**Extreme genome diversity and cryptic speciation in a harmful algal bloom forming eukaryote**

**Jennifer H. Wisecaver[1,2], Robert P. Auber[1,2]\*, Amanda L. Pendleton[1,2]\*, Nathan F. Watervoort[1,2], Timothy R. Fallon[3], Olivia L. Riedling[1,2], Schonna R. Manning[4], Bradley S. Moore[3,5], and William W. Driscoll[6]**

[1]Department of Biochemistry, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA

[2]Purdue Center for Plant Biology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA

[3]Center for Marine Biotechnology and Biomedicine, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA

[4]Department of Biological Sciences, Institute of Environment, Florida International University, Miami, FL, USA

[5]Skaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA

[6]Department of Biology, Penn State Harrisburg, Middletown, PA, USA

\* These authors contributed equally

Correspondence: Jennifer Wisecaver, 175 S University St., West Lafayette, IN 47907; e: jwisecav@purdue.edu; p: 765-494-4157

ORCID IDS: 0000-0001-6843-5906 (J.H.W.), 0000-0002-1442-7473 (R.P.A.), 0000-0002-7201-0596 (A.L.P.), 0000-0002-5227-4818 (N.F.W.), 0000-0002-3048-7679 (T.R.F.), 0000-0003-2735-0513 (O.L.R.), 0000-0002-4652-1253 (B.S.M.)

**ABSTRACT**

Harmful algal blooms (HABs) of the toxic haptophyte *Prymnesium parvum* are a recurrent

problem in many inland and estuarine waters around the world. Strains of *P. parvum* vary in the

toxins they produce and in other physiological traits associated with HABs, but the genetic basis

for this variation is unknown. To investigate genome diversity in this morphospecies, we

generated genome assemblies for fifteen phylogenetically and geographically diverse strains of

*P. parvum* including Hi-C guided, near-chromosome level assemblies for two strains.

Comparative analysis revealed considerable DNA content variation between strains, ranging

from 115 Mbp to 845 Mbp. Strains included haploids, diploids, and polyploids, but not all

differences in DNA content were due to variation in genome copy number. Haploid genome size

between strains of different chemotypes differed by as much as 243 Mbp. Syntenic and

phylogenetic analyses indicate that UTEX 2797, a common laboratory strain from Texas, is a

hybrid that retains two phylogenetically distinct haplotypes. Investigation of gene families

variably present across strains identified several functional categories associated with

metabolism, including candidates for the biosynthesis of toxic metabolites, as well as genome

size variation, including recent proliferations of transposable elements. Together, our results

indicate that *P. parvum* is comprised of multiple cryptic species. These genomes provide a robust

phylogenetic and genomic framework for investigations into the eco-physiological consequences

of the intra- and inter-specific genetic variation present in *P. parvum* and demonstrate the need

for similar resources for other HAB-forming morphospecies.

**SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT**

Harmful algal blooms (HABs) are a global concern. Efforts to understand the genetic basis of

traits associated with the success of HAB-forming species are limited by a dearth of genomic

resources. In this paper we present genomes for fifteen strains of *Prymnesium parvum*, a toxic

alga that causes ecosystem and societally disruptive HABs around the world. We uncover an

unprecedented amount of sequence-level, gene family, and genome architecture evolution in *P.*

*parvum* and provide evidence for both cryptic speciation and hybridization. These results

illustrate how both inter- and intraspecific genetic variation can be dramatically underestimated

in a protist morphospecies. More work is needed to understand the eco-physiological

consequences of hidden genetic diversity in *P. parvum* and HAB-forming species more

generally.

## INTRODUCTION

Environmentally disruptive harmful algal blooms (HABs) are increasing in frequency and severity in many marine, brackish, and freshwater ecosystems (1–3). Knowledge of genetic variation within HAB populations can aid efforts to predict when HABs will occur and model HAB impacts in a changing climate. However, genome-level data are currently limited for HAB-forming species (4). One such species, *Prymnesium parvum,* is a unicellular microalga belonging to the haptophyte clade of eukaryotes (5). Globally, HABs of *P. parvum* have considerable ecologic and economic impacts. In the southwestern United States, particularly in Texas, blooms are correlated with several troubling environmental trends including increased use of glyphosate herbicides, increased concentrations of atmospheric $CO_2$, and decreased wetland cover (6, 7).

$P. parvum's$ success as a HAB-forming species likely results from several interrelated aspects of its biology. The species is extremely eurythermal and euryhaline, tolerating temperatures ranging from 2 to 32 °C and salinities ranging from 0.5 to 125% of typical seawater (8). Although *P. parvum* is photosynthetic and maintains permanent chloroplasts, it is also a mixotroph and able to ingest organic material via phagocytosis (9, 10). Despite its small size, *P. parvum* is a ferocious facultative predator capable of swarming and killing various prey including bacteria, other microbial eukaryotes, aquatic invertebrates, and even fish (11–14). The species produces toxins that are thought to assist in this predatory behavior and/or inhibit the activity of competitors and grazers (5). Heterotrophy and toxicity can increase in *P. parvum* cultures grown in sub-optimal conditions, *e.g.*, when nutrients are limiting or water temperature/salinity is at the edge of what a strain can tolerate (8, 10, 15).

*P. parvum* produces a variety of toxic metabolites (16). Most conspicuous are the prymnesins, massive ladder-frame polyether compounds that are unique to *P. parvum* but structurally similar to the phycotoxins of many HAB-forming dinoflagellates (17). More than 50 different prymnesins have been identified and are grouped into types based on the number of carbons in their aglycone backbone. The backbone of A-type prymnesins contain 91 carbons, while B-type and C-type prymnesins contain 85 and 83 carbons, respectively (18, 19). To date, all strains of *P. parvum* produce just one type of prymnesin, and strains that produce the same prymnesin type (*i.e.*, chemotype) group together in phylogenies of rDNA sequences (19, 20).

The strong agreement between phylogeny and chemotype —despite strains of different

chemotypes often existing in the same geographical location—suggests that there is limited gene

flow between chemotypes of *P. parvum* (19).

Strains of *P. parvum* may appear morphologically indistinguishable, but the species

harbors considerable phenotypic diversity. In addition to the previously discussed diversity in

prymnesin chemotypes, the amount of prymnesin produced varies between strains (20) as does

overall toxicity (20–23). Predatory behavior also varies between *P. parvum* strains, even between

strains taken from the same bloom (24). Moreover, several abiotic factors including temperature,

salinity, pH, and irradiance have distinct effects on the relative growth rate and toxicity of

different strains (23, 25–27), demonstrating remarkable genotype by environment interactions

and adaptive plasticity in *P. parvum*.

Extensive physiological and biochemical variation between strains of *P. parvum* indicates

either the existence of multiple cryptic species (19) or extreme standing genetic variation in this

morphospecies. However, the genetic differences between strains have not yet been quantified.

Here, we generated fifteen sequenced genomes of *P. parvum* strains and report considerable

genomic variation, both at the nucleotide level and in terms of gene family presence/absence.

Our comparative phylogenetic analysis supports monophyletic origins of A-,  B-, and C-type

prymnesins, with C-type strains having more within-clade sequence diversity compared to A-

and B-types. Moreover, we found that the three prymnesin chemotypes of *P. parvum* have

dramatically different haploid genome sizes. In addition to haploid strains identified in all three

chemotypes, we also identified A- and C-type diploid strains, as well as A- and B-type 4n

tetraploids. Lastly, we present evidence that UTEX 2797, a common laboratory strain from

Texas, is a hybrid that retains two phylogenetically distinct haplotypes.

