Eusocial evolution without a nest: kin structure of social aphids forming open

colonies on bamboo

Keigo Uematsu[1][†], Man-Miao Yang[2], William Amos[1] and William A. Foster[1]

[1]Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge, UK

[2]Department of Entomology, National Chung Hsing University, Taichung, Taiwan

[†]Present address: Department of Biology, Keio University, Hiyoshi, Kanagawa, Japan

Author for correspondence: Keigo Uematsu, e-mail: keigouematsu@gmail.com

Abstract

Living in nests is an almost universal feature of eusocial animals. In some aphids,

however, sterile soldier castes have evolved in open colonies without a nest. To clarify

the factors promoting the evolution of eusociality in these colonies, we used newly

developed microsatellite markers to compare the kin structure of the open colonies of two

aphid species on bamboo: the non-eusocial colonies of *Astegopteryx bambucifoliae* and

the eusocial colonies of *Pseudoregma alexanderi* on *Dendrocalamus latiflorus*.

Our samples, from over 1,000 hectares, contained 99 clones of *A. bambucifoliae*

and 19 of *P. alexanderi*. Clonal mixing occurred in both species: average pairwise

relatedness within a colony was 0.54 in *A. bambucifoliae* and 0.71 in *P. alexanderi*. Each

clone of *A. bambucifoliae* occurred in a unique location, whereas those of *P. alexander*i

occurred in multiple locations and more than 90% of individuals came from just four

clones. There was significant genetic variation among different colonies in the same

clump (stem-cluster) in *A. bambucifoliae* but not in *P. alexanderi*, indicating that *P.*

alexanderi colonies in a single clump are genetically homogenized, functioning as a large

colony. In *P. alexanderi*, the proportion of sterile soldiers to normal first-instar nymphs

was significantly different across the four clones.

Our results indicate that the lack of input of migrants from the primary host and

feeding on a large, stable host plant are important ecological factors that might favour the

evolution of eusociality, enabling the production of genetically homogenised, large, and

long-lived colonies. After eusociality evolves on the secondary host, the optimal strategy

of soldier production might vary between different clones.

Keywords social aphids, eusociality, microsatellite, relatedness, cooperation

Declarations

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Significance Statement

Nest-living has often been considered to be a necessary condition for the evolution of

eusociality. In a small number of aphid species, however, sterile soldier castes have

evolved in open colonies without a nest. To understand why these aphids are unique, we

examined the kin structure and genetic relatedness of individuals within eusocial and non-

eusocial open colonies of two aphid species on bamboo. We found that clonal mixing

occurred in both species, but the eusocial colonies are more genetically homogenized,

functioning as a large colony. Our results suggest that ecological conditions that promote

genetically homogenized, large and long-lived colonies are important for the evolution of

eusociality in these aphids. We propose that the open colonies of social aphids provide

an ideal model system in which to study the evolution of altruism.

1. Introduction

Understanding how complex sociality has evolved and is maintained is a fundamental question in evolutionary biology. Two key factors need to be addressed: the costs and benefits of helping and being helped, and the genetic relatedness of the actors. The mode of reproduction, such as monogamy or parthenogenesis, can enhance genetic relatedness (Hughes et al. 2008; Boomsma 2009), and the ecological context, such as the intensity of parasitism or the availability of food, can influence the benefit-to-cost ratio of helping behaviour (Korb and Heinze 2008; Korb and Heinze 2016). Living in a nest can influence both of these factors (Starr, 1991). Confinement in a structure may make colony defence a more viable proposition; the structure itself, for example a plant gall, might provide a food source; and the construction and maintenance of the structure might select for adaptations for altruism in the colony members (Stone and Schönrogge 2003). Independently, enclosure in a structure will tend to keep close kin together and potentially increase relatedness within the colony. Therefore, although the role of the nest in the lives of social insects is complex and nuanced (McGlynn, 2012; Bengston and McGlynn, 2021), most authors consider that nest-living is a necessary condition for the initial evolution of eusociality, in both fortress defenders (for example aphids) and life-insurers (such as ants) (e.g. Foster and Northcott 1994; Queller and Strassmann 1998; Hölldobler and Wilson 2009).

Within the aphids, social behaviour has evolved only within those clades that were ancestrally gall-formers on plants (Foster and Northcott 1994; Stern 1994; Stern 1998). The gall is induced by the feeding behaviour of the aphid foundress, whose clonal descendants are provided with a defendable fortress that is also a source of food. Gall-living provides a selective context for the evolution of altruistic behaviour such as gall-cleaning (removing honeydew) (Aoki 1980, Benton and Foster 1991, Kutsukake et al. 2012, Uematsu et al. 2018, Kutsukake et al. 2019a, Shibao et al 2021), gall repair (Kurosu et al. 2003, Pike and Foster 2004, Kutsukake et al. 2009, Kutsukake et al. 2019b) and, in some but not all species, colony defence. In about 40 out of the 5,000 species of aphids, a morphologically specialized and sterile soldier caste has evolved (Pike and Foster 2008; Aoki and Kurosu 2010; Abbot and Chapman 2017). These species are eusocial, showing

overlap of generations, cooperative care of the young, and reproductive altruism (Costa
2006).

Arguably the most puzzling of all the eusocial aphids are those species that have
sterile soldiers and live in open colonies on plant surfaces without modifying their
structures. These are the only known eusocial animals that do not occupy some kind of
nest. Sterile soldiers in open colonies have evolved at least twice independently, in the
subfamilies Eriosomatinae and Hormaphidinae (Stern and Foster 1996; Abbot 2015). In
both clades, the origin of eusocial behaviour in open colonies on the secondary host is
considered to be evolutionarily independent from the origin of eusociality in the aphid
generations that live in galls on the primary tree host, where sexual reproduction occurs
(Stern 1998). Very little is known about either the genetic relatedness between colony
members or the costs and benefits of helping behaviour within these open aphid colonies.

Most of the few studies of clonal mixing in relation to sociality in aphids have
focussed on the gall generations. These studies have shown that clonal mixing does occur
within the galls, that the level of mixing is very variable, and that the dispersing aphids
can adjust their social and reproductive behaviour depending on whether they are in their
own gall or that of another clone (Abbot et al. 2001; Johnson et al. 2002; Wang et al.
2008; Abbot 2009; Miller et al. 2015). By contrast, there has been only one study of the
genetic structure of soldier-producing aphids in open colonies (Hattori et al. 2015). This
showed that there was some clonal mixing in five open colonies of *Ceratovacuna*
japonica using AFLP markers. But this study was on a very small scale (about 10 m x 5
m), much smaller than the dispersal distance of individual aphids, and of a small sample
size. Further genetic analysis of the open colonies of soldier-producing aphids on a wider
spatial scale is needed to reveal the clonal diversity in a population, the kin structure and
genetic relatedness within a colony, and the evolutionary forces that determine the
proportion of sterile soldiers.

The aim of our study is to use newly developed microsatellite markers to provide
a detailed account of the genetic relatedness amongst individual social aphids living in
open colonies. We studied two cerataphidine species with contrasting levels of sociality
on the secondary host: *Astegopteryx bambucifoliae* (Takahashi), which is non-eusocial

(Figure 1a, c); and *Pseudoregma alexanderi* (Takahashi), which is eusocial (Figure 1b and d). Both species belong to genera that have eusocial colonies on the primary woody host (*Styrax*) and that form colonies on the secondary host (bamboos etc). The crucial difference between the two species is that aphids of the genus *Pseudoregma* (including *P. alexanderi*) living in open colonies on the secondary host have evolved a novel kind of sterile first-instar horned soldier that defends the colonies, which can therefore be described as eusocial on the secondary host: aphids of the genus *Astegopteryx* (including *A. bambucifoliae*) have not evolved specialized sterile soldiers on the secondary host (Figure S1). Both species were studied on the same secondary host, the giant bamboo *Dendrocalamus latiflorus*.

The key questions we intend to answer here are: (i) what is the level of clonal mixing in these open colonies of social aphids; (ii) does the level of clonal mixing correlate with the level of aphid sociality; (iii) what can we infer from our observations about how sociality may have evolved and be maintained in the absence of nest-living?

