Title

Type I PRMT inhibitor MS023 promotes SMN2 exon 7 inclusion and synergizes with

nusinersen to rescue the phenotype of SMA mice

Anna J Kordala[1,3], Nina Ahlskog[2,3], Muhammad Hanifi [2], Jessica Stoodley[2,3], Wooi Fang

Lim[2,3], Suzan M Hammond[2], Matthew JA Wood[2,3], Carlo Rinaldi[2,3]

[1]Department of Physiology Anatomy and Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

[2]Department of Paediatrics, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

[3]MDUK Oxford Neuromuscular Centre, Oxford, UK

Address correspondence to: Carlo Rinaldi, Institute of Developmental and Regenerative

medicine (IDRM), Old Road Campus, University of Oxford, OX3 7TY Oxford, United

Kingdom. Phone: +441865272148; email: carlo.rinaldi@idrm.ox.c.uk.

The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists

1   **Abstract**

2   Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) is the leading genetic cause of infant mortality. The

3   advent of approved treatments for this devastating condition has significantly

4   changed SMA patients' life expectancy and quality of life. Nevertheless, these are

5   not without limitations, and research efforts are underway to develop new

6   approaches to be used alone and in combination, to ensure improved and long-

7   lasting benefits for SMA patients. Protein arginine methyltransferases (PRMT) are

8   emerging as druggable epigenetic targets, with several small molecule PRMT

9   inhibitors already in clinical trial stage. From a screen of highly potent and selective

10   next generation epigenetic small molecules, we have identified MS023, a potent and

11   selective type I PRMT inhibitor, able to promote SMN2 exon 7 inclusion and increase

12   SMN protein levels in preclinical SMA model, by inhibiting the binding of splicing

13   factor hnRNPA1 to *SMN2* pre-mRNA. Treatment of SMA mice with MS023 results in

14   amelioration of the disease phenotype, with strong synergistic amplification of the

15   positive effect when delivered in combination with the *SMN2*-targeting antisense

16   oligonucleotide nusinersen. Moreover, transcriptomic analysis revealed that MS023

17   treatment has very minimal off-target effects and that the added benefit of the

18   combination therapy is mainly attributable to targeting neuroinflammation. Our study

19   warrants further clinical investigation of PRMT inhibition both as a stand-alone and

20   add-on therapy for SMA patients.

1 Introduction

Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) is a genetic neuromuscular condition, affecting 1:8,000–

10,000 live births (1) and, to this date, a leading inherited cause of infant mortality worldwide

(2). SMA is caused by inactivating mutations, mainly homozygous deletions, in the survival

motor neuron 1 (*SMN1)* gene on chromosome 5 (3). The encoded protein SMN is

ubiquitously expressed, localizing both to the cytoplasmic and nuclear compartments within

the cell, where it exerts numerous essential functions, including biogenesis of small nuclear

ribonucleoproteins (snRNPs), its most widely studied function (4)–(6), 3' processing of

histone mRNAs (7), control of transcription (8)–(10), R-loop resolution (9),(10), RNA

trafficking (11)–(19), and pre-mRNA splicing (20)–(23). The *SMN2* gene is a copy of

centromeric *SMN1*, with a critical C to T substitution in position 6 of exon 7, which creates an

exonic splicing silencer site and is recognised by a splicing factor, hnRNPA1 (24),(25). In

consequence, the *SMN2* gene mainly encodes a shorter and rapidly degraded SMN isoform

lacking exon 7 (Δ7 SMN), with only 10–15% of *SMN2* transcripts still capable of generating a

full length SMN protein (26),(27). The number of *SMN2* copies varies in the general

population and is the main modifier of disease severity identified so far, with higher number

of copies being associated with a milder SMA phenotype (28),(29). Depending on the age of

onset and motor milestones achieved, SMA has been divided into four clinical types (I–IV)

(30), with type I SMA infants showing symptoms before 6 months of age and never gaining

the ability to sit unaided.

Loss of SMN leads to degeneration of lower α-motor neurons by molecular mechanisms

which are not fully understood. Other neuronal and non-neuronal cell populations are

affected in SMA patients and include sensory neurons (31)–(35), skeletal muscle (36)–(38),

heart and vasculature (39)–(44), liver (45),(46), and pancreas (47), supporting the notion

that SMA is a multisystemic condition.

In the last decade, the advent of successful therapeutic approaches, combined with

improvements in standard of care, have effectively changed the course of this disease,

significantly slowing the progression of all SMA types (48)–(50). One of such approved

strategies entails intrathecal injections of nusinersen, an antisense oligonucleotide (ASO)

targeting intronic splicing silencer N1 (ISS-N1), promoting *SMN2* exon 7 inclusion and

increasing levels of full length SMN protein. Concomitantly, these treatment opportunities

pose new challenges, including their yet-to-be determined long-term effects, the rise of new

phenotypes in treated patients, which is particularly relevant for approaches such as

nusinersen, solely targeting the central nervous system (CNS), the need for repeated

invasive administrations, and high costs. Altogether, these considerations highlight the

urgency for development of therapeutic combinations to address these important limitations

and to provide additional benefit to patients.

Epigenetic regulation of gene expression, which involves covalent and sequence-specific

modifications of histone and non-histone proteins, is a dynamic and reversible process that

establishes normal cellular phenotypes and, when dysregulated, contributes to a wide range

of human diseases, including SMA (51)–(56). In recent years, key protein families that

mediate epigenetic signalling through the acetylation and methylation of histones and non-

histone proteins, including histone deacetylases (HDACs), protein methyltransferases

(PRMT), histone lysine methyltransferases (KMTs) and demethylases (KDMs), and

bromodomain-containing proteins (BRD) have emerged as attractive druggable targets using

small molecules, due to the dynamic nature of disease-associated epigenetic states (57).

Several small molecule inhibitors of histone deacetylases have been tested in SMA models

(58), but their lack of specificity, low potency, and poor understanding of their mechanisms

of action have significantly limited their translation into the clinic (59)–(61). A recent study

has shown that nusinersen, while promoting exon 7 inclusion, also induces a silencing

histone mark H3K9me2 on *SMN2* gene, creating a roadblock to RNA polymerase II

elongation. The histone deacetylase inhibitor valproic acid counteracts the chromatin effects

of the ASO, resulting in higher exon 7 inclusion upon combined treatment compared to

nusinersen alone (62).

The primary aim of this project is to identify selective and powerful next generation small

molecules targeting epigenetic proteins able to increase SMN protein and evaluate their

therapeutic potential in SMA animal models alone and as an add-on treatment.

Results

Epigenetic screening of SMN2 modulators. In order to identify small molecules that

selectively modulate *SMN2* pre-mRNA splicing to include exon 7, we performed a cell-based

screen in SMA type II-patient derived fibroblasts carrying 3 copies of the *SMN2* gene, using

a collection of 54 chemical probes from the Structural Genomics Consortium (SGC)

collection (https://www.thesgc.org/chemical-probes) (63),(64) (Supplementary Table 1). This

unique library includes compounds targeting key epigenetic regulatory proteins with a high

degree of potency and selectivity, and a favourable therapeutic index (65). The maximum

non-toxic concentrations for each compound, established by a viability assay in these cells,

were used in the screen (Supplementary Figure 1). Of the 54 molecules, only one molecule,

selective type I PRMT inhibitor MS023, was able to promote exon 7 inclusion in *SMN2* pre-

mRNA, as demonstrated by an increase in full length (FL) and not total *SMN2* mRNA levels

and a concomitant reduction in mRNA transcripts lacking exon 7 (Δ7) (Figure 1A–C). MS023

treatment in these fibroblasts also increased SMN protein levels up to 1.6-fold, as

determined by Western blot analysis (Figure 1D). Notably, other compounds, including

bromodomain inhibitors (BAY-299, BI-9564, JQ1) and lysine demethylase inhibitors (GSK-

J1, GSK-LSD), also elicited a ≥1.5-fold increase in SMN protein without affecting mRNA

levels, suggesting a direct or indirect effect on SMN protein regulation (Figure 1A–D).

