1

Targeted metagenomics using probe capture detect a larger diversity of nitrogen and methane cycling

genes in complex microbial communities than traditional metagenomics

H.M.P. Siljanen[1,2], L. Manoharan[2a], A.S. Hilts[2], A. Bagnoud[2b], R.J.E. Alves[2c], C.M. Jones[3], M. Kerou[2], F. L. Sousa[2], S. Hallin[3], C. Biasi[1,4], C. Schleper[2]

1. Department of Environmental and Biological Sciences, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland

2. Department of Functional and Evolutionary Ecology, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

3. Department of Forest Mycology and Plant Pathology, Sweden University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden.

4. Department of Ecology, University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, 6020, Austria

Present address:
  a. National Bioinformatics Infrastructure Sweden (NBIS), SciLifeLab, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Lund University, Lund, Sweden.
  b. Membratec S, Ecoprac de Daval C 1, CH-3960 Sierre, Switzerland.
  c. Climate and Ecosystem Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA

Corresponding authors:

Henri Siljanen, henri.siljanen@uef.fi

Christa Schleper, christa.schleper@univie.ac.at

Keywords: metagenomics, nitrogen cycling, methane cycling, probe hybridization targeted metagenomics, PCR amplicon sequencing, shotgun metagenomics

Manuscript contribution to the field

Metagenomic sequencing often results in limited numbers of sequences of rare taxa or functional genes, which prevents in-depth analyses of specific populations and functional groups. Amplicon-based approaches provide more sequences, but the drawback is the difficulty in designing primers that cover the diversity of the target group. Targeted metagenomics overcomes these challenges, as it results in similar community structure as traditional amplicon sequencing does but broadens the sequence space in a less biased metagenomic-based strategy. It is thus a useful tool when studying the diversity of specific populations in complex natural communities. We present and evaluate here a probe library designed for targeted metagenomics of nitrogen and methane cycling genes in complex communities.

Abstract

Microbes are the key players in the global cycling of nitrogen (N) and carbon (C), controlling the availability and fluxes of C and N in ecosystems, as well as being responsible for losses through the emissions of the powerful greenhouse gasses nitrous oxide (N_2O) and methane (CH_4). Thus, characterization of microbial functional guilds involved in these processes is high on the scientific agenda. Yet, standard sequence-based characterization methods often reveal only a minor fraction of their diversity in nature due to their frequent low relative abundance, insufficient sequencing depth of traditional metagenomes of complex communities, and limitations in coverage and efficiency of PCR-based assays. Here, we developed and tested a targeted metagenomic approach based on probe capture and hybridization to simultaneously characterize the diversity of multiple key metabolic genes involved in inorganic N and CH_4 cycling. We designed comprehensive probe libraries for each of 14 target marker genes, comprising 264,000 unique probes in total. These probes were used to selectively enrich the target genes in shotgun metagenomic libraries. In validation experiments with mock communities of cultured microorganisms, the target gene profiles were similar to those of the original community when sequenced with targeted metagenomics. Furthermore, relative abundances of the marker genes obtained by targeted and shotgun metagenomics from agricultural and wetland soils correlated positively, indicating that the targeted approach did not introduce a significant quantitative bias. However, targeted metagenomics generated substantially higher diversity in terms of taxonomic coverage, and a larger number of sequence reads per sample, which allowed 41 or 1.2 times higher diversity estimates than when using shotgun metagenomics or targeted PCR amplification, respectively. Thus, targeted metagenomics complements current approaches by enabling a targeted, more detailed characterization of the diversity of key functional genes involved in N and CH_4 cycling within and between ecosystems.

Introduction

The global nitrogen (N) and carbon (C) cycles are essential processes of the Earth's biosphere (Zaehle 2013, Gruber and Galloway, 2008; Archer 2010; Falkowski et al., 2000; Steffen et al. 2015). All major N transformation processes, crucial for ecosystem functioning (i.e., nitrogen fixation, nitrification, denitrification and anaerobic ammonium oxidation, or anammox), are performed exclusively by functional guilds comprising bacteria, archaea, and eukaryotes (Galloway et al., 2004; Stein and Klotz, 2016; Kuypers et al., 2018; Offre et al. 2013). Their activities regulate N availability for primary producers and

microorganisms across ecosystems, but also result in emissions of the potent greenhouse gas nitrous oxide
(N_2O) and other volatile N compounds mainly through nitrification and denitrification (Barnard, et al.,
2005, Thompson et al., 2012 Prosser et al. 2020). Methane (CH_4) is also a major product of the microbial
trophic chain driving C cycling, and, like most inorganic N compounds, its production and consumption is
also regulated by highly specific groups of microorganisms (Bodelier and Laanbroek 2004, Murrel and
Jetten 2009, Conrad 2009). Importantly, CH_4 is the second most potent greenhouse gas after carbon dioxide
(CO_2), and, together with N_2O, contributes at least 25% of the total global warming caused by greenhouse
gases (Myhre et al., 2013; Friedlingstein et al., 2020; Lan et al., 2023).

Microbes participating in inorganic N and CH_4 cycling typically constitute a small fraction of the total
microbial biomass and are usually rare biosphere organisms in most soil, sediment, and aquatic ecosystems
(Håvelsrud, et al., 2011; Palmer et al., 2012; Aanderud et al., 2015, Nelson et al, 2016, Ouygang and Norton
2020). Traditional 16S rRNA gene-based community analyses suffer from a number of limitations (Alteio
et al. 2021), only in rare cases can be used to infer function from phylogeny, and even then, they can conceal
the striking diversity of functional capabilities of these microorganisms and of the respective functional
enzymatic variants (e.g. *amoA,* Alves et al. 2018; *mcrA*, Borrel 2019). Conversely, they are inadequate in
elucidating processes where the reaction of interest can have a broader taxonomic distribution than
originally thought (e.g. Kuypers et al. 2018, Borrel 2019, Li et al. 2023). Shotgun metagenomics have
traditionally been the method of choice for an unbiased picture of the natural microbiome, if errors due to
sample preparation, DNA extraction, library preparation, and sequencing method are ruled out (Pan et al.,
2010, Nnadozie, Lin and Govinden, 2015; Sinha et al., 2017; Sui et al., 2020). Nevertheless, in complex
and diverse microbial communities such as those in soils and sediments, even deeply-sequenced
metagenomes do not uncover the full diversity of microbial functional guilds involved in inorganic N and
CH_4 cycling, or capture the diversity of sequence variants and properties of key genes, which could be
attributed to their low relative abundances precluding their assembly into MAGs (Palmer et al., 2012,
Orellana et al. 2019, Ouygang and Norton 2020, Zhao et al. 2023), in addition to the high financial and
computational costs of the method. As a low-cost alternative, characterization of specific functional groups
has long relied on PCR amplification of genes that encode key metabolic enzymes, as is well exemplified
for organisms involved in various N and CH_4 cycling pathways (Knief 2015; Brauer et al. 2021; Alves et
al. 2018; Romdhane et al. 2021, Clark et al. 2022). However, the gene diversity captured by one PCR primer
pair per functional gene is limited and potentially biased by the primers used, rendering quantitative
assessments and comparisons between genes and samples difficult (Hallin and Lindgren, 1999; Throbäck
al. 2004; Kolb et al., 2003; Siljanen et al., 2011). Furthermore, in complex microbial communities, target
genes of interest are encoded by a large diversity of organisms, and usually include a large fraction of novel

gene sequence variants from uncharacterized organisms (Jones et al. 2014). The geometric expansion of

genomic data has made it increasingly obvious that designing a single PCR primer pair to target the inherent

diversity of metabolic genes is highly problematic (Bonilla-Rosso et al. 2016). Therefore, especially with

respect to nitrogen and methane cycling, often only resource-intensive combinations of

metagenomics/metatranscriptomics and isotope labelling or metabolic profiling studies can contribute to

linking ecosystem functions to the observed community composition (Tveit et al. 2015, Orellana et al.

2019), which is not always a scalable solution. In most cases though, going beyond correlations and

investigating the mechanistic links between microbial diversity and ecosystem function has been

challenging (Morris et al 2020), and usually opens new questions (e.g. Ouyang et al. 2021).

Targeted high-throughput sequencing approaches known as probe capture, also called hybridisation capture

or hybridisation-based target enrichment, have been used to study complex eukaryotic samples such as

human exons, ancient human genomes, plant transcriptomes, and cancer marker single nucleotide

polymorphisms (Skoglund et al. 2014, Ichida et al. 2019, Futema et al. 2012, Gasc et al., 2016, Kamil et

al. 2021, Bewicke-Copley et al. 2019), but also to facilitate the in-depth study of microbial communities

using either 16S rRNA probes or other microbial functional gene probes (Denonfoux et al., 2013;

Manoharan et al. 2015, Noyes et al., 2017, Beaudry et al., 2021). Different from sequence capture, where

multiple probes are designed to cover a whole exon, captured metagenomics relies on large databases of

multiple target genes clustered at predefined similarity cut-offs, which are used to design a large number of

generic probes that are able to capture an extended sequence space for each of these sequence clusters

(Kushwaha et al., 2015, Manoharan et al., 2015).

