1

Rap1 promotes epithelial integrity and cell viability in a growing tissue

C. Luke Messer and Jocelyn A. McDonald*

Division of Biology, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506

*Correspondence: jmcdona@ksu.edu

Running Title: Rap1 promotes epithelial integrity and cell survival

Abstract

Having intact epithelial tissues is critical for embryonic development and adult homeostasis. How epithelia respond to damaging insults or tissue growth while still maintaining intercellular connections and barrier integrity during development is poorly understood. The conserved small GTPase Rap1 is critical for establishing cell polarity and regulating cadherin-catenin cell junctions. Here, we identified a new role for Rap1 in maintaining epithelial integrity and tissue shape during *Drosophila* oogenesis. Loss of Rap1 activity disrupted the follicle cell epithelium and the shape of egg chambers during a period of major growth. Rap1 was required for proper E-Cadherin localization in the anterior epithelium and for epithelial cell survival. Both Myo-II and the adherens junction-cytoskeletal linker protein α-Catenin were required for normal egg chamber shape but did not strongly affect cell viability. Blocking the apoptotic cascade failed to rescue the cell shape defects caused by Rap1 inhibition. One consequence of increased cell death caused by Rap1 inhibition was the preferential loss of the polar cells, which later in development led to fewer cells forming a properly migrating border cell cluster. Our results thus indicate dual roles for Rap1 in maintaining epithelia and cell survival in a growing tissue during development.

Introduction

Epithelia serve critical functions throughout the body's tissues and organs. For proper homeostasis, epithelia must remain a cohesive unit while being amenable to essential remodeling events. This allows critical epithelial functions such as forming a barrier to pathogens, absorption of nutrients, wound healing, and other important roles (Abramson and Anderson, 2017; Blanpain and Fuchs, 2009; Bröer, 2008; Guillot and Lecuit, 2013; Tai et al., 2019). During development, epithelial tissues undergo dramatic tissue rearrangements while staying intact, for example in convergent extension in *Drosophila* (Irvine and Wieschaus, 1994), ventral enclosure in *C. elegans* (Williams-Masson et al., 1997)*,* and bottle cell invagination in *Xenopus* (Keller, 1981). Once formed, cells in epithelia must maintain polarized cell shapes, stay connected through cell-cell contacts, and survive insults imposed by the tissue environment. Epithelial tissues are challenged by cell turnover, cellular rearrangements, and apoptosis in response to normal tissue growth and homeostasis (Duszyc et al., 2017; Guillot and Lecuit, 2013). Because dysregulation of epithelia shape and cell survival can lead to diseases such as cancer, it is important to understand the mechanisms required for epithelial maintenance.

Here we report a requirement for the conserved small GTPase Rap1 in epithelial maintenance, where it contributes both to cell and tissue shape and to cell viability. The *Drosophila* ovary is an excellent model system to investigate how epithelial cells and tissues respond to challenges such as growth and shape changes during development. The ovary is made up of a series of continuously developing egg chambers. Each egg chamber consists of an inner population of germline derived cells enveloped in a continuous, polarized somatic cell epithelium made of follicle cells. Follicle cells continue to divide until stage 6, when mitosis ceases, resulting in a monolayer of ~650 cells. The follicle cells undergo a unique rotational migration that helps assemble a basement membrane layer . The basement membrane provides resistance to tissue growth and contributes to egg chamber shape (Duhart et al., 2017). The egg chamber starts out round but eventually grows and elongates to an ellipsoid shape starting in mid-oogenesis. During this process, the inner germline cells expand and press against the follicle cell layer, contributing to the characteristic ovoid shape of the egg. It is critical that the epithelium stays intact to allow successful oogenesis and proper development of a mature, fertilizable egg.

Rap1 plays key roles in epithelial morphogenesis during development, particularly in the establishment of tissue polarity and cell-cell adhesions. In *Drosophila*, Rap1 helps polarize

epithelial cells by positioning Bazooka/Par3 (Bonello et al., 2018; Choi et al., 2013) and

promotes proper cell-cell adhesion by regulating E-Cadherin-rich adherens junctions (Knox and

Brown, 2002). In human podocytes, as well as other cell types, Rap1 regulates integrin

mediated adhesion to the basement membrane (Potla et al., 2014). Rap1 also promotes

dynamic cell shape changes during development, including the elongation of cells at the leading

edge of the lateral epidermis during *Drosophila* dorsal closure (Boettner and Van Aelst, 2007;

Boettner et al., 2003).

Here we show that Rap1 GTPase maintains cell and epithelial shapes and promotes

follicle cell survival during oogenesis. Loss of Rap1 altered the shape of follicle cells and the

egg chamber itself. We find that Rap1 contributes to cell and tissue morphogenesis during mid-

oogenesis by ensuring successful actomyosin contractility through the α-Catenin/E-Cadherin

adherens junctions in epithelial cells. Notably, inhibition of Rap1 also induced abnormal

apoptosis of follicle cells. The pro-survival function of Rap1 was especially important in a

specialized pair of epithelial follicle cells, the polar cells, during mid-oogenesis. When Rap1 was

inhibited, polar cells failed to maintain Death-associated inhibitor of apoptosis 1 (DIAP1),

leading to loss of one or both polar cells. This subsequently led to fewer cells assembling into

the migratory border cell cluster. Together, our results reveal dual roles for Rap1 in cell and

epithelial morphogenesis and promoting cell viability within a developing tissue.

Results

Rap1 GTPase is required for proper egg chamber and epithelial shapes in mid-oogenesis

To better understand the role of Rap1 in epithelial maintenance, we first analyzed the

localization of Rap1 using a functional GFP-Rap1 fusion protein expressed under the control of

the endogenous *Rap1* promoter (Knox and Brown, 2002). Rap1 is expressed ubiquitously in all

cells of the ovary, with highest enrichment at the apical cell cortex of follicle cells, including the

polar cells (Fig. 1A). While Rap1 has known roles in the morphogenesis of diverse epithelia

(Kim et al., 2022; Knox and Brown, 2002; Wang et al., 2013), few studies have analyzed how

Rap1 maintains epithelia during tissue growth. To address this, we inhibited Rap1 activity in the

follicle cells using a validated dominant negative Rap1 construct, UAS-DN-Rap1^{N17} (DN-

Rap1^{N17}), whose expression causes phenotypes that strongly resemble loss of *Rap1* or Rap1

RNAi knockdown phenotypes in the embryo and ovary (Boettner et al., 2003; Perez-Vale et al.,

2022; Sawant et al., 2018). DN-Rap1^{N17} was driven by an epithelial-specific GAL4 driver, *c306*-

GAL4 (Fig. 1B). Expression of *c306*-GAL4 begins early during oogenesis in anterior and

posterior follicle cells, but the highest expression occurs at mid-oogenesis (~stages 4-8). These

stages coincide with a major egg chamber growth phase, that requires the follicular epithelium

to stretch and challenges epithelial cell cohesion (Balaji et al., 2019; Crest et al., 2017; Haigo

and Bilder, 2011; Spradling 1993).

The shape of egg chambers at stages 4-to-6 appeared to be normal in both control and

DN-Rap1^{N17} egg chambers (Fig. 1C-D). However, by stages 7-8, the tissue shape of DN-

Rap1^{N17}-expressing egg chambers was no longer normal, particularly at the anterior end (Fig.

1D). The anterior of DN-Rap1^{N17} egg chambers was wider and flatter compared to control (Fig.

1C-D). Closer inspection revealed altered anterior epithelial follicle cell shapes specifically in the

c306-GAL4 expression region (Fig. 1B, D). DN-Rap1^{N17} follicle cells appeared to be stretched,

rather than the expected cuboidal follicle cell shapes in control egg chambers at these stages

(Fig. 1E-F). We further quantified the observed differences in anterior egg chamber shape,

which we termed "local deformation". To do this, we measured the width of the anterior egg

chamber at 20% of the total egg chamber length from the anterior end (*see* Materials and

Methods). Control egg chambers retained a characteristic "wedge" shape in this anterior region

(mean of 37.49µm). DN-Rap1^{N17} inhibited egg chambers, however, were wider and more "cup"

shaped (mean of 47.37µm; Fig. 1G). These data together suggest that Rap1 promotes tissue

and epithelial cell shapes during mid-oogenesis.

Rap1 promotes polar cell shape and apical E-Cadherin accumulation

In addition to the overall distorted anterior follicular epithelial cell shapes in DN-Rap1^{N17} egg

chambers, we observed particularly misshapen anterior polar cells compared to control (Fig. 1E-

F; 2A-B"). Polar cells are a specialized pair of follicle cells found at each pole of the egg

chamber. Polar cells are one of the first specified follicle cells in the ovary and express unique

cell markers such as the adhesion protein Fasciclin III (Fas III) (Ruohola et al., 1991). Because

anterior egg chamber shape was most impacted by Rap1 inhibition, we focused on the anterior

polar cells. We asked when and how polar cell shape was altered by loss of Rap1 activity. To do

this, we quantified anterior polar cell shapes by defining their aspect ratio (AR). We measured

the width of each polar cell along their dorsoventral (DV) axis and divided by their length along

the anterior-posterior (AP) axis (Fig. 2C). Control polar cells at stages 4-6 were typically longer

along the AP axis than they were wide along the DV axis (AR=0.61; Fig. 2A, A', C). At stages 4-

6, DN-Rap1^{N17} polar cell shape resembled control (AR=0.65; Fig. 2B, B', C). Control polar cells

maintained their earlier ellipsoid shape at stages 7-8, although they lengthened slightly along

the AP axis (AR=0.56; Fig. 2A, A", C). In contrast, Rap1 inhibited polar cells at stages 7-8

frequently lost this elliptical shape and were now extended along the DV axis, with more

spherical shapes (AR=1.02; Fig. 2B, B", C). Rap1-inhibited egg chambers also had more

extreme cases of abnormal polar cell morphology, with either one polar cell that appeared to be

missing (Fig. S1A) or a distortion of the polar cell pair, such as pulling apart into "dumbbell" type

shapes (Fig. S1B).

