Genome-wide phage susceptibility analysis in *Acinetobacter baumannii* reveals capsule

modulation as a central determinant of phage defense

Jinna Bai[a], Nicole Raustad[a], Jason Denoncourt[a], Tim van Opijnen[b], Edward Geisinger[a]#

[a]Department of Biology, Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

[b] Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, CISID, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

#To whom correspondence should be addressed (e.geisinger@northeastern.edu)

**Abstract**

Phage have gained renewed interest as an adjunctive treatment for life-threatening infections

with the drug-resistant nosocomial pathogen *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Our understanding of the

mechanisms used by *A. baumannii* to defend against phage remains limited, although this

information could lead to improved antimicrobial therapies. To address this problem, we used

Tn-seq to identify determinants of phage susceptibility in *A. baumannii* on a genome-wide scale.

These studies focused on the lytic phage Loki, which uses unknown mechanisms to target

*Acinetobacter*. We identified 41 candidate loci that increase susceptibility to Loki when

disrupted, and 10 that decrease susceptibility. Combined with spontaneous resistance mapping,

our results support the model that Loki uses the bacterial capsule as an essential receptor, and

that modulation of capsule provides *A. baumannii* with key strategies to control vulnerability to

phage. The center of this control is transcriptional capsule regulation by the two-component

system BfmRS. Mutations hyperactivating BfmRS simultaneously increase capsule levels and

Loki infectivity, while the opposite is true with BfmRS-inactivating mutations. We identified

novel BfmRS-activating mutations, including knockouts of an RNase T2 homolog (RnaA) and

the disulfide isomerase DsbA, that determine the outcome of a phage encounter. We further

found that mutation of a glycosyltransferase (Gtr6) known to modify capsule structure and

virulence in clinical strains can also confer complete phage resistance. Finally, additional factors

including the outer core of lipooligosaccharide act independently of capsule modulation to

interfere with Loki infection. This work demonstrates that regulatory and structural modulation

of capsule, known to alter *A. baumannii* virulence, is also a major determinant of susceptibility to

phage.

**Author Summary**

Antibiotic-resistant infections with *Acinetobacter baumannii* are a major problem in critical care

units and have increased in frequency during the COVID-19 pandemic. The virulence of these

infections depends on a polysaccharide capsule surrounding the bacterium. Phage, or viruses that

kill bacteria, represent a promising alternative therapy against highly antibiotic-resistant *A.*

*baumannii* infections, and *A. baumannii*-specific phage often target the capsule. Here, we use

high-throughput genetics to analyze how *A. baumannii* defends against phage and identify ways

to potentiate their killing activity. We found that stressing the bacteria in ways that cause

augmented production of capsule also causes hyper-susceptibility to phage. By contrast, turning

off the stress response, or mutating the capsule structure, causes complete phage resistance.

Altering a second surface structure, lipooligosaccharide, also enhances phage attack. Modulating

the amounts or makeup of capsular polysaccharide is known to influence virulence in *A.*

*baumannii*. This work thus uncovers a connection between phage pressure and the evolution of

virulence in *A. baumannii*, and it identifies new mechanisms by which the pathogen controls its

surface that may be leveraged for improving future phage-based antimicrobial therapies.

**Introduction**

The antibiotic-resistant, Gram-negative pathogen *Acinetobacter baumannii* is notorious

for causing recalcitrant diseases in healthcare settings including sepsis and ventilator-associated

pneumonia [1, 2]. Rates of *A. baumannii* infections resistant to last-line antibiotic treatments

have increased during the COVID-19 pandemic [3, 4], and pan-resistant isolates have emerged

[5, 6]. Therapy with lytic phages (viruses that infect and kill bacterial hosts) has gained renewed

attention as an additional line of attack against infections with pathogens such as *A. baumannii*

that are refractory to standard antibiotic treatments. Phages have the benefit of having high host

specificity, allowing selective targeting of pathogens without broad-spectrum damage to the

microbiome. Administration of lytic phages specific to *A. baumannii* strains in animal infection

models has been efficacious in protecting the model hosts from disease [4, 7-12]. Moreover,

recent successes in the clinic have shown the promise of phage therapy as an adjunct to

antibiotics for treating severe, progressive MDR *A. baumannii* infections in critically ill patients

[13-15]. Phage may also contribute to measures to block transmission of the pathogen in hospital

environments [16].

Despite these potential clinical applications, a drawback to phage therapy is the inevitable

emergence of bacteria resistant to phage attack [17]. In the case of *A. baumannii* phages, this

drawback may be mitigated by tradeoffs associated with phage resistance, such as lowered *in*

*vivo* fitness/virulence, enhanced collateral antibiotic sensitivity, or both [12, 17-19]. These costs

likely emerge due to *A. baumannii* phages exploiting the bacterial capsule as a requisite receptor

for initiating infection [20-23].

Capsular polysaccharide is one of the most important virulence factors of *A. baumannii*

[24-26]. Acapsular escape mutants isolated after phage treatment in animal models show

dramatic attenuation similar to that documented for engineered capsule-null strains [12, 17, 18].

Although almost all *A. baumannii* patient isolates produce a capsule, the sugar compositions and

structures of the capsular polysaccharide have diversified greatly across strains [27, 28]. These

differences are in large part based on substitution of multiple genes within the highly variable K

locus, which determines subunit sugar synthesis, polymerization, and export of the capsular

polysaccharide [29, 30]. In some cases, structural changes can also manifest from variation in a

single gene in the K locus [26, 31]. Different capsule structures may influence the interface with

the host innate immune system, resulting in differences in how the capsule promotes

pathogenicity [26, 32-34]. In addition to structural variation across strains, *A. baumannii* varies

the amount of capsule produced through regulatory networks. These capsule control networks

include those that sense and respond to antimicrobial stress [35, 36] or that stochastically switch

bacteria between two distinct phenotypic states [37-39], and each may contribute to how bacteria

adjust to changing host or environmental conditions. The degree to which modulation of capsule

levels affects susceptibility to phage attack is not known. Similarly, whether low-cost mutations

modifying (rather than eliminating) capsule can provide avenues to phage resistance is also

unclear. More broadly, functional characterization of *A. baumannii* determinants that facilitate or

impede phage infection is largely lacking. Knowledge of these features could lead to strategies

for improving the efficacy of anti-*A. baumannii* phage therapy and could enhance our

understanding of the physiology and resilience of the organism.

Here, we use a functional genomic approach to investigate determinants of *A. baumannii*-

phage interactions. We use Loki (vB_AbaS_Loki), a lytic phage of the *Siphoviridae* family

initially isolated from activated sewage sludge that targets *A. baumannii* by unknown

mechanisms [40]. These studies allowed us to identify the Loki host receptor, the K3/K22

capsule, and to reveal the key function of capsule modulation in determining the outcome of

phage interactions. This work has implications for phage therapy against *A. baumannii* and for

understanding the interplay between phage pressure and development of virulence in the

pathogen.

**Results**

**High-throughput identification of phage susceptibility determinants in *A. baumannii*.**

Loki infects *A. baumannii* reference strain ATCC 17978 [40], forming small, turbid

plaques on solid medium and resulting in partial growth inhibition in liquid culture when using

an initial multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1 or 10 (Fig 1A).  We took advantage of these

features to investigate the genetic determinants of phage susceptibility in the host bacterium by

Tn-seq, using the strategies we have established for identifying determinants of susceptibility to

antibiotics [41, 42]. Ten independent *Mariner* insertion pools each having about 20,000

individual insertions [43] (~100,000 separate insertion sites in total) were grown for ~8

doublings in parallel in broth containing Loki at different MOIs (1 to 1.5, or 10 to 15), or no

phage (uninfected control). DNA was extracted from samples before ($t_1$) and after ($t_2$) the

outgrowth of each pool. The frequency of every transposon mutant in the population at each time

point was then determined by massively parallel sequencing, followed by calculation of each

mutant's relative fitness [41, 42] (see Materials and Methods). We then aggregated all the mutant

fitness values associated with each gene across all pools to determine an average, gene-level

fitness score for a given condition (S1 Dataset). Genes were identified that had decreased or

increased Tn-seq fitness during phage challenge, based on established criteria [fitness value

derived from n ≥ 3 independent insertion mutants, fitness difference ≥ 10%, and false-discovery

rate (FDR) ≤ 0.05 [41, 42]] (see Materials and Methods). These genes and their products are

defined operationally as candidate phage susceptibility determinants, and those associated with

decreased fitness upon phage challenge when mutated are referred to as candidate phage

hypersusceptibility determinants.

Challenge with Loki at the lower MOI (1 to 1.5) resulted in 14 candidate phage

hypersusceptibility determinants (Fig 1B and S1 Dataset). The hits comprised numerous proteins

involved in biosynthesis and control of the bacterial cell envelope. These included *bfmS*, the

receptor of the virulence/resistance regulatory BfmRS two-component system (TCS), which

regulates capsule production [35, 36, 44]; lipooligosaccharide (LOS) core oligosaccharide

biosynthesis genes (*gtrOC2*, *gtrOC3*, *pda1*, *gtrOC4*, and *lpsB*) [29, 41]; and the *dsbA* dithiol

oxidase [45] critical for forming protein disulfide bonds in the Gram-negative periplasm [46] (S1

Data Set). Additional hits were ACX60_RS02390 (referred to hereafter as *rnaA*), encoding a

predicted periplasmic RNase T2 homolog; the *lon* protease; and the *dksA* transcription factor

known to affect lytic phage infection in *E. coli* [47, 48].

Challenge with Loki at higher MOI (10 to 15) expanded the number of

hypersusceptibility determinants to 39, and also resulted in hits that had increased fitness (i.e.,

decreased susceptibility) in the face of Loki challenge (Fig 1B and S1 Dataset). The expanded set

of hypersusceptibility hits included additional enzymes belonging to the functional categories

above (e.g., disulfide bond formation, *dsbC*, *dsbD*; LOS synthesis, *gtrOC8*; and the protease

*clpA*), as well as additional functional categories associated specifically with the higher MOI

(e.g., the DNA adenine methyltransferase, *aamA* [49]). Regarding the hits having increased

fitness during Loki challenge, the strongest of these was *gne1*, which is part of the K locus and

encodes an epimerase generating UDP-linked sugar precursors for capsule biosynthesis [29].

Loss of Gne1 completely prevents capsule production [50]. An additional K locus gene, *gtr9*,

mutation of which is also linked to capsule loss [19], also caused increased fitness during Loki

challenge when mutated (S1 Dataset). The other hits were extrinsic to the K locus, but several

had annotations related to polysaccharide production. The Tn-seq screen thus reveals a variety of

candidate factors that increase or decrease *A. baumannii* vulnerability to phage attack.

**Targeted deletion and complementation analyses validate the phage hypersusceptibility**

**phenotypes predicted by Tn-seq.**

We confirmed the Tn-seq results using individual mutant strains, focusing first on

candidate phage susceptibility determinants from different functional categories showing lower

Tn-seq fitness with Loki challenge (Fig 2A). Strains carrying in-frame deletions of *rnaA*, *dsbA*,

*gtrOC3/4*, and *lon* were constructed (Materials and Methods). To enable *trans* complementation

analysis, plasmids harboring an IPTG-inducible WT allele of each gene, or a control plasmid

lacking the gene, were introduced into the respective mutant strains (S1 Table). In the case of

*bfmS*, in lieu of complementation analysis we used two different mutants isolated previously—a

deletion with *aacC1* replacement (referred to as Δ*bfmS*), and the spontaneous frame-shift mutant

G467Dfs*19 [35] (referred to as *bfmS**)—as well as additional mutants within the *bfmRS* locus

as will be explained in a subsequent section. Susceptibility to Loki was assessed by liquid

infection and plaque formation assays (Materials and Methods). With liquid phage challenge, the

*rnaA*, *dsbA*, and *bfmS* mutants all showed complete block of growth immediately after exposure

to Loki, unlike the WT, which showed partial growth inhibition manifesting mostly after about

5h (Fig 2B and C). Different kinetics were seen with Loki challenge of the other mutants. With

the Δ*gtrOC3* and Δ*gtrOC4* mutants exposed to phage, the population grew for an initial ~2.5 h

before collapse of bacterial density (Fig 2B). With Δ*lon*, by contrast, little growth occurred in the

presence of Loki until ~4h, at which point rapid bacterial growth resumed (Fig 2B).

Reintroduction of the respective WT genes reversed the Loki hypersusceptibility phenotypes of

*rnaA, dsbA, gtrOC3, gtrOC4*, and *lon* mutants. In some cases, leaky expression without IPTG

was sufficient for partial (*dsbA, gtrOC4*) or full (*gtrOC3, lon*) complementation (Fig 2B).

Addition of IPTG at 0.1 mM allowed reversal of the Loki hypersusceptibility phenotype with all

strains, although a partial growth delay defect remained with the *rnaA* reintroduction strain

exposed to phage (Fig 2B). Raising the level of *rnaA* induction by using 1 mM IPTG completely

eliminated this defect, and had the added effect of making the *rnaA* reintroduction strain even

less susceptible to Loki than the WT control (Fig 2D).

