Structure of the Calvin-Benson-Bassham sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase

from the model microalga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*

Théo Le Moigne[1,2], Martina Santoni[1,3], Lucile Jomat[1], Stéphane D. Lemaire[1], Mirko Zaffagnini[3], Nicolas

Chéron[4], Julien Henri[1,*]

1. Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Laboratoire de Biologie Computationnelle et Quantitative UMR 7238,

Institut de Biologie Paris-Seine, 4 Place Jussieu, Paris F-75005, France

2. Faculty of Sciences, Doctoral School of Plant Sciences, Université Paris-Saclay, Saint-Aubin F-91190,

France

3. Department of Pharmacy and Biotechnology, University of Bologna, Via Irnerio 42, Bologna I-

40126, Italy

4. PASTEUR, Département de chimie, École Normale Supérieure (ENS), PSL University, Sorbonne

Université, CNRS UMR8640, 75005 Paris, France

*corresponding author

e-mail: julien.henri@sorbonne-universite.fr

Phone: +33144276606

ORCID: Théo Le Moigne 0000-0003-2313-3052; Martina Santoni 0009-0005-2466-5327, Stéphane D.

Lemaire 0000-0001-6442-0547, Mirko Zaffagnini 0000-0001-5115-0859, Nicolas Chéron 0000-0002-

4090-5897; Julien Henri 0000-0003-0772-8881

Abstract

The Calvin-Benson-Bassham cycle (CBBC) performs carbon fixation in photosynthetic organisms.

Among the eleven enzymes that participate in the pathway, sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase

(SBPase) is expressed in photo-autotrophs and catalyzes the hydrolysis of sedoheptulose-1,7-

bisphosphate (SBP) to sedoheptulose-7-phosphate (S7P). SBPase, along with nine other enzymes in

the CBBC, contributes to the regeneration of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate, the carbon-fixing co-

substrate used by ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco). The metabolic role of

SBPase is restricted to the CBBC, and a recent study revealed that the three-dimensional structure of

SBPase from the moss *Physcomitrium patens* was found to be similar to that of fructose-1,6-

bisphosphatase (FBPase), an enzyme involved in both CBBC and neoglucogenesis. In this study we

report the first structure of an SBPase from a chlorophyte, the model unicellular green microalga

Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. By combining experimental and computational structural analyses, we

describe the topology, conformations and quaternary structure of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*

SBPase (*Cr*SBPase). We identify active site residues and locate sites of redox- and phospho-post-

translational modifications that contribute to enzymatic functions. Finally, we observe that *Cr*SBPase

adopts distinct oligomeric states that may dynamically contribute to the control of its activity.

Introduction

Photosynthetic carbon fixation is performed by eleven enzymes that collectively operate the Calvin-

Benson-Bassham cycle (CBBC) (Calvin 1962, Johnson 2016), wherein Rubisco plays the prominent role

in catalyzing fixation of inorganic carbon onto a pentose acceptor: ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP).

The net gain of the CBBC is one triose in the form of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (G3P) for every

three carboxylation reactions catalyzed by Rubisco (Johnson and Alric 2013). Regeneration of RuBP is

ensured by the other ten enzymes of the CBBC, among which phosphoribulokinase (PRK) and

sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase (SBPase) are the only enzymes that are exclusively involved in this

pathway. The remaining eight enzymes are paralogs of those involved in cytoplasmic glycolysis,

gluconeogenesis or the pentose-phosphate pathway. Hence, Rubisco, PRK, and SBPase are expressed

in photosynthetic organisms and fulfill biochemical functions so far uniquely attributed to the

photosynthetic carbon fixation.

Pioneering studies on SBPase were carried out using the native enzyme purified from wheat

chloroplasts (Woodrow 1982) and corn leaves (Nishizawa and Buchanan 1981), allowing quantitative

assays of its catalytic function. This enzyme catalyzes the hydrolysis of sedoheptulose-1,7-

bisphosphate (SBP) into sedoheptulose-7-phosphate (S7P) and requires magnesium ions for both

catalysis and activation. In addition, SBPase activity is modulated by pH and redox switching

mechanisms (Anderson 1974, Woodrow and Walker 1980, Cadet and Meunier 1988). Redox control

is exerted through dithiol/disulfide interchanges dependent upon the ferredoxin-thioredoxin cascade

arising from the activity of the illuminated photosystems (Schürmann and Buchanan 1975). In

tobacco leaf extracts, SBPase reaches its maximal activity after 10 minutes illumination (Zimmer,

Swart et al. 2021), and this activation kinetics is consistent with the slow reduction pattern of

Arabidopsis SBPase *in vitro* (Yoshida and Hisabori 2018).

The crystal structure of SBPase from the moss *Physcomitrium patens* (*Pp*SBPase) has been solved at a

resolution of 1.3 Å (Protein Data Bank entry : 5iz3) (Gutle, Roret et al. 2016). *Pp*SBPase fold is highly

similar to that of moss fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (FBPase), yet the protein was shown to

distinctively oligomerize as a homodimer and to form a disulfide bridge in a different motif than that

found in plant FBPase (Chiadmi, Navaza et al. 1999) (Gütle, Roret et al. 2016). In spite of their

homology, the sequences of FBPase and SBPase seem to have evolved different regulatory and

catalytic properties that allow different contributions to the CBBC. Indeed, both enzymes specifically

recognize their respective 6-carbon and 7-carbon sugar substrates (*i.e.*, fructose-1,6-bisphosphate

and SBP, respectively), with only SBPase capable to hydrolyze the other substrate with a resulting

catalysis lower than that measured with SBP (Gütle, Roret et al. 2016). To date, the structural

components contributing to active site selectivity (*i.e.*, substrate recognition) can be retrieved from

two   studies   reporting   the   crystal   structure   of   the   dual   function   fructose-1,6-

bisphosphatase/sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase (F/SBPase) from *Thermosynechococcus elongatus* in complex with SBP (Cotton, Kabasakal et al. 2015) and from *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 in complex with FBP (PDB entry 3RPL). Recently, it was proposed that the CBBC can bypass fructose-1,6-bisphosphate (FBP) altogether, by only relying on SBP, glycolysis and the oxidative pentose-phosphate pathway paralogs to regenerate the substrate of Rubisco (Ohta 2022).

Several studies have reported that SBPase, along with Rubisco, FBPase, aldolase and transketolase, constitute a metabolic bottleneck for the CBBC ((Raines 2022) and references therein). Overexpression of SBPase was found to effectively enhance photosynthesis and growth in plants (Lefebvre, Lawson et al. 2005, Feng, Han et al. 2007, Feng, Wang et al. 2007, Rosenthal, Locke et al. 2011, Simkin, Lopez-Calcagno et al. 2017) and in the green microalga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (Hammel, Sommer et al. 2020), indicating that SBPase can be considered as a limiting factor for the photosynthetic carbon fixation. Based on these evidences, it is plausible to consider that SBPase is a good target to improve the photosynthetic capacity by means of metabolic engineering coupled to synthetic biology (Kubis and Bar-Even 2019), especially in the experimentally convenient multi-omic model alga Chlamydomonas (Mettler, Muhlhaus et al. 2014, Schmollinger, Mühlhaus et al. 2014).

In Chlamydomonas, SBPase is encoded by a single nuclear gene (Cre03.g185550), and the protein (Uniprot entry P46284) is addressed to the chloroplast by a 54-residue amino-terminal peptide (Emanuelsson, Brunak et al. 2007, Almagro Armenteros, Salvatore et al. 2019). SBPase abundance in the algal cell was quantified by mass spectrometry as 1.1-1.3 ± 0.3 amol/cell, representing 0.15% of total cell proteins (Hammel, Sommer et al. 2020). In Chlamydomonas protein extracts, SBPase was shown to undergo post-translational modifications by phosphorylation of serines and threonines (Werth, McConnell et al. 2017), and redox modifications of cysteine thiols (Zaffagnini, Bedhomme et al. 2012, Morisse, Zaffagnini et al. 2014, Pérez-Pérez, Mauriès et al. 2017). However, the mechanisms by which post-translational modifications affect the catalytic activity of CrSBPase is still lacking. Here, we describe the crystal structures of *Cr*SBPase in two redox states, examine the catalytic activity of recombinant CrSBPase, and investigate the correlation between the redox state and the

oligomerization of the protein. Our results suggest that a redox control over oligomer equilibrium

could represent a novel mechanism to regulate enzymatic activities in the CBBC.

Material and methods

Chemical reagents were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany), chromatography material

was provided by Cytiva (Vélizy-Villacoublay, France), crystallization solutions and consumable were

purchased from Qiagen (Hilden, Germany), Hampton Research (Aliso Viejo, CA USA), and SPT Labtech

(Melbourn, UK).