PRMT5 inhibitors GSK591 and LLY-283 and bromodomain inhibitor I-BRD9 slightly reduced

FL *SMN2* without affecting *Δ7 SMN2* levels, with GSK591 treatment also resulting in

reduction of SMN protein, supporting a mechanism of transcriptional repression (Figure 1A–

D). Overall these results suggest that protein arginine asymmetric and symmetric

dimethylation by different families of PRMTs exert opposite effects on SMN regulation.

PRMTs are involved in several critical biological functions (66), and represent a promising

therapeutic target for many human diseases from cancer to neurodegeneration, with at least

eight PRMT inhibitors attaining clinical trial testing in human cancers (67)–(69). Altogether,

the direct effect of PRMT type I inhibition on *SMN2* exon 7 inclusion and the potential for

clinical impact of this class of molecules, prompted us to further investigate MS023 as a

therapeutic agent for SMA.

Type I PRMT inhibition promotes exon 7 inclusion in SMN2 pre-mRNA by decreasing

hnRNPA1 binding. MS023 is a recently identified potent and selective inhibitor of type I

PRMTs harbouring an ethylendiamino group, a critical moiety for its activity (Figure 2A) (70).

Treatment of SMA fibroblasts with MS023 led to a dose-dependent increase in both *SMN2*

exon 7 inclusion and protein levels (Figure 2B–E). This effect was not observed upon

treatment with MS094 (Supplementary Figure 2A and B), an inactive MS023 analogue

where the terminal primary amino group in the ethylenediamino group is switched to a

hydroxyl group (70), further confirming its dependency on PRMT activity. Knock-down of

each and any combination of two of the type I PRMTs (PRMT 1, 3, 4, 6, and 8) resulted in

no change in *SMN2* splicing, suggesting a high degree of functional overlap between these

proteins (not shown). In order to identify the PRMT substrate mediating the effect of MS023

on *SMN2*, we interrogated a recently published dataset of the arginine methyl proteome in

human NB4 cells upon treatment with MS023 (71). Out of 72 responsive targets, we noted

that MS023 determined largely a downregulation of asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA)

and an increase in monomethylarginine (MMA) sites in the heterogeneous nuclear

ribonucleoprotein A1 (hnRNPA1) (71). hnRNPA1 directly binds *SMN2* pre-mRNA across

multiple sites and is a well-established negative regulator of exon 7 splicing (72)–(79). We

therefore hypothesised that MS023-induced ADMA-to-MMA switch in hnRNPA1 methylation

affects its binding to *SMN2* pre-mRNA, resulting in exon 7 inclusion. In order to test this

hypothesis, we treated SMA fibroblasts with increasing concentrations of MS023 and

observed a dose-responsive reduction of the fraction of FL *SMN2* transcripts bound to

hnRNPA1 (up to 60% reduction), as determined by a crosslinking and immunoprecipitation

(CLIP) assay (Figure 2F), which validated our working model (Figure 2G). Notably, treatment

with MS023 did not change hnRNPA1 levels nor its subcellular localization, further

suggesting that the treatment-induced change in arginine methylation specifically affects

hnRNPA1 binding affinity with its RNA target (Supplementary Figure 2, C and D). Taken

together, these findings indicate that MS023 promotes *SMN2* exon 7 inclusion via decreased

binding of hnRNPA1.

Oral administration of MS023 improves the phenotype of SMA mice alone and in synergy

with nusinersen. We next evaluated the efficacy and tolerability of MS023 treatment *in vivo*

in a severe preclinical mouse model of SMA (80). These mice, which lack the mouse *Smn*

gene and only carry a single copy of the human *SMN2* gene, display a phenotype with

weight loss and reduced motor activity starting at postnatal day 5 (P5) and typically reach

humane end point by P9. Daily oral administration of MS023 or vehicle (0.5% DMSO in 0.9%

saline solution) was performed in SMA mice from P0 to P11 (Figure 3A). We tested a range

of doses (1, 2, 5, and 40 mg/kg) and found that treatment with both 2 mg/kg and 5 mg/kg

resulted in significant increase in survival, with the 2 mg/kg dose achieving the best effect

(median: 10 days), compared to both untreated (median: 7) and vehicle-treated (median: 6

days) mice ($P < 0.0001$) (Figure 3B). Mice treated with this regimen also showed an

improvement in the disease-associated weight loss (Figure 3C). No further amelioration was

observed with 40 mg/kg MS023, hinting that with this dose the therapeutic window has been

surpassed (Figure 3B, Supplementary Figure 3A). Notably, we only detected an increase in

FL *SMN2* transcript and SMN protein levels in spinal cords and skeletal muscles of SMA

mice treated with 2 mg/kg MS023, where hnRNPA1 is most abundantly expressed (81),(82)

(Figure 3D–G; Supplementary Figure 3B and C).

Since the mechanism of action of the 2□-O-methoxyethyl phosphorothioate-modified drug

nusinersen, a currently approved ASO therapy for SMA patients, consists of promoting exon

7 inclusion into *SMN2* pre-mRNA (83)–(87), we postulated that a combinatorial treatment

with MS023 would synergistically lead to improved therapeutic benefit and allow a cost-

effective ASO dosing regimen in SMA. In order to test this hypothesis, SMA mice were

treated at P0 with a single subcutaneous administration of 30 mg/kg nusinersen, a minimum

effective dose previously shown to extend the SMA mice life span (88), alone or in

combination with daily oral administration of 2 mg/kg MS023 from P1 to P6 (Figure 4A).

Analysis of tissues collected at P7 showed that the combinatorial treatment was able to

further enhance exon 7 inclusion in *SMN2* pre-mRNA (Figure 4B–D) and increase SMN

protein levels (Figure 4E and F; Supplementary Figure 4A), both in CNS and periphery

tissues. A further follow-up study designed to assess the effect on survival (Figure 4G)

revealed that the combinatorial treatment of nusinersen and MS023 dramatically prolonged

the lifespan (median: 97.5 days) compared to nusinersen alone (median: 19.5; $P = 0.02$)

(Figure 4H), and improved the body weight of SMA mice (Figure 4I). Overall, these results

suggest that oral administration of MS023 synergises with nusinersen to provide a

therapeutic benefit in SMA.

Molecular signature of the combinatorial treatment. In order to understand the molecular

underpinnings of the added benefit provided by the combinatorial treatment, we performed

bulk transcriptomic analysis in spinal cords of SMA mice treated with MS023 only,

nusinersen only, and MS023 in combination with nusinersen, compared to wild type and

untreated SMA mice, following the treatment paradigm described above (Figure 4A). Out of

a total of 5509 significantly dysregulated transcripts in SMA ($P < 0.05$, false discovery rate <

0.05), 2482/2874 (86%) of the upregulated and 2060/2635 (83%) of the downregulated

genes were commonly corrected by nusinersen treatment alone and by combinatorial

treatment (Figure 5A, Supplementary Table 2). Combinatorial treatment was able to

exclusively rescue 359 (6.5% out of 5509) additional genes that were not corrected upon

treatment with nusinersen alone. We generated a heatmap of the top significant genes ($P <$

0.01) of this category (Figure 5B) and performed a hallmark analysis, to depict and identify

the changes that could explain the beneficial effects of the combinatorial treatment (Figure

5C and D). TNF-α signalling, together with several other immune-related pathways, including

interferon response and complement activation, were highly enriched, overall suggesting

that targeting neuroinflammation for therapy is key to achieving a beneficial effect in SMA.

