Borrelia burgdorferi DnaA and the nucleoid-associated protein EbfC

coordinate expression of the *dnaX-ebfC* operon

Andrew C. Krusenstjerna[1], William K. Arnold[1,†], Timothy C. Saylor[1],

Jamila S. Tucker[1], and Brian Stevenson[1,2]

1. Department of Microbiology, Immunology, and Molecular Genetics, University of

   Kentucky College of Medicine, Lexington, Kentucky, USA

2. Department of Entomology, University of Kentucky College of Agriculture, Food, and

   Ecology, Lexington, Kentucky, USA

† Current address for W.K. Arnold: ElevateBio LLC, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA

* For correspondence:

Brian Stevenson

Department of Microbiology, Immunology, and Molecular Genetics

University of Kentucky, College of Medicine

Lexington, Ky. 40536-0278

Email: brian.stevenson@uky.edu

Phone: 1-859-257-9358

ABSTRACT.

Borrelia burgdorferi, the spirochete agent of Lyme disease, has evolved within a consistent

infectious cycle between tick and vertebrate hosts. The transmission of the pathogen from tick to

vertebrate is characterized by rapid replication and a change in the outer surface protein profile.

EbfC, a highly conserved nucleoid-associated protein, binds throughout the borrelial genome

affecting expression of many genes, including the Erp outer surface proteins. In *B. burgdorferi*,

like many other bacterial species, *ebfC* is co-transcribed with *dnaX*, an essential component of the

DNA polymerase III holoenzyme, which facilitates chromosomal replication. The expression of

the *dnaX-ebfC* operon is tied to the spirochete's replication rate, but the underlying mechanism for

this connection was unknown. In this work, we provide evidence that the expression of *dnaX-ebfC*

is controlled by direct interactions of DnaA, the chromosomal replication initiator, and EbfC at the

unusually long *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR region. Both proteins bind to the 5' UTR DNA, with EbfC also

binding to the RNA. The DNA binding of DnaA to this region was similarly impacted by

ATP/ADP. *In vitro* studies characterized DnaA as an activator of *dnaX-ebfC* and EbfC as an anti-

activator. We further found evidence that DnaA may regulate other genes essential for replication.

IMPORTANCE

The dual-life cycle of *Borrelia burgdorferi*, the causative agent of Lyme disease, is

characterized by periods of rapid and slowed replication. The expression patterns of

many of the spirochete's virulence factors are impacted by these changes in replication

rates. The connection between replication and virulence can be understood at the *dnaX-*

ebfC operon. DnaX is a component of the DNA polymerase III holoenzyme that facilitates

replication. EbfC is a nucleoid-associated protein that regulates the infection-associated

outer surface Erp proteins, as well as other transcripts. The expression of *dnaX-ebfC* is tied

to replication rate, which we demonstrate is mediated by DnaA, the master chromosomal

initiator protein and transcription factor, and EbfC.

INTRODUCTION

Replication of the chromosome is a crucial yet costly process. Across all domains of life,

chromosomal replication has evolved to require an initiator protein and a replicase (1, 2). For

bacteria, the DNA-binding protein DnaA and the DNA polymerase III holoenzyme (DNA Pol III

HE) fulfill these roles, respectively. DnaA associates at the chromosomal origin of replication

(*oriC*) to high-affinity DnaA-boxes and, through cooperative oligomerization to low-affinity

DnaA-boxes, melts the DNA to allow for loading of the helicase and the DNA Pol III HE (3-5).

As expected, bacteria have developed distinct pathways to guarantee that this process is initiated

appropriately for its environmental conditions.

The dual-host enzootic lifecycle of *Borrelia burgdorferi* is intimately tied to replication.

This connection is best understood at the tick-vertebrate transmission interface. In the mid-gut of

an unfed tick, spirochetes do not replicate, but this changes when the vector takes a blood meal (6-

8). Increased nutrient availability permits the spirochetes to replicate their genomes and alter their

proteomes to permit the transmission from tick to vertebrate. For *B. burgdorferi*, many of these

host-specific phenotypic switches are regulated by a limited repertoire of nucleic acid-binding

proteins (9). A notable example is the nucleoid-associated protein EbfC, which directly regulates

the production of vertebrate-stage Erp outer surface proteins and numerous other transcripts (10,

11).

EbfC is highly conserved across eubacteria and is typically the second gene in a bicistronic

operon with *dnaX*, an essential component of the DNA Pol III HE (12-14). In the model organism

Escherichia coli, *dnaX* codes for two subunits, the full-length Tau (τ) and shortened Gamma (γ),

by translational frameshift (15-17). All bacterial replicases are composed of three domains

essential to their function: a core polymerase, a sliding processivity clamp, and a clamp loading

complex. Tau is the central organizer of these tripartite bacterial replicases, connecting the core

polymerases on the leading and lagging strands with the processivity clamp loading complex.

For many bacterial species, DnaA functions as a transcription factor coordinating the

expression of genes for various cellular pathways (18, 19). In *B. burgdorferi*, *dnaX-ebfC* transcript

levels are tied to bacterial replication rates (20, 21), which we hypothesized could be mediated by

DnaA. We found that both DnaA and EbfC contribute to the expression of the *dnaX-ebfC* operon.

Additional evidence suggests that DnaA might also be involved with the production of other

components of DNA Pol III HE and DNA gyrase.

RESULTS.

80   **DnaA binds to *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR DNA.** Using promoter-reporter fusion constructs, we

previously mapped the promoter for the *dnaX-ebfC* operon to be between -247 and -166 bp

upstream of the *dnaX* start codon (20). This region is just within the end of the gene for the non-

coding signal recognition particle RNA (*srp*; **Fig. 1A**). *In silico* bacterial promoter analysis

(BPROM, Softberry; (22)) of this segment of DNA predicted a single σ^{70} promoter, indicating the

B. burgdorferi dnaX-ebfC operon has a 5' UTR of about 179 nucleotides (**Fig. 1B**). For context,

the median 5' UTR in *B. burgdorferi* is ~36 nucleotides in length (23). This significant deviation,

in conjunction with the importance of this operon's products to borrelial biology, prompted us to

hypothesize that the 5' UTR is critical to *dnaX-ebfC* regulation.

89   As DnaX and EbfC are evidently important for *B. burgdorferi* during periods of rapid

replication, we first pursued whether DnaA, which is both the master replication initiator and a

transcription factor in other species, can interact with *dnaX-ebfC*. Sequence level examination of

the 5' UTR DNA of *dnaX-ebfC* revealed a potential DnaA-box (**Fig. 1B**) (24). To test whether

DnaA binds the *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR DNA, we performed electrophoretic mobility shift assays

(EMSAs) using a recombinant DnaA with a glutathione S-transferase (GST) solubility tag fused

to the N-terminus (GST-DnaA).

96   In other bacterial species, the DNA binding activity of DnaA is affected by whether it has

ATP or ADP-bound (25-27). As our recombinant GST-DnaA was purified under denaturing

conditions and refolded without ATP/ADP, we surmised that the protein adopted an apo-form

before use. Thus, our EMSA DNA-binding reactions with GST-DnaA were supplemented with

saturating concentrations of either ATP or ADP (**Fig. 2A-B**). Under these conditions, we observed

concentration-dependent binding of GST-DnaA to the 186 bp *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR DNA probe.

