Environmental gradients reveal stress hubs predating plant terrestrialization

Armin Dadras[1,*], Janine M. R. Fürst-Jansen[1,*], Tatyana Darienko[1], Denis Krone[1], Patricia Scholz[2], Tim P. Rieseberg[1], Iker Irisarri[1,3,4], Rasmus Steinkamp[1], Maike Hansen[5], Henrik Buschmann[6], Oliver Valerius[7], Gerhard H. Braus[7], Ute Hoecker[5], Marek Mutwil[8], Till Ischebeck[9], Sophie de Vries[1], Maike Lorenz[10], Jan de Vries[1,2,11#]

— University of Goettingen, Institute of Microbiology and Genetics, Department of Applied Bioinformatics, Goldschmidtstr. 1, 37077 Goettingen, Germany
— University of Goettingen, Albrecht Haller Institute of Plant Science, Department of Plant Biochemistry, Justus-von-Liebig-Weg, 37077 Goettingen, Germany
— University of Goettingen, Campus Institute Data Science (CIDAS), Goldschmidtstr. 1, 37077 Goettingen, Germany
— Leibniz Institute for the Analysis of Biodiversity Change (LIB), Centre for Molecular Biodiversity Research, Museum of Nature, Hamburg, Martin-Luther-King Platz 3, 20146 Hamburg, Germany
— University of Cologne, Institute for Plant Sciences and Cluster of Excellence on Plant Sciences (CEPLAS), Biocenter, Zülpicher Str. 47b, Cologne 50674, Germany
— University of Applied Sciences Mittweida, Faculty of Applied Computer Sciences and Biosciences, Section Biotechnology and Chemistry, Molecular Biotechnology, Technikumplatz 17, 09648 Mittweida, Germany
— University of Goettingen, Institute of Microbiology and Genetics and Göttingen Center for Molecular Biosciences (GZMB) and Service Unit LCMS Protein Analytics, Department of Molecular Microbiology and Genetics, University of Goettingen, Grisebachstr. 8, 37077 Goettingen, Germany
— School of Biological Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, 60 Nanyang Drive, Singapore, 637551, Singapore
— University of Münster, Green Biotechnology, Institute of Plant Biology and Biotechnology (IBBP), 48143 Münster, Germany
— University of Goettingen, Albrecht-von-Haller Institute for Plant Science, Department of Experimental Phycology and SAG Culture Collection of Algae, Nikolausberger Weg 18, 37073 Goettingen, Germany
— University of Goettingen, Goettingen Center for Molecular Biosciences (GZMB), Department of Applied Bioinformatics, Goldschmidtstr. 1, 37077 Goettingen, Germany
*contributed equally
#author for correspondence: devries.jan@uni-goettingen.de

ORCID: Armin Dadras 0000-0001-7649-2388, Janine MR Fürst-Jansen 0000-0002-5269-8725, Tatyana Darienko 0000-0002-1957-0076, Patricia Scholz 0000-0003-0761-9175, Tim P Rieseberg 0000-0003-3548-8475, Henrik Buschmann 0000-0003-3022-6150, Oliver Valerius 0000-0003-4430-819X, Gerhard H. Braus 0000-0002-3117-5626, Ute Hoecker 0000-0002-5636-9777, Marek Mutwil 0000-0002-7848-0126, Iker Irisarri 0000-0002-3628-1137, Till Ischebeck 0000-0003-0737-3822, Sophie de Vries 0000-0002-5267-8935, Maike Lorenz 0000-0002-2277-3077, Jan de Vries 0000-0003-3507-5195

ABSTRACT

Plant terrestrialization brought forth the land plants (embryophytes). Embryophytes account for most of the biomass on land and evolved from streptophyte algae in a singular event. Recent advances have unraveled the first full genomes of the closest algal relatives of land plants; among the first such species was *Mesotaenium endlicherianum*. Here, we used fine-combed RNAseq in tandem with photophysiological assessment on *Mesotaenium* exposed to a continuous range of temperature and light cues. Our data establish a grid of 42 different conditions, resulting in 128 transcriptomes and ~1.5 Tbp (~9.9 billion reads) of data to study combinatory effects of stress response using clustering along gradients. We describe major hubs in genetic networks underpinning stress response and acclimation in the molecular physiology of *Mesotaenium*. Our data suggest that lipid droplet formation, plastid and cell wall-derived signals denominate molecular programs since more than 600 million years of streptophyte evolution—before plants made their first steps on land.

KEYWORDS

plant evolution; functional genomics; evolutionary genomics; stress physiology; streptophyte algae; co-expression networks

MAIN

Plant terrestrialization changed the face of our planet. It gave rise to land plants (Embryophyta), the major constituents of Earth's biomass (Bar-On et al. 2018) and founders of the current levels of atmospheric oxygen (Lenton et al. 2016). Land plants belong to the Streptophyta, a monophyletic group that includes the paraphyletic freshwater and terrestrial streptophyte algae and the monophyletic land plants. Meticulous phylogenomic efforts have established the relationships of land plants to their algal relatives (Wickett et al. 2014; One Thousand Plant Transcriptomes Initiative, 2019). These data brought a surprise: the filamentous and unicellular Zygnematophyceae—and not other morphologically more elaborate algae—are the closest algal relatives of land plants. Now the

first three genomes of major orders of Zygnematophyceae (see Hess et al., 2022) are at hand:
Mesotaenium endlicherianum, *Spirogloea muscicola* (Cheng et al., 2019), and *Penium margaritaceum*
(Jiao et al., 2020). Using these, we are beginning to redefine the molecular chassis shared by land
plants and their closest algal relatives. Included in this shared chassis will be those genes that
facilitated plant terrestrialization. We here focus on one critical aspect: the molecular toolkit for the
response to environmental challenges. For this, we use the unicellular freshwater/subaerial alga
Mesotaenium endlicherianum.
Land plants use a multilayered system for the adequate response to environmental cues. This
involves sensing, signaling, and response mainly by the production of, e.g., protective compounds.
Some of the most versatile patterns in land plant genome evolution concerns genes for environmental
adaptation (Golicz et al., 2016; Gordon et al., 2017; Bayer et al., 2020). That said, there is a shared
core of key regulatory and response factors that are at the heart of plant physiology. These include
phytohormones such as abscisic acid (ABA) found in non-vascular and vascular plants (for an
overview, see Umezawa et al., 2010; Bowman et al., 2019), protective compounds resting on
specialized metabolic routes such phenylpropanoid-derived compounds as well as proteins such as
LATE EMBRYOGENESIS ABUNDANT (LEA; Hundertmark and Hincha 2008; Carella et al.,
2019). Many of the genes integrated into these stress-relevant metabolic routes have homologs in
streptophyte algae (Rieseberg et al., 2022). Taking angiosperms as reference, such stress-relevant
pathways are often patchy. Whether these are also used under the relevant conditions is currently
unknown. For example, while Zygnematopyhceae have a homolog to the ABA-receptor PYL (de
Vries et al. 2018, Cheng et al., 2019), this homolog works in a different, ABA-independent fashion
(Sun et al., 2019). Thus, it is important to put the genetic chassis that could act under environmental
shifts to the test.
Here, we used a fine grid of a bifactorial gradient for two key terrestrial stressors, variation in
irradiance and temperature, to probe the genetic network that the closest algal relatives of land plants
possess for its responsiveness to abiotic environment. Correlating growth, physiology, and global
differential gene expression patterns from 128 transcriptomes (9,892,511,114 of reads, 1.5 Tbp of
data) across 126 distinct samples covering a temperature range of >20°C and light range of >500
µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}, we pinpoint hubs in the circuits that have been shared along more than 600
million years of streptophyte evolution.
93
RESULTS
A physiological grid: co-dependency of eurythermy and euryphoty in *Mesotaenium*
We studied the genome-sequenced strain SAG 12.97 of the freshwater alga
Mesotaenium endlicherianum, a member of the Zygnematophyceae, the closest algal relatives of land
plants (Cheng et al., 2019; Figure 1a and 1b). We cultivated *Mesotaenium* in a large-scale setup in 1.5
liters of C medium up to a cell density of 0.33 AU at 680 nm. The culture was distributed across 504
wells (42 twelve well plates; 2.5 mL of culture per well). The well plates were placed on a table with
a temperature gradient from 8.6±0.5 °C to 29.2±0.5 °C on the x-axis. On top of the table, white LED
lamps created an irradiance gradient from 21.0±2.0 to 527.9±14.0 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} across the y-
axis, thus creating a 2D gradient table (Figure 1b, Suppl. Table 1). The 504 cultures were exposed to
this gradient setup for 65 hours. The physiological status of the algae was assessed by determining the
maximum quantum yield (F_v/F_m) using pulse amplitude modulation fluorometry (PAM; IMAGING
PAM, Walz, Germany); growth was assessed using a microplate reader with absorption at 480 nm,
680 nm, and 750 nm (Figure 1c); the entire procedure was repeated in three successive biological
replicates (i.e. three runs of the table, 504 F_v/F_m and 4,536 absorption measurements per replicate).
The algae showed significant differences (p ≤ 0.001) in growth and gross physiology: F_v/F_m
values as well as absorption values decrease (for F_v/F_m values at 20.5±1.0 °C: from 0.66±0.02 for
I=21.14 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} to 0.042±0.04 for I=534.7 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}) with rising intensities
of irradiance (Figure 1d, Suppl. Fig. 1, Suppl. Table 2). The lowest F_v/F_m values (down to zero) were
recorded at conditions of highest irradiance and lowest temperature. Here, low temperature had a
stronger negative impact on growth and physiology than light (for F_v/F_m values: at 8.6±0.5 °C,
0.011±0.02 at 133±27 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} compared to 0.463±0.02 at 29.2±0.5 °C at 118±25 µmol
photons m^{-2} s^{-1}). Values on growth and physiology clustered by light were less broadly distributed
than if clustered by temperature (Figure 1e, 1f). Even the highest light intensity (527.9±14.0 µmol

photons m^{-2} s^{-1}) was stressful, but tolerable for the physiology of *Mesotaenium* at temperatures
between 20.5±0.1°C (F_v/F_m=0.042±0.04) to 25.3±0.1°C (F_v/F_m= 0.045±0.04); more extreme
temperatures resulted in undetectable Fv/Fm values. Thus, eurythermy might establish the foundation
for euryphoty in *Mesotaenium endlicherianum*.
122
Fine-combed global differential gene expression profiles and gene models for *Mesotaenium*

To shed light on the molecular mechanisms that underpin the switch from tolerable steady-state
conditions to adverse environmental cues in *Mesotaenium*, we applied global gene expression
analyses using RNAseq. We pooled all twelve wells per plate and extracted RNA from a total of 126
samples (42 plates, three biological replicates). 114 samples yielded usable RNA that was used to
build 128 libraries (with a minimum of three biological replicates and additional technical replicates)
for sequencing on the Illumina NovoSeq6000 platform. We generated a total of 1.5 Tbp of 150 bp
paired read data at an average depth of 37.7 million reads per sample (~9.9 billion reads in total).
Building on this wealth of data, we updated the *Mesotaenium* gene models. The number of protein-
coding mRNAs increased from 11,080 in the original annotation (V1; Cheng et al. 2019) to 40,326
protein-coding mRNA (26,009 high confidence, 14,317 low confidence; including splice variants) in
19,233 genes; an additional 4,408 mRNA (in 4,312 genes) labeled as "predicted gene" in our gene
models (Suppl. Table 3). The new gene models of annotation V2 brings the number of genes in
Mesotaenium closer to other Zygnematophyceae with similar genome sizes; V2 has 43 more BUSCO
genes (+10%; 21 less fragmented, 22 less missing; viridiplantae_odb10) than V1 (Suppl. Fig. 2).
Besides, we calculated Annotation Edit Distance metrics (AED) to assess the congruence (0 to 1, with
0 being the best) between biological evidence and V1 and V2. In the cumulative fraction of annotation
against AED score, V2 has more mRNAs with AED < 0.5. For example, 70% of mRNAs in V1
(7,756 mRNAs) have an AED score < 0.5 compared to 60% in V2 (26,840 mRNAs). This is sensible
since V2 was built based on the same set of evidence used to calculate AED and it shows higher
congruence with them (Suppl. Fig. 3). Thus, we pseudoaligned our data onto the new *Mesotaenium*
transcriptome V2 (average alignment rate was 87.31%; Suppl. Table 4).
To understand the gross profile of the gene expression data, we performed principal
component analysis (PCA; Figure 2a). Independent biological replicates from the same condition
clustered in close proximity. The most variation in data was explained by temperature (PC1; describes
35% of variance), followed by irradiance (PC2; describes 18.1% of variance). We evaluated the
distance (Figure 2b) and Spearman correlation (Figure 2c) using all genes to look for trends among
different growth conditions. The data can be grouped into at least three categories: (1) samples with
high light and/or high temperature, (2) a collection of low-temperature (8, 13, 17 °C) samples, and (3)
samples at stead-state. Large clusters included steady-state, high light + heat, and high light. Most
distinct was the cluster formed by samples from the high temperature + high light (Small multiples;
Figure 2d and 2e).
155
Plastid-related genes stand out in differential gene expression profiles