Cloning and mutagenesis of *Cr*SBPase expression plasmids

The sequence coding for full-length mature SBPase was amplified by reverse transcriptase

polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) from *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* total ribonucleic acids extract

and inserted at sites 5'-NdeI and BamHI-3' into expression vector pET3c modified for the fusion of an

amino-terminal hexa-histidine tag in the recombinant protein, yielding plasmid pSL-175-His$_6$-

*Cr*SBPase-WT. PCR primers were 5'-TCTCGC<u>CATATG</u>GCCGTTCTGACCCAGGCC-3' (forward, NdeI site

underlined) and 5'-CGGGTG<u>GGATCC</u>TTAGGCAGCCACCTTCTC-3' (reverse, BamHI site underlined). The

encoded protein encompasses 331 amino acids, with a molar mass of 36,176.7 Da and a theoretical

extinction coefficient of 24,722.5 M^{-1}.cm^{-1}. Unless otherwise stated, amino acid residues numbering

throughout the manuscript is that of GenBank entry DAA79954.1 and Uniprot entries A8IRK4 and

P46284. Point mutants of cysteines 115 and 120 singly substituted with serines were generated by

site-directed PCR mutagenesis with primers listed in supplementary table 1, producing plasmids pSL-

181-His6-*Cr*SBPase-C115S, and pSL-182-His6-*Cr*SBPase-C120S.

Recombinant *Cr*SBPase purification

Plasmids pSL-175, -181, and -182 were used to transform *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3). The

transformants were grown at 37 °C in 2YT supplemented with 150 µg/mL ampicillin. When cultures

reached an OD$_{600}$ of ~0.6, T7-dependent CrSBPase overexpression was induced by the addition of

isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at 0.2 mM for 3 h.

Harvested cell pellets were resuspended in 20 mM Tris pH 7.9, 100 mM NaCl (buffer A) and lyzed by

1 sec / 1 sec pulsed sonication for 3 min. The soluble fraction of the lysate was separated by 20 min

centrifugation at 20,000 g and loaded on 2 mL Ni-NTA resin for His-tag mediated affinity

chromatography. The resin was washed in four steps with 25 mL buffer A, 25 mL buffer A

supplemented with 10, 20, and 30 mM imidazole, and CrSBPase was eluted in three steps with 10 mL

buffer A supplemented with 100, 200, and 300 mM imidazole. Pooled eluates containing CrSBPase

were loaded on HiLoad Superdex 200 26/600 size-exclusion chromatography column and eluted in

buffer A. Protein purity was assessed by electrophoresis on 12% acrylamide gel under denaturing and

reducing conditions (supplementary figure 1). Peak fractions were assembled and concentrated by

ultrafiltration on Amicon units of MWCO 30,000 Da cut-off. Final concentrations of purified proteins

were in the 1-10 mg/ml range as measured by Nanodrop ND-2000 spectrophotometer using an ε_{280}

of 24,722.5 M^{-1}.cm^{-1}.

Western blot

Polyclonal antibodies were generated by immunization of rabbits with pure recombinant CrSBPase

(Genecust, Boynes France). Analyzed fractions were separated by denaturing polyacrylamide

electrophoresis and transferred to 0.2 µm nitrocellulose membrane for detection with primary

antibody subsequently detected by secondary anti-rabbit antibodies coupled to horseradish

peroxidase. Detection was done with commercial ECL peroxidase assay (GE Healthcare, Chicago IL

USA) with a Chemidoc (Bio-Rad, Hercules CA USA).

Crystallization and structure determination

Pure recombinant His6-CrSBPase-WT concentrated at 3-6 mg/mL was tested for crystallization on

screens JCSG I-IV in 200 nL sitting drops. Single crystals were obtained and optimized with mother

liquor 0.1 M sodium HEPES pH=7.5, 2% (v/v) polyethylene glycol 400, 2.0 M ammonium sulfate, flash-

frozen in mother liquor supplemented with 25% glycerol and tested for X-ray diffraction. Complete X-

ray diffraction datasets were collected at SOLEIL beamline Proxima-2A and allowed the

determination of the crystal structure by model replacement with 5iz3, the structure of ortholog

SBPase from the moss *Physcomitrium patens*. Model building in COOT and refinement in the PHENIX

software suite yielded structure deposited under PDB ID 7b2o (Emsley, Lohkamp et al. 2010) (Adams,

Afonine et al. 2011) (Liebschner, Afonine et al. 2019). After we obtained our experimental structure,

the European Bioinformatics Institute (Hinxton, UK) communicated high-accuracy prediction models

computed by Deepmind AlphaFold 2 (Jumper, Evans et al. 2021), including a prediction of *Cr*SBPase

structure (https://alphafold.ebi.ac.uk/entry/P46284) that matches chain A in 7b2o crystal structure

with a root mean square deviation (RMSD) of 0.453 Å. Hence, X-ray crystallography and AlphaFold

independently contribute to the proposed structural analysis.

In order to obtain the structure of *Cr*SBPase in a reduced state, crystals were grown in the presence

of 10 mM of the reducing agent tris-(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) in condition JCSG IV E8/56 0.2

M lithium sulfate, 0.1 M Tris pH 7.0, and 1.0 M sodium/potassium tartrate. Crystals were cryo-

protected in mother liquor supplemented with 25% ethylene glycol, flash-frozen and tested for X-ray

diffraction at SOLEIL beamline Proxima-2A. A complete dataset was collected that allowed the

determination of the structure by molecular replacement with 7b2o as a template, resulting in

structure 7zuv. Among the eight *Cr*SBPase copies of the asymmetric unit, two had their disulfides

absent as a consequence of the TCEP treatment while the others six subunits were essentially

identical to untreated structures in entry 7b2o (*i.e.*, fully oxidized form).

Enzymatic assays

The catalytic activity of 10-200 nM pure recombinant *Cr*SBPase was spectrophotometrically assayed

in buffer A by coupling the hydrolysis of 1 mM FBP into F6P to the reduction of 0.5 mM NADP$^+$ into

NADPH through isomerization of F6P into glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) by 0.5 U.mL^{-1} phosphoglucose

isomerase (PGI) and the oxidation of G6P into 6-phosphogluconolactone (6PGL) by 0.1-0.5 U.mL^{-1}

glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH). Reporter assay is coupled in a molar ratio

FBP:F6P:G6P:6PGL of 1:1:1:1 to the oxidation of one molar equivalent of NADP$^+$ into NADPH that we

recorded over 20 minutes by measuring the absorbance at 340 nm using a UVIKON

spectrophotometer. Pre-treatments with 0-100 mM reduced dithiothreitol (DTTred), 0-30 mM

MgSO$_4$, and 0-15 µM recombinant CrTRX-f2 supplemented with 1 mM DTTred (Lemaire, Tedesco et

al. 2018) were carried out for 30 min at room temperature before measuring enzyme activity as

described above.

Titration of accessible cysteine thiols

Quantification of free reactive cysteines on recombinant CrSBPase was performed with 5,5ꞌ-dithio-

bis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB, Ellman's reagent) mixed with the purified recombinant protein in a

200:1 molar ratio in a 1 mL reaction volume containing 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.9 as described

previously (Meloni, Fanti et al. 2024). Reaction of DTNB with solvent-exposed cysteine thiols

produces 2-nitro-5-thiobenzoate (TNB$^-$) that we quantified by monitoring the absorbance at 412 nm

and a molar extinction coefficient of TNB$^-$ of 14.15 mM^{-1}.cm^{-1}. We calculated the number of free

reactive cysteines per CrSBPase monomer by dividing the molar concentration of TNB$^-$ by that of the

protein.

Molecular dynamics

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed with the Gromacs package (v2021.4). A dimer

of CrSBPase was solvated in a rhombic dodecahedron box with at least 8 Å between the protein

atoms and the box edges, which corresponds to ~64000 atoms in total (~18000 water molecules) and

a triclinic box of 97x97x68 Å^3. The box was neutralized with 18 sodium atoms to make it neutral. The

starting conformation was the crystallized structure of oxidized CrSBPase, and we protonated C115

and C120 to manually create the reduced state. The protein was described with the Amber14SB force

field and water with the TIP3P model. Non-bonding interactions were described with standard

parameters: van der Waals interactions had a cut-off of 8 Å, and electrostatic interactions were

computed with PME with default parameters with a separation between spaces at 8 Å. Bonds

containing a hydrogen atom were restrained with the LINCS algorithm with default parameters. After

an energy minimization, the system was equilibrated under the NPT conditions with a $\delta t=1$ fs

timestep, the velocity-rescale thermostat and the Berendsen barostat. Velocities were generated at

100 K and the system was heated up to 300 K in 400 ps before performing 100 ps at 300 K. We then

ran simulations of 20 μs under the NPT conditions with a $\delta t=2$ fs timestep, the velocity-rescale

thermostat and the Parrinello-Rahman barostat.

Cultivation of Chlamydomonas for native SBPase analysis

Chlamydomonas reinhardtii strain D66 was grown in 50 mL Tris-acetate-phosphate (TAP) medium

under 40 $\mu E.m^{-2}.s^{-1}$ continuous light until density reached $5x10^4$ $cell.mL^{-1}$, harvested by 10 min

centrifugation at 3,000 g. Cell pellet was resuspended in 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.9, 100 mM NaCl, 5

mM L-cystéine, 500 μM NADH, and 1 mM EDTA (buffer L) and lysed by 3 freeze-thaw cycles in liquid

nitrogen and water bath. Soluble fraction was separated by 10 min centrifugation at 30,000 *g* and

loaded for size-exclusion chromatography on a calibrated Superose 6 16/600 column equilibrated in

buffer L. Aliquots of 500 μL elution fractions were analyzed by western blot with primary antibodies

raised in rabbits against pure recombinant *Cr*SBPase (Covalab, Bron, France) and secondary anti-

rabbit IgG coupled to peroxidase (Sigma-Aldrich reference A9169, Saint Louis, USA) revealed by ECL

Prime (GE Healthcare).