Control EMSAs with purified GST confirmed that this binding was not due to the solubility tag

(**Fig. 2C**).   Quantitative analysis of densitometric data from triplicate EMSAs with GST-DnaA

gave overlapping apparent dissociations constants (K_D^{app}) of 1141 nM (95% CI: 703.5-1880 nM)

and 737.7 nM (95% CI: 334.8-1639 nM) for ATP and ADP reactions, respectively (**Fig. 2D-F**).

These differences were not statistically significant ($p = 0.9835$, unpaired student's t-test). We

concluded that DnaA binds the *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR DNA and is not significantly impacted by added

ATP/ADP.

109   To narrow down where DnaA is binding in the *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR DNA, a competition

EMSA with unlabeled competitor DNAs was conducted. The DNA competitors were seven

overlapping unlabeled 40-mer sequences from the labeled probe (**Fig. 3A**). The addition of 10-

times molar excess of competitors 2 and 3 (C2 & C3), relative to the probe resulted in an

appreciable increase in free DNA (**Fig. 3B**, lanes 6 and 7, respectively), indicating preferential

binding of the protein to these regions. Competitor 3 contains the hypothesized DnaA-box, but

competitor 2 (C2) does not contain a sequence resembling any previously characterized DnaA-

box. The inability of the two DNAs that overlap competitor 2 (C5 and C6) to diminish the shifted

complex indicates that DnaA bound to a region we term region B (**Fig. 3A**). As a positive control,

a specific DNA competitor derived from the pCR2.1 TA clone containing the *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR

was used. The addition of this DNA resulted in the abrogation of the protein-DNA probe complex

(**Fig. 3B**, PC). A negative control, the non-specific DNA derived from the empty pCR2.1 vector,

did not affect the protein-probe complex (**Fig. 3B**, NC). These results demonstrate that DnaA binds

specifically to regions A and B within the *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR DNA.

EbfC binds to the *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR DNA and RNA. In addition to the DnaA-boxes

identified upstream of the *dnaX* ORF, we also noted two EbfC binding motifs (**Fig. 1A**). EbfC

binds with highest affinity to the broken palindromic sequence GTnAC and a lesser affinity to the

partial sequence GTnAT (28). EMSAs with recombinant EbfC, nonspecific poly dI-dC competitor,

and the 186-mer DNA probe showed specific binding of the protein to this region (**Fig. 4A**).

Further EMSAs with 30-mer DNA probes containing either the consensus or partial EbfC motif

verified that the EbfC bound each of those sequences (**Fig. 4B-C**). The binding to the complete

motif probe was appreciably more prominent than the partial motif probe, consistent with previous

characterizations. These results demonstrate that EbfC binds two sites within the *dnaX-ebfC* 5'

UTR DNA.

During our studies, colleagues shared that EbfC could be pulled down with total RNA from

extracts of *B. burgdorferi* (29). We hypothesized that EbfC might also bind the extended *dnaX-*

ebfC 5' UTR RNA (**Fig. 4D**). To examine this, we performed EMSAs with a 30-mer RNA probe

containing the consensus EbfC motif from the *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR. Reactions with the RNA probe

and recombinant EbfC resulted in the formation of two RNA-protein complexes (**Fig. 4E**, lanes 2-

4). The addition of 50-times molar excess of RNA from the constitutively expressed *flaB* did not

compete away the complexes (**Fig. 4E**, lane 5). At the same molar excess, the unlabeled *dnaX-*

ebfC RNA successfully eliminated the smaller complex (**Fig. 4E**, lane 6). These results

demonstrated that EbfC can bind untranslated RNA 5' of the *dnaX-ebfC* open reading frames.

Assessment of simultaneous binding of DnaA and EbfC to the *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR

DNA. Having established that DnaA and EbfC bind the 5' UTR DNA of *dnaX-ebfC*, we sought to

determine how the proteins would interact in this region. To examine this, EMSA reactions with

constant EbfC and increasing GST-DnaA were prepared (**Fig. 5A**). The constant EbfC

concentration allowed for roughly equivalent levels of bound and unbound DNA (**Fig. 5A**, lane

A). With increasing concentrations of GST-DnaA (**Fig. 5A**, lanes B-G), the DNA-protein complex

migrated more slowly in the gel. Densitometry showed an initial increase of free DNAs at low

levels of added GST-DnaA (**Fig. 5B**, lanes B-D), followed by a decrease at the highest GST-DnaA

concentrations (**Fig. 5B**, lanes E-G). This pattern was not appreciably affected by ATP/ADP.

These data suggest that the two proteins may bind cooperatively to the *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR DNA.

The precise stoichiometry and kinetics of the DNA interactions are undoubtedly complex, given

the proximity of the binding sites for each protein.

DnaA enhances the expression of *dnaX-ebfC*, while EbfC inhibits this effect. Having

established the interactions of DnaA and EbfC at the *dnaX-ebfC* DNA/RNA, the next logical step

was to determine whether the proteins affect the expression of *dnaX-ebfC*. As both proteins are

essential for the survival of *B. burgdorferi* (28), analyses with deletion mutant bacteria could not

be done. Instead, cell-free *in vitro* transcription/translation assays with *E. coli* S30 extracts were

performed. This method has been a useful tool for quantifying DNA-binding proteins' effects on

borrelial gene and protein expression and has the added benefit of lacking *B. burgdorferi* DnaA or

EbfC (10, 11, 30). The template for these reactions was a linear DNA that contains the 247 bp 5'

of *dnaX*, including the transcriptional promoter, fused to *gfp* (20). The addition of GST-DnaA to

the *in vitro* reaction resulted in a significant increase ($p = 0.0004$, Brown-Forsythe and Welch

ANOVA tests) in the production of the reporter GFP (**Fig. 6**). Control reactions with added GST

alone did not significantly change GFP levels.

The *in vitro* reactions with recombinant EbfC protein alone did not result in a significant

change in GFP output (**Fig. 6**). Only in the presence of GST-DnaA did EbfC exhibit an effect,

reducing reporter output to template-only levels (**Fig. 6**). These results indicate that *in vitro*, EbfC

alone does not exert a regulatory effect, but it does inhibit the DnaA-dependent activation of *dnaX-*

ebfC expression. This effect on coupled transcription and translation, combined with the apparent

lack of competition between EbfC and DnaA for binding to the 5' UTR DNA (**Fig. 5**), suggests

that the effect of EbfC may be through its interaction with RNA.

DnaA binds to 5' DNA of other essential replication genes. The canonical bacterial

DNA Pol III HE of *E. coli* consists of nine subunits. Comparatively, the borrelial replicase, like

other spirochetes, has a pared-down repertoire (**Table 1**). *B. burgdorferi* has the bare minimum

required to replicate its chromosome: a polymerase (DnaE, α), processivity clamp (DnaN, β),

clamp loader (DnaX, τ), and clamp opener (HolA, δ). *B. burgdorferi dnaX* does not appear to have

the *cis*-element for translational frameshift that produces the Gamma subunit, and so might only

produce the Tau subunit (*see* Supplemental material). Moreover, genes for the subunits involved

with fidelity (DnaQ, ε; HolE, θ) are absent from the borrelial genome.

Since we found that DnaA regulates *dnaX-ebfC*, we posited that DnaA might also be

involved in regulating the other subunits of the replicase and itself. With this aim in mind, the 5'

intergenic regions of *dnaN*, *dnaE*, *holA,* and *dnaA* were used as labeled DNA probes for EMSA

analysis.

