1
The cellular Notch1 Protein Promotes KSHV reactivation in an Rta-dependent manner.

Jennifer DeCotiis-Mauro[a,b], Sun M. Han[a,b]+, Helena Mello[a,b]+, Corey Goyeneche[a,b], Giuseppina

Marchesini-Tovar[a,b], Lianhua Jin[a,b], Vivian Bellofatto[a,b], and David M. Lukac[a,b]#

[a] Dept of Microbiology, Biochemistry, and Molecular Genetics, Rutgers Biomedical and Health

Sciences, New Jersey Medical School, Rutgers University, Newark, NJ

[b]School of Graduate Studies, Rutgers Biomedical and Health Sciences, Health Science Campus at

Newark, Rutgers University, Newark, NJ

Running Head: Notch1 contributes to KSHV reactivation

#Corresponding author: E-mail: Lukacdm@njms.rutgers.edu

+ authors contributed equally to this work

Abstract: 245 words

26
27

Abstract

The cellular Notch signal transduction pathway is intimately associated with infections by Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) and other gamma-herpesviruses. RBP-Jk, the cellular DNA binding component of the canonical Notch pathway, is the key Notch downstream effector protein in virus-infected and uninfected animal cells. Reactivation of KSHV from latency requires the viral lytic switch protein, Rta, to form complexes with RBP-Jk on numerous sites within the viral DNA. Constitutive Notch activity is essential for KSHV pathophysiology in models of Kaposi's sarcoma (KS) and Primary Effusion Lymphoma (PEL), and we demonstrate that Notch1 is also constitutively active in infected Vero cells. Although the KSHV genome contains >100 RBP-Jk DNA motifs, we show that none of the four isoforms of activated Notch can productively reactivate the virus from latency in a highly quantitative trans-complementing reporter virus system. Nevertheless, Notch contributed positively to reactivation because broad inhibition of Notch1-4 with gamma secretase inhibitor (GSI) or expression of dominant negative mastermind-like1 (dnMAML1) coactivators severely reduced production of infectious KSHV from Vero cells. In infected PEL cells, we show that reduction of vDNA synthesis by GSI is associated with gene specific reduction of viral transcription. Specific inhibition of Notch1 by siRNA partially reduces production of infectious KSHV, and ectopic activated Notch (NICD1) enhances virus production induced by Valproic Acid (VPA) treatment. We conclude that constitutive Notch activity is required for robust production of infectious KSHV, and our results implicate activated Notch1 as a pro-viral member of a MAML1/RBP-Jk complex during viral reactivation.

47
48

51   **Importance**

Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) manipulates the host cell oncogenic Notch

signaling pathway for viral reactivation from latency and cell pathogenesis. KSHV reactivation requires

that the viral protein Rta functionally interacts with RBP-Jk, the DNA binding component of the Notch

pathway, and with promoter DNA to drive transcription of productive cycle genes. We show that the

Notch pathway is constitutively active during KSHV reactivation and is essential for robust production

of infectious virus progeny. Inhibiting Notch during reactivation reduces expression of specific viral

genes yet does not affect growth of the host cells. Although Notch cannot reactivate KSHV alone, the

requisite expression of Rta reveals a previously unappreciated role for Notch in reactivation. We

propose that activated Notch cooperates with Rta in a promoter-specific manner that is partially

programmed by Rta's ability to redistribute RBP-Jk DNA binding to the virus during reactivation.

Introduction

Human gammaherpesviral infections and pathogenesis are dependent on interactions of the virus

with the cellular Notch signal transduction pathway (1). In uninfected cells, the canonical model of

Notch signaling involves activation of the Notch protein by proteolytic release of the Notch Intracellular

Domain (NICD)1 from the membrane-associated Notch1 receptor (2, 3). NICD1 then translocates to the

cell nucleus, binds to DNA-bound Recombination signal binding protein (RBP)-Jk and activates

transcription by displacing a transcriptional co-repressor complex from RBP-Jk and assembling a

transcriptional co-activator complex.

Reactivation of the gammaherpesvirus Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV)

requires the KSHV Replication and transcriptional activator (Rta) to assemble a complex with RBP-Jk

and DNA to activate transcription of essential viral genes (4-25). Reflecting the central roles of Rta and

RBP-Jk in reactivation, the KSHV genome contains greater than 100 consensus RBP-Jk DNA motifs,

and Rta and RBP-Jk co-occupy many viral promoters during reactivation in B cells (26). However,

although both Rta and NICD1 target RBP-Jk, we and others have shown that only Rta is sufficient to

productively reactivate KSHV in B cell models of latency (4, 27). Indeed, our recent work showed that

ectopic expression of Rta in infected Vero cells induced profound production of infectious virus assayed

by a robust and sensitive trans-complementing reporter virus-cell system (28). In parallel experiments,

this highly sensitive assay revealed that ectopic expression of NICD1 failed to produce detectable virus

(28). Thus, this highly sensitive reactivation system confirmed conclusions from human B cell studies

and is ideal to compare transcriptional mechanisms of Rta and Notch.

Despite ectopic NICD1's insufficiency to reactivate KSHV alone, the literature suggests that

Notch signaling contributes to regulation of KSHV infection. Notch can activate viral productive cycle

genes without inducing complete reactivation in B and endothelial cell models of latency (27, 29). In

infected primary effusion lymphoma (PEL) cells, conditionally-expressed NICD1 activated a subset of

viral genes that were different than those activated by Rta (27). Nevertheless, a broader review of the

literature shows that our understanding of Notch's role in KSHV reactivation remains debatable. One

study concluded that ectopic NICD1 is capable of inducing complete reactivation (30) by directly

activating Rta's promoter and a second study indicated that Notch inhibits reactivation in neighboring

cells by indirectly repressing Rta expression (31). The interpretation of experiments intended to analyze

the role of Notch signaling in KSHV reactivation are complicated by two observations: first, Notch

signaling is constitutively-active in all KSHV-infected cells, and second, one or more of the activated

Notch proteins (i.e. NICD1-4) is required for growth and survival of KSHV-infected cells (29, 32-38).

Indeed, Notch isoforms 1, 2, and 4, Notch activating ligands, and numerous Notch target genes are

overexpressed and active in KS lesional tissue, PEL cells, and in KSHV-infected endothelial cells.

Notch signaling is also reciprocally impacted by KSHV infection in myriad and complex ways.

The expression of Notch ligands and receptors are increased by specific latent and lytic viral proteins,

including Rta, in infected lymphatic endothelial cells (29, 33, 35-37). Notch signaling is reprogramed

when the viral immediate early protein Rta stimulates RBP-Jk DNA binding and by proteolytic

processing of Notch effector proteins (4, 7, 26, 39). Rta-dependent stimulation of RBP-Jk binding to the

KSHV Mta promoter converts it to a Notch-responsive gene (4). Moreover, our ChIP/Seq studies

demonstrated that RBP-Jk binding to the viral genome is dramatically redistributed during reactivation,

but Rta and RBP-Jk do not co-occupy every newly identified RBP-Jk site (26). Taken together, these

data suggest that Notch plays an essential and complementary role with Rta in KSHV reactivation.

While it is clear that Rta is the only viral protein that is necessary and sufficient to reactivate the

complete KSHV lytic cycle, a complete understanding of Rta's reactivation function must consider the

intracellular environment that is replete with potent, constitutive Notch activity.

In the present study, we use the KSHV-infected Vero cell model and multiple complementary

methodologies to demonstrate that Notch signaling is key to viral reactivation. Specifically, we

demonstrate that exposing infected cells to the Notch small-molecule-inhibitor DAPT, or to Notch-

inhibiting dominant negative Mastermind-like (dmMAML)-1, severely reduces production of infectious

KSHV. Reactivation reduction occurs after either chemical induction of virus or forced expression of

Rta. Inhibition of KSHV virion production is accompanied by reduction of selected viral transcripts and

proteins. Importantly, reactivation stymied by DAPT treatment is not the result of cell growth reduction

by the drug. While we show that none of the four Notch isoforms are sufficient to reactivate the virus,

transfection of specific siRNAs implicates Notch 1 as one of the isoforms that contribute to Rta-induced

reactivation. Finally, we also observe a block in reactivation, which is measured here by a decrease in

viral DNA production, by inhibiting Notch in naturally KSHV-infected PEL cells. Taken together, our

study illustrates that although Rta is necessary and sufficient for KSHV reactivation, viral reactivation

relies on continued endogenous Notch signaling, likely by working with Rta cooperatively during the

early steps of reactivation.

Materials and Methods

127
Plasmids: All plasmids were grown in DH5α *E. coli* and purified using the cesium chloride maxi-prep

method (40). All prepared plasmids were confirmed via restriction enzyme digestion and/or sequencing.

pcDNA3-FLg50 expresses full length Rta protein from a genomic allele of KSHV ORF50 (41).

pCAGGS:dnMAML1-GFP and pEGFP-N1-dnMAML1 express dominant negative mutants of MAML1

fused to GFP and were gifts of Dr. Signe V. Horn Pederson (42). pEGFP-N1-H2b (referred to as H2b-

GFP) expresses GFP-fused histone H2b and was a gift of Dr. Geoff Wahl (Addgene plasmid #11680)

(43). pcDNA3.1-HisLacZ (11) expresses β-galactosidase reporter protein under control of the HCMV

enhancer. The reporter plasmids 4xCSL-luciferase (Addgene plasmid #41726) and 4xCBS-luciferase

encode firefly luciferase under the control of four RBP-Jk binding sites are were gifts of Dr. Raphael

Kopan and Dr. Rhett Kovall, respectively (44, 45). p3xFLAG-NICD 1-4 express Notch intracellular

domains (NICD) 1-4 from the p3xFLAG-CMV-7 expression vector and were gifts of Dr. Raphael

Kopan (46). pcDNA3.1-ORF50ΔSTADV5 expresses transcriptionally inert, dominant negative KSHV

Rta (nts 1-1590) fused to the V5 epitope tag (11). pCMV-NICDΔPEST, NICD1-P12, NICD1-L1594P

express NICD1 alleles containing different activating mutations found in human T cell acute

lymphoblastic leukemia lymphoma cells provided by Dr. Jon C. Aster (47). pcDNA3 (Invitrogen) was

used as an empty vector control ("Vec") and DNA filler for all experiments.

Cell Culture: 293 MSR-tet OFF and Vero rKSHV.294 cells (gifts of Dr. Jeff Vieira) were maintained

as previously described (28, 48). BC3 cells (a gift of Dr. Ethel Cesarman) and BCBL-1 cells were

maintained in RPMI medium supplemented with 12% or 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) respectively,

1% glutamine, 50uM β-mercaptoethanol, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin.

Viral reactivation was induced chemically by treatment of cells with 1 mM Valproic acid (VPA;

Sigma, P4543; prepared in dH_2O and filtered through 0.22uM surfactant-free cellulose acetate (SFCA)).