To improve detection and characterization of critical, but low abundant microbial guilds involved in N and

CH_4 cycling in natural communities, we developed and tested a probe-based targeted metagenomics

approach for key genes involved in these processes. In addition to the enhanced resolution provided by

designing specific probes for each gene in order to extend the sequence space, the added value of this

approach consists of the ability to analyze the distinct N- and CH_4- cycling sub-pathways as a whole,

combining the advantages of both shotgun metagenomics and gene marker amplicon approaches. Here, we

present a new probe set for targeting 14 distinct functional genes involved in inorganic N cycling (i.e., N

fixation, nitrification, denitrification, anammox, and dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium

(DNRA)), and genes involved in CH_4 production and consumption. We evaluated our approach using mock

communities comprising of DNA from organisms involved in inorganic N cycling, or CH_4 production or

consumption with varying gene GC% content, which is known to affect probe hybridization efficiency. As

a proof-of-concept for complex communities, we performed shotgun metagenomics and targeted

metagenomics on agricultural and wetland soil samples and compared the diversity of archaeal *amoA* genes

(marker for ammonia oxidizers) and *nosZ* genes (marker for nitrous oxide reduction).

Materials and methods

Construction of the target gene databases (TDBs)

Target gene databases (TDBs) were constructed, containing all identifiable variants for the following key

genes: the nitrogenase iron subunit (*nifH*), bacterial and archaeal ammonia monooxygenase subunit A

(*amoA)*, nitrite oxidoreductase beta subunit (*nxrB*), hydrazine oxidoreductase A (*hzoA*), formate dependent

nitrite reductase (*nrfA*), periplasmic nitrate reductase alpha subunit (*napA*), respiratory nitrate reductase

alpha subunit (*narG*), copper-containing nitrite reductase (*nirK*), cytochrome cd$_1$ nitrite reductase (*nirS*),

nitric oxide reductase B subunit (*norB*), nitrous oxide reductase (*nosZ*), particulate methane

monooxygenase subunit A (*pmoA*), soluble methane monooxygenase component A alpha chain (*mmoX*),

and methyl-coenzyme M reductase I subunit alpha (*mcrA*).

Firstly, Hidden Markov Model (HMM) models were generated in order to identify all variants of the target

genes from public databases (Fig. S1). These models were built based on reference sequence alignments

from curated databases already available for selected genes, such *amoA* (Alves et al., 2018), *pmoA* (Knief

et al., 2015), *nosZ* (Jones et al., 2013), *nirK, nirS, nor* (Graf et al., 2014); and the Fungene repository (Fish

et al., 2013). For target genes where alignments were not available, reference alignments were generated

from gene sequence data publicly available in the NCBI WGS-database, from the full length open-reading

frame of each subunit, to cover all known diversity in each gene. Structure-based searches were

subsequently performed over the whole Genbank nt-database with nhmmer using the generated HMMs for

every target gene (Wheeler & Eddy, 2013) on a local supercomputer cluster (Centre of scientific computing

CSC, Espoo, Finland) (July 2017). The specificity of HMM searches was evaluated by comparing the

results to the latest curated sequence databases of selected genes (Alves et al., 2018; Graf et al., 2022,

primary data was obtained directly from the respective authors), and results were further evaluated based

on annotation and gene structure (Supplementary Data sheet 2). Tblastx/diamond searches against the

refseq database (Altschul et al., 1990) were finally performed in order to remove duplicates or reannotate

falsely annotated entries due to the presence of homologous gene families in our dataset (*amoA*/ *pmoA*,

nxrB/ /nitrate reductase, *napA*/*narG* / formate reductase). This sequence selection process generated

~500,000 unique sequences across all gene families. The final output comprised the target gene database

(TDB). The workflow can be seen in Fig S1. Script available at GitHub (https://github.com/alex-

bagnoud/probe-capture/tree/main/3-other_scripts/Henri_scripts/ Script_probe_capture.sh).

Generation of probes for target genes

To aid in the design of probe libraries to capture the diversity of N and CH$_4$ cycling genes, the MetCap

bioinformatics pipeline was used as a protocol to produce probes from highly complex datasets for targeted

metagenomics (Kushwaha et al., 2015). The pipeline with default parameters was used for designing up to

six unique 50mer probes for each sequence cluster in the TDBs (clustered with an 80% identity clustering

threshold), resulting in a set of 263,111 unique gene-specific probes (Fig S1., Supplementary Data sheet

2). The probes were produced by NimbleGen SeqCap EZ (Roche NimbleGen, Inc., Madison, USA), with

biotin labelling to enable retrieval of the hybridized targets using streptavidin coated magnetic beads

(Kushwaha et al., 2015; Manoharan et al., 2015).

Extraction of DNA from cultures and generation of mock community DNA samples

DNA samples from mock communities encoding the genes of interest were generated by mixing genomic

DNA from different organisms in variable proportions, in order to simulate variation in overall GC%

content. The mock communities dataset included: *Nitrosospira multiformis*, *Nitrososphaera viennensis*,

Nitrospira defluvii, Ca. *Kuenenia stuttgartiensis* genome fragment, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Escherichia*

coli, *Shigella sonnei*, *Cupriavidus metallidurans*, *Cupriavidus necator*, *Dyadobacter fermentans*,

Pseudomonas stutzeri, *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*, *Salinibacter ruber*, *Sulfurimonas denitrificans*,

Methylosinus trichosporium Ob3b, *Methylocella tundrae*, *Methylomicrobium buryatense*, *Methanoregula*

boonei, *Methanolacinia petrolearia*. Strain information, including genome size and the number of target

genes per organism are shown in Supplementary Table S1. DNA from cultured organisms was extracted

from 1% SD-treated cell pellets in CTAB buffer, followed by phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol extraction

and ethanol precipitation, as described before (Jones et al., 2013). The relative abundances of the

microorganisms in the mock communities were multiplied with the median genome GC% contents to

generate a weighted GC% content of the pool of samples with the following values: 47, 50, 53, 57, 60, and

63 (Supplementary Table S2). Pooling ratios of microorganisms' DNA were calculated based on the

expected number of functional genes in the extracted DNA, the DNA concentration and genome size.

Relative gene abundances in mock communities were calculated and compared to the sequences generated

using targeted metagenomics.

Annotation of coding sequences from the mock community dataset

204 Proteomes from the mock communities were retrieved from NCBI, to count the number of each functional

205 genes per genome. For *Nitrospira defluvii*; NC_014355.1, genes were predicted from the genome

206 nucleotide sequence using Prodigal (v2.6.3) (Hyatt et al., 2010). Proteins were annotated using KOFAM

207 (HMM database of KEGG Orthologs). Each protein was assigned the top hitting KO. Where available,
208 cut-offs provided with KOFAMscan (Aramaki et al., 2020) were used to filter spurious results.
209

Extraction of DNA and determination of chemical parameters from environmental samples

Samples from different environments were collected in order to assess the effectiveness of the probe set in
enriching functional genes in different sample contexts. Environmental samples were collected from
agricultural soil in Hungary (n = 3), and from a wetland in Bellefontaine, France (Table S3). Extraction of
DNA from environmental samples was performed as previously described by Siljanen et al., (2019).
Samples were homogenized by bead-beating 0.5 g of soil at a speed of 5.5.m/s, for 30 sec with
phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol extraction in CTAB buffer, followed by ethanol precipitation. The
quality of DNA extracts was assessed using a NanoDrop ND-1000 (Thermo), and DNA concentration was
measured with a Qubit HS dsDNA kit (Thermo). The soil chemical parameters were determined as
described earlier (Siljanen et al., 2019; Bagnoud et al, 2020).

Library preparation, target enrichment of libraries and sequencing with Illumina Miseq

For each sample, sequencing indexes and sequencing adapters were produced in the Centre for Genomic
Research (CGR), University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK. Libraries were produced with KAPA HyperPlus
library preparation kits and an insert size of 630bp. For the targeted metagenomics, after quality assessment
with an Agilent Bioanalyzer, hybridization reactions to the NimbleGen SeqCap probe set were performed
according to the manufacturer's instructions at 47°C for 72 hours in CGR as previously described
(Manoharan et al., 2015). Sequencing was performed with Illumina MiSeq PE300 chemistry, resulting in
198,700-311,500 reads per sample for environmental samples and up to 2,600,000 reads for mock
communities.

