1 Structural foundation for the role of enterococcal PrgB in conjugation, biofilm formation and

2 virulence

Wei-Sheng Sun[1,2], Lena Lassinantti[1], Michael Järvå[1], Andreas Schmitt[1], Josy ter Beek[1,2] and

Ronnie P-A Berntsson[1,2]

[1] Department of Medical Biochemistry and Biophysics, Umeå University, SE-90187 Umeå,

Sweden

[2] Wallenberg Centre for Molecular Medicine, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

[#] Correspondence should be addressed to R.P-A.B. (email: ronnie.berntsson@umu.se)

Abstract

Type 4 Secretion Systems are a main driver for the spread of antibiotic resistance genes and virulence factors in bacteria. In Gram-positives, these secretion systems often rely on surface adhesins to enhance cellular aggregation and mating pair formation. One of the best studied adhesins is PrgB from the conjugative plasmid pCF10 of *Enterococcus faecalis,* which has been shown to play major roles in conjugation, biofilm formation and importantly also in bacterial virulence. Since *prgB* orthologs exist on a large number of conjugative plasmids in various different species, this makes PrgB a model protein for this widespread virulence factor. Here we report structures for almost the entire PrgB, in the presence or absence of DNA, using a combination of X-ray crystallography and cryo-EM. These reveal that PrgB undergoes a large conformational change upon DNA-binding and that it contains four immunoglobulin-like domains. We re-evaluate previously studied variants and present new *in vivo* data where specific domains or conserved residues have been mutated. For the first time we can show a decoupling of cellular aggregation from biofilm formation and conjugation in *prgB* mutant phenotypes. Based on the presented data, we propose a new functional model to explain how PrgB mediates its different functions. We hypothesize that the Ig-like domains act as a rigid stalk that both protect the previously studied polymer adhesin domain from proteolysis, as well as presenting it at the right distance from the cell wall.

Introduction

Enterococcus faecalis (*E. faecalis*) is one of the leading causes of hospital acquired infections, such as urinary tract infections and endocarditis[1,2]. These infections are difficult to treat as *E. faecalis* has the tendency to form biofilms and is often resistant to various antibiotics. They are also notorious for spreading antibiotic resistance and other fitness advantages by transfer of mobile genetic elements (MGEs), which can be located on conjugative plasmids or in the chromosome[3,4]. Conjugative plasmids usually also encode a Type 4 Secretion System (T4SS) that mediates its transfer, via conjugation, from a donor cell to a recipient cell[5–8]. However, conjugative plasmids and their T4SS have almost exclusively been studied in Gram-negative model systems[8].

One of the few well-characterized Gram-positive conjugative plasmids is pCF10 from *E. faecalis*[6,9,10]. This conjugative plasmid contains a ~27 kbp operon that is tightly regulated by the P_Q promoter[11–14] and that encodes all proteins needed for conjugation. This operon also encodes three cell-wall anchored proteins: PrgA, PrgB, and PrgC. PrgA is a conjugation regulator that provides surface exclusion to prevent unwanted conjugation. We have previously shown that PrgA consists of a protease domain that is presented far away from the cell wall via a long stalk and that it's likely mediating the proteolytic cleavage of PrgB[15,16]. PrgC is a virulence factor, but its function and structure remain unknown[17]. PrgB is the main adhesin produced by pCF10 and has been studied for well over 3 decades. This protein is around a 140 kDa in size and possesses an N-terminal signal sequence and a C-terminal LPXTG cell wall anchor motif[18]. PrgB distributes over the entire surface of the cell-wall and increases cellular aggregation, biofilm formation and the efficiency of plasmid transfer[19,20]. Several mammalian infection model systems have shown that PrgB is a strong virulence factor[18,21–26]. One reason for this virulence is that PrgB mediates biofilm formation in an extracellular DNA (eDNA)

dependent manner[17]. Homologs of PrgB have been identified in many other conjugative

plasmids[16], suggesting that PrgB-like proteins confer important roles in a large number of

bacterial species[27–29].

PrgB was initially identified as one of the driving forces in cellular aggregation[19]. To

understand how it mediated this process, previous research has tried to identify the various

protein domains that are present in PrgB and evaluate their function(s). Two RGD (Arg-Gly-

Asp) motifs were identified (see figure 1A), and found to be important for vegetation and

biofilm formation in the host tissue environment[18,23]. The N-terminal half of PrgB was found

to be required for aggregation and to bind lipoteichoic acid (LTA)[29–31], which is a major

constituent of the cell-wall in Gram-positive bacteria. In 2018, we solved the structure of

$PrgB_{246-558}$ and showed that it has a lectin-like fold that was most similar to adhesins from

various oral Streptococci. As these adhesins are known to bind various polymers, we

subsequently referred to $PrgB_{246-558}$ as the polymer adhesin domain[16]. We have shown that this

domain can bind both LTA and eDNA in a competitive manner. Bound eDNA is thereby

strongly compacted as it is wrapped around the domains positively charged surface[32]. We

therefore proposed that PrgB could use eDNA to promote cell-to-cell contacts, as an alternative

to direct binding to the LTA from a recipient cell (Fig. 1B). As all described polymer adhesin

domains, PrgB has a central ridge with a conserved cation binding site[16,33]. In the homologous

GbpC, from *Streptococcus mutans*, this site has been suggested to bind glucans[34]. However, no

interaction with glucans has been observed for PrgB or any other homologs[35]. Thus, the

importance of this conserved motif remains an open question.

The polymer adhesin domain plays an important role in the function of PrgB, but it only

accounts for around a quarter of the entire protein. No structural data has been available for the

remainder of PrgB. Here we present the structure of almost full-length PrgB, based on both X-

ray crystallography and cryo-EM. This allows us to put the large amount of available

phenotypic data into a structural context and explain a lot of previous observations. We also

constructed several new mutants of *prgB* that better fitted the found domain organization and

analyzed their *in vivo* effects on cellular aggregation, biofilm formation and conjugation

efficiency. Based on our findings, we conclude with an updated model of how PrgB mediates

its different functions.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

See Table S1 for a full list of all strains, plasmids and oligonucleotides used. *Escherichia coli*

Top10 was used in molecular cloning and grown in Lysogeny broth (LB). *E. coli* BL21 (DE3)

was used for recombinant protein expression and grown in Terrific Broth (TB). The *E. faecalis*

strains were cultured in Brain-Heart infusion broth (BHI) or Tryptic Soy broth without dextrose

(TSB-D) as indicated in each assay. Concentrations of antibiotics for *E. coli* selection were as

follows: ampicillin (100 µg/ml), kanamycin (50 µg/ml), spectinomycin (50 µg/ml), and

erythromycin (150 µg/ml). In *E. faecalis* cultures, antibiotics were used as the following

concentrations: tetracycline (10 µg/ml), fusidic acid (25 µg/ml), erythromycin (20 µg/ml for

chromosome-encoded resistance; 100 µg/ml for plasmid-encoded resistance), spectinomycin

(250 µg/ml for chromosome-encoded resistance; 1000 µg/ml for plasmid-encoded resistance),

streptomycin (1000 µg/ml). Plasmids were transformed to *E. coli* by heat-shock transformation,

whereas *E. faecalis* strains were transformed by electroporation[36].

