1

Targeted metagenomics using probe capture detect a larger diversity of nitrogen and methane cycling genes in complex microbial communities than traditional metagenomics

H.M.P. Siljanen[1,2], L. Manoharan[2a], A.S. Hilts[2], A. Bagnoud[2b], R.J.E. Alves[2c], C.M. Jones[3], M. Kerou[2], F. L. Sousa[2], S. Hallin[3], C. Biasi[1,4], C. Schleper[2]

1. Department of Environmental and Biological Sciences, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland

2. Department of Functional and Evolutionary Ecology, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

3. Department of Forest Mycology and Plant Pathology, Sweden University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden.

4. Department of Ecology, University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, 6020, Austria

Present address:
   a. National Bioinformatics Infrastructure Sweden (NBIS), SciLifeLab, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Lund University, Lund, Sweden.
   b. Membratec S, Ecoprac de Daval C 1, CH-3960 Sierre, Switzerland.
   c. Climate and Ecosystem Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA

Corresponding authors:

Henri Siljanen, henri.siljanen@uef.fi

Christa Schleper, christa.schleper@univie.ac.at

Keywords: metagenomics, nitrogen cycling, methane cycling, probe hybridization targeted metagenomics, PCR amplicon sequencing, shotgun metagenomics

Manuscript contribution to the field

Metagenomic sequencing often yields limited numbers of sequences of rare microbial taxa or functional genes, preventing in-depth analyses of specific populations and functional groups. Amplicon-based approaches enable the higher diversity coverage of target populations, but the

drawback is the difficulty in designing unbiased primers that cover the highest intra-group

diversity. Targeted metagenomics overcomes these challenges and results in similar community

structure as traditional amplicon sequencing, while expanding the sequence space in a less biased

metagenomic-based approach. Therefore, targeted metagenomics is an invaluable tool for studying

the diversity of specific populations within complex natural microbiomes. Here, we present and

evaluate a probe library designed for targeted metagenomics of nitrogen and methane cycling

genes in complex communities.

Abstract

Microorganisms are key players in the global cycling of nitrogen (N) and carbon (C), controlling

their availability and fluxes, including the emissions of the powerful greenhouse gases nitrous

oxide (N_2O) and methane (CH_4). Characterizing the microbial functional guilds driving these

processes is crucial for understanding ecosystem functioning and predicting their responses to

environmental changes. Standard sequence-based characterization methods often reveal only a

limited fraction of their diversity in nature because of their low relative abundance, the insufficient

sequencing depth of traditional metagenomes of complex communities, and limitations in

coverage of PCR-based assays. Here, we developed and tested a targeted metagenomics approach

based on probe capture and hybridization to simultaneously characterize the diversity of multiple

key metabolic genes involved in inorganic N and CH_4 cycling. We designed comprehensive probe

libraries for each of the 14 target marker genes comprising 264,000 unique probes. These probes

were used to selectively enrich the target genes in shotgun metagenomic libraries. In validation

experiments with the mock communities of known microorganisms, targeted metagenomics

yielded gene profiles similar to those of the original communities. Only GC content had a small

effect on probe efficiency, as low GC targets were less efficiently detected than those with high

GC, within the mock communities. Furthermore, the relative abundances of the marker genes

obtained using targeted or traditional shotgun metagenomics from agricultural and wetland soils

were significantly correlated, indicating that the targeted approach did not introduce significant

quantitative bias. In addition, using archaeal *amoA* genes as a case-study, targeted metagenomics

identified substantially higher taxonomic diversity and a larger number of sequence reads per

sample, yielding diversity estimates 28 or 1.24 times higher than shotgun metagenomics or

amplicon sequencing, respectively. Notably, shotgun metagenomics detected only three out of the

84 *amoA* gene phylotypes detected using targeted metagenomics. Our results show that targeted

metagenomics complements current approaches to characterize key microbial populations and

functional guilds in biogeochemical cycles in different ecosystems, enabling more detailed,

simultaneous characterization of multiple functional genes.

Introduction

The global nitrogen (N) and carbon (C) cycles are essential processes of the Earth's biosphere and

crucial for ecosystem functioning (Zaehle 2013, Gruber and Galloway, 2008; Archer 2010;

Falkowski et al., 2000; Steffen et al. 2015). All major N transformation processes (i.e., nitrogen

fixation, nitrification, denitrification, dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA), and

anaerobic ammonium oxidation, or anammox), are performed exclusively by the functional guilds

of bacteria, archaea, and eukaryotes (Galloway et al., 2004; Stein and Klotz, 2016; Kuypers et al.,

2018; Offre et al. 2013). Their activities regulate N availability for primary producers and

microorganisms across ecosystems and control the production and consumption of the potent

greenhouse gas nitrous oxide (N_2O) and other gaseous N compounds through processes such as

nitrification, denitrification, and non-denitrifier N_2O reduction (Barnard, et al., 2005, Thompson

et al., 2012; Jones et al., 2014; Prosser et al. 2020). Methane (CH_4) is another major product of the

microbial trophic chain underlying C cycling, and, like the most inorganic N compounds, its

production and consumption are also regulated by highly specific groups of microorganisms

(Bodelier and Laanbroek 2004, Murrel and Jetten 2009, Conrad 2009). Importantly, CH_4 is the

second most potent greenhouse gas after carbon dioxide (CO_2) and, together with N_2O, contributes

at least 25% of the total global warming caused by greenhouse gases (Myhre et al., 2013;

Friedlingstein et al., 2020; Lan et al., 2023).

Despite their important ecological role, microorganisms participating in inorganic N and CH_4

transformations typically constitute a small fraction of microbial communities in most soil,

sediment, and aquatic ecosystems (Håvelsrud, et al., 2011; Palmer et al., 2012; Aanderud et al.,

2015, Nelson et al, 2016, Ouygang and Norton 2020). Community profiling based on 16S rRNA

genes only allows for very limited information about microbial functions (Alteio et al. 2021). Even
in the rare cases when functions can be inferred from organism phylogeny, the striking genetic and
functional diversity within functional guilds remains concealed, such as that among their key
metabolic enzymes (e.g., ammonia monooxygenase (*amoA*), Alves et al. 2018; methyl co-enzyme
M reductase (*mcrA*), Borrel, 2019). Additionally, taxonomy-based approaches are inadequate in
elucidating processes that have a broader taxonomic distribution than originally thought (e.g.
Kuypers et al. 2018, Borrel 2019, Li et al. 2023; Saghaï et al., 2024). Shotgun metagenomics is the
typical method of choice for obtaining a relatively unbiased picture of the natural microbiome,
provided that issues with sample preparation, DNA extraction, library preparation, and sequencing
method can be ruled out (Pan et al., 2010, Nnadozie, Lin and Govinden, 2015; Sinha et al., 2017;
Sui et al., 2020). Nevertheless, in complex and diverse microbial communities such as those in
soils and sediments, even deeply-sequenced metagenomes do not uncover the full diversity of
microbial functional guilds and key functional genes owing to their typically low relative
abundances within the broader community (Palmer et al., 2012, Orellana et al. 2019, Ouygang and
Norton 2020, Zhao et al. 2023). As a low-cost alternative, the characterization of specific
functional groups has long relied on the PCR amplification of genes that encode key metabolic
enzymes, as for example, of organisms involved in various N and CH_4 cycling pathways (Knief
2015; Brauer et al. 2021; Alves et al. 2018; Romdhane et al. 2021, Clark et al. 2022). However,
the gene diversity captured by gene-specific PCR assays is limited by the primers used, which
introduce biases that make comparisons among different genes or samples difficult (Hallin and
Lindgren, 1999; Throbäck al. 2004; Kolb et al., 2003; Siljanen et al., 2011). Furthermore, in
complex microbial communities, target genes of interest are encoded by a large diversity of
organisms, and usually include a large fraction of novel gene sequence variants from
uncharacterized organisms (Jones et al. 2014; Saghaï et al 2023). Thus the geometric expansion of
genomic data has made it increasingly obvious that designing a single PCR primer pair to target
the inherent diversity of metabolic genes is highly problematic (Bonilla-Rosso et al. 2016).
Therefore, linking ecosystem functions to microbial communities is often only possible through
the complex and resource-intensive combinations of meta-omics and isotope labelling approaches
(Tveit et al. 2015, Orellana et al. 2019).
125

Targeted high-throughput sequencing approaches, known as probe capture, also called hybridization capture, hybridization-based target enrichment, or captured metagenomics, have been used to study complex eukaryotic samples such as human exons, ancient human genomes, plant transcriptomes, and cancer marker single nucleotide polymorphisms (Skoglund et al. 2014, Ichida et al. 2019, Futema et al. 2012, Gasc et al., 2016, Kamil et al. 2021, Bewicke-Copley et al. 2019). A few studies have also used this approach to facilitate the in-depth study of microbial communities using either 16S rRNA genes or other microbial functional genes (Denonfoux et al., 2013; Manoharan et al. 2015, Noyes et al., 2017, Beaudry et al., 2021). The probe capture approach relies on targeting specific short sequences within a broader genomic pool (e.g., full genomes, genome or gene fragments) with biotin-labelled probes. The probes that hybridize with their target regions are then selectively captured from the full genomic library using streptavidin-labelled magnetic beads (Liu et al., 2016). Different from sequence capture, where multiple probes are designed to cover a whole exon, captured metagenomics relies on the large databases of multiple target genes clustered at predefined similarity cut-offs, which are used to design a large number of generic probes that are able to capture an extended sequence space for each of these sequence clusters (Kushwaha et al., 2015, Manoharan et al., 2015).