Results from plaque formation assays mirrored the findings from the liquid challenge

experiments. While Loki interaction with the WT control resulted in small, turbid plaques, each

candidate hypersusceptibility mutant permitted large, clear plaques and zones of complete lysis

at areas of high phage concentration (Fig 2E and F). Plaques appeared to be larger with *rnaA,*

*dsbA*, and *bfmS* mutants compared to *gtrOC3* and *gtrOC4*, echoing the susceptibility differences

of the same strains during Loki challenge in broth (Fig 2, compare E, F to B, C.). Expressing the

respective genes *in trans* with 1 mM IPTG reversed the enhanced plaque formation phenotypes

in all cases (Fig 2E), with cloned *rnaA* greatly lowering the plaque formation efficiency of

Δ*rnaA* beyond the level of the WT control, agreeing with the findings with liquid infection (Figs.

2D and 2E, compare Δ*rnaA*/*rnaA* to the WT control).

We note that the gene directly upstream of *rnaA*, ACX60_RS02385 (*slt* [36]), was also

identified as a candidate susceptibility determinant associated with modestly decreased fitness

during Loki challenge (S1 Dataset). Exposure of an in-frame Δ*slt* mutant to Loki recapitulated

the modest hypersusceptibility defect (S1A, B Fig). Analysis of the Tn-seq mutant fitness

profiles within *slt* revealed a potentially biased distribution, with insertions causing major Loki

hypersusceptibility defects having an apparent bias toward the 3' end of the locus that is

proximal to *rnaA* (Fig 2A). The Δ*slt* mutant also showed slightly reduced levels of *rnaA*

expression (S1C Fig). Since phage susceptibility appears sensitive to *rnaA* expression level (Fig

2B, D, E), we cannot rule out a polar effect on *rnaA* in the altered phage susceptibility of the *slt*

mutant, and this mutant was not pursued further. These results underscore that candidate Loki

hypersusceptibility loci identified through Tn-seq show the predicted susceptibility phenotypes

when analyzed independently using engineered mutant strains.

**Analysis of Loki resistance mutations reveals capsular polysaccharide as phage receptor.**

Given the identification of capsule production genes as sites of mutations that increase fitness in

the presence of phage challenge (S1 Dataset), we tested the hypothesis that the capsule is

essential to the infective cycle of Loki by serving as a host receptor. To this end, we examined

the Tn-seq data associated with the entire K locus of ATCC 17978 (KL3) and additional genes

involved in capsule production. Gene-level Tn-seq fitness scores were available for 9 out of 20

KL3 genes, with the others being represented by too few transposon mutants due to their

essential involvement in late steps of capsule biosynthesis [43]. Transposon disruption of most of

the 9 permissive KL3 genes led to higher fitness (*W*) during Loki exposure relative to untreated

control (with 5 having non-FDR P < 0.05; Fig 3A). Disruption of *wzi*, an additional permissive

gene outside the K locus that encodes the lectin required for efficient surface binding of exported

capsular polysaccharide [51], also increased Tn-seq fitness during Loki challenge compared to

control, albeit without passing statistical cut-offs (P = 0.15). Since a subset of the glycan

building blocks encoded by the K locus are used for protein glycosylation by PglL [52], we also

examined the *pglL* Tn-seq phenotypes. In contrast to the capsule synthesis genes, transposon

insertions in *pglL* showed slightly decreased fitness during Loki challenge (Fig 1A). These

findings indicate that lesions leading to capsule deficiency are associated with enhanced fitness

in the face of Loki challenge, while the opposite is true for *pglL* mutations, which are known to

eliminate protein O-glycans but preserve capsule [52].

Building on these observations, we examined the requirement of capsule for Loki

infection by using (1) gene deletions, (2) additional clinical isolates of known capsule types, and

(3) spontaneous phage-resistant mutants. First, we analyzed deletions in ATCC 17978 of two

critical capsule synthesis genes: *itrA*, the initiating transferase required for use of phosphosugars

in both capsule synthesis and protein O-glycosylation and *wzc*, essential to assembly and export

of high-molecular weight capsular polysaccharide[29, 35, 52]. The effects of Δ*itrA* reflect loss of

both capsule and protein O-glycans, while Δ*wzc* reflects loss of capsule specifically. We note

that unlike *itrA*, *wzc* is essential in ATCC 17978 [35, 43]. Δ*wzc* could be analyzed, however, by

the parallel use of EGA106-27, which is a viable Δ*wzc* isolate harboring extragenic

compensatory mutations, and EGA260, its isogenic *wzc*-repaired derivative obtained by marker

rescue [35]. As predicted by Tn-seq, deletion of *itrA* led to increased growth during Loki

challenge in liquid (S2 Fig) and solid medium (Fig 3B), with plaque formation completely

blocked in the latter. Reintroduction of *itrA* reversed this defect, with the resulting susceptibility

to Loki dependent on the level of *itrA* induction (Fig 3B; S2 Fig). Loki was also completely

unable to form plaques on EGA106-27 (Wzc⁻), but formed plaques readily on EGA260 (Wzc⁺).

These plaques were larger and clearer than with the WT host, presumably a consequence of the

compensatory mutations rendering EGA260 hypersensitive to phage. The Loki non-susceptibility

of ItrA-deficient (capsule⁻/protein O-glycan⁻) and Wzc-deficient (capsule⁻/protein O-glycan⁺)

strains, and the hypersusceptibility detected with *pglL* transposon mutants (capsule⁺/protein O-

glycan⁻), emphasizes the importance of the polysaccharide capsule for phage infection.

Second, we found that Loki infects unrelated clinical isolates bearing the same capsule

type as ATCC 17978, but not isolates of a structurally distant type, consistent with capsule

providing a specific host receptor. ATCC 17961 and ATCC 19606, which both belong to the

same KL3 capsule type as ATCC 17978 [27, 53], supported Loki infection (Fig 3C). ATCC

19606 infection resulted in turbid lysis in areas where Loki was spotted at low dilutions without

detectable plaques at high dilutions (Fig 3C). A Δ*bfmS* mutant of ATCC 19606, however,

permitted high efficiency plaque formation by Loki (Fig 3C). By contrast, Loki was unable to

form plaques on strain AB5075, which produces a KL25-type capsule [54], regardless of

presence of a *bfmS* mutation (Fig 3C). These results highlight the importance of capsule type in

permitting Loki infection, and support a potential interaction between *bfmS* and capsule in

promoting phage hypersusceptibility.

As a third test of the model that capsule provides an essential receptor for Loki, we

isolated spontaneous Loki-resistant mutants. Three independent mutant ATCC 17978 colonies

forming within zones of confluent lysis were purified and analyzed by whole-genome

sequencing (Materials and Methods). Each strain had a single, slipped-strand mispairing

mutation within homopolymeric sites in the K locus (Fig 3D). Two had identical mutations in

*gtr6* ($440A_{9\rightarrow8}$), encoding a glycosyltransferase that adds a single sugar side-chain to the capsule

repeat unit [26] (S2 Table), and the third had a mutation in the *wzx* polysaccharide flippase

($377T_{9\rightarrow10}$) [29]. Each mutation caused a frameshift resulting in premature translation

termination. While deficiency of Wzx is expected to result in complete capsule loss, Gtr6

deficiency is known to preserve capsule but cause an altered structure lacking the side chain

sugar [26]. Intriguingly, *gtr6* deletion was recently shown to amplify virulence in ATCC 17978

in a bacteremic disease model, and a naturally occurring *gtr6* mutation (1008::IS*Aba13*) was

found to drive hypervirulence in a highly pathogenic clinical isolate (HUMC1) with a related K

locus (KL22) [26]. We confirmed that the ATCC 17978 *gtr6*440A$_{9\to8}$ mutant resists Loki plaque

formation, a phenotype reversed by expression of the WT allele in trans (Fig 3E) and

recapitulated by an in-frame *gtr6* deletion (Fig 3F). Consistent with previous findings that loss of

Gtr6 alters capsule structure but not amount [26], *gtr6*440A$_{9\to8}$ and Δ*gtr6* caused no change to

overall capsule levels (S3 Fig). Search of public databases revealed that the 440A$_{9\to8}$ allele is

present in a variety of *A. baumannii* clinical isolates bearing KL3 or 22 (S2 Table), consistent

with this site as a mutational hotspot in *gtr6*.  We also examined the *gtr6* locus from the genomes

of two KL22 strains within our *A. baumannii* clinical isolate collection, EGA10 and EGA65.

While the 440A$_{9\to8}$ allele was not present, we found a novel IS*Aba13* insertion near this site

(*gtr6*425::IS*Aba*13) in both strains, resembling the situation with HUMC1 [26]. EGA10 and

EGA65 were both resistant to Loki, but introducing *gtr6* (WT) from ATCC 17978 via plasmid

had the dramatic effect of engendering Loki susceptibility with efficient plaque formation (Fig

3E). These results suggest that *gtr6* is a hub for phage-evasion mutations, and point to a potential

relationship between phage evasion and the collateral evolution of increased virulence in *A.*

*baumannii* clinical strains.

To solidify the capsule receptor model, we examined the effect of *itrA*, *gtr6*, and *wzc*

mutations on phage adsorption (Materials and Methods). Each mutation resulted in loss of Loki

attachment, as indicated by high fractions of phage remaining in suspension after mixture with

bacteria (Fig 3G). Together these results support the conclusion that Loki uses the K3/K22

capsule as an essential receptor.

**BfmRS activity controls Loki susceptibility.**

Loki-hypersensitizing *bfmS* mutations are known to lead to capsule hyperproduction via

enhanced transcription of K locus genes [35], and could thereby also control susceptibility to

phage. We tested this model by analyzing mutations in *bfmR*, which are known to cause

decreased capsule production [35]. BfmR⁻ strains are thus predicted to show decreased

susceptibility to capsule-targeting phage. In the Tn-seq screen, *bfmR* transposon mutants indeed

had increased fitness during Loki challenge relative to controls, particularly at the higher MOIs,

in stark contrast to the dramatic hypersusceptibility of *bfmS* mutants (Fig 4A). To confirm the

altered phage susceptibility phenotype associated with *bfmR*, we used a knockout of the entire

TCS (Δ*bfmRS*), which phenocopies a single *bfmR* deletion [35, 36, 44] and shows reduced

capsule levels compared to WT and the hyper-encapsulated *bfmS** mutant (Fig 4B). As predicted

by Tn-seq, Δ*bfmRS* is impervious to Loki in both liquid infection and plaque formation assays

(Fig 4C, D). Given this non-susceptibility and the epistatic relationship of BfmR to BfmS, we

predicted that spontaneous phage-resistance mutants of hypersusceptible BfmS⁻ strains would

map to *bfmR*. To this end, mutant colonies derived from *bfmS** that formed within zones of lysis

by Loki were purified and analyzed by whole-genome sequencing. In two independent mutants,

the resistance mutations were indeed in *bfmR*, causing amino acid substitutions G100D and T85I.

Each of these mutations completely prevented Loki infection in either liquid (Fig 4C) or plaque

(Fig 4E) assays, despite the hypersusceptibility of the parent strain. G100 and T85 are highly

conserved and are near the BfmR dimerization interface and the predicted site of

phosphorylation, respectively, based on the published crystal structure [55, 56]. The mutations

are thus predicted to inactivate BfmR, with T85I, in particular, corresponding exactly to a

described mutation in the "switch" residue of *E. coli* CheY (T87I) that blocks its

phosphorylation-induced conformational changes and activation [57]. Consistent with loss of

function, both BfmR substitutions greatly reduced the phosphorylated (predicted active [44])

fraction of the protein (BfmR~P, Fig 4F) (Materials and Methods), and lowered capsule

production to levels equivalent to that seen with Δ*bfmRS* (Fig 4B). We note that in addition to

*bfmR*, other sequenced BfmS⁻ Loki-escape mutations again mapped to *gtr6*, including two

independent *gtr6*440A$_{9\rightarrow8}$ mutations and another, novel slipped-strand mispairing mutation

within a different, nearby poly-A tract (*gtr6*384A$_{8\rightarrow7}$) (Fig 3D, S2 Table).

The opposing effects on capsule levels and Loki susceptibility in BfmR⁻ (low capsule,

low infectivity) and BfmS⁻ (high capsule, high infectivity) mutants suggests that regulation of the

capsule receptor determines the differential sensitivity to Loki in each strain. In agreement with

this model, compared to WT, Loki showed reduced adsorption to Δ*bfmRS* and dramatically

increased adsorption to *bfmS** (slow vs rapid decline in the fraction of free phage, respectively,

Fig 4G). The increased adsorption and infectivity of Loki with BfmS⁻ bacteria still relied on an

intact K3 capsule, as deleting *itrA* or *gtr6* in a BfmS⁻ background led to dramatic loss of Loki

adsorption (S4A Fig) and killing (S4B Fig). These data thereby further support the notion that

the BfmRS-dependent boost in Loki susceptibility depends on capsule.