Interestingly, astrocyte dysfunction and chronic microglia activation have been observed

early in SMA and other neurological conditions (89)–(92) and their contribution to neuronal

dysfunction and death is abundantly supported (93)–(96). Given the role of SMN in RNA

biogenesis and spliceosomal proteins assembly (20), and the observation of increased mis-

splicing events upon SMN depletion (97)–(102), we wondered whether the beneficial effect

of the combinatorial treatment was due to restoration in the splicing profile. We identified 446

mis-splicing events in the spinal cord of SMA mice, with the vast majority ($n = 353$, 79%)

being skipped exons, in accordance with previous reports(97)–(102) (Supplementary Figure

5A and B). Individual treatment with MS023 or nusinersen were both able to restore the

splicing profile almost fully (347/446 and 368/446, respectively), with only 14 unique splicing

events corrected exclusively upon the combinatorial treatment (Figure 5E; Supplementary

Table 3), suggesting that mis-splicing has a low threshold for normalization in SMA and is a poor predictor of treatment response.

Discussion

Thousands of SMA patients are treated each year with nusinersen, the first SMA treatment to receive FDA and EMA approval in 2016 and 2017, respectively. Despite having enormously changed the life expectancy and quality of life of patients, this approach is not without limitations: it fails to address the peripheral manifestations of the disease, is costly, and the intrathecal administrations require patients' hospitalization and well-trained clinicians, becoming more troublesome with time as the disease progresses (48). Research efforts are underway to develop both new SMN-dependent and SMN-independent therapies for use in combination with current therapies, to provide improved and sustained benefit for all SMA patients.

From a screen of potent and highly selective epigenetic probes, we have identified MS023, a potent and selective next-generation type I PRMT inhibitor (70), able to elevate SMN protein in SMA models, at levels comparable to recently clinically approved small molecule risdiplam (103) and to synergistically amplify the effects of nusinersen. Interestingly, type II PRMT-targeting by selective PRMT5 inhibitors GSK591 and LLY-283 reduced FL *SMN2*, supporting a mechanism of regulation of *SMN2* by this class of enzymes.

Protein arginine methylation is a prevalent posttranscriptional modification occurring at the nitrogen of guanidinium group of over 4000 proteins, many of which are RNA-binding proteins, influencing their stability, function, and interaction with other macromolecules (104). In mammals, arginine methylation of histone and non-histone proteins is performed by nine PRMT enzymes, divided into three families: type I PRMTs (PRMT1, PRMT2, PRMT3, PRMT4, PRMT6 and PRMT8) perform monomethylation followed by an asymmetric dimethylation (ADMA) of arginine, with both methyl groups on a single guanidino nitrogen atom; type II PRMTs (PRMT5 and PRMT9) catalyse monomethylation, followed by a symmetric dimethylation (SDMA) where one methyl group is transferred to each of the nitrogen atoms; and type III PRMT (PRMT7) only performs monomethylation (MMA) (67). PRMTs have been shown to compete over the same substrates, frequently with opposite functional effects (105).

Several studies have highlighted a link between PRMTs and SMN: To ensure fidelity of loading onto the correct snRNAs, three of the core spliceosomal Sm proteins (SmB, SmD1 and SmD3) undergo symmetric dimethylation by PRMT5, a modification recognised by the Tudor domain of SMN (106),(107). SMN interaction with senataxin, a DNA-RNA helicase, depends on the dimethylated arginine and is reduced upon PRMT5 knockdown (10). Lastly,

levels of PRMT4 (CARM1) are upregulated in SMA mice spinal cord and patients' cells

(108). PRMTs represent a promising therapeutic target for many human diseases from

cancer (109)–(111) to neurodegeneration (112)–(114), with eight PRMT inhibitors attaining

clinical trial testing in human cancers (66)–(69).

Consistently with previous methylome analyses in cells treated with type I PRMT inhibitors,

GSK3368712 (115) or MS023 (71), we propose that MS023 mechanism of action entails a

switch in the arginine methylation profile from ADMA to MMA of hnRNPA1, a major negative

regulator of *SMN2* exon 7 inclusion (72)–(79), resulting in reduced binding affinity to *SMN2*

pre-mRNA. Notably, nusinersen also acts by interfering with hnRNPA1 binding to the ISS-N1

sequence, suggesting a synergistic convergence on this mechanism of regulation. Since

splicing is regulated by several RNA binding proteins, we cannot exclude that methylation of

splicing factors other than hnRNPA1 also contribute, directly or indirectly, to the effect of

MS023 on *SMN2* (116).

Hallmark analysis of the 359 genes whose expression levels in spinal cords were only

corrected by the combinatorial treatment, revealed enrichment of immune-related pathways,

such as TNF-α signalling, interferon response and complement activation, overall suggesting

that therapeutic targeting of neuroinflammation is key to achieving optimal and long-lasting

effect in SMA. Interestingly, astrocyte dysfunction and chronic microglia activation have

been observed early in SMA and other neurological conditions (89)–(92) and play a

determinative role in the disease pathogenesis (93)–(96). Transcriptomic data in the

preclinical model indicates that, at the dose employed in this study, MS023 shows a

favorable safety profile, with minimal off-target effects both on gene expression and splicing

alterations, in stark contrast to the pleiotropic effects of other epigenetic modulators such as

valproic acid.

Altogether, these promising preclinical results warrant further clinical investigations of

MS023 or other selective type I PRMT inhibitors both as a stand-alone and an add-on

treatment with nusinersen in SMA patients.

Methods

Small molecules screening. The library of small molecules was obtained from the Structural

Genomic Consortium (SGC) (Williamoson AR, 2000). Upon reception the molecules were

diluted in DMSO to 10 mM, aliquoted and stored at –20°C for the duration of the study. All

the compounds, their targets and doses used in the study are listed in Supplementary Table

1.

Cell lines and culture. Cells were grown in a humidified incubator at 37°C with 5% CO_2. SMA

type II patient fibroblasts were obtained from Coriell Institute (GM03813). The cells were

maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium GlutaMAX (Gibco) supplemented with

10% foetal bovine serum (Gibco) and 1× Antibiotic-Antimycotic (Gibco). SMA patient

fibroblasts were plated in triplicates, in 12-well plates at 50,000 cells per well in 500 µL of

medium for RNA or in duplicates in 6-well plates at 100,000 cells per well in 1 mL of medium

for protein. After 6 h, compounds of interest were diluted in medium to 2× final concentration

and added to the cells. RNA was isolated after 48 h incubation, protein after 72 h.

Cell viability assay. MTS Cell Proliferation Assay Kit (Abcam) was used to determine the

highest non-toxic concentration of small molecules in SMA patient fibroblasts. Briefly, 3000

cells/wells were plated in triplicates in a 96-well plate in half of the final volume of medium

(100 µL). After 6 h, compounds of interest were diluted to 2× final concentration in 100 µL of

medium and added in a range of concentrations (1 µM to 10 µM). 1 µM of staurosporine

(Sigma) was used as a positive control for cell death. After 48 h, 20 µL of MTS reagent was

added to each well. Four hours later, the absorbance was measured at 490 nm on

CLARIOstar plate reader (BMG Labtech). The concentration was deemed non-toxic if the

absorbance was not significantly different from the control and cells looked viable after visual

inspection. In subsequent experiments, only the highest non-toxic concentration of each

compound was used, unless stated otherwise.

