1

Targeted metagenomics using probe capture detect a larger diversity of nitrogen and methane cycling genes in complex microbial communities than traditional metagenomics

H.M.P. Siljanen[1,2], L. Manoharan[2a], A.S. Hilts[2], A. Bagnoud[2b], R.J.E. Alves[2c], C.M. Jones[3], M. Kerou[2], F. L. Sousa[2], S. Hallin[3], C. Biasi[1,4], C. Schleper[2]

1. Department of Environmental and Biological Sciences, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland

2. Department of Functional and Evolutionary Ecology, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

3. Department of Forest Mycology and Plant Pathology, Sweden University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden.

4. Department of Ecology, University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, 6020, Austria

Present address:
   a. National Bioinformatics Infrastructure Sweden (NBIS), SciLifeLab, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Lund University, Lund, Sweden.
   b. Membratec S, Ecoprac de Daval C 1, CH-3960 Sierre, Switzerland.
   c. Climate and Ecosystem Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA

Corresponding authors:

Henri Siljanen, henri.siljanen@uef.fi

Christa Schleper, christa.schleper@univie.ac.at

Keywords: metagenomics, nitrogen cycling, methane cycling, probe hybridization targeted metagenomics, PCR amplicon sequencing, shotgun metagenomics

Manuscript contribution to the field

Metagenomic sequencing often yields limited numbers of sequences of rare microbial taxa or functional genes, preventing in-depth analyses of specific populations and functional groups. Amplicon-based approaches enable the higher diversity coverage of target populations, but the

drawback is the difficulty in designing unbiased primers that cover the highest intra-group
diversity. Targeted metagenomics overcomes these challenges and results in similar community
structure as traditional amplicon sequencing, while expanding the sequence space in a less biased
metagenomic-based approach. Therefore, targeted metagenomics is an invaluable tool for studying
the diversity of specific populations within complex natural microbiomes. Here, we present and
evaluate a probe library designed for targeted metagenomics of nitrogen and methane cycling
genes in complex communities.

Abstract

Microorganisms are key players in the global cycling of nitrogen (N) and carbon (C), controlling
their availability and fluxes, including the emissions of the powerful greenhouse gases nitrous
oxide (N_2O) and methane (CH_4). Characterizing the microbial functional guilds driving these
processes is crucial for understanding ecosystem functioning and predicting their responses to
environmental changes. Standard sequence-based characterization methods often reveal only a
limited fraction of their diversity in nature because of their low relative abundance, the insufficient
sequencing depth of traditional metagenomes of complex communities, and limitations in
coverage of PCR-based assays. Here, we developed and tested a targeted metagenomics approach
based on probe capture and hybridization to simultaneously characterize the diversity of multiple
key metabolic genes involved in inorganic N and CH_4 cycling. We designed comprehensive probe
libraries for each of the 14 target marker genes comprising 264,000 unique probes. These probes
were used to selectively enrich the target genes in shotgun metagenomic libraries. In validation
experiments with the mock communities of known microorganisms, targeted metagenomics
yielded gene profiles similar to those of the original communities. Only GC content had a small
effect on probe efficiency, as low GC targets were less efficiently detected than those with high
GC, within the mock communities. Furthermore, the relative abundances of the marker genes
obtained using targeted or traditional shotgun metagenomics from agricultural and wetland soils
were significantly correlated, indicating that the targeted approach did not introduce significant
quantitative bias. In addition, using archaeal *amoA* genes as a case-study, targeted metagenomics
identified substantially higher taxonomic diversity and a larger number of sequence reads per
sample, yielding diversity estimates 28 or 1.24 times higher than shotgun metagenomics or

amplicon sequencing, respectively. Notably, shotgun metagenomics detected only three out of the
84 *amoA* gene phylotypes detected using targeted metagenomics. Our results show that targeted
metagenomics complements current approaches to characterize key microbial populations and
functional guilds in biogeochemical cycles in different ecosystems, enabling more detailed,
simultaneous characterization of multiple functional genes.
70
71

Introduction

The global nitrogen (N) and carbon (C) cycles are essential processes of the Earth's biosphere and
crucial for ecosystem functioning (Zaehle 2013, Gruber and Galloway, 2008; Archer 2010;
Falkowski et al., 2000; Steffen et al. 2015). All major N transformation processes (i.e., nitrogen
fixation, nitrification, denitrification, dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA), and
anaerobic ammonium oxidation, or anammox), are performed exclusively by the functional guilds
of bacteria, archaea, and eukaryotes (Galloway et al., 2004; Stein and Klotz, 2016; Kuypers et al.,
2018; Offre et al. 2013). Their activities regulate N availability for primary producers and
microorganisms across ecosystems and control the production and consumption of the potent
greenhouse gas nitrous oxide (N_2O) and other gaseous N compounds through processes such as
nitrification, denitrification, and non-denitrifier N_2O reduction (Barnard, et al., 2005, Thompson
et al., 2012; Jones et al., 2014; Prosser et al. 2020). Methane (CH_4) is another major product of the
microbial trophic chain underlying C cycling, and, like the most inorganic N compounds, its
production and consumption are also regulated by highly specific groups of microorganisms
(Bodelier and Laanbroek 2004, Murrel and Jetten 2009, Conrad 2009). Importantly, CH_4 is the
second most potent greenhouse gas after carbon dioxide (CO_2) and, together with N_2O, contributes
at least 25% of the total global warming caused by greenhouse gases (Myhre et al., 2013;
Friedlingstein et al., 2020; Lan et al., 2023).

Despite their important ecological role, microorganisms participating in inorganic N and CH_4
transformations typically constitute a small fraction of microbial communities in most soil,
sediment, and aquatic ecosystems (Håvelsrud, et al., 2011; Palmer et al., 2012; Aanderud et al.,
2015, Nelson et al, 2016, Ouygang and Norton 2020). Community profiling based on 16S rRNA

genes only allows for very limited information about microbial functions (Alteio et al. 2021). Even
in the rare cases when functions can be inferred from organism phylogeny, the striking genetic and
functional diversity within functional guilds remains concealed, such as that among their key
metabolic enzymes (e.g., ammonia monooxygenase (*amoA*), Alves et al. 2018; methyl co-enzyme
M reductase (*mcrA*), Borrel, 2019). Additionally, taxonomy-based approaches are inadequate in
elucidating processes that have a broader taxonomic distribution than originally thought (e.g.
Kuypers et al. 2018, Borrel 2019, Li et al. 2023; Saghaï et al., 2024). Shotgun metagenomics is the
typical method of choice for obtaining a relatively unbiased picture of the natural microbiome,
provided that issues with sample preparation, DNA extraction, library preparation, and sequencing
method can be ruled out (Pan et al., 2010, Nnadozie, Lin and Govinden, 2015; Sinha et al., 2017;
Sui et al., 2020). Nevertheless, in complex and diverse microbial communities such as those in
soils and sediments, even deeply-sequenced metagenomes do not uncover the full diversity of
microbial functional guilds and key functional genes owing to their typically low relative
abundances within the broader community (Palmer et al., 2012, Orellana et al. 2019, Ouygang and
Norton 2020, Zhao et al. 2023). As a low-cost alternative, the characterization of specific
functional groups has long relied on the PCR amplification of genes that encode key metabolic
enzymes, as for example, of organisms involved in various N and CH_4 cycling pathways (Knief
2015; Brauer et al. 2021; Alves et al. 2018; Romdhane et al. 2021, Clark et al. 2022). However,
the gene diversity captured by gene-specific PCR assays is limited by the primers used, which
introduce biases that make comparisons among different genes or samples difficult (Hallin and
Lindgren, 1999; Throbäck al. 2004; Kolb et al., 2003; Siljanen et al., 2011). Furthermore, in
complex microbial communities, target genes of interest are encoded by a large diversity of
organisms, and usually include a large fraction of novel gene sequence variants from
uncharacterized organisms (Jones et al. 2014; Saghaï et al 2023). Thus the geometric expansion of
genomic data has made it increasingly obvious that designing a single PCR primer pair to target
the inherent diversity of metabolic genes is highly problematic (Bonilla-Rosso et al. 2016).
Therefore, linking ecosystem functions to microbial communities is often only possible through
the complex and resource-intensive combinations of meta-omics and isotope labelling approaches
(Tveit et al. 2015, Orellana et al. 2019).
125

Targeted high-throughput sequencing approaches, known as probe capture, also called

hybridization capture, hybridization-based target enrichment, or captured metagenomics, have

been used to study complex eukaryotic samples such as human exons, ancient human genomes,

plant transcriptomes, and cancer marker single nucleotide polymorphisms (Skoglund et al. 2014,

Ichida et al. 2019, Futema et al. 2012, Gasc et al., 2016, Kamil et al. 2021, Bewicke-Copley et al.

2019). A few studies have also used this approach to facilitate the in-depth study of microbial

communities using either 16S rRNA genes or other microbial functional genes (Denonfoux et al.,

2013; Manoharan et al. 2015, Noyes et al., 2017, Beaudry et al., 2021). The probe capture approach

relies on targeting specific short sequences within a broader genomic pool (e.g., full genomes,

genome or gene fragments) with biotin-labelled probes. The probes that hybridize with their target

regions are then selectively captured from the full genomic library using streptavidin-labelled

magnetic beads (Liu et al., 2016). Different from sequence capture, where multiple probes are

designed to cover a whole exon, captured metagenomics relies on the large databases of multiple

target genes clustered at predefined similarity cut-offs, which are used to design a large number of

generic probes that are able to capture an extended sequence space for each of these sequence

clusters (Kushwaha et al., 2015, Manoharan et al., 2015).

To improve detection and the characterization of important, but low abundant microbial guilds

involved in N and CH_4 cycling in natural communities, we developed and evaluated a probe-based

targeted metagenomics approach to key genes involved in these processes. In addition to the

enhanced resolution and coverage of genetic sequence space, this approach allows the parallel

analysis of distinct steps within N- and CH_4- cycling pathways, thus combining the advantages of

both shotgun metagenomics and amplicon-based approaches. Here, we present a new probe set for

targeting 14 distinct functional genes involved in inorganic N cycling (i.e., N fixation, nitrification,

denitrification, anammox, and DNRA), and three genes involved in CH_4 production and

consumption. We evaluated our approach using mock communities comprising of DNA from

microorganisms involved in inorganic N cycling, or CH_4 production or consumption with varying

gene GC% content, which is known to affect probe hybridization efficiency. As a proof-of-concept

for complex communities, we performed shotgun metagenomics and targeted metagenomics on

agricultural and wetland soil samples and compared the diversity of two functional markers, the

archaeal *amoA* genes (marker for ammonia oxidizers) and *nosZ* genes (marker for nitrous oxide
reduction).