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study species

Astegopteryx bambucifoliae

This aphid forms eusocial colonies in galls, which include sterile second-instar soldiers and may contain up to 5,000 aphids, on the primary woody host *Styrax suberifolia* (Kurosu and Aoki 1991). Viviparous winged aphids disperse from the galls from August to November to form open colonies on the undersides of the leaves of their secondary host plants, *Bambusa* spp. (*dolichoclada*, *edulis*, *oldhami*, *stenostachya*), *Dendrocalamus latiflorus*, and *Phyllostachys lithophia* (Liao 1976).

The open colonies of this aphid on the secondary host are not eusocial. None of the early-instar nymphs are sterile and we never observed them attacking predators, although all instars can use their frontal horns to attack each other for feeding sites (Aoki and Kurosu 1985). There is one brief report of soldier behaviour in *Astegopteryx bambucifoliae*: a total of eight first-instar aphids were observed to have the tips of their rostra in contact either with some unidentified insect eggs or scymnine (Coccinellidae)

pupae, but no evidence was presented that the stylets had penetrated (Aoki and Kurosu

1989). These observations are suggestive, but do not provide robust support that this

species has altruistic defensive behaviour that deters or kills predators.

Winged individuals emerge from bamboo colonies from February to May and disperse to

Styrax suberifolia to give rise to the females and males that produce eggs, but a small

number of aphids remain on bamboo and continue parthenogenetic reproduction

(Takahashi 1923).

Pseudoregma alexanderi

Most species of this genus form eusocial colonies on the primary host (*Styrax*

spp.) with sterile second-instar soldiers, as in *Astegopteryx* (Aoki and Kurosu 2010). This

species has been found in Taiwan (Liao 1976), China (Zhang et al. 2013), Philippines

(Calilung, 1985), Nepal (Sharma 1968) and India (Ghosh 1988) on bamboos. However,

galls of *P. alexanderi* have never been recorded on a primary host plant. In contrast to *A.*

bambucifoliae, therefore, *P. alexanderi* in Taiwan is permanently confined to the

secondary host plant, *Dendrocalamus latiflorus* (Figure S1).

The open colonies of *P. alexanderi* on the shoots and stems of the secondary

host, where wingless viviparous females reproduce throughout the year, are eusocial.

The clones produce two types of first-instar nymphs: sterile soldiers and normal fertile

nymphs. The soldiers are distinguishable from the normal nymphs of the same instar by

their larger body size, sclerotized exoskeletons, enlarged and thickened forelegs, and

well-developed sharp frontal horns, and they do not moult and grow to adulthood (Aoki

1978). They defend their colonies by clasping predators with enlarged forelegs and

piercing their skins with a pair of sharp horns on a head (Aoki et al. 1981). The horns and

piercing behaviour of soldiers might be derived from head-butting behaviour between

colony members, which is found in almost all of the cerataphidine aphids on the

secondary host (Aoki and Kurosu 1985; Foster 1996; Howard et al. 1998; Morris and

Foster 2008). The soliders in a colony of *P. alexanderi* shows bimodal distribution for

morphological characters (Aoki and Miyazaki 1978, Stern et al. 1996). First-instar normal

nymphs can disperse by being carried on the wind (Aoki et al. 1981). In spring, winged

adults that give rise to the females and males (sexuparae) emerge but they have never

been recorded on a primary host.

2.2. Sampling

Both aphid species were sampled at Sun Moon Lake, Nantou, Taiwan: *A. bambucifoliae*

on 18 November 2012 and *P. alexanderi* on 18 November 2012 and 23 April 2014. The

host plant *D. latiflorus* forms a dense cluster of stems (a clump). Aphids were collected

from nine (AB01-AB09) and seven (PA01-04 in 2012 and PA05-07 in 2014) clumps of

D. latiflorus for *A. bambucifoliae* and *P. alexanderi* respectively over an area of more

than 1,000 hectares (Figure 1e). In the present study, we defined a "colony" as a group of

aphids on the same leaf for *A. bambucifoliae* and on the same developing shoot for *P.*

alexanderi, in order to facilitate comparison between the two species. For each clump,

two colonies were collected from different stems, except for clump PA04, in which only

one colony of *P. alexanderi* was collected. In total, we collected 18 colonies of *A.*

bambucifoliae and 13 of *P. alexanderi*. The numbers of aphids in the colonies that we

sampled were roughly similar in the two species, ranging from 52 to 882 (mean 344.5) in

A. bambucifoliae and from 56 to 831 (mean 235.3) in *P. alexanderi*. Note that it was not

possible to record data blind because our study involved focal animals in the field.

All the aphids from each colony were put into 95% ethanol and the total number

of aphids in each colony was counted under a dissecting microscope. For *P. alexanderi*,

the numbers of soldier first-instar nymphs were also counted for each colony.

From each colony, 15 – 24 individuals from four age classes (first-instar, second or third

instar, third or fourth instar, and wingless adult in *A. bambucifoliae*; first-instar soldier,

first-instar normal, from second to fourth instar, and wingless adult in *P. alexanderi*) were

selected for DNA extraction and genotyping. In total, 364 individuals of *A. bambucifoliae*

and 251 of *P. alexanderi* were genotyped. In addition, from two clumps (PA03 and PA07),

where we found multiple clones of *P. alexanderi* by the first genotyping, 112 first-instar

(57 soldier and 55 normal) nymphs were subsequently genotyped without breaking their

exoskeleton to associate morphological characters with a genotype.

2.3. DNA extraction

2.3. DNA extraction

DNA was extracted using a glass milk extraction method in a microtitre plate as described

in Amos et al. (2016). In the first genotyping, each aphid was crushed with a plastic pestle

or a pipette tip in 40 µl of lysis solution (10 mM Tris HCl (pH 8.0), 1 mM EDTA, 1%

SDS) with proteinase K and incubated at 56°C for 12 hours. The DNA was adsorbed to

flint glass particles in the presence of a 3× excess of 6 M NaI. After two ethanol washes,

the DNA was eluted in 50 µl of low TE buffer. In the additional genotyping in which the

exoskeleton was not crushed, we punctured the body of a nymph with an insect pin and

incubated it in the lysis solution with proteinase K described above at 56°C for 12 hours

to extract DNA and clear inside the body. After three hours, the exoskeleton was picked

up and the remaining solution was subjected to DNA extraction and genotyping. The

exoskeleton was examined as described below in section 2.6.

2.4. Microsatellite isolation

Extracted DNA from a wingless adult of *A. bambucifoliae* and *P. alexanderi* were

sequenced using Illumina Miseq. From the sequence data, we searched for microsatellites

using custom C++ scripts, focusing on two motifs: $(AC)_n$ and $(AT)_n$ as described in

(Amos and Filipe 2014). Then we used the web software Websat (Martins et al. 2009) to

design primer sequences for each candidate microsatellite. We tested each locus for

polymorphism using panels of 8-16 individuals from different colonies, and successfully

isolated eight primer pairs for *A. bambucifoliae* and nine primer pairs for *P. alexanderi*

that showed polymorphism.

2.5. Genotyping

Polymerase Chain Reactions (PCRs) were performed using Type-it Microsatellite PCR

Kit (Qiagen) in 10 µl reactions. PCR conditions were as follows: an initial denaturing

step of 5 min at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles of 95°C denaturation for 30 sec, 60°C

annealing for 90 sec, 72°C extension for 60 sec, followed by a final extension step for 30

min at 60°C. One primer from each pair was labelled with a fluorescent dye (6-FAM /

HEX / NED) as described in Table 1.   After amplification, the PCR product was mixed

with The GeneScan 500 LIZ Size Standard (Applied Biosystems) and subjected to

fragment analysis. Allele calling was performed by GeneMapper software (Applied

Biosystems) and checked manually. Samples with ambiguous genotype were re-run twice

and the consensus genotype was taken forward for analysis. To reduce the possible impact

of genotyping errors / *de novo* mutations, two individuals with unique multilocus

genotypes that were found only once and differed from another genotype by only one

single allele were excluded from the analysis. In total, 360 individuals of *A. bambucifoliae*

and 244 of *P. alexanderi* were subjected to further statistical analysis.

