Bridging the gap between presynaptic hair cell function and neural sound encoding

Lina María Jaime Tobón[1,2,3,4,*] & Tobias Moser[1,2,3,4,*]

[1]Auditory Neuroscience and Synaptic Nanophysiology Group, Max Planck Institute for Multidisciplinary Sciences, Göttingen, Germany

[2]Institute for Auditory Neuroscience, University Medical Center Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany

[3]Collaborative Research Center 889, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany

[4]Cluster of Excellence "Multiscale Bioimaging of Excitable Cells", 37075 Göttingen, Germany, 37075 Göttingen, Germany

*Paste corresponding author name(s) here: Lina María Jaime Tobón, Tobias Moser
Email: ljaimet@mpinat.mpg.de, tmoser@gwdg.de

Author Contributions: TM and LMJT designed the study. LMJT performed the experiments and the analysis. TM and LMJT prepared the manuscript.

Competing Interest Statement: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Classification: Biological Sciences: Neuroscience.

Keywords: paired recordings, synaptic heterogeneity, cochlear sound encoding, active zone, synaptic vesicle

This PDF file includes:

Main Text
Figures 1 to 4
Figure supplements to Figures 2 to 4

Abstract

Neural diversity can expand the encoding capacity of a circuitry. A striking example of diverse structure and function is presented by the afferent synapses between inner hair cells (IHCs) and spiral ganglion neurons (SGNs) in the cochlea. Presynaptic active zones at the pillar IHC side activate at lower IHC potentials than those of the modiolar side that have more presynaptic Ca^{2+}-channels. The postsynaptic SGNs differ in their spontaneous firing rates, sound thresholds and operating ranges. While a causal relationship between synaptic heterogeneity and neural response

diversity seems likely, experimental evidence linking synaptic and SGN physiology has remained difficult to obtain. Here, we aimed at bridging this gap by *ex vivo* paired recordings of IHCs and postsynaptic SGN boutons with stimuli and conditions aimed to mimic those of *in vivo* SGN-characterization. Synapses with high spontaneous rate of release (*SR*) were found predominantly on the pillar side of the IHC. These high *SR* synapses had larger and more compact spontaneous EPSCs, lower voltage-thresholds, tighter coupling of Ca^{2+} channels and vesicular release sites, shorter response latencies and higher initial release rates. This study indicates that synaptic heterogeneity in IHCs directly contributes to the diversity of spontaneous and sound-evoked firing of SGNs.

Significance Statement

Sound encoding relies on spiral ganglion neurons (SGNs) with diverse spontaneous firing, sound thresholds of firing and sound-intensity range over which SGN firing rate changes. Such functional SGN diversity might originate from different input from afferent synapses with inner hair cells (IHCs). The present study addresses this hypothesis by using recordings from individual IHC-SGN synapses of hearing mice under *ex vivo* conditions aimed to mimic cochlear physiology. The results provide evidence that synaptic heterogeneity in IHCs contributes to SGN firing diversity. Thus, the cochlea employs heterogeneous synapses to decompose sound information into different neural pathways that collectively inform the brain about sound intensity.

Introduction

Chemical synapses represent diverse and plastic neural contacts that are adapted to the specific needs of neural computation. Synaptic diversity is expressed across the nervous system, within a given circuit and even within the same neuron (recent reviews in Ref. Nusser, 2018; Wichmann and Kuner, 2022). Synaptic diversity occurs at various levels: from synapse shape and size, to the ultrastructure of pre- and postsynaptic specializations, to their molecular composition. The auditory system harbors striking examples of synaptic diversity. Glutamatergic ribbon synapses in the cochlea, calyceal synapses in the brainstem, and bouton synapses throughout the central auditory

system differ greatly from each other (Moser et al., 2006; Wichmann and Kuner, 2022). Beyond the diversity across synapses formed by different neurons, heterogeneity exists even among auditory synapses of an individual presynaptic inner hair cell (IHC) with its 5-30 postsynaptic spiral ganglion neurons (SGNs, (Gómez-Casati and Goutman, 2021; reviews in: Meyer and Moser, 2010; Moser, 2020; Reijntjes and Pyott, 2016)). Such synaptic heterogeneity is a candidate mechanism for how the cochlea decomposes acoustic information (Grant et al., 2010; Meyer et al., 2009; Ohn et al., 2016; Özçete and Moser, 2021). For example, the cochlea might use heterogeneous afferent synapses to break down sound intensity information into complementary spike rate codes of SGNs that have been reported across species (Huet et al., 2016; Kiang et al., 1965; Sachs and Abbas, 1974; Taberner and Liberman, 2005; Winter et al., 1990).

Decades of *in vivo* recordings from single SGNs have demonstrated functional diversity of SGNs with comparable frequency tuning, i.e., receiving input from IHCs at a given tonotopic place or potentially even the same IHC. Such functional diversity is present in both spontaneous and sound-evoked firing. The spontaneous firing rate (SR) in the absence of sound varies from less than 1 spikes/s to more than 100 spikes/s (Barbary, 1991; Evans, 1972; Kiang et al., 1965; Schmiedt, 1989; Taberner and Liberman, 2005). In response to increasing sound pressure, SGNs with high SR show a low sound threshold and a steep rise in the spike rate to increasing sound intensities until the rate saturates. SGNs with low SR have a higher sound thresholds, shallower spike rate rise and saturate at higher sound intensities (Ohlemiller et al., 1991; Winter et al., 1990). Additionally, SGNs show differences in their excitability (Crozier and Davis, 2014; Markowitz and Kalluri, 2020; Smith et al., 2015), morphological features (Liberman, 1980; Merchan-Perez and Liberman, 1996; Tsuji and Liberman, 1997) and heterogeneous molecular profiles (Li et al., 2020; Petitpré et al., 2020, 2018; Shrestha et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2018). Yet, it has remained challenging to demonstrate a causal link of a candidate mechanism to the physiological SGN diversity.

One common approach has been to capitalize on a pioneering study that employed *in vivo* labelling of physiologically characterized SGNs in cats and proposed that synapses formed by low and high SR SGNs segregate on the basal IHC pole (Liberman, 1982). High SR SGNs preferentially

contacted the pillar side of the IHC (facing pillar cells), while low SR SGNs synapsed on the opposite, modiolar side of the IHC (facing the cochlear modiolus). Interestingly, a segregation has also been found for afferent and efferent synaptic properties, as well as molecular and biophysical SGN properties (Frank et al., 2009; Grant et al., 2010; Hua et al., 2021; Kantardzhieva et al., 2013; Liberman et al., 2011; Markowitz and Kalluri, 2020; Merchan-Perez and Liberman, 1996; Meyer et al., 2009; Neef et al., 2018; Ohn et al., 2016; Özçete and Moser, 2021; Shrestha et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2018; Yin et al., 2014). For instance, type I_b and I_c SGNs preferentially synapse on the modiolar side (Sherrill et al., 2019; Shrestha et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2018) and show low SR (Siebald et al., 2023). Pillar synapses are preferentially formed by type I_a SGNs (Shrestha et al., 2018; Siebald et al., 2023), have smaller IHC active zones (AZs) (Liberman et al., 2011; Ohn et al., 2016; Reijntjes et al., 2020), and activate at voltages as low as the IHC resting potential (Ohn et al., 2016; Özçete and Moser, 2021). The low voltage of activation of release at pillar synapses shown *ex vivo* could underly the high SR and low sound threshold of firing of SGNs found *in vivo* but direct demonstration of such a link has been missing.

Here, we aimed to bridge the gap between *ex vivo* presynaptic physiology and *in vivo* SGN neurophysiology. We performed paired IHC and SGN bouton recordings in acutely explanted organs of Corti from hearing mice with stimuli and conditions aimed to mimic *in vivo* SGN-characterization. This approach tightly controls IHC Ca^{2+} influx and records the postsynaptic SGN response to glutamate release at a single afferent synapse. Using the rate of spontaneous excitatory postsynaptic currents (rate of sEPSCs, *SR*) as a surrogate for SGN SR, we demonstrate that high *SR* synapses have larger and more compact sEPSCs as well as lower voltage thresholds, shorter latencies of evoked EPSCs, tighter Ca^{2+} channel coupling to vesicle release and higher initial release rates. 90% of these high *SR* synapses were located on the pillar side of the IHC. Our findings suggest that synaptic heterogeneity accounts for much of the SGN firing diversity.

Results

Simultaneous paired patch-clamp recordings from IHCs and one of the postsynaptic SGN boutons were performed on mice after the onset of hearing (postnatal days (p) 14-23). We performed

perforated-patch whole-cell configuration from IHCs, held at their presumed physiological resting potential (-58 mV (Johnson, 2015)), and ruptured-patch whole-cell recordings from one of the postsynaptic SGN boutons (Fig. 1). Due to the technical difficulty of establishing the paired recording, typically only one bouton was recorded per IHC. Recordings were made at body temperature and in artificial perilymph-like solution (Wangemann and Schacht, 1996). To establish the paired recording, we approached boutons facing either the pillar or the modiolar side of the IHC in an effort to elucidate synaptic differences between both sides (Fig. 1A). We nickname the synapse location as “pillar" and “modiolar” based on the DIC-image, but note that efforts to stain and image the recorded boutons by fluorescence microscopy were not routinely successful. In addition, the recorded boutons were classified based on their spontaneous rate of synaptic transmission (*SR,* **Low *SR*** < 1 sEPSC/s vs **High *SR*** ≥ 1 sEPSC/s according to (Taberner and Liberman, 2005)).



Figure 1. Paired IHC-bouton patch-clamp recordings to study the release properties of individual IHC ribbon synapses as a function of synapse position

A. Differential Interference Contrast image of an explanted murine organ of Corti. In this example, supporting cells from the modiolar side were removed to gain access to the IHCs and their contacting boutons. The recorded boutons were classified based on their position (△ pillar or ❍ modiolar) and on their spontaneous rate (*SR*) (**Low *SR*** < 1 sEPSC/s vs **High *SR*** ≥ 1 sEPSC/s). Scale bar: 10 µm. **B.** Spontaneous release was recorded in absence of stimulation (i.e., IHC holding potential = -58 mV; Supplementary Table 1; dashed line represents the threshold for sEPSC detection). sEPSCs were classified as monophasic (or compact: a steady rise to peak and

monoexponential decay) or as multiphasic (or non-compact: multiple inflections and slowed raising and decaying kinetics). **C.** Evoked release: depolarizing pulses (black trace) were used to trigger whole IHC Ca^{2+} influx (I_{Ca}, blue trace) and ensuing release of neurotransmitter that evoked eEPSCs, light orange trace). Ca^{2+} charge and eEPSC charge were estimated by taking the integral of the currents (shaded light blue and light orange areas).

Spontaneous synaptic transmission

In order to recapitulate synaptic transmission in the absence of sound stimulation, we held the IHC at their presumed physiological resting potential (-58 mV (Johnson, 2015). Since $Ca_V1.3$ Ca^{2+}-channels activate at low voltages (-65 to -45 mV (Koschak et al., 2001; Picher et al., 2017; Platzer et al., 2000; Xu and Lipscombe, 2001)), their open probability at the IHC resting potential is thought to be sufficient to trigger spontaneous release (Glowatzki and Fuchs, 2002; Özçete and Moser, 2021; Robertson and Paki, 2002). Under our experimental conditions, spontaneous, i.e., excitatory postsynaptic currents in the absence of IHC stimulation (sEPSCs) were observed in 23 of 33 pairs (Fig. 2). We used the rate of sEPSCs (*SR)* as a surrogate of SGN SR as sEPSCs trigger SGN firing with >90% probability (Rutherford et al., 2012). Regardless of all efforts to maintain the physiological integrity in the *ex vivo* experiments, we expect our estimated rates of sEPSC to underestimate the SGN SR for the same age group (Wong et al., 2013). For each paired recording, we quantified the *SR* during 5 or 10 s of a continuous recording, or during the segment before and after the step depolarization protocols (Supplementary Table 1). Amplitudes of sEPSCs typically varied from around -10 pA to -400 pA across all recorded IHC-SGN synapses (Fig. 2B). The amplitude histogram for all pairs was slightly skewed towards larger amplitudes (skewness of 1.06) with a coefficient of variation (CV) of 0.68. The charge distribution for all pairs displayed a prominent peak at 40 fC, with a skewness of 2.00 and a CV of 0.77. *SR* ranged from 0 to about 18 sEPSC/s (Fig. 2H). The *SR* distribution was highly skewed, with a median of 0.2 sEPSC/s. Following the spontaneous firing rate classification of SGNs by Taberner and Liberman (2005), we classified the synapses into low (< 1 sEPSC/s, ~70%) or high (≥ 1 sEPSC/s, ~30%) *SR* synapses. Next, we

analyzed the recordings as a function of i) synapse position and ii) rate of spontaneous synaptic transmission.