Materials and methods

Construction of the target gene databases (TDBs)
Target gene databases (TDBs) were constructed, containing all identifiable variants for the
following key genes: the nitrogenase iron subunit (*nifH*), bacterial and archaeal ammonia
monooxygenase subunit A (*amoA)*, nitrite oxidoreductase beta subunit (*nxrB*), hydrazine
oxidoreductase A (*hzoA)*, formate dependent nitrite reductase (*nrfA*), periplasmic nitrate reductase
alpha subunit (*napA*), respiratory nitrate reductase alpha subunit (*narG*), copper-containing nitrite
reductase (*nirK*), cytochrome cd$_1$ nitrite reductase (*nirS*), nitric oxide reductase subunit B (*norB*),
nitrous oxide reductase (*nosZ*), particulate methane monooxygenase subunit A (*pmoA*), soluble
methane monooxygenase component A alpha chain (*mmoX*), and methyl-coenzyme M reductase
I subunit alpha (*mcrA*).

Hidden Markov Model (HMM) models were generated in order to identify all variants of the target
genes from public databases (Fig. 1). These models were built based on reference sequence
alignments from curated databases already available for selected genes, such as *amoA* (Alves et
al., 2018), *pmoA* (Knief et al., 2015), *nosZ* (Jones et al., 2013), *nirK, nirS, nor* (Graf et al., 2014);
and the Fungene repository (Fish et al., 2013). For target genes where alignments were not
available, reference alignments were generated from gene sequence data publicly available on the
NCBI WGS-database, from the full length open-reading frame of each subunit, to cover all known
diversity in each gene. Structure-based searches of the Genbank nt- and envnt- database were
subsequently performed with nhmmer using the generated HMMs for every target gene (Wheeler
& Eddy, 2013) on a local supercomputer cluster (Centre of scientific computing CSC, Espoo,
Finland) (July 2017). The HMM models are available through Zenodo:
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15276905 (In script: probe-capture/2-
selected_outputs/hmmer_profiles.zip The specificity of HMM searches was evaluated by
comparing the results to the latest curated sequence databases of selected genes (Alves et al., 2018;
Graf et al., 2022, with primary data obtained directly from the respective authors), and results were

further evaluated based on annotation and gene structure. This sequence selection process

generated ~600,000 unique sequences across all gene families. The obtained database was

clustered to 100% identity in order to remove duplicates sequences in database with cd-hit and

manually inspected to exclude 16S rRNA genes. The final output comprised the target gene

database (TDB; available through Zenodo: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15276905 (In script:

probe-capture/2-

selected_outputs/all_genes_compiled_ncbi_nt_envnt_fungene_split_file_0.fasta.gz (four files

..file_0-3.fasta.gz)). The workflow can be seen in Fig 1. Scripts for Target gene database search

is available in Zenodo (/probe-capture/tree/main/3-

other_scripts/Henri_scripts/Script_probe_capture.sh).

Generation of probes for target genes

The MetCap bioinformatics pipeline was used as a protocol to produce probes from highly

complex datasets for targeted metagenomics (Kushwaha et al., 2015). Default parameters were

used for designing up to six unique 50mer probes for each sequence cluster in the TDBs (clustered

with an 80% identity clustering threshold), with melting temperature of 47 °C, resulting in a set of

263,111 unique gene-specific probes (Fig 1, and in Zenodo: probe-capture/2-

selected_outputs/Final_N_probes.list1.fasta). The probes were synthesized by NimbleGen SeqCap

EZ (Roche NimbleGen, Inc., Madison, USA) as Custom design (since the MetCap pipeline was

used for probe design, probe order was done with Roche HyperDesign tool:

www.hyperdesign.com), with biotin labelling to enable retrieval of the hybridized targets using

streptavidin coated magnetic beads (Kushwaha et al., 2015; Manoharan et al., 2015).

Extraction of DNA from cultures and generation of mock community DNA samples

DNA samples from mock communities encoding the genes of interest were generated by mixing

genomic DNA from different organisms in variable proportions, in order to simulate variation in

overall GC% content. The pool of DNA in the mock communities included DNA from:

Nitrosospira multiformis, *Nitrososphaera viennensis*, *Nitrospira defluvii*, Ca. *Kuenenia*

stuttgartiensis PCR fragment of the full-lenght *hzoA* gene, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Escherichia*

coli, *Shigella sonnei*, *Cupriavidus metallidurans*, *Cupriavidus necator*, *Dyadobacter fermentans*,

Pseudomonas stutzeri, *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*, *Salinibacter ruber*, *Sulfurimonas denitrificans*,

Methylosinus trichosporium Ob3b, *Methylocella tundrae*, *Methylomicrobium buryatense*,

Methanoregula boonei, and *Methanolacinia petrolearia*. Strain information, including genome or

fragment size and the number of target genes per organism are shown in Supplementary Table S1.

DNA from cultured organisms was extracted from 1% SDS-treated cell pellets in CTAB buffer,

followed by phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol extraction and ethanol precipitation, as described

before (Jones et al., 2013). The relative abundances of the microorganisms in the mock

communities were multiplied with the median genome GC% contents to generate a weighted GC%

content of the pool of samples with the following values: 47, 50, 53, 57, 60, and 63 (Supplementary

Table S2). Pooling ratios of microorganisms' DNA were calculated based on the expected number

of functional genes in the extracted DNA, the DNA concentration (determined with a Qubit HS

dsDNA kit (Thermo)) and genome size. Relative gene abundances in mock communities were

calculated and compared to the sequences generated using targeted metagenomics. The relative

abundance of each gene was calculated against the sum of abundance values of all 14 genes in the

targeted metagenomes of the mock community samples (relative abundance of a specific gene =

100 x (gene reads / sum of reads of 14 genes)). The captured reads for mock community targeted

metagenomics are shown in Table S4.

Annotation of coding sequences from the mock community dataset

Predicted proteomes from the mock communities were retrieved from NCBI to count the number

of each functional genes per genome. For *Nitrospira defluvii*; NC_014355.1, genes were predicted

from the genome nucleotide sequence using Prodigal (v2.6.3) (Hyatt et al., 2010). Proteins were

annotated using KOFAM (HMM database of KEGG Orthologs). Each protein was assigned the

top hitting KO. Where available, cut-offs provided with KOFAMscan (Aramaki et al., 2020) were

used to filter spurious results.

Extraction of DNA and determination of chemical parameters from environmental samples

Samples from two different environments, an agricultural soil in Hungary (n = 3), and a wetland

in Bellefontaine, France (n = 3) (Table S3), were collected in order to assess the effectiveness of

the probe set in enriching functional genes in different sample contexts. Extraction of DNA from

the environmental samples was performed as previously described by Siljanen et al., (2019).

Briefly, samples were homogenized by bead-beating 0.5 g of soil at a speed of 5.5 m/s, for 30 sec

with phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol extraction in CTAB buffer, followed by ethanol

precipitation. The quality of DNA extracts was assessed using a NanoDrop ND-1000 (Thermo),

and DNA concentration was measured with a Qubit HS dsDNA kit (Thermo). The soil chemical

parameters soil C/N ratio, pH, Fe II/III-, ammonium- and nitrate content were determined as

described earlier (Siljanen et al., 2019; Bagnoud et al, 2020).

Targeted metagenomic library preparation, target enrichment of libraries and sequencing

with Illumina Miseq

For each sample, sequencing indexes and sequencing adapters were provided as commercial

service by the Centre for Genomic Research (CGR) -laboratories, at the University of Liverpool,

Liverpool, UK. Libraries were produced with KAPA HyperPlus Library Preparation (Roche) kit

to produce insert sizes of 630 bp according to the manufacturer's instructions. The protocol is

shown in Fig. 1. and described here briefly: 150ng of environmental DNA was fragmented with

KAPA fragmentation buffer and KAPA Frag Enzyme at +37°C for 20min. Fragmented DNA was

ligated to SeqCap Adapters A and B, +20°C for 15 minutes. Fragments were purified 0.65x

volumes of AMPure XP Reagent for 5 min at room temperature in magnetic particle collector

(MPC). Then the clear supernatant was removed and the library was washed twice with 200 μl of

80% ethanol. The libraries were removed from MPC by eluting them to 53 μl of elution buffer (10

mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) by incubated two minutes. Each library was amplified for 7 cycles, with

KAPA HyperPlus Library Preparation kit in order to add the indexes for each sample (cycling

conditions: +98°C, 15 sec; 60° for 30 sec; +72°C for 30 sec). Amplified libraries were purified

with AMPure XP beads as above.

The hybridization reactions to the NimbleGen SeqCap EZ Developer Probe set were performed

according to the manufacturer's instructions. The hybridization was done in non-binding DNA

background 25 μg, on top of 1 μg of each library equally pooled Multiplexed DNA Sample Library

were added. The Multiplexed Hybridization Enhancing Oligo Pool (2,000 pmol in 2μl volume),

the SeqCap HE Universal Oligo (1,000 pmol in 1μl volume) and (1,000 pmol in 1μl volume)

SeqCap HE Index Oligo Pool) was mixed with 1 μg of equally pooled Multiplexed DNA Sample

Library. Two volumes of AMPure XP Reagent was added on top of the above mixture and

thoroughly mixed. Samples were incubated for 10 minutes to allow the sample library to bind to

the beads. Samples were placed on the MPC to capture the beads and the solution allowed to clear.