2.6. Morphological examination of first-instar nymphs in *P. alexanderi*

Exoskeletons picked up from the proteinase K solution were washed with distilled water

and mounted on a slide using Mount-quick Aqueous (Daido Sangyo, Japan). The

mounted individuals were photographed using a digital camera (Nikon 1 V2) attached to

a light microscope. Their morphological characters (body length, fore-femur length, horn

length) were measured using ImageJ software (Schneider et al., 2012).

2.7. Data analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted using the software R (R Core Team 2022).

Different clones were identified using their multilocus genotypes (MLGs) and the R

package *poppr* (Kamvar et al. 2013). Deviations from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium

(HWE) at each locus were tested based on 1000 Monte Carlo permutations of alleles

implemented in R package *pegas* v0.9 (Paradis 2010), using only one individual per MLG.

Following others (Gilbert et al. 2007; Vantaux et al. 2011; Kronauer et al. 2013), we use

mean pairwise relatedness as a measure both of the potential for altruism and of the

genetic similarity between groups of aphids. Pairwise relatedness values among all

individuals were calculated following Queller and Goodnight (Queller and Goodnight

1989) using Kingroup (Konovalov et al. 2004) software. Since the pairwise data are non-

independent, we could not directly compare average relatedness values between the

different age or morph categories (Prugnolle and de Meeus 2002). Instead, we performed

permutation tests (Coulon et al. 2006) by generating 1000 random resampling sets

without replacement, such that each individual occurs only once in a given resampled set.

Resampled individuals were chosen from the same colony. Under the null hypothesis, the

difference of mean relatedness should follow a normal distribution centred on zero; under

the alternative hypothesis (i.e. category-biased dispersal), the difference should be

significantly different from zero. SPAGeDI (Hardy and Vekemans 2002) software was

used to test whether the pairwise regression relatedness among the aphids decreased with

the distance between the aphids. An analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) (Excoffier

et al. 1992) was performed to test for population differentiation in order to show if a pair

of colonies on a bamboo clump are demographically distinct. If significant variation

occurs between the two colonies, then we inferred that they are genetically distinct units.

For the morphological characters of soldier nymphs in *P. alexanderi*, bimodality was

tested using Hartigan's dip test (Hartigan and Hartigan 1985) implemented in the R

package *diptest*.

3. Results

3.1. Size and disposition of the aphid colonies

Both of the aphid species feed on the same host plant, the Taiwan giant bamboo

Dendrocalamus latiflorus, but the size and disposition of the colonies of the two species

are markedly different. *A. bambucifoliae* feeds on the underside of the bamboo leaves,

forming relatively small, discrete colonies (Figure 1c), whereas *P. alexanderi* feeds on

shoots and stems, where the individual colonies tend to coalesce into a large colony, often

of immense size (Figure 1d). Although we did not measure aphid density, measurements

on a related species with similar habits (*Pseudoregma baenzigeri*) suggest there would be

around 10 adults or 120 first-instar nymphs per square cm, equivalent to 28,000 adults or

340,000 first-instar nymphs for every metre of 9-cm diameter bamboo stem (Aoki et al.

2007). The colonies that we studied were at this, or even greater, densities.

3.2 Clonal diversity and genetic differentiation

The number of alleles and the heterozygosities (observed and expected) for each locus

are given in Table 1. The number of alleles per locus ranged from 5 to 12 (mean 7.9) in

A. bambucifoliae and from 2 to 7 (mean 3.4) in *P. alexanderi*. When using only one

individual per MLG, deviations from Hardy−Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) were

significant ($P < 0.05$) in four of eight loci in *A. bambucifoliae* and in six of nine loci in *P.*

alexanderi. A total of 99 different clones were recognized based on MLGs by using eight

microsatellite markers in the study population of *A. bambucifoliae* and 19 different clones

by using nine markers in *P. alexanderi*. In *A. bambucifoliae*, each clone was restricted to

a single sampling site. By contrast, in *P. alexanderi* three clones were found at multiple

(two to six) widely dispersed sites. 94% (230/244) of the genotyped individuals of *P.*

alexanderi consisted of the four major clones, described as "A", "B", "C", and "D" (Table

2). 89% (16/18) of the *A. bambucifoliae* colonies and 77% (10/13) of the *P. alexanderi*

colonies consisted of multiple clones (two to 20 clones in *A. bambucifoliae*, two to six in

P. alexanderi), although half (5/10) of the mixed-clone *P. alexanderi* colonies and 25%

(4/16) of the mixed-clone *A. bambucifoliae* colonies were almost monoclonal, with more

than 80% of the colony members being of a single genotype.

3.3 Relatedness within and between the colonies on a single clump

Average pairwise relatedness between individuals within a colony ranged from 0.04 to

1.0 (mean = 0.54, n = 18) in *A. bambucifoliae* and from 0.04 to 1.0 (mean = 0.71, n = 13)

in *P. alexanderi*, which is not significantly different between the two species (Welch's t-

test, t = -1.27, df = 23.4, P = 0.22, Figure 2 and Table 2). The relatedness value is

significantly higher than predicted by a random distribution in 89% (16/18) of *A.*

bambucifoliae colonies and 77% (10/13) colonies of *P. alexanderi* (permutation test, P <

0.05, Table 2). Average pairwise relatedness between individuals in the different colonies

of the same clump ranged from -0.31 – 0.62 (mean = 0.13, n = 9) in *A. bambucifoliae* and

from 0.07 – 1.0 (mean = 0.69, n = 6) in *P. alexanderi*, which is significantly different

between the two species (Welch's t-test, t = -2.94, df = 7.6, P = 0.02, Figure 3 and Table

2).

321    Table 3 reveals significant variation in genetic variance among different bamboo

322 clumps (16%) and among different colonies on the same clump (38%) in *A. bambucifoliae*,

323 indicating a dispersal process in which related colonies do not usually end up as

324 neighbours. By contrast, in *P. alexanderi*, there was significant variation in genetic

325 variance among different bamboo clumps (63%) but not among different colonies on the

326 same clump (1.4%), suggesting much more limited dispersal and a tendency for colonies

327 to grow and split within a clump. If dispersal is primarily associated with one age class

328 and if dispersers occasionally enter an unrelated colony, we would expect that age class

329 to exhibit reduced pairwise relatedness. In fact, average pairwise relatedness was not

330 significantly different among pairs of the four age-classes in *A. bambucifoliae*

331 (permutation test, $P > 0.05$; electronic supplementary material, Figure S2). The SPAGeDI

332 analysis showed that pairwise relatedness did not decrease significantly with distance

333 between samples for either species (*A. bambucifoliae*: $r^2 = 0.0007$, y = -0.03 - 0.007x,

334 one-tailed $P = 0.34$; n = 360 individuals and 57470 pairs; *P. alexanderi*: $r^2 = 0.0002$, y =

335 -0.15 + 0.01x, one-tailed $P = 0.48$; n = 245 individuals and 25439 pairs), suggesting that

336 dispersal distances are either much smaller or much larger that the spatial scale of

337 sampling in our study.

339 **3.4 Differences in the proportion and morphology of soldiers among genotypes in *P.**

340 *alexanderi***

341 To test whether different clones were more or less likely to produce soldiers, we

342 additionally genotyped 57 soldier and 55 normal first instar nymphs from the two clumps,

343 PA03 and PA07. When included with 25 soldiers and 20 normal first instar nymphs that

344 had already been genotyped, 96% (150/157) of the genotyped nymphs consisted of four

345 major clones, "A", "B", "C", and "D" described above, and all of the four clones

346 contained soldiers. However, the proportion of soldiers to normal first-instar nymphs was

347 significantly different among the four clones in both of the clumps (Fisher's exact test, *P*

348 = 0.006 in PA03 and *P* = 0.01 in PA07, Table 4). For the two clones ("A" and "C") that

349 occurred in both of the clumps, fewer soldiers of genotype A and more of genotype C

350 were found than was expected (Table 4).