The defective polar cell shapes combined with examples that appeared to split apart

prompted us to ask if polar cells in DN-Rap1^{N17} egg chambers were less adhesive. The

homophilic cell-cell adhesion protein E-Cadherin accumulates at high levels in polar cells

(Niewiadomska et al., 1999). E-Cadherin, as well as the associated cadherin-catenin complex

member β-Catenin, highly localizes to the apical side of polar cells, particularly in the region

where the polar cell pair is apically constricted (Niewiadomska et al., 1999; Peifer et al., 1993).

Therefore, we next investigated if DN-Rap1^{N17} egg chambers accumulated E-Cadherin normally

in polar cells (Fig. 3). We measured the apical E-Cadherin fluorescence intensity in stages 7-8

egg chambers along a line drawn starting over the anterior-most nurse cell ("1"; Fig. 3A', B') that

extended through the apical polar cell-polar cell interface to the lateral interface ("2"; Fig. 3A', B',

C). In control egg chambers, we observed a peak in fluorescence signal as the line passed

through the apical polar cell-polar cell interface (Fig. 3A-A", C, D). Strikingly, in DN-Rap1^{N17} egg

chambers the apical fluorescence enrichment is severely decreased and resembled the

intensity values for the portion of the line that extended along the lateral interface (Fig. 3B-B", C,

D). These results indicated that Rap1 controls the localized enrichment of E-Cadherin to the

apical interface between polar cells, which in turn may promote the normal shape of the polar

cell pair.

Non-muscle myosin II (Myo-II), as visualized with a functionally-tagged regulatory light

chain, Spaghetti Squash-GFP, Sqh::GFP (Royou et al., 2004), is enriched across the entire

apical surface of the anterior polar cell pair as well as other follicle cells (Fig. S2A-A''). However,

we did not observe any obvious differences in the accumulation of Sqh:GFP at the apical

surface of DN-Rap1^{N17} polar cells (Fig. S2B-B"). To confirm this, we quantified Sqh::GFP

accumulation by drawing a line across the apical surface of the polar cell pair. We then plotted

profiles of the fluorescent intensity values from at least 8 polar cell pairs per genotype. These

data indicated no major differences in the Myo-II levels or patterns between control and DN-

Rap1^{N17} polar cells (Fig. S2C). Together, our results indicate that Rap1 is dispensable for

accumulation of Myo-II but is required for E-Cadherin apical enrichment in polar cells at mid-

oogenesis.

Myo-II and α-Catenin are required for egg chamber morphogenesis

Actomyosin contractility and adhesion both contribute to the shape and integrity of various

tissues and organs during development (Harris and Tepass, 2010; Munjal and Lecuit, 2014).

Therefore, we wanted to assess whether actomyosin contractility was required for local tissue

morphogenesis similar to what we observed for Rap1 (Fig. 4A-E). We targeted the Myo-II

regulatory light chain with RNAi-mediated knockdown (Sqh RNAi) and measured local (anterior)

tissue deformation. Myo-II deficient staged 7-8 egg chambers resembled what we observed in

DN-Rap1^{N17} inhibited egg chambers; the anterior tissue shape was wide and flat (compare Fig.

4B, E to Fig. 1F, G). These results were unexpected given that Rap1 inhibition did not affect

apical Myo-II accumulation, at least in polar cells. Therefore, we reasoned that Rap1 may

couple actomyosin contractility to the adherens junctions in the anterior epithelium, as has been

described in other tissues (Sawyer et al., 2009).

To test this hypothesis, we next performed RNAi knockdown of E-Cadherin and α-

Catenin, members of the adherens junction complex. Surprisingly, E-Cadherin had no effect on

egg chamber morphogenesis. Anterior width measurements were no different in E-Cadherin

deficient egg chambers when compared to GFP RNAi controls (Fig. 4A, C, E). However, N-

cadherin is expressed during these stages and has been shown to undergo compensatory

upregulation due to loss of E-Cadherin (Loyer et al., 2015). Thus, we next knocked down α-

Catenin (α-Cat), the linker protein that connects cadherin complex members to the cellular F-

actin cytoskeleton (Wang et al., 2022; Yonemura et al., 2010). Indeed, downregulation of α-

Catenin by RNAi resulted in a wider anterior egg chamber, resembling the tissue shape defects

observed with Sqh RNAi and inhibition of Rap1 activity (Fig. 4B, D-E; Fig. 1F-G). Thus,

adhesion and actomyosin are required to maintain tissue shapes at mid-oogenesis stages.

We next examined the shape of epithelial follicle cells at the anterior end of the egg

chamber when Myo-II or α-Catenin were knocked down by RNAi (Fig. 4F-I'). Close inspection

revealed altered individual cell shapes resembling DN-Rap1^{N17} egg chambers only for α-Catenin

RNAi (Fig. 4 H-I') but not Sqh RNAi (Fig. 4G, G'). Notably, we observed a mix of "stretched" or

"flattened" follicle cells in α-Catenin RNAi egg chambers along the lateral sides of the egg

chamber that looked like the cells in the anterior region of DN-Rap1^{N17} egg chambers (Fig. 4H-I';

compare to Fig. 1F). In the most-affected α-Catenin RNAi egg chambers (Fig. 4I, I'), clumping of

cells was observed at the most anterior end and closely resembled the cell shapes reported for

α-Catenin null mutant follicle cells (Sarpal et al., 2012). The similarities in the tissue and

epithelial cell shape phenotypes caused by loss of α-Catenin and Rap1 activity suggested that

Rap1 modulates α-Catenin-containing adherens junctions as was observed during dorsal fold

formation in the *Drosophila* embryo (Wang et al., 2013).

Rap1 promotes the viability of epithelial follicle cells during oogenesis

Our analysis of DN-Rap1^{N17} egg chambers at stages 7-8 revealed not only cases of extremely

distorted polar cells, but also examples where one polar cell was missing from the required pair

(Fig. S1A). These data suggested that Rap1 contributes to cell survival. To test this idea, we

first analyzed a marker of apoptosis during oogenesis in control and Rap1 mutant ovaries. We

stained egg chambers for antibodies to cleaved death caspase-1 (cDcp-1), which recognizes

both *Drosophila* effector caspases, Death caspase-1 (Dcp-1) and Death related ICE-like

caspase (Drice) (Li et al., 2019). During early oogenesis, excess polar cells ("supernumerary"

polar cells) and excess stalk cells form but are eliminated by apoptosis during stages 3-6,

whereas in healthy ovarioles other follicle cells do not undergo cell death (Borensztejn et al.,

2013; Borensztejn et al., 2018; Khammari et al., 2011; Lebo and McCall, 2021; cDCp-1+ cells;

Fig. 5A). However, in DN-Rap1^{N17} ovarioles, in addition to the normal pattern of early polar cell

and stalk cell apoptosis, we observed additional caspase activity in follicle cells during stages 2

through 8 of oogenesis (cDCp-1+ cells; Fig. 5B). We quantified the number of cDcp-1 cells in

control and DN-Rap1^{N17} ovarioles and saw a significant increase in apoptotic cells only when

Rap1 activity was inhibited (Fig. 5C). Activation of Rap1, through expression of constitutively

active CA-Rap1^{V12} did not decrease apoptotic cells during any stage of oogenesis (Fig. 5C).

These data suggest that Rap1 is required for follicle cell survival during oogenesis but is not

sufficient to block normal developmental apoptosis.

Because Rap1 promotes both follicle cell survival and epithelial and tissue

morphogenesis during oogenesis, we next asked if Myo-II or α-Catenin were also similarly

required for follicle cell viability. We analyzed cell death during oogenesis using cDcp-1 in Sqh

RNAi, α-Catenin RNAi, and matched control (GFP RNAi) ovarioles. We observed minimal cell

death in Sqh RNAi and GFP RNAi controls (Fig. 5D, E, G). However, there was a significant

increase in cDcp-1 positive cells for α-Catenin RNAi ovarioles (Fig. 5F, G). These results

suggest that while both Myo-II and α-Catenin regulate egg chamber morphogenesis, only α-

Catenin is required for viability of the follicle cells. Notably, although α-Catenin RNAi results in

an increase in cDcp-1 positive cells, fewer cells per ovariole were cDcp-1 positive than when

Rap1 was inhibited (2.6 cDcp-1-positive cells for α-Catenin RNAi, Fig. 5G, compared to 8.8

cDcp-1-positive cells per ovariole for DN-Rap1^{N17}, Fig. 5C). These findings suggest that cell

adhesion via α-Catenin is required for cell viability, though Rap1 may have a greater role in

promoting cell survival.

Rap1 promotes polar cell survival by suppressing apoptosis, but polar cell shape defects

are not apoptosis-dependent

As described above, loss of Rap1 activity causes death of follicle cells and loss of polar cells

(Fig. 5B-C; Fig. S1A), in addition to defects in polar cell shape (Fig. 2B-C; Fig. S1B). Therefore,

we wanted to determine if Rap1 was required to manage elimination of supernumerary polar

cells that form at each pole of early egg chambers (Besse and Pret, 2003; Borensztejn et al.,

2013; Khammari et al., 2011). Removal of the extra polar cells by apoptosis ensures that only

two "mature" polar cells remain at anterior and posterior egg chamber poles by stages 5/6

(Besse and Pret, 2003; Borensztejn et al., 2013; Khammari et al., 2011). One possibility for the

absence of polar cells in later staged DN-Rap1^{N17} egg chambers could be a requirement for

Rap1 in early polar cell development and elimination. Alternatively, Rap1 could promote the

survival of mature polar cells later in oogenesis after these cells are specified.