Notch activity was inhibited chemically by treatment of cells with (2*S*)-*N*-[(3,5-

Difluorophenyl)acetyl]-L-alanyl-2-phenyl]glycine 1,1-dimethylethyl ester (DAPT; Selleckchem,

S2215); concentrations are given in the figure legends. The solvent vehicle for DAPT was dimethyl

sulfoxide (DMSO), which was used as the control, vehicle ("Vh") treatment in all experiments.

155
156

Transfections: Vero rKSHV.294 cells were plated at a concentration of $2x10^5$ cells per well of 6-well

plates and incubated at 37°C overnight. The following day, 2.5 ug of total plasmid DNA and 5 uL of

vortexed TransIT-LT1 reagent were added to 250 uL incomplete DMEM and incubated at RT for 30m.

The mixture was added to the Vero rKSHV.294 cells dropwise, and cells were incubated for 48 or 72h at

37°C, for protein harvest or SeAP assay respectively. Specific plasmids used in transfections are

indicated in respective figure legends.

For siRNAs, Vero rKSHV.294 cells were plated at a concentration of $2x10^5$ cells per well of six-

well plates and incubated overnight at 37° C. The following day, 30 pmol of either control siRNA-A

(Santa Cruz, sc-37007), Notch 1 siRNA (h) A (Santa Cruz, sc36095A), or Notch 1 siRNA (h2) (Santa

Cruz, sc-44226A) were diluted in 150 uL of incomplete DMEM and mixed with 9 uL of Lipofectamine

RNAiMAX reagent previously diluted in incomplete DMEM per manufacturers' suggestions. The

mixtures were incubated for 5m at RT, then added to cells.

Secreted Alkaline Phosphatase (SeAP) Assay: Virus-containing media from Vero rKSHV.294 cells

were transferred to 293 MSR-tet-OFF cells previously plated at a density of $2x10^5$ cells/well in 6-well

plates 24h earlier. 293 MSR-tet-OFF cells were returned to the incubator for 72h (unless otherwise

indicated) at 37°C. SeAP-containing media were harvested, and 20 or 25 uL were assayed using either

the Great EscAPe SeAP kit (Takara/Clontech) or the Phospha-Light System (Applied Biosystems).

Protein extractions and assays: Cells were washed with 1x PBS, and lysed using RIPA buffer (150

mM NaCl, 1% NP40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 50 mM Tris pH 8.0) supplemented with

protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma P2714) and 1 mM DTT. Dishes were scraped, contents were

transferred to microfuge tubes, debris was pelleted by centrifugation, and supernatants were transferred

to new microfuge tubes. Total protein concentrations were determined by Protein Assay (Bradford; Bio-

Rad).

        For luciferase and β-galactosidase quantitation, at 48h post-transfection, cells were washed twice

with 1x PBS, then lysed in 125 uL 1x reporter lysis buffer (Promega) for 20min at RT.   Dishes were

scraped, contents were transferred to microfuge tubes, debris was pelleted by centrifugation, and

supernatants were transferred to new microfuge tubes. Luciferase activity was measured in the BD

monolight 3010 luminometer (BD Biosciences) using 20 uL of each extract into which 20 uL luciferase

assay substrate was injected (Promega). β-galactosidase activity was measured by absorbance at 420 nm

in the Tecan Genios plate reader using 30 uL of each extract mixed with 30 uL of 2x Assay Buffer

(Promega).

SDS-PAGE and Western Blotting: Protein samples were mixed with 6x Laemmli buffer containing

freshly added β-mercaptoethanol, heated at 95°C for 5m, then loaded on gels. Proteins were transferred

via electroblotting to nitrocellulose (Main Manufacturing LLC) or PVDF (GVS Filter Tech 1212783;

PVDF membranes only were initially dipped in 100% methanol). Membranes were blocked with 5%

milk in 1x PBS/0.1% Tween 20 (blocking buffer) for at least 30m, then washed with 1x PBS/0.1%

Tween 20 and 1x PBS. Primary antibodies were diluted in blocking buffer then incubated with the blots

for 4h at RT or overnight at 4°C; antibodies and dilutions were as follows: anti-Notch1 (1:200, Santa

Cruz sc-32745, or bTAN20 Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, deposited by Dr. Spyros

Artavanis-Tsakonas), anti-Rta (1:4000, D3861 (12)), anti-FLAG (1:1000, M2 Sigma Aldrich F1804, or

PA1-984B Thermo scientific), anti-GAPDH (1:1000, BioLegend 919501), anti-GFP (1:200, B-2 Santa

Cruz sc-9996) anti-ORF59 (1H10; (12)), anti-KbZIP (49) or anti-Mta (50). The membranes were then

washed with 1x PBS/0.1% Tween 20 and 1x PBS and incubated for 30m with secondary antibodies

diluted in blocking buffer; antibodies and dilutions were as follows: Goat anti-Mouse IgG-h+1 HRP

conjugated (1:5000, Bethyl Labs A90-116P), Rabbit TruBlot anti-Rabbit IgG (1:1000, Rockland 18-

8816-33), Goat anti-Rabbit IgG-h+1 HRP conjugated (1:5000, Bethyl A120-101P). Membranes were

partially dried and incubated for up to 2m in Pierce ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Thermo Scientific,

32016). Signals were detected by exposure to autoradiography film (Denville, E3012) for up to 24h, or

digitally captured using myECL imager (Thermo Scientific) or Chemidoc (BioRad).

Quantitative Reverse Transcription-real-time Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-qPCR) Total RNA

was extracted from Vero rKSHV.294 cells using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen) as per manufacturer's

suggestions. DNA contamination was removed using the TURBO DNA-free kit (Invitrogen) as per

manufacturer's suggestions. Transcripts were quantitated by qRT-PCR using the iTaq Universal SYBR

Green One-Step Kit (Bio-Rad) following manufacturer's suggestions. 5 to 100 ng. of input RNA was

amplified using KSHV-specific unique coding sequence primers (51) or β-actin primers (52) in a

CFX96/C1000 instrument (Bio-Rad). Reaction conditions were 10 mins 50°C for RT, 1 min 95°C, then

40 cycles of 10 sec 95°C/10 sec 60°C. Amplification specificity was confirmed for all primer pairs by

melt-curve analysis and parallel control reactions that lacked template RNA or reverse transcriptase.

vDNA quantitation: BC3 cells were treated with indicated chemicals for 65h and total DNA was

purified from cell lysates using the FlexiGene DNA kit (Qiagen). Intracellular viral DNA was measured

by real-time quantitative (q) PCR of the viral genome; GAPDH was the internal control. qPCR reactions

were performed using SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Scientific). Input DNA (100 ng) was

amplified by incubating at 50°C for 10m and 95°C for 10m, then cycled 39 times with melting at 95°C

for 15 sec and annealing at 60°C for 1m. Fold DNA was calculated using the ΔCt method and all values

were normalized to that of VPA + vehicle, which was set to 100%.

Immunofluorescence: Cells were harvested in 15 mL conical tubes, washed twice with 1x Phosphate

Buffered Saline (PBS), and resuspended at a density of 1.25×10^6 cells/mL in 1x PBS. 15-20 mm circles

were drawn on glass microscope slides with a Super PAP pen (ThermoFisher 008899), then coated by a

10m incubation with 4 uL poly-L-lysine. Polylysine was removed, 200 uL of cells/circle were adhered

for 30m, then fixed in pre-chilled methanol/acetone (50/50 v/v) at -20°C for 5 to 10min. Slides were air

dried for 10min at RT and cells were blocked with 3% BSA/1% glycine in 1x PBS. The following

primary antibodies were diluted in 1:1000 in blocking buffer and incubated for 1h with the cells: anti-

Rta (D3861; (12)), anti-K8.1 (Santa Cruz Bio sc-65446, 1:1000), or anti-ORF59 (1H10; (12)). Cells

were washed with 1x PBS/0.4% Tween20 and 1x PBS, then the following secondary antibodies were

diluted 1:500 in blocking buffer and incubated for 1h with the cells: anti-rabbit DyLight 448 (Thermo

Scientific, 35552), anti-rabbit DyLight 550 (Thermo Scientific, 84541), or anti-mouse DyLight 550

(Thermo Scientific, 84540). Cells were re-washed, and coverslips were mounted to slides using Vecta-

shield with DAPI (Vector Labs).

Cell Growth Quantitation: Vero rKSHV.294 cells were plated at a density of 6.7×10^3 cells/well of a

96-well dish. 24 h later, cells were treated with 1 uL vehicle alone (control) or containing DAPT (final

concentration of 1 or 5 uM), in triplicate. Three mock wells were also prepared with media only for

each time point. At the post-treatment times indicated, cell media was replaced with 200 uL growth

assay media (180 uL DME + 20 uL Alamar Blue (BioRad BUF012A), and incubation was continued for

4 hr at 37ºC. Alamar Blue fluorescence was measured by excitation at 544 nm/emission at 590 nm in a

SpectraMax M2 plate reader (Molecular Devices). Mock values were averaged and subtracted from

each experimental value to yield corrected values. All corrected values were then normalized to 0h

values that had been set to the relative value of 1 for each treatment.

Results

Notch1 is constitutively active in KSHV-infected Vero cells

To understand the complex interactions of Notch signaling with KSHV infection, we have

employed an infected Vero cell model. These cells have emerged as a robust system for understanding

host and viral regulation of productive KSHV reactivation. Infected Vero cells provide a number of

improvements over other reactivation models, including their ease of transfection. Gantt and colleagues

(48) developed a Vero cell line that produces a recombinant KSHV reporter virus upon reactivation that

is quantifiable by infecting a trans-complementing 293 cell line. Using this system, we previously

demonstrated that ectopic, activated Notch1 (NICD1) was insufficient to produce infectious virus,

confirming work from studies of PEL cells (4, 27, 28).

Many cellular models of KSHV infection demonstrate constitutive activation of NICD1 (29, 32,

34-36) which is required to maintain cell growth and survival (34-36). Based on the dramatic

association of Notch activity with KSHV infection in those cells, we sought to determine the status of

Notch1 in infected Vero cells. We prepared total protein extracts from infected Vero cells that were

grown for 24h. Western blotting with anti-Notch1-specific antisera demonstrated a protein band doublet

that migrated with an apparent molecular weight (MW) of 100-120 kDa (Fig. 1). The doublet matched

the pattern and migration of the bands observed from control, uninfected Vero cells transfected with an

NICD1 expression vector (Fig. 1 "Con"). Therefore, we conclude that Notch1 is constitutively active in

latent, KSHV-infected Vero cells. Others have shown that expression of one or several productive cycle

KSHV proteins could induce expression of Notch pathway components in 293 cells (37). However, we

found that treating the infected Vero cells with VPA to reactivate the virus had no effect on the

constitutively high amount of NICD1 in the cells (not shown).