For dissecting the differential gene expression responses, we divided the table into nine sectors and,
additionally, a cohort of stressed algae based on F_v/F_m < 0.5 (Figure 3). 36 comparisons were
performed, among which we focused on nine, which additionally included the F_v/F_m-based
comparison. Genes were considered to be differentially expressed between groups at an absolute fold
change ≥ 2 and a Benjamini-Hochberg corrected $p ≤ 0.01$ (Figure 3a and b). Gross gene expression
profiles were titratable by the intensity of environmental cues, i.e., with increasing disparity between
conditions compared, and overall following the pattern in the PCA (*cf*. Figure 3b and Figure 2a). The
most differentially regulated genes (6,578) were pinpointed by comparing low light and low
temperature (LLI_LT) versus high light and high temperature (HLI_HT). Enriched GO terms among
regulated genes most frequently included plastid biology-associated genes (Figure 3c). To scrutinize
these data for specific genes that show a robust and universal response to alterations to the
environment, we intersected all 8,157 significantly regulated genes pinpointed by the different
comparisons. 3, 30, and 124 genes overlapped among all 9, 8, and 7 comparisons, respectively. These
concertedly pinpointed genes were mostly light harvesting genes, corroborating the importance of
plastids in the overall cell biology of *Mesotaenium* (Figure 3d). Indeed, the 30 genes found in all
comparisons included for example reactive oxygen species (ROS)-relevant genes such as ELIP and

fatty acid metabolic genes. To understand whether these genes integrate into the context of molecular
programs, we next looked at gene co-expression.
175
Unsupervised gene expression clusters recover genetic programs shaped by physiology
The environmental gradients triggered changes in the expression of gene cohorts. We wanted to
understand their concerted action independent of any prioritization guided by homology to any land
plant genes—solely from the molecular programs that operated in the algae. To do so, we applied
weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) for unsupervised clustering (Figure 4). To
then understand the driving forces behind these changes, we turned to the highly connected genes
(nodes) in the network—the hubs (Figure 5).
The 17,905 genes expressed in our samples (and that passed the minimum expression
threshold) were clustered into 26 modules, which we refer to with colors (Figure 4a). Orange is the
smallest module (39 genes), the largest modules are Turquoise, Blue, and Brown with 3568, 3101,
and 1746 genes, respectively. The samples were taken under a range of distinct physiological
conditions. Resulting data are a combined expression of the different environmental cues and the
modulation of the algal physiology. To investigate the biological role of each module, we used their
eigengenes as representatives for the modules' gene expression profiles and correlated their behavior
with the two environmental cues light intensity and temperature as well as the algal parameters
absorption (culture density and pigmentation) and F_v/F_m (overall physiological status). One of the
foremost general patterns in cellular response to stress are ROS. These act as signals as well as
culprits that, if not quenched, damage biomolecules; this was represented in GO terms of module
Green that positively correlated with light intensity ($r = 0.88$, $p = 6x10^{-43}$) and negatively with F_v/F_m
($r = -0.79$, $p = 6x10^{-29}$) (Figure 4d and Suppl. Fig. 4 to 7 and Suppl. Table 5 and 6).
The clusters also recovered the genetic signatures of thriving algae. Module Purple negatively
correlates with increasing light ($r = -0.94$, $p = 3x10^{-60}$) and positively with absorption and F_v/F_m ($r =$
0.67, $p = 2x10^{-18}$ and $r = 0.67$, $p = 2x10^{-18}$). These dense and physiologically healthy cell populations
(experiencing no light stress) ramped up cell division (see Figure 4D and Suppl. Table 6), signified by
homologs of cyclin and TPX2 appearing as hub genes. The 9th most connected hub gene was a
kinesin homologous to important proteins such as PHRAGMOPLAST ORIENTING KINESIN 2
(Figure 5; Suppl. Table 7), which thus is a likely conserved cell division hub of all
Phragmoplastophyta—going back to a common ancestor that lived in the late Cryogenium.
204
Conserved hubs for plastid-derived signals
Chloroplasts act as environmental sensors in land plant cells (Kleine et al., 2021). In concert with this,
many of the clusters we identified were associated with plastid biology and/or physiology (Figure 4d,
Suppl. Fig. 4 to 7, Suppl. Table 6). The brown cluster showed many plastid-related terms and
negatively correlates with temperature ($r = -0.95$, $p = 7x10^{-65}$) (Suppl. Fig. 5) and showed enrichment
in GO-terms related to plastids, general transcription and translation. Among the top 20 hub genes in
cluster brown, 12 were associated with translation and ribosomes (Suppl. Table 7). The light cyan
cluster positively correlates with increasing light ($r = 0.93$, $p = 10^{-56}$) (Suppl. Fig. 6) and negatively
with F_v/F_m ($r = -0.67$, $p = 5x10^{-18}$) (Suppl. Fig. 4) whereas the blue cluster negatively correlates with
increasing light ($r = -0.76$, $p = 10^{-25}$) and positively with F_v/F_m ($r = 0.67$, $p = 2x10^{-18}$). Concomitantly,
the blue module had a high number of enriched GO-terms (Suppl. Fig. 5 and Suppl. Table 6), many of
which were plastid-related terms, cellular signaling, and terms that tie the two together; that is,
signaling processes emanating from the plastid. This was also prominent in the light cyan module,
where several terms related to terpenoid and apocarotenoid metabolism were enriched.
The hubs of many clusters, including those blue, light cyan, and yellow mentioned before,
reflect an association with plastid-related processes. To highlight a few, the second most connected
gene in module Blue was a homolog of GLK1 (Suppl. Fig. 8), a transcriptional factor (TF) that
regulates chloroplast development and the activity of nuclear genes involved in photosynthetic light
reaction and chlorophyll biosynthesis (Rossini et al., 2001; Yasumura et al., 2005; Waters et al.,
2009). Blue also featured hydroxypyruvate reductase, important in photorespiration (Timm et al.,
2008), as the fourth most connected gene . A CYP450 gene homologous to LUTEIN DEFICIENT 5
(LUT5), was the 7th most connected, suggesting the involvement of pigment-related signaling.
Moreover, a homolog of ABA responsive elements-binding factor 2 (ABF2) was part of cluster Blue,

bolstering previous discussions that parts of the ABA signaling module consist of ancient wires whose
relevance in stress response predate plant terrestrialization and ABA dependency (de Vries et al.,
2018; Sun et al., 2019; Fürst-Jansen et al., 2020).

Next to GLK—the most connected TF—other highly connected TFs appeared in Blue. These
included the photomorphogenesis-regulating CONSTANS-like 3 (COL3; 4th most connected TF).
Noteworthily, also a homolog of CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENIC 1 (COP1) was present
in module Blue; CO/COL and GLKs are both degradation targets of COP1 (Liu et al., 2008;
Sarid Krebs et al., 2015; Ordoñez-Herrera et al., 2018). Further, the circadian regulator BROTHER
OF LUX ARRHYTHMO (2nd most connected TF). Further, homologs of ETHYLENE-
INSENSITIVE3-like 1 (6th most connected TF) and several ERFs were among the most connected
TFs. A link to ethylene is noteworthy, because investigations of the Zygnematophyceae *Spirogyra*
pratensis (*Sp*) have shown that *SpEIN3* can rescue Arabidopsis *ein3-1* mutant plants (Ju et al., 2015).
Furthermore, exogenous application of ethylene on *Spirogyra* triggers stress-, plastid- and
photosynthesis-associated gene expression responses similar to land plants (Van de Poel et al., 2016).
This speaks to a conserved regulatory framework that involves ethylene-associated factors, and maybe
ethylene itself, in environmental signaling cascades in the common ancestor of and plants and their
closest algal relatives.

Light cyan featured not only hubs related to ROS homeostasis from the thioredoxin
superfamily and other light-induced proteins, but also pigment and apocarotenoid metabolism; these
are the source of important signals from the chloroplast that likely have deep evolutionary roots
(Rieseberg et al., 2022) and are also formed by light dependent oxidative reactions (recently reviewed
by Moreno et al., 2021). Module Yellow correlated positively with light intensity ($r = 0.62$, $p = 10^{-14}$)
and negatively with absorption and F_v/F_m ($r = -0.79$, $p = 10^{-28}$ and $r = -0.81$, $p = 3\mathrm{x}10^{-31}$; Figure 3B);
GO terms associated with plastids and proteolytic enzymes (FtsH, ClpP; Kato et al., 2012),
recapitulating well-known ties of protein homeostasis and plastid maintenance. Indeed, cluster yellow
featured five hubs that are homologous to CLP proteases, critical for chloroplast protein homeostasis
(Sjögren et al., 2006; Nishimura et al., 2016), and hubs homologous to genes that orchestrate the
coordination of transcriptional activity between chloroplasts and the nucleus; the latter included
homologs of (i) pTAC6, which is essential for plastid gene expression and thus chloroplast
development in Arabidopsis (Pfalz et al., 2006), and (ii) a homolog of GENOMES UNCOUPLED 2,
one of the foremost genes in the classical plastid–nucleus communication pathway (Susek et al.,
1993). Among the TFs in cluster yellow was a homolog of the bZIP light signaling master regulator
ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL 5 (HY5; reviewed in Jiao et al., 2007).

Of ancient signaling cascades and cell wall perturbance
Mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK) constitute environmental response pathways in all
eukaryotes (Chen and Thorner, 2007). In land plants, several abiotic and biotic cues have been
described to trigger MAPK-mediated signaling (Nakagami et al., 2005; Rodriguez et al., 2010; Meng
and Zhang, 2013; Chen et al., 2021); MAPK and phototropin kinases appeared as hubs in cluster
Blue. Moreover, plant MAPK-based signaling is interwoven with wound response and brassinosteroid
signaling (Nakagami et al., 2005). Stress often coincides with a perturbation of plant cell wall
homeostasis. Cluster Pink includes hubs for such wounding and cell-wall derived signals. This was
paired with the GO term brassinosteroid signaling, which balances growth, cell wall homeostasis, and
stress in *Arabidopsis* (Sun et al., 2010; Planas-Riverola et al., 2019). Among the hubs in cluster Pink
were homologs for (i) diverse receptor kinases known from *Arabidopsis* to sense alterations in cell
wall integrity (Hématy et al., 2007), and (ii) EXORDIUM (of which *Mesotaenium* has 12 homologs),
which integrates growth with environmental signaling (Schröder et al., 2009). This was paired with
the COBRA family proteins being the most and third most connected hubs in the module. These
proteins are known to be involved in cell expansion and balancing pathogen response with growth
(Schindelmann et al., 2001; Roudier et al., 2002; Ko et al., 2006). It appears that *Mesotaenium* bears
parts of a loop that senses physico-chemcial perturbation of cell wall homeostasis; in land plants, these
loops include brassinosteroid signaling (Wolf et al., 2014).