Figure 2. Synapses with high spontaneous release have larger and more compact sEPSCs

A. Spontaneous EPSCs recorded in the absence of stimulation (i.e. IHC holding potential = -58 mV) from two exemplary paired recordings with different spontaneous rate (*SR:* grey for low *SR*, orange for high *SR*). 'Pair #' identifies individual paired recordings. Insets show the selected sEPSCs in an expanded time scale. (a,b) correspond to multiphasic sEPSCs, while (c) represents a typical monophasic sEPSC. **B.** Cumulative sEPSC amplitude plots for 23 paired synapses that

had spontaneous release. **C-D.** Average sEPSC amplitude (C) and charge (D) from individual synapses recorded from the pillar or modiolar side of the IHC. **E-F.** Pooled sEPSC amplitude (E) and charge (F) distributions show a distinct peak at -40 pA and 40 pC, respectively. **G.** Percentage of monophasic sEPSCs in pillar and modiolar synapses. **H.** Cumulative fraction (left axis) and normalized histogram (right axis) of the spontaneous rate (bin size is 1 sEPSC/s) of 33 pairs. **I.** Pillar synapses had higher rates of sEPSCs (p = 0.0311, Mann-Whitney U test). **J-L.** High *SR* synapses had significantly larger sEPSC amplitudes (J; p = 0.0042, unpaired t-test), a tendency to bigger sEPSC charges (K; p = 0.0527, unpaired t-test) and higher percentages of monophasic sEPSCs (p = 0.0185, Mann-Whitney U test).
Whisker plots represent the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles with the individual data points overlaid. Synapses were classified as △ pillar or ❍ modiolar, and as Low *SR* < 1 sEPSC/s or High *SR* $\geq$ 1 sEPSC/s.

i) Position dependence of synaptic transmission

Of the 33 obtained paired recordings, 20 were classified as pillar synapses. *SR* was significantly higher for the pillar synapses (mean *SR* of 2.30 ± 0.96 sEPSC/s; median 0.59; n = 20) compared to modiolar ones (mean *SR* of 0.22 ± 0.09 sEPSC/s; median 0.05; n = 13; p = 0.0311, Mann-Whitney U test). The mean amplitude (Fig. 2C) and charge (Fig. 2D) of the sEPSCs were comparable between modiolar and pillar SGN boutons (Amp_{sEPSC} -69.37 ± 13.91 pA (n = 8 modiolar) vs -87.21 ± 9.49 pA (n = 13 pillar); p = 0.2913, unpaired t-test; Q_{sEPSC} 63.74 ± 7.77 fC (modiolar) vs 72.54 ± 9.57 fC (pillar); p = 0.5463, unpaired t-test). sEPSC of pillar SGN boutons showed significantly shorter 10-90% rise times than the modiolar ones (Figure 2–figure supplement 1, panel A and C; 0.38 ± 0.04 ms vs 0.57 ± 0.06 ms; p = 0.0111, unpaired t-test), yet similar decay times and full-width half-maximum (FWHM, Figure 2–figure supplement 1, panels A, D-E; p = 0.7997 and p = 0.9198, respectively, unpaired t-test). As a second approach to sEPSC properties, we quantified the percentage of monophasic (or compact) sEPSCs (Chapochnikov et al., 2014; Glowatzki and Fuchs, 2002) and found a non-significant trend towards higher percentages of monophasic sEPSCs for pillar synapses (Fig. 2G; 25.57 ± 6.9% for modiolar vs 46.65 ± 7.06% for pillar; p = 0.0681, unpaired t-test).

ii) Relation of synaptic properties to the rate of spontaneous synaptic transmission

High *SR* synapses had significantly larger sEPSCs (Fig. 2J and Figure 2–figure supplement 1, panel Bi; average sEPSC amplitude of -105.2 ± 8.47 pA for high *SR* (n = 10) vs. -62.39 ± 9.67 pA

for low *SR* (n = 13); p = 0.0042, unpaired t-test). Likewise, sEPSC charge tended to be larger in high *SR* SGN synapses (Fig. 2K and Figure 2–figure supplement 1, panel Bii; Q_{sEPSC} 84.23 ± 10.38 fC for high *SR* vs. 58.14 ± 7.79 fC for low *SR*; p = 0.0527, unpaired t-test). The fraction of monophasic sEPSCs was significantly higher in high *SR* synapses (Fig. 2L; 52.08 ± 6.65%; median 43.71%) compared to low *SR* synapses (29.49 ± 7.43%; median 22.22%; p = 0.0185, Mann-Whitney U test). High *SR* synapses also showed a significantly faster 10-90% rise times (Figure 2–figure supplement 1, panel F; 0.36 ± 0.04 ms) than low *SR* synapses (0.51 ± 0.05 ms; p = 0.0420, unpaired t-test).



Figure 2–figure supplement 1. Pillar and high *SR* synapses have sEPSCs with faster rising times.
A. Kinetics of sEPSCs, such as amplitude, 10-90% rise time, time constant of decay (τ_{decay}) and full-width half-maximum (FWHM), were calculated with Neuromatic (Rothman and Silver, 2018). **Bi-Bii.** Cumulative fraction of amplitude and charge of sEPSCs from low and high *SR* synapses. **C-E.** Pillar synapses had faster 10-90% rise times (C) than modiolar synapses (p = 0.0111, unpaired t-test), while their τ_{decay} (D) and FWHM (E) were comparable. **F-H.** High *SR* synapses had faster 10-90% rise times (F) than modiolar synapses (p = 0.0420, unpaired t-test), while their τ_{decay} (G) and FWHM (H) were comparable.
Whisker plots represent the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles with the individual data points overlaid. Synapses were classified as △ pillar or ❍ modiolar, and as Low *SR* < 1 sEPSC/s or High *SR* $\geq$ 1 sEPSC/s.

Other sEPSCs kinetics, such as decay time constant and FWHM, were not different between low and high *SR* pairs (Figure 2–figure supplement 1, panel G,H; p = 0.7969 and p = 0.9948, respectively, unpaired t-test). Taken together, these results indicate that high *SR* synapses are characterized by sEPSCs with larger amplitudes and charges, faster rising times and a more compact waveform. Interestingly, 9 out of 10 high *SR* synapses were located on the pillar side of the IHC.

Evoked synaptic transmission differs between afferent synapses with high and low SR

Next, we compared the physiology of afferent synapses with high and low *SR* by adapting stimulation protocols routinely employed for *in vivo* characterization of sound encoding by SGNs. We used step depolarizations to emulate physiological receptor potentials given that mature IHCs of the “high frequency” mouse cochlea have graded receptor potentials that primarily represent the rectified envelope of an acoustic stimulus (i.e. the DC component (Russell and Sellick, 1978).

i) Stimulus intensity encoding at IHC synapses

Sound intensity encoding by SGNs primarily relies on a spike rate code: the average discharge rate increases with the strength of the acoustic stimuli from threshold to saturation of the response. These so-called “rate level functions” are typically analyzed by fitting a sigmoidal function, of which the range of sound pressure level between 10 and 90% of the maximal discharge rate represents the operational or dynamic range (Sachs and Abbas, 1974; Taberner and Liberman, 2005; Winter

et al., 1990). To understand stimulus intensity coding at mouse IHC synapses, we measured whole-cell IHC Ca^{2+} currents and the evoked EPSCs (eEPSCs) of SGNs in 31 paired recordings. We stimulated the IHC with 10 ms depolarizations to different potentials ranging from -70 to 0 mV in 5 mV steps (IV protocol; Fig. 3A). We deemed it incompatible with a reasonable productivity of the technically challenging, low-throughput paired recordings to combine them with imaging of Ca^{2+} at single AZs. Therefore, this study relies on analysis of the presynaptic Ca^{2+} influx at the level of the whole IHC (i.e. summing over all synapses and a low density of extrasynaptic Ca^{2+} channels, (Frank et al., 2009; Wong et al., 2014)). IHCs with synapses classified as high (n = 10) or low *SR* (n = 21) had similar Ca^{2+} current-voltage (IV) relationships: comparable maximal Ca^{2+} currents (Fig. 3Bi; p = 0.6939, Mann-Whitney U test) elicited at similar potentials (Fig. 3Bii; p = 0.1795, unpaired t-test) and comparable reversal potentials (Figure 3–figure supplement 1, panel A; p = 0.4034, unpaired t-test). The fractional activation of Ca^{2+} channels was determined from the normalized chord conductance of the IHC. Fitting a Boltzmann function to these activation curves (Fig. 3C, upper panel), we obtained the voltages of half-maximal activation ($V_{half\ Ca}$) and the voltage-sensitivity of activation (slope) of the IHC Ca^{2+} channels.

"Release-stimulus intensity" curves, akin of an *ex vivo* representation of the SGN rate-level function, were constructed from the normalized Q_{EPSC} (Figure 3–figure supplement 1, panel C). The voltage dependence of synaptic vesicle release per active zone was approximated by the fit of a sigmoidal function to the individual release-intensity curves (Fig. 3C, lower panel). From these sigmoidal fits, we obtained voltage of 10%-maximal release ($Q_{10,\ EPSC}$), voltage of half-maximal release ($Q_{50,\ EPSC}$), voltage of 90%-maximal release ($Q_{90,\ EPSC}$) and the voltage sensitivity of release (slope). For two low *SR* paired recordings (Fig. 3Dii, grey dotted lines), a sigmoidal function did not properly fit the release-intensity curve (assessed by visual inspection) which led us to exclude them from the statistical analysis.



Figure 3. High *SR* synapses have a more hyperpolarized voltage dependence and tighter Ca^{2+} channel coupling of synaptic release

A. IHC Ca^{2+} current (blue and gray) and eEPSCs (orange and gray) of a high and a low *SR* pair, respectively, in response to 10 ms depolarizations to different potentials ranging from -58 to -18 mV in 5 mV steps (upper left panel). The upper right panel shows the current-voltage relationships

for the two pairs. **Bi-Bii.** The peak of whole-cell Ca^{2+} current (Bi) and the voltage eliciting maximum Ca^{2+} current (Bii) of IHCs were comparable between high and low *SR* synapses. **C.** Upper panel: Fractional activation of the Ca^{2+} channels (blue and gray data points from the examples shown in A) was obtained from the normalized chord conductance. Voltage of half-maximal activation ($V_{half\ Ca}$; dotted line) and sensitivity of the voltage dependence (slope *k*) were determined using a Boltzmann fit (black trace) to the activation curve. Lower panel: Release-intensity curve (orange and gray data points from the examples shown in A) was obtained from the Q_{EPSC} for each depolarization step. A sigmoidal function (black trace) was fitted to obtain the voltage of half-maximal synaptic release (V_{half} Q_{EPSC}; dotted line) and the voltage sensitivity of the release (slope), as well as the dynamic range for which the exocytosis changes from 10-90% (gray area). **Di-Dii.** Voltage dependence of whole-cell Ca^{2+} channel activation (activation curve; Di) and fits to release-intensity curves (Dii) for 31 synapses of low (< 1 sEPSC/s, gray) and high *SR* (≥ 1 sEPSC/s, orange). Averages (thick lines) and individual curves (thin lines) are overlaid. The release-intensity curve of two low *SR* pairs could not be fitted (grey dotted lines). **Ei-Fi.** The threshold of Ca^{2+} influx (Ei) and V_{half} I_{Ca} (Fi) did not differ between low and high *SR* synapses. **Eii-Fii**. Voltage of 10% maximum release ($Q_{10\ EPSC}$, Eii; p = 0.0001, Mann-Whitney U test) and V_{half} Q_{EPSC} (Fii; p = 0.0021, unpaired t-test) were significantly more hyperpolarized in high *SR* synapses. **G.** Dynamic range of release was comparable between low and high *SR* synapses. **H.** Ca^{2+} cooperativity (*m*) estimated from fitting a power function to the Q_{EPSC} **–** Q_{Ca} relationship for each individual synapse was significantly lower in high *SR* synapses (p = 0.0016, Mann-Whitney U test). **I.** Scatter plot of normalized Q_{EPSC} versus the corresponding normalized Q_{Ca}. The solid lines is a least-squares fit of a power function ($Q_{EPSC} = a(Q_{Ca})^m$) to the data yielding $m_{high\ SR}$ of 0.8 (orange line) and $m_{low\ SR}$ of 1.4 (black line).
Whisker plots represent the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles with the individual data points overlaid. Synapses were classified as △ pillar or ❍ modiolar, and as Low *SR* < 1 sEPSC/s or High *SR* ≥ 1 sEPSC/s.

The whole-cell voltage dependence of Ca^{2+} influx was similar between IHCs contacted by high and low *SR* boutons: threshold of Ca^{2+} influx (Fig. 3Ei; p = 0.2393, unpaired t-test), $V_{half\ Ca}$ (Fig 3Fi; p = 0.3479, unpaired t-test) and voltage sensitivity of Ca^{2+} influx (Figure 3–figure supplement 1, panel B; p = 0.3470, unpaired t-test) did not differ significantly between IHCs contacted by low or high *SR* synapses. This seems to rule out a potential scenario in which the diverse SGN firing properties would be caused by varying average properties of Ca^{2+} channels among different presynaptic IHCs. $Q_{50,\ EPSC}$ (Fig. 3Fii) of high *SR* synapses (-47.76 ± 1.4 mV; n = 10) was 6.76 ± 2.0 mV more negative compared to low *SR* synapses (-41.00 ± 1.2 mV; n = 19; p = 0.0021, unpaired t-test). Accordingly, high *SR* synapses had lower thresholds of release than low *SR* synapses (Fig. 3Eii, $Q_{10,\ EPSC}$ of -56.91 ± 1.5 mV (median -56.64 mV) vs. -47.39 ± 1.4 mV (median -48.89 mV); p = 0.0001, Mann-Whitney U test). The hyperpolarized shift was not significant for $Q_{90\ EPSC}$ (Figure 3–figure

supplement 1, panel D; p = 0.1706, unpaired t-test). The voltage sensitivity of release, determined by a slope factor, tended to be higher in high *SR* (4.16 ± 0.75 mV) than in low *SR* (2.9 ± 0.35 mV) synapses without reaching statistical significance (Fig. 3 – figure supplement 1, panel E; p = 0.0940, unpaired t-test;). The dynamic range, defined as the voltage range for which the exocytosis changes from 10-90% ($Q_{90\ EPSC} - Q_{10\ EPSC}$) tended to be larger for high *SR* synapses without reaching significance (Fig. 3G; 18.30 ± 3.3 mV for high *SR* synapses vs 12.78 ± 1.5 mV for low *SR* synapses; p = 0.0940, unpaired t-test). The voltage dependence of Ca^{2+} influx and of synaptic vesicle release did not differ significantly when the synapses where grouped based on their topographical location at the IHC (n = 12 modiolar synapses vs n = 17 pillar synapses; Figure 3–figure supplement 1, panels F-K). However, pillar *SR* synapses had a tendency to lower thresholds of release than modiolar synapses (Figure 3–figure supplement 1, panel Gii; $Q_{10,\ EPSC}$ of -52.78 ± 1.8 mV (median -53.73 mV) vs. -47.68 ± 1.8 mV (median -49.30 mV); p = 0.0725, Mann-Whitney U test). Altogether, these results demonstrate that high *SR* synapses have a more hyperpolarized voltage-dependence of release.