To improve detection and the characterization of important, but low abundant microbial guilds involved in N and CH_4 cycling in natural communities, we developed and evaluated a probe-based targeted metagenomics approach to key genes involved in these processes. In addition to the enhanced resolution and coverage of genetic sequence space, this approach allows the parallel analysis of distinct steps within N- and CH_4- cycling pathways, thus combining the advantages of both shotgun metagenomics and amplicon-based approaches. Here, we present a new probe set for targeting 14 distinct functional genes involved in inorganic N cycling (i.e., N fixation, nitrification, denitrification, anammox, and DNRA), and three genes involved in CH_4 production and consumption. We evaluated our approach using mock communities comprising of DNA from microorganisms involved in inorganic N cycling, or CH_4 production or consumption with varying gene GC% content, which is known to affect probe hybridization efficiency. As a proof-of-concept for complex communities, we performed shotgun metagenomics and targeted metagenomics on agricultural and wetland soil samples and compared the diversity of two functional markers, the

156 archaeal *amoA* genes (marker for ammonia oxidizers) and *nosZ* genes (marker for nitrous oxide
157 reduction).
158

159 **Materials and methods**
160

Construction of the target gene databases (TDBs)
Target gene databases (TDBs) were constructed, containing all identifiable variants for the
following key genes: the nitrogenase iron subunit (*nifH*), bacterial and archaeal ammonia
monooxygenase subunit A (*amoA)*, nitrite oxidoreductase beta subunit (*nxrB*), hydrazine
oxidoreductase A (*hzoA)*, formate dependent nitrite reductase (*nrfA*), periplasmic nitrate reductase
alpha subunit (*napA*), respiratory nitrate reductase alpha subunit (*narG*), copper-containing nitrite
reductase (*nirK*), cytochrome cd$_1$ nitrite reductase (*nirS*), nitric oxide reductase subunit B (*norB*),
nitrous oxide reductase (*nosZ*), particulate methane monooxygenase subunit A (*pmoA*), soluble
methane monooxygenase component A alpha chain (*mmoX*), and methyl-coenzyme M reductase
I subunit alpha (*mcrA*).
171

Hidden Markov Model (HMM) models were generated in order to identify all variants of the target
genes from public databases (Fig. 1). These models were built based on reference sequence
alignments from curated databases already available for selected genes, such as *amoA* (Alves et
al., 2018), *pmoA* (Knief et al., 2015), *nosZ* (Jones et al., 2013), *nirK, nirS, nor* (Graf et al., 2014);
and the Fungene repository (Fish et al., 2013). For target genes where alignments were not
available, reference alignments were generated from gene sequence data publicly available on the
NCBI WGS-database, from the full length open-reading frame of each subunit, to cover all known
diversity in each gene. Structure-based searches of the Genbank nt- and envnt- database were
subsequently performed with nhmmer using the generated HMMs for every target gene (Wheeler
& Eddy, 2013) on a local supercomputer cluster (Centre of scientific computing CSC, Espoo,
Finland) (July 2017). The HMM models are available through Zenodo:
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15752134 (In script: probe-capture/2-
selected_outputs/hmmer_profiles.zip). This sequence search selection process generated ~600,000
unique sequences across all gene families. The obtained database was clustered to 100% identity
in order to remove duplicates sequences in database with cd-hit and manually inspected to exclude

16S rRNA genes. The final output comprised the target gene database (TDB; available through
Zenodo: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15752134   (In   script: probe-capture/2-
selected_outputs/all_genes_compiled_ncbi_nt_envnt_fungene_split_file_0.fasta.gz (four files
..file_0-3.fasta.gz)). The workflow can be seen in Fig 1. Scripts for Target gene database search
is   available   in   Zenodo   (/probe-capture/tree/main/3-
other_scripts/Henri_scripts/Script_probe_capture.sh).
193
Generation of probes for target genes
The MetCap bioinformatics pipeline was used as a protocol to produce probes from highly
complex datasets for targeted metagenomics (Kushwaha et al., 2015). Default parameters were
used for designing up to six unique 50mer probes for each sequence cluster in the TDBs (clustered
with an 80% identity clustering threshold), with melting temperature of 47 °C, resulting in a set of
263,111 unique gene-specific probes (Fig 1, and in Zenodo: probe-capture/2-
selected_outputs/Final_N_probes.list1.fasta). The probes were synthesized by NimbleGen SeqCap
EZ (Roche NimbleGen, Inc., Madison, USA) as Custom design (since the MetCap pipeline was
used for probe design, probe order was done with Roche HyperDesign tool:
www.hyperdesign.com), with biotin labelling to enable retrieval of the hybridized targets using
streptavidin coated magnetic beads (Kushwaha et al., 2015; Manoharan et al., 2015).
205
Extraction of DNA from cultures and generation of mock community DNA samples
DNA samples from mock communities encoding the genes of interest were generated by mixing
genomic DNA from different organisms in variable proportions, in order to simulate variation in
overall GC% content. The pool of DNA in the mock communities included DNA from:
Nitrosospira multiformis, *Nitrososphaera viennensis*, *Nitrospira defluvii*, Ca. *Kuenenia*
stuttgartiensis PCR fragment of the full-lenght *hzoA* gene, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Escherichia*
coli, *Shigella sonnei*, *Cupriavidus metallidurans*, *Cupriavidus necator*, *Dyadobacter fermentans*,
Pseudomonas stutzeri, *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*, *Salinibacter ruber*, *Sulfurimonas denitrificans*,
Methylosinus trichosporium Ob3b, *Methylocella tundrae*, *Methylomicrobium buryatense*,
Methanoregula boonei, and *Methanolacinia petrolearia*. Strain information, including genome or
fragment size and the number of target genes per organism are shown in Supplementary Table S1.
DNA from cultured organisms was extracted from 1% SDS-treated cell pellets in CTAB buffer,

followed by phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol extraction and ethanol precipitation, as described
before (Jones et al., 2013). The relative abundances of the microorganisms in the mock
communities were multiplied with the median genome GC% contents to generate a weighted GC%
content of the pool of samples with the following values: 47, 50, 53, 57, 60, and 63 (Supplementary
Table S2). Pooling ratios of microorganisms' DNA were calculated based on the expected number
of functional genes in the extracted DNA, the DNA concentration (determined with a Qubit HS
dsDNA kit (Thermo)) and genome size. Relative gene abundances in mock communities were
calculated and compared to the sequences generated using targeted metagenomics. The relative
abundance of each gene was calculated against the sum of abundance values of all 14 genes in the
targeted metagenomes of the mock community samples (relative abundance of a specific gene =
100 x (gene reads / sum of reads of 14 genes)). The captured reads for mock community targeted
metagenomics are shown in Table S4.

Annotation of coding sequences from the mock community dataset
Predicted proteomes from the mock communities were retrieved from NCBI to count the number
of each functional genes per genome. For *Nitrospira defluvii*; NC_014355.1, genes were predicted
from the genome nucleotide sequence using Prodigal (v2.6.3) (Hyatt et al., 2010). Proteins were
annotated using KOFAM (HMM database of KEGG Orthologs (KO)). Each protein was assigned
the top hitting KO. Where available, cut-offs provided with KOFAMscan (Aramaki et al., 2020)
were used to filter spurious results.

Extraction of DNA and determination of chemical parameters from environmental samples
Samples from two different environments, an agricultural soil in Hungary (n = 3), and a wetland
in Bellefontaine, France (n = 3) (Table S3), were collected in order to assess the effectiveness of
the probe set in enriching functional genes in different sample contexts. Extraction of DNA from
the environmental samples was performed as previously described by Siljanen et al., (2019).
Briefly, samples were homogenized by bead-beating 0.5 g of soil at a speed of 5.5 m/s, for 30 sec
with phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol extraction in CTAB buffer, followed by ethanol
precipitation. The quality of DNA extracts was assessed using a NanoDrop ND-1000 (Thermo),
and DNA concentration was measured with a Qubit HS dsDNA kit (Thermo). The soil chemical

parameters soil C/N ratio, pH, Fe II/III-, ammonium- and nitrate content were determined as

described earlier (Siljanen et al., 2019; Bagnoud et al, 2020).

Targeted metagenomic library preparation, target enrichment of libraries and sequencing

with Illumina Miseq

For each sample, sequencing indexes and sequencing adapters were provided as commercial

service by the Centre for Genomic Research (CGR) -laboratories, at the University of Liverpool,

Liverpool, UK. Libraries were produced with KAPA HyperPlus Library Preparation (Roche) kit

to produce insert sizes of 630 bp according to the manufacturer's instructions. The protocol is

shown in Fig. 1. and described here briefly: 150ng of environmental DNA was fragmented with

KAPA fragmentation buffer and KAPA Frag Enzyme at +37°C for 20min. Fragmented DNA was

ligated to SeqCap Adapters A and B, +20°C for 15 minutes. Fragments were purified 0.65x

volumes of AMPure XP Reagent for 5 min at room temperature in magnetic particle collector

(MPC). Then the clear supernatant was removed and the library was washed twice with 200 µl of

80% ethanol. The libraries were removed from MPC by eluting them to 53 µl of elution buffer (10

mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) by incubated two minutes. Each library was amplified for 7 cycles, with

KAPA HyperPlus Library Preparation kit in order to add the indexes for each sample (cycling

conditions: +98°C, 15 sec; 60° for 30 sec; +72°C for 30 sec). Amplified libraries were purified

with AMPure XP beads as above.