**RESULTS**

***Prymnesium parvum* genome assemblies.** We obtained Hi-C scaffolded, highly contiguous

genome assemblies of two *P. parvum* strains from Texas: UTEX 2797 and 12B1. UTEX 2797

was selected for sequencing due to its use in numerous toxicology and physiology experiments

of *P. parvum* (*e.g.,* 19, 20, 22, 27–32). However, preliminary analysis of k-mer frequencies

revealed that UTEX 2797 displays high sequence-level heterozygosity (Fig. 1A), which can

complicate genome assembly. Therefore, we also selected strain 12B1 (21), which had no

observable heterozygosity to obtain a homozygous reference assembly (Fig. 1A). UTEX 2797

produces A-type prymnesins (18, 19), and our chemotyping analysis revealed strain 12B1

produces A-type prymnesins as well (Fig. S1).

The nuclear DNA content of both strains was estimated using flow cytometry (Fig. 1B).

The majority of 12B1 nuclei contained an average of 0.12 pg DNA, which corresponds to

approximately 115.6 million base pairs (Mbp), assuming a conversion factor of $1 \text{ pg} = 0.98 \times 10^9$

base pairs (33). The 12B1 flow cytometry histogram also contained a second, substantially

smaller peak corresponding to approximately 0.23 pg DNA (228 Mbp), indicating that some

cells may have been in the process of dividing (*i.e.*, the $G_2$ phase of the cell cycle) (Fig. 1B). The

UTEX 2797 flow cytometry histogram contained a single dominant peak corresponding to 0.28

pg DNA, or 274.4 Mbp (Fig. 1B, Table S1). A cryptic sexual lifecycle for *P. parvum* has been

proposed that alternates between haploid and diploid forms, which are only distinguishable by

transmission electron microscopy of the cells' outer scales (34). However, neither syngamy nor

meiosis have been observed in *P. parvum*, and its lifecycle remains unknown. Therefore, we

must be cautious when inferring the haploid genome size and relative ploidy states of different

strains, and we tentatively labeled the dominant peaks in 12B1 and UTEX 2797 as 1C and 2C,

respectively (Fig. 1B).

The Hi-C scaffolded genome assembly for 12B1 consisted of 34 scaffolds spanning 93.6

Mbp with an N50 of 3.2 Mbp (Table S2). The UTEX 2797 assembly was over twice the length

of the 12B1 assembly at 197.6 Mbp and consisted of 66 scaffolds with an N50 of 3.4 Mbp (Table

S2). Compared to nine other haptophyte assemblies (see Table S3 and references therein), the

UTEX 2797 and 12B1 assemblies are the second and third most contiguous (Fig. 1C).

Chromosomal end-to-end assembly was accomplished for 29.4% and 7.5% of 12B1 and UTEX

2797 scaffolds, respectively (Table S4), evidenced by the presence of TTAGGG telomeric

sequences observed in other haptophytes (35). Overall, most 12B1 scaffolds (73.5%) had at least

one predicted telomere. In contrast, only 42.4% of UTEX 2797 scaffolds had any predicted

telomeres (Fig. S2), which suggests that the level of heterozygosity in the UTEX 2797 genome

may have impacted the complete assembly of these repetitive regions. Total repetitive sequence

makes up 29.4% and 35.5% of the 12B1 and UTEX 2797 genome assemblies, respectively

(Table S5), which are within the range of values (22.9% - 64%) reported for other haptophytes

(36–38).

We predicted and annotated 23,802 and 45,535 protein-coding genes in the genomes of

12B1 and UTEX 2797, respectively (Table S6). In total, 86.2% and 86.4% of the 12B1 and

UTEX 2797 genes could be assigned predicted functional annotations through InterProScan (39).

The completeness of the predicted gene sets were assessed with BUSCO (40); 216 of 255

conserved eukaryotic genes (84.7%) were complete within both predicted proteomes (Table S7).

This level of BUSCO recovery is the greatest of any currently available haptophyte genome

assembly (Fig. 1C, Table S3). While only 5.1% of BUSCOs were duplicated in 12B1, the

majority of BUSCOs (75.5%) were duplicated in UTEX 2797 (Table S7).

Synteny and collinearity analyses further highlight the duplicated state of the UTEX 2797

genome assembly. A strong 2:1 synteny pattern is observed between the genes of UTEX 2797

and 12B1; 93% of UTEX 2797 genes are syntenic to a single region in 12B1, whereas 84% of

12B1 genes are syntenic to two regions in the UTEX 2797 genome (Fig. 1D). Most 12B1

scaffolds are collinear with two syntenic regions in the UTEX 2797 assembly, but an abundance

of large structural variants (*e.g.*, inversions, indels, translocations) are apparent both between the

two reference strains as well as between syntenic scaffolds of UTEX 2797 (Fig. 1E). Together,

these data indicate that the assembly of UTEX 2797 consists of two largely non-collapsed

haplotypes, which is consistent with the strain being a highly heterozygous diploid. Due to the

extreme heterozygosity present in UTEX 2797, we suspected that the strain may have arisen via

hybridization of two divergent parents. However, further investigation required data from

additional *P. parvum* strains.

To enable phylogenetic analysis of *P. parvum* and investigate the origin of the divergent

haplotypes in UTEX 2797, we selected thirteen additional *P. parvum* strains for genomic

characterization that represent different geographical locations and prymnesin chemotypes

(Table S8). In addition to 12B1 and UTEX 2797, we included four other strains that produce A-

type prymnesins: 12A1, CCMP2941, CCMP3037, and RCC3703 (Fig. S1) (19). Three strains in

the analysis  produce B-type prymnesins (K-0081, K-0374, and KAC-39), and four produce C-

type prymnesins (K-0252, RCC191, RCC1433, and RCC1436) (18, 19). Two additional strains

in our analysis (RCC3426 and UTEX 995) have not yet been chemotyped. We assembled and

annotated the genomes of these thirteen additional strains using Illumina short reads (Table S2).

Genome assembly lengths ranged from 77.0 Mbp (CCMP3707) to 92.9 Mbp (RCC1436). As

expected of short-read assemblies, genome contiguity was low, with contig N50s that ranged

from 3414 bp (K-0081) to 8872 bp (RCC1433). Nevertheless, coding gene space was well

represented, and the number of protein coding genes ranged from 26,458 (CCMP3037) to 32,339

(UTEX 995) (Table S6). BUSCO scores ranged from 65% (UTEX 995) to 81% (RCC1433),

comparable to BUSCO scores observed in other Illumina-only haptophyte genome assemblies

(Table S7). However, it is notable that 10 unique BUSCOs were not recovered in any of the 24

haptophyte genomes investigated (Table S9). This suggests that some BUSCOs may be absent in

haptophytes or too divergent to be recovered using the current BUSCO sequence models.

**High sequence divergence within a unicellular morphospecies.** To determine the relatedness

among strains of different chemotypes*,* we first identified orthologous gene families (*i.e.*,

orthogroups) using OrthoFinder (41). We selected 2699 single-copy orthogroups (SCOGs)

present in all 15 strains for concatenation- and coalescent-based species tree analyses. Because

the most closely related haptophyte species with sequenced genomes were too divergent to serve

as outgroups for a nucleotide-based phylogeny, a nonreversible substitution model was used to

evaluate support for different root locations on the *P. parvum* species tree (42). The root with the

highest likelihood score caused the C-type prymnesin producers to be paraphyletic, which is a

phylogenetic pattern also observed in a prior 18S rDNA phylogeny (20). However, 'rootstrap'

support for this root location was low (68%), and two alternative root locations, including one in

which C-type producers were monophyletic, could not be rejected (AU tree topology test P-

values > 0.1; Fig. 2A, Fig. S3).

Regardless of the root location, both concatenation- and coalescent-based species trees

supported the monophyletic origin of A-type and B-type prymnesins (Fig. 2A, Fig. S8). Support

for the species tree topology was assessed using gene and site concordance factors (gCF/sCF)

(43). Support was generally high across the tree but low within A-type and B-type clades (Fig.