Lipid droplet formation constitutes a stress response predating plant terrestrialization

In land plants lipid droplet (LD) formation and triacylglycerol (TAG) accumulation is common to
many stress responses, including heat, cold and drought (Higashi et al., 2015; Mueller et al., 2015;
Gidda et al., 2016; Doner et al., 2021; Krawczyk et al., 2022). We observed that cells of *Mesotaenium*
accumulated inclusions resembling LDs (Figure 6a) upon prolonged exposure to stress. Consistently,
these globular structures were stained by BODIPY™ 493/503 (EM/EX), a common dye for lipid and
oil-rich compartments (Listenberger and Brown, 2007; Kretzschmar et al., 2020). Under different
conditions of temperature and light conditions, counts of LDs per cell showed significant differences
(Figure 6b, Suppl. Table 8). We observed that the CGI-58 homolog was the 10th most connected hub
in cluster green (Figure 5b). CGI-58 is key to lipid homeostasis, causing, if perturbed, the Chanarin-
Dorfman syndrome in humans and LD overaccumulation in *Arabidopsis* (Lass et al., 2006; James et
al., 2010; Figure 5c). Further, differential gene expression profiles pinpointed elevation of transcripts
for characteristic LD protein homologs such as HSD1 and oleosin (OLE7) under high temperature and
moderate light conditions (29 °C, 21 – 130 µmol photons m-2 s-1) and LD-associated protein (LDAP)
and PUX10 under high temperature and light conditions (21-29 °C, 130 – 528 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1})
(Figure 6c).
To scrutinize whether these structures are comparable to LDs of land plants, we performed
sub-cellular fractionizations, obtained lipid-rich phases, and subjected them to proteomics using liquid
chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). We identified 739 proteins in the putative LD fraction
and 1574 proteins in the total extract (Suppl. Table 9). Of these, 14 were significantly enriched in the
putative LD fraction (Figure 6 d, volcano plot) including hallmark LD proteins such as OLE, caleosin
(CLO), steroleosin (HSD), and LDAP (Figure 6 d, bar plots). Overall, *Mesotaenium* responds to stress
conditions by formation of LDs containing signature proteins for embryophytic LDs.

DISCUSSION
Owing to their plain morphology, Zygnematophyceae emerged as unexpected closest algal relatives of
land plants (Wickett et al., 2014; One Thousand Plant Transcriptomes Initiative, 2019; Hess et al.,
2022). That said, the molecular programs of Zygnematophyceae speak of their close relationships to
land plants. These point to a conserved chassis that likely operated in the last common ancestor of
land plants and algae, featuring the proposed action of various hallmark genes (e.g., PYL homologs,
GRAS family TFs and more) that were once considered land plant innovations. Building on the
genomic resources for *Mesotaenium*, we have here delved into the molecular physiology and genetic
programs of this alga, revealing which programs bear out when challenged with environmental cues.
Recent studies have proposed homology for the chassis of plastid–nucleus communication
upon adverse environmental conditions between land plants and phragmoplastophytic streptophyte
algae (Nishiyama et al., 2018; de Vries et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2019). The GUN pathway likely has a
conserved role in chloroplast transcription and streptophyte algal GUN1 homologs can rescue
chloroplast retrograde signaling of Arabidopsis *Atgun1* mutants (Honkanen and Small, 2022); the
degree of evolutionary conservation in the retrograde signaling pathway across streptophytes remains
obscure (Honkanen and Small, 2022). Signals from damaged chloroplasts inhibit GLK1 expression in
Arabidopsis (Martin et al., 2016). The negative correlation of module Blue (featuring *Me*GLK) with
high light (leading to damaged chloroplasts) supports a role of *Me*GLK in operational retrograde
signaling. Our data underscore that the wires between these components in plastid–nucleus
communication are likely shared across more than 600 million years of streptophyte evolution and
correlate with dealing with light regimes and adjustment of photosynthetic performance in the
chloroplast also in the closest relatives of land plants.
One of the special features of plant and algal cells is their cell wall, forming their main
interface with the environment. It is therefore not surprising that the cell wall is woven into a
signaling network for environmental cues. In land plants, brassinosteroid-mediated signaling is part of
a feedback loop for cell wall homeostasis and integrity (Wolf et al., 2014). While the involvement of
brassinosteroids in streptophyte algae is doubtful—as is the case for many other phytohormones—our
data suggest that there is a homologous chassis for a feedback loop for cell wall damage-based
signaling that predates plant terrestrialization.
In land plants, the formation of LDs is known to occur under a variety of adverse
environmental conditions (Gasulla et al., 2013; Mueller et al., 2015; Gidda et al., 2016). Stress-
dependent formation of LDs likely evolved before land plants came to be (Li-Beisson et al., 2019; de

Vries et al., 2020; de Vries and Ischebeck, 2020), but their molecular underpinnings outside of land
plants remain unclear. Here, we confirmed the identity of these *Mesotaenium* LDs using confocal
microscopy, LD-specific staining and proteomics. Our comprehensive transcriptomic data illuminate
co-expressed modules that might constitute a homologous program for stress-dependent LDs that
acted before plants conquered land.
342
METHODS
Algal culturing and gradient table setup
We used the axenic and genome-sequenced *Mesotaenium endlicherianum* SAG 12.97
(https://sagdb.uni-goettingen.de/detailedList.php?str_number=12.97) from the Algal Culture
Collection, Göttingen, Germany (SAG, Friedl and Lorenz 2012, SAG). *Mesotaenium* was cultivated
in C-Medium (Ichimura, 1971) for an average of 12 days in an aerated culture glass flasks (SCHOTT,
Germany) at 80 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}. Prior to the experiment, cell density was analyzed using a
LUNA™ Automated Cell Counter (Logos Biosystems, Annandale, VA, USA) and set to $2.03*10^7$
cells/ml (diluting with C-Medium if needed; settings for cell counting: Cell roundness: 60%,
minimum size: 3 µm, maximum size: 60 µm), corresponding to Abs680nm = 0.33 (Epoch
Microplatereader, BioTek Instruments, USA). For the gradient table setup algal suspension was
distributed across 504 wells (42 twelve-well plates [tissue culture testplates 12 No. 92412, TPP,
Switzerland]; 2.5 mL of culture per well). Plates were sealed with Surgical tape, Micropore™ tape
(3M, Germany) to minimize evaporation. The 42 twelve-well plates were then placed on a table that
generates a cross-gradient of temperature (8.6±0.5 °C to 29.2±0.5 °C on the x-axis) and irradiance
(21.0±2.0 to 527.9±14.0 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} on the y-axis) (see Suppl. Table 1). The temperature
gradient was generated using a custom-made table (Labio, Czech Republic) equipped with true-
daylight LEDs (sTube 2W 120 ver 11:11, Snaggi, Czech Republic) set to a 16:8 L/D cycle (Light
from 6 am to 22 pm, Central European wintertime). *Mesotaenium* samples exposed to the 504
different conditions 65 hours (for sampling for RNAseq and physiological measurements) and 89
hours (for detailed light microscopy) on the gradient table. Condensed water at the top of the 12-well
plates lids was removed three times in the 65 hours timespan by lightly tapping the lids twice.
365
Plate reader
In vivo Abs480nm, Abs680nm, and Abs750nm of all 42 plates was measured after 65h exposition (4-
6 hours after light on) with an absorbance microplate reader Epoch (BioTek Instruments, VT, USA). 9
data points per well were analyzed and averaged using software Gen5 2.0 (Biotek, USA), resulting in
108 measurements per 12-well plate per wavelength. For downstream analyses these values were
averaged resulting in one value per 12-well plate per wavelength (Suppl. Fig. 1). After 89 h exposition
16 plates were chosen from the prominent gradients (the four most extreme conditions in the corners
and a cross of vibrant growth along the two gradients) for analyzing a full absorption spectrum (300-
900nm) using the same setup. (Suppl. Fig. 9, Suppl. Table 10).
375
Photophysiological measurements
For maximum-quantum yield measurements (F_v/F_m) the maxi version of the IMAGING PAM
(ImagMAX/L, M-series, Walz, Germany) with an IMAG-K5 CCD camera, controlled with the
ImagingWinGigE (V2.32) software, was used. The *Mesotaenium* cultures in the 12-well plates were
dark adapted for 10-30 min before measurement. Before measurements, the lid was removed. For the
F_v/F_m measurement a short saturation pulse (Intensity 3) was applied. The measurement settings on
the IMAGING PAM were the following: measuring light 1, gain 3, damping 2, mean over AOI (area
of interest) was turned off. No special SP-routine was applied to modify the signal to noise ratio of the
chlorophyll fluorescence measurement.
385
Statistical analysis of absorption and F_v/F_m values and temperature/light cluster analysis
Statistical analysis of the absorption and the Fv/Fm values was done using Kruskal-Wallis test with
post hoc test Fisher's least significant difference (Conover, 1999) using R (version 4.1.3). P-values
were Bonferroni corrected and grouped into significant groups using R packages 'agricolae' version
1.3-5 and 'dplyr' version 1.0.9. For heatmap generation of physiological values plotted against
temperature/light R package 'pheatmap' version 1.0.12 was used. For cluster analysis the R package

'factoextra' version 1.0.7 was used. Clusters were generated using the eclust function with clustering
function 'kmeans', with number of clusters set to six and for hierarchical clustering 'euclidean' was
used as distance measure. Clusters were visualized with principal component analysis (PCA) in R.
395
RNA extraction and sequencing
After absorption measurements, the twelve-well plates were put back on the table to let cells adjust to
the table conditions again for a minimum of 5 minutes before harvesting them. For RNA extraction
0.4 mL were taken from every well of the 42 twelve-well plates on the table after pipetting the cells
up and down twice to homogenize them. In total 4.8 mL liquid culture was taken per condition on the
table (i.e., pooling 0.4 mL of each 12 wells per each of the 42 conditions). Samples were then
centrifuged for 5 min at 20 °C and 4000 rpm. The supernatant was removed and the pellet was frozen
at -80 °C. To extract RNA the Spectrum™ Plant Total RNA Kit (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH,
Germany) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions. For cell disruption samples in lysis
buffer were ultrasonicated for 1 min and vortexed. RNA samples were treated with DNAse I (Thermo
Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) and shipped on dry ice to Novogene (Cambridge, UK) where they were
quality checked with a Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA). Libraries
were built based on total RNA using poly-T oligo-attached magnetic beads. Following fragmentation,
synthesis of the first strand cDNA was carried out using random hexamer primers and second strand
cDNA using dUTP, instead of dTTP. A directional size-selected library was built that included PCR-
based amplification. Sequencing adapters were 5' Adapter: 5'-
AGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGTAGATCTCGGTGGTCGCCGTATCATT-3'
and 3' Adapter: 5'-
GATCGGAAGAGCACACGTCTGAACTCCAGTCACGGATGACTATCTCGTATGCCGTCTTCT
GCTTG-3'. The library was sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq6000 platform.
416
Quality control of reads
We checked the quality of our raw reads via FastQC (Andrews, Simon 2010) (v0.11.9) and
summarized the results via MultiQC (Ewels et al. 2016) (v1.11). Based on these and the used adapter
sequence, we filtered and trimmed reads via Trimmomatic (Bolger, Lohse, and Usadel 2014) (v 0.36)
with these parameters: ("ILLUMINACLIP:
novogene_adapter_sequences_Trimmomatic.fa:2:30:10:2:True LEADING:26 TRAILING:26
SLIDINGWINDOW:4:20 MINLEN:36"). We checked the quality of the trimmed reads with FastQC
and MultiQC again.
425
Genome annotation
The original annotation of *M. endlicherianum* (Cheng et al., 2019) had a lower number of genes
compared to other Zygnematophyceae algae. We took advantage of our newly generated RNAseq
dataset to improve genome annotation. Trimmed reads were mapped via HISAT2 (Pertea et al. 2016,
2) and assembled via StringTie (Pertea et al. 2016, 2). StringTie results showed many novel isoforms
as well as novel transcripts. We also used BUSCO V5 (Manni et al. 2021) to measure the
completeness of the gene models in annotation V1 independent of StringTie. Although the gene
prediction method which used by BUSCO at the genome level is very efficient, it is not unexpected if
it misses some proteins that were annotated in a genome via experimental, based on bioinformatic
methods and NGS data, or ab-initio based gene prediction methods. Therefore, we expect that the
BUSCO score based on the proteins of a gene model should be equal to or greater than the BUSCO
score of the genome. When we compared the BUSCO score between the genome and protein
sequences for *M. endlicherianum* with "viridiplantae.odb.10-2020-09-10", we noticed that they show
similar numbers (Suppl. Fig. 2). Therefore, we decided to re-annotate the genome of *M.
endlicherianum* with our comprehensive RNA-Seq datasets as well as public protein and genome
sequences published for its close relatives.
We annotated the *M. endlicherianum* genome using REAT (v0.6.1). Various gene models
were predicted based on different types of evidence and methods. The final gene models and
annotation V2 were based on agreement with the experimental evidence. At the end, we tried to
quantify "completeness" and quality of the new annotation V2 and the old V1.