277
278

Inhibiting Notch activation reduces KSHV reactivation

To determine whether endogenous, constitutive Notch activity contributed to KSHV reactivation, we measured the effect of DAPT treatment on mature infectious virus production from Vero rKSHV.294 cells. Cells were pre-treated with DAPT or the vehicle control ("Vh"; DMSO) for 24h, then transfected with Rta expression vector to induce viral reactivation or empty vector (Vec) control. When Notch is active, which is the case in the Vh only cultures, ectopic Rta reactivates virus to approximately 700-fold greater than Vec, as we previously published (Fig. 2A) (28). In cells where Notch is inhibited, which is the case in the DAPT-treated cells, and viral reactivation is attempted by Rta addition, reactivation is stymied. These data suggest that constitutive Notch activity is necessary for optimal viral growth (Fig. 2A).

Since reactivation is scored by transferring the supernatants from the infected Vero cells to 293 MSR tet-OFF cells, we performed a key control experiment to assess the potential effect of DAPT on the function of the 293 reporter cells. We treated virus-containing supernatant media from the experimental Vero rKSHV.294 cells with DAPT prior to incubating the supernatants with the 293 cells. There was no decrease in virus detection in the 293 cells, confirming that DAPT does not inhibit *de novo* infection by KSHV nor expression of the SEAP reporter protein (not shown).

To confirm that NICD activity was reduced by DAPT treatment in the infected Vero cells, we co-transfected the Vero rKSHV.294 cells with two reporter plasmids. One contained four RBP-Jk motifs arrayed in tandem upstream of a luciferase reporter gene, and the other contained the HCMV enhancer sequence lacking RBP-Jk motifs for normalization. As expected, treatment of the cells with 5 uM DAPT for 6 or 24h reduced Notch activity relative to vehicle-treated cells (Fig. 2B).

Inhibiting Notch activation does not reduce growth of KSHV-infected Vero cells

Notch inhibition by DAPT can inhibit growth and induce apoptosis in KSHV-infected cells (29,

32-38). To determine the effect of DAPT on growth of the infected Vero rKSHV.294 cells, we

measured cell viability by alamarBlue sensitivity. Over a 72h period of growth, cells treated with 1 uM

or 5 uM DAPT maintained normal cell viability (Fig. 2C). The Fig. 2 data therefore support the

conclusion that Notch inhibition by DAPT treatment specifically reduces viral reactivation without

affecting Vero cell growth or viability.

Inhibiting the Notch transactivation complex reduces KSHV reactivation

Inhibiting the gamma-secretase complex with DAPT can block the formation of several signaling

substrates in addition to Notch (53). Therefore, we worked to specifically block Notch activity directly

at its site of transcriptional transactivation by testing the effects of ectopically expressing dominant

negative (dn) mutants of the Mastermind-like1 (MAML1) protein. Cognate MAML1 stabilizes the

NICD1/RBP-Jk/DNA complex to facilitate NICD1-dependent transactivation in the nucleus (54, 55).

Transfection of Vero rKSHV.294 cells with two different dnMAML1 expression vectors reduced Rta-

mediated reactivation by 40% or 90%, respectively (Fig. 3A). As a control, we observed that dnMAML1

proteins were strongly expressed (Fig 3B).

To determine if viral gene expression was similarly debilitated by inhibiting the Notch

transactivation complex during reactivation, we measured protein and transcript levels in the infected

Vero cells. We observed a gene specific reduction in viral proteins (Figs 3C and D) and RNAs (Fig 3E)

in cells expressing dnMAML1 relative to empty vector-transfected cells. The expression of Mta, KbZIP,

K2, and K8.1 proteins and transcripts were significantly reduced by inhibiting Notch transactivation

with dnMAML1. We conclude that activated Notch supports production of infectious KSHV by

transactivating specific, essential viral genes in cooperation with Rta.

Notch1 is necessary for optimal viral reactivation

The data in Figs. 2 and 3 show that inhibiting Notch post-translationally at two different biochemical steps of its activation dramatically reduced KSHV reactivation and support a pro-viral role for Notch signaling in viral reactivation in Vero cells. However, these experiments do not specify which of the four Notch receptors, Notch1,2,3 or 4, are required for efficient reactivation, as all four proteins are inhibited by DAPT and ectopic dnMAML1 (2, 54, 56-60).

Since Notch1 is constitutively active in Vero cells, we asked whether specific knock-down of Notch1 would affect viral reactivation. We transfected two different siRNAs to knock-down endogenous Notch1 in Vero-rKSHV.294 cells, and then induced viral reactivation by transfection of Rta expression vector (Fig. 4A) or VPA treatment (Fig. 4B). The Notch1-specific siRNAs reduced viral reactivation in all cases relative to control, scrambled siRNA ("Scr"), up to a maximum of ~80% inhibition ("N1-B" in Fig. 4A). Knock-down of endogenous Notch1 was confirmed via Western blot (Fig 4C). These data verify that constitutively active Notch1 plays a pro-viral role in KSHV reactivation in Vero cells.

Notch is pro-viral in PEL cells

Notch1 is constitutively active in PEL cells (34-36). To determine whether Notch1 also supports reactivation in these cells, we reactivated virus with VPA in the presence of 16, 32, or 40 uM of DAPT and quantitated vDNA accumulation and protein expression. DAPT reduced intracellular vDNA accumulation up to a maximum of ~80% relative to VPA plus vehicle control ("Vh") (Fig 5A). DAPT also reduced the percentage of K8.1-positive cells (Fig. 5B); as K8.1 is a true-late gene, this result was consistent with the effect of DAPT on vDNA accumulation. Interestingly, we did not observe an effect of DAPT treatment on the percentage of ORF59-positive cells, perhaps because our assay was not designed to detect modest effects on the ORF59 amounts or signal intensity (Fig 5B).

In an SLK cell model of KSHV infection, Notch was shown to inhibit reactivation and reduce expression of productive cycle genes, including Rta (31). In contrast, we did not observe a statistically significant effect of DAPT treatment on Rta expression in any of the experiments we performed in Vero and PEL cells (not shown).

Ectopic, activated Notch isoforms 1 to 4 are insufficient to reactivate KSHV from latency

In our experiments using the infected Vero cell system, as well as experiments in PEL cells, we demonstrated that ectopic NICD1 is incapable of productively reactivating KSHV, despite widespread RBP-Jk binding to the latent viral genome (4, 7, 28). Although other Notch isoforms are commonly constitutively-expressed in KSHV-infected cells (29, 32, 34-36) it is possible that their endogenous levels are insufficient to drive viral reactivation. To test whether ectopic Notch isoforms 2, 3, and 4 could reactivate KSHV, we transfected vectors that express NICD 2, 3, and 4 into Vero rKSHV.294 cells. As expected, ectopic Rta, the positive control, gave a 500-fold increase in KSHV reactivation as measured by SeAP activity (Fig. 6A). However, little to no virus was detectable from cells transfected with any of the NICD expression vectors (NICD1, 1.1-fold; NICD2, 9.4-fold; NICD3, 2.9-fold; NICD4, 4.8-fold), similar to effects in cells transfected with the Rta transcriptionally deficient mutant ORF50ΔSTAD (1.7-fold; Fig. 6A). All four isoforms were thus insufficient to reactivate KSHV from Vero cells, despite their robust expression levels (Fig 6B).

Ectopic NICD1 and 2 are transactivation competent in KSHV-infected Vero cells

It is possible that the activated Notch proteins are unable to reactivate KSHV because they are not transcriptionally active in Vero rKSHV.294 cells. To assess their activities, we co-transfected Vero rKSHV.294 cells with a reporter vector containing four tandem RBP-Jκ sites, and each of the four NICD expression vectors at the same amounts as in Fig 6. We observed significant transactivation of this

promoter by both NICD1 and 2, but not NICD3 or 4 (Fig 7). Taken together with Fig. 6, these data

confirm that NICD1 and 2 are incapable of reactivating KSHV despite being transcriptionally active.

However, the inability of NICD3 or 4 to activate transcription in these cells provides a potential

explanation for their inability to reactivate KSHV from latency.

NICD mutants of varying activity are insufficient to reactivate KSHV from latency

To determine whether varying the potency of ectopic, activated NICD1 could reactivate KSHV,

we tested a series of constitutively-active Notch alleles with varying intrinsic signaling strengths that

had been cloned from clinical T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) lymphomas (47, 61). Each

of these Notch alleles contain distinct mutations that render the protein more stable (NICDΔPEST) or

promote constitutive cleavage and activation (P12 and L1594P). NICDΔPEST was classified as a very

weak allele as it was unable to influence hematopoiesis or induce T-ALL but was found to cooperate

with other T-ALL initiators, including c-myc. NICD-L1594P was classified as having weak signal

strength due to its ability to affect hematopoiesis, but not induce T-ALL, while NICD-P12 was

considered a strong mutant due to its ability to induce T-ALL. We transfected vectors expressing each

of these alleles into the Vero rKSHV.294 cells and found that none could reactivate the virus, similar to

the result with cognate NICD1 ("WT"; Fig 8). These data further verify that NICD1 is insufficient to

reactivate KSHV from latency, regardless of its potency.

NICD1 cooperates with VPA in reactivation

Our data showed that endogenous Notch1 is constitutively active in infected Vero cells and

supports optimal viral reactivation that is induced by VPA treatment or by ectopic Rta expression.

However, increasing activated Notch1 amounts alone, via ectopic NICD1 expression, was insufficient to

productively reactivate KSHV. To determine whether ectopic NICD1 could cooperate with VPA

treatment, we transfected the Vero rKSHV.294 cells with the NICD1 expression vector alone or with 1

mM VPA treatment. In positive control samples treated with VPA alone, we observe approximately

350-fold reactivation (Fig 9). As expected, ectopic expression of NICD1 alone was insufficient to

produce virus (Fig 9). However, expression of ectopic NICD1 in VPA-treated cells enhanced

reactivation to about 700-fold (Fig 9), supporting a cooperative role for NICD1 and VPA.

406
407

408   **Discussion**

In this work, we demonstrate that ectopic expression of activated Notch1 is insufficient to

productively reactivate KSHV from latency (Fig 6). NICD1's reactivation insufficiency confirms our

earlier results from ectopic expression of NICD1 in PEL and Vero cells (4, 28), and agrees with similar

results in which NICD1 was conditionally-induced ectopically in a stably-transfected PEL cell line (27).