RNA/cDNA preparation and RT-qPCR. RNA extraction was performed using Maxwell® RSC

simply RNA Kit (Promega) following the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration was

measured using Nanodrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher), and cDNA was

generated using an ABI High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Invitrogen) following

the manufacturer's instructions. A qPCR reaction using Power SYBR Green Master Mix (Life

Technologies) was performed and analysed on an Applied Biosystems StepOnePlus™ real-

time PCR system (Life Technologies). FL *SMN2*, Δ7 *SMN2*, Tot *SMN2*, *PolJ*, *Gapdh* and

GAPDH transcripts were amplified using gene- and species-specific primers (Supplementary

Table 4).

Protein extraction and Western blot. Proteins were harvested from ~30 mg of tissue (*in vivo*)

or two 6-well plates (*in vitro*) and homogenised in Ripa buffer with complete mini-proteinase

inhibitors (Roche). Preparation of nuclear and cytoplasmic extracts from human fibroblasts

was performed using a NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagents (Thermo

Fisher), as per manufacturer's instructions. Proteins (10–15 µg from cells and 20–30 µg from

tissue) were probed for human SMN protein using anti-SMN, clone SMN-KH monoclonal

IgG1 (Sigma, MABE230), Histone 3 (Cell Signalling, 9715), Vinculin (Sigma, 062M4762),

and FAST green total protein stain and secondary antibody IRDye 800CW goat anti-mouse

IgG (LI-COR Biosciences). Membranes were imaged on a LI- COR Odyssey FC imager and

analysed with Image Studio^{TM} software (LI-COR Biosciences).

Crosslinking and immunoprecipitation assay (CLIP). Briefly, for each condition, four 150 cm^2

cell dishes of human patient fibroblasts were treated with 100 nM, 250 nM or 1 μM of MS023

for 48 h. After the incubation, the medium was aspirated and the cells were subjected to 150

mJ/cm^2 254 nm UV light in a Stratalinker UV Crosslinker and pelleted. The cells were then

lysed and hnRNPA1 immunoprecipitation was performed with Dynabeads Protein G

(Thermofisher) and hnRNAP1 antibody (Santa Cruz). Following DNA and protein digestion,

RNA was isolated and cDNA was generated as previously outlined. A qPCR reaction using

Power SYBR Green Master Mix (Life Technologies) was performed and analysed on an

Applied Biosystems StepOnePlus^{TM} real-time PCR system (Life Technologies). FL *SMN2*

and *GAPDH* transcripts were amplified using gene- and species-specific primers.

Mice. Mice were housed and all the procedures were carried out at the Biomedical Services

Building, University of Oxford and authorised by the UK Home Office in accordance with the

Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 and by the University of Oxford ethics committee

(PPL no: PDFEDC6F0). All experiments were performed on the SMA mouse strain FVB.Cg-

Smn1^{tm1Hung}Tg(SMN2)2Hung/J – the 'Taiwanese' model (*Smn$^{-/-}$;hSMN2$^{+/-}$*), generated and

maintained as previously described (80),(117). MS023 was administered daily orally from P0

or P1 using a Hamilton syringe (Hamilton). Doses of 0, 1, 2, 5 or 40 mg/kg of MS023 were

diluted in 0.5% DMSO and 0.9% saline and administered at a volume of 5 μL/g of body

weight. Nusinersen (sequence:

U*sC*sA*sC*sU*sU*sU*sC*sA*sU*sA*sA*sU*sG*sC*sU*sG*sG*s, where 'S' is

phosphorothioate backbone and '*' is a 2'-O-(2-Methoxyethyl)-oligoribonucleotides

chemistry) was diluted in 0.9% saline and given once at 20 μL/g body weight, via

subcutaneous injection at P0, in a dose of 30 mg/kg. Weights were recorded and overall

health was assessed daily. Since the pups were treated daily from P0 and identifying and

marking individual pups between P0 and P7 poses a high risk of misidentifying an animal, in

this study a litter constitutes an experimental unit and all mice in the litter were subjected to

the same treatment. Only litters between 7 and 11 pups were used to correct for average

weight (mice in smaller litters tend to be bigger and live longer and the opposite is true for

litter of 12 and above) and treatment was allocated randomly to a litter before it was born. All

the experimental units treated were included in the analysis. Personnel performing daily

weights and welfare checks for combinatorial therapy were blinded, researcher performing

oral administration, injections and data analysis was not blinded. For survival analysis, the

humane end point was reached upon 15% weight loss from a maximum weight or when the

mouse was not able to right itself for 30 s. Mice were culled by decapitation (if younger than

postnatal day 10) or cervical dislocation (if 10 days old or older). Tissues were harvested at

the indicated postnatal day.

RNA sequencing. Transcriptomic analysis was performed by Novogene (UK) company

Limited (https://en.novogene.com/) on P7 spinal cords of mice in the following treatment

groups: untreated SMA mice, SMA mice treated with nusinersen, SMA mice treated with

MS023, SMA mice treated with nusinersen and MS023, untreated controls (4 biological

replicates in each group). RNA quantification and integrity were assessed using the RNA

Nano 6000 Assay Kit of the Bioanalyzer 2100 system (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA).

mRNA was purified using poly-T oligo-attached magnetic beads. Fragmentation was carried

out using divalent cations under elevated temperature in First Strand Synthesis Reaction

Buffer. First strand cDNA was synthesised using random hexamer primer and M-MuLV

Reverse Transcriptase. Second strand cDNA synthesis was subsequently performed using

DNA Polymerase I and RNase H. Remaining overhangs were converted into blunt ends via

exonuclease/polymerase activities. After adenylation of 3' ends of DNA fragments, adaptor

with hairpin loop structure were ligated to prepare for hybridization. In order to select cDNA

fragments of preferentially 370~420 bp in length, the library fragments were purified with

AMPure XP system (Beckman Coulter, Beverly, USA). PCR products were purified (AMPure

XP system) and library quality was assessed on the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system. The

clustering of the index-coded samples was performed on a cBot Cluster Generation System

using TruSeq PE Cluster Kit v3-cBot-HS (Illumina) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. After cluster generation, the library preparations were sequenced on an Illumina

Novaseq platform with a coverage of 25 million reads and 150 bp paired-end reads were

generated. Raw data (raw reads) of fastq format were firstly processed through in-house perl

scripts. In this step, clean data (clean reads) were obtained by removing reads containing

adapter, reads 1 containing ploy-N and low-quality reads from raw data. Mus Musculus

(GRCm38/mm10) reference genome was used, index of the reference genome was built

using Hisat2 v2.0.5 and paired-end clean reads were aligned to the reference genome using

Hisat2 v2.0.5. The mapped reads of each sample were assembled by StringTie (v1.3.3b)

(118) in a reference-based approach. Quantification of gene expression level Feature

Counts v1.5.0-p3 was used to count the reads numbers mapped to each gene. Differential

expression analysis was performed using the DESeq2 R package (1.20.0), Benjamini-

Hochberg-adjusted *P*-values reported. Corrected *P*-value of 0.05 and absolute fold change

of 2 were set as the threshold for significantly differential expression. Alternative splicing

analysis rMATS(4.1.0) software was used to analysis the splicing event. We used the

psiPerEvent operation of SUPPA to calculate the Ψ values from the transcript quantifications

obtained for all the alternative splicing events generated as described above with the

generateEvents module of SUPPA (Alamancos GP, 2014). Data were visualised with R (R

Core Team, 2017).