Targeted metagenomics read processing and mapping

Quality trimming of sequencing reads was performed with the *seqtk* program in the DADA2 pipeline by
truncating amplicon reads to 200bp and discarding all reads with an expected error greater than 2, for all
amplicon and metagenomic sequencing reads.

All six possible reading frames of the nucleotide sequence reads generated by the targeted metagenomic
sequencing of the mock community samples were translated using transeq from the EMBOSS package
(v6.6.0.0). These were mapped to the genomes using DIAMOND blastp (v2.0.6.144), with a minimum
percentage identity of 60%. This threshold was selected as it resulted in the largest percentage of mapped
reads while being stringent enough to prevent spurious mappings. No minimum coverage was used to

account for reads that did not fully overlap with the proteins from the mock community dataset. For each
direction, up to four matches were retained, to account for cases where a single read spanned neighboring
proteins. Multiple matches in the same direction could overlap by up to 15 amino acids to account for
possible protein fusions or sequencing errors. Each of the (up to four) matches were used to assign KOs to
the reads, based on the predicted KOs of the proteins to which they mapped. If, in a given direction, a read
was assigned the same KO multiple times, this was collapsed into a single hit, retaining the hit with the best
E-value.

Evaluation of functional annotation of reads
Protein predictions for each read were performed using nhmmer from the HMMER suite (v.3.3) using the
in-house generated HMMs for target genes as well as KOFAM as described above. An inclusion threshold
of 0.0001 was used when assigning protein predictions for the reads. For a given read, using all six of the
translated frames, up to four protein assignments could be made, evaluated based on KO bit scores or
identity filtering. Reads assigned KOs for the function of interest were counted as positively identified hits.
Subsequently, reads were mapped to their corresponding coding sequences (CDS) from the mock
community organisms to determine which reads constituted "true positives" (TP) or "true negatives"(TN).
Reads mapped to a given CDS were assigned functions according to the above-mentioned KOFAM CDS
annotation as their "true function". If the HMM assignment (both in-house and from KOFAM) of a read
matched the functional assignment of the CDS to which it was mapped, then it was counted as a TP. The
TP, false positive (FP), and false negative (FN) values were summed up for all models using all methods,
and precision and recall were calculated. Read mapping (described above) was used to determine the total
number of possible TPs of the reads (Fig. S7), from which the actual number of TPs was subtracted to
calculate the number of FNs. Precision was calculated as the ratio of TPs to total positive detections (i.e.,
number of TPs and FPs). Recall was calculated as the ratio of TPs to all theoretically obtainable true
positives (i.e., sum of TPs and FNs). Script available annotation of reads GitHub: (https://github.com/alex-
bagnoud/probe-capture/tree/main/3-other_scripts/Angus_scripts).

Shotgun metagenomics sequencing with Illumina HiSeq and analysis
Shotgun metagenomic sequencing was performed on environmental DNA (n = 3) from agricultural soil and
wetland soil (Table S3), extracted as described above for TDB production. Illumina TruSeq library
preparation with an insert size of 250bp was carried out with 1 μg of DNA and sequencing was performed
in one Illumina HiSeq PE150 lane at the Vienna Biocenter Core Facility (VBCF, Austria) and Microsynth
AG, Switzerland. In this approach, the previously generated HMM profiles were used to identify the target
genes in the assemblies (E < 0.001). The predicted functions of each identified sequence were confirmed

by tblastx against the *refseq* database, using DIAMOND (Buchfink et al., 2015). Annotation and identity

information was further processed with awk in unix and in Rversion 3.5.3 to produce lists of community

structures for each target gene. The relative abundance of each gene was calculated against the sum of the

summed abundance values of all 14 genes present in the shotgun or targeted metagenomics samples (a total

reads and captured reads for all approaches is in Table S4). Equation of relative abundance: Relative

abundance gene x = (Abundance of gene x / Sum of abundances of all target genes)*100.

amoA gene amplicon sequencing and processing of amoA reads

Archaeal *amoA* gene amplicons from environmental DNA (n = 3) from the agricultural soil were generated

as described earlier by Siljanen et al., (2019), and sequencing was performed with Illumina MiSeq PE250,

in LGC, Münich, Germany. In brief, *amoA* gene amplicons were generated using 0.6 ng DNA template

with GoTaq DNA polymerase (Promega) and 0.5 μM   primers (CamoA_19F [5'-

ATGGTCTGGYTWAGACG-3'] and TamoA_632R-4 [5'-GCKGCCATCCATCKRTANGTCCA-3'])

with a 5' Illumina sequencing adaptor [5'-CTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCT-3']. Cycling

conditions were the following: 1min initial denaturation at 95°C, 30sec denaturation at 94°C, 30sec

annealing at 60°C and 45sec extension at 72°C, with 35 cycles. PCR reactions were performed in triplicate

for each sample (n = 3), and purified with the High Pure PCR product purification kit (Roche). Sequencing

indexes were added by LGC, where libraries were equally pooled and sequenced with Illumina MiSeq

PE250. The sequencing depths per sample for a comparison of amplicon, targeted and shotgun

metagenomics were up to 31Mb, 160 Mb and 17 Gb, respectively, for the agricultural soil.

Archaeal *amoA* reads from amplicons, targeted and shotgun metagenomics, as identified with the *TamoA*

in-house HMM model, were processed as described earlier (Siljanen et al., 2019; script available at GitHub:

https://github.com/alex-bagnoud/probe-capture/tree/main   1-scripts -folder). Briefly, *amoA* reads were

classified into amplicon/metagenome sequence variants (A/MSVs) by DADA2 and   assigned to the

reference *amoA* gene database (Alves et al. 2018) using a 55% identity cutoff with USEARCH8. Chimeras

were filtered out with UCHIME, and the remaining ASVs were further inspected with BLASTN against

the NCBI nt database. Finally, the resulting *amoA* gene sequences were classified with UCLUST as

implemented in QIIME using the reference gene database and taxonomy by Alves et al. (2018) (Altschul

et al. 1990; Edgar, 2010; Edgar et al., 2011; Caporaso et al., 2010; Callahan et al., 2016). The sequencing

depth for the *TamoA* gene amplicon library was ~27,000-58,000 PE250 reads per sample, for shotgun

metagenomics 3-162 *TamoA* reads out of ~22-58M PE150 reads per sample, and for targeted metagenomics

4472-8230 *TamoA* reads out of ~220-311k PE300 reads per sample.

Phylogenetic placement analysis of *nosZ* reads from shotgun and targeted metagenomics libraries.

A reference alignment and phylogeny for *nosZ* was generated from full length *nosZ* amino acid sequences obtained from genomes downloaded from the NCBI genome database (accessed October 2019). A profile HMM for *nosZ* was generated from a previously published *nosZ* dataset, as described above (Graf et al, 2022). Reads were converted to amino acid sequences and then aligned using *hmmalign* within the HMMer suite (v.3.1.2), and the homologous sections were identified using FastTree (v. 2.1.11, Price et al., 2010). The reference protein alignment was used for phylogenetic reconstruction using IQ-TREE (v1.6.12) with best model selection and 1000 ultrafast bootstraps. The Le-Gascuel (LG) substitution model with 10 rate categories was selected after automatic model selection. For the phylogenetic placement of *nosZ* reads obtained using either shotgun metagenome sequencing (n=3) or targeted metagenomics (n=3) of the agricultural site, the relevant reads were pooled together and clustered with CD-HIT at 90% sequence identity before translating and aligning to the reference *nosZ* alignment using *hmmalign*. The translated reads were then placed in the reference phylogeny using the next generation evolutionary placement algorithm (EPA-NG v0.3.8; Barbera et al., 2019), with the same model parameters as used for constructing the reference phylogeny. The placement positions with the highest likelihood weight ratio were plotted on the reference phylogeny in R using the 'ggtree' package (v3.4.2, Yu et al., 2016). Script available for nosZ phylogenetic placements at GitHub: (https://github.com/alex-bagnoud/probe-capture/tree/main/3-other_scripts/Chris_Jones_scripts).

Data availability

The shotgun metagenomic, targeted metagenomic and *amoA* amplicon sequencing data have been deposited in NCBI SRA under the Bioproject numbers PRJNA898102 and PRJNA488558.