Finally, we studied the apparent Ca^{2+} dependence of SV release during the aforementioned IV protocol, i.e., in the range of IHC receptor potentials. This protocol varies Ca^{2+} influx mainly via changing the channel open probability and to a lesser extent by changing the single channel current. We note that a supralinear intrinsic Ca^{2+} dependence of exocytosis in IHCs (m ~3-4) has been observed for IHCs of the cochlear apex in mice after hearing onset (Brandt, 2005; Özçete and Moser, 2021; Wong et al., 2014). We related changes of release at individual synapses (ΔQ_{EPSC}) to the change of the integrated IHC Ca^{2+} influx (ΔQ_{Ca}). We fitted power functions ($Q_{EPSC} = a(Q_{Ca})^m$) to the relationships for individual synapses (Figure 3–figure supplement 2) and found Ca^{2+} cooperativities of $m < 2$ for all but 2 synapses. This result suggests a tight, Ca^{2+} nanodomain-like control of release sites by one or few Ca^{2+} channel(s). Interestingly, however, high *SR* synapses, on average, had significantly lower Ca^{2+} cooperativities than low *SR* synapses (Fig. 3H; m_{highSR} of 0.8 ± 0.1 (median 0.75; n = 10) vs m_{lowSR} of 1.4 ± 0.1 (median 1.37; n = 21); p = 0.0016, Mann-Whitney U test). The fit to pooled normalized data of high and low *SR* synapses

yielded the same Ca^{2+} cooperativities of m_{highSR} of 0.8 and m_{lowSR} of 1.4 (Fig. 3I). When grouped based on their modiolar or pillar location, pillar synapses showed significantly lower Ca^{2+} cooperativities than modiolar synapses (Figure 3–figure supplement 1, panel L; m_{pillar} of 1.0 ± 0.08 (median 0.88; n = 19) vs $m_{modiolar}$ of 1.6 ± 0.2 (median 1.3; n = 12); p = 0.0202, Mann-Whitney U test). Our findings indicate that most afferent IHC synapses of hearing mice employ a tight, Ca^{2+} nanodomain-like control of release sites by one or few Ca^{2+} channel(s) for physiological sound encoding. Yet, quantitative differences in coupling seem to exist between high SR/pillar synapses and low SR/modiolar synapses, whereby a control of SV release by ~1 Ca^{2+} channel prevails at high SR/pillar synapses.



Figure 3 – figure supplement 1. The voltage dependence of synaptic release does not differ significantly between modiolar and pillar synapses, but the Ca^{2+} dependence does

A, B. The reversal potential (A) and the voltage sensitivity of I_{Ca} (B) were comparable between low and high *SR* synapses. **C.** Triggered single active zone eEPSCs (release intensity curves) of 31 pairs of low and high *SR*. Averages (thick lines) and individual curves (thin lines) are overlaid. The release-intensity curve of two low *SR* pairs could not be fitted with the sigmoidal function (grey dotted lines). **D-E.** $Q_{90\ EPSC}$ (D) and voltage sensitivity of the release (E) were comparable between high and low *SR* synapses. **Fi-Fii.** The peak of Ca^{2+} current (Fi) and the voltage eliciting maximum Ca^{2+} current (Fii) were comparable between modiolar and pillar synapses. **Gi-Ji.** The threshold of Ca^{2+} influx (Gi), V_{half} I_{Ca} (Hi), reversal potential of I_{Ca} (Ii) and voltage sensitivity of I_{Ca} (Ji) did not differ between modiolar and pillar synapses. **Gii-Jii.** $Q_{10\ EPSC}$ (Gii), V_{half} Q_{EPSC} (Hii), $Q_{90\ EPSC}$ (Iii) and voltage sensitivity of the release (Jii) were comparable between modiolar and pillar synapses. **K.** Dynamic range of release was comparable between modiolar and pillar synapse. **L.** Ca^{2+}

cooperativity (*m*) estimated for each individual synapse was significantly lower in pillar synapses (p = 0.0202, Mann-Whitney U test).
Whisker plots represent the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles with the individual data points overlaid. Synapses were classified as △ pillar or ❍ modiolar, and as Low *SR* < 1 sEPSC/s or High *SR* ≥ 1 sEPSC/s.



Figure 3 – figure supplement 2. Apparent Ca^{2+} dependence of neurotransmitter release at individual synapses in the range of IHC receptor potentials
Scatter plots of the EPSC charges (Q_{EPSC}) vs. the corresponding Ca^{2+} current integrals (Q_{Ca}) for each individual synapse in response to 10 ms depolarizations from -58 to -18 mV. The solid line is a least-squares fit of a power function ($Q_{EPSC} = a(Q_{Ca})^m$) to each pair data.

ii) Synaptic vesicle pool dynamics at individual IHC active zones

In 13 of the 31 aforementioned paired recordings (6 classified as low *SR* and 7 as high *SR*; 2 belonging to modiolar and 11 to pillar synapses), we employed a forward masking paradigm to study synaptic vesicle (SV) pool dynamics of single afferent synapses. The forward masking paradigm (Harris and Dallos, 1979) is commonly used for *in vivo* analysis of SGN spike rate adaptation and recovery from adaptation, which has been attributed to the depletion of readily releasable pool of SVs (RRP) and the recovery from depletion (Avissar et al., 2013; Frank et al., 2010; Furukawa and Matsuura, 1978; Goutman, 2017; Goutman and Glowatzki, 2007; Li et al., 2009; Moser and Beutner, 2000; Schroeder and Hall, 1974). Typically, the *in vivo* protocol is applied at saturating sound pressure levels, which we aimed to mimic using strong step IHC depolarizations (to -18 mV from -58 mV) separated by different interstimulus intervals (ISI: 4, 16, 64 and 256 ms) (Fig. 4A). In analogy to the *in vivo* forward masking paradigm, the first stimulus - called *masker*, as it depresses the response to a subsequent stimulus when applied in rapid succession- had a duration of 100 ms. The second stimulus -denominated *probe*- lasted for 15 ms. The recordings included a time frame of 400 ms preceding the “masker” and 400 ms following the “probe”, and the interval between masker and masker was 20 s. Applied to recordings of eEPSCs, the forward masking protocol provides experimental access to the initial RRP release rates, kinetics and extent of RRP depletion, sustained exocytosis, as well as recovery from RRP depletion. To accommodate the stochasticity of SV release from the RRP of IHC active zones, we run each protocol several times (≥3 to ≤ 20), which is routinely done for *in vivo* SGN physiology, but challenging *ex vivo* given the fragile and typically short-lived paired pre- and postsynaptic recordings (e.g. Goutman, 2017; Goutman and Glowatzki, 2007). Note that we did not employ cyclothiazide to inhibit AMPA receptor desensitization and reduce its contribution to postsynaptic eEPSC depression (Goutman, 2017),

given the potential presynaptic effects of cyclothiazide in synaptic release (Diamond and Jahr, 1995; Dittman and Regehr, 1998).



Figure 4. High *SR* synapses have shorter synaptic delay and higher initial release rates
A. Representative Ca^{2+} currents (blue), eEPSCs (light orange) and eEPSC charges (Q_{EPSC}, green) to the "forward masking" protocols used to study depletion and recovery of RRP. The stimulus (top panel) consists of two sequential voltage steps ("masker" and "probe") separated by different interstimulus intervals (ISI in ms). **B.** Latencies of the evoked EPSCs ($EPSC_{onset}$ - $Masker_{onset}$) were significantly shorter in high *SR* than low *SR* synapses (p = 0.0221, Mann-Whitney U test). **C.** High

SR synapses also had less latency jitter (p = 0.0012, Mann-Whitney U test). **D.** Presynaptic IHC Ca^{2+} charge (Q_{Ca}) during the masker stimuli was comparable regardless the spontaneous rate of the recorded postsynaptic bouton. **E.** Pool depletion dynamics were studied by fitting the sum of a single exponential and a line function (black discontinuous line) to the first 50 ms of the average Q_{EPSC} trace in response to the masker stimulus. **F-J.** RRP, time constant (τ) of depletion, initial release rate and sustained release were calculated from the fits and the mean Q_{sEPSC} for each pair. High *SR* synapses depleted the RRP with faster time constants (G; p = 0.0140, Mann-Whitney U test) and reached higher initial release rates (H; p = 0.0472, unpaired t-test) followed by a stronger adaptation (J; p = 0.0058, unpaired t-test). **K.** Recovery from RRP depletion shown as ratio of $Q_{EPSC\ probe}$ and $Q_{EPSC\ masker}$ (mean ± sem) during the first 10 ms of the stimulus. **L.** Single exponential fits from 16 ms to 20000 ms (black dotted lines) to estimate the recovery kinetics from RRP depletion. **M.** Time constant of recovery from RRP depletion obtained from fits in L.
Whisker plots represent the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles with the individual data points overlaid.Synapses were classified as △ pillar or ❍ modiolar, and as Low *SR* < 1 sEPSC/s or High *SR* ≥ 1 sEPSC/s.

For the analysis of evoked release dynamics, we focused on the response evoked by the masker. At the presynaptic level, there was no difference in the peak, initial and final IHC Ca^{2+} currents (I_{Ca}, Figure 4–figure supplement 1, panel A-C) and Ca^{2+} current charge (Q_{Ca}, Fig. 4D) between the recordings from high and low *SR* synapses. We calculated the synaptic delay from the onset of the masker to the onset of the eEPSC. High *SR* synapses had significantly shorter synaptic delays (Fig. 4B), with mean latencies of the first eEPSC after stimulus onset of 1.21 ± 0.09 ms (median 1.18) compared to 3.34 ± 1.03 ms (median 2.09) in low *SR* pairs (p = 0.0221, Mann-Whitney U test). This result was corroborated in a bigger sample size, when we compared the synaptic latencies of the 31 pairs to 10 ms pulses to -18 mV (Figure 4–figure supplement 1, panel D; latency of 1.19 ± 0.14 ms (median 1.14) in high *SR* compared to 2.57 ± 0.48 ms (median 1.79) in low *SR* synapses; p = 0.0101, Mann-Whitney U test). The latency jitter (measured as the standard deviation of the eEPSC latencies; Fig. 4C) was also significantly smaller in high *SR* synapses compared to low *SR* synapses (0.38 ± 0.07 ms (median 0.41) vs 1.67 ± 0.72 ms (median 0.98), respectively; p = 0.0012, Mann-Whitney U test). Additionally, high *SR* synapses had significantly larger peak amplitudes of the masker-evoked EPSCs which reports the initial release from the RRP (Figure 4–figure supplement 1, panel E; -421.4 ± 54.22 pA for high SR synapses vs -240.2 ± 23.25 pA for low *SR* synapses; p = 0.0147, unpaired t-test). In contrast, the charge of masker-evoked EPSCs ($Q_{EPSC\ MASKER}$) was more comparable (Figure 4–figure supplement 1, panel

F; 4.818 ± 0.88 pC for high SR vs 3.223 ± 0.47 pC for low *SR* pairs; p = 0.1574, unpaired t-test). We fitted the first 50 ms of the $Q_{EPSC\ MASKER}$ with the sum of a single exponential and a line function in order to analyze RRP depletion and sustained release (Figure 4–figure supplement 1, panel G; Fig. 4G and dashed lines in Fig. 4D). The amplitude of the exponential component (*A1*), thought to reflect RRP exocytosis, was not different between high and low *SR* synapses (Figure 4–figure supplement 1, panel H; p = 0.4092, unpaired t-test). Likewise, the slope of the linear component, reflecting sustained exocytosis, did not differ significantly between the two groups (Figure 4–figure supplement 1, panel I; p = 0.1807, Mann-Whitney U test).

To quantify synaptic release in terms of SVs, we divided $Q_{EPSC\ MASKER}$ by the mean Q_{sEPSC} recorded for each pair. This builds on our assumption that each sEPSC corresponds to a unitary release event ("univesicular mode of release" (Chapochnikov et al., 2014; Grabner and Moser, 2018; Huang and Moser, 2018)). The quantal content (RRP size in #SV) was comparable between high and low *SR* synapses (Fig. 4F, 13.99 ± 2.239 SVs vs 14.28 ± 4.839 SVs, respectively; p = 0.9553, unpaired t-test). However, the RRP depleted significantly faster in high *SR* synapses (Fig. 4G): $\tau_{RRP\ depletion}$ was 6.347 ± 1.096 ms (median 6.13) for high *SR* vs. 20.88 ± 5.063 ms (median 19.93) for low *SR* synapses (p = 0.0140, Mann-Whitney U test). Accordingly, high *SR* synapses showed significantly higher initial release rates compared to low *SR* synapses (Fig. 4H; 2651 ± 660.0 SV/s vs. 927.4 ± 307.4 SV/s; p = 0.0472, unpaired t-test), which given the comparable RRP size, indicates a higher release probability of the high *SR* rare synapses. Moreover, high *SR* synapses showed stronger depression of the release rate (Fig. 4J; the ratio of initial/sustained release rate was 5.941 ± 0.916 for high *SR* pairs and 2.023 ± 0.6251 for low *SR* pairs; p = 0.006, unpaired t-test), despite comparable sustained release rates (Fig. 4I; p = 0.9258, unpaired t-test).

