1   **One for all – Human kidney Caki-1 cells are highly susceptible to infection with corona-**
2   **and other respiratory viruses**

5   Daniels A.[1,2,◊], Fletcher S. [1,◊], Kerr H.[1], Kratzel A.[3,4], Kriplani N.[1,♠], Craig N.[1], Hastie J.C.[5], Digard P.[1],
6   Davies P.[5], Thiel V.[3,4], Tait-Burkard C.[1]*

[1]The Roslin Institute, Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, University of Edinburgh, Easter
Bush, Midlothian, United Kingdom.

[2]Infection Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Little France Crescent, United Kingdom.

[3]Institute of Virology and Immunology (IVI), Bern, Switzerland.

[4]Department of Infectious Diseases and Pathobiology, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Bern, Bern,
Switzerland.

[5]Medical Research Council Protein Phosphorylation and Ubiquitylation Unit, College of Life
Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee, United Kingdom.

◊ contributed equally

♠Currently: Milteny Biotec, United Kingdom

*Corresponding author: christine.burkard@roslin.ed.ac.uk

Abstract

Despite the vast increase in research activity in the coronavirus field over the past two years, researchers are still heavily reliant on non-human cells, for example Vero E6, highly heterogeneous or not fully differentiated cells, such as Calu-3, or not naturally susceptible cell lines overexpressing receptor ACE2 and other accessory factors, such as TMPRSS2. Complex cell models, such as primary cell-derived air-liquid interface epithelial models are highly representative of human tissues but are expensive and time-consuming to develop and maintain and have limited suitability for high-throughput analysis. *In vitro* investigations of host-pathogen interactions of viruses is highly reliant on suitable cell and tissue culture models and results are only as good as the model they have been validated in.

Here, we show the use of a highly characterized human kidney cell line, Caki-1, for infection with three human coronaviruses: *Betacoronaviruses* severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) and Middle Eastern respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) and *Alphacoronavirus* human coronavirus 229E (hCoV-229E). Caki-1 cells show equal or superior susceptibility to all three coronaviruses when compared to other commonly used cells lines for the cultivation of the respective virus. Furthermore, we used a panel of antibodies generated against 21 SARS-CoV-2-encoded proteins to identify their location in the infected Caki-1 cells using immunocytochemistry. Most importantly, Caki-1 cells are also susceptible to two other respiratory viruses, Influenza A virus and RSV, making them an ideal model for cross-comparison of not only a broad range of coronaviruses but respiratory viruses in general.

Introduction

Coronaviruses are a family of enveloped, positive-sense RNA viruses within the order *Nidovirales* that are split into four genera, alpha-, beta-, delta-, and gamma- coronaviruses. *Alpha-* and *Betacoronaviruses* mainly infect mammalian hosts while the *Delta-* and *Gammacoronaviruses* primarily infect avian hosts (1). Four members of the human coronaviruses (hCoV) cause mild, cold-like, primarily upper respiratory tract symptoms upon infection: *Alphacoronaviruses* -229E and -NL63 and *Betacoronaviruses* -HKU1 and -OC43. On three occasions in the last 20 years, zoonotic transmission events in the *Betacoronavirus* genus lead to epidemic and pandemic disease outbreaks with higher severity pathologies: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) in 2002-2003 (2), Middle Eastern respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) that was first reported in 2012-ongoing (3), and most recently the causative agent of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic that was first described towards the end of 2019, SARS-CoV-2 (4). The potential for future zoonotic events increases as human pressure on nature grows, for example population growth, displacement due to climate change, deforestation, and finding alternative food sources leads to greater contact with wild reservoir species.

Understanding coronavirus infections and host-pathogen interaction is paramount to developing novel antiviral strategies, and to prepare for future epidemics. This makes it imperative to find a robust cell line model that is widely permissive to different coronaviruses to allow for direct comparison and aid future research.

Important criteria for selecting cell lines include species origin, tissue relevance, method of immortalization (i.e. presence of overexpressed viral oncogenes), genetic characterization and karyotype, versatility, and ease of culturing. A number of cell lines were identified as being naturally permissive to SARS-CoV-2, most notably Vero E6, Caco-2, and Calu-3 cells (5). Overexpression of the main entry receptor, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), sometimes aided by the overexpression of the proteolytic processing factor transmembrane protease, serine 2 (TMPRSS2) was shown to render non-susceptible cell lines permissive to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Although these cell lines are permissive to infection, there are limitations and drawbacks to their use in research. Most notably, Vero E6 cells, which have been shown to be susceptible to many human viruses including influenza A virus (IAV), SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV, are of the African Green Monkey (*Chlorocebus aethiops*) species origin, making them a less clinically relevant model. More importantly, they are deficient in type I interferon production due to a large deletion in the genome (6). Tissue culture adaptation mutations and attenuation in the viral genome, such as the loss of the S1/S2 furin-cleavage site in SARS-CoV-2 spike (S), have been observed when the virus was passaged on Vero E6 cells (7). Although the other notable cell lines commonly used to study

SARS-CoV-2 are of human origin, viral titers obtained from Calu-3 and Caco-2 are lower than in
Vero E6 cells(8). Furthermore, Calu-3 and Caco-2 cells are heterogeneous populations of not fully
differentiated cells, which means that consistency in cells can vary based on culturing methods,
as well as some people reporting difficulties culturing, especially Calu-3 cells. Other, less explored
cell lines were shown to be susceptible to high-titer SARS-CoV-2 infection, such as the breast
cancer cell line CAL-51 (5),

The need for consistent and comparable cell lines has again been highlighted by the results from
several genome-scale CRISPR knock-out studies investigating host factors during SARS-CoV-2
infection. The screens used different cell lines including Vero E6 (9), A549-ACE2 (10, 11), Huh7.5
(12), or a clone of Huh7.5 modified to overexpress both ACE2 and TMPRSS2 (Huh7.5.1-ACE2-
IRES-TMPRSS2) (13). Of these studies, ACE2 and cathepsin L (CTSL) were the only gene hits to
overlap across all screens, and the most overlap was observed between screens utilizing the same
cell lines (10, 11) or clonal variants of a cell line (12, 13). Although this suggests that there is
reproducibility when using the same cell line, some cell lines modified for overexpression of
cellular receptors have limited use for cross-comparison studies between viruses. For instance,
while A549-ACE2 cells would be sufficient for comparing SARS-CoV and -2, both of which utilize
ACE2, they could not be used to compare to other coronaviruses, such as hCoV-229E utilizing
alanyl aminopeptidase (ANPEP) or MERS-CoV utilizing dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) during
entry. Huh7.5 cells and their derivatives are more useful as they are naturally infected by both
hCoV-229E, and MERS-CoV as they express a wide range of cell surface receptors so they could
be utilized in pan-coronavirus studies. However, they are not very robust and exhibit extreme
cytopathic effect upon infection which limits what can be studied within these cells.

As the cell lines that have been predominantly used to study SARS-CoV-2 have limitations,
including poor infection with our clinical isolates of SARS-CoV-2, we aimed to find an adherent
cell line that was both easy to transfect and naturally permissible to SARS-CoV-2. As the human
protein ATLAS identifies the kidney as being a high region of ACE2 expression, and pathology has
identified SARS-CoV-2 infection within the kidneys of infected patients, we identified a human
cell line isolated from a male clear cell carcinoma patient, Caki-1 (ATCC HTB-46), which has been
previously used in cancer and toxicology studies. It has been well characterized through a number
of loss-of-function screens and omics characterizations, as well as having data on drug
sensitivities through the use of compound viability screens. A complete list of datasets can be
found through the depmap portal (https://depmap.org/portal/cell_line/ACH-000433). Although
Caki-1 cells have previously been reported to be permissive to two members of the family
Poxviridae, myxoma virus (14) and Tanapoxvirus (15), they have not been reported to have been
tested for infection with an RNA virus.

Here we describe the successful infection of Caki-1 cells when challenged with SARS-CoV-2,
MERS-CoV, and hCoV-229E. All viruses were replicated to similar or improved levels compared
to commonly used cell lines. A panel of antibodies raised in sheep against 21 of the known
proteins encoded by SARS-CoV-2 have been used to study their cellular location during the SARS-
CoV-2 replication. We found good expression of receptors, interferon competence and
susceptibility to gene knockdown in Caki-1 cells. Furthermore, two clinically important RNA
respiratory viruses, influenza A virus (IAV, order *Articulavirales*), and respiratory syncytial virus
(RSV, order *Mononegavirales*) were also found to infect Caki-1 cells to comparable levels of
commonly used cell lines in infection with the respective viruses.

Results

To compare the differences between infection in Caki-1 cells and the typical amplification cell line for each coronavirus, time courses of infection were performed for SARS-CoV-2, including variants of concern (VOCs), MERS-CoV, and hCoV-229E.