To test whether the dimorphism of soldiers of *P. alexanderi*, shown in previous
studies (Aoki and Miyazaki 1978, Stern et al. 1996), is genetically or environmentally
determined, we performed Hartigan's dip test (Hartigan and Hartigan 1985) implemented
in the R package *diptest* using the morphological characters of the genotyped soldier
nymphs. The fore-femur length of the soldiers in both of the two mixed-clone clumps was
bimodal, as judged by Hartigan's dip test: ($P = 0.006$ in clump PA03 and $P = 0.003$ in
clump PA07, Figure 4). Horn length was also bimodal, but the dip test is only significant
in clump PA07 ($P = 0.42$ in PA03 and $P = 0.01$ in PA07, Figure 4). Even when analysed
separately for each clone, fore-femur and horn length were bimodal in most clones, except
for "A" in PA03 and "D" in PA07 (Figure S3).

4. Discussion
We report here the first detailed study of the clonal structure of social aphids living in
open colonies and provide some insights into how eusociality might, uniquely within
animals, have arisen in the absence of a nest. We studied two aphid species, which have
colonies that feed on bamboos: the non-eusocial *Astegopteryx bambucifoliae* and the
eusocial *Pseudoregma alexanderi*, which has sterile horned soldiers. We will first
consider the clonal structure of the two species at three different spatial scales.

4.1. Clonal structure within aphid colonies
The clonal structure within the colonies of the two species was relatively similar. 89%
(16/18) and 77% (10/13) of the colonies of *A. bambucifoliae* and *P. alexanderi*
respectively consisted of multiple clones (two to 20 in *A. bambucifoliae*, two to six in *P.*
alexanderi). Average pairwise relatedness within the colonies was 0.54 in *A.*
bambucifoliae and 0.71 in *P. alexanderi* (Figure 2). Clonal mixing has been found in
many open-colony-forming, non-social aphids (Loxdale and Brookes 1990; Guillemaud
et al. 2011; Vantaux et al. 2011) and our results are consistent with this.

4.2 Clonal structure of aphid colonies on the same bamboo clump

There are interesting and significant differences between the clonal structures of the
colonies of the two species at this spatial scale. The populations of the eusocial aphid *P.*
alexanderi on large clumps of bamboo are much more genetically homogeneous than are
those of the non-eusocial aphid *A. bambucifoliae*. In *P. alexanderi*, but not in *A.*
bambucifoliae, the average pair-wise relatedness of aphids from the same small colony is
not significantly different from the relatedness of two aphids from widely separate
colonies on a large bamboo clump. In other words, a clump of bamboo typically contains
several different clones of *A. bambucifoliae* but a single large colony of very restricted
genetic diversity in *P. alexanderi*. Of the two species, therefore, an individual *P.*
alexanderi roaming around a bamboo clump is much more likely to encounter a clone-
mate.
391

392 **4.3 Clonal structure across different bamboo clumps**
393 There are significant differences between the two species at this larger spatial scale. In
394 the non-eusocial *A. bambucifoliae*, each clone was restricted to a single bamboo clump,
395 whereas in *P. alexanderi*, three of the four major clones were found at multiple sites
396 (Table 2), which were more than 1km apart from each other.
397

4.4 Causes of the differences in clonal structure
The contrasting life-cycles and dispersal modes of the two species almost certainly
underlie these differences in clonal structure (Figure 5). In *A. bambucifoliae*, colonies are
founded by individual winged aphids flying from the primary host (*Styrax*) to the
secondary host (bamboo) and it seems that there is little direct dispersal by aphids
between separate bamboo plants. In Taiwan, the secondary host generations of *P.*
alexanderi have lost all connection with the sexually-produced generations on the
primary host and are maintained on the bamboo plants entirely by parthenogenesis.
Younger instars of *P. alexanderi* can disperse by walking or floating on the air to found
new colonies on distant bamboo plants (Aoki et al. 1981). However, the colonies of *P.*
alexanderi, the eusocial species, tend to coalesce into a large colony on a clump of
bamboo, which are both larger and more genetically homogeneous than the colonies on

bamboo of the non-eusocial species, *A. bambucifoliae*, which are regularly restocked by

genetically distinct aphids flying from the primary host.

4.5 Consequences of differences in colony kin structure on soldier evolution in open

colonies

Relatedness within a colony does not by itself determine the evolution of altruism, but it

does set the threshold that the benefit to cost ratio of helping must exceed before altruism

can evolve. Clonal mixing occurs in both species, but the average pair-wise relatedness

within a colony of both species was higher than 0.5, the average relatedness between

parent and offspring, and between siblings in a monogamous diploid family. These values,

however, can be lower than relatedness values in colonies of some non-social aphids (0.90

in *Aphis fabae fabae*, 0.79 in *A. fabae cirsiiacanthoidis*) (Vantaux et al. 2011), although

other studies that use different measures of genetic relatedness within a colony show

higher degree of clonal mixing (Yao and Akimoto 2009; Loxdale et al. 2011).

Why has *P. alexanderi* opted to produce a sterile, morphologically

specialized soldier caste, whereas *A. bambucifoliae* has not? Our genetic observations

show that the major difference between the two species is that the eusocial *P. alexanderi*

lives in populations that are relatively homogeneous genetically, even across different

stems in a bamboo clump, whereas the non-eusocial *A. bambucifoliae* lives in colonies,

each of which tends to be genetically distinct. A soldier aphid in *P. alexanderi* will

therefore be much more likely to be defending a clone-mate, however far it has roamed

on the bamboo clump, than is a defending first-instar of *A. bambucifoliae.* A defensive

individual of *A. bambucifoliae* is quite likely to find itself defending a non-clone mate

and the benefit/cost ratio for an average act of altruism will therefore be much lower than

for a soldier of *P. alexanderi.*

4.6 Other ecological factors that might affect soldier evolution in open colonies

A second potentially important difference between the two species is simply that the

colonies of *P. alexanderi* are much larger than the colonies of *A. bambucifoliae.* We

regularly observed dense colonies of *P. alexanderi* on the bamboo stems, which from

comparisons with related *Pseudoregma* species (Shibao 1998; Aoki et al. 2007) must

have exceeded over 10,000 individuals. Some models suggest that, in any social organism,

a soldier caste is more likely to evolve in larger colonies (e.g. Oster and Wilson (1978);

Bourke, 1999; Ferguson-Gow *et al.*2014), but the evidence is mixed (Dornhaus, Powell

& Bengston, 2012). In the social aphids, there is good theoretical and empirical support,

from data on the development of individual colonies, for the idea that investment in

soldiers increases as colonies grow larger (e.g. Aoki and Kurosu 2003, 2004; Aoki and

Imai 2005; Sakata et al.1991; Schutze and Maschwitz 1991; Tanaka and Ito 1994; Shibao

1998). However, soldiers have been maintained in the open colonies of some

Cerataphidini species with relatively small colonies (fewer than 1,000 aphids) such as

Ceratovacuna japonica (Hattori et al. 2013) and *Pseudoregma panicola* (Aoki 1982). To

understand soldier evolution in these relatively small colonies, future studies should

investigate their genetic homogeneity on a large spatial scale. In addition, a phylogenetic

comparative approach that included genetic homogeneity, colony sizes and other traits of

many species of Cerataphidini could reveal whether a large colony favoured the initial

evolution of eusociality.

456   A third factor that might be critical in the evolution of altruism in these

open colonies is the nature of the host-plant and of the part of the plant upon which the

aphids feed. Bamboos are long-lived perennial plants that grow and spread rapidly,

producing a high biomass. Colonizing bamboo therefore enables long colony lifetimes

and large colony sizes and it ensures the provision of vacant patches for dispersing aphids.

In addition, it has been suggested that bamboo is a relatively poor food-source and that

therefore investing in defensive behaviour is a wise strategy (Stern and Foster 1996),

because the colonies are compelled to grow slowly. There is little direct evidence for this

idea, but it is telling that the two species of horned aphids within the clade that have lost

the soldier morph on the secondary host have both shifted from bamboo to herbaceous

broad-leaved grasses (Stern 1998). This factor might be especially important for *P.*

alexanderi, which grows on the hard woody stems and shoots of bamboo, rather than for

A. bambucifoliae, which feeds on the relatively more nutritious leaves. This idea needs to

be tested experimentally.