Finally, we determined RRP recovery from depletion using the ratio $Q_{EPSC\ Probe}/Q_{EPSC\ Masker}$, whereby Q_{EPSC} for masker and probe was estimated for the first 10 ms of stimulation. Figure 4K plots the ratio for each interstimulus interval (ISI), including the masker-to-masker interval. Surprisingly, and contrary to a previous report in auditory bullfrog synapses (Cho et al., 2011), approximately half of the synapses showed a lower ratio at 16 ms than at 4 ms regardless of their

SR. Therefore, to determine the kinetics of recovery from RRP depletion, we fitted a single exponential to the recovery data over the ISI range from 16 ms to 20 s (Fig. 4L). We did not observe a significant difference between high *SR* and low *SR* synapses (Fig. 4M; $\tau_{recovery\ RRP}$: 451.5 ± 187.0 ms (median 192.7) vs 2416 ± 2064 ms (median 157.9), respectively; p = 0.5338, Mann-Whitney U test). In 4 high *SR* and 4 low *SR* synapses, spontaneous activity was resumed shortly after the offset of the probe. The time to this first sEPSC took longer in high SR synapses compared to low ones (Figure 4–figure supplement 1, panel J; 185.2 ± 25.25 ms (median 161.6) vs 104.5 ± 24.10 ms (median 108.4), respectively; p = 0.0286, Mann-Whitney U test), again indicating stronger synaptic depression of hight *SR* synapses.



Figure 4 – figure supplement 1. Parameters of synaptic vesicle pool dynamics in forward masking protocols

A-C. Presynaptic peak (A), initial (B) and final (C) Ca^{2+} current (I_{Ca}) during the masker stimuli were comparable regardless the *SR* of postsynaptic bouton. **D.** Latencies of the evoked EPSCs were also significantly shorter in high *SR* than low *SR* synapses when compared in a bigger sample size (31 pairs from Fig. 4; p = 0.0101, Mann-Whitney U test). **E-F.** High *SR* synapses had significantly

larger amplitudes of evoked EPSCs in response to the masker stimulus (E; $p = 0.0147$, unpaired t-test), while the EPSC charge (Q_{EPSC}) did not differ (F). **G.** Exemplary average Q_{EPSC} response of a high *SR* (orange) and a low *SR* (gray) synapse to the masker stimuli. We fitted an exponential plus line function to the first 50 ms of the response (discontinuous lines) to study SV pool depletion dynamics. From these fits, we can retrieve information about RRP size (amplitude of the exponential component, *A1*), RRP depletion time constant (τ of the exponential component) and sustained release (linear slope of the line component). **H-I.** Amplitude (H) and linear slope (I) obtained from the fits of the exponential plus line function to the Q_{EPSC} from individual pairs (Fig. 4E). **F.** The spontaneous release after the probe offset recovered slower in high *SR* synapses. Whisker plots represent the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles with the individual data points overlaid. Synapses were classified as △ pillar or ❍ modiolar, and as Low *SR* < 1 sEPSC/s or High *SR* ≥ 1 sEPSC/s.

Discussion

Much of the information on synaptic sound encoding at afferent IHC-SGN synapses has been obtained from either juxtacellular recordings of SGN firing *in vivo* or from *ex vivo* patch-clamp recordings. Yet, it has remained difficult to reconcile those *in vivo* and *ex vivo* results and to establish a unified account of sound intensity coding in the auditory nerve given differences in experimental conditions, animal models and protocols employed. Here, we biophysically characterized the heterogeneous function of afferent SGN synapses in hearing mice with reference to their rate of spontaneous transmission (*SR*) as a surrogate of SGN SR that informs their functional properties. We performed paired pre- and post-synaptic patch-clamp recordings from single IHC synapses of hearing mice under near-physiological conditions using protocols adapted from *in vivo* characterization of SGN's response properties. Using this approach, we were able to distinguish synapses with low and high *SR*, which we propose to provide the input into low and high SR SGNs. We found that about 90% of high *SR* synapses were located at the pillar side of the IHC. High *SR* synapses had larger sEPSCs with a more compact (monophasic) waveform, lower voltage-thresholds of release, shorter synaptic delays, tighter coupling of release sites to Ca^{2+} channels as well as higher initial release rates and shorter RRP depletion time constants. We conclude that high *SR* synapses exhibit higher release probability which likely reflects the tighter

coupling of Ca^{2+} channels and release sites. RRP size, rate of sustained exocytosis and kinetics of RRP recovery from depletion were comparable between high and low *SR* synapses.

Diversity of spontaneous release and their topographical segregation

The *SR* range observed in our paired recordings from mouse afferent synapses (0 to 18 EPSC/s) agrees with previous reports of bouton recordings from rat synapses (p15-p17, Wu et al., 2016). However, the maximum rate is considerably smaller than those recorded *in vivo* from single SGNs [up to 60 spikes/s in p14-p21 mice (Wong et al., 2013) and up to 120 spikes/s in 8-17 weeks old mice (Taberner and Liberman, 2005)]. Given that less than 35% of murine SGNs have *in vivo* SRs above 20 spikes/s (Taberner and Liberman, 2005), our lower maximum rate could also originate from a sampling bias. In addition, the larger, faster and more compact sEPSC observed in high *SR* synapses would increase the speed and timing of the generated spike(s) (Rutherford et al., 2012), perhaps allowing for several spikes to be generated and leading to a further increase in the SGN spike SR. Other important factors that might explain the higher SR reported *in vivo* include: i) the intrinsic biophysical properties of SGNs which could further expand the firing rate distribution (Markowitz and Kalluri, 2020); ii) the developmental recruitment of high SR SGNs with age (Niwa et al., 2021; Romand, 1984; Walsh and McGee, 1987; Wong et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2016); and iii) compromised physiological conditions as well as a deviation of the chosen IHC holding potential from the IHC resting potential despite our efforts to mimic physiological conditions during the *ex vivo* recordings.

In analogy to the pioneering finding of a synaptic segregation of cat SGNs according to SR along the pillar–modiolar axis of IHCs (Liberman, 1982; Merchan-Perez and Liberman, 1996), we found that about 90% of high *SR* were located on the pillar IHC side. Yet, not all the synapses of the pillar IHC side had high *SR*, which agrees with a recent study of molecularly tagged SGNs (Siebald et al., 2023). These findings suggests that high frequency and large amplitude of sEPSCs occur predominantly in synapses with smaller ribbons and AZs, opposing results from retinal cells in which smaller ribbons resulted in reduced frequency and amplitude of EPSCs (Mehta et al., 2013). It is important to point out that our modiolar/pillar classification is less precise than that of

other studies in which the synapse position was quantitatively assigned (Frank et al., 2009; Liberman et al., 2011; Ohn et al., 2016; Özçete and Moser, 2021). Moreover, other studies also support an overall pillar-modiolar gradient with “salt and pepper” intermingling of synaptic properties rather than their strict segregation (Ohn et al., 2016; Özçete and Moser, 2021).

Similar to previous reports (e.g. Chapochnikov et al., 2014; e.g. Glowatzki and Fuchs, 2002; Grant et al., 2010; Huang and Moser, 2018; Rutherford et al., 2012), we found a high variability in the waveform and amplitude of sEPSCs between synapses, which apparently do not strictly depend on the topographical location of the synapse (the present work and (Niwa et al., 2021)). Yet, high *SR* synapses had larger and more compact (monophasic) sEPSCs. The difference in the percentage of monophasic sEPSCs in low and high *SR* synapses could arise from variability in the fusion pore dynamics on the way to vesicle fusion and/or on the number of SVs released in timely manner. In the view of the multivesicular hypothesis of spontaneous release (Glowatzki and Fuchs, 2002; Li et al., 2009; Niwa et al., 2021; Schnee et al., 2013), SVs of a modiolar AZ might fuse in an uncoordinated manner, creating a EPSCs with a less compact waveform. Alternatively and our favorite hypothesis, each sEPSC corresponds to a unitary release event (“univesicular mode of release” (Chapochnikov et al., 2014; Grabner and Moser, 2018; Huang and Moser, 2018; Young et al., 2021)) that has the capacity to drive action potential firing (Rutherford et al., 2012). In the framework of the univesicular hypothesis of spontaneous release, the flickering of the fusion pore, prior to or instead of full collapse fusion of the SV, might be favored in low *SR* synapses, leading to a lower percentage of monophasic sEPSCs. Such heterogeneity of fusion pore dynamics has been reported in chromaffin cells, calyx of Held and hippocampal neurons (Chang et al., 2021; Henkel et al., 2019; Shin et al., 2020, 2018).

Candidate mechanisms distinguishing evoked release at low and high SR synapses

The temporal and quantal resolution offered by paired recordings allowed us to analyze the biophysical properties of evoked synaptic transmission in relation to the *SR* of the given synapse. In an intriguing resemblance with *in vivo* evoked firing properties of high SR SGNs (Bourien et al., 2014; Buran et al., 2010; Relkin and Doucet, 1991; Rhode and Smith, 1985; Taberner and

Liberman, 2005), high *SR* synapses showed lower voltage (~sound pressure *in vivo*) thresholds of synaptic transmission (~firing *in vivo*), shorter and less variable synaptic latencies (~first spike latencies *in vivo*), and higher initial release rates (~onset firing rate *in vivo*). In addition, we found stronger synaptic depression at high *SR* synapses, which agrees well with the finding of a greater ratio of peak to adapted firing rate in high SR SGNs recorded *in vivo* (Taberner and Liberman, 2005). These results support the hypothesis that IHC synaptic heterogeneity (Frank et al., 2009; Hua et al., 2021; Ohn et al., 2016; Özçete and Moser, 2021; Reijntjes et al., 2020) contributes to the diversity of spontaneous and sound-evoked SGN firing.

How do high *SR* synapses with likely smaller ribbons and lower maximal Ca^{2+} influx achieve a shorter latency and higher initial release rate? Our hypothesis is that, in high *SR* synapses, a more hyperpolarized Ca^{2+} channel activation (Ohn et al., 2016) in combination with tighter coupling between the Ca^{2+} channels and the Ca^{2+} sensor of fusion (this work and (Özçete and Moser, 2021)) would enable a faster response with a greater initial SV release probability for a given stimulus. Interestingly, genetic disruptions that shift the voltage-dependence have a greater impact on the *in vivo* distribution of SR than mutations that change the maximal synaptic Ca^{2+} influx (Jean et al., 2018; Ohn et al., 2016). The spatial coupling of the Ca^{2+} channel to the SV release site has also been shown to greatly affect release probability in other synapses (Eggermann et al., 2012; Fekete et al., 2019; Moser et al., 2019; Rebola et al., 2019). Other factors that affect release probability include variations in the number of open Ca^{2+} channels at the AZ (Gratz et al., 2019; Holderith et al., 2012; Scimemi and Diamond, 2012; Sheng et al., 2012; Wong et al., 2013) and the fusion competence of the SV (Klenchin and Martin, 2000), including the priming and docking state (Lin et al., 2022; Neher and Brose, 2018). The ensuing Ca^{2+} influx in pillar synapses might facilitate Ca^{2+} channels and priming of SVs (Cho and von Gersdorff, 2012; Goutman and Glowatzki, 2011, 2007; Michalski et al., 2017; Moser and Beutner, 2000; Pangrsic et al., 2015; Schnee et al., 2011; Spassova et al., 2004) and contribute to the observed results in high *SR* synapses. Regarding the fusion competence of SVs, it is unknown whether modiolar and pillar synapses exhibit different numbers of docked and primed SVs. To date, ultrastructural studies that resolve docked and

tethered SVs have not address the topographical location of the AZ in the murine IHC (Chakrabarti et al., 2022, 2018).

Besides SV release probability, RRP size co-determines neurotransmitter release. Our estimated RRP of about 14 SVs in both high and low *SR* synapses compares well to prior estimates obtained using *ex vivo* electrophysiology (10-40 SVs: Refs. (Goutman and Glowatzki, 2007; Jean et al., 2018; Johnson et al., 2005; Khimich et al., 2005; Moser and Beutner, 2000; Pangrsic et al., 2010; Schnee et al., 2005), model-based analysis of SGN firing (4-40 SVs (Frank et al., 2010; Jean et al., 2018; Peterson et al., 2014)) and electron microscopy (10-16 SVs within 50 nm of the presynaptic membrane (Chakrabarti et al., 2018; Frank et al., 2010; Graydon et al., 2011; Kantardzhieva et al., 2013; Khimich et al., 2005; Pangrsic et al., 2010). However, previous reports based on electron microscopy (Kantardzhieva et al., 2013; Merchan-Perez and Liberman, 1996; Michanski et al., 2019) suggested larger pools of SVs at modiolar synapses, while our electrophysiological estimate of RRP size was comparable between low and high *SR* synapses. This finding argues against a strong contribution of RRP size to the observed differences in neurotransmitter release. However, higher release probability with comparable RRP size explains higher initial release rates, which likely explain the faster and temporally more precise postsynaptic depolarization that is likely to turn into shorter first spike latencies and lower first spike latency jitter (this study and (Buran 2010).