Caki-1 cells show matching or improved infectability with SARS-CoV-2 compared to Vero E6 cells

Cells were infected with SARS-CoV-2 D614G or VOCs alpha, delta, or omicron (BA.1) to investigate how the growth profile differed between clinical isolates from early stages of the pandemic through to the current predominant variant, omicron (Results

A). Cells at confluence were inoculated with MOIs 0.1, 1, or 10 as determined by endpoint titration on Vero E6 cells, except for omicron, which was titrated on Caki-1 cells. Following media replacement at 1.5 hours post inoculation (hpi), supernatant samples were collected and viral particles determined by direct lysis against a standard curve of known titer samples. The European "Original" strain EDB-2 showed a similar replication pattern in Caki-1 and Vero E6 cells, at all MOIs, with both reaching a plateau at 24 hpi at a relative $TCID_{50}$/ml of $\sim 10^7$ and maintaining that value until the 120 hpi time point. There was little difference in the log phase for EDB-2 between the cell lines and between MOIs, although the Vero E6 cells show greater variation across the time points than Caki-1 cells where variation decreases as the MOI increases. For the alpha variant, first detected in November 2020, using strain EDB-α-1, the replication in Vero E6 cells starts to diverge away from the log curve for all MOIs, with the MOI of 0.1 lagging slightly behind, the curve flattens into a more linear phenotype peaking at 96 hpi with a relative $TCID_{50}$/ml in the low 10^6 region. Contrastingly, viral replication in Caki-1 cells still shows a logarithmic phenotype, with stratification between the MOIs through the log phase before all plateauing at 48 hpi with a relative $TCID_{50}$/ml maintained at $\sim 10^7$. The delta variant, which emerged in late 2020, using strain EDB-δ-1 did not replicate as well as either previous variant overall and shows a more linear phenotype, similar to the EDB-α-1 variant in Vero E6, in both Vero E6 and Caki-1 cells. In both cell lines replication plateaus at 72 hpi up to a relative 2-3x10^6 $TCID_{50}$/ml by 96 hpi. For EDB-δ-1 the increase in viral titer across the entire time course, in both cell lines, was only two logs whereas in Caki-1 cells for EDB-2 and EDB-α1 the fold change was five logs. The last variant of concern, omicron, was detected in December 2021 with new subvariants emerging since. Here, using EDB-o-BA.1-10, we saw very poor infection in Vero E6 cells, barely increasing by a single log across 120 hours, and even showing a drop off in titer at 120 hpi as the virus decays. The virus was then also not originally isolated on Vero E6 as it could not be grown on the cell line but rather on Caki-1. In Caki-1 cells, we see a phenotype which is comparable to the original EDB-2 strain with a log phase peaking at 24 hpi and stratification between the different MOIs. Once EDB-o-

160 BA.1-10 reaches a plateau in Caki-1 cells at a relative $TCID_{50}$/ml of $\sim10^6$, it remains steady with

161 very little variation for the remainder of the time course. Viral replication of EDB-o-BA.1-10 in

162 Caki-1 is reaching higher particle levels compared to Vero E6 cells. As the virus evolved, it

163 remained accustomed to replicating in the Caki-1 cells whilst a progressively weaker infection is

164 established in Vero E6 cells, apart from EDB-δ-1, which does not replicate well in either cell line.

Caki-1 cells show improved infectability with MERS-CoV and hCoV-229E compared to
Huh-7

Since Caki-1 cells showed a good permissibility and infection with SARS-CoV-2, other

coronaviruses were tested to determine if Caki-1 cells could be used as a pan-coronavirus model.

To this end, the highly pathogenic beta coronavirus, MERS-CoV, and the less pathogenic, common

cold alpha coronavirus, hCoV-229E, were tested for replication in Caki-1 cells (Results

B+C). The traditional cell line for both these viruses is Huh-7.

For MERS-CoV infection, both Caki-1 and Huh-7 cells were inoculated at indicated virus

concentrations as determined on Huh-7 cells. After 2 h, inoculum was replaced and samples taken

at indicated time points. Infectious virus produced was assessed by endpoint titration on Huh-7

cells. In initially infected Huh-7 cells, the viral titer for MERS-CoV rose rapidly to 24 hpi and peaks

here for an MOI of 1, but is slightly delayed for the lower MOIs, peaking at 48 hpi for 0.1 and 0.01

(Figure 1B). At all MOIs, a peak of $\sim1.2\times10^7$ $TCID_{50}$/ml titer is achieved. After peaking, a rapid

decline is observed for every MOI, crashing down to 10^4 $TCID_{50}$/ml by 96 hpi. Whilst the

exponential phase in Caki-1 cells shows initial similarities to Huh-7 the viral titers plateau at 48

hpi for all MOIs. By that time, the cells have managed to support the virus to reach a $TCID_{50}$/ml of

$\sim2.1\times10^9$, which is two logs higher than Huh-7 cells in any condition. Whilst MOIs of 0.1 and 1

show a decreasing titer to $\sim10^5$ $TCID_{50}$/ml, MOI 0.01 infectious titers remain high up to 96 hpi.

Caki-1 cells show a strong potential with MERS-CoV to be used as a new model system for growing

the virus, higher titers are achieved with lower infection concentrations and the titers do not

decrease with cell apoptosis as Caki-1 cells can robustly support the infection to its completion.

To test whether Caki-1 cells are permissive to hCoV-229E, a GFP virus was used to measure

infection throughout the course of infection using relative fluorescence of GFP as a proxy for viral

replication rather than $TCID_{50}$/ml (Results

C). Caki-1 and Huh-7 cells were inoculated at indicated virus concentrations as determined on

Huh-7 cells. After 1.5 h, inoculum was replaced and plates returned to an incubating plate reader

for analysis. The level of fluorescence achieved by both cell lines when infected is comparable to

each other, but in Caki-1 cells, we see a quicker and more exaggerated log phase, reaching plateau

at roughly 40 hpi, about 10 h before Huh-7 cells. There is also a difference in the lower MOI (0.1),

which only achieves a plateau fluorescence value around 5,000 RFU in the Huh-7 cells, a >2-fold reduction compared to Huh-7 cells infected at an MOI of 1, while Caki-1 cells plateau around 8,500 RFU, only 2,000 RFU lower than the MOI of 1 and within the margin of error. The Caki-1 cells also show an observable difference of GFP distribution and brightness when compared to Huh-7 cells. Caki-1 cells produce a more uniform phenotype, with less background fluorescence, the GFP is expressed to a greater extent in infected cells, and it takes longer for the cells to round up and lift off the plate. Huh-7 cells generally express the GFP very weakly and in a more punctate fashion, lifting off the plate very early on in infection, making fluorescence readings difficult and inaccurate (data not shown).

Only around 50% of Caki-1 cells get infected by SARS-CoV-2 showing potential of selection for even more susceptible subpopulations

To gain greater insight into the infection in Vero E6 cells compared to the more heterogeneous Caki-1 cells, we inoculated the cells at different MOIs (determined on Vero E6 cells) and assessed infection following a single-round infection at 17 hpi through staining for intracellular N protein and analysis by flow cytometry (Figure 1D). For all MOIs analyzed, the level of infected Caki-1 cells is roughly half of the infected Vero E6 cells. The Vero E6 population manages to reach up to ~85.3% infected cells by 17 hpi at an MOI=10 and within the margin of error compared to MOI=1, whereas the Caki-1 reach an infection ~46.8%. Another cell line used for SARS-CoV-2 research is Calu-3, an epithelial lung adenocarcinoma cell line with heterogeneous ACE2 expression. The percentage of infected cells remains low in the wild-type Calu-3 population, reaching 6% infection when inoculated at MOI=10 by 24 hpi, and only increasing by 0.8% after 48 hpi. But Calu-3's which have been enriched for high expression of ACE2 through staining, fluorescence activated cell sorting, and expansion, showed a marked increase in percentage of infection cells, reaching 21% at an MOI=0.1 at 24 hpi and roughly 50% at all MOIs at 48 hpi. Whilst Calu-3 cells take longer to establish an infection, if enriched with ACE2 they are capable of reaching infection levels similar to Caki-1 cells although this is most likely through a multi-round infection unlike the single-round infection in Caki-1 cells at 17 hpi.

Caki-1 cells are permissible to all tested coronaviruses and in EDB-o-BA.1-1, MERS-CoV and hCoV-229E, show a stronger infection than in Vero E6 and Huh7 cells respectively. As SARS-CoV-2 VOCs emerged, Caki-1 cells have become a better infection model than the Vero E6 cells, except for EDB- δ-1, which universally is attenuated in cell culture. They also provide a good replication model for other coronaviruses and can outperform the standard Huh-7 cells.

Localization of viral proteins in Caki-1 cells during replication may pinpoint towards functionality

To gain a greater understanding of SARS-CoV-2 infection, antibodies were developed against all major proteins encoded by the SARS-CoV-2 genome (Figure 2A) by the University of Dundee MRC-Protein Phosphorylation Unit (16). Caki-1 cells infected at a MOI=1 with SARS-CoV-2-EDB-2 were fixed at 16 hpi and stained with antibodies targeting most non-structural proteins and the known open reading frames in the "structural" section of the genome. A compromise was found using the antibodies, which had varying stock concentrations, at 1 in 200. Concentrations are therefore not comparable and for specific use of an antibody, we recommend further optimization. Further details may be found in the materials and methods section and table 1. Confocal images were acquired as z-stacks on a Zeiss LSM 880 (Airyscan) without making use of the airyscan function. Imaging conditions were set for each uninfected, stained background cell with the matching primary antibody. Images depict a maximum projection of the z-stack.

Of the open reading frame (ORF)2-10 encoded proteins, ORF3a and b, ORF8 and ORF9 (N) show the strongest signals above background, but all SARS-CoV-2 ORF antibodies show specific staining beyond background (Figure 2B). The spike receptor binding domain (S RBD), ORF2, shows vesicle-like punctate throughout the cytoplasm with a slight enrichment in the enlarged perinuclear space in some cells. There is little staining for S at the plasma membrane, which is in agreement with previous findings showing that only roughly 20% of coronavirus S protein may be found at the plasma membrane in the presence of M (17, 18). The viroporin ORF3a, shows punctate staining with concentration around the perinuclear space, this agrees with current SARS-CoV literature and reports of it being involved in autophagy, localizing to the lysosomes (19, 20). Although there is some background staining in uninfected Caki-1 cells for ORF3a, the staining in the infected control is significantly stronger and background signal is non-specifically localized indicating non-specific background binding that may be optimized using different staining conditions. The small ORF3b protein, which acts as a potent interferon antagonist, localizes in the distal cytoplasm with some vesicular patterning. ORF3b also shows staining at the plasma membrane particularly along actin filaments. This agrees with reports for SARS-CoV of it being a multi-localized protein due to its shuttling behavior (21). Co-localization studies with known interaction partners, such as IRF3, may shed further light into the role of ORF3b (22). SARS-CoV-2 ORF7a and ORF7b are type-I transmembrane proteins also involved in antagonism of the interferon response. Both are expressed and retained intracellularly. Background staining is observed for both these antibodies in the uninfected Caki-1 cells, but the fluorescence is stronger in the infected cells. ORF7a shows vesicular, diffuse localization, whilst ORF7b is concentrated in the perinuclear space with some plasma membrane staining. Little is known about the function of the two proteins, but for example ORF7b was shown to be incorporated into SARS-CoV particles (23). The protein encoded by the SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 gene is unique to SARS-CoV-2

containing a predicted Ig-like fold, which can interact with a variety of host proteins involved in
ER-associated degradation. Caki-1 cells show a strong staining with no background in the
uninfected cells, and we see a vesicular staining with a concentration towards the plasma
membrane in agreement with the existing literature showing secretion of ORF8 (24). ORF9, the
nucleocapsid protein (N), shows localization to the replication-transcription complex in the
perinuclear space, where it aids packaging of the viral genome into a ribonucleoprotein particle.
Staining for N is abundant across the cell, and even shows a small amount of nuclear staining (the
seemingly stronger nuclear staining visible in the image is a consequence of maximum
projection). N is also secreted into the extracellular space in larger amounts than ORF8, indicated
by some of the vesicular and distal staining (25, 26). An alternative ORF located within the N gene
is ORF9b, which plays a role in suppressing innate immunity. ORF9b shows a very diffuse staining
across the cell with no background in the uninfected cells. Reports have linked this protein with
the mitochondrial membrane (27, 28), and upon close inspection, long punctate networks can be
seen within some of the staining, although this is not obvious indicating mitochondrial interaction
not to be a primary function of the protein. Most importantly, ORF9b shows clear nuclear
localization.

