Full Title: The mesodermal source of fibronectin is required for heart morphogenesis and

cardiac outflow tract elongation by regulating cell shape, polarity, and

mechanotransduction in the second heart field.

Short Title: Role of mesodermal fibronectin in cardiac development

Authors: Cecilia Arriagada and Sophie Astrof*

Affiliation: Department of Cell Biology and Molecular Medicine, Cardiovascular

Research Institute, Rutgers Biomedical and Health Sciences, 185 South Orange Ave,

Newark, NJ, 07103, USA.

*Author for correspondence: Sophie Astrof, Ph.D.

19   Phone: 617-429-8295

20   E-mail: sophie.astrof@rutgers.edu

Abstract

Failure in the elongation of the cardiac outflow tract results in congenital heart disease due to ventricular septum defects and misalignment of the great vessels. The cardiac outflow tract lengthens by the accretion of progenitors derived from the second heart field (SHF). SHF cells in the splanchnic mesoderm are exquisitely organized into an epithelial-like layer forming the dorsal pericardial wall (DPW). Tissue tension within the DPW, cell polarity, and proliferation, are requisite for the addition of SHF-derived cells to the heart and outflow tract elongation. However, genes regulating these processes are not completely understood. Using conditional mutagenesis in the mouse, we show that Fn1 synthesized by the SHF is a central regulator of the epithelial architecture in the DPW. Fn1 expression is enriched in the anterior DPW and mediates outflow tract elongation by regulating cell polarity, shape, cohesion, and mechanotransduction. Our studies establish that Fn1 synthesized specifically by the mesoderm coordinates multiple cellular behaviors in the anterior DPW requisite for the elongation of the cardiac outflow tract and embryonic viability.

Introduction

Congenital heart disease (CHD) is one of the most common birth defects. An estimated 40,000 newborns in the U.S. are affected by CHD each year (Tsao et al., 2022). Therefore, it is important to understand the cellular and molecular mechanisms regulating cardiac morphogenesis and the alterations that cause CHD. Several sources of cardiac progenitor cells have been identified (Zhang et al., 2021). Progenitors in the first heart field mainly give rise to the left ventricle, while progenitors in the second heart field (SHF) give rise to the right ventricle, the outflow tract (OFT) and the atria. Correct formation of the OFT is necessary for the proper morphogenesis of the arterial pole of the heart, including intraventricular septation and positioning of the great vessels relative to the cardiac chambers (Cortes et al., 2018). Defects in these processes are among the most common causes of CHD (Tsao et al., 2022). During the initial stages of OFT development, OFT is a single tube connecting the heart with the systemic circulation. In the mouse, the OFT elongates between embryonic day (E) 8.5 and E10.75 of development by the addition of the SHF cells to the nascent heart tube (Meilhac and Buckingham, 2018). The aberrant contribution of SHF cells to the OFT results in defective elongation of the cardiac OFT and aberrant morphogenesis of the arterial pole of the heart.

Recent findings have shown that SHF cells in the dorsal pericardial wall (DPW) directly behind the forming heart are exquisitely organized and exhibit epithelial properties such as apicobasal polarity (Cortes et al., 2018; Francou et al., 2014). Aberrations in SHF cell polarity and cohesion in the DPW result in shortened OFT and CHD (Cortes et al., 2018; Li and Wang, 2018). For instance, *Tbx1*, a key transcription factor mutated in 22q11

deletion syndrome, mediates OFT elongation by regulating SHF cell polarity, organization

of the actin cytoskeleton, and epithelial tension in the DPW (Francou et al., 2017; Francou

et al., 2014). Similarly, mediators of non-canonical Wnt signaling such as *Vngl2, Wnt5a,*

and *Dsh* mediate OFT elongation by regulating apicobasal polarity of SHF cells in the

DPW, actin cytoskeleton, and cell intercalation behaviors important for displacing SHF

cells from the DPW to join the OFT (Li et al., 2019; Li et al., 2016; Li and Wang, 2018;

Ramsbottom et al., 2014; Sinha et al., 2015; Sinha et al., 2012).

SHF cells in the DPW are regionalized along the anterior-posterior and left-right axes.

Osr1, Hoxb1, and *Wnt5a* are expressed in the posterior DPW, while *Tbx1* is expressed

in the anterior (Stefanovic et al., 2020). We noticed that the expression of the extracellular

matrix (ECM) glycoprotein *Fn1* was enriched at the protein and *mRNA* levels in the

anterior DPW. In our previous studies using a global deletion of Fn1, we found that cardiac

OFTs were short in Fn1-null mutants (Mittal et al., 2013). In addition, the expression of

Fn1 or its major receptor integrin $\alpha 5\beta 1$ in the *Isl1* lineage was required for OFT elongation

(Chen et al., 2015), suggesting that Fn1 is an important player in heart development.

However, the specific roles of Fn1 in OFT development are not well-understood.

Fn1 mRNA is broadly expressed in the paraxial and lateral plate mesoderm of early

murine embryos at 1-3 somite stage (Pulina et al., 2011). During heart formation (6-23

somites), *Fn1* mRNA continues to be expressed in the splanchnic mesoderm, while its

expression in differentiated cardiomyocytes is nearly lost (Mittal et al., 2010). Mesodermal

populations expressing *Fn1 mRNA* contain cardiac progenitors, and since heart

development is affected in global Fn1-null embryos and embryos with conditional deletion

of Fn1, Fn1$^{flox/-}$; Isl1$^{Cre/+}$ (Chen et al., 2015; Mittal et al., 2013), we hypothesized that

Fn1 synthesized by cardiac progenitors plays important roles in heart development. To

investigate this hypothesis, we used the *Mesp1*$^{Cre/+}$ knock-in strain to ablate Fn1

expression conditionally in the anterior mesoderm. In this manuscript, we show that

mesodermal Fn1 coordinates multiple cellular behaviors in the DPW necessary for OFT

growth by SHF cell accretion from the DPW. The absence of mesodermal Fn1 results in

aberrant cellular architecture in the DPW, including defective apicobasal cell polarity,

shape, orientation, and mechanotransduction, leading to the shortened OFT and

embryonic lethality.

Results

Mesodermal synthesis of Fn1 is required for cardiogenesis and embryonic viability.

The expression of the transcription factor Mesp1 marks the earliest known cardiac

progenitors, and the descendants of Mesp1-expressing cells contribute to all mesoderm

cells of the heart (Saga et al., 1996; Saga et al., 1999). Therefore, we used the

Mesp1$^{Cre/+}$ strain to conditionally ablate *Fn1* expression from heart precursors. Although

Mendelian proportions of all genotypes were recovered at E9.5, we found that some

Fn1$^{flox/-}$; *Mesp1*$^{Cre/+}$ mutant embryos at E9.5 showed mild cardiac defects (S1A-C Fig)

and most mutants did not survive past E10.5 (S1D-F Fig). Only 50% of the expected

number of Fn1$^{flox/-}$; *Mesp1*$^{Cre/+}$ embryos were recovered at E10.5, and all of the

recovered mutants had prominent cardiac edema, defective heart, and were degenerating

(S1D-E Fig). No Fn1$^{flox/-}$; *Mesp1*$^{Cre/+}$ mutants were recovered after E13.5 days of

gestation (S1F Fig). These data indicated that the expression of *Fn1* in the Mesp1-lineage

is important for heart development and embryonic viability.

Mesodermal Fn1 regulates the elongation of the cardiac OFT.

The shape of the heart in mutants recovered at E10.5 (S1D-E Fig) suggested that

mesodermal Fn1 played a role in the development of the cardiac OFT. To test this

hypothesis, we first examined embryos at E8.5 (8-12 somites), when the OFT begins to

form. At this stage of development, we did not find alterations in any cardiac structure,

and the nascent OFTs in mutants and controls were of similar lengths (S2 Fig). Heart

remained similar in shape in control and mutant embryos developed to 18-19 somites,

and the sizes of their OFTs were comparable among genotypes (Fig. 1A-D). However,

the lengths of the OFTs in mutant embryos isolated at 20-25 somites were significantly

shorter than in controls, and both the proximal and the distal portions of the OFTs were

affected at this stage (Fig. 1E-H). In control embryos, the right and the left ventricles align

in the plane perpendicular to the embryonic anterior-posterior axis (Fig. 1I). In mutants

with the shortened OFTs this alignment is distorted (Li et al., 2016) and the right ventricle

is shifted anteriorly due to the decreased length of the OFT (Fig. 1J-K). Together, these

data indicate that mesodermal Fn1 plays a requisite role in cardiogenesis by regulating

the process of OFT elongation.

Mesodermal Fn1 regulates epithelial architecture of the second heart field.