To ensure that this negative result is not due to an inadequate dose of ectopic NICD1, we show that three

additional Notch1 alleles that encode constitutively active NICD1 proteins with varied intrinsic

transcriptional potency also fail to reactivate KSHV from latency (Fig 8). Our work is the first to test all

four of the NICD isoforms in this capacity, and conclusively establishes that ectopic NICD2 is also not

capable of reactivating the virus. While ectopic expression of NICD isoforms 3 and 4 also did not result

in production of infectious virus, we are unable to conclude that NICD3 and 4 are similarly insufficient

to reactivate KSHV since we could not determine that they are transcriptionally active in our

experiments (Fig 7). However, since the Notch isoforms have been shown to have different promoter

transactivation specificities (46, 62-64), it is possible that NICD3 and 4 are, in fact, active in Vero cells,

but are simply incapable of activating the 4x tandem RBP-Jk motif (4x CBS) reporter plasmid. NICD1

and 2 have been suggested to be functionally interchangeable in other biologic systems (65-67).

Despite Notch's inability to reactivate latent KSHV alone, we show that constitutive Notch1

activity is required for robust reactivation of the virus. All three of our strategies that inhibited

endogenous Notch activity in the Vero cells decreased Rta or VPA-induced reactivation over a range of

60-90% (Figs 2 to 5). Two of the strategies, DAPT treatment and dnMAML1 expression, are well-

established strategies to broadly inhibit all four Notch isoforms (2, 54, 56-60). DAPT blocks gamma-

secretase activation of endogenous Notch and dnMAML1 interferes with the formation of Notch

transactivation complexes (44, 68-70). However, neither strategy is strictly Notch-specific, because each

also interferes with other cellular processes that require gamma-secretase activity or cognate MAML1

(53, 55). To inhibit Notch1 specifically, we knocked-down its expression using two different transfected

siRNAs (Fig. 4). Inhibiting Notch with dnMAML1 reduced reactivation more dramatically than did

siRNA knock-down, suggesting that endogenous Notch 2, 3, and 4 may also have pro-viral functions

(compare Figs 3 and 4). Our Notch inhibition studies focused primarily on quantitating production of

infectious virus from latently infected Vero cells, but we also observed that inhibiting Notch in PEL

cells reduced synthesis of intracellular viral DNA (Fig 5). Those data suggest that Notch activity in both

cell lines have similar effects on viral infection. We note a single contradictory study that concluded that

ectopic NICD1 reactivated KSHV (30).

It is well-established that Notch signaling is critical for cell and animal models of KSHV

pathogenesis; however, our data are the first to demonstrate that Notch activation is necessary for robust

production of infectious virus. Productive cycle gene expression is also seen in the context of sustained

Notch activation that is required for the endothelial to mesenchymal transition of KSHV-infected cells

(29, 33, 37, 38). Growth and survival of KSHV-infected cells also depend on Notch activity:

proliferation rates of KSHV-infected B cells were proportional to Notch expression levels, and Notch

inhibition reduced cellular cyclin D1 expression and arrested cells in G1 (35). Moreover, pharmacologic

Notch inhibition induced apoptosis of infected SLK, B, and primary KS tumor cells (29, 32, 33, 35-38)

and induced necrosis of PEL xenografts in NOD/SCID mice (34). Although Notch1 expression is

insufficient to productively reactivate KSHV, ectopic Notch1 could induce expression of a subset of

productive cycle viral genes in PEL cells (27). Importantly, the infected Vero cell model used in our

work is ideal for uncoupling the effect of Notch on cell growth from its effect on viral reactivation; in

our work, we used DAPT concentrations that significantly reduced production of infectious virus

without affecting host cell doubling time or survival (Fig 2C). This pro-viral role of Notch follows the

paradigm established in foundational tumor virus studies in which cellular oncogenic pathways

manipulated by SV40 or Adenovirus also support productive viral infections (71).

Our data raise two intriguing questions: how does activated Notch contribute to reactivation and

why is it not sufficient to reactivate KSHV alone? Since complete Notch ablation is inconsistent with

survival of KSHV-infected cells, one apparent contribution of activated Notch is to maintain survival of

cells in which KSHV is reactivating (29, 32, 34-38). To this end, we note that none of our strategies to

reduce Notch activity were 100% effective in debilitating virus production. However, our observation

that DAPT treatment and partial NICD1 knock-down can debilitate reactivation without reducing cell

growth suggests a function of Notch that is complementary to Rta and independent of cell survival. Our

data also show that NICD1 is transactivation competent in latently-infected Vero cells (Fig 7), as has

also been demonstrated in latently-infected PEL cells (27). Thus, all of the components sufficient to

form the Notch1 transactivation complex must be expressed and functional in KSHV-infected cells

(reviewed in (2, 3, 72)). Therefore, we believe that Notch's insufficiency to reactivate KSHV derives

from a limit to gene-specific transactivation that is relieved when Rta is expressed. Importantly, one

critical gene which ectopic NICD1 fails to activate in Vero and PEL cells is Rta (data not shown; (27)).

Therefore, when endogenous Rta is induced by VPA, or Rta is expressed ectopically, endogenous

NICD1 then likely transactivates specific viral genes during reactivation. This hypothesis for gene-

specific transactivation by NICD1 is supported by the different sensitivities of expression of the viral

genes Mta, KbZIP, K2, K8.1 and ORF59 observed in response to Notch inhibition (Fig. 3C to E and Fig

5B). Our observation that broad Notch inhibitors have greater anti-viral potency than specific Notch1

inhibition (compare Figs 2A and 3A to Fig 4A) suggests that at least one additional Notch isoform also

supports optimal KSHV reactivation.

We interpret our data as revealing that Notch activity had no influence on productive reactivation

unless Rta was expressed. Activated Notch has no intrinsic DNA binding ability, but instead relies upon

the cellular DNA binding protein RBP-Jk to specify NICD1's target genes (2, 3, 73) . One function of

Rta which can profoundly influence the ability of NICD1 to transactivate specific genes is Rta's ability

to stimulate RBP-Jk DNA binding (4, 7, 26). Our lab modeled this mechanism by showing that NICD1

can transactivate the Mta promoter only if a truncated form of Rta, lacking its transactivation domain,

stimulates RBP-Jk binding to the promoter (4). Studying the intact virus, we showed that KSHV

reactivation is associated with dramatic re-localization of RBP-Jk to unique sites on the virus genome

(26). The extent of dynamic RBP-Jk binding to the virus can be contrasted with that observed on the cell

genome. In uninfected cells, RBP-Jk binding sites on the cellular genome are classified as static or

dynamic, and NICD1 can stimulate RBP-Jk DNA binding to cellular genomes (74-77). However, on the

KSHV genome, the proportion of dynamic RBP-Jk sites in our studies vastly exceed the proportion of

dynamic sites reported on cellular genomes (26, 74, 75, 78-81). In fact, the number of reactivation-

specific RBP-Jk sites on the virus, and the number of RBP-Jk DNA motifs on the virus, exceed the

number of KSHV ORFs (26). Moreover, Rta is not found bound to the virus at every RBP-Jk site (26).

We hypothesize that NICD1/MAML1/RBP-Jk complexes transactivate specific essential viral promoters

and cooperate with Rta to support KSHV reactivation (Fig 9). During latency, in the absence of Rta

expression, NICD1/RBP-Jk fails to form a competent transactivation complex on at least one essential

viral promoter. Unlike NICD1, Rta also binds DNA independent of RBP-Jk for transactivation and

replication (82-85); these mechanistic differences probably also contribute to Notch's insufficiency in

reactivation.

Based on experimental data, we have published two mechanisms that describe how Rta regulates

RBP-Jk DNA binding: (1) Rta directly binds to RBP-Jk, and (2) Rta induces expression of additional

cellular proteins during reactivation which in turn bind to DNA adjacent to RBP-Jk (4, 7, 25, 26). The

latter mechanism is consistent with our work and others that demonstrated that *de novo* gene expression

downstream of Rta is required for robust reactivation (25, 86). Thus, NICD1 transactivation in KSHV-

infected cells is determined by the fate of the infection (latent vs. reactivating) and intracellular context,

as well as the DNA context of individual RBP-Jk binding sites. Similar context-dependent determinants

have been invoked to explain cell-specific variations in NICD1 transactivation and phenotypic

consequences in uninfected cells (46, 81, 87-96). The influence of cellular context on Notch function

includes interactions between the four Notch isoforms, including competition, cooperation, or

redundancy (63, 65, 66, 97-110).

In Notch cancer biology, cell context is a major determinant of the striking observation that

Notch can be either tumor suppressive or oncogenic in different malignancies (reviewed in (2, 111-113).

The ideal DNA context for an NICD1-responsive RBP-Jk site is a head-to-head configuration with a

second RBP-Jk site spaced 15-17 nts apart (114-117); remarkably, only six pairs of RBP-Jk motifs in the

KSHV genome are found in that DNA context (not shown). It is likely that the mechanisms underlying

contextual variations in Notch signaling outcomes in uninfected cells are functionally similar in KSHV

infection, but uniquely regulated by KSHV proteins like Rta. Moreover, cell context may be responsible

for the discordance of our observations with a publication that showed that NICD1 inhibits KSHV

reactivation in SLK cells (31).

In summary, herein we demonstrate the significance of constitutive Notch1 activity in the

cellular context of productive KSHV reactivation. The essentiality of Rta/RBP-Jk/DNA complexes in

driving reactivation is well-established, but this current study highlights the likelihood that Notch

contributes to reactivation by forming promoter-specific NICD1/RBP-Jk/DNA complexes, also. The

KSHV genome contains >100 RBP-Jk motifs of varying sequence found in varying DNA contexts that

we expect to respond differently to Rta and/or NICD1 to determine the success of a reactivation signal

leading to virus production. Moreover, our data indicate that Notch isoforms other than the well-studied

Notch1 may help regulate viral infection. This diversity in the molecular players underlies the

consequences of RBP-Jk-dependent gene expression in KSHV infection and host cell pathology.

Our data are consistent with the idea that the Notch-dependance of viral reactivation is a complex

network that, when revealed, will provide important new insights into Notch-dependent signaling in

mammalian cells.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully thank Drs. Jeff Vieira, Ethel Cesarman, Signe V. Horn Pederson, Geoff Wahl , Rhett

Kovall, Raphael Kopan, Jon C. Aster, and Spyros Artavanis-Tsakonas for cells, antibody, and plasmids.

The work was supported by NIH Grants AI117127 and AI150230

<u>References</u>

1.   Watanabe T, Sugimoto A, Hosokawa K, Fujimuro M. 2018. Signal Transduction Pathways

Associated with KSHV-Related Tumors. Adv Exp Med Biol 1045:321-355.

2.   Aster JC, Pear WS, Blacklow SC. 2017. The Varied Roles of Notch in Cancer. Annu Rev Pathol

12:245-275.