We examined anterior and posterior polar cells in egg chambers from stages 3-10 of

oogenesis using Eyes Absent (Eya) and FasIII (Fig. S3A). Eya suppresses polar cell fate and is

present in all follicle cells except polar cells (Bai and Montell, 2002) and FasIII specifically marks

the polar cell pair (Ruohola et al., 1991). We observed similar numbers of supernumerary polar

cells at early stages of development (e.g., stages 3-4 and stages 5-6) in control and DN-Rap1^{N17}

(Fig. S3A). Using CA-Rap1^{V12}, we next asked if increased Rap1 activity resulted in extra polar

cells being maintained until maturity. At each stage examined, we observed similar numbers of

polar cells for CA-Rap1^{V12} and controls (Fig. S3A). Moreover, in all genotypes, in agreement

with other studies, there was an overall decrease in the number of polar cells as oogenesis

progressed (Fig. S3A; Borensztejn et al., 2013; Khammari et al., 2011).

Since early polar cell development appeared normal in Rap1-deficient egg chambers,

we next asked if Rap1 regulated the survival of mature polar cells later in oogenesis. We

tracked polar cell-specific accumulation of cDcp-1. Control "mature" polar cells at stages 7-8

rarely died (Fig. 6A-A";1 out of 66 egg chambers). In contrast, we observed an increased

frequency in the accumulation of cDcp-1 in staged 7-8 DN-Rap1^{N17} polar cells, well after the

conclusion of normal developmental apoptosis (Fig. 6B-B"; 7 out of 61 egg chambers). These

polar cells were frequently pyknotic as visualized by DAPI staining (Fig. 6B'). These data

together indicate that Rap1 promotes the survival of both follicle cells and mature polar cells

during oogenesis.

To further characterize this increase in polar cell death when Rap1 was inhibited, we

next examined the apoptotic cascade. Death-associated inhibitor of apoptosis 1 (DIAP1) blocks

caspase activity and thus prevents cells from undergoing apoptosis (Hay et al., 1995; Yan et al.,

2004). DIAP1 specifically accumulates in the two mature polar cells and promotes their survival,

whereas DIAP1 is downregulated in the supernumerary polar cells leading to their

developmental apoptosis (Borensztejn et al., 2013; Khammari et al., 2011). We reasoned that a

decrease in DIAP1 might precede the death of mature polar cells observed in DN-Rap1^{N17} egg

chambers. Therefore, we analyzed DIAP1 accumulation in polar cells relative to their most

adjacent follicle cell neighbors at both stages 4 to 6 and at stages 7 to 8. At the earlier stages

(stages 4-6), we observed normal accumulation of DIAP1 in 13 out of 14 control polar cells (Fig.

6C-C"). However, DIAP1 accumulated in only 6 out of 23 DN-Rap1^{N17} polar cells (Fig. 6E-E").

Similarly, at later stages, DIAP1 accumulation was lower in DN-Rap1^{N17} inhibited polar cells,

with only 8 out of 19 egg chambers having normal DIAP1 accumulation (Fig. 6F-F"), compared

to 10 out of 14 for control egg chambers (Fig. 6D-D"). Interestingly, DIAP1 levels were altered at

a greater frequency in DN-Rap1^{N17} egg chambers (Fig. 6E-F") than the observed frequency of

polar cell death (Fig. 6B"). One possibility is that not all cells that lose DIAP1 accumulation

undergo cell death. Alternatively, the threshold for DIAP1 protein depletion may need to be

relatively severe for apoptosis to occur. Taken together, our results favor a role for Rap1 in

suppressing the apoptotic cascade through regulating the levels of DIAP1 in mature polar cells.

We next asked if the polar cell morphology defects caused by loss of Rap1 activity were

due to apoptosis. To test this, we asked if blocking the apoptotic cascade could rescue polar cell

shape defects caused by loss of Rap1 activity (Fig. S3B-D). We performed polar cell aspect

ratio measurements in egg chambers that co-expressed DN-Rap1^{N17} with either a LacZ control

or with the apoptosis inhibitor, baculoviral p35 (Clem et al., 1991; Hay et al., 1994). We found

that co-expression of p35 along with DN-Rap1^{N17} failed to rescue the polar cell aspect ratio

defects compared to co-expression with LacZ (Fig. S3B-D). There was no statistical difference

in the aspect ratios of DN-Rap1^{N17} polar cells either co-expressing the LacZ control or p35

(AR=0.84, DN-Rap1^{N17} + LacZ; AR=0.85, DN-Rap1^{N17} + p35; Fig. S3D). These results provide

further evidence that Rap1 likely controls polar cell morphogenesis independently of its function

in promoting cell survival.

Rap1 requirement for polar cell survival supports formation of border cell clusters with

optimal cell numbers

The role for Rap1 in promoting polar cell survival prompted us to ask what the developmental

consequences were later in oogenesis. During late stage 8, the anterior pair of polar cells

specifies which follicle cell neighbors become the migratory border cells through the secretion of

the JAK/STAT ligand Unpaired (Beccari et al., 2002; Silver and Montell, 2001). Between 4-8

follicle cells activate high levels of JAK/STAT and subsequently surround the polar cells to

produce a border cell cluster with a total of 6 to 10 cells (Silver and Montell, 2001). Having an

optimal number of cells helps the border cell cluster efficiently reach the oocyte at the correct

time (Cai et al., 2016; Starz-Gaiano et al., 2008; Stonko et al., 2015)

Because inhibition of Rap1 caused a frequent loss of mature polar cells, we determined

how this impacted the size of the migratory border cell cluster. We reasoned that losing a polar

cell might decrease the number of cells found in border cell clusters. We quantified the total

number of cells found within control (UAS-LacZ) versus Rap1-deficient (UAS-Rap1^{N17} or UAS-

Rap1 RNAi) border cell clusters (Fig. 7 A-C). When Rap1 was inhibited using with DN-Rap1^{N17}

driven by *c306*-GAL4, we found a strong reduction in the average number of cells per cluster

compared to control (Fig. 7A', B'; average of 6.1 cells in control compared to 4.6 cells in DN-

Rap1^{N17}). *c306*-GAL4-driven *Rap1* RNAi also reduced the number of cells per cluster to an

average of 5.2 cells compared to 6.1 cells in *mCherry* RNAi controls (Fig. 7C). We analyzed the

number of cells in border cell clusters using a different GAL4, *slbo*-GAL4, which is expressed

later than *c306*-GAL4 and in a more restricted pattern (Fig. S4A). The overall number of cells in

slbo-GAL4 control egg chambers is higher than that observed for *c306*-GAL4 controls (average

of 8.2 cells; Fig. S4B, B', D). Nonetheless, we observed a significant reduction in the number of

DN-Rap1^{N17} cells within the border cell cluster (Fig. S4C-D; average 6.7 cells). Thus, Rap1 is

required for migrating border cell clusters to have an optimal number of cells.

We next asked if the observed reduction in cell numbers within the border cell cluster

was due to Rap1 function in border cell specification or in the recruitment of cells due to cell

survival. We examined anterior follicle cells at stage 8 for a reporter of JAK/STAT activity,

10XSTAT::GFP (Bach et al., 2007). These cells are fated to become the migratory border cells

(Beccari et al., 2002; Silver and Montell, 2001). When both polar cells were present, we did not

detect changes in either the pattern of 10XSTAT:GFP or the levels of nuclear STAT, and hence

activity, in the three follicle cells immediately adjacent to the polar cells at stages 7-8 in DN-

Rap1^{N17} compared to control (Fig. S5A-C). These results suggest that border cell fate

specification at stage 8 of oogenesis is normal under conditions when both polar cells are

present and does not rely on Rap1 activity. However, we cannot rule out a subtle decrease in

the JAK/STAT activity gradient when only one polar cell survives to stage 8 in DN-Rap1^{N17} egg

chambers.

Finally, we determined if the smaller number of cells per border cell cluster was due to

the increased apoptotic activity observed upon Rap1 inhibition. We co-expressed DN-Rap1^{N17}

with either a UAS-LacZ control or the apoptosis inhibitor p35 (Fig. 7D-F). We quantified the

number of cells per cluster at stages 9 and 10, when border cell clusters have already formed

and have either begun to migrate or finished their migration. Border cell clusters that co-

expressed DN-Rap1^{N17} and LacZ had an average of 4.8 cells per cluster, resembling the

phenotypes observed when expressing DN-Rap1^{N17} alone (Fig. 7D, D', F compared to Fig. 7C).

In contrast, border cell clusters co-expressing DN-Rap1^{N17} and p35 had an average of 6.4 cells

per cluster (Fig. 7E-F), matching control border cell clusters (Fig. 7A, A', C). Thus, the observed

smaller border cell clusters caused by loss of Rap1 activity is likely due to apoptotic cell death of

mature polar cells just prior to recruitment of border cells. Together, these data support a model

in which Rap1 promotes mature polar cell survival by preventing apoptosis through upregulation

of DIAP1, thus promoting an optimal number of cells being recruited to form the migrating

border cell cluster.

Discussion

A key challenge is to understand how epithelia and constituent cells survive and maintain shape

in response to the changes in tissue shape and size during normal development. Here we

focused on understanding how the small GTPase Rap1 maintains cell and tissue shapes during

Drosophila egg chamber growth. Prior work has shown requirements for Rap1 in epithelial

morphogenesis (Asha et al., 1999; Boettner and Van Aelst, 2007; Choi et al., 2013; Bonello et

al., 2018), but whether and how Rap1 maintains epithelia during tissue growth and homeostasis

was unclear. Here we used a model of developmental tissue growth in the *Drosophila* ovary to

interrogate the function of Rap1. We found that Rap1 promotes epithelial follicle cell shape,

polar cell shape, and local tissue shapes during a period of major egg chamber growth. We

propose that this function of Rap1 in maintenance of epithelial integrity is through regulation of

dynamic linkages between adherens junctions and the contractile actomyosin cytoskeleton. Our

experiments revealed an unexpected and new role for Rap1 in regulating cell survival,

especially of the mature polar cells, which leads to the assembly of a migratory border cell

cluster with the optimal number of cells.