Once clear, the supernatant was discarded carefully, to not disturb the beads. Afterwards 190 µl of
80% ethanol was added to the samples containing the bead-bound DNA samples. The samples
were left on the MPC during this step. Samples were incubated at room temperature for >30
seconds. The 80% ethanol was carefully removed and discarded, without disturbing the beads.
Beads were dried at room temperature with the tube lid open for 5 minutes (or until dry).
A master mix of the following reagents was prepared, scaling up to reflect the number of captures:
7.5 µl of the 2x Hybridization Buffer, 3 µl of Hybridization Component A. Then 10.5 µL of the
Hybridization Buffer/Hybridization Component A mix from the previous step was added to the
bead-bound DNA sample. Samples were removed from the MPC and mixed thoroughly. It was
important that enough mixing was performed at this step to yield a homogeneous mixture. It was
left to sit at room temperature for 2 minutes. Sampled were then placed on the MPC. After liquid
cleared, 10.5 µL of supernatant (entire volume) was removed and placed in a new tube containing
4.5 µl of the SeqCap EZ Developer Probe pool. This was mixed thoroughly. Hybridization
incubation was performed in a thermocycler using the following program with heated lid set to 10
°C above the block temperature: incubation was 95°C for 5min, and 47°C for 72 hours (SeqCap
EZ HyperCap Workflow protocol, Manoharan et al., 2015).
To purify the hybridized libraries the SeqCap Pure Capture Bead Kit was used. To prepare the
beads for binding the samples, 50 µl of beads (streptavidin coated magnetic beads) was added to
the 1.5 ml tube at room temperature for capturing reaction. Tubes were placed on a MPC, the
supernatant removed and beads were washed two times with 100 µl of 1x Bead Wash Buffer.
Afterwards it was removed from the MPC and mixed by pipetting up and down. Beads were bound
on the magnetic particle collector. Once the liquid was clear, the supernatant was removed. In the
second wash, the beads were aliquoted with 50 µl of 1X Bead Washing Buffer. Beads were bound
and the supernatant again removed. One hybridization sample (15 µl volume) was added to the
washed SeqCap Pure Capture Beads. Samples were bound to the beads by placing the samples in
a thermocycler at +47°C for 15 minutes (heated lid at +57°C). Then 100 µl of 1x Wash Buffer was
added on top of the Capture Beads and bead-bound DNA and mixed thoroughly. The tube was
placed on the MPC to capture the beads. The solution was allowed to clear, and the supernatant
removed, while being careful not to disturb the beads. Afterwards, 200 µl of 1x Stringent Wash
Buffer was added to each capture reaction. Those were mixed by pipetting up and down, when

tubes were not in a MPC. Samples were placed in the thermocycler to +47°C for 5 minutes. The

wash was repeated with 1x Stringent Wash Buffer. Next, 200 µl of 1x Wash Buffer I was added,

vortexed for 10 second, and incubated 1 minute at room temperature. Once the solution was clear,

it was removed, again being careful not to disturb the beads. The washing with 1X Wash buffer II

was repeated, and then 1x with Washing Buffer III. Samples were removed from the MPC and 53

µl of PCR-grade water was added to the bead-bound sample. Sampled were then incubated at room

temperature for 2 minutes and placed in the MPC. Then 50 µl of clear solution was collected in a

new tube.

Sequencing for probe hybridized and washed DNA was performed with Illumina MiSeq PE300

chemistry in the Centre for Genomic Research (CGR), University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK,

resulting in 198,700-311,500 reads per sample for the environmental samples and up to 2,600,000

reads for the mock communities.

Targeted metagenomics read processing and mapping

All six possible reading frames of the nucleotide sequence reads generated by the targeted

metagenomic sequencing of the mock community samples were translated using transeq from the

EMBOSS package (v6.6.0.0) (Rice et al., 2000). These were mapped to the genomes using

DIAMOND blastp (v2.0.6.144) (Buchfink et al., 2015), with a minimum percentage identity of

60%. This threshold was selected as it resulted in the largest percentage of mapped reads while

being stringent enough to prevent spurious mappings. No minimum coverage was used to account

for reads that did not fully overlap with the proteins from the mock community dataset. For each

direction, up to four matches were retained, to account for cases where a single read spanned

neighboring proteins. Multiple matches in the same direction could overlap by up to 15 amino

acids to account for possible protein fusions or sequencing errors. The blastx searches against the

refseq database (Altschul et al., 1990) were performed in order to remove duplicates or reannotate

falsely annotated entries due to the presence of homologous gene families in our dataset (*amoA*

vs. *pmoA*, *nxrB* vs. *narH* nitrate reductase or *napA+narG* vs. *nrfA*). The blastx search was done to

evaluate whether the read was correct or not, and the run was made with the default parameters of

blast. Each of the (up to four) matches were used to assign KOs to the reads, based on the predicted

KOs of the proteins to which they mapped. If, in a given direction, a read was assigned the same

KO multiple times, this was collapsed into a single hit, retaining the hit with the best E-value.

Evaluation of functional annotation of reads

Protein predictions for each read were performed using nhmmer from the HMMER suite

(v.3.3)(Eddy 2011) using the in-house generated HMMs for target genes as well as KOFAM as

described above. An inclusion threshold of <0.0001 was used when assigning protein predictions

for the reads. For a given read, using all six of the translated frames, up to four protein assignments

could be made, evaluated based on KO bit scores or identity filtering. Reads assigned KOs for the

function of interest were counted as positively identified hits. Subsequently, reads were mapped

to their corresponding coding sequences (CDS) from the mock community organisms to determine

which reads constituted "true positives" (TP) or "true negatives" (TN). Reads mapped to a given

CDS were assigned functions according to the above-mentioned KOFAM CDS annotation as their

"true function". If the HMM assignment (both in-house and from KOFAM) of a read matched the

functional assignment of the CDS to which it was mapped, then it was counted as a TP. The TP,

false positive (FP), and false negative (FN) values were summed up for all models using all

methods, and precision and recall were calculated. Read mapping (described above) was used to

determine the total number of possible TPs of the reads (Fig. S6), from which the actual number

of TPs was subtracted to calculate the number of FNs. Precision was calculated as the ratio of TPs

to total positive detections (i.e., number of TPs and FPs). Recall was calculated as the ratio of TPs

to all theoretically obtainable true positives (i.e., sum of TPs and FNs). The script is available on

Zenodo: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15276905   (/probe-capture/tree/main/3-

other_scripts/Angus_scripts).

Shotgun metagenomics library preparation, sequencing with Illumina HiSeq and analysis

Shotgun metagenomic sequencing was performed on environmental DNA (n = 3) from agricultural

soil and wetland soil (Table S3), extracted as described above for TDB production. Illumina

TruSeq library preparation with an insert size of 250bp was carried out with 1 µg of DNA and

sequencing was performed in Illumina HiSeq PE150 lane as a commercial service in Vienna

Biocenter Core Facility (VBCF, Austria) and Microsynth AG, Switzerland. In this approach, the

previously generated HMM profiles were used to identify the target gene pools (E < 0.001). The

predicted functions of each identified sequence were confirmed by tblastx against the *refseq* database, using DIAMOND (Buchfink et al., 2015). Annotation and identity information was further processed with awk in unix and in Rversion 3.5.3 to produce lists of community structures for each target gene. The relative abundance of each gene was normalized against the sum of total reads, Table S4: Relative abundance of gene x = (Abundance of gene x / total reads sequenced) x 100.

amoA gene amplicon sequencing

Archaeal *amoA* gene amplicons from environmental DNA (n = 3) from the agricultural soil were generated as described earlier by Siljanen et al., (2019), and sequencing was performed with Illumina MiSeq PE250, in LGC, Münich, Germany. In brief, *amoA* gene amplicons were generated using 0.6 ng DNA template with GoTaq DNA polymerase (Promega) and 0.5 µM primers (CamoA_19F [5'-ATGGTCTGGYTWAGACG-3'] and TamoA_632R-4 [5'-GCKGCCATCCATCKRTANGTCCA-3']) with a 5'-prime Illumina sequencing adaptor [5'-CTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCT-3'] for both end of above primers for making the indexing possible in the sequencing service laboratory. Cycling conditions were the following: 1min initial denaturation at 95°C, 30sec denaturation at 94°C, 30sec annealing at 60°C and 45sec extension at 72°C, with 35 cycles. PCR reactions were performed in triplicate for each sample (n = 3), and purified with the High Pure PCR product purification kit (Roche). Sequencing indexes were added by LGC, where libraries were equally pooled and sequenced with Illumina MiSeq PE250.

Processing of amplicon amoA reads

Archaeal *amoA* (Thaumarchaeal-*amoA*, *TamoA*) amplicon reads processing was carried out by a custom-based Python script available at Zenodo: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15276905; /probe-capture/tree/main/1-scripts/1-amplicon_seq_script_v1.sh   -folder). Briefly, quality trimming of sequencing reads was performed by truncating amplicon forward read R1 reads to 200bp and reverse read R2 180bp, discarding all reads with an expected error greater than 0.5. The *amoA* reads were dereplicated and amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were assigned to taxonomic bins described in the reference *amoA* gene database (Alves et al. 2018) using a 55% identity cutoff

with USEARCH8 (Edgar, 2010). Chimeras were filtered out with UCHIME (Edgar, 2011). For

the remaining ASVs, quality controlled R1 and R2 reads were fused together, classified with

UCLUST in QIIME (Caparaso et al., 2010) and clustered to OTUs with 97% sequence identity

cut-off for each taxonomic bins. Taxonomic bins of each *TamoA* gene cluster were generated using

the reference gene database and taxonomy by Alves et al. (2018) (Altschul et al. 1990; Callahan

et al., 2016). Relative abundance of *TamoA* taxonomic bins was calculated as follows: Relative

abundance of *TamoA* taxonomic bin x = (Abundance of *TamoA* taxonomix bin x / total *TamoA*

reads) x 100. In Fig. 4A, the relative abundance of each taxonomic bin (relative to the column

sum) was plotted for each sample. The sequencing depth for the *TamoA* gene amplicon library was

~27,000-58,000 PE250 reads per sample up to 31Mb for the agricultural soil (Table S4).

Processing of metagenomic *amoA* reads

Archaeal *amoA* (Thaumarchaeal-*amoA*, *TamoA*) reads from targeted- and shotgun metagenomics,

were identified with the *TamoA* in-house HMM model. The forward reads were dereplicated and

assigned to the reference *amoA* gene database using a 55% identity cutoff with USEARCH8

(Edgar 2010). Chimeras were filtered out with UCHIME (Edgar 2011), all reads were clustered

with UCLUST with 97% sequence identity in QIIME and assigned to taxonomic bins based on the

closest *amoA* genes from the taxonomy database of OTUs (Alves et al. 2018) (Caporaso 2010).