2A, Fig. S8). These low support values also correspond to areas of disagreement between the

concatenation and coalescent based phylogenies (Fig. S8). Untyped strain RCC3426 grouped

with strains that produce B-type prymnesins with strong support (gCF = 95.1; sCF = 96.7),

suggesting RCC3426 also produces B-type prymnesins. Similarly, untyped strain UTEX 995

grouped with C-type strain RCC1436 (gCF = 92.7; sCF = 94.9), indicating it likely produces C-

type prymnesins.

Divergence among strains was evaluated using two approaches. First, divergence was

estimated based on the average number of substitutions per synonymous site ($Ks$) between gene

pairs in 15,074 orthogroups. These orthogroups were selected based on their presence in 10 or

more strains and robust nucleotide alignments. Average $Ks$ within A- and B-type clades was

extremely low at 0.003 and 0.000, respectively (Fig. 2A, Fig. S4, Table S10). The C-type strains

formed three distinct groups based on $Ks$: clade C1 (RCC191 and RCC1433), clade C2

(RCC1436, UTEX 995), and clade C3 (K-0252). Median $Ks$ was elevated when C-type strains

were compared to each other ($Ks$ = 0.0241) with the C3 strain, K-0252, from Australia acting as

a significant outlier when compared to other C-types ($Ks$ = 0.048) (Fig. 2A, Fig. S4, Table S10).

The largest $Ks$ values occurred when C-type strains were compared to A- and B-types ($Ks$ =

0.093). These results suggest that C-type strains form a monophyletic group and that the best

root location for the *P. parvum* species tree is along the branch separating C-type strains from A-

and B-types (Fig. 2A).

A second approach, complimentary to sequence divergence and based on breadth of

coverage (BOC) of each strain's Illumina reads, was used to explore strain relatedness. Reads

were aligned to the 12B1 and UTEX 2797 reference genomes, and BOC was defined as the

percentage of reference sequence spanned by greater than ten reads. Average BOC of the haploid

12B1 genome varied dramatically between chemotypes, ranging from 91.7% in A-types, 72.7%

in B-types, and 63.4% in C-type strains (Fig. 2B, Table S11). A-type strains from the U.S.A had

a higher average BOC (95.4%) compared to the two European strains (84.2%). BOC data from

all strains plotted across the 34 scaffolds of the 12B1 reference assembly generally depicted

decreased coverage as phylogenetic distance increased but also identified several regions of the

12B1 assembly that were strain- or subclade-specific (Fig. S5). All strains maintained high

sequence coverage across 12B1's genic space, ranging from 97.5% in other A-type strains to

91.2% in strain UTEX 995 (Fig. 2B, Table S11). This is pattern is similar to that seen in

interspecific comparisons of *Arabidopsis* spp. (Streptophyta), in which protein-coding genes are

conserved while intergenic sequences show significant divergence (44).

**Phylogenetically divergent haplotypes within a single strain.** Average breadth of coverage

was strikingly low for most strains when aligned to the UTEX 2797 assembly (Fig. 2B, Table

S11). The two exceptions were UTEX 2797 (*i.e.*, aligned to itself, BOC = 86%) and another

strain from Texas, 12A1 (BOC = 91.7%). This pattern of low coverage across the UTEX 2797

assembly is consistent with UTEX 2797 having two divergent haplotypes. Strain 12A1 likely

shares these or closely related haplotypes as its reads map well to both haplotypes while reads

from other strains primarily map to one haplotype or the other (Fig. 2B, Table S11). To

investigate the evolutionary history of the UTEX 2797 haplotypes, we first plotted BOC across

the 66 scaffolds of the UTEX 2797 reference genome. Clear haplotype blocks were identified

that showed either high coverage in A-type strains from the U.S.A. or high coverage in the two

A-type strains from Europe: CCMP2941 from Russia and RCC3703 from the United Kingdom

(Fig. S6). Similarly, manual inspection of individual gene trees revealed that UTEX 2797

frequently grouped with these two European strains (*e.g.*, Fig. S7) despite grouping with other

strains from the U.S.A. in both the concatenation and coalescent species trees (Fig. 2A).

The BOC analysis suggested that the two haplotypes of UTEX 2797 have divergent

evolutionary histories. This could lead to high levels of incongruence between the input gene

trees (in which UTEX 2797 may group in two locations reflecting the evolutionary histories of

its two haplotypes) and the inferred species tree (which forces each strain to appear only once).

Therefore, we performed a gene tree-species tree reconciliation analysis using GRAMPA (45) to

test whether a multi-labeled (MUL) species tree in which UTEX 2797 appeared twice was a

more parsimonious representation of the input gene trees compared to the original single-labeled

species tree. Seven of 29 possible MUL phylogenies had a smaller parsimony score, *i.e.*, required

fewer total gene duplication and loss events, compared to the single-labeled species tree (Fig. S8,

Table S12). In the most parsimonious MUL species tree, UTEX 2797 grouped in two locations:

with other A-type strains from the U.S.A (hereafter clade A1) and with CCMP2941 and

RCC3703 from Europe (hereafter clade A2) (Fig. 3). Plotting the genomic distribution of UTEX

2797 genes that group with either clade A1 or A2 revealed a striking pattern. Most scaffolds

formed syntenic pairs in the which one scaffold had a high proportion of genes that grouped with

subclade A1 and the second scaffold contained genes that grouped with subclade A2 (Fig. 3,

Table S13). To quantify the divergence between the UTEX 2797 haplotypes, we compared the

distribution of synonymous substitutions of UTEX 2797 genes that grouped with either the A1 or

A2 clades. This analysis revealed a bimodal distribution of $Ks$ values in which A1 genes in

UTEX 2797 were essentially identical to A1 strains 12B1 and CCMP3037 ($Ks = 0$) and more

divergent to A2 strains RCC3703 and CCMP2941 ($Ks = 0.009$) (Fig. S9). This pattern was

reversed in A2 genes (Fig. S9). From these results we can infer that UTEX 2797 was formed via

the hybridization of an A1-like and an A2-like parent.

**Inter-strain variation in DNA content and haploid genome size.** Flow cytometry revealed that

DNA content varied dramatically among *P. parvum* strains (Fig. 4A). Strain 12B1 had the

smallest amount of nuclear DNA at 115.6 Mbp, while K-0081 (a B-type strain) had the largest at

845.6 Mbp. Large variation in DNA content between strains of *P. parvum* has previously been

interpreted as differences in ploidy (34). However, in our analysis, the relative DNA content

between strains did not discretely cluster around integer fold changes (Fig. 4A), as would be

expected if all variation in nuclear DNA content was due to differences in genome copy number

(*i.e.*, ploidy). Given the sequence-level divergence between strains in our analysis, it is possible

that differences between strains could extend to the size of their haploid genome as well.

Moreover, due to the limited understanding of the *P. parvum* lifecycle, the ploidy of different

strains was not immediately clear. This creates a circular puzzle, as we cannot infer the haploid

genome size of a strain from flow cytometry without *a priori* knowing the strain's ploidy.

To address this limitation, we used two sequence-based approaches to estimate the

relative haploid genome size between strains. First, k-mer frequency analyses were performed

using the Illumina reads from each strain. Reads were filtered to exclude possible contamination

and depth normalized to permit inter-strain comparison of k-mer coverage. The coverage of

maximal unique k-mers (CMUK) of the homozygous peak in k-mer frequency plots was used as

a proxy for haploid genome size, with larger CMUKs indicative of smaller haploid genomes and

vice versa. CMUK varied dramatically between strains with different prymnesin chemotypes,

ranging from an average of 55.8 in B-type producers to 120.3 in A-types (Fig. 4B, Table S14).

Average CMUK also varied between C-type strains with strains in the C1 clade having an

average CMUK of 104.5 compared to strains in C2 and C3 clades, which had and average

CMUK of 77.3 (Fig. 4B, Table S14). This pattern indicates considerable differences in haploid

genome size between clades with the A-type clade having the smallest haploid genome size and

the B-type clade having the largest.