Results

Benchmarking gene detection and quantification using targeted metagenomics in mock communities

The targeted metagenomic approach was evaluated using mock microbial communities comprising predefined mixtures of genomic DNA from 14 bacterial and three archaeal strains, together containing all 14 functional genes targeted by the probes and mixed in different proportions to produce six different median G+C contents ranging from 47% to 62% (Table S1). The overall relative abundance of target genes in the captured metagenomes across all GC% categories was strongly positively correlated with their abundance in the original mock communities ($r = 0.78$ ($P < 0.0001$) (Fig. 1). Comparisons between the relative abundance of individual genes in the mock communities as compared to captured metagenomes

revealed nearly identical values (t = 1.8518e-10, df = 174.83, $P > 0.05$), with the exception of the *nirK* gene

and the *hzoA* gene (Fig. S1a,b). Pearson correlation coefficients across all GC% categories varied between

0.76 and 0.93, although correlations were stronger within higher GC% categories (57%-63%; Rpearson =

0.80-0.93) than within lower GC% categories (47%-53%; Rpearson = 0.76-0.79) (Fig. S2). The probe

capture produced 61-72% of targeted sequences from the total sequenced library (Table S4).

Precision of gene identification in mock communities

Precision for the in-house HMMs ranged from 74.1% (*nifH*) to 100.0% (*mcrA*) (Fig. S6). The average

precision of the models was 93.4%, with a median of 99.8% (excluding *amoA* and *pmoA*). The recall ranged

from 42.8% (*nxrB*) to 100.0% (*mcrA*). The average recall was 81.9%, with a median recall of 82.8%.

Because KEGG only provides a single model covering the homologous genes *pmoA* and *amoA*, it was not

possible to accurately calculate the precision and recall for these genes individually. A precision estimate

could still be determined for the two genes, where a "true positive" is defined as a hit for a read identified

by the in-house models as *amoA* or *pmoA* mapped to a CDS identified by the HMM for (*a/p*)*moA* genes

provided by KEGG (K10944). In this case, the precision values for the *amoA* and *pmoA* genes were

estimated to be 96.9%, and 100.0%. respectively It is important to note that these estimates do not exclude

the possibility that the in-house models misclassified reads belonging to these homologous protein families.

Precision and recall values were similar using KOFAMs instead of in-house HMMs. Note, however, that

the results for *amoA* and *pmoA* genes were combined due to a single model for the associated KO for both

genes, as mentioned above. Precision ranged from 76.5% (*a/pmoA*) to 100.0% (*mcrA*), while recall varied

from 34.3% (*nifH*) to 100.0% (*mcrA* and *nxrB*). The average and median precision values were 94.5% and

97.7%, while the average and median recall values were 93.39% and 99.03%, respectively. The precision

of KOs was lower for *napA* genes than for other genes when compared with custom DNA HMMs. This

could be accounted for by the presence of formate dehydrogenase, a known homolog of *napA*. Formate

dehydrogenase (K00123) was indeed identified among the genomes used for the mock community, so it is

possible that the custom HMM was misclassifying reads originating from this known ortholog to *napA*

(Cerqueira et al., 2015).

Of note is the relative performance of the KEGG models. The true gene assignments were based on the

KEGG models, so while these analyses demonstrate the ability of the models to predict putative function

even from small DNA fragments (*i.e.,* metagenomic reads), a relatively high precision and recall would be

expected. In other words, when the models used to predict the reads are the same as those used to assign

the true function based on the full aligned protein length, it is expected that the performance should be

relatively high compared to other using other models for predictions. In this sense, the comparison of the
in-house models to the "true positive" KEGG assignments is probably more reflective of a real-world
analysis.

Overall, the in-house HMM searches performed well when comparing reads mapped to the genomes and
assigned KEGG Orthologs based on the fully matched protein sequences, indicating that the HMMs can
accurately classify the reads in the mock community, and are sensitive enough to detect distantly related
genes or novel variants of a gene. As the results obtained with the custom HMM models generally yielded
higher precision than those based on KEGG Orthologs, they were used for the final analyses. It is
noteworthy that reads identified as false positives may in fact not be incorrect assignments but could simply
represent novel variants of the genes of interest, not captured by KEGG Orthologs.

Higher number of reads for all target functional genes but similar relative abundance obtained with
targeted compared to shotgun metagenomics from complex environmental samples
We investigated the efficiency of the targeted metagenomics approach in natural complex ecosystems by
directly comparing this approach with shotgun untargeted metagenomics generated with a nearly 100 times
higher amount of sequencing (~66 Mb and ~6.4 Gb per sample, respectively) from wetland soils in
Bellefontaine, France, and from an agricultural field in Hungary (Table S3). These soils represent distinct
ecosystems with average physicochemical conditions, such as water content and oxygen concentration, and
N availability that favors different distributions of functional genes (Jones et al. 2014). Despite the large
difference in sequencing depth, targeted metagenomics generated a much larger set of target functional
genes (Fig. 2), and up to 60 times as many identified gene sequences (Fig. 3), compared to shotgun
metagenomics. The relative abundances of functional genes in captured metagenomes correlated
significantly with that in shotgun metagenomes from both the agricultural field ($R^{\text{Pearson}} = 0.96$, d.f.=14, P
< 0.00001) and the wetland ($R^{\text{Pearson}} = 0.70$, d.f.=14, $P < 0.01$). The relative target gene abundances were
also not different between the two approaches ($P > 0.90$) (Fig. 2). However, reduced relative abundance
was detected with targeted metagenomics compared to shotgun metagenomics in the agricultural site for
nxrB, *nrfA*, *narG* and *nosZ* genes and the wetland site for *nxrB*, but a higher relative abundance with targeted
metagenomics was obtained for *TamoA*, *napA* and *mmoX* genes in the agricultural site and for *nifH* and
nirS genes in the wetland site (Fig. 2.). The targeted approach generated a much higher number of reads for
all genes in both sites ($P > 0.0001$) (Fig. 3. Table S4).

The different relative abundances of functional genes in the two ecosystems reflected known differences in
their environmental conditions: (i) N_2 fixation genes were expectedly higher in the unfertilized wetland;
(ii) Genes involved in ammonia oxidation and nitric and nitrous oxide reduction were higher in the

agricultural soil, which is likely to be more oxic and also subject to N fertilization resulting in higher N$_2$O

production rates ; (iii) Genes involved in methanogenesis were more abundant in the wetland, where anoxic

conditions and methane production typically occur.

Comparing diversity recovered for specific target genes from amplicon, targeted and shotgun

metagenomics from complex environmental samples

In order to compare the diversity of specific functional genes detected by targeted metagenomics, shotgun

metagenomics and PCR amplicon sequencing we focused on the archaeal *amoA* gene as a test case, as it is

the second most sequenced gene in NCBI indicative of its ecological importance, and for which there is a

comprehensive reference database for classification available (Alves et al., 2018). Moreover, archaeal

ammonia oxidizers (AOA) typically outnumber their bacterial counterparts in most environments

(Leininger et al. 2006), and even though they can be low abundant, their diversity is striking, and underlines

their distinct metabolic capabilities (Alves et al. 2018; Huang et al. 2021). Additionally, we compared the

detection of *nosZ* genes between the targeted and shotgun metagenomics approaches in the agricultural soil,

also based on an existing reference database (Graf et al., 2022). The following datasets were compared: (i)

targeted and shotgun metagenomes, and PCR amplicons of the archaeal *amoA* gene in the agricultural soil

(Fig. 4); (ii) *nosZ* gene sequences identified by targeted or shotgun metagenomics in the agricultural soil

(Fig. S4).

Comparison from applying all three methods in agricultural soil samples showed that targeted

metagenomics detected a substantially higher diversity of archaeal *amoA* genes than PCR amplicon

sequencing, while, as expected, shotgun metagenomics detected a lower diversity than either of the other

two methods (Fig. 4A). Specifically, targeted metagenomics detected 71-83 distinct taxonomic *amoA* gene

MSV bins, whereas PCR amplicon sequencing and shotgun metagenomics detected 65-68 ASVs and only

two MSV bins, respectively. Rarefaction analysis also indicated that targeted metagenomics retrieved a

higher alpha diversity of archaeal *amoA* genes than PCR amplicon sequencing (Fig. 4B,C).

Similarly, targeted metagenomes yielded a higher number of taxonomic bins for *nosZ* genes from the

agricultural soil (~6,800 bins) than shotgun metagenomics (~1,300 bins) at a 90% identity cutoff, showing

that the first approach detected a greater gene diversity with a much lower sequencing depth (Fig. S4). Gene

sequence reads from both methods mapped to similar lineages in a comprehensive reference phylogeny of

nosZ genes (Graf et al., 2022). However, a greater proportion of reads from the targeted metagenomics

approach were assigned to deeper nodes of the phylogeny, particularly within the less studied clade II,

suggesting that this method also captured novel *nosZ* variants not represented in the reference phylogeny.