**Defects in RnaA and DsbA control Loki susceptibility through BfmRS-mediated capsule**

**regulation**

We reasoned that additional lesions uncovered in our genome-wide screen may owe their

altered Loki susceptibility phenotypes to modulation of the capsule receptor. We found that

knockouts of *rnaA* and *dsbA*, which lead to hypersusceptibility phenotypes, also each showed

increased capsule production (by ~50%, Fig 5A) and Loki surface adsorption (Fig 5B), similar to

the enhancements caused by the Loki-hypersensitizing *bfmS* mutation (Fig 4B, G). As expected,

augmented capsule production was reversed by expressing each WT allele in trans (S5A Fig).

Consistent with the capsule increase occurring as a result of a regulatory response, the Δ*rnaA* and

Δ*dsbA* mutants each showed increased transcription of capsule biosynthesis genes (*wza* and

*gnaA*, Fig 5C). Given the importance of the BfmRS capsule regulator in determining the

outcome of the Loki-*A. baumannii* interaction, and the identical capsule and phage susceptibility

phenotypes shared by *rnaA*, *dsbA*, and *bfmS* mutants, a prime candidate for mediating this

response is BfmRS. We thus defined the epistatic relationship of *bfmRS* with *rnaA* and *dsbA* in

determining Loki susceptibility. We found that combining Δ*rnaA* or Δ*dsbA* with Δ*bfmRS* led to

low capsule levels (at or below the level seen with Δ*bfmRS*, Fig 5A) and complete resistance to

phage attack in both liquid challenge and plaque formation assays (Fig 5D, E), mirroring the

Δ*bfmRS* single mutant phenotypes (Fig 4). The results are consistent with the *rnaA* and *dsbA*

mutations acting through BfmRS to cause enhancements to capsule and phage susceptibility.

We observed in previous complementation experiments that in-trans overexpression of

*rnaA* in a Δ*rnaA* strain led not only to a reversal of the mutant's hypersusceptibility and capsule

defects, but also to further decreases in susceptibility and capsule levels compared to the WT

control, effects not seen with the analogous *dsbA* reintroduction (Fig 2E; S5A, B Fig). We took

advantage of these effects to further probe the linkage between RnaA modulation of phage

susceptibility and BfmRS-mediated regulation of capsule. We predicted that the lowered Loki

susceptibility caused by high RnaA levels would be mediated through capsule down-regulation

by BfmRS. Indeed, we found that overexpressing *rnaA* in trans via 1mM IPTG in a WT strain

led to lower levels of capsule compared to the uninduced control (Fig 5F), and completely

prevented Loki plaque formation (Fig 5G). Both of these effects were blocked when *rnaA*

induction occurred in a *bfmS\** strain harboring the inactive version of the BfmS TCS receptor

(Fig 5F, G). In contrast to the results with *rnaA* and as predicted from Fig 2E, overexpressing

*dsbA* in a WT strain did not inhibit plaque formation (S5C Fig). These results are consistent with

the model that RnaA levels are sensed by BfmRS, leading to up-regulation (low RnaA) or down-

regulation (high RnaA) of capsule, the Loki receptor. Presence vs absence of DsbA function, but

not its relative levels, is likely similarly sensed.

Several additional lines of evidence support the model that defects associated with RnaA

and DsbA are sensed by BfmRS. First, we found that Δ*rnaA* and Δ*dsbA* both activate a BfmRS-

dependent transcriptional green fluorescent protein (GFP) reporter (18040p-GFP [36]), resulting

in ~6-fold increase in reporter levels (Fig 6A, left). Similar increases were not seen with a

different reporter, *adc*p-GFP, whose activity is BfmRS-independent [36] (Fig 6A, right), or with

18040p-GFP when Δ*rnaA* or Δ*dsbA* were combined with Δ*bfmRS* (Fig 6B). These results

indicate that reporter enhancement by RnaA and DsbA deficiency is specific and dependent on

BfmRS. Second, Δ*rnaA* and Δ*dsbA* each increased the fraction of BfmR~P by approximately 3-

fold, similar to the effect of *bfmS* deletion (Fig 6C). Third, analysis of previous genome-wide

fitness datasets [41] revealed that *rnaA*, *dsbA,* and *bfmS* mutants have highly correlated antibiotic

susceptibility profiles (phenotypic signatures), which are likely to reflect functional connectivity

between the genes (Fig 6D and S5D Fig, r = 0.58-0.67, p < 0.0005). The *bfmS*, *rnaA*, and *dsbA*

signatures were also positively correlated with those of other Dsb system homologs in *A.*

*baumannii* (DsbB, DsbD, and at least one of 3 DsbC paralogs, ACX60_RS16880), suggesting

that disulfide bond formation in general is linked to the functions of BfmS and RnaA. Mutations

in *dsbB*, *dsbD* and the same *dsbC* paralog (RS16880) also showed decreased Tn-seq fitness

during Loki challenge, albeit not to the degree seen with *dsbA* (Fig 6E). Fourth, our previous

RNA-seq data [36] showed that expression of several *dsb* genes as well as *rnaA* is under the

control of BfmRS, with BfmR$^-$ and BfmS$^-$ mutants having differential effects on their

transcription (S5E Fig). Finally, while the Δ*rnaA*, Δ*dsbA*, and Δ*bfmRS* individual mutants and

the Δ*rnaA* Δ*bfmRS* combined mutant do not show substantially impaired growth at 37°C, we

found that combining Δ*dsbA* and Δ*bfmRS* results in a synthetic growth defect (Fig 6F). This

result implies that BfmRS protects *A. baumannii* from DsbA deficiency and the consequent

defects in periplasmic protein disulfide bonds, consistent with a toxicity-stress response

relationship.

Like the disulfide bond formation enzymes, the relationship between RnaA, an RNase-

domain protein, and BfmRS activation was previously unknown. The ortholog of *A. baumannii*

RnaA in *E. coli* is RNase I, a periplasmic enzyme. RnaA also contains a signal peptide (Fig 7A),

and a fusion to a monomeric superfolder GFP (msGFP2) localized to discrete foci at the cell

periphery (Fig 7B,C), consistent with placement in the periplasm. *rnaA-msGFP2* reversed Δ*rnaA*

hypersusceptibility to Loki, mimicking the effect of the WT allele and indicating that the fusion

protein is functional (S5F Fig). RnaA is also similar to RNase I in its possession of eight cysteine

residues (Fig 7A) potentially facilitating internal disulfide bonds [58]. Unlike RNase I, however,

RnaA and its close orthologs within *Acinetobacter* and *Psychrobacter* completely lack the

catalytic histidines and other key residues in its two conserved active sites (CAS) (Fig 7A) [59],

as previously noted with the *A. baumannii* RnaA sequence [60]. These findings suggest that

RnaA may be a pseudoenzyme, whose levels and/or folding in the periplasm may influence

BfmS signaling and phage susceptibility.

**Factors influencing phage infection independent of capsule modulation**

While modulation of capsule is a major feature controlling Loki susceptibility, we found

that other hypersusceptibility determinants—including *gtrOC3*, *gtrOC4*, and *lon*—affect

vulnerability to Loki independently of regulating the capsule receptor. Deletions of these genes

resulted in growth defects during phage challenge in liquid medium that were characterized by

different kinetics compared to those of the hypo-encapsulated *rnaA*, *dsbA*, and *bfmS* mutants (Fig

2B, C), consistent with an alternate mechanism underlying their susceptibility defects.

Deletions of *gtrOC3* and *gtrOC4*, which encode predicted LOS outer core

glycosyltransferases [29], resulted in the expected enrichment of truncated forms of LOS (Fig

8A), without lowering the overall amount of LOS produced (Fig 8B). The deletions also showed

no change to the levels or size distribution of capsular polysaccharide compared to the WT

control (Fig 8C, D). Despite having WT levels of the capsule receptor, the Δ*gtrOC3* and

Δ*gtrOC4* mutants both showed similar enhancements to Loki adsorption, particularly at the later

10 and 20 minute time points (Fig 8F). As noted previously, additional LOS outer core synthesis

genes showed decreased fitness during Loki challenge compared to controls (Fig 8E, S1

Dataset). This suggests that a range of lesions affecting the LOS outer core can affect Loki

susceptibility, with *gtrOC3* and *gtrOC4* mutants likely leading to the most pronounced defects.

By combining Δ*gtrOC3* with additional mutations, we found that the enhanced Loki attachment

and susceptibility of the LOS outer core mutant still depended on initial binding to capsule. A

Δ*gtrOC3* Δ*itrA* double mutant showed complete lack of Loki adsorption (Fig 8G), and Δ*gtrOC3*

Δ*itrA* and Δ*gtrOC3* Δ*gtr6* double mutants showed full phage resistance in plaque formation and

liquid infection assays (Fig 8H, I). The full-length LOS outer core thus functions to inhibit the

ability of Loki to efficiently adhere to and infect *A. baumannii*, likely after the initial requisite

interaction with capsule.

Deleting *lon* caused no significant change to capsular polysaccharide levels (S6A Fig),

consistent with previous observations [61], and had no effect on LOS quantity or banding pattern

(S7E, F Fig). As predicted from the lack of major changes to the key surface components, Loki

adsorption was not significantly enhanced with the Δ*lon* mutant vs WT; rather, adsorption

appeared lowered at the early 5-min time point compared to WT (S6B Fig). Lon protease may

thus be affecting susceptibility to Loki by influencing a lytic cycle step occurring after surface

interactions.

We considered the possibility that the altered Loki susceptibility of mutants showing

altered capsule production could also involve alterations to LOS. We therefore examined LOS

from the *bfmRS*, *bfmS*, *rnaA* and *dsbA* deletion mutants. LOS from Δ*bfmRS*, Δ*rnaA* and Δ*dsbA*

showed no differences in banding pattern or total levels compared to LOS from WT (S7A-D

Fig). With Δ*bfmS*, while overall LOS amounts were not significantly altered, we observed the

appearance of a single, novel band migrating slightly faster than the full-length LOS (S7A Fig,

arrowhead). This band may represent an LOS intermediate, although it is slower migrating and

much less abundant than the major intermediate band enriched in Δ*gtrOC3* and Δ*gtrOC4*

mutants. Thus, while it is likely that the capsule regulatory mutants owe their altered Loki

susceptibility principally to changes in the abundance of capsule, a contribution of altered LOS

to the dramatic hypersusceptibility of *bfmS* mutant strains cannot yet be ruled out.

**Discussion**

Bacteriophage show promise as an alternative therapy against *A. baumannii*, but the

innate mechanisms controlling vulnerability to phage attack are poorly understood. In this work

we have globally analyzed the determinants of defense against an *A. baumannii* phage (Loki)

using Tn-seq. This approach led to the identification of capsule as the adsorption receptor and the

finding that capsule regulation by BfmRS and novel associated proteins allow control of phage

predation. Alteration of capsule structure through naturally occurring glycosyltransferase

mutations was also found to provide phage resistance. Many characterized *A. baumannii* phages

depend on specific host capsular polysaccharides, and capsule modulation is connected to altered

virulence [26, 35-38]. The capsule-linked susceptibility mechanisms may thus have potentially

broad relevance for combatting the opportunistic pathogen. Additional determinants of

susceptibility both at and downstream of the cell surface were also uncovered, identifying

multiple points of vulnerability that may be targeted to potentiate phage attack.

That capsule structural modulation mediates phage escape has implications for strategies

to control the evolution of phage resistance and virulence. Mutations in *gtr6*, which determines

the addition of N-acetyl-β-D-glucosamine branches on the K3/K22 capsule backbone [26], are

known to be naturally occurring in clinical isolates and to increase virulence [26]. Our findings

thus suggest that phage, in the environment or during therapy, may impose selective pressures

that could facilitate the evolution of altered capsules with the undesired collateral effect of

increased pathogenicity. Notably, a repeat region within another predicted side-chain

glycosyltransferase, *gtr76*, was a site of mutations in phage-resistant K116 *A. baumannii* isolated

from a patient receiving phage [23], although effects on capsule structure were not determined.

Mutational capsule loss is an additional, well-described phage escape mechanism usually

associated with lowered *in vivo* fitness and other trade-offs, making this form of resistance an

appealing target of sequential or combination therapies exploiting the trade-offs [18, 62]. Our

data, and potentially those of [23], argue that capsule-loss mutations should not be considered a

universally predictable path of phage resistance and that capsule-altered mutants with maintained

or enhanced virulence should also be considered. This may be especially relevant when targeting

particular capsules, such as K3/K22. Phage with broadened specificity for both Gtr6$^+$ and Gtr6$^-$

capsules may allow targeting of K3/K22 *A. baumannii* while blocking the rise of undesired

mutants. In sum, these observations support the notion that prior research into resistance

mechanisms can enhance the predictability of bacterial evolution during phage exposure and may

allow improvements to the therapeutic uses of phage [18, 23, 62].