Finally, the heterogeneity in the functional properties of IHC synapses could also arise from molecular heterogeneity of the AZ. In central glutamatergic synapses, molecular heterogeneity of synaptic proteins plays a critical role in the modulation of SV release probability and priming state (Neher and Brose, 2018; Wichmann and Kuner, 2022). For instance, differential isoforms of priming factors and scaffold proteins have been suggested to tune the functional synaptic diversity of central synapses (Fulterer et al., 2018; Rebola et al., 2019; Rosenmund et al., 2002). Cochlear IHCs have an unconventional fusion machinery that appears to work without neuronal SNARES (Nouvian et al., 2011) (but see Calvet et al., 2022) and priming factors such as Munc13 and CAPS (Vogl et al., 2015). Therefore, future studies will need to determine the molecular nanoanatomy

underlying the specific AZ nanophysiology and functional synaptic heterogeneity at IHCs. Promising candidates include RBPs (Butola et al., 2021; Grauel et al., 2016; Krinner et al., 2021, 2017; Petzoldt et al., 2020), RIMs (Jung et al., 2015; Maria M. Picher et al., 2017), and Septin (Fekete et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2010).

Challenges for relating synaptic and neural response properties

Next to providing support for the presynaptic hypothesis of functional SGN diversity, the present study also highlights some of the challenges met when aiming to bridge the gap between presynaptic hair cell function and neural sound encoding. Despite major efforts undertaken to match experimental conditions and protocols, it remains difficult to reconcile some findings of *ex vivo* and *in vivo* physiology. Parameters such as RRP size (~# spikes of the rapidly adapting component of firing), sustained exocytosis (~adapted firing rate *in vivo*), recovery of spontaneous and evoked release (~recovery from forward masking *in vivo*) did not differ among our high and low *SR* synapses, and contrasts with *in vivo* data (e.g. Refs. (Bourien et al., 2014; Buran et al., 2010; Relkin and Doucet, 1991; Rhode and Smith, 1985; Taberner and Liberman, 2005)).

Of particular interest is that the dynamic ranges and slope of release-intensity relationship of high and low *SR* synapses diverge from the expectations if assuming that high SR SGNs are driven by high *SR* synapses. High *SR* synapses tended to show broader dynamic ranges with shallower slopes, while, *in vivo,* high SR SGNs show smaller dynamic ranges and steeper slopes than the low SR ones (Ohlemiller et al., 1991; Winter et al., 1990). Could this reflect the non-linear saturating properties of the basilar membrane (Sachs et al., 1989; Sachs and Abbas, 1974; Yates et al., 1990) (discussed in Ref. (Ohlemiller et al., 1991)) which might widen the rate level function of low SR SGNs? Or is it due to a partial depletion of the “standing” RRP (i.e. the occupancy of the RRP release sites with a fusion-competent SV (Moser, 2020; Pangrsic et al., 2010)) at high *SR* synapses *in vivo*? It remains to be determined whether this and the other aforementioned differences between our data and *in vivo* reports could be attributed to mechanisms downstream of glutamate release and AMPA receptor activation. The possible mechanisms include but are not limited to: i) different spike rates due to diverse EPSC waveforms (Rutherford et al., 2012); ii)

differences in SGN excitability (Crozier and Davis, 2014; Markowitz and Kalluri, 2020; Smith et al., 2015) due to heterogenous molecular (Petitpré et al., 2020, 2018; Shrestha et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2018) and morphological profiles (Liberman, 1980; Merchan-Perez and Liberman, 1996; Tsuji and Liberman, 1997); and iii) differences in efferent innervation of SGNs (Hua et al., 2021; Liberman, 1990; Ruel et al., 2001; Wu et al., 2020; Yin et al., 2014). Certainly, caution is to be applied for the comparison of *ex vivo* and *in vivo* data due to partial disruption of the physiological milieu despite our efforts to maintain near-physiological conditions. This also extends to the alteration of efferent signaling in our *ex vivo* preparation.

Clearly more work is needed to elucidate the mechanisms of SGN firing diversity in the cochlea. Ideally, future studies will combine *in vivo* and *ex vivo* experiments, such as combining physiological SGN characterization with neural backtracing and synaptic morphology of labelled SGNs using volume imaging of afferent and efferent connectivity (Hua et al., 2021). Moreover, combining optogenetic IHC stimulation with imaging of SGN activity could provide higher throughput and serve posthoc morphology. Finally, paired patch-clamp recordings, as done in the present study, could be combined with SGN subtype-specific molecular labeling, fiber tracing and immunolabeling to further relate synaptic transmission and SGN neurophysiology.

Materials and Methods

Animals and tissue preparation

c57BL/6N mice of either sex between postnatal day 14-23 (p14-23) were used. The animal handling and experiments complied with national animal care guidelines and were approved by the University of Göttingen Board for animal welfare and the Animal Welfare Office of the State of Lower Saxony. Animals were sacrificed by decapitation and the cochleae were extracted in modified Hepes Hank's solution containing: 5.36 mM KCl, 141.7 mM NaCl, 1 mM $MgCl_2$-$6H_2O$, 0.5 mM $MgSO_4$-$7H_2O$, 10 mM HEPES, 0.5 mg/ml L-glutamine, and 1 mg/ml D-glucose (pH 7.2, osmolarity of ~300 mOsm). The apical coil of the organ of Corti was dissected and placed under a grid in the recording chamber. Pillar or modiolar supporting cells were removed using soda glass pipettes in order to gain access to the basolateral face of the IHCs and to the postsynaptic boutons of type I SGNs. Dissection of the organ of Corti and cleaning of the supporting cells were performed at room temperature (20-25°C).

Electrophysiological recordings

Pre- and postsynaptic paired patch clamp recordings were performed at near physiological temperature (32-37°C) using an EPC-9 amplifier (HEKA electronics) (Fig. 1). Patch electrodes were positioned using a PatchStar micromanipulator (Scientifica, UK). Whole-cell recordings from IHCs were achieved using the perforated-patch clamp technique (Moser and Beutner, 2000) using Sylgard™–coated 1.5 mm borosilicate pipettes with typical resistances between 3.5 and 6 MΩ. The IHC pipette solution contained: 129 mM Cs-gluconate, 10 mM tetraethylammonium (TEA)-Cl, 10 mM 4-AP, 10 mM HEPES, 1 mM $MgCl_2$ (pH 7.2, osmolarity of ~290 mOsm), as well as 300 µg/ml amphotericin B added prior to the experiment. Once the series resistance of the IHC reached below 30 MΩ, whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings from a contacting bouton was established as described in previous studies (Glowatzki and Fuchs, 2002; Grant et al., 2010; Huang and Moser, 2018). Sylgard™-coated 1.0 mm borosilicate pipettes with typical resistances between 7 and 12 MΩ were used for the postsynaptic recordings. The bouton pipette solution contained: 137 mM KCl, 5 mM EGTA, 5 mM HEPES, 1 Mm Na_2-GTP, 2.5 mM Na_2-ATP, 3.5 mM $MgCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ and 0.1 mM

$CaCl_2$ (pH 7.2 and osmolarity of ~290 mOsm). The organ of Corti was continuously perfused with an extracellular solution containing 4.2 mM KCl, 95-100 mM NaCl, 25 mM $NaHCO_3$, 30 mM TEA-Cl, 1mM Na-Pyruvate, 0.7 mM $NH_2PO_4 \cdot H_2O$, 1mM CsCl, 1 mM $MgCl_2 \cdot H_2O$, 1.3 mM $CaCl_2$, and 11.1 mM D-glucose (pH 7.3, osmolarity of ~310 mOsm). 2.5 µM tetrodotoxin (Tocris or Santa Cruz) was added to block voltage-gated Na^+ channels in the postsynaptic bouton.

Data were acquired using the Patchmaster software (HEKA electronics). The current signal was filtered at 5-10 kHz and sampled at 20-50 kHz. IHC were voltage-clamped at a holding potential of -58 mV, corresponding to the presumed *in vivo* resting potential(Johnson, 2015). The bouton was held at a potential of -94 mV. All reported potentials were corrected for the liquid junction potential (19 mV for the IHC and 4 mV for the bouton), measured experimentally. Ca^{2+} current recordings were corrected for the linear leak current using a *P/n* protocol. We excluded IHCs and boutons with leak currents exceeding -60 pA and -100 pA at holding potential, respectively. The series resistance of the IHCs was typically below 30 MΩ. The apparent series resistance of the bouton was calculated from the capacitive transient in response to a 10-mV test pulse. The actual series resistance (R_s) was offline calculated as reported in (Huang and Moser, 2018). Recordings with bouton Rs > 80 MΩ were discarded.

The threshold for sEPSC detection was 4 times SD of the baseline. Spontaneous activity was calculated from time windows without stimulation with the IHC held at -58 mV; either from a 5 – 10 s recording or by averaging the number of events from the segments before and after a depolarizing pulse (Fig. 1B, Supplementary Table 1). To study the depletion and recovery of the pool of vesicles, we used a protocol adapted from the forward masking protocol performed during *in vivo* extracellular recordings of SGNs (Harris and Dallos, 1979; Jean et al., 2018). It consisted of two consecutive depolarizing pulses to the voltage that elicited the highest peak of Ca^{2+} current (-18 mV; Fig. 1C). The first pulse, called masker, lasted 100 ms and it was followed by a second pulse, called probe, which lasted 15 ms. The two pulses were separated by intervals without depolarization (interstimulus intervals, ISI) that lasted 4, 16, 64 and 256 ms. The waiting time between masker and masker was 20 s and each protocol was repeated between 3 – 20 times. To

study the dynamic voltage range of synaptic transmission, we used a current-voltage (IV) protocol with 10 ms pulses of increasing voltage (from -70 mV/-60 mV to 70 mV in 5 mV steps). The interval between two stimuli was 1.5 s.

Data Analysis

Electrophysiological data was analyzed using the IgorPro 6 Software Package (Wavemetrics), GraphPad Prism 9 and Excel. Ca^{2+} charge (Q_{Ca}) and EPSC charge (Q_{EPSC}) were estimated by taking the integral of the current. Kinetics of sEPSCs, such as amplitude, 10-90% rise time, time constant of decay (τ_{decay}) and full-width half-maximum (FWHM), were calculated with Neuromatic (Rothman and Silver, 2018).

To obtain IV curves, we averaged the evoked Ca^{2+}-currents (I_{Ca}) during 5 to 10 ms after the start of each depolarization. Fractional activation of the Ca^{2+} channels was obtained from the normalized chord conductance, *g*,

$$g = \frac{I}{(V - V_{rev})}$$

where V is the membrane potential and V_{rev} is the reversal potential determined by fitting a line function between the voltage of $I_{Ca\ peak}$ + 10 mV and the maximal depolarization. The activation curve was approximated by a first-order Boltzmann equation:

$$g = \frac{g_{max}}{1 + \exp(\frac{V_{half\ Ca} - V}{S})}$$

where g_{max} is the maximum chord conductance, $V_{half\ Ca}$ is the membrane potential at which the conductance is half activated, and *S* is the slope factor describing the voltage sensitivity of activation.

Release intensity curves were obtained by calculating Q_{EPSC} by the end of each depolarization step and fitted using a sigmoidal function:

$$Q = \frac{Q_{max}}{1 + \exp(\frac{V_{h\ EPSC} - V}{rate})}$$

where Q_{max} is the maximal Q_{EPSC} (normalized to 1), $V_{h\ EPSC}$ corresponds to the voltage of half-maximal release (or $Q_{50,\ EPSC}$) and Q is the EPSC charge. The dynamic range was determined as the voltage range between 10% and 90% of the maximal vesicle release. For statistical analysis of dynamic range, we included only pairs for which both the Ca^{2+} fractional activation and the rate level curves were possible to fit.

The apparent Ca2+ dependence of neurotransmitter release was studied from the 10 ms step-depolarizations of the IV curves. The resulting Q_{EPSC} vs IHC Q_{Ca} plots from each individual pair were fitted with a power function:

$$Q_{EPSC} = a(Q_{Ca})^m$$

Where *m* corresponds to the Ca^{2+} cooperativity. Some pairs showed a clear saturation of release at high IHC Q_{Ca}. In these cases, the fit was restricted to the datapoints before the plateau, which was determined by visual inspection. For the pooled data, the power function was fitted to the normalized Q_{EPSC} vs normalized Q_{Ca}. For the pairs with saturation of release, Q_{Ca} was normalized to a point before the plateau.

For forward masking experiments, the postsynaptic response was averaged for all the repetitions for each paired recording (between 3 and 20, depending on the stability of the pair). Single active zone pool dynamics were determined by fitting an exponential plus line function to the individual EPSC charge plots,

$$y_0 + A1\left(1 - exp\left\{\frac{-(x-x_0)}{\tau}\right\}\right) + (x - x_0)slope$$

where *A1* is the amplitude of the exponential component, τ is the time constant of the exponential component. RRP size (in vesicles) was estimated from dividing *A1* by the charge of the average sEPSC for each pair. Sustained exocytosis rate (in vesicles per s) was calculated from the slope of the linear function divided the charge of the average sEPSC. Individual recovery kinetics were determined from the ratio of probe and masker responses at 10 ms of the depolarization, with the

ratio between masker and masker being 1. The recovery traces were fitted with a single exponential function from 16 ms to 20 000 ms to determine the time constant of RRP recovery.

Data was prepared for presentation using Adobe Illustrator. Skewness analysis, PCA and other statistical analysis were performed using GraphPad Prism 9. Statistical significance was assessed with unpaired t-test or non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test depending on the normal distribution and equality of variances of the data (Saphiro-Wilk test and F test). Data is expressed as mean ± sem. The box plots show 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles with the individual data points overlaid.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr. Chao-Hua Huang and Dr. Jakob Neef for their experimental and analytical input. Dr. Antoine Huet for the discussion regarding *in vivo* response properties of spiral ganglion neurons. Dres. Erwin Neher, Manfred Lindau and Jakob Neef for critical input into this project. LMJT was a recipient of the Erwin Neher Fellowship and T.M. is a Max-Planck Fellow at the Max Planck Institute for Multidisciplinary Sciences. This work was further supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) via the Collaborative Research Center 889 (project A02) and the Leibniz Program (MO896/5 to T.M), the European Research Council through the Advanced Grant 'DynaHear" to T.M. under the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation program (grant agreement No. 101054467), and by Fondation Pour l'Audition (FPA RD-2020-10). LMJT is a member of the Hertha Sponer College from the Cluster of Excellence Multiscale Bioimaging (MBExC). Open access funding provided by Max Planck Society.