The SARS-CoV-2 non-structural proteins (NSPs) are generated through proteolytic cleavage of
the ORF1a and ORF1ab polyproteins. Of these, NSPs 3, 8, 10 and 16 gave the clearest staining.
However, some NSPs showed very little specific staining, primarily NSP9 for which no staining
could be seen in infected Caki-1 cells (Figure 3). The N-terminal cleavage products NSP1 and NSP2
are conserved across the coronavirus family and are both involved in halting host translation
(29). Whilst NSP1 shows very sparse staining in very distinct punctae throughout the cytosol,
staining for NSP2 is concentrated around the perinuclear space. NSP3 is responsible for cleavage
of NSP1, NSP2, and NSP3 from the N-terminal region of the polyproteins (30), and shows
widespread, punctate staining. Some cells, possibly at an earlier stage in their infection cycle,
show a more perinuclear focused staining, likely co-localizing with the replication transcription
complex (RTC). The main proteinase NSP5 shows a very strong perinuclear, likely RTC,
phenotype. The replicase (NSP8) exhibits strong perinuclear staining showing big spots of
protein staining, defined by its role in the RTC (31). NSP8 also shows some nuclear staining,
however, since there is some background nuclear staining it is unlikely this is a real signal. The
growth-factor-like protein NSP10, which serves as a stimulatory factor for NSP14-ExoN (32),
shows broadly distributed punctate staining with some localization to the plasma membrane and
although the staining for NSP14 is not as strong, it demonstrates a similar pattern but with no
membrane localization. NSP11_12, the latter being the RNA-dependent-RNA-polymerase, shows
staining localizing to the RTC, as do the helicase NSP13, the endoribonuclease NSP15. There may

be some staining for NSP13 in the nucleus. NSP16, a methyltransferase, shows nuclear staining and punctate staining throughout the cytosol indicating vesicular localization, and potentially some secretion (33).

Caki-1 cells show expression of receptors linked to SARS-CoV-2 and other human coronaviruses

To further understand the suitability of Caki-1 cells for infection assays and to account for the high permissibility to many coronaviruses, receptor expression profiling, interferon competence and siRNA knockdowns were conducted. The receptors/entry proteins for SARS-CoV-2, MERS-CoV and hCoV-229E, were checked for mRNA quantity by RT-qPCR, and normalized against a housekeeping gene (RRN18S) (Figure 1**: Time course assays in coronaviruses comparing the most commonly used cell line with Caki-1.** For all graphs: circle points = MOI 10, square points = MOI 1, triangle points = MOI 0.1, diamond points = MOI 0.01, and the darker the color the higher the MOI, error bars indicate +/- SEM. [A] Time course infection of SARS-CoV-2 variants on Vero E6 and Caki-1 cells, biological n=3x3. Measured through RT-qPCR for the SARS-CoV-2 N gene in the supernatant, samples taken at 0, 6, 16, 24, 48, 72, 96 and 120 hpi at MOIs of 10, 1 and 0.1. [B] Time course infection of MERS-CoV on Huh-7 and Caki-1 cells, biological n=3x3, measured through TCID50 on Huh-7 cells. Samples taken at 24h intervals until 96hpi. [C] GFP time course of infection for hCoV-229E on Huh-7 and Caki-1 cells, measured from 0 hpi to 78 hpi in 6 h intervals, with fluorescence as a proxy for viral replication, biological n=3x3. [D] Fluorescence-activated cell sorting to determine the percentage of infected SARS-CoV-2 cells in Vero E6, Caki-1, Calu-3 and Calu-3-ACE2 cells. Vero E6 and Caki-1 cells were fixed at 17 hpi, stained for intracellular SARS-CoV-2 N protein and sorted for fluorescent cells, biological n=3x3. The same was conducted for Calu-3 and Calu-3-ACE2 cells at 24 hpi and 48 hpi, biological n=3x3.

A). NRP1 (SARS-CoV-2 attachment), ANPEP (hCoV-229E receptor) and DPP4 (MERS-CoV receptor) all showed a similar level of expression in Caki-1 cells demonstrating that they are actively being expressed. Levels of around 1,000-fold lower expression than a housekeeping gene are in alignment with expression levels previously determined in lung (e.g. DPP4 (34)). ACE2 (SARS-CoV-2 receptor) and TMPRSS2 (one of the SARS-CoV-2 spike modifying proteases) had a relative lower amount of mRNA than the other factors, but this pattern correlates with expression of these proteins in nasal epithelial cells (35), perhaps providing an indication as to why they are so readily infectable with SARS-CoV-2.

Caki-1 cells can be readily transfected with siRNA

To confirm Caki-1 cells are suitable cells in which to perform transfection experiments the effect of siRNA transfection targeting known entry factors of SARS-CoV-2 was examined by reading viral release 96 h post transfection (hpt) and 24 hpi (Figure 4B). A panel of genes implicated in

SARS-CoV-2 entry (ACE2, TMPRSS2, NRP1, CTSB, CTSL), and a virus specific gene (SARS-CoV-2 N) were knocked down before infection with EDB-2 at 72 hpt. All genes showed a significant (****p<0.0001) reduction in replication compared to non-targeting siRNA, with SARS-CoV-2 N and NRP1 showing the strongest phenotypes with a relative replication compared to the non-targeting control of 8 and 9% respectively. Knockdown of CTSB had the least effect on viral replication, only causing a 50% reduction in released virus, followed by TMPRSS2 at 24.7% relative replication. The viability of the Caki-1 cells after knockdown was measured by fluorescence created through mitochondrial metabolism as a proxy for cell viability. There was no difference to cell viability after knockdown of any of these genes. Neither was there any effect on cell viability due to being treated with the transfection reagent (Mock). This shows that Caki-1 cells can be transfected successfully and that it results in the desired effect on viral replication, they can also tolerate the transfection reagent without a reduction in cell viability. Knockdowns were validated by RT-qPCR and showed at least 80% reduction in mRNA (data not shown).

Caki-1 cells are interferon competent

Many cancer cells lines are deficient in their interferon response, especially Vero E6 cells, which lack the ability to produce interferon through a large deletion in their genome (6). This can be detrimental for studying a fully comprehensive viral replication cycle, as close to natural infection as possible in a cancer cell line. It often also drives viral adaptation over serial passaging of field isolates. To assess the interferon response in Caki-1 cells, and therefore their suitability as a good infection model for SARS-CoV-2, we stimulated them with Poly I:C and LPS and measured their IFNα/β output by HEK-Blue IFNα/β assay (Figure 1: **Time course assays in coronaviruses comparing the most commonly used cell line with Caki-1.** For all graphs: circle points = MOI 10, square points = MOI 1, triangle points = MOI 0.1, diamond points = MOI 0.01, and the darker the color the higher the MOI, error bars indicate +/- SEM. [A] Time course infection of SARS-CoV-2 variants on Vero E6 and Caki-1 cells, biological n=3x3. Measured through RT-qPCR for the SARS-CoV-2 N gene in the supernatant, samples taken at 0, 6, 16, 24, 48, 72, 96 and 120 hpi at MOIs of 10, 1 and 0.1. [B] Time course infection of MERS-CoV on Huh-7 and Caki-1 cells, biological n=3x3, measured through TCID50 on Huh-7 cells. Samples taken at 24h intervals until 96hpi. [C] GFP time course of infection for hCoV-229E on Huh-7 and Caki-1 cells, measured from 0 hpi to 78 hpi in 6 h intervals, with fluorescence as a proxy for viral replication, biological n=3x3. [D] Fluorescence-activated cell sorting to determine the percentage of infected SARS-CoV-2 cells in Vero E6, Caki-1, Calu-3 and Calu-3-ACE2 cells. Vero E6 and Caki-1 cells were fixed at 17 hpi, stained for intracellular SARS-CoV-2 N protein and sorted for fluorescent cells, biological n=3x3. The same was conducted for Calu-3 and Calu-3-ACE2 cells at 24 hpi and 48 hpi, biological n=3x3.

C). Stimulation with a transfection reagent only (DF1) induced a small response, comparable to

cells cultured in media containing Poly I:C. Caki-1 cells only showed a very small response to LPS

stimulation, comparable to other stimulant addition. However, when Poly I:C was transfected to

mimic a viral infection, IFN α/β production rose dramatically from 0.0004 µg/ml for DF1 only to

0.2 µg/ml for 1 µg/ml Poly I:C (****$p<0.0001$) and 0.08 µg/ml for 10 µg/ml Poly I:C (***$p<0.001$).