Discussion

In this study, we report the development and evaluation of a new probe set for targeted metagenomics for

14 key genes involved in the microbial cycling of inorganic N and CH_4. This included the compilation of

databases containing all variants of the target genes from public databases, development of an extensive set

of probes targeting 14 well-established marker genes and a bioinformatics pipeline to process and annotate

the sequence data. This approach has been successfully used earlier to identify genes involved in microbial

C metabolism in soil (Manoharan et al., 2015, Manoharan et al., 2017).

In validation experiments with mock communities comprising 18 distinct organisms encoding the target

genes, we showed that this method successfully reproduced the expected relative abundances of all target

functional genes, with two exceptions, i.e. *nirK* and *hzoA*. While 10.3% of the generated probes are

detecting nitrifying *nirK* genes, these were detected at a much lower relative abundance than present in the

original mock community DNA. Instead, the relative abundance profile of the detected *nirK* match the

amount of the *nirK* present in heterotrophic organisms in the mock community (Fig. S1). From this we

conclude that hybridization of the *nirK* probes on the nitrifying organisms was unsuccessful with the current

probe database. However, the sequencing technique used can also influence the outcome due to known GC

biases with certain sequencing platforms, such as the false low coverage observed in genomic regions with

non-optimal GC% content (50-60%) in MiSeq sequencing (Browne et al. 2020) therefore biasing the *nirK*

relative abundance. We indeed observed some variation in probe specificity among mock communities with

different genomic GC% content, with high GC% communities having a generally higher target gene

detection efficiency. Such variation was likely due to stronger probe hybridization to sequences with higher

GC% content, given the higher binding energy between guanine and cytosine than between adenine and

thymine. Regarding *hzoA* the gene was detected in environmental samples, however, in the mock-

community a PCR-generated genome fragment from Ca. *Kuenenia stuttgartiensis* was used to supply this

gene in the mock community sample (other genes in the mock community gDNA of organisms was

provided), therefore it is possible that degradation of the fragment prevented its detection during the

hybridization reaction.

Targeted metagenomics also closely reproduced the relative abundances of target genes obtained with

shotgun metagenomics in two distinct natural microbial communities from soils, showing that the probe

capture step does not introduce significant relative quantitative bias ($P > 0.90$, Fig. 2.). Reassuringly, this

was also true for *nirK*, suggesting that perhaps certain compositional characteristics of this gene family

render read mapping problematic (Fig. S2). The GC% content of the nitrifier *nirK* genes is smaller (mean

GC% is about 53%) than that of the heterotrophic *nirK* genes (mean GC% >60) and as we established in

the mock community experiment, higher GC% gene content leads to higher detection efficiencies. This

effect is also visible for *nosZ* , where the *Dyanobacter fermentas nosZ* variant with a median GC% of 52.9

(Table S1) is detected more efficiently than the *Sulfurimonas denitrificans nosZ* variant with a GC% of

32.7%. In these cases of target genes with low GC% care needs to be taken during probe design to ensure

that probes are not placed on well conserved regions, where higher GC% probes (matching to non-target

homologous variants with higher GC%, as exemplified in the *nirK* case) might compete with lower GC%

probes. The lower relative abundance values obtained with targeted metagenomics for *nxrB*, *nrfA*, *narG*

and *nosZ* at the agricultural site (Fig. 2) can be due to the fact, that some of the other genes are being

detected more efficiently with the targeted approach, than with the shotgun metagenomics (Fig. 3.).

However, as we observed during the benchmarking run using mock communities, the relative abundance

with targeted metagenomics was strongly positively correlated with their actual abundance for all GC%

categories (Fig. S3). This suggests that we can obtain a more accurate representation of the relative

abundance values of the communities in question with targeted metagenomics, compared to a shotgun

approach.

The archaeal *amo*A gene (*TamoA*) and the *nosZ* gene were used as case studies to investigate the differences

in the detected diversity of single functional guilds that are known to be highly diverse (Alves et al. 2018,

Graf et al. 2022). Targeted metagenomics with a sequencing depth of 117-160 Mb per sample generated at

least 2200-4200 times more *TamoA* gene sequences than shotgun metagenomics with 7-17 Gb per sample

(where only two quality-controlled *amoA* sequence reads were detected (Fig 4)). Conversely, targeted

metagenomics generated five times less *TamoA* reads (Fig. 4B) than PCR amplicon sequencing, with a

sequencing depth of 15-31 Mb per sample. The rarefaction curve of *amoA* targeted metagenomics also

reaches a plateau much faster and with a lower number sequences than amplicon sequencing (Fig. 4B).

Nevertheless, the targeted metagenomics approach detected a much higher gene sequence diversity for both

TamoA (Fig 4A, 4B) and *nosZ* (Fig. S4) than the two other methods in the agricultural soil samples. For

TamoA, the targeted approach detected 41 times higher alpha-diversity than shotgun metagenomics, while

5 times higher diversity of *nosZ* genes was recovered, importantly covering genes affiliated with the less

characterized Clade II. In turn, the targeted approach detected 1.2 times higher diversity of archaeal *amoA*

genes than PCR amplicon sequencing in agricultural soils, respectively. At first glance, PCR amplicon

sequencing seems to have resulted in 18 more taxonomic bins than targeted metagenomics. However, these

sequences were generated by a 35-cycle PCR, known to produce chimeras. Examples of such errors have

been shown even for shorter amplification cycles (Kozich et al., 2013). Problems due to chimeric sequences

in public databases from amplicon studies are known and were encountered during the compilation of the

thaumarchaeal *amoA* reference database (Alves et al., 2018). While we used standard pipelines for detection
of chimeric sequences during data processing of amplicon results in this study, we are aware that we might
not have been able to detect them all, resulting putatively in the higher number of sequences (post-
processing) in the amplicon dataset. Conversely, since no amplification step is performed in targeted
metagenomics, the issue of chimeric sequences is avoided, revealing an important quality advantage for
this approach.

It should be noted that the efficiency of this approach largely depends on the coverage and quality of the
database used to generate the probes. However, the database is not constrained to full-length gene sequences
from a limited diversity of complete genomes and long metagenomic scaffolds available, and the
probe/target diversity can be greatly extended through inclusion of gene fragments generated by PCR or
from short metagenomic contigs. Despite its high target precision, this method may nevertheless capture
non-target sequences, especially for orthologous gene families that have evolved different functions, as is
the case for *napA* genes and formate dehydrogenases. Such cases emphasize the importance of thorough
data annotation and filtering procedures. With the extended database used to generate probes in this study,
we managed to detect a higher diversity for the case study of *TamoA* genes than the shotgun sequencing
approach, showcasing the advantage of "casting a wider net". Similar results were obtained in other targeted
metagenomics applications, such as for detection of diverse resistome-virulome elements (Noyes et al.
2017) or for improved taxonomic microbial community characterization via 16S rRNA enrichment
(Beaudry et al. 2021).

Despite the technological advances and decline in cost of high-throughput sequencing, shotgun
metagenomics remain impractical and prohibitively expensive to capture the diversity of specific, low
abundant functional groups in complex environments, such as soils and sediments, especially in
longitudinal studies. The cost of generating the probes is about 20-50€ per sample depending on the probe
manufacturer. If the goal is to have a focused, comprehensive view of the diversity of functional guilds
involved in inorganic nitrogen or methane cycling in a certain ecosystem, then targeted metagenomics can
circumvent the high cost and overabundance of data generated by shotgun metagenomics, as well as provide
more quantitatively more information on the diversity of the genes of interest . In turn, PCR-based assays
are limited by the biases associated with any set of primers targeting a large diversity of sequences, assay
efficiencies which preclude detection of highly divergent new sequence diversity as well as known issues
with generation of chimeric sequences during amplification. The targeted metagenomics approach
circumvents these limitations by using multiple short probes targeting different regions of each gene, which
largely increases the likelihood of capturing sequence variants that elude PCR amplification. Thus, this

approach has not only the potential to capture rare and novel gene diversity in complex environments, but
also to identify cryptic microorganisms in low-biomass samples or involved in suggested CH_4 metabolisms,
such as in the tree phyllosphere (Putkinen et al., 2021) and nitrogen cycling in coral holobiont (Glaze et al.,
2021). Moreover, targeted metagenomics can also overcome issues associated with running and comparing
multiple independent PCR assays when investigating several distinct targets. In that sense, this approach
effectively represents a PCR-independent, multiplex approach to characterize simultaneously and in-depth
the distributions of a broad range of functional genes, providing a holistic view of the status of the nitrogen
and methane cycles in the studied ecosystems. This is especially advantageous when combined with
functional studies, such as determination of N-transformation rates and *in situ* fluxes, as showcased by a
study of N_2O emissions in thawing Yedoma permafrost sites over time (Maruschak et al. 2021). In this
study, the application of targeted metagenomics with the N-cycling probe dataset presented here revealed
that changes in the N-cycling microbial community composition were responsible for an increase in N_2O
emissions in revegetated Yedoma soils, which had undergone thawing a decade prior.