3.   Giaimo BD, Gagliani EK, Kovall RA, Borggrefe T. 2021. Transcription Factor RBPJ as a

Molecular Switch in Regulating the Notch Response, p 9-30. *In* Reichrath J, Reichrath S (ed),

Notch Signaling in Embryology and Cancer: Notch Signaling in Cancer doi:10.1007/978-3-030-

55031-8_2. Springer International Publishing, Cham.

4.   Carroll KD, Bu W, Palmeri D, Spadavecchia S, Lynch SJ, Marras SA, Tyagi S, Lukac DM. 2006.

Kaposi's Sarcoma-associated herpesvirus lytic switch protein stimulates DNA binding of RBP-

Jk/CSL to activate the Notch pathway. J Virol 80:9697-709.

5.   Liang Y, Chang J, Lynch S, Lukac DM, Ganem D. 2002. The lytic switch protein of KSHV

activates gene expression via functional interaction with RBP-Jk, the target of the Notch signaling

pathway. Genes Dev 16:1977-89.

6.   Liang Y, Ganem D. 2003. Lytic but not latent infection by Kaposi's sarcoma-associated

herpesvirus requires host CSL protein, the mediator of Notch signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A

100:8490-5.

7.   Palmeri D, Carroll KD, Gonzalez-Lopez O, Lukac DM. 2011. Kaposi's sarcoma-associated

herpesvirus Rta tetramers make high-affinity interactions with repetitive DNA elements in the Mta

promoter to stimulate DNA binding of RBP-Jk/CSL. J Virol 85:11901-15.

8.   Hilton IB, Dittmer DP. 2012. Quantitative analysis of the bidirectional viral G-protein-coupled

receptor and lytic latency-associated nuclear antigen promoter of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated

herpesvirus. J Virol 86:9683-95.

9.   Bu W, Carroll KD, Palmeri D, Lukac DM. 2007. The Kaposi's Sarcoma-associated

Herpesvirus/Human herpesvirus-8 ORF50/Rta Lytic Switch Protein Functions as a Tetramer. J

Virol 81:5788-5806.

10.   Lukac D, Garibyan L, Kirshner J, Palmeri D, Ganem D. 2001. DNA binding by the Kaposi's

sarcoma-associated herpesvirus lytic switch protein is necessary for transcriptional activation of

two viral delayed early promoters. J Virol 75:6786-99.

11.   Lukac DM, Kirshner JR, Ganem D. 1999. Transcriptional activation by the product of open

reading frame 50 of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus is required for lytic viral reactivation

in B cells. J Virol 73:9348-61.

12.   Lukac DM, Renne R, Kirshner JR, Ganem D. 1998. Reactivation of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infection from latency by expression of the ORF 50 transactivator, a homolog of the EBV R protein. Virology 252:304-312.

13.   Wang Y, Yuan Y. 2006. Essential role of RBP-Jkappa in activation of the K8 delayed-early promoter of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus by ORF50/RTA. Virology.

14.   Persson LM, Wilson AC. 2010. Wide-scale use of Notch signaling factor CSL/RBP-Jkappa in RTA-mediated activation of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus lytic genes. J Virol 84:1334-47.

15.   Scholz BA, Harth-Hertle ML, Malterer G, Haas J, Ellwart J, Schulz TF, Kempkes B. 2013. Abortive lytic reactivation of KSHV in CBF1/CSL deficient human B cell lines. PLoS Pathog 9:e1003336.

16.   Lan K, Kuppers DA, Verma SC, Robertson ES. 2004. Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus-encoded latency-associated nuclear antigen inhibits lytic replication by targeting Rta: a potential mechanism for virus-mediated control of latency. J Virol 78:6585-94.

17.   Liu Y, Cao Y, Liang D, Gao Y, Xia T, Robertson ES, Lan K. 2008. Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus RTA activates the processivity factor ORF59 through interaction with RBP-Jkappa and a cis-acting RTA responsive element. Virology 380:264-75.

18.   Liang Y, Ganem D. 2004. RBP-J (CSL) is essential for activation of the K14/vGPCR promoter of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus by the lytic switch protein RTA. J Virol 78:6818-26.

19.   Chang PJ, Boonsiri J, Wang SS, Chen LY, Miller G. 2010. Binding of RBP-Jkappa (CSL) protein to the promoter of the Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus ORF47 (gL) gene is a critical but not sufficient determinant of transactivation by ORF50 protein. Virology 398:38-48.

20.　Izumiya Y, Izumiya C, Hsia D, Ellison TJ, Luciw PA, Kung HJ. 2009. NF-kappaB serves as a

cellular sensor of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus latency and negatively regulates K-Rta

by antagonizing the RBP-Jkappa coactivator. J Virol 83:4435-46.

21.　Sun R, LIn SF, Gradoville L, Yuan Y, Zhu F, Miller G. 1998. A viral gene that activates lytic cycle

expression of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95:10866-10871.

22.　Gradoville L, Gerlach J, Grogan E, Shedd D, Nikiforow S, Metroka C, Miller G. 2000. Kaposi's

sarcoma-associated herpesvirus open reading frame 50/Rta protein activates the entire lytic cycle

in the HH-B2 primary effusion lymphoma cell line. J Virol 74:6207-6212.

23.　Nakamura H, Lu M, Gwack Y, Souvlis J, Zeichner SL, Jung JU. 2003. Global changes in Kaposi's

sarcoma-associated virus gene expression patterns following expression of a tetracycline-inducible

Rta transactivator. J Virol 77:4205-20.

24.　Xu Y, AuCoin DP, Huete AR, Cei SA, Hanson LJ, Pari GS. 2005. A Kaposi's sarcoma-associated

herpesvirus/human herpesvirus 8 ORF50 deletion mutant is defective for reactivation of latent

virus and DNA replication. J Virol 79:3479-87.

25.　Bu W, Palmeri D, Krishnan R, Marin R, Aris VM, Soteropoulous P, Lukac DM. 2008.

Identification of Direct Transcriptional Targets of the KSHV Rta Lytic Switch Protein by

conditional nuclear localization. J Virol 82:10709-10723.

26.　Gonzalez-Lopez O DJ, Goyeneche C, Mello H, Vicente-Ortiz BA, Shin HJ, Driscoll KE, Du P,

Palmeri D, Lukac DM. . 2019. A herpesvirus transactivator and cellular POU proteins extensively

regulate DNA binding of the host Notch signaling protein RBP-Jκ to the virus genome. . J Biol

Chem 294:13073-13092.

27.　Chang H, Dittmer DP, Chul SY, Hong Y, Jung JU. 2005. Role of Notch signal transduction in

Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus gene expression. J Virol 79:14371-82.

28. DeCotiis JL, Ortiz NC, Vega BA, Lukac DM. 2017. An easily transfectable cell line that produces

an infectious reporter virus for routine and robust quantitation of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated

herpesvirus reactivation. J Virol Methods 247:99-106.

29. Cheng F, Pekkonen P, Laurinavicius S, Sugiyama N, Henderson S, Gunther T, Rantanen V,

Kaivanto E, Aavikko M, Sarek G, Hautaniemi S, Biberfeld P, Aaltonen L, Grundhoff A, Boshoff

C, Alitalo K, Lehti K, Ojala PM. 2011. KSHV-initiated notch activation leads to membrane-type-

1 matrix metalloproteinase-dependent lymphatic endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition. Cell Host

Microbe 10:577-90.

30. Lan K, Murakami M, Choudhuri T, Kuppers DA, Robertson ES. 2006. Intracellular-activated

Notch1 can reactivate Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus from latency. Virology 351:393-

403.

31. Li S, Hu H, He Z, Liang D, Sun R, Lan K. 2016. Fine-Tuning of the Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated

Herpesvirus Life Cycle in Neighboring Cells through the RTA-JAG1-Notch Pathway. PLOS

Pathogens 12:e1005900.

32. Curry CL, Reed LL, Golde TE, Miele L, Nickoloff BJ, Foreman KE. 2005. Gamma secretase

inhibitor blocks Notch activation and induces apoptosis in Kaposi's sarcoma tumor cells.

Oncogene 24:6333-44.

33. Emuss V, Lagos D, Pizzey A, Gratrix F, Henderson SR, Boshoff C. 2009. KSHV manipulates

Notch signaling by DLL4 and JAG1 to alter cell cycle genes in lymphatic endothelia. PLoS Pathog

5:e1000616.

34. Lan K, Murakami M, Bajaj B, Kaul R, He Z, Gan R, Feldman M, Robertson ES. 2009. Inhibition

of KSHV-infected primary effusion lymphomas in NOD/SCID mice by gamma-secretase

inhibitor. Cancer Biol Ther 8:2136-43.

35.   Lan K, Choudhuri T, Murakami M, Kuppers DA, Robertson ES. 2006. Intracellular activated
637   Notch1 is critical for proliferation of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus-associated B-
638   lymphoma cell lines in vitro. J Virol 80:6411-9.

639   36.   Lan K, Verma SC, Murakami M, Bajaj B, Kaul R, Robertson ES. 2007. Kaposi's sarcoma
640   herpesvirus-encoded latency-associated nuclear antigen stabilizes intracellular activated Notch by
641   targeting the Sel10 protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:16287-92.

642   37.   Liu R, Li X, Tulpule A, Zhou Y, Scehnet JS, Zhang S, Lee JS, Chaudhary PM, Jung J, Gill PS.
643   2010. KSHV-induced notch components render endothelial and mural cell characteristics and cell
644   survival. Blood 115:887-95.

645   38.   Gasperini P, Espigol-Frigole G, McCormick PJ, Salvucci O, Maric D, Uldrick TS, Polizzotto MN,
646   Yarchoan R, Tosato G. 2012. Kaposi sarcoma herpesvirus promotes endothelial-to-mesenchymal
647   transition through Notch-dependent signaling. Cancer Res 72:1157-69.

648   39.   Gould F, Harrison SM, Hewitt EW, Whitehouse A. 2009. Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated
649   Herpesvirus RTA Promotes Degradation of the Hey1 Repressor Protein through the Ubiquitin
650   Proteasome Pathway. Journal of Virology 83:6727-6738.

651   40.   Green MR, Sambrook J, Sambrook J. 2012. Molecular cloning : a laboratory manual, 4th ed. Cold
652   Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.

653   41.   Lukac DM, Renne R, Kirshner JR, Ganem D. 1998. Reactivation of Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated
654   Herpesvirus Infection from Latency by Expression of the ORF 50 Transactivator, a Homolog of
655   the EBV R Protein. Virology 252:304-312.

656   42.   Horn S, Kobberup S, Jørgensen MC, Kalisz M, Klein T, Kageyama R, Gegg M, Lickert H, Lindner
657   J, Magnuson MA, Kong Y-Y, Serup P, Ahnfelt-Rønne J, Jensen JN. 2012. Mind bomb
658   1 is required for pancreatic β-cell formation. Proceedings of the National Academy of
659   Sciences 109:7356-7361.