Relative abundance was calculated as follows: Relative abundance of *TamoA* taxonomic bin x =

(Abundance of *TamoA* taxonomix bin x / total *TamoA* reads) x 100. In the targeted metagenomics

approach, multiple detection of the same taxonomic bin is possible because of six probes are used

for each sequence cluster. However, when relative abundance for each detected taxonomic bin is

calculated for targeted metagenomic, if multiple detection occurs in the relative abundance

calculation the community composition is balanced because of equal number of probes per each

sequence cluster. Therefore, the relative abundance of different sequencing methods can be

compared. The sequencing depth for the *TamoA* gene for three shotgun metagenomics *TamoA*

reads out of ~22-58M PE150 reads per sample up to 17 Gb, and for targeted metagenomics 4472-

8230 *TamoA* reads out of ~220-311k PE300 reads per sample up to 160 Mb, for the agricultural

soil (Table S4). The metagenomic reads processing is described in the custom-made script

available at Zenodo: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15276905 (targeted with probe-capture:

tree/main/1-scripts/2-probe_capture_script_v1.sh, and shotgun metagenomics: tree/main/1-

scripts/3-metagenomics_script_v1.sh).

Phylogenetic placement analysis of *nosZ* reads from shotgun and targeted metagenomics

libraries.

A reference alignment and phylogeny for *nosZ* was generated from full length *nosZ* amino acid

sequences obtained from genomes downloaded from the NCBI genome database (accessed

October 2019). A profile HMM for *nosZ* was generated from a previously published *nosZ* dataset,

as described above (Graf et al, 2022). Reads were converted to amino acid sequences and then

aligned using *hmmalign* within the HMMer suite (v.3.1.2)(Eddy 2011), and the homologous

sections were identified using FastTree (v. 2.1.11, Price et al., 2010). The reference protein

alignment was used for phylogenetic reconstruction using IQ-TREE (v1.6.12) with best model

selection and 1000 ultrafast bootstraps. The Le-Gascuel (LG) substitution model with 10 rate

categories was selected after automatic model selection. For the phylogenetic placement of *nosZ*

reads obtained using either shotgun metagenome sequencing (n=3) or targeted metagenomics

(n=3) of the agricultural site, the relevant reads were pooled together and clustered with CD-HIT

(Fu et al., 2012) at 90% sequence identity before translating and aligning to the reference *nosZ*

alignment using *hmmalign*. The translated reads were then placed in the reference phylogeny using

the next generation evolutionary placement algorithm (EPA-NG v0.3.8; Barbera et al., 2019), with

the same model parameters as used for constructing the reference phylogeny. The placement

positions with the highest likelihood weight ratio were plotted on the reference phylogeny in R

using the 'ggtree' package (v3.4.2, Yu et al., 2016). Script available for nosZ phylogenetic

placements at Zenodo: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15276905 (/probe-capture/tree/main/3-

other_scripts/Chris_Jones_scripts).

Data and script availability

The shotgun metagenomic, targeted metagenomic and *amoA* amplicon sequencing data have been

deposited in NCBI SRA under the Bioproject numbers PRJNA898102 and PRJNA488558. All

scripts are available at Zenodo: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15276905. The repository contains

as well the target gene database (probe-capture/2-

selected_outputs/all_genes_compiled_ncbi_nt_envnt_fungene_split_file_0.fasta.gz (four files

..file_0-3.fasta.gz),   and   the   final   probe   sequences   (probe-capture/2-
selected_outputs/Final_N_probes.list1.fasta).

Results

Benchmarking gene detection and quantification using targeted metagenomics in mock
communities

The targeted metagenomic approach was evaluated using mock microbial communities comprising

predefined mixtures of genomic DNA from 14 bacterial and three archaeal strains, together

containing all 14 functional genes targeted by the probes and mixed in different proportions to

produce six different median G+C contents ranging from 47% to 62% (Table S1). The overall

relative abundances of target genes in the captured metagenomes across all GC% categories were

correlated with their abundance in the original mock communities ($r = 0.78$ ($P < 0.0001$) (Fig. 2).

Comparisons between the relative abundance of individual genes in the mock communities as

compared to captured metagenomes revealed highly similar values (t = 1.8518e-10, df = 174.83,

$P > 0.05$), with the exception of the *nirK* and the *hzoA* genes (Fig. S1a,b). Pearson correlation

coefficients across all GC% categories varied between 0.76 and 0.93, although correlations were

stronger within higher GC% categories (57%-63%; R = 0.80-0.93) than within lower GC%

categories (47%-53%; R = 0.76-0.79) (Fig. S1). The probe capture targeted metagenomics

produced 61-72% of target gene sequences from the total sequenced library of the mock-

communities (Table S4).

Precision of gene identification in mock communities

Precision for the in-house HMMs ranged from 74.1% (*nifH*) to 100% (*mcrA*) (Fig. S5). The

average precision of the models was 93.4%, with a median of 99.8% (excluding *amoA* and *pmoA*).

The recall ranged from 42.8% (*nxrB*) to 100% (*mcrA*). The average recall was 81.9%, with a

median recall of 82.8%. Because KEGG only provides a single model covering the homologous

genes *pmoA* and *amoA*, it was not possible to accurately calculate the precision and recall for these

genes individually. A precision estimate could still be determined for the two genes, where a "true

positive" is defined as a hit for a read identified by the in-house models as *amoA* or *pmoA* mapped

to a CDS identified by the HMM for (*a*/*p*)*moA* genes provided by KEGG (K10944). In this case,

the precision values for the *amoA* and *pmoA* genes were estimated to be 96.9%, and 100%,

respectively. It is important to note that these estimates do not exclude the possibility that the in-

house models misclassified reads belonging to these homologous protein families.

Precision and recall values were similar using KOFAMs instead of in-house HMMs. However, the

results for *amoA* and *pmoA* genes were combined due to a single model for the associated KO for

both genes, as mentioned above. Precision ranged from 76.5% (*amoA*/*pmoA*) to 100.0% (*mcrA*),

while recall varied from 34.3% (*nifH*) to 100% (*mcrA* and *nxrB*). The average and median

precision values were 94.5% and 97.7%, while the average and median recall values were 93.39%

and 99.03%, respectively. The precision of KOs was lower for *napA* than for other genes when

compared with custom DNA HMMs. This could be accounted for by the presence of formate

dehydrogenase, a known homolog of *napA*. Formate dehydrogenase (K00123) was indeed

identified among the genomes used for the mock community, so it is possible that the custom

HMM was misclassifying reads originating from this known ortholog to *napA* (Cerqueira et al.,

2015).

Higher number of reads for all target functional genes but similar relative abundance

obtained with targeted compared to shotgun metagenomics from complex environmental

samples

We investigated the efficiency of the targeted metagenomics approach in natural complex

ecosystems by directly comparing this approach with shotgun untargeted metagenomics generated

with a nearly 100 times higher amount of sequencing (~66 Mb and ~6.4 Gb per sample,

respectively) from wetland soils in Bellefontaine, France, and from an agricultural field in Hungary

(Table S4). These soils represent distinct ecosystems with average physicochemical conditions,

such as water content and oxygen concentration, and N availability that favors different

distributions of functional genes (Jones et al. 2014). Despite the large difference in sequencing

depth, targeted metagenomics generated a much larger set of target functional genes (Fig. 3). In

both the agricultural and wetland site the targeted metagenomics approach detected 14 out of 15

gene-clusters and the shotgun approach 13 out of 15 genes (*mcrA* was not detected in the

agricultural site and *hzoA* not in the wetland site). Moreover, up to 60 times as many identified

gene sequences were detected using the probe capture compared to shotgun metagenomics (Fig. 3, Table S4). The relative abundances of functional genes in captured metagenomes correlated significantly with that in shotgun metagenomes from both the agricultural field ($R_{Pearson}$ = 0.96, d.f.=14, $P < 0.00001$) and the wetland ($R_{Pearson}$ = 0.70, d.f.=14, $P < 0.01$). The relative abundances of target genes were different between the two approaches ($P > 0.00001$) (Fig. 3). Moreover, increased relative abundance was detected with targeted compared to shotgun metagenomics in the agricultural site for *nifH*, *TamoA*, *nrfA*, *napA*, *nirK*, *nirS*, *norB*, *nosZ* and *mmoX* (Fig. 3a) genes and the wetland site for *nifH*, *napA, narG* and *nirS* (Fig. 3b) genes. The targeted approach generated a much higher number of reads for all target genes in both sites than shotgun metagenomics (Target reads in Table S4).

The different relative abundances of functional genes in the two ecosystems reflected known differences in their environmental conditions: (i) N_2 fixation genes were expectedly higher in the unfertilized wetland; (ii) Genes involved in ammonia oxidation and nitric and nitrous oxide reduction were higher in the agricultural soil, which is likely to be more oxic and also subject to N fertilization which increase inorganic N cycling; (iii) Genes involved in methanogenesis were more abundant in the wetland, where anoxic conditions and methane production typically occur.

Comparing diversity recovered for specific target genes from amplicon, targeted and shotgun metagenomics from complex environmental samples

In order to compare the diversity of specific functional genes detected by targeted metagenomics, shotgun metagenomics and PCR amplicon sequencing we focused on the archaeal *amoA* gene as a test case, as it is the second most sequenced gene in NCBI indicative of its ecological importance, and for which there is a comprehensive reference database for classification available (Alves et al., 2018). Moreover, archaeal ammonia oxidizers (AOA) typically outnumber their bacterial counterparts in most environments (Leininger et al. 2006), and even though they can be low abundant, their diversity is striking and underlines their distinct metabolic capabilities (Alves et al. 2018; Huang et al. 2021). Additionally, we compared the detection of *nosZ* genes between the targeted and shotgun metagenomics approaches in the agricultural soil, also based on an existing reference database (Graf et al., 2022). The following datasets were compared: (i) targeted and shotgun metagenomes, and PCR amplicons of the archaeal *amoA* gene in the agricultural soil (Fig.

4); (ii) *nosZ* gene sequences identified by targeted or shotgun metagenomics in the agricultural

soil (Fig. S3).