Controlling the abundance of capsule is also tightly connected to modulation of

virulence, and we show here that this control has the additional effect of modulating phage

susceptibility, with implications for developing phage-drug synergies [62-64] and for

understanding variable capsule production in the pathogen. *A. baumannii* regulates capsule

production through multiple mechanisms, including the BfmRS stress response and stochastic

phenotypic switching systems, and the regulatory states associated with higher capsule

production show increased virulence [35-38].  We show that capsule-overproducing mutants

hyperactive in BfmRS cause increased adsorption to and killing by capsule-targeting phage.

Future work will test the possibility that antimicrobials that increase capsule [35] could

potentiate killing by capsule-targeting phages, while the opposite may be seen with antagonists

of capsule production. Chemical inhibitors of DsbA function [46, 65], which would amplify

capsule through BfmRS signaling while impairing envelope proteins generally, represents an

attractive candidate class of small-molecule phage potentiators. Regarding the basis of variable

capsule production, our results raise the possibility that environmental phage are among the

putative selective pressures that enrich low-capsule (translucent) variants arising from stochastic

phenotype switching [37].

By examining determinants of Loki susceptibility that modulate capsule through BfmRS,

this work provides new leads into the signals detected by the virulence-resistance regulator,

which have remained poorly defined. We reveal that changes in DsbA and RnaA generate

signals sensed by the TCS, leading to changes in the putative active BfmR~P component and

transcriptional control of biosynthesis of capsule, the phage receptor. The finding that lesions

affecting periplasmic disulfide bonds generate a stress-response has a parallel in the *E. coli* Cpx

system [66]. *E. coli dsbA* mutants activate Cpx [67], which in turn regulates *dsbA* in a feedback

loop [68, 69]. In *A. baumannii*, expression of *dsb* genes as well as oxidative stress response

genes is modulated by BfmRS [36, 44]. These findings reinforce the view that BfmRS has a

subset of activities analogous to those of Cpx [24]. Interestingly, the *E. coli* ortholog of *A.*

*baumannii* RnaA, RNase I, is a known DsbA substrate [58], suggesting a potential connection

between the two major targets in this study that signal through BfmRS to modulate capsule and

Loki susceptibility. RNase I is a non-essential, periplasmic, broad-substrate endonuclease that

may serve to catabolize extracellular RNA and generate nucleotide second messengers [59, 70,

71]. Unlike RNase I and other RNase T2 family members, *A. baumannii* RnaA lacks key

catalytic site residues, making it a probable pseudonuclease. RnaA retains eight cysteines and

envelope localization, however, and its levels regulate capsule through BfmRS. A possible

interpretation is that *Acinetobacter* has coopted its T2 RNase to function as a sensor of disulfide

bond defects and/or oxidative damage in the cell envelope. It is worth noting that a sensor of

disulfide bond defects has been reported in *E. coli*, NlpE, which transmits signals to Cpx [67]. *A.*

*baumannii* has an NlpE ortholog but it lacks the domain implicated in disulfide sensing [72],

suggesting that the pathogen uses a distinct strategy to monitor periplasmic disulfides. Future

work will focus on investigating this strategy and defining the connections between RnaA,

oxidative folding stress, and BfmS in altering and protecting the *A. baumannii* cell envelope.

The LOS outer core is a second cell surface structure that determines vulnerability to

Loki attack. Outer core glycosyltransferase mutants producing truncated LOS had increased

adsorption and sensitivity to Loki without altered capsule levels. Fully-glycosylated LOS may

thus act as a steric barrier decreasing phage binding efficiency. This barrier may impede

interactions with membrane-proximal parts of the capsule, or, alternatively, with internal LOS

sugars providing a putative secondary receptor, as seen with other phage-bacteria pairs [73].

Mutation of *lpxA*, which completely prevents LOS production, was reported to also block Loki

adsorption [40]. This could be explained by the loss of the putative secondary receptor, and/or by

loss of capsule when early LOS synthesis is blocked [74], although phage susceptibility in total

or deeply truncated LOS mutants remain to be examined in depth.

While many high-ranking hits in our screen emphasized control of the cell surface in

defining sensitivity to phage infection, candidate downstream protective mechanisms were also

identified. Deficiency of the Lon protease conferred phage hypersusceptibility without largescale

changes to capsule or LOS. This stands in contrast to *lon* mutants in *E. coli*, which show

exopolysaccharide overproduction and broad phage resistance [75]. A DNA-modifying

methyltransferase, AamA [49], was also identified as a candidate Loki susceptibility

determinant. The apparent emphasis of the Tn-seq hits on surface binding vs downstream

infection steps may reflect a number of factors, including the importance of the initial cell

surface interaction, the screen format focusing on a period of logarithmic bacterial growth, and

the particular phage-bacterium pair studied. While intracellular antiviral modules, such as

abortive infection or other systems [76], likely affect *A. baumannii*-phage interactions, they are

variably present across strains [77] and their existence in ATCC 17978 is uncertain. Additional

screens with different phage-bacterial pairs may help expand the known repertoire of

susceptibility mechanisms and identify the most conserved elements of intrinsic anti-phage

defense in the species.

In conclusion, we have analyzed the genome-wide determinants of susceptibility to

bacteriophage in *A. baumannii* and identified multiple mechanisms that modulate vulnerability to

phage infection, including several linked to altered virulence. Our results expose an Achilles'

heel in a pathogenic strategy of *A. baumannii*, capsule hyperproduction, with implications for

developing improved antimicrobial therapies involving phage. Future work will examine the

mechanisms of cell surface control and the use of combination antimicrobials to manipulate

these mechanisms and potentiate killing of the pathogen.

**Materials and Methods**

**Strains and growth conditions.** Bacterial strains used in this work are described in S1 Table. *A.*

*baumannii* strains were derivatives of ATCC 17978 unless otherwise noted. Bacteria were

cultured in Lysogeny Broth (10 g/L tryptone, 5 g/L yeast extract, 10 g/L NaCl) (LB). Cultures

were incubated at 37°C in all cases except phage challenge experiments, which used 30°C unless

otherwise indicated. 5mM $CaCl_2$ was added to LB medium in phage challenge experiments.

Liquid cultures were aerated with orbital shaking or with rotation via roller drum, with growth

monitored by measuring optical density (OD) as absorbance at 600nm ($A_{600}$). LB agar (1.5%

w/v) plates were supplemented with antibiotics as needed (tetracycline [Tc] at 10 μg/ml;

carbenicillin [Cb] at 50-100 μg/ml, kanamycin [Km] at 10-20 μg/ml, gentamicin [Gm] at 10

μg/ml) or sucrose (10% w/v) (Sigma). Isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) (Sigma) was added

to cultures at 0.1-1 mM for induction of P(IPTG)-controlled constructs. Super optimal broth with

catabolite repression (SOC) was used in Tn-seq library preparation. Bacteriophage Loki

(vB_AbaS_Loki)[40] (gift from Dann Turner) was used in this study.

**Molecular cloning and strain construction.** Oligonucleotide primers and plasmids used in this

study are listed in Table S1. Most sequences were PCR-amplified from the *A. baumannii* 17978

genome and cloned in pUC18 before subcloning to destination plasmids. Clones were verified by

DNA sequencing (Genewiz/Azenta). Gene deletions were constructed in-frame by allele

exchange with cloned ~1kb homology arms using conditionally replicating vectors pSR47S or

pJB4648 and sucrose counterselection as described [35]. Deletion mutants were verified by

verified by colony PCR. For complementation and overexpression experiments, sequences were

subcloned downstream of the *lacI*q-T5*lac*P module [P(IPTG)] on plasmid pYDE152. Plasmids

were delivered into *A. baumannii* by electroporation.

**Phage-challenge Tn-seq.** Stocks of *A. baumannii* ATCC 17978 *Mariner* transposon mutants

constructed previously [43] were thawed, revived for 1 hour in LB, and then back diluted to

OD=0.005 (~$2.5 \times 10^6$ CFU/ml) in LB with 5mM $CaCl_2$ containing Loki or no phage (uninfected

control). Cultures were incubated at 37°C to OD ~1 (about 8 doublings). 10 banks of ~20,000

mutants per bank were analyzed independently. Two phage treatment arms were used (MOI 1 or

1.5, and MOI of 10 or 15). Genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted (Qiagen DNeasy), quantified

by a SYBR green I (Invitrogen) microtiter assay, and tagmented (Illumina Nextera), followed by

amplification of transposon-adjacent DNA to form Illumina libraries as described [41, 42].

Libraries were size-selected (Pippin HT, 275bp-600bp) and sequenced (single-end 50 bp) using

primer mar512 [41] on a HiSeq 2500 with high output V4 chemistry at the Tufts University

Genomics Core Facility (TUCF-Genomics).

Sequencing read data were processed, mapped to the ATCC 17978 chromosome

(NZ_CP012004) and pAB3 (NZ_CP012005), and used to calculate individual transposon mutant

fitness based on mutant vs population-wide expansion in each condition using our published

pipeline and formula [41]. Fitness values were standardized across conditions by normalizing to

the average value of insertions in neutral sites (pseudogenes and endogenous transposon-related

genes) within the genome predicted to have no effects on growth. Gene-level average fitness ($W$)

was calculated by averaging the fitness values of all individual mutants in a given condition

across all pools having a transposon in the first 90% of the gene. Difference in gene-level fitness

between phage-challenge and control conditions was deemed significant if it had a magnitude

>10%, derived from n $\geq$ 3 individual mutants, and had q-value <0.05 in unpaired, two-tailed t

test with FDR controlled by the Benjamini, Krieger, and Yekutieli two-stage step-up method

(Prism 8). Fitness values at genomic loci were visualized with Integrative Genomics Viewer [78]

after aggregation across all transposon mutant libraries via the SingleFitness script [79].

**Phage-challenge assays.**

*i. Liquid infection assay.* Bacterial cultures were diluted to OD=0.003 in LB with 5mM CaCl$_2$

and combined with Loki at an MOI=1 or with no phage (uninfected control). Growth was

monitored during incubation at 30°C in microtiter plates in an Epoch 2 or Synergy H1M plate

reader (Biotek).

*ii. Plaque Formation Assay.* Bacterial cultures were diluted to OD=1 in 100μl of LB, combined

with 5ml of top agar (LB with 5mM $CaCl_2$ and 0.4% w/v agar), and poured onto LB agar plates.

10-fold serial dilutions of phage in Saline-magnesium diluent plus gelatin (SMG) buffer (50mM

Tris-HCl, PH=7.5, 100mM NaCl, 8mM MgSO4, 0.01% (W/V) gelatin) [80] were spotted onto

the top agar, and plates were incubated at 30°C for 16 hours. Plaques were imaged with white

light transillumination using a Chemidoc MP (Bio-Rad).

*iii. Phage adsorption assay.* Host bacterial cultures were diluted in LB with 5mM $CaCl_2$ to

OD=0.05 and grown at 30°C to OD=0.1. Loki was added at MOI=0.1 followed by incubation at

30°C. At regular time intervals, 100 μl were sampled and mixed with 100μl SMG buffer

saturated with chloroform. After centrifugation (8000xg, 5 mins), unabsorbed phage within

supernatants was enumerated by plaque formation assay. The fraction of free phage was

calculated as the number of plaque-forming units (pfu) at each time point divided by the total

input pfu.

**Whole-genome sequencing of spontaneous phage-nonsusceptibility mutants and clinical**

**isolates**. Bacterial mutants (derived from *A. baumannii* ATCC 17978 WT or BfmS⁻) forming

colonies within areas of Loki lysis/plaquing were purified on LB agar. gDNA was extracted and

quantified as above. Illumina libraries were prepared by a modified Nextera method [36] and

sequenced (single-end 100) on a HiSeq 2500 at TUCF-Genomics. Variants were identified from

sequencing reads by Breseq as described [81, 82]. Mutations were verified by PCR amplification

and Sanger sequencing (Genewiz/Azenta). EGA10 and EGA65 genomes were sequenced and

hybrid assembled at SeqCenter using sequencing data from Illumina (NextSeq 2000, 2x151bp)

and Oxford Nanopore platforms. The genome assemblies were used for species confirmation and

MLST strain type determination (both ST2) [83], and determination of K locus type (both KL22)

[84].

**Capsular polysaccharide analysis.** Capsular polysaccharide was extracted from processed cell

lysates and analyzed by alcian blue following described protocols [35]. Samples were collected

from early post-exponential phase cultures (OD 2-3) and centrifuged.  Cell pellets were

resuspended with lysis buffer [60mM Tris, pH 8; 10mM $MgCl_2$; 50μM $CaCl_2$; 20μl/ml DNase

(NEB) and RNase cocktail (Amersham); and 3mg/ml lysozyme (Sigma)] and incubated at 37°C

for 1 hour. Samples were further processed by 3 liquid nitrogen/37°C freeze-thaw cycles and

stepwise treatments with additional DNase and RNase (37°C, 30 minutes), 0.5% SDS (37°C, 30

minutes), and Proteinase K (NEB, 60°C, 1 hour). Samples were combined with SDS-PAGE

loading buffer, boiled for 5 minutes, and separated on 4-20% Bio-Rad TGX Tris-glycine gels.

Capsule was stained with Alcian blue (Sigma), imaged via white light transillumination

(ChemiDoc MP), and quantified using Image Lab (Bio-Rad).