The amount of heterozygosity also varied considerably between strains (Fig. 4B). In

addition to 12B1, six strains (A1 strain CCMP3037; B strains K-0374, KAC-39, RCC3426; and

C1 strains RCC191, RCC1433) showed little to no evidence of heterozygosity, which could

indicate that, like 12B1, these strains are haploid. However, in the case of A1 strain CCMP3037,

its flow cytometry estimated DNA content was twice that of 12B1 despite the two strains having

similar CMUKs peaks (Fig. 4A,B). This indicates that CCMP3037 is a diploid strain with low

levels of heterozygosity. For all other low heterozygosity strains in B and C1 clades, decreased

CMUK relative to 12B1 corresponds with increased DNA content, suggesting that these strains

are haploids. All other strains displayed comparatively moderate to high levels of heterozygosity,

indicating that they are either diploids (2n) or polyploid (3n or greater). Notably, strain 12A1 had

a pronounced heterozygous peak indicating extremely high levels of heterozygosity, similar to

that observed in UTEX 2797 (Fig. 4B). This, coupled with 12A1's high BOC against the UTEX

2797 diploid assembly, indicates that 12A1 is also a hybrid strain.

Average read coverage across 2699 single-copy orthogroups (SCOGs) was used as a

second sequence-based estimate of haploid genome size (Fig. 4C, Table S14). SCOG and

CMUK coverage estimates were in agreement for most strains, except for UTEX 2797, which

had significantly lower average SCOG coverage compared to the location of its CMUK peak

(Fig. 4B,C). This discrepancy is due to the nature of its hybrid genome and Hi-C scaffolded

assembly with resolved haplotypes. To be assigned to a SCOG, genes in UTEX 2797 have likely

returned to single-copy post hybridization, resulting in lower coverage compared to genes that

have been retained in duplicate. We used the Lander-Waterman equation to estimate the haploid

genome size for all strains based on the total length of the Illumina sequencing library divided by

the median SCOG coverage, which served as an estimate of coverage for the entire genome (46,

47). This sequence-based estimate of haploid genome size ranged from 91 Mbp in A-type strain

CCMP3031 to 203 Mbp in B-type strain K-0374 (Fig. 4D).

Cross-referencing total DNA content with the sequence-based haploid genome size, we

were able to assign a predicted ploidy level for each strain (Fig. 4E, Table S14). Six strains were

determined to be haploids, and seven (including hybrids 12A1 and UTEX 2797) were diploids.

Two strains, A-type CCMP2941 and B-type K-0081 appear tetraploid. Using this predicted

ploidy, we also determined flow cytometry-based estimates of haploid genome size (Fig. 4F,

Table S14). The A clade has the smallest genome size (average = 130 Mbp), followed by the C1

clade (153 Mbp). The C3 strain (K-0252) had an estimated genome size of 204 Mbp, and the C2

clade had an average genome size of 225 Mbp. Lastly, B clade strains had the largest genomes

(average = 281 Mbp), while also having the largest amount of intra-clade variation in genome

size (Fig. 4F).

We investigated the relationship between DNA content and average cell volume and did

not identify a clear trend (Fig. S10). When comparing between haploid strains, we found a weak

association between cell size and prymnesin type, with B-types having the largest genomes and

largest cells and the single A-type haploid (12B1) having both the smallest genome and cell size

(Fig. S10B). However, we did not find a similar correlation when comparing between diploid

strains. When looking within prymnesin A-type producing strains, we found a weak positive

correlation between cell size and ploidy state, but we did not find a similar association within B-

or C- type strains (Fig. S10C).

**Accessory gene families and horizontal gene transfer.** To investigate the impact of genome

variation on gene family evolution in *P. parvum,* we performed a pangenome analysis of

OrthoFinder-predicted gene families and identified a total of 47,043 orthogroups including

16,453 core orthogroups present in all strains; 20,738 accessory orthogroups present in 2-14

strains; and 9852 singleton orthogroups unique to a single strain (Table S15). The number of

shared orthogroups generally clustered by prymnesin type, with some exceptions (Fig. 5A; Table

S16). Strains 12B1 and UTEX 2797 did not cluster with other A-types, likely in part due to the

differences between their Hi-C scaffolded assemblies and the other Illumina-only assemblies.

The C-types also clustered into two groups based on orthogroup membership, with C2 strains

(RCC1436 and UTEX 995) clustering separate from C1 and C3 strains (Fig. 5A).

A significant percentage of all orthogroups (44.1%) were variably present in 2-14 strains.

These accessory orthogroups belong to several enriched functional categories that could be

associated with metabolic and genome size variation in *P. parvum.* Several KEGG specialized

metabolic pathways, including those for the biosynthesis of type I polyketides, macrolides, and

nonribosomal peptides, were enriched in accessory orthogroups compared to core and singleton

orthogroups (BH adjusted p-value < 0.01; Fig. 5B, Table S17). Moreover, 31 Gene Ontology

(GO) categories were enriched in the accessory orthogroups, the most significantly enriched of

which was GO:0015074, DNA integration (BH adjusted p-value = 3.68E-73; Fig. 5C, Table

S17). Most orthogroups assigned to this GO category were annotated as integrase-like enzymes,

common components of lysogenic viruses and transposable elements. We investigated the 60

genes annotated with the DNA integration GO term (GO:0015074) in 12B1 and UTEX 2797 and

found that all genes fell within 400 bp of a predicted repeat. Many of these genes were found

within Ngaro LTRs, which intersected 31.3% of integrase-like genes in 12B1. This pattern

reveals that enrichment of the DNA integration GO term is driven by transposable element

integrase genes that have been incorporated into the gene model predictions. We investigated the

phylogenetic distribution of this pattern by performing functional enrichment on gene families

uniquely present in A-, B-, and C-type strains. We found that the DNA integration GO term was

enriched in the B- and C-type specific orthogroups (BH adjusted p-values = $3.94e^{-15}$ and $1.72e^{-4}$,

respectively) but was not significantly enriched in A-type specific orthogroups (Table S17),

suggesting that an expansion of transposon copy number may contribute to the greater haploid

genome sizes of the B- and C-type clades.

One potential source of accessory genes in a pangenome is horizontal gene transfer

(HGT) (48). To investigate the role of HGT in the genome evolution of *P. parvum,* we

performed an Alien Index (AI) analysis (49) and focused our investigation on HGTs into

*Prymnesium* after the genus diverged from other haptophytes. The AI screen flagged 95

orthogroups as candidate HGTs (Table S18). We used a custom phylogenetic pipeline to

manually evaluate all candidate HGTs and found that most (n = 61) were phylogenetically

inconclusive or lacked support for HGT. Of the 34 HGT candidates that passed manual

inspection, we focused on eleven HGTs with clear donor lineages with strong node support (Fig.

S11, Table S19). Two HGTs were likely acquired from eukaryotic donors: HGT01, a bicarbonate

transporter of diatom origin, and HGT02, a gene of unknown function that grouped with

pelagophytes. Eight HGTs (HGT03 - HGT10) were likely acquired from bacteria. All the

bacterially derived HGTs were enzyme-coding with diverse metabolic activities (Table S19). For

example, HGT09 grouped phylogenetically with sequences from marine bacteria (Fig. 5D),

including *bmp5*, a decarboxylating flavin-dependent halogenase involved in the biosynthesis of

polybrominated natural products in *Pseudoalteromonas* spp. (50). Lastly, HGT11 was a Clp

protease that grouped with large dsDNA megaviruses that infect eukaryotic algae (Fig. 5E).

