosome proteome

(Figure 3B), supporting that lysosomes are more acidic in the *lipl-4 Tg* worms. We thus

hypothesize that LIPL-4-induced lysosomal lipolysis may enhance the interaction between

lysosomes and the nucleus and further facilitate the lysosome-to-nucleus retrograde lipid

signaling to promote longevity.

To test this hypothesis, we imaged lysosomal positions in intestinal cells where *lipl-4* is

expressed. Using a dual reporter strain expressing both lysosomal LMP-1::RFP fusion and

nucleus-localized GFP, we found that lysosomes exhibit a dispersed pattern in the intestinal cell

of WT worms (Figure 4D). However, in the *lipl-4 Tg* worms, lysosomes are clustered in the

perinuclear region (Figure 4D), supporting the hypothesis that the lysosome-nucleus interaction

is enhanced. To quantitatively measure this change in lysosomal positioning, we have written an

imaging analysis script to analyze the RFP fluorescence signal distribution in intestinal cells

(Supplementary Figure 2A). We found the perinuclear and peripheral distribution of lysosomes

in the *lipl-4 Tg* worms is significantly increased and decreased, respectively, compared to WT

worms (*p<0.01*, Figure 4C, 4D, Supplementary Figure 2B). In contrast, such perinuclear

clustering is not observed in intestinal cells of the *daf-2(lf)* mutant (Figure 4E, 4F,

Supplementary Figure 2C). Moreover, we found that the RNAi knockdown of *npp-6* suppresses

the lifespan extension in the *lipl-4 Tg* worms (Figure 4G, 4H) but does not affect the lifespan

extension in the *daf-2(lf)* mutant (Figure 4I, 4J). These results suggest that the enhanced

lysosome-nucleus interaction is specifically related to LIPL-4-induced lysosomal signaling and

longevity.

Together, these studies discover changes in the lysosome-enriched proteome between WT and

different long-lived strains, and reveal that the lysosomal proteome composition can be

influenced by the metabolic status of the lysosome and its interaction with other organelles and

in turn correlates with lysosomal signaling and longevity regulation.

Profile lysosome-enriched proteome heterogeneity among different tissues

Tissue-specific regulation of longevity has been reportedly in various organisms. Next, to

examine how lysosome-enriched proteomes exhibit heterogeneity among different tissues, we

have generated four transgenic strains that express LMP-1 Lyso-Tag specifically in neurons,

muscle, intestine and hypodermis using tissue-specific promoters, *unc-119*, *myo-3*, *ges-1* and

col-12, respectively (Figure 5A). Using these transgenic strains, we purified lysosomes in a

tissue-specific manner and conducted proteomic profiling. The correlation analysis shows good

repeatability among three independent biological replicates (Supplementary Figure 3A-D).

Unlike the whole-body Lyso-IP, the flow-through samples from tissue-specific Lyso-IP contain

not only non-lysosomal fractions from the targeted tissue but also lysosomes from non-targeted

tissues. Thus, these flow-through samples cannot be simply used as controls to determine the

enrichment of proteins at the lysosome in the targeted tissue. To assess tissue-specific changes,

we have normalized the level of each identified protein to the level of LMP-1 in the same

replicate, and then compared the normalized ratio between the whole-body Lyso-IP and the

tissue-specific Lyso-IP (Figure 5B-E, Supplementary Table S5). We found that among the 216

proteins identified from the whole-body Lyso-IP, 85 of them show comparable ratios between

the whole-body Lyso-IP and the four tissue-specific Lyso-IPs (Figure 5F, Group I), suggesting

relative homogenous lysosomal enrichments of these proteins among different tissues. Nine of

them were completely absent in the tissue-specific Lyso-IP, which may be related to their low

abundance (Figure 5F, Group IV). There are also proteins that were not detected in one or two

tissues but exhibited similar enrichments as the whole body Lyso-IP in other tissues, such as

PGP-6, an ABC transporter missing in the muscle-specific Lyso-IP, PBO-1, calcium-binding

protein P22 missing in the hypodermis-specific Lyso-IP and Y57G11C.33, Golgin A7 missing in

both the hypodermis- and neuron-specific Lyso-IPs, which may be associated with their lack of

expression and/or lack of lysosome enrichments in specific tissues.

Importantly, there are 122 proteins that exhibited significant difference in their enrichments

between the whole-body Lyso-IP and the tissue-specific Lyso-IPs ($p<0.05$), 56 of them (Group

II) showing an increase in the tissue-specific Lyso-IPs while the other 66 (Group III) showing a

decrease (Figure 5F). Some of them were only enriched in one tissue but remained unchanged in

others, including 15 proteins with higher ratios only in the intestine, 3 only in the hypodermis

and 5 only in neurons. There are also five proteins that showed an increased enrichment in one

tissue, but a decreased enrichment in another tissue, including AQP-1, an Aquaporin channel

with a higher ratio in neurons but a lower one in the hypodermis, Y58A7A.1 a cooper uptake

transporter with a higher ratio in the hypodermis but a lower one in the muscle, PBO-4, a

sodium/hydrogen exchanger with a higher ratio in the intestine but a lower one in the

hypodermis, and two innexin proteins that form gap junctions in invertebrate animals, INX-17

with a higher ratio in neurons but a lower ratio in the hypodermis and INX-15 with a higher ratio

in the intestine but lower ratios in the muscle and hypodermis (Figure 5F). For these candidates,

there is a clear tissue preference with regard to their lysosomal enrichments. Thus, the lysosomal

proteome is not only affected by the genotype of the organism, but also exhibits heterogeneity

among different tissues within the organism, which may be related to the metabolic status in each

tissue and consequently contribute to specific activities and signaling effects of the tissue. Our

studies provided a list of candidates for further investigation of the tissue-specific regulation of

lysosomal metabolism and signaling.

Cystinosin positive mature lysosomes enrich specific lysosomal proteins

On the other hand, the analysis of the candidates specifically detected in the *lipl-4 Tg* worms

suggest that the proportion of mature lysosomes may also affect the lysosomal protein

composition. Although LMP-1 is a well-established lysosomal protein marker and highly

abundant on the lysosomal surface, it can be also detected in late endosomes and sometimes in

early endocytic compartments. With the hope to profile proteins enriched on mature lysosomes,

we chose CTNS-1, the *C. elegans* lysosomal cysteine transporter Cystinosin that is a well-

established marker of mature lysosomes (Gahl et al., 1982; Jonas et al., 1982; Kalatzis et al.,

2001), and generated a transgenic strain expressing CTNS-1 tagged with both 3×HA and RFP

(CTNS-1 *lysoTg*). Fluorescence imaging of RFP confirmed the lysosomal localization of the

CTNS-1 fusion protein in live organisms (Figure 6A). Using this transgenic strain, we followed

the same Lyso-IP and MS profiling approaches. The correlation analysis shows good

repeatability among three independent biological replicates (Supplementary Figure 1G), and the

PCA analysis indicates a clear separation between Lyso-IP samples and flow-through controls

(Supplementary Figure 1F). Using the same selection criteria, we identified 293 candidates

whose levels are enriched at least 10-fold in the purified lysosomes than those in the flow-

through controls among all independent biological replicates and show over 2-fold enrichment

compared to the non-tag controls (Supplementary Table 6). There are 95 lysosome-enriched

proteins shared between the LMP-1 and the CTNS-1 Lyso-IP proteomic profiling datasets

(Figure 6B, Supplementary Table 6), and 47 of these shared proteins are annotated with

lysosomal localization (Supplementary Table 6). We have also crossed the CTNS-1 *lysoTg* strain

with the *lipl-4 Tg* strain and the *daf-2(lf)* mutant and then conducted Lyso-IP proteomic

profiling. However, the pull-down efficiency was very low in these long-lived worms, which

prevents us to identify proteins unique to CTNS-1 Lyso-IP in those conditions.

In WT worms, the proportions of the identified proteins with different categories of subcellular

annotation are comparable between LMP-1 and CTNS-1 Lyso-IP conditions (Figure 6C, 2E),

and for proteins with lysosomal annotation, the proportion is 25% and 28% in LMP-1 and

CTNS-1 Lyso-IP, respectively (Figure 6D). However, among the 121 proteins only identified in

LMP-1 Lyso-IP, there are only 8 with lysosomal annotation (7%); while for the 198 proteins

only identified in CTNS-1 Lyso-IP, 35 are with lysosomal annotation and the proportion remains

as 18% (Figure 6D). Among the lysosomal proteins that are unique to CTNS-1 Lyso-IP, there are

autophagosome proteins and proteins that mediate the fusion between autophagosomes and

lysosomes, including ATG-9/ATG9A (Popovic & Dikic, 2014), C33A11.2/DRAM2 (Crighton et

al., 2006), EPG-7/RB1CC1 (Nishimura et al., 2013), and VAMP-7/VAMP8 (Diao et al., 2015;

Itakura et al., 2012) (Figure 6E). CTNS-1 Lyso-IP unique candidates also include the Ragulator

complex components LMTR-2/3/5, the lysosomal amino acid transporter F13H10.3/SLC38A9

and the lysosomal calcium channel CUP-5/TRPML1 that regulate mTORC1 signaling (Li et al.,

2016; Rebsamen et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015; Wyant et al., 2017) (Figure 6E). These results

suggest that CTNS-1 Lyso-IP enriches lysosomes that are actively involved in autophagy and

transduce mTORC1 signaling.