The hybridization reactions to the NimbleGen SeqCap EZ Developer Probe set were performed

according to the manufacturer's instructions. The hybridization was done in non-binding DNA

background 25 µg, on top of 1 µg of each library equally pooled Multiplexed DNA Sample Library

were added. The Multiplexed Hybridization Enhancing Oligo Pool (2,000 pmol in 2µl volume),

the SeqCap HE Universal Oligo (1,000 pmol in 1µl volume) and (1,000 pmol in 1µl volume)

SeqCap HE Index Oligo Pool) was mixed with 1 µg of equally pooled Multiplexed DNA Sample

Library. Two volumes of AMPure XP Reagent was added on top of the above mixture and

thoroughly mixed. Samples were incubated for 10 minutes to allow the sample library to bind to

the beads. Samples were placed on the MPC to capture the beads and the solution allowed to clear.

Once clear, the supernatant was discarded carefully, to not disturb the beads. Afterwards 190 µl of

80% ethanol was added to the samples containing the bead-bound DNA samples. The samples

were left on the MPC during this step. Samples were incubated at room temperature for >30

seconds. The 80% ethanol was carefully removed and discarded, without disturbing the beads.

Beads were dried at room temperature with the tube lid open for 5 minutes (or until dry).

A master mix of the following reagents was prepared, scaling up to reflect the number of captures:

7.5 µl of the 2x Hybridization Buffer, 3 µl of Hybridization Component A. Then 10.5 µL of the

Hybridization Buffer/Hybridization Component A mix from the previous step was added to the

bead-bound DNA sample. Samples were removed from the MPC and mixed thoroughly. It was

important that enough mixing was performed at this step to yield a homogeneous mixture. It was

left to sit at room temperature for 2 minutes. Sampled were then placed on the MPC. After liquid

cleared, 10.5 µL of supernatant (entire volume) was removed and placed in a new tube containing

4.5 µl of the SeqCap EZ Developer Probe pool. This was mixed thoroughly. Hybridization

incubation was performed in a thermocycler using the following program with heated lid set to 10

°C above the block temperature: incubation was 95°C for 5min, and 47°C for 72 hours (SeqCap

EZ HyperCap Workflow protocol, Manoharan et al., 2015).

To purify the hybridized libraries the SeqCap Pure Capture Bead Kit was used. To prepare the

beads for binding the samples, 50 µl of beads (streptavidin coated magnetic beads) was added to

the 1.5 ml tube at room temperature for capturing reaction. Tubes were placed on a MPC, the

supernatant removed and beads were washed two times with 100 µl of 1x Bead Wash Buffer.

Afterwards it was removed from the MPC and mixed by pipetting up and down. Beads were bound

on the magnetic particle collector. Once the liquid was clear, the supernatant was removed. In the

second wash, the beads were aliquoted with 50 µl of 1X Bead Washing Buffer. Beads were bound

and the supernatant again removed. One hybridization sample (15 µl volume) was added to the

washed SeqCap Pure Capture Beads. Samples were bound to the beads by placing the samples in

a thermocycler at +47°C for 15 minutes (heated lid at +57°C). Then 100 µl of 1x Wash Buffer was

added on top of the Capture Beads and bead-bound DNA and mixed thoroughly. The tube was

placed on the MPC to capture the beads. The solution was allowed to clear, and the supernatant

removed, while being careful not to disturb the beads. Afterwards, 200 µl of 1x Stringent Wash

Buffer was added to each capture reaction. Those were mixed by pipetting up and down, when

tubes were not in a MPC. Samples were placed in the thermocycler to +47°C for 5 minutes. The

wash was repeated with 1x Stringent Wash Buffer. Next, 200 µl of 1x Wash Buffer I was added,

vortexed for 10 second, and incubated 1 minute at room temperature. Once the solution was clear,

it was removed, again being careful not to disturb the beads. The washing with 1X Wash buffer II

was repeated, and then 1x with Washing Buffer III. Samples were removed from the MPC and 53

µl of PCR-grade water was added to the bead-bound sample. Sampled were then incubated at room

temperature for 2 minutes and placed in the MPC. Then 50 µl of clear solution was collected in a

new tube.

Sequencing for probe hybridized and washed DNA was performed with Illumina MiSeq PE300

chemistry in the Centre for Genomic Research (CGR), University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK,

resulting in 198,700-311,500 reads per sample for the environmental samples and up to 2,600,000

reads for the mock communities.

Targeted metagenomics read processing and mapping

All six possible reading frames of the nucleotide sequence reads generated by the targeted

metagenomic sequencing of the mock community samples were translated using transeq from the

EMBOSS package (v6.6.0.0) (Rice et al., 2000). These were mapped to the genomes using

DIAMOND blastp (v2.0.6.144) (Buchfink et al., 2015), with a minimum percentage identity of

60%. This threshold was selected as it resulted in the largest percentage of mapped reads while

being stringent enough to prevent spurious mappings. No minimum coverage was used to account

for reads that did not fully overlap with the proteins from the mock community dataset. For each

direction, up to four matches were retained, to account for cases where a single read spanned

neighboring proteins. Multiple matches in the same direction could overlap by up to 15 amino

acids to account for possible protein fusions or sequencing errors. The blastx searches against the

refseq database (Altschul et al., 1990) were performed in order to remove duplicates or reannotate

falsely annotated entries due to the presence of homologous gene families in our dataset (*amoA*

vs. *pmoA*, *nxrB* vs. *narH* nitrate reductase or *napA+narG* vs. *nrfA*). The blastx search was done to

evaluate whether the read was correct or not, and the run was made with the default parameters of

blast. Each of the (up to four) matches were used to assign KOs to the reads, based on the predicted

KOs of the proteins to which they mapped. If, in a given direction, a read was assigned the same

KO multiple times, this was collapsed into a single hit, retaining the hit with the best E-value.

Evaluation of functional annotation of reads

Protein predictions for each read were performed using nhmmer from the HMMER suite (v.3.3)(Eddy 2011) using the in-house generated HMMs for target genes as well as KOFAM as described above. An inclusion threshold of <0.0001 was used when assigning protein predictions for the reads. For a given read, using all six of the translated frames, up to four protein assignments could be made, evaluated based on KO bit scores or identity filtering. Reads assigned KOs for the function of interest were counted as positively identified hits. Subsequently, reads were mapped to their corresponding coding sequences (CDS) from the mock community organisms to determine which reads constituted "true positives" (TP) or "true negatives" (TN). Reads mapped to a given CDS were assigned functions according to the above-mentioned KOFAM CDS annotation as their "true function". If the HMM assignment (both in-house and from KOFAM) of a read matched the functional assignment of the CDS to which it was mapped, then it was counted as a TP. The TP, false positive (FP), and false negative (FN) values were summed up for all models using all methods, and precision and recall were calculated. Read mapping (described above) was used to determine the total number of possible TPs of the reads (Fig. S6), from which the actual number of TPs was subtracted to calculate the number of FNs. Precision was calculated as the ratio of TPs to total positive detections (i.e., number of TPs and FPs). Recall was calculated as the ratio of TPs to all theoretically obtainable true positives (i.e., sum of TPs and FNs). The script is available on Zenodo: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15752134 (/probe-capture/tree/main/3-other_scripts/Angus_scripts).

Shotgun metagenomics library preparation, sequencing with Illumina HiSeq and analysis
Shotgun metagenomic sequencing was performed on environmental DNA (n = 3) from agricultural soil and wetland soil (Table S3), extracted as described above for TDB production. Illumina TruSeq library preparation with an insert size of 250bp was carried out with 1 µg of DNA and sequencing was performed in Illumina HiSeq PE150 lane as a commercial service in Vienna Biocenter Core Facility (VBCF, Austria) and Microsynth AG, Switzerland. In this approach, the previously generated HMM profiles were used to identify the target gene pools (E < 0.001). The predicted functions of each identified sequence were confirmed by tblastx against the *refseq* database, using DIAMOND (Buchfink et al., 2015). Annotation and identity information was further processed with awk in unix and in Rversion 3.5.3 to produce lists of community structures for each target gene. The relative abundance of each gene was normalized against the sum of total

reads, Table S4: Relative abundance of gene x = (Abundance of gene x / total reads sequenced) x

100.

***amoA* gene amplicon sequencing**

Archaeal *amoA* gene amplicons from environmental DNA (n = 3) from the agricultural soil were

generated as described earlier by Siljanen et al., (2019), and sequencing was performed with

Illumina MiSeq PE250, in LGC, Münich, Germany. In brief, *amoA* gene amplicons were generated

using 0.6 ng DNA template with GoTaq DNA polymerase (Promega) and 0.5 µM primers

(CamoA_19F   [5'-ATGGTCTGGYTWAGACG-3']   and   TamoA_632R-4   [5'-

GCKGCCATCCATCKRTANGTCCA-3']) with a 5'-prime Illumina sequencing adaptor [5'-

CTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCT-3'] for both end of above primers for making

the indexing possible in the sequencing service laboratory. Cycling conditions were the following:

1min initial denaturation at 95°C, 30sec denaturation at 94°C, 30sec annealing at 60°C and 45sec

extension at 72°C, with 35 cycles. PCR reactions were performed in triplicate for each sample (n

= 3), and purified with the High Pure PCR product purification kit (Roche). Sequencing indexes

were added by LGC, where libraries were equally pooled and sequenced with Illumina MiSeq

PE250.