None of the other conditions provided a significant difference from untreated. This demonstrates

that Caki-1 cells can mount a competent interferon response, and so, in assays which investigate

interferon pathways in viral replication, and any other host-pathogen interactions, they would

provide a complete picture of viral replication.

Caki-1 cells are broadly susceptible to infection with other respiratory viruses RSV and IAV

To determine whether Caki-1 cells are also permissible to infection with other respiratory viruses

not in the coronavirus family, they were infected with IAV (H1N1 vaccine strain A/Puerto

Rico/8/1934) PR8 and RSV and compared to the standard cell line used to replicate these viruses.

In a trypsin-dependent infection with IAV PR8 Caki-1 cells showed replication equal to MDCK

cells when infected with an MOI=0.01. No infection was observed in a trypsin-independent

infection (data not shown). There was no significant difference at all time points apart from 12

hpi where the MDCKs show a higher viral titer by 32,800 pfu/ml, with both cell lines reaching

10^{11} pfu/ml by 48 hpi (Figure 1**: Time course assays in coronaviruses comparing the most**

commonly used cell line with Caki-1. For all graphs: circle points = MOI 10, square points =

MOI 1, triangle points = MOI 0.1, diamond points = MOI 0.01, and the darker the color the higher

the MOI, error bars indicate +/- SEM. [A] Time course infection of SARS-CoV-2 variants on Vero

E6 and Caki-1 cells, biological n=3x3. Measured through RT-qPCR for the SARS-CoV-2 N gene in

the supernatant, samples taken at 0, 6, 16, 24, 48, 72, 96 and 120 hpi at MOIs of 10, 1 and 0.1. [B]

Time course infection of MERS-CoV on Huh-7 and Caki-1 cells, biological n=3x3, measured

through TCID50 on Huh-7 cells. Samples taken at 24h intervals until 96hpi. [C] GFP time course

of infection for hCoV-229E on Huh-7 and Caki-1 cells, measured from 0 hpi to 78 hpi in 6 h

intervals, with fluorescence as a proxy for viral replication, biological n=3x3. [D] Fluorescence-

activated cell sorting to determine the percentage of infected SARS-CoV-2 cells in Vero E6, Caki-

1, Calu-3 and Calu-3-ACE2 cells. Vero E6 and Caki-1 cells were fixed at 17 hpi, stained for

intracellular SARS-CoV-2 N protein and sorted for fluorescent cells, biological n=3x3. The same

was conducted for Calu-3 and Calu-3-ACE2 cells at 24 hpi and 48 hpi, biological n=3x3.

D).

HEp-2 cells are typically used to expand RSV. Caki-1 and HEp-2 cells were inoculated with a

fluorescence reporter virus RSV-A2-GFP. Replication was measured as a proxy by measuring the

florescence reporter in an incubating plate reader. For the first time, the replication in Caki-1 cells

does not match or outperform replication of RSV in HEp-2 cells (Figure 1**: Time course assays in coronaviruses comparing the most commonly used cell line with Caki-1.** For all graphs: circle points = MOI 10, square points = MOI 1, triangle points = MOI 0.1, diamond points = MOI 0.01, and the darker the color the higher the MOI, error bars indicate +/- SEM. [A] Time course infection of SARS-CoV-2 variants on Vero E6 and Caki-1 cells, biological n=3x3. Measured through RT-qPCR for the SARS-CoV-2 N gene in the supernatant, samples taken at 0, 6, 16, 24, 48, 72, 96 and 120 hpi at MOIs of 10, 1 and 0.1. [B] Time course infection of MERS-CoV on Huh-7 and Caki-1 cells, biological n=3x3, measured through TCID50 on Huh-7 cells. Samples taken at 24h intervals until 96hpi. [C] GFP time course of infection for hCoV-229E on Huh-7 and Caki-1 cells, measured from 0 hpi to 78 hpi in 6 h intervals, with fluorescence as a proxy for viral replication, biological n=3x3. [D] Fluorescence-activated cell sorting to determine the percentage of infected SARS-CoV-2 cells in Vero E6, Caki-1, Calu-3 and Calu-3-ACE2 cells. Vero E6 and Caki-1 cells were fixed at 17 hpi, stained for intracellular SARS-CoV-2 N protein and sorted for fluorescent cells, biological n=3x3. The same was conducted for Calu-3 and Calu-3-ACE2 cells at 24 hpi and 48 hpi, biological n=3x3.

E). However, the infection in Caki-1 cells outperforms RSV infection in A549 cells through faster and stronger (higher fluorescence) growth (data not shown). The fluorescence from the HEp-2 cells (turquoise) reaches 7,000 RFU for MOIs of 1 and 0.1, with 0.1 remaining slightly under MOI=1 for the entire replication cycle (0 hpi – 78 hpi). Although the Caki-1 cells do show a logarithmic replication curve at both MOIs, it is shallow and plateaus by 42 hpi, about 10 h before the HEp-2 cells. The maximum RFU achieved by the hRSV-A2-GFP is ~3,700, about half of the RFU achieved in HEp-2. The variation at the later timepoints has increased compared to HEp-2 cells. No syncytia formation was observed in the Caki-1 cells upon hRSV-A2-GFP infection, but CPE was present at 48 hpi.

Discussion

Here we have shown that Caki-1 cells can be used to model infection for a range of respiratory viruses; SARS-CoV-2, CoV-229e, MERS-CoV, IAV, and RSV. With the exception of RSV, they are comparable to or outperform the current preferred cell line for viral production, whilst also providing a naturally permissible environment for the virus to replicate in. They express all the receptors needed for infection with SARS-CoV-2, MERS-CoV, and hCoV-229E, and are responsive to transfection with siRNA without significant cell death. They have a competent interferon response as demonstrated by stimulation with Poly I:C and are also permissive to other respiratory viruses. This makes them a highly useful model for direct comparison of respiratory viruses across one, unmodified (no overexpression) cell line.

The natural susceptibility of Caki-1 (human) cells to a range of respiratory viruses, although not a lung cell line, provides them with an advantage over many other cell lines used for viral research. Particularly over the much used Vero E6 cells (African Green Monkey), which, although permissive to many different viruses, do not provide a representative environment for human pathogens. The broad susceptibility of Caki-1 cells to respiratory virus infection allows for their use in comparative studies between viruses. Calu-3 cells, which have been widely used as a lung cell model for SARS-CoV-2, show a very low percentage infection and are difficult to grow. Selection for ACE2 expressing cells (Calu-3-ACE2) through FACS makes the cells more permissive to infection with SARS-CoV-2 and can also enhance growth characteristics through selection of cell subpopulations. However, this specializes the Calu-3-ACE2 cells to infection with SARS-CoV-2 and is likely impacting infectability with other viruses. Similarly though, if a more highly permissive version of Caki-1 cells was sought to study SARS-CoV-2 infection, selection of ACE2 cells may improve infection beyond 50%. We would, however, advise to keep this population as is due to its broad susceptibility to a range of respiratory viruses.

Whilst Caki-1 are still a cancer cell line, and thus contain the mutations and caveats associated with that fact, they have a well-characterized genome and expression patterns. Whilst they have a knockout mutation in the CDKN2 gene and this should be kept in mind, they also boast a fully receptive innate immune response, allowing this pathway to be interrogated (https://www.cbioportal.org/, Accessed 8/10/2022, (36, 37)). This is also demonstrated by their response to intracellular Poly I:C (a viral mimic), but not to LPS which is a bacterial stimulant of immune cells, showing their response is specific and relevant to viral infections. Conversely, the Vero E6 cells are defective in its production of interferon, but remains sensitive to the action of interferon through ISGs, often making it a preferred cell line for the production of viral stocks, with the caveat that attenuation is more likely (6).

With the emergence of new SARS-CoV-2 variants it has been found that in other cell lines, there

is a change in tropism and attachment / processing factor preference leading to a loss of infection

(38). Caki-1 cells are able to maintain equal levels of infection across all the VOCs tested (alpha,

delta, and omicron), without a change in phenotype, whereas Vero E6 cells lose their ability to

effectively support viral replication as the variants evolve. This decrease in permissibility of the

Vero E6 cells runs in parallel with the decrease in severe symptoms seen with the evolution of

the variants, with omicron causing the mildest disease of all the variants, likely attributed to a

change in cell tropism and / or temperature (39). As the Caki-1 cells can support infection of the

omicron variant, and it has been reported that omicron has moved away from TMPRSS2 mediated

entry (40), it could be assumed that entry into Caki-1 cells is less or not TMPRSS2 dependent. This

is reflected in the knockdown phenotypes (Figure 4B) with 25% relative infection still occurring

upon knockdown of TMPRSS2. This makes the Caki-1 cell line very valuable for future SARS-CoV-

2 research as they will be useful to study the host-virus interactions of variants evolved from

omicron.

The Caki-1 cell line also offers ideal growth characteristics for viral study and propagation. Whilst

being permissive to transfection Caki-1 cells have a doubling time of 36 hours (preventing

overgrowth), the cultures can pack tightly to form dense monolayers capable of supporting

widespread viral infection, and are robust enough to be able to maintain multi rounds of infection

without significant CPE development. Conversely, Vero E6, Calu-3, and Huh7 cells all develop CPE

after 24 hpi with SARS-CoV-2, restricting their use (7, 41). The slower growth of Caki-1 cells

compared to all other preferred cell lines, especially Vero E6 cells, also means that they are

suitable for producing stocks of slower growing viruses such as the common cold coronaviruses.

Here, we have also utilized Caki-1 cells to isolate clinical samples of SARS-CoV-2, specifically

omicron, a methodology which usually has been achieved on Vero E6 cells for all major virus

types. This negates the worry for mutation accumulation in the S1/S2 furin cleavage site that has

been observed in SARS-CoV-2 within a single passage in Vero E6 cells (7). The ability of Caki-1

cells to support robust infection with multiple respiratory viruses shows that this cell line can be

useful to study both coronaviruses and common cold viruses in direct comparison. The growth

properties of the cells furthermore improve high-throughput readouts and methods through

slower growth and overcrowding, reducing background fluorescence and changes to cell

properties in a dense cell layer.