Comparison of results from all three methods in agricultural soil samples showed that targeted

metagenomics detected a substantially higher diversity of archaeal *amoA* genes than PCR

amplicon sequencing, while, as expected, shotgun metagenomics detected a lower diversity than

either of the other two methods (Fig. 4A). Specifically, targeted metagenomics detected 71-83

distinct taxonomic bins of *amoA* gene, whereas PCR amplicon sequencing and shotgun

metagenomics detected 65-68 taxonomic bins and only three bins, respectively. Rarefaction

analysis also indicated that targeted metagenomics retrieved a higher alpha diversity of archaeal

amoA genes than PCR amplicon sequencing (Fig. 4B,C) and it also reaches a plateau much faster

and with a lower number of sequences than amplicon sequencing (Fig. 4B).

Similarly, targeted metagenomes yielded a higher number of taxonomic bins for *nosZ* genes from

the agricultural soil (~6,800 bins) than shotgun metagenomics (~1,300 bins) at a 90% identity

cutoff, showing that the first approach detected a greater gene diversity with a much lower

sequencing depth (Fig. S3). Gene sequence reads from both methods mapped to similar lineages

in a comprehensive reference phylogeny of *nosZ* genes (Graf et al., 2022). However, a greater

proportion of reads from the targeted metagenomics approach were assigned to deeper nodes of

the phylogeny, particularly within the less studied clade II, suggesting that this method also

captured more novel *nosZ* variants not represented in the reference phylogeny.

Targeted metagenomics with a sequencing depth of 117-160 Mb per sample generated at least

2200-4200 times more *TamoA* gene reads than shotgun metagenomics with 7-17 Gb per sample

(where only three quality-controlled *amoA* taxonomic bins was detected (Fig 4)). Conversely,

targeted metagenomics generated five times less *TamoA* reads (Fig. 4B) than PCR amplicon

sequencing, with a sequencing depth of 15-31 Mb per sample. Nevertheless, the targeted

metagenomics approach detected a much higher gene sequence diversity for both *TamoA* (Fig 4A,

4B) and *nosZ* (Fig. S3) than the two other methods in the agricultural soil samples. For *TamoA*,

the targeted approach detected 28 times higher alpha-diversity than shotgun metagenomics, while

5 times higher diversity of *nosZ* genes was recovered, importantly covering genes affiliated with

the less characterized Clade II. In turn, the targeted approach detected 1.24 times (24% more

taxonomic bins) higher diversity of archaeal *amoA* genes than PCR amplicon sequencing in

agricultural soils, respectively.

Discussion

In this study, we report the development and evaluation of a new probe library for targeted

metagenomics of 14 key genes involved in the microbial cycling of inorganic N and CH_4. This

included the compilation of databases containing all variants of the target genes from public

databases, the development of an extensive set of probes targeting 14 well-established marker

genes, and a bioinformatics pipeline to process and annotate the sequence data. This approach has

been successfully used earlier to identify genes involved in microbial C metabolism in soil

(Manoharan et al., 2015, Manoharan et al., 2017).

Our custom HMM models could assign reads to the correct genomes based on full protein

sequences with higher precision than models based on KEGG Orthologs, especially when used to

predict putative functions from short DNA fragments, such as metagenomic reads. This accuracy

indicates that the HMMs can reliably classify reads in the mock community and are sensitive

enough to detect distantly related genes or novel gene variants. Notably, reads identified as false

positives may not actually be incorrect but could represent novel gene variants not captured by

KEGG Orthologs.

In validation experiments with mock communities comprising 18 distinct organisms encoding

multiple target genes, we showed that this method successfully reproduced the expected relative

abundances of nearly all target genes, with the exception of the *nirK* and *hzoA* genes. Although

10.3% of the probes targeted *nirK* genes of nitrifiers*,* these were detected at a lower relative

abundance than that present in the original mock community. However, the relative abundance of

nirK genes matched the frequency of *nirK* genes only in heterotrophic organisms in the mock

community, indicating a bias in the detection of distinct *nirK* gene variants. The sequencing

technology used can influence the sequences generated due to known GC biases. In this case, the

relative abundance of *nirK* genes may have been biased by the false low coverage of genomic

regions with non-optimal GC% content (50-60%) known from MiSeq Illumina sequencing

(Browne et al. 2020). Consistent with this observation, there was some variation in probe

specificity among mock communities with different genomic GC% content, with high GC%

communities having a generally higher target gene detection efficiency. For example, the mean

GC% content of nitrifier *nirK* genes is lower than that of heterotrophic *nirK* genes (53% and >60%,

respectively) and thus it is plausible that the later are sequenced more efficiently. This effect was

also noticeable among *nosZ* genes, as the *nosZ* gene of *D. fermentas*, with GC content of 52.9%

(Table S1, Fig. S1), was detected more efficiently than that of *S. denitrificans*, which has a 32.7%

GC content. Moreover, variation in detection efficiency may also result from differences in probe

hybridization. For instance, probes with higher GC% content are expected to bind more strongly

to their targets given the higher binding energy between guanine and cytosine than between

adenine and thymine. Although our approach successfully identified *hzoA* genes in the

environmental samples, it failed to detect them in mock communities. It is possible that this was

due to the PCR-generated genomic fragment used as source material for *hzoA* genes in the mock

community, which, unlike other target genes provided in the whole genomic DNA, is more

susceptible to degradation or structure-related constraints on probe hybridization.

Targeted metagenomics also closely reproduced the relative abundances of target genes obtained

with shotgun metagenomics in two distinct natural microbial communities from soils. The relative

gene abundances were strongly positively correlated between the two methods, indicating that the

probe capture step did not introduce a significant quantitative bias. Importantly, this relationship

held also true for *nirK* genes, confirming that the lower detection efficiency of nitrifier *nirK* genes

was due to a variation in GC content. This observation emphasizes that particular attention should

be given to the design of probes for low GC genes, such as by targeting gene regions that are not

highly conserved among all gene variants, where higher GC probes are more likely to outcompete

lower GC probes, as in the case of *nirK*. Nonetheless, as observed in the mock communities, the

relative gene abundances quantified in environmental samples were strongly positively correlated

between the two approaches to all GC% categories (Fig. S2). This further supports that the

observed variation in detection efficiencies among gene variants with targeted metagenomics has

a negligible effect on community profiles.

The thaumarchaeal *amoA* gene (*TamoA*) and the *nosZ* gene were used as case studies to investigate

the differences in the detected diversity of single functional guilds that are known to be highly

diverse (Alves et al. 2018, Graf et al. 2022). To determine the community composition of the

TamoA gene for the different sequencing approaches, the reads are mapped to a reference library,

in turn forming the taxonomic bins. Consequently, the same gene from the same organism can be

detected multiple times in targeted metagenomics due to multiple probe hybridization. However,

when calculation of relative abundance is performed, it balances the detected community because

an equal number of probes is used per sequence cluster and therefore the relative abundance of

targeted method can be compared to other sequencing tools. At first glance, PCR amplicon

sequencing seems to have resulted in 18 more taxonomic bins than targeted metagenomics.

However, these sequences were generated by a 35-cycle PCR, known to produce some chimeric

sequences. Examples of such errors have been shown even for shorter amplification cycles (Kozich

et al., 2013). Problems due to chimeric sequences in public databases from amplicon studies are

known and were encountered during the compilation of the thaumarchaeal *amoA* reference

database (Alves et al., 2018). Although we used standard pipelines for the detection of chimeric

sequences, it is possible that we might have missed some, which may be represented in the 18 bins

produced with amplicon sequencing, leading to an inflated number of sequences post-processing.

The absence of long amplification steps in targeted metagenomics is expected to preclude the

formation of chimeric sequences, which represents a significant advantage over typical PCR-based

approaches.

It should be noted that the efficiency of the targeted metagenomic approach largely depends on the

coverage and quality of the database used to generate the probes. We used hmmer profiles to

collect all possible genes from the NCBI nucleotide and WGS databases, as well as Fungene and

other published databases were used for the target gene library. Only one hmmer profile per gene

was used for detection, however, clade specific hmmer profiles could also be used to have a

broader outcome for neighbouring clades. We used fairly relaxed conditions when including

thresholds for screening the NCBI databases, because we wanted to have closely related organisms

and genes in the probe pool. For example, this was the case for the *mmoX* gene family, which also

includes butane, propane and toluene monooxygenases. We included these closely related *mmoX*

genes in the probes, therefore these closely related *mmoX* genes were found from coniferous trees

(Putkinen et al., 2021). However, the database is not constrained to full-length gene sequences

from a limited diversity of complete genomes and long metagenomic scaffolds available and the

probe/target diversity can be greatly extended through the inclusion of gene fragments generated

by PCR or from short metagenomic contigs. Despite its high target precision, this method may

nevertheless capture non-target sequences, especially for orthologous gene families who have

evolved different functions, as is the case for *napA* genes and formate dehydrogenases. Such cases

emphasize the importance of thorough data annotation and filtering procedures. With the target

gene database used to generate probes in this study, we managed to detect a higher diversity for

the case study of *TamoA* genes than the shotgun sequencing approach, showcasing the advantage

of "casting a wider net" with targeted metagenomics. Similar results were obtained in other

targeted metagenomics applications, such as for detection of diverse resistome-virulome elements

(Noyes et al. 2017) or for improved taxonomic microbial community characterization via 16S

rRNA enrichment (Beaudry et al. 2021).

Despite the technological advances and decline in cost of high-throughput sequencing, shotgun

metagenomics remain impractical and prohibitively expensive to capture the diversity of specific,

low abundant functional groups in complex environments, such as soils and sediments, especially

in longitudinal studies. The current cost of generating the probes for targeted metagenomics is

about 20-50 € per sample depending on the probe manufacturer. If the goal is to have a focused,

comprehensive view of the diversity of functional guilds involved in inorganic nitrogen or methane

cycling in a certain ecosystem, then targeted metagenomics can circumvent the high cost and

overabundance of data generated by shotgun metagenomics, as well as provide more quantitative

data and more information on the diversity of the genes of interest. In turn, PCR-based assays are

limited by the biases associated with any set of primers targeting a large diversity of sequences,

assay efficiencies which preclude the detection of highly divergent new sequence diversity as well

as known issues with the generation of chimeric sequences during amplification. The targeted

metagenomics approach circumvents these limitations by using multiple short probes targeting

different regions of each gene, which largely increases the likelihood of capturing sequence

variants that elude PCR amplification. Thus, this approach has not only the potential to capture

rare and novel gene diversity in complex environments, but also to identify cryptic microorganisms

in low-biomass samples or involved in suggested CH_4 metabolisms, such as in the tree

phyllosphere (Putkinen et al., 2021) and nitrogen cycling in coral holobiont (Glaze et al., 2021).