References

Avissar M, Wittig JH, Saunders JC, Parsons TD. 2013. Refractoriness Enhances Temporal Coding by Auditory Nerve Fibers. *Journal of Neuroscience* **33**:7681–7690. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3405-12.2013

Barbary AE. 1991. Auditory nerve of the normal and jaundiced rat. I. Spontaneous discharge rate and cochlear nerve histology. *Hearing Research* **54**:75–90. doi:10.1016/0378-5955(91)90138-Y

Bourien J, Tang Y, Batrel C, Huet A, Lenoir M, Ladrech S, Desmadryl G, Nouvian R, Puel J-L, Wang J. 2014. Contribution of auditory nerve fibers to compound action potential of the auditory nerve. *Journal of Neurophysiology* **112**:1025–1039. doi:10.1152/jn.00738.2013

Brandt A. 2005. Few CaV1.3 Channels Regulate the Exocytosis of a Synaptic Vesicle at the Hair Cell Ribbon Synapse. *Journal of Neuroscience* **25**:11577–11585. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3411-05.2005

Buran BN, Strenzke N, Neef A, Gundelfinger ED, Moser T, Liberman MC. 2010. Onset coding is degraded in auditory nerve fibers from mutant mice lacking synaptic ribbons. *J Neurosci* **30**:7587–7597. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0389-10.2010

Butola T, Alvanos T, Hintze A, Koppensteiner P, Kleindienst D, Shigemoto R, Wichmann C, Moser T. 2021. RIM-Binding Protein 2 Organizes Ca2+ Channel Topography and Regulates Release Probability and Vesicle Replenishment at a Fast Central Synapse. *J Neurosci* **41**:7742–7767. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0586-21.2021

Calvet C, Peineau T, Benamer N, Cornille M, Lelli A, Plion B, Lahlou G, Fanchette J, Nouaille S, Monvel JB de, Estivalet A, Jean P, Michel V, Sachse M, Michalski N, Avan P, Petit C, Dulon D, Safieddine S. 2022. The SNARE protein SNAP-25 is required for normal exocytosis at auditory hair cell ribbon synapses. *iScience* **25**. doi:10.1016/j.isci.2022.105628

Chakrabarti R, Jaime Tobón LM, Slitin L, Redondo Canales M, Hoch G, Slashcheva M, Fritsch E, Bodensiek K, Özçete ÖD, Gültas M, Michanski S, Opazo F, Neef J, Pangrsic T, Moser T, Wichmann C. 2022. Optogenetics and electron tomography for structure-function analysis of cochlear ribbon synapses. *eLife* **11**:e79494. doi:10.7554/eLife.79494

Chakrabarti R, Michanski S, Wichmann C. 2018. Vesicle sub-pool organization at inner hair cell ribbon synapses. *EMBO reports* e44937. doi:10.15252/embr.201744937

Chang C-W, Hsiao Y-T, Jackson MB. 2021. Synaptophysin Regulates Fusion Pores and Exocytosis Mode in Chromaffin Cells. *J Neurosci* **41**:3563–3578. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2833-20.2021

Chapochnikov NM, Takago H, Huang C-H, Pangršič T, Khimich D, Neef J, Auge E, Göttfert F, Hell SW, Wichmann C, Wolf F, Moser T. 2014. Uniquantal Release through a Dynamic Fusion Pore Is a Candidate Mechanism of Hair Cell Exocytosis. *Neuron* **17**:1389–1403. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.08.003

Cho S, Li G-L, von Gersdorff H. 2011. Recovery from Short-Term Depression and Facilitation Is Ultrafast and Ca2+ Dependent at Auditory Hair Cell Synapses. *The Journal of Neuroscience* **31**:5682–5692. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5453-10.2011

Cho S, von Gersdorff H. 2012. Ca2+ influx and neurotransmitter release at ribbon synapses. *Cell Calcium* **52**:208–216. doi:10.1016/j.ceca.2012.06.004

Crozier RA, Davis RL. 2014. Unmasking of Spiral Ganglion Neuron Firing Dynamics by Membrane Potential and Neurotrophin-3. *J Neurosci* **34**:9688–9702. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4552-13.2014

Diamond JS, Jahr CE. 1995. Asynchronous release of synaptic vesicles determines the time course of the AMPA receptor-mediated EPSC. *Neuron* **15**:1097–1107.

Dittman JS, Regehr WG. 1998. Calcium Dependence and Recovery Kinetics of Presynaptic Depression at the Climbing Fiber to Purkinje Cell Synapse. *The Journal of Neuroscience* **18**:6147–6162.

Eggermann E, Bucurenciu I, Goswami SP, Jonas P. 2012. Nanodomain coupling between Ca^{2+} channels and sensors of exocytosis at fast mammalian synapses. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **13**:7–21. doi:10.1038/nrn3125

Evans EF. 1972. The frequency response and other properties of single fibres in the guinea-pig cochlear nerve. *The Journal of Physiology* **226**:263–287. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.1972.sp009984

Fekete A, Nakamura Y, Yang Y-M, Herlitze S, Mark MD, DiGregorio DA, Wang L-Y. 2019. Underpinning heterogeneity in synaptic transmission by presynaptic ensembles of distinct morphological modules. *Nat Commun* **10**:826. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-08452-2

Frank T, Khimich D, Neef A, Moser T. 2009. Mechanisms contributing to synaptic Ca2+ signals and their heterogeneity in hair cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **106**:4483–4488. doi:10.1073/pnas.0813213106

Frank T, Rutherford MA, Strenzke N, Neef A, Pangršič T, Khimich D, Fejtova A, Gundelfinger ED, Liberman MC, Harke B, Bryan KE, Lee A, Egner A, Riedel D, Moser T. 2010. Bassoon and the synaptic ribbon organize Ca^{2+} channels and vesicles to add release sites and promote refilling. *Neuron* **68**:724–738. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.10.027

Fulterer A, Andlauer TFM, Ender A, Maglione M, Eyring K, Woitkuhn J, Lehmann M, Matkovic-Rachid T, Geiger JRP, Walter AM, Nagel KI, Sigrist SJ. 2018. Active Zone Scaffold Protein Ratios Tune Functional Diversity across Brain Synapses. *Cell Rep* **23**:1259–1274. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.03.126

Furukawa T, Matsuura S. 1978. Adaptive rundown of excitatory post-synaptic potentials at synapses between hair cells and eight nerve fibres in the goldfish. *J Physiol (Lond)* **276**:193–209.

Glowatzki E, Fuchs PA. 2002. Transmitter release at the hair cell ribbon synapse. *Nat Neurosci* **5**:147–154. doi:10.1038/nn796

Gómez-Casati ME, Goutman JD. 2021. Divide and conquer acoustic diversity. *The EMBO Journal* **40**:e107531. doi:10.15252/embj.2020107531

Goutman JD. 2017. Mechanisms of synaptic depression at the hair cell ribbon synapse that support auditory nerve function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. doi:10.1073/pnas.1706160114

Goutman JD, Glowatzki E. 2011. Short-Term Facilitation Modulates Size and Timing of the Synaptic Response at the Inner Hair Cell Ribbon Synapse. *J Neurosci* **31**:7974–7981. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0604-11.2011

Goutman JD, Glowatzki E. 2007. Time course and calcium dependence of transmitter release at a single ribbon synapse. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**:16341–16346. doi:10.1073/pnas.0705756104

Grabner CP, Moser T. 2018. Individual synaptic vesicles mediate stimulated exocytosis from cochlear inner hair cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **115**:12811–12816. doi:10.1073/pnas.1811814115

Grant L, Yi E, Glowatzki E. 2010. Two Modes of Release Shape the Postsynaptic Response at the Inner Hair Cell Ribbon Synapse. *J Neurosci* **30**:4210–4220. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4439-09.2010

Gratz SJ, Goel P, Bruckner JJ, Hernandez RX, Khateeb K, Macleod GT, Dickman D, O'Connor-Giles KM. 2019. Endogenous Tagging Reveals Differential Regulation of Ca2+ Channels at Single Active Zones during Presynaptic Homeostatic Potentiation and Depression. *J Neurosci* **39**:2416–2429. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3068-18.2019

Grauel MK, Maglione M, Reddy-Alla S, Willmes CG, Brockmann MM, Trimbuch T, Rosenmund T, Pangalos M, Vardar G, Stumpf A, Walter AM, Rost BR, Eickholt BJ, Haucke V, Schmitz D, Sigrist SJ, Rosenmund C. 2016. RIM-binding protein 2 regulates release probability by fine-tuning calcium channel localization at murine hippocampal synapses. *PNAS* **113**:11615–11620. doi:10.1073/pnas.1605256113

Graydon CW, Cho S, Li G-L, Kachar B, von Gersdorff H. 2011. Sharp Ca2+ Nanodomains beneath the Ribbon Promote Highly Synchronous Multivesicular Release at Hair Cell Synapses. *J Neurosci* **31**:16637–16650. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1866-11.2011

Harris DM, Dallos P. 1979. Forward masking of auditory nerve fiber responses. *J Neurophysiol* **42**:1083–1107.

Henkel AW, Mouihate A, Welzel O. 2019. Differential Release of Exocytosis Marker Dyes Indicates Stimulation-Dependent Regulation of Synaptic Activity. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* **13**.

Holderith N, Lorincz A, Katona G, Rózsa B, Kulik A, Watanabe M, Nusser Z. 2012. Release probability of hippocampal glutamatergic terminals scales with the size of the active zone. *Nature Neuroscience* **15**:988–997. doi:10.1038/nn.3137

Hua Y, Ding X, Wang H, Wang F, Lu Y, Neef J, Gao Y, Moser T, Wu H. 2021. Electron Microscopic Reconstruction of Neural Circuitry in the Cochlea. *Cell Reports* **34**. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108551

Huang C-H, Moser T. 2018. Ca2+ Regulates the Kinetics of Synaptic Vesicle Fusion at the Afferent Inner Hair Cell Synapse. *Front Cell Neurosci* **12**:364. doi:10.3389/fncel.2018.00364

Huet A, Batrel C, Tang Y, Desmadryl G, Wang J, Puel J-L, Bourien J. 2016. Sound coding in the auditory nerve of gerbils. *Hear Res*. doi:10.1016/j.heares.2016.05.006

Jean P, Morena DL de la, Michanski S, Tobón LMJ, Chakrabarti R, Picher MM, Neef J, Jung S, Gültas M, Maxeiner S, Neef A, Wichmann C, Strenzke N, Grabner C, Moser T. 2018. The synaptic ribbon is critical for sound encoding at high rates and with temporal precision. *Elife* **7**:e29275. doi:10.7554/eLife.29275

Johnson SL. 2015. Membrane properties specialize mammalian inner hair cells for frequency or intensity encoding. *eLife* **4**:e08177. doi:10.7554/eLife.08177

Johnson SL, Marcotti W, Kros CJ. 2005. Increase in efficiency and reduction in Ca2+ dependence of exocytosis during development of mouse inner hair cells. *J Physiol* **563**:177–191. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2004.074740

Jung S, Oshima-Takago T, Chakrabarti R, Wong AB, Jing Z, Yamanbaeva G, Picher MM, Wojcik SM, Göttfert F, Predoehl F, Michel K, Hell SW, Schoch S, Strenzke N, Wichmann C, Moser T. 2015. Rab3-interacting molecules 2α and 2β promote the abundance of voltage-gated CaV1.3 Ca2+ channels at hair cell active zones. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **112**:E3141–E3149. doi:10.1073/pnas.1417207112

Kantardzhieva AV, Liberman MC, Sewell WF. 2013. Quantitative analysis of ribbons, vesicles, and cisterns at the cat inner hair cell synapse: correlations with spontaneous rate. *J Comp Neurol* **521**:3260–3271. doi:10.1002/cne.23345

Khimich D, Nouvian R, Pujol R, tom Dieck S, Egner A, Gundelfinger ED, Moser T. 2005. Hair cell synaptic ribbons are essential for synchronous auditory signalling. *Nature* **434**:889–894. doi:10.1038/nature03418

Kiang NYS, Watanabe T, Thomas, E.C., Clark, L.F. 1965. Discharge Patterns of Single Fibers in the Cat's Auditory Nerve. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.

