Episodic long-term memory formation during slow-wave sleep

Flavio Schmidig [1, 4] , Simon Ruch [1, 2] , and Katharina Henke [1]

[1] Institute of Psychology, University of Bern, Fabrikstrasse 8, 3012 Bern, Switzerland

[2] Institute for Neuromodulation and Neurotechnology, Department of Neurosurgery and
Neurotechnology, University Hospital Tübingen, Otfried-Müller- Strasse 45, 72076 Tübingen,
Germany

[4] Lead contact

[4] Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Flavio Schmidig, Institute of
Psychology, University of Bern, Fabrikstrasse 8, 3012 Bern, Switzerland. Phone number: +41 31 684
47 34; E-mail: flavio.schmidig@unibe.ch

Summary

We are unresponsive during slow-wave sleep but continue monitoring external events for survival. Our brain wakens us when danger is imminent. If events are non-threatening, our brain might store them for later consideration to improve decision-making. To test this hypothesis, we examined whether simultaneously sleep-played foreign words and translation words are encoded/stored and which neural-electrical events facilitate encoding/storage. A closed-loop algorithm targeted word pairs to slow-wave peaks or troughs. Retrieval tests were given 12 and 36 hours later. These tests required decisions regarding the semantic category of previously sleep-played foreign words. The sleep-played vocabulary influenced awake decision-making 36 hours later, if targeted to troughs. The words' linguistic processing raised neural complexity. The words' semantic-associative encoding was supported by increased theta power during the ensuing peak. Fast-spindle power ramped up during a second peak likely aiding consolidation. Hence, new vocabulary played during slow-wave sleep was stored and influenced decision-making days later.

Introduction

Falling asleep is accompanied by a gradual loss of consciousness of the external environment. Although the thalamic gating hypothesis had claimed a blockade of sensory information at the thalamic level (McCormick & Bal, 1994), we now know that sleepers still monitor the environment for their safety and survival (Ai et al., 2018; Andrillon et al., 2016, 2017; Arzi et al., 2012; Koroma et al., 2022; Ruch et al., 2014; Ruch & Henke, 2020; Züst et al., 2019). The sleeping brain decides whether an external event can be disregarded, requires immediate awakening or should be stored for later consideration in the waking state (Formby, 1967; Oswald et al., 1960). The processing of external information during sleep may go as far as including the detection of semantic incongruity (Bastuji & García-Larrea, 1999; Ibáñez et al., 2006), the detection of rule violations (Ruby et al., 2008; Strauss et al., 2015), and the storage of new associations (Arzi et al., 2012; de Lavilléon et al., 2015; Koroma et al., 2022; Züst et al., 2019). Although there is evidence that humans can process and store information during sleep (Ai et al., 2018; Andrillon et al., 2017; Andrillon & Kouider, 2016; Arzi et al., 2012, 2014; Koroma et al., 2022; Ruch et al., 2014; Züst et al., 2019), it remains unclear whether and under what circumstances the most sophisticated form of human learning, namely episodic memory formation, can proceed during deep sleep (Ruch & Henke, 2020).

The term episodic memory refers to the recollection of personally experienced episodes (Tulving, 2002). Episodic memory formation depends on hippocampal-neocortical interactions (Cohen & Eichenbaum, 1993; Henke, 2010). Because episodic memory belongs to declarative/explicit memory, episodic memory was long associated with wakefulness and conscious awareness of events (Gabrieli, 1998; Moscovitch, 2008; Schacter, 1998; Squire & Dede, 2015; Tulving, 2002). In the meantime, counterevidence suggests that hippocampal-assisted episodic memory formation may also proceed without conscious awareness of the learning material (e.g. Duss et al., 2014; Reber et al., 2012; Schneider et al., 2021; Züst et al., 2019). When applying such tasks, unconscious hippocampus-assisted episodic encoding of subliminal (invisible) words was revealed (Duss et al., 2014; Reber et al.,

2012).These findings confirm newer theoretical claims that the sole premise for episodic encoding

through hippocampal-neocortical interactions is a task that calls upon the core computational features

of episodic memory and the hippocampus: rapid formation of new and flexible associations. Here, we

explored episodic verbal learning during the unconsciousness of deep sleep. We applied a sleep-

learning and awake-retrieval task that requires the semantic associative encoding of foreign words

and German translation words, memory storage of the formed associations over days, and a cued

associative retrieval that requires a flexible representation of the sleep-encoded word-word

associations. As proposed and demonstrated in the past, these task-enforced demands require the

computational capabilities of the episodic memory system (Cohen & Eichenbaum, 1993; Henke, 2010;

O'Reilly et al., 2014; O'Reilly & Rudy, 2000).

Although slow-wave sleep (deep sleep) is characterized by an average neurochemical milieu and

neural functional connectivity that does not favour episodic memory formation, slow-wave sleep is

not a unitary state. In fact, neuronal activity and excitability waxes and wanes during slow-wave sleep,

thereby generating the eponymous electroencephalographic slow-waves that oscillate at one Hz and

are characterised by slow-wave peaks and troughs. A peak and a trough each last around 500 ms

(Berry et al., 2015). Because peaks of slow-waves are associated with high neural excitability and

wake-like network characteristics, peaks might provide the necessary plasticity mechanisms for

episodic memory formation (Cox, Hofman, et al., 2014; Diba & Buzsáki, 2007). Züst et al. (2019)

reported successful paired-associate learning in humans during peaks of slow-waves recorded in a

mid-day nap with the retrieval of the sleep-learned associations tested after awakening on the same

day. Troughs on the other hand are characterized by neural silence (Cox, Hofman, et al., 2014;

Destexhe et al., 2007; Schabus et al., 2012). Because peaks with their depolarized neural states are

also the time windows of the consolidation of memories formed during the previous days (Göldi et al.,

2019; Mölle et al., 2002, 2011; Muehlroth et al., 2019; Staresina et al., 2015), high-jacking peaks for

de-novo learning might impair ongoing memory consolidation. The relative absence of consolidation

processes in troughs might rise the troughs' sensory receptiveness to external events, provided that

at least local neural processing remains possible during troughs (Destexhe et al., 2007; Vyazovskiy &

Harris, 2013). Furthermore, recent results suggest that the high background firing rate during the peak

state favors synaptic down-scaling/depression rather than potentiation (Bartram et al., 2017; Yoshida

& Toyoizumi, 2023). Hence, the trough rather than the peak state might be beneficial for de-novo

memory formation during deep sleep.

We leveraged peaks and troughs of slow-waves for the linguistic processing and the ensuing paired-

associate encoding of word pairs by the episodic memory system. Here, we applied slow oscillatory

closed-loop targeting for de-novo memory formation, instead of memory reactivation (Ngo &

Staresina, 2022) or the modification of slow oscillation (Navarrete et al., 2020). To this aim, we

simultaneously played foreign words and translation words during either frontal troughs or peaks

(Trough/Peak condition) of frontal slow-oscillations using an electroencephalography (EEG)-based

auditory closed-loop algorithm of our own devising (Ruch et al., 2022). According to Züst et al. (2019),

we hypothesized that the critical process of memory formation, namely paired-associate semantic

encoding, is bound to peaks because only peaks provide the necessary conditions for effective

hippocampal-neocortical crosstalk. Regarding the optimal state for the words' initial psycholinguistic

analysis leading up to their relational encoding, we had no directed hypothesis. We further anticipated

that associations formed through hippocampal-neocortical interactions would last for hours or days

thanks to immediate hippocampal long-term potentiation and an immediate hippocampally triggered

replay (Frankland et al., 2001; Goto et al., 2021; Takeuchi et al., 2014; Tsien et al., 1996). This

hypothesis was significantly inspired by the finding of subliminally formed unconscious episodic

memories outlasting 10 hours and increasing their influence on human decision-making over this time

(Pacozzi et al., 2022).

We played 27 pairs of foreign words (e.g., aryl; nonsense words) and 27 translation words (e.g., bird;

nouns) in the experimental condition (EC) and foreign words alone (e.g., egref) in the control condition

(CC) during either troughs or peaks of slow-waves (**Fig. 1**). Peak/Trough-targeting was manipulated

between participants with 15 participants per group. Each group was played words from the

experimental condition and the control condition during sleep within the same night. Word pairs (EC)

and foreign words (CC) were played four times in succession to increase the probability that the words

pass the thalamic gate. The test of whether semantic associations were formed between foreign

words and translation words during deep sleep followed 12 hours and again 36 hours later in the

waking state. This retrieval test required participants to assign earlier sleep-played foreign words to

one of three semantic categories: animals, tools, places. Each sleep-played translation word was a

noun that belongs to one of these superordinate categories. As participants were in deep sleep, while

the vocabulary was being played, participants could not consciously remember the word pairs at test.

Therefore, we encouraged them to decide intuitively about category assignments. This task triggers

an unconscious associative retrieval by cueing a memory reactivation solely by the sound of the

foreign word alone. Once the meaning of the foreign word-associated translation word was

reactivated in memory, this meaning needed to be converted to the appropriate superordinate

semantic category. If the number of correct category assignments exceeded chance performance

(33%), we attributed this excess to successful sleep-learning. Importantly, we provided no feedback

regarding category assignments to leave assignment accuracy at the 36-hour retrieval uninfluenced

by the preceding 12-hour retrieval.

Figure 1. Experimental design. A: **Design overview**: There were three experimental sessions per participant. Participants were played with pairs of foreign words and translation words during sleep starting around 11 pm. They took a first retrieval test at 12 hours and a second retrieval test at 36 hours after the acoustic stimulations during sleep. The EEG was recorded during sleep and during the first retrieval at 12 hours. **B**: **Experimental conditions**: We varied between subjects whether the words were played during peaks or troughs of slow-waves. Within subjects we played pairs of foreign words and translation words in the

experimental condition and foreign words alone in the control condition. Both word pairs (experimental
condition) and foreign words (control condition) were presented four times in succession to facilitate sleep-
learning. In the experimental condition, translation words were played into the left ear and foreign words into
the right ear. **C**: **Retrieval tasks**: Retrieval tasks were the same at the 12-hour and the 36-hour retrieval.
Previously sleep-played and new foreign words were presented at test in both the visual and auditory modality
simultaneously (a word appeared on screen and was simultaneously spoken). During each presentation of a
foreign word, participants needed to answer three questions. First, they were asked to indicate whether they
had a feeling of having heard (FoHH) the presented word during their sleep in the laboratory. Next, they were
asked to assign the presented foreign word to a superordinate category (animal, tool, place; categorization
task). Lastly, they were asked to rate their confidence on a four-point scale regarding their category
assignment.
149

150 Results

Thirty healthy male and female volunteers were acoustically stimulated during their slow-

wave night-sleep with an average of 23.98 (*SD* 3.84) pairs of foreign words and translation words. We

targeted these word pairs either to slow-wave peaks or to troughs using a closed-loop stimulation

algorithm (Ruch et al., 2022). Foreign words and translation words were simultaneously played into

the right ear and left ear, respectively (Aarons, 1990; Kimura, 1961). Their presentation lasted on

average 540 ms (*SEM* = 0.011 ms). Word pairs fit well into a half-wave because slow-wave peaks and

troughs extend over roughly 500 ms. Each word pair was presented four times in succession to

enhance the odds of their successful processing. Adding over the four repetitions, a mean total of

95.33 (*SD* = 15.41) word pairs was played per participant.

Targeting vocabulary to troughs provided for successful sleep-learning and long-term storage

We computed a 2x2 ANOVA with the between-subjects factor Peak- versus Trough-targeting and the

within-subjects factor Encoding-Test Delay (12 hours versus 36 hours). The dependent variable was

retrieval accuracy expressed as the difference between the percentage of correctly retrieved

associations minus the percentage of mean chance performance (33.33 %). A second ANOVA with

the within-subjects factor Encoding-Test Delay (12 hours versus 36 hours) was computed for the

Trough condition alone to determine whether the intercept is significant (meaning that retrieval

accuracy was above chance level over both encoding-test delays) and to determine whether

retrieval performance was different at 12 hours versus 36 hours.

Over all conditions, associative retrieval performance exceeded chance performance by 2.67 % ($SD =$

8.11), which just failed statistical significance ($F_{Intercept}(1,28) = 3.490$, $p = 0.077$). Retrieval

performance over both encoding-test delays was significantly better when word pairs were targeted

to Troughs rather than Peaks (main effect Peak versus Trough: $F(1,28) = 5.237$, $p = 0.030$, $d = 0.865$,

Fig. 2). Retrieval performance did not differ significantly between the two encoding-test delays

(main effect Encoding-Test Delay: $F(1,28) = 0.571$, $p = 0.456$). There was no significant interaction

between the factor Peak versus Trough and the factor Encoding-Test Delay ($F(1,28) = 0.646$, $p =$

0.428).