Small-angle X-ray scattering

Pure recombinant *Cr*SBPase was injected on Agilent HPLC BioSEC-3 300 column in buffer A, in line

with the X-ray beam capillary at SOLEIL beamline SWING. *Cr*SBPase was untreated or pre-treated

with 10 mM of reducing agent dithiothreitol (DTTred). Diffusion curves were analyzed with ATSAS

software to determine the molecular mass and oligomeric state of the protein.

Results and Discussion

Crystal structure of *Cr*SBPase

Pure recombinant *Cr*SBPase crystallized in space group $P2_12_12$ and provided a complete X-ray

diffraction dataset at a resolution of 3.09 Å. The asymmetric unit is composed of six polypeptide

chains packing as three dimers and four water molecules could be modeled. All chains align within a

root mean square deviation (RMSD) <0.25 Å and can be considered identical. 309 out of the 331

residues were built in the electron density, the unmodelled sequences are in the disordered

extensions at the amino- and carboxy-termini of the model. *Cr*SBPase folds into two distinct domains

(figure 1A-B). The amino-terminal domain (NTD, residues 73-247) is composed of helices 1, 2, and 3

and a mixed β-sheet formed by strands 3-9. The carboxy-terminal domain (CTD, residues 248-383) is

composed of helices 4, 5, and 8 and helices 6 and 7 that sandwich a mixed β-sheet formed by strands

10-13. α-helices 1-3 pack on the amino-terminal end of the seven-strand sheet, while α-helices 4,5,

and 8 pack on the carboxy-terminal end of the four-strand sheet. α-helices 6 and 7 are sandwiched in

between the two sheets. *Cr*SBPase chain A aligns with previously reported crystal structure of

*Pp*SBPase with an RMSD of 0.478 Å (Gütle et al. 2018 PDB 5iz3) (supplementary figure 5C). This close

structural similarity between orthologues indicates common structural properties supporting a

conservation of the SBPase function in the evolutionary time separating the microalga

Chlamydomonas from the land moss *P. patens*. A short antiparallel β-sheet is constituted of 4-

residue strands 1 and 2 separated by a β-turn and preceded by loop $A_{113}SCAGTAC_{120}$. This motif

projects to solvent from α-helices 2 and 3 (figure 1C-D). Residues 113-130 forming the loop and β-

hairpin (LBH) motif are positioned away from the core of the protein, at 15.3 Å from water molecule

401 (W401), and will be further discussed in the next sections.

AF2 entry AF-P46284-F1-model_v4 from AlphaFold Protein Structure Database aligns with our crystal

structure 7b2o chain E with RMSD = 0.434 Å, showing excellent agreement between experiment and

prediction at the level of protein main chain. Exceptions are in local differences in several loops

conformations and in the N-ter and C-ter borders of secondary structure elements. Numerous amino

acid residues side chains adopt moderately distinct orientations between the computational model

and the experimental structure.

AF3 was recently communicated (Abramson, Adler et al. 2024) along with its online prediction server

hosted at https://golgi.sandbox.google.com/. *Cr*SBPase model from AF3 align to our crystal structure

7B2O chain A with RMSD = 0.441 Å showing again their strong similarity (supplementary figure 5E,F)

and with a small discrepancy between AF2 and AF3 models with RMSD = 0.247 Å. The only significant

deviations between 7B2O and AF3 are in the orientation of several side chains and notably on the

conformation of region 114-131 that contain the LBH motif.

CrSBPase catalytic pocket

*Cr*SBPase is structurally similar to its ortholog from the moss *Physcomitrium patens* (RMSD=0.478 Å)

(Gutle, Roret et al. 2016). SBPase is a homolog of FBPase, another enzyme involved in the CBBC in

photosynthetic organisms and also ubiquitously involved in neoglucogenesis. Cytosolic isoforms of

FBPase from non-plant sources have been extensively studied (Moorhead, Hodgson et al. 1994, Lee

and Hahn 2003). For instance, the crystal structure of human muscle FBPase complexed with its

substrate (FBP) was solved (PDB entry: 5l0a, to be published). We aligned the structure *Cr*SBPase

onto this human FBPase:FBP complex from entry 5l0a, confirming their similarity (RMSD = 0.824 Å)

with the notable exception of the LBH motif which is absent in FBPase. In a 4-Å distance to FBPase

co-crystallized with FBP we aligned 12 residues that are likely available for FBP or SBP binding by

*Cr*SBPase (figure 1E): E155, D173, D176, G177, Y287, G289, G290, M291, K317, L318, R319, and E323.

These residues are exposed to the solvent in a continuous surface (figure 1F). W401 could be

modelled at the center of the putative SBPase catalytic pocket, in the vicinity of E155, D173, D176,

R319, and E323, in the catalytic pocket predicted from alignment with human FBPase bound to FBP.

W401 specifically is in bonding distance to catalytic residues D178, D181, and E328. W401 is likely to

hydrolyze the substrate SBP.

Sites of redox post-translational modifications

Ten cysteines could be located in each monomer of *Cr*SBPase (C115, C120, C149, C153, C169, C222,

C231, C340, C355, C362). Previous redox-based proteomic studies exploiting Chlamydomonas cells or

protein extract established that *Cr*SBPase undergoes redox modifications by thioredoxin-dependent

dithiol/disulfide exchange (Lemaire, Guillon et al. 2004, Pérez-Pérez, Mauriès et al. 2017),S-

glutathionylation (Zaffagnini, Bedhomme et al. 2012), or S-nitrosylation (Morisse, Zaffagnini et al.

2014). While the putative Cys sites for S-glutathionylation are not known, thioredoxin and S-

nitrosylation Cys targets were identified as being C222/C231/C355/C362, and C355/C362,

respectively. DTNB-based thiol titration on the native purified SBPase indicates that 4.0 ± 0.6

cysteines are likely reactive owing to their relative exposure to solvent in a free thiol form. Amino

acid residue accessible surface area and accessibility (ASA) calculation is consistent with DTNB

titration, with C149, C153, C231, and C355 exposed to the solvent (ASA respective areas: 0.154 Å²,

0.113 Å², 0.308 Å², and 10.083 Å²), and the six others either shielded from solvent (C169, C222, C340,

and C362) or engaged in a disulfide bridge (C115, C120) (Ahmad, Gromiha et al. 2004). In *Cr*SBPase

crystal structure, C222 and C231 are positioned on neighboring strands of the amino-terminal

domain, exposing their thiol 3.5 Å away from each other (figure 1G, supplementary figures 2A-B). The

formation of an intramolecular disulfide bridge at this cysteines pair is possible if local main chain

rearrangements allow a closer contact. C362 thiol is positioned 10.5 Å away from the nearest thiol

group of C340. Additionally, C340 and C362 are exposed on an opposite side of the carboxy-terminal

β-sheet, disfavoring the formation of an internal disulfide bridge. C355 points its side chain towards

C153 and C169 with a thiol-thiol distance of 4.6 Å and 10.1 Å, respectively. Although not observed in

our model, a C355-C153/C169 dithiol-disulfide exchange is thus plausible, as described for plant

FBPase (Jacquot, Lopez-Jaramillo et al. 1997). Local rearrangements that occur upon FBPase disulfide

reduction causes a larger scale relaxation of the active site required to reach maximal catalytic

potential (Chiadmi, Navaza et al. 1999) as kinetically characterized for enzymes from *Arabidopsis*

thaliana (Yoshida and Hisabori 2018) and *Nicotiana benthamiana* (Zimmer, Swart et al. 2021). In

Chlamydomonas and Physcomitrium SBPases, the FBPase-type regulatory disulfide is not observed

but the SBPase-type C115-C120 bridge is conserved in a nearly identical position in the LBH motif

where it may regulate the enzyme by alternative conformational rearrangements. Indeed, the

disulfide-bonded cysteines constrain Chlamydomonas SBPase loop $A_{113}SCAGTAC_{120}$ into a compact

lasso that could open into a relaxed conformation hypothetically activating catalysis.

Sites of post-translational phosphorylations

*Cr*SBPase was reported to be phosphorylated from algae extracts at residues T112, S114, T118, S123,

T310, S311, and T313 (Wagner, Gessner et al. 2006, Werth, McConnell et al. 2017, McConnell, Werth

et al. 2018). Consistently with the proximity of these residues in two sectors of the sequence

(supplementary figure 3), the candidate modification sites are located in two contiguous regions in

the three-dimensional structure (figure 1H). T112, S114, T118, and S123 are exposed to the solvent

of the amino-terminal domain. The residues belong to or are located nearby the $A_{113}SCAGTAC_{120}$

motif where phosphorylations may cross-signal with redox modifications on C115 and C120. T310,

S311, and T313 are located on the other edge of the enzyme, at the solvent exposed tip of the

carboxy-terminal domain. Their co-localization at the $T_{310}SPT_{313}$ motif likely facilitates a coordinated

phosphorylation of the three residues by the same kinase. Because both groups of potential

phospho-sites are located at 17-18 Å from the catalytic pocket (W401 taken as a reference point),

there is no straightforward mechanism by which such modifications would exert a control over

*Cr*SBPase activity. Analysis of phospho-mimicking mutants along with the identification of the actual

kinases/phosphatases couples that control *Cr*SBPase phosphorylation state would allow to

comprehend the role of this putative regulatory mechanism.