4.7. Maintenance of eusociality in open colonies

Social colonies that are not corralled within a nest face the additional problem of being especially vulnerable to invasion by free-rider unrelated conspecifics from another colony. This could be especially tricky for aphids which seem unable to distinguish clone mates from non-clone mates, in a variety of contexts (Aoki et al. 1991; Shibao 1999; Abbot et al. 2001). If this lack of kin recognition is genetically based, it might be a consequence of Crozier's Paradox (Crozier 1986; Field et al. 2018). This posits that recognition cues will not evolve if individuals act as both hosts and invaders and if the costs of rejection are higher than the costs of acceptance, both of which might be true for these social aphids. There is some evidence that aphids are able to rely on the context in which they encounter another aphid. For example, first-instars of *Pemphigus* species appear to know when they are not in their home gall and behave appropriately (Abbot et al. 2001; Abbot 2009). An immature *P. alexanderi*, having been carried on the wind to a distant bamboo clump, might also "know" that it is no longer near its home clone, and behave accordingly. This is perhaps less likely in the non-eusocial *A. bambucifoliae*, which do not disperse over long distances between different bamboos and are more likely to encounter an alien colony after a relatively short and imperceptible excursion from their home clone.

Our results, admittedly from a small sample size, show that all of the four major clones of *P. alexanderi* contain soldiers in the mixed-clone clumps, suggesting that there is no obligate cheater clone that never produces soldiers. However, our results do not rule out the existence of conditional cheater clones that produce fewer altruistic soldiers when coexisting with other clones, as found in *Pemphigus* aphids (Abbot et al. 2001; Abbot 2009). In fact, the proportion of soldier and normal first-instar nymphs in the two mixed-clone clumps were significantly different among the clones, and the difference was consistent in both clumps, in which clone "A" produced fewer soldiers than expected, while clone "C" produced more (Table 4). This result suggests that there is genetic variation in soldier investment among clones, which could potentially adjust their investment of soldiers according to the presence of other clones in the same colony, although our observations provide no direct evidence for such an adjustment.

500   The existence of dimorphic soldiers (Figure 4) suggests that the open

colonies of *Pseudoregma alexanderi* are relatively sophisticated for a eusocial aphid. Our

results show that both the large and small soldiers are, like aphid morphs more generally,

differential expressions of the same genotype (Figure S3). The functional significance of

this dimorphism, which was not found in two related *Pseudoregma* species (Shingleton

and Foster 2001), might be in providing useful phenotypic variation in the defensive

effectiveness of the soldiers (Stern et al. 1996, Hattori et al. 2017). Interestingly, the most

frequently observed clone "C" invested highly in the production of soldiers and is

dimorphic. It would be interesting to see if these characteristics enhance the ecological

success of a clone.

4.8. Future directions

The open colonies of social aphids provide an ideal model system in which to study the

evolution of reproductive altruism. The critical parameters of the costs and benefits of

soldier production and of relatedness can be measured and manipulated with relative ease.

These parameters include predation pressure, colony growth-rate, colony size, soldier

ratio and within-colony relatedness. It would be exciting to combine genetic analysis with

manipulative experiments in *P. alexanderi* to determine the variability of the proportions

of soldiers among clones and how this is influenced by the environment. There is a

wonderful range of social aphids with open colonies, including the fairly distantly related

Colophina species (Pemphigidae: Eriosomatinae) (Aoki 1977a,b; Uematsu et al. 2021).

A comparative study of a range of these species on different host plants and with different

degrees of sociality would greatly help us to understand the ecological and genetic factors

that are important for the evolution of sociality in these unique and fascinating animals.

Acknowledgements

526   This work was supported by supported by the Sumitomo Foundation (130972) and JSPS

527   Overseas Research Fellowships (24-596) to KU. We thank Shigeyuki Aoki, Utako

528   Kurosu, Chun-I Chiu, Bao-Cheng Lai, Yi-Chuan Lee, and Sheng-Feng Lin, and Chang-

529   Ti Tang for help with fieldwork.

Data Availability Statement

Data Availability Statement

Additional data and details of statistical analyses are available in the Dryad Digital

Repository (https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.2547d7wtm) .

References

Abbot P (2015) The physiology and genomics of social transitions in aphids. In:

Advances in Insect Physiology, 1st edn. Elsevier Ltd., pp 163–188

Abbot P (2009) On the evolution of dispersal and altruism in aphids. Evolution (N Y)

63:2687–2696. doi: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00744.x

Abbot P, Chapman T (2017) Sociality in Aphids and Thrips. In: Comparative Social

Evolution. pp 124–153

Abbot P, Withgott JH, Moran NA (2001) Genetic conflict and conditional altruism in

social aphid colonies. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98:12068–12071.

Amos W, Filipe LNS (2014) Microsatellite frequencies vary with body mass and body

temperature in mammals, suggesting correlated variation in mutation rate. PeerJ

2:e663. doi: 10.7717/peerj.663

Amos W, Nichols HJ, Churchyard T, Brooke M de L (2016) Rat eradication comes

within a whisker! A case study of a failed project from the South Pacific. R Soc

open Sci 3:1–10. doi: 10.1098/rsos.160110

Aoki S (1977a) *Colophina clematis* (Homoptera : Pemphigidae) , an aphid species with

"soldiers." Kontyû 45:276–282.

Aoki S (1977b) A new species of *Colophina* (Homoptera, Aphidoidea) with soldiers.

Kontyû 45:333–337.

Aoki S (1980) Occurrence of a simple labor in a gall aphid *Pemphigus dorocola*.

Kontyû 48:71-73.

Aoki S (1982) Pseudoscorpion-like second instar larvae of *Pseudoregma shitosanensis*

(Homoptera, Aphidoidea) found on its primary host. Kontyû 50:445-453.

Aoki S, Akimoto S, Yamane S (1981) Observations on *Pseudoregma alexanderi*
(Homoptera, Pemphigidae), an aphid species producing pseudoscorpion-like
soldiers on bamboos. Kontyû 49:355-366.

Aoki S, Imai M (2005) Factors affecting the proportion of sterile soldiers in growing
aphid colonies. Popul Ecol 47(2):127–136.

Aoki S, Kurosu U (1985) An aphid species doing a headstand: Butting behavior of
Astegopteryx bambucifoliae (Homoptera: Aphidoidea). J Ethol 3:83–87. doi:
10.1007/BF02350297

Aoki S, Kurosu U (1989) A bamboo horned aphid attacking other insects with its
stylets. Japanese J Entomol 57:663–665.

Aoki S, Kurosu U (2003) Logistic model for soldier production in aphids. Insectes Soc
50(3):256–261.

Aoki S, Kurosu U (2004) How many soldiers are optimal for an aphid colony? J Theor
Biol 230(3):313–317.

Aoki S, Kurosu U (2010) A review of the biology of Cerataphidini (Hemiptera,
Aphididae, Hormaphidinae), focusing mainly on their life cycles, gall formation,
and soldiers. Psyche A J Entomol 2010:1–34. doi: 10.1155/2010/380351

Aoki S, Kurosu U, Sirikajornjaru W (2007) A new soldier-producing aphid species,
Pseudoregma baenzigeri, sp. nov., from northern Thailand. J Insect Sci 7:39. doi:
10.1673/031.007.3801/18148520/JIS7-0038.PDF

Aoki S, Kurosu U, Stern DL (1991) Aphid soldiers discriminate between soldiers and
non-soldiers, rather than between kin and non-kin, in *Ceratoglyphina bambusae.*
Anim Behav 42:865–866. doi: 10.1016/S0003-3472(05)80135-6

Aoki S, Miyazaki M. (1978) Notes on the pseudoscorpion-like larvae of *Pseudoregma*
alexanderi (Homoptera, Aphidoidea). Kontyû 46:433-438.