The second ANOVA with the within-subjects factor Encoding-Test Delay (12 hours versus 36 hours)

was computed for the Trough condition alone with retrieval accuracy as independent variable (%

correct answers minus mean chance performance). This ANOVA established a significant intercept:

mean retrieval performance was 5.77 % above chance level ($M_{Trough} = 39.11\%$, $SD = 10.76$; $F_{Intercept}$

$(1,14) = 5.660$, $p = 0.032$). Although the 12 hours versus 36 hours comparison was not statistically

significant ($F_{Encoding-Test\ Delay}(1,14) = 1.308$, $p = 0.272$), retrieval performance was numerically larger at

36 hours than at 12 hours ($M_{12hours} = 37.4\%$, $SD = 9.0$; $M_{36hours} = 40.7\%$, $SD = 12.4$; **Fig. 2**). Planned

contrasts against chance level revealed that retrieval performance significantly exceeded chance at

36 hours only ($P_{36hours} = 0.036$, $P_{12hours} = 0.094$).

Because word pairs were exclusively played during slow-wave sleep, which is accompanied by a loss

of consciousness (Dement & Kleitman, 1957; Massimini et al., 2012), encoding and retrieval must

have been unconscious. Nevertheless, we ensured that encoding and retrieval were subjectively

unconscious by asking participants to indicate whether they "had a feeling of having heard" the

presented foreign word during sleep. This wording of the question should set a liberal criterion for

reporting having heard a word. We wanted to obtain information of any potential residual

awareness for sleep-played words. Participants' feeling-of-having-heard responses in the Trough

condition differed neither between correct and incorrect category assignments ($F(1,14) = 0.09$, $p =$

0.77) nor between previously sleep-played words and words that had not been played during sleep

(*N*=54, *F*(1,14) = 0.45, *p* = 0.51). The same was true for the Peak condition, where participants'

feeling-of-having-heard responses differed neither between correct and incorrect category

assignments (*F*(1,14) = 0.04, *p* = 0.85) nor between sleep-played words and words that had not been

played during sleep (*F*(1,14) = 1.027, *p* = 0.33). To further search for traces of conscious retrieval, we

also asked participants to indicate the degree of confidence regarding their assignment of a

presented foreign word to one of the three superordinate categories (animal, tool, place).

Confidence ratings for correct versus incorrect category assignments differed neither in the Trough

condition (*F*(1,14) = 2.36, *p* = 0.15) nor the Peak condition (*F*(1,14) = 0.48, *p* = 0.50). We therefore

assume that the participants could not consciously remember any words played during sleep.

Figure 2. Memory performance. A: Bar plots illustrate how well participants had assigned the foreign words
presented at test to a superordinate category in the experimental condition; the data are averaged (SEM) over
both retrieval time points. Categorization accuracy was above chance (chance = 1/3 correct assignments, red
dotted line) in the Trough condition (blue) and exceeded accuracy in the Peak condition (yellow). **B, C**:
Categorization accuracy split by the Peak/Trough condition and by the 12-hour/36-hour retrieval condition (x-
axis). Displayed are average box plots with 95% confidence intervals, average density plots and dot plots of the
participant average. The red dotted line indicates chance performance (chance = $^1/_3$ correct assignments).
212

Word-evoked potentials differed initially between the Peak and Trough condition and then aligned

between conditions from 700 ms to 2 s following word onset

The EEG data were recorded in the sleeping participants to determine differences in the processing

of the played words between conditions. We analysed the electrophysiological responses to word

pairs in the Trough versus the Peak condition locking the electrophysiological response to the onset

of word pairs. The scalp topographies of the event-related potentials (ERPs) recorded in Troughs

versus Peaks were almost diametrically opposed (frontal cluster [-1.42s to 0.48]: cluster-level Monte

Carlo *p* < 0.002; two occipital clusters [-1.38s to-0.09s] and [0.07s to 0.71s]: cluster-level Monte Carlo

for both clusters *p* < 0.002; **Fig. 3A**). This difference attests to the successful targeting of peaks

versus troughs by the used closed-loop algorithm. For further manipulation checks of the closed-

loop algorithm see methods (**Fig. 7**). The word-evoked response in the Peak condition resembled the

response to white noise clicks in entrainment studies (Andrillon & Kouider, 2020; Cox, Korjoukov, et

al., 2014; Ngo et al., 2013). An early frontal positivity at 200 ms was followed by a large negative

component at 550 ms, which in turn was followed by a late positivity at 900 ms (**Fig. 3**). These

components have been described as the generic response of the sleeping brain to any kind of

sensory stimulation (Andrillon & Kouider, 2020; Cox, Korjoukov, et al., 2014; Laurino et al., 2014,

2019; Riedner et al., 2011). Halász (2016) suggested that these components resemble a K-complex

that reflects the brain's effort to maintain sleep.

However, when we targeted words to troughs, the played words evoked two positive frontal

components. The first positive component appeared at 500 ms and the second at one second

following word onset. Hence, the second component corresponded to the entrained late positivity in

the Peak condition. In short, targeting words to peaks evoked a generic response that presumably

blocked the encoding of sleep-played word pairs in the Peak condition. This is underlined by recent

findings of Nicknazar and colleagues (2022), who demonstrated that the large negative component

of the evoked K-complex inhibits long-range communication in the brain. Targeting words to troughs

shifted the EEG and inhibited this large negative component. The ERP differences between the

Trough and the Peak condition vanished at 700 ms. Between 700 ms and 2 s following word onset

the EEG was comparable between the two conditions.

Figure 3. Word-related EEG potentials recorded during sleep. A: Word pair-related voltage response plotted for the Trough condition (blue) and the Peak condition (yellow). B: Comparison of subsequently correctly (dark blue) and incorrectly (light blue) assigned foreign words to categories at the 36-hour retrieval in the Trough condition. C: Topographical voltage distributions of the averaged significant cluster for the contrast of correct versus incorrect category assignments at the 36-hour retrieval. D: Time course of the topographical voltage distribution for correctly and incorrectly assigned foreign words at the 36-hour retrieval (* indicates significant time points; cluster $p < 0.05$).

A pronounced frontal trough promoted word processing

We computed word-evoked potential differences measured during sleep for those items that were

correctly versus incorrectly assigned to the three superordinate categories at 36 hours. We focused

on behavior at the 36-hour retrieval interval because performance was nominally higher at this vs. at

the 12-hour interval. Results for the 12-hour interval were highly similar and are provided in the

supplement.

Starting 50 ms before and ending 260 ms following word onset, frontal and occipital electrodes

recorded an amplified voltage (larger frontal negativity and larger occipital positivity) for

subsequently correctly versus incorrectly assigned foreign words in the Trough condition (**Fig. 3B**,

frontal cluster: cluster-level Monte Carlo $p = .016$ at -50 ms to 260 ms; occipital cluster: cluster-level

Monte Carlo $p = .010$ at -50 ms to 260 ms). In the Peak condition, there was no significant difference

between subsequently correctly versus incorrectly assigned foreign words (cluster-level Monte Carlo

p > .53). The difference map between topographies for subsequently correctly versus incorrectly

assigned foreign words in the Trough condition resembled the voltage distribution of a frontal slow-

wave trough (see **Fig. 3C**, voltage distribution of a prototypical trough). Hence, sleep-learning in the

Trough condition profited from a pronounced trough.

A brain-wide voltage distribution that is typically associated with a frontal trough promoted word

processing

The visual inspection of the difference in the topographical voltage distribution at word onset

between subsequently (36 hours) correctly versus incorrectly assigned foreign words (**Fig. 3C**)

suggested that sleep-learning in the Trough condition was best, if the brain-wide voltage distribution

corresponded to the typical trough state as quantified with a template of a slow-wave trough. Note

that we targeted slow-wave troughs/peaks by correlating the online measured EEG with a template

map (Ruch et al., 2022). The template map is based on pre-recorded EEG data (Züst et al., 2019) and

represents the average voltage distribution of thousands of peaks/troughs (peak template, trough

template). Accordingly, we consider the trough template a prototypical voltage distribution of

endogenously generated troughs. Descriptively, the measured voltage map underlying later (at 36

hours) correctly versus incorrectly assigned foreign words corresponded to the template map of a

typical trough (**Fig. 3C**). Next, we tried to find out, which trough aspect had promoted sleep-learning.

To this aim, we examined four trough aspects measured at word onset: A) prototypicality reflecting

the correspondence (i.e., correlation) of the trough voltage distribution at word onset with the

prototypical voltage distribution of a trough, i.e. the trough template. A high prototypicality suggests

that the trough originated in the same neocortical network that generates the majority of

endogenous slow oscillations (Michel & Koenig, 2018); B) global field power (GFP) reflecting the

synchronisation within the measured trough voltage map. A high GFP indicates strong coherence

and stability of neuronal activity within the cortical network that generates the trough (Khanna et

al., 2015); C) inter-trial phase coherence (ITC) reflecting the temporal coherence of Trough-targeting

across the four presentations of a specific word pair; D) time difference reflecting the time

difference between the actual acoustic stimulation and the

measured trough maximum (**Fig. 4**; for a more detailed

description see method section). Prototypicality

differentiated significantly between correctly (higher

prototypicality) versus incorrectly assigned foreign words

at 36 hours ($p = 0.005$, FDR corrected: $q_{\text{Benjamini-Hochberg}}$ =

0.0125; **Fig. 4A**). This comparison remained significant

when we compared the area under the prototypicality

curve (AUC; $p < 0.019$, -150 ms to 350 ms, FDR corrected: q

$_{\text{Benjamini-Hochberg}}$ = 0. 02). AUC was computed to ensure that

not only the word onset but the entire trough period was

more similar to the trough template for subsequently

correctly versus incorrectly assigned foreign words. The

other trough aspects did not differentiate significantly

between subsequently correctly versus incorrectly

assigned foreign words (all $p > 0.13$). The same Trough-

related analyses for correctly versus incorrectly assigned

foreign words at 12 hours are presented in the

supplement. These analyses were not performed for the

Peak condition because retrieval performance was not

better than chance in the Peak condition.

Figure 4. Trough features compared between correct and
incorrect category assignments at the 36-hour retrieval. We display the comparison between correctly (blue)
and incorrectly (brown) assigned foreign words in the experimental condition at the 36-hour retrieval using the
amplitude of the correlation between the ERP and the template (Prototypicality) at word onset (**A**), using
global field power (GFP) of the ERP at word onset (**B**), using the inter-trial phase coherence (ITC) between the
four presentations of a word pair (**C**), and using the time difference (Time Difference) between the actual
acoustic stimulation and the measured trough maximum (**D**). Participant averages (dots), group averages (line)
and 95% confidence intervals (boxes) are displayed as boxplots.

Figure 5. Theta and fast spindle power following word onset. Time course of the topographical distribution of theta (**A**) and fast spindle (**B**) power, shown for the experimental condition (EC), where word pairs were played, and for the control condition (CC), where foreign words were played (left, average of representative electrodes). The blue box demarcates clusters that reflect a significant difference between EC and CC (*: cluster p<0.05). Topographical distribution of the theta and the fast spindle power averaged over the significant time period and electrode displayed for the experimental condition (EC, top) and the control condition (CC, bottom) in the Trough condition. Correlation of the theta enhancement and the fast spindle enhancement measured from the cluster of significant electrodes with the individual accuracy of category assignments in the experimental condition at the 36-hour retrieval (right).

Enhanced theta and fast spindle power promoted sleep-learning

We compared the presentation of word pairs (experimental condition, EC) to the presentation of

foreign words (control condition, CC) during sleep to isolate neuronal markers for semantic

association formation. Foreign words were presented alone (no added translation word) into both

ears in the control condition. These foreign words were played in alternating order with word pairs

(EC, CC, EC, CC,...). Trough-targeted word pairs versus foreign words enhanced the theta power

at 500 ms following word onset (0.2 s to 0.7 s, cluster-level Monte Carlo p = 0.018, no significant

cluster for Peak-targeted word pairs, p > 0.1, **Fig. 5A**). Trough-targeted word pairs versus foreign

words enhanced the fast spindle power at 1 s following word onset (0.8 s-1.2 s, cluster-level Monte

Carlo p = 0.012, no significant cluster for Peak-targeted word pairs, p > 0.4, **Fig. 5B**). This theta-

enhancement correlated significantly with retrieval performance (theta: R = 0.57, p = 0.027, **Fig. 5A**),

while the spindle enhancement did not correlate with retrieval performance (spindle: R = 0.034, p =

0.9, **Fig. 5B**). The comparison of the theta power measured at 500 ms following word onset (0.2 s to

0.7 s) during sleep between later correctly and incorrectly assigned foreign words was not significant

(theta: all clusters p > 0.6). Neither was the comparison of the fast spindle power measured at 1 s

following word onset (0.8 s to 1.2 s) between later correctly and incorrectly assigned foreign words

significant (spindle: all cluster-level Monte Carlo p > 0.1).

Figure 6. Neural complexity. EEG-derived neural complexity measured as Higuchi Fractal Dimension (HFD) displayed for the Trough condition and the Peak condition before the presentation of word pairs (Baseline) and following the presentation of word pairs (Evoked) in the experimental condition. The right panel of A displays the significant difference between the Trough and the Peak condition in the neural complexity gain from before to following word onset. (cluster-level Monte Carlo: p = 0.002, * indicates a significant comparison; cluster p< 0.05).