Moreover, targeted metagenomics can also overcome issues associated with running and

comparing multiple independent PCR assays when investigating several distinct targets. In that

sense, this approach effectively represents a PCR-independent, multiplex approach to characterize

simultaneously and in-depth the distributions of a broad range of functional genes, providing a

holistic view of the status of the nitrogen and methane cycles in the studied ecosystems. This is

especially advantageous when combined with functional studies, such as the determination of N-

transformation rates and *in situ* fluxes, as showcased by a study of N_2O emissions in thawing

Yedoma permafrost sites over time (Maruschak et al. 2021). In this study, the application of

targeted metagenomics with the N-cycling probe dataset presented here revealed that changes in

the N-cycling microbial community composition were responsible for an increase in N_2O

emissions in revegetated Yedoma soils, which had undergone thawing a decade prior.

Conclusively, the targeted metagenomics approach developed here provides an efficient and cost-

effective strategy for studying microbial functional guilds that typically represent small fractions

of natural microbiomes, and whose diversity is generally underestimated and highly

underrepresented in metagenomic datasets. This approach also circumvents the limitations and

biases associated with PCR-based methods and has higher potential to capture rare or novel

functional gene diversity.

Acknowledgements

H.S. was financially supported by the Atmosphere and Climate Competence Center (ACCC)

Academy of Finland project number 337550, and Nitrobiome project 342362, in addition H.S. was

supported by Saastamoinen foundation, FEMS Society and Niemi foundation. L.M., A.B., and

C.S. were funded by ERC Advanced Grant TACKLE (695192), and R.J.E.A. was funded by

project P25369 of the Austrian Science Fund (FWF). A.S.H and F.L.S were funded by ERC

Starting Grant EvolPhisiol (grant agreement 803768). S.H and C.M.J were supported by the

Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (senior career grant 2019-2024). We thank Lea

Wittorf for providing DNA extracts from isolates for the mock libraries.

Authors contribution statement

H.S., C.S., R.A. designed study, H.S. collected the targeted gene library, R.A.,S.H.,C.J. provided reference libraries for *amoA* and *nosZ* genes, L.M. designed the probes, L.M., A.B., H.S. extracted the environmental DNA and did the shotgun metagenomics, H.S. and S.H. extracted and provided the mock sample cultured organisms, A.H., F.S. did the precision and recall analysis between the KEGG and HMM approaches, A.B., H.S. did the *amoA* diversity comparison, S.H.,C.J. did the *nosZ* diversity comparison. H.S. wrote the first version of manuscript and all co-authors further developed the text.

References:

Aanderud, Z.T., Jones, S.E., Fiererr, N., Lennon, J.T. 2015. Resuscitation of the rare biosphere contributes to pulses of ecosystem activity. *Front Microbiol* 6: DOI=10.3389/fmicb.2015.00024

Alteio, L.V., Séneca, J., Canarini, A., Angel, R., Jansa J., Guseva, K., Kaiser, C., Richter, A., Schmidt, H. 2021. A critical perspective on interpreting amplicon sequencing data in soil ecological research. *Soil Biol Biochem* 160:108357, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2021.108357.

Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., Lipman, D. J. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* 215: 403-410.

Alves, R.J.E., Minh, B.Q., Urich, T., von Haeseler, A., Schleper, C. 2018. Unifying the global phylogeny and environmental distribution of ammonia-oxidizing archaea based on amoA genes. *Nat. Comm.* 9: 1517. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03861-1.

Archer, D. 2010. The Global Carbon Cycle. Princeton: Princeton University Press. ISBN 9781400837076.

Aramaki, T., Blanc-Mathieu, R., Endo, H., Ohkubo, K., Kanehisa, M., Goto, S., Ogata, H. 2020.

KofamKOALA: KEGG Ortholog assignment based on profile HMM and adaptive score threshold.

Bioinform. 36:2251-2252. Doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btz859.

Barnard, R., Leadley, P. W., and Hungate, B. A. (2005), Global change, nitrification, and

denitrification: A review. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 19, GB1007, doi:10.1029/2004GB002282.

Beaudry, M.S. et al. (2021) Improved Microbial Community Characterization of 16S rRNA via

Metagenome Hybridization Capture Enrichment. *Front. Microbiol.* 12. Available at:

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.644662.

Barbera, P., Kozlov, A.M., Czech, L., Morel, B., Darriba, D., Flouri, T., Stamatakis, A. 2019. EPA-ng:

Massively Parallel Evolutionary Placement of Genetic Sequences. *Syst. Biol.* 68: 365-369.

Bewicke-Copley, F., Arjun Kumar, E., Palladino, G., Korfi, K., Wang, J. 2019. Applications and

analysis of targeted genomic sequencing in cancer studies. *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J.* 17:1348-

1359. Doi: 10.1016/j.csbj.2019.10.004.

Buchfink, B., Xie, C., Huson, D. H. 2015. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND.

Nat. methods. 12: 59.

Bodelier, P.L.E., Laanbroek, H.J. 2004. Nitrogen as a regulatory factor of methane oxidation in
soils and sediments. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 47: 265–277, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-
6496(03)00304-0
794

Bonilla-Rosso, G., Wittorf, L., Jones, C. *et al.* 2016. Design and evaluation of primers targeting

genes encoding NO-forming nitrite reductases: implications for ecological inference of

denitrifying communities. *Sci. Rep.* 6: 39208 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep39208

Borrel, G., Adam, P.S., McKay, L.J. *et al.* Wide diversity of methane and short-chain alkane

metabolisms in uncultured archaea. *Nat. Microbiol.* **4**, 603–613 (2019).

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0363-3

Browne, P.D. Nielsen. T.K., Kot, W., Aggerholm, A., Thomas, M., Gilbert, P., Puetz, L.,

Rasmussen, M., Zervas, A., Hansen L.H. 2020. GC bias affects genomic and metagenomic

reconstructions, underrepresenting GC-poor organisms. *GigaScience* 9: giaa008,

https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giaa008

Bräuer, S.L,, Basiliko, N., Siljanen, H.M.P., Zinder, S.H. 2021. Methanogenic archaea in

peatlands. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett*. 367: fnaa172, https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnaa172

Callahan, B.J., McMurde, P.J., Rosen, M.J., Han, A.W., Johnson, A.J., Holmes, S.P., 2016.

DADA2: high-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat. Methods* 13: 581–

583. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869.

Caporaso, J.G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F.D., Costello, E.K., Fierer,

N., Gonzalez Peña, A., Goodrich, J.K., Gordon, J.I., Huttley, G.A., Kelley, S.T., Knights, D.,

Koenig, J.E., Ley, R.E., Lozupone, C.A., McDonald, D., Muegge, B.D., Pirrung, M., Reeder, J.,

Sevinsky, J.R., Turnbaugh, P.J., Walters, W.A., Widmann, J., Yatsunenko, T., Zeneveld, J.,

Knight, R., 2010. QIIME allows analysis of Highthroughput community sequencing data. *Nat.*

Methods 7: 335–336.

Cerqueira, N.M.F.S.A., Gonzalez, P.J., Fernandes, P.A., Moura, J.J.G., Ramos, M.A. 2015.

Periplasmic Nitrate Reductase and Formate Dehydrogenase : Similar Molecular Architectures with

Very Different Enzymatic Activities. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 48: 2875-2884 DOI:

10.1021/acs.accounts.5b00333

Clark, D.R., McKew, B.A., Binley, A. et al. 2022. Hydrological properties predict the composition

of microbial communities cycling methane and nitrogen in rivers. *ISME Commun.* 2: 5

https://doi.org/10.1038/s43705-022-00087-7

Cleary, B. et al. 2015. Detection of low-abundance bacterial strains in metagenomic datasets by

eigengenome partitioning. *Nat. Biotech.* 33: 1053–1060. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3329.

Conrad, R. 2009. The global methane cycle: recent advances in understanding the microbial

processes involved. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 1: 285-292. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-

2229.2009.00038.x

Friedlingstein, P., O'Sullivan, M., Jones, M. W., Andrew, R. M., Hauck, J., Olsen, A., Peters, G.
P., Peters, W., Pongratz, J., Sitch, S., Le Quéré, C., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B., Alin,
S., Aragão, L. E. O. C., Arneth, A., Arora, V., Bates, N. R., Becker, M., Benoit-Cattin, A., Bittig,
H. C., Bopp, L., Bultan, S., Chandra, N., Chevallier, F., Chini, L. P., Evans, W., Florentie, L.,
Forster, P. M., Gasser, T., Gehlen, M., Gilfillan, D., Gkritzalis, T., Gregor, L., Gruber, N., Harris,
I., Hartung, K., Haverd, V., Houghton, R. A., Ilyina, T., Jain, A. K., Joetzjer, E., Kadono, K., Kato,
E., Kitidis, V., Korsbakken, J. I., Landschützer, P., Lefèvre, N., Lenton, A., Lienert, S., Liu, Z.,
Lombardozzi, D., Marland, G., Metzl, N., Munro, D. R., Nabel, J. E. M. S., Nakaoka, S.-I., Niwa,
Y., O'Brien, K., Ono, T., Palmer, P. I., Pierrot, D., Poulter, B., Resplandy, L., Robertson, E.,
Rödenbeck, C., Schwinger, J., Séférian, R., Skjelvan, I., Smith, A. J. P., Sutton, A. J., Tanhua, T.,
Tans, P. P., Tian, H., Tilbrook, B., van der Werf, G., Vuichard, N., Walker, A. P., Wanninkhof,
R., Watson, A. J., Willis, D., wiltshire, A. J., Yuan, W., yue, X., and Zaehle, S. 2020. Global
Carbon Budget. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* 12: 3269–3340, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-3269-2020,
2020.

Falkowski, P.; Scholes, R. J.; Boyle, E.; Canadell, J.; Canfield, D.; Elser, J.; Gruber, N.; Hibbard,
K.; Högberg, P.; Linder, S.; MacKenzie, F. T.; Moore b, 3.; Pedersen, T.; Rosenthal, Y.; Seitzinger,
S.; Smetacek, V.; Steffen, W. 2000. The Global Carbon Cycle: A Test of Our Knowledge of Earth
as a System. *Science.* 290: 291–296. Doi:10.1126/science.290.5490.291

Futema, M., Plagnol, V., Whittall, R.A., et al. 2012. Use of targeted exome sequencing as a

diagnostic tool for Familial Hypercholesterolaemia. *J. Med. Genet.* 49:644-649.

