1   **TITLE:** Dlg5 and Cadherins are key to peripheral glia integrity
2

AUTHORS: Das, Mriga, Cheng, Duo, Matzat, Till, and Auld, Vanessa J.

University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Vanessa Auld auld@zoology.ubc.ca

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS:

Mriga Das

Department of Zoology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

mrigadas@zoology.ubc.ca

Duo Cheng

Department of Zoology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

d.cheng@zoology.ubc.ca

Till Matzat

Department of Zoology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

tillmat@gmx.de

Vanessa J Auld

Department of Zoology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

auld@zoology.ubc.ca

RUNNING TITLE (36/40 characters): Dlg5 and Cadherins regulate peripheral glia, septate

junction and barrier formation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS: M.D., D.C. and V.J.A. designed research; M.D., D.C., T.M

performed research; T.M provided TEM data; M.D., D.C. and V.J.A. analyzed data; M.D, D.C.

and V.J.A. wrote the paper and contributed to manuscript editing.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by UBC Bioimaging facility (RRID: SCR_021304). Stocks were

obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (NIH P40OD018537) and the Vienna

Drosophila Resource Center (VDRC, **www.vdrc.at**). This research was funded by a grant from

the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) and the Canadian

Institutes of Health Research (CIHR).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT: No competing interests

KEY WORDS: glia, adherens junctions, peripheral nerve,

Number of figures: 11

Number of tables: 1

ABSTRACT

Glial cells in the peripheral nerve wrap axons to insulate them and ensure efficient conduction of

neuronal signals. In the myelin sheath, it is proposed that the autotypic tight junctions and

adherens junctions form glia-glia complexes that stabilize the glia sheath in myelinating glia. Yet

the role of adhesion junctions in non-myelinating glia of vertebrates or invertebrates has not been

clearly established. Many components of adhering junctions contain PDZ (PSD-95, Dlg, ZO1)

domains or are recruited to these junctions by PDZ binding motifs. To test for the role of PDZ

domain proteins in glial sheath formation, we carried out an RNAi screen using *Drosophila*

melanogaster to knockdown each of the 66 predicted PDZ domain proteins in the peripheral glia.

We identified six PDZ genes with potential roles in glial morphology, and further investigated

Discs-large 5 (Dlg5), a scaffolding protein with no previously known function in glia.

Knockdown of Dlg5 disrupts subperineurial glia (SPG) morphology, including gaps in the

membrane that coincide with disruption of septate junction proteins. To further our investigation

of Dlg5, we focused on cadherins and found both N-Cadherin and E-Cadherin are expressed

throughout peripheral glia. Knockdown of E-Cadherin phenocopied the loss of Dlg5 leading to

gaps in the SPG and septate junctions while only simultaneous loss of both N-Cadherins (Ncad,

and CadN2) had the same effect. The loss of all three Cadherins enhanced these phenotypes as

did loss of Dlg5 when paired with Cadherin knockdown. This leads to a model where Dlg5 plays

a role in conjunction with Cadherins in glial membrane stabilization and septate junction

formation in the subperineurial glia.

INTRODUCTION:

A critical function of glia is to wrap and ensheath axons in both the central and peripheral

nervous systems. In vertebrate peripheral nerves, myelinating Schwann cells enable rapid and

efficient transduction of electrical signals by forming the myelin sheath to ensheath and insulate

large caliber axons. While there are extensive studies that have identified the protein complexes

that mediate myelin sheath formation and stabilization, almost nothing is known about the

adherent junctions that mediate sheath formation in non-myelinating Schwann cells. Yet this

class of glia is essential to protect and support small calibre axons such as C-fibers that transmit

nociceptive information (Chen et al., 2003){Griffin, 2008 #3645}.

Many components of adherent junctions such as tight junctions (TJ) are either PDZ (PSD-95,

Dlg, ZO1) proteins or contain motifs that allow them to interact with PDZ proteins. PDZ

domains are 80-90 amino acids and mediate protein-protein interaction by binding to short three

amino acid PDZ binding motifs normally found at C-terminus of many proteins (Harris and Lim,

2001; Subbaiah et al., 2011). Many PDZ proteins possess different combinations of protein

interaction domains that mediate the recruitment of protein complexes. For instance the family of

membrane-associated guanylate kinases (MAGUKs) apart from PDZ domains, possess L27, SH3

and GUK domains. PDZ proteins regulate processes such as cell polarity, adhesion, migration

and signalling through their ability to scaffold proteins in specific regions of the cell. It is likely

that PDZ proteins play a role in mediating non-myelinating glia junctions and are critical for

glial cell function.

Acquiring a better understanding of PDZ proteins and their role in glial junctions requires

that we identify those PDZ proteins that function in non-myelinating glia. Our model is the

peripheral nerve of *Drosophila melanogaster* given that there are only 66 PDZ proteins in

Drosophila (Aranjuez et al., 2012 2001) (compared to the 250 predicted PDZ proteins in humans

(Tonikian et al., 2008)) and that *Drosophila* peripheral glia are an excellent model to further our

understanding of the mechanisms underlying non-myelinating glia development and function.

Each peripheral nerve is ensheathed by three glial layers. The innermost glia layer, the wrapping

glia (WG) resembles the non-myelinating Schwann cells and extend their processes around

individual or bundles of motor and sensory axons. The wrapping glia layer is surrounded by the

subperineurial glia (SPG), which form septate junctions between the glial layers to establish the

blood-nerve barrier to protect peripheral axons from the high K+ hemolymph (Auld et al., 1995;

Baumgartner et al., 1996). Septate junctions form a ladder-like array of a core protein complex

that is conserved with paranodal junctions including Neurexin IV, Neuroglian and Nervana2

(Bhat, 2003; Limmer et al., 2014). Lastly, the perineurial glia (PG), which are the equivalent to

vertebrate perineurial cells, wrap the nerve and adhere to the extracellular matrix that surrounds

and stabilizes the entire nerve. Adhesion and signalling between these different glial layers must

require glia-glia interactions, however the proteins and in particular the PDZ proteins required

for these processes remain largely unknown.

In this study, we screened all 66 Drosophila PDZ-encoding proteins using RNAi knockdown

to determine which play a role in peripheral glia. We identified seven PDZ domain proteins

where loss of function lead to disruption of peripheral glia and focused our subsequent

investigation on Discs-large 5 (Dlg5). Dlg5 is a MAGUK protein with a coiled-coil domain at

the amino terminus, four PDZ domains, an SH3 and GUK domain, and has been implicated in

cell polarity and Cadherin complex formation (Nechiporuk et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2014; Reilly

et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2017). The role of Dlg5 and those of the classical Cadherins in non-

myelinating glia, including the peripheral glia of Drosophila, had not been determined.

Therefore, we went onto investigate Dlg5 and potential functional overlap with the Drosophila

classical cadherins; E-Cadherin (Ecad, *shotgun*), N-Cadherin (Ncad, *CadN*), N-Cadherin2

(Ncad2, *CadN2*) in the three layers of peripheral glia. Knockdown of Dlg5 in the subperineurial

glia resulted in breaks/gaps within the glial membrane and disruption of septate junction

proteins, within the gaps/breaks in the subperineurial glia. We found that Ecad, Ncad and CadN2

perform redundant functions in the subperineurial glia, and that loss of Cadherins phenocopied

the loss of Dlg5 phenotypes observed in the subperineurial glia. Overall, our results show that

Dlg5 interacts with cadherins to mediate SPG and septate junction morphology in the Drosophila

peripheral.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Fly strains and genetics

The following fly strains were used in this study: repo-GAL4 (Sepp et al., 2001); Nrv2-GAL4

(Sun et al., 1999), 46F-GAL4 (Xie and Auld, 2011); Gli-GAL4 (Sepp and Auld, 1999); SPG-

GAL4 (Schwabe et al., 2005); UAS-mCD8::GFP (Lee and Luo, 1999); UAS-Dicer2 (Dietzl et

al., 2007); *Df(2L)BSC148*, UAS-mCD8::RFP, UAS-Dlg5::GFP (Bloomington Stock Center,

BDSC). The following GFP and RFP protein-trap insertions were used: Dlg5::GFP (Sarov et al.,

2016); Nrv2::GFP, NrxIV::GFP, Scrib::GFP (Morin et al., 2001; Kelso et al., 2004; Buszczak et

al., 2007); Ncad::cherry, Ecad::cherry (Venken et al., 2011). The following RNAi lines were

used and those lines preferentially used for the majority of experiments are in bold: Dlg5-RNAi

(VDRC: **46234**, 101596; BDSC: 41832); Arm-RNAi (VDRC: 7767, **107344**); Ecad-RNAi

(VDRC: **27802**; 103962; BDSC: 27689, 32904, 38207); Ncad-RNAi (VDRC: **1092**; BDSC:

27503); CadN2-RNAi (VDRC: 101659; BDSC: **27508**); Crumbs-RNAi (VDRC: **39177**).

All RNAi experiments carried out after the initial screen were raised at 25°C either with or

without Dicer2 in the background. For all experiments, controls were the driver line crossed with

w[1118].

Screen of RNAi knockdown of PDZ genes: RNAi lines were obtained from TRiP and VDRC

stock centers. Table 1 provides the list of RNAi lines used in the screen.

repo>mCD8::RFP/TM6,Tb virgin flies were crossed with males from each UAS-RNAi line at

29°C. As a control, *repo>mCD8::RFP/TM6,Tb* virgin flies were crossed with *w^{1118}* males and

raised at 29°C. A minimum of 6 larvae were dissected from each cross and stained with mAb

22C10 (anti-Futsch) to label the peripheral axons. The morphology of the glial membranes were

visualized using UAS-mCD8::RFP.