First, we used RNAseq evidence with REAT's "Transcriptome Workflow" with HISAT2
(v2.2.1), Scallop (Shao and Kingsford 2017) (v0.10.5) and StringTie (v2.1.5). We also used Portcullis
(Mapleson et al. 2018) (v1.2.4) to identify genuine junctions based on short reads alignments. This
workflow uses Mikado (Venturini et al. 2018) (v2.3.4) to identify the "best" set of transcripts from
multiple transcript assemblies.
Then, we used gene homology information from representative streptophytes in REAT's
"Homology Workflow". SPALN (Gotoh 2008a; 2008b) (v2.4.7) was used to align representative
protein sequences onto the *M. endlicherianum* genome. The representative dataset consisted on
genome, gene models, and protein sequences of *Anthoceros agrestis* (Oxford strain) (Li et al. 2020),
Arabidopsis thaliana (C.-Y. Cheng et al. 2017), *Azolla filiculoides* (Li et al. 2018), *Chara braunii*
(Nishiyama et al. 2018), *Chlorokybus melkonianii* (Wang et al. 2020), *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*
(Merchant et al. 2007) (v5.6), *Klebsormidium nitens* (Hori et al. 2014), *Mesostigma viride* (Liang et
al. 2019), *Marchantia polymorpha* (Montgomery et al. 2020) (v6.1r1), *Penium margaritaceum* (Jiao
et al. 2020), *Physcomitrium patens* (Lang et al. 2018) (v3.3), *Selaginella moellendorffii* (Banks et al.
2011), and *Spirogloea muscicola* (S. Cheng et al. 2019). We also used the junction file produced by
Portcullis. Since there were no close relatives of *M. endlicherianum* on the SPALN species-specific
parameter set, we used three different closest possibilities (Angiosp, Chlospec, and MossWorts) and
built three models. These alignments are filtered using a predefined set of criteria (*cf.* code on
GitHub) including exon length, intron length, internal stop codon, among others. The final gene
models of V2 were prepared by Mikado.
Afterwards, we used REAT's "Prediction Workflow" to predict gene models *ab initio* and
based on RNAseq and homology evidence. This uses Augustus (Stanke et al. 2006; Stanke,
Tzvetkova, and Morgenstern 2006; Hoff and Stanke 2019) (v 3.4.0), SNAP (Korf 2004) (version
2006-07-28), Glimmer (Kelley et al. 2012) (v0.3.2), and CodingQuarry (Testa et al. 2015) (v2.0),
which generate different gene models as the raw material for EvidenceModeler (Haas et al. 2008)
(v1.1.1) that chooses the best set of exons and combine them in a gene model using weights (see
GitHub) that could be adjusted for each sort of prediction and evidence. To include UTRs where
possible, the EVM output is then processed by Mikado using UTR-containing gene models from the
transcriptome and homology workflows as inputs, as well gene models classified by REAT as gold,
silver, and bronze based on their agreement with the set of protein sequences from other streptophyte
genomes (streptophyte algae and land plants), transcriptome alignment, homology alignment, and
junctions. To train *ab initio* predictors, a user-defined number of models are randomly chosen in a
user-defined ratio between (10%) mono-exonic and (90%) multi-exonic. These models were chosen
from best classified models (gold and silver). For Augustus, we performed meta parameter
optimization and train a model with kfold=8. Beside *ab initio* predictions, we used Augustus to
predict gene models with three different weights for each evidence type as suggested by REAT
authors (*cf.* code on GitHub).
At last, we used Minos ("Minos - a Gene Model Consolidation Pipeline for Genome
Annotation Projects" [2019] 2022) which is gene model consolidation pipeline and produces external
metrics based on DIAMOND "BLASTp/BLASTx" (Buchfink, Xie, and Huson 2015), Kallisto (Bray
et al. 2016) (v0.46.2) expression quantification, coding potential calculator (CPC2 v0.1) (Kang et al.
2017, 2) and BUSCO assessments. These metrices pass through Mikado in combination with various
gene models produced with different methods (as mentioned above), Minos determines the best gene
model for each region based on user defined criteria (for details, see GitHub) and external metrics.
Minos also put a tag on each gene model to categorize them based on a user defined threshold (we
used default values) for sequence similarity coverage of homologs, BUSCO score, CPC score, TPM
expression, and transcript score into "high confidence", "low confidence", and "predicted genes".
493
Genome annotation assessment
We used two methods to compare the quality of the new gene model with the published one. We
compared the BUSCO scores of the annotated protein sequences as well as genome sequence using
the reference "viridiplantae.odb.10-2020-09-10" dataset. We also used maker (Campbell et al. 2014)
(v3.01.04) to calculate the AED (Eilbeck et al. 2009) to evaluate the agreement of the gene models
with external evidences. Maker-P was used to build the *M. endlicherianum* gene model V1.

500	Further, we used the maker package to perform functional annotation via InterProScan and
501	BLAST using agat (Dainat 2020) package (v0.9.2). Additionally, we performed a BLAST search
502	against A. thaliana protein sequences (Araport11) and reported the best hit for each sequence in
503	(Suppl. Table 11) and used eggNOGmapper (Huerta-Cepas et al. 2017; 2019) (v2.1.8) to perform
504	functional annotation. We used DIAMOND (Buchfink, Xie, and Huson 2015) (v2.0.15) with ultra-
505	sensitive mode, e value cutoff of $1e^{-7}$ and in an iterative manner. We used the protein sequences as our
506	inputs and Viridiplantae (33090) as our taxonomy scope.
507	

RNA-Seq analysis: Pseudoalignment

509	In order to quantify gene expression, we used Kallisto (Bray et al. 2016) (v0.45.0). We indexed the
510	transcriptome file with --kmer-size=31 parameter and used --bootstrap-samples 100 and --rf-stranded
511	to quantify gene expression based on pseudoaligned reads. We used MultiQC to obtain an overview
512	of alignment for each condition.
513	

Filtering, normalization, modeling mean-variance relationship, and data exploration

515	Kallisto quantification files were imported into R (v4.2.0) with tximport (Soneson, Love, and
516	Robinson 2016) (v1.24.0) to calculate the counts from abundance via "lengthScaledTPM" based on
517	our study design file (Suppl. Table 12). We used edgeR (Robinson, McCarthy, and Smyth 2010)
518	(v3.38.1) for filtering and TMM-normalization (Robinson and Oshlack 2010) of the reads (genes with
519	>1 count per million (CPM) at log2 scale in a least 3 samples—the number of replicates—were kept).
520	Then, we used the voom function from limma (Ritchie et al. 2015; Phipson et al. 2016; Law et al.
521	2014; Liu et al. 2015) (v3.52.2) to model mean-variance relationship. The normalized expression table
522	on the log2 scale is available in (Suppl. Table 13). We performed principal component analysis based
523	on the expression table output of voom and visualized the result with ggplot2 (Ggplot2 n.d., 2)
524	(v3.3.6). We visualized the heatmap of distance and Spearman correlation between all samples
525	considering all genes via pheatmap (v1.0.12) and calculated clusters via the Euclidian method.
526	

RNA-Seq analysis: Weighted gene co-expression network analysis

528	We used WGCNA (Langfelder and Horvath 2008; 2012) package (v1.71) with the expression table
529	produced by limma. We checked for and filtered out outliers as suggested by WGCNA authors
530	(Suppl. Fig. 10). Then, we visualized the scale free topology model fit (R^2) against the soft thresholds
531	(ßs) to pick a ß for our network construction (Suppl. Fig. 11). We used signed network type and
532	"bicor" as our correlation function for WGCNA. Based on these results, we picked 16 as our soft
533	threshold 'ß'. We experimentally chose a merging threshold if 0.25 after exploring different values
534	from 0.2 to 0.4 and investigating the relationship between eigengenes and temperature, light intensity,
535	F_vF_m, and absorption (Suppl. Fig. 12). We built the gene co-expression network using a merging
536	threshold of 0.25 for modules, maximum portion of outliers as 0.05 and minimum module size of 30.
537	Then, we visualized the correlation between each module eigengene and temperature, light intensity,
538	F_vF_m, and absorption to identify which modules are more related to each treatment (Figure 4c). We
539	provided a table for all genes, their module assignment, inter- and intramodular connectivity, gene
540	significance for temperature and light intensity, correlation with temperature and light intensity, and
541	their module membership (aka. Signed eigengene-based connectivity) in (Suppl. Table 5). We also
542	visualized the graphical representation of the topological overlap matrix of our samples (Suppl. Fig.
543	13). In order to have a visual representation of gene expression in each module, we drew heatmaps for
544	each module via pheatmap (using Euclidean method for calculating the distance and complete method
545	clustering) (Suppl. Fig. 14). GO enrichment analysis was performed via clusterProfiler package (Yu et
546	al. 2012; Wu et al. 2021) (v4.4.4) using the output of eggNOGmapper and adjusted p-value cut-off
547	0.05 and q-value cut-off of 0.05, considering only genes that are present in our GO term-to-gene table
548	which was expressed and passed filtering as our background gene universe (Suppl. Table 6).
549	Determining the proper background gene list has significant importance in enrichment analysis
550	(Wijesooriya et al. 2022).
551	To see how A. thaliana's well-known genes in stress-response mechanisms (downloaded
552	from TAIR database via keyword search) were distributed across different modules we performed
553	BLASTp searches against the new M. endlicherianum annotated proteins. We visualized the
554	distribution of these IDs for different stress-related keywords in (Suppl. Fig. 15) and the expression of