Rap1 maintains cell and tissue shapes by modulating adherens junction-cytoskeleton linkages during tissue growth

Here we report that Rap1 is required to maintain polar cell and follicle cell shapes and proper tissue shapes of the anterior follicular epithelium during egg chamber elongation. Our quantitative analyses of individual cell shapes and local tissue deformation demonstrated that Rap1 maintained tissue and epithelial morphology. Moreover, Rap1-deficient polar cell shapes were highly affected. Most of these phenotypes were at stages 7-8, a period of dramatic egg chamber growth (Crest et al., 2017). Previous work using *Rap1* null flies that were rescued to viable adults by expression of heat shock-driven Rap1 revealed egg chambers that degenerated and had distorted follicle cell shapes (Asha et al., 1999). Notably, these phenotypes seemed to be more severe during mid-to-late stages of oogenesis, stages that overlap with egg chamber elongation, thus supporting a role for Rap1 in tissue maintenance. We obtained similar, albeit less severe egg chamber defects, by specifically expressing DN-Rap1[N17] in follicle cells. These results indicate a requirement of Rap1 in tissue shape maintenance.

How does Rap1 contribute to cell and tissue shape maintenance within the follicular epithelium during tissue expansion? Rap1 regulates early Bazooka/Par3 localization and adherens junction positioning during *Drosophila* embryogenesis (Bonello et al., 2018). *Rap1* mutant embryos fail to localize spot adherens junctions properly during cellularization and complete embryogenesis with fragmented cuticles suggesting a loss of tissue integrity (Choi et al., 2013). Similarly, Rap family proteins are required for adherens junctions and tight junction formation in MDCK cells (Sasaki et al., 2020). Our results showed that Rap1 is required specifically for the enrichment of E-Cadherin at the apical side of polar cells, which we propose helps promote proper polar cell shapes. We also observed stretching of the anterior epithelial follicle cells, which may indicate altered adhesion in these cells. Although E-cadherin appeared to be localized to apical puncta between follicle cells consistent with the formation of adherens junctions, these junctions may not be completely normal thus contributing to the stretched epithelial shapes. We thus propose that Rap1 maintains (or helps assemble) the proper positioning of the apical adherens junction, at least in polar cells. Further work will be needed to determine if and how Rap1 mechanistically maintains adherens junctions in the follicle cells.

The functions of adherens junctions to maintain cell-to-cell contacts within an epithelium are also coupled to actomyosin contractility, which can drive cell shape changes. Rap1 and its effector Canoe (Cno) regulate actomyosin contractility in apically constricting mesodermal cells during *Drosophila* ventral furrow invagination (Sawyer et al., 2009; Spahn et al., 2012). Similarly, Rap1 is required for Shroom dependent apical constriction in *Xenopus* (Haigo et al.,

2003). These studies led us to ask if, in addition to its role in positioning adherens junctions

during egg chamber elongation, Rap1 was responsible for proper actomyosin contractility. We

found that Myo-II was required for proper tissue shape during egg chamber elongation, but

surprisingly Rap1 was dispensable for Myo-II localization in polar cells. Taken together with the

stretched individual cell shapes and deformed egg chambers observed for α-Catenin RNAi,

these data support our model that Rap1 maintains the strength of adherens junction-actin

linkages as was reported for the known Rap1 effector Cno (Sawyer et al., 2009).

We found that Rap1 regulates egg chamber shape during a period of major tissue

growth. The inherent on-off activity states of GTPases like Rap1 make them particularly useful

for dynamic processes that require discrete bursts of activity in response to cellular or tissue

level cues (Gloerich and Bos, 2011). In apical constriction, for example, myosin pulsatility is

coupled to progressive tightening of the apical domain (Martin and Goldstein, 2014; Martin et

al., 2009). The transient nature of pulsatile myosin may require a fast-acting molecular switch

that can be activated and inactivated quickly to couple motor behaviors to changes at the cell

cortex. While we did not observe Myo-II localization defects when Rap1 was inhibited, the

requirement for α-Catenin indicates that linkage to the actomyosin cytoskeleton is critical for

epithelial cell and tissue shapes. Atypical Protein Kinase C (aPKC) promotes an optimal level of

actomyosin to maintain an intact and organized follicle cell epithelium (Osswald et al., 2022).

Indeed, acute loss of aPKC causes the follicle cell layer to apically constrict and rupture, due to

growth of the egg chamber. While the effects caused by loss of Rap1 activity did not cause the

epithelium to rupture, the tissue instead locally deformed. The basement membrane becomes

thinner at the anterior and posterior ends during tissue elongation, allowing further growth along

the anterior-posterior axis (Balaji et al., 2019; Crest et al., 2017). We suggest that loss of Rap1,

in conjunction with a permissive region of the basement membrane, allows the tissue to widen

in response to egg chamber growth . Thus, we propose that Rap1, through apical enrichment of

adherens junction proteins, reinforces strong epithelial connections and resistance to growth of

the germline.

The signal relay mechanisms that act upstream to regulate the various Rap1 activities in

the follicle cell epithelium are unknown. Nor is it known which Rap1 effectors mediate the direct

control of adherens junction-actomyosin linkages in this context. The GTPase activating protein

Rapgap1 acts as one regulatory layer controlling Rap1 α-Catenin modulation in regulating

dorsal fold morphogenesis and is expressed in the ovary (Wang et al., 2013; Sawant et al.,

2018). *Drosophila* has several known guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs), including

PDZ-GEF (also known as Dizzy), C3G, and Epac. Whether one or more GEFs have differential

functions during these stages of oogenesis, and in cell survival, remains to be tested. A well-

known Rap1 effector, Cno, acts as a key signal relay mechanism downstream of Rap1. For

example Cno mediates Rap1 functions in *Drosophila* morphogenesis including mesoderm

invagination, head involution, and dorsal closure through modular biochemical functions (Perez-

Vale et al., 2021; Sawyer et al., 2009). Whether these or other upstream and downstream

regulators mediate Rap1 functions in epithelial tissue maintenance and cell survival remain to

be determined.

Rap1 promotes follicle cell and polar cell survival during oogenesis

We found that Rap1 maintains epithelial cell viability during oogenesis. One possibility is that

the role for Rap1 in adherens junction protein localization is coupled to cell survival. Cell-cell

contacts are essential for cell viability in certain contexts (Guillot and Lecuit, 2013). We found

that the adherens junction-cytoskeleton linker protein α-Catenin not only supported proper

epithelial and tissue shapes, but also promoted cell survival during oogenesis. Thus, cell-cell

adhesions may be coupled to cell viability during tissue growth during oogenesis. Indeed, this

period of dramatic tissue growth places extra strain on the epithelial follicle cells (Osswald et al.,

2022), which could result in fewer cells surviving. Another major role for Rap1 was in promoting

survival of the mature polar cells. The consequence of fewer polar cells surviving was a smaller

border cell cluster, which is critical for optimal migration speed and ability to reach their final

position at the oocyte (Cai et al., 2016; Stonko et al., 2015). Thus, Rap1's role in promoting cell

viability is critical for normal oogenesis.

We do not yet have a clear understanding of the mechanism by which Rap1 promotes

cell survival and suppresses apoptosis. Our results suggest that Rap1 may have independent

functions in cell survival other than (or in addition to) regulation of adherens junction proteins.

Although knocking down α-Catenin resulted in apoptotic cells, the phenotype was overall much

milder than that observed with Rap1 inhibition. Normally, DIAP1 must be maintained in the two

mature polar cells to prevent their apoptosis (Borensztejn et al., 2013; Khammari et al., 2011).

We observed a decrease in DIAP1 accumulation in the mature polar cells upon Rap1 inhibition,

which is unlikely to be directly associated with defects in cell-cell adhesion. During stages 7 to 8,

the overall levels of DIAP1 undergo a global reduction, which serves as a checkpoint

mechanism to terminate unhealthy egg chambers rather than commit additional nutritional and

energy resources (Baum et al., 2007). Rap1 promotes levels of DIAP1 before and during these

stages, thus protecting the mature polar cells and likely other follicle cells from undergoing

abnormal cell death. It remains to be tested whether Rap1 generally maintains cell survival of

follicle cells by more directly fine tuning DIAP1 levels at the molecular level, or if the regulation

of DIAP1 by Rap1 is indirect, for example due to disruption of epithelial integrity when Rap1 is

inhibited. It is also unclear how cellular mechanics function together with transcription of DIAP1

to promote cell survival during tissue growth of the ovary. Further work will also be needed to

determine if the function for Rap1 in cell survival and maintenance of epithelial shapes in

growing tissues is conserved in other developing tissues and organs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

***Drosophila* genetics**

All fly stocks used in this study are listed in Table 1 and the complete genotypes for each

experiment can be found in Table 2. Crosses were typically set up and maintained at 25˚C. In

cases where transgene expression impacted organism viability, the crosses were set up and

maintained at 18˚C. The tub-GAL80ts ('tsGAL80') transgene (McGuire et al., 2004) was present

in the genetic background of many crosses in this study to repress GAL4 expression during

other stages of development. Flies were shifted to 29˚C for 12-72 hours prior to dissection to

ensure optimal GAL4 expression and repression of tsGAL80, unless otherwise noted.

Immunostaining

Antibodies, sources, and dilutions used are listed in Table 3. Fly ovaries from 2- to 8-day old

females were dissected in Schneider's *Drosophila* Medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham,

MA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Seradigm FBS; VWR, Radnor, PA,

USA). Ovaries were either kept whole or dissected further into ovarioles and fixed for 10 mins

using 16% methanol-free formaldehyde (Polysciences, Inc., Warrington, PA, USA) diluted to a

final concentration of 4% in 1X Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS). Following fixation, tissues

were washed ≥4x with 'NP40 block' (50 mM Tris-HCL, pH 7.4, 150mM NaCl, 0.5% NP40,

5mg/ml bovine serum albumin [BSA]) and rocked in the solution for ≥30 mins prior to antibody

incubation. Primary and secondary antibody incubation as well as all other subsequent wash

steps were also performed in NP40 block. Dissected and stained ovarioles and egg chambers

were mounted on slides with Aqua-PolyMount (Polysciences, Inc.) media and allowed to harden

prior to imaging.