Sleep-learning in the trough condition increased neural complexity

We computed the Higuchi Fractal Dimension (HFD) of the EEG signal in the time domain. HFD

provides a non-linear measure of the complexity, variability, and "randomness" of the EEG signal at

each channel. It has been shown that elevated neural complexity is associated with successful

sensory processing during sleep as indicated by the lateralized readiness potential (Andrillon et al.,

2016). Moreover, high HFD values have been associated with cognitive processing (Lau et al., 2021;

Parbat & Chakraborty, 2021). We were therefore wondering whether HFD values would be higher in

the Trough condition, where sleep-learning occurred, than in the Peak condition, where no

significant sleep-learning occurred.

HFD following word offset (from 500 ms to 2 s, when the EEG was aligned between the two

conditions) was higher in the Trough than the Peak condition (cluster-level Monte Carlo p = 0.036;

Fig. 6). Because this between-subjects comparison might also reflect differences between

participants rather than cognitive processing, we computed this comparison also with scores that

were baseline-corrected using the pre-stimulus interval as baseline (-2 s to -0.5 s). This comparison

yielded the same result: HFD was larger following word offset in the Trough than the Peak condition

(cluster-level Monte Carlo p = 0.002; **Fig. 6**) suggesting verbal processing in the Trough condition,

where sleep-learning was successful. However, HFD did not differ significantly between word pairs

that were later (at 12 hours and at 36 hours) correctly versus incorrectly assigned to categories

(correct vs incorrect: all cluster-level Monte Carlo p > 0.49). Nor did HFD significantly differ between

the EC and the CC (EC vs CC: all cluster-level Monte Carlo p > 0.05). Finally, we correlated HFD values

with the participants' accuracy of assigning foreign words to the three categories at the 36-hour

retrieval of the Trough condition. This correlation yielded an insignificant result (R = 0.25, p = 0.36).

In conclusion, word processing during sleep appears to increase neural complexity in troughs.

Discussion

Natural slow-wave sleep is a state in which our conscious awareness of the surrounding world is

drastically reduced. For our protection, we still need to process external events in order to decide

whether the event is threatening and requires an immediate awakening or whether the event is

interesting enough to warrant storage for later consideration. There is evidence indicating that we

can process and store new information during slow-wave sleep (Ai et al., 2018; Andrillon & Kouider,

2016; Arzi et al., 2012, 2014; Koroma et al., 2022; Ruch et al., 2014; Ruch & Henke, 2020; Züst et al.,

2019). However, whether the most sophisticated form of learning - episodic learning - is possible

during deep sleep, is contentious. This experiment reveals that troughs and peaks of slow-waves

contribute mutually to successful episodic learning during sleep. Vocabulary played during troughs

of slow-waves passed the thalamic gate and was processed perceptually and conceptually, which

raised neural complexity. The following peak harbouring an increase in theta power provided the

conditions for semantic-associative encoding of foreign words and translation words. An

immediately following second peak accompanied by a rise in fast spindle power around 1 s following

word onset may have aided the immediate consolidation of the formed associations. This sequence

of processing steps prepared the ground for later stages of memory consolidation that resulted in a

successful retrieval of associations after 36 hours.

Was the sleep-presented vocabulary stored and retrieved through the episodic memory system?

Traditionally, episodic memory and hippocampal processing were considered processes of

declarative or explicit memory and were thought to require conscious awareness of the learning

material (Gabrieli, 1998; Moscovitch, 2008; Schacter, 1998; Squire & Dede, 2015; Tulving, 2002). Yet,

increasing counterevidence indicates that hippocampal-assisted episodic memory formation may

proceed with and without conscious awareness of the learning material (Duss et al., 2014; Henke et

al., 2003, 2013; Wuethrich et al., 2018; Züst et al., 2015). Indeed, a similar neural network including

the hippocampus mediated conscious and unconscious episodic encoding and retrieval (Henke et al.,

2003; Schneider et al., 2021). In the current study, we directed word processing to the episodic

memory system by: a) exacting *associative encoding*, b) enforcing a *speedy encoding process*, and c)

compelling a delayed cued *associative retrieval* that requires a flexible representation of the sleep-

formed memories. These task-enforced processing characteristics are key features of episodic

memory with no other memory system disposing of these computational abilities (Cohen &

Eichenbaum, 1993; Henke, 2010; O'Reilly et al., 2014). Only the hippocampus is capable of forming

arbitrary word-word associations rapidly and storing the associations in a flexible format. The

neocortex forms new arbitrary associations only slowly over dozens of learning trials and provides

for fused (rather than flexible) word-word representations in memory (Cohen & Eichenbaum, 1993;

Henke, 2010; O'Reilly et al., 2014). Priming can be excluded as a potential learning mechanism

because the words in a pair were apparently not unitized to one single unit, as a single unit would

not have allowed recovering the meaning of the translation word at test when cued with the visual

and acoustic presentation of the foreign word. Hence, memory representations formed by priming

are not compositional and flexible enough to promote the required cued retrieval of word meaning.

Although brain imaging was not performed in the current study, previous evidence suggests that

sleep-learning was probably mediated by the hippocampus: Sensory stimulation activated the

hippocampus and triggered memory reactivation in sleeping animals (Bendor & Wilson, 2012) and

humans (Rasch et al., 2007). The animal hippocampus was forming new memories during slow-wave

sleep (de Lavilléon et al., 2015) and the human hippocampus was activated during correctly, but not

incorrectly, retrieved memories formed during sleep (Züst et al., 2019). Hence, the task

requirements called upon the episodic memory system and previous evidence of hippocampal

processing during sleep suggests that the sleep-formed memories were stored in the episodic

memory system.

While consciously acquired information in the episodic memory system tends to decay rapidly during

the 24 hours following learning (Ebbinghaus, 2013; Hardt et al., 2013; Murre & Dros, 2015), we did

not observed a deterioration in retrieval performance from 12 hours to 36 hours. Because

participants enjoyed a night of undisturbed sleep at home between the 12-hour and the 36-hour

retrieval, the newly formed associations may have undergone an additional cycle of sleep-assisted

memory consolidation. This additional cycle likely corresponds to the third of the three "waves of

plasticity" described by Goto and colleagues (2021). The first wave acts locally in the hippocampus to

confer context specificity through long-term potentiation (Frankland et al., 2001; Takeuchi et al.,

2014; Tsien et al., 1996) during 20 minutes following learning. The second wave of long-term

potentiation-dependent consolidation occurs in the hippocampus during the first sleep phase that

follows learning. This second wave organizes the learning-involved neurons into synchronously firing

assemblies. The third phase of long-term potentiation occurs in the anterior cingulate cortex during

sleep on the second day following learning and supports the memory transfer to the cortex. Hence,

the second night following sleep-learning may have strengthened memories by neocorticalization.

In fact, sleep-dependent memory consolidation supports weak memories more than strong

memories (Denis et al., 2020; Drosopoulos et al., 2007; Petzka et al., 2021; Schechtman et al., 2021;

Schneider et al., 2021; Tucker & Fishbein, 2008). Because sleep-formed memories are unconscious

memories, the memories are weak in terms of behavioural impact and physical memory traces

(Schneider et al., 2021). Hence, the sleep-formed memories in this study may have profited

especially from sleep-dependent memory consolidation. We have recently found that unconscious

memories formed from subliminal (consciously invisible) cartoon clips had privileged access to sleep-

dependent memory consolidation, which strengthened the unconscious memories very strongly

(Pacozzi et al., 2022)..

However, before memory consolidation can kick in, the sleep-played vocabulary needs to pass

thalamic gating and undergo neocortical processing (Gent et al., 2018; McCormick & Bal, 1994). How

was this possible? We played the vocabulary either during peaks or during troughs of slow-waves.

The retrieval performance indicated that the vocabulary was processed only in the Trough condition.

When troughs were pronounced, encoding and retrieval were best, and the EEG exhibited more

neural complexity reflecting cognitive processing (Parbat & Chakraborty, 2021). We offer two

explanations of why the processing of the played vocabulary was possible during troughs rather than

peaks of slow-waves. First, the peaks may have been 'occupied' by the consolidation of previously

wake-formed memories. Wake-formed memories appear to be preferentially reactivated during

peaks, when hippocampus-neocortical interactions take place, which are accompanied by a rise in

fast spindle power (Göldi et al., 2019; Mölle et al., 2002, 2011; Muehlroth et al., 2019; Staresina et

al., 2015). Even a causal role of endogenous spindles is suggested for memory consolidation (Antony

et al., 2019; Chen & Wilson, 2017; Latchoumane et al., 2017; Maingret et al., 2016; Mölle et al.,

2011; Staresina et al., 2015), particularly if the spindles are nested into peaks (Dang-Vu et al., 2011;

Schabus et al., 2012). Troughs, on the other hand, may not be occupied by ongoing consolidation

processes and might therefore be receptive to sounds.. Second, targeting vocabulary to troughs

bypasses the natural sleep preserving mechanisms at play during peaks of slow-waves. Any sound

evokes a systematic endogenous EEG response, often described as a K-complex (Andrillon &

Kouider, 2020; Cox, Korjoukov, et al., 2014; Halász, 2005, 2016; Mölle et al., 2009; Ngo et al., 2013;

Schabus et al., 2012). Such a generic response represents a cortical sensory gate that protects sleep

and the consolidation of wake-formed memories (Andrillon & Kouider, 2020; Halász, 2005). The

prominent frontal negativity at 500 ms in particular reflects a breakdown of the cortico-thalamic

communication (Niknazar et al., 2022). This breakdown of communication appears to leave sensory

processing intact in primary sensory cortices but mitigates responses at higher cortical levels

(Schabus et al., 2012). When words were played into peaks, we recorded a K-complex like, generic

response from frontal electrodes, while when words were played into troughs, the vocabulary

evoked a phase reset of the slow-waves that differed sharply from K-complexes. To conclude, we

assume that ongoing consolidation processes and sleep-protective responses generated during

peaks of slow-waves inhibited the psycholinguistic processing of the simultaneously played foreign

words and translation words, while these words were psycholinguistically processed during troughs.

Because the cued associative retrieval was above chance following sleep-learning in the Trough

condition, the translation words must have been understood and their meaning must have been

bound to the sound of the foreign word during slow-wave sleep. We assume that the

psycholinguistic processing of the translation word lasted up to 500 ms, which was also the duration

of the word utterance and the duration of the ongoing trough. The brain starts extracting the

meaning of a word already while it is being uttered. The phonological, syntactic, lexical, and

semantic word analyses occur in parallel during the 500 ms after word onset (Hagoort, 2008; Hickok

& Poeppel, 2007; Pulvermüller et al., 2009; Skeide & Friederici, 2016). ERP and MEG recordings

during (awake) spoken word processing revealed that phonological word forms are processed 20 –

50 ms following word onset in the auditory cortex. Phonological word forms are categorized at the

morphosyntactic level at 40 - 90 ms. Lexical–semantic word analyses occur at 50 - 80 ms in the left

anterior superior temporal cortex, where lexical items associated with the phonological word forms

are retrieved at 110–170 ms. These same temporal areas funnel lexical information to Brodmann

areas 45 and 47, where semantic relations between words are analysed between 200 to 400 ms

(Skeide & Friederici, 2016). The timing of external stimulus processing during slow-wave sleep

appears to be similar to the waking state (Laurino et al., 2019; Ruby et al., 2008; Sabri et al., 2000).

Awake learning experiments in humans indicated that the hippocampus ramps up its encoding

machinery at 300 - 500 ms after word onset (Long et al., 2014; Quiroga et al., 2005; Staresina &

Wimber, 2019). The perceived associations between the meaning of translation words and the

sound of foreign words need to be encoded in the hippocampus (Sakaguchi & Hayashi, 2012;

Tonegawa et al., 2018) that induces long-term potentiation and increases the numbers of dendritic

spines to strengthen the connectivity between neurons (Bliss & Collingridge, 1993; Engert &

Bonhoeffer, 1999; Hebb, 1949). This process of memory formation requires the collaboration of the

hippocampus with neocortical networks (Buzsáki & Tingley, 2018; Schreiner & Staudigl, 2020).