Immunolabeling and image analysis: The peripheral nervous system of 3rd instar, wandering

larvae were dissected and fixed for immunolabeling using previously described methods (Sepp et

al., 2000). The following primary antibodies were used in this study: guinea pig anti-Inx2

(1:500) (Smendziuk et al., 2015); mouse anti-Futsch/22C10 (1:1000, DSHB); rabbit anti-GFP

(1:600, Life Technology); mouse anti-GFP (1:300, Novus Biologicals), rabbit anti-Cherry

(1:300, Abcam), mouse anti-βPS (1:50, CF.6G11) (Brower, 1984), rabbit anti-HRP (1:500,

Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA); mouse anti-Futsch/22C10 (1:1000, DSHB); rabbit

anti-Nrv2.1 (1:1000, Abcam). The following secondary antibodies were used at a 1:300 dilution:

goat anti-mouse Alexa 488, Alexa 568 and Alexa 647; goat anti- rabbit Alexa 568 and goat anti-

guinea pig Alexa 647 (Molecular Probes). DAPI (1:1000, Invitrogen) was used to label nuclei.

Images were acquired with a Delta Vision Spectris compound microscope (Applied

Precision/GE Healthcare, Mississauga, Ontario) using a 60x oil immersion objective (NA 1.4).

An image was captured every 0.2 μm and the resulting stacks were deconvolved (SoftWorx,

Toronto, Canada) using a point spread function measured with 0.2 μm beads conjugated to Alexa

dyes (Molecular Probes) and mounted in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories, Burlington, Canada).

Cross sections were generated using SoftWorx. A single z-slice was chosen from each z-stack

and images were compiled using Adobe Photoshop and Adobe Illustrator CC. For transmission

electron microscopy analysis larvae were dissected and prepared using previously described

methods (Matzat et al., 2015) using a Hitachi H7600 TEM at the UBC BioImaging Facility.

Dye Penetration Assay: Third instar larvae were washed using 1X PBS, then opened at the

posterior end and inverted in Schneider's insect medium (Millipore Sigma, S0146). The inverted

larvae were incubated in Schneider's medium containing 2.5 mM of 10,000MW Dextran Texas

Red-conjugated dye (Invitrogen, D1863, Lysine Fixable) for 40 minutes at room temperature on

an orbital shaker. The larvae were then fixed using 4% PFA with 1X PBS for 20 minutes.

Following fixation, samples were washed with 1X PBS twice for 5 minutes each, then washed

with 0.1% PBST with 1X PBS twice for 10 minutes each. Lastly, the brains were dissected and

mounted in Vectashield. The samples were imaged using an Olympus FV1000 Confocal

microscope (BioImaging Facility UBC) using a 30X Silicone oil immersion objective (NA =

1.05) with 1um steps. Laser (559nm) intensity was set at 7% intensity, 750V digital gain, 1x

master Gain, and 6% offset for all genotypes except for imaging of NrxIV-RNAi, which was 5%

intensity, 750V digital gain, 1x master Gain, and 6% offset. Images were imported into ImageJ

and fluorescence intensity calculated and images displayed using the Fire Look up Table.

Locomotion assay: Locomotion assays were performed using third instar larvae adapted from

(Brooks et al., 2016). Larvae of the desired genotype were gently placed onto a freshly made 2%

agar plate with food-safe dye added to enhance contrast. Larval movements were recorded

continuously for 60 seconds using a Canon VIXIA HF R800 video camera. The recordings were

then converted from *.MOV to *.AVI files using FFmpeg (ffmpeg.org) and analyzed using the

wrMTrck plug-in (Nussbaum-Krammer et al., 2015; Brooks et al., 2016) in Fiji to calculate

locomotion and movement trajectory. Larvae with non-continuous paths throughout the entirety

of the recording were discarded from the total travel distance quantification but were included in

the average travel speed.

Statistics: Prism (GraphPad Software) was used for all statistical analyses and a One-way Anova

utilized to compared multiple genotypes with the specified control with a Dunnet multiple

comparison test. For comparisons across multiple genotypes a Tukey multiple comparison test

was used. For all fluorescence image analyses at least 6-7 nerves were analyzed per larvae.

RESULTS

RNAi induced knockdown of PDZ proteins in glial cells

To understand the role of PDZ proteins in mediating glia interactions, we utilized the peripheral

nerve of the 3rd instar *Drosophila* larva to investigate the consequences on glial morphology of

knockdown of PDZ domain proteins. There are 66 genes predicted to encode PDZ domain

proteins in the *Drosophila* genome (Aranjuez et al., 2012 2001). Using the pan-glial driver *repo-*

GAL4, we expressed each *UAS-PDZ-RNAi* line while co-expressing *UAS-mCD8::RFP* to label

the glial membranes. We scored morphological defects in the labeled peripheral glial membranes

and axons were labeled using anti-Futsch to reveal any axonal defects. When available, multiple

RNAi lines were used to target each PDZ gene. For the 66 genes, 150 individual *UAS-RNAi* lines

were tested for abnormal glial phenotypes in the PNS (Fig.1A, Table 1). As a control

repo>mCD8::RFP flies were crossed to w^{1118} flies (Fig. 1B-B'). We classified PDZ genes as

positive hits (Fig. 1A; Table 1) if their knockdown using multiple RNAi lines resulted in lethality

or glial phenotypes. Seven PDZ proteins; Discs Large 1 (Dlg1), Scribbled (Scrib), Varicose

(Vincent et al., 2004), Stardust (sdt), Discs Large 5 homolog (Dlg5), Locomotion defects (Bao et

al., 2007), and a Rho-type guanine nucleotide exchange factor (RhoGEF2) were identified as

positive hits with potential functions in glial cells (Fig. 1A,C-G; Table 1). The screen resulted in

a range of phenotypes such as glial swelling observed with the knockdown of RhoGEF2 and

Loco (Fig. 1C-C", F-F"). Knockdown of Dlg1 and Scrib resulted in abnormal axonal wrapping

(Fig.1 D-D", E-E"), whereas Vari knockdown in all glia was lethal (Table 1). Abnormalities in

axonal wrapping, specifically by the inner wrapping glial membrane were observed with Dlg5

knockdown (Fig. 1G-G'). Of note is that loss of known apical polarity proteins including

Bazooka (baz, Par-3), Par-6 and Patj had no effect (Fig. 1A; Table 1), and we found that neither

Par-3 or Par-6 are expressed in peripheral glia (data not shown). Conversely the basolateral

polarity proteins such as Dlg1 and Scrib had strong effects as did Dlg5, which is also thought to

function in epithelial polarity. Overall, we identified a group of conserved PDZ proteins that may

play necessary roles in peripheral glia development and a number of these proteins are known to

function in polarity and junction formation.

Dlg5 is expressed in glial cells of the larval peripheral nerve

We chose to investigate the role of Dlg5 further given the literature regarding the role of Dlg5 in

cell polarity and cell-cell adhesion, and the fact that Dlg5 has not been studied in glia. Dlg5 is a

MAGUK protein with a coiled-coil domain at the amino terminus, four PDZ domains, an SH3

and GUK domains. We began by examining the distribution of Dlg5 in the glial layers of the

peripheral nerve in 3rd instar larvae using Dlg5 endogenously tagged with GFP

(Dlg5::GFP)(Sarov et al., 2016). Dlg5 puncta were observed throughout the peripheral nerve,

with puncta present within the glial cell membranes (identified with mCD8::RFP) (Fig. 2A-A").

Some Dlg5 puncta might also be present in the axons (immunolabeled with anti-futsch) (Fig. 2B-

B"). However, knockdown of Dlg5 using the pan-neuronal driver elav-GAL4, did not affect

either peripheral glial or axon morphology (n=6 larvae, data not shown).

To further characterize the effects of Dlg5 loss in the peripheral glia, we knocked down Dlg5

in individual glial layers using GAL4 drivers specific to each of the three glial layers driving a

membrane marker, mCD8::RFP. We tested multiple RNAi lines and used the strongest RNAi

line, 46234, for this and future experiments. Knockdown of Dlg5 in the PG using 46F-GAL4

(*46F>mCD8::RFP,Dlg5-RNAi*) (n=5 larvae) did not affect PG membrane morphology (Fig. 2D-

D") compared to control (*46F>mCD8::RFP*)(Fig 2A-A")(n=7 larvae). Knockdown of Dlg5

using Gli-GAL4 in the intermediate SPG layer (*Gli>mCD8::RFP,Dlg5-RNAi*) disrupted SPG

morphology (Fig. 2F,F"), but had no effect on the WG (marked with Nrv2::GFP) (Fig. 2F')(n=6

larvae). SPG membrane morphology was disrupted in 16.5% of nerves, with breaks/gaps in the

membrane (yellow arrows) and occasionally accompanied by swellings (Fig. 2F,F", asterisks).

The control (*Gli>mCD8::RFP*) did not have any defects (Fig. 2E-E")(n= 6 larvae). Knockdown

of Dlg5 in the WG using Nrv2-GAL4 (*Nrv2>mCD8::RFP,Dlg5-RNAi*) resulted in reduced and

discontinuous WG strands in 53% of nerves (Fig. 2H") (n=5 larvae) compared to the control

nerves (*Nrv2>mCD8::RFP*), where multiple, continuous WG strands ensheath the axons (Fig.