Furthermore, when systemically examining 85 lysosome-related proteins that were previously

annotated in *C. elegans* based on sequence homology (Sun et al., 2020), we found that many

lysosomal hydrolases exhibit increased enrichments with CTNS-1 Lyso-IP, especially for those

cysteine proteases including CPR-6, LGMN-1, CPL-1, CPZ-1 and TAG-196 (Figure 6F). These

enrichments are consistent with that CTNS-1 is located at mature lysosomes as a cysteine

transporter (Gahl et al., 1982; Jonas et al., 1982; Kalatzis et al., 2001). The similar increased

enrichments of lysosomal hydrolases were also observed in the LMP-1 Lyso-IP candidates

identified from the *lipl-4 Tg* worms (Figure 6F), further supporting that the long-lived *lipl-4 Tg*

worms carry more acidic lysosomes. Together, we found that lysosome-enriched proteomes

identified from both LMP-1 and CTNS-1 Lyso-IP consist of well-characterized lysosomal

enzymes and integral membrane proteins as well as proteins that contribute to lysosomal

signaling, dynamics and contact with other cellular compartments. Besides many known

lysosomal proteins, various proteins that are not previously linked with lysosomes are now

identified through these systemic analyses.

Lysosome-enriched proteins regulate different lysosomal activities

To understand the role of these newly identified lysosome-enriched proteins in regulating

lysosomal functions, we have examined their effects on lysosomes using an RNAi screen based

on LysoSensor fluorescence intensity. We focused on 95 lysosome-enriched proteins shared

between LMP-1 and CTNS-1 Lyso-IPs and knocked down their coding genes by RNAi, and then

used LysoSensor probes to stain lysosomes. From screening these 95 candidates (Supplementary

Table 7), we have identified five genes whose inactivation cause changes in LysoSensor signal

intensity, and four of them have human homologs, including two lysosomal v-ATPase subunits,

UNC-32/ATP6V0A and VHA-5/ATP6V0A, the lysosomal amino acid transporter SLC-

36.2/SLC36A1 (SLC36A4), and a transmembrane protein R144.6/TMEM144 (Supplementary

Table 7, Supplementary Figure 5). We further examined their effects on the lysosomal number,

size and pH. We found that the RNAi knockdown of the two lysosomal v-ATPase subunits,

UNC-32 and VHA-5, lead to decreased lysosomal numbers (Figure 7F), but an increase in the

lysosomal size (Figure 7G). Using fluorescence lifetime microscopy, we also found that the

RNAi knockdown of R144.6 and UNC-32 increases and decreases lysosomal pH, respectively

(Figure 7H).

UNC-32, VHA-5 and SLC-36.2 are well-known lysosomal proteins, but the subcellular

localization of the R144.6 protein remains unknown. R144.6 is a predicted carbohydrate

transporter, and structural simulation using AlphaFold2 revealed it as a solute carrier family

(SLC) transporter (Figure 7I). To characterize its subcellular localization, we have generated a

CRISPR knock-in line in which the endogenous R144.6 protein is fused with the fluorescent

protein NeonGreen and then stained these worms with LysoTracker Red to mark lysosomes. In

the hypodermis, we found that NeonGreen and LysoTracker Red signals overlap, confirming the

lysosomal localization of this newly identified transmembrane protein from Lyso-IP (Figure 7J).

On the other hand, its expression was not detected in the muscle, and in the intestine, its

NeonGreen signals were not overlapped with LysoTracker Red, which is consistent with tissue-

specific Lyso-IP analyses. R144.6 were enriched in the hypodermis-specific Lyso-IP at a similar

level as in the whole-body Lyso-IP; however, it was not detected in the muscle- or intestine-

specific Lyso-IP (Supplementary Table 5). These results further support the enrichment

specificity of proteins at the lysosome in different tissues as well as the power of the Lyso-IP

proteomic profiling in discovering new lysosomal proteins with functional significance.

DISCUSSION

In summary, our studies reveal the heterogeneity of lysosomal protein composition that is

associated with lysosomal status, tissue specificity, and organism longevity. Through systemic

profiling of lysosome-enriched proteins under different conditions, we confirmed the induction

of lysosome-related autophagy by different longevity-promoting pathways, unveiled increased

cellular interaction between lysosomes and the nucleus upon the induction of lysosomal lipolysis

and its contribution to longevity regulation, and underlined the importance of the spatial control

of AMPK activation in regulating longevity. Our studies provide not only methods for future

studies to profile the dynamics of the lysosomal proteome in response to diverse physiological

inputs, but also resources for understanding the vital contribution of these dynamics in

modulating signal transduction, organelle crosstalk and organism longevity.

These proteomic studies reveal changes in the interaction between lysosomes and other

organelles under different conditions. One example is lysosome-nucleus interaction. In the Lyso-

IP fraction from WT worms, we did not detect any proteins with sole nuclear localization;

however, in the Lyso-IP fraction from the *lipl-4 Tg* or *daf-2(lf)* mutant worms, nuclear proteins

were identified and the percentage of the increase over WT is significantly higher in the *lipl-4 Tg*

worms (*p<0.05*, Figure 4A, Supplementary Figure 4). Based on this finding, we discovered the

previously unknown perinuclear accumulation of lysosomes in the *lipl-4 Tg* worms (Figure 4C,

4D) and further confirmed its importance for longevity regulation (Figure 4G, 4H). It is shown

previously that perinuclear lysosomes are more acidic than peripheral lysosomes (Johnson et al.,

2016; Webb et al., 2021). Thus, the increase in perinuclear lysosomes may be associated with the

increased proportion of mature lysosomes in the *lipl-4 Tg* worms, which is supported by the

increased enrichments of lysosomal v-ATPase, channels/transporters and hydrolases (Figure 3B).

This increased distribution of lysosomes toward the perinuclear region could facilitate proteins

and metabolites transporting from the lysosome to the nucleus through the nuclear pore and in

turn their signaling effects. On the other hand, we did not detect perinuclear accumulation of

lysosomes in the *daf-2(lf)* mutant worms by cellular imaging, and the nuclear proteins detected

through LMP-1 Lyso-IP from the *daf-2(lf)* mutant worms are mainly involved in RNA splicing.

In yeast cells, defects in pre-RNA processing have been associated with nucleophagy (Leger-

Silvestre et al., 2005) that involves SQSTM1 and lysosomes (Ivanov et al., 2013; Mijaljica &

Devenish, 2013). We thus speculate that the increased enrichment of nuclear proteins in the *daf-*

2(lf) mutant worms may be associated with the induction of nucleophagy but not changes in

lysosomal positioning.

mTORC1 and AMPK are key metabolic checkpoints that regulate anabolic and catabolic

processes in mutually opposing ways. In sensing the lack of nutrients, AMPK signals activate the

catabolic process while inhibiting the anabolic one. On the other hand, responding to nutrient

availability, mTORC1 activation upregulates anabolic metabolism and promotes cell growth.

Intriguingly, it is now known that both mTORC1 and AMPK are recruited to the lysosomal

surface for activation, which requires the scaffold Ragulator complex that consists of LAMTOR

subunits. We found that the Ragulator complex (LMTR-2, 3 and 5) is enriched at the lysosome

purified from WT worms using CTNS-1 but not LMP-1 Lyso-IP (Figure 6E), suggesting a

predominant association of the Ragulator complex with mature lysosomes, which could in turn

determine the preference of mTORC1 and AMPK activation at the lysosomal surface.

Alternatively, it would be also possible that the Ragulator complex carries a preference toward

CTNS-1/Cystinosin-containing lysosomes, which would infer the interaction between lysosomal

cysteine metabolism and mTORC1 signaling. Interestingly, previous studies show that

Cystinosin co-immunoprecipitates with the Ragulator complex in mammalian cells

(Andrzejewska et al., 2016), and in *Drosophila,* cysteine efflux from the lysosome via Cystinosin

antagonizes mTORC1 signaling and upregulates the tricarboxylic acid cycle (Jouandin et al.,

2022). Whether this inhibitory effect of lysosomal cysteine on mTORC1 is related to the

preferential interaction between the Ragulator complex and Cystinosin would be an interesting

question for future studies.

