1

Assessment of genomic and metabolic characteristics of cholesterol-reducing and GABA-producer *Limosilactobacillus fermentum* AGA52 isolated from lactic acid fermented Shalgam based on *"in silico"* and *"in vitro"* approaches

Ahmet Yetiman[1]*, Mehmet Horzum[2], Mikail Akbulut[3]

[1]Erciyes University, Faculty of Engineering, Food Engineering Department, 38030, Kayseri, Turkey

[2]Erciyes University, Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences, Food Engineering Department, 38030, Kayseri, Turkey

[3]Erciyes University, Faculty of Science, Department of Biology, 38030, Kayseri, Turkey

*Corresponding author: ahmetyetiman@erciyes.edu.tr

Phone: +90 352 207 6666/ Ext: 32732

Abstract

This study aimed to characterize the genomic and metabolic properties of a novel *Lb. fermentum* strain AGA52 which was isolated from a lactic acid fermented beverage called "Shalgam". The genome size of AGA52 was 2,001,084 bp, which is predicted to carry 2,024 genes, including 50 tRNAs, 3 rRNAs, 3ncRNAs, 15 CRISPR repeats, 14 CRISPR spacers, and 1 CRISPR array. The genome has a GC content of 51.82% including 95 predicted pseudogenes, 56 complete or partial transposases and 2 intact prophages. The similarity of the clusters of orthologous groups (COG) was analysed by comparison with the other *Lb. fermentum* strains. The detected resistome on the genome of AGA52 was found to be intrinsic originated. Based on genome analyses many CAZYmes were identified that are responsible for carbohydrate degradation and adaptation to gastrointestinal conditions. Besides, it has been determined that AGA52 has an obligate heterofermentative carbohydrate metabolism due to the absence of the 1-phosphofructokinase (*pfK*) enzyme. Additionally, it is determined that the strain has a higher antioxidant capacity and is adaptable to gastrointestinal simulated environments. It was also observed that the AGA52 has antimicrobial activity against *Y. Enterocolitica* ATCC9610, *B. cereus* ATCC33019, *S. enterica* sv. Typhimurium, *E.coli* O157:h7 ATCC43897, *L.monocytogenes* ATCC7644, *K.pneumoniae* ATCC13883, and *P. vulgaris* ATCC8427. Cholesterol assimilation (33.9±0.005%) and GABA production capacities were also confirmed by "*in silico*" and "*in vitro*". Overall, investigation of genomic and metabolic features of the AGA52 revealed that is a potential psychobiotic and probiotic dietary supplement candidate and can bring functional benefits to the host.

Keywords: *Limosilactobacillus fermentum*, comparative-genomics, probiotic, cholesterol, GABA, psychobiotic

Introduction

The lactobacilli are a bacterial genus that is a member of Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) which can be found in fermented foods and the gastrointestinal microbiome of humans and animals (Seddik et al., 2017). They are widely used in the food biotech industry because of their well-documented beneficial and functional effects on health (Buron-Moles et al., 2019). The members of the lactobacilli can produce different kinds of metabolic products such as bacteriocins and various organic acids, which generate an unsuitable environment for the growth of pathogenic and saprophytic microorganisms (Tamang et al., 2016; Bangar et al., 2022). Furthermore, the metabolic products or bacterial cells by themselves can show antiviral activity (Jiménez et al., 2010; Erol et al., 2021). Many species from the *Lactobacillus*, have been recognized as GRAS (Generally Recognized As Safe) and/or QPS (Presumably Qualified as Safe), due to their potential probiotic properties. Probiotics are defined as nonpathogenic living microorganisms that confer health benefits to the host when administered in adequate amounts by the International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics (ISAPP) (Sanders et al., 2010).

Lately, the genus *Lactobacillus* has been reclassified into 25 different genera, 23 of which are novel. In accord with this new taxonomic order, *Lactobacillus fermentum* has been renamed *Limosilactobacillus fermentum* and qualified as gram-positive, coccoid, or rod-shaped, aerotolerant or anaerobic, and heterofermentative. *L. fermentum* has been generally isolated from fermented plant materials including cereals, dairy products, feces, manure, sewage, oral cavity, human vagina, and breast milk (Zheng et al., 2020; D'ambrosio et al., 2022). Moreover, it has been reported that *L fermentum* shows health-promoting effects on the host when administered time-dependently. These effects are an increment of the amount of short-chain fatty acid in the colon, advancement of the gut microbiome composition, enhancement of the antioxidant activities in the colon, liver, kidney, and heart tissues of the host, and decrement of dyslipidemia and blood pressure. However, the type and

intensity of the aforementioned effects vary from strain to strain (Bhathena et al., 2013; Yamamoto

et al., 2019; de Luna Freire et al., 2021; de Araújo Henriques Ferreira et al., 2022).

Each strain of these microorganisms that have been recognized as probiotics has unique and unusual

properties which vindicates the studies for new probiotics. The biological activities of probiotics are

strain-specific and these properties cannot be hypothesized to other strains of the same species.

Therefore, it is important to reveal these properties through physiological and metabolic tests, and

probiogenomic approaches when an attention-grabbing new strain is recovered from any niche

(Feng et al., 2021; Paulino do Nascimento et al., 2022; Yetiman et al., 2022).

The Shalgam is a traditional lactic acid fermented Turkish beverage that is characterized by reddish

color, sour-soft taste, and cloudy appearance (Coskun, 2017). It has been reported that Shalgam

exhibits presumptive health benefits against a variety of health risks and diseases due to the high

content of lactic acid bacteria present in its microbiome (Tanguler and Erten, 2012b; Ekinci et al.,

2016; Yetiman et al., 2022). In its microbial distribution, *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* and

Lactocaseibacillus paracasei are reported as prevalent strains by several authors (Tanguler and

Erten, 2012b; Agirman et al., 2021). Except those, *Lb. casei*, *Lb. brevis*, *Lb. parabrevis*, *Lb.*

pentosus, *Lb. buchneri*, *Lb. delbrueckii* subsp. *delbrueckii*, *Lb. fermentum*, *Lb. reuteri*,

Lb.helveticus, Lb. gasseri, *Lb. acidophilus*, *Pediococcus* spp., *Leu. mesenteroides* subsp.

mesenteroides/dextranicum, *Leu. mesenteroides* subsp. *cremoris*, *Leu. mesenteroides* subsp.

mesenteroides species were also reported to be found in the shalgam microbiome (Tanguler and

Erten, 2012a; Ekinci et al., 2016).

This study has aimed to evaluate the genomic, physiological, and metabolic characteristics of

Limosilactobacillus fermentum strain AGA52 by using "*in vitro*" and "*in silico*" approaches. The

strain AGA52 has been isolated from shalgam and as far as we know, there have been no studies

regarding the genomic and phenotypic characterization of a *Limosilactobacillus fermentum* strain

sourced from traditional lactic acid fermented beverage called "shalgam", although several kinds of

research have been done for bringing light to its microbiota, chemical, and volatile composition

relationship. For this purpose, the strain's physiological properties were examined under the

screening and selection criteria of probiotics. Further, the whole genome of the AGA52 was

sequenced and analyzed with bioinformatic tools. This is the first report clarifying genomic and

metabolic characteristics of cholesterol-reducing and GABA-producer *Limosilactobacillus*

fermentum strain AGA52 isolated from lactic acid fermented Shalgam beverage.

Materials and Methods

Growth Conditions of Bacterial Strain

Limosilactobacillus fermentum strain AGA52 was isolated from a Turkish fermented shalgam juice

(pH: 3.29) that was purchased from a local producer (Ali Göde: Hot) in Adana, Turkey. A 10 mL of

sample was diluted with 90mL of sterile physiological saline solution (0.85%) and homogenized for

1 minute with a highspeed vortex (MS-3 Basic, IKA-Werke GmbH, Staufen, Germany). Later,

serial decimal dilutions were get set from the suspension and 100µL of each diluent was spread on

MRS agar (Merck GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany). The plates were incubated at 30□ for five days in

an anaerobic atmosphere. The AGA52 isolate has been chosen from the dilution of 10^{-5} and

subjected to colony purification twice and, catalase test and gram staining were applied to the pure

isolate of the AGA 52. The cryo stocks of AGA52 were prepared in MRS broth (Merck) with 25%

glycerol and were stored at -80□.

DNA extraction, identification, whole-genome sequencing, and assembly

First, *Lb. fermentum* AGA52 cryo culture was subcultured twice in MRS broth (Merck) followed by

incubation anaerobically at 37 □ for 24h. A 1 mL fresh culture was pipetted onto a sterile

microcentrifuge tube (2mL) and centrifuged at 6000 x g for 10 min at 4 □. Later, Total DNA was

harvested from the cell pellet using the PureLink Genomic DNA Mini Kit (Invitrogen, Thermo-

Fisher Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, USA) in accord with the manufacturer's instructions for gram-

positive bacteria. The quality and concentration of genomic DNA were controlled by a Qubit 3.0

fluorometer (Invitrogen, Thermo-Fisher Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and agarose gel (1.5 %).

The test strain was determined by full-length nucleotide sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene. PCR

amplification and further steps were carried out as per Yetiman et al. (2022). Following the

verification of the strain AGA52 using 16S rDNA, the whole genome sequencing libraries were

constructed using Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) and

sequencing was carried out by Illumina Novaseq platform as paired-end (PE) 2x150 bases read. The

adapter sequences were trimmed with the JGI-RQC Filter pipeline (BBTools v38.22) by preserving

the reads belonging to the strain AGA52 and trimmed raw data were assembled with SPAdes v.