The *dnaN* gene (BB_0438) codes for the processivity clamp (β) of the DNA Pol III HE

and is located downstream of the *dnaA* gene (BB_0437) (**Fig. 7A**). The *B. burgdorferi oriC* is

between *dnaA* and *dnaN* and contains two hypothesized DnaA-boxes (31). EMSAs demonstrated

the binding of recombinant DnaA to a labeled probe that consisted of the 240 bp *dnaA-dnaN*/*oriC*

region (**Fig. 7B**). The free probe was almost entirely bound by the higher levels of DnaA. There

were no appreciable differences in ATP- or ADP-DnaA binding to the *oriC* probe. These results

are consistent with the predicted functions of DnaA at *oriC* to control replication initiation.

Further, the placement of the *oriC* in proximity to the *dnaN* promoter suggests that DnaA may

regulate this gene, as it does in the firmicute *Bacillus subtilis* (32).

We also found that DnaA bound the 186 bp 5' intergenic region of *dnaA* and the opposite-

facing *gyrB* (BB_0436) (**Fig. 7C**), a location that contains another hypothetical DnaA-box (**Fig.**

7A) (31). The *gyrB* gene is located upstream of *gyrA* and presumably forms an operon (*gyrBA*) as

in other prokaryotes. The *gyrBA* genes code for subunits A and B of the DNA gyrase, a

topoisomerase essential in bacterial replication. For the *gyrB-dnaA* region, the free probe was

almost entirely bound at the highest protein concentrations. ATP or ADP did not have an

appreciable impact on binding to this DNA. These results show that DnaA strongly binds to its

promoter region, suggesting it may undergo autoregulation as in other bacteria (25, 32-36).

The gene for the DNA polymerase DnaE (α, BB_0579) is located downstream of the gene

for a methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein (*mcp-1*, BB_0578) (**Fig. 7A**). The intergenic region

between these genes is 130 bp, and it contains a potential DnaA-box (24). EMSAs with

recombinant DnaA showed binding to the *dnaE* probe (**Fig. 7D**). ATP/ADP again did not

demonstrably affect binding.

The *holA* gene (δ, BB_0455) promoter overlaps with a neighboring upstream gene

(BB_0454) that codes for a predicted lipid galactosyltransferase (**Fig. 7A**). This intergenic region

is small, consisting of only 55 bp, and does not contain a sequence that resembles any known

DnaA-box. Despite this, EMSAs showed that DnaA bound to the 5' *holA* DNA (**Fig. 7E**).

To compare the relative affinities of DnaA for all these regions, a competition EMSA was

performed using the labeled *oriC* DNA as the probe. This DNA was selected as it is the primary

site for DnaA interaction and is thus an ideal standard. At 10-times molar excess, the unlabeled

DNA competitors from the *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR, *dnaE*, and *gyrB-dnaA* considerably depleted the

DnaA-*oriC* complex (**Fig. 8**, lanes 5, 6, and 8, respectively). The competitor DNA from *holA*

partially diminished the observed shift (**Fig. 8**, lane 7), consistent with the data above (**Fig. 7E**).

The negative control DNA derived from the empty pCR2.1 vector, used for all DNAs, failed to

compete (**Fig. 8**, lane 9). The unlabeled *oriC* DNA successfully depleted the DnaA-*oriC* probe

complex (**Fig 8**, lane 10).

With knowledge of the physical interactions of DnaA at these loci, we next sought to track

the transcriptional dynamics of these replication genes during the different growth phases of

cultured *B. burgdorferi*. As we have established DnaA as an activator of *dnaX-ebfC* transcription

in vitro, we hypothesized that they would have similar expression profiles. Indeed, the data show

that the relative levels of *dnaX* and *ebfC* transcripts rose with *dnaA* as the culture entered the

exponential phase (**Fig. 9A-C**). Moreover, *dnaX* and *dnaA* declined as the spirochetes entered the

stationary growth phase, while *ebfC* remained stable for some time but eventually decreased. This

divergence is consistent with our previous finding that *ebfC* has another promoter within the *dnaX*

open reading frame (20).

The transcript levels of *dnaN* and *dnaE* also followed the pattern seen with *dnaA* (**Fig. 9D-**

E). The relative expression level for both genes peaked at mid-exponential and steadily decreased

into stationary phase. The *holA* gene, in contrast, exhibited a unique expression profile compared

to the other components of the DNA Pol III HE. While the other genes' transcripts decreased during

stationary, *holA* transcript levels unexpectedly increased (**Fig. 9F**). Furthermore, the relative

abundance of the *holA* transcript was considerably low, never reaching levels greater than that of

the housekeeping gene *ftsK*. Those results suggest that at least one additional factor controls levels

of *holA* transcript.

DISCUSSION

Tight and coordinated regulation of chromosomal replication is a key biological process.

The evolution of such regulatory networks was significant for unicellular organisms, which can

inhabit dynamic environments that challenge cellular homeostasis. *B. burgdorferi* has evolved the

need to concomitantly modulate DNA replication and gene expression during its consistent cycling

between tick vectors and vertebrate hosts. These requirements can be appreciated at the *dnaX-ebfC*

locus, where DnaX (Tau) assembles with the DNA Pol III HE to facilitate replication, while EbfC

binds at sites throughout the nucleoid and presumably affects chromatin structure. Previously we

reported that the expression of *dnaX-ebfC* depends on the replication rate (20, 21), but the question

of how remained unanswered. This work indicates that this connection can be explained by direct

interactions of DnaA and EbfC at the 5' UTR region of *dnaX-ebfC*.

DnaA is well established to be a transcription factor in other bacterial species (19). For *B.*

burgdorferi, our data show that DnaA binds 5' of the *dnaX-ebfC* operon to enhance gene

expression. This is a logical pathway to evolve, given that DnaA and DnaX are directly linked to

chromosomal replication. Moreover, this mechanism is perhaps conserved as two DnaA boxes are

located upstream of the *E. coli dnaX-ebfC* operon (37).

Transcriptional control of bacterial DNA replication machinery through DnaA has also

been observed in *Caulobacter crescentus*. A gram-negative aquatic bacterium, *C. crescentus*,

exhibits a complex cell cycle defined by asymmetric division into stalked and swarmer progeny

where DNA replication is initiated only once per cell cycle (38). In *C. crescentus*, DnaA controls

the transcription of two DNA replication genes, the helicase, *dnaB*, and DNA Pol III HE ε-subunit,

dnaQ (39). There is also evidence of an unknown repressor binding to a conserved promoter motif

upstream of *C. crescentus dnaX*, *dnaN*, *dnaA*, *dnaK*, and *gyrB* genes (40). Our work suggests that

a similar regulation network may exist in *B. burgdorferi*, where DnaA binds upstream of *dnaX*,

dnaN, *dnaE*, *holA*, *dnaA*, and *gyrB* (**Fig. 10**). The effect of DnaA on these borrelial genes, aside

from *dnaX*, remains to be assessed.

267   The potential global transcriptional effects of DnaA in *B. burgdorferi* begs the question of

what controls the master initiator. In other bacteria, the cellular ATP/ADP ratio affects the function

of DnaA (25-27). Our data failed to identify a measurable effect of either ATP or ADP on DnaA's

binding to any tested sequence. This suggests either *B. burgdorferi* DnaA lacks sensitivity to those

nucleotides under the tested conditions or the protein has novel control mechanisms. The latter

possibility is especially intriguing due to the lack of protein homologs such as DiaA, Hda, and

SeqA that regulate DnaA function/expression in other bacterial species (41-43).