2G")(n=6 larvae). Dlg5 knockdown in the WG did not affect axonal morphology (Fig. 2H')

which was similar to control nerves (Fig. 2G'). Similar WG phenotypes were observed with the

pan-glial knockdown of Dlg5 where Nrv2::GFP was used to label the WG (*Nrv2::GFP,*

repo>Dlg5-RNAi) in 53% of nerves (data not shown)(n=5 larvae). Overall, our results suggest

the Dlg5 is expressed in multiple peripheral glia and is required in both the SPG and the WG but

not in the PG layer.

Dlg5 is required for the formation of septate junctions

Since Dlg5 knockdown affected SPG morphology, we next tested if the defects in the SPG

extended to the septate junction (SJ) protein complex, which in Drosophila forms the blood-

nerve barrier. Using a range of SJ proteins we looked for changes in the SJ morphology while

knocking down Dlg5 in the SPG (*Gli>mCD8::RFP, Dlg5-RNAi*). For multiple RNAi lines

(101596, 41832, 46234), we observed nerves with gaps in the SJ strands when labeled with

NrxIV::GFP (Fig. 3B-B") or immunolabeled with Nrv2.1 (Fig. 3D,D") and often these

corresponded to gaps in the mCD8::RFP labeled membrane (Fig. 3B",D") (n=12 larvae). In

comparison, intact and continuous SJs were observed along the length of control nerves

(*Gli>mCD8::RFP*)(Fig. 3A-A",C-C") (n=12 larvae). Increasing the efficiency of the RNAi with

Dicer2 paired with a stronger SPG driver, moody-GAL4, increased the severity of the

phenotypes (*SPG>mCD8::RFP,Dlg5-RNAi,Dicer2*) and NrxIV::GFP was often observed in

large aggregates that corresponded to glial membrane concentrations (Fig. 3E-E"). We

quantified the percentage of nerves per larvae (using moody-GAL4) that had disrupted SPG or

gaps. On average 80% of the nerves were affected and with Dicer2, the penetrance was

increased to 100% (Fig. 3F). To determine if the effect to Dlg5 in the SPG was cell autonomous,

we knocked down Dlg5 in the PG and WG and assayed SJs using either *NrxIV::GFP* or the

Nrv2.1 antibody. The morphology of SJs was not affected by the knockdown of Dlg5 in the PG

layer (*46F>mCD8::RFP, Dlg5-RNAi*) (n=6 larvae) (data not shown). Similarly, knockdown of

Dlg5 in the WG (*NrxIV::GFP, Nrv2>mCD8::RFP, Dlg5-RNAi*) lead to the expected WG

phenotypes, but did not affect the morphology of the SJ strands (n= 6 larvae) (data not shown).

Therefore, loss of Dlg5 in the SPG leads to a range of defects in the SPG including disruption of

the SJs in a cell autonomous manner.

Dlg5 associates with Drosophila N-Cadherin and E-Cadherin

Prior studies have suggested that Dlg5 can interact in a number of cell types with β-catenin and

Cadherin family members. Specifically Dlg5 is found co-localized with Cadherins (Liu et al.,

2017), is involved in trafficking of cadherin-catenin adhesion complexes (Nechiporuk et al.,

2007; Wang et al., 2014; Reilly et al., 2015), and links the vinexin-vinculin complex and beta-

catenin at adherens junctions (Wakabayashi et al., 2003). We next tested the possibility that Dlg5

also interacts with Cadherins in peripheral glia. The presence and distribution of the Cadherins

in Drosophila peripheral glia was not known so we began by looking for the presence of the two

classical Drosophila cadherins; E-Cadherin (Ecad; *shotgun*) and N-Cadherin (Ncad; *CadN*) using

genes endogenously tagged with mCherry or GFP (Ecad::mCherry, Ecad::GFP and

Ncad::mCherry (Venken et al., 2011)). Both Ncad and Ecad puncta were observed throughout

the peripheral nerve (Fig. 4A,B) with both cadherins forming occasional short zona adherens-like

belts in some parts of the nerve. More frequently Ncad and Ecad were observed in puncta and we

observed Cadherin puncta throughout all glial layers (Fig. 4A-B, yellow arrows). The majority of

the Ncad (Fig. 4C') and Ecad (Fig. 4C") puncta were distinct with minimal co-localization (Fig.

4C). The Ncad and Ecad puncta likely represent glial spot Adherens Junctions (sAJ) (Matzat et

al., 2015), which are observed between the different glial layers with the majority occurring

between PG-SPG and SPG-WG processes (Fig. 4D, E)

Next, we tested for Dlg5 and Cadherin co-localization using Dlg5::GFP crossed with

Ncad::cherry (Fig. 4F) or Ecad::cherry (Fig. 4G). Some but not all Ncad (Fig. 4F'-F") and Ecad

(Fig. 4G'-G") puncta overlapped with Dlg5 in the peripheral nerve (yellow arrows). This partial

overlap between Ncad and Dlg5 is consistent to prior work in mouse embryonic fibroblasts,

where Dlg5 only overlapped with a subset of Ncad (Wang et al., 2014). In fibroblasts, Dlg5 is

also partially associated with Rab11, a marker for recycling endosomes, as they fuse with

cadherin-containing vesicles. To test whether Dlg5 in Drosophila peripheral glia are involved in

trafficking pathways, we immunolabeled for a series of vesicle markers including Rab11, Rabsn5

(an early endosomal marker), Rab7 (a late endosomal maker), HRS (a multivesicular body

(MVB) marker), Vps26 (a component of the retromer complex), Sec23 (a COPII vesicle marker),

G130 (a Golgi maker) as well as syntaxin1A (a t-SNARE protein) (Supp Fig. 1). None of these

subcellular markers consistently overlapped with Dlg5::GFP puncta in the peripheral nerve

(Supp Fig. 1).

Dlg5 has been found associated with SJ proteins such as Dlg1 and NeurexinIV (NrxIV) in

Drosophila border cells (Liu et al., 2014a), yet in the peripheral glia Dlg5 did not localize with

these proteins at the SJ. Therefore, we wanted to test to see if Dlg5 was associated with any other

junctional complexes including gap junctions and focal adhesions (Supp Fig. 2). However, we

did not observe any co-localization between the Dlg5::GFP and gap junctions immunolabeled

with Inx2 (Supp Fig. 2C-C") nor with focal adhesions, immunolabeled for the integrin beta-

subunit βPS (Supp Fig 2D-D"). Therefore, Dlg5 is unlikely to be part of the gap junction or focal

adhesion complex. In addition, pan-glial knockdown of Dlg5 had no effect on Inx2 labeled gap

junctions, or βPS labeled focal adhesions (data not shown). Overall, our results suggest that of

the potential junctional domains in peripheral glia, Dlg5 is the most frequently associated with

cadherins. However, this association appears to be limited to a subset of Ncad and Ecad.

E-Cadherin is required in glial cells of larval peripheral nerve

To determine whether Dlg5 and the cadherins work in a similar pathway, we next examined the

function of Ncad and Ecad in the peripheral nerve. To assay for abnormalities in glial

morphology, *repo-GAL4* was used to express RNAi and label the glial membranes with

mCD8::GFP and immunolabeling for Dlg1 used to assess SJ morphology. Normal glial

morphology and Dlg1 distribution was observed in all the control nerves (*repo>mCD8::GFP,*

Dicer2) (n=6 larvae) (Fig. 5A-A"). Pan-glial knockdown of Ecad (*repo>Ecad-RNAi, Dicer2*)

using multiple RNAi lines resulted in disrupted glial membranes and the appearance of "hole-

like" structures within the glial membrane in 71.4% nerves of the strongest RNAi (27082; n= 4

larvae) (Fig. 5B'). Dlg1 was mislocalized in 72% of the affected nerves (n=4 larvae) (Fig. 5B").

Surprisingly, knockdown of Ncad (*repo>Ncad-RNAi, Dicer2*) using multiple RNAi lines did not

affect glial morphology or Dlg1 distribution (data not shown).

To determine if Ecad is required in one or all glial layers, we knocked down Ecad in

individual glial layers using the strong Ecad-RNAi line (27082). Ecad knockdown in the

wrapping glia using Nrv2-GAL4 paired with Dicer2 resulted in fewer and discontinuous

wrapping glia strands in 89% of nerves (*Nrv2>Ecad-RNAi, Dicer2*) (n=4 larvae) (Fig. 5D). Axon

morphology was not affected by the knockdown of Ecad (Fig. 5D"). Control nerves

(*Nrv2>Dicer2*) had the expected multiple, continuous wrapping glia strands ensheathing the

peripheral axons (Fig. 5C). Therefore, Ecad is required in the wrapping glia and is necessary for

axon ensheathment. Similar to the pan-glial knockdown of Ncad, knockdown in the wrapping

glia did not affect glial morphology and the morphology of the axons was comparable to the

control (data not shown). We next tested the function of Ecad in the SPG layer using the SPG

driver, Gli-GAL4, to drive RNAi and label the SPG membranes with mCD8::RFP. The SPG

membranes observed in all control nerves (*Gli>mCD8::RFP, Dicer2*) were continuous and Dlg1

was correctly localized to the septate junction (n= 6 larvae) (Fig. 5E). In comparison knockdown

of Ecad in the SPG (*Gli>Ecad-RNAi, Dicer2*) resulted in nerves with discontinuous SPG

membranes (Fig. 5F) (n=6 larvae). The gaps in the SPG membrane coincided with the loss of

Dlg1 (Fig. 5F',F"; yellow arrows). Therefore, Ecad is required in the SPG for proper

ensheathment and SJ formation while the knockdown of Ncad had no effect (data not shown).