***Cr*SBPase enzymatic activity**

Recombinant *Cr*SBPase was assayed for its capacity to catalyze FBP hydrolysis into F6P (Gutle, Roret

et al. 2016), a proxy for the hydrolysis of SBP into S7P that we could not test by lack of available

substrate and kinetic reporter method. Previous studies demonstrated that plant SBPase requires the

cofactor Mg^{2+} and a chemical reductant (DTTred) to be fully activated *in vitro*, reaching a K_M value of

0.05 mM and a k_{cat} of 81 sec^{-1} in optimal conditions (Anderson 1974, Woodrow and Walker 1980,

Cadet and Meunier 1988). In agreement with this, we found a total absence of activity in the

untreated *Cr*SBPase (data not shown), whereas pre-incubation of the enzyme with DTTred and Mg^{2+}

resulted in a strong activation, *eg.* a detectable activity at DTT ≥1 mM (figure 2A) and a stark increase

in activity at $MgSO_4$ ≥10 mM (figure 2B). By testing different concentrations of $MgSO_4$ (3, 10, 15, and

20 mM) and DTTred (1, 10, 25, 50, and 100 mM), we established that 10 mM of DTT and 10 mM

$MgSO_4$ are the optimal conditions to attain the maximum specific activity (figure 2A and 2B).

Unexpectedly, DTTred concentrations above 10 mM had a dose-dependent inhibitory effect, causing

a drastic drop in activity that probably resulted from structural effects leading to denaturation of the

enzyme (figure 2A, DTT ≥25 mM). In contrast, we observed no such inhibitory effect in the presence

of magnesium at concentrations above 10 mM (figure 2B). Under physiological conditions, the light-

dependent redox regulation of plant SBPase is specifically exerted by f-type thioredoxins (Gutle,

Roret et al. 2016), which are reduced by the ferredoxin-thioredoxin cascade (Schürmann and

Buchanan 1975). The chloroplast TRX-f2 from *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* was thus tested and

validated for its capacity to activate *Cr*SBPase by reduction, with maximal activation reached at TRX-

f2 ≥1 µM and no apparent loss of activity at higher TRX-f2 concentrations (figure 2C). The maximum

SBPase reported activity, corresponding to 12.15 ± 2.15 µmol(NADPH) min^{-1} $mg(SBPase)^{-1}$, was

obtained by pre-incubating the enzyme with 1 µM *Cr*TRX-f2 supplemented with 1 mM DTT and 10

mM $MgSO_4$, and maintained even at higher *Cr*TRX-f2 concentrations (*i.e.*, 5 and 10 µM) (figure 2C).

*Cr*SBPase specific activity that we report is comparable to that reported for spinach SBPase with FBP

as substrate (3.5 µmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} (Seuter, Busch et al. 2002)) and to that reported for another

recombinant Chlamydomonas SBPase with SBP as substrate (7.15 ± 0.61 µmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} (Tamoi,

Nagaoka et al. 2005)). We hence conclude that our assay faithfully recapitulate the level of *Cr*SBPase

hydrolase function in its reduced state.

Redox controlled dynamics of *Cr*SBPase

*Cr*SBPase crystallized under reducing treatment (10 mM TCEP). Among the eight subunits in the

asymmetric unit, C115-C120 disulfide is absent in two chains (subunits C colored in magenta and

subunits E colored in salmon, figure 3D and 3F), while the other six subunits still have the C115-C120

disulfide bridge (figure 3B,C,E,G-I). All other cysteines are unaffected by the reducing treatment,

consistently with their thiol form in crystal structure of oxidized state. These six subunits present

mildly variable conformations of the A$_{113}$SCAGTAC$_{120}$ lasso conformation similar to the untreated

oxidized structure of *Cr*SBPase (figure 3A). Reduction of the C115-C120 disulfide increases local

disorder, and the main chain electron density became uninterpretable over a few residues for

subunits C (residues 115-118, 124-127), and subunits E (residues 119-127) (supplementary figure 4).

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of the reduced structure were performed by starting from a

dimer of the crystallized oxidized form and forcing C115 and C120 residues to be reduced in the thiol

state (-SH). We then ran two independent replicas of 20 µs of MD. In addition, we also ran two

independent replicas of 2 µs of MD in the oxidized form. In one of the replicas of the reduced form

(MD1), the protein structure barely changed as observed in the SG(C115)/SG(C120) distance that

plateaued at ~7 Å, as well as in the RMSD with respect to the starting conformation that stayed

around 2 Å (see supplementary figures 8-9 for plots and 12 for images of the structures). However, in

the other replica of the reduced form (MD2), significant changes of conformation appeared: the

SG(C115)/SG(C120) distance ended up at ~10 Å with peaks at 20 Å and the RMSD values ended up at

3.7 and 2.8 Å (supplementary figures 8-9). The difference between MD1 and MD2 is stronger when

one focuses on the RMSD of the mobile motif (residues 112 to 131, supplementary figure 10). We

observed that after 17 μs of MD all chains adopted an equilibrated conformation and then fluctuated

around that conformation (supplementary figure 10); representative structures extracted from MD

were thus obtained from a clustering on the time frame (or block) 17-20 μs.

The two chains A and B from MD2 adopt a different final conformation (see figure 4A for the overlap

with the crystallized oxidized structure and figure 4B for the overlap with the crystallized reduced

structure), and we cannot directly conclude on which one is the most stable. Moreover, the residues

115 to 128 of each chain from MD1/2 do not overlap well with the crystallized reduced structure

which means that neither of the two chains conformations from MD simulations has converged

towards the crystallized state. However, we can observe in the reduced crystallized structure that

residues 134-148 form an α-helix which is also present in chain B from MD2 whereas this structure is

not kept in chain A from MD2 (see green chain on the right of figures 4A-B). In addition, mobile motif

from chain B seems to open towards the solvent in a similar way with what was observed for FBPase

(Chiadmi, Navaza et al. 1999). Thus, we conclude that chain B from MD2 is more representative of

the true reduced conformation than chain A from MD2. If we compare the mobility of chain B from

MD2 in the reduced state with one chain in the oxidized state (also chain B from MD2), we can see

that the mobile motif is overall more flexible in the reduced state, which is especially true for

residues around C120 (residues 118 to 123, supplementary figure 11). We then analyzed the RMSD

of residues 112 to 131 from chain B during MD2 (supplementary figure 12): residues 112, 130 and

131 barely move which is expected since they belong or are directly connected to the two α-helixes

flanking the mobile motif. At ~6 μs of the trajectory almost all other residues (113-129 residues with

the sole exception of C120) display an abrupt change of conformation, with a different magnitude

though: starting from ~2 Å, the RMSD jumped to 3 Å for some residues (residue 129) and to 15 Å for

other residues (residues 125, 126) (supplementary figures 9, 10, and 12). At ~13.5 μs, another

change occurs which involves C120 but not all the other residues. Thus, we conclude that the

opening of the mobile motif happens in a concerted way and not sequentially residue per residue.

412 **Oligomeric states of *Cr*SBPase**

413 Previous studies reported a homodimeric state for SBPase from the moss *Physcomitrella patens*,

414 deduced from the quaternary structure of recombinant protein in the asymmetric unit of the crystal

415 (Gütle, Roret et al. 2016). Size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) profile of *Cr*SBPase is polydisperse

416 with at least three distinct oligomeric states (figure 6A). Notably, we observed that the protein elutes

417 as a main peak of 79 kDa, close to the mass of a homodimer (theoretical mass from monomer

418 sequence: 36,176.7 Da) and consistently with the crystallized state of moss enzyme. Thorough

419 inspection of the elution profile of *Cr*SBPase revealed a peak broadened by a later-eluting shoulder,

420 with the apparent molecular mass of the tailing species at 48 kDa suggesting the presence of a

421 monomeric state of *Cr*SBPase *in vitro*. A third *Cr*SBPase species elutes at an apparent molecular mass

422 of 145 kDa, that fits with a homotetramer. According to the absorbance of each peak and considering

423 that they represent all states of purified and oxidized *Cr*SBPase in solution, we estimate a repartition

424 percentage of species of 10:53:37 for the tetramer:dimer:monomer mixture (total = 100%). Re-

425 injection of the dimer peak fraction over size-exclusion chromatography yielded a similar mixed

426 profile, supporting a dynamic equilibrium over the time of the experiment (>2 h) (data not shown).

427 *Cr*SBPase was crystallized in space groups $P2_12_12$ or $P12_11$ with respectively 6 and 8 protomers in the

428 asymmetric unit. Proteins Interfaces Structures and Assemblies (PISA) analysis reveals that

429 protomers of both crystals pack as homodimers with extensive subunit interface of buried area 4,170

430 Å² in a similar manner (figure 5A) (Krissinel and Henrick 2007). In the asymmetric unit of *Cr*SBPase

431 crystallized in reducing conditions (7zuv) or within crystallographic neighboring units of *Cr*SBPase in

432 oxidized state (7b2o), *Cr*SBPase further packs into a homotetramer, a dimer of dimers (figure 5B).