274   The idea of novel DnaA-DNA interactions in *B. burgdorferi* has previously been

hypothesized, since the borrelial homolog differs in three of eight amino acid residues in the DNA-

binding C-terminus that are conserved in other species (44). In the *E. coli* DnaA, two of the

divergent amino acids, T451 and S453, form base-specific hydrogen bonds with DNA (45). This

suggests that the DnaA protein of *B. burgdorferi* may recognize unique DNA sequences. Our data

showing DnaA binding 5' of *holA* and *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR region B, which lack sequence similarity

to the characterized DnaA-boxes of other species, points to this possibility. Genome-wide

distribution of bound DnaA in *B. burgdorferi* to identify the borrelial DnaA-box sequence is

currently under investigation.

283   In addition to the regulatory activity of DnaA, our studies demonstrated that EbfC affects

dnaX-ebfC expression. That is consistent with previous studies by our group, which found that

overexpression of EbfC resulted in a decrease of *dnaX* transcript (20). The present work

demonstrates that EbfC regulates *dnaX-ebfC* expression by counteracting DnaA-dependent

transcriptional activation. The lack of competition between DnaA and EbfC in binding to the *dnaX-*

ebfC 5' UTR DNA suggests that EbfC may counteract the effect of DnaA by binding to *dnaX-*

ebfC mRNA, which could alter secondary structure and affect transcription or translation. Further

investigations to tease apart the mechanism of regulation is complicated by the fact that

mutagenesis of the *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR DNA will affect the structure of the mRNA.

The fluctuations from tick and vertebrate hosts have been a constant for *B. burgdorferi* for

thousands of years. These divergent biological environments have forced the spirochete to evolve

a regulatory network to adapt and endure appropriately. In this study, we provided evidence that

B. burgdorferi uses its DnaA protein to promote the expression of an operon crucial to its

replication and survival. DnaX, the central organizer of the DNA Pol III HE, is essential for

chromosomal replication. EbfC affects expression of *dnaX-ebfC*, the vertebrate-stage outer surface

Erp proteins, and many other borrelial genes. DnaA activity at *dnaX-ebfC* thus permits coordinated

expression of replication machinery and a protein needed for borrelial host infection (**Fig. 10**). *B.*

burgdorferi. DnaA also binds over the promoter of *bpuR*, an RNA- and DNA-binding protein that,

in turn, affects expression levels of Erp and several other borrelial proteins (24). We hypothesize

that *B. burgdorferi* DnaA also regulates the production of other proteins involved in replication

and infection processes.

METHODS AND MATERIALS.

Bacterial strains and culture conditions. All studies utilized derivatives of the *B. burgdorferi* type strain, B31, and were cultivated in liquid Barbour-Stoenner-Kelly II (BSK-II) medium (46). For RNA analyses, cultured bacteria were subject to temperature shift wherein spirochetes were grown at 23 ºC to mid-exponential phase ($\sim 5 \times 10^7$ cells/ml) and passaged 1:100 into fresh BSK-II and incubated at 34 ºC. Stationary phase bacteria were collected from cultures at or above 10^8 cells/ml. Culture cell densities were determined by direct counting in a Petroff-Hauser counting chamber with darkfield microscopy.

Recombinant proteins. EbfC was cloned into pET200 and expressed with an N-terminal 6xHis affinity tag. Recombinant EbfC was expressed in *Escherichia coli* Rosetta II cells and purified using MagneHis Particles (Promega) as previously described (24, 47).

DnaA was cloned into pET-21a(+) with an N-terminal glutathione S-transferase (GST) moiety by the University of Kentucky Protein Core. A GST tag was selected to enhance the solubility of DnaA, which in other bacteria is known to aggregate into insoluble inclusion bodies (48, 49). Recombinant GST-DnaA protein was expressed in *E. coli* BL21 DE3 cells with 1 mM isopropyl-β-d-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) overnight at room temperature. Recombinant GST was expressed in *E. coli* Rosetta II cells containing pGEX-3x. Cells were collected by centrifugation and frozen at -80 ºC.

Frozen GST-DnaA expressing cells were resuspended in ice-cold wash/binding buffer (4.2 mM Na_2HPO_4, 2 mM KH_2PO_4, 140 mM NaCl, 10 mM KCl) and then supplemented with 5% (v/v) B-PER Bacterial Protein Extraction Reagent (Thermo Scientific) before sonication. The soluble fraction was separated by centrifugation and discarded. The insoluble fraction was retained and

processed using mild solubilization per Qi and colleagues (50). Briefly, the insoluble pellet was

washed three times with inclusion body wash buffer (20 mM Tris, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA,

1% Triton X-100, 1 M urea, pH 8.0) followed by two washes with phosphate-buffered saline

(PBS), pH 7.4 to remove detergent. The final pellet was resuspended in PBS with 2 M urea and

frozen at -20 ℃ for at least 12 h. The frozen suspension was then thawed at room temperature and

dialyzed at 4 ℃ for at least 3 h in 1 L of PBS with 1 M urea, 0.5 M urea, and no urea. The clarified

soluble protein suspension was purified using MagneGST Glutathione Particles (Promega)

according to manufacturer recommendations. Recombinant GST was purified using the same

MagneGST conditions but under standard soluble conditions.

Purified proteins were dialyzed against EMSA binding buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM

KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride [PMSF], 1 mM dithiothreitol [DTT],

0.01% Tween-20, 10% glycerol) overnight at 4 ℃ and concentrated with 10 kDa MWCO Amicon

centrifugal filters (Sigma). Protein concentrations were determined by Bradford assay, and purity

was assessed by SDS-PAGE with Coomassie brilliant blue staining. Final protein preparations

were stored at -80 ℃ in 12 μl working aliquots.

TOPO Plasmid Constructs. For these studies, DNA regions of interest were PCR

amplified from *B. burgdorferi* B31 genomic DNA with Taq polymerase and TA cloned into

pCR2.1 (Thermo Fisher). Constructs were sequenced to guarantee sequence fidelity.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA). Labeled and unlabeled nucleic acids used

are described in the **Supplemental Table**. Oligonucleotides for these assays were synthesized by

Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT, Coralville, IA). For use as EMSA probes, DNAs were

conjugated with a 5' IRDye800 fluorescent tag, while RNAs had a 5' Alexa Fluor 647 tag. Short

DNA probes (< 60 bp) were produced by heating two complementary oligonucleotides to 95 ℃

for 5 minutes and gradually cooling them to room temperature. Long DNAs (> 60 bp) were

produced by PCR amplification from TA cloned plasmids using M13 Forward and M13 Reverse

primers, with a fluor tag on the 5' end of the M13 Reverse. PCR products were treated with

exonuclease I (New England BioLabs) to remove residual primers. The reactions were precipitated

by adding a 1/10 volume of 3 M sodium acetate and a 2.5 times volume of ice-cold 100% ethanol.

Glycogen was added at a final concentration of 1 mg/ml to aid pellet visualization. DNA was

pelleted by centrifugation at 4 ℃ and washed twice with ice-cold 70% ethanol to remove excess

salt. The final DNA pellet was air-dried at room temperature for 15 minutes and resuspended in

50 μl of TE buffer. Nucleic acid concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically and

diluted to 1 μM. Final DNA probes were stored at -20 ℃ in working doses of 12 μl.