Conclusively, the targeted metagenomics approach developed here provides an efficient and cost-effective
strategy for studying microbial functional guilds that typically represent small fractions of natural
microbiomes, and whose diversity is generally underestimated and highly underrepresented in
metagenomic datasets. This approach also circumvents the limitations and biases associated with PCR-
based methods and has higher potential to capture rare or novel functional gene diversity.

Acknowledgements
H.S. was financially supported by the Atmosphere and Climate Competence Center (ACCC) Academy of
Finland project number 337550, and Nitrobiome project 342362, in addition H.S. was supported by
Saastamoinen foundation, FEMS Society and Niemi foundation. L.M., A.B., and C.S. were funded by ERC
Advanced Grant TACKLE (695192), and R.J.E.A. was funded by project P25369 of the Austrian Science
Fund (FWF). A.S.H and F.L.S were funded by ERC Starting Grant EvolPhisiol (grant agreement 803768).
S.H and C.M.J were supported by the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (senior career grant
2019-2024). We thank Lea Wittorf for providing DNA extracts from isolates for the mock libraries.

Authors contribution statement

H.S., C.S., R.A. designed study, H.S. collected the targeted gene library, R.A.,S.H.,C.J. provided reference libraries for *amoA* and *nosZ* genes, L.M. designed the probes, L.M., A.B., H.S. extracted the environmental DNA and did the shotgun metagenomics, H.S. and S.H. extracted and provided the mock sample cultured organisms, A.H., F.S. did the precision and recall analysis between the KEGG and HMM approaches, A.B., H.S. did the *amoA* diversity comparison, S.H.,C.J. did the *nosZ* diversity comparison. H.S. wrote the first version of manuscript and all co-authors further developed the text.

References:

Aanderud, Z.T., Jones, S.E., Fiererr, N., Lennon, J.T. 2015. Resuscitation of the rare biosphere contributes to pulses of ecosystem activity. *Front Microbiol* 6: DOI=10.3389/fmicb.2015.00024

Alteio, L.V., Séneca, J., Canarini, A., Angel, R., Jansa J., Guseva, K., Kaiser, C., Richter, A., Schmidt, H. 2021. A critical perspective on interpreting amplicon sequencing data in soil ecological research. *Soil Biol Biochem* 160:108357, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2021.108357.

Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., Lipman, D. J. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* 215: 403-410.

Alves, R.J.E., Minh, B.Q., Urich, T., von Haeseler, A., Schleper, C. 2018. Unifying the global phylogeny and environmental distribution of ammonia-oxidizing archaea based on amoA genes. *Nat. Comm.* 9: 1517. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03861-1.

Archer, D. 2010. The Global Carbon Cycle. Princeton: Princeton University Press. ISBN 9781400837076.

Aramaki, T., Blanc-Mathieu, R., Endo, H., Ohkubo, K., Kanehisa, M., Goto, S., Ogata, H. 2020. KofamKOALA: KEGG Ortholog assignment based on profile HMM and adaptive score threshold. *Bioinform.* 36:2251-2252. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btz859.

Barnard, R., Leadley, P. W., and Hungate, B. A. (2005), Global change, nitrification, and denitrification: A review. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 19, GB1007, doi:10.1029/2004GB002282.

Beaudry, M.S. et al. (2021) Improved Microbial Community Characterization of 16S rRNA via Metagenome Hybridization Capture Enrichment. *Front. Microbiol.* 12. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.644662.

Barbera, P., Kozlov, A.M., Czech, L., Morel, B., Darriba, D., Flouri, T., Stamatakis, A. 2019. EPA-ng: Massively

Parallel Evolutionary Placement of Genetic Sequences. *Syst. Biol.* 68: 365-369.

Bewicke-Copley, F., Arjun Kumar, E., Palladino, G., Korfi, K., Wang, J. 2019. Applications and analysis

of targeted genomic sequencing in cancer studies. *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J.* 17:1348-1359. doi:

10.1016/j.csbj.2019.10.004.

Buchfink, B., Xie, C., Huson, D. H. 2015. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. *Nat.*

methods. 12: 59.

Bodelier, P.L.E., Laanbroek, H.J. 2004. Nitrogen as a regulatory factor of methane oxidation in soils and
sediments. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 47: 265–277, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-6496(03)00304-0
626

Bonilla-Rosso, G., Wittorf, L., Jones, C. *et al.* 2016. Design and evaluation of primers targeting genes

encoding NO-forming nitrite reductases: implications for ecological inference of denitrifying communities.

Sci. Rep. 6: 39208 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep39208

Borrel, G., Adam, P.S., McKay, L.J. *et al.* Wide diversity of methane and short-chain alkane metabolisms

in uncultured archaea. *Nat. Microbiol.* **4**, 603–613 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0363-3

Browne, P.D. Nielsen. T.K., Kot, W., Aggerholm, A., Thomas, M., Gilbert, P., Puetz, L., Rasmussen, M.,

Zervas, A., Hansen L.H. 2020. GC bias affects genomic and metagenomic reconstructions,

underrepresenting GC-poor organisms. *GigaScience* 9: giaa008,

https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giaa008

Bräuer, S.L,, Basiliko, N., Siljanen, H.M.P., Zinder, S.H. 2021. Methanogenic archaea in peatlands. *FEMS*

Microbiol. Lett. 367: fnaa172, https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnaa172

Callahan, B.J., McMurde, P.J., Rosen, M.J., Han, A.W., Johnson, A.J., Holmes, S.P., 2016. DADA2:

high-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat. Methods* 13: 581–583.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869.

Caporaso, J.G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F.D., Costello, E.K., Fierer, N.,
Gonzalez Peña, A., Goodrich, J.K., Gordon, J.I., Huttley, G.A., Kelley, S.T., Knights, D., Koenig, J.E.,
Ley, R.E., Lozupone, C.A., McDonald, D., Muegge, B.D., Pirrung, M., Reeder, J., Sevinsky, J.R.,
Turnbaugh, P.J., Walters, W.A., Widmann, J., Yatsunenko, T., Zeneveld, J., Knight, R., 2010. QIIME
allows analysis of Highthroughput community sequencing data. *Nat. Methods* 7: 335–336.

Cerqueira, N.M.F.S.A., Gonzalez, P.J., Fernandes, P.A., Moura, J.J.G., Ramos, M.A. 2015. Periplasmic
Nitrate Reductase and Formate Dehydrogenase : Similar Molecular Architectures with Very Different
Enzymatic Activities. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 48: 2875-2884 DOI: 10.1021/acs.accounts.5b00333

Clark, D.R., McKew, B.A., Binley, A. et al. 2022. Hydrological properties predict the composition of
microbial communities cycling methane and nitrogen in rivers. *ISME Commun.* 2: 5
https://doi.org/10.1038/s43705-022-00087-7

Cleary, B. et al. 2015. Detection of low-abundance bacterial strains in metagenomic datasets by
eigengenome partitioning. *Nat. Biotech.* 33: 1053–1060. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3329.
662

Conrad, R. 2009. The global methane cycle: recent advances in understanding the microbial processes
involved. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 1: 285-292. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-2229.2009.00038.x

Friedlingstein, P., O'Sullivan, M., Jones, M. W., Andrew, R. M., Hauck, J., Olsen, A., Peters, G.
P., Peters, W., Pongratz, J., Sitch, S., Le Quéré, C., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B., Alin,
S., Aragão, L. E. O. C., Arneth, A., Arora, V., Bates, N. R., Becker, M., Benoit-Cattin, A., Bittig,
H. C., Bopp, L., Bultan, S., Chandra, N., Chevallier, F., Chini, L. P., Evans, W., Florentie, L.,
Forster, P. M., Gasser, T., Gehlen, M., Gilfillan, D., Gkritzalis, T., Gregor, L., Gruber, N., Harris,
I., Hartung, K., Haverd, V., Houghton, R. A., Ilyina, T., Jain, A. K., Joetzjer, E., Kadono, K., Kato,
E., Kitidis, V., Korsbakken, J. I., Landschützer, P., Lefèvre, N., Lenton, A., Lienert, S., Liu, Z.,
Lombardozzi, D., Marland, G., Metzl, N., Munro, D. R., Nabel, J. E. M. S., Nakaoka, S.-I., Niwa,
Y., O'Brien, K., Ono, T., Palmer, P. I., Pierrot, D., Poulter, B., Resplandy, L., Robertson, E.,
Rödenbeck, C., Schwinger, J., Séférian, R., Skjelvan, I., Smith, A. J. P., Sutton, A. J., Tanhua, T.,
Tans, P. P., Tian, H., Tilbrook, B., van der Werf, G., Vuichard, N., Walker, A. P., Wanninkhof,
R., Watson, A. J., Willis, D., Wiltshire, A. J., Yuan, W., Yue, X., and Zaehle, S. 2020. Global
Carbon Budget. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* 12: 3269–3340, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-3269-2020,
2020.
680

Falkowski, P.; Scholes, R. J.; Boyle, E.; Canadell, J.; Canfield, D.; Elser, J.; Gruber, N.; Hibbard, K.;
Högberg, P.; Linder, S.; MacKenzie, F. T.; Moore b, 3.; Pedersen, T.; Rosenthal, Y.; Seitzinger, S.;
Smetacek, V.; Steffen, W. 2000. The Global Carbon Cycle: A Test of Our Knowledge of Earth as a System.
Science. 290: 291–296. doi:10.1126/science.290.5490.291

Futema, M., Plagnol, V., Whittall, R.A., et al. 2012. Use of targeted exome sequencing as a diagnostic tool

for Familial Hypercholesterolaemia. *J. Med. Genet.* 49:644-649.