Cloning and mutagenesis

To insert a multiple cloning site in pMSP3545S, DNA oligos of MCS_fwd and MCS_rev were

resuspended in miliQ to 100 µM, mixed 1:1, denatured at 95°C for 15 min and slowly cooled

to room temperature. The annealed MCS oligo was ligated into the gel-purified backbone

fragment from pMSP3545S-*prgK* vector[37] digested with NcoI and XbaI (removing the *prgK*

insert). This was done in a 30 µL ligation mixture with 90 ng of the digested vector and a 9

times molar access of insert. 5 µL of this ligation mixture was transformed into Top10

competent cells and plated on LB agar plates with spectinomycin (50 µg/ml), and erythromycin

(150 µg/ml). The constructed plasmid was analyzed by restriction digestion and sequenced to

confirm the correct insertion of the multiple cloning site and is further called pMSP3545S-

MCS.

pMSP3545S-*prgB* was constructed by PCR of *prgB* from pCF10 with the NcoI-prgB-F and

BamHI-stop-prgB-R primer pair and placement into the pMSP3545S-MCS vector via

NcoI/BamHI restriction, gel-purification of the correct DNA fragments and subsequent

ligation. The constructed pMSP3545S-*prgB* was subsequently used as a template for

mutagenesis creating pMSP3545S-*prgB* deletion or point mutation variants. This was carried

out with inverse PCR (iPCR) using partially overlapping primer pairs. The iPCR products were

gel-purified with the DNA clean-up kit and digested with DpnI to remove residual template

plasmid DNA. The processed iPCR products were then transformed to Top10 competent cells.

For overexpression, $prgB_{188-1233}$ was cloned into the p7XC3GH vector using the FX cloning

system[38]. Mutations were introduced to p7XC3GH- $prgB_{188-1233}$ with the same iPCR approach

to obtain the derivative plasmid with $prgB_{188-1233:\ S442A,\ N444A}$. All constructed plasmids were

screened by PCR and verified by sequencing.

Protein purification and crystallization

PrgB was produced as previously described[32]. Briefly, PrgB$_{188-1233}$ was expressed with an N-

terminal hexa-histidine tag from pET-*prgB*$_{188-1233}$ or a C-terminal deca-histidine and GFP tag

from p7XC3GH-*prgB* in *E. coli* BL21(DE3). The cells were grown at 37 °C in TB medium

until they reached an OD$_{600}$ of 1.5. Then the temperature was lowered to 18 °C and protein

production was induced by adding 0.5 mM IPTG. Cells were grown for 16 hours before

harvesting by centrifugation. Cells were resuspended in 20 mM HEPES/NaOH (pH 7.0), 300

mM NaCl, 30 mM Imidazole and 0.02 mg/ml DNase I and broken with a Constant cell disruptor

at 4 °C and 25 kPsi (Constant Systems). The cell lysate was clarified by centrifugation for 30

minutes at 30,000 x g, 4°C and incubated at 4 °C with Ni-NTA (Macherey-Nagel). The Ni-

NTA column was washed with 10 column volumes of 20 mM HEPES/NaOH (pH 7.0), 300

mM NaCl, 30-50 mM imidazole and bound proteins were eluted from the column with the

same buffer supplemented with 500 mM Imidazole. The histidine affinity tags and the GFP

when present, were cleaved off from the purified protein fractions by incubation with TEV

protease (for pET-*prgB*) or Prescission protease (for p7XC3GH-*prgB*) in a 1:100 ratio for 20

h at 4 °C. The cleaved proteins were loaded on a Superdex-200 Increase 10/300 GL column

(Cytiva) equilibrated in 20 mM HEPES/NaOH (pH 7.0) and 150 mM NaCl. The elution profile

showed two peaks corresponding to a PrgB dimer and monomer[32]. These two peak fractions

were pooled separately and concentrated on an Amicon Ultra Centrifugal Filter with a 30 kDa

cut-off (Merck-Millipore). 10% glycerol was added to the concentrated protein fractions, which

were subsequently flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C.

Structure determination via X-ray crystallography

Purified PrgB$_{188-1233}$ from the monomeric fraction, with a protein concentration of 15 mg/mL,

were thawed and used in crystallization trials. Crystals of PrgB$_{188-1233}$ were grown in 8-12

weeks, at 20 °C by sitting drop vapor diffusion in a condition with 0.2 M CaCl$_2$ and 20% PEG

3350 and a protein to reservoir ratio of 1:1 in the drop. Crystals were flash cooled in liquid

nitrogen. X-ray diffraction data of $PrgB_{188-1233}$ was collected on ID23-1 at the ESRF, France.

The data was processed using XDS[39]. The $PrgB_{188-1234}$ crystals belong to the monoclinic space

group $P2_12_12_1$ and contain two molecules in the asymmetric unit. The crystallographic phase

problem was solved using molecular replacement using PHASER, using the SspB homology

model of the Ig-domains as search models (PDB: 2WOY)[40]. Further building of the model was

conducted in COOT[41]. The structure was refined to 1.85 Å with crystallographic R_{work} and R_{free}

values of 20.9/24.6 using Refmac5 and PHENIX refine[42,43]. The final $PrgB_{188-1233}$ model

consists of residues 584-1234, and was validated using MolProbity[44]. Atomic coordinates and

structure factors have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB Code: 8BEG).

Sample preparation for electron microscopy

$PrgB_{188-1233}$ dimer fractions were thawed on ice and loaded on a Superdex 200 10/300 GL gel

filtration column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in 20 mM HEPES/NaOH pH 7.0 and 150 mM

NaCl. Protein peak fractions, corresponding to the dimer, were diluted to 0.1-0.3 mg/mL and

for the DNA-bound structures 120 bp ssDNA (Table S1) was added in 1: 1.2 molar ratio

(protein:DNA) and samples were incubated for 15 minutes on ice. For both apo and DNA-

bound samples, 4 μl of sample was applied to glow discharged Quantifoil 300 mesh 1.2/1.3

(Quantifoil) grids at 4 °C and 90-100% humidity, blotted for 1 s with blot force -5, and plunge-

frozen in liquid ethane using a Vitrobot Mark IV (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Cryo-EM data collection

Cryo-EM data were collected on an FEI Titan Krios transmission electron microscope (Thermo

Fisher Scientific), operated at 300 keV that was equipped with a K2 direct electron detector.

Data was collected by the AFIS method using the EPU software V2.8.0 (Thermo scientific) at

a nominal magnification of 165,000x (0.82 Å pixel size). Data collection parameters are listed

in Table S2. A total number of 2787 movie stacks were collected for apo PrgB and 1670 for

DNA-bound PrgB.

Cryo-EM data processing

Cryo-EM data of apo PrgB and DNA-bound PrgB were processed in the same way, but

separately using cryoSPARC (v3.2.0-3.3.1)[45]. Beam-induced motion was corrected using

standard settings, where start frame 1 was excluded, followed by per-micrograph contrast

transfer function (CTF) estimation. For apo PrgB a subset of 170,826 particles from 500

micrographs were extracted with a box size of 384 Å. They were then picked using the blob

picking tool with a 100-300 Å particle diameter. Picked particles were subjected to consecutive

rounds of 2D classifications. Template particles were selected from representative 2D classes,

and the subsequent full dataset was picked using the template picker tool and the input of the

subset processing. PrgB with DNA was directly picked using blob picker with a 100-300 Å

particle diameter and standard settings and extracted with 384 pix. PrgB without and with DNA

were then separately subjected to 2D classifications resulting in a final number of 283,630 and

163,566 particles respectively. Particles from selected classes were combined and used in ab-

initio reconstruction. The initial volume was then subjected to homogenous 3D refinement and

the resolution was calculated using the gold standard Fourier shell correlation (FSC threshold,

0.143) and found to be 8 Å and 11 Å for the apo and DNA-bound structure, respectively. The

volumes of apo and DNA-bound PrgB have been deposited in the Electron Microscopy Data

Bank (EMDB Codes: EMD-16001 and EMD-16002).

The adhesion domain (PDB Code: 6EVU)[32] and stalk domain (PDB Code: 8BEG) were

initially docked into the EM volume using Chimera[46] and subsequently run through

Namdinator[47] using 10 Å resolution and standard settings. The output was then fitted in the EM

volume in Chimera (v 1.15rc[46]), where figures also were generated.