555 these genes across different samples via pheatmap (Suppl. Fig. 16). We defined as module hubs the
556 top 20 genes (nodes) with the highest connectivity within each module (Suppl. Table 5 and 14).
557
Differential gene expression analysis
We performed differential gene expression analysis using the limma package. We divided samples
into multiple groups as follows: low light intensity (21 and 39 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}), medium light
intensity (72 and 129 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}), high light intensity (329 and 527 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}),
low temperature (8 °C and 12 °C), medium temperature (17 °C, 20 °C, and 23 °C), high temperature
(26 °C and 29 °C; see grid/colored table layout in Figure 3). We performed all-against-all
comparisons and an additional comparison of those samples from an $F_v/F_m < 0.5$ versus low light
intensity + medium temperature. We used duplicateCorrelation as suggested by Smyth et al. (2005) to
consider technical replicates. We used clusterprofiler for GO-enrichment analysis (Wu et al. 2021)
with adjusted p-value and q-value cutoff of 0.01 and only genes that were expressed and passed
filtering as our background universe. The heatmap of gene expression profiles, dot plot and cnetplot
of enriched GO-terms for each comparison is available in (Suppl. Table 14 and Suppl. Fig. 17 to 25).
570
Phylogenetic analyses
We assembled a protein database based on the protein releases from the genomes of: *Anthoceros*
agrestis BONN (Li et al., 2020), *Anthoceros punctatus* (Li et al., 2020), *Amborella trichopoda*
(*Amborella* Genome Project, 2013), *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Lamesch et al., 2012)*, Azolla filiculoides*
(Li et al., 2018), *Bathycoccus prasinos* (Moreau et al., 2012), *Brassica oleracea* (Liu et al., 2014),
Brassica rapa (Wang et al., 2010)*, Brachypodium distachyon* (The International Brachypodium
Initiative, 2010)*, Capsella grandiflora* (Slotte et al., 2013)*, Chara braunii* (Nishiyama et al., 2018),
Chlorokybus atmophyticus (Wang et al., 2020), *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (Merchant et al., 2007),
Coccomyxa subellipsoidea (Blanc et al., 2012), *Gnetum montanum* (Wan et al., 2018)*, Klebsormidium*
nitens (Hori et al., 2014)*, Marchantia polymorpha* (Bowman et al., 2017)*, Mesostigma viride* (Wang
et al., 2020), *Micromonas pusilla, Micromonas* sp. (Worden et al., 2009), *Oryza sativa* (Ouyang et al.,
2007)*, Picea abies* (Nystedt et al., 2013)*, Physcomitrium patens* (Lang et al., 2018)*, Salvinia cucullata*
(Li et al., 2018)*, Selaginella moellendorffii* (Banks et al., 2011), *Solanum lycopersicum* (The Tomato
Genome Consortium, 2012), *Theobroma cacao* (Argout et al., 2011), *Mesotaenium endlicherianum*
(Cheng et al., 2019), *Ostreococcus lucimarinus* (Palenik et al., 2007), *Penium margaritaceum* (Jiao et
al., 2020), *Spirogloea muscicola* (Cheng et al., 2019), *Ulva mutabilis* (De Clerck et al., 2018), *Volvox*
carteri (Prochnik et al., 2010).
Homologs for proteins were detected using BLASTp with Arabidopsis and *Mesotaenium*
proteins as query against the aforementioned proteins as database. Alignments were computed using
MAFFT v7.490 (Katoh and Standley, 2013). All phylogenies were computed with IQ-TREE
multicore version 1.5.5 (Nguyen et al., 2015); their respective best model for protein evolution was
determined using ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017) according to Bayesian Information
Criterion and 1000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates; 1000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates (Hoang et al.,
2018) were carried out and 100 Felsenstein bootstraps (Felsenstein, 1985) for the LDAP phylogeny.
595
Differential interference contrast and confocal laser scanning microscopy
Differential interference contrast (DIC) imaging was done for all replicates from the table with a
Olympus BX-60 microscope (Olympus, Japan) with a ProgRes C14plus camera and the ProgRes®
CapturePro Software (version 2.9.01) (JENOPTIK AG, Jena, Germany). The morphology of chosen
conditions (see Supplemental Figure 1) of *Mesotaenium* cells that were 89 h on the table was
analyzed.
For algae that were used for quantifying the abundance of lipid droplet per cell, a ZEISS Axioscope 7
microscope (Carl Zeiss, Germany) was used including the ZEN software (Carl Zeiss, Germany). Lipid
droplet count was carried out in FIJI (Schindelin et al., 2012). For statistical analysis of the lipid
droplet count data, we first used a Shapiro-Wilk test (Shapiro and Wilk, 1965) to assess normality and
used Mann-Whitney U tests (Mann and Whitney, 1947) with R (version 3.6.1) accordingly.
Confocal laser scanning microscope was done on a Zeiss LSM780 (Carl Zeiss) set as in
Müller et al. (2017). For the staining of the LD structures, we used the neutral lipid specific stain
BODIPY™ 493/503 (EM/EX) (Merck). *Mesotaenium* cells were grown for 22 days on WHM-

medium at 70-80 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} and 22°C. These cells were ultrasonicated for 1 min with 1:500
BODIPY and incubated on a shaker for 5 min before visualization.
612
Lipid droplet isolation and proteomics
For lipid droplet isolation 23 days old *Mesotaenium* cells grown on WHM-Medium at 70-80 µmol
photons m^{-2} s^{-1} and 22 °C were homogenized using a Tenbroeck or potter homogenizer in lipid droplet
isolation buffer (10 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.5, 200 µM PMFS, 0.5 mM DSP, 10 mM N-
Ethylmaleimide). The resulting centrifuged supernatant of a 100 x g spin for 1 min was considered as
total extract (TE). After two further high speed centrifugations (SW40 Ti for 1h, 4°C at 100000 x *g*,
TLA120 for 1h at 100000 x *g* and 4°C) the floating fat pad was precipitated at -20 °C using 100%
ethanol overnight. The precipitated pellet was washed with 80% ethanol twice, dried and then
suspended in 6M urea. Protein concentration was determined using BCA. An in-gel SDS gel digestion
was done with trypsin adapted from Shevchenko et al. (1996). C18 Stage tip purification was done
according (Rappsilber et al., 2003; 2007). Protein samples were analyses using LC-MS. For this,
peptide samples were reconstituted in 20 µl LC-MS sample buffer (2% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic
acid). 2 µl of each sample were subjected to reverse phase liquid chromatography for peptide
separation using an RSLCnano Ultimate 3000 system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Therefore, peptides
were loaded on an Acclaim PepMap 100 pre-column (100 µm x 2 cm, C18, 5 µm, 100 Å; Thermo
Fisher Scientific) with 0.07% trifluoroacetic acid at a flow rate of 20 µL/min for 3 min. Analytical
separation of peptides was done on an Acclaim PepMap RSLC column (75 µm x 50 cm, C18, 2 µm,
100 Å; Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a flow rate of 300 nL/min. The solvent composition was
gradually changed within 94 min from 96 % solvent A (0.1 % formic acid) and 4 % solvent B (80 %
acetonitrile, 0.1 % formic acid) to 10 % solvent B within 2 minutes, to 30 % solvent B within the next
58 min, to 45% solvent B within the following 22 min, and to 90 % solvent B within the last 12 min
of the gradient. All solvents and acids had Optima grade for LC-MS (Thermo Fisher Scientific).
Eluting peptides were on-line ionized by nano-electrospray (nESI) using the Nanospray Flex Ion
Source (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 1.5 kV (liquid junction) and transferred into a Q Exactive HF
mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Full scans in a mass range of 300 to 1650 m/z were
recorded at a resolution of 30,000 followed by data-dependent top 10 HCD fragmentation at a
resolution of 15,000 (dynamic exclusion enabled). LC-MS method programming and data acquisition
was performed with the XCalibur 4.0 software (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Afterwards the raw
proteome data were analyzed using Max Quant software version 1.6.2.10 (Cox and Mann, 2008). The
database for this analysis was our new V2 gene model data. The data were then further processed by
the Perseus (1.6.2.2) software (Cox et al., 2008; Tyanova et al., 2016).
644
Data availability
All RNAseq reads have been uploaded to NCBI SRA and can be accessed under Bioproject
PRJNA832564 and SRA accessions SRR18936040 to SRR18936170. Codes and Data used for
genome re-annotaiton, WGCNA and differential gene expression analysis are available on our GitHub
page   https://github.com/deVries-
lab/Response_to_a_gradient_of_environmental_cues_in_mesotaenium_endlicherianum.   Proteomic
data have been uploaded to PRIDE. Furthermore, data can be interactively explored at
https://mesotaenium.uni-goettingen.de
653

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
We thank René Heise for excellent technical support. J.d.V. thanks the European Research Council
for funding under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant
Agreement No. 852725; ERC-StG "TerreStriAL"). J.d.V., U.H., and H.B. are grateful for support
through the German Research Foundation (DFG) within the framework of the Priority Programme
"MAdLand – Molecular Adaptation to Land: Plant Evolution to Change" (SPP 2237; VR 132/4-1; BU
2301/6-1), in which T.R. is a PhD student and A.D., J.M.R.F.-J, and I.I. partake as associate members.
A.D. is grateful for being supported through the International Max Planck Research School (IMPRS)
for Genome Science. J.M.R.F.-J. and T.R. gratefully acknowledge support by the Ph.D. program
"Microbiology and Biochemistry" within the framework of the "Göttingen Graduate Center for
Neurosciences, Biophysics, and Molecular Biosciences" (GGNB) at the University of Goettingen.

P.S. was supported by the GGNB in frame of the PRoTECT program at the University of Goettingen. T.I. acknowledges funding from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG; GRK 2172-PRoTECT). M.M. is supported by Singaporean Ministry of Education grant T2EP30122-0001. P.S. is grateful for support from the Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes. We thank Prof. Dr. Christiane Gatz and Dr. Guido Kriete for giving us access to the ImagMAX/L PAM in the Department of Plant Molecular Biology and Physiology.

CONTRIBUTIONS

J.d.V. and M.L. conceived the project. J.d.V. coordinated the project with M.M. M.L. provided plant materials. J.M.R.F.-J., T.D., and T.R. performed experimental work. A.D. carried out computational analysis. O.V., J.M.R.F.-J., P.S., T.I., D.K. and G.H.B. performed proteomics. H.B. investigated cell division patterns. M.H. and U.H. investigated photomorphogenesis patterns. A.D. and R.S. built web resources. J.d.V., A.D., and J.M.R.F.-J. contributed to writing the manuscript. J.d.V. organized the manuscript. All authors commented, discussed, and provided input on the final manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURES

Supplemental Figure 1. F_v/F_m and absorption values of all replicates of gradient tables; representative micrographs of the most extreme corners and of vividly growing algae along the two gradients.

Supplemental Figure 2. BUSCO comparison between genome, protein sequences V1, protein sequences V2

Supplemental Figure 3. Cumulative fraction of annotation vs AED plot for gene model V1 and V2

Supplemental Figure 4. Module membership versus Gene Significance for genes in different modules with respect to F_v/F_m

Supplemental Figure 5. Module membership versus Gene Significance for genes in different modules with respect to Temperature

Supplemental Figure 6. Module membership versus Gene Significance for genes in different modules with respect to light intensity

Supplemental Figure 7. Heatmap of the correlation between module eigengenes and light intensity, temperature, absorption, replicate, and F_v/F_m as well as student test p-value

Supplemental Figure 8. The GLK alignment

Supplemental Figure 9. Absorption spectra of all replicates at chosen conditions.

Supplemental Figure 10. Sample dendrogram and trait heatmap to identify outliers for WGCNA

Supplemental Figure 11. Picking a soft threshold for WGCNA based on scale independence and Mean connectivity

Supplemental Figure 12. Clustering of different modules and traits based for identifying a merging threshold

Supplemental Figure 13. The graphical representation of the topological overlap matrix

Supplemental Figure 14. Heatmap of gene expression Z-score values for each module

Supplemental Figure 15. Distribution of best blast hit of *A. thaliana* stress response genes among WGCNA modules

Supplemental Figure 16. Heatmap of best blast hit of *A. thaliana* stress response genes in *M. endlicherianum* across different growth conditions

Supplemental Figure 17. Dotplot, cnetplot and heatmaps of DEGs comparing FvFm control vs stress

Supplemental Figure 18. Dotplot, cnetplot and heatmaps of DEGs comparing HLI_HT vs LLI_MT

Supplemental Figure 19. Dotplot, cnetplot and heatmaps of DEGs comparing MLI_HT vs LLI_MT

Supplemental Figure 20. Dotplot, cnetplot and heatmaps of DEGs comparing LLI_HT vs LLI_MT

Supplemental Figure 21. Dotplot, cnetplot and heatmaps of DEGs comparing HLI_MT vs LLI_MT

Supplemental Figure 22. Dotplot, cnetplot and heatmaps of DEGs comparing MLI_MT vs LLI_MT

Supplemental Figure 23. Dotplot, cnetplot and heatmaps of DEGs comparing HLI_LT vs LLI_MT

Supplemental Figure 24. Dotplot, cnetplot and heatmaps of DEGs comparing MLI_LT vs LLI_MT

Supplemental Figure 25. Dotplot, cnetplot and heatmaps of DEGs comparing MLI_LT vs LLI_MT

Supplemental Figure 26. Fully-labeled phylogenies of hub genes.