EMSAs were performed essentially as described previously (11, 51). Briefly, protein-

nucleic acid binding reactions were carried out at room temperature for 15 min in EMSA binding

buffer using either 100 nM or 20 nM probe. All reactions with GST-DnaA were supplemented

with 1 mM $MgCl_2$ and 1 mM of either ATP or ADP and allowed to incubate on ice for 15 min

before adding DNA. When appropriate, the non-specific competitor Poly dI dC (Roche) was added

to each reaction before the probe at a final concentration of 2.5 ng/μl (52). Competitor nucleic

acids were also added to reactions before the labeled probe. Prior to electrophoresis, 6x EMSA

loading dye (0.8 mg/ml Orange G, 15 mg/ml Ficol 400) was added to each reaction.

Electrophoresis was performed with 6% or 10% Novex TBE gels (Thermo Fisher) or in-house 6%

polyacrylamide TBE gels. The in-house polyacrylamide TBE gels (40 ml) for horizontal

electrophoresis were produced by combining 6 ml 40% Acryl/Bis 29:1 (VWR), 4 ml of 5x TBE,

pH 7.5 (445 mM Tris, 445 mM boric acid, 5 mM EDTA), 30 ml ddH$_2$O, 300 μl 10% APS (Fisher),

and 100 μl of TEMED (National Diagnostic). The gels were pre-run in 0.5x TBE buffer at 12.5

V/cm for 30 min. Samples were loaded and resolved at 12.5 V/cm until the dye front reached the

bottom of the gel.

377   The apparent K$_D$ of GST-DnaA for the *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR DNA probe was determined by

densitometry from triplicate EMSAs using ImageLab software (BioRad). Lanes were manually set

to the EMSA gel. The free DNA and shifted bands were manually selected, and the intensities

were reported as lane %. These values were subsequently plotted against the corresponding protein

concentration. The plotted data was then subjected to nonlinear regression analysis using Prism 9

software. An unpaired t-test was performed to assess statistically significant differences (α = 0.5)

between the ATP and ADP data.

385   **Coupled *in vitro* transcription/translation assays.** Coupled *in vitro*

transcription/translation assays were performed as previously described (10, 11, 30). Linear DNA

template was amplified from pAAB206 using M13 forward and reverse primers (20). This

amplicon contains 247 bp 5' of *dnaX-ebfC* fused to *gfp*. Experiments were performed using the *E.*

coli S30 Extract System for Linear Templates (Promega). The 50-μl reactions were composed of

kit components, 2,100 ng of template DNA (~65 nM), 40 U of RiboGuard RNase Inhibitor

(Lucigen), and 1 μM of the proteins of interest, when appropriate. Purified GST was used as a

control. Reactions were incubated at 37 °C for 2 h and promptly iced for 5 minutes to stop

transcription/translation. Each reaction set was performed in triplicate.

394   The *in vitro* protein products were precipitated by adding 4-volumes of ice-cold acetone

and incubating at -20 °C for at least one hour. Proteins were pelleted by centrifugation and air-

dried on ice for 15 minutes. Dried protein pellets were then resuspended in 100 μl of PBS. Protein

suspensions were mixed with 500 μl of ELISA coating buffer (50 mM Na_2CO_3, 500 mM $NaHCO_3$,

pH 9.2), and 150 μl of this mixture was added to the wells of 96-well microtiter plates. GFP was

detected with MACS anti-GFP-HRP (Militenyi Biotec). Seventy-five microliters of tetramethyl

benzidine (TMB; Thermo Scientific) HRP substrate were added to each well and incubated at room

temperature with shaking for 30 minutes. Reactions were quenched with 75 μl of 2N H_2SO_4, and

the absorbance at 450 nm was measured using a Biotek Epoch 2 microplate reader.

Statistical significance ($\alpha = 0.05$) was determined using Brown-Forsythe and Welch

ANOVA tests and Dunnett's T3 multiple comparisons.

Quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR). To measure the levels of target

transcripts, *B. burgdorferi* was cultured at 35 ℃, and 2 ml aliquots of bacteria were taken 1-, 3-,

5-, 7-, 9-, and 11-days post-inoculation. Cells were washed two times with PBS and frozen at -80

℃. Frozen pellets were later resuspended in 60 ℃ TRIzol (Thermo Fisher), and RNA was

extracted using a Qiagen Mini RNA kit. Residual genomic DNA was depleted using on-column

Turbo DNase (Thermo Fisher). The quality (RIN > 7) and quantity of the RNA were determined

using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer and Agilent 6000 Nano chips. Purified RNA stocks were stored

at -80 ℃.

Complementary DNA (cDNA) for qPCR was produced using the iScript gDNA Clear

cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad). The cDNA stocks were diluted 1:100 into nuclease-free water for

use as template. Quantitative PCR was carried out using iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix

(Bio-Rad) and a Bio-Rad CFX96 Touch Real-time PCR thermocycler. Oligonucleotide primer sets

for   target   genes   were   designed   using   the   IDT   Primer   Quest   Tool

(https://www.idtdna.com/PrimerQuest/Home/Index). For all reactions, cycles involved melting at

95 ºC for 10s, annealing at 55 ºC for 10 s, and extension at 72 ºC for 30s. Each run was performed

with technical triplicates of each reaction as well as no template control reactions that lacked

template. Melting curves were performed with each run to validate the production of specific

amplicons. Every reaction was tested with a reverse transcriptase-negative template to validate the

absence of contaminating gDNA. Cq values were normalized to *ftsK*, a gene whose expression is

stable across different growth phases (53), using the ΔCq method, and fold-difference was

determined by the function $2^{-\Delta Cq}$.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by NIH grant R21 AI147139-02. We thank Tatiana Castro-Padovani and Nerina Jusufovic for their helpful comments and support on these studies and the manuscript. The construct for recombinant GST-DnaA was synthesized by Drs. Martin Chow and Lou Hersh of the University of Kentucky COBRE Protein Core. Dr. Tony Sinai kindly provided pGEX-3x. Schematic figures were made using BioRender.

Works Cited.

1.   Kawakami H, Katayama T. 2010. DnaA, ORC, and Cdc6: similarity beyond the domains

of life and diversity. Biochem Cell Biol 88:49-62.

2.   McHenry CS. 2011. DNA replicases from a bacterial perspective. Annu Rev Biochem

80:403-36.

3.   Speck C, Messer W. 2001. Mechanism of origin unwinding: sequential binding of DnaA

to double- and single-stranded DNA. EMBO J 20:1469-76.

4.   Kaur G, Vora MP, Czerwonka CA, Rozgaja TA, Grimwade JE, Leonard AC. 2014.

Building the bacterial orisome: high-affinity DnaA recognition plays a role in setting the

conformation of *oriC* DNA. Mol Microbiol 91:1148-63.

5.   Ozaki S, Katayama T. 2012. Highly organized DnaA-*oriC* complexes recruit the single-

stranded DNA for replication initiation. Nucleic Acids Res 40:1648-65.

6.   Dunham-Ems SM, Caimano MJ, Pal U, Wolgemuth CW, Eggers CH, Balic A, Radolf JD.

2009. Live imaging reveals a biphasic mode of dissemination of *Borrelia burgdorferi*

within ticks. J Clin Invest 119:3652-65.