Ecad knockdown affected SPG morphology and resulted in SPG defects similar to those

observed with the knockdown of Dlg5. To further characterize and quantify these phenotypes,

we used NrxIV::GFP as a marker to assess any changes in the SJ when E-Cad was knocked

down in the SPG (*moody>mCD8::RFP, Ecad-RNAi*). Similar to the loss of Dlg5, Ecad

knockdown results in gaps or breaks and aggregates of NrxIV::GFP within the SJ strands in 48%

of nerves (Fig. 6C-C') along with disruption to the glial membrane (Fig. 6C",D")(n=6 larvae).

Increasing the efficiency of the knockdown with Dicer2 (*moody>mCD8::RFP, Ecad-RNAi,*

Dicer2) strengthened the phenotypes to the point where NrxIV::GFP was frequently observed in

aggregates (Fig. 6E-E',F-F'; yellow arrows) and the SPG membrane appeared collapsed (Fig.

6E",F"). The SJ strands in controls (*moody>mCD8::RFP, Dicer2*) were continuous and

NrxIV::GFP aggregates were never observed (Fig. 6A-A') (n=10 larvae). The presence of gaps

or disruption to the SJ was quantified and the percentage of nerves with SJ gaps was significantly

higher compared to controls (Fig. 6B).

N-Cadherins play redundant roles in the larval peripheral nerve

Although Ncad is expressed in the peripheral nerve, knockdown of Ncad did not affect glial

morphology. There are two Ncad loci in *Drosophila*, CadN (N-Cad) and a duplication CadN2

(N-Cad2) (Prakash et al., 2005). CadN2 shares 72.5% amino acid sequence identity and is

partially redundant to Ncad (Yonekura et al., 2007). Therefore, it is possible that the loss of N-

Cad is compensated by CadN2. To test if Ncad and CadN2 perform redundant functions in the

peripheral glia, we knocked down CadN2 in all glia using multiple RNAi lines (*repo>CadN2-

RNAi*). Similar to N-Cad knockdown, CadN2 knockdown did not affect glial morphology (data

not shown). To test for redundancy between these genes, we knocked down both Ncad and

CadN2 in the SPG (*moody>Ncad-RNAi, CadN2-RNAi*) and assayed for *NrxIV::GFP* labeled SJs.

We found that reducing the levels of both Ncad and CadN2 resulted in defects in 35% of the

nerves (Fig. 7B-B'') (n=8 larvae). When we quantified the number of nerves that contained gaps

or breaks in the SJ, 13.5% of nerves were affected (Fig. 7E), which was significantly greater than

either RNAi alone or control.

These defects were similar to those we observed with the knockdown of Ecad in the SPG

suggesting that Ncad and CadN2 are not only redundant with each other but also perform some

of the same functions as Ecad. To test if Ecad and Ncad are redundant, we performed a double

knockdown of Ncad and Ecad in the SPG (*moody>Ncad-RNAi, Ecad-RNAi)*. Knockdown of

Ncad significantly enhanced the Ecad-RNAi phenotypes compared to either RNAi line alone

(n=6 larvae) (Fig. 7E). To further test if all three cadherins perform similar functions in the

peripheral glia, we knocked down Ecad in conjunction with a heterozygous deficiency

(*Df(2L)BSC148*) that deletes both Ncad and CadN2 (*CadN[Df]/+; moody>Ecad-RNAi*). The

reduction of both Ncad genes strongly enhanced the Ecad RNAi phenotypes (Fig. 7C-C") (n=10

larvae) with gaps or breaks within the SJ domain (yellow arrowheads) and aggregates of the

NrxIV::GFP protein (white arrowheads). The penetrance of the gaps or breaks present within the

SJs was significantly greater compared to control, Ecad-RNAi alone or the Ecad+Ncad double

RNAi combination (Fig 7E). In summary these results suggest that Ecad, Ncad and CadN2 are

partially redundant in the peripheral glia.

Dlg5 and Cadherins function in the same pathway and underlie spot AJ formation

The knockdown of Ecad alone or in combination with loss of Ncad specifically in the SPG

phenocopied the SJ phenotypes observed with the knockdown of Dlg5 and this led to the

hypothesis that these proteins might function in the same or parallel pathways. To test this, we

combined Dlg5 and Ecad RNAi lines and assessed the effects of simultaneous knockdown on SJ

formation. We observed a strong enhancement of the Ecad-RNAi phenotypes by Dlg5-RNAi

(Fig. 7D-D") (n=13 larvae) where the percentage of nerves affected with Dlg5+Ecad-RNAi were

significantly greater than Ecad-RNAi alone (n=6 larvae) and Ecad+Ncad-RNAi (Fig. 7E). The

effects of Dlg5+Ecad-RNAi were on par with the severity and penetrance of we observed with

Ecad-RNAi plus the heterozygous Ncad+CadN2 deficiency. These results suggest that Dlg5 and

Cadherin function synergistically in the SPG and that Dlg5 may play a role in Cadherin and sAJ

formation in Drosophila glia.

We attempted to test the effects of Dlg5 knockdown on the formation of sAJ using

immunolabeling with Ecad::cherry and Ncad::cherry, however this analysis was difficult due to

the distribution of Cadherin puncta within all three glial layers. To better assess the effects on

sAJ, we used TEM analysis of Dlg5-RNAi knockdown using the pan-glial driver (*repo>Dlg5-*

RNAi, Dicer2) and assessed for the presence of spot Adherens junctions (sAJ) (Fig. 8). In

controls (*repo>Dicer2*)(n=3 larvae) we observed sAJ between the multiple glial layers,

specifically between the PG and SPG (yellow) and between SPG and WG (green) with an

average of 1.8 sAJ per section for each (Fig. 8E; red circles). We observed rare instances of sAJ

between WG processes and found sAJ between SPG only when two SPG where present (Fig.

8E). Knockdown of Dlg5 (*repo>Dlg5-RNAi*) lead to a significant reduction in the sAJ between

both the PG-SPG and the SPG-WG membranes (on average 0.3 and 0.5 per section respectively)

(Fig. 8A,B) (n=2 larvae). This was similar to the loss of sAJ between the glial layers when Ecad-

RNAi was expressed (*repo>Ecad-RNAi, Dicer2*) (Fig. 8C,D) where the presence of sAJ

decreased to an average of 0.1 between PG-SPG and 0.3 between SPG-WG per section (n=5

larvae). Our data suggest that knockdown of Dlg5 or Ecad have the same effects resulting in a

reduction in spot adherens junctions between the glial layers.

Loss of Dlg5 and Ecad disrupts the blood-brain barrier and larval locomotion

Glial septate junctions form the blood-barrier in the Drosophila CNS and PNS. Given the strong

effects of loss of both Dlg5 and the Cadherins on the septate junctions, we next tested for

changes to the blood-brain barrier using a dextran dye assay (Fig. 9). Dye was blocked as

expected from entry into the brain lobes and ventral nerve cord of the CNS in controls (*moody-*

GAL4) (Fig. 9A)(n=30 larvae), while knockdown of NrxIV in the positive control

(*moody>NrxIV-RNAi*) disrupted the SJ and led to significant dye penetration (Fig. 9B, F)(n=2

larvae). Knockdown of Ecad but not Dlg5 lead to a small but significant increase in the

penetration of dye into the CNS (Fig. 9C,D,F) (n=72 and 53 larvae respectively) and the double

knockdown of Dlg5+Ecad (*moody>Dlg5-RNAi, Ecad-RNAi*) (n=70 larvae) had significantly

more dye penetration compared to either RNAi alone (Fig. 9E,F).

We wanted to determine if the changes to the glial layers, the septate junctions and the

disruption of the blood-brain barrier affected nervous system function. To this end, we measured

various parameters of locomotor behavior (Fig. 10) including the total distance and the average

speed at which 3rd instar larvae travelled. We found that in controls (*moody-GAL4* crossed to

w[1118]), larvae travelled on average a total distance of 67mm at an average speed of 1.12

mm/sec (Fig. 10A, F-G). We used the knockdown of NrxIV (*moody>NrxIV-RNAi*), which

disrupts SJs and the blood-barrier as a positive control and larvae motion was significantly

compromised (Fig. 10E-G). When compared to control larvae, both parameters were

significantly reduced after Ecad knockdown (*moody>Ecad-RNAi*)(Fig. 10C,F,G) and Dlg5

knockdown (*moody>Dlg5-RNAi*)(Fig.10B,F,G). The combined knockdown of Dlg5 and Ecad

(*moody>Ecad-RNAi, Dlg5-RNAi*) significantly reduced locomotion (Fig. 10D,F,G) compared to

Ecad-RNAi or Dlg5-RNAi alone and matched the disruption in locomotion observed with

NrxIV-RNAi. Our results suggest that the disruption of the SJ domains leads to changes in the

integrity of the blood-brain barrier and to changes in animal locomotion.

Loss of Dlg5 or Ecad disrupts Scrib localization

Dlg5 has been implicated in establishing apical-basal polarity in follicular epithelial cells where

loss of Dlg5 generates epithelia polarity defects by promoting the apical membrane localization

of Crumbs (Luo et al., 2016). We were unable to detect Crumbs in peripheral glia and Crumbs

RNAi mediated knockdown had no effect on glial morphology or SJs (Fig. 11F). Similarly, we

failed to detect Par-3 (bazooka) or Par-6 in the peripheral glia and RNAi knockdown of the

apical polarity proteins, Par3 or Patj had no effect (data not shown). However, both Dlg1 and

Scrib are present in the peripheral glia and both regulate epithelia polarity in many cells as the

basolateral polarity complex (Humbert et al., 2003). Therefore, we tested the effects of loss of

Dlg5 or Ecad on Scrib in the SPG (Fig. 11). In control nerves, Scrib is found at the SJ and forms

a single continuous line along the length of the nerve (Fig. 11A). Loss of Dlg5, Ecad and

Drosophila beta-catenin (*Armadillo, arm*) lead to a disruption of the Scrib distribution such that

Scrib was now displaced across the SPG (Fig. 11B-D) and this was observed in the CadN

deficiency heterozygote paired with Ecad-RNAi (*CadN[Df]/+, Gli-GAL4>Ecad-RNAi*) (Fig.