3.15.3 (Prjibelski et al., 2020).

Bioinformatic analyses

Genome annotation was performed by using NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline

(PGAP) (Tatusova et al., 2016). Next, RASTtk and BV-BRC annotations have been conducted for

comparison with PGAP (Brettin et al., 2015; Wattam et al., 2017). A BLAST Ring Image of the

genome of the AGA52 strain and other compared *Lb. fermentum* strains were generated using BRIG

v0.95 (Alikhan et al., 2011). The calculation of orthologous average nucleotide identity values

(OrthoANI) of the AGA52 and others compared *Lb. fermentum, Lb. gastricus,* and *Lb. reuterii*

strains were executed by OrthoANI tool v0.93.1 (Lee et al., 2016). Hierarchical clustering analysis

of the strain AGA52 and other 45 *Lb. fermentum* genomes were done via the genome clustering

service of IMG/M (Chen et al., 2021). Prediction of metabolic pathways of *Lb. fermentum* AGA52

was carried out using BlastKOALA for scanning against the KEGG database (Kanehisa et al.,

2016). Prediction of CAZymes (Carbohydrate active enzymes) was implemented by use of

dbCAN2 meta server (Zhang et al., 2018). A cluster of Orthologous Groups (COG) prediction

based on protein FASTA sequences was conducted via webMGA with default options (Wu et al.,

2011). The upSet plot has been visualized by an intervene web-basedsed intersection tool for the

determination of the shared COG between the AGA52 and other reference genomes (Khan and

Mathelier, 2017).

The prophage elements on the genome of AGA52 were identified with the PHASTER-Phage

Search Tool Enhanced Release (Arndt et al., 2016). All protein-coding sequences obtained from

PHASTER have been screened against the non-redundant protein (NR) database by performing

protein-BLAST to identify the horizontally transferred genes. If a gene's homologous protein was

got to match a microorganism other than *Lb. fermentum* $\geq 80\%$, that gene was noted as horizontally

transferred (Yetiman et al., 2022). A resistome screening was conducted by scanning the complete

genome sequences of the AGA52 strain versus the ResFinder 4.1, CARD, BV-BRC and KEGG

databases, respectively (Kanehisa et al., 2016; Wattam et al., 2017; Alcock et al., 2020; Bortolaia et

al., 2020). As with the phage elements, horizontal gene transfer screening was performed within the

detected resistome. Prediction of CRISPR-Cas regions was implemented via the CRISPR finder

online tool (http://crispr.i2bc.paris-saclay.fr/Server/). The complete genome sequence of *Lb.*

fermentum AGA52 was submitted to NCBI under accession number (CP091132.1).

Carbohydrate fermentation

According to the manufacturer's protocols, the carbohydrate fermentation patterns of the AGA52

strain were determined by API 50 CHL kit (BioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France).

Determination of antibiotic susceptibility

Antibiogram assays were performed to find out the resistance or sensitivity of the strain AGA52 in return for commonly used antibiotics. Ready to use commercial antibiotic disks [azithromycin, methicillin, vancomycin, amikacin, kanamycin, tetracycline, penicillin G (Bioanalyse, Yenimahalle, Ankara, Turkey); amoxicillin, amoxicillin, ampicillin, erythromycin, oxacillin, carbenicillin, streptomycin, rifampicin (Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, UK)] were used for antibiotic susceptibility testing of *Lb. fermentum* AGA52. The application of disk diffusion assay was carried out considering the Kirby-Bauer method (Khan et al., 2019) Interpretation of inhibition zone (mm) results was carried out as to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute's performance standards for antimicrobial testing (CLSI, 2012).

Determination of probiotic properties

To determine probiotic properties of the AGA52: β-haemolysis, *in vitro* simulation of gastric digestion, cell surface hydrophobicity, cellular auto-aggregation tests, and antibacterial activity assay against several pathogens were performed, respectively. Evaluation of the β-hemolytic activity of the AGA52 was fulfilled using 5% sheep blood containing Columbia agar plate. The isolate was streaked on the Columbia agar followed by incubation at 37□ for 48 h under anaerobic conditions (Angmo et al., 2016) *In vitro* simulation of the behaviour of the AGA52 in gastric digestion, the environment was carried out as regards Zhang et al. (2022) with only modification of used bile salt concentration as 1% for preparation of artificial gastroenteric juice (Yetiman et al., 2022). Cell surface hydrophobicity and auto-aggregation assays were applied as for that Krausova et al. (2019). The bactericidal activity of the strain AGA52 has been tested by using the agar well diffusion method (Mishra and Prasad, 2005). The supernatant of 48h grown bacterial cells was assayed against *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 (ATCC 43895), *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 25923),

196 *Bacillus cereus* (ATCC 33019), *Salmonella enterica* sv. *Typhimurium* (ATCC 14028), *Proteus*

197 *vulgaris* (ATCC 8427), *Listeria monocytogenes* (ATCC 7644), *Yersinia enterocolitica* (ATCC

198 9610) and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (ATCC 13883).

Antioxidant Activity Assays

The antioxidant activity of the AGA52 was determined by using DDPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-

picrylhydrazyl) and ABTS (2,2 azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid)) methods. For this

purpose, cell-free supernatant (CFS) was collected from MRS broth via centrifugation at 3500rpm

for 15 min. DPPH scavenging activity was assessed according to Ozturk et al. (2019) with some

modifications. The reaction mixture was prepared by adding 2 mL freshly prepared 0.02mM DPPH

onto 1 mL four times diluted CFS. The mixture was incubated in the dark for 30 min at 25°C. Later,

the mixture was centrifuged at 5000 rpm before absorbance measurement at 520 nm with five

replicates. The blank control includes dH_2O and DPPH solution. The DPPH scavenging activity has

been computed as scavenging activity % = $(1 - A_{test}/A_{blank}) \times 100$.

ABTS assay was performed as for that Pieniz et al. (2014) with some modifications. ABTS was

dissolved in water (7 mM). Later, ABTS stock solution was mixed with 2.45 mM potassium

persulfate (final concentration) for the formation of radical cation ($ABTS^+$) and the mixture was

incubated in dark for 16h at 25°C before usage. The stock solution can be used for only 3 days.

Before application, $ABTS^+$ solution was diluted with phosphate buffer (pH: 7.2) to get an

absorbance of 0.700±0.03 at 734 nm. Likewise, The CFS was also diluted four times with

phosphate buffer (pH: 7.2). Next, 40 mL of CFS was added to 4mL of $ABTS^+$ solution, the mixture

has stayed in dark for 5 min and the absorbance was measured with five replicates. Phosphate

buffer (pH: 7.2) was used for blank and control. The percentage of inhibition was predicted

according to the ascorbic acid standard curve (0-9 µg/mL).

Cholesterol assimilation assay

The cholesterol amount spent by the AGA52 in MRS broth was analysed by O-phthaldehyde (OPA) method explained by Rudel and Morris (1973) with some modifications. The AGA52 was grown in MRS broth (0.25% dextrose + 0.3% ox bile containing) supplemented with 100ppm cholesterol (5-cholesten-3β-ol (Sigma, Merck GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany), dissolved in 2-propanol) 37 □ for 24 and 48h, respectively. After incubation, the cultures were centrifuged (3500rpm, 15min, 25□) and CFS were used for determination of residual cholesterol. Next, 0.5mL of CFS was mixed with 2mL of KOH (50% wt/vol) and 3mL of absolute ethanol, vortexed for 1 min and later heated at 60°C for 15 min. After chilling, 3mL dH$_2$O and 5mL of hexane were added to the mixture and it vortexed for 1 min. Afterwards, 2.5 mL of hexane phase was transferred to another glass tube and then was left to evaporation at 80°C. The residue was directly dissolved in 4 mL of OPA (0.5 mg/mL in glacial acetic acid) and incubated at 25□ for 10 min. Later, 2 mL of H$_2$SO$_4$ (98%) was added gently and vortexed for 1min and the mixtures incubated again at 25□ for 10min, and absorbance was measured at 550nm (Shimadzu UV-1800 UV/VIS spectrophotometer, Tokyo, Japan). The cholesterol assimilation was calculated according to the difference between the control (uninoculated MRS broth) and test samples.

Confirmation of GABA production by [1]H-nuclear magnetic resonance

The monosodium-glutamate (MSG) standard, γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) standard and deuterium oxide were purchased from Merck GmbH (Darmstadt, Germany). The two-times subcultured AGA52 culture was inoculated in 1% MSG containing MRS broth (0.25% dextrose) and incubated at 37 □ for 48h. Next, the culture media was centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 15 min and cells were discarded. The CFS was lyophilised by using a freeze-dryer (Christ, Alpha 2–4 LSCplus, Germany). Next, aprroximately 100mg of CFS, MSG, and GABA were transferred into a cylindiric

244 glass NMR tube and dissolved with deuterium oxide, respectively. Each sample was subjected to

245 ^{1}H-nuclear magnetic resonance by using an NMR spectrometer (Bruker, Model 400, MA, USA) at

246 400mhz for 5 min. Afterwards, NMR spectra were analysed by comparing standards via data

247 analysis software (Bruker, TopSpin, MA, USA) of the device.