Microscopy

Images of fixed egg chambers were acquired on a Zeiss LSM 880 confocal laser scanning
microscope (KSU College of Veterinary Medicine Confocal Core) using either a 20 X 0.75
numerical aperture (NA) or a 40 X 1.3 NA oil-immersion objective controlled by Zeiss Zen 14
software.
517

Image Processing and Data Analysis
Measurements were performed using FIJI (Schindelin et al., 2012). Egg chamber local
deformation measurements were determined by measuring the width between the apical follicle
cell surfaces at 20% of the anterior-posterior (A-P) length of the egg chamber (the very anterior
tip is 0% of the A-P length). The polar cell aspect ratio was measured by analyzing Z-stacks
taken of egg chambers stained with FasIII (to identify polar cells), E-Cadherin, and DAPI; the
length and width was measured at the widest point of each polar cell. The aspect ratio was then
calculated by dividing the width by length.
E-Cadherin accumulation in polar cells (identified by FasIII) was measured by analyzing
Z-stacks acquired of egg chambers stained for E-Cadherin, FasIII, and DAPI. A 7µm line was
drawn to quantify pixel intensity, starting within the germline (an adjacent nurse cell), extending
through the apical polar cell-polar cell contact, then continuing along the lateral interface (see
line in Fig. 3A' and 3B'). Only images in which both polar cells could be viewed in the same Z-
slice were used for quantitation. The "Plot Profile" function in FIJI was used to obtain a list of
pixel intensity values corresponding to points along this line. These values were normalized to
the highest pixel intensity measured in the experiment and plotted. Staining and imaging
conditions were kept consistent between samples.
Dying cells during oogenesis were quantified by scanning through dissected whole
ovarioles stained and imaged for cDcp-1, DAPI, and FasIII. Nuclei positive for caspase activity
(cDcp-1-expressing nuclei) from stages 2 through 8 were quantified. Death of mature polar was
scored by analyzing cDcp-1 expressing nuclei specifically in stage 7-8 egg chambers. DIAP1
accumulation in polar cells was assessed by acquiring Z-stacks through the polar cells in stages
4-6 and stages 7-8 egg chambers and analyzing qualitative reduction in the DIAP1 signal
compared to adjacent cells. Polar cells were identified using a GFP protein trap in FasIII.
Quantification of the number of cells per border cell cluster were measured by acquiring
Z-stacks through border cell clusters visualized using the nuclear envelope marker Lamin (also
known as Lamin Dm_0) and DAPI. Whenever possible, E-Cadherin was used to determine the
boundaries of fully delaminated border cell clusters. Z-stacks encompassing the entire cluster

including both border cells and polar cells were acquired and nuclei were manually counted

using the Lamin signal.

Apical Myo-II accumulation was quantified by acquiring Z-stacks of stage 7-8 egg

chambers expressing a fluorescent Myo-II reporter, Sqh::GFP, and co-stained for E-Cadherin

and DAPI. A 2µm line was drawn at the apical surface of polar cells (identified by enrichment of

E-Cadherin) and the "Plot Profile" function in FIJI was used to obtain a list of intensity values for

each line. The intensity values were normalized to the highest pixel intensity measured in the

experiment and plotted. Samples of inferior staining quality were eliminated from analysis.

Staining and imaging conditions were kept consistent between samples.

Supernumerary polar cell load during oogenesis was scored by scanning through whole

ovarioles and counting the number of polar cells present at each pole using FasIII and Eya as

markers for polar cell fate. Nuclear STAT intensity at stages 7-8 was quantified by measuring

10XSTAT::GFP reporter intensity by drawing lines across three nuclei on either side of polar

cells The mean GFP intensity of each line was normalized to the mean DAPI signal for each

nuclear measurement. All measurements were then normalized to the highest relative GFP

intensity value measured in the experiment.

Figures, graphs, and statistics

Images were processed in FIJI and figures were assembled using Affinity Photo (Serif,

Nottingham, United Kingdom). Illustrations were designed in Affinity Photo. Graphs and

statistical tests were performed using GraphPad Prism 7 or Prism 9 (GraphPad Software, San

Diego, CA, USA). All statistical tests and significance levels are listed in the figure legends for

the figures in which they appear and in Table 4.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Denise Montell, Michelle Starz-Gaiano, Nick Brown, and Hyung Don

Ryoo, the Vienna *Drosophila* Resource Center (VDRC), the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock

Center (BDSC), and the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB) at the University of

Iowa for fly protocols, fly stocks, and antibodies. We also thank Gibson Hoefgen and Manuel

Garcia for general project assistance and maintenance of *Drosophila* strains and Emily

Burghardt, Rehan Khan, and Yujun Chen for helpful comments on the manuscript. We

acknowledge the Confocal Core, funded by the Kansas State University (KSU) College of

Veterinary Medicine, which provided use of the Zeiss LSM 880 confocal microscope. We thank

the KSU Statistics Consulting Laboratory for statistics advice. This work was supported by the

584   Author Contributions

585   C. Luke Messer: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Validation, Investigation, Visualization,

586   Methodology, Writing - Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing; Jocelyn A. McDonald:

587   Conceptualization, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Writing - Original Draft,

588   Writing - Review & Editing.

590
591 **References**
592

Abramson, J. and Anderson, G. (2017). Thymic Epithelial Cells. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **35**, 85–
118.

Asha, H., de Ruiter, N., Wang, M. and Hariharan, I. (1999). The Rap1 GTPase functions as a
regulator of morphogenesis invivo. *The EMBO Journal* **18**, 605–615.

Bach, E. A., Ekas, L. A., Ayala-Camargo, A., Flaherty, M. S., Lee, H., Perrimon, N. and Baeg, G.-
H. (2007). GFP reporters detect the activation of the Drosophila JAK/STAT pathway in
vivo. *Gene Expression Patterns* **7**, 323–331.

Bai, J. and Montell, D. (2002). Eyes Absent, a key repressor of polar cell fate during *Drosophila*
oogenesis. *Development* **129**, 5377–5388.

Balaji, R., Weichselberger, V. and Classen, A.-K. (2019). Response of epithelial cell and tissue
shape to external forces *in vivo*. *Development* dev.171256.

Baum, J. S., Arama, E., Steller, H. and McCall, K. (2007). The Drosophila caspases Strica and
Dronc function redundantly in programmed cell death during oogenesis. *Cell Death*
Differ **14**, 1508–1517.

Beccari, S., Teixeira, L. and Rørth, P. (2002). The JAK/STAT pathway is required for border cell
migration during Drosophila oogenesis. *Mechanisms of Development* **111**, 115–123.

Besse, F. and Pret, A.-M. (2003). Apoptosis-mediated cell death within the ovarian polar cell
lineage of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Development* **130**, 1017–1027.

Blanpain, C. and Fuchs, E. (2009). Epidermal homeostasis: a balancing act of stem cells in the
skin. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **10**, 207–217.

Boettner, B. and Van Aelst, L. (2007). The Rap GTPase Activator *Drosophila* PDZ-GEF Regulates
Cell Shape in Epithelial Migration and Morphogenesis. *Mol Cell Biol* **27**, 7966–7980.

Boettner, B., Harjes, P., Ishimaru, S., Heke, M., Fan, H. Q., Qin, Y., Van Aelst, L. and Gaul, U.
(2003). The AF-6 Homolog Canoe Acts as a Rap1 Effector During Dorsal Closure of the
Drosophila Embryo. *Genetics* **165**, 159–169.

Bonello, T. T., Perez-Vale, K. Z., Sumigray, K. D. and Peifer, M. (2018). Rap1 acts via multiple
mechanisms to position Canoe/Afadin and adherens junctions and mediate apical-basal
polarity establishment. *Development* dev.157941.

Borensztejn, A., Boissoneau, E., Fernandez, G., Agnès, F. and Pret, A.-M. (2013). JAK/STAT
autocontrol of ligand-producing cell number through apoptosis. *Development* **140**, 195–
204.

Borensztejn, A., Mascaro, A. and Wharton, K. A. (2018). JAK/STAT signaling prevents excessive
apoptosis to ensure maintenance of the interfollicular stalk critical for Drosophila
oogenesis. *Developmental Biology* **438**, 1–9.

Bröer, S. (2008). Amino Acid Transport Across Mammalian Intestinal and Renal Epithelia.
Physiological Reviews **88**, 249–286.

Cai, D., Dai, W., Prasad, M., Luo, J., Gov, N. S. and Montell, D. J. (2016). Modeling and analysis
of collective cell migration in an in vivo three-dimensional environment. *Proc Natl Acad*
Sci U S A **113**, E2134-2141.

Choi, W., Harris, N. J., Sumigray, K. D. and Peifer, M. (2013). Rap1 and Canoe/afadin are
essential for establishment of apical–basal polarity in the *Drosophila* embryo. *MBoC* **24**,
945–963.

Clem, R. J., Fechheimer, M. and Miller, L. K. (1991). Prevention of Apoptosis by a Baculovirus
Gene During Infection of Insect Cells. *Science* **254**, 1388–1390.

Crest, J., Diz-Muñoz, A., Chen, D.-Y., Fletcher, D. A. and Bilder, D. (2017). Organ sculpting by
patterned extracellular matrix stiffness. *eLife* **6**, e24958.

Duhart, J. C., Parsons, T. T. and Raftery, L. A. (2017). The repertoire of epithelial
morphogenesis on display: Progressive elaboration of Drosophila egg structure.
Mechanisms of Development **148**, 18–39.

Duszyc, K., Gomez, G. A., Schroder, K., Sweet, M. J. and Yap, A. S. (2017). In life there is death:
How epithelial tissue barriers are preserved despite the challenge of apoptosis. *Tissue*
Barriers **5**, e1345353.