The SARS-CoV-2 antibodies developed by MRC PPU Dundee form a useful toolset to quantify and

visualize viral proteins throughout the replication cycle as we have demonstrated here. We have

shown the localization of most viral proteins within Caki-1 cells, which agrees with the literature

for other cell lines localization and relevant SARS-CoV homologues (42, 43), and tagged proteins

in A549 cells (44). Contrast to the studies previously performed, here we can show how natural
expression of these proteins is characterized in cells where all other viral proteins and cellular
interaction partners are regulated through the viral infection. The staining and the advantage of
higher resolution techniques show the vast potential to study viral protein function through
localization. Particularly interesting will be the function of nuclear localization of NSP16 and
ORF9b. The many functions associated with proteins like N, ORF3B, NSP2, and NSP8 are indicated
through their broad distribution patterns within the cell. With these antibodies being raised in
sheep, they are ideal for pairing with other antibodies from other production animals for co-
localization studies. Here, we have used a variety of antibodies purified from different bleeds of
the production sheep as they were available to us. Indications are that later bleeds show less
background and more specific staining. It is clear that further optimization for some of the
staining protocols is warranted for some of the proteins of interest.

The multifaceted uses of Caki-1 cells in viral research are clear; they are easy to work with and
manipulate in the lab, they can support a range of viruses across multiple rounds of infection, and
in most cases outperform the commonly used cell line for each virus. They naturally highly
express a wide range of receptors, and possess an interferon response that can both produce and
respond, making them an ideal candidate for studying viral infections *in vitro*.

Methods

Cells & Viruses

Vero E6 (ATCC CRL-1586), Calu-3 (ATCC HTB-55), Huh-7 (JCRB, JCRB0403), HEp-2 (ATCC CCL-23), and MDCK (ATCC CCL-34) cells were maintained as monolayer cultures in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM, Sigma), supplemented with 10% heat inactivated Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS, Gibco), 1X Ultraglutamine-I (Lonza), and 1X Non-essential Amino Acids (NEAA, Lonza) (complete DMEM). Calu-3 cells were supplemented with 1X Sodium Pyruvate (Sigma). Hek-Blue IFN-α/β (InvivoGen) cells were maintained as monolayer cultures in complete DMEM supplemented with 30 µg/ml of blasticidin (Gibco) and 100 µg/ml of Zeocin (Gibco). Caki-1 cells (ATCC HTB-46) were maintained as monolayer cultures in Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium (RPMI, Sigma), supplemented with 10% heat inactivated FBS (Gibco), 1X Ultraglutamine-I (Lonza), and 1X NEAA (Lonza). Cells were maintained at 37°C in 5% CO_2.

Samples from confirmed COVID-19 patients were collected by trained healthcare professionals using combined nose-and-throat swabbing. The samples were stored in virus transport medium and sterile filtered through 0.1 µm filters prior to cultivation and isolation on Vero E6 cells. Variant B.1.1.529 (o) was isolated on Caki-1 cells. Samples were anonymized by coding, compliant with Tissue Governance for the South East Scotland Scottish 279 Academic Health Sciences Collaboration Human Annotated BioResource (reference no. SR1452). Virus sequence was confirmed by Nanopore sequencing according to the ARCTIC network protocol (https://artic.network/ncov-2019), amplicon set V3, and validated against the patient isolate sequence. SARS-CoV-2 variants utilized in this study are EDB-2 (B1.5 at the time, now B.1), EDB-α-1 (B.1.1.7), EDB-δ-1 (B.1.617.2), and EDB-o-BA.1-1 (B.1.1.529, BA.1). Infectivity was quantified by endpoint titration on Vero E6 cells, for all isolates apart from o (omicron), which was titrated on Caki-1 cells. All infections in this study were performed using passage 2 from isolation of each variant.

hCoV-229E-GFP (45) was propagated on Huh7 cells at 34°C with 5% CO_2 over 72 hours. Infectivity was quantified by endpoint titration on Huh7 cells.

hRSV-A2-eGFP (46) (obtained from Juergen Schwarze, University of Edinburgh) was amplified on HEp-2 cells over 72 hours. Infectivity was quantified by endpoint titration on HEp-2 cells.

MERS-CoV strain EMC (47) was propagated in VeroB4 cells. Infectivity was quantified by endpoint titration on Huh7 cells as previously described (48).

Influenza A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 (H1N1) (IAV PR8) (49) was generated by reverse genetics as described previously (50) and propagated on MDCK cells. Virus was titrated by plaque assay on MDCK cells.

Viral Growth Curves

SARS-CoV-2

Vero E6 and Caki-1 cells were seeded to confluence in a 24-well plate one day prior to inoculation at differing MOIs for 1 h. At the indicated time point post infection, supernatant was lysed and quantified as previously described (51). Briefly, the lysate was added to the reaction at 10% total reaction volume and analyzed by RT-qPCR, using SYBR Green GoTaq 1-Step RT-qPCR (Promega) with the SARS-CoV-2 CDC N3 primers (F – GGGAGCCTTGAATACACCAAAA, R – TGTAGCACGATTGCAGCATTG) at 350 nM each to determine viral copy number according to the manufacturer's instructions (annealing at 60°C) on a Stratagene MX3000p qPCR system. To assess viral copy numbers, resulting Cts were analyzed against a standard curve of lysate with known $TCID_{50}$/ml value as well as an RNA template.

hRSV and hCOV-229E

HEp-2 (RSV), Huh7 (229E), and Caki-1 (both) cells were seeded to confluence in a black, clear bottom 96-well plate one day prior to infection. After inoculation at differing MOIs for 1.5 h, inoculum was removed and replication measured as a function of GFP fluorescence from 6 hpi to 72 hpi in 2-hour intervals (BMG Clariostar; excitation: 470-15, dichroic: 492.5, emission: 515-15, bottom optic). Slopes of the linear phase of replication were calculated then normalized to uninfected cells (slope = 1) and the average slope calculated.

MERS-CoV

Huh7 and Caki-1 cells were seeded to confluence one day prior to infection. After inoculation at differing MOIs for 2 h inoculum was removed and cells were washed three times with PBS. At indicated time points post infection, supernatant was lysed and quantified as previously described. Virus titers were determined by endpoint titration on Huh7 cells inoculated with serial dilution and $TCID_{50}$/ml was visualized using Crystal Violet 72 hpi and calculated by the Spearman–Kärber algorithm.

IAV

MDCK and Caki-1 cells were seeded to confluence one day prior to infection. After inoculation at MOI=0.01 for 1 h, inoculum was replaced with serum free medium containing 1 μg/ml tosyl phenylalanyl chloromethyl ketone (TPCK)-treated trypsin (Sigma) and 0.14% BSA (w/v) (Sigma). Infected cells were incubated at 37°C for the duration of the experiment and supernatant samples harvested at indicated time points. Virus titers were determined by plaque titration using ten-fold serial dilutions of virus stocks. Confluent MDCK cells in 6 well plates were inoculated with virus stock for 1 h, then an overlay (mixture of equal volume of DMEM and 2.4% Avicel (Sigma-Aldrich, UK) supplemented with 1 μg/ml TPCK-treated trypsin and 0.14% BSA fraction V) was put onto the wells. After 48 hpi, cells were fixed using 3.5% formaldehyde and stained with 0.1% crystal

violet. Virus titers were calculated by plaque count*dilution factor/(volume of inoculum) and
expressed as PFU/ml.

Fluorescent Activated Cell Sorting (FACS) of Calu-3 cells
Calu-3 cells were enriched for high ACE2 expression (Calu-3-ACE2) by FACS using a BD
Biosciences FACSAria III Cell Sorter. Cells were detached from a T75 using Gentle Cell Dissociation
Reagent (Stemcell Technologies) and recovered in DMEM prior to staining. Recombinant
Anti-ACE2 antibody (Abcam, ab272500) was used at 1:500 before staining with Goat anti-Rabbit
IgG (H+L) AF647 (Invitrogen, A-21244) at 1:2000. The top 0.1% of cells expressing ACE2 were
collected and cultured in conditioned media to recover and expand.

Flow Cytometry
Vero E6, Caki-1, Calu-3, and Calu-3-ACE2 cells were seeded one day prior to inoculation with
SARS-CoV-2-EDB-2 at differing MOIs of 10, 1 and 0.1 for 1 h. At the indicated timepoints, the cells
were detached from the well using TrypLE Express Enzyme (Gibco) prior to fixation with 4%
formaldehyde. The cells were permeabilized using 0.1% Triton-X-100. Using standard
immunocytochemistry techniques, primary antibody targeting SARS-CoV-2 N protein (DA114 4th
bleed, MRC PPU Dundee, generated as described in (16)) was used at 1:200 (Table 1) before
staining with Donkey anti-Sheep AF488 Secondary Antibody (Invitrogen, A-11015) at 1:2000.
The cells were analyzed using a B530/30-A filter on a BD Biosciences LSRFortessa X-20 Cell
Analyzer.

Table 1: Antibodies targeting SARS-CoV-2 generated by the MRC PPU and respective concentrations used.