43.   Kanda T, Sullivan KF, Wahl GM. 1998. Histone-GFP fusion protein enables sensitive analysis of

chromosome dynamics in living mammalian cells. Curr Biol 8:377-85.

44.   Saxena MT, Schroeter EH, Mumm JS, Kopan R. 2001. Murine Notch Homologs (N1–4)

Undergo Presenilin-dependent Proteolysis *. Journal of Biological Chemistry 276:40268-40273.

45.   Yuan Z, Friedmann DR, VanderWielen BD, Collins KJ, Kovall RA. 2012. Characterization of

CSL (CBF-1, Su(H), Lag-1) mutants reveals differences in signaling mediated by Notch1 and

Notch2. J Biol Chem 287:34904-16.

46.   Ong CT, Cheng HT, Chang LW, Ohtsuka T, Kageyama R, Stormo GD, Kopan R. 2006. Target

selectivity of vertebrate notch proteins. Collaboration between discrete domains and CSL-binding

site architecture determines activation probability. J Biol Chem 281:5106-19.

47.   Weng AP, Ferrando AA, Lee W, Morris JPt, Silverman LB, Sanchez-Irizarry C, Blacklow SC,

Look AT, Aster JC. 2004. Activating mutations of NOTCH1 in human T cell acute lymphoblastic

leukemia. Science 306:269-71.

48.   Gantt S, Carlsson J, Ikoma M, Gachelet E, Gray M, Geballe AP, Corey L, Casper C, Lagunoff M,

Vieira J. 2011. The HIV protease inhibitor nelfinavir inhibits Kaposi's sarcoma-associated

herpesvirus replication in vitro. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy 55:2696-703.

49.   Carroll KD, Khadim F, Spadavecchia S, Palmeri D, Lukac DM. 2007. Direct interactions of

Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus/human herpesvirus 8 ORF50/Rta protein with the

cellular protein octamer-1 and DNA are critical for specifying transactivation of a delayed-early

promoter and stimulating viral reactivation. J Virol 81:8451-67.

50.   Kirshner JR, Lukac DM, Chang J, Ganem D. 2000. Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus

Open Reading Frame 57 Encodes a Posttranscriptional Regulator with Multiple Distinct Activities.

J Virol 74:3586-3597.

51.   Bruce AG, Barcy S, DiMaio T, Gan E, Garrigues HJ, Lagunoff M, Rose TM. 2017. Quantitative

Analysis of the KSHV Transcriptome Following Primary Infection of Blood and Lymphatic

Endothelial Cells. Pathogens 6.

52.   Journo G, Tushinsky C, Shterngas A, Avital N, Eran Y, Karpuj MV, Frenkel-Morgenstern M,

Shamay M. 2018. Modulation of Cellular CpG DNA Methylation by Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated

Herpesvirus. J Virol 92.

53.   Güner G, Lichtenthaler SF. 2020. The substrate repertoire of γ-secretase/presenilin. Seminars in

Cell & Developmental Biology 105:27-42.

54.   Weng AP, Nam Y, Wolfe MS, Pear WS, Griffin JD, Blacklow SC, Aster JC. 2003. Growth

Suppression of Pre-T Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia Cells by Inhibition of Notch Signaling.

Molecular and Cellular Biology 23:655-664.

55.   Zema S, Pelullo M, Nardozza F, Felli MP, Screpanti I, Bellavia D. 2020. A Dynamic Role of

Mastermind-Like 1: A Journey Through the Main (Path)ways Between Development and Cancer.

Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology 8.

56.   Maillard I, Weng AP, Carpenter AC, Rodriguez CG, Sai H, Xu L, Allman D, Aster JC, Pear WS.

2004. Mastermind critically regulates Notch-mediated lymphoid cell fate decisions. Blood

104:1696-1702.

57.   Saravanamuthu SS, Gao CY, Zelenka PS. 2009. Notch signaling is required for lateral induction

of Jagged1 during FGF-induced lens fiber differentiation. Dev Biol 332:166-76.

58.   Bagheri L, Pellati A, Rizzo P, Aquila G, Massari L, De Mattei M, Ongaro A. 2018. Notch pathway

is active during osteogenic differentiation of human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells induced

by pulsed electromagnetic fields. J Tissue Eng Regen Med 12:304-315.

59.   Lilly B, Kennard S. 2009. Differential gene expression in a coculture model of angiogenesis

reveals modulation of select pathways and a role for Notch signaling. Physiol Genomics 36:69-78.

60.   Sastre M, Steiner H, Fuchs K, Capell A, Multhaup G, Condron MM, Teplow DB, Haass C. 2001.

708   Presenilin-dependent γ-secretase processing of β-amyloid precursor protein at a site corresponding

709   to the S3 cleavage of Notch. EMBO reports 2:835-841.

710   61.   Chiang MY, Xu L, Shestova O, Histen G, L'Heureux S, Romany C, Childs ME, Gimotty PA, Aster

711   JC, Pear WS. 2008. Leukemia-associated NOTCH1 alleles are weak tumor initiators but accelerate

712   K-ras-initiated leukemia. J Clin Invest 118:3181-94.

713   62.   Beatus P, Lundkvist J, Oberg C, Pedersen K, Lendahl U. 2001. The origin of the ankyrin repeat

714   region in Notch intracellular domains is critical for regulation of HES promoter activity. Mech

715   Dev 104:3-20.

716   63.   James AC, Szot JO, Iyer K, Major JA, Pursglove SE, Chapman G, Dunwoodie SL. 2014. Notch4

717   reveals a novel mechanism regulating Notch signal transduction. Biochim Biophys Acta

718   1843:1272-84.

719   64.   Yamaguchi N, Oyama T, Ito E, Satoh H, Azuma S, Hayashi M, Shimizu K, Honma R, Yanagisawa

720   Y, Nishikawa A, Kawamura M, Imai J-i, Ohwada S, Tatsuta K, Inoue J-i, Semba K, Watanabe S.

721   2008. NOTCH3 Signaling Pathway Plays Crucial Roles in the Proliferation of ErbB2-Negative

722   Human Breast Cancer Cells. Cancer Research 68:1881-1888.

723   65.   Liu Z, Brunskill E, Varnum-Finney B, Zhang C, Zhang A, Jay PY, Bernstein I, Morimoto M,

724   Kopan R. 2015. The intracellular domains of Notch1 and Notch2 are functionally equivalent

725   during development and carcinogenesis. Development 142:2452-2463.

726   66.   Giachino C, Boulay JL, Ivanek R, Alvarado A, Tostado C, Lugert S, Tchorz J, Coban M, Mariani

727   L, Bettler B, Lathia J, Frank S, Pfister S, Kool M, Taylor V. 2015. A Tumor Suppressor Function

728   for Notch Signaling in Forebrain Tumor Subtypes. Cancer Cell 28:730-742.

729   67.   Rossi D, Trifonov V, Fangazio M, Bruscaggin A, Rasi S, Spina V, Monti S, Vaisitti T, Arruga F,

730   Famà R, Ciardullo C, Greco M, Cresta S, Piranda D, Holmes A, Fabbri G, Messina M, Rinaldi A,

Wang J, Agostinelli C, Piccaluga PP, Lucioni M, Tabbò F, Serra R, Franceschetti S, Deambrogi

C, Daniele G, Gattei V, Marasca R, Facchetti F, Arcaini L, Inghirami G, Bertoni F, Pileri SA,

Deaglio S, Foà R, Dalla-Favera R, Pasqualucci L, Rabadan R, Gaidano G. 2012. The coding

genome of splenic marginal zone lymphoma: activation of NOTCH2 and other pathways

regulating marginal zone development. Journal of Experimental Medicine 209:1537-1551.

68. De Strooper B, Annaert W, Cupers P, Saftig P, Craessaerts K, Mumm JS, Schroeter EH, Schrijvers

V, Wolfe MS, Ray WJ, Goate A, Kopan R. 1999. A presenilin-1-dependent γ-secretase-like

protease mediates release of Notch intracellular domain. Nature 398:518-522.

69. Lin SE, Oyama T, Nagase T, Harigaya K, Kitagawa M. 2002. Identification of new human

mastermind proteins defines a family that consists of positive regulators for notch signaling. J Biol

Chem 277:50612-20.

70. Wu L, Sun T, Kobayashi K, Gao P, Griffin JD. 2002. Identification of a family of mastermind-like

transcriptional coactivators for mammalian notch receptors. Mol Cell Biol 22:7688-700.

71. DiMaio D. 2019. Small size, big impact: how studies of small DNA tumour viruses revolutionized

biology. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 374:20180300.

72. Siebel C, Lendahl U. 2017. Notch Signaling in Development, Tissue Homeostasis, and Disease.

Physiol Rev 97:1235-1294.

73. Sprinzak D, Blacklow SC. 2021. Biophysics of Notch Signaling. Annu Rev Biophys 50:157-189.

74. Wang H, Zang C, Taing L, Arnett KL, Wong YJ, Pear WS, Blacklow SC, Liu XS, Aster JC. 2014.

NOTCH1-RBPJ complexes drive target gene expression through dynamic interactions with

superenhancers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:705-10.

75. Castel D, Mourikis P, Bartels SJ, Brinkman AB, Tajbakhsh S, Stunnenberg HG. 2013. Dynamic

binding of RBPJ is determined by Notch signaling status. Genes Dev 27:1059-71.

76. Krejci A, Bray S. 2007. Notch activation stimulates transient and selective binding of Su(H)/CSL

to target enhancers. Genes Dev 21:1322-7.

77. Gomez-Lamarca MJ, Falo-Sanjuan J, Stojnic R, Abdul Rehman S, Muresan L, Jones ML, Pillidge

Z, Cerda-Moya G, Yuan Z, Baloul S, Valenti P, Bystricky K, Payre F, O'Holleran K, Kovall R,

Bray SJ. 2018. Activation of the Notch Signaling Pathway In Vivo Elicits Changes in CSL Nuclear

Dynamics. Developmental Cell 44:611-623.e7.

78. Zhao B, Zou J, Wang H, Johannsen E, Peng CW, Quackenbush J, Mar JC, Morton CC, Freedman

ML, Blacklow SC, Aster JC, Bernstein BE, Kieff E. 2011. Epstein-Barr virus exploits intrinsic B-

lymphocyte transcription programs to achieve immortal cell growth. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A

108:14902-7.

79. Severson E, Arnett KL, Wang H, Zang C, Taing L, Liu H, Pear WS, Shirley Liu X, Blacklow SC,

Aster JC. 2017. Genome-wide identification and characterization of Notch transcription complex–

binding sequence-paired sites in leukemia cells. Science Signaling 10:eaag1598.