Both mTORC1 and AMPK have been implicated in the regulation of longevity across different

species, being intertwined with other longevity regulatory mechanisms (Savini et al., 2019). In

the long-lived *lipl-4 Tg* worms, the lysosomal enrichment of the Ragulator complex is increased

with LMP-1 Lyso-IP, which may be a result of the increased proportion of mature lysosomes

upon the induction of lysosomal lipolysis. At the same time, we could not rule out the possibility

that the increased enrichment of the Ragulator complex is a result of the induced level of

lysosomal CTNS-1/Cystinosin in the *lipl-4 Tg* worms. We found that with LMP-1 Lyso-IP, the

level of the CTNS-1/Cystinosin transporter is increased in the *lipl-4 Tg* worms, together with the

increase of several cysteine cathepsins (Figure 6F). Our previous studies found that

mitochondrial β-oxidation is increased in the *lipl-4 Tg* worms, leading to decreased triglyceride

storage (Ramachandran et al., 2019). The *lipl-4 Tg* worms also show an induced autophagy (Mak

et al., 2020). These phenotypes are the same as those observed in fruit flies with Cystinosin

overexpression (Jouandin et al., 2022). Considering the inhibitory effect of Cystinosin on

mTORC1 in fruit flies, the induction of Cystinosin in the *lipl-4 Tg* worms might reduce

mTORC1 signaling. In supporting this idea, our unpublished study shows that *lipl-4 Tg* does not

further enhance the lifespan extension of the *raga-1* mutant that has reduced mTORC1 signaling.

Furthermore, our study reveals the lysosomal enrichment of AMPK in the *lipl-4 Tg* worms, and

its requirement for the longevity effect (Figure 3C, 3D). On the other hand, the involvement of

lysosomal mTORC1 and AMPK signaling in regulating the longevity effect associated with

reduced insulin/IGF-1 signaling was not identified in the *daf-2(lf)* mutant. It would be interesting

for future studies to systemically profile lysosome-enriched proteomes in other longevity models,

such as caloric restriction, mild inhibition of mitochondrial respiration and germline deficiency,

using the same method, which would help understand the involvement of lysosomal metabolism

and signaling in these different longevity mechanisms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

C. elegans **strains and maintenance**

The following strains were used in this study: N2, CB1370 *daf-2(e1370),* RB754 *aak-2(ok524)*,

unc-76(e911), MCW953 *nre-1(hd20);lin-15b(hd126)*, MCW14 *raxIs3 [ges-1p::lipl-*

4::SL2GFP], MCW859 *raxIs103[sur-5p:lmp-1::RFP-3×HA;unc-76(+)]*, MCW935 *daf-*

2(e1370);raxIs103[sur-5p:lmp-1::RFP-3×HA;unc-76(+)], MCW923 *raxIs3[ges-1p::lipl-*

4::SL2GFP];raxIs103[sur-5p:lmp-1::RFP-3×HA;unc-76(+)], MCW861 *unc-*

76(e911);raxEx311[Pmyo-3:lmp-1::RFP-3×HA;unc-76(+)], MCW924 *unc-76(e911);*

raxEx346[Pcol-12:lmp-1::RFP-3×HA;unc-76(+)], MCW862 *unc-76(e911);raxEx312[Punc-*

119:lmp-1::RFP-3×HA;unc-76(+)], MCW914 *unc-76(e911);raxEx341[Pges-1:lmp-1::RFP-*

3×HA;unc-76(+)], MCW934 *raxIs118[sur-5p:ctns-1::RFP-3×HA;unc-76(+)]*. The strains N2,

CB1370, and RB754 were obtained from *Caenorhabditis* Genetics Center (CGC). The strain

unc-76(e911) was obtained from Dr. Zheng Zhou's Lab. Other strains were generated in our lab.

C. elegans strains were maintained at 20˚C on standard NGM agar plates seeded with OP50

E.coli (HT115 *E. coli* for RNAi experiments) using standard protocols (Stiernagle, 2006) and

kept at least three generations without starvation before experiments.

Molecular cloning and generating transgenics

All the expression constructs were generated using Multisite Gateway System (Invitrogen) as

previously described (Mutlu et al., 2020). The *lmp-1* and *ctns-1* coding sequences were PCR-

amplified from *C. elegans* cDNA then inframe fused with RFP-3×HA, and all promoters were

PCR-amplified from *C. elegans* genomic DNA.

Transgenic strains were generated by microinjecting the day-1-adult germline of *unc-76(e911)*

worms with DNA mixture containing expression construct and *unc-76(+)* rescuing plasmid. For

integration strains, the stable extrachromosomal arrays were integrated with gamma irradiation

(4500 rads for in 5.9 minutes) and backcrossing to wild-type N2 at least 8 times.

Lysosome immunoprecipitation (Lyso-IP)

Lyso-IP is based on the method used in mammalian cells (Abu-Remaileh et al., 2017). Briefly,

transgenic strains stably expressing C-terminal RFP- and 3×HA-tagged lysosomal membrane

protein LMP-1 or CTNS-1 under whole-body *Psur-5* or tissue-specific promoters were

generated. Around 160,000 day-1-adult worms per genotype were collected, washed 3 times with

M9 buffer then washed 1 time with KPBS buffer (136 mM KCl, 10 mM KH_2PO_4). Worms in 2

ml KPBS were quickly homogenized with Dounce homogenizer on ice until no visible animals

were seen under the microscope. The lysate was centrifuged at 1000 g for 3 min at 4 ˚C to

remove debris and then the supernatant was incubated with anti-HA magnetic beads (Thermo

Fisher Scientific, cat. # 88837) for 6 minutes at 20 ˚C. The bound beads and flowthrough were

separated using a magnetic stand. The bound bead fraction was washed 4 times with cold KPBS.

The bound bead and flowthrough fractions were both used for LC/MS-based proteomics

analyses.

LC/MS-based proteomic analyses

The bound beads after wash were directly eluted in 100 µl of 5% SDS buffer and trypsin

digestion was carried out using S-Trap™ (Protifi, NY) as per manufacturer's protocol. For the

flow-through sample after IP, 100 µl sample was diluted in 5% SDS buffer and trypsin digestion

was carried out using S-Trap™. The peptide concentration was measured using the Pierce™

Quantitative Colorimetric Peptide Assay (Thermo Scientific cat. # 23275). The digested peptides

were subjected to simple C18 clean up using a C18 disk plug (3M Empore C18) and dried in a

speed vac. 1 µg of the peptide was used for LC-MS/MS analysis which was carried out using a

nano-LC 1200 system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA) coupled to Orbitrap Fusion™

Lumos ETD mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA). The peptides were

loaded on a two-column setup using a pre-column trap of 2 cm × 100 µm size (Reprosil-Pur

Basic C18 1.9 µm, Dr. Maisch GmbH, Germany) and a 5 cm × 75 µm analytical column

(Reprosil-Pur Basic C18 1.9 µm, Dr. Maisch GmbH, Germany) with a 75 min gradient of 5-28%

acetonitrile/0.1% formic acid at a flow rate of 750 nl/min. The eluted peptides were directly

electro-sprayed into mass spectrometer operated in the data-dependent acquisition (DDA) mode.

The full MS scan was acquired in Orbitrap in the range of 300-1400 m/z at 120,000 resolution

followed by top 30 MS2 in Ion Trap (AGC 5000, MaxIT 35 ms, HCD 28% collision energy)

with 15 sec dynamic exclusion time.

The raw files were searched using Mascot algorithm (Mascot 2.4, Matrix Science) against the

Caenorhabditis elegans NCBI refseq protein database in the Proteome Discoverer (PD 2.1,

Thermo Fisher) interface. The precursor mass tolerance was set to 20 ppm, fragment mass

tolerance to 0.5 Da, maximum of two missed cleavage was allowed. Dynamic modification of

oxidation on methionine, protein N-terminal Acetylation and deamidation (N/Q) was allowed.

The gene product inference and iBAQ-based quantification were carried out using the gpGrouper

algorithm (Saltzman et al., 2018). The iBAQ values were normalized to the fraction of total

protein iBAQ per experiment defined as 'iFOT (Fraction of total)'.

Antibodies

Anti-*C. elegans* LMP-1, HSP-60, β-actin, CYP-33, SVQ-8 monoclonal antibodies were

purchased from Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DHSB). Those antibodies were

originally generated by Dr. Michael L. Nonet's lab (Hadwiger et al., 2010).

Microscopy imaging

<u>Regular microscopy</u>

Tissue-specific lyso-Tag expression example images (Figure 5A) were captured using Leica

DMi8 THUNDER Imaging Systems using 20× objective. Other microscopy images were

captured using Olympus FV3000 confocal microscopy system using 60× or 20× objective. *C.*

elegans were anesthetized in 1% sodium azide in M9 buffer and placed on 2% agarose pad

sandwiched between the glass microscopic slide and coverslip.