724   **SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES**

743   **FIGURE LEGENDS**
744
Figure 1: A fine-combed setup for assessing environmental cues in Mesotaenium. (a) Cladogram
of Streptophyta, highlighting that *Mesotaenium endlicherianum* SAG 12.97 is a representative of the
closest algal relatives of land plants. (b) *Mesotaenium endlicherianum* grown in C-medium in 42
twelve-well plates on a gradient table that produces a temperature range of 8.6±0.5 °C to 29.2±0.5 °C
on the x-axis and an irradiance gradient of 21.0±2.0 to 527.9±14.0 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} on the y-axis.
(c) Overview of the measured maximum quantum yield F_v/F_m as a proxy for gross physiology (blue)
and Absorption (Abs.) at 480 (orange) and 680 nm (green); individual replicates of the biological
triplicates are shown on the left and the average values are shown on the right. (d) Statistical analysis
of the physiological values (F_v/F_m, Abs. 480 nm, Abs. 680 nm). Numbers correspond to
environmental conditions on the table. Biological triplicates were grouped into significant groups (a-
u) with R (version 4.1.3) using a Kruskal-Wallis test coupled with Fisher's least significance; *p* values
were Bonferroni corrected. Significant differences at $p \leq 0.001$ are shown as letters. (e) Heatmaps
displaying averaged physiological values of the 42 conditions sorted either by (i) temperature or (ii)
light. A cut-off was set (black vertical line) based on the distribution of the highest values, which were
then summed up to determine a positive correlation with temperature or light conditions. (f) Two
principal component analyses (PCA) showing the correlation of light conditions (left) or temperature
conditions (right) to physiological values (F_v/F_m, Abs. 480, 680 nm). Clusters are shown in different
colors, which are also visualized in an overview scheme of the gradient table at the top of the plots.
763
Figure 2: Global profiles of environment-governed gene expression response. (a) Principal
component analysis (PCA), visualizing PC1 and PC2. Backgrounds were drawn to highlight our
interpretation of the observed trends; samples are coded by color (temperature) and symbols
(irradiance in µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}). (b) Visualization of Euclidean distances between samples via
heatmap, from red, zero distance, to blue, furthest distance (a distance of 300). (c) Heatmap of
Spearman correlation between samples, from red, maximum correlation (1.0), to blue, least
correlation (< 0.8). The clusters were calculated via the Euclidean distance. (d) PC1 and PC2
scrutinized using a small multiples method of light intensity and (e) temperature. In (d) shades of gray
corresponds to different light intensities. In (e) different colors represent different temperatures and
were mapped with the same colors as (a).
774

Figure 3: Stress-titratable global differential gene expression profiles. To perform differential gene expression analysis, we divided the table into 9 sectors (see scheme of the table); additionally, a tenth group was raised based on Fv/Fm < 0.5. Linear models were fitted for each gene and empirical Bayes statistics computed for differentially expressed genes (DEGs) by the limma package. In total, 37 comparisons were made. DEGs were defined as genes with an absolute fold change ≥ 2 and BH-adjusted *p* value lesser than 0.01. (a) Volcano plots of DEGs for 9 selected comparisons based on the sectors and the Fv/Fm < 0.5 criterion. (b) Heatmaps of numbers of DEGs for all sector-based comparisons (blue, downregulation; red, upregulation; yellow, sum of up- and down-regulated genes); grey bars label the first component (treatment) for calculating the contrasts (treatment vs. control). (c) Biological theme comparison summarizing all GO-term enrichment analysis with adjusted p-value $\leq$ 0.01 of DEGs against all genes that were expressed and passed the filtering in our analyses as background. The size of each circle is proportional to the count of each GO-term. Only the top 30 enriched terms are shown. (d) Wordle of the 124 genes that showed significant regulation across multiple comparisons shown in Figure 3a; word size correspond to the number of comparisons (based on (a)) in which a gene appeared.

Figure 4: Unsupervised gene expression clusters recover genetic programs separated by environmental cues. Gene expression clustering into 26 colored modules was performed using WGCNA; grey is the module of unclustered genes. (a) Hierarchical cluster tree of 17,095 genes. The heat map below the dendrogram shows the gene significance measure (from red, positive correlation, to white, no correlation, to blue, negative correlation) for the four different conditions / physiological parameters. (b) Heat map of the module–trait correlation based on eigengenes (from red, positive correlation, to white, no correlation, to blue, negative correlation); see Suppl. Fig. 7 (c) Bar plots of the mean gene significance across modules (given in the corresponding module color) towards the parameters light intensity, temperature, and F_v/F_m. (d) Enriched GO-terms for eight of the 26 modules; each inset shows the gene expression profiles of all genes in a given module. (e) Arabidopsis homologs for key processes were mined based on keywords; they were retrieved from a look-up table of BLASTp hits in a search of *Mesotaenium* V2 against *A. thaliana* representative protein sequences. Bar charts show the percentage of detected *Mesotaenium* homologs across the modules relative to the number of all Arabidopsis IDs assigned to the terms. No blast hit was not depicted. Abbreviations: proc. = process; reg. = regulation; biogen. = biogenesis; develop. = development; pos. = positive; neg. = negative; init. = initiation; GEP = Gene expression profile; med. = mediated; dep. = dependent; modif. = modification; conjug. = conjugation; anneal. = annealing; compl. = complex; synth. = synthesis; resp. = response; transf. = transferring.

Figure 5: Molecular programs for environmental responses around recurrent plant hubs. Visualization of the co-expression networks clustered by WGCNA into the modules blue (3,101 genes), yellow (1,427 genes), green (1,220 genes), purple (506 genes), and pink (718 genes). Nodes, circles representing genes, are connected by edges whose weight is based on a weighted topological overlap matrix (TOM); weight is shown in a color gradient from light to dark indicating low to high topological overlap values in the TOM. Brightly colored nodes represent the 20 most connected genes (hubs) and are annotated; all other nodes are depicted in the corresponding paler color. Hubs are annotated based on homology. Around the clusters, different protein-coding hub genes are highlighted, giving information such as predicted domain structures or phylogenetic relationships; fully-labelled phylogenies are deposited in Supp. Fig. 26. Circles in phylogenies represent ultrafast bootstrap support, with larger circles represent high/full support; diamond symbols indicate high (>90) support for branches separating highlighted clades. An alignment of GLK homologs can be found in Suppl. Fig. 8.

Figure 6: Lipid droplets accumulate in *Mesotaenium* upon changing environments. (a) Differential interference contrast (DIC) and confocal laser scanning micrographs of *Mesotaenium endlicherianum* SAG 12.97 cells accumulating lipid droplets (LDs; arrows). Cells were either subjected to different temperature/light conditions (see abbreviations below) of the gradient table for 89 h or 216 h. For confocal microscopy, algae were cultured independent of table conditions at 75 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} and 22°C for 22 days. LDs are visible as distinct globular structures in NIC and

were stained by the lipid stain BODIPY^{TM} (false-colored green; 493 nm excitation; 503 nm emission) and chlorophyll autofluorescence (false-colored purple). (b) Violin plots of LD quantification after 9 days of exposure to different environmental conditions including statistical analysis using Mann-Whitney *U* statistics (significance grouping based on *p* value < 0.05; see also Suppl. Fig. 27) (c) Heat map of row-scaled z-scores of the expression of homologs for LD biogenesis and function (see also Suppl. Fig. 28). Temperature/light conditions are displayed at the bottom as symbols in different colors, *Arabidopsis thaliana* (AT) identifiers based on BLASTp search are shown on the right (d) Proteomic investigation into a lipid enriched phase extracted from *Mesotaenium endlicherianum* SAG 12.97 cells showing enrichment in hallmark proteins of LDs. Volcano plot showing significantly (FDR<0.05) enriched *Mesotaenium* proteins in the lipid enriched phase (right side) compared to proteins of the total extract (left side). Hallmark *A. thaliana* LD protein identifiers are annotated based on BLAST. Top bar on the left plot shows the relative, normalized iBAQ values for ten LD signature protein detected in *Mesotaenium*. Bottom bar plot shows the log2 enrichment of proteins characteristic for sub-cellular compartments. (LLI = Low light, LT = low temperature, MLI = moderate light, MT = moderate temperature, HLI = high light, HT = high temperature).

REFERENCES

Amborella Genome Project et al. The Amborella Genome and the Evolution of Flowering Plants. Science 342, 1241089–1241089 (2013).

Banks, J. A., et al. The *Selaginella* genome identifies genetic changes associated with the evolution of vascular plants. Science 332, 960–63. (2011).

Bar-On, Y. M., Phillips, R. & Milo, R. The biomass distribution on Earth. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 115, 6506–6511 (2018).

Bayer, P. E., Golicz, A. A., Scheben, A., Batley, J. & Edwards, D. Plant pan-genomes are the new reference. Nat. Plants 6, 914–920 (2020).

Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30, 2114–20. (2014).

Bowman, J. L., Briginshaw, L. N., Fisher, T. J. & Flores-Sandoval, E. Something ancient and something neofunctionalized— evolution of land plant hormone signaling pathways. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 47, 64–72 (2019).

Bray, N. L., Pimentel, H., Melsted, P. & Pachter, L. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-Seq quantification. Nat Biotechnol. 34, 525–27. (2016).

Buchfink, B., Xie, C. & Huson, D. H. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. Nat Methods 12, 59–60. (2015).

Campbell, Michael S., Carson Holt, Barry Moore, and Mark Yandell. 2014. "Genome Annotation and Curation Using MAKER and MAKER-P." Current Protocols in Bioinformatics 48 (1): 4.11.1-4.11.39. https://doi.org/10.1002/0471250953.bi0411s48.

Carella, P. et al. Conserved biochemical defenses underpin host responses to oomycete infection in an early-divergent land plant lineage. Curr Biol 29, 2282-2294.e5 (2019).

Chen, R. E. & Thorner, J. Function and regulation in MAPK signaling pathways: Lessons learned from the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell Research 1773, 1311–1340 (2007).

Chen, X. et al. Protein kinases in plant responses to drought, salt, and cold stress. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 63, 53–78 (2021).

Cheng, C.-Y., Krishnakumar, V., Chan, A. P., Thibaud-Nissen, F., Schobel, S. & Town, C D. Araport11: A Complete Reannotation of the Arabidopsis Thaliana Reference Genome. Plant J 89, 789–804. (2017).

Cheng, S., et al. Genomes of subaerial Zygnematophyceae provide insights into land plant
evolution. Cell 179, 1057-1067.e14. (2019).

Conover, W.J. Practical Nonparametrics Statistics. (1999) 3rd Edition, John Wiley & Sons Inc.,
New York

Cox, J. & Mann, M. MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized p.p.b.-
range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. Nat Biotechnol 26, 1367-1372.
(2008).

Dainat, J. AGAT: another gff analysis toolkit to handle annotations in any gtf/gff format. Version
V0 4: 10–5281. (2020).

De Clerck, O. et al. Insights into the Evolution of Multicellularity from the Sea Lettuce Genome.
Current Biology 28, 2921-2933.e5 (2018).

de Vries, J. & Ischebeck, T. Ties between stress and lipid droplets pre-date seeds. Trends Plant
Sci. 25, 1203-1214. (2020).

de Vries, J. et al. Heat stress response in the closest algal relatives of land plants reveals
conserved stress signaling circuits. The Plant Journal 324, 1064–24 (2020).

de Vries, J., Curtis, B. A., Gould, S. B. & Archibald, J. M. Embryophyte stress signaling evolved
in the algal progenitors of land plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 115, E3471–E3480 (2018).

Doner, N. M. *et al.* Arabidopsis thaliana EARLY RESPONSIVE TO DEHYDRATION 7
Localizes to Lipid Droplets via Its Senescence Domain. *Front. Plant Sci.* **12**, 658961 (2021).

Eilbeck, K., Moore, B., Holt, C. & Yandell, M. Quantitative measures for the management and
comparison of annotated genomes. BMC Bioinformatics 10, 67. (2009).

Ewels, P., Magnusson, M., Lundin, S. & Käller, M. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for
multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics 32, 3047–48. (2016).

Felsenstein, J. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39,
783-791. (1985).

Friedl, T. & Lorenz, M. The Culture Collection of Algae at Göttingen University (SAG): a
biological resource for biotechnological and biodiversity research. Procedia Environ Sci 15:110–117.
(2012).