**LOS analysis.** LOS was analyzed via Tricine gel electrophoresis of cell lysates as described

[41]. Log phase bacteria were washed with PBS, resuspended with Novex tricine SDS sample

buffer (Invitrogen, 50μl 1X buffer per 0.5 OD units of bacteria), and boiled for 15 minutes.

Samples were separated on Novex 16% tricine gels (Invitrogen) and stained with Pro-Q Emerald

300 (Invitrogen). Gels were imaged using UV transillumination (ChemiDoc MP). Total protein

detected with subsequent Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining was used for sample normalization.

Relative values were calculated by dividing each normalized LOS value by the total normalized

LOS levels in WT [41].

**Gene expression analysis.** For RT-qPCR, RNA was extracted from log phase bacteria (Qiagen

RNeasy), DNase treated (Turbo DNA-free kit, Invitrogen), and reverse transcribed (Superscript

II Reverse Transcriptase, Invitrogen). cDNA served as template in qPCR with gene-specific

primers (S1 Table) and SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) using a StepOnePlus

system according to the manufacturer's instructions. The amplification efficiency for each pair

was tested by standard curves using serial diluted cDNA, and found to be > 97% in each case.

Fold change in gene expression was calculated by using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method with *rpoC* as

endogenous control [36]. qPCR was performed in technical duplicate from biological triplicate

samples. Samples lacking reverse transcriptase were used to confirm absence of signal from

residual gDNA. For fluorescence reporter analysis, bacteria were harvested from OD=1 cultures,

resuspended in PBS, and transferred to a black, clear bottom microplate (Corning 3631).

Fluorescence intensity (excitation at 480 nm/emission at 520 nm) and $A_{600}$ were measured with a

Synergy H1M (Biotek). Density-normalized fluorescence units were calculated as fluorescence

intensity/$A_{600}$.

**Analysis of BfmR phosphorylation.** Phosphate affinity electrophoresis was performed

following published protocols [85]. 1 OD of mid-log phase bacteria were resuspended in 55µl of

BugBuster reagent with 0.1% (v/v) Lysonase (Novagen). Lysis was assisted by repeated

pipetting. 18µl of 4X SDS sample buffer (200mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 8% SDS, 0.4%

Bromophenol blue, 40% Glycerol) was added, and lysates were electrophoresed in Phos-Tag gels

(12% acrylamide, 50µM Phos-tag acrylamide, and 100 µM $MnCl_2$) at 30mA. After

electrophoresis, gels were incubated for 10 minutes in 1X transfer buffer (50mM Tris, 380mM

Glycine, 0.1% SDS, 20% Methanol) with 1mM EDTA to remove manganese, followed by

additional 10 minutes in transfer buffer without EDTA. Proteins were transferred to PVDF

membranes (100V, 1hr). Total protein was detected in the resulting blots with SYPRO Ruby blot

stain (Invitrogen) using the manufacturer's instructions and a GelDoc EZ (Bio-Rad). Blots were

incubated in blocking buffer (5% Milk in TBS-T, 1 hour, room temperature) and probed with

rabbit anti-BfmR antiserum (1:30,000 or 1:90,000 in blocking buffer, overnight at 4C) and goat

anti-rabbit-HRP secondary antibody (Invitrogen, 1:5,000 in blocking buffer, 1 hour at room

temperature). Blots were washed 3x10 minutes in TBS-T before and after incubation with

secondary antibody, and washed 10min in TBS before developing. Signals were detected with

Western Lightning Plus-ECL (Perkin Elmer) and a ChemiDoc MP, and quantified using Image

Lab. Rabbit anti-BfmR antiserum was generated by immunizing a rabbit with purified

recombinant BfmR according to standard protocols (Pocono Rabbit Farm and Laboratory).

**Phenotypic signature analysis.** Tn-seq phenotypic signatures belonging to *bfmS*, *rnaA*, and *dsb*

paralogs in *A. baumannii* were extracted from previous genome-wide datasets [41]. Hierarchical

clustering was performed by the average linkage method using Qlucore Omics Explorer (3.7).

Pearson correlation analysis was performed using Prism 9.

**Microscopy.** Log phase JBA258 bacteria harboring the *rnaA-msGFP2* construct were

immobilized on agarose pads (1% in PBS). Fluorescence micrographs were acquired with a

100x/1.4 phase-contrast objective on a Zeiss Axio Observer 7 microscope using a 475-nm LED

light source (Colibri 7) and filter set 92 HE.

**Acknowledgements**

We thank Dann Turner for the gift of phage Loki; Isabella Bailey, Sarah Hudson, and Wenwen

Huo for technical assistance; and members of the Geisinger lab for helpful discussions.

**References**

1.      Doi Y, Murray GL, Peleg AY. Acinetobacter baumannii: evolution of antimicrobial
resistance-treatment options. Semin Respir Crit Care Med. 2015;36(1):85-98. doi: 10.1055/s-
0034-1398388. PMID: 25643273.
2.      Weiner-Lastinger LM, Abner S, Edwards JR, Kallen AJ, Karlsson M, Magill SS, et al.
Antimicrobial-resistant pathogens associated with adult healthcare-associated infections:
Summary of data reported to the National Healthcare Safety Network, 2015-2017. Infect Control
Hosp Epidemiol. 2019:1-18. Epub 2019/11/27. doi: 10.1017/ice.2019.296. PMID: 31767041.
3.      CDC. COVID-19: U.S. Impact on Antimicrobial Resistance, Special Report 2022.
Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, 2022.
4.      Rangel K, Chagas TPG, De-Simone SG. Acinetobacter baumannii Infections in Times of
COVID-19 Pandemic. Pathogens. 2021;10(8). Epub 2021/08/29. doi:
10.3390/pathogens10081006. PMID: 34451470.
5.      Nowak J, Zander E, Stefanik D, Higgins PG, Roca I, Vila J, et al. High incidence of
pandrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii isolates collected from patients with ventilator-
associated pneumonia in Greece, Italy and Spain as part of the MagicBullet clinical trial. J
Antimicrob Chemother. 2017;72(12):3277-82. Epub 2017/09/30. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkx322.
PMID: 28961773.
6.      Qureshi ZA, Hittle LE, O'Hara JA, Rivera JI, Syed A, Shields RK, et al. Colistin-resistant
Acinetobacter baumannii: beyond carbapenem resistance. Clin Infect Dis. 2015;60(9):1295-303.
Epub 2015/01/30. doi: 10.1093/cid/civ048. PMID: 25632010.
7.      Ghaznavi-Rad E, Komijani M, Moradabadi A, Rezaei M, Shaykh-Baygloo N. Isolation of
a lytic bacteriophage against extensively drug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii infections and
its dramatic effect in rat model of burn infection. J Clin Lab Anal. 2022;36(7):e24497. Epub
2022/06/17. doi: 10.1002/jcla.24497. PMID: 35708005.
8.      Hua Y, Luo T, Yang Y, Dong D, Wang R, Wang Y, et al. Phage Therapy as a Promising
New Treatment for Lung Infection Caused by Carbapenem-Resistant Acinetobacter baumannii in
Mice. Front Microbiol. 2017;8:2659. Epub 2018/01/30. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02659. PMID:
29375524.
9.      Kusradze I, Karumidze N, Rigvava S, Dvalidze T, Katsitadze M, Amiranashvili I, et al.
Characterization and Testing the Efficiency of Acinetobacter baumannii Phage vB-GEC_Ab-M-
G7 as an Antibacterial Agent. Front Microbiol. 2016;7:1590. Epub 2016/10/21. doi:
10.3389/fmicb.2016.01590. PMID: 27757110.
10.     Wang Y, Mi Z, Niu W, An X, Yuan X, Liu H, et al. Intranasal treatment with
bacteriophage rescues mice from Acinetobacter baumannii-mediated pneumonia. Future
Microbiol. 2016;11:631-41. Epub 2016/03/02. doi: 10.2217/fmb.16.11. PMID: 26925593.
11.     Wu M, Hu K, Xie Y, Liu Y, Mu D, Guo H, et al. A Novel Phage PD-6A3, and Its
Endolysin Ply6A3, With Extended Lytic Activity Against Acinetobacter baumannii. Front
Microbiol. 2018;9:3302. Epub 2019/01/29. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.03302. PMID: 30687281.
12.     Regeimbal JM, Jacobs AC, Corey BW, Henry MS, Thompson MG, Pavlicek RL, et al.
Personalized Therapeutic Cocktail of Wild Environmental Phages Rescues Mice from
Acinetobacter baumannii Wound Infections. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2016;60(10):5806-
16. Epub 2016/07/20. doi: 10.1128/AAC.02877-15. PMID: 27431214.
13.     Nir-Paz R, Gelman D, Khouri A, Sisson BM, Fackler J, Alkalay-Oren S, et al. Successful
Treatment of Antibiotic-resistant, Poly-microbial Bone Infection With Bacteriophages and

Antibiotics Combination. Clin Infect Dis. 2019;69(11):2015-8. Epub 2019/03/15. doi:
10.1093/cid/ciz222. PMID: 30869755.
14.    Rao S, Betancourt-Garcia M, Kare-Opaneye YO, Swierczewski BE, Bennett JW, Horne
B, et al. Critically Ill Patient with Multidrug-Resistant Acinetobacter baumannii Respiratory
Infection Successfully Treated with Intravenous and Nebulized Bacteriophage Therapy.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2022;66(1):e0082421. Epub 2021/10/19. doi:
10.1128/AAC.00824-21. PMID: 34662188.
15.    Schooley RT, Biswas B, Gill JJ, Hernandez-Morales A, Lancaster J, Lessor L, et al.
Development and Use of Personalized Bacteriophage-Based Therapeutic Cocktails To Treat a
Patient with a Disseminated Resistant Acinetobacter baumannii Infection. Antimicrob Agents
Chemother. 2017;61(10). Epub 2017/08/16. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00954-17. PMID: 28807909.
16.    Chen LK, Chang JC, Chu HT, Chen YT, Jiang HL, Wang LS, et al. Preoptimized phage
cocktail for use in aerosols against nosocomial transmission of carbapenem-resistant
Acinetobacter baumannii: A 3-year prospective intervention study. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.
2022;236:113476. Epub 2022/04/04. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2022.113476. PMID: 35367880.
17.    Gordillo Altamirano FL, Barr JJ. Phage Therapy in the Postantibiotic Era. Clin Microbiol
Rev. 2019;32(2). Epub 2019/01/18. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00066-18. PMID: 30651225.
18.    Gordillo Altamirano FL, Kostoulias X, Subedi D, Korneev D, Peleg AY, Barr JJ. Phage-
antibiotic combination is a superior treatment against Acinetobacter baumannii in a preclinical
study. EBioMedicine. 2022;80:104045. Epub 2022/05/11. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2022.104045.
PMID: 35537278.
19.    Wang X, Loh B, Gordillo Altamirano F, Yu Y, Hua X, Leptihn S. Colistin-phage
combinations decrease antibiotic resistance in Acinetobacter baumannii via changes in envelope
architecture. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2021;10(1):2205-19. Epub 2021/11/06. doi:
10.1080/22221751.2021.2002671. PMID: 34736365.
20.    Abdelkader K, Gutierrez D, Latka A, Boeckaerts D, Drulis-Kawa Z, Criel B, et al. The
Specific Capsule Depolymerase of Phage PMK34 Sensitizes Acinetobacter baumannii to Serum
Killing. Antibiotics (Basel). 2022;11(5). Epub 2022/05/29. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics11050677.
PMID: 35625321.
21.    Gordillo Altamirano F, Forsyth JH, Patwa R, Kostoulias X, Trim M, Subedi D, et al.
Bacteriophage-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii are resensitized to antimicrobials. Nat
Microbiol. 2021;6(2):157-61. Epub 2021/01/13. doi: 10.1038/s41564-020-00830-7. PMID:
33432151.
22.    Popova AV, Shneider MM, Arbatsky NP, Kasimova AA, Senchenkova SN, Shashkov
AS, et al. Specific Interaction of Novel Friunavirus Phages Encoding Tailspike Depolymerases
with Corresponding Acinetobacter baumannii Capsular Types. J Virol. 2020. Epub 2020/12/04.
doi: 10.1128/JVI.01714-20. PMID: 33268523.
23.    Liu M, Hernandez-Morales A, Clark J, Le T, Biswas B, Bishop-Lilly KA, et al.
Comparative genomics of Acinetobacter baumannii and therapeutic bacteriophages from a
patient undergoing phage therapy. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):3776. Epub 2022/07/01. doi:
10.1038/s41467-022-31455-5. PMID: 35773283.
24.    Geisinger E, Huo W, Hernandez-Bird J, Isberg RR. Acinetobacter baumannii: Envelope
Determinants That Control Drug Resistance, Virulence, and Surface Variability. Annu Rev
Microbiol. 2019;73:481-506. Epub 2019/06/18. doi: 10.1146/annurev-micro-020518-115714.
PMID: 31206345.