Several horizontally transferred genes were part of the accessory genome and variably

present across strains (Table S19). Some of this variation is likely due to ancestral HGTs being

lost in some clades; *e.g.*, HGT07 and HGT10 both appear to have been gained in an ancestor of

*Prymnesium* and subsequently lost in the B-type clade (Fig. S11). HGT11, the Clp protease gene

of viral origin, was notable due to its presence in only four disparate strains: three A-type strains

(UTEX 2797, 12A1, and CCMP2941) and one C-type (RCC1433) (Fig. 5E). If this gene was

acquired in a shared ancestor of all four strains, at least six independent loss events would be

required to explain this presence/absence pattern. An alternative explanation could be that

multiple independent acquisitions of a viral Clp protease have occurred in *P. parvum.* The

genomic neighborhood of HGT11 in UTEX 2797 contains six additional genes with a top

BLAST hit to EhV-86, a dsDNA megavirus that infects the haptophyte *Emiliania huxleyi* (51).

These additional genes were not recovered in our primary screen for HGT due to a limited

number of hits to proteins in the NCBI RefSeq database, which were insufficient for

phylogenetic analysis (see SI Materials and Methods). However, their sequence similarity

suggests that a block of viral genes was likely acquired in a single HGT event in the lineage

leading to UTEX 2797 (Fig. S12). We checked for shared synteny between UTEX 2797 and the

three additional strains that contain HGT11. In 12A1, HGT11 is located on a 20-gene scaffold

(Scaf652979) which shares nearly perfect synteny with UTEX 2797, including five genes within

the proposed viral fragment (Fig. S13), which suggests that UTEX 2797 and 12A1 share the

same HGT event. In contrast, the scaffolds that contain HGT11 in CCMP2941 and RCC1433 are

syntenic with each other, but neither are syntenic with UTEX 2797 (Fig. S13). This suggests that

the HGT that gave rise to the Clp protease in CCMP2941 and RCC1433 was likely independent

from that of UTEX 2797 and 12A1. Long-read assemblies of additional strains including 12A1,

CCMP2941, and RCC1433 are needed to confirm this pattern.

**DISCUSSION**

The harmful algal bloom-forming eukaryote, *Prymnesium parvum*, possesses considerable

genomic diversity. Combining data from synonymous substitutions, reference genome coverage,

phylogenetics, and genome size, the fifteen strains in our analysis can be subdivided into six

distinct clades consisting of at least three cryptic species (Fig. 4). Evidence for these cryptic

species comes from the fact that prymnesin chemotype is phylogenetically segregated, which

indicates that the *P. parvum* A-, B-, and C-type clades are reproductively isolated. Here, we

provide further support for these clades being separate cryptic species based on the extreme

variation in their genome size, as excessive chromosome-level differences will likely inhibit

proper chromosome pairing during meiosis. The most dramatic difference in genome size

occurred between the sister clades of A- and B- type strains (Fig. 4F). These clades show limited

substitutions at synonymous sites ($Ks = 0.02$; Fig. 2A), which suggests that the changes to their

genome sizes have been relatively recent. Possible mechanisms for genome expansion in B-type

strains includes whole-genome duplication (WGD) and/or proliferation of transposable elements.

Our analysis of *Ks* distributions showed no evidence of recent WGD in the last common ancestor

of the B-clade (Fig. S4). Instead, gene functions associated with transposable elements were

enriched in gene families unique to B-type strains (Table S17), suggesting that the increase in

their genome size could be due to an increase in transposition activity of these elements. A high-

quality reference genome of a B-type strain would enable further investigation of repeat diversity

and expansion across these two clades and clarify the mechanism(s) of genome size variation in

*P. parvum*.

Although *P. parvum* is currently considered a single morphospecies, earlier taxonomic

descriptions split the species in two (*P. parvum* and *P. patelliferum*) based on slight differences

in the morphology of the organic scales that cover their cell surface (52). When the rDNA ITS1

region was found to be identical in strains of *P. parvum* and *P. patelliferum* isolated from the

same geographic location, the two species were merged into one (53). Later, two strains

originally identified as *P. parvum* (K-0081 and strain RL10parv93, not included in our analysis)

were found to have higher amounts of DNA compared to three strains labeled *P. patelliferum* (K-

0252, RCC191, and a third strain not assessed here, RLpat93) (34). This observation led Larsen

and Edvardsen (1998) to propose a cryptic lifecycle for *P. parvum* that alternates between

flagellated haploid and diploid forms. Our analysis identified strains of different ploidy states,

supporting the existence of a cryptic sexual lifecycle. Moreover, the existence of a hybrid strain

(UTEX 2797) with two phylogenetically divergent parental genotypes indicates that syngamy

can occur in *P. parvum*. Our assessment of ploidy across these taxa, which includes flow

cytometry and read-based estimates of haploid genome size, indicates that K-0081 is a tetraploid,

not a diploid as previously thought, and that K-0252 is a diploid and not a haploid (Fig. 4). Given

the inclusion of these strains in the hypothesis about scale morphology and ploidy, our new

results suggest that scale morphology is not diagnostic of ploidy state. Analysis of the fifteen

strains reported here places variation in ploidy and genome size in a phylogenetic framework,

facilitating future investigation into cellular morphology and sexual reproduction in *P. parvum*.

Our phylogenomic analysis also reveals that UTEX 2797, a Texas *P. parvum* strain

frequently used in growth and toxicity experiments, is a hybrid of A1 and A2 parents (Fig. 4A).

It is intriguing that the A1 strains in our analysis are from the U.S.A while the A2 strains are

from Europe, and it is tempting to speculate that hybridization was a result of a recent

introduction of the A2 lineage in Texas. Our analyses suggest that the high heterozygosity strain

12A1 is a hybrid as well, but it is unclear from our analysis if 12A1 arose from the same

hybridization event as UTEX 2797. Further investigation into the origin of these strains requires

additional taxon sampling of both A1 and A2 lineages as well as long-read based assemblies of

12A1 to resolve its two haplotypes. The evolutionary outcome of hybridization in *P. parvum* is

also unknown. Are these hybrid strains capable of sexual reproduction? Genome divergence

between A1 and A2 clades may be such that homologous chromosomes are unable to pair

correctly during meiosis. If so, these hybrids may be effectively trapped as diploids and only able

to reproduce asexually. Alternatively, whole-genome duplication following hybridization

(allopolyploidy) has been shown to restore fertility in yeast (54), which could make these *P.*

*parvum* hybrids reproductively haploids. Characterizing the sexual lifecycle of *P. parvum* would

allow researchers to address several outstanding questions regarding the ecological and

evolutionary consequences of extreme genetic variation in these toxic bloom forming eukaryotes.

Knowledge of the *P. parvum* lifecycle would enable the design of mating tests to determine the

reproductive status of hybrid strains. Mating tests could also be used to assess reproductive

isolation between divergent populations, as has been done in other morphologically

indistinguishable cryptic species complexes (55–57).

Previous work indicates that HABs of *P. parvum* are comprised of multiple genotypes

(21, 24, 58), and our results reveal that the genome-level differences between these genotypes

can be dramatic. For example, strains 12A1 and 12B1 were isolated from the same Texas bloom

in 2010 and consistently show different cell-level behaviors involved in toxicity and predation of

microalgal prey (21, 24). Here, we show that characteristic differences between 12A1 and 12B1

extend to large differences in their genomes as well, with 12A1 being a hybrid diploid and 12B1

having a streamlined haploid genome. Moreover, significant gene-level differences exist between

these two strains, with 7% (n = 1485) of 12B1 orthogroups absent in 12A1. An even larger

percentage, 22% (n = 5709), of 12A1 orthogroups are absent in 12B1 (Table S16), including one

that was horizontally acquired (HGT11; Fig. 5E). Gene families that are variably present across

strains in our analysis include those for the biosynthesis of type I polyketides, (Fig. 5B), the class

of specialized metabolites that includes prymnesins (17). This is unsurprising given the structural

diversity in prymnesins that have already been characterized in *P. parvum* (18, 19). However, it

is notable that 12A1 and 12B1, both A-type strains, show variable representation of eighteen

orthogroups assigned to the type I polyketide KEGG pathway (map01052; Table S17, Table

S15). If any of these orthogroups are involved in toxin biosynthesis, phenotypic differences

between these two strains could extend to their toxin profiles as well. The coding capacity of *P.*

*parvum* has also been expanded by HGT. Prymnesins are halogenated metabolites, and though

we have not functionally characterized it, it is tantalizing to note that a halogenase is among the

HGT candidates in the *P. parvum* genomes (HGT09; Fig. 5D). HGT is often a source of

metabolic innovation (*e.g.,* 49, 59–61) and elucidating the prymnesin pathway will determine if

this gene is relevant to the production of these metabolites. More work is needed to identify the

genetic factors associated with toxin production and the phenotypic differences between these

diverse strains of *P. parvum.* Additionally, work is needed to identify the selective advantage of

different phenotypes and whether such advantages fluctuate between bloom and non-bloom

conditions. The genome assemblies and phylogenomic analysis reported here are thus essential

resources that will enable future investigation into the eco-physiological consequences of hidden

genetic diversity in this HAB-forming morphospecies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Strains and their respective media types and culturing conditions are summarized in Table S8.