Klenchin VA, Martin TFJ. 2000. Priming in exocytosis: Attaining fusion-competence after vesicle docking. *Biochimie* **82**:399–407. doi:10.1016/S0300-9084(00)00208-X

Koschak A, Reimer D, Huber I, Grabner M, Glossmann H, Engel J, Striessnig J. 2001. alpha 1D (Cav1.3) subunits can form l-type Ca2+ channels activating at negative voltages. *J Biol Chem* **276**:22100–22106. doi:10.1074/jbc.M101469200

Krinner S, Butola T, Jung S, Wichmann C, Moser T. 2017. RIM-Binding Protein 2 Promotes a Large Number of CaV1.3 Ca2+-Channels and Contributes to Fast Synaptic Vesicle Replenishment at Hair Cell Active Zones. *Front Cell Neurosci* **11**:334. doi:10.3389/fncel.2017.00334

Krinner S, Predoehl F, Burfeind D, Vogl C, Moser T. 2021. RIM-Binding Proteins Are Required for Normal Sound-Encoding at Afferent Inner Hair Cell Synapses. *Front Mol Neurosci* **14**:651935. doi:10.3389/fnmol.2021.651935

Li C, Li X, Bi Z, Sugino K, Wang G, Zhu T, Liu Z. 2020. Comprehensive transcriptome analysis of cochlear spiral ganglion neurons at multiple ages. *Elife* **9**. doi:10.7554/eLife.50491

Li G-L, Keen E, Andor-Ardo D, Hudspeth AJ, von Gersdorff H. 2009. The Unitary Event Underlying Multiquantal EPSCs at a Hair Cell's Ribbon Synapse. *Journal of Neuroscience* **29**:7558–7568. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0514-09.2009

Liberman LD, Wang H, Liberman MC. 2011. Opposing Gradients of Ribbon Size and AMPA Receptor Expression Underlie Sensitivity Differences among Cochlear-Nerve/Hair-Cell Synapses. *J Neurosci* **31**:801–808. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3389-10.2011

Liberman MC. 1990. Effects of chronic cochlear de-efferentation on auditory-nerve response. *Hear Res* **49**:209–223.

Liberman MC. 1982. Single-Neuron Labeling in the Cat Auditory Nerve. *Science* **216**:1239–1241. doi:10.1126/science.7079757

Liberman MC. 1980. Morphological differences among radial afferent fibers in the cat cochlea: an electron-microscopic study of serial sections. *Hear Res* **3**:45–63. doi:10.1016/0378-5955(80)90007-6

Lin K-H, Taschenberger H, Neher E. 2022. A sequential two-step priming scheme reproduces diversity in synaptic strength and short-term plasticity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **119**:e2207987119. doi:10.1073/pnas.2207987119

Markowitz AL, Kalluri R. 2020. Gradients in the biophysical properties of neonatal auditory neurons align with synaptic contact position and the intensity coding map of inner hair cells. *Elife* **9**:e55378. doi:10.7554/eLife.55378

Mehta B, Snellman J, Chen S, Li W, Zenisek D. 2013. Synaptic Ribbons Influence the Size and Frequency of Miniature-like Evoked Postsynaptic Currents. *Neuron* **77**:516–527. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2012.11.024

Merchan-Perez A, Liberman MC. 1996. Ultrastructural differences among afferent synapses on cochlear hair cells: correlations with spontaneous discharge rate. *J Comp Neurol* **371**:208–221. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19960722)371:2<208::AID-CNE2>3.0.CO;2-6

Meyer AC, Frank T, Khimich D, Hoch G, Riedel D, Chapochnikov NM, Yarin YM, Harke B, Hell SW, Egner A, Moser T. 2009. Tuning of synapse number, structure and function in the cochlea. *Nat Neurosci* **12**:444–453. doi:10.1038/nn.2293

Meyer AC, Moser T. 2010. Structure and function of cochlear afferent innervation. *Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* **18**:441–446. doi:10.1097/MOO.0b013e32833e0586

Michalski NA, Goutman JD, Auclair SM, Monvel JB de, Tertrais M, Emptoz A, Parrin A, Nouaille S, Guillon M, Sachse M, Ciric D, Bahloul A, Hardelin J-P, Sutton RB, Avan P, Krishnakumar SS, Rothman JE, Dulon D, Safieddine S, Petit C. 2017. Otoferlin acts as a Ca2+ sensor for vesicle fusion and vesicle pool replenishment at auditory hair cell ribbon synapses. *eLife* **6**:e31013. doi:10.7554/eLife.31013

Michanski S, Smaluch K, Steyer AM, Chakrabarti R, Setz C, Oestreicher D, Fischer C, Möbius W, Moser T, Vogl C, Wichmann C. 2019. Mapping developmental maturation of inner hair cell ribbon synapses in the apical mouse cochlea. *PNAS* **116**:6415–6424. doi:10.1073/pnas.1812029116

Moser T. 2020. Presynaptic Physiology of Cochlear Inner Hair CellsThe Senses: A Comprehensive Reference. Elsevier. pp. 441–467. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-809324-5.24185-0

Moser T, Beutner D. 2000. Kinetics of exocytosis and endocytosis at the cochlear inner hair cell afferent synapse of the mouse. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **97**:883–888. doi:10.1073/pnas.97.2.883

Moser T, Grabner CP, Schmitz F. 2019. Sensory processing at ribbon synapses in the retina and the cochlea. *Physiol Rev* **100**:103–144. doi:10.1152/physrev.00026.2018

Moser T, Neef A, Khimich D. 2006. Mechanisms underlying the temporal precision of sound coding at the inner hair cell ribbon synapse. *J Physiol* **576**:55–62. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2006.114835

Neef J, Urban NT, Ohn T-L, Frank T, Jean P, Hell SW, Willig KI, Moser T. 2018. Quantitative optical nanophysiology of Ca^{2+} signaling at inner hair cell active zones. *Nat Commun* **9**:290. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02612-y

Neher E, Brose N. 2018. Dynamically Primed Synaptic Vesicle States: Key to Understand Synaptic Short-Term Plasticity. *Neuron* **100**:1283–1291. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2018.11.024

Niwa M, Young ED, Glowatzki E, Ricci AJ. 2021. Functional subgroups of cochlear inner hair cell ribbon synapses differently modulate their EPSC properties in response to stimulation. *Journal of Neurophysiology* **125**:2461–2479. doi:10.1152/jn.00452.2020

Nouvian R, Neef J, Bulankina AV, Reisinger E, Pangršič T, Frank T, Sikorra S, Brose N, Binz T, Moser T. 2011. Exocytosis at the hair cell ribbon synapse apparently operates without neuronal SNARE proteins. *Nat Neurosci* **14**:411–413. doi:10.1038/nn.2774

Nusser Z. 2018. Creating diverse synapses from the same molecules. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **51**:8–15. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2018.01.001

Ohlemiller KK, Echteler SM, Siegel JH. 1991. Factors that influence rate-versus-intensity relations in single cochlear nerve fibers of the gerbil. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **90**:274–287. doi:10.1121/1.401298

Ohn T-L, Rutherford MA, Jing Z, Jung S, Duque-Afonso CJ, Hoch G, Picher MM, Scharinger A, Strenzke N, Moser T. 2016. Hair cells use active zones with different voltage dependence of Ca^{2+} influx to decompose sounds into complementary neural codes. *PNAS* **113**:E4716–E4725. doi:10.1073/pnas.1605737113

Özçete ÖD, Moser T. 2021. A sensory cell diversifies its output by varying Ca^{2+} influx-release coupling among active zones. *EMBO J* **40**:e106010. doi:10.15252/embj.2020106010

Pangrsic T, Gabrielaitis M, Michanski S, Schwaller B, Wolf F, Strenzke N, Moser T. 2015. EF-hand protein Ca2+ buffers regulate Ca2+ influx and exocytosis in sensory hair cells. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **112**:E1028–E1037. doi:10.1073/pnas.1416424112

Pangrsic T, Lasarow L, Reuter K, Takago H, Schwander M, Riedel D, Frank T, Tarantino LM, Bailey JS, Strenzke N, Brose N, Müller U, Reisinger E, Moser T. 2010. Hearing requires otoferlin-dependent efficient replenishment of synaptic vesicles in hair cells. *Nat Neurosci* **13**:869–876. doi:jung 2015

Peterson AJ, Irvine DRF, Heil P. 2014. A model of synaptic vesicle-pool depletion and replenishment can account for the interspike interval distributions and nonrenewal properties of spontaneous spike trains of auditory-nerve fibers. *J Neurosci* **34**:15097–15109. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0903-14.2014

Petitpré C, Bourien J, Wu H, Diuba A, Puel J-L, Lallemend F. 2020. Genetic and functional diversity of primary auditory afferents. *Current Opinion in Physiology*, Physiology of Mammalian Hearing **18**:85–94. doi:10.1016/j.cophys.2020.09.011

Petitpré C, Wu H, Sharma A, Tokarska A, Fontanet P, Wang Y, Helmbacher F, Yackle K, Silberberg G, Hadjab S, Lallemend F. 2018. Neuronal heterogeneity and stereotyped connectivity in the auditory afferent system. *Nat Commun* **9**:3691. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06033-3

Petzoldt AG, Götz TWB, Driller JH, Lützkendorf J, Reddy-Alla S, Matkovic-Rachid T, Liu S, Knoche E, Mertel S, Ugorets V, Lehmann M, Ramesh N, Beuschel CB, Kuropka B, Freund C, Stelzl U, Loll B, Liu F, Wahl MC, Sigrist SJ. 2020. RIM-binding protein couples synaptic vesicle recruitment to release sites. *Journal of Cell Biology* **219**:e201902059. doi:10.1083/jcb.201902059

Picher Maria Magdalena, Gehrt A, Meese S, Ivanovic A, Predoehl F, Jung S, Schrauwen I, Dragonetti AG, Colombo R, Camp GV, Strenzke N, Moser T. 2017. Ca2+-binding protein 2 inhibits Ca2+-channel inactivation in mouse inner hair cells. *PNAS* **114**:E1717–E1726. doi:10.1073/pnas.1617533114

Picher Maria M., Oprişoreanu A-M, Jung S, Michel K, Schoch S, Moser T. 2017. Rab Interacting Molecules 2 and 3 Directly Interact with the Pore-Forming CaV1.3 Ca2+ Channel Subunit and Promote Its Membrane Expression. *Front Cell Neurosci* **11**. doi:10.3389/fncel.2017.00160

Platzer J, Engel J, Schrott-Fischer A, Stephan K, Bova S, Chen H, Zheng H, Striessnig J. 2000. Congenital deafness and sinoatrial node dysfunction in mice lacking class D L-type Ca^{2+} channels. *Cell* **102**:89–97.

Rebola N, Reva M, Kirizs T, Szoboszlay M, Lőrincz A, Moneron G, Nusser Z, DiGregorio DA. 2019. Distinct Nanoscale Calcium Channel and Synaptic Vesicle Topographies Contribute to the Diversity of Synaptic Function. *Neuron* **104**:693-710.e9. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2019.08.014

Reijntjes DOJ, Köppl C, Pyott SJ. 2020. Volume gradients in inner hair cell-auditory nerve fiber pre- and postsynaptic proteins differ across mouse strains. *Hearing Research* **390**:107933. doi:10.1016/j.heares.2020.107933

Reijntjes DOJ, Pyott SJ. 2016. The afferent signaling complex: Regulation of type I spiral ganglion neuron responses in the auditory periphery. *Hear Res* **336**:1–16. doi:10.1016/j.heares.2016.03.011

Relkin EM, Doucet JR. 1991. Recovery from prior stimulation. I: Relationship to spontaneous firing rates of primary auditory neurons. *Hear Res* **55**:215–222.

Rhode WS, Smith PH. 1985. Characteristics of tone-pip response patterns in relationship to spontaneous rate in cat auditory nerve fibers. *Hear Res* **18**:159–168.
Robertson D, Paki B. 2002. Role of L-Type Ca2+ Channels in Transmitter Release From Mammalian Inner Hair Cells. II. Single-Neuron Activity. *Journal of Neurophysiology* **87**:2734–2740.
Romand R. 1984. Functional properties of auditory-nerve fibers during postnatal development in the kitten. *Experimental Brain Research* **56**:395–402.
Rosenmund C, Sigler A, Augustin I, Reim K, Brose N, Rhee JS. 2002. Differential control of vesicle priming and short-term plasticity by Munc13 isoforms. *Neuron* **33**:411–424. doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(02)00568-8
Rothman JS, Silver RA. 2018. NeuroMatic: An Integrated Open-Source Software Toolkit for Acquisition, Analysis and Simulation of Electrophysiological Data. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics* **12**.
Ruel J, Nouvian R, D'Aldin CG, Pujol R, Eybalin M, Puel J-L. 2001. Dopamine inhibition of auditory nerve activity in the adult mammalian cochlea. *European Journal of Neuroscience* **14**:977–986. doi:10.1046/j.0953-816x.2001.01721.x
Russell IJ, Sellick PM. 1978. Intracellular studies of hair cells in the mammalian cochlea. *J Physiol (Lond)* **284**:261–290.
Rutherford MA, Chapochnikov NM, Moser T. 2012. Spike Encoding of Neurotransmitter Release Timing by Spiral Ganglion Neurons of the Cochlea. *J Neurosci* **32**:4773–4789. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4511-11.2012
Sachs MB, Abbas PJ. 1974. Rate versus level functions for auditory-nerve fibers in cats: tone-burst stimuli. *J Acoust Soc Am* **56**:1835–1847. doi:10.1121/1.1903521
Sachs MB, Winslow RL, Sokolowski BH. 1989. A computational model for rate-level functions from cat auditory-nerve fibers. *Hear Res* **41**:61–69. doi:10.1016/0378-5955(89)90179-2
Schmiedt RA. 1989. Spontaneous rates, thresholds and tuning of auditory-nerve fibers in the gerbil: comparisons to cat data. *Hear Res* **42**:23–35.
Schnee ME, Castellano-Muñoz M, Ricci AJ. 2013. Response properties from turtle auditory hair cell afferent fibers suggest spike generation is driven by synchronized release both between and within synapses. *Journal of Neurophysiology* **110**:204–220. doi:10.1152/jn.00121.2013
Schnee ME, Lawton DM, Furness DN, Benke TA, Ricci AJ. 2005. Auditory hair cell-afferent fiber synapses are specialized to operate at their best frequencies. *Neuron* **47**:243–254. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2005.06.004
Schnee ME, Santos-Sacchi J, Castellano-Muñoz M, Kong J-H, Ricci AJ. 2011. Calcium-Dependent Synaptic Vesicle Trafficking Underlies Indefatigable Release at the Hair Cell Afferent Fiber Synapse. *Neuron* **70**:326–338. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.01.031
Schroeder MR, Hall JL. 1974. Model for mechanical to neural transduction in the auditory receptor. *J Acoust Soc Am* **55**:1055–1060.
Scimemi A, Diamond JS. 2012. The number and organization of Ca2+ channels in the active zone shapes neurotransmitter release from Schaffer collateral synapses. *J Neurosci* **32**:18157–18176. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3827-12.2012
Sheng J, He L, Zheng H, Xue L, Luo F, Shin W, Sun T, Kuner T, Yue DT, Wu L-G. 2012. Calcium-channel number critically influences synaptic strength and plasticity at the active zone. *Nat Neurosci* **15**:998–1006. doi:10.1038/nn.3129