TARGET	ID	BLEED	STOCK CONC. (MG/ML)	IF FLOW Dil. / conc. (µg/ml)
NSP1	DA103	1st	0.38	1:200 / 1.9
NSP2	DA105	1st	0.15	1:200 / 0.75
NSP3 (743-1072)	DA126	1st	0.13	1:200 / 0.65
NSP5	DA118	1st	0.35	1:200 / 1.75
NSP7	DA093	2nd	0.23	1:200 / 1.15
NSP8	DA110	1st	0.44	1:200 / 2.2
NSP9	DA094	2nd	0.33	1:200 / 1.65
NSP10	DA091	2nd	0.27	1:200 / 1.35
NSP11/12	DA119	1st	0.2	1:200 / 1
NSP13	DA111	1st	0.29	1:200 / 1.45
NSP14	DA112	1st	0.22	1:200 / 1.1
NSP15	DA120	1st	0.14	1:200 / 0.7
NSP16	DA113	1st	0.36	1:200 / 1.8
S (ORF2)	DA123	5th	1.05	1:200 / 5.25
S (RBD, ORF2)	DA125	5th	0.18	1:200 / 0.9
ORF3A	DA102	1st	0.2	1:200 / 1

ORF3B	DA100	2nd	0.24	1:200 / 1.2	
E (ORF4)	DA108	1st	0.12	1:200 / 0.6	
M (ORF5)	DA107	1st	0.15	1:200 / 0.75	
ORF6	DA087	2nd	0.14	1:200 / 0.7	
ORF7A	DA124	1st	0.32	1:200 / 1.6	
ORF7B	DA092	2nd	0.17	1:200 / 0.85	
ORF8	DA088	2nd	0.12	1:200 / 0.6	
N (ORF9)	DA114	1st	0.32	1:200 / 1.6	
N (ORF9)	DA114	4th	0.32		1:200 / 1.6
ORF9B	DA089	2nd	0.28	1:200 / 1.4	
ORF9C	DA101	2nd	0.35	1:200 / 1.75	
ORF10	DA090	2nd	0.21	1:200 / 1.05	

Confocal Imaging

Caki-1 cells were seeded in clear-bottom Lumox plates (Sarstedt) one day prior to infection with
EDB-2 at MOI = 1. At 16 hpi the cells were fixed with 4% formaldehyde and permeabilized using
0.1% Triton-X-100. Using standard immunocytochemistry techniques, primary antibodies
targeting SARS-CoV-2 proteins were used at indicated dilutions / concentrations (Table 1, MRC
PPU Dundee) before staining with Donkey anti-Sheep AF488 Secondary Antibody (Invitrogen) at
1:2000, DAPI (Invitrogen, A-11015) at 0.2 µg/ml, and Alexa Fluor™ 594 Phalloidin (Invitrogen)
at 1:5,000. The cells were analyzed on a Zeiss LSM 880 Airyscan microscope acquiring z-stack
images with a set 0.5 µm imaging interval in the z-direction. Imaging properties were set for an
uninfected, stained control sample for each antibody. Images were processed using Fiji (ImageJ)
to generate maximum projection images, merge, and montage.

Receptor Quantification

RNA was extracted from Caki-1 cells using the RNeasy (Qiagen) kit according to the
manufacturer's instructions. RNA was quantified by Nanodrop and Qubit (ThermoFisher).
RT-qPCR reactions using the GoTaq 1-Step RT-qPCR kit (Promega) were set-up to 10 µl final
reaction volumes according to the manufacturer's instructions containing 250 nM of each
receptors primer pairs and 10 ng of RNA. The RT-qPCR was run on a Mx3000P (Agilent) using an
annealing temperature of 60°C and analyzed against a standard curve of Mitochondrial 18S
ribosomal RNA (RRN18S) before being normalized to the RRN18 value. Primers used were ACE2
(fwd: TTCCACTCTCATTTGAGCCTG, rev: GCCGGAGATAGGAGTGGA), TMPRSS2 (fwd:
AGGGAAGACCTCAGAAGTGC, rev: CACAGATCATGGCTGGTGTG), NRP1 (fwd:
TCCTCATCGGGCATTCTCTC, rev: TCTGAGACACTGCTCTGCAA, ANPEP (fwd:
TTCAACATCACGCTTATCCACC, rev: AGTCGAACTCACTGACAATGAAG), DPP4 (fwd:
TACAAAAGTGACATGCCTCAGTT, rev: TGTGTAGAGTATAGAGGGGCAGA), and RRN18S (fwd:
AGAAACGGCTACCACATCCA, rev: CACCAGACTTGCCCTCCA).

Receptor siRNA knockdown

siRNA (Dharmacon – Table 2) and Dharmafect 1 (Horizon) were combined in OptiMEM (Gibco) to achieve a final concentration of 20 nM and 0.1% respectively. Transfection complexes were incubated for 20 minutes at room temperature before the addition of 20,000 cells per 96-well. At 72 h post transfection, cells were infected with EDB-2 at MOI = 0.1. At 24 and 48 hpi, supernatant was harvested, lysed, and quantified as as previously described (51). Relative quantities were normalized to the Non-targeting control (#1).

Table 2: Dharmacon siRNA sequences used in this study.

Gene	siRNA sequence (sense)
ACE2	GAACAUACCUUUGAAGAGA, GCACAAAGGUGACAAUGGA, GGAGGUGGAUGGUCUUUAA, GAGAGGAGACUAUGAAGUA
TMPRSS2	GCAAUGUCGAUAUCUAUAA, GCUAUUGGACCUUACUAUG, ACGGGAAUGUGAUGGUAUU, CGGACUGGAUUUAUCGACA
NRP1	GACCAGGACUACAGGGUUA, GAGGACGGCAUGAGACUUA, CCAGGUGUAUUCCGAACUU, GCCGAGGACCCGAAGGUUA
Cathepsin B	GGAUCACUGUGGAAUCGAA, GGAACUUCUGGACAAGAAA, GGAUGAGCUGGUCAACUAU, GGCACAACUUCUACAACGU
Cathepsin L	CAGCUACUCUAACAUUUGA, UCCAGUAUGUUCAGGAUAA, GGAGAAACCAUUGUGGAAU, CAGAUUAUACGGCAUGAAU
Non-Targeting (control #1)	UAGCGACUAAACACAUCAA, UAAGGCUAUGAAGAGAUAC, AUGUAUUGGCCUGUAUUAG, AUGAACGUGAAUUGCUCAA
SARs2 N protein	AGAACAAACCCAAGGAAAUUU

Cell Viability Assay

Caki-1 cells were seeded onto a black-sided, clear-bottomed 96-well plates after reverse transfection with siRNA (20 nM, as described above) and incubated for 72 h. CellTiter-Blue (Promega, G8080) reagent was added to each well at a 1:10 ratio. Following 3 hours of incubation at 37°C, fluorescence as a result of metabolic activity was measured using the BMG Clariostar plate reader (excitation: 545-20, emission: 600-40, 30 flashes/well, bottom optic) and fluorescence intensity was compared between the untreated and the drug treated cells to calculate a percentage viability.

HEK-Blue Interferon Assay

Caki-1 cells were seeded in a 12-well plate and stimulated by either reverse transfecting with Poly I:C (Enzo) using Dharmafect 1 (working concentration of 0.1%), or with media containing Poly I:C (Enzo) or LPS (Sigma) at the indicated concentrations. At 24 hours post treatment, supernatant was harvested and 20 µl added to confluent HEK-Blue IFNα/β cells for 24 h. 20 µl of induced HEK-Blue cell supernatant was added to a corresponding plate with 180 µL of Quanti-Blue substrate in each well. After 3 h incubation SEAP levels were detected using the Clariostar (BMG) at 640 nm.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Time course assays in coronaviruses comparing the most commonly used cell line with Caki-1. For all graphs: circle points = MOI 10, square points = MOI 1, triangle points = MOI 0.1, diamond points = MOI 0.01, and the darker the color the higher the MOI, error bars indicate +/- SEM. [A] Time course infection of SARS-CoV-2 variants on Vero E6 and Caki-1 cells, biological n=3x3. Measured through RT-qPCR for the SARS-CoV-2 N gene in the supernatant, samples taken at 0, 6, 16, 24, 48, 72, 96 and 120 hpi at MOIs of 10, 1 and 0.1. [B] Time course infection of MERS-CoV on Huh-7 and Caki-1 cells, biological n=3x3, measured through TCID50 on Huh-7 cells. Samples taken at 24h intervals until 96hpi. [C] GFP time course of infection for hCoV-229E on Huh-7 and Caki-1 cells, measured from 0 hpi to 78 hpi in 6 h intervals, with fluorescence as a proxy for viral replication, biological n=3x3. [D] Fluorescence-activated cell sorting to determine the percentage of infected SARS-CoV-2 cells in Vero E6, Caki-1, Calu-3 and Calu-3-ACE2 cells. Vero E6 and Caki-1 cells were fixed at 17 hpi, stained for intracellular SARS-CoV-2 N protein and sorted for fluorescent cells, biological n=3x3. The same was conducted for Calu-3 and Calu-3-ACE2 cells at 24 hpi and 48 hpi, biological n=3x3.

Figure 2: Confocal analysis of Caki-1 cells showing localization and size of SARS-CoV-2 orf proteins. [A] SARS-CoV-2 genome illustrating the proteins translated by the viral genome and their relative sizes. [B] Immunocytochemsitry staining showing infected and uninfected Caki-1 cells stained for SARS-CoV-2 open reading frame proteins. The protein of interest (POI) is indicated in green, the DAPI indicating DNA and RNA in blue, and actin in red. Scale bar represents 20 μM.

Figure 3: Confocal analysis of Caki-1 cells showing localisation and size of SARS-CoV-2 NSP proteins. Immunocytochemsitry staining showing infected and uninfected Caki-1 cells stained for SARS-CoV-2 non-structural proteins. The POI is indicated in green, the DAPI indicating DNA and RNA in blue, and actin in red. Scale bar represents 20 μm.

Figure 4: Characterizing Caki-1 cells in the context of respiratory virus infection with receptor and interferon competence profiling. [A] Violin plot showing the entry factors for the human coronaviruses; ACE2, TMPRSS2, NRP1, ANPEP, and DPP4 checked for mRNA expression in Caki-1 cells, relative to expression of the housekeeping gene RRN18S. Biological n=3x2. [B] (left) Relative replication of SARS-CoV-2 after knockdown of the entry factors in Caki-1 cells relative to the scrambled siRNA non-targeting at 24 hpi, all knockdowns are significant to a p-value < 0.0001, biological n=12x3, error bars representing +/-SEM. (right) Cell viability after knockdown of SARS-CoV-2 entry factors for 72 h, dotted line indicates the cut-off for 80% relative cell viability, biological nx3, error bars represent +/-SEM. [C] Box and whiskers plot indicating

the interferon α/β stimulation of Caki-1 cells after treatment with LPS and Poly I:C, TF indicates

transfection into the cell. All overlay treatments are non-significant, but transfection of Poly I:C at

1 µg/ml and 10 µg/ml were significant to 0.0001 and 0.001 respectively. [D] Time course of

infection in Caki-1 cells (dark red filled squares) compared to MDCK (light red hollow squares)

cells for IAV PR8, time points taken at 0, 12, 24 and 48 hpi and plaqued on MDCK cells to

determine pfu/ml, biological n=3, error bars representing +/-SEM. [E] RSV-A2-GFP replication

in HEp-2 cells (turquoise hollow squares) compared to Caki-1 cells (dark blue filled squares)

every 6 hpi up to 78 hpi monitored by fluorescence of GFP. Biological n=3x3, error bars represent

+/-SEM.