Data and materials availability. All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are

present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Materials. The RNA-Seq data from wild type

and transgenic SMA mice is available for download from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO)

with accession number GSE206400.

Statistics. ANOVA tests were used to compare the means between two and three or more

groups, respectively. Statistics of survival times of SMA mice were determined by Kaplan-

Meier estimation, and comparisons were made with the log-rank test. A two-way ANOVA

was conducted to compare the effect of the treatment on weights of the animals using

treatment as a between-subjects factor and time as a within-subjects factor. Power analysis

was performed using G*Power 3.1.9.2 software (119). GraphPad Prism version 8 was used

to perform the statistical analyses (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA). A *P*-value less than 0.05 was

set as statistically significant.

Study approval

All animal procedures were authorised by the UK Home Office in accordance with the

Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 and by the University of Oxford ethics committee

(PPL no: PDFEDC6F0).

Author contributions

AJK, SMH, MJAW, and CR conceived the study. AJK, NA, MH, JS, and WFL performed the experiments. AJK and CR analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the discussion and writing of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by a Career Development Fellowship grant from the Wellcome Trust to CR (205162/Z/16/Z). AJK was supported by the Medical Research Council programme grant (BRT00040). WFL was supported by the Medical Research Council programme grant to M.J.A.W. (MR/N024850/1). The authors would like to thank the Structural Genomics Consortium for providing the library of small molecules.

References

1. Pearn J. Incidence, prevalence and gene frequency studies of chronic childhood spinal muscular atrophy. *J Med Genet.* 1978;Dec;15(6):409-413.

2. Crawford TO, Pardo CA. The neurobiology of childhood spinal muscular atrophy. *Neurobiol Dis.* 1996;3(2):97-110.

3. Lefebvre S et al. Identification and characterization of a spinal muscular atrophy-determining gene. *Cell.* 1995;80:155-165.

4. Zhang R et al. Structure of a key intermediate of the SMN complex reveals Gemin2's crucial function in snRNP assembly. *Cell.* 2011;146(3):384-395.

5. Friesen WJ et al. SMN, the product of the spinal muscular atrophy gene, binds preferentially to dimethylarginine-containing protein targets sufficient for SMN binding. *Mol Cell.* 2001;7(5):1111-1117.

6. Pellizzoni L, Yong J, Dreyfuss G. Essential role for the SMN complex in the specificity of snRNP assembly. *Science (80-).* 2002;298(5599):1775-1779.

7. Tisdale S et al. SMN is essential for the biogenesis of U7 Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein and 3'-end formation of Histone mRNAs. *Cell Rep.* 2013;5(5):1187-1195.

8. Strasswimmer J et al. Identification of survival motor neuron as a transcriptional activator-binding protein. *Hum Mol Genet.* 1999;8(7):1219-1226.

9. Suraweera A et al. Functional role for senataxin, defective in ataxia oculomotor apraxia type 2, in transcriptional regulation. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2009;18(18):3384-3396.

10. Yanling Zhao D et al. SMN and symmetric arginine dimethylation of RNA polymerase II C-terminal domain control termination. *Nature.* 2016;529(7584):48-53.

11. Rossoll W et al. Smn, the spinal muscular atrophy-determining gene product, modulates axon growth and localization of β-actin mRNA in growth cones of motoneurons. *J Cell Biol.* 2003;163(4):801-812.

12. Piazzon N et al. In vitro and in cellulo evidences for association of the survival of motor neuron complex with the Fragile X mental retardation protein. *J Biol Chem.* 2008;283(9):5598-5610.

13. Tadesse H et al. KH-type splicing regulatory protein interacts with survival motor neuron protein and is misregulated in spinal muscular atrophy. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2008;17(4):506-524.

14. Hubers L et al. HuD interacts with survival motor neuron protein and can rescue spinal muscular atrophy-like neuronal defects. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2011;20(3):553-579.

15. Fallini C et al. The survival of motor neuron (SMN) protein interacts with the mRNA-binding protein HuD and regulates localization of poly(A) mRNA in primary motor

neuron axons. *J Neurosci*. 2011;31(10):3914-3925.

16. Akten B et al. Interaction of survival of motor neuron (SMN) and HuD proteins with mRNA cpg15 rescues motor neuron axonal deficits. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2011;108(25):10337-10342.

17. Rage F et al. Genome-wide identification of mRNAs associated with the protein SMN whose depletion decreases their axonal localization. *RNA*. 2013;19(12):1755-1766.

18. Fallini C et al. Dynamics of survival of motor neuron (SMN) protein interaction with the mRNA-binding protein IMP1 facilitates its trafficking into motor neuron axons. *Dev Neurobiol*. 2014;74(3):319-332.

19. Fallini C et al. Deficiency of the survival of motor neuron protein impairs mRNA localization and local translation in the growth cone of motor neurons. *J Neurosci*. 2016;36(13):3811-3820.

20. Pellizzoni L et al. A novel function for SMN, the spinal muscular atrophy disease gene product, in pre-mRNA splicing. *Cell*. 1998;95(5):615-624.

21. Makarov EM et al. Functional mammalian spliceosomal complex E contains SMN complex proteins in addition to U1 and U2 snRNPs. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2012;40(6):2639-2652.

22. Shafey D et al. Identification of novel interacting protein partners of SMN using tandem affinity purification. *J Proteome Res*. 2010;9(4):1659-1669.

23. Charroux B et al. Gemin3: A novel DEAD box protein that interacts with SMN, the spinal muscular atrophy gene product, and is a component of gems. *J Cell Biol*. 1999;147(6):1181-1193.

24. Kashima T, Manley JL. A negative element in SMN2 exon 7 inhibits splicing in spinal muscular atrophy. *Nat Genet*. 2003;34(4):460-463.

25. Kashima T et al. hnRNP A1 functions with specificity in repression of SMN2 exon 7 splicing. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2007;16(24):3149-3159.

26. Lorson C et al. A single nucleotide in the SMN gene regulates splicing and is responsible for spinal muscular atrophy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*. 1999;96(May):6307-6311.

27. Monani UR et al. A single nucleotide difference that alters splicing patterns distinguishes the SMA gene SMN1 from the copy gene SMN2. *Hum Mol Genet*. 1999;8(7):1177-1183.

28. Feldkötter M et al. Quantitative analyses of SMN1 and SMN2 based on real-time lightCycler PCR: fast and highly reliable carrier testing and prediction of severity of spinal muscular atrophy. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2002;70(2):358-368.

29. McAndrew PE et al. Identification of proximal spinal muscular atrophy carriers and

patients by analysis of SMNT and SMNC gene copy number. *Am J Hum Genet.* 1997;60(6):1411-1422.

30. Munsat TL, Davies KE. International SMA Consortium Meeting (26-28 June 1992, Bonn, Germany). *Neuromuscul Disord.* 1992;2(5-6):423-428.

31. Rudnik-Schöneborn S et al. Classical infantile spinal muscular atrophy with SMN deficiency causes sensory neuronopathy. *Neurology.* 2003;60(6):983-987.

32. Gogliotti RG et al. Motor neuron rescue in spinal muscular atrophy mice demonstrates that sensory-motor defects are a consequence, not a cause, of motor neuron dysfunction. *J Neurosci.* 2012;32(11):3818-3829.