Sherrill HE, Jean P, Driver EC, Sanders TR, Fitzgerald TS, Moser T, Kelley MW. 2019. Pou4f1 Defines a Subgroup of Type I Spiral Ganglion Neurons and Is Necessary for Normal Inner Hair Cell Presynaptic Ca2+ Signaling. *J Neurosci* **39**:5284–5298. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2728-18.2019

Shin W, Arpino G, Thiyagarajan S, Su R, Ge L, McDargh Z, Guo X, Wei L, Shupliakov O, Jin A, O'Shaughnessy B, Wu L-G. 2020. Vesicle Shrinking and Enlargement Play Opposing Roles in the Release of Exocytotic Contents. *Cell Rep* **30**:421-431.e7. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2019.12.044

Shin W, Ge L, Arpino G, Villarreal SA, Hamid E, Liu H, Zhao W-D, Wen PJ, Chiang H-C, Wu L-G. 2018. Visualization of Membrane Pore in Live Cells Reveals a Dynamic-Pore Theory Governing Fusion and Endocytosis. *Cell* **173**:934-945.e12. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.02.062

Shrestha BR, Chia C, Wu L, Kujawa SG, Liberman MC, Goodrich LV. 2018. Sensory Neuron Diversity in the Inner Ear Is Shaped by Activity. *Cell* **174**:1229-1246.e17. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.07.007

Siebald C, Vincent PFY, Bottom RT, Sun S, Reijntjes DOJ, Manca M, Glowatzki E, Müller U. 2023. Molecular signatures define subtypes of auditory afferents with distinct peripheral projection patterns and physiological properties. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **120**:e2217033120. doi:10.1073/pnas.2217033120

Smith KE, Browne L, Selwood DL, McAlpine D, Jagger DJ. 2015. Phosphoinositide Modulation of Heteromeric Kv1 Channels Adjusts Output of Spiral Ganglion Neurons from Hearing Mice. *J Neurosci* **35**:11221–11232. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0496-15.2015

Spassova MA, Avissar M, Furman AC, Crumling MA, Saunders JC, Parsons TD. 2004. Evidence that rapid vesicle replenishment of the synaptic ribbon mediates recovery from short-term adaptation at the hair cell afferent synapse. *J Assoc Res Otolaryngol* **5**:376–390.

Sun S, Babola T, Pregernig G, So KS, Nguyen M, Su S-SM, Palermo AT, Bergles DE, Burns JC, Müller U. 2018. Hair Cell Mechanotransduction Regulates Spontaneous Activity and Spiral Ganglion Subtype Specification in the Auditory System. *Cell* **174**:1247-1263.e15. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.07.008

Taberner AM, Liberman MC. 2005. Response Properties of Single Auditory Nerve Fibers in the Mouse. *J Neurophysiol* **93**:557–569. doi:10.1152/jn.00574.2004

Tsuji J, Liberman MC. 1997. Intracellular labeling of auditory nerve fibers in guinea pig: central and peripheral projections. *J Comp Neurol* **381**:188–202.

Vogl C, Cooper BH, Neef J, Wojcik SM, Reim K, Reisinger E, Brose N, Rhee J-S, Moser T, Wichmann C. 2015. Unconventional molecular regulation of synaptic vesicle replenishment in cochlear inner hair cells. *J Cell Sci* **128**:638–644. doi:10.1242/jcs.162099

Walsh EJ, McGee J. 1987. Postnatal development of auditory nerve and cochlear nucleus neuronal responses in kittens. *Hear Res* **28**:97–116. doi:16/0378-5955(87)90157-2

Wangemann P, Schacht J. 1996. Homeostatic Mechanisms in the Cochlea In: Dallos P, Popper AN, Fay RR, editors. The Cochlea, Springer Handbook of Auditory Research. Springer New York. pp. 130–185. doi:10.1007/978-1-4612-0757-3_3

Wichmann C, Kuner T. 2022. Heterogeneity of glutamatergic synapses: cellular mechanisms and network consequences. *Physiological Reviews* **102**:269–318. doi:10.1152/physrev.00039.2020

Winter IM, Robertson D, Yates GK. 1990. Diversity of characteristic frequency rate-intensity functions in guinea pig auditory nerve fibres. *Hear Res* **45**:191–202. doi:10.1016/0378-5955(90)90120-E

Wong AB, Jing Z, Rutherford MA, Frank T, Strenzke N, Moser T. 2013. Concurrent Maturation of Inner Hair Cell Synaptic Ca2+ Influx and Auditory Nerve Spontaneous Activity around Hearing Onset in Mice. *J Neurosci* **33**:10661–10666. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1215-13.2013

Wong AB, Rutherford MA, Gabrielaitis M, Pangršič T, Göttfert F, Frank T, Michanski S, Hell S, Wolf F, Wichmann C, Moser T. 2014. Developmental refinement of hair cell synapses tightens the coupling of Ca2+ influx to exocytosis. *EMBO J* **33**:247–264. doi:10.1002/embj.201387110

Wu JS, Yi E, Manca M, Javaid H, Lauer AM, Glowatzki E. 2020. Sound exposure dynamically induces dopamine synthesis in cholinergic LOC efferents for feedback to auditory nerve fibers. *eLife* **9**:e52419. doi:10.7554/eLife.52419

Wu JS, Young ED, Glowatzki E. 2016. Maturation of Spontaneous Firing Properties after Hearing Onset in Rat Auditory Nerve Fibers: Spontaneous Rates, Refractoriness, and Interfiber Correlations. *J Neurosci* **36**:10584–10597. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1187-16.2016

Xu W, Lipscombe D. 2001. Neuronal CaV1.3α1 L-Type Channels Activate at Relatively Hyperpolarized Membrane Potentials and Are Incompletely Inhibited by Dihydropyridines. *J Neurosci* **21**:5944–5951.

Yang Y-M, Fedchyshyn MJ, Grande G, Aitoubah J, Tsang CW, Xie H, Ackerley CA, Trimble WS, Wang L-Y. 2010. Septins regulate developmental switching from microdomain to nanodomain coupling of Ca2+ influx to neurotransmitter release at a central synapse. *Neuron* **67**:100–115. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.06.003

Yates GK, Winter IM, Robertson D. 1990. Basilar membrane nonlinearity determines auditory nerve rate-intensity functions and cochlear dynamic range. *Hearing research* **45**:203–219.

Yin Y, Liberman LD, Maison SF, Liberman MC. 2014. Olivocochlear innervation maintains the normal modiolar-pillar and habenular-cuticular gradients in cochlear synaptic morphology. *J Assoc Res Otolaryngol* **15**:571–583. doi:10.1007/s10162-014-0462-z

Young ED, Wu 武靜靜 JS, Niwa M, Glowatzki E. 2021. Resolution of subcomponents of synaptic release from postsynaptic currents in rat hair-cell/auditory-nerve fiber synapses. *J Neurophysiol* **125**:2444–2460. doi:10.1152/jn.00450.2020

Supplementary Table 1. Spontaneous activity (*SR*) was calculated from time windows without stimulation with the IHC held at -58 mV (Total time for SR calculation). This total time was calculated from the cumulative recording time of either from 5 – 10 s recordings and/or from the segments before and after a depolarizing pulse.

Cellname	Side	SR	Total time for SR calculation	Cumulative time of 5-10 s recordings (s)	Cumulative time before/after a depolarizing pulse (s)
I140817_1	Modiolar	1.07	36		36
I140817_2	Modiolar	0.38	28.8		28.8
I140817_3	Modiolar	0.05	43.2		43.2
I300817_1	Modiolar	0.00	31.2		31.2
I240518_1	Pillar	0.22	91.2		91.2
I180618_1	Pillar	1.00	126.8		126.8
I031220_1	Pillar	0.00	10	10	
I041220_1	Pillar	0.00	10	10	
I280817_1	Modiolar	0.00	3.69		3.69
I200418_1	Pillar	3.92	3.06		3.06
I200618_1	Pillar	0.20	20.8		20.8
I260618_1	Pillar	2.05	20.8		20.8
I090718_2	Pillar	4.28	56.8		56.8
I170718_1	Pillar	0.38	23.2		23.2
I240718_4	Pillar	17.93	7.2		7.2
I250718_1	Pillar	3.18	38.4		38.4
I270718_1	Pillar	9.00	20		20
I090119_1	Modiolar	0.00	20	20	
I150618_1	Pillar	1.49	12		12
I290321_1	Modiolar	0.03	38	10	28
I300321_1	Modiolar	0.36	85.2	50	35.2
I120421_1	Pillar	0.21	35.6	10	25.6
I130421_1	Pillar	0.00	35.4	25	10.4
I301118_1	Modiolar	0.15	40	40	
I170119_1	Pillar	0.80	10	10	
I090419_1	Modiolar	0.00	10	10	
I120419_1	Modiolar	0.70	10	10	
I230920_2	Pillar	0.00	15	15	
I040221_1	Pillar	0.20	10	10	



Supplementary Figure 1. The kinetics of sEPSCs are comparable between low and high *SR* synapses

A. Kinetics of sEPSCs, such as amplitude, 10-90% rise time, time constant of decay (τ_{decay}) and full-width half-maximum (FWHM), were calculated with Neuromatic (Rothman and Silver, 2018). **Bi-Bii.** Cumulative fraction of amplitude and charge of sEPSCs from low and high *SR* synapses. **C-E.** Pillar synapses had faster 10-90% rise times (C) than modiolar synapses (p = 0.0426, unpaired t-test), while their τ_{decay} (D) and FWHM (E) were comparable. **F-H.** Low and high *SR* synapses had similar 10-90% rise times (F), τ_{decay} (G) and FWHM (H).

Scatter plots represent the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles with the individual data points overlaid. Synapses were classified as △ pillar or ❍ modiolar, and as Low *SR* < 1 sEPSC/s or High *SR* ≥ 1 sEPSC/s.



Supplementary Figure 2. The voltage dependence of synaptic release does not differ between modiolar and pillar synapses

A. The reversal potential of Ca^{2+} influx was comparable between low and high *SR* synapses. **B.** Triggered single active zone eEPSCs (release intensity curves) of 29 pairs of low and high *SR*. Averages (thick lines) and individual curves (thin lines) are overlaid. The release-intensity curve of two pairs could not be fitted with the sigmoidal function (grey dotted lines). **C.** High *SR* synapses had more hyperpolarized voltages of 90% maximum release ($Q_{90\ EPSC}$, p = 0.0396, unpaired t test). **D.** $Q_{90\ EPSC}$ did not differ between modiolar and pillar synapses. **Ei-Eii.** The peak of Ca^{2+} current (Ei) and the voltage eliciting maximum Ca^{2+} current (Eii) were comparable between modiolar and pillar synapses. **Fi-Hi.** The threshold of Ca^{2+} influx (Ei), V_{half} I_{Ca} (Fi) and voltage sensitivity of I_{Ca} (Gi) did not differ between modiolar and pillar synapses. **Fii-Hii.** $Q_{10\ EPSC}$ (Fii), V_{half} Q_{EPSC} (Gii) and voltage sensitivity of the release were comparable between modiolar and pillar synapses. **I.** The

reversal potential of Ca^{2+} influx was comparable between modiolar and pillar synapses. **J.** Dynamic range of release was comparable between modiolar and pillar synapse.
Scatter plots represent the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles with the individual data points overlaid. Synapses were classified as △ pillar or ❍ modiolar, and as Low *SR* < 1 sEPSC/s or High *SR* $\geq$ 1 sEPSC/s.



Supplementary Figure 3. Voltage dependence of IHC Ca^{2+} influx and synaptic release

A-C. Presynaptic peak (A), initial (B) and final (C) Ca^{2+} current (I_{Ca}) during the masker stimuli were comparable regardless the *SR* of postsynaptic bouton. **D-E.** High *SR* synapses had significantly larger amplitudes of evoked EPSCs in response to the masker stimulus (D; $p = 0.0147$, unpaired t-test), while the EPSC charge (Q_{EPSC}) did not differ (E). **F.** The spontaneous release after the probe offset recovered slower in high *SR* synapses. **G.** Exemplary average Q_{EPSC} response of a high *SR* (orange) and a low *SR* (gray) synapse to the masker stimuli. We fitted an exponential plus line function to the first 50 ms of the response (discontinuous lines) to study SV pool depletion dynamics. From these fits, we can retrieve information about RRP size (amplitude of the exponential component, *A1*), RRP depletion time constant (τ of the exponential component) and sustained release (linear slope of the line component). **H-I.** Amplitude (H) and linear slope (I) obtained from the fits of the exponential plus line function to the Q_{EPSC} from individual pairs (Fig. 4E).

Scatter plots represent the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles with the individual data points overlaid. Synapses were classified as △ pillar or ❍ modiolar, and as Low *SR* < 1 sEPSC/s or High *SR* ≥ 1 sEPSC/s.