Processing of amplicon *amoA* reads

Archaeal *amoA* (Thaumarchaeal-*amoA*, *TamoA*) amplicon reads processing was carried out by a

custom-based Python script available at Zenodo: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15752134; /probe-

capture/tree/main/1-scripts/1-amplicon_seq_script_v1.sh -folder). Briefly, quality trimming of

sequencing reads was performed by truncating amplicon forward read R1 reads to 200bp and

reverse read R2 180bp, discarding all reads with an expected error greater than 0.5. The *amoA*

reads were dereplicated and amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were assigned to taxonomic bins

described in the reference *amoA* gene database (Alves et al. 2018) using a 55% identity cutoff with

USEARCH8 (Edgar, 2010). Chimeras were filtered out with UCHIME (Edgar, 2011). For the

remaining ASVs, quality controlled R1 and R2 reads were fused together, classified with UCLUST

in QIIME (Caparaso et al., 2010) and clustered to OTUs with 97% sequence identity cut-off for

each taxonomic bins. Taxonomic bins of each *TamoA* gene cluster were generated using the

reference gene database and taxonomy by Alves et al. (2018) (Altschul et al. 1990; Callahan et al.,

2016). Relative abundance of *TamoA* taxonomic bins was calculated as follows: Relative

abundance of *TamoA* taxonomic bin x = (Abundance of *TamoA* taxonomix bin x / total *TamoA*

reads) x 100. In Fig. 4A, the relative abundance of each taxonomic bin (relative to the column

sum) was plotted for each sample. The sequencing depth for the *TamoA* gene amplicon library was

~27,000-58,000 PE250 reads per sample up to 31Mb for the agricultural soil (Table S4).

Processing of metagenomic *amoA* reads

Archaeal *amoA* (Thaumarchaeal-*amoA*, *TamoA*) reads from targeted- and shotgun metagenomics,

were identified with the *TamoA* in-house HMM model. The forward reads were dereplicated and

assigned to the reference *amoA* gene database using a 55% identity cutoff with USEARCH8

(Edgar 2010). Chimeras were filtered out with UCHIME (Edgar 2011), all reads were clustered

with UCLUST with 97% sequence identity in QIIME and assigned to taxonomic bins based on the

closest *amoA* genes from the taxonomy database of OTUs (Alves et al. 2018) (Caporaso 2010).

Relative abundance was calculated as follows: Relative abundance of *TamoA* taxonomic bin x =

(Abundance of *TamoA* taxonomix bin x / total *TamoA* reads) x 100. In the targeted metagenomics

approach, multiple detection of the same taxonomic bin is possible because of six probes are used

for each sequence cluster. However, when relative abundance for each detected taxonomic bin is

calculated for targeted metagenomic, if multiple detection occurs in the relative abundance

calculation the community composition is balanced because of equal number of probes per each

sequence cluster. Therefore, the relative abundance of different sequencing methods can be

compared. The sequencing depth for the *TamoA* gene for three shotgun metagenomics *TamoA*

reads out of ~22-58M PE150 reads per sample up to 17 Gb, and for targeted metagenomics 4472-

8230 *TamoA* reads out of ~220-311k PE300 reads per sample up to 160 Mb, for the agricultural

soil (Table S4). The metagenomic reads processing is described in the custom-made script

available at Zenodo: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15752134 (targeted with probe-capture:

tree/main/1-scripts/2-probe_capture_script_v1.sh, and shotgun metagenomics: tree/main/1-

scripts/3-metagenomics_script_v1.sh).

Phylogenetic placement analysis of *nosZ* reads from shotgun and targeted metagenomics libraries.

A reference alignment and phylogeny for *nosZ* was generated from full length *nosZ* amino acid
sequences obtained from genomes downloaded from the NCBI genome database (accessed
October 2019). A profile HMM for *nosZ* was generated from a previously published *nosZ* dataset,
as described above (Graf et al, 2022). Reads were converted to amino acid sequences and then
aligned using *hmmalign* within the HMMer suite (v.3.1.2)(Eddy 2011), and the homologous
sections were identified using FastTree (v. 2.1.11, Price et al., 2010). The reference protein
alignment was used for phylogenetic reconstruction using IQ-TREE (v1.6.12) with best model
selection and 1000 ultrafast bootstraps. The Le-Gascuel (LG) substitution model with 10 rate
categories was selected after automatic model selection. For the phylogenetic placement of *nosZ*
reads obtained using either shotgun metagenome sequencing (n=3) or targeted metagenomics
(n=3) of the agricultural site, the relevant reads were pooled together and clustered with CD-HIT
(Fu et al., 2012) at 90% sequence identity before translating and aligning to the reference *nosZ*
alignment using *hmmalign*. The translated reads were then placed in the reference phylogeny using
the next generation evolutionary placement algorithm (EPA-NG v0.3.8; Barbera et al., 2019), with
the same model parameters as used for constructing the reference phylogeny. The placement
positions with the highest likelihood weight ratio were plotted on the reference phylogeny in R
using the 'ggtree' package (v3.4.2, Yu et al., 2016). Script available for nosZ phylogenetic
placements at Zenodo: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15752134 (/probe-capture/tree/main/3-
other_scripts/Chris_Jones_scripts).

Data and script availability
The shotgun metagenomic, targeted metagenomic and *amoA* amplicon sequencing data have been
deposited in NCBI SRA under the Bioproject numbers PRJNA898102 and PRJNA488558. All
scripts are available at Zenodo: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15752134 . The repository contains
as   well   the   target   gene   database   (probe-capture/2-
selected_outputs/all_genes_compiled_ncbi_nt_envnt_fungene_split_file_0.fasta.gz (four files
..file_0-3.fasta.gz),   and   the   final   probe   sequences   (probe-capture/2-
selected_outputs/Final_N_probes.list1.fasta).

Results

Benchmarking gene detection and quantification using targeted metagenomics in mock communities

The targeted metagenomic approach was evaluated using mock microbial communities comprising predefined mixtures of genomic DNA from 14 bacterial and three archaeal strains, together containing all 14 functional genes targeted by the probes and mixed in different proportions to produce six different median G+C contents ranging from 47% to 62% (Table S1). The overall relative abundances of target genes in the captured metagenomes across all GC% categories were correlated with their abundance in the original mock communities ($r = 0.78$ ($P < 0.0001$) (Fig. 2). Comparisons between the relative abundance of individual genes in the mock communities as compared to captured metagenomes revealed highly similar values (t = 1.8518e-10, df = 174.83, $P > 0.05$), with the exception of the *nirK* and the *hzoA* genes (Fig. S1a,b). Pearson correlation coefficients across all GC% categories varied between 0.76 and 0.93, although correlations were stronger within higher GC% categories (57%-63%; R = 0.80-0.93) than within lower GC% categories (47%-53%; R = 0.76-0.79) (Fig. S1). The probe capture targeted metagenomics produced 61-72% of target gene sequences from the total sequenced library of the mock-communities (Table S4).

Precision of gene identification in mock communities

Precision for the in-house HMMs ranged from 74.1% (*nifH*) to 100% (*mcrA*) (Fig. S5). The average precision of the models was 93.4%, with a median of 99.8% (excluding *amoA* and *pmoA*). The recall ranged from 42.8% (*nxrB*) to 100% (*mcrA*). The average recall was 81.9%, with a median recall of 82.8%. Because KEGG only provides a single model covering the homologous genes *pmoA* and *amoA*, it was not possible to accurately calculate the precision and recall for these genes individually. A precision estimate could still be determined for the two genes, where a "true positive" is defined as a hit for a read identified by the in-house models as *amoA* or *pmoA* mapped to a CDS identified by the HMM for (*a/p*)*moA* genes provided by KEGG (K10944). In this case, the precision values for the *amoA* and *pmoA* genes were estimated to be 96.9%, and 100%, respectively. It is important to note that these estimates do not exclude the possibility that the in-house models misclassified reads belonging to these homologous protein families.

Precision and recall values were similar using KOFAMs instead of in-house HMMs. However, the
results for *amoA* and *pmoA* genes were combined due to a single model for the associated KO for
both genes, as mentioned above. Precision ranged from 76.5% (*amoA/pmoA*) to 100.0% (*mcrA*),
while recall varied from 34.3% (*nifH*) to 100% (*mcrA* and *nxrB*). The average and median
precision values were 94.5% and 97.7%, while the average and median recall values were 93.39%
and 99.03%, respectively. The precision of KOs was lower for *napA* than for other genes when
compared with custom DNA HMMs. This could be accounted for by the presence of formate
dehydrogenase, a known homolog of *napA*. Formate dehydrogenase (K00123) was indeed
identified among the genomes used for the mock community, so it is possible that the custom
HMM was misclassifying reads originating from this known ortholog to *napA* (Cerqueira et al.,
2015).

Higher number of reads for all target functional genes but similar relative abundance
obtained with targeted compared to shotgun metagenomics from complex environmental
samples
We investigated the efficiency of the targeted metagenomics approach in natural complex
ecosystems by directly comparing this approach with shotgun untargeted metagenomics generated
with a nearly 100 times higher amount of sequencing (~66 Mb and ~6.4 Gb per sample,
respectively) from wetland soils in Bellefontaine, France, and from an agricultural field in Hungary
(Table S4). These soils represent distinct ecosystems with average physicochemical conditions,
such as water content and oxygen concentration, and N availability that favors different
distributions of functional genes (Jones et al. 2014). Despite the large difference in sequencing
depth, targeted metagenomics generated a much larger set of target functional genes (Fig. 3). In
both the agricultural and wetland site the targeted metagenomics approach detected 14 out of 15
gene-clusters and the shotgun approach 13 out of 15 genes (*mcrA* was not detected in the
agricultural site and *hzoA* not in the wetland site). Moreover, up to 60 times as many identified
gene sequences were detected using the probe capture compared to shotgun metagenomics (Fig.
3, Table S4). The relative abundances of functional genes in captured metagenomes correlated
significantly with that in shotgun metagenomes from both the agricultural field ($R_{Pearson}$ = 0.96,
d.f.=14, $P < 0.00001$) and the wetland ($R_{Pearson}$ = 0.70, d.f.=14, $P < 0.01$). The relative abundances
of target genes were different between the two approaches ($P > 0.00001$) (Fig. 3). Moreover,

increased relative abundance was detected with targeted compared to shotgun metagenomics in
the agricultural site for *nifH*, *TamoA*, *nrfA*, *napA*, *nirK*, *nirS*, *norB*, *nosZ* and *mmoX* (Fig. 3a) genes
and the wetland site for *nifH*, *napA, narG* and *nirS* (Fig. 3b) genes. The targeted approach
generated a much higher number of reads for all target genes in both sites than shotgun
metagenomics (Target reads in Table S4).