Western blotting

Cell pellets of each bacterial strain were harvested after induction and incubation overnight,

and treated with lysozyme buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 25% sucrose, 15 mg/ml

lysozyme) for 30 min at 37°C. The lysozyme-treated bacterial lysates were then mixed with

protein loading dye and boiled at 100°C for 12 min. The prepared samples were run on 8%

SDS-PAGE, transferred to Western blot and probed with the PrgB antibody produced in rabbit.

Aggregation assay

E. faecalis strains were inoculated in BHI medium with the indicated antibiotics and cultured

O/N at 37°C. Overnight cultures were diluted in a 1:100 ratio in BHI with the indicated

antibiotics, 10 ng/mL cCF10, and 50 ng/ mL nisin and dispensed into polystyrene cuvettes

(Sarstedt) in 0.9 mL triplicates. These were incubated for 24 h at 37°C without agitation.

Afterwards, the optical density of each sample was determined at 600 nm both before (OD_{sup})

and after (OD_{mix}) vigorously mixing of the bacterial culture by pipetting. The autoaggregation

percentage was then calculated as follows: $100 \times [1 - (OD_{sup}/OD_{mix})]$[17,29].

Biofilm assay

E. faecalis strains were inoculated in BHI with the indicated antibiotics and kept 16 h at 37°C.

The next morning, they were diluted in a 1:100 ratio in BHI with the indicated antibiotics, 10

ng/mL cCF10, and 50 ng/mL nisin. 200 µl fractions were dispensed into a 96-well micro-titer

plate (Costar) with 8 replicates per strain. 200 µL BHI fractions were used as blanks. The 96-

well plate was then incubated aerobically at 37°C without agitation in a humidified chamber

for 24 h. The suspension was transferred to another 96-well plate to determine the optical

density at 600 nm (OD_{600}). The plate containing the biofilm was washed with distilled water

three times and then left to air dry at room temp for 2.5 h. The biofilm was stained with 100 µl

0.1% (w/v) safranin (Sigma) at room temp for 20 min, then washed three times with distilled

water and left to air dry at room temperature. Afterwards the absorbance was determined using

a plate reader (BMG Labtech) at 450 nm. Biofilm production was calculated as an index of

safranin staining of the cell biomass divided by absorbance of its optical density

(OD_{450}/OD_{600})[48].

Conjugation assay

Donor (OG1RF) and recipient (OG1ES) strains were inoculated in BHI with the indicated

antibiotics and incubated overnight at 37 °C with agitation. Overnight cultured strains were

refreshed in BHI without antibiotics in a 1:10 ratio, and donor strains were induced with 50

ng/mL nisin (Sigma). All strains were then incubated at 37 °C for 1h without agitation.

Afterwards each of the donor strains was mixed with the recipient cells in ratio of 1:10 and

mated at 37 °C statically for 3.5 h. These mixtures were then serially diluted with BHI and

plated out in triplicates on BHI agar plates supplemented with tetracycline and spectinomycin

(to select for donor cells), or with tetracycline, erythromycin, and streptomycin (to select for

transconjugants). Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 48 h, counted and enumerated for colony

forming units (CFU). The plasmid transfer rate was determined as CFU of transconjugant over

CFU of donor (Tc's/Donors)[32].

Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA)

EMSA was carried out in the same way as previously described[32]. 0.1 to 20 µM PrgB (wild

type and variants) were mixed with 50 nM 100 bp long double stranded DNA[32]. Samples were

incubated for 1 hour at 20 °C before loading them onto a 6% TBE-based native acrylamide gel

for electrophoresis for 90 min at 50 V and 6 °C. Gels were subsequently stained with 3× GelRed

(Biotium) in distilled water for 30 minutes and imaged with a Chemidoc system (BioRad).

Quantification of the DNA bands after imaging was done in ImageLab (BioRad).

Statistical analysis

All shown *in vivo* data is from three independent experiments and was plotted and analyzed

using GraphPad Prism (version 5.0) (GraphPad Software). The indicated error is the standard

deviation over 3 biologically independent replicates.

Results

PrgB$_{584-1233}$ contains 4 immunoglobulin-like domains

Previous bioinformatics and structural analysis of PrgB proposed that PrgB consisted of 3

domains; the previously crystallized polymer adhesin domain responsible for eDNA and LTA

binding, and two domains with RGD (Arg-Gly-Asp) motifs[32]. However, when we reanalyzed

the PrgB sequence with the new structure-prediction tools that are available, such as

AlphaFold[49], it became clear that this model was partially incorrect. PrgB seems to consist of

an N-terminal disordered region (residues 35-197), followed by a newly identified coiled-coil

(COI) domain (residues 198-257), the previously crystallized polymer adhesin domain

(residues 261-558), a linker region (residues 559-582), 4 immunoglobulin (Ig)-like domains

(residues 583-1232) and finally the C-terminal disordered region containing the LPXTG motif

(residues 1263-1305) that gets anchored to the cell wall (Fig. 1A). The Ig-like domains seem

to come in pairs of two slightly different structures, denoted as CSA1-CSC1 (first pair) and

CSA2-CSC2 (second pair), as named in InterPro (CSA from IPR026345; adhesin isopeptide-

forming adherence domain, and CSC from IPR032300; cell-surface antigen C-terminal). To

verify this updated domain organization of PrgB, we set out to experimentally determine its

structure using a combination of X-ray crystallography and cryo-EM methods.

As described in Schmitt *et al*[32], we were not able to produce full-length PrgB in *E. coli*, but

instead produced and purified $PrgB_{188-1233}$. This version of the protein only lacks the disordered

N-terminal region and the LPXTG anchor and elutes from size exclusion chromatography in

two peaks corresponding to dimeric and a monomeric PrgB[7]. The monomeric fraction was

successfully used for crystallization trials. Crystals belonging to space group $P2_12_12_1$ appeared

after 8-12 weeks, diffracted to 1.85 Å and contained 2 molecules in the asymmetric unit. The

crystallographic phase problem was solved using molecular replacement with SspB (PDB:

2WOY) as a search model. Surprisingly, the resulting electron density lacked the previously

crystallized polymer adhesin domain of PrgB, and instead only contained residues 584-1233.

Likely, the polymer adhesin domain had been cleaved off in the crystallization drop before the

crystals were formed. The modelled protein indeed consists of four Immunoglobulin (Ig)-like

domains: two CSA and two CSC domains (Fig. 2A). Previous bioinformatics analysis showed

that various homologous adhesin proteins contain different numbers of Ig-like domains[16], but

a DALI[50] analysis of $PrgB_{584-1233}$ showed that there is no previously solved structure in the

PDB that contains four of these Ig-domains coupled together. There are, however, homologous

structures available with either 2 or 3 Ig-domains. The closest structural homologs are the C-

terminal parts of Antigen I/II proteins from oral Streptococci, e.g. the surface protein AspA

from *Streptococcus pyogenes*[51] (PDB code: 4OFQ), which has 3 Ig-domains and an r.m.s.d. to

the Ig-like domains from PrgB of 3.2 Å over 337 residues or the BspA protein from

Streptococcus agalactiae [52](PDB code: 4ZLP) which has 2 Ig-domains and an r.m.s.d. of 3.3

Å over 334 residues (see Table S3 for an overview of the highest ranked DALI hits). The

r.m.s.d. decreases to ca. 1 Å if the individual Ig-like domains are superimposed upon each

other.

In each of the Ig-like domains of PrgB, isopeptide bonds are formed between a lysine and an

asparagine, a bond which is further stabilized by an aspartic acid (Fig. 2B). This feature is also

present in various homologous Ig-like domains from Antigen I/II proteins[40,51,53]. There is

density in the conserved metal binding site of the CSA2 domain that has been suggested to

bind Ca^{2+} in the Antigen I/II proteins. Refinement of our structure indicated that Mg^{2+} was the

best fit to the density (Fig. 2C). The homologous C2 domains from AspA[51], Pas[54], SpaP[53] and

SspB[40], each have an extra structural feature termed the BAR (Ssp_B adherence region) domain,

which mediates adherence in these proteins. This BAR domain is absent in PrgB (Fig. S1).