7.   Piesman J, Oliver JR, Sinsky RJ. 1990. Growth kinetics of the Lyme disease spirochete

(*Borrelia burgdorferi*) in vector ticks (*Ixodes dammini*). Am J Trop Med Hyg 42:352-7.

8.   De Silva AM, Fikrig E. 1995. Growth and migration of *Borrelia burgdorferi* in *Ixodes* ticks

during blood feeding. Am J Trop Med Hyg 53:397-404.

9.   Stevenson B, Seshu J. 2018. Regulation of Gene and Protein Expression in the Lyme

Disease Spirochete. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 415:83-112.

10.   Jutras BL, Verma A, Adams CA, Brissette CA, Burns LH, Whetstine CR, Bowman A,

Chenail AM, Zuckert WR, Stevenson B. 2012. BpaB and EbfC DNA-binding proteins

regulate production of the Lyme disease spirochete's infection-associated Erp surface

proteins. J Bacteriol 194:778-86.

11.   Jutras BL, Chenail AM, Carroll DW, Miller MC, Zhu H, Bowman A, Stevenson B. 2013.

Bpur, the Lyme disease spirochete's PUR domain protein: identification as a transcriptional

modulator and characterization of nucleic acid interactions. J Biol Chem 288:26220-34.

12.   Blinkova A, Hervas C, Stukenberg PT, Onrust R, O'Donnell ME, Walker JR. 1993. The

Escherichia coli DNA polymerase III holoenzyme contains both products of the *dnaX*

gene, tau and gamma, but only tau is essential. J Bacteriol 175:6018-27.

13.   Dallmann HG, Thimmig RL, McHenry CS. 1995. DnaX complex of *Escherichia coli* DNA

polymerase III holoenzyme. Central role of tau in initiation complex assembly and in

determining the functional asymmetry of holoenzyme. J Biol Chem 270:29555-62.

14.   Cordeiro TFVB, Gontijo MTP, Jorge GP, Brocchi M. 2022. EbfC/YbaB: A Widely

Distributed Nucleoid-Associated Protein in Prokaryotes. Microorganisms 10:1945.

15.   Tsuchihashi Z. 1991. Translational frameshifting in the *Escherichia coli dnaX* gene *in*

vitro. Nucleic Acids Res 19:2457-62.

16.   Tsuchihashi Z, Brown PO. 1992. Sequence requirements for efficient translational

frameshifting in the *Escherichia coli dnaX* gene and the role of an unstable interaction

between tRNA(Lys) and an AAG lysine codon. Genes Dev 6:511-9.

17.   Larsen B, Gesteland RF, Atkins JF. 1997. Structural probing and mutagenic analysis of the

stem-loop required for *Escherichia coli dnaX* ribosomal frameshifting: programmed

efficiency of 50%. J Mol Biol 271:47-60.

18.   Messer W, Weigel C. 1997. DnaA initiator--also a transcription factor. Mol Microbiol

24:1-6.

19. Menikpurage IP, Woo K, Mera PE. 2021. Transcriptional Activity of the Bacterial

Replication Initiator DnaA. Front Microbiol 12:662317.

20. Jutras BL, Bowman A, Brissette CA, Adams CA, Verma A, Chenail AM, Stevenson B.

2012. EbfC (YbaB) is a new type of bacterial nucleoid-associated protein and a global

regulator of gene expression in the Lyme disease spirochete. J Bacteriol 194:3395-406.

21. Jutras BL, Chenail AM, Stevenson B. 2013. Changes in bacterial growth rate govern

expression of the *Borrelia burgdorferi* OspC and Erp infection-associated surface proteins.

J Bacteriol 195:757-64.

22. Solovyev V, Salamov A, Li R. 2011. Metagenomics and its applications in agriculture,

biomedicine and environmental studies. Autom Annot Microb Genomes Metagenomic Seq

Nova Science.

23. Adams PP, Flores Avile C, Popitsch N, Bilusic I, Schroeder R, Lybecker M, Jewett MW.

2017. *In vivo* expression technology and 5' end mapping of the *Borrelia burgdorferi*

transcriptome identify novel RNAs expressed during mammalian infection. Nucleic Acids

Res 45:775-792.

24. Jutras BL, Savage CR, Arnold WK, Lethbridge KG, Carroll DW, Tilly K, Bestor A, Zhu

H, Seshu J, Zuckert WR, Stewart PE, Rosa PA, Brissette CA, Stevenson B. 2019. The

Lyme disease spirochete's BpuR DNA/RNA-binding protein is differentially expressed

during the mammal-tick infectious cycle, which affects translation of the SodA superoxide

dismutase. Mol Microbiol 112:973-991.

25. Speck C, Weigel C, Messer W. 1999. ATP- and ADP-*dnaA* protein, a molecular switch in

gene regulation. EMBO J 18:6169-76.

26.   Smith JL, Grossman AD. 2015. *In Vitro* Whole Genome DNA Binding Analysis of the
Bacterial Replication Initiator and Transcription Factor DnaA. PLoS Genet 11:e1005258.

27.   Sekimizu K, Bramhill D, Kornberg A. 1987. ATP activates *dnaA* protein in initiating
replication of plasmids bearing the origin of the *E. coli* chromosome. Cell 50:259-65.

28.   Riley SP, Bykowski T, Cooley AE, Burns LH, Babb K, Brissette CA, Bowman A, Rotondi
M, Miller MC, DeMoll E, Lim K, Fried MG, Stevenson B. 2009. *Borrelia burgdorferi*
EbfC defines a newly-identified, widespread family of bacterial DNA-binding proteins.
Nucleic Acids Res 37:1973-83.

29.   Van Gundy T, Lybecker M. Identification of RNA chaperones by gradient profiling in the
Lyme disease spirochete.

30.   Jutras BL, Jones GS, Verma A, Brown NA, Antonicello AD, Chenail AM, Stevenson B.
2013. Posttranscriptional self-regulation by the Lyme disease bacterium's BpuR
DNA/RNA-binding protein. J Bacteriol 195:4915-23.

31.   Picardeau M, Lobry JR, Hinnebusch BJ. 1999. Physical mapping of an origin of
bidirectional replication at the centre of the *Borrelia burgdorferi* linear chromosome. Mol
Microbiol 32:437-45.

32.   Ogura Y, Imai Y, Ogasawara N, Moriya S. 2001. Autoregulation of the *dnaA-dnaN* operon
and effects of DnaA protein levels on replication initiation in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol
183:3833-41.

33.   Berenstein D, Olesen K, Speck C, Skovgaard O. 2002. Genetic organization of the *Vibrio*
harveyi DnaA gene region and analysis of the function of the *V. harveyi* DnaA protein in
Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 184:2533-8.

34.   Salazar L, Guerrero E, Casart Y, Turcios L, Bartoli F. 2003. Transcription analysis of the

525   *dnaA* gene and *oriC* region of the chromosome of *Mycobacterium smegmatis* and

526   *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG, and its regulation by the DnaA protein. Microbiology

527   (Reading) 149:773-784.

528   35.   Jakimowicz D, Majka J, Lis B, Konopa G, Wegrzyn G, Messer W, Schrempf H,

529   Zakrzewska-Czerwinska J. 2000. Structure and regulation of the *dnaA* promoter region in

530   three *Streptomyces* species. Mol Gen Genet 262:1093-102.

531   36.   Atlung T, Clausen E, Hansen FG. 1984. Autorepression of the *dnaA* gene of *Escherichia*

532   *coli*. Adv Exp Med Biol 179:199-207.