Results and Discussion

Functional genomic characterization

The genome of the *L. fermentum* strain AGA52 comprised a circular chromosome of 2,001,184 bp

with a 51.82% GC ratio, and it contains 2.024 genes, 1.873 protein-coding sequences, 50 tRNA, 3

rRNA, 3 non-coding RNA, 1 CRISPR array region and 95 Pseudogenes. The BLAST atlas of the

genome of AGA52 and other compared well-known *L. fermentum* genomes were displayed in Fig 1,

and differences between each other can be seen clearly due to the gaps in the genomes. Among

these gaps, the detection of genomic islands is possible due to the corresponding decrease in GC

content and the absence of these islands in other strains. Generally, integrases, insertion sequences,

and transposases are positioned in and/or around these islands which is an indicator of potentially

horizontally transferred genes (Juhas et al., 2009; Brandt et al., 2020).

The orthoANI comparison results amongst the AGA52 and the aforecited genomes were presented

in Fig 2. Based on the OrthoANI values, the genome of the *L. fermentum* AGA52 bears a

resemblance to the genomes of SK152 (99.18%), ATCC 14931 (99.15%), DSM20052 (99.11%),

YLF016 (99.11%), IFO 3956 (99.04%), CECT 5716 (98.92%), and B44(98.92%), respectively. It

has been informed that the recognition of the genomes of the two species as the same depends on

the ANI value above 96% (Lee et al., 2016). Besides, It has been known that SK152, ATCC14931

and DSM 20052 originated from fermented plant materials (Yoo et al., 2017; Brandt et al., 2020).

On the other side, YLF016 (Yak's GIT), CECT5716 (human breast milk), and IFO3956 (cheese)

are derived from non-plant sources (El-Ghaish et al., 2010; Jiménez et al., 2010; Zhang et al.,

2022). The genetic distance between the genome of AGA52 and the mentioned genomes can be

explained by differences or similarities in the strain's isolation niche, evolutionary histories, and the

conditions exposed (Duar et al., 2017; Yetiman et al., 2022). In addition, assembly details and

annotated genome properties of *L. fermentum* AGA52 and higher orthoANI similarity shown

genomes were summarized in Table 1.

Moreover, the protein-coding sequences of the genome of the AGA52 have been screened for

determination of the clusters of orthologous groups (COG) and categorized into 23 different

function classes in Fig 3. A detailed demonstration of the number of shared orthologous proteins by

the UpSet plot can be seen in Fig 4. According to the hierarchical clustering results (Fig 5.) which

are based on clusters of orthologous groups (COG) profiles of 46 different *L. fermentum* strains, the

AGA52 shared the highest similarity with KMB612 (0.95), KMB613 (0.95), AF15-40LB (0.95),

AF16-22LB (0.95), 279 (0.94), 779_LFER (0.94), and VRI-003 (0.94). The isolates of KMB 612

and KMB 613 were isolated from the Bryndza cheese (Fig S1). The characteristic of cheese is that it

is produced from sheep's milk. Based on past studies on *L. fermentum*, It is possible to make certain

assumptions to explain this genetic relationship between AGA52, KMB 612, and KMB 613. This

strain may have been transferred to sheep's milk through the consumption of plant-based fermented

feed (e.g., silage) or may itself be an autochthonous member of the existing sheep microbiome

(Duar et al., 2017; Brandt et al., 2020). In the IMG/M database, AF15-40LB, AF16-22LB and 279

genomes were reported as faecal originating, while 779_LFER has been expressed to originate from

the respiratory system. It was also described in the IMG/M that VRI-003 was isolated from a

lyophilized commercial probiotic formulation from Australia. Nonetheless, It has been reported that

VRI-003 has an increasing effect on interferon-gamma (IFNγ) production in whole blood culture

(Cox et al., 2010). As above, a similar analogy can be drawn between AGA52 and these strains.

Predicted CRISPR structures in the genome of the AGA52 consist of 1 copy of CRISPR-associated

endonuclease Cas9, 1 copy of type II CRISPR-associated endonuclease Cas1, 1 copy of type II-A

CRISPR-associated protein Csn2, 1 copy of CRISPR-associated endonuclease Cas2, 15 repeat

regions, 14 spacer regions, and 1 array region (Table S1). CRISPR constructs and related (Cas)

proteins serve as adaptive immune systems against prophages and foreign plasmids in prokaryotes

and have been used for genotyping and genetic engineering purposes since the day they were

discovered (Goh and Barrangou, 2019; Roberts and Barrangou, 2020). Especially, from the point of

view of the development of next-generation probiotics with enhanced functionalities, this

technology has uncovered new opportunities. In this sense, It is possible to improve the therapeutic

potential of the probiotics for vaccine delivery or modulation of the host immune response

(Hidalgo-Cantabrana et al., 2017). Therefore, the prediction and characterization of the CRISPR-

Cas structures in the genome of the *L. fermentum* strain AGA52 could enable the development of

novel biotherapeutics by regulating the expression and production of existing functional metabolites

or acquiring novel biosynthetic gene clusters (e.g bacteriocins, non-bacteriocin antimicrobial

compounds, metabolic products) by using itself.

310   Prophages, related horizontal gene transfer, and mobilome

The phage scan results revealed that AGA52 had three phage sites in its genome, two intact and the

other questionable. The intact phage regions (regions 2 and 3) were displayed similarity with

Lactob_LfeSau_NC_029068 (32.2 kb) and Lactob_521B_NC_048752 (11.7 kb), respectively. It

has been observed that Lactob_LfeSau presented the highest matching protein (16) among the

identified phages which is also shown in Table S2. This phage is the most common one found to be

among the *L. fermentum* strains. Only, Lactob_LfeSau has attL/attR sequences and integrase

(PP_00944) except for region 1 (Klebsi_phiKO2) and 3 (Lactob_521B). In a bacterial genome, the

presence of the integrase is a functional identifier for pathogenicity islands, phages, and integrative

plasmids (Juhas et al., 2009; Mahony and Van Sinderen, 2014). Besides, GC% content of the

phages is quite lower than the average GC% content of the genome of the AGA52. All phage

regions have been located around or in the genomic islands which are seen in BLAST ring

alignment (Fig 1). Both of the attachment sites are positioned forward strand and upstream of the

integrase (attL: 922221-922233; attR:947491-947503). Merely, Lactob_LfeSau was found to

comprise all packaging/head/tail gene clusters, and DNA packaging genes. Unlike, previous studies

no endolysin gene has been observed among all of the identified phages (Miller-Ensminger et al.,

2020; Oechslin et al., 2022). Endolysins are phage proteins that rapidly break the bacterial cell wall

down and release new viral particles (Cahill and Young, 2019). This means that identified phages

are temperate for the strain AGA52 and each member of identified phage regions was listed in

Table S3-S5.

Horizontal gene transfer (HGT) amidst bacteria generally appears in so far as bacteriophage

infection or natural competence (Kleerebezem et al., 2003; Yetiman et al., 2022). Based on protein

BLAST results for screening HGT from phage-related proteins, 0.79% (16 genes) of the genome of

AGA52 have been acquired by phage-associated HGT from other bacteria and viruses, eg.

Ligilactobacillus animalis, *Pediococcus acidilactici*, *Lactobacillus* sp., *Siphoviridae* sp.

(bacteriophage), *Lentilactobacillus* sp., *Limosilactobacillus reuteri* (Table S6). On the other side, all

of the detected phage-associated transposases were only found in the Lactob_521B phage. Among

the phage elements of Lactob_521B, 11 transposase genes (PP_01962, PP_01963, PP_01965,

PP_01966, PP_01968, PP_01970, PP_01972, PP_01973, PP_01975, PP_01976, and PP_01977)

that may have been acquired by recombination, repair and replication. In addition, as for the whole

genome-based transposase search result, IS3, IS4, IS6, ISL3, IS30, IS200/IS605, IS256, and IS982

families members of transposases have been identified by using IS Finder and were summarised in

Table S7.

Phenotypic antibiotic resistance and Safety-related genes assessment

In agreement with the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) suggestions, a probiotic strain

should not hold gained or transferable resistome to clinically used drugs (EFSA, 2012). Therefore,

it is important to determine antibiotic resistance phenotypically and genotypically. Assessment of

the antibiotic susceptibility of the AGA52 has been performed following The Clinical and

Laboratory Standards Institute's performance standards. The value of inhibition zones (ZOI) that

belongs to tested antibiotics against the AGA52 and resistome search results were presented in

Table S8. Based on the antibiogram results, AGA52 was found to be sensitive (ZOI ≥ 20mm) to

Ampicillin (10 μg), Penicillin G (10 U), Carbenicillin (100 μg), Amoxycillin (25 μg), and

Rifampicin (5 μg), while it displayed resistance (ZOI ≤14mm) to Methicillin (5 μg), Oxacillin (1

μg), Streptomycin (10 μg), Vancomycin (30 μg), Amikacin (30 μg), and Kanamycin (30 μg). Unlike

these, intermediate sensitivity (ZOI ~ 15-19mm) to Azithromycin (15 μg) and Erythromycin (10 μg)

was also observed.