Flow cytometry for genome size estimation and LC-MS for prymnesin chemotyping were

performed as described in SI Materials and Methods. Details on genome sequencing and

assembly, repeat and gene prediction, and assessment of assembly completeness are described in

SI Materials and Methods. Analysis of synteny within the UTEX 2797 Hi-C scaffolded genome

assembly and between assemblies of UTEX 2797 and other *P. parvum* strains was performed

using the online Comparative Genomics Platform (CoGe) as described in SI Materials and

Methods. Orthologous gene families, individual gene trees, concatenation and coalescent-based

species trees, and gene tree-species tree reconciliation was performed using the phylogenomics

workflow summarized in Fig. S14 and described in SI Materials and Methods. Breadth of

coverage (BOC) was calculated from alignments of subsampled, filtered Illumina reads to the

12B1 and UTEX 2797 scaffolded assemblies. See SI Materials and Methods for details on read

filtration to remove contamination and subsampling to control for library differences between

strains. Depth of coverage was calculated on a per-base level and BOC was calculated as the

proportion of base-pairs in the final assembly that had coverage greater than N coverage. BOC

using coverage N = 0, 5, 10, 20, and 50 were all evaluated. Final BOC tables for each assembly

can be found in Tables S11, and additional details are provided in in SI Materials and Methods.

Heterozygosity, coverage of maximal unique k-mers, and SCOG read coverage were estimated

using the subsampled, filtered Illumina gDNA reads as described in SI Materials and Methods.

The haploid genome size (G) was calculated using the Lander-Waterman equation (46): $G =$

$LN/C$ where LN is the total combined length (in base pairs) of the input Illumina reads and C is

coverage as estimated by SCOG read depth. All additional method details including cell size

estimation, functional annotation, tests for functional enrichment, and detection of horizontal

gene transfer are described in SI Materials and Methods.

**DATA AVAILABILITY**

All genome assemblies, predicted CDS and protein sequences, multiple sequence alignments,

tree files, and other related data files are available through FigShare

(https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.21376500). Scripts are available through GitHub

(https://github.com/WisecaverLab/Pparvum_genome_diversity). Raw sequencing reads have

been deposited in the Sequence Read Archive database under accession number PRJNA807128.

LC-MS data from chemotyping is available in the EBI MetaboLights database under accession

MTBLS5893. Strains 12B1 and 12A1 have been deposited in the UTEX Culture Collection of

Algae at UT-Austin under UTEX accessions UTEX LB ZZ1299 and UTEX LB ZZ1300).

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank members of the Wisecaver lab, Dr. Jody Banks, and Dr. Brian Dilkes for helpful

discussions as well as Dr. Clint Chapple and Dr. Scott McAdam for plant tissue for flow

cytometry. This work was conducted in part using the resources of the Rosen Center for

Advanced Computing at Purdue University and well as through use of the Flow Cytometry and

Cell Separation Facility at the Bindley Bioscience Center at Purdue, a core facility of the NIH-

funded Indiana Clinical and Translational Sciences Institute. This work was supported by the

National Institute for Environmental Health Sciences under grants F32-ES032276 to TRF and

R21-ES032056 to BSM and the National Science Foundation under grant DEB-1831493 to JHW

and WWD.

**REFERENCES**

1. D. L. Roelke, *et al.*, A chronicle of a killer alga in the west: ecology, assessment, and management of Prymnesium parvum blooms. *Hydrobiologia* **764**, 29–50 (2016).

2. C. J. Gobler, *et al.*, Ocean warming since 1982 has expanded the niche of toxic algal blooms in the North Atlantic and North Pacific oceans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **114**, 4975–4980 (2017).

3. G. M. Hallegraeff, *et al.*, Perceived global increase in algal blooms is attributable to intensified monitoring and emerging bloom impacts. *Commun. Earth Environ.* **2**, 1–10 (2021).

4. M. L. Wells, *et al.*, Future HAB science: Directions and challenges in a changing climate. *Harmful Algae* **91**, 101632 (2020).

5. D. L. Roelke, S. R. Manning, "Harmful algal species fact sheet: Prymnesium parvum (Carter) 'golden algae'" in *Harmful Algal Blooms*, (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2018), pp. 629–632.

6. G. M. Southard, L. T. Fries, A. Barkoh, Prymnesium parvum: The Texas experience. *JAWRA J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc.* **46**, 14–23 (2010).

7. S. Tábora-Sarmiento, R. Patiño, C. Portillo-Quintero, C. Coldren, Air, land, and water variables associated with the first appearance and current spatial distribution of toxic Prymnesium parvum blooms in reservoirs of the Southern Great Plains, USA. *Sci. Total Environ.* **836**, 155567 (2022).

8. J. W. Baker, *et al.*, Growth and toxicity of Prymnesium parvum (Haptophyta) as a function of salinity, light, and temperature. *J. Phycol.* **43**, 219–227 (2007).

9. A. Brutemark, E. Granéli, Role of mixotrophy and light for growth and survival of the toxic haptophyte Prymnesium parvum. *Harmful Algae* **10**, 388–394 (2011).

10. E. Granéli, B. Edvardsen, D. L. Roelke, J. A. Hagström, The ecophysiology and bloom dynamics of Prymnesium spp. *Harmful Algae* **14**, 260–270 (2012).

11. K. Nygaard, A. Tobiesen, Bacterivory in algae: A survival strategy during nutrient limitation. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **38**, 273–279 (1993).

12. U. Tillmann, Phagotrophy by a plastidic haptophyte, Prymnesium patelliferum. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.* **14**, 155–160 (1998).

13. U. Tillmann, Kill and eat your predator: a winning strategy of the planktonic flagellate Prymnesium parvum. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.* **32**, 73–84 (2003).

14. E. J. Remmel, K. D. Hambright, Toxin-assisted micropredation: experimental evidence shows that contact micropredation rather than exotoxicity is the role of Prymnesium toxins. *Ecol. Lett.* **15**, 126–132 (2012).

15.  W. F. Carvalho, E. Granéli, Contribution of phagotrophy versus autotrophy to Prymnesium
parvum growth under nitrogen and phosphorus sufficiency and deficiency. *Harmful Algae*
**9**, 105–115 (2010).

16.  S. R. Manning, J. W. La Claire, Prymnesins: toxic metabolites of the golden alga,
Prymnesium parvum Carter (Haptophyta). *Mar. Drugs* **8**, 678–704 (2010).

17.  S. A. Rasmussen, *et al.*, Chemical diversity, origin, and analysis of phycotoxins. *J. Nat.*
*Prod.* **79**, 662–673 (2016).

18.  S. A. Rasmussen, *et al.*, Chemodiversity of ladder-frame prymnesin polyethers in
Prymnesium parvum. *J. Nat. Prod.* **79**, 2250–2256 (2016).