Hippocampal associative binding depends on theta activity (and theta-gamma coupling) in the

hippocampus (Axmacher et al., 2006; Fernández-Ruiz et al., 2017; Kahana et al., 2001; Mormann et

al., 2005; Osipova et al., 2006). In the current study, theta power rose at 500 ms following word

onset. This was likely the moment when semantic word-word associations underwent storage in the

hippocampus. The magnitude of the increase in theta power correlated, between-subjects, with

retrieval performance at 36 hours. We interpret this result as an indication that theta activity had

played a role in associating foreign words with translation words in memory. Importantly, at 500 ms

following word onset, a slow-wave peak followed the stimulated trough, which provided for the

necessary neuronal excitability and an effective hippocampal-neocortical communication (Andrillon

& Kouider, 2020; Destexhe et al., 2007). The frontally recorded peaks coincided with enhanced theta

power, and both may have contributed to a hippocampal encoding of new associations. Initially

labile hippocampal-neocortical memory traces are usually replayed to secure storage by

consolidation (Goto et al., 2021; Rasch & Born, 2013). Fast sleep spindles support this process (Chen

& Wilson, 2017; Latchoumane et al., 2017; Maingret et al., 2016; Petzka et al., 2022; Schreiner &

Staudigl, 2020; Staresina et al., 2015) because they constitute a "shuttle" of hippocampal

representations to neocortical sites (Antony et al., 2019; Cairney et al., 2018). We assume that fast

sleep spindles supported the immediate replay of the sleep-formed associations at one second

following word onset (similar to Abdellahi et al., 2023). This was the time when a second peak

occurred and when fast spindle power ramped up in the experimental condition but not in the

control condition, where no associative encoding took place because foreign words alone were

played during troughs. Both the increase in theta power at 500 ms and the increase in fast spindle

power at one second were accompanied by an enhancement of neuronal activity. These neuronal

events were absent, if the vocabulary was played during peaks. Hence, critical events that foster

learning and storage were observed in the Trough condition, where vocabulary was stored long-

term, but not in the Peak condition, where no sleep-learning was observed. According to the

sequence of events described above, we suggest a 3-step neurocognitive model of how learning

during slow-wave sleep may proceed. 1) A frontal slow-wave trough allows for spoken language

comprehension by providing a 500 ms time window for external stimulus processing (no sleep-

protective K-complexes). 2) 500 ms following word onset, paired-associative encoding takes place

during the next slow-wave peak, assisted by enhanced theta power, both providing for the necessary

hippocampal-neocortical crosstalk. 3) 1000 ms following word onset, fast spindles assist the

immediate replay of the encoded information through hippocampal-neocortical interactions. This

model needs to be tested in future sleep-learning experiments using magnetoencephalography or

the simultaneous recording of functional magnetic resonance imaging data and EEG data for a

precise temporal mapping of the processing stages and the simultaneous measurement of

associated activations in brain regions.

While the current experiment is the first to target acoustic stimuli to slow-wave peaks and troughs

for episodic learning during sleep, we have earlier performed a similar experiment playing

vocabulary at fixed intervals (open-loop) during slow-wave sleep (Züst et al., 2019). In that study, the

foreign word and translation word of a pair were played in succession rather than simultaneously.

This changes the timing and the sequence of processing steps. With words played in succession (Züst

et al., 2019), the paired-associative encoding in memory cannot happen before the second word of a

pair is being played. In the current study, the two words of a pair were simultaneously presented

and the paired-associative encoding in memory occurred around 500 ms following the onset of both

words. Because hippocampal paired-associative encoding requires broadly activated neurons for an

effective hippocampal-neocortical connectivity (Cox, Korjoukov, et al., 2014; Destexhe et al., 2007;

Schabus et al., 2012; Sirota & Buzsáki, 2005), a slow-wave peak at the time of associative learning

seems mandatory. This time point is during the play of the second word of a pair, when word

presentations are sequential, and the time point is around 500 ms following word onset, when word

presentations are simultaneous. The critical paired-associate encoding process required a frontally

recorded slow-wave peak in both the Züst et al. (2019) study and the current study. It should be

noted that the comparison of the Züst et al. (2019) study with the current study is difficult because

Züst et al. (2019) entrained the sleep-EEG by rhythmically playing words (inter-stimulus-interval

1053 ms; Ngo et al., 2013), which changed the natural course of the sleep EEG. Therefore, only the

current study permits conclusions regarding the role of endogenously generated slow-wave peaks

and troughs in mediating sleep-learning.

Because slow-wave sleep is a state of unconsciousness, a sophisticated form of learning like rapid

vocabulary acquisition is not expected given the still prevailing dogma of episodic learning

depending on conscious awareness (Gabrieli, 1998; Moscovitch, 2008; Schacter, 1998; Squire &

Dede, 2015; Tulving, 2002). Rapid vocabulary acquisition during deep sleep adds to evidence of

successful episodic learning from subliminal (consciously invisible) words presented in the waking

state (Duss et al., 2014; Henke et al., 2013; Reber et al., 2012). These findings support the claim that

episodic memory formation may proceed without conscious awareness (Dew & Cabeza, 2011;

Hannula & Greene, 2012; Henke, 2010). The sleep-formed memories did not significantly subside but

(non-significantly) strengthened over 36 hours to the point where they influenced deliberative

decision-making. Evidence of language processing and rapid verbal associative learning during deep

sleep challenges the views that slow-wave sleep is a state of general synaptic depotentiation (Rasch

& Born, 2013; Tononi & Cirelli, 2006), that we have a strict gating of sensory information at the

thalamus, and that the direction of information flow during sleep is strictly from hippocampus to

neocortex (Rasch & Born, 2013). Without the inverse neocortical–hippocampal information flow,

sleep-learning in the current study would not have been possible.

Future studies might look into practical applications of verbal learning during sleep. While the

acquisition of new vocabulary is certainly best during waking, other forms of learning might yield

better results, when learning is unconscious versus conscious. Because sleep-learned messages are

processed and stored unconsciously, they circumvent conscious defence mechanisms (Arzi et al.,

2014; Levy et al., 2014). Therefore, the information might dispose the sleeper more readily towards

behavioural change than traditional psychotherapy conducted in the waking state. Moreover, sleep-

learning may allow for the reactivation and the subsequent modification and reframing of unwanted

memories without the need to consciously re-experience the stressful memories (He et al., 2015;

Taschereau-Dumouchel et al., 2018, 2018; Zhu et al., 2021).

Acknowledgments

586   This work was supported by the Interfaculty Research Cooperation grant "Decoding Sleep: From

587   Neurons to Health & Mind" of the University of Bern. We thank the undergraduate students

588   Benjamin Ambühl, Sophie Ankner, Esther Brill, Samantha Glatt, Elena Grebenarov, Ronja Imlig, Leona

589   Knüsel, Laura Schmid and Nicole Skieresz for their help with the data collection. Moreover, we thank

590   Marc Züst and Marina Wunderlin for generating and validating the stimulus material.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, F.S., S.R. and K.H.; Methodology, F.S., S.R. and K.H.; Software, F.S. and S.R.;
Formal Analysis, F.S. and S.R.; Investigation, F.S.; Writing – Original Draft, F.S.; Writing – Review &
Editing, S.R. and K.H.; Funding Acquisition, K.H.; Supervision, S.R. and K.H.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Aarons, L. (1990). *THE BILINGUAL-DICHOTIC METHOD FOR LEARNING A FOREIGN-LANGUAGE*

VOCABULARY. https://doi.org/10.1002/ACP.2350040504

Abdellahi, M. E. A., Koopman, A. C. M., Treder, M. S., & Lewis, P. A. (2023). Targeting targeted

memory reactivation: Characteristics of cued reactivation in sleep. *NeuroImage*, *266*,

119820. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022.119820

Ai, S., Yin, Y., Chen, Y., Wang, C., Sun, Y., Tang, X., Lu, L., Zhu, L., & Shi, J. (2018). Promoting subjective

preferences in simple economic choices during nap. *ELife*, *7*, e40583.

https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40583

Andrillon, T., & Kouider, S. (2016). Implicit memory for words heard during sleep. *Neuroscience of*

Consciousness, *2016*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1093/nc/niw014

Andrillon, T., & Kouider, S. (2020). The vigilant sleeper: Neural mechanisms of sensory (de)coupling

during sleep. *Current Opinion in Physiology*, *15*, 47–59.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cophys.2019.12.002

Andrillon, T., Poulsen, A. T., Hansen, L. K., Léger, D., & Kouider, S. (2016). Neural Markers of

Responsiveness to the Environment in Human Sleep. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *36*(24),

Article 24. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0902-16.2016

Andrillon, T., Pressnitzer, D., Léger, D., & Kouider, S. (2017). Formation and suppression of acoustic

memories during human sleep. *Nature Communications*, *8*(1), Article 1.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00071-z

Antony, J. W., Schönauer, M., Staresina, B. P., & Cairney, S. A. (2019). Sleep Spindles and Memory

Reprocessing. *Trends in Neurosciences*, *42*(1), 1–3.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2018.09.012

Arzi, A., Holtzman, Y., Samnon, P., Eshel, N., Harel, E., & Sobel, N. (2014). Olfactory Aversive

Conditioning during Sleep Reduces Cigarette-Smoking Behavior. *Journal of Neuroscience*,

34(46), Article 46. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2291-14.2014

Arzi, A., Shedlesky, L., Ben-Shaul, M., Nasser, K., Oksenberg, A., Hairston, I. S., & Sobel, N. (2012).

Humans can learn new information during sleep. *Nature Neuroscience*, *15*(10), Article 10.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3193

Axmacher, N., Mormann, F., Fernández, G., Elger, C. E., & Fell, J. (2006). Memory formation by

neuronal synchronization. *Brain Research Reviews*, *52*(1), Article 1.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresrev.2006.01.007

Bartram, J., Kahn, M. C., Tuohy, S., Paulsen, O., Wilson, T., & Mann, E. O. (2017). Cortical Up states

induce the selective weakening of subthreshold synaptic inputs. *Nature Communications*,

8(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00748-5

Bastuji, H., & García-Larrea, L. (1999). Evoked potentials as a tool for the investigation of human

sleep. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, *3*(1), 23–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1087-0792(99)90012-6

Bendor, D., & Wilson, M. A. (2012). Biasing the content of hippocampal replay during sleep. *Nature

Neuroscience*, *15*(10), Article 10. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3203

Berens, P. (2009). CircStat: A MATLAB Toolbox for Circular Statistics. *Journal of Statistical Software*,

31, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v031.i10

Berry, R. B., Brooks, R., Gamaldo, C., Harding, S. M., Lloyd, R. M., Marcus, C. L., & Vaughn, B. V.

(2015). AASM Scoring Manual Version 2.2. *American Academy of Sleep Medicine*, 7.

Bliss, T. V. P., & Collingridge, G. L. (1993). A synaptic model of memory: Long-term potentiation in

the hippocampus. *Nature*, *361*(6407), Article 6407. https://doi.org/10.1038/361031a0

Brainard, D. H. (1997). The Psychophysics Toolbox. *Spatial Vision*, *10*(4), 433–436.

https://doi.org/10.1163/156856897X00357

Buysse, D. J., Reynolds, C. F., Monk, T. H., Berman, S. R., & Kupfer, D. J. (1989). The Pittsburgh Sleep

Quality Index: A new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Research*,

28(2), 193–213. https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1781(89)90047-4

Buzsáki, G., & Tingley, D. (2018). Space and Time: The Hippocampus as a Sequence Generator.

Trends in Cognitive Sciences, *22*(10), 853–869. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2018.07.006

Cairney, S. A., Guttesen, A. á V., El Marj, N., & Staresina, B. P. (2018). Memory Consolidation Is

Linked to Spindle-Mediated Information Processing during Sleep. *Current Biology*, *28*(6),

948-954.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.01.087

Chen, Z., & Wilson, M. A. (2017). Deciphering Neural Codes of Memory during Sleep. *Trends in*

Neurosciences, *40*(5), 260–275. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2017.03.005

Cohen, N. J., & Eichenbaum, H. (1993). *Memory, Amnesia, and the Hippocampal System*. A Bradford

Book.

Cox, R., Hofman, W. F., de Boer, M., & Talamini, L. M. (2014). Local sleep spindle modulations in

relation to specific memory cues. *NeuroImage*, *99*, 103–110.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.05.028

Cox, R., Korjoukov, I., de Boer, M., & Talamini, L. M. (2014). Sound Asleep: Processing and Retention

of Slow Oscillation Phase-Targeted Stimuli. *PLoS ONE*, *9*(7), Article 7.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0101567

Dang-Vu, T. T., Bonjean, M., Schabus, M., Boly, M., Darsaud, A., Desseilles, M., Degueldre, C.,

Balteau, E., Phillips, C., Luxen, A., Sejnowski, T. J., & Maquet, P. (2011). Interplay between

spontaneous and induced brain activity during human non-rapid eye movement sleep.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, *108*(37), Article 37.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1112503108

de Lavilléon, G., Lacroix, M. M., Rondi-Reig, L., & Benchenane, K. (2015). Explicit memory creation

during sleep demonstrates a causal role of place cells in navigation. *Nature Neuroscience*,

18(4), Article 4. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3970

Dement, W., & Kleitman, N. (1957). The relation of eye movements during sleep to dream activity:

An objective method for the study of dreaming. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *53*,

339–346. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0048189

Denis, D., Schapiro, A. C., Poskanzer, C., Bursal, V., Charon, L., Morgan, A., & Stickgold, R. (2020). The

roles of item exposure and visualization success in the consolidation of memories across

681   wake and sleep. *Learning & Memory*, *27*(11), 451–456.

682   https://doi.org/10.1101/lm.051383.120

683 Destexhe, A., Hughes, S. W., Rudolph, M., & Crunelli, V. (2007). Are corticothalamic 'up' states

684   fragments of wakefulness? *Trends in Neurosciences*, *30*(7), 334–342.