Cardiac OFT elongates through the addition of cardiac precursors from SHF cells in the

first and second pharyngeal arches and the splanchnic mesoderm of the DPW (Cortes et

al., 2018; Li and Wang, 2018; Meilhac and Buckingham, 2018). The length of the OFT

remained comparable among controls and mutants by $\sim 19^{th}$ somite stage of

development, suggesting that the addition of SHF cells from the pharyngeal arch

mesoderm was not affected by the deletion of mesodermal Fn1 (Meilhac and

Buckingham, 2018). The number and proliferation of SHF cells in the splanchnic

mesoderm, also known as the dorsal pericardial wall (DPW) were not affected at E8.5

(S3 Fig). However, cells in the DPW of E8.5 mutants were disorganized (Fig. 2). Instead

of a single layer of epithelial-like cells in Fn1$^{flox/+}$; $Mesp1^{Cre/+}$ controls, cells in the

anterior DPW of Fn1$^{flox/-}$; $Mesp1^{Cre/+}$ mutants were multilayered (compare Fig. 2A-A1

with Fig. 2E-E1). In controls, ellipsoid cells were regularly oriented with the longest axis

positioned in the ventral-dorsal direction and neatly stacked along the length of the DPW

(Fig. 2A-D). Defective cellular architecture was specific to the anterior DPW in the

mutants, as cells in the posterior DPW were organized and shaped similar to controls

(compare Fig. 2A2 with Fig.2E2, and Fig. 2C with Fig. 2G). Moreover, cells in the anterior

DPW of the mutants appeared to be more spherical than in controls (compare Fig. 2A1

with 2E1, 2B with 2F, and 2D with 2H).

To quantify differences in cell shape in 2D and 3D, we measured cell circularity and

ellipticity in controls and mutants (Fig. 2I-L). Circularity is a ratio of cell width to cell length.

Elongated cells have circularity indexes that deviate from 1, while cuboid or spherical cells

have circularity indexes close to 1. Differences in the circularity indexes among SHF cells

in the anterior DPW of Fn1$^{flox/+}$; *Mesp1*$^{Cre/+}$ control and Fn1$^{flox/-}$; *Mesp1*$^{Cre/+}$ mutant

embryos were statistically significant (Fig. 2I), indicating that control SHF cells were more

elongated compared with SHF cells in the mutants. However, no such differences in

circularity were observed in the posterior DPW between controls and mutants (Fig. 2J).

To determine if 3D cell shape was also affected in the mutants, we segmented individual

SHF cells in the anterior DPW and measured ellipticity.   Ellipticity was higher in control

embryos than in mutants, indicating that in the absence of mesodermal Fn1, SHF cells

were more spherical than in controls (Fig 2K). The volume of individual SHF cells was not

affected in the mutants (Fig. 2L). These differences in 2D and 3D cell shape were

observed at E8.5 and preceded the shortening of the cardiac OFT. There were no

differences in cell proliferation at this stage (S3 Fig). The aberrant epithelial architecture

of the anterior DPW could also be seen at E9.5 when the elongation of the cardiac OFT

becomes detectable in the mutants (S4 Fig). Together, these data suggest that alterations

in SHF cell shape in the anterior DPW give rise to defective OFT elongation in the

mutants.

Mesodermal Fn1 regulates SHF cell orientation and apicobasal polarity in the

anterior DPW.

To determine mechanisms by which mesodermal Fn1 regulates cellular architecture of

the DPW, we tested the hypothesis that Fn1 was important for apicobasal cell polarity. At

E8.5, the Golgi apparatus is positioned toward the apical cell surface in SHF cells along

the entire length of the DPW (Fig. 3A-A2). However, in the mutants, the orientation of the

Golgi apparatus was disorganized, and in some cells the Golgi apparatus faced the basal

side in the anterior DPW cells (Fig. 3B-B1), while in the posterior DPW, Golgi were

positioned toward the apical side of the cells in controls and mutants alike (compare Fig.

3B2 with 3A2, quantified in 3C-G).

Similar to disorganized Golgi orientation, markers of the apical cell surface were re-

distributed in the mutants and were also present at the basal cell surface of SHF cells in

the anterior DPW (Fig. 4). These proteins included podocalyxin (Fig. 4A-D) and atypical

PKC ζ (aPKC ζ) (compare Fig. 4E-F with Fig. 4H-E, quantified in Fig. 4K). Basal-specific

cell properties were not as distorted as apical in the mutants: the expression of laminin γ1

was disorganized only in 1 of 3 E8.5 mutants (Fig. 4G, J) and basal cellular protrusions

were present on SHF cells in controls and mutants at E9.5 (S5A-C). These studies

suggest the expansion of the apical surface of SHF cells in the anterior DPW, while the

basal surface was specified. Changes in apical cell polarity were observed at E8.5 before

defects in the OFT elongation were detectable. Altogether, these data show that changes

in SHF cell shape and polarity within the anterior DWP preceded changes in the OFT

elongation and were the likely causes of defective OFT elongation in the mutants (Cortes

et al., 2018; Li and Wang, 2018).

Mesodermal Fn1 regulates cell cohesion in the SHF and collective cell migration.

Adherence junctions form between the apical and basal cell surfaces (Nelson, 2003).

Therefore, we examined the localization of N-Cadherin in the DPW and found that N-

cadherin levels were downregulated in the DPW of the mutants (Fig. 5A-D1). To quantify

these changes, we used mouse embryo fibroblasts (MEFs) as a model system to

determine levels of N-Cadherin protein present on the cell surface of Fn1$^{flox/-}$ (Fn1-

heterozygous) and Fn1$^{\Delta/-}$ (Fn1-null) MEFs (generated as in S6 Fig, and Methods).

Immunofluorescence and quantitative western blot analysis showed that total and cell-

surface levels of N-Cadherin were downregulated in Fn1-null MEFs (Fig. 5E-K).

Downregulation of N-Cadherin in the cardiac progenitors within the anterior DPW causes

their precautious differentiation (Soh et al., 2014). Consistent with these findings, we

observed expanded expression of sarcomeric myosin heavy chain (assayed by the MF-

20 antibody) in the anterior DPW of our mutants (S7 Fig).

Cell cohesion is important for the collective cell migration (Friedl and Mayor, 2017). We

hypothesize that disrupted expression of N-cadherin in our mutants interfered with the

collective cell migration of cardiac precursors joining the OFT. Since N-Cadherin was

downregulated in Fn1-null MEFs (Fig. 5E-K), we used this model to determine whether

the synthesis of Fn1 by MEFs was important for their collective cell migration. For this

experiment, we generated four lines of MEFs (S6 Fig): 1) Fn1$^{flox/+}$ MEFs expressing wild-

type levels of Fn1, 2) Fn1$^{flox/-}$ MEFs (in these MEFs, the floxed Fn1 allele expresses

Fn1, and "-" Fn1 allele is a null allele), 3) Fn1$^{\Delta/+}$ MEFs in which the floxed region of Fn1

allele was deleted generating an Fn1-null allele (Δ) (Sakai et al., 2001), and 4) Fn1$^{\Delta/-}$

MEFs, which are Fn1-null (S6D-E Fig). Monolayer scratch assay showed that scratch

wounds made in Fn1$^{\Delta/-}$ MEF monolayers closed slower than in Fn1$^{flox/+}$, Fn1$^{flox/-}$, or in

Fn1$^{\Delta/+}$ MEFs (S8 Fig). The speed of collective cell migration of Fn1$^{\Delta/-}$ MEFs was slower

than in control MEFs (Fig. 6A-E). Remarkably, Fn1$^{flox/-}$ and Fn1$^{\Delta/+}$ MEFs carrying one

Fn1-null allele (- or Δ) and expressing about 50% less Fn1 than Fn1$^{flox/+}$ cells (S6D-E

Fig) showed a significant reduction in the speed of collective cell migration compared with

Fn1$^{flox/+}$ cells carrying two alleles of Fn1 (S6 Fig; Fig. 6E). This finding indicated the dose-

dependent requirement for Fn1 in collective cell migration. When MEFs were plated

sparsely, migration of single cells was comparable between control and mutant MEFs

(Fig. 6F-H). These data indicate that synthesis of Fn1 by MEFs regulates the speed of

collective cell migrating but not the speed of cells migrating individually. We then

explanted pharyngeal mesoderm from E8.5 embryos and measured the speed of

collective cell migration in explants from Fn1$^{flox/+}$; *Mesp1*$^{Cre/+}$ control and Fn1$^{flox/-}$;

Mesp1$^{Cre/+}$ mutant embryos (Fig. 6I-K). We observed decreased collective cell migration

in four of six embryos (Fig. 6K). Together, these studies suggest that mesodermal Fn1

regulates collective cell migration of SHF cells to facilitate the elongating cardiac OFT.