11E). Severe mislocalization of Scrib was accompanied by swelling of the SPG membrane and

increased width of the peripheral nerve. The effect on the SPG and SJ were observed in TEM

analysis of Ecad-RNAi knockdown in all glia (*repo>Ecad-RNAi, Dicer2*). Here the SPG

generated anomalous layers of membrane (Fig. 11I, K) or extensive holes within the membrane

(Fig. 11J) and examples of SJ that were spread over multiple layers with loops of SJ generated

(Fig. 11H). Overall, our results point to a role for Dlg5 and Cadherins in mediating Scrib protein

distribution in the glia where loss leads to disruption of Scrib, disruption of the SJ domain and

changes to the integrity of the SPG layer.

Discussion:

Our aim was to discover PDZ domain scaffolding proteins that play a role in glial ensheathment

of peripheral axons and identified six genes with roles in glial morphology. We found that

Discs-large 5 (Dlg5) has a role in subperineurial glia and septate junction morphology. To

investigate the role of Dlg5 further we focused on cadherins and found that loss of N-Cadherin

and E-Cadherin phenocopied the loss of Dlg5 leading to gaps in the SPG and septate junctions.

This leads to a model where Dlg5 plays a role in conjunction with Cadherins in glial membrane

stabilization and SJ formation in the subperineurial glia.

Dlg5 performs multiple independent functions in both mammals and Drosophila. Dlg5 has a

wide range of functions including regulation of cell proliferation, polarity, growth, and

trafficking of junctional complexes and these functions are are highly context specific (Kwan et

al., 2016; Liu et al., 2017; Venugopal et al., 2020).

1. Polarity: In Drosophila, Dlg5 plays a role in establishing apical-basal polarity in follicular

epithelia where Dlg5 localizes at the apical membrane and adherens junction in early egg

chambers and where loss of Dlg5 generates epithelia polarity defects (Luo et al., 2016). Here

Dlg5 promotes the apical membrane localization of Crumbs to establish polarity. Our data

suggests that in the context of glial cells, Dlg5 also may play a role in maintaining cell polarity

as we observed changes to the SJ and the distribution of Dlg and Scrib. However, unlike the

follicular epithelial cells, Dlg5 most likely does not function through changes to Crumbs in the

SPG as peripheral glia do not express Crumbs and knockdown of Crumbs had no effect (data not

shown). Glial cells are likely polarized by a different mechanism in a Crumbs independent

manner. We also failed to detect Par-3 or Par-6 in the peripheral glia and RNAi knockdown of

these apical polarity proteins had no effect. The unconventional polarization mechanism adopted

by glia could be attributed to differences in the architecture of glial cells compared to epithelia.

We did find that Sdt a component of the Crumbs complex is required in the peripheral glia,

which raises the question as to whether Sdt interacts with non-canonical partners to perform

similar functions as seen in epithelial cells or whether Sdt might have an entirely different and

novel function in glial cells. The latter is more likely as Sdt knockdown does not affect SJ

morphology.

2. Scaffolding of junctional complexes: Another point of Dlg5 function could be through the

interface between Cadherin function and the glial cytoskeleton or in the assembly of spot

adherens junctions. Dlg5 links the vinexin-vinculin complex and beta-catenin at sites of cell-cell

contact in mammalian fibroblasts and epithelial cells (Wakabayashi et al., 2003). Loss of Dlg5 in

the SPG resulted in the reduction in the number of spot adherens junctions and enhanced the

SPG defects generated by loss of Cadherins. However, the degree of overlap between Dlg5 and

Cadherins in the 3rd instar larvae was not extensive suggesting a role of Dlg5 in the construction

of the sAJs rather than the maintenance and ongoing stability of these junctions. This mirrors

prior observations where Dlg5 was necessary for the trafficking of N-cad/beta-catenin complexes

to the membrane (Nechiporuk et al., 2007).

It is possible that Dlg5 function with regards to junctional complexes is highly context

specific. In the Drosophila ovary, Dlg5 is found associated with basolateral SJ proteins

(including Dlg1, Neuroglian, ATPα and Lachesin) and to a smaller extent the AJ in border cells

(Luo et al., 2019), and Dlg5 was localized with Dlg1 in stage 10 follicular epithelia (Luo et al.,

2016). The loss of Dlg5 disrupted the distribution of Arm (Liu et al., 2014b) (beta-catenin) in

border cells (Luo et al., 2019) and E-Cadherin in follicular cells (Luo et al., 2016), yet had no

effect on the distribution of Dlg1 or the SJ protein Neuroglian (Luo et al., 2019). In wing discs,

Dlg5 mutants have a reduction of apical domain determinants but not a loss of cell polarity and a

very specific defect in the recruitment of N-Cadherin to adherens junctions but not E-Cadherin

(Venugopal et al., 2020). Our results shown that in the SPG, Dlg5 was not found associated with

any junctional complex except with Ncad and Ecad and was excluded from the SJ. As well loss

of Dlg5 resulted in the disruption of both sAJ and SJ morphology suggesting a global defect in

cell polarity rather than a specific disruption to a single junctional domain.

3. Cell proliferation and growth: In many cell types, Dlg5 controls cell proliferation (Nakamura

et al., 1998; Liu et al., 2017) where Dlg5-knockdown cells grow faster. Dlg5 function appears to

be highly cell specific as Dlg5 can function either as tumour suppressor or tumour enhancer in

different cancer cell lines (Andolfi et al., 2022) (Liu et al., 2014b). As SPG are polyploid cells

and do not undergo mitosis, we do not think the role of Dlg5 is to control SPG proliferation.

However, the polyploid SPG are very large cells and polyploidization is a necessary for glial

growth to matching the expanding CNS and is required for a functional blood–brain barrier

(Unhavaithaya and Orr-Weaver, 2012). A key component in the SPG size increase is the Hippo

pathway (Liu et al., 2017). The Hippo signaling pathway is a key regulator of organ size and

tissue homeostasis in many tissues (Limmer et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2015; Li and Guan, 2022) and

is a highly conserved kinase cascade that regulates the transcriptional regulator Yorkie

(Comoletti et al., 2003) (aka YAP and TAZ in mammals) specifically by inactivating Yorkie

through phosphorylation and degradation. In Drosophila, Yorkie is necessary early in

development for normal glial cell numbers and expansion (Reddy and Irvine, 2011), likely

through the regulation of the microRNA gene bantam which promotes Myc expression for cell

proliferation. Yorkie is also important later in the expansive growth of SPG and is regulated by

the microRNA miR-285 (Li et al., 2017). Loss of *miR-285* and increased Yorkie activity in the

SPG leads to increased DNA ploidy and nuclear size, while loss of Yorkie activity causes

abnormal endoreplication, aberrant DNA ploidy, defective septate junctions and BBB disruption.

The conclusion being that the Hippo pathway plays a key role in controlling SPG growth, SJ and

BBB formation (Li et al., 2017).

There is evidence that Dlg5 can regulate Hippo signaling, where loss of Dlg5 leads to

activation of hippo signaling in multiple mammalian tissues and in Drosophila. Dlg5 can bind

directly to and inhibit the Yorkie regulator kinase Mst (Kwan et al., 2016) such that loss of Dlg5

leads to hyperactivation of Mst leading to less Yorkie and less proliferation/tissue growth. In

Drosophila muscle development, Dlg5 acts indirectly on Hippo signaling as a regulator of the

STRIPAK phosphatase, which negatively regulates Hippo to enable post-mitotic muscle growth

(Kaya-Copur et al., 2021). In the Drosophila eye and imaginal wing epithelia, loss of Dlg5 leads

to reduced size of these organs, and is thought to act as a negative regulator of Hippo signalling

(Kwan et al., 2016; Venugopal et al., 2020), meaning that loss of Dlg5 leads to increased Hippo

signaling and decreased Yorkie activation. In the SPG a decrease in Yorkie causes abnormal

endoreplication, aberrant DNA ploidy, defective septate junctions and BBB disruption (Li et al.,

2017). Our results found that loss of Dlg5 and Cadherins leads to SJ and BBB disruption

suggesting that, if Hippo is involved, in the SPG loss of Dlg5 leads to a decrease in Yorkie

activity and an increase in Hippo signaling. Given that the polypoid SPG do not divide but

rather grow in size, it is possible that loss of Dlg5 leads to reduced growth in SPG which

manifests as hole-like structures in the SPG and the SJ. However, whether Dlg5 regulates SPG

and SJ development through Hippo pathway or other pathways remains to be elucidated.