Based on Resfinder and CARD analyses, there are no antibiotic-resistance genes have been found in

the genome of the AGA52. Nonetheless, sixteen antibiotic resistance genes have been found by

using KEGG and BV-BRC databases which were displayed in Table S8. The detected genes were

found to be related to β-Lactams (4), aminoglycosides (7), peptide-antibiotics (3) and multidrug

efflux transporters (2). It is well known that lactobacilli show a higher resistance profile to

aminoglycosides. Especially, *Lactobacillus* species have been acknowledged as resistant to

vancomycin under their intrinsic peptidoglycan precursors containing D-lactate instead of D-alanine

at the C-terminus (Deghorain et al., 2007). The vancomycin resistance genes were detected in the

genome of the AGA52 comprises of *mraY, alr*, *ddl, murF, murG,* and *VanS* which were presented

in Table S9. Interestingly, detected vancomycin resistance genes showed differences from previous

studies (Yetiman et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2022). Especially, the *VanX* gene could not be found

which is highly specific for hydrolyzation of D-ala-D-ala dipeptides and is an important precursor

of the cell wall. This might be caused by variations in tolerance or gene expression (Campedelli et

al., 2019). Additionally, as an aminoglycoside, streptomycin resistance responsible *gidB* gene has

also been observed. However, no specific resistance genes were identified for kanamycin and

amikacin even if resistance subsists. This could be caused by phenotype do not completely

represent genotype (Zhang et al., 2012). Aside from these, *blaI, mcrA, pbp2A*, and *penP* genes

responsible for β-Lactam resistance have been determined which can be seen in Table S8. It is well-

known that oxacillin and methicillin resistance is related to penicillin-binding proteins (*mcrA,*

pbp2A). Despite the presence of beta-lactamase (*penP*) and penicillinase repressor (*blaI*), AGA52

exhibited a sensitive profile to ampicillin, penicillin, carbenicillin, and amoxycillin. This would be

explained by the aforementioned case for aminoglycosides. On the other hand, there are no

resistance genes were found for the macrolides, tetracyclines, and rifamycins tested in this study.

However, strain AGA52 exhibited an intermediate and sensitive pattern to these antibiotics (Table

S8). This situation could be derived from membrane impermeability and/or multidrug efflux

transporters (the presence of *efrA* and *efrB* is confirmed in Table S9 and S12) in reduced

susceptibility to these drugs (Rozman et al., 2020). In addition, daptomycin-specific *pgsA*, *mprF*,

and *gdpD* genes were also identified in the genome of the AGA52. Due to increasing concerns

regarding various food niches and/or common bacteria that might serve as potential reservoirs for

resistome, the presence of transferable antimicrobial resistance genes in probiotics is undesirable

which is used for humans or animals. Lactobacilli have intrinsically originated resistance to various

antimicrobial compounds, and it is well-known that such resistance has not been related to any

particular safety concerns. But, the intrinsic resistome on the bacterial chromosome must not be

flanked by transposases or integrases. According to the protein BLAST results for the resistome

detected in this study, no horizontally transferred gene was found (Table S9).

Carbohydrate fermentation patterns and active enzymes

Limosilactobacillus fermentum acquires ATP through heterofermentative carbohydrate fermentation

based on strain-specific sugar preference. Uncovering strain-specific metabolic tendencies is

important due to the prediction of industrial applicability and their probiotic potential. Since,

carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZYmes) also have a probiotic function, e.g. xylose-isomerase

stimulation of gut persistence (Walter et al., 2003). According to API 50 CHL test results, the

AGA52 can utilize 13 different carbohydrates out of 49 being tested. At first glance, the utilization

of D-ribose, D-xylose, D-galactose, D-glucose, D-fructose, D-cellobiose, D-maltose, D-lactose, D-

melibiose, D-sucrose, and D-raffinose can be comprehended from Table S10. *L. fermentum* is a

cohesive species and could ferment various kinds of sugars. This status is directly involved in the

presence of the genes responsible for the CAZYmes and transporters. Most of the transporters

associated with carbohydrate metabolism are positioned in the phosphoenolpyruvate-dependent

sugar phosphotransferase system (PTS) (Gänzle and Follador, 2012). For strain AGA52, the entire

PTS enzymes were encoded by its genome and it consists of PTS System Enzyme I (general

enzyme gene, *ptsI*, K08483), phosphocarrier protein HPr gene (*ptsH*, K02784), and 13 complete or

incomplete substrate-specific enzyme II (EII) complexes genes (Table S13). These complex genes

seem cellobiose, fructose, mannose, sucrose, beta-glucoside, and ascorbate specific and their

substrate specificity is unidentified. However, it is well-known that various sugar transporters can

bring in more than one substrate (Kleerebezem et al., 2003). In addition, several carbohydrate-

specific common ABC transporters were listed in Table S12.

It has been observed that the AGA52 has not encoded 1-phosphofructokinase (*pfK*) enzyme gene on

its genome. Based on previous studies, it is commonly accepted that species lacking this enzyme

have an obligate heterofermentative carbohydrate metabolism and they also produce CO_2, lactate,

and ethanol via a heterofermentative pathway (Morita et al., 2008; Salvetti et al., 2018). The same

case is valid for AGA52. Moreover, the presence of l-arabinose isomerase, l-ribulose kinase, and

ribulose phosphate epimerase enzymes are confirmatory to a lesser extent that a lactobacilli strain

has an obligate heterofermentative pathway (Schleif, 2010; Buron-Moles et al., 2019). In this study,

both L-arabinose isomerase [EC:5.3.1.4] and ribulose-phosphate 3-epimerase [EC:5.1.3.1] could

only be found in the genome of the AGA52 (Table S11). Nevertheless, it could be implied that

AGA52 has an obligate heterofermentative pathway. Meanwhile, the key enzymes responsible for

the EMP, PK and Leloir pathways and their copy numbers are presented in Table S14 by being

compared with well-known *L.fermentum* strains. Among the compared strains, all key enzymes are

available for the aforecited pathways apart from phosphofructokinase 1, fructose-bisphosphate

aldolase, and L-ribulose kinase. These findings are also confirmatory for the mentioned situation

above. The CAZYmes encoding genes connected with the intact EMP (Fig S1) and PK (Fig S2)

pathways have been identified in the genome of the AGA52 and presented in Table S11.

The AGA52 can synthesize both isomers of lactate and has got four copies of L-lactate

dehydrogenase (*ldh*), three copies of D-lactate dehydrogenase (*ldhA*), and one copy of D-lactate

dehydrogenase (*dld*; quinone) enzymes encoding genes on its genome. The difference between *dld*

and others is that it is a peripheral membrane-specific dehydrogenase which plays a role in

respiration. The electrons originating from D-lactate oxidation are turned over to membrane soluble

quinone pool (Jiang et al., 2017). Apart from these, the AGA52 also possesses two copies of alcohol

dehydrogenase (*adhP*) enzyme encoding genes. The reaction products of this enzyme differ

depending on the substrate catalyzed. The enzyme produces aldehydes as a product in reactions

where primary alcohols are substrates, while ketones are released as products when secondary

alcohols are substrates (Thomas et al., 2013). The effect of the reaction products on the specific

flavour of the shalgam cannot be ignored.

Following KEGG mapper results, the AGA52 has a total of 158 carbohydrate metabolism-related

genes which are encoded in its genome. The distribution of those genes is as follows; 18 Embden–

Meyerhof–Parnas pathway genes, 14 phosphoketolase pathway genes, 6 TCA cycle-related genes

(Fig S3), 6 pentose and glucuronate interconversions associated genes, 13 galactose metabolism

genes, 11 fructose and mannose metabolism genes, 3 ascorbate and aldarate metabolism genes, 13

starch and sucrose metabolism genes, 19 amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism genes, 20

pyruvate metabolism genes, 6 glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism genes, 9 propanoate

metabolism genes, 13 butanoate metabolism genes, 3 inositol phosphate metabolism genes, and 5

C5-branched dibasic acid metabolism genes. Moreover, the number of identified CAZYmes

encoded in the genome of AGA52 is as follows; 32 Glycosyl transferases, 1 auxiliary activity

responsible enzyme, 3 carbohydrate-binding modules, and 38 Glycoside Hydrolases. There is no

existence of carbohydrate esterases has been observed in CAZY annotation results. Further, it can

be seen in Fig 6 that the CAZYmes patterns of ATCC 14931, DSM 20052 and SK152 are similar to

AGA52. Overall, the characterization results revealed that the AGA52 has an acceptable potential

for carbohydrate degradation and adaptive nature specific to the gastrointestinal tract.

Probiotic properties and antioxidant activities

The probiotic characterization tests were carried out for confirmation of the presence or absence of

the features responsible for becoming probiotics. First, the result of the β-haemolysis assay revealed

that AGA52 does not have β-hemolytic activity. The cell surface hydrophobicity (CSH) and

autoaggregation capacity (AC) were determined as 73,6% (with xylene) and 44%, respectively. The

CSH is essential for understanding probiotics' overall adhesion capacity, which is generally

measured by assessing the bacterial affinity to a hydrocarbon (e.g. xylene, n-hexadecane, hexane)

solvent (Krausova et al., 2019; Goel et al., 2020). The hydrocarbon affinity method does not reveal

exact CSH, instead, it expresses the presence of van der Waals and electrostatic interactions which

are significant factors influencing the overall adhesion capacity (Martienssen et al., 2001). Recently,

it has been reported that bacteria which has a higher CSH can bind better to intestinal epithelial

cells (de Souza et al., 2019). Similar to the present study, approximate CSH values were reported

for *L.fermentum* SJRP40 (79.69%), SJRP46 (80.57%), and *L. reuteri* K18 (76%) by different

studies (de Souza et al., 2019; Krausova et al., 2019). CSH value of *L. fermentum* YLF016 ($\geq$65%)

has been reported as low as than AGA52. Moreover, the lipoteichoic acid (LTA) production

responsible genes (*MurJ*, *LtaS, LtaS, LafC, LafB, LafA, dltD, dltA*, and *dltB*) that can be associated

with CSH were identified and their putative functions were explained in Table S15.