Bengston S, McGlynn T (2021). Nest Relocation. In: Starr, C.K. (eds) Encyclopedia of
Social Insects. Springer, Cham. pp 621–626

Benton TG, Foster WA (1992) Altruistic housekeeping in a social aphid. Proc R Soc
London Ser B-Biological Sci 247:199-202. doi: 10.1098/rspb.1992.0029

Boomsma JJ (2009) Lifetime monogamy and the evolution of eusociality. Philos Trans

R Soc B Biol Sci 364:3191–3207. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2009.0101

Bourke AFG (1999) Colony size, social complexity and reproductive conflict in social

insects. J Evol Biol 12:245–257. doi: 10.1046/j.1420-9101.1999.00028.x

Calilung VJ (1985) The systematics of Philippine bamboo aphids (Pemphigidae,

Homoptera). NRCP Res Bull 40:299-315.

Costa JT (2006) The other insect societies. Belknap Press of Harvard University Press,

Cambridge, Mass.

Coulon A, Cosson J-F, Morellet N, et al (2006) Dispersal is not female biased in a

resource-defence mating ungulate, the European roe deer. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci

273:341–348. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2005.3329

Crozier RH (1986) Genetic clonal recognition abilities in marine invertebrates must be

maintained by selection for something else. Evolution (N Y) 40:1100–1101. doi:

10.1111/J.1558-5646.1986.TB00578.X

Dornhaus A, Powell S, Bengston S. (2012) Group size and its effects on collective

organization. Annu Rev. Entomol. 57:123-41.

Excoffier L, Smouse PE, Quattro JM (1992) Analysis of molecular variance inferred

from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: Application to human

mitochondrial DNA restriction data. Genetics 131:479–491. doi: 10.1007/s00424-

009-0730-7

Ferguson-Gow H, Sumner S, Bourke AFG, Jones KE (2014) Colony size predicts

division of labour in attine ants. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 281:20141411–20141411.

doi: 10.1098/rspb.2014.1411

Field J, Accleton C, Foster WA (2018) Crozier's Effect and the Acceptance of

Intraspecific Brood Parasites. Curr Biol 28:3267-3272.e3. doi:

10.1016/J.CUB.2018.08.014

Foster WA (1996) Duelling aphids: Intraspecific fighting in *Astegopteryx minuta*

(Homoptera: Hormaphididae). Anim Behav 51:645–655. doi:

10.1006/anbe.1996.0069

Foster WA, Northcott PA (1994) Galls and the evolution of social behavior in aphids.

In: Plant Galls: Organisms, Interactions, Populations. pp 161–182

Ghosh AK (1988) Homoptera: Aphidoidea. Part 4. Subfamilies Phloeomyzinae,

Anoeciinae and Hormaphidinae. In: The Fauna of India and Adjacent Countries.

Zool. Survey of India, Calcutta, 429 pp.

Gilbert OM, Foster KR, Mehdiabadi NJ, Strassmann JE, Queller DC (2007) High

relatedness maintains multicellular cooperation in a social amoeba by controlling

cheater mutants. Proc Natl Acad Sci 104(21):8913–8917.

Guillemaud T, Blin A, Simon S, et al (2011) Weak spatial and temporal population

genetic structure in the rosy apple aphid, *Dysaphis plantaginea*, in French apple

orchards. PLoS One 6:e21263. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0021263

Hardy OJ, Vekemans X (2002) SPAGeDi: a versatile computer program to analyse

spatial genetic structure at the individual or population levels. Mol Ecol Notes

2:618–620. doi: 10.1046/j.1471-8278

Hartigan JA, Hartigan PM (1985) The dip test of unimodality. Ann Stat 13:70–84. doi:

10.1214/aos/1176346577

Hattori M, Kishida O, Itino T (2013) Soldiers with large weapons in predator-abundant

midsummer: phenotypic plasticity in a eusocial aphid. Evol Ecol 27:847-862.

Hattori M, Kishida O, Itino T (2017) Soldiers with large weapons behave aggressively

against predators: correlated morphological and behavioral defensive traits in a

eusocial aphid. Insectes Soc 64:39–44. doi: 10.1007/s00040-016-0509-8

Hattori M, Yamamoto T, Itino T (2015) Clonal composition of colonies of a eusocial

aphid, *Ceratovacuna japonica.* Sociobiology 62:116–119. doi:

10.13102/sociobiology.v62i1.116-119

Hölldobler B, Wilson EO (2009) The superorganism : the beauty, elegance, and

strangeness of insect societies. W.W. Norton, New York

Howard FW, Halbert S, Giblin-Davis R (1998) Intraspecific dueling in palm aphids,

Cerataphis brasiliensis (Homoptera: Hormaphididae). Florida Entomol 81:552–

554. doi: 10.2307/3495956

Hughes WOH, Oldroyd BP, Beekman M, Ratnieks FLW (2008) Ancestral monogamy
shows kin selection is key to the evolution of eusociality. Science 320:1213–6. doi:
10.1126/science.1156108

Johnson PCD, Whitfield JA, Foster WA, Amos W (2002) Clonal mixing in the soldier-
producing aphid *Pemphigus spyrothecae* (Hemiptera : Aphididae). Mol Ecol
11:1525–1531.

Kamvar ZN, Tabima JF, Grünwald NJ (2013) The poppr R package for genetic analysis
of populations with mixed (clonal/sexual) reproduction. Phytopathology 103:70.

Konovalov DA, Manning C, Henshaw MT (2004) KINGROUP: A program for
pedigree relationship reconstruction and kin group assignments using genetic
markers. Mol Ecol Notes 4:779–782. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-8286.2004.00796.x

Korb J, Heinze J (2008) Ecology of Social Evolution. Springer Berlin Heidelberg,
Berlin, Heidelberg.

Korb J, Heinze J (2016) Major Hurdles for the Evolution of Sociality. Annu Rev
Entomol 61:297–316. doi: 10.1146/annurev-ento-010715-023711

Kronauer DJC, Tsuji K, Pierce NE, Keller L (2013) Non-nest mate discrimination and
clonal colony structure in the parthenogenetic ant *Cerapachys biroi.* Behav Ecol
24(3):617–622.

Kurosu U, Aoki S (1991) The gall formation, defenders and life cycle of the subtropical
aphid *Astegopteryx bambucifoliae* (Homoptera). Japanese J Entomol 59(2):375–
388.

Kurosu U, Aoki S, Fukatsu T (2003) Self-sacrificing gall repair by aphid nymphs. Proc
R Soc London Ser B-Biological Sci 270:S12-S14.

Kutsukake M, Shibao H, Uematsu K, Fukatsu T (2009). Scab formation and wound
healing of plant tissue by soldier aphid. roc R Soc London Ser B-Biological Sci
276:1555-1563.

Kutsukake M, Meng XY, Katayama N, Nikoh N, Shibao H, Fukatsu T (2012) An
insect-induced novel plant phenotype for sustaining social life in a closed system.
Nat comm 3(1):1-8.

Kutsukake M, Uematsu K, Fukatsu T (2019a) Plant manipulation by gall-forming social

aphids for waste management. Front Plant Sci 10:933.

Kutsukake M, Moriyama M, Shigenobu S, Meng XY, Nikoh N, Noda C, Kobayashi S,

Fukatsu T (2019b) Exaggeration and cooption of innate immunity for social

defense. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 116:8950-8959.

Liao HT (1976) Bamboo aphids of Taiwan. Q J Taiwan Museum 29:499–586.

Loxdale HD, Brookes CP (1990) Temporal genetic stability within and restricted

migration (gene flow) between local populations of the blackberry-grain aphid

Sitobion fragariae in southeast England, (UK). J. Anim. Ecol. 59:497–514.

Loxdale HD, Massonnet B, Schöfl G, Weisser WW (2011) Evidence for a quiet

revolution: seasonal variation in colonies of the specialist tansy aphid,

Macrosiphoniella tanacetaria (Kaltenbach) (Hemiptera: Aphididae) studied using

microsatellite markers. Bull Entomol Res 101:221–239. doi:

10.1017/S0007485310000477

Martins WS, Lucas DCS, Neves KFS, Bertioli DJ (2009) WebSat - A Web Software for

MicroSatellite marker development. Bioinformation 3:282–283. doi:

10.6026/97320630003282

McGlynn TP (2012) The ecology of nest movement in social insects. Annu Rev

Entomol 57:291-308.