25.    Oliveira H, Mendes A, Fraga AG, Ferreira A, Pimenta AI, Mil-Homens D, et al. K2
Capsule Depolymerase Is Highly Stable, Is Refractory to Resistance, and Protects Larvae and
Mice from Acinetobacter baumannii Sepsis. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2019;85(17). Epub
2019/06/23. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00934-19. PMID: 31227554.
26.    Talyansky Y, Nielsen TB, Yan J, Carlino-Macdonald U, Di Venanzio G, Chakravorty S,
et al. Capsule carbohydrate structure determines virulence in Acinetobacter baumannii. PLoS
Pathog. 2021;17(2):e1009291. Epub 2021/02/03. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1009291. PMID:
33529209.
27.    Giguere D. Surface polysaccharides from Acinetobacter baumannii: Structures and
syntheses. Carbohydr Res. 2015;418:29-43. Epub 2015/11/05. doi: 10.1016/j.carres.2015.10.001.
PMID: 26531136.
28.    Koeleman JG, van der Bijl MW, Stoof J, Vandenbroucke-Grauls CM, Savelkoul PH.
Antibiotic resistance is a major risk factor for epidemic behavior of Acinetobacter baumannii.
Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2001;22(5):284-8. Epub 2001/06/29. doi: ICHE6806 [pii]
10.1086/501901. PMID: 11428438.
29.    Kenyon JJ, Hall RM. Variation in the complex carbohydrate biosynthesis loci of
Acinetobacter baumannii genomes. PLoS One. 2013;8(4):e62160. Epub 2013/04/25. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0062160. PMID: 23614028.
30.    Kenyon JJ, Hall RM. Updated Analysis of the Surface Carbohydrate Gene Clusters in a
Diverse Panel of Acinetobacter baumannii Isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother.
2022;66(1):e0180721. Epub 2021/10/19. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01807-21. PMID: 34662195.
31.    Shashkov AS, Kenyon JJ, Senchenkova SN, Shneider MM, Popova AV, Arbatsky NP, et
al. Acinetobacter baumannii K27 and K44 capsular polysaccharides have the same K unit but
different structures due to the presence of distinct wzy genes in otherwise closely related K gene
clusters. Glycobiology. 2016;26(5):501-8. Epub 2015/12/30. doi: 10.1093/glycob/cwv168.
PMID: 26711304.
32.    Huang X, Li X, An H, Wang J, Ding M, Wang L, et al. Capsule type defines the
capability of Klebsiella pneumoniae in evading Kupffer cell capture in the liver. PLoS Pathog.
2022;18(8):e1010693. Epub 2022/08/02. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1010693. PMID: 35914009.
33.    Hathaway LJ, Grandgirard D, Valente LG, Tauber MG, Leib SL. Streptococcus
pneumoniae capsule determines disease severity in experimental pneumococcal meningitis. Open
Biol. 2016;6(3). Epub 2016/03/25. doi: 10.1098/rsob.150269. PMID: 27009189.
34.    Melin M, Trzcinski K, Meri S, Kayhty H, Vakevainen M. The capsular serotype of
Streptococcus pneumoniae is more important than the genetic background for resistance to
complement. Infect Immun. 2010;78(12):5262-70. Epub 2010/09/22. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00740-10.
PMID: 20855513.
35.    Geisinger E, Isberg RR. Antibiotic modulation of capsular exopolysaccharide and
virulence in Acinetobacter baumannii. PLoS Pathog. 2015;11(2):e1004691. Epub 2015/02/14.
doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004691. PMID: 25679516.
36.    Geisinger E, Mortman NJ, Vargas-Cuebas G, Tai AK, Isberg RR. A global regulatory
system links virulence and antibiotic resistance to envelope homeostasis in Acinetobacter
baumannii. PLoS Pathog. 2018;14(5):e1007030. Epub 2018/05/26. doi:
10.1371/journal.ppat.1007030. PMID: 29795704.
37.    Chin CY, Tipton KA, Farokhyfar M, Burd EM, Weiss DS, Rather PN. A high-frequency
phenotypic switch links bacterial virulence and environmental survival in Acinetobacter

baumannii. Nat Microbiol. 2018;3(5):563-9. Epub 2018/04/26. doi: 10.1038/s41564-018-0151-5.
PMID: 29693659.
38.    Tierney ARP, Chin CY, Weiss DS, Rather PN. A LysR-Type Transcriptional Regulator
Controls Multiple Phenotypes in Acinetobacter baumannii. Front Cell Infect Microbiol.
2021;11:778331. Epub 2021/11/23. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2021.778331. PMID: 34805000.
39.    Tipton KA, Chin CY, Farokhyfar M, Weiss DS, Rather PN. Role of Capsule in
Resistance to Disinfectants, Host Antimicrobials, and Desiccation in Acinetobacter baumannii.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2018;62(12). Epub 2018/10/10. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01188-18.
PMID: 30297362.
40.    Turner D, Wand ME, Briers Y, Lavigne R, Sutton JM, Reynolds DM. Characterisation
and genome sequence of the lytic Acinetobacter baumannii bacteriophage vB_AbaS_Loki. PLoS
One. 2017;12(2):e0172303. Epub 2017/02/17. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0172303. PMID:
28207864.
41.    Geisinger E, Mortman NJ, Dai Y, Cokol M, Syal S, Farinha A, et al. Antibiotic
susceptibility signatures identify potential antimicrobial targets in the Acinetobacter baumannii
cell envelope. Nature Communications. 2020;11(1):4522. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-18301-2.
42.    Geisinger E, Vargas-Cuebas G, Mortman NJ, Syal S, Dai Y, Wainwright EL, et al. The
Landscape of Phenotypic and Transcriptional Responses to Ciprofloxacin in Acinetobacter
baumannii: Acquired Resistance Alleles Modulate Drug-Induced SOS Response and Prophage
Replication. MBio. 2019;10(3). Epub 2019/06/13. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01127-19. PMID:
31186328.
43.    Bai J, Dai Y, Farinha A, Tang AY, Syal S, Vargas-Cuebas G, et al. Essential gene
analysis in Acinetobacter baumannii by high-density transposon mutagenesis and CRISPR
interference. J Bacteriol. 2021;203(12). Epub 2021/03/31. doi: 10.1128/JB.00565-20. PMID:
33782056.
44.    Palethorpe S, Farrow JM, 3rd, Wells G, Milton ME, Actis LA, Cavanagh J, et al.
Acinetobacter baumannii Regulates Its Stress Responses via the BfmRS Two-Component
Regulatory System. J Bacteriol. 2022;204(2):e0049421. Epub 2021/12/07. doi:
10.1128/JB.00494-21. PMID: 34871031.
45.    Premkumar L, Kurth F, Duprez W, Groftehauge MK, King GJ, Halili MA, et al.
Structure of the Acinetobacter baumannii dithiol oxidase DsbA bound to elongation factor EF-Tu
reveals a novel protein interaction site. J Biol Chem. 2014;289(29):19869-80. Epub 2014/05/27.
doi: 10.1074/jbc.M114.571737. PMID: 24860094.
46.    Landeta C, Boyd D, Beckwith J. Disulfide bond formation in prokaryotes. Nat Microbiol.
2018;3(3):270-80. Epub 2018/02/22. doi: 10.1038/s41564-017-0106-2. PMID: 29463925.
47.    Cech GM, Kloska A, Krause K, Potrykus K, Cashel M, Szalewska-Palasz A. Virus-Host
Interaction Gets Curiouser and Curiouser. PART I: Phage P1vir Enhanced Development in an E.
coli DksA-Deficient Cell. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(11). Epub 2021/06/03. doi:
10.3390/ijms22115890. PMID: 34072628.
48.    Patterson-West J, James TD, Fernandez-Coll L, Iben JR, Moon K, Knipling L, et al. The
E. coli Global Regulator DksA Reduces Transcription during T4 Infection. Viruses. 2018;10(6).
Epub 2018/06/09. doi: 10.3390/v10060308. PMID: 29882792.
49.    Blaschke U, Suwono B, Zafari S, Ebersberger I, Skiebe E, Jeffries CM, et al.
Recombinant production of A1S_0222 from Acinetobacter baumannii ATCC 17978 and
confirmation of its DNA-(adenine N6)-methyltransferase activity. Protein Expr Purif.
2018;151:78-85. Epub 2018/06/18. doi: 10.1016/j.pep.2018.06.009. PMID: 29908915.

50. Harding CM, Haurat MF, Vinogradov E, Feldman MF. Distinct amino acid residues
confer one of three UDP-sugar substrate specificities in Acinetobacter baumannii PglC
phosphoglycosyltransferases. Glycobiology. 2018;28(7):522-33. Epub 2018/04/19. doi:
10.1093/glycob/cwy037. PMID: 29668902.
51. Tickner J, Hawas S, Totsika M, Kenyon JJ. The Wzi outer membrane protein mediates
assembly of a tight capsular polysaccharide layer on the Acinetobacter baumannii cell surface.
Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):21741. Epub 2021/11/07. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-01206-5. PMID:
34741090.
52. Lees-Miller RG, Iwashkiw JA, Scott NE, Seper A, Vinogradov E, Schild S, et al. A
common pathway for O-linked protein-glycosylation and synthesis of capsule in Acinetobacter
baumannii. Mol Microbiol. 2013;89(5):816-30. Epub 2013/06/21. doi: 10.1111/mmi.12300.
PMID: 23782391.
53. Hamidian M, Blasco L, Tillman LN, To J, Tomas M, Myers GSA. Analysis of Complete
Genome Sequence of Acinetobacter baumannii Strain ATCC 19606 Reveals Novel Mobile
Genetic Elements and Novel Prophage. Microorganisms. 2020;8(12). Epub 2020/12/02. doi:
10.3390/microorganisms8121851. PMID: 33255319.
54. Senchenkova SN, Shashkov AS, Popova AV, Shneider MM, Arbatsky NP, Miroshnikov
KA, et al. Structure elucidation of the capsular polysaccharide of Acinetobacter baumannii
AB5075 having the KL25 capsule biosynthesis locus. Carbohydr Res. 2015;408:8-11. Epub
2015/03/31. doi: 10.1016/j.carres.2015.02.011. PMID: 25816997.
55. Draughn GL, Milton ME, Feldmann EA, Bobay BG, Roth BM, Olson AL, et al. The
Structure of the Biofilm-controlling Response Regulator BfmR from Acinetobacter baumannii
Reveals Details of Its DNA-binding Mechanism. J Mol Biol. 2018;430(6):806-21. Epub
2018/02/14. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2018.02.002. PMID: 29438671.
56. Russo TA, Manohar A, Beanan JM, Olson R, MacDonald U, Graham J, et al. The
Response Regulator BfmR Is a Potential Drug Target for Acinetobacter baumannii. mSphere.
2016;1(3). doi: 10.1128/mSphere.00082-16. PMID: 27303741.
57. Ganguli S, Wang H, Matsumura P, Volz K. Uncoupled phosphorylation and activation in
bacterial chemotaxis. The 2.1-A structure of a threonine to isoleucine mutant at position 87 of
CheY. J Biol Chem. 1995;270(29):17386-93. Epub 1995/07/21. PMID: 7615544.
58. Messens J, Collet JF, Van Belle K, Brosens E, Loris R, Wyns L. The oxidase DsbA folds
a protein with a nonconsecutive disulfide. J Biol Chem. 2007;282(43):31302-7. Epub
2007/08/19. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M705236200. PMID: 17702751.
59. Deshpande RA, Shankar V. Ribonucleases from T2 family. Crit Rev Microbiol.
2002;28(2):79-122. Epub 2002/07/12. doi: 10.1080/1040-840291046704. PMID: 12109772.
60. Jacobs AC, Blanchard CE, Catherman SC, Dunman PM, Murata Y. An ribonuclease T2
family protein modulates Acinetobacter baumannii abiotic surface colonization. PLoS One.
2014;9(1):e85729. Epub 2014/02/04. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0085729. PMID: 24489668.
61. Ching C, Yang B, Onwubueke C, Lazinski D, Camilli A, Godoy VG. Lon Protease Has
Multifaceted Biological Functions in Acinetobacter baumannii. J Bacteriol. 2019;201(2). Epub
2018/10/24. doi: 10.1128/JB.00536-18. PMID: 30348832.
62. Gordillo Altamirano FL, Barr JJ. Unlocking the next generation of phage therapy: the key
is in the receptors. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2021;68:115-23. Epub 2020/11/18. doi:
10.1016/j.copbio.2020.10.002. PMID: 33202354.