685   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2007.04.006

686 Dew, I. T. Z., & Cabeza, R. (2011). The porous boundaries between explicit and implicit memory:

687   Behavioral and neural evidence. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, *1224*(1), 174–

688   190. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05946.x

689 Diba, K., & Buzsáki, G. (2007). Forward and reverse hippocampal place-cell sequences during ripples.

690   *Nature Neuroscience*, *10*(10), Article 10. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1961

691 Drosopoulos, S., Schulze, C., Fischer, S., & Born, J. (2007). Sleep's function in the spontaneous

692   recovery and consolidation of memories. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *136*,

693   169–183. https://doi.org/10.1037/0096-3445.136.2.169

694 Duss, S. B., Reber, T. P., Hänggi, J., Schwab, S., Wiest, R., Müri, R. M., Brugger, P., Gutbrod, K., &

695   Henke, K. (2014). Unconscious relational encoding depends on hippocampus. *Brain*, *137*(12),

696   Article 12. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awu270

697 Duyck, W., Desmet, T., Verbeke, L. P. C., & Brysbaert, M. (2004). WordGen: A tool for word selection

698   and nonword generation in Dutch, English, German, and French. *Behavior Research*

699   *Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, *36*(3), 488–499. https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03195595

700 Ebbinghaus, H. (2013). Memory: A contribution to experimental psychology. *Annals of*

701   *Neurosciences*, *20*(4), 155–156. https://doi.org/10.5214/ans.0972.7531.200408

702 Engert, F., & Bonhoeffer, T. (1999). Dendritic spine changes associated with hippocampal long-term

703   synaptic plasticity. *Nature*, *399*(6731), Article 6731. https://doi.org/10.1038/19978

704 Fernández-Ruiz, A., Oliva, A., Nagy, G. A., Maurer, A. P., Berényi, A., & Buzsáki, G. (2017). Entorhinal-

705   CA3 Dual-Input Control of Spike Timing in the Hippocampus by Theta-Gamma Coupling.

706   *Neuron*, *93*(5), 1213-1226.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.02.017

Formby, D. (1967). Maternal Recognition of Infant's Cry. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*,

9(3), 293–298. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8749.1967.tb02271.x

Frankland, P. W., O'Brien, C., Ohno, M., Kirkwood, A., & Silva, A. J. (2001). α-CaMKII-dependent

plasticity in the cortex is required for permanent memory. *Nature*, *411*(6835), Article 6835.

https://doi.org/10.1038/35077089

Gabrieli, J. D. E. (1998). Cognitive neuroscience of human memory. *Annual Review of Psychology,*

87–115.

Gent, T. C., Bandarabadi, M., Herrera, C. G., & Adamantidis, A. R. (2018). Thalamic dual control of

sleep and wakefulness. *Nature Neuroscience*, *21*(7), Article 7.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0164-7

Göldi, M., van Poppel, E. A. M., Rasch, B., & Schreiner, T. (2019). Increased neuronal signatures of

targeted memory reactivation during slow-wave up states. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1), Article 1.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-39178-2

Goto, A., Bota, A., Miya, K., Wang, J., Tsukamoto, S., Jiang, X., Hirai, D., Murayama, M., Matsuda, T.,

McHugh, T. J., Nagai, T., & Hayashi, Y. (2021). Stepwise synaptic plasticity events drive the

early phase of memory consolidation. *Science*, *374*(6569), 857–863.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abj9195

Grandchamp, R., & Delorme, A. (2011). Single-Trial Normalization for Event-Related Spectral

Decomposition Reduces Sensitivity to Noisy Trials. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *2*.

https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00236

Hagoort, P. (2008). The fractionation of spoken language understanding by measuring electrical and

magnetic brain signals. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,

363(1493), 1055–1069. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2159

Halász, P. (2005). K-complex, a reactive EEG graphoelement of NREM sleep: An old chap in a new

garment. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, *9*(5), 391–412.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smrv.2005.04.003

Halász, P. (2016). The K-complex as a special reactive sleep slow wave – A theoretical update. *Sleep*

Medicine Reviews, *29*, 34–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smrv.2015.09.004

Hannula, D. E., & Greene, A. J. (2012). The hippocampus reevaluated in unconscious learning and

memory: At a tipping point? *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, *6*.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2012.00080

Hardt, O., Nader, K., & Nadel, L. (2013). Decay happens: The role of active forgetting in memory.

Trends in Cognitive Sciences, *17*(3), 111–120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2013.01.001

He, J., Sun, H.-Q., Li, S.-X., Zhang, W.-H., Shi, J., Ai, S.-Z., Li, Y., Li, X.-J., Tang, X.-D., & Lu, L. (2015).

Effect of Conditioned Stimulus Exposure during Slow Wave Sleep on Fear Memory Extinction

in Humans. *Sleep*, *38*(3), 423–431. https://doi.org/10.5665/sleep.4502

Hebb, D. (1949). The Organization of Behavior: A Psychological Theory. *Wiley New York*.

Henke, K. (2010). A model for memory systems based on processing modes rather than

consciousness. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *11*(7), Article 7.

Henke, K., Mondadori, C. R. A., Treyer, V., Nitsch, R. M., Buck, A., & Hock, C. (2003). Nonconscious

formation and reactivation of semantic associations by way of the medial temporal lobe.

Neuropsychologia, *41*(8), Article 8. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0028-3932(03)00035-6

Henke, K., Reber, T. P., & Duss, S. B. (2013). Integrating Events Across Levels of Consciousness.

Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, *7*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2013.00068

Hickok, G., & Poeppel, D. (2007). The cortical organization of speech processing. *Nature Reviews*

Neuroscience, *8*(5), Article 5. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2113

Hoddes, E., Zarcone, V., Smythe, H., Phillips, R., & Dement, W. C. (1973). Quantification of

Sleepiness: A New Approach. *Psychophysiology*, *10*(4), 431–436.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8986.1973.tb00801.x

Ibáñez, A., López, V., & Cornejo, C. (2006). ERPs and contextual semantic discrimination: Degrees of

congruence in wakefulness and sleep. *Brain and Language*, *98*(3), Article 3.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2006.05.005

Iber, C., Ancoli-Israel, S., Chesson, A., & Quan, S. F. (2007). The AASM Manual for the Scoring of Sleep

and Associated Events: Rules, Terminology and Technical Specifications. *American Academy*

of Sleep Medicine.

Jacobsen, E. (1929). *Progressive relaxation* (pp. xiii, 429). Univ. of Chicago Press.

Kahana, M. J., Seelig, D., & Madsen, J. R. (2001). Theta returns. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*,

11(6), 739–744. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4388(01)00278-1

Kalra, N., Khakha, D., Satapathy, S., & Dey, S. (2021). *Impact of Jacobson Progressive Muscle*

Relaxation (JPMR) and Deep Breathing Exercises on Anxiety, Psychological Distress and

Quality of Sleep of Hospitalized Older Adults.

Khanna, A., Pascual-Leone, A., Michel, C. M., & Farzan, F. (2015). Microstates in resting-state EEG:

Current status and future directions. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, *49*, 105–113.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.12.010

Kimura, D. (1961). Cerebral dominance and the perception of verbal stimuli. *Canadian Journal of*

Psychology/Revue Canadienne de Psychologie, *15*(3), 166–171.

https://doi.org/10.1037/h0083219

Koroma, M., Elbaz, M., Léger, D., & Kouider, S. (2022). Learning New Vocabulary Implicitly During

Sleep Transfers With Cross-Modal Generalization Into Wakefulness. *Frontiers in*

Neuroscience, *16*, 801666. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2022.801666

Latchoumane, C.-F. V., Ngo, H.-V. V., Born, J., & Shin, H.-S. (2017). Thalamic Spindles Promote

Memory Formation during Sleep through Triple Phase-Locking of Cortical, Thalamic, and

Hippocampal Rhythms. *Neuron*, *95*(2), 424-435.e6.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.06.025

Lau, Z. J., Pham, T., SH Annabel Chen, P., & Makowski, D. (2021). *Brain Entropy, Fractal Dimensions*

and Predictability: A Review of Complexity Measures for EEG in Healthy and Neuropsychiatric

Populations. PsyArXiv. https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/f8k3x

Laurino, M., Menicucci, D., Piarulli, A., Mastorci, F., Bedini, R., Allegrini, P., & Gemignani, A. (2014).

Disentangling different functional roles of evoked K-complex components: Mapping the

sleeping brain while quenching sensory processing. *NeuroImage*, *86*, 433–445.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.10.030

Laurino, M., Piarulli, A., Menicucci, D., & Gemignani, A. (2019). Local Gamma Activity During Non-

REM Sleep in the Context of Sensory Evoked K-Complexes. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, *13*.

https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnins.2019.01094

Levy, B. R., Pilver, C., Chung, P. H., & Slade, M. D. (2014). Subliminal Strengthening: Improving Older

Individuals' Physical Function Over Time With an Implicit-Age-Stereotype Intervention.

Psychological Science, *25*(12), 2127–2135. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797614551970

Long, N. M., Burke, J. F., & Kahana, M. J. (2014). Subsequent memory effect in intracranial and scalp

EEG. *NeuroImage*, *84*, 488–494. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.08.052

Maingret, N., Girardeau, G., Todorova, R., Goutierre, M., & Zugaro, M. (2016). Hippocampo-cortical

coupling mediates memory consolidation during sleep. *Nature Neuroscience*, *19*(7), Article 7.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4304

Maris, E., & Oostenveld, R. (2007). Nonparametric statistical testing of EEG- and MEG-data. *Journal*

of Neuroscience Methods, *164*(1), 177–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2007.03.024

Massimini, M., Ferrarelli, F., Sarasso, S., & Tononi, G. (2012). Cortical mechanisms of loss of

consciousness: Insight from TMS/EEG studies. *Archives Italiennes de Biologie*, *150*(2/3),

Article 2/3. https://doi.org/10.4449/aib.v150i2.1361

McCormick, D. A., & Bal, T. (1994). Sensory gating mechanisms of the thalamus. *Current Opinion in*

Neurobiology, *4*(4), 550–556. https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-4388(94)90056-6

McHugh, M. L. (2012). Interrater reliability: The kappa statistic. *Biochemia Medica*, *22*(3), 276–282.

Michel, C. M., & Koenig, T. (2018). EEG microstates as a tool for studying the temporal dynamics of

whole-brain neuronal networks: A review. *NeuroImage*, *180*, 577–593.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.11.062

Mölle, M., Bergmann, T. O., Marshall, L., & Born, J. (2011). Fast and Slow Spindles during the Sleep

Slow Oscillation: Disparate Coalescence and Engagement in Memory Processing. *Sleep*,

34(10), 1411–1421. https://doi.org/10.5665/SLEEP.1290

Mölle, M., Eschenko, O., Gais, S., Sara, S. J., & Born, J. (2009). The influence of learning on sleep slow

oscillations and associated spindles and ripples in humans and rats. *European Journal of*

Neuroscience, *29*(5), 1071–1081. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2009.06654.x

Mölle, M., Marshall, L., Gais, S., & Born, J. (2002). Grouping of Spindle Activity during Slow

Oscillations in Human Non-Rapid Eye Movement Sleep. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *22*(24),

10941–10947. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.22-24-10941.2002

Monge-Alvarez, J. (2022). *Higuchi and Katz fractal dimension measures (*.

https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/50290-higuchi-and-katz-fractal-

dimension-measures

Mormann, F., Fell, J., Axmacher, N., Weber, B., Lehnertz, K., Elger, C. E., & Fernández, G. (2005).

Phase/amplitude reset and theta–gamma interaction in the human medial temporal lobe

during a continuous word recognition memory task. *Hippocampus*, *15*(7), 890–900.

https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.20117

Moscovitch, M. (2008). The hippocampus as a 'stupid,' domain-specific module: Implications for

theories of recent and remote memory, and of imagination. *Canadian Journal of*

Experimental Psychology/Revue Canadienne de Psychologie Expérimentale, *62*, 62–79.

https://doi.org/10.1037/1196-1961.62.1.62

Muehlroth, B. E., Sander, M. C., Fandakova, Y., Grandy, T. H., Rasch, B., Shing, Y. L., & Werkle-

Bergner, M. (2019). Precise Slow Oscillation–Spindle Coupling Promotes Memory

Consolidation in Younger and Older Adults. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1), Article 1.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-36557-z

Murre, J. M. J., & Dros, J. (2015). Replication and Analysis of Ebbinghaus' Forgetting Curve. *PLOS*

ONE, *10*(7), e0120644. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0120644

Navarrete, M., Schneider, J., Ngo, H.-V. V., Valderrama, M., Casson, A. J., & Lewis, P. A. (2020).

Examining the optimal timing for closed-loop auditory stimulation of slow-wave sleep in

young and older adults. *Sleep*, *43*(6), zsz315. https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/zsz315

Ngo, H.-V. V., Martinetz, T., Born, J., & Mölle, M. (2013). Auditory Closed-Loop Stimulation of the

Sleep Slow Oscillation Enhances Memory. *Neuron*, *78*(3), Article 3.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.03.006

Ngo, H.-V. V., & Staresina, B. P. (2022). Shaping overnight consolidation via slow-oscillation closed-

loop targeted memory reactivation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,

119(44), e2123428119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2123428119

Niknazar, H., Malerba, P., & Mednick, S. C. (2022). *Slow oscillations promote long range effective*

communication: The key for memory consolidation in a broken down network (p.