Mesodermal Fn1 regulates mechanotransduction in the second heart field.

Mechanical tension in the DPW is important for the OFT elongation (Francou et al., 2017).

It is mediated by the nuclear localization and activity of the transcription factor YAP, a

central transducer of the mechanical signals (Francou et al., 2017). Defective cell shape

and orientation of SHF cells in the anterior DPW of Fn1$^{flox/-}$; *Mesp1*$^{Cre/+}$ mutants

suggested that mutant SHF cells are deficient in generating, sensing, or responding to

mechanical cues. The remodeling of the actin cytoskeleton, nuclear localization of YAP,

and the expression of YAP's direct transcriptional target *CTGF* are modulated by the

mechanical properties of the tissue microenvironment (Dupont, 2016). Remodeling of

actin cytoskeleton and nuclear localization of YAP are known to be facilitated by cell

adhesion to Fn1 *in vitro* (Kim and Gumbiner, 2015; Singh et al., 2010). To test whether

mesodermal Fn1 was required for cytoskeletal remodeling and signaling by YAP in the

DPW, we first stained control and Fn1$^{flox/-}$; *Mesp1$^{Cre/+}$* mutant embryos to detect

filamentous (F)-actin. We found that F-actin organization at E9.5 was disrupted

specifically in the anterior DPW of the mutants (Fig. 7): F-actin filaments were shorter and

their orientation was disorganized (Fig. 7). The length and orientation of F-actin in the

posterior and middle DPW were comparable between genotypes (S9 Fig). These

changes were concordant with altered YAP localization in the anterior DPW of mutants

(Fig. 8, compare Fig. 8A1 with 8B1, quantified in Fig. 8C). Nuclear localization of YAP in

the posterior DPW was not affected (Fig. 8A2, B2, and Fig. 8D). In the nucleus, YAP acts

as a transcriptional co-activator, and *CTGF* is one of the direct transcriptional targets of

YAP. We found that the expression of *CTGF* is enriched in the anterior DPW of controls

(Fig. 8E-I). Consistent with the defective localization of YAP in the anterior DPW, the

expression of *CTGF mRNA* was downregulated in the anterior DPW of Fn1$^{flox/-}$;

Mesp1$^{Cre/+}$ mutants. YAP signaling also regulates SHF cell proliferation in the DPW at

E9.5, and concordantly, we found that cell proliferation in DPW and the OFT was

decreased in the mutants relative to controls (S3D-F, Fig; S10 Fig). Cell survival,

measured by the TUNEL assay was not affected in the mutants at E9.5 (S3M-N1; S11

Fig). Together, these data indicate an essential role of mesodermal Fn1 in

mechanotransduction within the anterior DPW.

Cell type-specific source of Fn1 mRNA and protein in the pharynx

Fn1 mRNA and protein are dynamically and non-uniformly expressed in developing

embryos (Chen et al., 2015; Mittal et al., 2010), and previous studies in our lab and others

demonstrated requisite, cell type-specific functions of Fn1 during embryonic

development, angiogenesis, and cell migration (Cseh et al., 2010; Turner et al., 2017;

Wang and Astrof, 2016; Zimmerman et al., 2017). SHF cells in the DPW and cells in the

pharyngeal endoderm are closely apposed in early embryos, and *Fn1* mRNA is

synthesized by both tissues (Fig. 9A-B1). We found that *Fn1* mRNA and protein levels

were enriched nearly 2-fold in the anterior relative to the posterior DPW (Fig. 9G-I). In the

anterior, the level of *Fn1 mRNA* expression in the SHF was about 2-fold higher than in

the anterior endoderm (Fig. 9G, WT column). In contrast, in the posterior DPW, *Fn1*

mRNA levels in the SHF and the endoderm were comparable (Fig. 9H, WT column). In

Fn1$^{flox/+}$; *Mesp1$^{Cre/+}$* embryos that serve as controls for the majority of our experiments,

one allele of Fn1 is deleted in the mesoderm, leading to ~50% decrease in the level of

Fn1 mRNA synthesized by the SHF relative to wild-type embryos (Fig. 9C-D1, and 9G-

H, CTRL columns). In Fn1$^{flox/-}$; *Mesp1$^{Cre/+}$* mutants, levels of *Fn1* mRNA in the SHF

were undetectable (Fig. 9E-F1). However, *Fn1 mRNA* remained synthesized by the

endoderm (Fig. 9E-F1). The loss of *Fn1 mRNA* in the mesoderm but not in the endoderm

is consistent with the known specificity of Cre expression in the *Mesp1$^{Cre/+}$* strain (Saga

et al., 1996; Saga et al., 1999). This experiment also shows that *Fn1 mRNA* is efficiently

downregulated in the SHF.

In the heart at E9.5, Fn1 is nearly exclusively synthesized by the endocardium (Chen et

al., 2015; Ffrench-Constant and Hynes, 1988; Suzuki et al., 1995), and we found a near

complete loss of Fn1 protein in the left ventricle of the mutants (compare Fig. 9J-J1 with

Fig. 9K-K1, quantified in Fig. 9O). These studies demonstrate that Fn1 protein is

downregulated in Mesp1-derived cells in Fn1$^{flox/-}$; *Mesp1*$^{Cre/+}$ embryos.

Mesodermal Fn1 is the essential source of Fn1 in the anterior DPW.

Investigation of *Fn1 mRNA* and protein expression demonstrated that *Fn1 mRNA* is

synthesized by the pharyngeal endoderm in Fn1$^{flox/-}$; *Mesp1*$^{Cre/+}$ mutants and that Fn1

protein is present at the endoderm-SHF interface of the mutants and controls alike

(compare Fig. 9J2-J3 with Fig. K2-K3, quantified in 9L-N). Quantitative analyses of

immunofluorescence show that the difference in Fn1 protein expression between the

anterior and posterior DPW is steeper in the mutants (Fig. 9L-L1). Importantly, the level

of Fn1 protein in the anterior DPW is not significantly different between Fn1$^{flox/+}$;

Mesp1$^{Cre/+}$ controls and Fn1$^{flox/-}$; *Mesp1*$^{Cre/+}$mutants (Fig. 9M).

Despite the presence of comparable levels of Fn1 protein in the anterior DPW of Fn1$^{flox/+}$;

Mesp1$^{Cre/+}$control and Fn1$^{flox/-}$; *Mesp1*$^{Cre/+}$mutant embryos, the epithelial organization

of the anterior DPW was disrupted in the mutants resulting in a shortened OFT. This

experiment suggested that SHF-derived Fn1 functions cell-autonomously. To test the cell-

autonomous requirement of Fn1 and rule out the possibility that differences Fn1 protein

levels contributed to defects in the DPW and OFT elongation in $Fn1^{flox/-}$;

$Mesp1^{Cre/+}$ mutants, we deleted Fn1 using $Sox17^{2A-iCre/+}$ strain, in which Cre

recombinase is expressed in the endoderm and endothelium (Engert et al., 2009). In

$Fn1^{flox/-}$; $Sox17^{2A-iCre/+}$ mutants, *Fn1 mRNA* is downregulated in the endoderm but it is

still synthesized by the SHF cells of the DPW (S12A-A1 Fig). And although the levels of

Fn1 protein underlying the DPW were substantially reduced in $Fn1^{flox/-}$; $Sox17^{2A-iCre/+}$

mutants (S12B-B1 Fig), the epithelial organization and the expression of N-cadherin

were not affected (S12C-C1 Fig). Together, these data indicate 1) that the presence of

Fn1 protein synthesized by the endoderm is not sufficient to rescue the absence of

mesodermal Fn1 synthesized in the SHF and 2) that synthesis of Fn1 by the SHF is

specifically required for the proper cellular architecture and mechanotransduction in the

anterior DPW and consequently for the OFT elongation and embryonic viability.

Conclusion

Distinct gene programs regulate cell behaviors in the anterior and the posterior DPW

important for OFT elongation and proper development of the arterial pole of the heart (Li

and Wang, 2018; Stefanovic et al., 2020). We found that *Fn1* mRNA and protein are

enriched in SHF cells within the anterior DPW and that the expression of *Fn1* specifically

in the SHF coordinates multiple cellular behaviors necessary for the accretion of SHF

cells in the elongating OFT (Fig. 10).