19.  S. B. Binzer, *et al.*, A-, B- and C-type prymnesins are clade specific compounds and
chemotaxonomic markers in Prymnesium parvum. *Harmful Algae* **81**, 10–17 (2019).

20.  K. Anestis, *et al.*, Polyketide synthase genes and molecular trade-offs in the ichthyotoxic
species Prymnesium parvum. *Sci. Total Environ.* **795**, 148878 (2021).

21.  W. W. Driscoll, N. J. Espinosa, O. T. Eldakar, J. D. Hackett, Allelopathy as an emergent,
exploitable public good in the bloom-forming microalga *Prymnesium parvum*. *Evolution*
**67**, 1582–1590 (2013).

22.  H. E. Blossom, *et al.*, Prymnesium parvum revisited: relationship between allelopathy,
ichthyotoxicity, and chemical profiles in 5 strains. *Aquat. Toxicol. Amst. Neth.* **157**, 159–
166 (2014).

23.  N. Medić, E. Varga, D. B. V. de Waal, T. O. Larsen, P. J. Hansen, The coupling between
irradiance, growth, photosynthesis and prymnesin cell quota and production in two strains
of the bloom-forming haptophyte, Prymnesium parvum. *Harmful Algae* **112**, 102173
(2022).

24.  W. W. Driscoll, *et al.*, Behavioral differences underlie toxicity and predation variation in
blooms of *Prymnesium parvum*. *Ecol. Lett.* **in press** (2022).

25.  A. Larsen, W. Eikrem, E. Paasche, Growth and toxicity in *Prymnesium patelliferum*
(Prymnesiophyceae) isolated from Norwegian waters. *Can. J. Bot.* **71**, 1357–1362 (1993).

26.  A. Larsen, S. Bryant, Growth rate and toxicity of *Prymnesium parvum* and *Prymnesium*
*patelliferum* (haptophyta) in response to changes in salinity, light and temperature. *Sarsia*
**83**, 409–418 (1998).

27.  M. L. Lysgaard, L. Eckford-Soper, N. Daugbjerg, Growth rates of three geographically
separated strains of the ichthyotoxic Prymnesium parvum (Prymnesiophyceae) in response
to six different pH levels. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* **204**, 98–102 (2018).

28.  A. Talarski, S. R. Manning, J. W. La Claire, Transcriptome analysis of the euryhaline alga,
Prymnesium parvum (Prymnesiophyceae): Effects of salinity on differential gene
expression. *Phycologia* **55**, 33–44 (2016).

29.  R. H. Rashel, R. Patiño, Influence of genetic background, salinity, and inoculum size on
growth of the ichthyotoxic golden alga (Prymnesium parvum). *Harmful Algae* **66**, 97–104
(2017).

30.  R. B. Taylor, *et al.*, Suspect and non-target screening of acutely toxic Prymnesium parvum.
*Sci. Total Environ.* **715**, 136835 (2020).

31.  E. T. Richardson, R. Patiño, Growth of the harmful alga, Prymnesium parvum
(Prymnesiophyceae), after gradual and abrupt increases in salinity. *J. Phycol.* **57**, 1335–
1344 (2021).

32.  R. B. Taylor, B. N. Hill, L. M. Langan, C. K. Chambliss, B. W. Brooks, Sunlight
concurrently reduces Prymnesium parvum elicited acute toxicity to fish and prymnesins.
*Chemosphere* **263**, 127927 (2021).

33.  T. Cavaller-Smith, *The evolution of genome size* (John Wiley and Sons Inc.,New York, NY,
1985) (March 21, 2022).

34.  A. Larsen, B. Edvardsen, Relative ploidy levels in Prymnesium parvum and P. patelliferum
(Haptophyta) analyzed by flow cytometry. *Phycologia* **37**, 412–424 (1998).

35.  J. Fulnecková, *et al.*, A broad phylogenetic survey unveils the diversity and evolution of
telomeres in eukaryotes. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **5**, 468–483 (2013).

36.  B. A. Read, *et al.*, Pan genome of the phytoplankton Emiliania underpins its global
distribution. *Nature* **499**, 209–213 (2013).

37.  C. J. Hulatt, R. H. Wijffels, M. C. Posewitz, The genome of the Haptophyte Diacronema
lutheri (Pavlova lutheri, Pavlovales): A model for lipid biosynthesis in eukaryotic algae.
*Genome Biol. Evol.* **13**, evab178 (2021).

38.  D. Chen, *et al.*, Multi-omics analyses provide insight into the biosynthesis pathways of
fucoxanthin in Isochrysis galbana. *Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics* (2022)
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gpb.2022.05.010 (August 16, 2022).

39.  P. Jones, *et al.*, InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics*
**30**, 1236–1240 (2014).

40.  F. A. Simão, R. M. Waterhouse, P. Ioannidis, E. V. Kriventseva, E. M. Zdobnov, BUSCO:
assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs.
*Bioinformatics* **31**, 3210–3212 (2015).

41. D. M. Emms, S. Kelly, OrthoFinder: solving fundamental biases in whole genome
comparisons dramatically improves orthogroup inference accuracy. *Genome Biol.* **16**, 157
(2015).

42. S. Naser-Khdour, B. Q. Minh, R. Lanfear, Assessing confidence in root placement on
phylogenies: An empirical study using nonreversible models for mammals. *Syst. Biol.*,
syab067 (2021).

Minh BQ, Hahn MW, Lanfear R. 2020. New Methods to Calculate Concordance Factors for
Phylogenomic Datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 37:2727–2733.

44. P. Y. Novikova, *et al.*, Sequencing of the genus Arabidopsis identifies a complex history of
nonbifurcating speciation and abundant trans-specific polymorphism. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 1077–
1082 (2016).

45. G. W. C. Thomas, S. H. Ather, M. W. Hahn, Gene-tree reconciliation with MUL-trees to
resolve polyploidy events. *Syst. Biol.* **66**, 1007–1018 (2017).

46. E. S. Lander, M. S. Waterman, Genomic mapping by fingerprinting random clones: A
mathematical analysis. *Genomics* **2**, 231–239 (1988).

47. J. M. Pflug, V. R. Holmes, C. Burrus, J. S. Johnston, D. R. Maddison, Measuring genome
sizes using read-depth, k-mers, and flow cytometry: methodological comparisons in beetles
(Coleoptera). *G3 Genes Genomes Genet.* **10**, 3047–3060 (2020).

48. S. J. Sibbald, L. Eme, J. M. Archibald, A. J. Roger, Lateral gene transfer mechanisms and
pan-genomes in eukaryotes. *Trends Parasitol.* **36**, 927–941 (2020).

49. J. H. Wisecaver, W. G. Alexander, S. B. King, C. Todd Hittinger, A. Rokas, Dynamic
evolution of nitric oxide detoxifying flavohemoglobins, a family of single-protein
metabolic modules in bacteria and eukaryotes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, msw073 (2016).

50. V. Agarwal, *et al.*, Biosynthesis of polybrominated aromatic organic compounds by marine
bacteria. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **10**, 640–647 (2014).

51. W. H. Wilson, *et al.*, Complete genome sequence and lytic phase transcription profile of a
Coccolithovirus. *Science* **309**, 1090–1092 (2005).

52. J. C. Green, D. J. Hibberd, R. N. Pienaar, The taxonomy of Prymnesium
(Prymnesiophyceae) including a description of a new cosmopolitan species, P. patellifera
sp. nov., and further observations on P. parvum N. carter. *Br. Phycol. J.* **17**, 363–382
(1982).

53. A. Larsen, L. K. Medlin, Inter- and intraspecific genetic variation in twelve Prymnesium
(Haptophyceae) clones. *J. Phycol.* **33**, 1007–1015 (1997).

54.   R. A. Ortiz-Merino, *et al.*, Evolutionary restoration of fertility in an interspecies hybrid
yeast, by whole-genome duplication after a failed mating-type switch. *PLOS Biol.* **15**,
e2002128 (2017).