Miller DG, Lawson SP, Rinker DC, et al (2015) The origin and genetic differentiation

of the socially parasitic aphid *Tamalia inquilinus*. Mol Ecol 24:5751–5766. doi:

10.1111/mec.13423

Morris G, Foster WA (2008) Duelling aphids: electrical penetration graphs reveal the

value of fighting for a feeding site. J Exp Biol 211:1490–1494. doi:

10.1242/jeb.012120

Oster GF, Wilson EO (1978) Caste and ecology in the social insects. Princeton

University Press.

Paradis E (2010) Pegas: An R package for population genetics with an integrated-

modular approach. Bioinformatics 26:419–420.

Pike N, Foster WA (2004) Fortress repair in the social aphid species *Pemphigus*

spyrothecae. Anim Behav 67:909-914.

Pike N, Foster WA (2008) The ecology of altruism in a clonal insect. In: Korb J, Heinze

J (eds) Ecology of Social Evolution. Springer, Berlin, pp 36–57

Prugnolle F, de Meeus T (2002) Inferring sex-biased dispersal from population genetic

tools: a review. Heredity (Edinb) 88:161–165. doi: 10.1038/sj.hdy.6800060

Queller DC, Goodnight KF (1989) Estimating relatedness using genetic markers.

Evolution (N Y) 43:258. doi: 10.2307/2409206

Queller DC, Strassmann JE (1998) Kin selection and social insects. Bioscience 48:165–

175.

R Core Team (2022). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R

Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Sakata K, Ito Y, Yukawa J, Yamane S (1991) Ratio of sterile soldiers in the bamboo

aphid, *Pseudoregma bambucicola* (Homoptera: Aphididae), colonies in relation to

social and habitat conditions. Appl Entomol Zool 26:463–468. doi:

10.1303/aez.26.463

Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW (2012) NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of

image analysis. Nature Methods, 9(7): 671–675. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2089.

Schutze M, Maschwitz U (1991) Enemy Recognition and Defense within Trophobiotic

Associations with Ants by the Soldier Caste of *Pseudoregma sundanica*

(Homoptera, Aphidoidea). Entomol Gen 16:1–12.

Sharma KC (1968) Biological notes on the aphids of Nepal. Nepalese J agric 3:105-132.

Shibao H (1999) Lack of kin discrimination in the eusocial aphid *Pseudoregma*

bambucicola (Homoptera : Aphididae). J Ethol 17:17–24.

Shibao H (1998) Social structure and the defensive role of soldiers in a eusocial bamboo

aphid, *Pseudoregma bambucicola* (Homoptera: Aphididae): A test of the defence-

optimization hypothesis. Res Popul Ecol (Kyoto) 40:325–333. doi:

10.1007/BF02763464

Shibao H, Kutsukake M, Fukatsu T (2021) Temporal division of labor in an aphid social

system. Sci Rep 11:1-9.

Shingleton AW, Foster WA (2001) Behaviour, morphology and the division of labour
in two soldier-producing aphids. Anim Behav 62:671–679.

Starr CK (1991) The nest as the locus of social life. In: Ross KG, Matthews RW (eds)
The social biology of wasps. Cornell University Press, pp 520-539.

Stern DL (1998) Phylogeny of the tribe Cerataphidini (Homoptera) and the evolution of
the horned soldier aphids. Evolution (N. Y). 52:155–165.

Stern DL (1994) A phylogenetic analysis of soldier evolution in the aphid family
Hormaphididae. Proc R Soc London Ser B-Biological Sci 256:203–209.

Stern DL, Foster WA (1996) The evolution of soldiers in aphids. Biol Rev Camb Philos
Soc 71:27–79.

Stern DL, Moon A, Martinez del Rio C (1996) Caste allometries in the soldier-
producing aphid *Pseudoregma alexanderi* (Hormaphididae: Aphidoidea). Insectes
Soc 43:137-147.

Stone GN, Schönrogge K (2003) The adaptive significance of insect gall morphology.
Trends Ecol. Evol. 18:512–522.

Takahashi R (1923) Aphididae of Formosa, II. Dep Agric Gov Res Inst Formosa 4:1–
173.

Tanaka S, Ito Y (1994) Reversal of caste production schedule in a eusocial aphid,
Pseudoregma koshunensis. Naturwissenschaften 81:411–413.

Uematsu K, Kutsukake M, Fukatsu T (2018) Water-repellent plant surface structure
induced by gall-forming insects for waste management. Biol lett 14:20180470

Uematsu K, Aoki S, Yang MM (2021) Defensive nymphs and water-repellency in
previously unknown galls of the social aphid *Colophina monstrifica* (Hemiptera:
Aphididae: Eriosomatinae). Entomol Sci 24:391–398. doi: 10.1111/ENS.12492

Vantaux A, Billen J, Wenseleers T (2011) Levels of clonal mixing in the black bean
aphid *Aphis fabae,* a facultative ant mutualist. Mol Ecol 4772–4785. doi:
10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05204.x

Wang CC, Tsaur SC, Kurosu U, et al (2008) Social parasitism and behavioral
interactions between two gall-forming social aphids. Insectes Soc 55:147–152. doi:
10.1007/s00040-008-0988-3

Yao I, Akimoto S (2009) Seasonal changes in the genetic structure of an aphid-ant

mutualism as revealed using microsatellite analysis of the aphid *Tuberculatus*

quercicola and the ant *Formica yessensis*. J Insect Sci 9:9. doi:

10.1673/031.009.0901

Zhang R, Huang X, Jiang L, Qiao G (2013) Molecular phylogenetic evidence for

paraphyly of *Ceratovacuna* and *Pseudoregma* (Hemiptera, Hormaphidinae) reveals

late Tertiary radiation. Bull Entomol Res 103:644-655.

 Figure legends

Figure 1. Study species and sampling sites. (*a*) *Astegopteryx bambucifoliae*. (*b*)

Pseudoregma alexanderi. A soldier nymph (left) and normal first-instar nymphs (right).

(*c*, *d*) A colony of *A. bambucifoliae* (*c*) and *P. alexanderi* (*d*). (*e*) Sampling sites of *A.*

bambucifoliae (AB01-AB09) and *P. alexanderi* (PA01-PA07). A dot shows the location

of a bamboo clump where aphids were collected.

Figure 2. Within-colony pairwise genetic relatedness (n = 18 for *A. bambucifoliae* (*a*); n

= 13 for *P. alexanderi* (*b*)). Box plots show the range of values, the first and third quartiles

and medians. Open circles show outliers and filled circles show means.

Figure 3. Average values of within-colony relatedness and between-colony relatedness in

the same clump in *A. bambucifoliae* and *P. alexanderi*. Box plots show the range of values,

the first and third quartiles and medians. Open circles show outliers and filled circles

show means.

Figure 4. Frequency distributions of the morphological characters (horn length and fore-

femur length) in the two clone-mixed clumps (PA03 (*a*) and PA07 (*b*)) of *P. alexanderi*.

Blue bars show the distribution in the first-instar normal nymphs and orange bars in the

first-instar soldier nymphs. *P*-values of the dip test are shown.

Figure 5. Comparison of the genetic structure between *A. bambucifoliae* (a) and *P.*

alexanderi (b) within and between bamboo clumps. Colours in the pie charts indicate

different clones. In *A. bambucifoliae*, winged adults frequently migrate from a distant

gall-harbouring primary host plant, then mix with other resident clones in a bamboo

clump. In *P. alexanderi*, the dispersing morphs are young nymphs that walk around

between local clumps or migrate to long distance by walking or being borne by the wind.

P. alexanderi has no primary host plant in this locality and can thus propagate only by

parthenogenetic reproduction. As a result, colonies on the local site are genetically

homogenised and major clones can spread to a distant bamboo clump in this species.