63. Knezevic P, Curcin S, Aleksic V, Petrusic M, Vlaski L. Phage-antibiotic synergism: a
possible approach to combatting Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Res Microbiol. 2013;164(1):55-60.
Epub 2012/09/25. doi: 10.1016/j.resmic.2012.08.008. PMID: 23000091.
64. Luo J, Xie L, Liu M, Li Q, Wang P, Luo C. Bactericidal Synergism between Phage
YC#06 and Antibiotics: a Combination Strategy to Target Multidrug-Resistant Acinetobacter
baumannii In Vitro and In Vivo. Microbiol Spectr. 2022:e0009622. Epub 2022/06/24. doi:
10.1128/spectrum.00096-22. PMID: 35736241.
65. Furniss RCD, Kaderabkova N, Barker D, Bernal P, Maslova E, Antwi AAA, et al.
Breaking antimicrobial resistance by disrupting extracytoplasmic protein folding. Elife. 2022;11.
Epub 2022/01/14. doi: 10.7554/eLife.57974. PMID: 35025730.
66. Collet JF, Cho SH, Iorga BI, Goemans CV. How the assembly and protection of the
bacterial cell envelope depend on cysteine residues. J Biol Chem. 2020;295(34):11984-94. Epub
2020/06/04. doi: 10.1074/jbc.REV120.011201. PMID: 32487747.
67. Delhaye A, Laloux G, Collet JF. The Lipoprotein NlpE Is a Cpx Sensor That Serves as a
Sentinel for Protein Sorting and Folding Defects in the Escherichia coli Envelope. J Bacteriol.
2019;201(10). Epub 2019/03/06. doi: 10.1128/JB.00611-18. PMID: 30833359.
68. Danese PN, Silhavy TJ. The sigma(E) and the Cpx signal transduction systems control
the synthesis of periplasmic protein-folding enzymes in Escherichia coli. Genes Dev.
1997;11(9):1183-93. Epub 1997/05/01. doi: 10.1101/gad.11.9.1183. PMID: 9159399.
69. Pogliano J, Lynch AS, Belin D, Lin EC, Beckwith J. Regulation of Escherichia coli cell
envelope proteins involved in protein folding and degradation by the Cpx two-component
system. Genes Dev. 1997;11(9):1169-82. Epub 1997/05/01. doi: 10.1101/gad.11.9.1169. PMID:
9159398.
70. Duggal Y, Fontaine BM, Dailey DM, Ning G, Weinert EE. RNase I Modulates
Escherichia coli Motility, Metabolism, and Resistance. ACS Chem Biol. 2020;15(7):1996-2004.
Epub 2020/06/20. doi: 10.1021/acschembio.0c00390. PMID: 32551492.
71. Fontaine BM, Martin KS, Garcia-Rodriguez JM, Jung C, Briggs L, Southwell JE, et al.
RNase I regulates Escherichia coli 2',3'-cyclic nucleotide monophosphate levels and biofilm
formation. Biochem J. 2018;475(8):1491-506. Epub 2018/03/21. doi: 10.1042/BCJ20170906.
PMID: 29555843.
72. Hirano Y, Hossain MM, Takeda K, Tokuda H, Miki K. Structural studies of the Cpx
pathway activator NlpE on the outer membrane of Escherichia coli. Structure. 2007;15(8):963-
76. Epub 2007/08/19. doi: 10.1016/j.str.2007.06.014. PMID: 17698001.
73. Gong Q, Wang X, Huang H, Sun Y, Qian X, Xue F, et al. Novel Host Recognition
Mechanism of the K1 Capsule-Specific Phage of Escherichia coli: Capsular Polysaccharide as
the First Receptor and Lipopolysaccharide as the Secondary Receptor. J Virol.
2021;95(18):e0092021. Epub 2021/07/01. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00920-21. PMID: 34191582.
74. Zhang G, Baidin V, Pahil KS, Moison E, Tomasek D, Ramadoss NS, et al. Cell-based
screen for discovering lipopolysaccharide biogenesis inhibitors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2018;115(26):6834-9. Epub 2018/05/08. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1804670115. PMID: 29735709.
75. Kortright KE, Chan BK, Turner PE. High-throughput discovery of phage receptors using
transposon insertion sequencing of bacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020;117(31):18670-9.
Epub 2020/07/18. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2001888117. PMID: 32675236.
76. Bernheim A, Sorek R. The pan-immune system of bacteria: antiviral defence as a
community resource. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2020;18(2):113-9. Epub 2019/11/07. doi:
10.1038/s41579-019-0278-2. PMID: 31695182.

77.    Ambroa A, Blasco L, Lopez M, Pacios O, Bleriot I, Fernandez-Garcia L, et al. Genomic
Analysis of Molecular Bacterial Mechanisms of Resistance to Phage Infection. Front Microbiol.
2021;12:784949. Epub 2022/03/08. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.784949. PMID: 35250902.
78.    Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdottir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G, et al.
Integrative genomics viewer. Nat Biotechnol. 2011;29(1):24-6. Epub 2011/01/12. doi:
10.1038/nbt.1754. PMID: 21221095.
79.    McCoy KM, Antonio ML, van Opijnen T. MAGenTA: a Galaxy implemented tool for
complete Tn-Seq analysis and data visualization. Bioinformatics. 2017;33(17):2781-3. Epub
2017/05/13. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx320. PMID: 28498899.
80.    Kropinski AM, Mazzocco A, Waddell TE, Lingohr E, Johnson RP. Enumeration of
bacteriophages by double agar overlay plaque assay. Methods Mol Biol. 2009;501:69-76. Epub
2008/12/11. doi: 10.1007/978-1-60327-164-6_7. PMID: 19066811.
81.    Dai Y, Pinedo V, Tang AY, Cava F, Geisinger E. A New Class of Cell Wall-Recycling
l,d-Carboxypeptidase Determines beta-Lactam Susceptibility and Morphogenesis in
Acinetobacter baumannii. mBio. 2021;12(6):e0278621. Epub 2021/12/08. doi:
10.1128/mBio.02786-21. PMID: 34872350.
82.    Deatherage DE, Barrick JE. Identification of mutations in laboratory-evolved microbes
from next-generation sequencing data using breseq. Methods Mol Biol. 2014;1151:165-88. doi:
10.1007/978-1-4939-0554-6_12. PMID: 24838886.
83.    Jolley KA, Bray JE, Maiden MCJ. Open-access bacterial population genomics: BIGSdb
software, the PubMLST.org website and their applications. Wellcome Open Res. 2018;3:124.
Epub 2018/10/23. doi: 10.12688/wellcomeopenres.14826.1. PMID: 30345391.
84.    Wyres KL, Cahill SM, Holt KE, Hall RM, Kenyon JJ. Identification of Acinetobacter
baumannii loci for capsular polysaccharide (KL) and lipooligosaccharide outer core (OCL)
synthesis in genome assemblies using curated reference databases compatible with Kaptive.
Microb Genom. 2020;6(3). Epub 2020/03/03. doi: 10.1099/mgen.0.000339. PMID: 32118530.
85.    Gao R, Stock AM. Probing kinase and phosphatase activities of two-component systems
in vivo with concentration-dependent phosphorylation profiling. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2013;110(2):672-7. Epub 2012/12/26. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1214587110. PMID: 23267085.
86.    Marchler-Bauer A, Bo Y, Han L, He J, Lanczycki CJ, Lu S, et al. CDD/SPARCLE:
functional classification of proteins via subfamily domain architectures. Nucleic Acids Res.
2017;45(D1):D200-D3. Epub 2016/12/03. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw1129. PMID: 27899674.
87.    Almagro Armenteros JJ, Tsirigos KD, Sonderby CK, Petersen TN, Winther O, Brunak S,
et al. SignalP 5.0 improves signal peptide predictions using deep neural networks. Nat
Biotechnol. 2019;37(4):420-3. Epub 2019/02/20. doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0036-z. PMID:
30778233.
1002

**Captions**

**Fig 1. Identification of candidate determinants of susceptibility to Loki using Tn-seq.**

(A) Loki infection of *A. baumannii* ATCC 17978 causes partial inhibition of bulk culture growth.

Bacteria were seeded in microplates with or without Loki at the indicated MOI and growth was

monitored by OD readings (liquid infection assay). Data points show geometric mean ± s.d.

(shaded bands) from n = 3 independent cultures. Where not visible, s.d. is within the confines of

the symbol. Inset shows small, turbid plaques resulting from Loki infection of ATCC 17978 on

solid medium. Plaques imaged with white light transillumination. Scale bar, 1 mm. (B) Tn-seq

analysis of Loki susceptibility determinants in *A. baumannii* ATCC 17978. Volcano plots show

change in gene-level fitness resulting from phage treatment at the indicated MOI compared to

untreated control, plotted against inverse p value from parallel t tests. Blue data points are genes

that pass significance criteria (Materials and Methods) and represent candidate Loki

susceptibility determinants. Loci described further in the text are labelled. Grey data points are

genes not passing significance criteria.

1017

**Fig 2. Validation of candidate phage hypersusceptibility loci.**

(A) Tracks show Tn-seq fitness values (vertical bars) of individual *Mariner* transposon mutants

at candidate Loki hypersusceptibility loci (shaded yellow) across all tested mutant banks using

the indicated MOI. With the *slt-rnaA* locus, insertions with major fitness decrease due to Loki

show bias toward *rnaA* and the 3' end of *slt*. (B-D) Liquid infection assays with defined mutants.

Growth of the indicated *A. baumannii* ATCC 17978 strains with (Loki, MOI 1) or without

(uninf.) phage was measured as in Fig 1A (n=3). "Chr" indicates the chromosomal mutation;

"Plasmid" denotes the gene reintroduced under P(IPTG) control via vector pYDE152, with -

indicating control plasmid with no reintroduced gene; *bfmS\** refers to BfmS⁻ point mutant

EGA127; IPTG "0.1" and "1" refer to mM units. (E-F) Plaque formation assays. ~$10^8$ of the

indicated bacteria were overlaid on bottom agar, followed by spotting 10-fold serial dilutions of

Loki. Bottom agar in E contained 1mM IPTG. Plaques were imaged with white-light

transillumination after 16 h incubation at 30°C.

1031

**Fig 3. Loki resistance mutations map to capsule biosynthesis locus.** (A) Tn-seq fitness of

transposon mutants in the capsule synthesis (KL3) and associated loci in the Loki challenge

screen. Plotted are the average gene-level Tn-seq fitness values (*W*) with phage infection (Loki

MOI 10-15) vs uninfected control, analyzed by unpaired t-tests. X indicates essential gene

without a fitness value due to paucity of transposon insertions. Dotted line indicates the genome-

wide average *W* during Loki challenge. (B-C) Plaque formation assays with Loki spotted on

acapsular *itrA* and *wzc* mutants of ATCC 17978 (B) or on a range of *A. baumannii* clinical

isolates (C) showing dependence on the KL3 capsule for infection. Δ*itrA* P(IPTG)-*itrA*

represents Δ*itrA* harboring pJE172 and was grown with the indicated concentration of IPTG in

bottom agar. (D) Diagram of the KL3/KL22 cluster showing spontaneous Loki resistance

mutations (arrowheads). The hotspot within *gtr6* is expanded. KL3 and KL22 share all ORFs

except *pgt1* (only present in KL22). (E-F) Plaque formation assays with Loki spotted on strains

with spontaneous *gtr6* mutations and the indicated pYDE152-based plasmid (E) or with *gtr6*

deletion (F). Bottom agar in E contained 1mM IPTG. (G) Phage adsorption assay measuring

Loki binding to the acapsular Δ*itrA* and Δ*wzc* mutants and altered capsule *gtr6* mutants. Data

points show geometric mean ± s.d. (n=3), analyzed by unpaired t test (capsule mutant vs WT

control). P values: *, ≤0.05; **, ≤0.01; ***, <0.001.

1049

**Fig 4. Susceptibility to Loki depends on BfmRS activity and correlates with control of**

**capsule levels.**

(A) Tn-seq fitness of *bfmS* and *bfmR* transposon mutants in the phage challenge screen. Data

points show average gene-level Tn-seq fitness values (*W*) with Loki infection at indicated MOI

vs uninfected control, analyzed by unpaired t-tests. Dotted line indicates the genome-wide

average *W* during Loki challenge (MOI 10-15). (B) Capsule production by different *bfmRS*

mutants. Bars show mean capsule level ± s.d. (n=3), analyzed by one-way ANOVA with

Dunnett's multiple comparisons test (mutant vs WT). A representative gel is shown above the

graph. (C) Liquid infection and (D, E) plaque formation assays with Δ*bfmRS* and *bfmR* receiver

domain point mutants. Liquid infection assays used an MOI of 1, and data are presented as in Fig

1A (n=3). (F) BfmR phosphorylation level was analyzed in cell lysates of receiver domain

mutants vs the parent control (*bfmS**) by Phos-tag western blotting. BfmR~P as a fraction of

total BfmR was quantified from n=3 samples. Bars show mean ± s.d., analyzed by one-way

ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test. A representative blot is shown above the

graph. (G) Phage adsorption assay showing opposing effects of *bfmS** and Δ*bfmRS* mutations on

Loki binding, analyzed as in Fig 3G (n=3). *bfmS** refers to BfmS⁻ point mutant EGA127. P

values: *, ≤0.05; **, ≤0.01; ***, <0.001.