DNA binding leads to large displacement of the polymer adhesin domain of PrgB

As we didn't manage to crystallize $PrgB_{188-1233}$ without the loss of the polymer adhesin domain,

we determined its structure via cryo-EM and single particle analysis. To determine the

conformational changes of PrgB upon DNA binding, we collected two datasets: one without

and one with ssDNA (120 bp). This yielded volumes with an average resolution of 8 and 11 Å,

respectively. Even though dimeric fractions of PrgB were used for the grid preparations, the

resulting maps of PrgB are monomeric, indicating that the dimer fell apart. Despite the

relatively low resolution of the EM volume, mainly due to a preferred orientation of the protein

on the cryo-EM grids, we could dock in the X-ray structures: the previously solved polymer

adhesin domain (PDB code: 6EVU)[32] and the newly solved structure of $PrgB_{584-1233}$. The

resulting model of apo-PrgB shows that the polymer adhesin domain is folded back on top of

the Ig-like domains (Fig. 3A). In the DNA-bound PrgB model the polymer adhesin domain has

undergone a large displacement away from the Ig-like domains. There is also a larger volume

for this domain, indicating that DNA has indeed been bound (Fig. 3B).

In vivo assays

Based on the new structural insights for PrgB, we decided to study the importance of the newly

defined coiled-coil domain and the Ig-like domains. This was done by complementing *E.*

faecalis OG1RF pCF10ΔprgB with different *prgB* mutants (from a nisin-inducible plasmid)

and characterizing their phenotypes in cellular aggregation, biofilm formation, and plasmid

transfer efficiency. In line with previous experiments[17], complementing pCF10ΔprgB with

exogenous PrgB from the pMSP3545S vector rescues all phenotypes. Aggregation and biofilm

formation are even slightly increased as compared to wild-type pCF10 (Fig. 4A-C, column 1

and 3), possibly due to a slightly increased production of PrgB (Fig. S2, lane 1 and 3).

We found that PrgB without the newly identified coiled-coil domain could not rescue the

aggregation phenotype of the deletion strain (Fig. 4A, column 4). Western blot analysis showed

reduced levels of PrgB$_{\Delta COI}$ as compared to wild type (Fig. S2) probably due to a decreased

stability, which could explain the observed decrease in aggregation. Deletion of either the

CSA1 or the CSC2 domain did not affect PrgB-mediated aggregation (Fig. 4A, column 8 and

9). However, complementation with PrgBΔCSA2-CSC2 could only partially rescue the

aggregation phenotype of the *E. faecalis* OG1RF pCF10ΔprgB strain (Fig. 4A, column 7) and

no rescue at all was seen in the PrgB variants with both the CSA1 and CSC1 domain deleted

or without any Ig-like domains (CSA1-CSC1-CSA2-CSC2) (Fig. 4A, column 5, and 6).

As expected[17], deletion of *prgB* also lead to a large decrease in biofilm formation (Fig. 4B). In

line with our observations from the aggregation assays, PrgB with a deletion of either the

coiled-coil domain or more than a single Ig-like domain could not rescue the *E. faecalis*

OG1RF pCF10Δ*prgB* biofilm formation phenotype. Only exogenous expression of PrgB$_{\Delta CSC2}$

can rescue the level of biofilm formation, but only to the level found in OG1RF pCF10, not to

the level of exogenously expressed wild-type *prgB* (Fig 4B, column 1, 3 and 9). Intriguingly,

the expression of exogenous PrgB$_{\Delta CSA1}$ in the OG1RF pCF10Δ*prgB* background did not restore

biofilm formation, while it did restore the aggregation phenotype (Fig. 4A and 4B, column 8).

In the conjugation assay, exogenous expression of the PrgB variants that failed to rescue the

aggregation phenotype of the OG1RF pCF10Δ*prgB* strain (PrgB$_{\Delta COI}$, PrgB$_{\Delta CSA1-CSC2}$,

PrgB$_{\Delta CSA1-CSC1}$ and PrgB$_{\Delta CSA2-CSC2}$) were also found to have a decreased plasmid transfer

efficiency (Fig. 4C, column 4-7). However, PrgB$_{\Delta CSA1}$ and PrgB$_{\Delta CSC2}$ could only partially

rescue the conjugation rate of the OG1RF pCF10Δ*prgB* strain (Fig. 4C, column 8-9), while

they did rescue the aggregation phenotype.

The conserved binding cleft in the polymer adhesin domain is essential for conjugation and

biofilm formation, but not for aggregation

To investigate the role of the binding cleft in the polymer adhesin domain, we introduced

single, double, and triple mutations to alter its conserved residues. The resulting *prgB* mutants

were exogenously expressed in the background of pCF10Δ*prgB* for functional

complementation; or in the background of wild-type pCF10 to test for any potential dominant

negative effects as previously observed for PrgB$_{\Delta 246-558}$ (deletion of the polymer adhesin

domain)[32]. Notably, all the resulting *prgB* mutants fully restored the aggregation phenotype of

pCF10Δ*prgB* (Fig. 5A), but did not rescue the defective biofilm formation, nor the reduced

conjugation efficiency (Fig. 5B and C, column 2-6). No dominant negative effects on

aggregation or biofilm formation could be observed when these variants were expressed in the

wild-type pCF10 background (Fig. 5A and B, column 7-10), although slightly reduced

conjugation rates were observed (Fig. 5C, column 7-10). We have previously shown that the

polymer adhesin domain binds eDNA and that this binding correlates with both biofilm

formation and conjugation efficiency. Therefore, we wanted to test whether eDNA binding was

affected in these PrgB variants. To do so, we purified both wild-type $PrgB_{188-1235}$ and the double

mutant $PrgB_{188-1233}$:S442A,N444A to compare their DNA binding affinities via electrophoretic

mobility shift assays (EMSA). The results indicate that the introduced changes in PrgB did not

affect its ability to bind eDNA, as the affinity for $PrgB_{188-1233}$ and $PrgB_{188-1233}$:S442A,N444A

were the same within experimental error (Fig. S3).

Discussion

The presented data provides important insights for a widespread virulence factor in Gram-

positive bacteria, since genes encoding PrgB homologs exists on a large number of conjugative

plasmids[16]. In this study, we expand our structural knowledge of PrgB beyond the polymer

adhesin domain, to now encompass almost the entire protein.

The crystal structure of $PrgB_{583-1233}$ shows that this part of PrgB consists of four tandemly

arranged immunoglobulin (Ig)-like domains. These Ig-like domains show a high degree of

structural homology to Streptococcal surface proteins, usually found in the oral cavity[16].

These homologous proteins have been indicated to bind various molecules, such as fimbria,

collagen and salivary agglutinin (SAG, also designated as glycoprotein 340), and these binding

interactions have been shown to be vital for their function[40,54–57]. However, we have not found

any evidence that the Ig-like domains of PrgB bind to a specific substrate in our own

experiments, nor have we found this in other reports. PrgB also does not contain a BAR

domain, which is crucial for stable interactions between e.g. SspB from *S. gordonni* and Mfa-

1 of *P. gingivalis* [58,59]. However, since Ig-like domains are known to bind a large variety of

ligands[60], we don't exclude the possibility that ligands for the Ig-like domains in PrgB will be

found in the future. However, the only ligands that have been verified to interact with PrgB so

far are eDNA and LTA, which have high affinity to the polymer adhesin domain[32].