Discussion

In this manuscript, we show that Fn1 synthesized by the mesoderm is required for the

proper cell shape, cell polarity, cell orientation, and the orderly stacking of SHF cells in

the anterior DPW at E8.5. In Fn1$^{flox/-}$; $Mesp1^{Cre/+}$mutants, defects in these properties

occur prior to the observable deficiencies in the OFT elongation, indicating that defects in

DPW architecture cause aberrant OFT elongation in Fn1$^{flox/-}$; $Mesp1^{Cre/+}$mutants.

Transient genetic labeling of SHF cells at the earliest time of their emergence during

gastrulation with the aid of Mesp1 $^{Cre/+}$ and Rosa-Confetti strains showed clusters of SHF

cells in the DPW (Francou et al., 2017) supporting the idea that OFT elongation occurs

through the collective cell migration of SHF cells from the DPW to join the OFT. We found

that SHF-derived Fn1 is important for regulating cell cohesion in the SHF by mediating

the expression of N-cadherin and, consequently, for the collective cell migration.

Fn1 is enriched in the anterior DPW and synthesis of Fn1 by the SHF is required for the

proper epithelial organization of the anterior DPW. Fn1 synthesized by SHF cells

regulates cell shape, orientation, and apicobasal polarity, as well as SHF cell

differentiation, proliferation, and mechanotransduction. Thus, Fn1 is a central player

responsible for coordinating multiple cellular behaviors regulating the morphogenesis of

the cardiac OFT.

Materials and Methods

Antibodies:

See Supplemental Table 1 for all antibody information.

Mouse strains:

All experiments involving vertebrate animals were approved by the Rutgers University

Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and were performed following federal

guidelines for the humane care of animals. C57BL/6J mice (cat # 0664) and

Rosa$^{mTmG/mTmG}$ mice, Gt(ROSA)26Sor$^{tm4(ACTB-tdTomato,-EGFP)Luo}$ mice (Cat# 037456)

(Muzumdar et al., 2007), were purchased from Jackson Laboratories. Fn1$^{flox/flox}$ mice were

a gift from Reinhardt Fassler (Sakai et al., 2001). Mesp1$^{Cre/+}$ knock-in mice (Saga et al.,

1996; Saga et al., 1999) were obtained from Dr. Yumiko Saga. Fn1$^{+/-}$ strain (George et

al., 1997; George et al., 1993) was a gift from Richard Hynes. Sox17$^{2A-iCre}$ mice were a

gift from Heicko Lickert (Engert et al., 2009). For experiments, Fn1$^{+/-}$ mice were crossed

with Mesp1$^{Cre/+}$ knock-in mice to generate Fn1$^{+/-}$; Mesp1$^{Cre/+}$ males which were

propagated by mating with C57BL/6J females. To obtain embryos, Fn1$^{+/-}$; Mesp1$^{Cre/+}$

males were crossed with Fn1$^{flox/flox}$; Rosa$^{mTmG/mTmG}$ females, and pregnant females were

dissected at days specified in the figures. Mice and embryos were genotyped according

to published protocols (Engert et al., 2009; George et al., 1993; Saga et al., 1999).

Embryos were staged by counting somites.

Cell culture and generation of cell lines:

Mouse embryo fibroblasts (MEFs) were isolated from E13.5 embryos resulting from the

mating of $Fn1^{+/-}$ mice with $Fn1^{flox/flox}$; $ROSA^{mTmG/mTmG}$ mice.

MEFs were cultured on plates pre-coated with 0.1% gelatin (Sigma, #G1890) dissolved

in H_2O and maintained in complete medium, which consisted of Dulbecco's Modified

Eagle's medium (DMEM) with 4.5 g/L glucose, L-glutamine & sodium pyruvate (Corning,

#10-013-CM) supplemented with 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Gibco,

#15140-122), 1% v/v GlutaMax (L-glutamin, Gibco, #35050061) and 10% v/v fetal bovine

serum (FBS, Gemini Biosciences, #100-106) in a humidified incubator with 5% CO_2 at

37°C.

To generate MEFs lacking Fn1 (Fn1-null), or control, Fn1-heterozygous (Fn1-het) MEFs,

$Fn1^{flox/+}$; $ROSA^{mTmG/+}$ and $Fn1^{flox/-}$; $ROSA^{mTmG/+}$ MEFs were infected with

adenoviruses encoding Cre recombinase, Ad-Cre-IRED-GFP (Vector Biolabs, #1710).

Cre-induced recombination not only leads to the ablation of Fn1 by recombining the floxed

Fn1 sequence but also induces the expression of membrane-tethered GFP in these cells.

For this experiment, $3x10^4$ MEFs were plated on gelatin-coated wells of 6-well plates. 24

hours later, cells were rinsed twice with Phosphate Buffered Saline 1X Sterile Solution

(PBS, RPI Research Products, # P10400-500) and infected with Cre adenovirus diluted

to the multiplicity of infection of 500 in DMEM without FBS. 20 hours post-infection, the

medium was replaced by the complete medium. Although each of these cell types carries

one ROSA^{mTmG} allele, only cells infected with Ad-Cre are constitutively and permanently

marked by the expression of GFP due to the recombination of the reporter locus. GFP

expression from the adenovirus is transient and dissipates after three days post-infection.

After expansion, Ad-Cre-treated cells were sorted to generate Fn1$^{\Delta/-}$ (Fn1-null, GFP+),

Fn1$^{flox/-}$ (Fn1-heterozygous, GFP-), Fn1$^{\Delta/+}$ (Fn1-heterozygous, GFP+), and Fn1$^{flox/+}$ (Fn1-

wild type, GFP-) MEF lines. Western blot analysis was used to assay Fn1 expression.

MEF protein lysates:

Cells were plated in 6-well dishes at a density of 2×10^5 cells per well. After 48 hours, cells

were washed twice with cold PBS supplemented with 0.1 mM CaCl$_2$ and 1 mM

MgCl$_2$ (PBS-Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+}) and lysed with 400 μl of RIPA lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl, 150

mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% v/v NP-40, 0.5% w/v sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% w/v SDS,

1X protease inhibitor cocktail (Cell Signaling Technology, #5871), pH 8.0).

The samples were centrifuged at 16,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C.

Protein concentration was determined using BCA protein assay (Pierce™ BCA Protein

Assay Kit, #23225). Then, lysis buffer was added to equalize protein concentration across

samples. 4xNuPAGE™ LDS Sample buffer (Thermo Scientific, #NP0008) with 10% β-

mercaptoethanol (Sigma, #63689) was added to each sample to the final concentration

of 1X NuPAGE and 2.5% β-mercaptoethanol. Samples were heated to 95°C for 5 minutes

prior to loading on gels.

Immunoblotting and densitometry quantification:

For immunoblotting, samples were resolved using 4-12% Tris-Glycine gel (Invitrogen,

#XP04120BOX) at 130 V in 1x Tris-Glycine SDS Running Buffer (Invitrogen, # LC2675)

for 1 hour 30 minutes. Proteins were then transferred to nitrocellulose membranes with a

0.2 µm pore size (Bio-Rad, # 1620122) and 1x Tris-Glycine, 15% methanol transfers

buffer (10x Tris-Glycine buffer: 30g Tris Base. 144 g Glycine in 1L of ddH20, pH: 8.5).

The transfer was carried out at 90 V for 2 hours in ice.

After the transfer, the nitrocellulose membranes were blocked with Intercept Blocking

Buffer (Li-Cor, # 927-60001) for 1 hour. Primary antibodies were diluted in Intercept

Antibody Diluent (Li-Cor, # 927-65001) and incubated overnight at 4°C. After 24h, the

nitrocellulose membranes were washed three times with PBS containing 0.1% Tween for

10 min. We used IRDye 680RD Donkey anti-Rabbit IgG (#926-68073), and IRDye

800CW Donkey anti-Mouse IgG Secondary Antibody (#926-32212) as secondary

antibodies in a 1:15000 dilution in Intercept Antibody Diluent (Li-Cor, # 927-65001). The

nitrocellulose membranes were incubated with the secondary antibodies for 1 hour at

room temperature. Finally, membranes were washed three times with PBS 0.1% Tween

for 10 minutes and imaged using Li-Cor Odyssey 9120 Gel Imaging System (#ODY-

2425). The density of unsaturated pixels was determined using ImageJ software (version

2.1.0/1.53c4). For each condition, protein bands were quantified from at least three

independent experiments.