2022.04.13.488133). bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.13.488133

O'Reilly, R. C., Bhattacharyya, R., Howard, M. D., & Ketz, N. (2014). Complementary Learning

Systems. *Cognitive Science*, *38*(6), 1229–1248. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1551-

6709.2011.01214.x

O'Reilly, R. C., & Rudy, J. W. (2000). Computational principles of learning in the neocortex and

hippocampus. *Hippocampus*, *10*(4), 389–397. https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-

1063(2000)10:4<389::AID-HIPO5>3.0.CO;2-P

Osipova, D., Takashima, A., Oostenveld, R., Fernández, G., Maris, E., & Jensen, O. (2006). Theta and

Gamma Oscillations Predict Encoding and Retrieval of Declarative Memory. *Journal of*

Neuroscience, *26*(28), 7523–7531. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1948-06.2006

Oswald, I., Taylor, A. M., & Treisman, M. (1960). Discriminative responses to stimulation during

human sleep. *Brain: A Journal of Neurology*, *83*, 440–453.

https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/83.3.440

Pacozzi, L., Knüsel, L., Ruch, S., & Henke, K. (2022). Inverse forgetting in unconscious episodic

memory. *Scientific Reports*, *12*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-25100-w

Parbat, D., & Chakraborty, M. (2021). A Novel Methodology to study the Cognitive Load Induced EEG Complexity Changes: Chaos, Fractal and Entropy based approach. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, *64*, 102277. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bspc.2020.102277

Petzka, M., Charest, I., Balanos, G. M., & Staresina, B. P. (2021). Does sleep-dependent consolidation favour weak memories? *Cortex*, *134*, 65–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2020.10.005

Petzka, M., Chatburn, A., Charest, I., Balanos, G. M., & Staresina, B. P. (2022). Sleep spindles track cortical learning patterns for memory consolidation. *Current Biology*, *32*(11), 2349-2356.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2022.04.045

Pulvermüller, F., Shtyrov, Y., & Hauk, O. (2009). Understanding in an instant: Neurophysiological evidence for mechanistic language circuits in the brain. *Brain and Language*, *110*(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2008.12.001

Quiroga, R. Q., Reddy, L., Kreiman, G., Koch, C., & Fried, I. (2005). Invariant visual representation by single neurons in the human brain. *Nature*, *435*(7045), Article 7045. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03687

Rasch, B., & Born, J. (2013). About Sleep's Role in Memory. *Physiological Reviews*, *93*(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00032.2012

Rasch, B., Büchel, C., Gais, S., & Born, J. (2007). Odor Cues During Slow-Wave Sleep Prompt Declarative Memory Consolidation. *Science*, *315*(5817), 1426–1429. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1138581

Reber, T. P., Luechinger, R., Boesiger, P., & Henke, K. (2012). Unconscious Relational Inference Recruits the Hippocampus. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *32*(18), Article 18. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5639-11.2012

Renard, Y., Lotte, F., Gibert, G., Congedo, M., Maby, E., Delannoy, V., Bertrand, O., & Lécuyer, A. (2010). OpenViBE: An Open-Source Software Platform to Design, Test, and Use Brain–Computer Interfaces in Real and Virtual Environments. *Presence*, *19*(1), 35–53. https://doi.org/10.1162/pres.19.1.35

Riedner, B. A., Hulse, B. K., Murphy, M. J., Ferrarelli, F., & Tononi, G. (2011). Chapter 13—Temporal

dynamics of cortical sources underlying spontaneous and peripherally evoked slow waves. In

E. J. W. Van Someren, Y. D. Van Der Werf, P. R. Roelfsema, H. D. Mansvelder, & F. H. Lopes

Da Silva (Eds.), *Progress in Brain Research* (Vol. 193, pp. 201–218). Elsevier.

https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53839-0.00013-2

Ruby, P., Caclin, A., Boulet, S., Delpuech, C., & Morlet, D. (2008). Odd Sound Processing in the

Sleeping Brain. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *20*(2), Article 2.

https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2008.20023

Ruch, S., & Henke, K. (2020). Learning During Sleep: A Dream Comes True? *Trends in Cognitive*

Sciences, *24*(3), 170–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2019.12.007

Ruch, S., Koenig, T., Mathis, J., Roth, C., & Henke, K. (2014). Word encoding during sleep is suggested

by correlations between word-evoked up-states and post-sleep semantic priming. *Frontiers*

in Psychology, *5*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01319

Ruch, S., Schmidig, F. J., Knüsel, L., & Henke, K. (2022). Closed-loop modulation of local slow

oscillations in human NREM sleep. *NeuroImage*, *264*, 119682.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022.119682

Sabri, M., De Lugt, D. R., & Campbell, K. B. (2000). The mismatch negativity to frequency deviants

during the transition from wakefulness to sleep. *Canadian Journal of Experimental*

Psychology/Revue Canadienne de Psychologie Expérimentale, *54*, 230–242.

https://doi.org/10.1037/h0087343

Sakaguchi, M., & Hayashi, Y. (2012). Catching the engram: Strategies to examine the memory trace.

Molecular Brain, *5*(1), 32. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-6606-5-32

Schabus, M., Dang-Vu, T. T., Heib, D. P. J., Boly, M., Desseilles, M., Vandewalle, G., Schmidt, C.,

Albouy, G., Darsaud, A., Gais, S., Degueldre, C., Balteau, E., Phillips, C., Luxen, A., & Maquet,

P. (2012). The Fate of Incoming Stimuli during NREM Sleep is Determined by Spindles and

the Phase of the Slow Oscillation. *Frontiers in Neurology*, *3*.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2012.00040

Schacter, D. L. (1998). Memory and Awareness. *Science*, *280*(5360), 59–60.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.280.5360.59

Schechtman, E., Lampe, A., Wilson, B. J., Kwon, E., Anderson, M. C., & Paller, K. A. (2021). Sleep

reactivation did not boost suppression-induced forgetting. *Scientific Reports*, *11*(1), Article 1.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-80671-w

Schneider, E., Züst, M. A., Wuethrich, S., Schmidig, F., Klöppel, S., Wiest, R., Ruch, S., & Henke, K.

(2021). Larger capacity for unconscious versus conscious episodic memory. *Current Biology*,

31(16), 3551-3563.e9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2021.06.012

Schreiner, T., & Staudigl, T. (2020). Electrophysiological signatures of memory reactivation in

humans. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *375*(1799),

20190293. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0293

Sheehan, T. C., Sreekumar, V., Inati, S. K., & Zaghloul, K. A. (2018). Signal Complexity of Human

Intracranial EEG Tracks Successful Associative-Memory Formation across Individuals. *Journal*

of Neuroscience, *38*(7), 1744–1755. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2389-17.2017

Sidhu, D. M., & Pexman, P. M. (2017). A Prime Example of the Maluma/Takete Effect? Testing for

Sound Symbolic Priming. *Cognitive Science*, *41*(7), 1958–1987.

https://doi.org/10.1111/cogs.12438

Sirota, A., & Buzsáki, G. (2005). Interaction between neocortical and hippocampal networks via slow

oscillations. *Thalamus & Related Systems*, *3*(4), 245–259.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1472928807000258

Skeide, M. A., & Friederici, A. D. (2016). The ontogeny of the cortical language network. *Nature*

Reviews Neuroscience, *17*(5), Article 5. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2016.23

Squire, L. R., & Dede, A. J. O. (2015). Conscious and Unconscious Memory Systems. *Cold Spring*

Harbor Perspectives in Biology, *7*(3), Article 3. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a021667

Staresina, B. P., Bergmann, T. O., Bonnefond, M., van der Meij, R., Jensen, O., Deuker, L., Elger, C. E.,

Axmacher, N., & Fell, J. (2015). Hierarchical nesting of slow oscillations, spindles and ripples

in the human hippocampus during sleep. *Nature Neuroscience*, *18*(11), Article 11.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4119

Staresina, B. P., & Wimber, M. (2019). A Neural Chronometry of Memory Recall. *Trends in Cognitive*

Sciences, *23*(12), 1071–1085. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2019.09.011

Strauss, M., Sitt, J. D., King, J.-R., Elbaz, M., Azizi, L., Buiatti, M., Naccache, L., van Wassenhove, V., &

Dehaene, S. (2015). Disruption of hierarchical predictive coding during sleep. *Proceedings of*

the National Academy of Sciences, *112*(11), Article 11.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1501026112

Takeuchi, T., Duszkiewicz, A. J., & Morris, R. G. M. (2014). The synaptic plasticity and memory

hypothesis: Encoding, storage and persistence. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*

Society B: Biological Sciences, *369*(1633), 20130288. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0288

Taschereau-Dumouchel, V., Cortese, A., Chiba, T., Knotts, J. D., Kawato, M., & Lau, H. (2018).

Towards an unconscious neural reinforcement intervention for common fears. *Proceedings*

of the National Academy of Sciences, *115*(13), 3470–3475.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1721572115

Tonegawa, S., Morrissey, M. D., & Kitamura, T. (2018). The role of engram cells in the systems

consolidation of memory. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *19*(8), Article 8.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-018-0031-2

Tononi, G., & Cirelli, C. (2006). Sleep function and synaptic homeostasis. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*,

10(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smrv.2005.05.002

Tsien, J. Z., Huerta, P. T., & Tonegawa, S. (1996). The Essential Role of Hippocampal CA1 NMDA

Receptor–Dependent Synaptic Plasticity in Spatial Memory. *Cell*, *87*(7), 1327–1338.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81827-9

Tucker, M. A., & Fishbein, W. (2008). Enhancement of Declarative Memory Performance Following a

Daytime Nap Is Contingent on Strength of Initial Task Acquisition. *Sleep*, *31*(2), 197–203.

https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/31.2.197

Tulving, E. (2002). Episodic memory: From mind to brain. *Annual Review of Psychology,* 1–25.

Vyazovskiy, V. V., & Harris, K. D. (2013). Sleep and the single neuron: The role of global slow

oscillations in individual cell rest. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *14*(6), Article 6.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3494

Wuethrich, S., Hannula, D. E., Mast, F. W., & Henke, K. (2018). Subliminal encoding and flexible

retrieval of objects in scenes. *Hippocampus*, *28*(9), 633–643.

https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.22957

Yoshida, K., & Toyoizumi, T. (2023). Information maximization explains state-dependent synaptic

plasticity and memory reorganization during non-rapid eye movement sleep. *PNAS Nexus*,

2(1), pgac286. https://doi.org/10.1093/pnasnexus/pgac286

Zar, J. (1999). *Biostatistical analysis*.

Zhu, Z., Anderson, M., & Wang, Y. (2021). *Inducing Amnesia for Unwanted Memories through*

Subliminal Reactivation. PsyArXiv. https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/rmvn9

Züst, M. A., Colella, P., Reber, T. P., Vuilleumier, P., Hauf, M., Ruch, S., & Henke, K. (2015).

Hippocampus is place of interaction between unconscious and conscious memories. *PloS*

One, *10*(3), Article 3.

Züst, M. A., Ruch, S., Wiest, R., & Henke, K. (2019). Implicit Vocabulary Learning during Sleep Is

Bound to Slow-Wave Peaks. *Current Biology*, *29*(4), 541-553.e7.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.12.038

METHODS

Contact for Reagent and Resource Sharing

Further information and requests for resources should be directed to the corresponding author

Flavio Schmidig, E-mail: Flavio.schmidig@psy.unibe.ch.

Experimental Model and Subject Details

Participants

We examined 68 participants. However, 34 of the 68 participants could not sleep long enough for the experimenter to play the vocabulary during slow-wave sleep. These 34 participants were therefore excluded from the data analysis. Data from four additional participants were excluded because of technical failures during the closed-loop slow-wave targeting. The final analyses included the data of 30 participants (age: 19-28, $M \pm SD$ = 24.16 ± 2.32; 22 (73.3 %) female), whereof 15 were assigned to the Trough condition and 15 to the Peak condition. The required sample size of N = 30 was determined based on a previous sleep-learning study.

All included participants were right-handed and reported normal hearing abilities and the absence of mental and physical illness. They denied a history of sleep disorders and declared pursuing a regular sleep schedule. The participants' sleep during the night preceding the night at the sleep laboratory was restricted to five hours. Adherence to this sleep restriction was assessed via self-report. Moreover, participants refrained from naps and consuming stimulants (e.g., coffee) the day before the night at the sleep laboratory.

Participants were fully informed of the study protocol and of the fact that the study includes vocabulary learning during sleep. Participants also knew that the retrieval of the sleep-learned

vocabulary would take place during the morning following the stimulation night. However,

participants were naïve regarding the second retrieval test at 36 hours following sleep-learning,

regarding their assignment to the Trough or Peak condition, and regarding the simultaneous,

lateralized presentation of foreign words (right ear) and translation words (left ear). Prior to

experimentation, participants gave their written consent to the study protocol, which had been

approved by the local ethics committee (Kantonale Ethikkommission Bern).

1016

Method Details

Experimental design

The experimental design included one between-subjects factor 'Peak versus Trough': the vocabulary

was either played during peaks (15 participants) or during troughs (15 participants) of slow-waves.