Galloway, J. N., Dentener, F. J., Capone, D. G., Boyer, E. W., Howarth, R. W., Seitzinger, S. P., G. P.
Asner, C. C. Cleveland, P. A. Green, Holland, E. A., Karl, D. M., Michaels, A. F., Porter, J. H., Townsend,
A. R., & Vörösmarty, C. J. (2004). Nitrogen Cycles: Past, Present, and Future. *Biogeochemistry* 70: 153–
226. http://www.jstor.org/stable/4151466

Gasc, C., Peyretaillade, E. and Peyret, P. (2016) Sequence capture by hybridization to explore modern and

ancient genomic diversity in model and nonmodel organisms. *Nucleic. Acids Res.* 44: 4504–4518.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw309.

Graf, D.R., Jones, C.M., Hallin, S. 2014. Intergenomic comparisons highlight modularity of the

denitrification pathway and underpin the importance of community structure for N_2O emissions. *PloS one*

9: e114118.

Graf, D.R.H., Jones, C.M., Zhao, M., Hallin, S. 2022. Assembly of root-associated N2O-reducing

communities of annual crops is governed by selection for nosZ clade I over clade II. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*

98:1-11

Gruber, N., Galloway, J. An Earth-system perspective of the global nitrogen cycle. 2008. *Nature* 451, 293–

296. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06592

Glaze, T.D., Erler, D.V., Siljanen, H.M.P. 2021. Microbially facilitated nitrogen cycling in tropical corals.

ISME J. 16: 68–77 doi: 10.1038/s41396-021-01038-1.

Eddy, S.R.. 1998. Profile hidden Markov models. *Bioinformatics* 14: 755–763,

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/14.9.755

Edgar, R.C., 2010. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *BMC Bioinformatics* 26:

2460–2461

Edgar, R.C., Haas, B.J., Clemente, J.C., Quince, C., Knight, R., 2011. UCHIME improves sensitivity and

speed of chimera detection. *BMC Bioinformatics* 27: 2194–2200.

Fish, J. A., Chai, B., Wang, Q., Sun, Y., Brown, C. T., Tiedje, J. M., Cole, J. R. 2013.
FunGene: the Functional Gene Pipeline and Repository. *Front. Microbiol.* 4: 291.

Hallin S, Lindgren PE. 1999. PCR detection of genes encoding nitrite reductase in denitrifying bacteria.
Appl Environ Microbiol. 65:1652-7. doi: 10.1128/AEM.65.4.1652-1657.1999.

Hoang, D.T., Chernomor, O., Von Haeseler, A. et al. 2018. UFBoot2: improving the ultrafast bootstrap
approximation. *Mol Biol Evol* 35:518

Huang, L., Chakrabarti, S., Cooper, J., Perez,A., John, S.M., Daroub, S.H., Martens-Habbena, W. 2021.
Ammonia-oxidizing archaea are integral to nitrogen cycling in a highly fertile agricultural soil. *ISME*
Comm. 1:19 ; https://doi.org/10.1038/s43705-021-00020-4

Håvelsrud, O.E., Haverkamp, T.H., Kristensen, T. *et al.* A metagenomic study of methanotrophic
microorganisms in Coal Oil Point seep sediments. *BMC Microbiol* **11**, 221 (2011).
https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-11-221

Hyatt, D., Chen, G.L., LoCascio, R.F. *et al.* 2010. Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation
initiation site identification. *BMC Bioinformatics* 11: 119 https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-119

Ichida, H., Morita, R., Shirakawa, Y., Hayashi, Y., Abe, T. 2019. Targeted exome sequencing of unselected
heavy-ion beam-irradiated populations reveals less-biased mutation characteristics in the rice genome.
Plant J. 98:301-314. Doi: 10.1111/tpj.14213.

Jones, C.M., Graft, D.R.H., Bru, D., Philippot, L., Hallin, S. 2013. The unaccounted yet abundant nitrous
oxide-reducing microbial community: a potential nitrous oxide sink. *ISME J* 7: 417-426.

Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B.Q., Wong, T.K. et al. 2017. ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate
phylogenetic estimates. *Nat. Methods* 14:587–9.

Kamil, G., Yoon, J.Y., Yoo, S. et al. 2021. Clinical relevance of targeted exome sequencing in patients with
rare syndromic short stature. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* 16: 297 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13023-021-01937-8

Katoh, K., Rozewicki, J., Yamada, K.D. 2019. MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment,

interactive sequence choice and visualization. *Brief Bioinform* 20: 1160–66.

Knief, C. 2015. Diversity and Habitat Preferences of Cultivated and Uncultivated Aerobic Methanotrophic

Bacteria Evaluated Based on *pmoA* as Molecular Marker. *Front Microbiol* 6:1346. Doi:

10.3389/fmicb.2015.01346

Kushwaha, S.K., Manoharan, L., Meerupati, T., Hedlund, K., & Ahrén, D. 2015. MetCap: a bioinformatics

probe design pipeline for large-scale targeted metagenomics. *BMC bioinformatics* 16: 65.

Kuypers, M., Marchant, H. & Kartal, B. 2018. The microbial nitrogen-cycling network. *Nat Rev Microbiol*

16: 263–276. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2018.9

Kolb S, Knief C, Stubner S, Conrad R. 2003. Quantitative detection of methanotrophs in soil by novel

pmoA-targeted real-time PCR assays. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 69:2423-9. Doi: 10.1128/AEM.69.5.2423-

2429.2003.

Lan, X., K.W. Thoning, and E.J. Dlugokencky: Trends in globally-averaged CH4, N2O, and SF6

determined from NOAA Global Monitoring Laboratory measurements. Version 2023-09,

https://doi.org/10.15138/P8XG-AA10

Letunic, I, Bork, P. 2019. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new developments.

Nucleic Acids Res 47:W256–9

Li, J., Liu, T., McIlroy, S.J. et al. Phylogenetic and metabolic diversity of microbial communities

performing anaerobic ammonium and methane oxidations under different nitrogen loadings. *ISME*

Commun. 3, 39 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s43705-023-00246-4

Ludwig, W., Strunk, O., Westram, R., et al. 2004. ARB: a software environment for sequence data. *Nucleic Acids*

Res. 32: 1363-1371.

Manoharan, L., Kushwaha, S. K., Hedlund, K., Ahrén, D. (2015). Captured metagenomics: large-scale

targeting of genes based on 'sequence capture' reveals functional diversity in soils. *DNA Res.* 22: 451-460.

Manoharan, L., Kushwaha, S.K., Ahrén, D., Hedlund, K. 2017. Agricultural land use determines functional
genetic diversity of soil microbial communities. *Soil Biol Biochem* 115: 423-432

Morris A., Meyer K., Bohannan B. 2020. Linking microbial communities to ecosystem functions: what we
can learn from genotype–phenotype mapping in organisms. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.* B3752019024420190244
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21400-3

Murrell, J.C., Jetten, M.S.M. 2009. The microbial methane cycle. *Environ Microb Rep* 1: 279-284.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-2229.2009.00089.x
797

Myhre, G.G.D., Shindell, F.M., Bréon, W., Collins, J., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D.,Lamarque, J.F.,
Lee, D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T., Zhang, H., 2013.
Anthropogenic and natural radiative forcing. In:Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.K., Tignor, M., Allen,
S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A.,Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P.M. (Eds.), Climate Change 2013 the Physical
ScienceBasis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY,USA
Working Group I Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
Change.