Cell surface biotinylation:

3.5×10^5 cells were plated on gelatin-coated 10 cm plates. After 48 hours of growth, cells

were washed twice with ice-cold PBS supplemented with 0.1 mM $CaCl_2$ and 1 mM $MgCl_2$

(PBS-Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+}), followed by incubation with 1 mM EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-LC-Biotin

(Thermo Scientific, #21335) in PBS-Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+} for 30 min at 4°C. The biotinylation

reaction was quenched by incubating cells with Tris-buffered solution (50 mM Tris-HCl,

pH 7.4) for 10 min at 4°C. After two subsequent washes with PBS-Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+}, cells were

lysed with 600 μl of RIPA lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% v/v

NP-40, 0.5% w/v sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% w/v SDS, 1X protease inhibitor cocktail (Cell

Signaling Technology, #5871), pH 8.0). To remove insoluble material, cell extracts were

clarified by centrifugation at 16,000 x g for 15 min at 4°C, and soluble biotinylated protein

concentration was determined using BCA protein assay (Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay Kit,

#23225). 300 μg of protein per sample was incubated with 40 μl of Neutravidin-Agarose

beads (Thermo Scientific, # 29202) pre-washed with RIPA lysis buffer. After 1 hour of

incubation at 4°C, the Neutravidin-Agarose beads were washed 3 times with RIPA lysis

buffer containing 1X protease inhibitor cocktail. 120 μl of 1X NuPAGE™ LDS Sample

buffer (Thermo Scientific, #NP0008) with 2.5% β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma, #63689) and

1X protease inhibitor cocktail was added to beads and resolved by SDS-PAGE (Arriagada

et al., 2020).

Immunofluorescence microscopy:

2x10^4 cells were plated on gelatin-coated #1.5 round glass coverslips (Fisher Scientific,

t#12-545-81) in 24-well dishes. After for 48 hours, cells were washed with PBS that was

pre-warmed to 37°C for 5 minutes and fixed with 4% PFA (Fisher Scientific # 50-980-487,

diluted in PBS) for 20 minutes at room temperature. Then, cells were washed three times

with PBS for 5 minutes each and permeabilized with PBS containing 0.1% Triton-X 100

(PBST) for 15 minutes. Cells were blocked with 5% Donkey serum (Sigma, #d9663) in

PBST (blocking solution) for 30 minutes. After blocking, cells were incubated with the

primary antibodies diluted in blocking solution overnight at 4∘C. Following 3 washes in

PBST for 10 minutes each, cells were incubated with secondary antibodies diluted in

blocking solution for 60 minutes at room temperature. Finally, cells were washed three

times with PBST for 10 minutes, mounted using a 50% v/v Glycerol, 50% v/v Methanol

solution.

Migration assay:

$1x10^4$ cells were plated on gelatin-coated 8-well ibidi dishes (Ibidi, #80827) for 72 hours.

When cells were confluent, the monolayer was scratched with a sterile 10 µl pipette tip.

Cell debris was washed out with PBS, and incubated with imaging medium 100 µl

FluoroBrite DMEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific, #A1896701) supplemented with 100 U/ml

penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Gibco, #15140-122), 1% v/v GlutaMax (L-glutamin,

Gibco, #35050061) and 2% v/v fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gemini Biosciences, #100-106).

Images were acquired every 5-min for 14 hours with a Nikon A1-HD25 565 inverted

confocal microscope equipped with the DUG 4-Channel Detector and 2 GaAsP, 2 high

566 sensitivity PMTs, and a motorized XYZ stage with Nikon's Perfect Focus 4 system.

Plan Fluor 567 40x Oil (numerical aperture 1.3, # MRH01401) immersion objective was

used for live imaging. The movement of cells from every 10th frame was manually tracked

using the plug-in Manual Tracking developed for ImageJ

(http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/plugins/track/track.html). Speed, directionality and trajectory plot

were performed as previously described (Gorelik and Gautreau, 2014).

Whole Mount Immunofluorescence staining:

Timed matings were set up to obtain embryos at specific embryonic stages. Vaginal plugs were checked daily, and noon of the day the plug was found was considered to be an embryonic day (E) 0.5 of gestation. Embryo dissections were aided by the use of Zeiss Stemi 2000C stereomicroscope. Embryos were dissected into ice-cold PBS, yolk sacs were kept for genotyping. After dissection, embryos were fixed with 4% PFA overnight at 4°C. Then, embryos were washed two times with ice-cold PBS and permeabilized with PBS containing 0.1% Triton- X 100 (PBST) overnight at 4°C. Embryos were blocked with 10% Donkey serum in PBST (blocking solution) overnight at 4°C. After blocking, embryos were incubated with the primary antibodies diluted in blocking solution for 3 days at 4°C. Following four 1 hour-washes with PBST, embryos were incubated with secondary antibodies diluted in blocking solution for 3 days at 4°C. Finally, after four washes with PBST for 1 hour each, embryos were embedded in 1% agarose (Bio-Rad Laboratories, #1613101), and cleared using methanol and Benzyl Alcohol (Sigma, # B-1042)/ Benzyl Benzoate (Sigma, #B-6630) as described (Ramirez and Astrof, 2020). For imaging, embryos were a placed between two #1.5 coverslips (VWR, #16004-312) separated by a rubber spacer (Grace Bio Labs, # 664113).

Whole Mount Immunofluorescence in situ hybridization: After dissection, embryos were fixed with 4% PFA overnight at 4°C and washed twice with PBS. *In situ hybridization* assay carried out using the RNAscope® Multiplex Fluorescent v2 reagents (Advanced

Cell Diagnostics, # 323110) as previously described (Nomaru et al., 2021). We used a

C1 probe for Fn1 (#408181) and C2 probe for CTGF (#314541-C2)

Embryo Cryosection and immunofluorescence staining:

After dissection, embryos were fixed with 4% PFA overnight at 4°C and washed twice

with PBS. Embryos were then incubated with 10% sucrose in PBS for 4 hours at room

temperature and then with 20% sucrose in PBS overnight at 4°C with agitation. Embryos

were then incubated with 30% sucrose in PBS for 4 hours at room temperature, and then

with a 1:1 mixture of Tissue-Tek O.C.T. Compound (O.C.T, Sakura, #4583) and 30%

sucrose solution for 1 hour at room temperature with agitation. Finally, embryos were

placed into 100% O.C.T for 10-20 minutes without agitation. Embryos were then

positioned in fresh 100% OCT solution in plastic molds and frozen by dipping the mold

into 2-methyl butane chilled on dry ice. 8 µm-thick sections were obtained using a Leica

CM1950 Cryostat. For long term storage, slides were kept at -80°C. For

immunofluorescence, we used an ImmEdge Hydrophobic Pen (VWR, 101098-065) to

draw a hydrophobic barrier around tissue sections. Tissue sections were permeabilized

by washing with PBS containing 0.05% Tween-20 (Sigma, cat # P7949) 3 times for 5

minutes. Then, slides were placed in a humidified chamber, and sections were incubated

with a blocking buffer containing 5% donkey serum in PBS-0.05% Tween for 30 minutes

at room temperature. The blocking buffer was then removed and replaced with primary

antibodies diluted in blocking buffer, and incubated with sections overnight at 4°C. After

washing the slides 3 times for 5 minutes with PBS-0.05% Tween, sections were incubated

with secondary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature. Finally, slices were washed 3

times for 5 minutes each with PBS-0.05%Tween and mounted using a 50% v/v Glycerol,

50% v/v Methanol solution. Sections stained with SiR-Actin (Cytoskeleton, #CY-SC001,

2µM), samples were mounted with Vectashield Antifade Mounting Medium (Vector

laboratories, #H-1000).

TUNEL assay:

TUNEL assay was performed using the In Situ Cell Death Detection Kit from Roche (cat

#11684795910) following manufacturer's instructions.

Imaging, quantifications, and statistical analyses:

Embryos were imaged using confocal microscopy using Nikon A1R microscope with 20x

CFI Apo LWD Lambda S water immersion objective (#MRD77200) or 25x CFI Plan Apo

Lambda S silicone oil objectives (#MRD73250). Cultured cells were imaged using

confocal microscopy and the Plan Fluor 567 40x Oil immersion objective (numerical

aperture 1.3, # MRH01401). 3D reconstructions, surfacing, and quantifications were

performed using IMARIS software (Bitplane, USA). Fluorescence intensity and angles

were measured using Fiji software and plotted using Orient software, version 3.1.1. Cell

ellipticity was measured using Imaris as described (Andrews et al., 2021). Statistical

analyses were performed using Prism 9, version 9.4.1 (GraphPad Software, LLC).

Explant assay:

Embryos were dissected at E8.5 in cold sterile PBS. The head, heart and tissues posterior

to the heart were removed, and the pharyngeal region was placed in a well of 8-well Ibidi

plates pre-coated with 150 µg/mL of Collagen-I working solution (11.6 µL glacial acetic

acid, 10 ml of sterile ddH2O and 163.4 µl of Collagen I Concentrate (9.18 mg/ml) (Corning,

#354249) as described in (Wang and Astrof, 2016; Wang and Astrof, 2017). For the first

24 hours, the explant was cultured in the presence of 20 µl of DMEM/F12 medium (Gibco,

11320-033) containing 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Gibco, #15140-122),

and 10% v/v fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gemini Biosciences, #100-106) in a humidified

incubator with 5% CO_2 at 37°C. After the explants were attached, the imaging medium

was added, and the explants were filmed for 14 hours, as described above.