Figures

Figure 1: Identification of PDZ proteins required in glial cells of the peripheral nerve.
A: Summary of PDZ protein RNAi screen. Details of RNAi lines used to target each candidate gene can be found in Table 1. Each box represents an individual RNAi line with green meaning wild type and magenta representing abnormal glial phenotypes.
B-G: Longitudinal sections of peripheral nerves from control and selections of positive candidate identified in the RNAi screen. All glial membranes labeled with mCD8::RFP (green) and axons immunolabeled with anti-Futsch (22C10; magenta). The pan-glial driver repo-GAL4 was used and crossed to:
(B-B") Control (*w[1118]*) peripheral nerve.
(C-C") Rho-GEF2-RNAi. Swollen regions are indicated (C', yellow arrowheads) and these swellings were accompanied by defasciculation of the axons in that region (white arrowheads, C'').
(D-D") Dlg1-RNAi. Glial membranes were disrupted (D', yellow arrowhead).
(E-E") Scrib-RNAi. Glial membranes were abnormal with accumulations (E', yellow arrowhead).
(F-F") Loco-RNAi. Peripheral nerves were swollen and vacuole-like structures were observed within the glial membranes (F', yellow arrowheads).
(G-G") Dlg5-RNAi. Peripheral nerves had disrupted inner glial membranes (G', yellow arrowheads). The morphology of axons in these nerves were unaffected (G'').
Scale bars: 15μm

Figure 2. Knockdown of Dlg5 in the peripheral glial leads to disruptions of the SPG and WG.
A-B: Endogenously tagged Dlg5 (Dlg5::GFP, green) reveals expression within glia and axons in the PNS. All glial membranes labeled with mCD8::RFP (repo-GAL4, magenta)(A) or with axons immunolabeled with anti-Futsch (22C10, magenta)(B).
C-D: Perineurial glia. The PG driver 46F-GAL4 crossed to w[1118] (C) or Dlg5-RNAi (D). The PG membranes (mCD8::RFP, green) and axons (magenta) in both appeared normal in longitudinal and cross sections.
E-F: Subperineurial glia. The SPG driver Gli-GAL4 crossed to w[1118](E) or Dlg5-RNAi (F) SPG membranes were labeled with mCD8::RFP (green) and WG membranes with Nrv2::GFP (magenta). The SPG membrane in control (**E'**) was continuous along the length of the nerve. In Dlg5-RNAi nerves (**G'**), the SPG was disrupted with breaks/gaps in the membrane (yellow arrowheads). The WG in both control (E'') and Dlg5-RNAi (G'') nerves extended normal processes along the length of the nerve. An SPG nuclei (asterisk) is indicated.
G-H: Wrapping glia. The WG driver Nrv2-GAL4 crossed to w[1118] (G) and Dlg5-RNAi (**H**). The WG membranes were labeled with mCD8::RFP (green) and axons labeled with anti-Futsch (22C10, magenta). WG in control nerves (G") extended normal processes along the length of the nerve and in cross-sections surrounded the axons (magenta). WG in Dlg5-RNAi nerves had fewer and disrupted processes (H", yellow arrowheads). In cross-sections the WG processes did not extend around the axons (yellow arrowhead).
Scale bars: 15μm

Figure 3. Knockdown of Dlg5 in the SPG disrupts septate junction and membrane morphology.
The SPG drivers Gli-GAL4 (A-C) or SPG-GAL4 (E,F) driving mCD8::RFP (magenta) crossed
to control (w[1118]) or Dlg5-RNAi. Scale bars: 15μm
A-B: Gli-GAL4 with NrxIV::GFP. Control (**A**) and Dlg5-RNAi (**B**) peripheral nerves with the
SPG membrane labeled with mCD8::RFP (magenta) and SJs labelled with NrxIV::GFP (green).
The SJs (**A'**) and SPG membranes (**A"**) were continuous. In *Dlg5-RNAi* nerves SJ strands were
disrupted (B'), which corresponded to the disruptions in the SPG membrane (B" yellow
arrowheads).
C-D: Gli-GAL4. Control (**A**) and Dlg5-RNAi (**B**) peripheral nerves with the SPG membrane
labeled with mCD8::RFP (magenta) and SJs immunolabelled for Nrv2.1 (green. The SJs (C')
and SPG membranes (C") were continuous. In Dlg5-RNAi nerves SJ strands were disrupted
(D''), which corresponded to the disruptions in the SPG membrane (B" yellow arrowheads).
E: SPG-GAL4, NrxIV::GFP. Dicer 2 enhanced the *Dlg5-RNAi phenotypes where* NrxIV and SJs
formed accumulations (**E'**), which corresponded to accumulations and disruption in the SPG
membrane (E" yellow arrowheads).
F: Quantification of the SJ disruptions. The number of nerves per animal with disrupted SJs
were quantified for SPG-GAL4 crossed to w[1118] (control) and Dlg5-RNAi or Dicer2 and
Dicer2, Dlg5-RNAi. The mean and standard deviation are indicated. Statistical significance was
determined by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's *post hoc* multiple comparison test for each group
(*$p \leq 0.5$, **** $p \leq 0.0001$)
629
630

Figure 4. N-Cadherin and E-Cadherin are expressed in glial cells of the larval peripheral nerve
A-B: Control 3rd instar peripheral nerves with Ncad::mCherry (magenta, A,A") and Ecad::cherry
(magenta, B,B"). The glial membranes were labeled with mCD8::GFP (green). Both Cadherins
were distributed throughout the nerve indicated in the cross-sections of each peripheral nerve
(yellow arrowheads).
C: Longitudinal sections of peripheral nerves with Ncad::mCherry (magenta, C,C') and
Ecad::GFP (green, C,C"). Some but not all Ncad overlaps with Ecad puncta (yellow
arrowheads).
D,E: TEM analysis of control (repo-GAL4) nerve with the three glial layers falsed coloured:
WG (green), SPG (magenta), PG (cyan). Spot adherens junctions are indicated (red circles).
Scale bars: 1 μm
F,G: Longitudinal sections of peripheral nerves with Dlg5::GFP (green) and Ncad::mCherry
(magenta, F,F') and Ecad::mCherry (magenta, G,G'). Some but not all Dlg5 overlaps Ncad and
Ecad puncta (yellow arrowheads).
Scale bars: 15μm
646
647

Figure 5. Knockdown of Ecad in the WG and SPG affects glial morphology.
A-B: All glia. repo-GAL4 crossed to Dicer2 (control) (A) and Ecad-RNAi, Dicer2 (B)
Peripheral nerves with all glial membranes labeled with mCD8::GFP (green). SJ were
immunolabeled with Dlg1 (magenta). Glial membranes (A') and a linear SJ (A") extends along
the length of the nerve in control. With Ecad-RNAi, glial membranes are disrupted (yellow
arrowheads, B,B') and vacuole-like or hole-like structures observed (white arrowheads, B'B")
and the SJ disorganized.

C-D: Wrapping glia. Nrv2-GAL4 crossed to Dicer2 (control)(C) and Ecad, Dicer2 (D).
Longitudinal sections of peripheral nerves with WG membranes labeled with mCD8::GFP
(green) and axons immunolabeled with anti-Futsch (22C10, magenta). The WG membranes
continuously extend along the length of control (green, C,C'). With Ecad-RNAi, WG membranes
were discontinuous and disrupted (yellow arrowheads, D,D'). The axon morphology in Ecad-
RNAi peripheral nerves looked similar to controls (magenta, D,D'').
E-F: Subperineurial glia. Gli-GAL4 crossed to Dicer 2 (control)(E) and Ecad-RNAi, Dicer2 (F)
with the SPG membrane labeled with mCD8::RFP (magenta) and the SJ immunolabeled with
Dlg1 (green). The SPG membrane is continuous along the length of control (magenta, E'') and
the SJ forms a continuous line (E'). In Ecad-RNAi nerves the membrane was discontinuous and
disrupted (yellow arrowheads, F'') and whereas Dlg1 was lost in regions of the nerve where the
SPG membrane was disrupted. Scale bars: 15μm
667
668
Figure 6. Knockdown of Ecad in the SPG disrupts the septate junctions.
Longitudinal sections of 3rd instar peripheral nerves with the SPG driver, moody-GAL4 driving
mCD8::RFP (magenta) to label the glial membranes and with NrxIV::GFP (green) to mark the
SJ. Scale bars: 15μm
A: Control. Moody-GAL4 crossed to w[1118]. Glial membranes (A'') and a linear SJ (A')
extends along the length of the nerve in control.
B: Quantification of the Ecad-RNAi effects on the SJ. The percentage of nerves affected per
larvae were determined for each genotype. The mean and standard deviation are indictated.
Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's *post hoc* multiple
comparison test for each RNAi compared to the control (**** $p \leq 0.0001$).
C-D: Ecad-RNAi. Moody-GAL4 crossed with Ecad-RNAi. The SJ and glial membranes
contained breaks or holes in the immunolabeling (yellow arrowheads). D represents a digital
magnification (200%) of C to highlight the membrane and SJ breaks.
E-F: Ecad-RNAi plus Dicer2. Moody-GAL4 crossed with Ecad-RNAi and Dicer2 to enhance the
RNAi efficiency. NrxIV formed aggregates in the membrane which appeared collapsed (yellow
arrowheads). F represents a digital magnification (200%) of E to highlight the NrxIV
aggregates.
686
687
Figure 7. Knockdown of Dlg5, Ecad and Ncad disrupts septate junctions.
Longitudinal sections of 3rd instar peripheral nerves with the SPG driver, moody-GAL4 driving
mCD8::RFP (magenta) to label the glial membranes and with NrxIV::GFP (green) to mark the
SJ. Scale bars: 15μm
A: Control. Moody-GAL4 crossed to w[1118]. Glial membranes (A'') and a linear SJ (A')
extends along the length of the nerve in control.
B: Ncad-RNAi, CadN2-RNAi. Moody-GAL4 crossed with Ncad-RNAi, CadN2-RNAi. The SJ
(B') and glial membranes (B'') contained breaks or holes (yellow arrowheads).
C: Ecad-RNAi, CadN-Df/+. Moody-GAL4 crossed with Ecad-RNAi and a deficiency that
uncovers both Ncad and CadN2. NrxIV formed aggregates (C') and those corresponded to
membrane concentrations (white arrowhead). Disrupted of the SJ occurred in the same places as
loss or holes in the glial membrane (yellow arrowheads).