References

1. de Groot RJB, S. C.; Baric, R.; Enjuanes, L.; Gorbalenya, A. E.; Holmes, K. V.; Perlman, S.; Poon, L.; Rottier, P. J. M.; Talbot, P. J.; Woo, P. C. Y.; Ziebuhr, J. 2011. Virus Taxonomy: Ninth Report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses, p 806-828. *In* King AMQA, M. J.; Castens, E. B.; Lefkowitz, E. J. (ed) doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384684-6.00068-9. Elsevier Academic Press, London.

2. Peiris JS, Lai ST, Poon LL, Guan Y, Yam LY, Lim W, Nicholls J, Yee WK, Yan WW, Cheung MT, Cheng VC, Chan KH, Tsang DN, Yung RW, Ng TK, Yuen KY, group Ss. 2003. Coronavirus as a possible cause of severe acute respiratory syndrome. Lancet 361:1319-25.

3. Zaki AM, van Boheemen S, Bestebroer TM, Osterhaus AD, Fouchier RA. 2012. Isolation of a novel coronavirus from a man with pneumonia in Saudi Arabia. N Engl J Med 367:1814-20.

4. Wu P, Hao X, Lau EHY, Wong JY, Leung KSM, Wu JT, Cowling BJ, Leung GM. 2020. Real-time tentative assessment of the epidemiological characteristics of novel coronavirus infections in Wuhan, China, as at 22 January 2020. Euro Surveill 25.

5. Pommerenke C, Rand U, Uphoff CC, Nagel S, Zaborski M, Hauer V, Kaufmann M, Meyer C, Denkmann SA, Riese P, Eschke K, Kim Y, Safranko ZM, Kurolt IC, Markotic A, Cicin-Sain L, Steenpass L. 2021. Identification of cell lines CL-14, CL-40 and CAL-51 as suitable models for SARS-CoV-2 infection studies. PLoS One 16:e0255622.

6. Desmyter J, Melnick JL, Rawls WE. 1968. Defectiveness of interferon production and of rubella virus interference in a line of African green monkey kidney cells (Vero). J Virol 2:955-61.

7. Ogando NS, Dalebout TJ, Zevenhoven-Dobbe JC, Limpens RWAL, van der Meer Y, Caly L, Druce J, de Vries JJC, Kikkert M, Bárcena M, Sidorov I, Snijder EJ. 2020. SARS-coronavirus-2 replication in Vero E6 cells: replication kinetics, rapid adaptation and cytopathology. J Gen Virol 101:925-940.

8. Chu H, Chan JF, Yuen TT, Shuai H, Yuan S, Wang Y, Hu B, Yip CC, Tsang JO, Huang X, Chai Y, Yang D, Hou Y, Chik KK, Zhang X, Fung AY, Tsoi HW, Cai JP, Chan WM, Ip JD, Chu AW, Zhou J, Lung DC, Kok KH, To KK, Tsang OT, Chan KH, Yuen KY. 2020. Comparative tropism, replication kinetics, and cell damage profiling of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV with implications for clinical manifestations, transmissibility, and laboratory studies of COVID-19: an observational study. Lancet Microbe 1:e14-e23.

9. Wei J, Alfajaro MM, DeWeirdt PC, Hanna RE, Lu-Culligan WJ, Cai WL, Strine MS, Zhang SM, Graziano VR, Schmitz CO, Chen JS, Mankowski MC, Filler RB, Ravindra NG, Gasque V, de Miguel FJ, Patil A, Chen H, Oguntuyo KY, Abriola L, Surovtseva YV, Orchard RC, Lee B, Lindenbach BD, Politi K, van Dijk D, Kadoch C, Simon MD, Yan Q, Doench JG, Wilen CB. 2021. Genome-wide CRISPR Screens Reveal Host Factors Critical for SARS-CoV-2 Infection. Cell 184:76-91 e13.

10. Zhu Y, Feng F, Hu G, Wang Y, Yu Y, Zhu Y, Xu W, Cai X, Sun Z, Han W, Ye R, Qu D, Ding Q, Huang X, Chen H, Xu W, Xie Y, Cai Q, Yuan Z, Zhang R. 2021. A genome-wide CRISPR screen identifies host factors that regulate SARS-CoV-2 entry. Nat Commun 12:961.

11. Daniloski Z, Jordan TX, Wessels HH, Hoagland DA, Kasela S, Legut M, Maniatis S, Mimitou EP, Lu L, Geller E, Danziger O, Rosenberg BR, Phatnani H, Smibert P, Lappalainen T, tenOever BR, Sanjana NE. 2021. Identification of Required Host Factors for SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Human Cells. Cell 184:92-105 e16.

12. Schneider WM, Luna JM, Hoffmann HH, Sanchez-Rivera FJ, Leal AA, Ashbrook AW, Le Pen J, Ricardo-Lax I, Michailidis E, Peace A, Stenzel AF, Lowe SW, MacDonald MR, Rice CM, Poirier JT. 2021. Genome-Scale Identification of SARS-CoV-2 and Pan-coronavirus Host Factor Networks. Cell 184:120-132 e14.

13. Wang L, Fan X, Bonenfant G, Cui D, Hossain J, Jiang N, Larson G, Currier M, Liddell J, Wilson M, Tamin A, Harcourt J, Ciomperlik-Patton J, Pang H, Dybdahl-Sissoko N, Campagnoli R, Shi PY, Barnes J, Thornburg NJ, Wentworth DE, Zhou B. 2021. Susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 of Cell Lines and Substrates Commonly Used to Diagnose and Isolate Influenza and Other Viruses. Emerg Infect Dis 27:1380-1392.

14.   Wang G, Barrett JW, Stanford M, Werden SJ, Johnston JB, Gao X, Sun M, Cheng JQ, McFadden
G. 2006. Infection of human cancer cells with myxoma virus requires Akt activation via
interaction with a viral ankyrin-repeat host range factor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:4640-5.
15.   Lee HL, Essani K. 2010. Differential susceptibility of human cancer cell lines to wild-type
tanapoxvirus infection. Open Virol J 4:1-6.
16.   Rihn SJ, Merits A, Bakshi S, Turnbull ML, Wickenhagen A, Alexander AJT, Baillie C, Brennan B,
Brown F, Brunker K, Bryden SR, Burness KA, Carmichael S, Cole SJ, Cowton VM, Davies P, Davis
C, De Lorenzo G, Donald CL, Dorward M, Dunlop JI, Elliott M, Fares M, da Silva Filipe A, Freitas
JR, Furnon W, Gestuveo RJ, Geyer A, Giesel D, Goldfarb DM, Goodman N, Gunson R, Hastie CJ,
Herder V, Hughes J, Johnson C, Johnson N, Kohl A, Kerr K, Leech H, Lello LS, Li K, Lieber G, Liu
X, Lingala R, Loney C, Mair D, McElwee MJ, McFarlane S, Nichols J, et al. 2021. A plasmid DNA-
launched SARS-CoV-2 reverse genetics system and coronavirus toolkit for COVID-19 research.
PLoS Biol 19:e3001091.
17.   McBride CE, Li J, Machamer CE. 2007. The cytoplasmic tail of the severe acute respiratory
syndrome coronavirus spike protein contains a novel endoplasmic reticulum retrieval signal
that binds COPI and promotes interaction with membrane protein. J Virol 81:2418-28.
18.   Ujike M, Huang C, Shirato K, Makino S, Taguchi F. 2016. The contribution of the cytoplasmic
retrieval signal of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus to intracellular
accumulation of S proteins and incorporation of S protein into virus-like particles. J Gen Virol
97:1853-1864.
19.   Lu W, Zheng BJ, Xu K, Schwarz W, Du L, Wong CK, Chen J, Duan S, Deubel V, Sun B. 2006.
Severe acute respiratory syndrome-associated coronavirus 3a protein forms an ion channel
and modulates virus release. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:12540-5.
20.   Zhang Y, Sun H, Pei R, Mao B, Zhao Z, Li H, Lin Y, Lu K. 2021. The SARS-CoV-2 protein ORF3a
inhibits fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes. Cell Discov 7:31.
21.   Freundt EC, Yu L, Park E, Lenardo MJ, Xu XN. 2009. Molecular determinants for subcellular
localization of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus open reading frame 3b
protein. J Virol 83:6631-40.
22.   Konno Y, Kimura I, Uriu K, Fukushi M, Irie T, Koyanagi Y, Sauter D, Gifford RJ, Nakagawa S, Sato
K, Consortium U-C. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 ORF3b Is a Potent Interferon Antagonist Whose Activity
Is Increased by a Naturally Occurring Elongation Variant. Cell Rep 32:108185.
23.   Schaecher SR, Mackenzie JM, Pekosz A. 2007. The ORF7b protein of severe acute respiratory
syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) is expressed in virus-infected cells and incorporated into
SARS-CoV particles. J Virol 81:718-31.
24.   Matsuoka K, Imahashi N, Ohno M, Ode H, Nakata Y, Kubota M, Sugimoto A, Imahashi M,
Yokomaku Y, Iwatani Y. 2022. SARS-CoV-2 accessory protein ORF8 is secreted extracellularly
as a glycoprotein homodimer. J Biol Chem 298:101724.
25.   Boson B, Legros V, Zhou B, Siret E, Mathieu C, Cosset FL, Lavillette D, Denolly S. 2021. The
SARS-CoV-2 envelope and membrane proteins modulate maturation and retention of the
spike protein, allowing assembly of virus-like particles. J Biol Chem 296:100111.
26.   Lee HK, Lee BH, Seok SH, Baek MW, Lee HY, Kim DJ, Na YR, Noh KJ, Park SH, Kumar DN, Kariwa
H, Nakauchi M, Heo SJ, Park JH. 2010. Production of specific antibodies against SARS-
coronavirus nucleocapsid protein without cross reactivity with human coronaviruses 229E and
OC43. J Vet Sci 11:165-7.
27.   Gordon DE, Jang GM, Bouhaddou M, Xu J, Obernier K, White KM, O'Meara MJ, Rezelj VV, Guo
JZ, Swaney DL, Tummino TA, Hüttenhain R, Kaake RM, Richards AL, Tutuncuoglu B, Foussard
H, Batra J, Haas K, Modak M, Kim M, Haas P, Polacco BJ, Braberg H, Fabius JM, Eckhardt M,
Soucheray M, Bennett MJ, Cakir M, McGregor MJ, Li Q, Meyer B, Roesch F, Vallet T, Mac Kain
A, Miorin L, Moreno E, Naing ZZC, Zhou Y, Peng S, Shi Y, Zhang Z, Shen W, Kirby IT, Melnyk JE,
Chorba JS, Lou K, Dai SA, Barrio-Hernandez I, Memon D, Hernandez-Armenta C, et al. 2020. A