The experimental design also included two within-subject factors, namely the factor 'Encoding-Test

Delay' with two levels (12 hours, 36 hours) and the factor 'Stimulation' with two levels (experimental

condition, control condition). In the experimental condition, we played vocabulary binaurally.

Vocabulary consisted of foreign words that were played to the right ear, and German translation

words that were played to the left ear. In the control condition, we played foreign words binaurally

(one foreign word was simultaneously played to both ears).

1027

Stimuli

A total of 96 foreign words and 36 German translation words were used in this study. Nine of the 36

German translation words were presented in practice trials that participants took before

experimentation to become familiar with the forced-choice semantic categorization task used for

retrieval testing. The foreign words were adopted from Züst et al (2019). They consisted of two-

syllabic pseudowords (no meaning) and were originally created by combining German and Dutch

syllables (Duyck et al., 2004; Züst et al., 2019). The spoken foreign words lasted around 600 ms

(mean = 0.591 s, SEM = 0.006 s). The German translation words were also two-syllabic and exhibited

approximately the same speech duration (mean = 0.541 s, SEM = 0.011 s). Each German translation

word was prototypical for one of three superordinate categories: animals, tools, places. These

categories were chosen because of their neuroanatomically distinct brain activation patterns.

Distinct brain activation patterns are useful for category decoding based on the recorded EEG. The

German translation words exhibited similar lexical frequencies (according to Leipzig Corpora

Collection http://corpora.uni-leipzig.de/de?corpusId=deu_newscrawl_2011) throughout categories.

Because the retrieval test required participants to assign each foreign word to one of three

superordinate categories (animals, tools, places), we made sure that the sound of the spoken foreign

words was not indicative of a certain category; i.e., we avoided sound symbolism to influence

category assignments (Sidhu & Pexman, 2017). To this aim, we asked 80 students to indicate for

each spoken foreign word whether it represents an animal, a tool, or a place. We then selected

those 96 foreign words from a large set that showed the least category bias. Furthermore, we

computed post-hoc analyses on the data acquired in the retrieval tests of the Trough condition to

find out whether any remaining sound symbolism had systematically biased participants' category

assignments. The number of correct assignments at the 36-hour retrieval in the Trough condition

(where sleep-learning was demonstrated) was not significantly different between the three

categories ($F(2,28) = 0.77$, $p = 0.47$). We also computed an ANOVA with the two independent within-

subject variables "Category" (animals, tools, places) and "Correctness of Choice" (correct, incorrect)

and with the dependent variable "Number of Choices" for the 36-hour retrieval in the Trough

condition. This ANOVA yielded neither a significant main effect of Category ($F(2,28) = 0.39$, $p = 0.67$)

nor a significant interaction between Category and Correctness of Choice ($F(2,28) = 0.49$, $p = 0.615$).

Only the main effect Correctness of Choice reached significance ($F(1,14) = 8.40$, $p = 0.012$) reflecting

participants' above chance performance. In sum, sound symbolism exerted no significant influence

on category assignments.

Audio-files of all stimuli were processed manually to have equal loudness. This was done to

eliminate potential loudness artefacts on the EEG-signal. Salient phonetic features (plosive and

sibilant sounds) were attenuated manually. All audio-files were compressed for dynamic range and

normalised for peak volume (as in Züst et al., 2019).

A set of 27 German translation words and 27 foreign words was used for sleep-learning in all

participants. German translation words and foreign words were randomly combined to pairs for

each participant. Hence, any foreign word could be combined with any German translation word.

This procedure reduced the risk that potentially remaining category-biases exerted by foreign words

would systematically influence retrieval accuracy. An additional set of 27 foreign words was used in

the control condition, where a foreign word (without a translation word) was played to both ears.

Hence, words presented in the control condition contained no meaning. The 27 foreign words

presented in the experimental condition and the 27 foreign words presented in the control condition

were later represented at the 12-hour and the 36-hour retrieval for their assignment to categories.

In addition, we presented 21 foreign words that had not been played during sleep at the 12-hour

retrieval. At the 36-hour retrieval, these same 21 foreign words were represented as well as an

additional set of 21 entirely new foreign words. Participants assigned these non-sleep-played foreign

words also to categories. Yet, these assignments were considered neither correct nor incorrect

because no translation words had been associated with these foreign words.

1078

Procedure

Participants arrived at the sleep laboratory at 10 p.m. and were equipped with EEG electrodes. They

filled in several questionnaires, namely the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (Buysse et al., 1989), the

Stanford Sleepiness Scale (Hoddes et al., 1973), and a sleep diary. Next, we determined the

participant's hearing threshold to adjust the sound volume individually for the subsequent

presentation of vocabulary during sleep. An hour after arrival, participants went to bed wearing in-

ear headphones for vocabulary presentation during sleep. When lights went out, we administered a

relaxation exercise, progressive muscle relaxation (Jacobsen, 1929; Kalra et al., 2021) to facilitate

sleep onset.

The experimenter waited for the participant to fall asleep. Once the recorded EEG showed stable

slow-wave sleep, the experimenter started the auditory stimulation. Word presentation was

controlled by a closed-loop algorithm, which detected upcoming slow-wave peaks and troughs (Ruch

et al., 2022). Words were either played during peaks or troughs of slow-waves, depending on the

experimental condition the participant was randomly assigned to. The experimenter monitored the

participant's sleep EEG and paused the auditory stimulation, if the EEG displayed signs of arousal.

Auditory stimulation was resumed once a participant was back in stable slow-wave sleep. Following

the play of all words (27 * 4 word pairs in the experimental condition and 27 * 4 foreign words in the

control condition; total of 216 presentations), participants were woken up and were sent home to

continue their night sleep.

In the next morning, participants visited the sleep laboratory again between 11 a.m. and 2 p.m., i.e.,

12 hours following their recorded slow-wave sleep phase. Participants were again outfitted with EEG

electrodes and took the 12-hour retrieval task, while their EEG was being recorded. Nine practice

trials familiarized participants with the retrieval procedure. Twenty-four hours later, participants

returned again to the laboratory to perform the 36-hour retrieval, which was administered without

EEG registration.

Following the 36-hour retrieval, we applied a formal hearing test to ensure that each participant's

hearing ability was in the normal range regarding volume and frequencies. For this hearing test, we

played short beeps at random intervals targeting the left or right ear. The participants' task was to

indicate, which ear was stimulated. We varied the frequency of the played tones, the tone's volume,

and the type of tone (pink noise and pure tones; frequency: 500 Hz, 1000 Hz or 2000 Hz; 15 to 45

dB). All participants exhibited hearing abilities in the normal range.

1110

Auditory stimulation during sleep

When the experimenter observed stable slow-wave sleep for at least two minutes (4 sleep scoring

time windows) in the EEG, he started auditory stimulation. To habituate participants to the presence

of auditory stimuli, we first played white-noise bursts (instead of words). The volume of these white-

noise burst was at first below the individual hearing threshold and was then raised to the

participant-specific, predetermined volume (~35 dB). The target volume was adjusted to the hearing

threshold for each participant. A sound-proof chamber with very low background noise (~30 dB) and

in-ear headphones allowed to play the sounds at such a low volume. Once the target volume was

reached with the participant remaining sound asleep, the experimenter initiated the presentation of

the words that belonged to the experimental (e) and the control (c) condition. The sequence of the

sleep-played words was systematically alternated between conditions (E-C-E-C-E-C...; **Fig. 1B**). Words

within condition were presented in an order that was randomly generated for each participant. Each

word pair (experimental condition) and foreign word (control condition) was presented four times in

direct succession before the next word pair/foreign word was presented. The experimenter

monitored the participant's sleep and paused auditory stimulation whenever the EEG indicated

arousal. The experimenter resumed the auditory stimulation once the EEG return to stable slow-

wave sleep.

Participants were played a mean of 101.23 (*SD* = 2.40) word pairs (maximum: 4x27 = 108) in the

experimental condition. The number of word pairs played depended on the duration of a

participant's slow-wave sleep phase. We post-hoc excluded word pairs from the analysis, if one or

more of the four presentations of a word pair had occurred outside slow-wave sleep or if a

presentation was accompanied by a muscle artefact within a time window of +/- 3 s of stimulation

onset. Therefore, a final mean of 95.33 (SD = 15.41) word pairs entered data analysis. This final

number was not significantly different between the Peak and the Trough condition (M_{Trough} = 95.2

(SD_{Trough} 17.11), M_{Peak} = 96.8 (SD_{Peak} 13.43); t (26.5) = -0.285, p = 0.778).

1136

Retrieval task

To probe participants' memory of the sleep-played word pairs, we gave them three tasks that they

completed in immediate succession on each presented foreign word. The foreign words were

presented simultaneously visually (on a monitor) and acoustically (as during sleep). The presentation

order of the foreign words was randomly generated for each participant (i.e., it varied between

participants). When participants logged their response, the program progressed to the next task.

Upon the presentation of a foreign word, participants were first prompted to indicate any "feeling of

having heard" (FoHH) the presented foreign word during sleep. They responded with a yes or no

button press. We asked participants to indicate whether they feel that they may have heard the

word during sleep. We wanted participants to set a liberal criterion for reporting a feeling of having

heard a word because we were interested in any semi-conscious or conscious word recognition.

Then, we prompted participants to guess whether the presented foreign word designates an animal,

a tool, or a place. This task was intended to reactivate a sleep-formed foreign word-translation word

association and to trigger the conversion of the reactivated translation word (e.g., dog) into the

superordinate semantic category (e.g., animal). Mean chance performance on this task was 33.33 %

correct responses. Participants chose one of the three categories by pressing a keyboard button. The

assignment of keyboard buttons to categories (animal, tool, place) was randomly shuffled between

the response trials to exclude habituation and hence a category-associated motor response pattern

in the neuronal data. Finally, we prompted participants to indicate on a four-point-scale how

confident they were about their previous category assignment. This metacognitive evaluation was to

reveal any conscious/semi-conscious hunch of a previously sleep-formed associative memory.

We probed the participants' memory twice, first at 12 hours and then again at 36 hours following

the vocabulary presentation during sleep. At the 12-hour retrieval, we presented 75 foreign words,

whereof 27 had been sleep-played along with a translation word in the experimental condition, 27

were sleep-played (without translation words) in the control condition, and 21 were presented for

the first time. All of these foreign words were presented to each participant, although not all foreign

words entered the data analysis for each participant because some foreign words could not be

played during sleep to certain participants. The 36-hour retrieval proceeded exactly like the 12-hour

retrieval. Yet, it included 21 additional foreign words that had not been presented at the 12-hour

retrieval. Therefore, we presented 96 foreign words at the 36-hour retrieval.

1167

Equipment

Sleep laboratory

We used two computers in this experiment. One computer was used for the recording of the EEG

and the other for the control of the auditory stimulation. The EEG data were streamed in real-time

via LAN from the recording computer to the stimulation computer. The stimulation computer hosted

the closed-loop algorithm that controlled auditory stimulation during sleep. The stimulation

computer was also used to administer the retrieval tasks and to conduct the hearing test. We

programmed the retrieval task using the software Presentation (Neurobehavioral Systems

(http://www.neurobs.com), version 23). The closed-loop algorithm was implemented in MATLAB

2017. We used the Psychophysics Toolbox of MATLAB (Brainard, 1997) for the sound presentation.

Commercial in-ear headphones (Pioneer, type SE-CL502_L) delivered the auditory stimulation to the

participant. For each presentation, a TTL trigger was sent from the stimulation computer to the

recording computer to mark stimulation onset times in the EEG for later analyses. Triggers were sent

over the digital input output board "U3" by LabJack U3 (https://labjack.com/products/u3).

Polysomnography and sleep scoring

We recorded 64-channel EEG with a customised 10-20 montage using BrainCap MR BP-03010MR

with ``Fast'n Easy'' electrodes (http://www.easycap.de) and two BrainAmp DC, MR plus 32 channel

amplifiers by Brain Products (http://www.brainproducts.com). We recorded the EEG with

BrainVision Recorder (http://www.brainproducts.com). Ground was set at CPZ electrode, reference

at Fz electrode. Two additional electrodes were placed laterally beneath the eyes to record eye

movements (EOG). One electrode at the chin recorded the muscle tone (EMG). The EEG sampling

rate was 500 Hz. Impedances were kept below 20 kΩ.

Sleep scoring was performed according to the guidelines of the American Academy of Sleep

Medicine (AASM; Iber et al., 2007). For the online detection of the onset of slow-wave sleep and for

the surveillance of slow-wave sleep, the real-time EEG was displayed according to the AASM

guidelines using the OpenViBE environment (Renard et al., 2010). Offline sleep scoring was

performed by two trained raters, who were blinded to the experimental conditions, with the

software Polyman (http://www.edfplus.info/). The interrater reliability reached a Cohen's Kappa of

0.83, which is substantial (McHugh, 2012). In cases, where the two raters disagreed, we used the

more conservative rating, i.e., the sleep score that indicated the shallower sleep stage. The

processing of the EEG data was performed with the MATLAB toolboxes EEGLAB

(http://sccn.ucsd.edu/eeglab/)version, version 14.1.2b) and fieldtrip

(https://www.fieldtriptoolbox.org/, version 20190819). If the offline scoring revealed that a word

pair or word was played outside slow-wave sleep, all repetitions of this stimulus were excluded from

data analysis for this subject.