Embryo protein extract:

Embryos were lysed with 100 µL of SDS lysis buffer (0.1 M Tris-HCl, 0.2% SDS, 5mM

EDTA pH 8 containing 1X protease inhibitor cocktail and homogenized by drawing the

lysates 10 times though a 27G syringe. 33.3 µl of 4X NuPAGE™ LDS Sample buffer

containing 10% β-mercaptoethanol were added to each sample, samples were incubated

at 95°C for 5 minutes.

Acknowledgements: We thank Sam Russo for help with mouse colony maintenance and

genotyping. These studies are supported by the American Heart Association Postdoctoral

Fellowship to CA, grant # 836254, and by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute of the

National Institutes of Health (R01 HL103920, R01 HL134935 and R01 HL158049) to SA.

Figure Legends

Each figure legend is displayed next to the figure.

Literature Cited

Andrews, T.G.R., W. Ponisch, E.K. Paluch, B.J. Steventon, and E. Benito-Gutierrez. 2021. Single-cell morphometrics reveals ancestral principles of notochord development. *Development*. 148.

Arriagada, C., V.A. Cavieres, C. Luchsinger, A.E. Gonzalez, V.C. Munoz, J. Cancino, P.V. Burgos, and G.A. Mardones. 2020. GOLPH3 Regulates EGFR in T98G Glioblastoma Cells by Modulating Its Glycosylation and Ubiquitylation. *Int J Mol Sci*. 21.

Chen, D., X. Wang, D. Liang, J. Gordon, A. Mittal, N. Manley, K. Degenhardt, and S. Astrof. 2015. Fibronectin signals through integrin alpha5beta1 to regulate cardiovascular development in a cell type-specific manner. *Dev Biol*. 407:195-210.

Cortes, C., A. Francou, C. De Bono, and R.G. Kelly. 2018. Epithelial Properties of the Second Heart Field. *Circ Res*. 122:142-154.

Cseh, B., S. Fernandez-Sauze, D. Grall, S. Schaub, E. Doma, and E. Van Obberghen-Schilling. 2010. Autocrine fibronectin directs matrix assembly and crosstalk between cell-matrix and cell-cell adhesion in vascular endothelial cells. *J Cell Sci*. 123:3989-3999.

Dupont, S. 2016. Role of YAP/TAZ in cell-matrix adhesion-mediated signalling and mechanotransduction. *Exp Cell Res*. 343:42-53.

Engert, S., W.P. Liao, I. Burtscher, and H. Lickert. 2009. Sox17-2A-iCre: a knock-in mouse line expressing Cre recombinase in endoderm and vascular endothelial cells. *Genesis*. 47:603-610.

Ffrench-Constant, C., and R.O. Hynes. 1988. Patterns of fibronectin gene expression and splicing during cell migration in chicken embryos. *Development*. 104:369-382.

Francou, A., C. De Bono, and R.G. Kelly. 2017. Epithelial tension in the second heart field promotes mouse heart tube elongation. *Nat Commun*. 8:14770.

Francou, A., E. Saint-Michel, K. Mesbah, and R.G. Kelly. 2014. TBX1 regulates epithelial polarity and dynamic basal filopodia in the second heart field. *Development*. 141:4320-4331.

Friedl, P., and R. Mayor. 2017. Tuning Collective Cell Migration by Cell-Cell Junction
Regulation. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*. 9.

George, E.L., H.S. Baldwin, and R.O. Hynes. 1997. Fibronectins are essential for heart
and blood vessel morphogenesis but are dispensable for initial specification of precursor
cells. *Blood*. 90:3073-3081.

George, E.L., E.N. Georges-Labouesse, R.S. Patel-King, H. Rayburn, and R.O. Hynes.
1993. Defects in mesoderm, neural tube and vascular development in mouse embryos
lacking fibronectin. *Development*. 119:1079-1091.

Gorelik, R., and A. Gautreau. 2014. Quantitative and unbiased analysis of directional
persistence in cell migration. *Nat Protoc*. 9:1931-1943.

Kim, N.G., and B.M. Gumbiner. 2015. Adhesion to fibronectin regulates Hippo signaling
via the FAK-Src-PI3K pathway. *J Cell Biol*. 210:503-515.

Li, D., A. Angermeier, and J. Wang. 2019. Planar cell polarity signaling regulates
polarized second heart field morphogenesis to promote both arterial and venous pole
septation. *Development*. 146.

Li, D., T. Sinha, R. Ajima, H.S. Seo, T.P. Yamaguchi, and J. Wang. 2016. Spatial
regulation of cell cohesion by Wnt5a during second heart field progenitor deployment.
Dev Biol. 412:18-31.

Li, D., and J. Wang. 2018. Planar Cell Polarity Signaling in Mammalian Cardiac
Morphogenesis. *Pediatr Cardiol*. 39:1052-1062.

Meilhac, S.M., and M.E. Buckingham. 2018. The deployment of cell lineages that form
the mammalian heart. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 15:705-724.

Mittal, A., M. Pulina, S.Y. Hou, and S. Astrof. 2010. Fibronectin and integrin alpha 5 play
essential roles in the development of the cardiac neural crest. *Mech Dev*. 127:472-484.

Mittal, A., M. Pulina, S.Y. Hou, and S. Astrof. 2013. Fibronectin and integrin alpha 5 play
requisite roles in cardiac morphogenesis. *Dev Biol*. 381:73-82.

Muzumdar, M.D., B. Tasic, K. Miyamichi, L. Li, and L. Luo. 2007. A global double-
fluorescent Cre reporter mouse. *Genesis*. 45:593-605.

Nelson, W.J. 2003. Adaptation of core mechanisms to generate cell polarity. *Nature*.
422:766-774.

Nomaru, H., Y. Liu, C. De Bono, D. Righelli, A. Cirino, W. Wang, H. Song, S.E. Racedo,
A.G. Dantas, L. Zhang, C.L. Cai, C. Angelini, L. Christiaen, R.G. Kelly, A. Baldini, D.
Zheng, and B.E. Morrow. 2021. Single cell multi-omic analysis identifies a Tbx1-
dependent multilineage primed population in murine cardiopharyngeal mesoderm. *Nat*
Commun. 12:6645.

Pulina, M.V., S.Y. Hou, A. Mittal, D. Julich, C.A. Whittaker, S.A. Holley, R.O. Hynes, and
S. Astrof. 2011. Essential roles of fibronectin in the development of the left-right
embryonic body plan. *Dev Biol*. 354:208-220.

Ramirez, A., and S. Astrof. 2020. Visualization and Analysis of Pharyngeal Arch Arteries
using Whole-mount Immunohistochemistry and 3D Reconstruction. *J Vis Exp*.

Ramsbottom, S.A., V. Sharma, H.J. Rhee, L. Eley, H.M. Phillips, H.F. Rigby, C. Dean, B.
Chaudhry, and D.J. Henderson. 2014. Vangl2-regulated polarisation of second heart
field-derived cells is required for outflow tract lengthening during cardiac development.
PLoS Genet. 10:e1004871.

Saga, Y., N. Hata, S. Kobayashi, T. Magnuson, M.F. Seldin, and M.M. Taketo. 1996.
MesP1: a novel basic helix-loop-helix protein expressed in the nascent mesodermal cells
during mouse gastrulation. *Development*. 122:2769-2778.

Saga, Y., S. Miyagawa-Tomita, A. Takagi, S. Kitajima, J. Miyazaki, and T. Inoue. 1999.
MesP1 is expressed in the heart precursor cells and required for the formation of a single
heart tube. *Development*. 126:3437-3447.

Sakai, T., K.J. Johnson, M. Murozono, K. Sakai, M.A. Magnuson, T. Wieloch, T.
Cronberg, A. Isshiki, H.P. Erickson, and R. Fassler. 2001. Plasma fibronectin supports
neuronal survival and reduces brain injury following transient focal cerebral ischemia but
is not essential for skin-wound healing and hemostasis. *Nat Med*. 7:324-330.

Singh, P., C. Carraher, and J.E. Schwarzbauer. 2010. Assembly of fibronectin
extracellular matrix. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol*. 26:397-419.