33. Martinez TL et al. Survival motor neuron protein in motor neurons determines synaptic integrity in spinal muscular atrophy. *J Neurosci.* 2012;32(25):8703-8715.

34. Jablonka S et al. Distinct and overlapping alterations in motor and sensory neurons in a mouse model of spinal muscular atrophy. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2006;15(3):511-518.

35. Mentis GZ et al. Early functional impairment of sensory-motor connectivity in a mouse model of spinal muscular atrophy. *Neuron.* 2011;69(3):453-467.

36. Martínez-Hernández R et al. The developmental pattern of myotubes in spinal muscular atrophy indicates prenatal delay of muscle maturation. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol.* 2009;68(5):474-481.

37. Arnold AS et al. Reduced expression of nicotinic AChRs in myotubes from spinal muscular atrophy I patients. *Lab Invest.* 2004;84(10):1271-1278.

38. Kim JK et al. Muscle-specific SMN reduction reveals motor neuron-independent disease in spinal muscular atrophy models. *J Clin Invest.* 2020;130(3):1271-1287.

39. Bevan AK et al. Early heart failure in the SMNDelta7 model of spinal muscular atrophy and correction by postnatal scAAV9-SMN delivery. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2010;19(20):3895-3905.

40. Lipnick SL et al. Systemic nature of spinal muscular atrophy revealed by studying insurance claims. *PLoS One.* 2019;14(3):e0213680.

41. Somers E et al. Vascular defects and spinal cord hypoxia in spinal muscular atrophy. *Ann Neurol.* 2016;79(2):217-230.

42. Araujo A prufer de QC, Araujo M, Swoboda KJ. Vascular perfusion abnormalities in infants with spinal muscular atrophy. *J Pediatr.* 2009;155(2):292-294.

43. Rudnik-Schöneborn S et al. Digital necroses and vascular thrombosis in severe spinal muscular atrophy. *Muscle Nerve.* 2010;42(1):144-147.

44. Heier CR et al. Arrhythmia and cardiac defects are a feature of spinal muscular atrophy model mice. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2010;19(20):3906-3918.

45. Deguise MO et al. Abnormal fatty acid metabolism is a core component of spinal

muscular atrophy. *Ann Clin Transl Neurol.* 2019;6(8):1519-1532.

46. Crawford TO et al. Abnormal fatty acid metabolism in childhood spinal muscular atrophy. *Ann Neurol.* 1999;45(3):337-343.

47. Bowerman M et al. Glucose metabolism and pancreatic defects in spinal muscular atrophy. *Ann Neurol.* 2012;72(2):256-268.

48. Mercuri E et al. Spinal muscular atrophy — insights and challenges in the treatment era. *Nat Rev Neurol.* 2020;16(12):706-715.

49. Harding BN et al. Spectrum of neuropathophysiology in spinal muscular atrophy type I. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol.* 2015;74(1):15-24.

50. Mercuri E et al. Spinal muscular atrophy. *Nat Rev Dis Prim.* 2022;8(1):1-16.

51. Hauke J et al. Survival motor neuron gene 2 silencing by DNA methylation correlates with spinal muscular atrophy disease severity and can be bypassed by histone deacetylase inhibition. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2009;18(2):304-317.

52. Murray LM et al. Transcriptional profiling of differentially vulnerable motor neurons at pre-symptomatic stage in the Smn 2b/-mouse model of spinal muscular atrophy. *Acta Neuropathol Commun.* 2015;Sep 15(3):55.

53. Cao YY et al. Association between SMN2 methylation and disease severity in Chinese children with spinal muscular atrophy. *J Zhejiang Univ Sci B.* 2016;17(1):76-82.

54. Zheleznyakova GY et al. Genome-wide analysis shows association of epigenetic changes in regulators of Rab and Rho GTPases with spinal muscular atrophy severity. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2013;Sep;21(9):988-993.

55. Allis CD et al. New nomenclature for chromatin-modifying enzymes. *Cell.* 2007;131(4):633-636.

56. Portela A, Esteller M. Epigenetic modifications and human disease. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2010;28(10):1057-1068.

57. Arrowsmith CH et al. Epigenetic protein families: a new frontier for drug discovery. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2012;11(5):384-400.

58. Mohseni J, Zabidi-Hussin ZAMH, Sasongko TH. Histone deacetylase inhibitors as potential treatment for spinal muscular atrophy. *Genet Mol Biol.* 2013;36(3):299-307.

59. Kissel JT et al. SMA valiant trial: a prospective, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of valproic acid in ambulatory adults with spinal muscular atrophy. *Muscle Nerve.* 2014;49(2):187-192.

60. Krosschell KJ et al. Clinical trial of L-Carnitine and valproic acid in spinal muscular atrophy type I. *Muscle Nerve.* 2018;57(2):193-199.

61. Mercuri E et al. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of phenylbutyrate

in spinal muscular atrophy. *Neurology*. 2007;68(1):51-55.

62. Marasco LE et al. Counteracting chromatin effects of a splicing-correcting antisense oligonucleotide improves its therapeutic efficacy in spinal muscular atrophy. *Cell*. 2022;185(12):2057-2070.e15.

63. Wu Q et al. A chemical toolbox for the study of bromodomains and epigenetic signaling. *Nat Commun*. 2019;10(1):1915.

64. Scheer S et al. A chemical biology toolbox to study protein methyltransferases and epigenetic signaling. *Nat Commun*. 2019;10(1):19.

65. Ackloo S, Brown PJ, Müller S. Chemical probes targeting epigenetic proteins: Applications beyond oncology. *Epigenetics*. 2017;12(5):378-400.

66. Blanc RS, Richard S. Arginine methylation: the coming of age. 2017;65(1):8-24.

67. Guccione E, Richard S. The regulation, functions and clinical relevance of arginine methylation. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2019;20(10):642-657.

68. Yang Y, Bedford MT. Protein arginine methyltransferases and cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2013;Jan;13(1):37-50.

69. Hwang JW et al. Protein arginine methyltransferases: promising targets for cancer therapy. *Exp Mol Med*. 2021;53(5):788-808.

70. Eram MS et al. A potent, selective, and cell-active inhibitor of human type I protein arginine methyltransferases. *ACS Chem Biol*. 2016;11(3):772-781.

71. Fong JY et al. Therapeutic targeting of RNA splicing catalysis through inhibition of protein arginine methylation. *Cancer Cell*. 2019;36(2):194-209.e9.

72. Cartegni L et al. Determinants of exon 7 splicing in the spinal muscular atrophy genes, SMN1 and SMN2. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2006;78(1):63-77.

73. Chen HH et al. The RNA binding protein hnRNP Q modulates the utilization of exon 7 in the survival motor neuron 2 (SMN2) gene. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2008;28(22):6929-6938.

74. Hua Y et al. Antisense masking of an hnRNP A1/A2 intronic splicing silencer corrects SMN2 splicing in transgenic mice. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2008;82(4):834-848.

75. Xiao R et al. Nuclear matrix factor hnRNP U/SAF-A exerts a global control of alternative splicing by regulating U2 snRNP maturation. *Mol Cell*. 2012;45(5):656-668.

76. Singh NN et al. An intronic structure enabled by a long-distance interaction serves as a novel target for splicing correction in spinal muscular atrophy. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2013;41(17):8144-8165.

77. Kashima T, Rao N, Manley JL. An intronic element contributes to splicing repression in spinal muscular atrophy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2007;104(9):3426-3431.

78. Koed Doktor T et al. SMN2 exon 7 splicing is inhibited by binding of hnRNP A1 to a common ESS motif that spans the 3' splice site. *Hum Mutat*. 2011;32(2):220-230.