<u>Fluorescence lifetime microscopy</u>

L1 RNAi sensitive *nre-1(hd20);lin-15b(hd126)* worms were seeded on 3.5cm RNAi plates and

raised at 20°C for two days, and then around 20 worms each well were transferred to the 3.5cm

RNAi plates containing RNAi bacteria and 0.5 μM of LysoSensor Green DND-189

(Invitrogen™ L7535) and raised for 18h (in dark) at 20°C. The worms were imaged using ISS

Q2 Time-resolved Laser Scanning Confocal Nanoscope. The laser excitation wavelength was set

at 476nm and the 500-633nm emission filter was used to detect the LysoSenor Green signal. The

first pair of intestinal cells of each worm was imaged. The lifetimes of LysoSensor-containing

puncta were measured using ISS VistaVision software. The pH of the lysosome was then

calculated based on the LysoSensor lifetime-pH calibration curve.

LysoSensor RNAi screen

The primary screen was performed on 95 lysosomal-enriched candidates shared between the

LMP-1 and the CTNS-1 Lyso-IP proteomic profiling datasets. Each RNAi bacteria clone was

seeded onto 12-well RNAi plates containing 1 mM IPTG and allowed to dry. The dried plates

were then incubated at room temperature overnight to induce dsRNA expression. Synchronized

L1 *nre-1(hd20);lin-15b(hd126)* worms were seeded on 12-well RNAi plates and raised at 20 °C

for two days, and then around 30 worms each well were transferred to the RNAi plates

containing RNAi bacteria and 0.5 μM of LysoSensor Green DND-189. After 18 hours,

LysoSensor signals were examined by naked eyes using Nikon SMZ18 fluorescence stereo

microscope. The candidates with obvious LysoSensor alteration were selected for the secondary

LysosSensor RNAi screen. In the secondary screen, worms stained using LysoSensor Green

DND-189 were imaged by the FV3000 confocal laser scanning microscope (At least 15 animals

were measured in each condition). The changes in LysoSensor signals in the first pair of intestine

cells were quantified by ImageJ (including intensity, size, and number).

Lysosome distribution quantification

The quantification method was modified from previous publications on lysosomal distribution in

mammalian cell lines (Johnson et al., 2016; Willett et al., 2017). Images were first captured using

Olympus Fluoview software and imported into Matlab by Bio-Formats tool (Linkert et al., 2010).

Next, the cell membrane and nuclear membrane are outlined manually. The algorithm (codes

included in Supplementary materials) determines the geometric center of the nucleus and radiates

at all angles to locate line segments between the nuclear and cell membrane. The line segments

are then evenly divided and circled to segment the cytosol into different regions. We calculate: 1.

The mean RFP fluorescence distribution across regions (normalized to the mean intensity of the

whole cell to avoid variations of RFP expression across cells) (Figure 4D, 4F); 2. The cumulative

intensity distribution from the most perinuclear region to the most peripheral region (normalized

to the overall intensity of the whole cell) (Supplementary Figure 2B, 2C). The algorithm requires

a convex shape of the cell, and most of the gut cells imaged meet this need.

Lifespan assays

Worms were synchronized by bleach-based egg preparation and subsequent starvation in M9

buffer for over 24 hours. Synchronized L1 worms were placed on the plates, and animals were

synchronized again by manual picking at mid L4 stage and marked as Day 0. Approximately 90-

120 worms were placed in three parallel plates for each condition. Worms are hence observed

and transferred to freshly made RNAi plates every other day. Animals are categorized as alive,

death (cessation of movement in response to platinum wire probing) or censored. The statistical

analyses were performed with the SPSS23 Kaplan-Meier survival function and the log-rank test.

GraphPad Prism 9 was used to graph the results.

Structural stimulation by AlphaFold2

The structure of R144.6 (UniProt: Q10000) was predicted by AlphaFold2 and downloaded from

The AlphaFold Protein Structure Database (https://alphafold.ebi.ac.uk/) (Jumper et al., 2021;

Varadi et al., 2022). The molecular graphics of the R144.6 structure was performed with UCSF

Chimera (Pettersen et al., 2004).

Statistical methods

Principal components analysis (PCA) was performed by R package Factextra (Le et al., 2008)

with the normalized iFOT abundance of proteins detected as the input. The Pearson correlation

matrices and coefficient (r) among replicates were generated by GraphPad Prism 9. The

unpaired, two-tailed t-tests for the multiple comparisons were used to calculate the p-values in

the correlation analyses by GraphPad Prism 9.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank A. Dervisefendic and P. Svay for maintenance support; Mass Spectrometry Proteomics

Core of Baylor College of Medicine for mass spectrometry proteomic analysis; Z. Zhou (Baylor

College of Medicine) for sharing *unc-76(e911)* strain. Some strains were obtained from the

Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC), which is funded by NIH Office of Research

Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440). M.C.W. is currently supported by Howard Hughes

Medical Institute, and Y.Y. is currently supported by National Natural Science Foundation of

China 32071146.

696   AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

697   Y.Y., S.M.G., Y.G. and M.C.W. conceived the project and designed the experiments. Y.Y.,

698   S.M.G., Y.G., P.H., Q. Zhang, J.L., B.J. and S.Y.J. performed experiments. Q. Zhao conducted

699   the structural simulation. D.M.S. and M.A. help design Lyso-IP method in *C. elegans*. S.Y.J.

700   supervised mass spectrometry analysis. Y.Y., S.M.G., Y.G. and M.C.W. wrote the manuscript.

701   Y.Y., S.M.G., Y.G., Q. Zhang, M.A. and M.C.W. edited the manuscript.

703   COMPETING INTERESTS

704   The authors declare no competing interests.

706   REFERENCE

Abu-Remaileh, M., Wyant, G. A., Kim, C., Laqtom, N. N., Abbasi, M., Chan, S. H., Freinkman, E.,

& Sabatini, D. M. (2017). Lysosomal metabolomics reveals V-ATPase- and mTOR-

dependent regulation of amino acid efflux from lysosomes. *Science*, *358*(6364), 807-813.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan6298

Andrzejewska, Z., Nevo, N., Thomas, L., Chhuon, C., Bailleux, A., Chauvet, V., Courtoy, P. J., Chol,

M., Guerrera, I. C., & Antignac, C. (2016). Cystinosin is a Component of the Vacuolar H+-

ATPase-Ragulator-Rag Complex Controlling Mammalian Target of Rapamycin Complex 1

Signaling. *J Am Soc Nephrol*, *27*(6), 1678-1688.

https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2014090937

Apfeld, J., O'Connor, G., McDonagh, T., DiStefano, P. S., & Curtis, R. (2004). The AMP-activated

protein kinase AAK-2 links energy levels and insulin-like signals to lifespan in *C. elegans*.

Genes Dev, *18*(24), 3004-3009. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1255404

Appelqvist, H., Waster, P., Kagedal, K., & Ollinger, K. (2013). The lysosome: from waste bag to

potential therapeutic target. *J Mol Cell Biol*, *5*(4), 214-226.

https://doi.org/10.1093/jmcb/mjt022

Ballabio, A., & Bonifacino, J. S. (2020). Lysosomes as dynamic regulators of cell and organismal

homeostasis. *Nature reviews Molecular cell biology*, *21*(2), 101-118.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-019-0185-4

Ballabio, A., & Gieselmann, V. (2009). Lysosomal disorders: from storage to cellular damage.

Biochim Biophys Acta, *1793*(4), 684-696. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2008.12.001

Braulke, T., & Bonifacino, J. S. (2009). Sorting of lysosomal proteins. *Biochimica Et Biophysica*

Acta-Molecular Cell Research, *1793*(4), 605-614.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2008.10.016

Brown, C. A., & Black, S. D. (1989). Membrane topology of mammalian cytochromes P-450 from

liver endoplasmic reticulum. Determination by trypsinolysis of phenobarbital-treated

microsomes. *J Biol Chem*, *264*(8), 4442-4449.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2925650

Castellano, B. M., Thelen, A. M., Moldavski, O., Feltes, M., van der Welle, R. E., Mydock-

McGrane, L., Jiang, X., van Eijkeren, R. J., Davis, O. B., Louie, S. M., Perera, R. M., Covey,

D. F., Nomura, D. K., Ory, D. S., & Zoncu, R. (2017). Lysosomal cholesterol activates

mTORC1 via an SLC38A9-Niemann-Pick C1 signaling complex. *Science*, *355*(6331), 1306-

1311. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aag1417

Crighton, D., Wilkinson, S., O'Prey, J., Syed, N., Smith, P., Harrison, P. R., Gasco, M., Garrone, O.,

Crook, T., & Ryan, K. M. (2006). DRAM, a p53-induced modulator of autophagy, is critical

for apoptosis. *Cell*, *126*(1), 121-134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.05.034

Cruciat, C. M., Ohkawara, B., Acebron, S. P., Karaulanov, E., Reinhard, C., Ingelfinger, D.,

Boutros, M., & Niehrs, C. (2010). Requirement of prorenin receptor and vacuolar H+-