The crystal structure of the Ig-like domains from PrgB, $PrgB_{583-1233}$, was complemented by

single particle analysis of $PrgB_{188-1233}$ via cryo-EM (Fig. 3). Despite the low resolution of the

EM volumes, we could dock in the high-resolution crystal structures of the Ig-like domains and

the polymer adhesin domain. eDNA binding is a key step in PrgB mediated cellular aggregation

and biofilm formation[32], and the models obtained from our cryo-EM volumes provided the first

mechanistical insight into the conformational changes that occur during this step. The polymer

adhesin domain is nestled to the side of the Ig-like domains (Fig. 3A), likely reflecting how

PrgB is present on the outside of the cell wall in the absence of a binding partner. Possibly, the

folding back of the polymer adhesin domain is protecting it from proteolytic cleavage (see

below). Our model of PrgB bound to DNA suggests that the polymer adhesin domain gets

displaced from the Ig-like domains upon eDNA binding (Fig. 3B). This would expose the

positively charged surface of the polymer adhesin domain and allow it to wrap the eDNA

around itself to condense it, as we observed in previous experiments[32,33]. We speculate that this

in turn could also expose the PrgA-binding site, predicted to be located in the flexible region

between the polymer adhesin domain and the CSA1 domain[15]. This would allow PrgA-

mediated cleavage and removal of the polymer adhesin domain[15]. How this cleavage is

regulated is not yet understood, but the folding back of the polymer adhesin domain onto the

Ig-like domains in the absence of substrate could be part of this regulation.

We have now obtained a structural basis to interpret almost all phenotypic data that is available

for PrgB. Unfortunately, most of the mutants that were previously described did not correlate

well with the newly determined domain boundaries. Therefore, we decided to create specific

deletion mutants that were based on the new PrgB structure to determine the role of the various

domains. At the N-terminus of PrgB, before the polymer adhesin domain, there is a predicted

coiled-coil region (Fig. S4A) that we wanted to investigate. To our surprise, the expression of

PrgB$_{\Delta COI}$ could not rescue any of the aggregation, biofilm formation or conjugation phenotypes

from a *prgB* deletion strain. However, in our experiments PrgB$_{\Delta COI}$ was only produced at low

levels (Fig. S2), indicating that the coiled-coil region might be important for protein production

and/or stability. Deletion of all Ig-like domains (PrgB$_{\Delta CSA1-\Delta CSC2}$) renders the protein incapable

to support aggregation, biofilm formation and conjugation. However, exogenous expression of

prgB with single Ig-like domain deletions, *prgB*$_{\Delta CSA1}$ and *prgB*$_{\Delta CSC2}$ in the pCF10Δ*prgB*

background, restore cellular aggregation, while they do not rescue biofilm formation and

conjugation (Fig. 4). This was unexpected, as the polymer adhesin domain is predicted to

mediate all the functions that were tested in these assays: aggregation, biofilm formation and

conjugation[17,32]. Our results, however, indicate that it is important to have all Ig-like domains

present and properly folded. The cellular aggregation assays seem to indicate that PrgB can

function when at least 3 Ig-like domains are present, as expression of both *prgB*ΔCSA1 and

prgBΔCSC2 can complement pCF10Δ*prgB*, but unfortunately this assay is not suitable to

detect small changes. Based on the biofilm formation and conjugation efficiency assays, which

are more sensitive, we therefore conclude that even removing a single Ig-like domain strongly

decreases the function of PrgB.

The conserved Ser-Asn-Glu site in the negatively charged cleft of the polymer adhesin domain

intrigued us, as its function is unknown. Any changes that we made in these conserved residues

resulted in a PrgB variant that didn't facilitate biofilm formation or conjugation (Fig. 5).

Surprisingly these PrgB variants did fully support cellular aggregation. This is thought-

provoking, since various literature has shown that the PrgB functions in cellular aggregation,

biofilm formation and conjugation are strongly correlated. However, even a single point

mutation in this conserved site produced a PrgB variant that completely separates the cellular

clumping phenotype from biofilm formation and conjugation. *In vitro* experiments showed that

these point mutations did not impair PrgB binding to eDNA (Fig S3). A similar phenotypic

pattern was observed with PrgB$_{\Delta CSA1}$ and PrgB$_{\Delta CSC2}$ which both could fully rescue aggregation

but not biofilm formation. Our data therefore strongly indicates that PrgB has additional role(s),

besides mediating cellular aggregation, to further support conjugation and biofilm formation.

We therefore propose that PrgB performs at least one, currently unknown, additional function

besides binding to eDNA and/or LTA from the cell wall of the recipient cell. It is highly likely

that at least one of these additional functions is mediated by the conserved site in the polymer

adhesin domain.

As described in the introduction, there is a plethora of *prgB* mutants made (see Fig. S4) and

phenotypically analyzed, predominantly by the group of Prof. Gary Dunny. In supplementary

table S4 we provide a summary of all *prgB* mutants that we have identified in the literature and

our brief reinterpretation based on our new structural knowledge. Below, we will discuss a

selected number of these mutants in detail.

As expected, most mutants that have an insertion or a mutation in the polymer adhesin domain

(Fig. S4A) show a loss of protein function. Shortly, insertions at amino acids (a.a.) 358 and

359 are on the surface of the protein and likely leads to steric clashes that prevent the domain

from binding to eDNA and LTA, whereas insertions at a.a. 439, 473, 517, and 546 are all in

secondary structures that are central parts of the polymer adhesin domain and therefore are

likely to disrupt folding of this domain.

The RGD motifs in PrgB were previously proposed to be involved in integrin binding and to

promote adherence to human neutrophils, as well as internalization in cultured intestinal

epithelial cells[25,61]. The new domain classification showed that these two RGD motifs are in

CSA1 and CSA2, respectively (Fig. 1A). The structure that we determined shows that these

two sequence motifs are not surface exposed, but instead play an important role in the structural

integrity of the interfaces between CSA1 and CSC1, and between CSA2 and CSC2 (Fig. 6).

Mutations in these motifs would thus very likely destabilize the folding of the tandem Ig-

domains, which would explain the decreased PrgB biofilm formation observed in these

strains[18,23]. Thus, our new data strongly argues against the previously proposed direct binding

interaction between the RGD sequences and integrins of host origin.

Deletion of residues 993-1138 leads to a loss of about half of both the CSA2 and CSC2

domains. Therefore, we were surprised to find that this mutant was described to behave like

wild type in aggregation assays[31]. Possibly the remaining parts of CSA2 and CSC2 form a

(unfolded) linker region of similar length to the tandem CSA2-CSC2 structure, which allows

PrgB to retain its function of promoting aggregation. Similarly, PrgB$_{\Delta668\text{-}1138}$, corresponding to

a complete removal of CSC1 and CSA2 and approximately half of both CSA1 and CSC2

domains, could still support PrgB mediated aggregation. Potentially the remaining residues (ca

180 amino acids) could also form an unfolded linker region allowing for the variant to retain

its aggregation phenotype. For PrgB$_{\Delta993\text{-}1138}$ and PrgB$_{\Delta668\text{-}1138}$, unfortunately no biofilm

formation or conjugation efficiency were reported, but based on our results it is likely that those

capabilities would have been severely compromised.

Taking past and present results into account combined with our new structural insights, we

propose a new mechanistic model for the function of PrgB. Our EM data indicates that the Ig-

domains provide structural support for the polymer adhesin domain in the absence of bound

ligand(s) and our *in vivo* data show that removal of one of the Ig-like domains does not largely

affect PrgB function. Previous data also indicates that parts of the Ig-like domains can be

deleted without affecting the function of PrgB. We therefore hypothesize that the Ig-like

domains provide two important features to the protein. First, a rigid stalk that is needed to

present the polymer adhesin domain at the correct distance from the cell wall. Second,

providing protection from PrgA-mediated cleavage when the polymer adhesin domain is folded

on top of the Ig-like domains. Binding of eDNA (and likely also LTA) releases the polymer

adhesin domain from this stalk domain, and with that likely also exposes the predicted PrgA

proteolysis site in the linker region between the polymer adhesin domain and the CSA1

domain[15,16]. The exact distance of the polymer adhesin domain from the cell wall does not seem

important for LTA-binding, since aggregation can still take place even with partially disrupted

Ig-like domains. However, the length of the stalk may be very important when it comes to

facilitating both biofilm formation and conjugation. This indicates that effective mating-pair

formation in conjugation may require a PrgB-mediated function distinct from pure aggregation,

something that is further shown by the findings of the mutations in the conserved site in the

polymer adhesin domain.