Autoaggregation ability for probiotic bacteria is crucial due to its direct relationship to the adhesion

of epithelial cells and mucosal surfaces and is also a phenotypic marker for adhesion (Chaffanel et

al., 2018). Due to the AC, bacteria can generate cellular aggregates which promote persistence in

the intestine (Pan et al., 2017). Bacterial cell wall components and other structures, like mucus-

binding proteins, adhesins, surface layer proteins, fibronectin-binding proteins, exopolysaccharides,

and lipoteichoic acids give an advantage to bacteria for colonization and adhesion to host epithelial

cells (Lesuffleur et al., 1990; Haddaji et al., 2015; Chaffanel et al., 2018). In this study, the presence

of some of these structures has been confirmed in the genome of AGA52 and summarised in Table

S15. The AC of the AGA52 shows a similar pattern to previously studied strains such as colostrum-

originated *L. reuteri* K14 (44.4%) and infant feces-originated *L. reuteri* E1M1C (46.3%). Besides,

Li et al. (2015) reported between 0.86 to 65.15% autoaggregation values for a variety of *L.*

fermentum strains which were isolated from different Chinese fermented foods.

Resistance to stress factors of gastrointestinal systems, such as long-term survival and colonization

are uniquity of Lactobacilli. Uncovering host-probiotic interaction regulation mechanisms have

become more significant due to the gradually increasing number of microbes used as probiotics.

The survival behaviour of AGA52 was tested against physiological pH, and bile salt environment

and mimicked the gastrointestinal fluid. Broadly, survivability at low pH of most Lactobacilli

differs between 2.5 to 3.5 which is a crucial selection criterion for potential acid-tolerant probiotic

strains. During gastric transit, probiotics must survive at pH 3.0 as low and remain alive for at least

four 4 hours before reaching the lower tract (Ouwehand et al., 1999). It has been observed that the

AGA52 has a tolerance to an acidic environment with a survival rate of 20±0.3% in pH 3.0 (with an

initial load of 8.74 log CFU/mL). Besides, the viability goes down to 0.09±0.01% at pH 2.0 (Fig

7B) which overlaps the previous studies (Yetiman et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2022). Furthermore, the

survival profile to bile salts (0.2-1% (w/v)) was checked and the AGA52 presented a moderate

survival pattern (38±0.1%) after 4h (with an initial load of 9.19 log CFU/mL). Commonly, it is

strongly suggested that approximately 0.3% bile salts concentration is proper for probiotic selection

purposes (Saarela et al., 2000). Based on the survival pattern at 0.3% bile salt concentration

(64±0.1%), the AGA52 appears to partially meet the requirements of this criterion (Fig 7A). Apart

from these, survivability of the AGA52 in the gastrointestinal simulated environment was

determined for understanding whether the strain shows tolerance or not. Because, gastrointestinal

tolerance is significant for the colonization and metabolic activity of probiotics to stimulate a

positive effect on the host (Zhang et al., 2022). The survival patterns were determined as 7.40 and

8.18 log CFU/mL for gastric and intestinal fluids, respectively (Fig 7C and D). The resistance of the

mentioned stress factors is associated with the existence of the putative genes related to stress

resistance, DNA and protein protection/repair and these are listed in Table S15. Especially, cation-

proton antiporters (*NapA*, *NhaC*, *NhaP*), ABC ATPases, F_0F_1-type ATP synthase, and chaperones

(Clp ATPases) are responsible for homeostasis and intracellular pH regulation which have

contributed to acid and bile resistance. Similarly, the same acid bile resistance mechanisms have

been reported in *L. plantarum* Y44 (Gao et al., 2020), *L. amyloticus* L6 (Fei et al., 2018), and

L.fermentum YLF016 (Zhang et al., 2022). On the other side, choloylglycine hydrolase (*cbh*) and

inorganic pyrophosphatases (e.g. *PpaC*) were supposed to be prominent in acid bile resistance.

Differently, based on antimicrobial activity assay results, CFS of the AGA52 showed a zone of

inhibition (> 5 mm) versus all tested pathogen strains except for *S.aureus* (Table S16). As far as we

are concerned, inhibition of test pathogens by CFS of the AGA52 has originated from the produced

organic acids by itself. Because this strain does not encode any bacteriocin production-responsible

gene cluster in its genome.

Antioxidant activity is one of the most important probiotic functions that are directly related to

health benefits. Following antioxidant assays, DPPH and ABTS inhibitions were determined as

92.9±0.01% and 85.3±0.065%, respectively. DPPH and ABTS are well-known free radicals which

are generally used for testing the free radical scavenging activity of antioxidants and can be

analyzed colourimetrically. These strong inhibition values are related to the antioxidant enzymes

such as *trxA* (Thioredoxin), *trxB* (Thioredoxin reductase), *tpx* (Thiol peroxidase), *dsbA* (Thiol

disulfide oxidoreductase), *arsC* (Arsenate reductase), *Gor* (Glutathione reductase), *nrdH*

(Glutaredoxin) and other putative antioxidant genes. The identifiers and putative functions of

aforecited genes were summarized in Table S15. The "*in vitro*" and "*in silico*" findings regarding

the antioxidant activity of AGA52 are compatible and further demonstrate that it can adapt to higher

oxidative stress environments.

Cholesterol assimilation

Cardiovascular and coronary artery disease risks are directly correlated with dyslipidemia and are

important public health concerns. Dyslipidemia generally occurs due to unbalanced concentrations

of cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, (LDL-C), triglycerides, and high-density

lipoprotein (HDL). Different researchers have reported that various *Lb. fermentum* strains showed

blood cholesterol-lowering effects (de Luna Freire et al., 2021; de Araújo Henriques Ferreira et al.,

2022). In this regard, similar cholesterol-decreasing effects have been observed "*in vitro*" for this

study. Based on cholesterol assimilation assay results, the AGA52 was assimilated 28.8±0.003% of

the 100ppm cholesterol for 24h, while it has been utilized the 33.9±0.005% of total cholesterol

added to MRS broth for 48h. In previous studies, 11.51±1.44%, 37.19±4.99%, and 40.84±1.90%

cholesterol assimilations were reported for *Lb. fermentum* strains NCIMB 5221, NCIMB 8829, and

NCIMB 2797, respectively (Tomaro-Duchesneau et al., 2014). In another study, cholesterol

assimilation ranges from 28.3-88% were described for different *Lb. fermentum* strains by Pan et al.

(2011). The molecular mechanism of hypocholesterolemic effects is generally explained by the

presence of bile salt hydrolase (*bsh* or *cbh*) enzymes, or the production of short-chained-fatty acids

that inhibit enzymes like 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A which is component of

ketogenesis pathways. In this study, the presence of choloylglycine hydrolase (*cbh*) enzyme which

has bile salt hydrolase like activity was confirmed "*in silico*". The presence of organic acids, like

propionic, acetic, butyric, and lactic acids was also confirmed by GC-MS (method and data not

shown) which is a another factor related with cholesterol assimilation.

GABA production as a psychobiotic feature

Gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) is a free amino acid which is produced from L-glutamic acid or

MSG by Glutamate decarboxylase (GAD, EC 4.1.1.15) and is extensively found in animals, plants

and microbes (Cui et al., 2020). GABA has multiple physiological functions and acts as major

inhibitory neurotransmitter which delivers chemical message in the central nervous systems of

mammalians and has a significant role on stress response, cognition and behaviour (Wu et al.,

1973). Besides, GABA supplementation is positively affects depression, sleeplessness, immunity,

blood pressure regulation, visual cortical function, anxiety, and menopausal syndrome (Okada et al.,

2000; Leventhal et al., 2003; Ting Wong et al., 2003; Hayakawa et al., 2004; Abdou et al., 2006).

Various lactobacilli strains such as *Lb. fermentum*, *Lb. plantarum*, *Lb. helveticus*, *Lb. paracasei* and

Lb. delbrueckii sp. *bulgaricus* are able to produce GABA that originated from cheese, pickles,

yoghurt, fermented soybean etc. Recently, a great number of Lactic acid bacteria strains have been

isolated from various fermented foods and utilized for the enrichment of functionality of foods by

supplementation of GABA (Cui et al., 2020). In microbes, biosynthesis of GABA is carried out by

pyridoxal-5'-phosphate-dependent-glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD) system which is comprised

of the GAD enzyme (encoded by *gadA* or *gadB*) and glutamate/GABA antiporter (*gadC*) (Yunes et

al., 2016; Wu et al., 2017; Lyu et al., 2018). In this study, the existence of these genes and GABA

production ability from MSG were confirmed via "*in silico*" and "*in vitro*" analyses and the

members of GAD system were summarized in Table S15. The NMR spectra were shown in FigS4

describes that the AGA52 can convert the MSG to GABA by using its related enzyme system. It is

clearly seen in FigS4 that G1 (CH_2: 1.76 ppm), G2 (CH_2: 2.16 ppm), and G3 (CH_2: 2.87 ppm) peaks

of MRS broth are showing highest similartiy with the G1(CH_2: 1.75 ppm), G2(CH_2:2.15 ppm), and

G3 (CH_2:2.85 ppm) peaks of GABA standard which is the evidence of the AGA52 is able to

produce GABA from MSG.