ATPase-mediated acidification for Wnt signaling. *Science*, *327*(5964), 459-463.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1179802

Davidson, S. M., & Vander Heiden, M. G. (2017). Critical Functions of the Lysosome in Cancer

Biology. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol*, *57*, 481-507. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-

pharmtox-010715-103101

de Araujo, M. E. G., Naschberger, A., Furnrohr, B. G., Stasyk, T., Dunzendorfer-Matt, T., Lechner,

S., Welti, S., Kremser, L., Shivalingaiah, G., Offterdinger, M., Lindner, H. H., Huber, L. A.,

& Scheffzek, K. (2017). Crystal structure of the human lysosomal mTORC1 scaffold

complex and its impact on signaling. *Science*, *358*(6361), 377-381.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao1583

De Franceschi, N., Hamidi, H., Alanko, J., Sahgal, P., & Ivaska, J. (2015). Integrin traffic - the

update. *J Cell Sci*, *128*(5), 839-852. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.161653

Diao, J., Liu, R., Rong, Y., Zhao, M., Zhang, J., Lai, Y., Zhou, Q., Wilz, L. M., Li, J., Vivona, S.,

Pfuetzner, R. A., Brunger, A. T., & Zhong, Q. (2015). ATG14 promotes membrane

tethering and fusion of autophagosomes to endolysosomes. *Nature*, *520*(7548), 563-

566. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14147

Eapen, V. V., Swarup, S., Hoyer, M. J., Paulo, J. A., & Harper, J. W. (2021). Quantitative

proteomics reveals the selectivity of ubiquitin-binding autophagy receptors in the

turnover of damaged lysosomes by lysophagy. *Elife*, *10*.

https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.72328

Eskelinen, E. L. (2006). Roles of LAMP-1 and LAMP-2 in lysosome biogenesis and autophagy.

Mol Aspects Med, *27*(5-6), 495-502. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mam.2006.08.005

Ewan, L. C., Jopling, H. M., Jia, H. Y., Mittar, S., Bagherzadeh, A., Howell, G. J., Walker, J. H.,

Zachary, I. C., & Ponnambalam, S. (2006). Intrinsic tyrosine kinase activity is required for

vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 ubiquitination, sorting and degradation in

endothelial cells. *Traffic*, *7*(9), 1270-1282. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-

0854.2006.00462.x

Fehrenbacher, N., & Jaattela, M. (2005). Lysosomes as targets for cancer therapy. *Cancer Res*,

65(8), 2993-2995. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-0476

Folick, A., Oakley, H. D., Yu, Y., Armstrong, E. H., Kumari, M., Sanor, L., Moore, D. D., Ortlund, E.

A., Zechner, R., & Wang, M. C. (2015). Lysosomal signaling molecules regulate longevity

in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Science*, *347*(6217), 83-86.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1258857

Forgac, M. (2007). Vacuolar ATPases: rotary proton pumps in physiology and pathophysiology.

Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, *8*(11), 917-929. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2272

Gahl, W. A., Bashan, N., Tietze, F., Bernardini, I., & Schulman, J. D. (1982). Cystine transport is

defective in isolated leukocyte lysosomes from patients with cystinosis. *Science*,

217(4566), 1263-1265. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7112129

Gallet, A., & Therond, P. P. (2005). Temporal modulation of the Hedgehog morphogen gradient

by a patched-dependent targeting to lysosomal compartment. *Developmental Biology*,

277(1), 51-62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2004.09.005

Gao, Y., Chen, Y., Zhan, S., Zhang, W., Xiong, F., & Ge, W. (2017). Comprehensive proteome

analysis of lysosomes reveals the diverse function of macrophages in immune

responses. *Oncotarget*, *8*(5), 7420-7440. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.14558

Grant, B., & Hirsh, D. (1999). Receptor-mediated endocytosis in the *Caenorhabditis elegans*

oocyte. *Mol Biol Cell*, *10*(12), 4311-4326. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.10.12.4311

Graves, A. R., Curran, P. K., Smith, C. L., & Mindell, J. A. (2008). The Cl-/H+ antiporter ClC-7 is the

primary chloride permeation pathway in lysosomes. *Nature*, *453*(7196), 788-792.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06907

Hadwiger, G., Dour, S., Arur, S., Fox, P., & Nonet, M. L. (2010). A monoclonal antibody toolkit for

C. elegans. *PLoS One*, *5*(4), e10161. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010161

Hansen, M., Rubinsztein, D. C., & Walker, D. W. (2018). Autophagy as a promoter of longevity:

insights from model organisms. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*, *19*(9), 579-593.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-018-0033-y

Hartl, F. U., Martin, J., & Neupert, W. (1992). Protein folding in the cell: the role of molecular

chaperones Hsp70 and Hsp60. *Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct*, *21*, 293-322.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bb.21.060192.001453

Itakura, E., Kishi-Itakura, C., & Mizushima, N. (2012). The hairpin-type tail-anchored SNARE

syntaxin 17 targets to autophagosomes for fusion with endosomes/lysosomes. *Cell*,

151(6), 1256-1269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.11.001

Ivanov, A., Pawlikowski, J., Manoharan, I., van Tuyn, J., Nelson, D. M., Rai, T. S., Shah, P. P.,

Hewitt, G., Korolchuk, V. I., Passos, J. F., Wu, H., Berger, S. L., & Adams, P. D. (2013).

Lysosome-mediated processing of chromatin in senescence. *J Cell Biol*, *202*(1), 129-143.

https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201212110

Johnson, D. E., Ostrowski, P., Jaumouille, V., & Grinstein, S. (2016). The position of lysosomes

within the cell determines their luminal pH. *J Cell Biol*, *212*(6), 677-692.

https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201507112

Jonas, A. J., Greene, A. A., Smith, M. L., & Schneider, J. A. (1982). Cystine accumulation and loss

in normal, heterozygous, and cystinotic fibroblasts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *79*(14),

4442-4445. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.79.14.4442

Jouandin, P., Marelja, Z., Shih, Y. H., Parkhitko, A. A., Dambowsky, M., Asara, J. M., Nemazanyy,

I., Dibble, C. C., Simons, M., & Perrimon, N. (2022). Lysosomal cystine mobilization

shapes the response of TORC1 and tissue growth to fasting. *Science*, *375*(6582),

eabc4203. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc4203

Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., Tunyasuvunakool, K.,

Bates, R., Zidek, A., Potapenko, A., Bridgland, A., Meyer, C., Kohl, S. A. A., Ballard, A. J.,

Cowie, A., Romera-Paredes, B., Nikolov, S., Jain, R., Adler, J., . . . Hassabis, D. (2021).

Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature*, *596*(7873), 583-

589. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2

Kalatzis, V., Cherqui, S., Antignac, C., & Gasnier, B. (2001). Cystinosin, the protein defective in

cystinosis, is a H(+)-driven lysosomal cystine transporter. *EMBO J*, *20*(21), 5940-5949.

https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/20.21.5940

Kane, P. M. (1995). Disassembly and reassembly of the yeast vacuolar H(+)-ATPase *in vivo*. *J Biol*

Chem, *270*(28), 17025-17032. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7622524

Kang, D. S., Tian, X., & Benovic, J. L. (2014). Role of beta-arrestins and arrestin domain-

containing proteins in G protein-coupled receptor trafficking. *Curr Opin Cell Biol*, *27*, 63-

71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2013.11.005

Kenyon, C., Chang, J., Gensch, E., Rudner, A., & Tabtiang, R. (1993). A *C. elegans* mutant that

lives twice as long as wild type. *Nature*, *366*(6454), 461-464.

https://doi.org/10.1038/366461a0

Khan, A. S., & Frigo, D. E. (2017). A spatiotemporal hypothesis for the regulation, role, and

targeting of AMPK in prostate cancer. *Nat Rev Urol*, *14*(3), 164-180.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nrurol.2016.272

Lapierre, L. R., Gelino, S., Melendez, A., & Hansen, M. (2011). Autophagy and lipid metabolism

coordinately modulate life span in germline-less *C. elegans*. *Curr Biol*, *21*(18), 1507-1514.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2011.07.042

Laqtom, N. N., Dong, W., Medoh, U. N., Cangelosi, A. L., Dharamdasani, V., Chan, S. H., Kunchok,

T., Lewis, C. A., Heinze, I., Tang, R., Grimm, C., Dang Do, A. N., Porter, F. D., Ori, A.,

Sabatini, D. M., & Abu-Remaileh, M. (2022). CLN3 is required for the clearance of

glycerophosphodiesters from lysosomes. *Nature*, *609*(7929), 1005-1011.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05221-y

Lawrence, R. E., & Zoncu, R. (2019). The lysosome as a cellular centre for signalling, metabolism

and quality control. *Nat Cell Biol*, *21*(2), 133-142. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-018-