80. Ryan RJH, Petrovic J, Rausch DM, Zhou Y, Lareau CA, Kluk MJ, Christie AL, Lee WY, Tarjan

DR, Guo B, Donohue LKH, Gillespie SM, Nardi V, Hochberg EP, Blacklow SC, Weinstock DM,

Faryabi RB, Bernstein BE, Aster JC, Pear WS. 2017. A B Cell Regulome Links Notch to

Downstream Oncogenic Pathways in Small B Cell Lymphomas. Cell Reports 21:784-797.

81. Yashiro-Ohtani Y, Wang H, Zang C, Arnett KL, Bailis W, Ho Y, Knoechel B, Lanauze C, Louis

L, Forsyth KS, Chen S, Chung Y, Schug J, Blobel GA, Liebhaber SA, Bernstein BE, Blacklow

SC, Liu XS, Aster JC, Pear WS. 2014. Long-range enhancer activity determines *Myc*

sensitivity to Notch inhibitors in T cell leukemia. Proceedings of the National Academy of

Sciences doi:10.1073/pnas.1407079111:201407079.

82. Song M, Brown H, Wu T-T, Sun R. 2001. Transcription activation of polyadenylated nuclear RNA

by Rta in Human herpesvirus 8/Kaposi's Sarcoma-associated herpesvirus. J Virol 75:3129-40.

83. Chen J, Ye F, Xie J, Kuhne K, Gao SJ. 2009. Genome-wide identification of binding sites for

Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus lytic switch protein, RTA. Virology 386:290-302.

84. Wang Y, Li H, Chan MY, Zhu FX, Lukac DM, Yuan Y. 2004. Kaposi's sarcoma-associated

herpesvirus ori-Lyt-dependent DNA replication: cis-acting requirements for replication and ori-

Lyt-associated RNA transcription. J Virol 78:8615-29.

85. Rossetto CC, Susilarini NK, Pari GS. 2011. Interaction of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus

ORF59 with oriLyt is dependent on binding with K-Rta. J Virol 85:3833-41.

86. Papp B, Motlagh N, Smindak RJ, Jin Jang S, Sharma A, Alonso JD, Toth Z. 2019. Genome-Wide

Identification of Direct RTA Targets Reveals Key Host Factors for Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated

Herpesvirus Lytic Reactivation. J Virol 93.

87. Castro B, Barolo S, Bailey AM, Posakony JW. 2005. Lateral inhibition in proneural clusters: cis-

regulatory logic and default repression by Suppressor of Hairless. Development 132:3333-3344.

88. Nellesen DT, Lai EC, Posakony JW. 1999. Discrete enhancer elements mediate selective

responsiveness of enhancer of split complex genes to common transcriptional activators. Dev Biol

213:33-53.

89. Wang H, Zou J, Zhao B, Johannsen E, Ashworth T, Wong H, Pear WS, Schug J, Blacklow SC,

Arnett KL, Bernstein BE, Kieff E, Aster JC. 2011. Genome-wide analysis reveals conserved and

divergent features of Notch1/RBPJ binding in human and murine T-lymphoblastic leukemia cells.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108:14908-13.

90. Swanson CI, Evans NC, Barolo S. 2010. Structural rules and complex regulatory circuitry

constrain expression of a Notch- and EGFR-regulated eye enhancer. Dev Cell 18:359-70.

91. Cooper MT, Tyler DM, Furriols M, Chalkiadaki A, Delidakis C, Bray S. 2000. Spatially restricted

factors cooperate with notch in the regulation of Enhancer of split genes. Dev Biol 221:390-403.

92. Cave JW, Loh F, Surpris JW, Xia L, Caudy MA. 2005. A DNA transcription code for cell-specific

gene activation by notch signaling. Curr Biol 15:94-104.

93. Takebayashi K, Sasai Y, Sakai Y, Watanabe T, Nakanishi S, Kageyama R. 1994. Structure,

chromosomal locus, and promoter analysis of the gene encoding the mouse helix-loop-helix factor

HES-1. Negative autoregulation through the multiple N box elements. J Biol Chem 269:5150-6.

94. Basak O, Giachino C, Fiorini E, MacDonald HR, Taylor V. 2012. Neurogenic Subventricular Zone

Stem/Progenitor Cells Are Notch1-Dependent in Their Active But Not Quiescent State. The

Journal of Neuroscience 32:5654-5666.

95. Stoeck A, Lejnine S, Truong A, Pan L, Wang H, Zang C, Yuan J, Ware C, MacLean J, Garrett-

Engele PW, Kluk M, Laskey J, Haines BB, Moskaluk C, Zawel L, Fawell S, Gilliland G, Zhang

T, Kremer BE, Knoechel B, Bernstein BE, Pear WS, Liu XS, Aster JC, Sathyanarayanan S. 2014.

Discovery of biomarkers predictive of GSI response in triple-negative breast cancer and adenoid

cystic carcinoma. Cancer Discov 4:1154-67.

96. Krejcí A, Bernard F, Housden BE, Collins S, Bray SJ. 2009. Direct response to Notch activation:

signaling crosstalk and incoherent logic. Sci Signal 2:ra1.

97. Choi SH, Severson E, Pear WS, Liu XS, Aster JC, Blacklow SC. 2017. The common oncogenomic

program of NOTCH1 and NOTCH3 signaling in T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. PLOS ONE

12:e0185762.

98. Bellavia D, Campese AF, Checquolo S, Balestri A, Biondi A, Cazzaniga G, Lendahl U, Fehling

HJ, Hayday AC, Frati L, von Boehmer H, Gulino A, Screpanti I. 2002. Combined expression of

pTα and Notch3 in T cell leukemia identifies the requirement of preTCR for leukemogenesis.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 99:3788-3793.

99.   Engler A, Rolando C, Giachino C, Saotome I, Erni A, Brien C, Zhang R, Zimber-Strobl U, Radtke
F, Artavanis-Tsakonas S, Louvi A, Taylor V. 2018. Notch2 Signaling Maintains NSC Quiescence
in the Murine Ventricular-Subventricular Zone. Cell Reports 22:992-1002.

100. Meester JAN, Verstraeten A, Alaerts M, Schepers D, Van Laer L, Loeys BL. 2019. Overlapping
but distinct roles for NOTCH receptors in human cardiovascular disease. Clinical Genetics 95:85-
94.

101. Beatus P, Lundkvist J, Oberg C, Lendahl U. 1999. The notch 3 intracellular domain represses
notch 1-mediated activation through Hairy/Enhancer of split (HES) promoters. Development
126:3925-3935.

102. Beà S, Valdés-Mas R, Navarro A, Salaverria I, Martín-Garcia D, Jares P, Giné E, Pinyol M, Royo
C, Nadeu F, Conde L, Juan M, Clot G, Vizán P, Di Croce L, Puente DA, López-Guerra M, Moros
A, Roue G, Aymerich M, Villamor N, Colomo L, Martínez A, Valera A, Martín-Subero JI,
Amador V, Hernández L, Rozman M, Enjuanes A, Forcada P, Muntañola A, Hartmann EM,
Calasanz MJ, Rosenwald A, Ott G, Hernández-Rivas JM, Klapper W, Siebert R, Wiestner A,
Wilson WH, Colomer D, López-Guillermo A, López-Otín C, Puente XS, Campo E. 2013.
Landscape of somatic mutations and clonal evolution in mantle cell lymphoma. Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences 110:18250-18255.

103. Ables JL, DeCarolis NA, Johnson MA, Rivera PD, Gao Z, Cooper DC, Radtke F, Hsieh J, Eisch
AJ. 2010. Notch1 Is Required for Maintenance of the Reservoir of Adult Hippocampal Stem Cells.
The Journal of Neuroscience 30:10484-10492.

104. Nwabo Kamdje AH, Bassi G, Pacelli L, Malpeli G, Amati E, Nichele I, Pizzolo G, Krampera M.
2012. Role of stromal cell-mediated Notch signaling in CLL resistance to chemotherapy. Blood
Cancer Journal 2:e73-e73.

105.   Demehri S, Turkoz A, Manivasagam S, Yockey Laura J, Turkoz M, Kopan R. 2012. Elevated

847   Epidermal Thymic Stromal Lymphopoietin Levels Establish an Antitumor Environment in the

848   Skin. Cancer Cell 22:494-505.

849   106.   Boucher JM, Harrington A, Rostama B, Lindner V, Liaw L. 2013. A Receptor-Specific Function

850   for Notch2 in Mediating Vascular Smooth Muscle Cell Growth Arrest Through Cyclin-dependent

851   Kinase Inhibitor 1B. Circulation Research 113:975-985.

852   107.   Southgate L, Sukalo M, Karountzos ASV, Taylor EJ, Collinson CS, Ruddy D, Snape KM,

853   Dallapiccola B, Tolmie JL, Joss S, Brancati F, Digilio MC, Graul-Neumann LM, Salviati L, Coerdt

854   W, Jacquemin E, Wuyts W, Zenker M, Machado RD, Trembath RC. 2015. Haploinsufficiency of

855   the NOTCH1 Receptor as a Cause of Adams–Oliver Syndrome With Variable Cardiac

856   Anomalies. Circulation: Cardiovascular Genetics 8:572-581.

857   108.   Kawai H, Kawaguchi D, Kuebrich BD, Kitamoto T, Yamaguchi M, Gotoh Y, Furutachi S. 2017.

858   Area-Specific Regulation of Quiescent Neural Stem Cells by Notch3 in the Adult Mouse

859   Subependymal Zone. The Journal of Neuroscience 37:11867-11880.

860   109.   Arasada RR, Amann JM, Rahman MA, Huppert SS, Carbone DP. 2014. EGFR blockade enriches

861   for lung cancer stem-like cells through Notch3-dependent signaling. Cancer Research

862   doi:10.1158/0008-5472.Can-13-3724:canres.3724.2013.

863   110.   Kofler NM, Cuervo H, Uh MK, Murtomäki A, Kitajewski J. 2015. Combined deficiency of Notch1

864   and Notch3 causes pericyte dysfunction, models CADASIL and results in arteriovenous

865   malformations. Scientific Reports 5:16449.

866   111.   Arruga F, Vaisitti T, Deaglio S. 2018. The NOTCH Pathway and Its Mutations in Mature B Cell

867   Malignancies. Frontiers in Oncology 8.

868   112.   Parmigiani E, Taylor V, Giachino C. 2020. Oncogenic and Tumor-Suppressive Functions of

869   NOTCH Signaling in Glioma. Cells 9:2304.

870   113.   D'Assoro AB, Leon-Ferre R, Braune EB, Lendahl U. 2022. Roles of Notch Signaling in the Tumor
871   Microenvironment. Int J Mol Sci 23.

872   114.   Nam Y, Sliz P, Pear WS, Aster JC, Blacklow SC. 2007. Cooperative assembly of higher-order
873   Notch complexes functions as a switch to induce transcription. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
874   104:2103-8.