Besides providing a structural basis to explain about 30 years of work on PrgB, we here also

uncovered that the conserved Ser-Asn-Glu site in the polymer adhesin domain likely provides

additional functionality to PrgB that is needed for optimal biofilm formation and conjugation,

but that does not affect cellular aggregation. To fully investigate the function of this conserved

site in PrgB and other homologous virulence factors from Gram-positive bacteria, remains an

exciting question to address in future research.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Prof. Gary Dunny for very fruitful discussions about the results

and Dr. Karim Rafie and Annika Breidenstein for discussions about EM data processing. We

acknowledge MAX IV Laboratory for time on Beamline BioMax under Proposal 20180236.

Research conducted at MAX IV, a Swedish national user facility, is supported by the Swedish

Research council under contract 2018-07152, the Swedish Governmental Agency for

Innovation Systems under contract 2018-04969, and Formas under contract 2019-02496. We

also acknowledge the synchrotrons Swiss Light Source (Paul Scherrer Institute, Switzerland)

for time at beamline PX1 and the ESRF (France) for time at beamlines ID23 and ID30. The

EM data was collected at the Umeå Core Facility for Electron Microscopy, a node of the Cryo-

EM Swedish National Facility, funded by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg, Family Erling

Persson and Kempe Foundations, SciLifeLab, Stockholm University and Umeå University.

This work was supported by grants from the Swedish Research Council (2016-03599), Knut

and Alice Wallenberg Foundation, Kempestiftelserna (SMK-1762 & SMK-1869) and Carl-

Tryggers stiftelse (CTS 18:39) to R.P-A.B.

CRediT statement

Wei-Sheng Sun: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing - Original Draft, Writing –

Revision. Lena Lassinantti: Investigation, Writing - Original Draft, Writing – Revision.

Michael Järvå: Conceptualization, Investigation, Andreas Schmitt: Investigation. Josy ter

Beek: Investigation, Writing - Original Draft, Writing – Revision. Ronnie P-A Berntsson:

Conceptualization, Writing - Original Draft, Writing – Revision, Supervision, Funding

acquisition.

References

1. Gilmore, M. S., Lebreton, F. & van Schaik, W. Genomic transition of enterococci from gut commensals to leading causes of multidrug-resistant hospital infection in the antibiotic era. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* vol. 16 10–16 (2013).

2. Hidron, A. I., Edwards, J. R., Patel, J., Horan, T. C., Sievert, D. M., Pollock, D. A., *et al.* NHSN annual update: antimicrobial-resistant pathogens associated with healthcare-associated infections: annual summary of data reported to the National Healthcare Safety Network at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2006-2007. *Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol.* **29**, 996–1011 (2008).

3. Palmer, K. L., Kos, V. N. & Gilmore, M. S. Horizontal gene transfer and the genomics of enterococcal antibiotic resistance. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* vol. 13 632–639 (2010).

4. Palmer, K. L. & Gilmore, M. S. Multidrug-resistant enterococci lack CRISPR-cas. *MBio* **1**, e00227-10 (2010).

5. Trokter, M. & Waksman, G. Translocation through the conjugative Type 4 secretion system requires unfolding of its protein substrate. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **200**, e00615-17 (2018).

6. Cabezón, E., Ripoll-Rozada, J., Peña, A., de la Cruz, F. & Arechaga, I. Towards an integrated model of bacterial conjugation. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **39**, 81–95 (2015).

7. Koraimann, G. Spread and Persistence of Virulence and Antibiotic Resistance Genes: A Ride on the F Plasmid Conjugation Module. *EcoSal Plus* **8**, (2018).

8. Grohmann, E., Christie, P. J., Waksman, G. & Backert, S. Type IV secretion in Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. *Molecular Microbiology* vol. 107 455–471 (2018).

9. Hirt, H., Manias, D. A., Bryan, E. M., Klein, J. R., Marklund, J. K., Staddon, J. H., *et*

al. Characterization of the pheromone response of the Enterococcus faecalis

conjugative plasmid pCF10: Complete sequence and comparative analysis of the

transcriptional and phenotypic responses of pCF10-containing cells to pheromone

induction. *J. Bacteriol.* **187**, 1044–1054 (2005).

10.   Dunny, G. M. & Berntsson, R. P.-A. Enterococcal sex pheromones: Evolutionary

pathways to complex, two-signal systems. *J. Bacteriol.* **198**, 1556–1562 (2016).

11.   Chandler, J. R., Hirt, H. & Dunny, G. M. A paracrine peptide sex pheromone also acts

as an autocrine signal to induce plasmid transfer and virulence factor expression in

vivo. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **102**, 15617–15622 (2005).

12.   Dunny, G. M. & Berntsson, R. P. A. Enterococcal sex pheromones: Evolutionary

pathways to complex, two-signal systems. *J. Bacteriol.* **198**, 1556–1562 (2016).

13.   Dunny, G. M. Enterococcal Sex Pheromones: Signaling, Social Behavior, and

Evolution. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **47**, 457–482 (2013).

14.   Lassinantti, L., Camacho, M. I., Erickson, R. J. B., Willett, J. L. E., De Lay, N. R., ter

Beek, J., *et al.* Enterococcal PrgU Provides Additional Regulation of Pheromone-

Inducible Conjugative Plasmids. *mSphere* **6**, e00264--21 (2021).

15.   Schmitt, A., Hirt, H., Järvå, M. A., Sun, W. S., ter Beek, J., Dunny, G. M., *et al.*

Enterococcal PrgA Extends Far Outside the Cell and Provides Surface Exclusion to

Protect against Unwanted Conjugation. *J. Mol. Biol.* **432**, 5681–5695 (2020).

16.   Järvå, M. A., Hirt, H., Dunny, G. M. & Berntsson, R. P. Polymer Adhesin Domains in

Gram-Positive Cell Surface Proteins. *Front. Microbiol.* **11**, 599899 (2020).

17.   Bhatty, M., Cruz, M. R., Frank, K. L., Laverde Gomez, J. A., Andrade, F., Garsin, D.

A., *et al.* Enterococcus faecalispCF10-encoded surface proteins PrgA, PrgB

(aggregation substance) and PrgC contribute to plasmid transfer, biofilm formation and

virulence. *Mol. Microbiol.* **95**, 660–667 (2015).

18.   Chuang, O. N., Schlievert, P. M., Wells, C. L., Manias, D. A., Tripp, T. J. & Dunny,

G. M. Multiple functional domains of Enterococcus faecalis aggregation substance

Asc10 contribute to endocarditis virulence. *Infect. Immun.* **77**, 539–48 (2009).

19.   Olmsted, S. B., Kao, S. M., van Putte, L. J., Gallo, J. C. & Dunny, G. M. Role of the

pheromone-inducible surface protein Asc10 in mating aggregate formation and

conjugal transfer of the Enterococcus faecalis plasmid pCF10. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **173**,

7665–7672 (1991).

20.   Olmsted, S. B., Erlandsen, S. L., Dunny, G. M. & Wells, C. L. High-resolution

visualization by field emission scanning electron microscopy of Enterococcus faecalis

surface proteins encoded by the pheromone-inducible conjugative plasmid pCF10. *J.*

Appl. Microbiol. **175**, 6229–6237 (1993).