433 The apparent molecular mass of SBPase extracted from *Chlamydomonas* cultures cultivated in TAP

434 medium under 40 $\mu E.m^{-2}.s^{-1}$ illumination is comprised between 130 and 40 kDa with the homodimer

435 representing the predominant state as supported by immunoblot analysis (figure 6B).

436 To further investigate the oligomeric dynamics of CrSBPase, we performed small-angle X-ray

437 scattering (SAXS) analysis of purified (untreated) or reduced enzyme *in vitro* which reveals an

increase in the protein radius of gyration from 39 to 62 Å upon reduction (supplementary figure 6).

This observation implies a change in the oligomeric state of *Cr*SBPase correlating with the redox

state. As a matter of fact, purified *Cr*SBPase tends to precipitate into amorphous aggregates that can

be turned into droplets of liquid-liquid phase separation by treatment with 10 mM TCEP

(supplementary figure 7). Since the LBH redox module is positioned at the homodimer interface

(figure 5C), we postulated that the reduction of the C115-C120 disulfide bond and the subsequent

local conformation changes depicted by MD affect the oligomer equilibrium of the enzyme. As a

matter of fact, we observed that protein mutants C115S or C120S, which are unable to form the

C115-C120 disulfide bond, elute in a different oligomer ratio than wild-type CrSBPase (figure 6A).

Indeed, we observed a relative accumulation of monomer and tetramer species at the expense of the

dimer state in both mutants, as follows with tetramer:dimer:monomer ratio normalized on a scale of

100: WT=9:48:43; mutant C115S=42:25:33; mutant C120S=29:17:54. Size-exclusion chromatography

suggests that C115-C120 is involved in the stabilization of the dimer. In order to test the hypothetical

relevance of this disulfide bridge over catalysis, we assayed *Cr*SBPase-mC115S and *Cr*SBPase-m120S

in the conditions described for wild-type enzyme in results section « *Cr*SBPase enzymatic activity »

above. Both Cys mutants behaved as wild-type enzyme, *ie.* they responded to DTT/Mg-dependent

activation resembling that of the C115-C120 disulfide-containing protein. No activity was detected

for native and untreated forms, while specific activities of C115S and C120S mutants upon treatment

with DTT and $MgSO_4$ (10 mM for both compounds) were near the specific activity of WT-SBPase

(respectively 96% and 107% to the reference, 13.3 ± 2.5 and 14,9 ± 0,9 µmol(NADPH) min^{-1}

mg(SBPase)$^{-1}$ for C115S and C120S mutants) (supplementary figure 14). Considering the standard

deviation of measured activities, there is no statistically significant difference between WT and

mutants in our assays. Based on these data, we can thus conclude that C115-C120 disulfide bond is

not the sole thiol-dependent regulatory mechanism involved in the modulation of *Cr*SBPase activity

and that the redox dependency of *Cr*SBPase catalysis likely depends on one or several other cysteine

pairs. Future studies are required to shed light on the identity of cysteine residues that contribute to

*Cr*SBPase catalytic modulation, other than C115 and C120.

Conclusions and perspectives

We report the first crystal structure of an SBPase from the microalga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, a

model for the molecular and cell biology of plants. SBPase is a photosynthetic enzyme involved in the

regeneration phase of the CBBC cycle proved to be target of multiple regulations that we map onto

our structural model. The native folding of *Cr*SBPase is highly similar to that of SBPase from the moss

Physcomitrium patens and to FBPase from chloroplast or cytoplasmic origins. Notwithstanding its

propensity to form crystals, recombinant *Cr*SBPase encompasses a range of oligomeric states,

dominated by a homodimeric form susceptible to exchange for monomers, tetramers and higher-

order assemblies. This oligomer equilibrium is dynamic and correlates with the presence of a

disulfide bridge at the vicinity of the monomer-monomer interface, in the SBPase-specific LBH motif.

We postulate that the reversible reduction/oxidation of the C115-C120 pair modulates the

oligomerization of *Cr*SBPase, through the induction of a local disorder that we observed in the crystal

structure of the protein under reducing conditions and that correlates with computations of

molecular dynamics.

How this oligomeric exchange impacts the actual activity of the enzyme is an open question but

should be aligned with previous reports on the allosteric character of the structurally similar FBPase

enzyme which follows dimer/tetramer exchanges (Barciszewski, Wisniewski et al. 2016) with a Hill

cooperativity coefficient close to 2 representing positive cooperativity in the context of a homodimer

(Giudici-Orticoni, Buc et al. 1990). Recent *in vivo* proteome mapping in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*

localized SBPase in a punctate region surrounding the Rubisco pyrenoid nearby five other CBBC

enzymes: phosphoglycerate kinase 1 (PGK), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenases (GAP1,

GAP3), fructose-bisphosphate aldolase (FBA3), ribulose-5-phosphate 3-epimerase (RPE1), and

phosphoribulokinase (PRK) (Wang, Patena et al. 2022). Based on our structure-function study on

recombinant SBPase, we can speculate that the altered redox state of SBPase may influence the

movement to or from the liquid partition of the stroma, where SBPase is metabolically required to

provide its contribution to CBBC reactions near other enzymes of the pathway.

Tables.

 Table 1. Crystallographic data collections and models building statistics.

	7b2o (untreated)	7zuv (partially reduced)
Wavelength (Å)	0.9801	0.9801
Resolution range (Å)	46.62 - 3.095 (3.206 - 3.095)	48.54 - 3.11 (3.221 - 3.11)
Space group	P 2_1 2_1 2	P 1 2_1 1
Unit cell (Å, °)	178.224 183.652 75.196 90 90 90	53.774 163.462 172.765 90 91.939 90
Total reflections	615155 (55989)	376091 (32376)
Unique reflections	45740 (4245)	53456 (5213)
Multiplicity	13.4 (12.9)	7.0 (6.2)
Completeness (%)	99.34 (94.20)	99.65 (97.17)
Mean I/sigma(I)	12.02 (1.72)	8.54 (1.30)
Wilson B-factor (Å²)	64.82	68.45
R-merge	0.495 (1.877)	0.2492 (1.502)
R-meas	0.5144 (1.954)	0.2691 (1.64)
R-pim	0.1386 (0.5343)	0.1009 (0.6477)
CC1/2	0.983 (0.623)	0.988 (0.479)
CC*	0.996 (0.876)	0.997 (0.805)
Reflections used in refinement	45607 (4242)	53417 (5193)
Reflections used for R-free	1986 (183)	1983 (191)
R-work	0.1942 (0.3071)	0.1963 (0.3239)
R-free	0.2390 (0.3482)	0.2328 (0.3740)
CC(work)	0.944 (0.788)	0.956 (0.726)
CC(free)	0.912 (0.649)	0.949 (0.596)
Number of non-hydrogen atoms	14194	18714
Macromolecules	14190	18704
Solvent	4	10
Protein residues	1859	2451
RMS(bonds) (Å)	0.005	0.004
RMS(angles) (°)	0.75	0.73
Ramachandran favored (%)	94.26	94.80
Ramachandran allowed (%)	5.52	4.70
Ramachandran outliers (%)	0.22	0.50
Rotamer outliers (%)	0.13	0.55
Clashscore	4.88	6.60
Average B-factor (Å²)	67.00	68.41
macromolecules (Å²)	67.01	68.40
solvent (Å²)	49.59	94.37

 Statistics for the highest-resolution shell are shown in parentheses.

Figures legends

Figure 1. Crystal structure of *Cr*SBPase.

(**A**) Topology is displayed as cartoon with main chain colored from blue (amino-terminus) to red

(carboxy-terminus). (**B**) Rotated view of A. by x-axis over -90°. (**C**) Close-up view of region

$A_{113}SCAGTAC_{120}$ with disulfide bond shown in sticks. (**D**) Rotated view of C. by x-axis over -90°. (**E**)

Putative active site residues inferred from alignment with FBPase bound to FBP (5l0a, human muscle

fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase E69Q mutant in active R-state in complex with fructose-1,6-

bisphosphate) are represented in sticks. Residue numbering is according to Chlamydomonas SBPase

Uniprot entry P46284. (F) Surface representation in the B. orientation with putative active site

residues colored in cyan. Water molecule 401 (W401) oxygen is represented as a red sphere. (G)

Cysteines site chains are represented in spheres. (H) Threonines and serines side chains reported to

be the target of phosphorylations are represented in spheres.

Figure 2. Functional characterization of CrSBPase *in vitro*.

Reported enzymatic activity of *Cr*SBPase assayed for (**A**) reduction by reduced dithiothreitol (DTTred)

and 10 mM of $MgSO_4$, (**B**) Magnesium sulfate ($MgSO_4$) and 10 mM DTTred, and (**C**) recombinant

thioredoxin f2 from *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (*Cr*TRX-f2) and 10 mM $MgSO_4$, 1 mM DTT.

Figure 3. Crystallographic structures of *Cr*SBPase under reducing treatment: local disorder of the

$A_{113}SCAGTAC_{120}$ loop.

Main chain was traced according to crystallographic b-factor, with large orange sections representing

high b-factor values and thin blue sections representing low b-factors. A-I. Aligned structures of

*Cr*SBPase protomers without redox treatment (A, 7b2o chain A) or in the presence of 10 mM TCEP

reducing agent (B-I, 7zuv chains A-H).