Gloerich, M. and Bos, J. L. (2011). Regulating Rap small G-proteins in time and space. *Trends in*
Cell Biology **21**, 615–623.

Guillot, C. and Lecuit, T. (2013). Mechanics of Epithelial Tissue Homeostasis and
Morphogenesis. *Science* **340**, 1185–1189.

Haigo, S. L. and Bilder, D. (2011). Global Tissue Revolutions in a Morphogenetic Movement
Controlling Elongation. *Science* **331**, 1071–1074.

Haigo, S. L., Hildebrand, J. D., Harland, R. M. and Wallingford, J. B. (2003). Shroom Induces
Apical Constriction and Is Required for Hingepoint Formation during Neural Tube
Closure. *Current Biology* **13**, 2125–2137.

Harris, T. J. C. and Tepass, U. (2010). Adherens junctions: from molecules to morphogenesis.
Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol **11**, 502–514.

Hay, B. A., Wolff, T. and Rubin, G. M. (1994). Expression of baculovirus P35 prevents cell death
in Drosophila. *Development* **120**, 2121–2129.

Hay, B. A., Wassarman, D. A. and Rubin, G. M. (1995). Drosophila homologs of baculovirus
inhibitor of apoptosis proteins function to block cell death. *Cell* **83**, 1253–1262.

Irvine, K. D. and Wieschaus, E. (1994). Cell intercalation during Drosophila germband extension
and its regulation by pair-rule segmentation genes. *Development* **120**, 827–841.

Keller, R. E. (1981). An experimental analysis of the role of bottle cells and the deep marginal
zone in gastrulation ofXenopus laevis. *J. Exp. Zool.* **216**, 81–101.

Khammari, A., Agnès, F., Gandille, P. and Pret, A.-M. (2011). Physiological apoptosis of polar
cells during Drosophila oogenesis is mediated by Hid-dependent regulation of Diap1.
Cell Death Differ **18**, 793–805.

Kim, Y. S., Fan, R., Lith, S. C., Dicke, A.-K., Drexler, H. C. A., Kremer, L., Kuempel-Rink, N.,
Hekking, L., Stehling, M. and Bedzhov, I. (2022). Rap1 controls epiblast morphogenesis
in sync with the pluripotency states transition. *Developmental Cell* **57**, 1937-1956.e8.

Knox, A. L. and Brown, N. H. (2002). Rap1 GTPase Regulation of Adherens Junction Positioning
and Cell Adhesion. *Science* **295**, 1285–1288.

Lebo, D. P. V. and McCall, K. (2021). Murder on the Ovarian Express: A Tale of Non-
Autonomous Cell Death in the Drosophila Ovary. *Cells* **10**, 1454.

Li, M., Sun, S., Priest, J., Bi, X. and Fan, Y. (2019). Characterization of TNF-induced cell death in
Drosophila reveals caspase- and JNK-dependent necrosis and its role in tumor
suppression. *Cell Death Dis* **10**, 613.

Loyer, N., Kolotuev, I., Pinot, M. and Le Borgne, R. (2015). *Drosophila* E-cadherin is required for
the maintenance of ring canals anchoring to mechanically withstand tissue growth. *Proc.*
Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **112**, 12717–12722.

Martin, A. C. and Goldstein, B. (2014). Apical constriction: themes and variations on a cellular
mechanism driving morphogenesis. *Development* **141**, 1987–1998.

Martin, A. C., Kaschube, M. and Wieschaus, E. F. (2009). Pulsed contractions of an actin–
myosin network drive apical constriction. *Nature* **457**, 495–499.

Munjal, A. and Lecuit, T. (2014). Actomyosin networks and tissue morphogenesis. *Development*
141, 1789–1793.

Niewiadomska, P., Godt, D. and Tepass, U. (1999). DE-Cadherin Is Required for Intercellular
Motility during Drosophila Oogenesis. *Journal of Cell Biology* **144**, 533–547.

Osswald, M., Barros-Carvalho, A., Carmo, A. M., Loyer, N., Gracio, P. C., Sunkel, C. E., Homem,
C. C. F., Januschke, J. and Morais-de-Sá, E. (2022). aPKC regulates apical constriction to
prevent tissue rupture in the Drosophila follicular epithelium. *Current Biology*
S0960982222013859.

Peifer, M., Orsulic, S., Sweeton, D. and Wieschaus, E. (1993). A role for the Drosophila segment
polarity gene armadillo in cell adhesion and cytoskeletal integrity during oogenesis.
Development **118**, 1191–1207.

Perez-Vale, K. Z., Yow, K. D., Johnson, R. I., Byrnes, A. E., Finegan, T. M., Slep, K. C. and Peifer,
M. (2021). Multivalent interactions make adherens junction–cytoskeletal linkage robust
during morphogenesis. *Journal of Cell Biology* **220**, e202104087.

Perez-Vale, K. Z., Yow, K. D., Gurley, N. J., Greene, M. and Peifer, M. (2022). Rap1 regulates
apical contractility to allow embryonic morphogenesis without tissue disruption and
acts in part via Canoe-independent mechanisms. *MBoC* mbc.E22-05-0176.

Potla, U., Ni, J., Vadaparampil, J., Yang, G., Leventhal, J. S., Campbell, K. N., Chuang, P. Y.,
Morozov, A., He, J. C., D'Agati, V. D., et al. (2014). Podocyte-specific RAP1GAP
expression contributes to focal segmental glomerulosclerosis–associated glomerular
injury. *J. Clin. Invest.* **124**, 1757–1769.

Royou, A., Field, C., Sisson, J. C., Sullivan, W. and Karess, R. (2004). Reassessing the Role and
Dynamics of Nonmuscle Myosin II during Furrow Formation in Early *Drosophila* Embryos.
MBoC **15**, 838–850.

Ruohola, H., Bremer, K. A., Baker, D., Swedlow, J. R., Jan, L. Y. and Jan, Y. N. (1991). Role of
neurogenic genes in establishment of follicle cell fate and oocyte polarity during
oogenesis in Drosophila. *Cell* **66**, 433–449.

Sarpal, R., Pellikka, M., Patel, R. R., Hui, F. Y. W., Godt, D. and Tepass, U. (2012). Mutational
analysis supports a core role for *Drosophila* α-Catenin in adherens junction function.
Journal of Cell Science **125**, 233–245.

Sasaki, K., Kojitani, N., Hirose, H., Yoshihama, Y., Suzuki, H., Shimada, M., Takayanagi, A.,
Yamashita, A., Nakaya, M., Hirano, H., et al. (2020). Shank2 Binds to aPKC and Controls
Tight Junction Formation with Rap1 Signaling during Establishment of Epithelial Cell
Polarity. *Cell Reports* **31**, 107407.

Sawant, K., Chen, Y., Kotian, N., Preuss, K. M. and McDonald, J. A. (2018). Rap1 GTPase
promotes coordinated collective cell migration in vivo. *MBoC* **29**, 2656–2673.

Sawyer, J. K., Harris, N. J., Slep, K. C., Gaul, U. and Peifer, M. (2009). The Drosophila afadin
homologue Canoe regulates linkage of the actin cytoskeleton to adherens junctions
during apical constriction. *Journal of Cell Biology* **186**, 57–73.

Silver, D. L. and Montell, D. J. (2001). Paracrine Signaling through the JAK/STAT Pathway
Activates Invasive Behavior of Ovarian Epithelial Cells in Drosophila. *Cell* **107**, 831–841.

Spahn, P., Ott, A. and Reuter, R. (2012). The PDZ-GEF Dizzy regulates the establishment of
adherens junctions required for ventral furrow formation in *Drosophila*. *Journal of Cell*
Science jcs.101196.

Spradling A. C. (1993). Developmental genetics of oogenesis. In *The Development of*
Drosophila Melanogaster, pp. 1-70. CSHL Press.
730

Starz-Gaiano, M., Melani, M., Wang, X., Meinhardt, H. and Montell, D. J. (2008). Feedback
Inhibition of JAK/STAT Signaling by Apontic Is Required to Limit an Invasive Cell
Population. *Developmental Cell* **14**, 726–738.

Stonko, D. P., Manning, L., Starz-Gaiano, M. and Peercy, B. E. (2015). A mathematical model of
collective cell migration in a three-dimensional, heterogeneous environment. *PLoS One*
10, e0122799.

Tai, K., Cockburn, K. and Greco, V. (2019). Flexibility sustains epithelial tissue homeostasis.
Current Opinion in Cell Biology **60**, 84–91.

Wang, Y.-C., Khan, Z. and Wieschaus, E. F. (2013). Distinct Rap1 Activity States Control the
Extent of Epithelial Invagination via α-Catenin. *Developmental Cell* **25**, 299–309.

Wang, A., Dunn, A. R. and Weis, W. I. (2022). Mechanism of the cadherin–catenin F-actin catch
bond interaction. *eLife* **11**, e80130.

Williams-Masson, E. M., Malik, A. N. and Hardin, J. (1997). An actin-mediated two-step
mechanism is required for ventral enclosure of the C. elegans hypodermis. *Development*
124, 2889–2901.

Yan, N., Wu, J.-W., Chai, J., Li, W. and Shi, Y. (2004). Molecular mechanisms of DrICE inhibition
by DIAP1 and removal of inhibition by Reaper, Hid and Grim. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **11**,
420–428.

Yonemura, S., Wada, Y., Watanabe, T., Nagafuchi, A. and Shibata, M. (2010). α-Catenin as a
tension transducer that induces adherens junction development. *Nat Cell Biol* **12**, 533–
542.

Figure 1. Rap1 is required in the anterior epithelium to maintain follicle cell and egg

chamber shapes

(A) Ovariole with GFP tagged Rap1 illustrates Rap1 enrichment in follicle cells. Arrowhead

indicates apical Rap1 accumulation in anterior epithelium. (B) Ovariole expressing UAS-LacZ

driven by *c306*-GAL4 to demonstrate the *c306*-GAL4 expression pattern. (C-D) LacZ control (C)

or DN-Rap1^{N17} (D) egg chambers. Anterior epithelia are distorted at stages 7-8 in DN-Rap1^{N17}.