21.   Süssmuth, S. D., Muscholl-Silberhorn, A., Wirth, R., Susa, M., Marre, R. &

Rozdzinski, E. Aggregation substance promotes adherence, phagocytosis, and

intracellular survival of Enterococcus faecalis within human macrophages and

suppresses respiratory burst. *Infect. Immun.* **68**, 4900–4906 (2000).

22.   Schlievert, P. M., Chuang-Smith, O. N., Peterson, M. L., Cook, L. C. C. & Dunny, G.

M. Enterococcus faecalis Endocarditis Severity in Rabbits Is Reduced by IgG Fabs

Interfering with Aggregation Substance. *PLoS One* **5**, e13194 (2010).

23.   Chuang-Smith, O. N., Wells, C. L., Henry-Stanley, M. J. & Dunny, G. M.

Acceleration of Enterococcus faecalis biofilm formation by aggregation substance

expression in an Ex Vivo model of cardiac valve colonization. *PLoS One* **5**, e15798

(2010).

24.   Rakita, R. M., Vanek, N. N., Jacques-Palaz, K., Mee, M., Mariscalco, M. M., Dunny,

G. M., *et al.* Enterococcus faecalis bearing aggregation substance is resistant to killing

by human neutrophils despite phagocytosis and neutrophil activation. *Infect. Immun.*

67, 6067–6075 (1999).

25.   Vanek, N. N., Simon, S. I., Jacques-Palaz, K., Mariscalco, M. M., Dunny, G. M. &

Rakita, R. M. Enterococcus faecalis aggregation substance promotes opsonin-

independent binding to human neutrophils via a complement receptor type 3-mediated

mechanism. *FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol.* **26**, 49–60 (1999).

26.   Hirt, H., Greenwood-Quaintance, K. E., Barnes, A. M. T., Karau, M. J., Till, L. M.,

Palzer, E., *et al.* Dynamics of plasmid-mediated niche invasion, immunity to invasion,

and pheromone-inducible conjugation in the murine gastrointestinal tract. *Nat.*

Commun. **13**, 1377 (2022).

27.   MUSCHOLL, A., GALLI, D., WANNER, G. & WIRTH, R. Sex pheromone plasmid

pAD1-encoded aggregation substance of Enterococcus faecalis is positively regulated

in trans by traE1. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **214**, 333–8 (1993).

28.   Galli, D., Friesenegger, A. & Wirth, R. Transcriptional control of sex-pheromone-

inducible genes on plasmid pAD1 of Enterococcus faecalis and sequence analysis of a

third structural gene for (pPD1 -encoded) aggregation substance. *Mol. Microbiol.* **6**,

1297–1308 (1992).

29.   Waters, C. M. & Dunny, G. M. Analysis of functional domains of the Enterococcus

faecalis pheromone-induced surface protein aggregation substance. *J. Bacteriol.* **183**,

5659–67 (2001).

30.   Waters, C. M., Wells, C. L. & Dunny, G. M. The Aggregation Domain of Aggregation

Substance, Not the RGD Motifs, Is Critical for Efficient Internalization by HT-29

Enterocytes. *Infect. Immun.* **71**, 5682–5689 (2003).

31.   Waters, C. M., Hirt, H., McCormick, J. K., Schlievert, P. M., Wells, C. L. & Dunny,

G. M. An amino-terminal domain of Enterococcus faecalis aggregation substance is

required for aggregation, bacterial internalization by epithelial cells and binding to

661   lipoteichoic acid. *Mol. Microbiol.* **52**, 1159–71 (2004).

662   32.   Schmitt, A., Jiang, K., Camacho, M. I., Jonna, V. R., Hofer, A., Westerlund, F., *et al.*
663   PrgB promotes aggregation, biofilm formation, and conjugation through DNA binding
664   and compaction. *Mol. Microbiol.* **109**, 291–305 (2018).

665   33.   Kohler, V., Keller, W. & Grohmann, E. Enterococcus adhesin PrgB facilitates type IV
666   secretion by condensation of extracellular DNA. *Molecular Microbiology* vol. 109
667   263–267 (2018).

668   34.   Mieher, J. L., Larson, M. R., Schormann, N., Purushotham, S., Wu, R., Rajashankar,
669   K. R., *et al.* Glucan binding protein C of *Streptococcus mutans* mediates both sucrose-
670   independent and sucrose-dependent adherence. *Infect. Immun.* **86**, 1–18 (2018).

671   35.   Forsgren, N., Lamont, R. J. & Persson, K. Crystal structure of the variable domain of
672   the Streptococcus gordonii surface protein SspB. *Protein Sci.* **18**, 1896–1905 (2009).

673   36.   Bae, T., Kozlowicz, B. & Dunny, G. M. Two targets in pCF10 DNA for PrgX binding:
674   Their role in production of Qa and prgX mRNA and in regulation of pheromone-
675   inducible conjugation. *J. Mol. Biol.* **315**, 995–1007 (2002).

676   37.   Laverde Gomez, J. A., Bhatty, M. & Christie, P. J. PrgK, a Multidomain
677   Peptidoglycan Hydrolase, Is Essential for Conjugative Transfer of the Pheromone-
678   Responsive Plasmid pCF10. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **196**, 527–539 (2014).

679   38.   Geertsma, E. R. & Dutzler, R. A versatile and efficient high-throughput cloning tool
680   for structural biology. *Biochemistry* **50**, 3272–3278 (2011).

681   39.   Kabsch, W. XDS. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D* **66**, 125–132 (2010).

682   40.   Forsgren, N., Lamont, R. J. & Persson, K. Two intramolecular isopeptide bonds are
683   identified in the crystal structure of the Streptococcus gordonii SspB C-terminal
684   domain. *J. Mol. Biol.* **397**, 740–751 (2010).

41.   Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of

Coot. *Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 486–501 (2010).

42.   Winn, M. D., Isupov, M. N. & Murshudov, G. N. Use of TLS parameters to model

anisotropic displacements in macromolecular refinement. *Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol.*

Crystallogr. **57**, 122–33 (2001).

43.   Adams, P. D., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Hung, L.-W., Ioerger, T. R., McCoy, A. J.,

Moriarty, N. W., *et al.* PHENIX : building new software for automated

crystallographic structure determination. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr.*

58, 1948–1954 (2002).

44.   Chen, V. B., Arendall, W. B., Headd, J. J., Keedy, D. a, Immormino, R. M., Kapral, G.

J., *et al.* MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography.

Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr. **66**, 12–21 (2010).

45.   Punjani, A., Brubaker, M. A. & Fleet, D. J. Building Proteins in a Day: Efficient 3D

Molecular Structure Estimation with Electron Cryomicroscopy. *IEEE Trans. Pattern*

Anal. Mach. Intell. **39**, 706–718 (2017).

46.   Pettersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Couch, G. S., Greenblatt, D. M., Meng,

E. C., *et al.* UCSF Chimera - A visualization system for exploratory research and

analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* **25**, 1605–12 (2004).

47.   Kidmose, R. T., Juhl, J., Nissen, P., Boesen, T., Karlsen, J. L. & Pedersen, B. P.

Namdinator - Automatic molecular dynamics flexible fitting of structural models into

cryo-EM and crystallography experimental maps. *IUCrJ* **6 (Pt 4)**, 526–531 (2019).

48.   Willett, J. L. E., Ji, M. M. & Dunny, G. M. Exploiting biofilm phenotypes for

functional characterization of hypothetical genes in Enterococcus faecalis. *npj Biofilms*

Microbiomes **5**, 23 (2019).

49.   Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., *et al.*

Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**, 583–589

(2021).

50.   Holm, L. DALI and the persistence of protein shape. *Protein Sci.* **29**, 128–140 (2020).

51.   Hall, M., Nylander, A., Jenkinson, H. F. & Persson, K. Structure of the C-terminal

domain of AspA (antigen I/II-family) protein from Streptococcus pyogenes. *FEBS*

Open Bio **4**, 283–289 (2014).