55.   T. M. Sonneborn, The Paramecium aurelia complex of fourteen sibling species. *Trans. Am.*
*Microsc. Soc.* **94**, 155–178 (1975).

56.   E. M. Simon, D. L. Nanney, F. P. Doerder, The "Tetrahymena pyriformis" complex of
cryptic species. *Biodivers. Conserv.* **17**, 365–380 (2008).

57.   U. John, *et al.*, Formal revision of the Alexandrium tamarense species complex
(Dinophyceae) taxonomy: The introduction of five species with emphasis on molecular-
based (rDNA) classification. *Protist* **165**, 779–804 (2014).

58.   F. S. Barreto, C. R. Tomas, M. A. McCartney, AFLP fingerprinting shows that a single
Prymnesium parvum harmful algal bloom consists of multiple clones. *J. Hered.* **102**, 747–
752 (2011).

59.   K. I. Verster, *et al.*, Horizontal Transfer of Bacterial Cytolethal Distending Toxin B Genes
to Insects. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **36** (2019).

60.   T. A. Richards, *et al.*, Horizontal gene transfer facilitated the evolution of plant parasitic
mechanisms in the oomycetes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **108**, 15258–15263 (2011).

61.   J. C. Slot, D. S. Hibbett, Horizontal transfer of a nitrate assimilation gene cluster and
ecological transitions in fungi: a phylogenetic study. *PLoS ONE* **2**, e1097 (2007).

62.   D. W. Galbraith, Endoreduplicative standards for calibration of flow cytometric C-Value
measurements. *Cytometry A* **85**, 368–374 (2014).

63.   F. Supek, M. Bošnjak, N. Škunca, T. Šmuc, REVIGO summarizes and visualizes long lists
of gene ontology terms. *PLOS ONE* **6**, e21800 (2011).

**Figure 1. Genome metrics for *P. parvum* Hi-C scaffolded long-read assemblies.** A) K-mer
frequency plots showing estimated heterozygosity for strains UTEX 2797 and 12B1**.** The
homozygous k-mer peaks are indicated by vertical bars, with the number above the homozygous
peaks indicating the coverage of maximal unique k-mers (CMUKs). UTEX 2797 has high
heterozygosity as indicated by the large second peak at half the k-mer coverage of the
homozygous peak. B) Histogram indicating the relative fluorescent intensity of propidium iodide
stained nuclei for strains 12B1 (pink) and UTEX 2797 (yellow) relative to genome standards:
Cv, *Chlorella vulgaris* (light blue); Sm, *Selaginella moellendorfii* (green); At, *Arabidopsis*
*thaliana* Col-0 (dark blue). The endoreduplicative nature of the *A. thaliana* tissue enabled
identification of 2C, 4C, 8C, 16C, and 32C nuclei in this species (62). C) Comparison of
assembly completeness and contiguity across eleven haptophyte genome assemblies. Cp,
*Chrysochromulina parva*; Ct, *Chrysochromulina tobinii*; Dl, *Diacronema lutheri*; Eh, *Emiliania*
*huxleyi*; Ig, *Isochrysis galbana*; Pas, Pavlovales sp.; Pha, *Phaeocystis antarctica*; Phg,
*Phaeocystis globosa*; Tl, *Tisochrysis lutea*. D) Ratio of syntenic block counts between *P. parvum*
genome assemblies for strains 12B1 and UTEX 2797. Syntenic blocks of UTEX 2797 per 12B1
gene (left) and syntenic blocks of 12B1 per UTEX 2797 gene (right) are shown, indicating a
clear 1:2 pattern of 12B1 to UTEX 2797. E) Macrosynteny of the 12B1 and UTEX 2797
genomes. Syntenic gene pairs are denoted by black points and positionally oriented by scaffold
(grid squares).

**Figure 2. Phylogenomic and breadth of coverage analyses in *P. parvum*.** A) Concatenation-based ML species phylogeny showing three possible root locations (bold branches). The heatmap shows the median synonymous substitutions per synonymous site (*Ks*) between all strains. C) Breadth of coverage (BOC) bar plots showing the percent of 12B1 and UTEX 2797 reference bases spanned by >10 Illumina reads from each strain (See Tables S11). BOC is provided for all genomic positions and genic space as separate statistics. The chemotypes of strains RCC3426 and UTEX 995 (†) are inferred based on phylogenetic placement.

**Figure 3. Phylogenetically distinct haplotypes of *P. parvum* strain UTEX 2797.** Circos plot showing the 66 scaffolds of UTEX 2797 with four tracks (1) outer black track indicates scaffolds, (2) orange heatmap illustrates the percentage of genes in 50 kbp windows that group within the A1 clade, (3) pink heatmap indicates the percentage of genes in the same 50 kbp windows that group within the A2 clade, and (4) syntenic blocks (≥ 15 syntenic genes per block) are designated as gray bands. No branch support threshold was imposed for A1/A2 clade calls; the general pattern was the same but less vibrant when an ultrafast branch support threshold of 90 was imposed (Fig. S15). Multi-labeled (MUL) species tree (top left) shows the topology of the A1 and A2 clades as determined by the GRAMPA gene tree-species tree reconciliation analysis.

**Figure 4. Summary of ploidy, heterozygosity, and genome size diversity in *P. parvum*.**
Evolutionary model (left) depicts strain relationships, including a predicted hybridization
(dashed lines) giving rise to strains UTEX 2797 and 12A1. The chemotypes of strains RCC3426
and UTEX 995 (†) are inferred based on phylogenetic placement. A) Boxplots depicting total
DNA content per nuclei (Mbp) based on flow cytometry. Numbers (left) indicate mean Mbp per
strain. Vertical dashed lines indicate 1x, 2x, 4x, 8x Mbp relative to 12B1. B) K-mer coverage
plots depict estimated heterozygosity; numbers indicate CMUKs, *i.e.*, the homozygous k-mer
peaks labeled by the labeled by vertical bars. Heterozygosity can be qualitatively assessed by the
presence and relative height of a second peak at half the k-mer coverage of the homozygous
peak. C) Boxplots depicting the distribution of read coverage for 2699 SCOGs; numbers indicate
median read coverage. D) Haploid genome size was estimated using read coverage (total read
length divided by median Illumina read coverage of SCOGs). E) Predicted ploidy was
determined by cross-referencing DNA content (A) with sequencing-based estimated of genome
size (B-D). F) Haploid genome size was estimated using flow cytometry (total DNA content
divided by proposed ploidy).

778
779
**Figure 5. Pan genome analysis and horizontal gene transfer in *P. parvum.*** A) Hierarchically
clustered heatmap showing the number of orthogroups shared by each strain pair. Strains are
colored based on the prymnesin type produced (as in Fig. 2). The chemotypes of strains
RCC3426 and UTEX 995 (†) are inferred based on phylogenetic placement. Center diagonal
indicates the total number of orthogroups, including singletons, present in each strain. Bar charts
indicate the number of accessory orthogroups and singleton orthogroups in each strain. B)
Significantly enriched KEGG pathways (unbolded) and pathway categories (bolded); arrows
point to KEGG pathway parent category. Bar height indicates frequency of the annotation in
accessory orthogroups with one or more KEGG annotations. C) Significantly enriched GO
categories in accessory orthogroups. Width of network edges indicate the degree of similarity
between GO terms as calculated by REVIGO (63). Bubble size indicates frequency of the
annotation in accessory orthogroups with one or more GO annotations. See Table S17 for list of
all functional enrichment tests performed. D) Flavin-dependent halogenase phylogeny showing
HGT from marine bacteria. The *bmp*5 gene functionally characterized in *Pseudoalteromonas* is
labeled. E) Clp protease phylogeny showing HGT from viruses. For both phylogenies, numbers
along select branches indicate ultrafast bootstrap support values for the descendant nodes.
Support for all branches and expanded phylogenies are available in Fig. S11.
797

29