Conclusion

Briefly, it has been determined that *Lb. fermentum* AGA52 is a novel strain that possesses crucial

probiotic and psychobiotic features such as higher antioxidant activiy, cholesterol assimilation

capacity, GABA production ability, auto-aggregation ability, cell surface hydrophobicity, gut

persistance, adaptation to gastointestinal simulated environment, acid-bile tolerance. It has obligate

heterofermentative carbohydrate metabolism due to the absence of the phosphofructokinase enzyme

which is confirmed from its genome. The AGA52 can also produce CO_2, lactate, and ethanol by

heterofermentative pathway. Three phage regions (2 intact and 1 questionable) were identified and

were detected as temperate due to the absence of the endolysins and 0.79% of the genome of the

AGA52 has orginated from phage related horizontal gene transfer. On the other side, all detected

resistome were found as intrinsically originated. The detected CRISPR structures revealed that the

AGA52 can be used as model organism for CRISPR studies or can be used for development of

next-generation probiotics by acquiring novel functional gene clusters. Besides, the survival behaviour of the strain proved that it has an adaptable nature to gastrointestinal mimicked environment and the diversity of the CAZYmes has also confirmed this case. When desired, survivability of this strain to gastrointestinal conditions can be enhanced more by encapsulation or gaining high copy number of relavant genes (e.g. *bsh*, Na+/H+ antiporters, F_0F_1 ATPases). The AGA52 has displayed the highest antioxidant capacity according to DPPH and ABTS+ assays which is also validated by presence of relevant genes. Overall, all findings revealed that the AGA52 has promising bacterial strain which has a potential for use as dietary supplement that might provide functional and therapeutic benefits to the host.

Acknowledgements

This study has been financially supported by Erciyes University Scientific Research Projects Coordination Unit under grant number FDK-2017-7281. Besides, Mr. Mehmet Horzum would like to thank the Council of Higher Education of Türkiye (YÖK) due to the 100/2000 PhD scholarship program.

References

Abdou AM, Higashiguchi S, Horie K, Kim M, Hatta H, Yokogoshi H. Relaxation and immunity enhancement effects of γ☐aminobutyric acid (GABA) administration in humans. Biofactors 2006;26(3):201-208.

Agirman B, Settanni L, Erten H. Effect of different mineral salt mixtures and dough extraction procedure on the physical, chemical and microbiological composition of Şalgam: A black carrot fermented beverage. Food Chemistry 2021;344: 128618.

Alcock BP, Raphenya AR, Lau TT, Tsang KK, Bouchard M, Edalatmand A, Huynh W, Nguyen A-
LV, Cheng AA, Liu S. CARD 2020: antibiotic resistome surveillance with the comprehensive
antibiotic resistance database. Nucleic acids research 2020;48(D1):D517-D525.

Alikhan NF, Petty NK, Ben Zakour NL, Beatson SA. BLAST Ring Image Generator (BRIG):
simple prokaryote genome comparisons. BMC Genomics 2011; 12:402.

Angmo K, Kumari A, Bhalla TC. Probiotic characterization of lactic acid bacteria isolated from
fermented foods and beverage of Ladakh. LWT-food Science and Technology 2016;66:428-435.

Arndt D, Grant JR, Marcu A, Sajed T, Pon A, Liang Y, Wishart DS. PHASTER: a better, faster
version of the PHAST phage search tool. Nucleic acids research 2016;44(W1):W16-W21.

Bangar SP, Suri S, Trif M, Ozogul F. Organic acids production from lactic acid bacteria: A
preservation approach. Food Bioscience 2022; 46:101615.

Bhathena J, Martoni C, Kulamarva A, Tomaro-Duchesneau C, Malhotra M, Paul A, Urbanska AM,
Prakash S. Oral probiotic microcapsule formulation ameliorates non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in
Bio F1B Golden Syrian hamsters. PLoS One 2013;8(3):e58394.

Bortolaia V, Kaas RS, Ruppe E, Roberts MC, Schwarz S, Cattoir V, Philippon A, Allesoe RL,
Rebelo AR, Florensa AF. ResFinder 4.0 for predictions of phenotypes from genotypes. Journal of
Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 2020;75(12):3491-3500.

Brandt K, Nethery MA, O'Flaherty S, Barrangou R. Genomic characterization of Lactobacillus
fermentum DSM 20052. BMC genomics 2020;21(1):1-13.

Brettin T, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S, Olsen GJ, Olson R, Overbeek R, Parrello B,
Pusch GD. RASTtk: a modular and extensible implementation of the RAST algorithm for building
custom annotation pipelines and annotating batches of genomes. Scientific reports 2015;5(1):1-6.

Buron-Moles G, Chailyan A, Dolejs I, Forster J, Miks MH. Uncovering carbohydrate metabolism
through a genotype-phenotype association study of 56 lactic acid bacteria genomes. Appl Microbiol
Biotechnol 2019;103(7):3135-3152.

Cahill JYoung R. Phage lysis: multiple genes for multiple barriers. Advances in virus research
2019;103:33-70.

Campedelli I, Mathur H, Salvetti E, Clarke S, Rea MC, Torriani S, Ross RP, Hill C, O'Toole PW.
Genus-wide assessment of antibiotic resistance in Lactobacillus spp. Applied and environmental
microbiology 2019;85(1):e01738-18.

Chaffanel F, Charron-Bourgoin F, Soligot C, Kebouchi M, Bertin S, Payot S, Le Roux Y, Leblond-
Bourget N. Surface proteins involved in the adhesion of Streptococcus salivarius to human
intestinal epithelial cells. Applied microbiology and biotechnology 2018;102(6):2851-2865.

Chen I-MA, Chu K, Palaniappan K, Ratner A, Huang J, Huntemann M, Hajek P, Ritter S, Varghese
N, Seshadri R. The IMG/M data management and analysis system v. 6.0: new tools and advanced
capabilities. Nucleic acids research 2021;49(D1):D751-D763.

CLSI C. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Second
Informational Supplement2012.

Coskun F. A traditional Turkish fermented non-alcoholic beverage, "Shalgam". Beverages
2017;3(4):49.

Cox AJ, Pyne DB, Saunders PU, Fricker PA. Oral administration of the probiotic Lactobacillus
fermentum VRI-003 and mucosal immunity in endurance athletes. British Journal of Sports
Medicine 2010;44(4):222-226.

Cui Y, Miao K, Niyaphorn S, Qu X. Production of gamma-aminobutyric acid from lactic acid
bacteria: A systematic review. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 2020;21(3):995.

D'ambrosio S, Ventrone M, Fusco A, Casillo A, Dabous A, Cammarota M, Corsaro MM,
Donnarumma G, Schiraldi C, Cimini D. Limosilactobacillus fermentum from buffalo milk is
suitable for potential biotechnological process development and inhibits Helicobacter pylori in a
gastric epithelial cell model. Biotechnology Reports 2022;34:e00732.

de Araújo Henriques Ferreira G, Magnani M, Cabral L, Brandão LR, Noronha MF, de Campos
Cruz J, de Souza EL, de Brito Alves JL. Potentially probiotic limosilactobacillus fermentum Fruit-
Derived strains alleviate cardiometabolic disorders and gut microbiota impairment in male rats fed a
high-Fat diet. Probiotics and Antimicrobial Proteins 2022;14(2):349-359.

de Luna Freire MO, do Nascimento LCP, de Oliveira KÁR, de Oliveira AM, dos Santos Lima M,
Napoleão TH, da Costa Silva JH, Lagranha CJ, de Souza EL, de Brito Alves JL.
Limosilactobacillus fermentum strains with claimed probiotic properties exert anti-oxidant and anti-

inflammatory properties and prevent cardiometabolic disorder in female rats fed a high-fat diet.
Probiotics and Antimicrobial Proteins 2021:1-13.

de Souza BMS, Borgonovi TF, Casarotti SN, Todorov SD, Penna ALB. Lactobacillus casei and
Lactobacillus fermentum strains isolated from mozzarella cheese: probiotic potential, safety,
acidifying kinetic parameters and viability under gastrointestinal tract conditions. Probiotics and
antimicrobial proteins 2019;11(2):382-396.

Deghorain M, Goffin P, Fontaine L, Mainardi J-L, Daniel R, Errington J, Hallet B, Hols P.
Selectivity for D-lactate incorporation into the peptidoglycan precursors of Lactobacillus plantarum:
role of Aad, a VanX-like D-alanyl-D-alanine dipeptidase. Journal of bacteriology
2007;189(11):4332-4337.

Duar RM, Lin XB, Zheng J, Martino ME, Grenier T, Pérez-Muñoz ME, Leulier F, Gänzle M,
Walter J. Lifestyles in transition: evolution and natural history of the genus Lactobacillus. FEMS
microbiology reviews 2017;41(Supp_1):S27-S48.

EFSA. Guidance on the assessment of bacterial susceptibility to antimicrobials of human and
veterinary importance. EFSA Journal 2012;10(6):2740.

Ekinci FY, Baser GM, Özcan E, Üstündağ ÖG, Korachi M, Sofu A, Blumberg JB, Chen C-YO.
Characterization of chemical, biological, and antiproliferative properties of fermented black carrot
juice, shalgam. European Food Research and Technology 2016;242(8):1355-1368.

El-Ghaish S, Dalgalarrondo M, Choiset Y, Sitohy M, Ivanova I, Haertlé T, Chobert J-M.
Characterization of a new isolate of Lactobacillus fermentum IFO 3956 from Egyptian Ras cheese
with proteolytic activity. European food research and technology 2010;230(4):635-643.

Erol I, Kotil SE, Fidan O, Yetiman AE, Durdagi S, Ortakci F. In silico analysis of bacteriocins from
lactic acid bacteria against SARS-CoV-2. Probiotics and antimicrobial proteins 2021:1-13.

Fei Y, Li L, Zheng Y, Liu D, Zhou Q, Fu L. Characterization of Lactobacillus amylolyticus L6 as
potential probiotics based on genome sequence and corresponding phenotypes. LWT 2018;90:460-
468.