0244-7

Le, S., Josse, J., & Husson, F. (2008). FactoMineR: An R package for multivariate analysis. *Journal

of statistical software*, *25*(1), 1-18. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v025.i01

Leger-Silvestre, I., Caffrey, J. M., Dawaliby, R., Alvarez-Arias, D. A., Gas, N., Bertolone, S. J.,

Gleizes, P. E., & Ellis, S. R. (2005). Specific Role for Yeast Homologs of the Diamond

Blackfan Anemia-associated Rps19 Protein in Ribosome Synthesis. *J Biol Chem*, *280*(46),

38177-38185. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M506916200

Li, R. J., Xu, J., Fu, C., Zhang, J., Zheng, Y. G., Jia, H., & Liu, J. O. (2016). Regulation of mTORC1 by

lysosomal calcium and calmodulin. *Elife*, *5*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.19360

Linkert, M., Rueden, C. T., Allan, C., Burel, J. M., Moore, W., Patterson, A., Loranger, B., Moore,

J., Neves, C., Macdonald, D., Tarkowska, A., Sticco, C., Hill, E., Rossner, M., Eliceiri, K. W.,

& Swedlow, J. R. (2010). Metadata matters: access to image data in the real world. *J Cell*

Biol, *189*(5), 777-782. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201004104

Lubke, T., Lobel, P., & Sleat, D. E. (2009). Proteomics of the lysosome. *Biochim Biophys Acta*,

1793(4), 625-635. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2008.09.018

Ma, Z., Thomas, K. S., Webb, D. J., Moravec, R., Salicioni, A. M., Mars, W. M., & Gonias, S. L.

(2002). Regulation of Rac1 activation by the low density lipoprotein receptor-related

protein. *J Cell Biol*, *159*(6), 1061-1070. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200207070

Mak, K. H., Zhao, Q., Hu, P.-W., Au-Yeung, C.-L., Yang, J., Duraine, L., Yu, Y., Abu-Remaileh, M.,

Sabatini, D., Wang, J. D., & Wang, M. C. (2020). Lysosomal nucleotide metabolism

regulates ER proteostasis through mTOR signaling. *bioRxiv*, 2020.2004.2018.048561.

https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.18.048561

Markmann, S., Krambeck, S., Hughes, C. J., Mirzaian, M., Aerts, J. M., Saftig, P., Schweizer, M.,

Vissers, J. P., Braulke, T., & Damme, M. (2017). Quantitative Proteome Analysis of

Mouse Liver Lysosomes Provides Evidence for Mannose 6-phosphate-independent

Targeting Mechanisms of Acid Hydrolases in Mucolipidosis II. *Mol Cell Proteomics*, *16*(3),

438-450. https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M116.063636

Martins, R., Lithgow, G. J., & Link, W. (2016). Long live FOXO: unraveling the role of FOXO

proteins in aging and longevity. *Aging Cell*, *15*(2), 196-207.

https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.12427

Mayer, M. P. (2010). Gymnastics of molecular chaperones. *Mol Cell*, *39*(3), 321-331.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2010.07.012

McGuire, C. M., & Forgac, M. (2018). Glucose starvation increases V-ATPase assembly and

activity in mammalian cells through AMP kinase and phosphatidylinositide 3-kinase/Akt

signaling. *J Biol Chem*, *293*(23), 9113-9123. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA117.001327

Mijaljica, D., & Devenish, R. J. (2013). Nucleophagy at a glance. *J Cell Sci*, *126*(Pt 19), 4325-4330.

https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.133090

Mutlu, A. S., Gao, S. M., Zhang, H., & Wang, M. C. (2020). Olfactory specificity regulates lipid

metabolism through neuroendocrine signaling in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature*

communications, *11*(1), 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15296-8

Nakae, I., Fujino, T., Kobayashi, T., Sasaki, A., Kikko, Y., Fukuyama, M., Gengyo-Ando, K., Mitani,

S., Kontani, K., & Katada, T. (2010). The arf-like GTPase Arl8 mediates delivery of

endocytosed macromolecules to lysosomes in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Mol Biol Cell*,

21(14), 2434-2442. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E09-12-1010

Nicoli, E. R., Weston, M. R., Hackbarth, M., Becerril, A., Larson, A., Zein, W. M., Baker, P. R., 2nd,

Burke, J. D., Dorward, H., Davids, M., Huang, Y., Adams, D. R., Zerfas, P. M., Chen, D.,

Markello, T. C., Toro, C., Wood, T., Elliott, G., Vu, M., . . . Malicdan, M. C. V. (2019).

Lysosomal Storage and Albinism Due to Effects of a De Novo CLCN7 Variant on

Lysosomal Acidification. *Am J Hum Genet*, *104*(6), 1127-1138.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2019.04.008

Nishimura, T., Kaizuka, T., Cadwell, K., Sahani, M. H., Saitoh, T., Akira, S., Virgin, H. W., &

Mizushima, N. (2013). FIP200 regulates targeting of Atg16L1 to the isolation membrane.

EMBO Rep, *14*(3), 284-291. https://doi.org/10.1038/embor.2013.6

Nixon, R. A., & Cataldo, A. M. (2006). Lysosomal system pathways: genes to neurodegeneration

in Alzheimer's disease. *J Alzheimers Dis*, *9*(3 Suppl), 277-289.

https://doi.org/10.3233/jad-2006-9s331

Pettersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Couch, G. S., Greenblatt, D. M., Meng, E. C., &

Ferrin, T. E. (2004). UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and

analysis. *J Comput Chem*, *25*(13), 1605-1612. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20084

Platt, F. M., Boland, B., & van der Spoel, A. C. (2012). The cell biology of disease: lysosomal

storage disorders: the cellular impact of lysosomal dysfunction. *J Cell Biol*, *199*(5), 723-

734. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201208152

Popovic, D., & Dikic, I. (2014). TBC1D5 and the AP2 complex regulate ATG9 trafficking and

initiation of autophagy. *EMBO Rep*, *15*(4), 392-401.

https://doi.org/10.1002/embr.201337995

Pu, J., Guardia, C. M., Keren-Kaplan, T., & Bonifacino, J. S. (2016). Mechanisms and functions of

lysosome positioning. *J Cell Sci*, *129*(23), 4329-4339. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.196287

Ramachandran, P. V., Savini, M., Folick, A. K., Hu, K., Masand, R., Graham, B. H., & Wang, M. C.

(2019). Lysosomal Signaling Promotes Longevity by Adjusting Mitochondrial Activity. *Dev*

Cell, *48*(5), 685-696 e685. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2018.12.022

Ratto, E., Chowdhury, S. R., Siefert, N. S., Schneider, M., Wittmann, M., Helm, D., & Palm, W.

(2022). Direct control of lysosomal catabolic activity by mTORC1 through regulation of

V-ATPase assembly. *Nat Commun*, *13*(1), 4848. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-

32515-6

Rebsamen, M., Pochini, L., Stasyk, T., de Araujo, M. E., Galluccio, M., Kandasamy, R. K., Snijder,

B., Fauster, A., Rudashevskaya, E. L., Bruckner, M., Scorzoni, S., Filipek, P. A., Huber, K.

V., Bigenzahn, J. W., Heinz, L. X., Kraft, C., Bennett, K. L., Indiveri, C., Huber, L. A., &

Superti-Furga, G. (2015). SLC38A9 is a component of the lysosomal amino acid sensing

machinery that controls mTORC1. *Nature*, *519*(7544), 477-481.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14107

Saltzman, A. B., Leng, M., Bhatt, B., Singh, P., Chan, D. W., Dobrolecki, L., Chandrasekaran, H.,

Choi, J. M., Jain, A., Jung, S. Y., Lewis, M. T., Ellis, M. J., & Malovannaya, A. (2018).

gpGrouper: A Peptide Grouping Algorithm for Gene-Centric Inference and Quantitation

of Bottom-Up Proteomics Data. *Mol Cell Proteomics*, *17*(11), 2270-2283.

https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.TIR118.000850

Savini, M., Folick, A., Lee, Y. T., Jin, F., Cuevas, A., Tillman, M. C., Duffy, J. D., Zhao, Q., Neve, I.

A., Hu, P. W., Yu, Y., Zhang, Q., Ye, Y., Mair, W. B., Wang, J., Han, L., Ortlund, E. A., &

Wang, M. C. (2022). Lysosome lipid signalling from the periphery to neurons regulates

longevity. *Nat Cell Biol*, *24*(6), 906-916. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-022-00926-8

Savini, M., Zhao, Q., & Wang, M. C. (2019). Lysosomes: Signaling Hubs for Metabolic Sensing

and Longevity. *Trends Cell Biol*, *29*(11), 876-887.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2019.08.008

Schroder, B. A., Wrocklage, C., Hasilik, A., & Saftig, P. (2010). The proteome of lysosomes.