533   37.   Flower AM, McHenry CS. 1986. The adjacent *dnaZ* and *dnaX* genes of *Escherichia coli*

534   are contained within one continuous open reading frame. Nucleic Acids Res 14:8091-101.

535   38.   Collier J. 2019. Cell division control in *Caulobacter crescentus*. Biochim Biophys Acta

536   Gene Regul Mech 1862:685-690.

537   39.   Hottes AK, Shapiro L, McAdams HH. 2005. DnaA coordinates replication initiation and

538   cell cycle transcription in *Caulobacter crescentus*. Mol Microbiol 58:1340-53.

539   40.   Keiler KC, Shapiro L. 2001. Conserved promoter motif is required for cell cycle timing of

540   *dnaX* transcription in *Caulobacter*. J Bacteriol 183:4860-5.

541   41.   Ishida T, Akimitsu N, Kashioka T, Hatano M, Kubota T, Ogata Y, Sekimizu K, Katayama

542   T. 2004. *DiaA*, a novel DnaA-binding protein, ensures the timely initiation of *Escherichia*

543   *coli* chromosome replication. J Biol Chem 279:45546-55.

544   42.   Kato J, Katayama T. 2001. Hda, a novel DnaA-related protein, regulates the replication

545   cycle in *Escherichia coli*. EMBO J 20:4253-62.

43.   Taghbalout A, Landoulsi A, Kern R, Yamazoe M, Hiraga S, Holland B, Kohiyama M,

Malki A. 2000. Competition between the replication initiator DnaA and the sequestration

factor SeqA for binding to the hemimethylated chromosomal origin of *E. coli in vitro*.

Genes to Cells 5:873-884.

44.   Old IG, Margarita D, Saint Girons I. 1993. Unique genetic arrangement in the *dnaA* region

of the *Borrelia burgdorferi* linear chromosome: nucleotide sequence of the *dnaA* gene.

FEMS Microbiol Lett 111:109-14.

45.   Fujikawa N, Kurumizaka H, Nureki O, Terada T, Shirouzu M, Katayama T, Yokoyama S.

2003. Structural basis of replication origin recognition by the DnaA protein. Nucleic Acids

Res 31:2077-86.

46.   Barbour AG. 1984. Isolation and cultivation of Lyme disease spirochetes. Yale J Biol Med

57:521-5.

47.   Savage CR, Jutras BL, Bestor A, Tilly K, Rosa PA, Tourand Y, Stewart PE, Brissette CA,

Stevenson B. 2018. *Borrelia burgdorferi* SpoVG DNA- and RNA-Binding Protein

Modulates the Physiology of the Lyme Disease Spirochete. J Bacteriol 200.

48.   Sutton MD, Kaguni JM. 1997. Threonine 435 of *Escherichia coli* DnaA protein confers

sequence-specific DNA binding activity. J Biol Chem 272:23017-24.

49.   Zawilak-Pawlik AM, Kois A, Zakrzewska-Czerwinska J. 2006. A simplified method for

purification of recombinant soluble DnaA proteins. Protein Expr Purif 48:126-33.

50.   Qi X, Sun Y, Xiong S. 2015. A single freeze-thawing cycle for highly efficient

solubilization of inclusion body proteins and its refolding into bioactive form. Microb Cell

Fact 14:24.

51.   Babb K, Bykowski T, Riley SP, Miller MC, Demoll E, Stevenson B. 2006. Borrelia

569   burgdorferi EbfC, a novel, chromosomally encoded protein, binds specific DNA sequences

570   adjacent to *erp* loci on the spirochete's resident cp32 prophages. J Bacteriol 188:4331-9.

571   52.   Laniel MA, Béliveau A, Guérin SL. 2001. Electrophoretic mobility shift assays for the

572   analysis of DNA-protein interactions. Methods Mol Biol 148:13-30.

573   53.   Arnold WK, Savage CR, Brissette CA, Seshu J, Livny J, Stevenson B. 2016. RNA-Seq of

574   *Borrelia burgdorferi* in Multiple Phases of Growth Reveals Insights into the Dynamics of

575   Gene Expression, Transcriptome Architecture, and Noncoding RNAs. PLoS One

576   11:e0164165.

Table 1. Subunits of DNA Polymerase III Holoenzyme

Subunit	Function	*E. coli*	*B. burgdorferi*	*T. pallidum*	*L. interrogans*
DnaE, α	Polymerase activity	B0184	BB0579	TP0669	LIC10222
DnaN, β	DNA clamp; locks Pol III to DNA to increase processivity	B3701	BB0438	TP0002	LIC10002
DnaQ, ε	3' → 5' exonuclease activity	B0215	–	TP0643	–
DnaX, τ and γ	Dimerize core enzymes (τ); clamp loader (γ)	B0470	BB0461	TP1005	LIC13474
HolA, δ	Clamp opener	B0640	BB0455	TP0588	–
HolB, δ'	Clamp loader	B1099	–	–	LIC13497
HolC, χ	Interact with SSB	B4259	–	–	–
HolD, Ψ	Stabilize γ and χ interaction	B4372	–	–	–
HolE, θ	Proof-reading activity	B1842	–	–	–

Dashes (–) indicate the organism lacks an identifiable homolog.

578
579

Figure Legends.

Figure 1. The *dnaX-ebfC* operon and its 5' UTR. (A) The *B. burgdorferi dnaX-ebfC* locus is

located downstream of genes for two tRNAs and the RNA component of the signal recognition

particle (SRP). (B) The predicted promoter (Softberry, BPROM) of the *dnaX-ebfC* operon is just

within the end of the *srp* gene. The 179 nt 5' UTR contains a hypothesized DnaA-box (A), and

two EbfC binding motifs. The region labeled B is the sequence DnaA was also found to bind (*see*

below).

Figure 2. Interactions of DnaA at the *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR DNA and assessment of effects of ATP

and ADP. Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) were conducted with a fluorescent 186-

mer DNA probe (100 nM) that consists of the *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR. Representative EMSAs with

0.050, 0.102, 0.206, 0.419, 0.851, 1.728, 3.509, 7.126 and 14.5 μM of recombinant GST-DnaA

with either 1 mM (A) ATP or (B) ADP. The first lanes contain the DNA probe only. (C) EMSA

with 0.625, 1.25, 2.5, and 5 μM recombinant GST demonstrates that the observed GST-DnaA

binding is not due to the fusion partner. (D-F) Apparent dissociation constant (K_D^{app}) measurements

of GST-DnaA-probe interactions with ATP or ADP. Plots show the K_D^{app} calculated using the

densitometric data from triplicate EMSAs. The average K_D^{app} for ATP reactions is 1141 nM and

737.7 nM for ADP. The difference in GST-DnaA-probe binding between the ATP and ADP

reactions was not statistically significant (p = 0.9835, unpaired student's t-test).

Figure 3. Identification of DnaA binding sites within the *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR DNA. (A) Schematic

of the 186-mer *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR DNA probe and the seven overlapping 40-mer DNA

competitors. Hypothesized DnaA-box is labeled A. The region where DnaA was also found to

bind is labeled B. (B) Horizontal EMSA with a polyacrylamide TBE gel using 100 nM DNA

probe, GST-DnaA, ATP, and different DNA competitors. Lane 1: probe only. Lanes 2-4: probe

with 1.125, 2.25, and 4.5 μM GST-DnaA. Lanes 5-11: probe with 4.5 μM GST-DnaA and 10-

molar excess of competitor DNAs (C1-C7). Lane 12: probe with 4.5 μM GST-DnaA and 10-molar

excess of DNA amplified from pCR2.1 containing the *dnaX-ebfC* 5'UTR (Positive control, PC).