The different relative abundances of functional genes in the two ecosystems reflected known
differences in their environmental conditions: (i) N_2 fixation genes were expectedly higher in the
unfertilized wetland; (ii) Genes involved in ammonia oxidation and nitric and nitrous oxide
reduction were higher in the agricultural soil, which is likely to be more oxic and also subject to
N fertilization which increase inorganic N cycling; (iii) Genes involved in methanogenesis were
more abundant in the wetland, where anoxic conditions and methane production typically occur.

Comparing diversity recovered for specific target genes from amplicon, targeted and
shotgun metagenomics from complex environmental samples
In order to compare the diversity of specific functional genes detected by targeted metagenomics,
shotgun metagenomics and PCR amplicon sequencing we focused on the archaeal *amoA* gene as
a test case, as it is the second most sequenced gene in NCBI indicative of its ecological importance,
and for which there is a comprehensive reference database for classification available (Alves et
al., 2018). Moreover, archaeal ammonia oxidizers (AOA) typically outnumber their bacterial
counterparts in most environments (Leininger et al. 2006), and even though they can be low
abundant, their diversity is striking and underlines their distinct metabolic capabilities (Alves et
al. 2018; Huang et al. 2021). Additionally, we compared the detection of *nosZ* genes between the
targeted and shotgun metagenomics approaches in the agricultural soil, also based on an existing
reference database (Graf et al., 2022). The following datasets were compared: (i) targeted and
shotgun metagenomes, and PCR amplicons of the archaeal *amoA* gene in the agricultural soil (Fig.
4); (ii) *nosZ* gene sequences identified by targeted or shotgun metagenomics in the agricultural
soil (Fig. S3).

Comparison of results from all three methods in agricultural soil samples showed that targeted
metagenomics detected a substantially higher diversity of archaeal *amoA* genes than PCR

amplicon sequencing, while, as expected, shotgun metagenomics detected a lower diversity than either of the other two methods (Fig. 4A). Specifically, targeted metagenomics detected 71-83 distinct taxonomic bins of *amoA* gene, whereas PCR amplicon sequencing and shotgun metagenomics detected 65-68 taxonomic bins and only three bins, respectively. Rarefaction analysis also indicated that targeted metagenomics retrieved a higher alpha diversity of archaeal *amoA* genes than PCR amplicon sequencing (Fig. 4B,C) and it also reaches a plateau much faster and with a lower number of sequences than amplicon sequencing (Fig. 4B).

Similarly, targeted metagenomes yielded a higher number of taxonomic bins for *nosZ* genes from the agricultural soil (~6,800 bins) than shotgun metagenomics (~1,300 bins) at a 90% identity cutoff, showing that the first approach detected a greater gene diversity with a much lower sequencing depth (Fig. S3). Gene sequence reads from both methods mapped to similar lineages in a comprehensive reference phylogeny of *nosZ* genes (Graf et al., 2022). However, a greater proportion of reads from the targeted metagenomics approach were assigned to deeper nodes of the phylogeny, particularly within the less studied clade II, suggesting that this method also captured more novel *nosZ* variants not represented in the reference phylogeny.

Targeted metagenomics with a sequencing depth of 117-160 Mb per sample generated at least 2200-4200 times more *TamoA* gene reads than shotgun metagenomics with 7-17 Gb per sample (where only three quality-controlled *amoA* taxonomic bins was detected (Fig 4)). Conversely, targeted metagenomics generated five times less *TamoA* reads (Fig. 4B) than PCR amplicon sequencing, with a sequencing depth of 15-31 Mb per sample. Nevertheless, the targeted metagenomics approach detected a much higher gene sequence diversity for both *TamoA* (Fig 4A, 4B) and *nosZ* (Fig. S3) than the two other methods in the agricultural soil samples. For *TamoA*, the targeted approach detected 28 times higher alpha-diversity than shotgun metagenomics, while 5 times higher diversity of *nosZ* genes was recovered, importantly covering genes affiliated with the less characterized Clade II. In turn, the targeted approach detected 1.24 times (24% more taxonomic bins) higher diversity of archaeal *amoA* genes than PCR amplicon sequencing in agricultural soils, respectively.

Discussion

In this study, we report the development and evaluation of a new probe library for targeted

metagenomics of 14 key genes involved in the microbial cycling of inorganic N and CH₄. This

included the compilation of databases containing all variants of the target genes from public

databases, the development of an extensive set of probes targeting 14 well-established marker

genes, and a bioinformatics pipeline to process and annotate the sequence data. This approach has

been successfully used earlier to identify genes involved in microbial C metabolism in soil

(Manoharan et al., 2015, Manoharan et al., 2017).

Our custom HMM models could assign reads to the correct genomes based on full protein

sequences with higher precision than models based on KEGG Orthologs, especially when used to

predict putative functions from short DNA fragments, such as metagenomic reads. This accuracy

indicates that the HMMs can reliably classify reads in the mock community and are sensitive

enough to detect distantly related genes or novel gene variants. Notably, reads identified as false

positives may not actually be incorrect but could represent novel gene variants not captured by

KEGG Orthologs.

In validation experiments with mock communities comprising 18 distinct organisms encoding

multiple target genes, we showed that this method successfully reproduced the expected relative

abundances of nearly all target genes, with the exception of the *nirK* and *hzoA* genes. Although

10.3% of the probes targeted *nirK* genes of nitrifiers, these were detected at a lower relative

abundance than that present in the original mock community. However, the relative abundance of

nirK genes matched the frequency of *nirK* genes only in heterotrophic organisms in the mock

community, indicating a bias in the detection of distinct *nirK* gene variants. The sequencing

technology used can influence the sequences generated due to known GC biases. In this case, the

relative abundance of *nirK* genes may have been biased by the false low coverage of genomic

regions with non-optimal GC% content (50-60%) known from MiSeq Illumina sequencing

(Browne et al. 2020). Consistent with this observation, there was some variation in probe

specificity among mock communities with different genomic GC% content, with high GC%

communities having a generally higher target gene detection efficiency. For example, the mean

GC% content of nitrifier *nirK* genes is lower than that of heterotrophic *nirK* genes (53% and >60%,

respectively) and thus it is plausible that the later are sequenced more efficiently. This effect was

also noticeable among *nosZ* genes, as the *nosZ* gene of *D. fermentas*, with GC content of 52.9%

(Table S1, Fig. S1), was detected more efficiently than that of *S. denitrificans*, which has a 32.7%

GC content. Moreover, variation in detection efficiency may also result from differences in probe

hybridization. For instance, probes with higher GC% content are expected to bind more strongly

to their targets given the higher binding energy between guanine and cytosine than between

adenine and thymine. Although our approach successfully identified *hzoA* genes in the

environmental samples, it failed to detect them in mock communities. It is possible that this was

due to the PCR-generated genomic fragment used as source material for *hzoA* genes in the mock

community, which, unlike other target genes provided in the whole genomic DNA, is more

susceptible to degradation or structure-related constraints on probe hybridization.

Targeted metagenomics also closely reproduced the relative abundances of target genes obtained

with shotgun metagenomics in two distinct natural microbial communities from soils. The relative

gene abundances were strongly positively correlated between the two methods, indicating that the

probe capture step did not introduce a significant quantitative bias. Importantly, this relationship

held also true for *nirK* genes, confirming that the lower detection efficiency of nitrifier *nirK* genes

was due to a variation in GC content. This observation emphasizes that particular attention should

be given to the design of probes for low GC genes, such as by targeting gene regions that are not

highly conserved among all gene variants, where higher GC probes are more likely to outcompete

lower GC probes, as in the case of *nirK*. Nonetheless, as observed in the mock communities, the

relative gene abundances quantified in environmental samples were strongly positively correlated

between the two approaches to all GC% categories (Fig. S2). This further supports that the

observed variation in detection efficiencies among gene variants with targeted metagenomics has

a negligible effect on community profiles.

The thaumarchaeal *amoA* gene (*TamoA*) and the *nosZ* gene were used as case studies to investigate

the differences in the detected diversity of single functional guilds that are known to be highly

diverse (Alves et al. 2018, Graf et al. 2022). To determine the community composition of the

TamoA gene for the different sequencing approaches, the reads are mapped to a reference library,

in turn forming the taxonomic bins. Consequently, the same gene from the same organism can be

detected multiple times in targeted metagenomics due to multiple probe hybridization. However,

when calculation of relative abundance is performed, it balances the detected community because
an equal number of probes is used per sequence cluster and therefore the relative abundance of
targeted method can be compared to other sequencing tools. At first glance, PCR amplicon
sequencing seems to have resulted in 18 more taxonomic bins than targeted metagenomics.
However, these sequences were generated by a 35-cycle PCR, known to produce some chimeric
sequences. Examples of such errors have been shown even for shorter amplification cycles (Kozich
et al., 2013). Problems due to chimeric sequences in public databases from amplicon studies are
known and were encountered during the compilation of the thaumarchaeal *amoA* reference
database (Alves et al., 2018). Although we used standard pipelines for the detection of chimeric
sequences, it is possible that we might have missed some, which may be represented in the 18 bins
produced with amplicon sequencing, leading to an inflated number of sequences post-processing.
The absence of long amplification steps in targeted metagenomics is expected to preclude the
formation of chimeric sequences, which represents a significant advantage over typical PCR-based
approaches.