Proteomics, *10*(22), 4053-4076. https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.201000196

Snyder, J. C., Rochelle, L. K., Lyerly, H. K., Caron, M. G., & Barak, L. S. (2013). Constitutive

Internalization of the Leucine-rich G Protein-coupled Receptor-5 (LGR5) to the Trans-

Golgi Network. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *288*(15), 10286-10297.

https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.447540

Stiernagle, T. (2006). Maintenance of *C. elegans*. *WormBook*, 1-11.

https://doi.org/10.1895/wormbook.1.101.1

Stransky, L. A., & Forgac, M. (2015). Amino Acid Availability Modulates Vacuolar H+-ATPase

Assembly. *J Biol Chem*, *290*(45), 27360-27369.

https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M115.659128

Sugiura, T., Iga, T., Asai, T., & Mizokami, K. (1989). [Studies on diameter distribution of axons in

the optic pathway in human glaucoma]. *Nippon Ganka Gakkai Zasshi*, *93*(11), 1075-

1080. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2603855

Sun, Y., Li, M., Zhao, D., Li, X., Yang, C., & Wang, X. (2020). Lysosome activity is modulated by

multiple longevity pathways and is important for lifespan extension in *C. elegans*. *Elife*,

9, e55745. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.55745

Turk, V., Stoka, V., Vasiljeva, O., Renko, M., Sun, T., Turk, B., & Turk, D. (2012). Cysteine

cathepsins: from structure, function and regulation to new frontiers. *Biochim Biophys*

Acta, *1824*(1), 68-88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap.2011.10.002

Varadi, M., Anyango, S., Deshpande, M., Nair, S., Natassia, C., Yordanova, G., Yuan, D., Stroe, O.,

Wood, G., Laydon, A., Zidek, A., Green, T., Tunyasuvunakool, K., Petersen, S., Jumper, J.,

Clancy, E., Green, R., Vora, A., Lutfi, M., . . . Velankar, S. (2022). AlphaFold Protein

Structure Database: massively expanding the structural coverage of protein-sequence

space with high-accuracy models. *Nucleic Acids Res*, *50*(D1), D439-D444.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab1061

Vasu, S., Shah, S., Orjalo, A., Park, M., Fischer, W. H., & Forbes, D. J. (2001). Novel vertebrate

nucleoporins Nup133 and Nup160 play a role in mRNA export. *J Cell Biol*, *155*(3), 339-

354. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200108007

Wang, M. C., O'Rourke, E. J., & Ruvkun, G. (2008). Fat metabolism links germline stem cells and

longevity in *C. elegans*. *Science*, *322*(5903), 957-960.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1162011

Wang, S., Tsun, Z. Y., Wolfson, R. L., Shen, K., Wyant, G. A., Plovanich, M. E., Yuan, E. D., Jones,

T. D., Chantranupong, L., Comb, W., Wang, T., Bar-Peled, L., Zoncu, R., Straub, C., Kim,

C., Park, J., Sabatini, B. L., & Sabatini, D. M. (2015). Metabolism. Lysosomal amino acid

transporter SLC38A9 signals arginine sufficiency to mTORC1. *Science*, *347*(6218), 188-

194. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1257132

Webb, B. A., Aloisio, F. M., Charafeddine, R. A., Cook, J., Wittmann, T., & Barber, D. L. (2021).

pHLARE: a new biosensor reveals decreased lysosome pH in cancer cells. *Mol Biol Cell*,

32(2), 131-142. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E20-06-0383

Willett, R., Martina, J. A., Zewe, J. P., Wills, R., Hammond, G. R. V., & Puertollano, R. (2017).

TFEB regulates lysosomal positioning by modulating TMEM55B expression and JIP4

recruitment to lysosomes. *Nat Commun*, *8*(1), 1580. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-

017-01871-z

Wyant, G. A., Abu-Remaileh, M., Wolfson, R. L., Chen, W. W., Freinkman, E., Danai, L. V., Vander

Heiden, M. G., & Sabatini, D. M. (2017). mTORC1 Activator SLC38A9 Is Required to Efflux

Essential Amino Acids from Lysosomes and Use Protein as a Nutrient. *Cell*, *171*(3), 642-

654 e612. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.046

Zhang, C. S., Jiang, B., Li, M., Zhu, M., Peng, Y., Zhang, Y. L., Wu, Y. Q., Li, T. Y., Liang, Y., Lu, Z.,

Lian, G., Liu, Q., Guo, H., Yin, Z., Ye, Z., Han, J., Wu, J. W., Yin, H., Lin, S. Y., & Lin, S. C.

(2014). The lysosomal v-ATPase-Ragulator complex is a common activator for AMPK and

mTORC1, acting as a switch between catabolism and anabolism. *Cell Metab*, *20*(3), 526-

540. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2014.06.014

FIGURES AND FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Rapid lysosome isolation coupled with proteomic profiling

(**A**) Schematic of the workflow for immunoprecipitation-based lysosome purification (Lyso-IP)

and mass-spectrometry based proteomic profiling to identify lysosome-enriched proteomes in *C.*

elegans.

(**B**) Example images of transgenic strains carrying LMP-1 Lyso-Tag (LMP-1::RFP-3×HA) with

LysoTracker staining to mark lysosomes *in vivo*. Scale bar=5 μm.

(**C**) Example images of beads carrying purified lysosomes from Lyso-IP with LysoTracker

staining to mark intact lysosomes *in vitro.* Scale bar=5 μm.

(**D**) Western blot for protein markers of different subcellular compartments using purified

lysosomes (Lyso-IP), paired non-lysosomal fractions (Flow-through) or Pellet.

(**E**) PCA analysis of four independent biological replicates of Lyso-IP and Flow-through

samples.

1009

1010

Figure 2. Systemic view of lysosome-enriched proteome

(**A**) Scatter plots showing candidate selection from four independent biological replicates in proteomics analyses. Proteins with at least 10-fold higher levels in Lyso-IP samples than in flow-through controls are highlighted with different colors based on repeated times in four replicates.

(**B**) Scatter plot showing candidate selection with normalization to non-tagged controls using

wild-type worms. 216 proteins with over 2-fold higher levels in Lyso-IP samples than in non-

tagged controls are highlighted in red.

(**C**) Pie chart showing molecular function categories of lysosome-enriched proteins.

(**D**) The lysosomal enrichment ratio (Lyso-IP vs FT) for each subunit of lysosomal vacuolar

ATPase (v-ATPase) in four independent replicates is shown.

(**E**) Pie chart showing subcellular location categories of lysosome-enriched proteins.

1022

1023

Figure 3. Lysosome-enriched proteome associated with longevity

(**A**) Venn diagram showing overlaps among lysosome-enriched proteomes from wild-type (WT),

lipl-4 Tg and *daf-2(lf)* worms.

(**B**) Normalized protein levels (z-score across samples) of autophagy-related components,

mTORC1 signaling factors, lysosomal v-ATPase V0, V1 and transporting accessory (TA)

subunits, lysosomal hydrolases and transporter proteins from LMP-1 Lyso-IP proteomic analyses

of WT, *lipl-4 Tg* and *daf-2(lf)*) worms.

(**C**) The lysosomal enrichment ratio (Lyso-IP vs FT) for two homologs of AMPK catalytic

subunits, AAK-1 and AAK-2 in WT, *lipl-4 Tg* and *daf-2(lf)*) worms.

(**D**) Reduction of AMPK using the loss-of-function mutant of *aak-2, aak-2(lf)* together with *aak-*

1 RNAi knockdown reduces the lifespan extension in the *lipl-4 Tg* worms from 72% to 40%. ***

p<0.01 by Log-rank test.

1036

1037

Figure 4. Enhanced lysosome-nucleus interaction mediating longevity

(A) The percentage of proteins with different subcellular localization is compared between

lysosome-enriched proteomes from WT and *lipl-4 Tg* worms. *$p<0.05$* by 2-sample test for

equality of proportions.

(B) Heatmap showing the average levels of nucleoporin proteins NPP-6 and NPP-15 in Lyso-IP

(IP) and flow-through (FT) samples from WT, *lipl-4 Tg* and *daf-2(lf)* worms.

(C, E) Representative images of intestinal cells in WT, *lipl-4 Tg* (**C**) and *daf-2(lf)* (**E**) worms

carrying LMP-1::RFP-3×HA and nucleus-enriched GFP, showing the accumulation of

lysosomes around the perinuclear region in the *lipl-4 Tg* but not *daf-2(lf)* worms. Dashed lines

circle intestinal cells and n marks the nucleus. Scale bar=20 μm.

(D, F) Line graph showing the spatial distribution of lysosomes from the nuclear to peripheral

region quantified by normalized regional RFP fluorescence signals in intestinal cells of WT, *lipl-*

4 Tg (**D**) and *daf-2(lf)* (**F**) worms. N =50 WT /33 *lipl-4 Tg*, 33 WT/ 28 *daf-2(lf)*. Data are

represented as mean ± SD.