Figure 4. Molecular dynamics simulation of *Cr*SBPase after reduction.

(**A**) Overlap of the crystallographic structure of oxidized *Cr*SBPase and representative structures of equilibrated reduced *Cr*SBPase during molecular dynamics simulation 2 (MD2). For structures extracted from MD, only residues 109 to 148 are displayed since most of the other residues are closely overlapping those of the crystallographic structure. (**B**) Overlap of the crystallographic structure of reduced *Cr*SBPase and representative structures of equilibrated reduced *Cr*SBPase during MD2. For structures extracted from MD, only residues 109 to 148 are displayed.

Figure 5. Oligomeric state of *Cr*SBPase in the crystal.

(**A**) Asymmetric unit dimer of untreated *Cr*SBPase (7b2o). Chains are represented in cartoon and colored cyan (chain B) and salmon (chain E). (**B**) Asymmetric unit homotetramer under reducing treatment (7zuv). Chains A (cyan), C (magenta), F (white), and H (orange) belong to the same asymmetric unit. (**C**) Close-up view on figure A homodimer interface. Loop 113-ASCAGTAC-120 from chain B (in cyan) is in 5-Å distance of neighboring chain E (in salmon). C115 and C120 are bonded by a disulfide bridge.

Figure 6. Oligomeric state of *Cr*SBPase *in vitro*.

(**A**) Size-exclusion chromatograms of *Cr*SBPase wild-type (blue), mutant C115S (orange), mutant C120S (gray) on Superdex 200 26/600 GL column. (**B**) Size-exclusion fractionation of Chlamydomonas cell extracts. Chlamydomonas cell culture was harvested, lysed and the soluble fraction of the lysate was loaded on Superose6 16/600 size-exclusion column. Chromatography fractions were analyzed by western blot with anti-*Cr*SBPase primary antibodies. First membrane was loaded with fractions eluted from 40 to 80 mL. Second membrane was loaded with fractions eluted from 80 to 120 mL. M lane is loaded with molecular mass standards ladder. Recombinant CrSBPase was loaded was loaded on the last lane to the right..

Supplementary information

551   **Supplementary table 1. Primers used for point mutagenesis.**

5'-forward C115S	CCGCACCGCCTCGAGCGCCGGTACCGCCTGCGTG
3'-reverse C115S	CACGCAGGCGGTACCGGCGCTCGAGGCGGTGCGG
5'-forward C120S	GCGCCGGTACCGCCAGCGTGAACAGCTTCGGCG
3'-reverse C120S	CGCCGAAGCTGTTCACGCTGGCGGTACCGGCGC

Supplementary figure 1. Purification of recombinant *Cr*SBPase.

A. Size-exclusion chromatography profile recorded at absorbance λ= 280 nm on Superdex 200 26/600

GL column. B. Electrophoresis of affinity-chromatography fractions on 12% acrylamide gel in

denaturing and reducing condition. Gel was stained with Coomassie blue. Mw: molecular mass

standards ladder.

Supplementary figure 2. Cysteines pair C222-C231 close-up view.

*Cr*SBPase structure 7b2o zoomed around the pair of cysteines 222 and 231 suggests they may form a

reversible disulfide bridge, with a thiol-to-thiol distance of 3.5 Å.

Supplementary figure 3. SBPase multiple sequences alignment.

*Cr*SBPase mature sequence was used for a BLAST search. Retrieved homolog sequences were aligned

and colored-coded according to residue conservation. Illustration made with EndScript (Robert and

Gouet 2014).

Supplementary figure 4. Related to figure 3. Crystallographic structures of *Cr*SBPase under reducing

treatment: local main chain rearrangement and variety of cysteine states of the $A_{113}SCAGTAC_{120}$

loop.

Supplementary figure 5. Structure, oligomerisation and sequence variations according to X-ray

crystallography and AlphaFold predictions.

A. Surface representation of 7b2o homodimer, colored according to ConSurf (Glaser, Pupko et al.

2003) conservation score from teal (lowest conservation) to purple (highest conservation) among

150 aligned homologs. B. Hybrid cartoon and surface representation, colored according to ConSurf.

C. Superimposition of the crystal structure of *Pp*SBPase (5iz3) (Gütle, Roret et al. 2016) and *Cr*SBPase

(7b2o, this study). D. Close-up view on redox motif on superimposed SBPases. E-H. Aligned chain A

from 7b2o crystal structure and predicted monomer/dimer/tetramer according to AlphaFold3. Color

code of AF3 models is according to plDDT: navy blue very high plDDT>0.9; cyan confident

90>plDDT>70; yellow low 70>plDDT>50; orange very low plDDT<50.

Supplementary figure 6. Size-exclusion chromatography coupled to small angle X-rays scattering

(SEC-SAXS) of *Cr*SBPase.

A. X-ray scattering curve log(I) = f(s) for pure *Cr*SBPase untreated (dark blue) or treated (light blue)

with 10 mM reduced dithiothreitol (DTTred). B. Radius of gyration of untreated protein was

computed by ATSAS Primus Guinier Wizard as Rg = 39.14 ± 0.06 Å (Franke, Petoukhov et al. 2017). C.

Radius of gyration of DTT-treated protein was computed as Rg = 62.47 ± 0.38 Å.

Supplementary figure 7. Phase separation of reduced *Cr*SBPase precipitates.

*Cr*SBPase at 2 mg/mL formed amorphous precipitates after storage at 4 °C for a week. The

suspension was sedimented by centrifugation at 20,000 *g* for 20 minutes and a microliter drop of the

supernatant or of the pellet were treated with 10 mM TCEP and visualized by binocular optical

microscope. A. Untreated precipitate. B. TCEP-treated precipitate. C. Untreated supernatant. D.

TCEP-treated supernatant.

Supplementary figures 8-12. Computational detail of molecular dynamic simulation.

Supplementary figure 8.

SG(Cys115)/SG(Cys120) distances along the MD trajectories for each chain (left: oxidized state, right:

reduced state). Light colors: raw data saved every 100 ps, dark colors: moving average on 20ns blocs.

Supplementary figure 9.

RMSD of main chain along the MD trajectories for each chain (left: oxidized state, right: reduced

state). Light colors: raw data saved every 100 ps, dark colors: moving average on 20 ns blocs.

Supplementary figure 10.

RMSD of main chain of the mobile motif in the reduced state (residues 112 to 131) along the MD

trajectories for each chain (left: RMSD with respect to the starting conformation of MD, right: RMSD

with respect to the conformation at 20 µs). Light colors: raw data saved every 100 ps, dark colors:

moving average on 20 ns blocs.

Supplementary figure 11.

RMSF per residue for each chain (top row: full sequence, bottom row: zoom on residues 110 to 170;

left column: oxidized state, middle column: reduced state, right column: comparison of one chain

from each state).

Supplementary figure 12.

RMSD of main chain of each residue from the mobile motif along the trajectory of chain B from MD2.

Data are moving average on 200 ns blocs.

Supplementary figure 13. Structures of *Cr*SBPase retrieved from molecular dynamics simulations 1

and 2.

Two independent replicas of 20 μs were performed. In one of them (MD1), the overall structure of

both chains of the enzyme barely changed. To illustrate this, we present in supplementary figure 12

the overlap between the crystallographic oxidized structure and representative structures extracted

from MD (based on clustering the last 3 μs since each chain does not display significative changes

after 17 μs). A. Overlap of the crystallographic structure of oxidized *Cr*SBPase and representative

structures of equilibrated reduced SBPase during MD1. For structures extracted from MD, only

residues 111 to 132 are displayed since the other residues are closely overlapping those of the

crystallographic structure. B. Overlap of the crystallographic structure of oxidized SBPase and

representative structures of equilibrated reduced *Cr*SBPase during MD2. For structures extracted

from MD, only residues 109 to 148 are displayed since most of the other residues are closely

overlapping those of the crystallographic structure.

Supplementary figure 14. Regulatory properties of CrSBPase activity.

Specific activities of wild-type form (wt) and cysteine mutants (C115S and C120S) were evaluated by

treating each *Cr*SBPase form without DTT and Mg^{2+} (/), with only Mg^{2+} (Mg), or with both DTT and

Mg^{2+} (DTT + Mg). Specific activities are reported as $\mu mol(NADPH) \, min^{-1} \, mg(SBPase)^{-1}$.

Supplementary movie 1. Molecular dynamics simulation of *Cr*SBPase 7B2O chain A upon C115-120

disulfide bridge reduction.

Supplementary movie 2. Molecular dynamics simulation of *Cr*SBPase 7B2O chain B upon C115-120

bridge reduction.