Arrowheads indicate anterior region of stage 7-8 egg chambers. Solid arrowhead points to

normal anterior epithelium of control (C), while open arrowhead indicates distorted anterior

region for DN-Rap1^{N17} (D). (E-F) Anterior regions of stage 7-8 egg chambers showing normal

cuboidal epithelium in control (E) or stretched cell shapes in DN-Rap1^{N17} (F). Solid arrowheads

indicate cuboidal shaped cells in E. Open arrowheads indicate stretched cells in F. (G)

Quantification of local deformation measures taken at 20% of egg chamber length (illustrated by

egg chamber schematic in G). ** p≤0.01 two-tailed unpaired t-test. N≥17 egg chambers

measured per genotype. (A-D) Scale bars 20µm. (E-F) Scale bars 5µm. Anterior is to the left in

this and the following figures.

Figure 2. Rap1 is required for proper polar cell shape

(A-B) LacZ (A) and DN-Rap1^{N17} (B) ovarioles imaged for polar cell shape. Dashed boxes

indicate the regions expanded in A', A", B', and B". (A'-A", B'-B") Close-up views of anterior egg

chamber regions. Control stage 4-6 (A') and stage 7-8 (A") egg chamber polar cells. Brackets

indicate intact polar cell pairs. (B'-B") DN-Rap1^{N17} stage 4-6 (B') and stage 7-8 (B") egg

chamber polar cells. (B') Only one polar cell is present at stage 4-6. (B") One spherical polar cell

is present at stage 7-8. Open arrowheads indicate only one polar cell is present. (C) Aspect

ratio schematic and quantification. Aspect ratio (AR) was defined as the dorsoventral (DV,

yellow arrow) width divided by the anterior-posterior (AP, cyan arrow) length. AR measurements

plotted for stage 4-6 and stage 7-8 egg chamber polar cells. DN-Rap1^{N17} polar cells are more

spherical at stage 7-8 indicated by average AR=1.02 vs average AR=0.56 for control. **** p

≤0.0001 two-tailed unpaired t test. N≥26 polar cells measured per genotype per egg chamber

stage. (A-B) Scale bars 20μm. (A'-B") Scale bars 5μm.

Figure 3. Rap1 is required for apical E-Cadherin enrichment in polar cells

(A-B) Anterior regions of stage 7-8 egg chambers for LacZ control (A) and DN-Rap1^{N17} egg

chambers (B). (A'-B") Single channel panels of A and B showing E-Cadherin (gray) enrichment.

(A', B') Yellow line indicates region of measurement beginning over nurse cells (1) and ending

along lateral polar cell-polar cell interface (2). Solid arrowhead indicates apical accumulation of

E-Cadherin. Open arrowhead indicates reduced E-Cadherin enrichment. (A", B") Same as A'

and B' without line overlays for clarity. Image brightness adjusted for presentation purposes. (C)

Measurement schematic and quantification of fluorescence intensities measured along lines

drawn as in A' and B' and normalized to highest signal. Lines represent mean intensity. (D) Heat

map representation of C. Bracket indicates area of heat map corresponding to apical

enrichment of E-Cadherin in polar cells. Arrowheads at the top of heat map indicate the lanes

corresponding to A" and B". Scale bars 5μm.

Figure 4. Sqh and α-Catenin maintain local tissue shape and α-Catenin is required for epithelial integrity

(A-D) Stage 7-8 GFP RNAi (A), Sqh RNAi, (B) E-Cadherin RNAi (C), and α-cat RNAi (D) egg chambers imaged for egg chamber shape. (E) Schematic and quantification of local deformation measurement. ** p≤0.01 One-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons test. N≥19 egg chambers per genotype. (F-I) GFP RNAi (F), Sqh RNAi (G), and α-cat RNAi (H-I) ovarioles imaged to show egg chamber shape and anterior epithelium. (F'-I') Maximum intensity projections of anterior regions of stage 7-8 egg chambers pictured in the main panels. Open arrowheads in H', I, and I' indicate "stretched" or clustered cells observed in α-cat RNAi egg chambers. (A-D, F-I) Scale bars 20µm. (F'-I') Scale bars 5µm.

Figure 5. Rap1 and α-Catenin are required for cell viability during oogenesis

(A-B) Maximum intensity projections of ovarioles showing caspase positive nuclei for LacZ (A)
and DN-Rap1^{N17} (B). Open arrowheads in A and B indicate cDcp1 positive nuclei and the
bracket in B indicates a degenerating egg chamber. (C) Quantification of cDcp1 positive nuclei
per ovariole (egg chamber stages 2-8 only). DN-Rap1^{N17} ovarioles have greater number of
cDcp1 positive nuclei. **** p≤0.0001 One-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple
comparisons test. N≥29 ovarioles per genotype. (D-F) Maximum intensity projections of
ovarioles for GFP RNAi (D), Sqh RNAi (E), and α-cat RNAi (F). Open arrowheads indicate
cDcp1 positive nuclei. (G) Quantification of cDcp1 positive nuclei. ** p≤0.01 One-way ANOVA
followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons test. N≥20 ovarioles per genotype. Scale bars
20µm.

Figure 6. Rap1 is required for polar cell viability and proper DIAP1 accumulation

(A-B) Stage 7-8 LacZ (A) and DN-Rap1^{N17} (B) egg chambers scored for cDcp1 positive polar

cells . (A', B') Close-up views of anterior regions of the egg chambers depicted in A and B,

showing only DAPI and Eya staining. (A", B") Same images as A' and B' showing only DAPI and

cDcp1. Filled arrowheads in A' and A" indicate viable mature polar cells. Open arrowheads in B'

and B" indicate a cDcp1 positive dying polar cell. Numbers in A" and B" indicate number of

observations of cDcp1 positive polar cells per stage 7-8 egg chambers scored. (C-C", E-E")

Stage 4-6 LacZ (C-C") and DN-Rap1^{N17} (E-E") egg chambers. (C', E') Same images as C and E

showing only DIAP1 and FasIII. (C", E") DIAP1 only. (D-D", F-F") Stage 7-8 LacZ (D-D") and

DN-Rap1^{N17} (F-F") egg chambers. (C-F) Insets show DAPI-stained whole egg chambers

corresponding to zoomed regions with yellow boxes outlining the approximate region depicted in

main panels. (C"-F") Polar cells are outlined in yellow. Solid arrowheads indicate normal DIAP1

841   accumulation. Open arrowheads indicate reduced DIAP1. The number of egg chambers with

842   normal DIAP1 accumulation out of total egg chambers scored is reported in the upper right of

843   C", D", E", and F". (A-F) Scale bars 20µm. (C"-F") Scale bars 5µm.

Figure 7. Rap1 dependent cell viability is required for proper border cell cluster assembly

(A-B) Stage 9-10 egg chambers for LacZ (A) and DN-Rap1^{N17} (B). (A'-B') Maximum intensity projections of border cell clusters from egg chambers pictured in A and B. Numbers at top right are average number of cells observed for each genotype. (C) Quantification of cell number per cluster for each genotype. * p≤0.05 ****p≤0.0001 two-tailed unpaired t test. N≥26 border cell clusters per genotype. (D-E) Stage 9-10 egg chambers for DN-Rap1^{N17} + LacZ expression control (D) or DN-Rap1^{N17} + p35 (E). (D'- E') Maximum intensity projections of border cell clusters from egg chambers pictured in D and E. Numbers at top right are average number of cells observed for each genotype. (F) Quantification of cell number per cluster for each genotype. *** p≤0.001 two-tailed unpaired t test. N=30 border cell clusters per genotype. (A-B, D-E) Scale bars 20µm. (A'-B', D'-E') Scale bars 5µm.

859 **Figure S1. Rap1 inhibition causes polar cell loss and distorted polar cell shapes**

860 (A-B) Anterior regions of two different DN-Rap1^{N17} stage 7-8 egg chambers. (A) Only one

861 mature polar cell is present. (B) A pair of polar cells stretched along the dorsoventral axis. Scale

862 bars 5µm.

Figure S2. Rap1 is dispensable for Sqh apical localization in polar cells

(A-B) Anterior regions of stage 7-8 egg chambers for LacZ (A) or DN-Rap1[N17] (B). (A'-B') Single

channel images of A and B showing GFP (gray) with yellow lines indicating region of

measurement for Sqh::GFP intensity. The numbers correspond to the plot intensity profiles in C.

(A"-B") Single channel images as in A' and B' with line removed for clarity. The image

brightness was adjusted for presentation purposes but not used for quantification. (C) Profile of

the intensity values along the measurement lines, plotted and normalized to highest signal. The

numbers on the x-axis correspond to the lines drawn on A' and B'. Solid plot lines represent the

mean intensity. N ≥ 8 egg chambers per genotype. Scale bars 5µm.

Figure S3. Rap1 does not regulate early elimination of supernumerary polar cells and maintains mature polar cell shape independent of apoptosis

(A) Quantification showing frequency of egg chamber poles with supernumerary polar cells during specific stages of oogenesis. * $p \leq 0.05$ two-sided Fisher's exact test. N≥30 egg chamber poles per stage per genotype. Supernumerary polar cells are present early for all genotypes. (B-C) Anterior region of stage 7-8 egg chambers, DN-Rap1^{N17} with LacZ expression (A) or DN-Rap1^{N17} with apoptosis inhibitor p35 (B). Whole egg chambers stained for DAPI are shown in the insets. (D) Polar cell aspect ratio quantification. Mean AR of DN-Rap1^{N17} + LacZ control = 0.84. Mean AR of DN-Rap1^{N17} + p35 = 0.85. NS two-tailed unpaired t test. N≥34 polar cells per genotype. Main panel scale bars 5μm. Inset scale bars 20μm.