It should be noted that the efficiency of the targeted metagenomic approach largely depends on the
coverage and quality of the database used to generate the probes. We used hmmer profiles to
collect all possible genes from the NCBI nucleotide and WGS databases, as well as Fungene and
other published databases were used for the target gene library. Only one hmmer profile per gene
was used for detection, however, clade specific hmmer profiles could also be used to have a
broader outcome for neighbouring clades. We used fairly relaxed conditions when including
thresholds for screening the NCBI databases, because we wanted to have closely related organisms
and genes in the probe pool. For example, this was the case for the *mmoX* gene family, which also
includes butane, propane and toluene monooxygenases. We included these closely related *mmoX*
genes in the probes, therefore these closely related *mmoX* genes were found from coniferous trees
(Putkinen et al., 2021). However, the database is not constrained to full-length gene sequences
from a limited diversity of complete genomes and long metagenomic scaffolds available and the
probe/target diversity can be greatly extended through the inclusion of gene fragments generated
by PCR or from short metagenomic contigs. Despite its high target precision, this method may
nevertheless capture non-target sequences, especially for orthologous gene families who have
evolved different functions, as is the case for *napA* genes and formate dehydrogenases. Such cases

emphasize the importance of thorough data annotation and filtering procedures. With the target

gene database used to generate probes in this study, we managed to detect a higher diversity for

the case study of *TamoA* genes than the shotgun sequencing approach, showcasing the advantage

of "casting a wider net" with targeted metagenomics. Similar results were obtained in other

targeted metagenomics applications, such as for detection of diverse resistome-virulome elements

(Noyes et al. 2017) or for improved taxonomic microbial community characterization via 16S

rRNA enrichment (Beaudry et al. 2021).

Despite the technological advances and decline in cost of high-throughput sequencing, shotgun

metagenomics remain impractical and prohibitively expensive to capture the diversity of specific,

low abundant functional groups in complex environments, such as soils and sediments, especially

in longitudinal studies. The current cost of generating the probes for targeted metagenomics is

about 20-50 € per sample depending on the probe manufacturer. If the goal is to have a focused,

comprehensive view of the diversity of functional guilds involved in inorganic nitrogen or methane

cycling in a certain ecosystem, then targeted metagenomics can circumvent the high cost and

overabundance of data generated by shotgun metagenomics, as well as provide more quantitative

data and more information on the diversity of the genes of interest. In turn, PCR-based assays are

limited by the biases associated with any set of primers targeting a large diversity of sequences,

assay efficiencies which preclude the detection of highly divergent new sequence diversity as well

as known issues with the generation of chimeric sequences during amplification. The targeted

metagenomics approach circumvents these limitations by using multiple short probes targeting

different regions of each gene, which largely increases the likelihood of capturing sequence

variants that elude PCR amplification. Thus, this approach has not only the potential to capture

rare and novel gene diversity in complex environments, but also to identify cryptic microorganisms

in low-biomass samples or involved in suggested CH_4 metabolisms, such as in the tree

phyllosphere (Putkinen et al., 2021) and nitrogen cycling in coral holobiont (Glaze et al., 2021).

Moreover, targeted metagenomics can also overcome issues associated with running and

comparing multiple independent PCR assays when investigating several distinct targets. In that

sense, this approach effectively represents a PCR-independent, multiplex approach to characterize

simultaneously and in-depth the distributions of a broad range of functional genes, providing a

holistic view of the status of the nitrogen and methane cycles in the studied ecosystems. This is

especially advantageous when combined with functional studies, such as the determination of N-
transformation rates and *in situ* fluxes, as showcased by a study of N_2O emissions in thawing
Yedoma permafrost sites over time (Maruschak et al. 2021). In this study, the application of
targeted metagenomics with the N-cycling probe dataset presented here revealed that changes in
the N-cycling microbial community composition were responsible for an increase in N_2O
emissions in revegetated Yedoma soils, which had undergone thawing a decade prior.

Conclusively, the targeted metagenomics approach developed here provides an efficient and cost-
effective strategy for studying microbial functional guilds that typically represent small fractions
of natural microbiomes, and whose diversity is generally underestimated and highly
underrepresented in metagenomic datasets. This approach also circumvents the limitations and
biases associated with PCR-based methods and has higher potential to capture rare or novel
functional gene diversity.

Acknowledgements
H.S. was financially supported by the Atmosphere and Climate Competence Center (ACCC)
Academy of Finland project number 337550, and Nitrobiome project 342362, in addition H.S. was
supported by Saastamoinen foundation, FEMS Society and Niemi foundation. L.M., A.B., and
C.S. were funded by ERC Advanced Grant TACKLE (695192), and R.J.E.A. was funded by
project P25369 of the Austrian Science Fund (FWF). A.S.H and F.L.S were funded by ERC
Starting Grant EvolPhisiol (grant agreement 803768). S.H and C.M.J were supported by the
Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (senior career grant 2019-2024). We thank Lea
Wittorf for providing DNA extracts from isolates for the mock libraries.

Authors contribution statement

H.S., C.S., R.A. designed study, H.S. collected the targeted gene library, R.A.,S.H.,C.J. provided
reference libraries for *amoA* and *nosZ* genes, L.M. designed the probes, L.M., A.B., H.S. extracted
the environmental DNA and did the shotgun metagenomics, H.S. and S.H. extracted and provided

the mock sample cultured organisms, A.H., F.S. did the precision and recall analysis between the KEGG and HMM approaches, A.B., H.S. did the *amoA* diversity comparison, S.H.,C.J. did the *nosZ* diversity comparison. H.S. wrote the first version of manuscript and all co-authors further developed the text.

References:

Aanderud, Z.T., Jones, S.E., Fiererr, N., Lennon, J.T. 2015. Resuscitation of the rare biosphere contributes to pulses of ecosystem activity. *Front Microbiol* 6: DOI=10.3389/fmicb.2015.00024

Alteio, L.V., Séneca, J., Canarini, A., Angel, R., Jansa J., Guseva, K., Kaiser, C., Richter, A., Schmidt, H. 2021. A critical perspective on interpreting amplicon sequencing data in soil ecological research. *Soil Biol Biochem* 160:108357, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2021.108357.

Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., Lipman, D. J. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* 215: 403-410.

Alves, R.J.E., Minh, B.Q., Urich, T., von Haeseler, A., Schleper, C. 2018. Unifying the global phylogeny and environmental distribution of ammonia-oxidizing archaea based on amoA genes. *Nat. Comm.* 9: 1517. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03861-1.

Archer, D. 2010. The Global Carbon Cycle. Princeton: Princeton University Press. ISBN 9781400837076.

Aramaki, T., Blanc-Mathieu, R., Endo, H., Ohkubo, K., Kanehisa, M., Goto, S., Ogata, H. 2020. KofamKOALA: KEGG Ortholog assignment based on profile HMM and adaptive score threshold. *Bioinform.* 36:2251-2252. Doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btz859.

Barnard, R., Leadley, P. W., and Hungate, B. A. (2005), Global change, nitrification, and denitrification: A review. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 19, GB1007, doi:10.1029/2004GB002282.

Beaudry, M.S. et al. (2021) Improved Microbial Community Characterization of 16S rRNA via
Metagenome Hybridization Capture Enrichment. *Front. Microbiol.* 12. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.644662.

Barbera, P., Kozlov, A.M., Czech, L., Morel, B., Darriba, D., Flouri, T., Stamatakis, A. 2019. EPA-ng:
Massively Parallel Evolutionary Placement of Genetic Sequences. *Syst. Biol.* 68: 365-369.

Bewicke-Copley, F., Arjun Kumar, E., Palladino, G., Korfi, K., Wang, J. 2019. Applications and
analysis of targeted genomic sequencing in cancer studies. *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J.* 17:1348-
1359. Doi: 10.1016/j.csbj.2019.10.004.

Buchfink, B., Xie, C., Huson, D. H. 2015. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND.
Nat. methods. 12: 59.

Bodelier, P.L.E., Laanbroek, H.J. 2004. Nitrogen as a regulatory factor of methane oxidation in
soils and sediments. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 47: 265–277, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-
6496(03)00304-0

Bonilla-Rosso, G., Wittorf, L., Jones, C. *et al.* 2016. Design and evaluation of primers targeting
genes encoding NO-forming nitrite reductases: implications for ecological inference of
denitrifying communities. *Sci. Rep.* 6: 39208 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep39208

Borrel, G., Adam, P.S., McKay, L.J. *et al.* Wide diversity of methane and short-chain alkane
metabolisms in uncultured archaea. *Nat. Microbiol.* **4**, 603–613 (2019).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0363-3

Browne, P.D. Nielsen. T.K., Kot, W., Aggerholm, A., Thomas, M., Gilbert, P., Puetz, L.,
Rasmussen, M., Zervas, A., Hansen L.H. 2020. GC bias affects genomic and metagenomic
reconstructions, underrepresenting GC-poor organisms. *GigaScience* 9: giaa008,
https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giaa008

Bräuer, S.L,, Basiliko, N., Siljanen, H.M.P., Zinder, S.H. 2021. Methanogenic archaea in

peatlands. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett*. 367: fnaa172, https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnaa172

Callahan, B.J., McMurde, P.J., Rosen, M.J., Han, A.W., Johnson, A.J., Holmes, S.P., 2016.