Authors contributions

JH, NC: Conceptualization; JH, NC, MS, TLM: Data curation; JH, NC, MZ: Formal analysis; JH: Funding

acquisition; JH, NC, MZ, SDL, MS, TLM: Investigation; JH, NC, MZ, MS, TLM: Methodology; JH, SDL:

Project administration; JH, NC, SDL: Resources; NC: Software; JH, MZ: Supervision; JH, NC, MZ, SDL,

MS, TLM: Writing - original draft; JH, NC, MZ, SDL, MS, TLM: Writing - review & editing.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the Institut de Biologie Physico-Chimique (CNRS, FR 505) for access to the

crystallization facility. We acknowledge SOLEIL for provision of synchrotron radiation facilities at

beamlines SWING, PROXIMA-1, and PROXIMA-2A. We thank Guillaume Q. Robert for his contribution

to protein purification, crystallization under reducing treatment, and model building. Plasmids pSL-

175, -181, and -182 were cloned by Dr. Laure Michelet. This work was funded by the grant

CALVINTERACT from the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-19-CE11-0009). Martina Santoni

received funding from the University of Bologna and Sorbonne University exchange program

ERASMUS+. This work was performed using HPC resources from GENCI–IDRIS (Grant 2021-077156).

The authors express their gratitude to the financial support of the CNRS-INSB through a grant «Accès

aux infrastructures nationales».

Conflicts of interest statement

The authors report no conflict of interest relevant to the conduct or report of this research.

Bibliography

Abramson, J., J. Adler, J. Dunger, R. Evans, T. Green, A. Pritzel, O. Ronneberger, L. Willmore, A. J.
Ballard, J. Bambrick, S. W. Bodenstein, D. A. Evans, C.-C. Hung, M. O'Neill, D. Reiman, K.
Tunyasuvunakool, Z. Wu, A. Žemgulytė, E. Arvaniti, C. Beattie, O. Bertolli, A. Bridgland, A.
Cherepanov, M. Congreve, A. I. Cowen-Rivers, A. Cowie, M. Figurnov, F. B. Fuchs, H. Gladman, R. Jain,
Y. A. Khan, C. M. R. Low, K. Perlin, A. Potapenko, P. Savy, S. Singh, A. Stecula, A. Thillaisundaram, C.
Tong, S. Yakneen, E. D. Zhong, M. Zielinski, A. Žídek, V. Bapst, P. Kohli, M. Jaderberg, D. Hassabis and
J. M. Jumper (2024). "Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3."
<u>Nature</u>.
Adams, P. D., P. V. Afonine, G. Bunkóczi, V. B. Chen, N. Echols, J. J. Headd, L. W. Hung, S. Jain, G. J.
Kapral, R. W. Grosse Kunstleve, A. J. McCoy, N. W. Moriarty, R. D. Oeffner, R. J. Read, D. C.
Richardson, J. S. Richardson, T. C. Terwilliger and P. H. Zwart (2011). "The Phenix software for
automated determination of macromolecular structures." <u>Methods</u> **55**(1): 94-106.
Ahmad, S., M. Gromiha, H. Fawareh and A. Sarai (2004). "ASAView: database and tool for solvent
accessibility representation in proteins." <u>BMC Bioinformatics</u> **5**: 51.
Almagro Armenteros, J. J., M. Salvatore, O. Emanuelsson, O. Winther, G. von Heijne, A. Elofsson and
H. Nielsen (2019). "Detecting sequence signals in targeting peptides using deep learning." <u>Life Sci</u>
<u>Alliance</u> **2**(5).
Anderson, L. E. (1974). "Activation of pea leaf chloroplast sedoheptulose 1,7-diphosphate
phosphatase by light and dithiothreitol." <u>Biochem Biophys Res Commun</u> **59**(3): 907-913.
Barciszewski, J., J. Wisniewski, R. Kolodziejczyk, M. Jaskolski, D. Rakus and A. Dzugaj (2016). "T-to-R
switch of muscle fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase involves fundamental changes of secondary and
quaternary structure." <u>Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol</u> **72**(Pt 4): 536-550.
Cadet, F. and J. C. Meunier (1988). "pH and kinetic studies of chloroplast sedoheptulose-1,7-
bisphosphatase from spinach (Spinacia oleracea)." <u>Biochem J</u> **253**(1): 249-254.
Calvin, M. (1962). "The path of carbon in photosynthesis." <u>Science</u> **135**(3507): 879-889.
Chiadmi, M., A. Navaza, M. Miginiac-Maslow, J. P. Jacquot and J. Cherfils (1999). "Redox signalling in
the chloroplast: structure of oxidized pea fructose-1,6-bisphosphate phosphatase." <u>Embo j</u> **18**(23):
6809-6815.
Cotton, C. A., B. V. Kabasakal, N. A. Miah and J. W. Murray (2015). "Structure of the dual-function
fructose-1,6/sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase from Thermosynechococcus elongatus bound with
sedoheptulose-7-phosphate." <u>Acta Crystallogr F Struct Biol Commun</u> **71**(Pt 10): 1341-1345.
Emanuelsson, O., S. Brunak, G. von Heijne and H. Nielsen (2007). "Locating proteins in the cell using
TargetP, SignalP and related tools." <u>Nat Protoc</u> **2**(4): 953-971.
Emsley, P., B. Lohkamp, W. G. Scott and K. Cowtan (2010). "Features and development of Coot." <u>Acta</u>
<u>Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr</u> **66**(Pt 4): 486-501.
Feng, L., Y. Han, G. Liu, B. An, J. Yang, G. Yang, Y. Li and Y. Zhu (2007). "Overexpression of
sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase enhances photosynthesis and growth under salt stress in
transgenic rice plants." <u>Funct Plant Biol</u> **34**(9): 822-834.
Feng, L., K. Wang, Y. Li, Y. Tan, J. Kong, H. Li, Y. Li and Y. Zhu (2007). "Overexpression of SBPase
enhances photosynthesis against high temperature stress in transgenic rice plants." <u>Plant Cell Rep</u>
26(9): 1635-1646.
Franke, D., M. V. Petoukhov, P. V. Konarev, A. Panjkovich, A. Tuukkanen, H. D. T. Mertens, A. G.
Kikhney, N. R. Hajizadeh, J. M. Franklin, C. M. Jeffries and D. I. Svergun (2017). "ATSAS 2.8: a
comprehensive data analysis suite for small-angle scattering from macromolecular solutions." <u>J Appl</u>
<u>Crystallogr</u> **50**(Pt 4): 1212-1225.
Giudici-Orticoni, M. T., J. Buc, M. Bidaud and J. Ricard (1990). "Thermodynamics of information
transfer between subunits in oligomeric enzymes and kinetic cooperativity. 3. Information transfer
between the subunits of chloroplast fructose bisphosphatase." <u>Eur J Biochem</u> **194**(2): 483-490.

Glaser, F., T. Pupko, I. Paz, R. E. Bell, D. Bechor-Shental, E. Martz and N. Ben-Tal (2003). "ConSurf:
identification of functional regions in proteins by surface-mapping of phylogenetic information."
Bioinformatics **19**(1): 163-164.
Gutle, D. D., T. Roret, S. J. Muller, J. Couturier, S. D. Lemaire, A. Hecker, T. Dhalleine, B. B. Buchanan,
R. Reski, O. Einsle and J. P. Jacquot (2016). "Chloroplast FBPase and SBPase are thioredoxin-linked
enzymes with similar architecture but different evolutionary histories." Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
113(24): 6779-6784.
Gütle, D. D., T. Roret, S. J. Müller, J. Couturier, S. D. Lemaire, A. Hecker, T. Dhalleine, B. B. Buchanan,
R. Reski, O. Einsle and J. P. Jacquot (2016). "Chloroplast FBPase and SBPase are thioredoxin-linked
enzymes with similar architecture but different evolutionary histories." Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
113(24): 6779-6784.
Hammel, A., F. Sommer, D. Zimmer, M. Stitt, T. Mühlhaus and M. Schroda (2020). "Overexpression of
Sedoheptulose-1,7-Bisphosphatase Enhances Photosynthesis in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii and Has
No Effect on the Abundance of Other Calvin-Benson Cycle Enzymes." Front Plant Sci **11**: 868.
Jacquot, J. P., J. Lopez-Jaramillo, M. Miginiac-Maslow, S. Lemaire, A. Cherfils, A. Chueca and J. Lopez-
Gorge (1997). "Cysteine-153 is required for redox regulation of pea chloroplast fructose-1,6-
bisphosphatase." FEBS Lett **401**(2-3): 143-147.
Johnson, M. P. (2016). "Photosynthesis." Essays Biochem **60**(3): 255-273.
Johnson, X. and J. Alric (2013). "Central carbon metabolism and electron transport in
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii: metabolic constraints for carbon partitioning between oil and starch."
Eukaryot Cell **12**(6): 776-793.
Jumper, J., R. Evans, A. Pritzel, T. Green, M. Figurnov, O. Ronneberger, K. Tunyasuvunakool, R. Bates,
A. Zidek, A. Potapenko, A. Bridgland, C. Meyer, S. A. A. Kohl, A. J. Ballard, A. Cowie, B. Romera-
Paredes, S. Nikolov, R. Jain, J. Adler, T. Back, S. Petersen, D. Reiman, E. Clancy, M. Zielinski, M.
Steinegger, M. Pacholska, T. Berghammer, S. Bodenstein, D. Silver, O. Vinyals, A. W. Senior, K.
Kavukcuoglu, P. Kohli and D. Hassabis (2021). "Highly accurate protein structure prediction with
AlphaFold." Nature **596**(7873): 583-589.
Krissinel, E. and K. Henrick (2007). "Inference of macromolecular assemblies from crystalline state." J
Mol Biol **372**(3): 774-797.
Kubis, A. and A. Bar-Even (2019). "Synthetic biology approaches for improving photosynthesis." J Exp
Bot **70**(5): 1425-1433.
Lee, S. W. and T. R. Hahn (2003). "Light-regulated differential expression of pea chloroplast and
cytosolic fructose-1,6-bisphosphatases." Plant Cell Rep **21**(6): 611-618.
Lefebvre, S., T. Lawson, O. V. Zakhleniuk, J. C. Lloyd, C. A. Raines and M. Fryer (2005). "Increased
sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase activity in transgenic tobacco plants stimulates photosynthesis
and growth from an early stage in development." Plant Physiol **138**(1): 451-460.
Lemaire, S. D., B. Guillon, P. Le Maréchal, E. Keryer, M. Miginiac-Maslow and P. Decottignies (2004).
"New thioredoxin targets in the unicellular photosynthetic eukaryote *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*."
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **101**(19): 7475-7480.
Lemaire, S. D., D. Tedesco, P. Crozet, L. Michelet, S. Fermani, M. Zaffagnini and J. Henri (2018).
"Crystal Structure of Chloroplastic Thioredoxin f2 from Chlamydomonas reinhardtii Reveals Distinct
Surface Properties." Antioxidants (Basel) **7**(12).
Liebschner, D., P. V. Afonine, M. L. Baker, G. Bunkóczi, V. B. Chen, T. I. Croll, B. Hintze, L. W. Hung, S.
Jain, A. J. McCoy, N. W. Moriarty, R. D. Oeffner, B. K. Poon, M. G. Prisant, R. J. Read, J. S. Richardson,
D. C. Richardson, M. D. Sammito, O. V. Sobolev, D. H. Stockwell, T. C. Terwilliger, A. G. Urzhumtsev, L.
L. Videau, C. J. Williams and P. D. Adams (2019). "Macromolecular structure determination using X-
rays, neutrons and electrons: recent developments in Phenix." Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol **75**(Pt
10): 861-877.
McConnell, E. W., E. G. Werth and L. M. Hicks (2018). "The phosphorylated redox proteome of
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii: Revealing novel means for regulation of protein structure and function."
Redox Biol **17**: 35-46.