Gasulla, F. et al. The response of *Asterochloris erici* (Ahmadjian) Skaloud et Peksa to
desiccation: a proteomic approach. Plant Cell Environ 36, 1363–1378 (2013).

Ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. accessed. July 20, 2022.
https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-3-319-24277-4.

Gidda, S. K. *et al.* Lipid Droplet-Associated Proteins (LDAPs) Are Required for the Dynamic
Regulation of Neutral Lipid Compartmentation in Plant Cells. *Plant Physiol.* **170**, 2052–2071 (2016).

Golicz, A. A., Batley, J. & Edwards, D. Towards plant pangenomics. Plant Biotechnol J 14,
1099–1105 (2016).

Gordon, S. P. et al. Extensive gene content variation in the Brachypodium distachyon pan-
genome correlates with population structure. Nat Commun 8, 2184 (2017).

Gotoh O. Direct mapping and alignment of protein sequences onto genomic sequence.
Bioinformatics 24, 2438–44. (2008b).

Gotoh, O. A space-efficient and accurate method for mapping and aligning cdna sequences onto
genomic sequence. Nucleic Acids Research 36,: 2630–38. (2008a).

Haas, B. J., *et al.* Automated eukaryotic gene structure annotation using EVidenceModeler and
the program to assemble spliced alignments. Genome Biology 9, R7. (2008).

Hématy, K. *et al.* A Receptor-like Kinase Mediates the Response of Arabidopsis Cells to the
Inhibition of Cellulose Synthesis. Curr Biol. 17, 922–931 (2007).

Hess, S. *et al.* A phylogenomically informed five-order system for the closest relatives of land
plants. Curr Biol. 32, 4473-4482 (2022).

Higashi, Y., Okazaki, Y., Myouga, F., Shinozaki, K. & Saito, K. Landscape of the lipidome and
transcriptome under heat stress in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Sci Rep* **5**, 10533 (2015).

Hoang, D. T., Chernomor, O., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B. Q. & Vinh, L. S. UFBoot2: Improving
the Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. Molecular Biology and Evolution 35, 518–522 (2018).

Hoff, K. J. & Stanke, M. Predicting genes in single genomes with AUGUSTUS. Curr Protoc
Bioinformatics 65, e57 (2019).

Honkanen, S. & Small, I. The GENOMES UNCOUPLED1 protein has an ancient, highly
conserved role but not in retrograde signalling. New Phytologist 236, 99–113 (2022).

Hori, K., *et al. Klebsormidium flaccidum* genome reveals primary factors for plant terrestrial
adaptation. Nature Communications 5, 3978. (2014).

Huerta-Cepas, J., et al. EggNOG 5.0: A hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically annotated
orthology resource based on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. Nucl Acids Res 47, D309–14. (2019).

Huerta-Cepas, J., Forslund, K., Coelho, L. P., Szklarczyk, D., Jensen, L. J., von Mering, C. &
Bork, P. Fast genome-wide functional annotation through orthology assignment by eggnog-mapper.
Mol Biol Evol 34, 2115–22. (2017).

Hundertmark, M. & Hincha, D. K. LEA (Late Embryogenesis Abundant) proteins and their
encoding genes in Arabidopsis thaliana. BMC Genomics 9, 118–22 (2008).

Ichimura, T. Sexual cell division and conjugation-papilla formation in sexual reproduction
of Closterium strigosum. In Proceedings of the Seventh International Seaweed Symposium,
University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, p. 208-214. (1971).

James, C. N. et al. Disruption of the Arabidopsis CGI-58 homologue produces Chanarin–
Dorfman-like lipid droplet accumulation in plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107, 17833–17838
(2010).

Jiao, C., et al. The *Penium margaritaceum* genome: hallmarks of the origins of land plants." Cell
181, 1097-1111.e12. (2020).

Jiao, Y., Lau, O. S. & Deng, X. W. Light-regulated transcriptional networks in higher plants. Nat
Rev Genet 8, 217–230 (2007).

Ju, C. et al. Conservation of ethylene as a plant hormone over 450 million years of evolution.
Nature Plants 1, 14004 (2015).

Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B. Q., Wong, T. K. F., von Haeseler, A. & Jermiin, L. S.
ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nat. Methods 14, 587–589
(2017)

Kang, Y.-J., Yang, D.-C., Kong, L., Hou, M., Meng, Y.-Q., Wei, L. & Gao, G. CPC2: a fast and
accurate coding potential calculator based on sequence intrinsic features." Nucleic Acids Research 45,
W12–16. (2017).

Kelley, D. R., Liu, B., Delcher, A. L., Pop, M. & Salzberg, S. L. Gene prediction with glimmer
for metagenomic sequences augmented by classification and clustering. Nucl Acids Res 40, e9.
(2012).

Kleine, T. et al. Acclimation in plants – the Green Hub consortium. Plant J 106, 23–40 (2021).

Ko, J.-H., Kim, J. H., Jayanty, S. S., Howe, G. A. & Han, K.-H. Loss of function of COBRA, a
determinant of oriented cell expansion, invokes cellular defence responses in Arabidopsis thaliana.
Journal of Experimental Botany 57, 2923–2936 (2006).

Korf, I. Gene finding in novel genomes. BMC Bioinformatics 5, 59. (2004).

Krawczyk, H. E. *et al.* Heat stress leads to rapid lipid remodeling and transcriptional adaptations
in *Nicotiana tabacum* pollen tubes. Plant Physiol. 189, 490-515. (2022).

Kretzschmar, F. K., *et al.* Identification of Low-Abundance Lipid Droplet Proteins in Seeds and
Seedlings. Plant Physiol. 182, 1326–1345. (2020).

Lamesch, P. et al. The Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR): Improved gene annotation and
new tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 40, D1202–D1210. (2012).

Lang, D., et al. The *Physcomitrella patens* chromosome-scale assembly reveals moss Genome
structure and evolution. Plant J. 93, 515–33. (2018).

Langfelder, P. & Horvath, S. "WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis.
BMC Bioinformatics 9, 559. (2008).

Langfelder, P. & Horvath, S. Fast R functions for robust correlations and hierarchical clustering. J
Stat Software 46, 1–17. (2012).

Lass A, *et al.* Adipose triglyceride lipase-mediated lipolysis of cellular fat stores is activated by
CGI-58 and defective in Chanarin-Dorfman Syndrome. Cell Metab 3, 309–319. (2006).

Law, C. W., Chen, Y., Shi, W. & Smyth, G. K. voom: precision weights unlock linear model
analysis tools for RNA-seq read counts. Genome Biol. 15, R29. (2014).

Lenton, T. M. *et al*. Earliest land plants created modern levels of atmospheric oxygen. Proc. Natl.
Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 113, 9704–9709 (2016).

Li-Beisson, Y., Thelen, J. J., Fedosejevs, E. & Harwood, J. L. The lipid biochemistry of
eukaryotic algae. Progress in Lipid Research 74, 31–68 (2019).

Li, F.-W., et al. *Anthoceros* genomes illuminate the origin of land plants and the unique biology
of hornworts. Nat Plants 6, 259–72. (2020).

Li, F.-W., et al. Fern genomes elucidate land plant evolution and cyanobacterial symbioses.
Nature Plants 4, 460–72. (2018).

Liang, Z., et al. *Mesostigma viride* genome and transcriptome provide insights into the origin and
evolution of streptophyta. Advanced Sci. 7, 1901850. (2019).

Listenberger, L. L., and Brown, D. A. (2007) Fluorescent Detection of Lipid Droplets and
Associated Proteins. Curr Protoc Cell Biol. 35, 24.2.1-24.2.11

Liu, L.-J. et al. COP1-Mediated Ubiquitination of CONSTANS Is Implicated in Cryptochrome
Regulation of Flowering in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell 20, 292–306 (2008).

Liu, R., et al. Why weight? Modelling sample and observational level variability improves power
in RNA-Seq Analyses." Nucleic Acids Research 43 (15): e97. (2015).

Liu, S. et al. The Brassica oleracea genome reveals the asymmetrical evolution of polyploid
genomes. Nat. Commun. 5, 3930. (2014).

Mann, H.B. and Whitney, D.R. (1947) On a test of whether one of two random variables is
stochastically larger than the other. Ann. Math. Statist. 1, 50–60.

Manni, M., Berkeley, M. R., Seppey, M., Simão, F. A. & Zdobnov, E. M. BUSCO update: novel
and streamlined workflows along with broader and deeper phylogenetic coverage for scoring of
eukaryotic, prokaryotic, and viral genomes. Molecular Biology and Evolution 38 (10): 4647–54.
(2021).

Mapleson, D., Venturini, L., Kaithakottil, G. & Swarbreck, D. Efficient and accurate detection of
splice junctions from RNA-Seq with portcullis." GigaScience 7, giy131. (2018).

Marchant, D. B., et al. Dynamic genome evolution in a model fern. Nature Plants 8, 1038–1051.
(2022).

Martín, G. et al. Phytochrome and retrograde signalling pathways converge to antagonistically regulate a light-induced transcriptional network. Nat Commun 7, 11431 (2016).

Meng, X. & Zhang, S. MAPK Cascades in Plant Disease Resistance Signaling. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 51, 245–266 (2013).

Merchant, S. S., et al. The *Chlamydomonas* genome reveals the evolution of key animal and plant functions. Science 318, 245–50. (2007).

Minos. Minos - a Gene Model Consolidation Pipeline for Genome Annotation Projects. Python. EI-CoreBioinformatics. https://github.com/EI-CoreBioinformatics/minos. (2019).

Montgomery, S. A., et al. Chromatin organization in early land plants reveals an ancestral association between H3K27me3, transposons, and constitutive heterochromatin. Curr Biol 30, 573-588.e7. (2020).

Moreau, H. et al. Gene functionalities and genome structure in *Bathycoccus prasinos* reflect cellular specializations at the base of the green lineage. Genome Biology 13, R74 (2012).

Moreno, J. C., Mi, J., Alagoz, Y. & Al‐Babili, S. Plant apocarotenoids: from retrograde signaling to interspecific communication. Plant J 105, 351–375 (2021).

Mueller, S. P., Krause, D. M., Mueller, M. J. & Fekete, A. Accumulation of extra-chloroplastic triacylglycerols in *Arabidopsis* seedlings during heat acclimation. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **66**, 4517–4526 (2015).

Müller, A. O., Blersch, K. F., Gippert, A. L. & Ischebeck, T. Tobacco pollen tubes – a fast and easy tool for studying lipid droplet association of plant proteins. Plant J 89, 1055–1064 (2017).

Nakagami, H., Pitzschke, A. & Hirt, H. Emerging MAP kinase pathways in plant stress signalling. Trends in Plant Science 10, 339–346 (2005).

Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B. Q. IQ-TREE: A Fast and Effective Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. Molecular Biology and Evolution 32, 268–274 (2015).

Nishimura, K., Kato, Y. & Sakamoto, W. Chloroplast Proteases: Updates on Proteolysis within and across Suborganellar Compartments. Plant Physiol. 171, 2280–2293 (2016).

Nishiyama, T., et al. The *Chara* genome: secondary complexity and implications for plant terrestrialization." Cell 174 (2): 448-464.e24. (2018).

Nystedt, B., Street, N. R., Wetterbom, A., et al. (2013) The Norway spruce genome sequence and conifer genome evolution. Nature, 497, 579-584.

One Thousand Plant Transcriptomes Initiative. One thousand plant transcriptomes and the phylogenomics of green plants. Nature 574, 679–685 (2019).

Ordoñez-Herrera, N. et al. The Transcription Factor COL12 Is a Substrate of the COP1/SPA E3 Ligase and Regulates Flowering Time and Plant Architecture. Plant Physiol. 176, 1327–1340 (2018).

Ouyang, S., Zhu, W., Hamilton, J., et al. (2007) The TIGR Rice Genome Annotation Resource: improvements and new features. Nucleic Acids Res., 35, D883–D887.

Pertea, M., Kim, D., Pertea, G. M., Leek, J. T. & Salzberg, S. L. Transcript-level expression analysis of RNA-Seq experiments with HISAT, StringTie and Ballgown. Nat Protoc 11, 1650–67. (2016).