1067

**Fig 5. RnaA and DsbA modulate capsule production and Loki susceptibility through**

**BfmRS.**

(A) Capsule levels measured in strains with Δ*rnaA* and Δ*dsbA* mutation singly and in

combination with Δ*bfmRS*. A representative gel (top) and quantification from n=3 samples

(bottom; means ± s.d. analyzed by one-way ANOVA with Šídák's multiple comparisons test) are

shown as in Fig 4B. (B) Phage adsorption assays show increased Loki binding to *rnaA* and *dsbA*

mutants. Assays analyzed as in Fig 3G (n=3). (C) Transcription levels of capsule biosynthesis

genes *wza* and *gnaA* measured by qRT-PCR in Δ*rnaA* and Δ*dsbA* mutants. Bars show mean fold

change vs WT ± s.d. (n=3); analyzed by unpaired t-tests comparing each mutant vs WT. (D)

Liquid infection and (E) plaque assays examining susceptibility of strains in A to Loki attack.

Liquid growth data presented as in Fig 1A (n=6.). (F-G) *rnaA* overexpression via high-copy

plasmid decreases capsule production and blocks Loki infection in a manner dependent on the

BfmS signal receptor. WT (BfmS$^+$) or *bfmS*\* (BfmS$^-$) bacteria harbored pJE127 (IPTG-

dependent *rnaA*) and were grown in the presence or absence of IPTG. Representative capsule gel

(F, top), capsule quantification from n=3 samples (F, bottom), and Loki plaque assays (G) are

shown. Mean capsule levels ± s.d. were analyzed by unpaired t-tests. P values: \*, ≤0.05; \*\*,

≤0.01; \*\*\*, <0.001. ns, not significant.

1085

**Fig 6. RnaA/DsbA deficiency activates BfmRS.**

(A-B) Fluorescence reporter assays. Strains harbored a GFP transcriptional fusion to the noted

promoter region and reporter fluorescence was measured as fluorescence units/A$_{600}$. (A) Δ*rnaA*

and Δ*dsbA* activation of a BfmRS-dependent (*18040*p), but not BfmRS-independent (*adc*p)

promoter. (B) Δ*rnaA*/Δ*dsbA* activation of *18040*p requires BfmRS. Bars show mean ± s.d. (n =

3). Means were analyzed by unpaired t test comparing mutant vs WT (A) or by one-way

ANOVA with Šídák's multiple comparisons test (B). (C) Phos-tag analysis of BfmR

phosphorylation levels. Δ*rnaA* and Δ*dsbA* increase BfmR~P to a level matching that caused by

the hyperactive Δ*bfmS* allele. Presented as in Fig 4F (n=3). Asterisks show results of one-way

ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test (mutant vs WT); comparisons between mutants

were not significant. (D) Heat map shows the Pearson correlation coefficients (r) and associated

P values analyzing relatedness of Tn-seq phenotypic signatures [41] of *bfmS, rnaA,* and *dsb*

genes. (E) Tn-seq fitness of *rnaA* and *dsb* transposon mutants in the phage challenge screen.

Data presented as in Fig 4A. (F) Δ*dsbA* but not Δ*rnaA* shows a synthetic growth defect with

Δ*bfmRS*. Bacteria were incubated in LB at 37°C and growth measured as in Fig 1A (n=3). P

values: *, ≤0.05; **, ≤0.01; ***, <0.001. ns, not significant.

1102

**Fig 7. *A. baumannii* RnaA lacks catalytic residues defining the RNase T2 family and shows**

**cell envelope localization.**

(A) Analysis of conserved domains on RnaA. RNase_T2 domain and conserved Features/Sites

were identified using the NCBI Conserved Domain Database [86]. Signal peptide was identified

using SignalP [87]. Vertical green lines represent conserved cysteine residues within the

RNase_T2 domain. Alignment shows RNase T2 family homologs across diverse bacterial

species. Within the conserved active site motifs (CAS-I and CAS-II), the active sites are

indicated by #, and the most commonly found residues at these sites are highlighted. (B) An

RnaA-msGFP2 fusion produces foci localized to the cell periphery, consistent with predicted

secretion to periplasm. Δ*rnaA* cells harboring the fusion protein were imaged by fluorescence

microscopy. Scale bar, 2.5 μm. (C) A cell magnified 2.5X; scale bar, 1 μm.

1114

**Fig 8. The full-length LOS outer core impedes attack by Loki independent of changes to**

**capsule.**

(A-B) Representative LOS gels (A) and quantification from triplicate samples (B) showing

enrichment of a truncated LOS intermediate in Δ*gtrOC3* and Δ*gtrOC4*. Bars in B show mean

normalized LOS levels ± s.d.; one-way ANOVA showed no significant difference in total LOS

levels among strains (P=0.34). (C-D) Δ*gtrOC3* and Δ*gtrOC4* have no effect on capsule levels.

Capsule analyzed as in Fig 4B (n=3; P=0.96, one-way ANOVA). (E) Tn-seq fitness of LOS

outer core synthesis locus (OCL2) mutants in the phage challenge screen. Data presented as in

Fig 4A. (F) Phage adsorption assay showing enhancement of Loki binding with Δ*gtrOC3* and

Δ*gtrOC4* mutants. Data points show geometric mean ± s.d. (n=3), analyzed by unpaired t-tests

(mutant vs WT). (G-I) *gtrOC*-mediated enhancement of Loki adsorption or killing depends on

capsule. (I) Loki adsorption assay with Δ*gtrOC3, ΔitrA*, and double mutant. Data analyzed as in

F (n=3). (H) Plaque formation assay with Δ*gtrOC3, ΔitrA, Δgtr6*, and double mutants. (I) Liquid

infection assays with strains in H (n = 3). P values: *, ≤0.05; **, ≤0.01; ***, <0.001. ns, not

significant.

1130

**Supporting information captions**

**S1 Fig. Analysis of Δ*slt* phage susceptibility phenotypes.** (A) Liquid infection assays. WT or

Δ*slt* bacteria were cultured with or without Loki (MOI 1). Data are presented as in Fig 1A (n=3).

(B) Plaque formation assay with Loki spotted onto bottom agar with WT and Δ*slt* bacteria. (C)

Measurement of *rnaA* transcript levels in WT vs Δ*slt* via qRT-PCR. Bars show mean fold change

vs WT ± s.d. (n = 3); analyzed by unpaired t-test. *, P ≤ 0.05.

1137

1138 **S2 Fig. *itrA* complementation analysis with the liquid infection assay.** Bacteria of the noted

1139 genotype were cultured with the indicated level of IPTG with or without Loki at initial MOI of 1.

1140 Growth was measured as in Fig 1A (n = 3).

1141

1142 **S3 Fig. WT ATCC 17978 and Gtr6⁻ derivatives produce the same levels of capsular**

1143 **polysaccharide.** Bars show mean ± s.d. (n=3); no significant difference among means (P =

1144 0.797, one-way ANOVA). Lanes from a representative gel are shown above the graph.

1145

1146 **S4 Fig. BfmS and LOS-OC mutant hypersusceptibility to Loki depends on capsule**

1147 **biosynthesis.** (A) Phage adsorption assay. Data presented as in Fig. 3G (n=3). (B) Liquid

1148 infection assays. The indicated bacteria were cultured with or without Loki (MOI 1). Data are

1149 presented as in Fig 1A (n=3).

1150

**S5 Fig. Analysis of capsule, Loki susceptibility, and antibiotic sensitivity phenotypes of**

***rnaA* and DSB mutants.** (A-B) Capsular polysaccharide levels in the indicated strains, grown

with the noted amount of IPTG, were analyzed by SDS-PAGE/Alcian Blue. Complementation of

Δ*rnaA* mutation was tested in A, and Δ*dsbA* was tested in B. "Chr" indicates the chromosomal

mutation; "Plasmid" denotes the gene reintroduced under P(IPTG) control via vector pYDE152,

with - indicating vector with no reintroduced gene. (C) Plaque formation assay. Loki was spotted

onto bottom agar containing WT bacteria harboring pJE101 (IPTG-dependent *dsbA*), with or

without IPTG. (D) Cluster analysis of Tn-seq phenotypic signatures belonging to *bfmS*, *rnaA*,

and *dsb* genes. Heat map shows normalized Tn-seq fitness in z-score units for transposon

mutants in each gene (rows) grown in different conditions (columns) [41]. Dendrogram at left

shows relationship between signatures based on hierarchical clustering. (E) Heat map shows

change in RNA-seq transcript levels in the indicated BfmRS mutant compared to WT control.

Data were extracted from previous datasets [35] and log2 fold change values displayed as heat

map using Prism 9. (F) Liquid infection assays showing functionality of the *rnaA*-msGFP2

fusion construct in Δ*rnaA* mutant bacteria. Bacteria of the indicated genotype were grown

without or with Loki (MOI 1) in the presence or absence of IPTG (1mM), and growth was

measured as in Fig 1A. P values: *, ≤0.05; **, ≤0.01; ***, <0.001.

1168

1169   **S6 Fig. Lon mutation is not associated with increased capsule or Loki adsorption.** (A)

1170   Capsule production by Δ*lon* compared to WT bacteria. Bars show mean ± s.d. (n=3), analyzed by

1171   unpaired t-test. A representative gel is shown above the graph. (B) Phage adsorption assay. Data

1172   points show geometric mean ± s.d. (n=3), analyzed by unpaired t test. P values: *, ≤0.05; ns, not

1173   significant.

1174

**S7 Fig. Analysis of LOS production in altered phage susceptibility mutants bearing**

**mutations in capsule-control loci or *lon*.** LOS production in cell lysates of mutants in *bfmRS*

(A, B) *rnaA* and *dsbA* (C, D) and *lon* (E, F) was analyzed compared to WT control via Pro-Q 300

emerald staining. Representative gels are shown in top panels. Arrowhead indicates location of

novel intermediate band associated with Δ*bfmS* (A). Quantification is shown in bottom panels.

Bars show mean ± s.d. (n=3). Within each set of strains, no significant difference (P > 0.05)

observed in total LOS levels by one-way ANOVA (B, D) or unpaired t-test (F).

1182

1183   **S1 Table. Strains, plasmids, and primers used in this study.**

1184

1185    **S2 Table. Spontaneous *gtr6* mutations conferring phage Loki resistance.**

1186

1187    **S1 Dataset. Loki challenge Tn-seq data.**

Fig 8

# A

RNase T2 superfamily

signal peptide

RnaA (220 aa)

```
                      #   # #                      ## ##
RnaA          LTITGLMPE 77    NNARVQLWRSVGGCV 120   Acinetobacter baumannii
CAG69845      LTVMGLIPE 96    ENNRTQLWRNVGGCM 139   Acinetobacter sp. ADP1
ZP_00146473   LTVSGLDMG 91    TTVRTQAWQRYGACS 133   Psychrobacter sp. 273-4
P21338        LTVHGLWPG 83    SCLERYEYAKHGACF 160   Escherichia coli
ZP_00211885   LSLHGLWPN 69    SCLDKHEWFKHGTCS 133   Burkholderia cepacia
ZP_00263721   FVLHGLWPQ 69    KKLLDHEWSKHGTCS 114   Pseudomonas fluorescens
NP_385281     LIVHGLWPQ 108   MGLIGHQWRKHGTCS 154   Sinorhizobium meliloti
NP_900626     IGLHGLWPS 69    SDLQTHEYVKHVQCF 132   Chromobacterium violaceum
ZP_00089393   FTLHGLWPN 167   NDFGNYEWKKHGTCQ 218   Azotobacter vinelandii
NP_354151     FVVHGLWPQ 118   MGLIGHQWRKHGACS 164   Agrobacterium tumefaciens
NP_697717     FVVHGLWPQ 81    AGLIAHQWRKHGSCS 131   Brucella suis
NP_774106     FVVHGLWPQ 91    PGLIFNEWDKHGTCS 136   Bradyrhizobium japonicum
ZP_00206923   FVLHGLWPQ 65    PGLAWHQWRKHGRCA 109   Rhodobacter sphaeroides
ZP_00052860   MVVHGLWPQ 64    SSLLQHEWSKHGSCV 110   Magnetospirillum magnetotacticum
NP_418850     FTVHGLWPN 99    PWLLQHEWQAHGTCN 144   Caulobacter crescentus
ZP_00304448   FVLHGLWPE 91    ADLMMHEWARHGTCM 136   Novosphingobium aromaticivorans
NP_873373     WVIHGLWPQ 135   ASLLQGEWEKHGACA 185   Haemophilus ducreyi
NP_720115     FSLHGLWPN 214   TCLERHEWKKHGTCR 276   Shewanella oneidensis
NP_386308     FSLHGLWPL 144   SGLDRHQWRKHGTCQ 144   Sinorhizobium meliloti
NP_103159     FTLHGLWPQ 135   SQLERHEWIKHGTCY 196   Mesorhizobium loti
ZP_00268504   FALHGLWPQ 179   SFLDRHEWIKHGTCA 240   Rhodospirillum rubrum
ZP_00328395   FTLHGLWPD 188   SYLHRHEWTKHGTCD 247   Trichodesmium erythraeum
```

CAS-I              CAS-II

# B  RnaA-msGFP2

# C

# Fig 7

Fig 6

Fig 5

Fig 4

Fig 3

Fig 1

Fig 2