Meloni, M., S. Fanti, D. Tedesco, L. Gurrieri, P. Trost, S. Fermani, S. D. Lemaire, M. Zaffagnini and J.
Henri (2024). "Characterization of chloroplast ribulose-5-phosphate-3-epimerase from the microalga
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii." Plant Physiol 194(4): 2263-2277.
Mettler, T., T. Muhlhaus, D. Hemme, M. A. Schottler, J. Rupprecht, A. Idoine, D. Veyel, S. K. Pal, L.
Yaneva-Roder, F. V. Winck, F. Sommer, D. Vosloh, B. Seiwert, A. Erban, A. Burgos, S. Arvidsson, S.
Schonfelder, A. Arnold, M. Gunther, U. Krause, M. Lohse, J. Kopka, Z. Nikoloski, B. Mueller-Roeber, L.
Willmitzer, R. Bock, M. Schroda and M. Stitt (2014). "Systems Analysis of the Response of
Photosynthesis, Metabolism, and Growth to an Increase in Irradiance in the Photosynthetic Model
Organism Chlamydomonas reinhardtii." Plant Cell 26(6): 2310-2350.
Moorhead, G. B., R. J. Hodgson and W. C. Plaxton (1994). "Copurification of cytosolic fructose-1,6-
bisphosphatase and cytosolic aldolase from endosperm of germinating castor oil seeds." Arch
Biochem Biophys 312(2): 326-335.
Morisse, S., M. Zaffagnini, X. H. Gao, S. D. Lemaire and C. H. Marchand (2014). "Insight into protein S-
nitrosylation in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii." Antioxid Redox Signal 21(9): 1271-1284.
Nishizawa, A. N. and B. B. Buchanan (1981). "Enzyme regulation in C4 photosynthesis. Purification
and properties of thioredoxin-linked fructose bisphosphatase and sedoheptulose bisphosphatase
from corn leaves." J Biol Chem 256(12): 6119-6126.
Ohta, J. (2022). "A novel variant of the Calvin-Benson cycle bypassing fructose bisphosphate." Sci Rep
12(1): 3984.
Pérez-Pérez, M. E., A. Mauriès, A. Maes, N. J. Tourasse, M. Hamon, S. D. Lemaire and C. H. Marchand
(2017). "The Deep Thioredoxome in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii: New Insights into Redox
Regulation." Mol Plant 10(8): 1107-1125.
Raines, C. A. (2022). "Improving plant productivity by re-tuning the regeneration of RuBP in the
Calvin-Benson-Bassham cycle." New Phytol 236(2): 350-356.
Robert, X. and P. Gouet (2014). "Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new
ENDscript server." Nucleic Acids Research 42(W1): W320-W324.
Rosenthal, D. M., A. M. Locke, M. Khozaei, C. A. Raines, S. P. Long and D. R. Ort (2011). "Over-
expressing the C(3) photosynthesis cycle enzyme Sedoheptulose-1-7 Bisphosphatase improves
photosynthetic carbon gain and yield under fully open air CO(2) fumigation (FACE)." BMC Plant Biol
11: 123.
Schmollinger, S., T. Mühlhaus, N. R. Boyle, I. K. Blaby, D. Casero, T. Mettler, J. L. Moseley, J. Kropat, F.
Sommer, D. Strenkert, D. Hemme, M. Pellegrini, A. R. Grossman, M. Stitt, M. Schroda and S. S.
Merchant (2014). "Nitrogen-Sparing Mechanisms in Chlamydomonas Affect the Transcriptome, the
Proteome, and Photosynthetic Metabolism." Plant Cell 26(4): 1410-1435.
Schürmann, P. and B. B. Buchanan (1975). "Role of ferredoxin in the activation of sedoheptulose
diphosphatase in isolated chloroplasts." Biochim Biophys Acta 376(1): 189-192.
Seuter, A., M. Busch and R. Hain (2002). "Overexpression of the potential herbicide target
sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase from Spinacia oleracea in transgenic tobacco." Molecular
Breeding 9(1): 53-61.
Simkin, A. J., P. E. Lopez-Calcagno, P. A. Davey, L. R. Headland, T. Lawson, S. Timm, H. Bauwe and C.
A. Raines (2017). "Simultaneous stimulation of sedoheptulose 1,7-bisphosphatase, fructose 1,6-
bisphophate aldolase and the photorespiratory glycine decarboxylase-H protein increases CO(2)
assimilation, vegetative biomass and seed yield in Arabidopsis." Plant Biotechnol J 15(7): 805-816.
Tamoi, M., M. Nagaoka and S. Shigeoka (2005). "Immunological properties of sedoheptulose-1,7-
bisphosphatase from Chlamydomonas sp. W80." Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 69(4): 848-851.
Wagner, V., G. Gessner, I. Heiland, M. Kaminski, S. Hawat, K. Scheffler and M. Mittag (2006).
"Analysis of the phosphoproteome of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii provides new insights into various
cellular pathways." Eukaryot Cell 5(3): 457-468.
Wang, L., W. Patena, K. A. Van Baalen, Y. Xie, E. R. Singer, S. Gavrilenko, M. Warren-Williams, L. Han,
H. R. Harrigan, V. Chen, V. T. N. P. Ton, S. Kyin, H. H. Shwe, M. H. Cahn, A. T. Wilson, J. Hu, D. J.
Schnell, C. D. McWhite and M. Jonikas (2022). "A Chloroplast Protein Atlas Reveals Novel Structures
and Spatial Organization of Biosynthetic Pathways." bioRxiv: 2022.2005.2031.493820.

Werth, E. G., E. W. McConnell, T. S. Gilbert, I. Couso Lianez, C. A. Perez, C. K. Manley, L. M. Graves, J.
G. Umen and L. M. Hicks (2017). "Probing the global kinome and phosphoproteome in
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii via sequential enrichment and quantitative proteomics." <u>Plant J</u> **89**(2):
416-426.
Woodrow, I. E. (1982). "Sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase from wheat chloroplasts." <u>Methods</u>
<u>Enzymol</u> **90 Pt E**: 392-396.
Woodrow, I. E. and D. A. Walker (1980). "Light-mediated activation of stromal sedoheptulose
bisphosphatase." <u>Biochem J</u> **191**(3): 845-849.
Yoshida, K. and T. Hisabori (2018). "Determining the Rate-Limiting Step for Light-Responsive Redox
Regulation in Chloroplasts." <u>Antioxidants (Basel)</u> **7**(11).
Zaffagnini, M., M. Bedhomme, C. H. Marchand, S. Morisse, P. Trost and S. D. Lemaire (2012). "Redox
regulation in photosynthetic organisms: focus on glutathionylation." <u>Antioxid Redox Signal</u> **16**(6):
567-586.
Zimmer, D., C. Swart, A. Graf, S. Arrivault, M. Tillich, S. Proost, Z. Nikoloski, M. Stitt, R. Bock, T.
Mühlhaus and A. Boulouis (2021). "Topology of the redox network during induction of
photosynthesis as revealed by time-resolved proteomics in tobacco." <u>Sci Adv</u> **7**(51): eabi8307.
839

Figure 1.

Figure 2.

A

B

C

Figure 3.

Figure 4.

Figure 5.

Figure 6.