Feng H, Yuan Y, Yang Z, Xing X-h, Zhang C. Genome-wide genotype-phenotype associations in
microbes. Journal of bioscience and bioengineering 2021;132(1):1-8.

Gänzle MGFollador R. Metabolism of oligosaccharides and starch in lactobacilli: a review.
Frontiers in microbiology 2012;3:340.

Gao Y, Liu Y, Sun M, Zhang H, Mu G, Tuo Y. Physiological function analysis of Lactobacillus
plantarum Y44 based on genotypic and phenotypic characteristics. Journal of dairy science
2020;103(7):5916-5930.

Goel A, Halami PM, Tamang JP. Genome analysis of Lactobacillus plantarum isolated from some
Indian fermented foods for bacteriocin production and probiotic marker genes. Frontiers in
microbiology 2020:40.

Goh YJBarrangou R. Harnessing CRISPR-Cas systems for precision engineering of designer
probiotic lactobacilli. Current opinion in biotechnology 2019;56:163-171.

Haddaji N, Mahdhi AK, Krifi B, Ismail MB, Bakhrouf A. Change in cell surface properties of
Lactobacillus casei under heat shock treatment. FEMS microbiology letters 2015;362(9).

Hayakawa K, Kimura M, Kasaha K, Matsumoto K, Sansawa H, Yamori Y. Effect of a γ-
aminobutyric acid-enriched dairy product on the blood pressure of spontaneously hypertensive and
normotensive Wistar–Kyoto rats. British Journal of Nutrition 2004;92(3):411-417.

Hidalgo-Cantabrana C, O'Flaherty S, Barrangou R. CRISPR-based engineering of next-generation
lactic acid bacteria. Current opinion in microbiology 2017;37:79-87.

Jiang T, Guo X, Yan J, Zhang Y, Wang Y, Zhang M, Sheng B, Ma C, Xu P, Gao C. A bacterial
multidomain NAD-independent d-lactate dehydrogenase utilizes flavin adenine dinucleotide and
Fe-S clusters as cofactors and quinone as an electron acceptor for d-lactate oxidization. Journal of
bacteriology 2017;199(22):e00342-17.

Jiménez E, Langa S, Martín V, Arroyo R, Martín R, Fernández L, Rodríguez JM. Complete genome
sequence of Lactobacillus fermentum CECT 5716, a probiotic strain isolated from human milk.
Journal of bacteriology 2010;192(18):4800-4800.

Juhas M, Van Der Meer JR, Gaillard M, Harding RM, Hood DW, Crook DW. Genomic islands:
tools of bacterial horizontal gene transfer and evolution. FEMS microbiology reviews
2009;33(2):376-393.

Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Morishima K. BlastKOALA and GhostKOALA: KEGG tools for functional
characterization of genome and metagenome sequences. Journal of molecular biology
2016;428(4):726-731.

Khan AMathelier A. Intervene: a tool for intersection and visualization of multiple gene or genomic
region sets. BMC bioinformatics 2017;18(1):1-8.

Khan ZA, Siddiqui MF, Park S. Current and emerging methods of antibiotic susceptibility testing.
Diagnostics 2019;9(2):49.

Kleerebezem M, Boekhorst J, van Kranenburg R, Molenaar D, Kuipers OP, Leer R, Tarchini R,
Peters SA, Sandbrink HM, Fiers MW. Complete genome sequence of Lactobacillus plantarum
WCFS1. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2003;100(4):1990-1995.

Krausova G, Hyrslova I, Hynstova I. In vitro evaluation of adhesion capacity, hydrophobicity, and
auto-aggregation of newly isolated potential probiotic strains. Fermentation 2019;5(4):100.

Lee I, Kim YO, Park S-C, Chun J. OrthoANI: an improved algorithm and software for calculating
average nucleotide identity. International journal of systematic and evolutionary microbiology
2016;66(2):1100-1103.

Lesuffleur T, Barbat A, Dussaulx E, Zweibaum A. Growth adaptation to methotrexate of HT-29
human colon carcinoma cells is associated with their ability to differentiate into columnar
absorptive and mucus-secreting cells. Cancer research 1990;50(19):6334-6343.

Leventhal AG, Wang Y, Pu M, Zhou Y, Ma Y. GABA and its agonists improved visual cortical
function in senescent monkeys. Science 2003;300(5620):812-815.

Li Q, Liu X, Dong M, Zhou J, Wang Y. Aggregation and adhesion abilities of 18 lactic acid
bacteria strains isolated from traditional fermented food. Int J Agric Policy Res 2015;3(2):84-92.

Lyu C, Zhao W, Peng C, Hu S, Fang H, Hua Y, Yao S, Huang J, Mei L. Exploring the contributions
of two glutamate decarboxylase isozymes in Lactobacillus brevis to acid resistance and γ-
aminobutyric acid production. Microbial cell factories 2018;17(1):1-14.

Mahony JVan Sinderen D. Current taxonomy of phages infecting lactic acid bacteria. Frontiers in
microbiology 2014;5:7.

Martienssen M, O R, U K. Surface properties of bacteria from different wastewater treatment
plants. Acta biotechnologica 2001;21(3):207-225.

Miller-Ensminger T, Mormando R, Maskeri L, Shapiro JW, Wolfe AJ, Putonti C. Introducing Lu-1,
a novel lactobacillus jensenii phage abundant in the urogenital tract. Plos one 2020;15(6):e0234159.

Mishra VPrasad D. Application of in vitro methods for selection of Lactobacillus casei strains as
potential probiotics. International journal of food microbiology 2005;103(1):109-115.

Morita H, Toh H, Fukuda S, Horikawa H, Oshima K, Suzuki T, Murakami M, Hisamatsu S, Kato
Y, Takizawa T. Comparative genome analysis of Lactobacillus reuteri and Lactobacillus fermentum
reveal a genomic island for reuterin and cobalamin production. DNA research 2008;15(3):151-161.

Oechslin F, Zhu X, Dion MB, Shi R, Moineau S. Phage endolysins are adapted to specific hosts and
are evolutionarily dynamic. PLoS biology 2022;20(8):e3001740.

Okada T, Sugishita T, Murakami T, Murai H, Saikusa T, Horino T, Onoda A, Kajimoto O,
Takahashi R, Takahashi T. Effect of the defatted rice germ enriched with GABA for sleeplessness,
depression, autonomic disorder by oral administration. Nippon Shokuhin Kagaku Kogaku Kaishi=
Journal of the Japanese Society for Food Science and Technology 2000;47(8):596-603.

Ouwehand AC, Kirjavainen PV, Shortt C, Salminen S. Probiotics: mechanisms and established
effects. International dairy journal 1999;9(1):43-52.

Ozturk G, Yetiman AE, Dogan M. The bioactive efficiency of ultrasonic extracts from acorn leaves
and green walnut husks against Bacillus cereus: a hybrid approach to PCA with the Taguchi
method. Journal of Food Measurement and Characterization 2019;13(2):1257-1268.

Pan DD, Zeng XQ, Yan YT. Characterisation of Lactobacillus fermentum SM□7 isolated from
koumiss, a potential probiotic bacterium with cholesterol□lowering effects. Journal of the Science
of Food and Agriculture 2011;91(3):512-518.

Pan M, Kumaree KK, Shah NP. Physiological changes of surface membrane in Lactobacillus with
prebiotics. Journal of food science 2017;82(3):744-750.

Paulino do Nascimento LC, Lacerda DC, Ferreira DJS, de Souza EL, de Brito Alves JL.
Limosilactobacillus fermentum, Current Evidence on the Antioxidant Properties and Opportunities
to be Exploited as a Probiotic Microorganism. Probiotics and Antimicrobial Proteins 2022:1-20.

Pieniz S, Andreazza R, Anghinoni T, Camargo F, Brandelli A. Probiotic potential, antimicrobial
and antioxidant activities of Enterococcus durans strain LAB18s. Food Control 2014;37:251-256.

Prjibelski A, Antipov D, Meleshko D, Lapidus A, Korobeynikov A. Using SPAdes de novo
assembler. Current protocols in bioinformatics 2020;70(1):e102.

Roberts ABarrangou R. Applications of CRISPR-Cas systems in lactic acid bacteria. FEMS
Microbiology Reviews 2020;44(5):523-537.

Rozman V, Lorbeg PM, Accetto T, Matijašić BB. Characterization of antimicrobial resistance in
lactobacilli and bifidobacteria used as probiotics or starter cultures based on integration of
phenotypic and in silico data. International journal of food microbiology 2020;314:108388.

Rudel LLMorris M. Determination of cholesterol using o-phthalaldehyde. Journal of Lipid
Research 1973;14(3):364-366.

Saarela M, Mogensen G, Fonden R, Mättö J, Mattila-Sandholm T. Probiotic bacteria: safety,
functional and technological properties. Journal of biotechnology 2000;84(3):197-215.

Salvetti E, Harris HM, Felis GE, O'Toole PW. Comparative genomics of the genus Lactobacillus
reveals robust phylogroups that provide the basis for reclassification. Applied and Environmental
Microbiology 2018;84(17):e00993-18.

Sanders ME, Akkermans LM, Haller D, Hammerman C, Heimbach JT, Hörmannsperger G, Huys
G. Safety assessment of probiotics for human use. Gut microbes 2010;1(3):164-185.

Schleif R. AraC protein, regulation of the l-arabinose operon in Escherichia coli, and the light
switch mechanism of AraC action. FEMS microbiology reviews 2010;34(5):779-796.