Galloway, J. N., Dentener, F. J., Capone, D. G., Boyer, E. W., Howarth, R. W., Seitzinger, S. P.,
G. P. Asner, C. C. Cleveland, P. A. Green, Holland, E. A., Karl, D. M., Michaels, A. F., Porter, J.
H., Townsend, A. R., & Vörösmarty, C. J. (2004). Nitrogen Cycles: Past, Present, and Future.
Biogeochemistry 70: 153–226. http://www.jstor.org/stable/4151466

Gasc, C., Peyretaillade, E. and Peyret, P. (2016) Sequence capture by hybridization to explore

modern and ancient genomic diversity in model and nonmodel organisms. *Nucleic. Acids Res.* 44:

4504–4518. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw309.

Graf, D.R., Jones, C.M., Hallin, S. 2014. Intergenomic comparisons highlight modularity of the
denitrification pathway and underpin the importance of community structure for N₂O emissions.
PloS one 9: e114118.

Graf, D.R.H., Jones, C.M., Zhao, M., Hallin, S. 2022. Assembly of root-associated N2O-reducing
communities of annual crops is governed by selection for nosZ clade I over clade II. *FEMS*
Microbiol. Ecol. 98:1-11

Gruber, N., Galloway, J. An Earth-system perspective of the global nitrogen cycle. 2008. *Nature*
451, 293–296. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06592

Glaze, T.D., Erler, D.V., Siljanen, H.M.P. 2021. Microbially facilitated nitrogen cycling in tropical
corals. *ISME J.* 16: 68–77 doi: 10.1038/s41396-021-01038-1.

Eddy, S.R.. 1998. Profile hidden Markov models. *Bioinformatics* 14: 755–763,
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/14.9.755

Eddy S.R. 2011. Accelerated profile HMM searches. *PLOS Comp. Biol.*, 7:e1002195, 2011

Edgar, R.C., 2010. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *BMC*
Bioinformatics 26: 2460–2461

Edgar, R.C., Haas, B.J., Clemente, J.C., Quince, C., Knight, R., 2011. UCHIME improves
sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. *BMC Bioinformatics* 27: 2194–2200.

Fish, J. A., Chai, B., Wang, Q., Sun, Y., Brown, C. T., Tiedje, J. M., Cole, J. R. 2013.
FunGene: the Functional Gene Pipeline and Repository. *Front. Microbiol.* 4: 291.

Hallin S, Lindgren PE. 1999. PCR detection of genes encoding nitrite reductase in denitrifying
bacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 65:1652-7. doi: 10.1128/AEM.65.4.1652-1657.1999.

Hoang, D.T., Chernomor, O., Von Haeseler, A. et al. 2018. UFBoot2: improving the ultrafast

bootstrap approximation. *Mol Biol Evol* 35:518

Huang, L., Chakrabarti, S., Cooper, J., Perez,A., John, S.M., Daroub, S.H., Martens-Habbena,

W. 2021. Ammonia-oxidizing archaea are integral to nitrogen cycling in a highly fertile

agricultural soil. *ISME Comm.* 1:19 ; https://doi.org/10.1038/s43705-021-00020-4

Håvelsrud, O.E., Haverkamp, T.H., Kristensen, T. *et al.* A metagenomic study of methanotrophic

microorganisms in Coal Oil Point seep sediments. *BMC Microbiol* **11**, 221 (2011).

https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-11-221

Hyatt, D., Chen, G.L., LoCascio, R.F. *et al.* 2010. Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and

translation initiation site identification. *BMC Bioinformatics* 11: 119 https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-

2105-11-119

Ichida, H., Morita, R., Shirakawa, Y., Hayashi, Y., Abe, T. 2019. Targeted exome sequencing of

unselected heavy-ion beam-irradiated populations reveals less-biased mutation characteristics in

the rice genome. *Plant J.* 98:301-314. Doi: 10.1111/tpj.14213.

Jones, C.M., Graft, D.R.H., Bru, D., Philippot, L., Hallin, S. 2013. The unaccounted yet abundant

nitrous oxide-reducing microbial community: a potential nitrous oxide sink. *ISME J* 7: 417-426.

Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B.Q., Wong, T.K. et al. 2017. ModelFinder: fast model selection for

accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat. Methods* 14:587–9.

Kamil, G., Yoon, J.Y., Yoo, S. et al. 2021. Clinical relevance of targeted exome sequencing in

patients with rare syndromic short stature. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* 16: 297

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13023-021-01937-8

Katoh, K., Rozewicki, J., Yamada, K.D. 2019. MAFFT online service: multiple sequence
alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. *Brief Bioinform* 20: 1160–66.
932

933 Knief, C. 2015. Diversity and Habitat Preferences of Cultivated and Uncultivated Aerobic
934 Methanotrophic Bacteria Evaluated Based on *pmoA* as Molecular Marker. *Front Microbiol* 6:1346.
935 Doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01346
936

937 Kushwaha, S.K., Manoharan, L., Meerupati, T., Hedlund, K., & Ahrén, D. 2015. MetCap: a
938 bioinformatics probe design pipeline for large-scale targeted metagenomics. *BMC bioinformatics*
939 16: 65.
940

941 Kuypers, M., Marchant, H. & Kartal, B. 2018. The microbial nitrogen-cycling network. *Nat Rev*
942 *Microbiol* 16: 263–276. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2018.9
943

944 Kolb S, Knief C, Stubner S, Conrad R. 2003. Quantitative detection of methanotrophs in soil by
945 novel pmoA-targeted real-time PCR assays. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 69:2423-9. Doi:
946 10.1128/AEM.69.5.2423-2429.2003.
947

948 Lan, X., K.W. Thoning, and E.J. Dlugokencky: Trends in globally-averaged CH4, N2O, and SF6
949 determined from NOAA Global Monitoring Laboratory measurements. Version 2023-09,
950 https://doi.org/10.15138/P8XG-AA10
951

952 Letunic, I, Bork, P. 2019. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new
953 developments. *Nucleic Acids Res* 47:W256–9
954

955 Li, J., Liu, T., McIlroy, S.J. et al. 2023. Phylogenetic and metabolic diversity of microbial
956 communities performing anaerobic ammonium and methane oxidations under different nitrogen
957 loadings. *ISME Commun.* 3, 39. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43705-023-00246-4
958

Liu, F., Zhang, J. & Mei, Y. 2016. The origin of the cooperativity in the streptavidin-biotin system:
A computational investigation through molecular dynamics simulations. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 27190.
https://doi.org/10.1038/srep27190

Ludwig, W., Strunk, O., Westram, R., et al. 2004. ARB: a software environment for sequence data. *Nucleic*

Acids Res. 32: 1363-1371.

Manoharan, L., Kushwaha, S. K., Hedlund, K., Ahrén, D. (2015). Captured metagenomics: large-

scale targeting of genes based on 'sequence capture' reveals functional diversity in soils. *DNA Res.*

22: 451-460.

Manoharan, L., Kushwaha, S.K., Ahrén, D., Hedlund, K. 2017. Agricultural land use determines

functional genetic diversity of soil microbial communities. *Soil Biol Biochem* 115: 423-432

Morris A., Meyer K., Bohannan B. 2020. Linking microbial communities to ecosystem functions:

what we can learn from genotype–phenotype mapping in organisms. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.*

B3752019024420190244. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21400-3

Murrell, J.C., Jetten, M.S.M. 2009. The microbial methane cycle. *Environ Microb Rep* 1: 279-
284. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-2229.2009.00089.x
979

Myhre, G.G.D., Shindell, F.M., Bréon, W., Collins, J., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch,

D.,Lamarque, J.F., Lee, D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T.,

Zhang, H., 2013. Anthropogenic and natural radiative forcing. In:Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner,

G.K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A.,Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P.M. (Eds.),

Climate Change 2013 the Physical ScienceBasis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United

Kingdom and New York, NY,USA Working Group I Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report

of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

Nelson, M.B., Martiny , A.C., Martiny, J.B.H. 2016. Global biogeography of microbial nitrogen-

cycling traits in soil. *PNAS* 113 (29) 8033-8040. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1601070113

Nguyen, L.T., Schmidt, H.A., von Haeseler, A. et al. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective

stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol Biol Evol* 32:268–74.

Nnadozie, C.F., Lin, J. and Govinden, R. (2015) 'Selective isolation of bacteria for metagenomic
analysis: Impact of membrane characteristics on bacterial filterability'. *Biotechnol Prog.* 31: 853–
866. https://doi.org/10.1002/btpr.2109.
997

998   Noyes, N.R. et al. (2017) Enrichment allows identification of diverse, rare elements in
999   metagenomic   resistome-virulome   sequencing.   *Microbiome*   5.   142.
1000   https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-017-0361-8.
1001

1002   R Core Team 2018. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
1003   Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.
1004

1005   Rice P, Longden I, Bleasby A. 2000. EMBOSS: the European Molecular Biology Open Software
1006   Suite. Trends Genet. 16: 276-7. doi: 10.1016/s0168-9525(00)02024-2. PMID: 10827456.
1007

1008   Romdhane, S., Spor, A., Aubert, J. *et al.* 2021. Unraveling negative biotic interactions determining
1009   soil microbial community assembly and functioning. *ISME J.* https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-021-
1010   01076-9
1011

1012   Palmer, K., Biasi, C., Horn, M.A. 2012. Contrasting denitrifier communities relate to contrasting
1013 N_2O emissions patterns from acidic peat soils in arctic tundra. *ISME J.* 6:1058-1077.
1014

1015   Parks, D.H., Imelfort, M., Skennerton, C.T., Hugenholtz, P., Tyson, G.W., 2015. CheckM: assessing the
1016   quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. *Genome Res.* 25:
1017   1043-1055.
1018

1019   Pan Y, Bodrossy L, Frenzel P, Hestnes AG, Krause S, Lüke C, Meima-Franke M, Siljanen H,
1020   Svenning MM, Bodelier PL. Impacts of inter- and intralaboratory variations on the reproducibility
1021   of microbial community analyses. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2010 Nov;76(22):7451-8. doi:
1022   10.1128/AEM.01595-10
1023

1024   Price, M.N., Dehal, P.S., Arkin, A.P., 2010. FastTree 2--approximately maximum-likelihood trees for

1025   large alignments. *PLoS ONE* 5: e9490.