Lane 13: probe with 4.5 μM GST-DnaA and 10-molar excess of DNA amplified from the empty

pCR2.1 (Negative control, NC). The asterisk marks the probe-protein complex. The black line

indicates the removal of extraneous lanes from the same gel.

Figure 4. Interactions of EbfC with the *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR DNA and RNA. (A-C) EMSAs with

100 nM labeled DNA probes, 2.5 ng/μl poly dI-dC, and either 3.75, 7.5, 15, and 30 μM

recombinant EbfC. Lane 1 for each panel is probe only. (A) 186-mer DNA probe of the *dnaX-ebfC*

5' UTR. (B) 30-mer DNA probe from the *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR with the consensus EbfC motif. (C)

30-mer DNA probe from the *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR with the partial EbfC motif. (D) Predicted RNA

fold structure of the 179 nt 5' UTR of *dnaX-ebfC* (RNAfold, http://rna.tbi.univie.ac.at/cgi-

bin/RNAWebSuite/RNAfold.cgi). The consensus EbfC binding motif is predicted to be part of a

stem-loop, and the partial EbfC binding motif is within a knot. (E) EMSA with 20 nM EbfC a 30-

mer Alexa 647 RNA probe containing the consensus EbfC binding motif from the *dnaX-ebfC* 5'

UTR and recombinant EbfC. Lane 1: probe only. Lanes 2-4: probe with 5, 10 and 15 μm EbfC.

Lane 5: probe with 1 μM competitor *flaB* RNA, and 15 μM EbfC. Lane 6: probe with 1 μM

competitor *dnaX-ebfC* RNA. The lower-order complex is indicated by one asterisk (*), and the

higher-order complex by two asterisks (**). All lanes are from the same gel, with the black line

indicating the removal of extraneous lanes.

Figure 5. Interaction of DnaA and EbfC at the *dnaX-ebfC* 5' UTR DNA. (A) EMSA using the

dnaX-ebfC probe with constant EbfC concentration of $10\,\mu$M and $0.078, 0.156, 0.312, 0.625, 1.25$,

and $2.50\,\mu$M of GST-DnaA. Reaction A is EbfC only with the probe. Reactions B-G have an

increasing concentration of GST-DnaA and constant EbfC concentration. The first eight lanes

include 1 mM ATP, and the last seven include 1 mM ADP. (B) Graph of the percent free DNA for

each reaction as determined by densitometry. For both ATP and ADP conditions, free DNA

increased (reaction D) at lower concentrations of added GST-DnaA. At the highest GST-DnaA

concentrations, free DNA decreased.

Figure 6. The effects of purified recombinant protein on *dnaX-ebfC in vitro* expression. Reactions

used ~65 nM linear DNA containing 247 bp upstream of the *dnaX* start codon fused to *gfp*. GST-

DnaA, EbfC, or GST were added at final concentrations of $1\,\mu$M. Reporter GFP levels were

quantified by ELISA and are reported as mean absorbances from triplicate reactions. The error

bars indicate the standard error of the mean (SEM). Asterisks (****) indicate a statistically

significant ($p < 0.001$) difference relative to the template-only reaction. GST served as a control

to demonstrate the specific activity of the recombinant proteins. NTC = no template control.

Figure 7. EMSAs with PCR amplified probes containing the intergenic regions 5' of *dnaA* and the

DNA pol III HE genes. (A) Schematic of the loci subject to EMSA analysis. Predicted DnaA-

boxes are indicated by red rectangles. Mapped DnaA-boxes are indicated by purple rectangles.

Each EMSA reaction (B-E) includes 100 nM probe, 2.5 ng/μl poly dI-dC, and either 0.56, 1.125,

2.25, and $4.5\,\mu$M GST-DnaA. The first lane for each EMSA is probe only. The first five lanes of

each panel included 1 mM ATP, and the last five included 1 mM ADP. (B) Probe containing the

oriC/dnaA-dnaN intergenic region. (C) Probe containing the intergenic region of *gyrB-dnaA*. (D)

Probe containing the intergenic region 5' of *dnaE*. (E) Probe containing the intergenic region 5' of

holA.

Figure 8. Relative affinities of DnaA for labeled *oriC* probe in comparison with other loci.

Competitive EMSA using a final labeled *oriC* probe concentration of 100 nM for each lane. Lanes

2-4 have increasing concentrations of 1.125, 2.25, and 4.5 μM GST-DnaA, and lanes 5-10 have

constant levels of 4.5 μM GST-DnaA. Competitor DNAs were amplified using unlabeled M13

Forward and Reverse primers from TA constructs, and added at 10-times molar excess to reactions

relative to the *oriC* probe (lanes 6-10).

Figure 9. Tracking DNA Pol III HE gene expression changes during growth phases. **(A-F)** The

cell density of *B*. *burgdorferi* (left *y*-axes) was measured every 24 h, and the relative transcript

levels (right *y*-axes) were analyzed every 48 h. The fold expression of transcript was calculated by

normalization to the constitutive *ftsK* gene and reported as the average of triplicate reactions. The

error bars indicate the SEM.

Figure 10. Diagram of experimentally-confirmed and hypothesized effects of DnaA on *B*.

burgdorferi protein expression. Green arrows indicate positive effects, red arrows indicate

negative effects, and black arrows indicate predicted effects. DnaA positively influences

expression of both DnaX and EbfC. EbfC counteracts the effect of DnaA on the *dnaX-ebfC* operon,

acts as an anti-repressor to stimulate transcription of *erp* operons, and also affects expression of

numerous other transcripts. DnaA binds over the *bpuR* promoter and is hypothesized to repress

transcription of that gene, while BpuR has been demonstrated to repress its own translation,

enhance repression of *erp* operons, and affect expression of several other borrelial proteins. DnaA

also binds to its own promoter region, as well as those of *dnaE, dnaN, gyrB,* and *holA*, and is

hypothesized to affect expression of those operons.

Supplementary Material.

Supplemental Figure. Top: Alignment of slipped-strand region of *E. coli* (Ec) *dnaX* gene with

those of *B. burgdorferi* (Bb) and the spirochetes *Treponema pallidum* (Tp) and *Leptospira*

interrogans (Li). The *dnaX* gene and protein sequences were taken from the type strains of *E. coli*

(NC_000913), *B. burgdorferi* (NC_001318), *T. pallidum* (NC_000919), and *L. interrogans*

(NZ_CP02414). The *E. coli dnaX* gene sequence was annotated to show the Shine-Dalgarno (RBS)

sequence, slippery sequence, and frameshift stop codon (16). Sequence alignments were

performed on Geneious software using Clustal Omega. Bottom: Identities of the *dnaX* genes and

DnaX proteins of *E. coli* (Ec), *B. burgdorferi* (Bb), *T. pallidum* (Tp), and *L. interrogans* (Li).

Supplemental Table. Oligonucleotides used in these studies

Figure 1

Figure 2.

Figure 3.

Figure 4.

Figure 5.

Figure 6.

Figure 7.

Figure 8.

Figure 9.

Figure 10.