Sinha, T., D. Li, M. Theveniau-Ruissy, M.R. Hutson, R.G. Kelly, and J. Wang. 2015. Loss
of Wnt5a disrupts second heart field cell deployment and may contribute to OFT
malformations in DiGeorge syndrome. *Hum Mol Genet*. 24:1704-1716.

Sinha, T., B. Wang, S. Evans, A. Wynshaw-Boris, and J. Wang. 2012. Disheveled
mediated planar cell polarity signaling is required in the second heart field lineage for
outflow tract morphogenesis. *Dev Biol*. 370:135-144.

Soh, B.S., K. Buac, H. Xu, E. Li, S.Y. Ng, H. Wu, J. Chmielowiec, X. Jiang, L. Bu, R.A. Li,
C. Cowan, and K.R. Chien. 2014. N-cadherin prevents the premature differentiation of
anterior heart field progenitors in the pharyngeal mesodermal microenvironment. *Cell*
Res. 24:1420-1432.

Stefanovic, S., B. Laforest, J.P. Desvignes, F. Lescroart, L. Argiro, C. Maurel-Zaffran, D.
Salgado, E. Plaindoux, C. De Bono, K. Pazur, M. Theveniau-Ruissy, C. Beroud, M.
Puceat, A. Gavalas, R.G. Kelly, and S. Zaffran. 2020. Hox-dependent coordination of
mouse cardiac progenitor cell patterning and differentiation. *Elife*. 9.

Suzuki, H.R., M. Solursh, and H.S. Baldwin. 1995. Relationship between fibronectin
expression during gastrulation and heart formation in the rat embryo. *Dev Dyn*. 204:259-
277.

Tsao, C.W., A.W. Aday, Z.I. Almarzooq, A. Alonso, A.Z. Beaton, M.S. Bittencourt, A.K.
Boehme, A.E. Buxton, A.P. Carson, Y. Commodore-Mensah, M.S.V. Elkind, K.R.
Evenson, C. Eze-Nliam, J.F. Ferguson, G. Generoso, J.E. Ho, R. Kalani, S.S. Khan, B.M.
Kissela, K.L. Knutson, D.A. Levine, T.T. Lewis, J. Liu, M.S. Loop, J. Ma, M.E. Mussolino,
S.D. Navaneethan, A.M. Perak, R. Poudel, M. Rezk-Hanna, G.A. Roth, E.B. Schroeder,
S.H. Shah, E.L. Thacker, L.B. VanWagner, S.S. Virani, J.H. Voecks, N.Y. Wang, K. Yaffe,
S.S. Martin, E. American Heart Association Council on, C. Prevention Statistics, and S.
Stroke Statistics. 2022. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2022 Update: A Report From
the American Heart Association. *Circulation*:CIR0000000000001052.

Turner, C.J., K. Badu-Nkansah, and R.O. Hynes. 2017. Endothelium-derived fibronectin
regulates neonatal vascular morphogenesis in an autocrine fashion. *Angiogenesis*.
20:519-531.

Wang, X., and S. Astrof. 2016. Neural crest cell-autonomous roles of fibronectin in
cardiovascular development. *Development*. 143:88-100.

Wang, X., and S. Astrof. 2017. Isolation of Mouse Cardiac Neural Crest Cells and Their
Differentiation into Smooth Muscle Cells. *Bio Protoc*. 7.

Zhang, Q., D. Carlin, F. Zhu, P. Cattaneo, T. Ideker, S.M. Evans, J. Bloomekatz, and N.C.
Chi. 2021. Unveiling Complexity and Multipotentiality of Early Heart Fields. *Circ Res*.
129:474-487.

Zimmerman, S.P., S.B. Asokan, B. Kuhlman, and J.E. Bear. 2017. Cells lay their own
tracks - optogenetic Cdc42 activation stimulates fibronectin deposition supporting
directed migration. *J Cell Sci*. 130:2971-2983.
698

Figure 1

Figure 1. The expression of Pri1 in Mesp1 lineage is required for the OFT elongation.

Rₓ⁺/⁺; Mesp1ᶜʳᵉ/⁺ (Control) and Rₓᶠˡ/⁺; Mesp1ᶜʳᵉ/⁺ (Mutant) embryos were dissected at E9.5 and staged according to somite number. A-D. Embryos between 16-19 somites, n=5 controls and 4 mutants. E-H. Embryos between 20-25 somites, n=5 control and 7 mutant embryos. Insets was used to outline the distal OFT (yellow), proximal OFT (turquoise), and the left ventricle and atria (pink). C-D, G-H. The length of the distal and proximal OFT were measured and normalized to the height of the left ventricle, to control for embryo size. I-K. Embryos were dissected at E9.5 (26-25s) and stained with DAPI and the MF-20 antibody. K. Quantification of angles in control and mutants as shown in I-J. n=5 controls and 13 mutants. Means (horizontal bars) and standard deviations (error bars) are displayed; p was calculated using 2-tailed, unpaired Student's t test. OFT=outflow tract, MF-20= antibody detecting sarcomeric myosin heavy chain.

Figure 2

Figure 2. The expression of Fn1 in the mesoderm is important for the regulation of cell shape in the anterior DPW at E9.5. A. Fn1+/+; *Pax3*Cre/+; *Mesp1*Cre/+; *Mesp1*Cre/+ (Mutant) embryos were dissected at E9.5 (9-11s) and stained to detect GFP (green) and nuclei (DAPI, blue). Regions outlined by rectangles in **A**, **E** are magnified in **A1-E2**; in **A1-E2**, arrowheads point out the positions of aSPW and pSPW cells. B-D, G. shapes of aSPW cells. I-L. Unpaired ... mutant embryos. Statistical analyses are shown in panels **I-L**: **I-L**, shapes analyses of cell shape from cells near the pericardial cavity. I-J. Quantifications of aSPW cells... from 3 control and 50 cells from mutant embryos were analyzed. ... analyzed. Error bars... Statistical analyses using... in control cells from mutant embryos were analyzed. Means (horizontal bars) and standard deviations (error bars) are displayed; p was calculated... aSPW, anterior dorsal pericardial wall; pSPW posterior dorsal pericardial wall. A-stream, V-ventricle.

Figure 3

Figure 3. Expression of Fn1 in the mesoderm regulates Golgi apparatus orientation in the anterior DPW. A-B, $Fn1^{+/+}$; $Mesp1^{Cre/+}$ (Control) and **A2-B2,** $Fn1^{flox/-}$; $Mesp1^{Cre/+}$ (Mutant) embryos were dissected at E8.5 (A-1A), and stained to detect GFP (green), GM130 (white), and DAPI (nuclei, blue). **A1-B1,** Magnification of the dashed boxed regions in A-B. Arrows point to the GM130 signal. **C,** Schematic illustration of the Golgi orientation angles in IHF cells, measured as is indicated in C. **D-G,** Quantification of the pDPW Golgi orientation angles: 3 different control and mutant were analyzed. OFT-outflow tract, aDPW-anterior dorsal pericardial wall, pDPW-posterior dorsal pericardial wall, A-atrium, V-ventricle.

Figure 4. Expression of Pri in the mesoderm regulates cell polarity in the anterior DPW. A, Fri[Tub>+], Mesp1[mm+] (Control) and **B,** Fri[mm+], Mesp1[mm+] (Mutant) embryos were dissected at E9.5 (8-11s), and stained to detect GFP (green), podocalyxin (white), and nuclei (DAPI, blue). Boxes in **A** and **B** were expanded in **A1** and **B1** to show the anterior DPW and in **A2** and **B2** to show the posterior DPW. Arrows in **A1** indicate the normal apical localization of podocalyxin. Arrows in **B1** show a defective basolateral localization of podocalyxin in mutants. **A2, B2,** Podocalyxin is localized apically in the posterior DPW. **C-D** Quantification of cells with defective podocalyxin localization in the anterior and posterior DPW. **C,** 148 cells in the aDPW from 3 controls and 224 cells in aDPW from 3 mutants were analyzed. **D,** 140 cells in the pDPW from 3 controls and 160 cells in the pDPW from 3 mutants were analyzed. Each small dot represents data from one silex, and each large dot is an average of all silexs per embryo. Data from the same embryo is marked by the same color. **E-G,** Fri[Tub>+], Mesp1[mm+] (Control) and **H-J,** Fri[mm+], Mesp1[mm+] (Mutant) embryos were dissected at E9.5 (7-9s), and stained for GFP (green), aPKC (white), or Laminin γ1 (white). Arrows in **E** point to apical localization of aPKC in control DPW cells. Arrows in **H** indicate a basal localization of aPKC in mutant DPW cells. **J,** Apical localization of laminin γ1 in controls and in **J** is disorganized localization of laminin γ1 in mutants. **K,** Quantification of cells in which aPKC was re-distributed away from the apical surface. DPW cells from 5 different silexs were evaluated in 3 control and 3 mutant embryos. Each small dot represents data from one silex, and each large dot is an average of all silexs per embryo. Data from the same embryo is marked by the same color. Means (horizontal bars) and standard deviations (error bars) are displayed; p was calculated using 2-tailed, unpaired Student's t test. OFT=outflow tract, aDPW=anterior dorsal pericardial wall, pDPW=posterior dorsal pericardial wall, A=Anterior, V=Ventral.