875   115.   Nam Y, Sliz P, Song L, Aster JC, Blacklow SC. 2006. Structural basis for cooperativity in
876   recruitment of MAML coactivators to Notch transcription complexes. Cell 124:973-83.

877   116.   Arnett KL, Hass M, McArthur DG, Ilagan MX, Aster JC, Kopan R, Blacklow SC. 2010. Structural
878   and mechanistic insights into cooperative assembly of dimeric Notch transcription complexes. Nat
879   Struct Mol Biol 17:1312-7.

880   117.   Liu H, Chi AW, Arnett KL, Chiang MY, Xu L, Shestova O, Wang H, Li YM, Bhandoola A, Aster
881   JC, Blacklow SC, Pear WS. 2010. Notch dimerization is required for leukemogenesis and T-cell
882   development. Genes Dev 24:2395-407.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Endogenous Notch1 is constitutively active in infected Vero cells. Total cellular protein

was harvested in RIPA buffer from triplicate cultures of 4 x 10^5 cells Vero rKSHV.294 cells at time of

plating ("0h") or 24h after plating. 20 ugs from each extract were separated on a 4-12% PAGE gradient

gel electrophoresed in Tris-MOPS-SDS buffer. The NICD1 control lane ("Con") contains equal total

protein from uninfected Vero cells transfected with NICD1 expression vector pCMV-NICD1. Proteins

transferred to nitrocellulose were probed with Notch1-specific bTAN20 antibody (1:500) or anti-GAPDH

antibody (1:5000), followed by HRP-conjugated anti-Rat secondary antibody (1:5000). Bands were

visualized by ChemiDoc (BioRad).

894
895
896 **Figure 2. Notch inhibition reduces Rta-mediated reactivation without inhibiting cell growth.**
897
A.   Treatment with a gamma secretase inhibitor decreases viral reactivation by Rta.   Vero

rKSHV.294 cells were treated with 1 or 5 uM DAPT, or vehicle control ("Vh") 24h prior to transfection

with 2.5 ug of Rta expression vector.   At 72h post-transfection, infectious virus was quantitated by

transferring the media to the 293 MSR tet-OFF complementing reporter cells as described in Methods.

SeAP was measured in 25 uL of media per infection using the Phospha-Light System (Applied

Biosystems). SeAP values from media alone were subtracted from each experimental value, and folds

were calculated by normalizing to samples treated with vehicle and transfected with empty vector.

*$p<0.05$ by t test compared to Vec + Vh.

B.   **Treatment with a gamma secretase inhibitor decreases activity of a Notch-dependent**

reporter plasmid. Vero rKSHV.294 cells were transfected with Notch reporter plasmid pGL2-4xCSL

expressing firefly luciferase ("Jk") and pcDNA3.1-HisLacZ expressing β-galactosidase ("Cont"). Cells

were treated with 5 uM DAPT or vehicle (DMSO) 24hr post-transfection, and total cellular protein was

extracted. Luciferase values were normalized to βgal values at each timepoint.   *$p<0.0015$ and

**$p<0.0001$ by t test compared to Cont at each time point.

C.   **Notch inhibition by DAPT treatment does not affect Vero rKSHV.294 cell growth.**

Triplicate cultures of Vero rKSHV.294 cells were treated with vehicle or DAPT at the indicated

concentrations, in triplicate, as described in Fig 2A. At the post-treatment times indicated, cell viability

was quantitated using Alamar Blue (BioRad). Mock values were averaged and subtracted from each

experimental value to yield corrected values. All corrected values were then normalized to 0h values

that had been set to the relative value of 1 for each treatment. The slight enhancement of cell growth rate

when compared to the vehicle control was not statistically-significant

Fig 3. Inhibition of endogenous Notch by dominant negative MAML1 mutants inhibit viral

reactivation and expression of some viral genes. A. Vero rKSHV.294 cells were transfected with 1ug

of plasmids expressing Rta and two different dnMAML alleles ("dnMAM" "A" and "B"; each fused to

GFP). Infectious virus was quantitated as in Fig. 2A. *p<0.02 and **p<0.01 by t test compared to Rta

plus Vector. **B. dnMAML1 proteins are well-expressed in infected Vero rKSHV.294 cells.** Vero

rKSHV.294 cells were transfected as in Fig 3A, total protein was harvested in RIPA buffer 48h later,

Western blotted, and probed with GFP-specific antiserum to detect the dnMAML-GFP fusion proteins.

As a control for the Western blot, plasmid expressing H2b-GFP was also transfected into cells. **C.**

Expression of KSHV proteins are reduced by inhibiting endogenous Notch1. Expression of the

KSHV proteins Mta, KbZIP, and ORF59 in extracts from cells transfected as in Fig 3B were visualized

by Western blotting. Fold induction of each viral protein was determined by normalizing to expression

of the host protein Histone H3 ("H3") in each lane, then dividing by the value for Vec alone, which had

been set to "1." D. **D.** Quantitation. The relative reduction of viral protein expression by inhibiting

Notch1 was calculated by comparing each viral protein's induction by ectopic Rta + Vec with that

induced by Rta in the presence of ectopic dnMAML1 in panel B. Rta alone was set at 100. *p<0.09 and

p<0.005 by t test compared to Rta + Vec. **E. Expression of KSHV transcripts are reduced by

inhibiting endogenous Notch1. Transcripts for the indicated viral genes were quantitated by real-time

quantitative reverse-transcription/PCR. Relative reduction of viral transcription by inhibiting Notch1

was calculated by the delta-delta Ct method comparing Rta + Vec or Rta + ectopic dnMAML1 and

cellular beta-actin transcription as the internal control. *p<0.1 and **p<0.05 by t test compared to Rta +

Vec.

Fig 4. Activated Notch1 is required for optimal reactivation of KSHV. A. Reactivation by ectopic

Rta. Vero rKSHV.294 cells were transfected with 30 pM of either scrambled siRNA (Scr; control),

Notch 1 siRNA A (N1-A), or Notch 1 siRNA B (N1-B) in triplicate sets. 48h later, Rta expression

vector or Vec (as control) was transfected into each of the siRNA-transfected cells. 48h post-

transfection, virus containing media were transferred to 293 MSR tet-OFF cells. Infectious virus was

quantitated as in Fig. 2A. *p<0.02 by t test compared to Rta + Scr **. B. Reactivation by VPA**

treatment. Vero rKSHV.294 cells were transfected with 30pM of either scrambled siRNA (Scr; control)

or Notch 1 siRNA B (N1-B). 54h later, 1 mM VPA was added to the siRNA-transfected cells. 72h

post-transfection, virus containing media were transferred to 293 MSR tet-OFF cells. Infectious virus

was quantitated as in Fig. 2A. **C. siRNA specifically reduces NICD1 expression.** Vero rKSHV.294

cells were transfected with 30pM of the siRNAs described in the legend to Fig. 4A. 48h post

transfection, total cellular proteins were extracted in RIPA buffer, then analyzed via a 10% SDS-

PAGE/western blot as described in the legend to Fig. 1A.

955
956
Fig. 5. Activated Notch1 is required for optimal reactivation of KSHV in infected PEL cells. A.

DAPT inhibits vDNA accumulation in PEL cells. Triplicate cultures of KSHV-infected BC3 cells were

treated with vehicle alone ("Vh") or containing 16, 32, or 40 uM DAPT for 65h. Total DNA was purified

using the FlexiGene DNA kit (Qiagen). Intracellular viral DNA was measured by real-time quantitative

(q) PCR using SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Scientific) and GAPDH was the internal control.

Fold-DNA was calculated using the ΔCt method and all values were normalized to that of VPA + vehicle

alone, which was set to 100%. *p<0.03, **p<0.009, and ***p<0.006 by t test compared to VPA+Veh.

B. Gene-specific expression of KSHV genes is reduced by Notch inhibition in PEL cells. Triplicate

cultures of KSHV-infected BCBL1 cells were treated with 1 mM VPA and vehicle ("Vh") or DAPT (16,

32, or 40 uM). 48 or 72h post-treatment, ORF59 and K8.1 were detected by indirect immunofluorescence,

respectively. The percentages of ORF59 or K8.1 positive cells were quantitated, and fold-percent positive

cells were calculated by normalizing to samples treated with vehicle alone. *p<0.007 and **p<0.005 by

t test compared to VPA+Veh.

970
971
Fig. 6. Ectopic NICD 1-4 are not sufficient to induce KSHV reactivation. A. Vero rKSHV.294 cells

were transfected with 2.5 ug plasmid DNA expressing each of the indicated proteins and incubated for

72h at which time virus containing media were transferred to 293 MSR tet-OFF cells. Infectious virus

was quantitated as in the legend to Fig. 2A, using the Great EscAPe SeAP kit (Takara). T test was used

to determine that only samples transfected with Rta induced significant viral reactivation (p<0.05

compared to Vec). **B. All four constitutively active Notch isoforms are expressed ectopically in**

transfected Vero rKSHV.294 cells. Cells were transfected as in the legend to Fig 6A, and total proteins

were harvested in RIPA buffer 48h later, Western blotted, and probed with FLAG-tag and tubulin-specific

antibodies. All Notch isoforms were observed at the appropriate apparent MWs (46).

Figure 7. Ectopic Notch isoforms 1 and 2 are transactivation competent in Vero rKSHV.294 cells.

Triplicate cultures of Vero rKSHV.294 cells were transfected with 0.25 ug of the Notch reporter plasmid

4xCBS expressing firefly luciferase, control plasmid pcDNA3.1-HisLacZ expressing β-galactosidase

(βgal) and 3 ug of each of the four Notch isoform vectors. Extracts were made 48 h post-transfection, and

raw luciferase values were corrected to β-galactosidase. Folds were calculated by normalization to

samples transfected with luciferase reporter and empty vector (Vec) alone. *p<0.02 and **p<0.0001 by t

test compared to Vec.

989
990
Figure 8. Ectopic NICD1 alleles with varying intrinsic activities are not sufficient to induce KSHV

reactivation. Vero rKSHV.294 cells were transfected with 2.5 ug plasmid DNA expressing each of the

indicated proteins and incubated for 72h at which time virus containing media were transferred to 293

MSR tet-OFF cells. Infectious virus was quantitated as in Fig. 2A, using the Great EscAPe SeAP kit

(Takara).

Fig. 9. Ectopic NICD1 cooperates with VPA in KSHV reactivation. Vero rKSHV.294 cells were

transfected with 1 ug plasmid DNA expressing NICD1 ("N1") or empty vector. 18 h post-transfection,

cells were treated with 1 mM VPA. 72 h post-treatment, virus containing media were transferred to 293

MSR tet-OFF cells. Infectious virus was quantitated as in Fig 2A.

DeCotiis-Mauro, et al., Fig 7

DeCotiis-Mauro, et al., Fig 9