DADA2: high-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat. Methods* 13: 581–

583. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869.

Caporaso, J.G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F.D., Costello, E.K., Fierer,

N., Gonzalez Peña, A., Goodrich, J.K., Gordon, J.I., Huttley, G.A., Kelley, S.T., Knights, D.,

Koenig, J.E., Ley, R.E., Lozupone, C.A., McDonald, D., Muegge, B.D., Pirrung, M., Reeder, J.,

Sevinsky, J.R., Turnbaugh, P.J., Walters, W.A., Widmann, J., Yatsunenko, T., Zeneveld, J.,

Knight, R., 2010. QIIME allows analysis of Highthroughput community sequencing data. *Nat.*

Methods 7: 335–336.

Cerqueira, N.M.F.S.A., Gonzalez, P.J., Fernandes, P.A., Moura, J.J.G., Ramos, M.A. 2015.

Periplasmic Nitrate Reductase and Formate Dehydrogenase : Similar Molecular Architectures with

Very Different Enzymatic Activities. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 48: 2875-2884 DOI:

10.1021/acs.accounts.5b00333

Clark, D.R., McKew, B.A., Binley, A. et al. 2022. Hydrological properties predict the composition

of microbial communities cycling methane and nitrogen in rivers. *ISME Commun.* 2: 5

https://doi.org/10.1038/s43705-022-00087-7

Cleary, B. et al. 2015. Detection of low-abundance bacterial strains in metagenomic datasets by

eigengenome partitioning. *Nat. Biotech.* 33: 1053–1060. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3329.

Conrad, R. 2009. The global methane cycle: recent advances in understanding the microbial

processes involved. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 1: 285-292. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-

2229.2009.00038.x

Friedlingstein, P., O'Sullivan, M., Jones, M. W., Andrew, R. M., Hauck, J., Olsen, A., Peters, G.
P., Peters, W., Pongratz, J., Sitch, S., Le Quéré, C., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B., Alin,
S., Aragão, L. E. O. C., Arneth, A., Arora, V., Bates, N. R., Becker, M., Benoit-Cattin, A., Bittig,
H. C., Bopp, L., Bultan, S., Chandra, N., Chevallier, F., Chini, L. P., Evans, W., Florentie, L.,
Forster, P. M., Gasser, T., Gehlen, M., Gilfillan, D., Gkritzalis, T., Gregor, L., Gruber, N., Harris,
I., Hartung, K., Haverd, V., Houghton, R. A., Ilyina, T., Jain, A. K., Joetzjer, E., Kadono, K., Kato,
E., Kitidis, V., Korsbakken, J. I., Landschützer, P., Lefèvre, N., Lenton, A., Lienert, S., Liu, Z.,
Lombardozzi, D., Marland, G., Metzl, N., Munro, D. R., Nabel, J. E. M. S., Nakaoka, S.-I., Niwa,
Y., O'Brien, K., Ono, T., Palmer, P. I., Pierrot, D., Poulter, B., Resplandy, L., Robertson, E.,
Rödenbeck, C., Schwinger, J., Séférian, R., Skjelvan, I., Smith, A. J. P., Sutton, A. J., Tanhua, T.,
Tans, P. P., Tian, H., Tilbrook, B., van der Werf, G., Vuichard, N., Walker, A. P., Wanninkhof,
R., Watson, A. J., Willis, D., wiltshire, A. J., Yuan, W., yue, X., and Zaehle, S. 2020. Global
Carbon Budget. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* 12: 3269–3340, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-3269-2020,
2020.
847

848 Falkowski, P.; Scholes, R. J.; Boyle, E.; Canadell, J.; Canfield, D.; Elser, J.; Gruber, N.; Hibbard,
849 K.; Högberg, P.; Linder, S.; MacKenzie, F. T.; Moore b, 3.; Pedersen, T.; Rosenthal, Y.; Seitzinger,
850 S.; Smetacek, V.; Steffen, W. 2000. The Global Carbon Cycle: A Test of Our Knowledge of Earth
851 as a System. *Science.* 290: 291–296. Doi:10.1126/science.290.5490.291
852

853 Futema, M., Plagnol, V., Whittall, R.A., et al. 2012. Use of targeted exome sequencing as a
854 diagnostic tool for Familial Hypercholesterolaemia. *J. Med. Genet.* 49:644-649.
855

856 Galloway, J. N., Dentener, F. J., Capone, D. G., Boyer, E. W., Howarth, R. W., Seitzinger, S. P.,
857 G. P. Asner, C. C. Cleveland, P. A. Green, Holland, E. A., Karl, D. M., Michaels, A. F., Porter, J.
858 H., Townsend, A. R., & Vörösmarty, C. J. (2004). Nitrogen Cycles: Past, Present, and Future.
859 *Biogeochemistry* 70: 153–226. http://www.jstor.org/stable/4151466
860

861 Gasc, C., Peyretaillade, E. and Peyret, P. (2016) Sequence capture by hybridization to explore
862 modern and ancient genomic diversity in model and nonmodel organisms. *Nucleic. Acids Res.* 44:
863 4504–4518. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw309.
864

865 Graf, D.R., Jones, C.M., Hallin, S. 2014. Intergenomic comparisons highlight modularity of the
866 denitrification pathway and underpin the importance of community structure for N_2O emissions.
867 *PloS one* 9: e114118.
868

Graf, D.R.H., Jones, C.M., Zhao, M., Hallin, S. 2022. Assembly of root-associated N2O-reducing

communities of annual crops is governed by selection for nosZ clade I over clade II. *FEMS*

Microbiol. Ecol. 98:1-11

Gruber, N., Galloway, J. An Earth-system perspective of the global nitrogen cycle. 2008. *Nature*

451, 293–296. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06592

Glaze, T.D., Erler, D.V., Siljanen, H.M.P. 2021. Microbially facilitated nitrogen cycling in tropical

corals. *ISME J.* 16: 68–77 doi: 10.1038/s41396-021-01038-1.

Eddy, S.R.. 1998. Profile hidden Markov models. *Bioinformatics* 14: 755–763,

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/14.9.755

Eddy S.R. 2011. Accelerated profile HMM searches. *PLOS Comp. Biol.*, 7:e1002195, 2011

Edgar, R.C., 2010. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *BMC*

Bioinformatics 26: 2460–2461

Edgar, R.C., Haas, B.J., Clemente, J.C., Quince, C., Knight, R., 2011. UCHIME improves

sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. *BMC Bioinformatics* 27: 2194–2200.

Fish, J. A., Chai, B., Wang, Q., Sun, Y., Brown, C. T., Tiedje, J. M., Cole, J. R. 2013.

FunGene: the Functional Gene Pipeline and Repository. *Front. Microbiol.* 4: 291.

Hallin S, Lindgren PE. 1999. PCR detection of genes encoding nitrite reductase in denitrifying

bacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 65:1652-7. doi: 10.1128/AEM.65.4.1652-1657.1999.

Hoang, D.T., Chernomor, O., Von Haeseler, A. et al. 2018. UFBoot2: improving the ultrafast

bootstrap approximation. *Mol Biol Evol* 35:518

Huang, L., Chakrabarti, S., Cooper, J., Perez,A., John, S.M., Daroub, S.H., Martens-Habbena,
W. 2021. Ammonia-oxidizing archaea are integral to nitrogen cycling in a highly fertile
agricultural soil. *ISME Comm.* 1:19 ; https://doi.org/10.1038/s43705-021-00020-4

Håvelsrud, O.E., Haverkamp, T.H., Kristensen, T. *et al.* A metagenomic study of methanotrophic
microorganisms in Coal Oil Point seep sediments. *BMC Microbiol* **11**, 221 (2011).
https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-11-221

Hyatt, D., Chen, G.L., LoCascio, R.F. *et al.* 2010. Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and
translation initiation site identification. *BMC Bioinformatics* 11: 119 https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-
2105-11-119

Ichida, H., Morita, R., Shirakawa, Y., Hayashi, Y., Abe, T. 2019. Targeted exome sequencing of
unselected heavy-ion beam-irradiated populations reveals less-biased mutation characteristics in
the rice genome. *Plant J.* 98:301-314. Doi: 10.1111/tpj.14213.

Jones, C.M., Graft, D.R.H., Bru, D., Philippot, L., Hallin, S. 2013. The unaccounted yet abundant
nitrous oxide-reducing microbial community: a potential nitrous oxide sink. *ISME J* 7: 417-426.

Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B.Q., Wong, T.K. et al. 2017. ModelFinder: fast model selection for
accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat. Methods* 14:587–9.

Kamil, G., Yoon, J.Y., Yoo, S. et al. 2021. Clinical relevance of targeted exome sequencing in
patients with rare syndromic short stature. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* 16: 297
https://doi.org/10.1186/s13023-021-01937-8

Katoh, K., Rozewicki, J., Yamada, K.D. 2019. MAFFT online service: multiple sequence
alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. *Brief Bioinform* 20: 1160–66.

Knief, C. 2015. Diversity and Habitat Preferences of Cultivated and Uncultivated Aerobic
Methanotrophic Bacteria Evaluated Based on *pmoA* as Molecular Marker. *Front Microbiol* 6:1346.
Doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01346

Kushwaha, S.K., Manoharan, L., Meerupati, T., Hedlund, K., & Ahrén, D. 2015. MetCap: a
bioinformatics probe design pipeline for large-scale targeted metagenomics. *BMC bioinformatics*
16: 65.