799   SARS-CoV-2 protein interaction map reveals targets for drug repurposing. Nature 583:459-
800   468.

801   28.   Shi CS, Qi HY, Boularan C, Huang NN, Abu-Asab M, Shelhamer JH, Kehrl JH. 2014. SARS-
802   coronavirus open reading frame-9b suppresses innate immunity by targeting mitochondria
803   and the MAVS/TRAF3/TRAF6 signalosome. J Immunol 193:3080-9.

804   29.   Cornillez-Ty CT, Liao L, Yates JR, Kuhn P, Buchmeier MJ. 2009. Severe acute respiratory
805   syndrome coronavirus nonstructural protein 2 interacts with a host protein complex involved
806   in mitochondrial biogenesis and intracellular signaling. J Virol 83:10314-8.

807   30.   Lei J, Kusov Y, Hilgenfeld R. 2018. Nsp3 of coronaviruses: Structures and functions of a large
808   multi-domain protein. Antiviral Res 149:58-74.

809   31.   Wang Q, Wu J, Wang H, Gao Y, Liu Q, Mu A, Ji W, Yan L, Zhu Y, Zhu C, Fang X, Yang X, Huang Y,
810   Gao H, Liu F, Ge J, Sun Q, Xu W, Liu Z, Yang H, Lou Z, Jiang B, Guddat LW, Gong P, Rao Z. 2020.
811   Structural Basis for RNA Replication by the SARS-CoV-2 Polymerase. Cell 182:417-428.e13.

812   32.   Lin S, Chen H, Chen Z, Yang F, Ye F, Zheng Y, Yang J, Lin X, Sun H, Wang L, Wen A, Dong H, Xiao
813   Q, Deng D, Cao Y, Lu G. 2021. Crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 nsp10 bound to nsp14-ExoN
814   domain reveals an exoribonuclease with both structural and functional integrity. Nucleic Acids
815   Res 49:5382-5392.

816   33.   Yadav R, Chaudhary JK, Jain N, Chaudhary PK, Khanra S, Dhamija P, Sharma A, Kumar A, Handu
817   S. 2021. Role of Structural and Non-Structural Proteins and Therapeutic Targets of SARS-CoV-
818   2 for COVID-19. Cells 10.

819   34.   Zillessen P, Celner J, Kretschmann A, Pfeifer A, Racke K, Mayer P. 2016. Metabolic role of
820   dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) in primary human (pre)adipocytes. Sci Rep 6:23074.

821   35.   Hasan MR, Ahmad MN, Dargham SR, Zayed H, Al Hashemi A, Ngwabi N, Perez Lopez A, Dobson
822   S, Abu Raddad LJ, Tang P. 2021. Nasopharyngeal Expression of Angiotensin-Converting
823   Enzyme 2 and Transmembrane Serine Protease 2 in Children within SARS-CoV-2-Infected
824   Family Clusters. Microbiol Spectr 9:e0078321.

825   36.   Gao J, Aksoy BA, Dogrusoz U, Dresdner G, Gross B, Sumer SO, Sun Y, Jacobsen A, Sinha R,
826   Larsson E, Cerami E, Sander C, Schultz N. 2013. Integrative analysis of complex cancer
827   genomics and clinical profiles using the cBioPortal. Sci Signal 6:pl1.

828   37.   Cerami E, Gao J, Dogrusoz U, Gross BE, Sumer SO, Aksoy BA, Jacobsen A, Byrne CJ, Heuer ML,
829   Larsson E, Antipin Y, Reva B, Goldberg AP, Sander C, Schultz N. 2012. The cBio cancer genomics
830   portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer Discov
831   2:401-4.

832   38.   Mautner L, Hoyos M, Dangel A, Berger C, Ehrhardt A, Baiker A. 2022. Replication kinetics and
833   infectivity of SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern in common cell culture models. Virol J 19:76.

834   39.   Schulze H, Bayer W. 2022. Changes in Symptoms Experienced by SARS-CoV-2-Infected
835   Individuals – From the First Wave to the Omicron Variant. Frontiers in Virology 2.

836   40.   Meng B, Abdullahi A, Ferreira I, Goonawardane N, Saito A, Kimura I, Yamasoba D, Gerber PP,
837   Fatihi S, Rathore S, Zepeda SK, Papa G, Kemp SA, Ikeda T, Toyoda M, Tan TS, Kuramochi J,
838   Mitsunaga S, Ueno T, Shirakawa K, Takaori-Kondo A, Brevini T, Mallery DL, Charles OJ,
839   Collaboration C-NBC-, Genotype to Phenotype Japan C, Ecuador CC, Bowen JE, Joshi A, Walls
840   AC, Jackson L, Martin D, Smith KGC, Bradley J, Briggs JAG, Choi J, Madissoon E, Meyer KB,
841   Mlcochova P, Ceron-Gutierrez L, Doffinger R, Teichmann SA, Fisher AJ, Pizzuto MS, de Marco
842   A, Corti D, Hosmillo M, Lee JH, James LC, Thukral L, et al. 2022. Altered TMPRSS2 usage by
843   SARS-CoV-2 Omicron impacts infectivity and fusogenicity. Nature 603:706-714.

844   41.   Tseng CT, Tseng J, Perrone L, Worthy M, Popov V, Peters CJ. 2005. Apical entry and release of
845   severe acute respiratory syndrome-associated coronavirus in polarized Calu-3 lung epithelial
846   cells. J Virol 79:9470-9.

847   42.   You J, Dove BK, Enjuanes L, DeDiego ML, Alvarez E, Howell G, Heinen P, Zambon M, Hiscox JA.
848   2005. Subcellular localization of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus
849   nucleocapsid protein. J Gen Virol 86:3303-3310.

43. Yuan X, Li J, Shan Y, Yang Z, Zhao Z, Chen B, Yao Z, Dong B, Wang S, Chen J, Cong Y. 2005.
Subcellular localization and membrane association of SARS-CoV 3a protein. Virus Res 109:191-
202.
44. Miserey-Lenkei S, Trajkovic K, D'Ambrosio JM, Patel AJ, Čopič A, Mathur P, Schauer K, Goud B,
Albanèse V, Gautier R, Subra M, Kovacs D, Barelli H, Antonny B. 2021. A comprehensive library
of fluorescent constructs of SARS-CoV-2 proteins and their initial characterisation in different
cell types. Biol Cell 113:311-328.
45. Cervantes-Barragan L, Zust R, Maier R, Sierro S, Janda J, Levy F, Speiser D, Romero P, Rohrlich
PS, Ludewig B, Thiel V. 2010. Dendritic cell-specific antigen delivery by coronavirus vaccine
vectors induces long-lasting protective antiviral and antitumor immunity. mBio 1.
46. Hallak LK, Collins PL, Knudson W, Peeples ME. 2000. Iduronic acid-containing
glycosaminoglycans on target cells are required for efficient respiratory syncytial virus
infection. Virology 271:264-75.
47. Kindler E, Jonsdottir HR, Muth D, Hamming OJ, Hartmann R, Rodriguez R, Geffers R, Fouchier
RA, Drosten C, Muller MA, Dijkman R, Thiel V. 2013. Efficient replication of the novel human
betacoronavirus EMC on primary human epithelium highlights its zoonotic potential. mBio
4:e00611-12.
48. Kratzel A, Kelly JN, V'Kovski P, Portmann J, Bruggemann Y, Todt D, Ebert N, Shrestha N, Plattet
P, Staab-Weijnitz CA, von Brunn A, Steinmann E, Dijkman R, Zimmer G, Pfaender S, Thiel V.
2021. A genome-wide CRISPR screen identifies interactors of the autophagy pathway as
conserved coronavirus targets. PLoS Biol 19:e3001490.
49. de Wit E, Spronken MI, Bestebroer TM, Rimmelzwaan GF, Osterhaus AD, Fouchier RA. 2004.
Efficient generation and growth of influenza virus A/PR/8/34 from eight cDNA fragments.
Virus Res 103:155-61.
50. Hutchinson EC, Curran MD, Read EK, Gog JR, Digard P. 2008. Mutational analysis of cis-acting
RNA signals in segment 7 of influenza A virus. J Virol 82:11869-79.
51. Craig N, Fletcher SL, Daniels A, Newman C, O'Shea M, Tan WS, Warr A, Tait-Burkard C. 2022.
Direct Lysis RT-qPCR of SARS-CoV-2 in Cell Culture Supernatant Allows for Fast and Accurate
Quantification. Viruses 14.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

 Figure 4