Figure 5

Figure 5. Mesodermal Fat1 regulates the expression of N-Cadherin at cell-cell junctions in the DPW. A-H, Fat1+/+;Meox2Cre/+ (Control) and D-D, Fat1fl/fl;Meox2Cre/+ (Mutant) embryos harvested in the anterior DPW (boxed region in B). Boxes in B-D are expanded in B1-D1. Arrows point to DPW. B-H, Immunostaining to detect GFP (green), N-cadherin (white) and pan-Cadherin (pan-Cadh, blue) in Fat1+/+ (Ctrl-nat) and Fat1fl/fl (Fat1-nat) MEFs. Boxes in F-H are expanded in F1-H1. Arrows point to N-cadherin at cell junctions in control MEFs (F1) and to the absence of N-Cadherin (H1). I, Western blot analysis of total cell lysates and cell surface proteins. Positions of molecular mass markers is indicated on the left. J-K, Densitometrical quantification of immunoblot signals. n = 5 for each group. Data shown are represented by a dot of different colors. Mean (horizontal bars) and standard deviations (error bars) are displayed. 2-tailed, unpaired Student's t-test was used to determine p-values. GFP-positive tract, A=atrium.

Figure 6

Figure 6. Fn1 regulates collective-cell migration. A-E. Confluent monolayers were scratched and cells migrating into the denuded area of the monolayers were measured. 30 cells were evaluated per condition in one representative experiment. F-H. Trajectories and speed of individually migrating cells in sparse cultures were measured. 30 cells were evaluated per condition in one representative experiment. Sheets (horizontal bars) and standard deviations (error bars) are displayed; p-values were calculated using (Holm) adjusted Student's t-test. Small dots mark averages per condition with larger dots of the same color. The speed of appeared in each experiment I-K. Phenotypal explants. Embryos were dissected at E9.0, head, heart, and endocardic region posterior to the heart were removed, and the remaining pieces were cultured on fibronectin-coated dishes at 37°C for 24 h. and cells were then imaged for 16 hours. K (left panel): Each dot marks one cell, 25-54 cells were tracked in each explant. Red lines mark the average speed of cells in each explant. The mean speeds in the mutants the overall average cell speed in control explants.

Figure 7. Mesodermal Frt1 regulates the length and organization of actin in the anterior DPW. A-B1, Frt1fm/+; Mesp1Cre/+ (Control) and **C-D1**, Frt1fm/m; Mesp1Cre/+ (Mutant) embryos were dissected at E9.5 (21-26s), and transverse cryosections from the anterior DPW were stained to detect GFP (green), F-actin (white), and nuclei (DAPI, blue). Yellow arrows point at F-actin in the DPW. **A**, Schematic representation of regions in the DPW. **F-H**, Representative confocal images of the anterior DPW from Control and Mutant embryos stained to detect F-actin (white). **I**, Magnification of the F-actin length in the anterior DPW. **G-I**, Rose plots show the distribution of F-actin orientation in Control and Mutant embryos. Small dots mark F-actin length of individual cells and large dots mark the average per slice. Slices from each embryo are the same color. Means (horizontal bars) and standard deviations (error bars) are displayed. p value, calculated using 2-tailed, unpaired Student's t test. DPW-dorsal pericardial wall. A-striatum, NT-Neural tube, AVC-atrioventricular canal, OFT-outflow tract. V-ventricle

Figure 8. Mesodermal Fni regulates nuclear translocation and transcriptional activity of YAP. A. Fni[Tom]; Mmp2[lmCherry] (Control) and **B.** Fni[Tom]; Mmp2[ΔTM/Y] (Mutant) embryos were dissected at E9.5 (25-26s) and stained to detect nuclei (DAPI, magenta) and YAP (cyan). Regions outlined by dashed rectangles are expanded in A1, B1 (anterior DFW) and A2, B2 (posterior DFW). C,D. Quantification of the intensity ratio between nuclear and cytosolic YAP in the aDFW and pDFW. The optical slices from 4 controls (C) and 4 mutants (D) were analyzed and measured with a line scan as marked by the same color. E,F. Fni[Tom]; Mmp2[lmCherry] (Control) and G,H. Fni[Tom]; Mmp2[ΔTM/Y] (Mutant) embryos were dissected at E9.5 (25-26s) and stained to detect CTGF (yellow) and DAPI (magenta). Regions outlined by dashed rectangles are expanded in E1/F1, G1/H1 (anterior DFW) and E2/F2, G2/H2 (posterior DFW). Thermal LUT reference scale marked on right. I,J. Quantification of the CTGF intensity levels in the aDFW (absolute levels in I, normalized levels in J) and pDFW. The optical slices from 4 controls and 4 mutants were analyzed and measured as marked by line scans. K. Schematic of the proposed model, the aDFW epithelium (green) and the pDFW mesenchyme (yellow) and the cardiac and pericardial mesoderm. Mesodermal Fni is required for proper mesodermal YAP signaling. In control and mutant embryos, Fni and Mmp2 are expressed in aDFW and pDFW. In control embryos, Fni and Mmp2 interact to restrain YAP signaling. In mutant embryos nuclear YAP signaling (and its targets) increase due to lack of Mmp2 and resulting increased Fni proteolysis. The excess Fni slices per embryo. Data from the same embryos is marked by the same color. Means (horizontal bars) and s.e.m. are displayed. p-values (unpaired Student's t-test) are listed. Scale bars 25 μm (A, B), 25 μm (E-H). Abbreviations: aDFW, anterior dorsal foramen wings; Fni, Fibronectin; Mmp2, matrix metalloprotease-2; pDFW, posterior dorsal foramen wings; YAP, Yes-associated protein. K. Schematic of the proposed model: the aDFW epithelium (green) and the pDFW mesenchyme (yellow) and the cardiac and pericardial mesoderm. Mesodermal Fni is required for proper mesodermal YAP signaling. In control and mutant embryos, Fni and Mmp2 are expressed in aDFW and pDFW. In control embryos, Fni and Mmp2 interact to restrain YAP signaling. In mutant embryos nuclear YAP signaling (and its targets) increase due to lack of Mmp2 and resulting increased Fni proteolysis. The excess Fni fragments, leading to excess mesodermal YAP nuclear translocation and increased transcription of CTGF mRNAs that are increased in the mutant mesoderm. CTGF=outline feet.

Figure 9

Figure 9. Expression patterns of *Fn1* mRNA and protein in controls and mutants. A-H. Expression of *Fn1* mRNA. A-B. Fn1^{f/+}; Wnt1^{Cre} (WT). C-D. Fn1^{f/+}; Meox2^{Cre} (Control), and E-F. Fn1^{f/f}; Meox2^{Cre} (Mutant) embryos were dissected at E9.5 (29-30s) and labeled with DAPI (magenta) and anti-sense Fn1 probe (turquoise). Regions outlined by the rectangles in B,D,F are expanded in B1,D1,F1. White arrows point to the OFT. G-H. Quantification of Fn1 mRNA intensity in the OFT (G) and in the pharynx (H). I. Quantification of the *Fn1* mRNA intensity ratio between the OFT and the pharynx. J-K. Fn1 protein (turquoise) in controls and mutants. Regions outlined by the rectangles are expanded in J1-J3 and K1-K3. Fn1 protein expression in the OFT is indicated by white arrows. Quantifications of Fn1 protein expression are shown in L-O. Markers and anti-Fn1 antibody labeling were performed as in Fig. 7-8. Scale bars are 100 μm. Each dot marks one embryo. M-O. Quantification of Fn1 intensity in the aSPW (aDPW) and (aSPW) and (aDPW) and the left ventricle. Statistical analyses were performed using unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-tests. Error bars indicate means ± standard deviation. DPW, dorsal pericardial wall; LV, left ventricle; OFT, outflow tract. A-atrium, LV-left ventricle.

Figure 10

Figure 10. Summary of cellular and molecular processes regulated by mesenchymal Pro1 in the DWP. Pro1 synthesized by the mesoderm is a central regulator of epithelial properties in the anterior dorsal periorbital mesothelial (aDPFM) and notifies tract elongation.