D: Dlg5-RNAi, Ecad-RNAi. Moody-GAL4 crossed with Dlg5 and Ecad-RNAi. NrxIV formed
aggregates (C') and those corresponded to membrane concentrations (white arrowhead). SJ were
reduced and large holes were observed in the glial membranes (yellow arrowheads).
E: Quantification of the RNAi effects on the SJ. The percentage of nerves affected per larvae
were determined for each genotype. The mean and standard deviation are indicated. Statistical
significance was determined by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's *post hoc* multiple comparison
test for each RNAi compared across multiple genotypes. p values compared to control are
indicated above each genotype. (*$p \leq$0.5, **$p \leq$0.01, *** $p \leq$0.001, **** $p \leq$0.0001, non-
significant differences are not indicated)
709
710
Figure 8. Knockdown of Dlg5 and Ecad leads to loss of spot adherens junctions**.**
Transmission electron microscope images of repo-GAL crossed to Dlg5-RNAi (A-B), Ecad-
RNAi (C-D) or w1118 (E) with the SPG and WG layers false coloured (SPG: magenta; WG:
green). The outer PG layer and the inner axons are not coloured. Spot adherens junctions are
indicated with a red circle. Unusual gaps within the SPG or between the SPG and WG are
indicated with an asterisk (red). Scale bars: 1 μm.
F: Quantification of the number of spot adherens junctions identified between the PG and SPG
membranes and the SPG and WG membranes. The mean and standard deviation are indicated.
Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's *post hoc* multiple
comparison test for each group compared to control (**** $p \leq$0.0001)
721
722
Figure 9: Knockdown of Dlg5 and Ecad impairs blood-brain barrier integrity.
A-D: Fire LUT showing blood-brain permeability assay using moody-
GAL4>mCD8::GFP crossed to w[1118] (control)(A), Dlg5-RNAi (B), Ecad-RNAi (C), Dlg5-
RNAi, Ecad-RNAi (D) or NrxIV-RNAi (E). White arrows highlight regions of significant dye
labeling of the neuropile. Scale bars: 150 μm.
F: Quantification of mean fluorescent intensity inside the brain lobe. The mean and standard
deviation are indicated. Dye penetration for NrxIV-RNAi was determined at a lower laser
intensity than the other genotypes. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA
with Tukey's *post hoc* multiple comparison test for each group (*$p \leq$0.5, **$p \leq$0.01,
*** $p \leq$0.001, **** $p \leq$0.0001, non-significant differences are not indicated).
733
734
Figure 10. Loss of Dlg5 and Ecad in the SPG impairs animal locomotion
A-E: Movement trajectories of 3rd instar larvae from moody-GAL4 flies crossed with w[1118]
(A), Dlg5-RNAi (B), Ecad-RNAi (C), Dlg5-RNAi, Ecad-RNAi (D), and NrxIV-RNAi (E). Scale
bars: 10 mm.
F-G: Comparison of average speed (F) and total travel distance (G) of moody-GAL4 driving
Dlg5-RNAi, Ecad-RNAi, Dlg5+Ecad-RNAi, NrxIV-RNAi and control, w[1118]. The mean and
standard deviation are indicated. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA
with Tukey's *post hoc* multiple comparison test for each group (*$p \leq$0.5, **$p \leq$0.01,
*** $p \leq$0.001, **** $p \leq$0.0001, non-significant differences are not indicated).
744
745

Figure 11: Loss of Dlg5, Ecad or Arm disrupts the distribution of Scrib

A-F: Longitudinal sections of 3rd instar nerves with the SPG driver Gli-GAL4 driving

mCD8::RFP (magenta) to label glial membranes and with Scrib::GFP (green). Scale bars: 15µm

A: Control. Gli-GAL4 crossed to w[1118]. Scrib (A") is located to the SJ (yellows arrows),

which extended a single, continuous strand along the SPG membrane (A") in control nerves.

B-E: RNAi knockdown. Gli >Arm-RNAi, Dicer2 (B), Gli >Ecad-RNAi, Dicer2 (C), Gli >Dlg5-

RNAi (D), CadNDf/+, Gli>Ecad-RNAi (E). Scrib is randomly distributed across the glial

membrane in fine processes that extended far beyond the SJ domain (yellow arrows).

F: Gli>Crumbs-RNAi. Crumbs knockdown has no effect on Scrib or SJ formation (yellow

arrows).

G-K: TEM analysis of peripheral nerves with the pan-glial driver repo-GAL4. Glial layers are

false coloured - SPG (magenta), WG (green). Loss of Ecad leads to overlapping layers of SPG

(H) compared to the normal single layers in wild type (G). Extensive overlapping processes or

holes within the SPG wrap were observed (I-K). Scale bars = 1 µm

References:

Andolfi C, Tiribelli C, Pascut D (2022) Recent Hints on the Dual Role of Discs Large MAGUK Scaffold Protein 5 in Cancers and in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Front Biosci (Landmark Ed) 27:164.

Aranjuez G, Kudlaty E, Longworth MS, McDonald JA (2012) On the role of PDZ domain-encoding genes in Drosophila border cell migration. G3 2:1379-1391.

Auld VJ, Fetter RD, Broadie K, Goodman CS (1995) Gliotactin, a novel transmembrane protein on peripheral glia, is required to form the blood-nerve barrier in Drosophila. Cell 81:757-767.

Bao L, Samuels S, Locovei S, Macagno ER, Muller KJ, Dahl G (2007) Innexins form two types of channels. FEBS letters 581:5703-5708.

Baumgartner S, Littleton JT, Broadie K, Bhat MA, Harbecke R, Lengyel JA, Chiquet-Ehrismann R, Prokop A, Bellen HJ (1996) A Drosophila neurexin is required for septate junction and blood-nerve barrier formation and function. Cell 87:1059-1068.

Bhat MA (2003) Molecular organization of axo-glial junctions. Curr Opin Neurobiol 13:552-559.

Brooks DS, Vishal K, Kawakami J, Bouyain S, Geisbrecht ER (2016) Optimization of wrMTrck to monitor Drosophila larval locomotor activity. J Insect Physiol 93-94:11-17.

Brower DL (1984) Posterior-to-anterior transformation in engrailed wing imaginal disks of Drosophila. Nature 310:496-497.

Buszczak M, Paterno S, Lighthouse D, Bachman J, Planck J, Owen S, Skora AD, Nystul TG, Ohlstein B, Allen A, Wilhelm JE, Murphy TD, Levis RW, Matunis E, Srivali N, Hoskins RA, Spradling AC (2007) The carnegie protein trap library: a versatile tool for Drosophila developmental studies. Genetics 175:1505-1531.

Chen S, Rio C, Ji RR, Dikkes P, Coggeshall RE, Woolf CJ, Corfas G (2003) Disruption of ErbB receptor signaling in adult non-myelinating Schwann cells causes progressive sensory loss. Nat Neurosci 6:1186-1193.

Comoletti D, Flynn R, Jennings LL, Chubykin A, Matsumura T, Hasegawa H, Sudhof TC, Taylor P (2003) Characterization of the interaction of a recombinant soluble neuroligin-1 with neurexin-1beta. J Biol Chem 278:50497-50505.

Dietzl G, Chen D, Schnorrer F, Su KC, Barinova Y, Fellner M, Gasser B, Kinsey K, Oppel S, Scheiblauer S, Couto A, Marra V, Keleman K, Dickson BJ (2007) A genome-wide transgenic RNAi library for conditional gene inactivation in Drosophila. Nature 448:151-156.

Harris BZ, Lim WA (2001) Mechanism and role of PDZ domains in signaling complex assembly. J Cell Sci 114:3219-3231.

Humbert P, Russell S, Richardson H (2003) Dlg, Scribble and Lgl in cell polarity, cell proliferation and cancer. Bioessays 25:542-553.

Kaya-Copur A, Marchiano F, Hein MY, Alpern D, Russeil J, Luis NM, Mann M, Deplancke B, Habermann BH, Schnorrer F (2021) The Hippo pathway controls myofibril assembly and muscle fiber growth by regulating sarcomeric gene expression. Elife 10.

Kelso RJ, Buszczak M, Quinones AT, Castiblanco C, Mazzalupo S, Cooley L (2004) Flytrap, a database documenting a GFP protein-trap insertion screen in Drosophila melanogaster. Nucleic Acids Research 32:D418-D420.