52.   Rego, S., Heal, T. J., Pidwill, G. R., Till, M., Robson, A., Lamont, R. J., *et al.*

Structural and functional analysis of cell wall-anchored polypeptide adhesin BspA in

Streptococcus agalactiae. *J. Biol. Chem.* **291**, 15985–6000 (2016).

53.   Larson, M. R., Rajashankar, K. R., Crowley, P. J., Kelly, C., Mitchell, T. J., Brady, L.

J., *et al.* Crystal Structure of the C-terminal Region of Streptococcus mutans Antigen

I/II and Characterization of Salivary Agglutinin Adherence Domains. *J. Biol. Chem.*

286, 21657–21666 (2011).

54.   Mieher, J. L., Schormann, N., Wu, R., Patel, M., Purushotham, S., Wu, H., *et al.*

Structure-function characterization of Streptococcus intermedius surface antigen Pas.

J. Bacteriol. **203**, e0017521 (2021).

55.   Brady, L. J., Maddocks, S. E., Larson, M. R., Forsgren, N., Persson, K.,

Deivanayagam, C. C., *et al.* The changing faces of Streptococcus antigen I/II

polypeptide family adhesins. *Mol. Microbiol.* **77**, 276–286 (2010).

56.   Maddocks, S. E., Wright, C. J., Nobbs, A. H., Brittan, J. L., Franklin, L., Strömberg,

N., *et al.* Streptococcus pyogenes antigen I/II-family polypeptide AspA shows

differential ligand-binding properties and mediates biofilm formation. *Mol. Microbiol.*

81, 1034–49 (2011).

57.   Franklin, L., Nobbs, A. H., Bricio-Moreno, L., Wright, C. J., Maddocks, S. E., Sahota,

J. S., *et al.* The AgI/II Family Adhesin AspA Is Required for Respiratory Infection by

Streptococcus pyogenes. *PLoS One* **8**, e62433 (2013).

58. Purushotham, S. & Deivanayagam, C. The Calcium-induced Conformation and

Glycosylation of Scavenger-rich Cysteine Repeat (SRCR) Domains of Glycoprotein

340 Influence the High Affinity Interaction with Antigen I/II Homologs. *J. Biol. Chem.*

289, 21877–21887 (2014).

59. Daep, C. A., Novak, E. A., Lamont, R. J. & Demuth, D. R. Structural dissection and in

vivo effectiveness of a peptide inhibitor of porphyromonas gingivalis adherence to

streptococcus gordonii. *Infect. Immun.* **79**, 67–74 (2011).

60. Halaby, D. M. & Mornon, J. P. E. The immunoglobulin superfamily: An insight on its

tissular, species, and functional diversity. *J. Mol. Evol.* **46**, 389–400 (1998).

61. Olmsted, S. B., Dunny, G. M., Erlandsen, S. L. & Wells, C. L. A Plasmid-Encoded

Surface Protein On Enterococcus Faecalis Augments Its Internalization By Cultured

Intestinal Epithelial Cells. *J. Infect. Dis.* **170**, 1549–1556 (1994).

Tables

Table 1. X-ray data collection and refinement statistics. Values within parenthesis correspond
to the highest resolution shell.

Data collection summary	PrgB$_{584-1233}$
Space group	P2$_1$2$_1$2$_1$
Cell dimensions	
a, b, c (Å)	76.0, 87.5, 224.8
α, β, γ (°)	90, 90, 90
Resolution (Å)	47.3 – 1.84 (1.91 – 1.84)
Completeness (%)	99.6 (99.5)
R$_{meas}$ (%)	0.03 (0.99)
I/σ (I)	12.1 (1.0)
CC(1/2)*	1 (0.67)
Redundancy	2.0 (2.0)
No. unique reflections	130301 (12877)
Refinement summary	
Resolution (Å)	47.3 – 1.84
R$_{work}$ (%)	20.9
R$_{free}$ (%)	24.5
Number of atoms	
protein	10080
water	816
other ligands	2
B-factors	
protein	44.9
water	47.7
other ligands	48.3
r.m.s. deviations	
Bond lengths (Å)	0.006
Bond angles (°)	0.79
Ramachandran statistics	
outliers (%)	0
allowed (%)	1.5
favored (%)	98.5

Figures

Figure 1. Schematic overview of PrgB domain organization and function. A) Updated

schematic overview of the domain organization of PrgB. SS: Signal sequence, COI: Coiled-

coil, PAD: Polymer adhesin domain, CSA: adhesin isopeptide-forming adherence domain,

CSC: cell-surface antigen C-terminal domain, LPXTG: cell wall anchor sequence. B) In a

setting with a donor cell (green) and multiple recipient cells (brown), PrgB is produced and sits

on the cell wall. There it enhances cellular aggregation and/or biofilm formation, either by

directly binding LTA from the cell-wall of a recipient or first to eDNA to. PrgB compacts the

eDNA, and thereby likely pulls the recipient cells closer. Once close enough, PrgB binds to the

LTA of the recipient bacteria and facilitates mating-pair formation and conjugation.

Figure 2. Structure of Ig-like domains of PrgB. A) The Ig-like domains are arranged as tandem pairs (CSA1-CSC1 and CSA2-CSC2). B) Each Ig-like domain has an internal isopeptide bond (indicated by the striped circle in panel A) strengthening the structural integrity of the domain. Each of the four isopeptide bonds is between a lysine and an asparagine and further stabilized by an aspartic acid residue (highlighted residues are shown in stick representation). C) A conserved metal binding site is situated in the CSA2 domain (highlighted by a striped box in panel A), here modelled with a Mg^{2+} (green sphere).

780 **Figure 3.** Crystal structures of the PrgB polymer adhesin domain and Ig-like domains in

781 cartoon representation docked into the volumes acquired by single particle cryo-EM. A) The

782 PrgB$_{188-1233}$ model shows the polymer adhesin domain folded back onto the Ig-like domains.

783 B) In the DNA-bound PrgB$_{188-1233}$ model the polymer adhesin domain has undergone a large

784 conformation change away from the Ig-like domain.

Figure 4. *In vivo* phenotypes of PrgB variants. PrgB variants are expressed from the

pMSP3545S-MCS vector in the OG1RF pCF10Δ*prgB* background for phenotypic analysis

with three assays: A) cellular aggregation B) biofilm formation and C) conjugation assays. For

all assays OG1RF pCF10 carrying an empty vector serves as positive control and OG1RF

pCF10Δ*prgB* with an empty vector as negative control. The value of each column represents

the average of three independent experiments and the error bars represent the standard error of

the mean (SEM).

Figure 5. *In vivo* phenotypes of PrgB variants with point mutation(s) in the conserved site of the polymer adhesin domain. PrgB variants are expressed from the pMSP3545S-MCS vector in the OG1RF pCF10Δ*prgB* or OG1RF pCF10 strain and analyzed in: A) cellular aggregation, B) biofilm formation, and C) conjugation assays. OG1RF pCF10 carrying the empty vector serves as positive control and OG1RF pCF10Δ*prgB* with the empty vector as negative control. The height of each column represents the average of three independent experiments and the error bars indicate the standard error of the mean (SEM).

Figure 6. The RGD motifs play a role in the structural integrity of PrgB. A) Close up view of the RGD motif in the CSA1 domain (purple). Most of the important interactions, mainly hydrogen bonds, formed by this motif is to residues on the CSC1 domain (green). B) Close up view of the RGD motif in the CSA2 domain (sand colored). Most of the important interactions, mainly hydrogen bonds, formed by this motif is to residues on the CSC2 domain (dark red). Potential hydrogen bonds are marked by lines and the surface of the protein indicated by transparent gray.