Figure S4. Effects of late Rap1 inhibition on border cell numbers

(A) Ovariole region expressing UAS-LacZ indicates the expression pattern of *slbo*-GAL4. (B-C)

Stage 9-10 LacZ (B) and DN-Rap1^{N17} (C) egg chambers showing mid-migration border cells.

(B'-C') Maximum intensity projections of border cell clusters from B and C. Numbers in the

upper right indicate the average number of cells per cluster. (D) Quantification of cell number

per cluster for Rap1 inhibition using *slbo*-GAL4. **** p≤0.0001 two-tailed unpaired t test. N≥25

border cell clusters per genotype. (A-C) Scale bars 20µm. (B'-C') Scale bars 5µm.

Figure S5. Rap1 is dispensable for STAT levels in follicle cells fated to become border

cells

(A, B) Stage 8 LacZ (A) and DN-Rap1^{N17} (B) egg chambers with 10XSTAT::GFP reporter

expression enriched adjacent to anterior polar cells. (A'-A", B'-B") Insets of the anterior end of

the egg chambers in A and B, which was used to measure the intensity of nuclear

10XSTAT::GFP. (A'-B') DAPI. (A"-B") 10XSTAT::GFP. Dashed rectangles indicate the cell

positions next to polar cells used for analyzing STAT levels. (C) Schematic and plot of STAT

intensity values relative to the DAPI signal and normalized to the highest intensity STAT

measurement. NS, unpaired two-tailed t-test. N≥12 follicle cells at each position pooled from

N≥6 stage 8 egg chambers for each genotype. (A-B) Scale bars 20μm. (A"-B") Scale bars 5μm.

Table 1. Fly strains used in this study.

Fly Stock	Source	Appears in Figures
c306-GAL4		7
c306-GAL4; 10XSTAT92E-GFP	M. Starz-Gaiano	S5
c306-GAL4; UAS-Rap1N17, tsgal80	This study	7, S3
c306-GAL4; Sqh::GFP	P. Majumder	S2
c306-GAL4; tsgal80	P. Majumder	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, S1, S3
c306-GAL4, tsgal80; PT Fas III (GFP)	This study	6
slbo-GAL4	BDSC 58435	S4
GFP-Rap1	N. Brown	1
UAS-α-Catenin RNAi	VDRC v107298	4, 5
UAS-E-Cadherin RNAi	VDRC v103962	4
UAS-GFP RNAi	VDRC v60102	4, 5
UAS-LacZ.nls	D. Montell	1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, S2, S3, S4, S5
UAS-mCherry RNAi	BDSC 35785	7
UAS-p35.H	BDSC 5072	7, S3
UAS-Rap1N17	B. Boettner	1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, S1, S2, S3, S4, S5
UAS-Rap1 RNAi	VDRC v33437	7
UAS-Rap1V12	B. Boettner	5, S3
UAS-Sqh RNAi	VDRC v7916	4, 5

911 **Table 2. Genotypes in this study.**

Figure	Genotypes
1A	w; P[w+ GFP-Rap1]
1B	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+
1C	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+
1D	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-Rap1N17; TM2/+
1E	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+
1F	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-Rap1N17; TM2/+
1G	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+ c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-Rap1N17; TM2/+
2A-A"	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+
2B-B"	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-Rap1N17; TM2/+
2C	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+ c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-Rap1N17; TM2/+
3A-A"	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+
3B-B"	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-Rap1N17; TM2/+
3C-D	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+ c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-Rap1N17; TM2/+
4A	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-GFP-RNAi
4B	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-Sqh-RNAi
4C	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-E-Cadherin-RNAi
4D	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-α-Catenin-RNAi
4E	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-GFP-RNAi c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-Sqh-RNAi c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-E-Cadherin-RNAi c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-α-Catenin-RNAi
4F-F'	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-GFP-RNAi
4G-G'	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-Sqh-RNAi
4H-H'	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-α-Catenin-RNAi
4I-I'	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-α-Catenin-RNAi
5A	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+
5B	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-Rap1N17; TM2/+
5C	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+ c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-Rap1N17; TM2/+ c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/BL; UAS-Rap1V12/+
5D	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-GFP-RNAi
5E	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-Sqh-RNAi
5F	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-α-Catenin-RNAi
5G	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-GFP-RNAi c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-Sqh-RNAi c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-α-Catenin-RNAi
6A-A"	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+
6B-B"	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-Rap1N17; TM2/+
6C-C"	c306-GAL4, tsgal80/w; PT Fas III (GFP)/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+
6D-D"	c306-GAL4, tsgal80/w; PT Fas III (GFP)/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+
6E-E"	c306-GAL4, tsgal80/w; PT Fas III (GFP)/UAS-Rap1N17; TM2/+
6F-F"	c306-GAL4, tsgal80/w; PT Fas III (GFP)/UAS-Rap1N17; TM2/+

912
913

7A-A'	c306-GAL4/w; G13, L[2]/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+
7B-B'	c306-GAL4/w; G13, L[2]/UAS-Rap1N17; TM2/+
7C	c306-GAL4/w; G13, L[2]/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+ c306-GAL4/w; G13, L[2]/UAS-Rap1N17; TM2/+ c306-GAL4/w; G13, L[2]/+; UAS-mCherry-RNAi/+ c306-GAL4/w; G13, L[2]/+; UAS-Rap1-RNAi/+
7D-D'	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80, UAS-Rap1N17/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+
7E-E'	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80, UAS-Rap1N17/UAS-p35.H
7F	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80, UAS-Rap1N17/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+ c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80, UAS-Rap1N17/UAS-p35.H
S1A-B	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-Rap1N17; TM2/+
S2A-A"	c306-GAL4/w; Sgh::GFP/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+
S2B-B"	c306-GAL4/w; Sqh::GFP/UAS-Rap1N17; TM2/+
S2C	c306-GAL4/w; Sqh::GFP/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+ c306-GAL4/w; Sqh::GFP/UAS-Rap1N17; TM2/+
S3A	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+ c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/UAS-Rap1N17; TM2/+ c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80/BL; UAS-Rap1V12/+
S3B	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80, UAS-Rap1N17/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+
S3C	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80, UAS-Rap1N17/UAS-p35.H
S3D	c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80, UAS-Rap1N17/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+ c306-GAL4/w; tsgal80, UAS-Rap1N17/UAS-p35.H
S4A	w; slbo-GAL4/UAS-LacZ.nls
S4B-B'	w; slbo-GAL4/UAS-LacZ.nls
S4C-C'	w; UAS-Rap1N17/+; slbo-GAL4/TM2
S4D	w; slbo-GAL4/UAS-LacZ.nls w; UAS-Rap1N17/+; slbo-GAL4/TM2
S5A-A"	c306-GAL4/w; 10XSTAT92E-GFP/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+
S5B-B"	c306-GAL4/w; 10XSTAT92E-GFP/UAS-Rap1N17; TM2/+
S5C	c306-GAL4/w; 10XSTAT92E-GFP/+; UAS-LacZ.nls/+ c306-GAL4/w; 10XSTAT92E-GFP/UAS-Rap1N17; TM2/+

     Table 3. Antibodies used in this study.

Antibody	Concentration	Source	Appears in Figures
Dcp-1 (Asp215)	(1:50-1:100)	Cell Signaling Technology	5, 6
DE-cadherin (DCAD2)	(1:10)	DSHB	1, 2, 3, 4, S1, S3
DIAP1	(1:100)	H. D. Ryoo	6
Eya (10H6)	(1:50-1:100)	DSHB	6
Fas3 (7G10)	(1:5-1:10)	DSHB	2, 4, 5, 7, S1, S3, S4, S5
GFP (12E6)	(1:10)	DSHB	1, S2
GFP (ab13970)	(1:100)	abcam	6, S5
LacZ (40-1a)	(1:10)	DSHB	1, S4
Lamin (ADL67.10)	(1:10)	DSHB	7, S4

Secondary antibodies used at a concentration of 1:400
Alexa Fluor Chicken 488 IgG H+L
Alexa Fluor Mouse 488 IgG H+L
Alexa Fluor Mouse 488 IgG1
Alexa Fluor Mouse 568 IgG H+L
Alexa Fluor Mouse 568 IgG2a
Alexa Fluor Rabbit 647 IgG H+L
Alexa Fluor Rat 488 IgG H+L
Alexa Fluor Rat 647 IgG H+L
DAPI (Millipore Sigma) was used at 0.05 µg/ml

919 **Table 4. Statistics in this study.**

Figure	Experimental Unit	Measurement	Experiments per Genotype (n)	Statistical Test	Significance
1G	Egg chamber	Anterior width	≥17	Unpaired two-tailed t test	**
2C	Polar cell	Aspect ratio	≥26	Unpaired two-tailed t test	ns, ****
3C	Egg chamber	Apical E-Cad Intensity	≥10	N/A	N/A
4E	Egg chamber	Anterior width	≥19	One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's Multiple Comparisons test	ns, **
5C	Ovariole	cDcp+ nuclei	≥29	One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's Multiple Comparisons test	ns, ****
5G	Ovariole	cDcp+ nuclei	≥20	One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's Multiple Comparisons test	ns, **
7C	Border cell clusters	Cells per cluster	≥26	Unpaired two-tailed t test	*, ****
7F	Border cell clusters	Cells per cluster	30	Unpaired two-tailed t test	***
S2C	Egg chamber	Apical Sqh Intensity	≥8	N/A	N/A
S3A	Egg chamber pole	Supernumerary polar cells	≥30	Fisher's exact test	ns, *
S3D	Polar cell	Aspect ratio	≥34	Unpaired two-tailed t test	ns
S4D	Border cell clusters	Cells per cluster	≥25	Unpaired two-tailed t test	****
S5C	Nucleus	Stat reporter intensity	≥12	Unpaired two-tailed t test	ns

921 Significance values: * P≤0.05, ** P≤0.01, ***P≤0.001, ****P<0.0001.