79. Bose JK et al. TDP-43 overexpression enhances exon 7 inclusion during the survival of motor neuron pre-mRNA splicing. *J Biol Chem*. 2008;283(43):28852-28859.

80. Hsieh-Li HM et al. A mouse model for spinal muscular atrophy. *Nat Genet*. 2000;24(1):66-70.

81. Gagné M et al. hnRNP A1B, a splice variant of HNRNPA1, is spatially and temporally regulated. *Front Neurosci*. 2021;Sep 24(15):724307.

82. Liu TY et al. Muscle developmental defects in heterogeneous nuclear Ribonucleoprotein A1 knockout mice. *Open Biol*. 2017;Jan;7(1):160303.

83. Chiriboga CA et al. Results from a phase 1 study of nusinersen (ISIS-SMN(Rx)) in children with spinal muscular atrophy. *Neurology*. 2016;86(10):890-897.

84. Haché M et al. Intrathecal injections in children with spinal muscular atrophy: nusinersen clinical trial experience. *J Child Neurol*. 2016;31(7):899-906.

85. Finkel RS et al. Treatment of infantile-onset spinal muscular atrophy with nusinersen: a phase 2, open-label, dose-escalation study. *Lancet (London, England)*. 2016;388(10063):3017-3026.

86. Finkel RS et al. Nusinersen versus sham control in infantile-onset spinal muscular atrophy. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377(18):1723-1732.

87. Mercuri E et al. Nusinersen versus Sham Control in Later-Onset Spinal Muscular Atrophy. 2018;378(7):625-635.

88. Hammond SM et al. Systemic peptide-mediated oligonucleotide therapy improves long-term survival in spinal muscular atrophy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*. 2016;113(39):10962-10967.

89. Eikelenboom P et al. Neuroinflammation in Alzheimer's disease and prion disease. *Glia*. 2002;40(2):232-239.

90. Heneka MT, Kummer MP, Latz E. Innate immune activation in neurodegenerative disease. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2014;14(7):463-477.

91. Sargsyan SA, Monk PN, Shaw PJ. Microglia as potential contributors to motor neuron injury in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Glia*. 2005;51(4):241-253.

92. Vukojicic A et al. The Classical Complement Pathway Mediates Microglia-Dependent Remodeling of Spinal Motor Circuits during Development and in SMA. *Cell Rep*. 2019;29(10):3087-3100.e7.

93. Mcgivern J V. et al. Spinal muscular atrophy astrocytes exhibit abnormal calcium regulation and reduced growth factor production. *Glia*. 2013;61(9):1418-1428.

94. Rindt H et al. Astrocytes influence the severity of spinal muscular atrophy. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2015;24(14):4094-4102.

95. Zhou C, Feng Z, Ko CP. Defects in motoneuron-astrocyte interactions in spinal

muscular atrophy. *J Neurosci*. 2016;36(8):2543-2553.

96. Martin JE et al. Decreased motor neuron support by SMA astrocytes due to diminished MCP1 secretion. *J Neurosci*. 2017;37(21):5309-5318.

97. Zhang Z et al. SMN deficiency causes tissue-specific perturbations in the repertoire of snRNAs and widespread defects in splicing. *Cell*. 2008;133(4):585.

98. Bäumer D et al. Alternative splicing events are a late feature of pathology in a mouse model of spinal muscular atrophy. *PLoS Genet*. 2009;5(12):e1000773.

99. Zhang Z et al. Dysregulation of synaptogenesis genes antecedes motor neuron pathology in spinal muscular atrophy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2013;110(48):19348-19353.

100. Huo Q et al. Splicing changes in SMA mouse motoneurons and SMN-depleted neuroblastoma cells: Evidence for involvement of splicing regulatory proteins. *RNA Biol*. 2014;11(11):1430-1446.

101. Doktor TK et al. RNA-sequencing of a mouse-model of spinal muscular atrophy reveals tissue-wide changes in splicing of U12-dependent introns. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2017;45(1):395-416.

102. Custer SK et al. Altered mRNA splicing in SMN-depleted motor neuron-like cells. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(10):e0163954.

103. Naryshkin NA et al. SMN2 splicing modifiers improve motor function and longevity in mice with spinal muscular atrophy. *Science (80-)*. 2014;345(6197):688-693.

104. Hornbeck P V. et al. PhosphoSitePlus, 2014: mutations, PTMs and recalibrations. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2015;43(Database issue):D512-D520.

105. Dhar S et al. Loss of the major Type I arginine methyltransferase PRMT1 causes substrate scavenging by other PRMTs. *Sci Rep*. 2013;3:1311.

106. Meister G et al. Methylation of Sm proteins by a complex containing PRMT5 and the putative U snRNP assembly factor pICln. *Curr Biol*. 2001;11(24):1990-1994.

107. Friesen WJ et al. The methylosome, a 20S complex containing JBP1 and pICln, produces dimethylarginine-modified Sm proteins. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2001;21(24):8289-8300.

108. Sanchez G et al. A novel role for CARM1 in promoting nonsense-mediated mRNA decay: Potential implications for spinal muscular atrophy. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2015;44(6):2661-2676.

109. Drew AE et al. Identification of a CARM1 inhibitor with potent in vitro and in vivo activity in preclinical models of multiple myeloma. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7(1):17993.

110. Wang L et al. CARM1 methylates chromatin remodeling factor BAF155 to enhance tumor progression and metastasis. *Cancer Cell*. 2016;30(1):179-180.

111. Wu Q et al. PRMT inhibition induces a viral mimicry response in triple-negative breast cancer. *Nat Chem Biol*. 2022;18(8):821–830.

112. Dormann D et al. Arginine methylation next to the PY-NLS modulates Transportin binding and nuclear import of FUS. *EMBO J*. 2012;31(22):4258-4275.

113. Scaramuzzino C et al. Protein Arginine Methyltransferase 6 enhances polyglutamine-expanded androgen receptor function and toxicity in Spinal and Bulbar Muscular Atrophy. *Neuron*. 2015;85(1):88-100.

114. Suárez-Calvet M et al. Monomethylated and unmethylated FUS exhibit increased binding to Transportin and distinguish FTLD-FUS from ALS-FUS. *Acta Neuropathol*. 2016;131(4):587-604.

115. Noto PB et al. Identification of hnRNP-A1 as a pharmacodynamic biomarker of type I PRMT inhibition in blood and tumor tissues. *Sci Rep*. 2020;10(1):1-19.

116. Ravindra N. Singh et al. *Mechanism of Splicing Regulation of Spinal Muscular Atrophy Genes*. Vol 20. Springer New York LLC; 2018.

117. Gogliotti RG et al. Molecular and phenotypic reassessment of an infrequently used mouse model for spinal muscular atrophy. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2010;391(1):517-522.

118. Pertea M et al. StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2015;33(3):290-295.

119. Erdfelder E et al. Statistical power analyses using G*Power 3.1: tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behav Res Methods*. 2009;41(4):1149-1160.

Figure 1

Figure 1. Screening of epigenetic small molecules in SMA fibroblasts. SMA type II
patient-derived fibroblasts were treated with the indicated small molecule at the appropriate
maximum tolerated dose (range: 1–10 µM) (n = 3–4). Cells were harvested for RNA (**A**–**C**)
and protein (**D**) quantification 48 and 72 hours post treatment, respectively. **A**, Full length
(FL) *SMN2* transcript levels relative to total (Tot) *SMN2* are expressed as fold change
compared to untreated SMA fibroblasts, normalised to one (dashed line). FL *SMN2*/Tot

SMN2 ratios are significantly increased by MS023 and decreased by GSK591, IBRD9, and

LLY-283 treatments. **B**, Δ7 *SMN2* transcript levels relative to Tot *SMN2* are expressed as

fold change compared to untreated SMA fibroblasts, normalised to one (dashed line).