Nelson, M.B., Martiny , A.C., Martiny, J.B.H. 2016. Global biogeography of microbial nitrogen-cycling
traits in soil. *PNAS* 113 (29) 8033-8040. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1601070113

Nguyen, L.T., Schmidt, H.A., von Haeseler, A. et al. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic
algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol Biol Evol* 32:268–74.

Nnadozie, C.F., Lin, J. and Govinden, R. (2015) 'Selective isolation of bacteria for metagenomic analysis:
Impact of membrane characteristics on bacterial filterability'. *Biotechnol Prog.* 31: 853–866.
https://doi.org/10.1002/btpr.2109.

Noyes, N.R. et al. (2017) Enrichment allows identification of diverse, rare elements in metagenomic
resistome-virulome sequencing. *Microbiome* 5. 142. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-017-0361-8.

R Core Team 2018. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical
Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.

Romdhane, S., Spor, A., Aubert, J. *et al.* 2021. Unraveling negative biotic interactions determining soil

microbial community assembly and functioning. *ISME J.* https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-021-01076-9

Palmer, K., Biasi, C., Horn, M.A. 2012. Contrasting denitrifier communities relate to contrasting N_2O

emissions patterns from acidic peat soils in arctic tundra. *ISME J.* 6:1058-1077.

Parks, D.H., Imelfort, M., Skennerton, C.T., Hugenholtz, P., Tyson, G.W., 2015. CheckM: assessing the quality of

microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. *Genome Res.* 25: 1043-1055.

Pan Y, Bodrossy L, Frenzel P, Hestnes AG, Krause S, Lüke C, Meima-Franke M, Siljanen H, Svenning

MM, Bodelier PL. Impacts of inter- and intralaboratory variations on the reproducibility of microbial

community analyses. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2010 Nov;76(22):7451-8. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01595-10

Price, M.N., Dehal, P.S., Arkin, A.P., 2010. FastTree 2--approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large

alignments. *PLoS ONE* 5: e9490.

Prosser, JI, Hink, L, Gubry-Rangin, C, Nicol, GW. Nitrous oxide production by ammonia oxidizers:

Physiological diversity, niche differentiation and potential mitigation strategies. Glob Change Biol. 2020;

26: 103– 118. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14877

Putkinen, A., Siljanen, H.M.P., Laihonen, A., Paasisalo, I., Porkka, K., Tiirola, M., Haikarainen, I.,

Tenhovirta, S. and Pihlatie, M. 2021. New insight to the role of microbes in the methane exchange in trees:

evidence from metagenomic sequencing. *New Phytol* 231: 524-536. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17365

Skoglund, P., et al. 2014. Separating endogenous ancient DNA from modern day contamination in a

Siberian Neandertal. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 111: 2229–2234 .

Siljanen, H.M.P., Alves, R.J.E., Ronkainen, J.G., Lamprecht, R.E., Bhattarai, H.R., Bagnoud, A.,

Marushchak, M.E., Martikainen, P.J. Schleper, C., Biasi, C. 2019. Archaeal nitrification is a key driver of

high nitrous oxide emissions from arctic peatlands. *Soil Biol Biochem* 137: 107539

Siljanen, H.M.P., Saari, A., Krause, S., Lensu, A., Abell, G.C.J., Bodrossy, L., Bodelier, P.L.E.,

Martikainen, P.J. 2011. Hydrology is reflected in the functioning and community composition of

methanotrophs in the littoral wetland of a boreal lake. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*. 75:430–445,
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.01015.x

Sinha, R. et al. 2017. Assessment of variation in microbial community amplicon sequencing by the
Microbiome Quality Control (MBQC) project consortium. *Nature Biot* 35: 1077–1086.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3981.

Stamatakis, A. 2014 RAxML Version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large
phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 30:1312–13.

Stein, L.Y., Klotz, M.G. 2016. The nitrogen cycle. *Curr Biol.* 26: R94-R98,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.12.021.

Sui, H.Y. et al. (2020) Impact of DNA Extraction Method on Variation in Human and Built Environment
Microbial Community and Functional Profiles Assessed by Shotgun Metagenomics Sequencing. *Front*
Microb. 11: . Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00953

Trifinopoulos, J., Nguyen, L.T., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B.Q. 2016. W-IQ-TREE: a fast online
phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44(W1):W232-5. doi:
10.1093/nar/gkw256.

Thomson R.A.J., Giannopoulos, G., Pretty, J., Baggs, E.M., David J. 2012. Biological sources and sinks
of nitrous oxide and strategies to mitigate emissions. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 2012 367, doi:
10.1098/rstb.2011.0415, published 26 March 2012

Throbäck, I.N., Enwall, K., Jarvis, Å. and Hallin, S. 2004. Reassessing PCR primers targeting nirS, nirK
and nosZ genes for community surveys of denitrifying bacteria with DGGE. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 49: 401-
417. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsec.2004.04.011

Orellana, L.H., Hatt, J.K., Iyer, R. *et al.* Comparing DNA, RNA and protein levels for measuring microbial
dynamics in soil microcosms amended with nitrogen fertilizer. *Sci Rep.* **9**, 17630 (2019).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-53679-0

Ouyang Y., Norton J.M. 2020. Nitrite Oxidizer Activity and Community Are More Responsive Than Their
Abundance to Ammonium-Based Fertilizer in an Agricultural Soil. *Front Microbiol.* 11:
DOI=10.3389/fmicb.2020.01736
890
891 Wheeler, T.J., Eddy, S.R. 2013. nhmmer: DNA homology search with profile HMMs. *Bioinformatics* 29:
892 2487-2489.
893
894 Yu, G.C., Smith, D.K., Zhu, H.C., Guan, Y., Lam, T.T.Y. 2017. GGTREE: an R package for visualization and
895 annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. *Methods in Ecol Evol.* 8: 28-36.
896
897 Zaehle S. 2013.Terrestrial nitrogen–carbon cycle interactions at the global scale. Phil. Trans. R. Soc.
898 B3682013012520130125 http://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0125
899
900 Zhao, J., Huang, L., Chakrabarti, S. et al. 2023. Nitrogen and phosphorous acquisition strategies drive
901 coexistence patterns among archaeal lineages in soil. *ISME J.* 17, 1839–1850
902 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-023-01493-y
903
904

Figures:

Fig. 1. Comparison between the original composition of the mock community and the relative abundance

for each functional gene detected using probe captured metagenomics for all GC% combinations combined.

The relative abundance in the mock community was calculated based on the amount of each functional

gene and organism as well as genome size. Color of the symbols depict gene and shape the category of GC

content (%).

Fig. 2. Relative abundance of functional genes involved in inorganic nitrogen and methane cycling obtained

by targeted metagenomics and shotgun metagenomics from A) agricultural soil; and B) wetland soil.

Relative abundance was retrieved with HMMER searches of each functional gene. Statistically significant

differences between shotgun and targeted relative abundances according to pairwise comparisons with

ANOVA are shown with asterisk ($P < 0.05$, n = 3).

920 Fig. 3. Total reads of functional genes involved in inorganic nitrogen and methane cycling obtained by

921 targeted metagenomics and shotgun metagenomics from A) agricultural soil, B) wetland soil B). Number

922 of reads was retrieved with HMMER searches of each functional gene. Statistically significant differences

923 between shotgun and targeted metagenomic numbers of reads according to pairwise comparisons with

924 ANOVA are shown with asterisk ($P < 0.05$, n=3).

Fig. 4. A) Relative abundance of archaeal *amoA* ASVs sequenced with *amoA* amplicon sequencing (*amoA*

gene PCR amplicons sequenced with Illumina MiSeq, about 22000-47000 *amoA* reads per sample) (n = 3),

targeted metagenomics (genomic DNA sequenced with Illumina MiSeq, after target enrichment, about

4500-8500 *amoA* reads out of 220000-310000 targeted reads per sample)(n = 3), and shotgun metagenomics

(genomic DNA sequenced with Illumina HiSeq, about 22-57 million reads per sample, of which only two

were archaeal *amoA*)(n = 3). In all cases, the analysis pipeline consisted of USEARCH comparison on

amoA reference database (Alves et al., 2018) and taxonomy were analyzed with DADA2/QIIME1 v1.9.1

pipeline as published earlier (Siljanen et al., 2019). Sample having largest diversity is shown. Bubble

symbol size is proportional to the relative abundance and color indicates Nitrosospheria (NS)-clade of

taxonomic bin. Statistically significant differences in relative abundance of taxonomic bins between

sequencing approaches based on Pairwise-Wilcox comparison are indicated with different letters ($P < 0.05$,

n=3) B) Rarefaction analysis of archaeal *amoA* obtained by amplicon sequencing and captured

metagenomics. C) Venn-diagram of archaeal *amoA* sequences obtained by amplicon sequencing and

targeted metagenomics.