(G-H) *lipl-4 Tg* worms show lifespan extension compared to WT worms (**G**), which is fully

suppressed by RNAi knockdown of *npp-6* (**H**). ****$p<0.001,$* n.s. *$p>0.05$* by Log-rank test.

(I-J) *daf-2(lf)* worms show lifespan extension compared to WT worms (**I**), which is not affected

by *npp-6* RNAi knockdown (**J**). ****$p<0.001$* by Log-rank test.

1056

Figure 5. Lysosomal proteome heterogeneity across tissues

(A) Example images of transgenic strains carrying Lyso-Tag (LMP-1::RFP-3×HA) driven by

tissue-specific promoters. Scale bar=20 μm.

(B-E) Scatter plot showing the relative enrichment ratio for each of 216 lysosome-enriched

proteins identified from whole-body LMP-1 Lyso-IP in comparison with tissue-specific LMP-1

Lyso-IPs, hypodermis (**B**), muscle (**C**), intestine (**D**) and neuron (**E**). X axis, enrichment ratio

tissue-specific vs. whole-body; Y axis, normalized protein abundance over LMP-1; each dot

represents the average of three replicates.

(F) Heatmap showing the relative enrichment of 216 lysosome-enriched proteins identified from

whole-body LMP-1 Lyso-IP in comparison with tissue-specific LMP-1 Lyso-IPs. Group I,

comparable ratios between whole-body and tissue-specific Lyso-IPs; Group II, increase in tissue-

specific Lyso-IPs (*p<0.05* by student's t-test); Group III, decrease in tissue-specific Lyso-IPs

(*p<0.05* by student's t-test); Group IV, absent in tissue-specific IPs.

1071

1072

Figure 6. Lysosome-enriched proteome identified with Cystinosin

(A) Example images of transgenic strains carrying CTNS-1 Lyso-Tag (CTNS-1::RFP-3×HA)

with LysoTracker staining to mark lysosomes *in vivo*. Scale bar=5 μm.

(B) Venn diagram showing the overlap between lysosome-enriched proteomes using LMP-1

Lyso-IP and CTNS-1 Lyso-IP.

(C) Pie chart showing subcellular location categories of lysosome-enriched proteins.

(D) The proportion of candidates with lysosomal localization annotation in different candidate

groups. "LMP-1 all" and "CTNS-1 all", all candidates from LMP-1 Lyso-IP and CTNS-1 Lyso-

IP, respectively; "LMP-1 only" and "CTNS-1 only", candidates only identified from LMP-1

Lyso-IP or CTNS-1 Lyso-IP, respectively.

(E) Normalized protein levels (z-score across samples) of autophagy-related components and

mTORC1 signaling factors from LMP-1 and CTNS-1 Lyso-IP proteomic analyses of WT

worms.

(F) Normalized protein levels (z-score across samples) of previously annotated lysosomal

proteins from LMP-1 Lyso-IP proteomic analyses of WT, *lipl-4 Tg* and *daf-2(lf)*) worms and

CTNS-1 Lyso-IP proteomic analyses of WT worms. Proteins not detected by proteomic profiling

are marked in grey.

1090

1091

Figure 7. Lysosome-enriched proteins regulating lysosomal functions

(**A-E**) Confocal fluorescence microscopy images of intestinal cells in worms stained with

LysoSensor DND-189 and treated with *empty vector* (**A**), *slc36.2* RNAi (**B**), *R144.6* RNAi (**C**),

vha-5 RNAi (**D**) and *unc-32* RNAi (**E**). Scale bar=50 μm.

(F, G) RNAi knockdown of *unc-32* or *vha-5* decreases the lysosome number (**F**) but increases

the lysosome size (**G**). The average lysosome number and size per pair of intestinal cells were

quantified. n = 17 (*EV*), 9 (*R144.6*), 11 (*slc36.2*), 8 (*unc-32*), 13 (*vha-5*).

(H) RNAi knockdown of *R144.6* and *unc-32* increase and decrease lysosomal pH, respectively.

Lysosomal pH was calculated based on LysoSensor's lifetime measured by Fluorescence

Lifetime Microscopy. n = 21 (*EV*), 19 (*R144.6*), 5 (*slc36.2*), 6 (*unc-32*), 5 (*vha-5*).

(I) The structure of the R144.6 protein predicted by AlphaFold2 supports it as a solute carrier

family transporter.

(J) Confocal fluorescence microscopy images show that mNeonGreen signals from

endogenously tagged R144.6 colocalize with LysoTracker Red signals in the hypodermis. Scale

bar=10 μm.

(F-H) Data shown as mean ± SD, *$*p<0.05$; $**p<0.01$, $***p<0.001$, $****p<0.0001$, n.s. $p>0.05$*

by Student's t-test (unpaired, two-tailed).

1109

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

Supplementary Figure 1. Lyso-IP analyses from worms with different genotypes

(**A**) Correlation analysis of four independent biological replicates of Lyso-IP (IP) and Flow-through (FT) samples from proteomics analyses.

(B) Pie chart showing the proportion of LMP-1 Lyso-IP candidates from WT worms with

mammalian homologs.

(**C** and **D)** Correlation analysis of three independent biological replicates of Lyso-IP (IP) and

Flow-through (FT) from proteomics analyses of the long-lived *lipl-4* transgenic strain (*lipl-4 Tg*,

C) and the *daf-2* loss-of-function mutant (*daf-2(lf)*), **D**).

(E) PCA analysis of Lyso-IP replicates and flow-through controls in LMP-1 Lyso-IP of WT,

lipl-4 Tg and *daf-2(lf)* worms.

(F) PCA analysis of Lyso-IP replicates and flow-through controls of CTNS-1 Lyso-IP of WT

worms.

(G) Correlation analysis of three independent biological replicates of Lyso-IP (IP) and Flow-

through (FT) samples from proteomic analyses of CTNS-1 Lyso-IP.

1126

1127

Supplementary Figure 2. Quantitative analysis of lysosomal positioning

(**A**) Brief summary of the method flow for quantifying the lysosomal distribution in intestinal

cells of *C. elegans*. Scale bar=10 μm.

(**B, C**) Curve graph showing the normalized accumulated intensity of lysosomal signals from the

nuclear to the peripheral region in WT, *lipl-4 Tg* (**B**) and *daf-2(lf)* (**C**) animals. **p<0.05*;

**p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, n.s. *p>0.05* by Student's t-test (unpaired, two-tailed) for

each region. N =50 WT /33 *lipl-4 Tg*, 33 WT/ 28 *daf-2(lf)*. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

1135

1136

Supplementary Figure 3. Correlation analyses of tissue-specific Lyso-IPs

(A-D) Pearson Correlation matrices of tissue-specific lyso-IP (IP) samples and flow-through

(FT) samples show the correlation among three different replicates. **(A)** Hypodermis, **(B)**

Muscle, **(C)** Intestine, **(D)** Neuron.

1141

1142

Supplementary Figure 4. Subcellular category analyses of *daf-2(lf)* candidates

1144 The percentage of proteins with different subcellular localization is compared between

1145 lysosome-enriched proteomes from WT and *daf-2(lf)* worms.

1146

1147

Supplementary Figure 5. Inactivation of lysosome-enriched candidates increases

LysoSensor signal intensity

(A-E) Confocal fluorescence microscopy images of intestinal cells in worms stained with

LysoSensor DND-189 and treated with *empty vector* (**A**), *slc36.2* RNAi (**B**), *R144.6* RNAi (**C**),

vha-5 RNAi (**D**) and *unc-32* RNAi (**E**). Scale bar=50 μm.

(F) RNAi knockdown of *slc36.2, R144.6, unc-32 or vha-5* increases the LysoSensor signal

intensity in the area of the first pair of intestinal cells. n = 24 (*EV*), 14 (*R144.6*), 13 (*slc36.2*), 15

(*unc-32*), 16 (*vha-5*). *$p<0.05$; **$p<0.01$, ***$p<0.001$, ****$p<0.0001$, n.s. $p>0.05$ by Student's

t-test (unpaired, two-tailed).

1157

Supplementary Table 1. Lysosome-enriched proteins identified from LMP-1 Lyso-IP using

WT worms

Supplementary Table 2. Lysosome-enriched proteins identified from LMP-1 Lyso-IP using

lipl-4 Tg **worms**

Supplementary Table 3. Summary of lifespan analyses

Supplementary Table 4. Lysosome-enriched proteins identified from LMP-1 Lyso-IP using

daf-2(lf) **mutant**

Supplementary Table 5. Lysosome-enriched proteome exhibits tissue-specificity

Supplementary Table 6. Lysosome-enriched proteins identified from CTNS-1 Lyso-IP

using WT worms

Supplementary Table 7. LysoSensor screening of lysosome-enriched proteins shared

between LMP-1 and the CTNS-1 Lyso-Ips

Supplementary code. Matlab codes for lysosome distribution quantification