MS023 significantly decreases the FL *SMN2*/Tot *SMN2* ratio. **C**, Tot *SMN2* transcript levels

relative to *GAPDH* are expressed as fold change compared to untreated SMA fibroblasts,

normalised to one (dashed line). **A**–**C**, Each dot represents a biological replicate (n = 3–4).

Grey bar indicates values within the 0.75–1.25 range. **D**, SMN protein levels relative to total

protein are expressed as fold change compared to untreated SMA fibroblasts, normalised to

one (dashed line). Each dot represents a biological replicate (n = 2–4). Values ≥1.5 and ≤0.5

are depicted in red and blue, respectively. **A**-**D**, Data are represented as mean ± s.e.m. and

compared with a one-way ANOVA test with multiple comparisons (*P ≤ 0.05; **P ≤ 0.01; ***P

≤ 0.001).

Figure 2. MS023 promotes exon 7 inclusion in *SMN2* transcript via hnRNPA1 binding

inhibition. A, Chemical structure depiction of MS023 (PubChem CID: 92136227). **B**, FL

SMN2 (circles) and Δ7 *SMN2* (triangles) transcript levels relative to Tot *SMN2* upon

treatment with increasing concentrations of MS023 are expressed as fold change compared

to untreated SMA fibroblasts, normalised to one. Each dot represents a biological replicate

(n = 3–4). **C**, Tot *SMN2* transcript levels relative to *GAPDH* are expressed as fold change

compared to untreated SMA fibroblasts, normalised to one. Each dot represents a biological

replicate (n = 3–4). **D**, Western blot showing SMN protein levels upon treatment with

increasing MS023 concentrations (top). A representative section of total protein stain, used

for protein normalization, is shown (bottom). Size in kilodalton is indicated on the right. **E**,

Quantification of SMN protein levels relative to total protein is shown. Each dot represents a

biological replicate (n = 3). **F**, Ratio of FL *SMN2* transcripts bound to hnRNPA1 protein and

relative to *GAPDH* was assayed using crosslinking immunoprecipitation (CLIP) in SMA

patient fibroblasts treated with increasing concentration of MS023. IgG was used a control.

Each dot represents a biological replicate (n = 4). **B**,**C**,**E**,**F**, Data are represented as mean ±

s.e.m. and compared with a one-way ANOVA test with multiple comparisons (*P ≤ 0.05; **P

≤ 0.01; ***P ≤ 0.001). **G**, Cartoon represents the mechanism of exon 7 inclusion into the

SMN2 transcript by MS023. Circles and triangles represent asymmetric dimethylarginine

(ADMA) and monomethylarginine (MMA) methylations, respectively.

Figure 3

Figure 3. Oral administration of MS023 improves the phenotype of SMA mice. A,

Diagram of the study design; mice were treated daily with oral administrations of MS023 or

vehicle (0.5% DMSO in saline) from postnatal day 0 (P0) using a Hamilton syringe. **B**,

Kaplan-Meier survival estimation of untreated ($n = 15$), or treated with vehicle ($n = 14$), 1

mg/kg MS023 ($n = 4$), 2 mg/kg MS023 ($n = 26$), 5 mg/kg MS023 ($n = 4$), or 40 mg/kg MS023

($n = 4$) SMA mice. **C**, Body weights of untreated, MS023- and vehicle-treated SMA mice

from postnatal day 0 are shown. **D**, FL *SMN2* and **E**, Δ7 *SMN2* transcript levels relative to

Tot *SMN2* in spinal cord, brain, skeletal muscle, kidney, and liver of treated mice compared

to vehicle-treated mice, normalised to one. Each dot represents a biological replicate ($n = 3$–

9). **F**, Western blot showing SMN protein levels upon MS023 treatment in spinal cords and

skeletal muscles of treated mice (top). A representative section of total protein stain, used

for protein normalization, is also shown (bottom). Size in kilodalton is indicated on the right.

1   **G**, Quantification of SMN protein levels relative to total protein is shown. Each dot

2   represents a biological replicate (n = 3–8). **C–E**, and **G**, Data are represented as mean ±

3   s.e.m. and compared with a one-way ANOVA test with multiple comparisons (*P ≤ 0.05; **P

4   ≤ 0.01; ***P ≤ 0.001).

Figure 4

Figure 4. Combinatorial treatment with MS023 and ASO exerts synergistic effects in SMA mice. A, Diagram of the study design: At P0 SMA mice were injected subcutaneously with *SMN2*-targeting ASO (30 mg/kg) or saline; from P1 mice were treated daily with oral administrations of MS023 (2 m/kg) or vehicle (0.5% DMSO in saline) using a Hamilton syringe until P6. At P7, mice were sacrificed and tissues collected for analysis. **B**, FL *SMN2* and **C**, Δ7 *SMN2* transcript levels relative to Tot *SMN2* in spinal cord, brain, skeletal muscle, kidney and liver of treated SMA mice compared to vehicle-treated SMA mice, normalised to one. Each dot represents a biological replicate (n = 10–12). **D**, Tot *SMN2* transcript levels relative to *PolJ* and *Gapdh* in spinal cord, brain, skeletal muscle, kidney and liver of treated SMA mice compared to vehicle-treated SMA mice, normalised to one. Each dot represents a biological replicate (n = 10–12). **E**, Western blot showing SMN protein levels upon the indicated treatments in spinal cords of SMA mice (top). A representative section of total protein stain, used for protein normalization, is also shown (bottom). Size in kilodalton is indicated on the right. **F**, Quantification of SMN protein levels relative to total protein is shown. Each dot represents a biological replicate (n = 7–13). **G**, Diagram of the study design: At P0 mice were injected subcutaneously with *SMN2*-targeting ASO (30 mg/kg) or saline. From P1 mice were treated daily with oral administrations of MS023 (2 m/kg) or vehicle (0.5% DMSO in saline) using a Hamilton syringe until P12. Mice were weighed daily until they reached their humane end point. **H**, Kaplan-Meier survival estimation of SMA mice treated with *SMN2*-targeting ASO (n = 15), MS023 (n = 14), *SMN2*-targeting ASO and MS023 (n = 10), and vehicle (n = 23). **I**, Body weights of SMA mice from postnatal day 0 are shown. **B**–**D**, **F**, and **I**, Data are represented as mean ± s.e.m. and compared with a one-way ANOVA test with multiple comparisons (*P ≤ 0.05; **P ≤ 0.01; ***P ≤ 0.001).

2 **Figure 5. Combinatorial treatment with MS023 and ASO results in improved correction**

3 **of the SMA transcriptomic signature compared to ASO alone. A,** Pie charts showing the

4 proportion of transcripts normalised upon the treatments, among the genes that are

5 upregulated (left) and downregulated (right) in SMA mice. **B**, Normalised counts were used

to generate the hierarchical clustering heatmap. Upregulated and downregulated genes are

displayed in yellow and blue, respectively. **C**, Hallmark pathway analysis of genes

upregulated and **D**, downregulated in SMA corrected by the combinatorial treatment only. **E**,

Plot charts show the distribution of splicing events (Ψ) in SMA mice upon treatment relative

to wild type littermates. The red dotted lines mark the $\pm$ 15% normalization range, corrected

values are indicated in green.