Pfalz, J., Liere, K., Kandlbinder, A., Dietz, K.-J. & Oelmüller, R. pTAC2, -6, and -12 are components of the transcriptionally active plastid chromosome that are required for plastid gene expression. Plant Cell 18, 176–197 (2006).

Phipson, B., Lee, S., Majewski, I. J., Alexander, W.S. & Smyth, G. K. Robust hyperparameter estimation protects against hypervariable genes and improves power to detect differential expression. Ann Appl Stat 10, 946–63. (2016).

Planas-Riverola, A. et al. Brassinosteroid signaling in plant development and adaptation to stress.
Development 146, dev151894 (2019).

Prochnik, S. E. et al. Genomic analysis of organismal complexity in the multicellular green alga
volvox carteri. Science 329, 223–226 (2010).

Rappsilber, J., Ishihama, Y., and Mann, M. Stop and go extraction tips for matrix-assisted laser
desorption/ionization, nanoelectrospray, and LC/MS sample pretreatment in proteomics. Anal Chem
75, 663-670. (2003).

Rappsilber, J., Mann, M. & Ishihama, Y. Protocol for micro-purification, enrichment, pre-
fractionation and storage of peptides for proteomics using StageTips. Nat Protoc 2, 1896-
1906. (2007).

REAT. "REAT - Robust and Extendable Eukaryotic Annotation Toolkit." n.d. Accessed July 19,
2022. https://github.com/EI-CoreBioinformatics/reat.

Rieseberg, T. P. et al. Crossroads in the evolution of plant specialized metabolism. Seminars in
Cell & Developmental Biology S1084952122000738 (2022) doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2022.03.004.

Ritchie, M, E., et al. Limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and
microarray studies. Nucl Acids Res 43, e47–e47. (2015).

Robinson, M. D. & Oshlack, A. A scaling normalization method for differential expression
analysis of RNA-Seq data. Genome Biol. 11, R25. (2010).

Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D.J. & Smyth, G. K. EdgeR: a bioconductor package for differential
expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 26, 139–40. (2010).

Rodriguez, M. C. S., Petersen, M. & Mundy, J. Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Signaling in
Plants. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 61, 621–649 (2010).

Rossini, L., Cribb, L., Martin, D. J. & Langdale, J. A. The maize Golden2 gene defines a novel
class of transcriptional regulators in plants. Plant Cell 13, 1231-1244 (2001).

Roudier, F., Schindelman, G., DeSalle, R. & Benfey, P. N. The COBRA Family of Putative GPI-
Anchored Proteins in Arabidopsis. A New Fellowship in Expansion. Plant Physiology 130, 538–548
(2002).

Sarid Krebs, L. et al. Phosphorylation of CONSTANS and its COP 1 dependent degradation
during photoperiodic flowering of Arabidopsis. Plant J 84, 451–463 (2015).

Schindelin, J., et al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat. Methods, **9**,
676–682 (2012).

Schindelman, G. et al. COBRA encodes a putative GPI-anchored protein, which is polarly
localized and necessary for oriented cell expansion in Arabidopsis. Genes Dev. 15, 1115–1127
(2001).

Schröder, F., Lisso, J., Lange, P. & Müssig, C. The extracellular EXO protein mediates cell
expansion in Arabidopsis leaves. BMC Plant Biol 9, 20 (2009).

Shao, M. & Kingsford, C. "Accurate Assembly of Transcripts through Phase-Preserving Graph
Decomposition." Nat Biotechnol. 35, 1167–69. (2017).

Shapiro, S.S. and Wilk, M.B. An analysis of variance test for normality (complete samples).
Biometrika, 52, 591–611. (1965).

Shevchenko, A., Wilm, M., Vorm, O. & Mann, M. Mass spectrometric sequencing of proteins
from silver-stained polyacrylamide gels. Anal. Chem. 68, 850–858 (1996).

Simon, A. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. Babraham
Bioinformatics, Babraham Institute, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/. (2010).

Sjögren, L. L. E., Stanne, T. M., Zheng, B., Sutinen, S. & Clarke, A. K. Structural and Functional Insights into the Chloroplast ATP-Dependent Clp Protease in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 18, 2635–2649 (2006).

Slotte, T. et al. The Capsella rubella genome and the genomic consequences of rapid mating system evolution. Nat Genet 45, 831–835 (2013).

Smyth, G. K., Michaud, J. & Scott, H. S. Use of within-array replicate spots for assessing differential expression in microarray experiments. Bioinformatics 21, 2067–75. (2005).

Soneson, C., Love, M. I. & Robinson, M. D. 2016. "Differential Analyses for RNA-Seq: Transcript-Level Estimates Improve Gene-Level Inferences." F1000Research. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7563.2.

Stanke, M., Keller, O. Gunduz, I., Hayes, A., Waack, S. & Morgenstern, B. AUGUSTUS: ab initio prediction of alternative transcripts. Nucl Acids Res 34, W435–39. (2006).

Stanke, Mario, Ana Tzvetkova, and Burkhard Morgenstern. 2006. "AUGUSTUS at EGASP: Using EST, Protein and Genomic Alignments for Improved Gene Prediction in the Human Genome." Genome Biology 7 (1): S11. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2006-7-s1-s11.

Sun, Y. et al. A ligand-independent origin of abscisic acid perception. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 116, 24892–24899 (2019).

Sun, Y. et al. Integration of Brassinosteroid Signal Transduction with the Transcription Network for Plant Growth Regulation in Arabidopsis. Developmental Cell 19, 765–777 (2010).

Susek, R. E., Ausubel, F. M. & Chory, J. Signal transduction mutants of arabidopsis uncouple nuclear CAB and RBCS gene expression from chloroplast development. Cell 74, 787–799 (1993).

Testa, A. C., Hane, J. K., Ellwood, S. R. & Oliver, R. P. CodingQuarry: highly accurate hidden markov model gene prediction in fungal genomes using RNA-Seq transcripts. BMC Genomics 16, 170. (2015).

The International Brachypodium Initiative (2010) Genome sequencing and analysis of the model grass Brachypodium distachyon. Nature 463, 763–768

The Tomato Genome Consortium. The tomato genome sequence provides insights into fleshy fruit evolution. Nature 485, 635-641

Timm, S. et al. A Cytosolic Pathway for the Conversion of Hydroxypyruvate to Glycerate during Photorespiration in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell 20, 2848–2859 (2008).

Tyanova, S., Temu, T., Sinitcyn, P., Carlson, A., Hein, M.Y., Geiger, T., Mann, M. & Cox, J. The Perseus computational platform for comprehensive analysis of (prote)omics data. Nat Methods 13, 731-740. (2016).

Umezawa, T. et al. Molecular basis of the core regulatory network in ABA responses: sensing, signaling and transport. Plant Cell Physiol. 51, 1821–1839. (2010).

Van de Poel, B., Cooper, E. D., Van Der Straeten, D., Chang, C. & Delwiche, C. F. Transcriptome profiling of the green alga *Spirogyra pratensis* (Charophyta) suggests an ancestral role for ethylene in cell wall metabolism, photosynthesis, and abiotic stress responses. Plant Physiology 172, 533–545 (2016).

Venturini, L., Caim, S., Kaithakottil, G. G., Mapleson, D. L. Swarbreck, D. Leveraging Multiple Transcriptome Assembly Methods for Improved Gene Structure Annotation. GigaScience 7, giy093. (2018).

Wan, T. et al. A genome for gnetophytes and early evolution of seed plants. Nature Plants 4, 82–89 (2018).

Wang, S. et al. Genomes of early-diverging streptophyte algae shed light on plant terrestrialization. Nat Plants 6, 95–106. (2020).

Wang, X. et al. The genome of the mesopolyploid crop species Brassica rapa. Nat. Genet. 43,
1035–1039. (2011).

Waters, M. T. *et al.* GLK Transcription Factors Coordinate Expression of the Photosynthetic
Apparatus in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 21, 1109–1128. (2009).

Wickell, D. et al. Underwater CAM photosynthesis elucidated by Isoetes genome. Nat Commun
12, 6348 (2021).

Wickett, N. J. *et al.* Phylotranscriptomic analysis of the origin and early diversification of land
plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 111, E4859–E4868 (2014).

Wijesooriya, K., Jadaan, S. A., Perera, K. L., Kaur, T. & Ziemann, M. Urgent need for consistent
standards in functional enrichment analysis. PLOS Comp Biol 18, e1009935. (2022).

Wolf, S. *et al.* A receptor-like protein mediates the response to pectin modification by activating
brassinosteroid signaling. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 111, 15261–15266 (2014).

Worden, A. Z., et al. Green evolution and dynamic adaptations revealed by genomes of the
marine picoeukaryotes Micromonas. Science, 324, 268–272. (2009).

Wu, T., *et al*. ClusterProfiler 4.0: a universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. The
Innovation 2, 100141. (2021).

Yasumura, Y., Moylan, E. C. & Langdale, J. A. A Conserved Transcription Factor Mediates
Nuclear Control of Organelle Biogenesis in Anciently Diverged Land Plants. The Plant Cell 17,
1894–1907 (2005).

Yu, Guangchuang, Li-Gen Wang, Yanyan Han, and Qing-Yu He. 2012. "ClusterProfiler: An R
Package for Comparing Biological Themes Among Gene Clusters." OMICS: A Journal of Integrative
Biology 16 (5): 284–87 (2012).

Zhao, C. et al. Evolution of chloroplast retrograde signaling facilitates green plant adaptation to
land. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 116, 5015–5020 (2019).

a blue: photomorphogenesis

[aa] 0   200   400   600
MeGLK1   DBD   GCT
AtGLK1

[aa] 0   200   400   600   800   1000
MePHOT   LOV   LOV   phot. Ser/Thr k.
AtPHOT2

Phragmoplastophyte
CONSTANS / COL
Zygnematophyte
CONSTANS-likes
AtCOL3,4,5
MeCOL a,b,c,d
1

b yellow: chloroplast development and operation

land plant   phragmoplastophyte
GUN1   GUN1
MeGUN1
1

GUN1

CLPR3   CRR6
ACD1   CLPP4   GUN2/HBH
TPR   FLU   CRR6
ICL1   TIG1
OB fold   ENGD2
p1?/CCE   PPC
GEP   PHB3
TUFA

CLPP2   CLPP1   CLPP2
Me   *At*
CLPP   *At*   *Me*
CLPP5
Me   CLPP4
CLPR1   *At*   *At*   *Me*   *Me*
At   CLPP3
CLPR3   CLPR4
1

c green: homeostasis and repair

land plant   streptophyte CGI-58
CGI-58   *MeCGI-58*
MeCGI-58   Chloroplastida
CGI-58
1

peptidase S26
ZIF1   RABF2B
polyketide cyclase   DjC74   WSS1A
metal dep. hydrolase   RBL10
GIDA-prot.   CGI-58   S-adenosyl-L-met.
ATP12   methyltransferase

land plant HIR3
AtHIR3
MeHIR3
phragmoplastophyte HIR3-likes
1

d pink: cell wall-derived signals

Land plant
COBL
Streptophyte
COBL
MeSHV
1

SSL2   COBL8   JUBN2
VSP3   BGAL3
EXL3/5/7c   SHV2
DUF707
THE-like kinase   Land plant
EXL3/5/7
zygnematophyte
EXL3/5/7
MeEXL3/5/7c
streptophyte
algal
EXL3/5/7
Streptophyte
EXL3/5/7
1

e purple: cell division

DUF4
TPX2
ISA1   KIN7.4
LRR kinase   KINESIN
TSO1   CYCLIN-B2-4
DUF1399
CHIA   TCX5   PRDA1
α-(1,6)-fucosyltransferase   TCX5
MDKIN1

AtPOK1,2
AtPOK1,2   12E
AtPAKRP1
AtRSW7   *Mekinesin*
AtPOK1
AtAKOR2
1

[aa] 0   200   400   600   800   1000   1200   1400   1600   1800   2000   2200   2400   2600
MeKINESIN   kinesin   SMC   SMC
AtPOK2   motor