1026

1027   Prosser, JI, Hink, L, Gubry-Rangin, C, Nicol, GW. Nitrous oxide production by ammonia

1028   oxidizers: Physiological diversity, niche differentiation and potential mitigation strategies. Glob

1029   Change Biol. 2020; 26: 103– 118. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14877

1030

1031   Putkinen, A., Siljanen, H.M.P., Laihonen, A., Paasisalo, I., Porkka, K., Tiirola, M., Haikarainen,

1032   I., Tenhovirta, S. and Pihlatie, M. 2021. New insight to the role of microbes in the methane

1033   exchange in trees: evidence from metagenomic sequencing. *New Phytol* 231: 524-536.

1034   https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17365

1035

1036   Saghaï, A., Pold, G., Jones, C.M., Hallin, S. 2023. Phyloecology of nitrate ammonifiers and their

1037   importance relative to denitrifiers in global terrestrial biomes. *Nature Communications*, 14:8249

1038

1039   Skoglund, P., et al. 2014. Separating endogenous ancient DNA from modern day contamination

1040   in a Siberian Neandertal. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 111: 2229–2234 .

1041   Siljanen, H.M.P., Alves, R.J.E., Ronkainen, J.G., Lamprecht, R.E., Bhattarai, H.R., Bagnoud, A.,

1042   Marushchak, M.E., Martikainen, P.J. Schleper, C., Biasi, C. 2019. Archaeal nitrification is a key driver of

1043   high nitrous oxide emissions from arctic peatlands. *Soil Biol Biochem* 137: 107539

1044

1045   Siljanen, H.M.P., Saari, A., Krause, S., Lensu, A., Abell, G.C.J., Bodrossy, L., Bodelier, P.L.E.,

1046   Martikainen, P.J. 2011. Hydrology is reflected in the functioning and community composition of

1047   methanotrophs in the littoral wetland of a boreal lake. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*. 75:430–445,

1048   https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.01015.x

1049

1050   Sinha, R. et al. 2017. Assessment of variation in microbial community amplicon sequencing by

1051   the Microbiome Quality Control (MBQC) project consortium. *Nature Biot* 35: 1077–1086.

1052   https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3981.

1053

1054 Stamatakis, A. 2014 RAxML Version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large
1055 phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 30:1312–13.

1056

1057 Stein, L.Y., Klotz, M.G. 2016. The nitrogen cycle. *Curr Biol.* 26: R94-R98,
1058 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.12.021.

1059

1060 Sui, H.Y. et al. (2020) Impact of DNA Extraction Method on Variation in Human and Built
1061 Environment Microbial Community and Functional Profiles Assessed by Shotgun Metagenomics
1062 Sequencing. *Front Microb.* 11: . Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00953

1063

1064 Trifinopoulos, J., Nguyen, L.T., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B.Q. 2016. W-IQ-TREE: a fast online
1065 phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44(W1):W232-5. doi:
1066 10.1093/nar/gkw256.

1067

1068 Thomson R.A.J., Giannopoulos, G., Pretty, J., Baggs, E.M., David J. 2012. Biological sources
1069 and sinks of nitrous oxide and strategies to mitigate emissions. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 2012 367,
1070 doi: 10.1098/rstb.2011.0415, published 26 March 2012

1071

1072 Throbäck, I.N., Enwall, K., Jarvis, Å. and Hallin, S. 2004. Reassessing PCR primers targeting nirS,
1073 nirK and nosZ genes for community surveys of denitrifying bacteria with DGGE. *FEMS Microbiol*
1074 *Ecol* 49: 401-417. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsec.2004.04.011

1075

1076 Orellana, L.H., Hatt, J.K., Iyer, R. *et al.* Comparing DNA, RNA and protein levels for measuring
1077 microbial dynamics in soil microcosms amended with nitrogen fertilizer. *Sci Rep.* **9**, 17630 (2019).
1078 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-53679-0

1079

1080 Ouyang Y., Norton J.M. 2020. Nitrite Oxidizer Activity and Community Are More Responsive
1081 Than Their Abundance to Ammonium-Based Fertilizer in an Agricultural Soil. *Front Microbiol.*
1082 11: DOI=10.3389/fmicb.2020.01736

1083

1084   Wheeler, T.J., Eddy, S.R. 2013. nhmmer: DNA homology search with profile HMMs.
1085   *Bioinformatics* 29: 2487-2489.

1086

1087   Yu, G.C., Smith, D.K., Zhu, H.C., Guan, Y., Lam, T.T.Y. 2017. GGTREE: an R package for visualization
1088   and annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. *Methods in Ecol Evol.*
1089   8: 28-36.

1090

1091   Zaehle S. 2013.Terrestrial nitrogen–carbon cycle interactions at the global scale. Phil. Trans. R.
1092   Soc. B3682013012520130125 http://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0125

1093

1094   Zhao, J., Huang, L., Chakrabarti, S. et al. 2023. Nitrogen and phosphorous acquisition strategies
1095   drive coexistence patterns among archaeal lineages in soil. *ISME J.* 17, 1839–1850
1096   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-023-01493-y

1097

1098

1099   **Figures:**

1100

Fig. 1 Illustration of the pipeline for the generation of HMM models for each gene, and how these

models are used to study community composition with targeted metagenomics: 1. Steps followed

for the generation of sequence databases for the genes of interest and subsequent building of the

respective HMM models for each gene; 2.1. The produced HMM models were used to recruit more

gene variants from the NCBI nt database and generate a target gene database for probe design; 2.2.

The same HMM models were also used to classify the reads captured downstream; 3.1. Clustering

of the target gene database with CD-HIT (in MetCap pipeline); 3.2. Design and selection of six

50-mer probes for each sequence cluster (in MetCap pipeline); 4. Synthesis and biotinylation of

the designed probes by Roche; 5. DNA extraction of the sample of interest; 6.1. Sequencing

libraries preparation and addition of sequencing adaptors and indexes; 6.2. Hybridization of the

probes to indexed DNA libraries for 72 hours at +47°C; 6.3. Purification of the DNA hybridized

to the probes with streptavidin coated magnetic beads; 7. Sequencing of the hybridized libraries

with Illumina MiSeq; 8. Read processing with HMM profiles and taxonomy assignment for the
genes of interest.

1115

1116

1117

1118

Fig. 2. Comparison between the original composition (DNA of strains applied into the mock
sample) of the mock community and the relative abundance for each functional gene detected
using probe captured metagenomics for all GC% combinations combined. The relative abundance
in the mock community was calculated based on the amount of each functional gene and organism
as well as genome size. Color of the symbols depict gene and shape depicts the category of GC
content (%).

1125

Fig. 3. The relative abundance of functional genes (calculated against total sequencing reads)

involved in inorganic nitrogen and methane cycling obtained by targeted metagenomics and

shotgun metagenomics from A) agricultural soil; and B) wetland soil. Relative abundance was

retrieved with HMMER searches of each functional gene. Statistically significant differences

between shotgun and targeted relative abundances according to pairwise comparisons with

ANOVA are shown with asterisk ($P < 0.05$, n = 3). The comparison of shotgun vs. targeted

metagenomic measured with ANOVA is shown as F values and significance in the inset for both

samples.

1136

1141

Fig. 4. A) The relative abundance of archaeal *amoA* taxonomic bins produced from amplicon
sequencing with *amoA* amplicon sequencing (*amoA* gene PCR amplicons sequenced with Illumina
MiSeq, about 22000-47000 *amoA* reads per sample) (n = 3), metagenomics reads of targeted
metagenomics (genomic DNA sequenced with Illumina MiSeq, after target enrichment, about
4500-8500 *amoA* reads out of 220000-310000 targeted reads per sample)(n = 3), or shotgun
metagenomics (genomic DNA sequenced with Illumina HiSeq, about 22-57 million reads per
sample, of which only two were archaeal *amoA*)(n = 3). In all cases, the analysis pipeline consisted
of USEARCH comparison on *amoA* reference database (Alves et al., 2018) and taxonomy were
analyzed with QIIME1 analytical pipeline. Sample having largest diversity is shown (n = 3).
Bubble symbol size is proportional to the relative abundance of each taxonomic bin produced with
sequencing approach in each sequencing method. Color indicates Nitrosospheria (NS)-clade of
taxonomic bin. Statistically significant differences in abundance of each taxonomic bins between
sequencing approaches based on Pairwise-Wilcox comparison are indicated with different letters
($P < 0.05$, n=3), and the significant difference of comparison for taxonomic bins is shown an
asterisk, * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$. B) The rarefaction analysis of archaeal *amoA* obtained by amplicon
sequencing and captured metagenomics. C) Venn-diagram of archaeal *amoA* sequences obtained
by amplicon sequencing and targeted metagenomics.

1159

1160

gene1 *gene2*

gene2

gene3

1. Sequence search and curation (*e.g.,* blast, keyword search, taxonomy, *etc.*)

NCBI

Align nucleotide sequences (*e.g.,*MUSCLE)

Build HMMs

gene1 model   *gene2* model   *gene3* model

Clade II

Outgroup

Clade I

Phylogenetic placements of community composition.

gene2 cluster

gene1 cluster   *gene3* cluster

Gene target databases

3.1. Cluster sequences to remove redundancy (in MetCap with CD-HIT)

gene2 hits

gene1 hits   *gene3* hits

2.1. Search nt database to identify candidates (hmmer)

2.2. Selection and classification of reads using HMMs

gene1   *gene2*   *gene3*

8. Read processing and subsequent analysis

Results

Relative Abundance

Sample

Counting the numbers of different genes, producing relative abundance and community structure.

3.2.Design probes, select 6 per sequence (in MetCap with ProDesign)

gene2 probes

gene1 probes   *gene3* probes

4. Synthesize probes

5. Nucleic acid extraction (eDNA)

Treat the probe with biotin

Sequencing adaptors and indexes

6.1 Library prerations from eDNA + indexing, equal pooling.

6.2. Hybridize probes with sample DNA library +47°C, 72 h

6.3. Washing of magnetic bead surface. Biotin labelled probe is connected to strepavidin coated magnetic beads.

Washing step

7. Sequence retained reads (*e.g.,*Illumina)