The 30 participants slept for a mean of 59.3 minutes (*SEM* 24.11 min) before the experimenter woke

them. They spent a mean of 32.3 minutes in slow-wave sleep (*SEM* 12.70 min). Total sleep time did

not differ between the Peak-targeted and the Trough-targeted participant sample (Peak: M_{Peak} =

67.4 min, *SEM* = 27.2 min; Trough: M_{Trough} = 51.1 min, *SEM* = 18.0 min, *t* = -1.929, *p* > 0.065). The

duration of slow-wave sleep was significantly shorter for the Trough-targeted participant sample

than for the Peak-targeted participant sample (Trough: M_{SWS} = 26.3 min, SEM_{SWS} = 15.7, Peak: M_{SWS} =

38.2 min, SEM_{SWS} = 14.0; *t*= -2.9, *p* = 0.01). Furthermore, the inter-trial stimulus interval (ISI)

happened to be shorter in the Trough than the Peak condition (Peak: M_{ISI} = 6.3 s, SD_{ISI} = 2.6 s;

Trough: M_{ISI} = 3.3 s, SD_{ISI} = 1.1 s; p<0.003). These differences are due to the fact that the closed-loop

algorithm targeted troughs better than peaks. Peak-to-trough transitions are more prominent EEG

features than trough-to-peak transitions because peak-to-trough transitions have higher amplitudes

and steeper slopes. The closed-loop algorithm was more likely to detect these peak-to-trough

transitions and therefore targeted more troughs than peaks within the same amount of time.

Consequently, the ISI was shorter in the Trough than the Peak condition. For this reason, we were

able to present the vocabulary within a narrower SWS time-span and could wake and release the

participants earlier in the Trough than the Peak condition. Importantly, neither the length of the ISI

nor the duration spent in slow-wave sleep (both z-transformed; N = 30) correlated with retrieval

accuracy at 36 hours (ISI: *r* = -0.017, *p* = 0.93; slow-wave sleep duration: *r* = -0.11, *p* = 0.56).

Therefore, the length of the ISI and the duration spent in slow-wave sleep do probably not account

for the difference in retrieval performance between the Trough and the Peak condition. Of note, the

number of words played during slow-wave sleep did not differ between the Peak and the Trough

condition (Peak: M_{Peak} = 24.2, *SD* = 3.6; Trough: M_{Trough} = 24.1, *SD* = 4.3; *p* > 0.95).

1225

Closed-loop targeting of slow-wave peaks and troughs

Peaks and troughs of slow-waves were targeted based on the correlation of the online registered

scalp EEG map with a template of a prototypical slow-wave (Ruch et al., 2022). We targeted the

onset of the spoken words to a time point of 100 ms before the peak/trough maxima/minima in

order to fit each word utterance into a half-wave of a slow-wave. The mean word onset was 113 ms

before peak maxima and 96 ms before trough minima (**Fig. 7**). Thus, the onset of spoken words

preceded a trough on average by 64.05 degrees (95% CI = [53.15 74.95]) and a peak by 56.8 degrees

(95% CI= [54.08 59.52], **Fig. 7**). The targeting accuracy of the used closed-loop algorithm was

comparable to other closed-loop algorithms in the field (Cox, Korjoukov, et al., 2014; Ngo et al.,

2013). The phase distribution in both the Peak and the Trough condition was significantly non-

uniform (p_{Peak} < 0.001, p_{Trough} < 0.001; Hodges-Ajne omnibus-tests (Zar, 1999) as implemented in the

CircStat toolbox (Berens, 2009)). This indicates that our closed-loop algorithm targeted peaks and

troughs reliably. This stimulation accuracy allows attributing differences in sleep-learning/wake-

retrieval between the Peak and the Trough condition to these same brain states rather than to

technical fuzziness.

1241

Figure 7. Accuracy of the targeting of slow-wave phase. Data showing the precision of phase targeting for
slow-wave Troughs (**A**, blue) and Peaks (**B**, yellow). On the left side in A and B, we present rose plots that
indicate the phase of slow-wave filtered voltage (08.-1.2 Hz). The arrows demarcate the average phase of

acoustic stimulation; 360° represents a peak and 180° represents for a trough. On the right side in A and B, we
present the histogram illustrating the time delay between a real peak/trough and the acoustic stimulation. The
highlighted line indicates the average of all trials. On the top right we explain the translation of slow-wave
phases into angles. We targeted the acoustic stimulation ahead of the local maxima (peak or trough) to fit the
entire word utterance into a peak/trough. The onset of word presentation was on average 82 ms or 66° before
the trough maximum and 115 ms or 54° before the peak maximum.

1251

Quantification and Statistical Analysis

Data Analysis

Behavioural data were analysed in R (https://www.r-project.org/, version 2022.07.0) using the

packages dplyr, tidyr, ggplot2, ez, wesanderson, lme4, reshape2, readxl, rstatix, and RColorBrewer.

For the analysis of the EEG data, we used the MATLAB toolboxes EEGLAB

(http://sccn.ucsd.edu/eeglab/)version, version 14.1.2b) and fieldtrip

(https://www.fieldtriptoolbox.org/, version 20190819) as well as custom made scripts. The error

probability of 5% was chosen for all statistical tests. Where appropriate, we corrected the p-values

for false discovery rate in multiple hypothesis testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg method.

1261

Behavioural Data Analysis

The outcome measure for sleep-learning was wake-retrieval accuracy at 12 hours and at 36 hours.

Participants assigned the previously sleep-played and new foreign words to one of three categories

(animal, tool, place). If the assigned category corresponded to the superordinate category of the

translation word that had been played during sleep along with the foreign word, then the

participant's response was classified as correct. Participants' assignments of new foreign words to

the three categories were neither correct nor incorrect because new foreign words were not

associated with a certain translation word. The new foreign words were included at the 12-hour and

the 36-hour retrieval as a baseline for the event-related EEG potential analyses (correct versus

incorrect versus baseline).

Categorization accuracy for previously sleep-played foreign words was expressed as percentage of

correct responses. If accuracy was significantly above the mean chance level of 33.33%, we inferred

successful sleep-learning. Accuracy values of participants did not significantly deviate from a normal

distribution at the 12-hour and at the 36-hour retrieval ($p_{Shapiro}$ > 0.148), variances did not

significantly violate the assumption of homogeneity (p_{Levene} > 0.34), and all values were within the

range of +/- 2 standard deviations from the mean. We computed a 2x2 ANOVA with the between-

subjects factor Peak versus Trough and the within-subjects factor Encoding-Test Delay (12 hours

versus 36 hours). The dependent variable was retrieval accuracy expressed as the difference

between the percentage of correctly retrieved associations minus the percentage of mean chance

performance (33.33 %). A second ANOVA with the within-subjects factor Encoding-Test Delay (12

hours versus 36 hours) was computed for the Trough condition alone to determine whether the

intercept is significant (indicating that the average retrieval accuracy exceeded chance level in the

two encoding-test delays) and to determine whether retrieval performance was different at 12

hours versus 36 hours. Furthermore, we planned a comparison of retrieval performance against

chance level at the 12-hour and at the 36-hour retrieval.

1287

EEG Data Analysis

EEG pre-processing

The raw EEG-data were re-referenced to the common average, band-pass filtered at 0.25 to 35 Hz,

and down-sampled to 100 Hz. The continuous EEG data was subjected to manual artefact rejection.

First, noisy channels and channels with strong sweat artefacts were visually identified and

subsequently interpolated. Next, we visually identified and excluded data segments that contained

muscle artefacts, movement artefacts, or arousals. Artefact rejection was performed by Flavio

Schmidig, who was blinded to the participants' condition (Peak/Trough) and to the type and timing

of sound presentation.

Event-related EEG analyses

We computed sleep-recorded event-related potentials (ERPs) and event-related spectral

perturbations (ERSPs) for each participant. For ERPs, we first epoched the raw EEG data into 7 s trials

(from 3 s before to 4 s after word onset) and then averaged over all trials per condition and per

participant. Moreover, we compared ERSPs between experimental conditions. To this aim, we

computed the spectral power for the fast spindles (12 - 16 Hz) and the theta band (4 - 8 Hz). We

estimated the power over the interval of 2 s before to 3 s after word onset using discrete wavelet

transformation (20 ms steps, i.e., 50 Hz sampling rate). We used linearly increasing cycle numbers

with 4.25 cycles at the lowest and 11.75 cycles at the highest frequency for the theta frequency

band and with 4.25 cycles at the lowest and 11.75 cycles at the highest frequency for the spindle

frequency band. ERSPs were baseline-corrected at the single trial level by normalising the time-

series at each frequency using the frequency-specific mean and standard deviation of the power,

which was computed across the entire trial (Grandchamp & Delorme, 2011). Baseline-corrected

ERSPs were then averaged over all trials of a condition. This procedure was performed for each

electrode, each condition, and each participant. Then, we computed the grand averages for the

conditions (Peak condition, Trough condition, experimental condition, control condition, correct

assignments in the experimental condition, incorrect assignments in the experimental condition). To

test for statistical differences, we performed mass univariate analyses with cluster-based

permutation statistics (1000 permutations) to correct for multiple comparisons (Maris & Oostenveld,

2007). Cluster-level Monte Carlo values were considered significant if error probability was lower

than 5%.

1318

Extraction of slow-wave characteristics

Our aim was to find out which slow-wave characteristics promoted sleep-learning. Therefore, we

computed the **global field power** of the targeted slow-wave (GFP) at the time of word onset in each

trial. We averaged over the four presentations of a word pair and over each participant.

Furthermore, we computed the Peak/Trough **prototypicality**, which reflected the similarity (Fisher's

z-transformed correlation values) between the electrical field (scalp maps) of the online-recorded

EEG at word onset and the electrical field of the targeted slow-wave phase (Peak/Trough). Next, we

extracted the **inter-trial-phase coherence** at word onset to estimate the consistency of the targeted

slow-wave phase across the four presentations of each word pair (measured over frontal

electrodes). Finally, we computed the **time delay** between word onset and the time-point of the

maximal amplitude of the targeted slow-wave peak/trough. We compared these parameters

between correctly versus incorrectly assigned foreign words at the 12-hour and the 36-hour

retrieval. Where necessary, the data were z-transformed using Fisher's transformation.

Offline detection of slow-wave peaks and troughs

In order to identify the delay between the time of word onset and the time of the maximal

amplitude of the targeted slow-wave peak/trough, we post-hoc identified discrete slow-wave events

in the EEG. Slow-waves were automatically detected by a MATLAB-based algorithm modelled after

Mölle et al. , 2002). Slow-wave peaks and troughs produce distinct brain wide voltage distributions

(Ruch et al., 2014), which we used to target online slow-wave phases. For the off-line identification

of slow-wave peaks and troughs, we used frontal derivatives. We bandpass-filtered the EEG from 0.5

to 1.5 Hz and averaged the EEG over the frontal electrodes ('F1', 'F2', 'F3', 'F4', 'Fz'). Next, we

identified every zero-crossing in the bandpass filtered frontal signal. The local minima and maxima

between zero-crossings were labelled as potential peaks and troughs. In this process, time and

amplitude constraints were added. All slow-waves with durations shorter than 0.8 s or longer than 2

s were excluded. The resulting slow-waves lay between 0.5 and 1.25 Hz. A further criterion was slow-

wave amplitude. The detected slow-wave half-waves needed to exhibit an amplitude (trough-to-

peak) that exceeded two thirds of the slow-wave amplitudes per participant.

1346

Estimation of neural complexity: Higuchi Fractal Dimension

We quantified the degree of information processing in the brain during and following word

presentation during sleep. To this aim, we computed Higuchi Fractal Dimension (HFD) as an EEG-

based measure of "complexity" of ongoing neural activity. HFD provides a non-linear measure of the

complexity, variability, and randomness of EEG time-series (Lau et al., 2021; Parbat & Chakraborty,

2021). Importantly, a high neural complexity has been associated with successful memory formation

during wakefulness (Sheehan et al., 2018). We computed HFD separately for each trial and electrode

for the EEG time-series of our windows of interest (see below) using the MATLAB code provided by

Monge-Alvarez (2022). K_{max} for extracting HFD was set to 10 (Monge-Alvarez, 2022). Single-trial HFD

values were averaged within participants separately for each condition (experimental condition and

control condition), electrode, and time window of interest. Average HFD values were then used for

group-level analyses.

We determined the degree of stimulus-induced information processing following word offset. To

this aim, we computed HFD for the time window from 0.5 s to 2 s following word onset. We used the

HFD values, which were computed for the time window from -2 to -0.5 s before word onset, as a

baseline in order to control for inter-individual differences in neural complexity. To test for statistical

differences in HFD, we performed mass univariate analyses with cluster-based permutation statistics

(1000 permutations) to correct for multiple comparisons (Maris & Oostenveld, 2007).

DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

EEG and behavioural data can be requested by contacting the corresponding author Flavio Schmidig.