Seddik HA, Bendali F, Gancel F, Fliss I, Spano G, Drider D. Lactobacillus plantarum and Its
Probiotic and Food Potentialities. Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins 2017;9(2):111-122.

Tamang JP, Shin D-H, Jung S-J, Chae S-W. Functional properties of microorganisms in fermented
foods. Frontiers in microbiology 2016;7:578.

Tanguler HErten H. Chemical and microbiological characteristics of shalgam (şalgam): a traditional
turkish lactic acid fermented beverage. Journal of Food Quality 2012a;35(4):298-306.

Tanguler HErten H. Occurrence and growth of lactic acid bacteria species during the fermentation
of shalgam (salgam), a traditional Turkish fermented beverage. LWT-Food Science and Technology
2012b;46(1):36-41.

Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze A,
Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. NCBI prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline. Nucleic acids
research 2016;44(14):6614-6624.

Thomas LM, Harper AR, Miner WA, Ajufo HO, Branscum KM, Kao L, Sims PA. Structure of
Escherichia coli AdhP (ethanol-inducible dehydrogenase) with bound NAD. Acta Crystallographica
Section F: Structural Biology and Crystallization Communications 2013;69(7):730-732.

Ting Wong CG, Bottiglieri T, Snead III OC. Gaba, γ☐hydroxybutyric acid, and neurological

disease. Annals of Neurology: Official Journal of the American Neurological Association and the

Child Neurology Society 2003;54(S6):S3-S12.

Tomaro-Duchesneau C, Jones ML, Shah D, Jain P, Saha S, Prakash S. Cholesterol assimilation by

Lactobacillus probiotic bacteria: an in vitro investigation. BioMed research international 2014;2014.

Walter J, Heng NC, Hammes WP, Loach DM, Tannock GW, Hertel C. Identification of

Lactobacillus reuteri genes specifically induced in the mouse gastrointestinal tract. Applied and

environmental microbiology 2003;69(4):2044-2051.

Wattam AR, Davis JJ, Assaf R, Boisvert S, Brettin T, Bun C, Conrad N, Dietrich EM, Disz T,

Gabbard JL. Improvements to PATRIC, the all-bacterial bioinformatics database and analysis

resource center. Nucleic acids research 2017;45(D1):D535-D542.

Wu J-Y, Matsuda T, Roberts E. Purification and characterization of glutamate decarboxylase from

mouse brain. Journal of Biological Chemistry 1973;248(9):3029-3034.

Wu Q, Tun HM, Law Y-S, Khafipour E, Shah NP. Common distribution of gad operon in

Lactobacillus brevis and its GadA contributes to efficient GABA synthesis toward cytosolic near-

neutral pH. Frontiers in Microbiology 2017;8:206.

Wu S, Zhu Z, Fu L, Niu B, Li W. WebMGA: a customizable web server for fast metagenomic

sequence analysis. BMC genomics 2011;12(1):1-9.

Yamamoto N, Shoji M, Hoshigami H, Watanabe K, Takatsuzu T, Yasuda S, Igoshi K, Kinoshita H.

Antioxidant capacity of soymilk yogurt and exopolysaccharides produced by lactic acid bacteria.

Bioscience of Microbiota, Food and Health 2019:18-017.

Yetiman AE, Keskin A, Darendeli BN, Kotil SE, Ortakci F, Dogan M. Characterization of genomic,

physiological, and probiotic features Lactiplantibacillus plantarum DY46 strain isolated from

traditional lactic acid fermented shalgam beverage. Food Bioscience 2022;46:101499.

Yoo D, Bagon BB, Valeriano VDV, Oh JK, Kim H, Cho S, Kang D-K. Complete genome analysis

of Lactobacillus fermentum SK152 from kimchi reveals genes associated with its antimicrobial

activity. FEMS Microbiology Letters 2017;364(18).

Yunes R, Poluektova E, Dyachkova M, Klimina K, Kovtun A, Averina O, Orlova V, Danilenko V.

GABA production and structure of gadB/gadC genes in Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium strains

from human microbiota. Anaerobe 2016;42:197-204.

Zhang H, Yohe T, Huang L, Entwistle S, Wu P, Yang Z, Busk PK, Xu Y, Yin Y. dbCAN2: a meta

server for automated carbohydrate-active enzyme annotation. Nucleic acids research

2018;46(W1):W95-W101.

Zhang L, Ma H, Kulyar MF-e-A, Pan H, Li K, Li A, Mo Q, Wang Y, Dong H, Bao Y. Complete

genome analysis of Lactobacillus fermentum YLF016 and its probiotic characteristics. Microbial

Pathogenesis 2022;162:105212.

Zhang Z-Y, Liu C, Zhu Y-Z, Wei Y-X, Tian F, Zhao G-P, Guo X-K. Safety assessment of

Lactobacillus plantarum JDM1 based on the complete genome. International Journal of Food

Microbiology 2012;153(1-2):166-170.

Zheng J, Wittouck S, Salvetti E, Franz CM, Harris H, Mattarelli P, O'Toole PW, Pot B, Vandamme

P, Walter J. A taxonomic note on the genus Lactobacillus: Description of 23 novel genera, emended

description of the genus Lactobacillus Beijerinck 1901, and union of Lactobacillaceae and

Leuconostocaceae. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology

2020;70(4):2782-2858.

Fig 1. The BLAST Ring alignment of *Limosilactobacillus fermentum* strain AGA52 versus other compared well-known *Lb. fermentum* genomes which were aligned from the red ring to the inside as CECT 5716, IFO3956, B44, YLF016, DSM 20052, ATCC 14931, SK152, and AGA52, respectively. The GC content was illustrated in the third inner circle before the genome of AGA52. The GC skew (+/-) of the genome of AGA52 was also shown in the 2nd inner circle and in the first inner circle, the genome size has been demonstrated. On the other hand, prophage regions of the strain AGA52 were depicted as navy blue colored arcs in the outer circle, the numbers in parentheses show the number of matched proteins of the phages. Besides, at the end of the phage names, (IN) means intact and (Q) means questionable.

Fig 2. Orthologous Average Nucleotide Identity (OrthoANI) phylogram of the genomes of the *Limosilactobacillus fermentum* strain AGA52 and other well-known *Limosilactobacillus* strains.

892
893
894

905 **Fig 3.** Graphical presentation of the identified numbers of clusters of orthologous groups
906 (COG) of protein-coding sequences in the genome of *Limosilactobacillus fermentum* AGA52.

Fig 4. The UpSet plot demonstrates the number of shared orthologous proteins amongst AGA52 and the other well-known strains with bar charts. On the other hand, the number of total identified clusters of orthologous groups (COG) via the webMGA engine has been shown on the left of the strain names.

Fig 5. Pairwise intersection with hierarchical clustering in accordance with the existence of clusters of orthologous groups (COG) of 48 different *Lb. fermentum*, *Lb. reuteri* DSM 20016, and *Lb. gastricus* DSM 16045 genomes which were available on IMG/M. The correlation coefficient was calculated according to Pearson correlation and the color bar on the bottom of the heatmap indicates the degree of the correlation coefficient. The AGA52 shows a higher correlation with KMB612 (0.95), KMB613 (0.95), AF15-40LB (0.95), AF16-22LB (0.95), 279 (0.94), 779_LFER (0.94), and VRI-003 (0.94), respectively. Interestingly, it is apprently seen that MTCC 8711 inversely correlated with the all genomes clustered.

Fig 6. Heatmap of carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZYmes) and their distribution amongst the compared *L. fermentum* strains. The color gradient bar indicates the exact number of identified enzymes from the CAZY (http://www.cazy.org/) database by using DIAMOND (E-value <1e-102). CE: Carbohydrate Esterases, AA: Auxiliary Activities, CBM: Carbohydrate-Binding Modules, GT: Glycosyl Transferases, GH: Glycoside Hydrolases.

969 **Fig 7.** Survival rate and growth characteristics under different conditions. A. Survival at
970 different bile salts concentrations, B. Growth at different pH values, C. Survival tendency of
971 AGA52 at simulated gastric juice environment, D. Survival behaviour of AGA52 at simulated
972 intestinal juice environment.

988 **Table 1.** Summary of assembly details and annotated genome properties of
989 *Limosilactobacillus fermentum* AGA52 and other compared strains which have higher
990 orthoANI similarity to itself.

Item	AGA52	SK152	ATCC 14931 (B1 28)	YLF016
Contigs	128	1	1	1
Genome length (bp)	2,001,084	2,092,273	1,905,587	2,094,354
GC content (%)	51,82	51,94	52,3	51,46
Sequencing platform	Illumina Novaseq	PacBio	Oxford Nanopore GridION	PacBio
Sequencing depth	296.0x	500.0x	342x	200.0x
Genes (total)	2,024	2,121	1,916	2,136
Protein coding sequences	1,873	1,950	1,744	1,950
tRNA	50	58	58	58
rRNA	3	15	15	15
Non-coding RNA	3	3	3	3
CRISPR_repeat	15	100	13	10
CRISPR_spacer	14	98	12	9
CRISPR_array	1	2	1	1
Pseudogenes (total)	95	95	96	110
Pseudogeens (frameshifted)	19 of 95	28 of 95	36 of 96	35 of 110
Pseudogenes (incomplete)	68 of 95	50 of 95	35 of 96	60 of 110
Pseudogenes (internal stop)	24 of 95	29 of 95	33 of 96	30 of 110
OrthoANI similarity to AGA52	-	99.18	99.15	99.11

1014