Kwan J, Sczaniecka A, Heidary Arash E, Nguyen L, Chen C-C, Ratkovic S, Klezovitch O,
Attisano L, McNeill H, Emili A, Vasioukhin V (2016) DLG5 connects cell polarity and
Hippo signaling protein networks by linking PAR-1 with MST1/2. Genes &
Development 30:2696-2709.
Lee T, Luo L (1999) Mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker for studies of gene function
in neuronal morphogenesis. Neuron 22:451-461.
Li D, Liu Y, Pei C, Zhang P, Pan L, Xiao J, Meng S, Yuan Z, Bi X (2017) miR-285-Yki/Mask
double-negative feedback loop mediates blood-brain barrier integrity in Drosophila.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
114:E2365-E2374.
Li FL, Guan KL (2022) The two sides of Hippo pathway in cancer. Semin Cancer Biol 85:33-42.
Limmer S, Weiler A, Volkenhoff A, Babatz F, Klambt C (2014) The Drosophila blood-brain
barrier: development and function of a glial endothelium. Front Neurosci 8:365.
Liu J, Li J, Ren Y, Liu P (2014a) DLG5 in cell polarity maintenance and cancer development.
International journal of biological sciences 10:543-549.
Liu J, Li J, Ren Y, Liu P (2014b) DLG5 in cell polarity maintenance and cancer development.
Int J Biol Sci 10:543-549.
Liu J, Li J, Li PP, Wang YC, Liang ZY, Jiang YN, Li J, Feng C, Wang RQ, Chen H, Zhou C,
Zhang JM, Yang J, Liu PJ (2017) Loss of DLG5 promotes breast cancer malignancy by
inhibiting the Hippo signaling pathway. Scientific reports 7.
Luo J, Zhou P, Guo X, Wang D, Chen J (2019) The polarity protein Dlg5 regulates collective
cell migration during Drosophila oogenesis. PLoS One 14:e0226061.
Luo J, Wang H, Kang D, Guo X, Wan P, Wang D, Chen J (2016) Dlg5 maintains apical polarity
by promoting membrane localization of Crumbs during Drosophila oogenesis. Scientific
reports 6:26553.
Matzat T, Sieglitz F, Kottmeier R, Babatz F, Engelen D, Klambt C (2015) Axonal wrapping in
the Drosophila PNS is controlled by glia-derived neuregulin homolog Vein. Development
142:1336-1345.
Morin X, Daneman R, Zavortink M, Chia W (2001) A protein trap strategy to detect GFP-tagged
proteins expressed from their endogenous loci in Drosophila. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
98:15050-15055.
Nakamura H, Sudo T, Tsuiki H, Miyake H, Morisaki T, Sasaki J, Masuko N, Kochi M, Ushio Y,
Saya H (1998) Identification of a novel human homolog of the Drosophila dlg, P-dlg,
specifically expressed in the gland tissues and interacting with p55. FEBS Lett 433:63-
67.
Nechiporuk T, Fernandez TE, Vasioukhin V (2007) Failure of epithelial tube maintenance causes
hydrocephalus and renal cysts in Dlg5-/- mice. Developmental cell 13:338-350.
Nussbaum-Krammer CI, Neto MF, Brielmann RM, Pedersen JS, Morimoto RI (2015)
Investigating the spreading and toxicity of prion-like proteins using the metazoan model
organism C. elegans. J Vis Exp:52321.
Prakash S, Caldwell JC, Eberl DF, Clandinin TR (2005) Drosophila N-cadherin mediates an
attractive interaction between photoreceptor axons and their targets. Nature neuroscience
8:443-450.
Reddy BV, Irvine KD (2011) Regulation of Drosophila glial cell proliferation by Merlin-Hippo
signaling. Development 138:5201-5212.

Reilly E, Changela N, Naryshkina T, Deshpande G, Steward R (2015) Discs large 5, an Essential
Gene in Drosophila, Regulates Egg Chamber Organization. G3-Genes Genomes Genetics
5:943-952.
Sarov M et al. (2016) A genome-wide resource for the analysis of protein localisation in
Drosophila. eLife 5:e12068.
Schwabe T, Bainton RJ, Fetter RD, Heberlein U, Gaul U (2005) GPCR signaling is required for
blood-brain barrier formation in drosophila. Cell 123:133-144.
Sepp KJ, Auld VJ (1999) Conversion of lacZ enhancer trap lines to GAL4 lines using targeted
transposition in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 151:1093-1101.
Sepp KJ, Schulte J, Auld VJ (2000) Developmental dynamics of peripheral glia in Drosophila
melanogaster. Glia 30:122-133.
Sepp KJ, Schulte J, Auld VJ (2001) Peripheral glia direct axon guidance across the CNS/PNS
transition zone. Developmental biology 238:47-63.
Smendziuk CM, Messenberg A, Vogl AW, Tanentzapf G (2015) Bi-directional gap junction-
mediated soma-germline communication is essential for spermatogenesis. Development
142:2598-U2111.
Subbaiah VK, Kranjec C, Thomas M, Banks L (2011) PDZ domains: the building blocks
regulating tumorigenesis. The Biochemical journal 439:195-205.
Sun BH, Xu PZ, Salvaterra PM (1999) Dynamic visualization of nervous system in live
Drosophila. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
America 96:10438-10443.
Tonikian R, Zhang Y, Sazinsky SL, Currell B, Yeh JH, Reva B, Held HA, Appleton BA,
Evangelista M, Wu Y, Xin X, Chan AC, Seshagiri S, Lasky LA, Sander C, Boone C,
Bader GD, Sidhu SS (2008) A specificity map for the PDZ domain family. PLoS biology
6:e239.
Unhavaithaya Y, Orr-Weaver TL (2012) Polyploidization of glia in neural development links
tissue growth to blood-brain barrier integrity. Genes Dev 26:31-36.
Venken KJ, Schulze KL, Haelterman NA, Pan H, He Y, Evans-Holm M, Carlson JW, Levis RW,
Spradling AC, Hoskins RA, Bellen HJ (2011) MiMIC: a highly versatile transposon
insertion resource for engineering Drosophila melanogaster genes. Nat Methods 8:737-
743.
Venugopal P, Veyssiere H, Couderc JL, Richard G, Vachias C, Mirouse V (2020) Multiple
functions of the scaffold protein Discs large 5 in the control of growth, cell polarity and
cell adhesion in Drosophila melanogaster. BMC Dev Biol 20:10.
Vincent JB, Kolozsvari D, Roberts WS, Bolton PF, Gurling HM, Scherer SW (2004) Mutation
screening of X-chromosomal neuroligin genes: no mutations in 196 autism probands. Am
J Med Genet 129B:82-84.
Wakabayashi M, Ito T, Mitsushima M, Aizawa S, Ueda K, Amachi T, Kioka N (2003)
Interaction of lp-dlg/KIAA0583, a membrane-associated guanylate kinase family protein,
with vinexin and beta-catenin at sites of cell-cell contact. J Biol Chem 278:21709-21714.
Wang SH, Celic I, Choi SY, Riccomagno M, Wang Q, Sun LO, Mitchell SP, Vasioukhin V,
Huganir RL, Kolodkin AL (2014) Dlg5 regulates dendritic spine formation and
synaptogenesis by controlling subcellular N-cadherin localization. The Journal of
neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 34:12745-12761.
Xie X, Auld VJ (2011) Integrins are necessary for the development and maintenance of the glial
layers in the Drosophila peripheral nerve. Development 138:3813-3822.

Yonekura S, Xu L, Ting CY, Lee CH (2007) Adhesive but not signaling activity of Drosophila
N-cadherin is essential for target selection of photoreceptor afferents. Developmental
biology 304:759-770.
Yu FX, Zhao B, Guan KL (2015) Hippo Pathway in Organ Size Control, Tissue Homeostasis,
and Cancer. Cell 163:811-828.
904

A

	1	2	3	4	5	6
baz	green	green	green	green	green	
bbg	green	green				
cno	green	green				
CASK	green	green	green			
cnk	green	green				
dop	green	green				
dlg1	green	magenta	magenta	magenta	magenta	
dlg5	magenta	magenta	magenta	green		
dsh	green	magenta				
dysc	green					
Efa6	green					
Fife	green					
Grip	green	green				
Grasp65	green					
HtrA2	green					
InaD	green					
in	green					
kermit	green	magenta	green			
Lap-1	green	green				
LIMK1	magenta	green				
loco	green	magenta	magenta			
metro	green	green	green			
mhcl	green					

	1	2	3	4	5	6
magi	green	green	green	green		
par-6	green	magenta	green	green	green	
Patj	green	green	green			
PDZ-GEF	green	green				
PICK1	green					
Prosap	green	green				
PsGEF	green					
Ptpmeg	green					
pyd	green	green				
Rim	green	green				
RhoGAP19D	green					
RhoGAP100F	green					
RhoGEF2	magenta	magenta	green			
Rhp	green	green				
scrib	green	green	magenta			
S2P	green	magenta				
Slip1	green	magenta	green			
Spn	green	green				
sprt	green					
Sip1	green	green				
ssp6	green	green				
sif	green	green				
sdt	green	magenta	magenta	magenta		
Syn-1	green					

	1	2	3	4	5	6
Syn-2	green	green	green	green	magenta	
vari	magenta	magenta	green			
veli	green	green	green			
X11L	green					
Zasp52	green	green				
Zasp66	green					
Zasp67	green	magenta				
CG34375	green	green				
CG42312	green					
CG42788	green	green	green	green	green	green
CG6688	green	green				
CG10362	green					
CG15617	green	green				
CG15803	green					
CG32758	green					
CG3402	green	green				
CG43707	green					
CG9588	green	green	green			
CG5921	green					

RNAi-lines

Glial phenotype
- ■ Abnormal (magenta)
- ■ Wild type (green)

repo-GAL4

B w[1118] — mCD8::RFP 22C10 | **B'** mCD8::RFP | **B"** 22C10

C rhoGEF — mCD8::RFP 22C10 | **C'** mCD8::RFP | **C"** 22C10

D dlg1 — mCD8::RFP 22C10 | **D'** mCD8::RFP | **D"** 22C10

E scrib — mCD8::RFP 22C10 | **E'** mCD8::RFP | **E"** 22C10

F loco — mCD8::RFP 22C10 | **F'** mCD8::RFP | **F"** 22C10

G dlg5 — mCD8::RFP 22C10 | **G'** mCD8::RFP | **G"** 22C10

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 8

repo-GAL4

Dlg5-RNAi

A

B

Ecad-RNAi

C

D

Control

E

F

	PG_SPG			SPG_WG	

Number of sAJ

****

****

****

****

w1118   Ecad-RNAi   Dlg5-RNAi   w1118   Ecad-RNAi   Dlg5-RNAi

Figure 9

Figure 10