Table 1. Summary statistics of the polymorphic microsatellite loci with the primer sequences in (*a*) *A. bambucifoliae* and (*b*) *P. alexanderi*.

(*a*) *A. bambucifoliae*

Locus	Allele size range (bp)	Primer sequence (5'_3')	N_A	H_E	H_O	P
AbMSAC1	107-121	Fwd-TATACACACCCACATACCCACC Rev-TAGATGGCCCTTAACGAGTTTT	8	0.667	0.734	0.097
AbMSAC2	131-150	Fwd-CTAAGGGGAGGGAAATCACG Rev-AAGTGAACCTTCCACGAAAATG	7	0.755	0.64	0.019
AbMSAC3	176-188	Fwd-GACGATAGCCCTTCTTCGG Rev-ATTTGCAGCTCTCAAGATTTCC	6	0.701	0.702	0.884
AbMSAC4	85-136	Fwd-ACCACTGCTACGAGATGTTTGT Rev-AAAAGGAGAGCTGACGTGG	12	0.737	0.712	0
AbMSAC5	175-190	Fwd-CGTAAACGGCCCCAAAAC Rev-AGTCGATGAGATAGCATAAGAACGA	8	0.774	0.618	0
AbMSAT6	228-259	Fwd-CGTATGGACGTTTGAGATCGTA Rev-AAGTGGAATAGAATCCCGCATA	12	0.825	0.668	0.011
AbMSAT7	249-259	Fwd-CCAGAGAACTCGTCGTCGTAAT Rev-TCTTCCGTTAAATTCGGTTCAC	5	0.652	0.618	0.759
AbMSAT15	134-142	Fwd-TGTCTGTCATCCATTGTCGAA Rev-TGTAGATATGTGGGCCTCTTCA	5	0.527	0.470	0.147

(*b*) *P. alexanderi*

Locus	Allele size range (bp)	Primer sequence (5'_3')	N_A	H_E	H_O	P
PaMSAC1	102-108	Fwd-AATTAGACGAGTTTTGTACGGGC Rev-TGAAGACAGAGAGTGAAGCCG	4	0.67	0.73	0

			N_A	H_O	H_E	P
PaMSAT2	176-180	Fwd-ACTTTTCGGATTTTGCCAGTC	3	0.41	0.16	0
		Rev-AGGGGTTTTCAGTAGGCGTTAT				
PaMSAC3	125-145	Fwd-AATTAGGCGGAATCGTAAACAG	3	0.54	0.81	0.096
		Rev-GCCGTTATGGGTAGGAGTAGAG				
PaMSAT4	194-198	Fwd-CGATTATTTTGAAGACTGCCTC	3	0.55	0.93	0
		Rev-CCACGACGAAAGAACACATTA				
PaMSAC6	151-173	Fwd-TGTCAACTCTCGGCTATATTGG	7	0.76	0.96	0
		Rev-TCTGTGTGAGGCTGTGTGTATG				
PaMSAC2	108-110	Fwd-ATTCAAACGATGTGTGCGATAC	2	0.48	0.81	0.023
		Rev-CCCAACAGCCTATATGTAAAACAG				
PaMSAC5	136-150	Fwd-TCCCACTAATAACACATGCACAC	5	0.72	0.98	0
		Rev-ATTCGGAGTAAAACAGCACGAG				
PaMSAC11	196-198	Fwd-ACGTTCTTGGCATTGATTTCAG	2	0.44	0.620	0.695
		Rev-GGTCTTTGATGTTGCCGAATAG				
PaMSAT6	175-177	Fwd-ACGTCGCCGGTTAGTCAAT	2	0.47	0.73	0.26
		Rev-AAATCAGGTTGCTGGAGTGG				

N_A = number of alleles, H_O= observed heterozygote frequency, H_E = expected heterozygote frequency assuming

Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE), and P refers to significance of deviation from HWE following Bonferroni

correction.

Table 2. Colony structure of (*a*) *A. bambucifolia*e and (*b*) *P. alexanderi* colonies that were collected and partly genotyped.

(*a*) *A. bambucifoliae*

Clump	Colony	Within-colony pairwise relatedness	Between-colony pairwise relatedness in the same clump	No. of individuals genotyped	No. of clones	Colony size	Area (cm^2)	Density (no. of individuals / cm^2)
AB01	1	0.53***	0.62	14	3	233	97.4	2.39
	2	0.81***		15	3	128	95.2	1.34
AB02	3	1***	-0.31	20	1	437	131.3	3.33
	4	0.85***		20	4	543	47.9	11.34
AB03	5	0.85***	-0.08	14	2	305	135.9	2.24
	6	0.47***		23	4	274	79.6	3.44
AB04	7	0.3**	0.26	22	7	75	54.1	1.39
	8	0.29**		22	5	52	48.8	1.07
AB05	9	1***	0.16	20	1	430	96.7	4.45
	10	0.62***		23	2	608	107.8	5.64
AB06	11	0.04	0.02	24	20	241	183.9	1.31
	12	0.06		21	16	882	274.6	3.21
AB07	13	0.93***	0.28	24	3	439	161.2	2.72
	14	0.19**		24	9	548	155.9	3.52
AB08	15	0.41***	0.12	23	5	66	19.7	3.35
	16	0.76***		21	6	252	42.4	5.94
AB09	17	0.19**	0.07	17	11	456	220.5	2.07
	18	0.34**		17	9	233	162.2	1.44

(*b*) *P. alexanderi*

Clump	Colony	Within-colony pairwise relatedness	Between-colony pairwise relatedness in the same clump	No. of individuals genotyped	No. of clones	Colony size	Major clones found	No. of soldiers
PA01	1	1***	1.00	17	1	95	C	6
	2	1***		20	1	56	C	13
PA02	3	0.95***	0.93	20	2	97	D	2
	4	0.91***		20	5	140	D	12
PA03	5	0.22*	0.23	20	6	207	A,B,C	13
	6	0.31		19	4	221	A,B,C	24
PA04	7	0.85**		15	4	70	C	7
PA05	8	1***	0.97	20	1	237	C	8
	9	0.94***		20	3	831	C	122
PA06	10	0.94***	0.91	20	3	159	C	25
	11	0.88***		20	4	68	C	8
PA07	12	0.04	0.08	20	5	444	A,C,D	18
	13	0.08		20	3	434	A,C,D	26

*$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$

Table 3. Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) table for populations of (*a*) *A. bambucifoliae* and (*b*) *P. alexanderi*.

(*a*) *A. bambucifoliae*

Sources of variation	df	% of variation	*Phi*-statistics	P^a
Between tree	8	15.8	0.158	0.003
Between colonies within tree	9	37.7	0.447	< 0.001
Within colonies within tree	342	46.5	0.535	

(*b*) *P. alexanderi*

Sources of variation	df	% of variation	Phi-statistics	P^a
Between tree	6	62.8	0.628	0.001
Between colonies within tree	6	1.4	0.038	0.123
Within colonies within tree	232	35.8	0.642	

[a]*P*-values are based on 9999 permutations.

Table 4. Clonal composition of first-instar nymphs

in the two clone-mixed clumps.

Clone	Clump			
	PA03[a]		PA07[a]	
	Soldier	Normal	Soldier	Normal
A	4	14	11	12
B	21	11	0	0
C	11	5	25	12
D	0	0	7	17
Others	2	1	1	3

[a]The frequency distribution significantly differed between soldier and normal

nymphs (Fisher's exact test, $P = 0.006$ in PA03 and $P = 0.01$ in PA07).

Figure 1.

(*a*)

1 mm

(*b*)

1 mm

(*c*)

1 cm

(*d*)

1 cm

(*e*)

PA04 AB08

AB05/PA02 AB04 AB03 PA06

PA05

AB06

AB07

AB02/PA01

PA07

Sun Moon Lake, Nantou, Taiwan

AB01

AB09/PA03

1 km

Figure 2.

(*a*) *A. bambucifoliae*

(*b*) *P. alexanderi*

Within-colony pairwise relatedness

Colony

Figure 3.

Figure 4.

(a) Clump PA03

(b) Clump PA07

Figure 5.

A. bambucifoliae

P. alexanderi