Kuypers, M., Marchant, H. & Kartal, B. 2018. The microbial nitrogen-cycling network. *Nat Rev*
Microbiol 16: 263–276. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2018.9

Kolb S, Knief C, Stubner S, Conrad R. 2003. Quantitative detection of methanotrophs in soil by
novel pmoA-targeted real-time PCR assays. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 69:2423-9. Doi:
10.1128/AEM.69.5.2423-2429.2003.

Lan, X., K.W. Thoning, and E.J. Dlugokencky: Trends in globally-averaged CH4, N2O, and SF6
determined from NOAA Global Monitoring Laboratory measurements. Version 2023-09,
https://doi.org/10.15138/P8XG-AA10

Letunic, I, Bork, P. 2019. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new
developments. *Nucleic Acids Res* 47:W256–9

Li, J., Liu, T., McIlroy, S.J. et al. 2023. Phylogenetic and metabolic diversity of microbial
communities performing anaerobic ammonium and methane oxidations under different nitrogen
loadings. *ISME Commun.* 3, 39. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43705-023-00246-4

Liu, F., Zhang, J. & Mei, Y. 2016. The origin of the cooperativity in the streptavidin-biotin system:
A computational investigation through molecular dynamics simulations. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 27190.
https://doi.org/10.1038/srep27190

Ludwig, W., Strunk, O., Westram, R., et al. 2004. ARB: a software environment for sequence data. *Nucleic*
Acids Res. 32: 1363-1371.

Manoharan, L., Kushwaha, S. K., Hedlund, K., Ahrén, D. (2015). Captured metagenomics: large-scale targeting of genes based on 'sequence capture' reveals functional diversity in soils. *DNA Res.* 22: 451-460.

Manoharan, L., Kushwaha, S.K., Ahrén, D., Hedlund, K. 2017. Agricultural land use determines functional genetic diversity of soil microbial communities. *Soil Biol Biochem* 115: 423-432

Morris A., Meyer K., Bohannan B. 2020. Linking microbial communities to ecosystem functions: what we can learn from genotype–phenotype mapping in organisms. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B3752019024420190244.* https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21400-3

Murrell, J.C., Jetten, M.S.M. 2009. The microbial methane cycle. *Environ Microb Rep* 1: 279-284. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-2229.2009.00089.x

Myhre, G.G.D., Shindell, F.M., Bréon, W., Collins, J., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D.,Lamarque, J.F., Lee, D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T., Zhang, H., 2013. Anthropogenic and natural radiative forcing. In:Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A.,Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P.M. (Eds.), Climate Change 2013 the Physical ScienceBasis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY,USA Working Group I Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

Nelson, M.B., Martiny , A.C., Martiny, J.B.H. 2016. Global biogeography of microbial nitrogen-cycling traits in soil. *PNAS* 113 (29) 8033-8040. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1601070113

Nguyen, L.T., Schmidt, H.A., von Haeseler, A. et al. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol Biol Evol* 32:268–74.

Nnadozie, C.F., Lin, J. and Govinden, R. (2015) 'Selective isolation of bacteria for metagenomic analysis: Impact of membrane characteristics on bacterial filterability'. *Biotechnol Prog.* 31: 853–866. https://doi.org/10.1002/btpr.2109.

Noyes, N.R. et al. (2017) Enrichment allows identification of diverse, rare elements in
metagenomic resistome-virulome sequencing. *Microbiome* 5. 142.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-017-0361-8.

R Core Team 2018. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.

Rice P, Longden I, Bleasby A. 2000. EMBOSS: the European Molecular Biology Open Software
Suite. Trends Genet. 16: 276-7. doi: 10.1016/s0168-9525(00)02024-2. PMID: 10827456.

1002

1003 Romdhane, S., Spor, A., Aubert, J. *et al.* 2021. Unraveling negative biotic interactions determining
1004 soil microbial community assembly and functioning. *ISME J.* https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-021-
1005 01076-9

1006

1007 Palmer, K., Biasi, C., Horn, M.A. 2012. Contrasting denitrifier communities relate to contrasting
1008 N_2O emissions patterns from acidic peat soils in arctic tundra. *ISME J.* 6:1058-1077.

1009

1010 Parks, D.H., Imelfort, M., Skennerton, C.T., Hugenholtz, P., Tyson, G.W., 2015. CheckM: assessing the
1011 quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. *Genome Res.* 25:
1012 1043-1055.

1013

1014 Pan Y, Bodrossy L, Frenzel P, Hestnes AG, Krause S, Lüke C, Meima-Franke M, Siljanen H,
1015 Svenning MM, Bodelier PL. Impacts of inter- and intralaboratory variations on the reproducibility
1016 of microbial community analyses. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2010 Nov;76(22):7451-8. doi:
1017 10.1128/AEM.01595-10

1018

1019 Price, M.N., Dehal, P.S., Arkin, A.P., 2010. FastTree 2--approximately maximum-likelihood trees for
1020 large alignments. *PLoS ONE* 5: e9490.

1021

1022 Prosser, JI, Hink, L, Gubry-Rangin, C, Nicol, GW. Nitrous oxide production by ammonia

1023 oxidizers: Physiological diversity, niche differentiation and potential mitigation strategies. Glob

1024 Change Biol. 2020; 26: 103– 118. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14877

1025

1026 Putkinen, A., Siljanen, H.M.P., Laihonen, A., Paasisalo, I., Porkka, K., Tiirola, M., Haikarainen,

1027 I., Tenhovirta, S. and Pihlatie, M. 2021. New insight to the role of microbes in the methane

1028 exchange in trees: evidence from metagenomic sequencing. *New Phytol* 231: 524-536.

1029 https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17365

1030

1031 Saghaï, A., Pold, G., Jones, C.M., Hallin, S. 2023. Phyloecology of nitrate ammonifiers and their

1032 importance relative to denitrifiers in global terrestrial biomes. *Nature Communications*, 14:8249

1033

1034 Skoglund, P., et al. 2014. Separating endogenous ancient DNA from modern day contamination

1035 in a Siberian Neandertal. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 111: 2229–2234 .

1036 Siljanen, H.M.P., Alves, R.J.E., Ronkainen, J.G., Lamprecht, R.E., Bhattarai, H.R., Bagnoud, A.,

1037 Marushchak, M.E., Martikainen, P.J. Schleper, C., Biasi, C. 2019. Archaeal nitrification is a key driver of

1038 high nitrous oxide emissions from arctic peatlands. *Soil Biol Biochem* 137: 107539

1039

1040 Siljanen, H.M.P., Saari, A., Krause, S., Lensu, A., Abell, G.C.J., Bodrossy, L., Bodelier, P.L.E.,

1041 Martikainen, P.J. 2011. Hydrology is reflected in the functioning and community composition of

1042 methanotrophs in the littoral wetland of a boreal lake. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.* 75:430–445,

1043 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.01015.x

1044

1045 Sinha, R. et al. 2017. Assessment of variation in microbial community amplicon sequencing by

1046 the Microbiome Quality Control (MBQC) project consortium. *Nature Biot* 35: 1077–1086.

1047 https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3981.

1048

1049 Stamatakis, A. 2014 RAxML Version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large

1050 phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 30:1312–13.

1051

1052 Stein, L.Y., Klotz, M.G. 2016. The nitrogen cycle. *Curr Biol.* 26: R94-R98,
1053 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.12.021.

1054

1055 Sui, H.Y. et al. (2020) Impact of DNA Extraction Method on Variation in Human and Built
1056 Environment Microbial Community and Functional Profiles Assessed by Shotgun Metagenomics
1057 Sequencing. *Front Microb.* 11: . Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00953

1058

1059 Trifinopoulos, J., Nguyen, L.T., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B.Q. 2016. W-IQ-TREE: a fast online
1060 phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44(W1):W232-5. doi:
1061 10.1093/nar/gkw256.

1062

1063 Thomson R.A.J., Giannopoulos, G., Pretty, J., Baggs, E.M., David J. 2012. Biological sources
1064 and sinks of nitrous oxide and strategies to mitigate emissions. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 2012 367,
1065 doi: 10.1098/rstb.2011.0415, published 26 March 2012

1066

1067 Throbäck, I.N., Enwall, K., Jarvis, Å. and Hallin, S. 2004. Reassessing PCR primers targeting nirS,
1068 nirK and nosZ genes for community surveys of denitrifying bacteria with DGGE. *FEMS Microbiol
1069 Ecol* 49: 401-417. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsec.2004.04.011

1070

1071 Orellana, L.H., Hatt, J.K., Iyer, R. *et al.* Comparing DNA, RNA and protein levels for measuring
1072 microbial dynamics in soil microcosms amended with nitrogen fertilizer. *Sci Rep.* **9**, 17630 (2019).
1073 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-53679-0

1074

1075 Ouyang Y., Norton J.M. 2020. Nitrite Oxidizer Activity and Community Are More Responsive
1076 Than Their Abundance to Ammonium-Based Fertilizer in an Agricultural Soil. *Front Microbiol.*
1077 11: DOI=10.3389/fmicb.2020.01736

1078

1079 Wheeler, T.J., Eddy, S.R. 2013. nhmmer: DNA homology search with profile HMMs.
1080 *Bioinformatics* 29: 2487-2489.

1081

1082   Yu, G.C., Smith, D.K., Zhu, H.C., Guan, Y., Lam, T.T.Y. 2017. GGTREE: an R package for visualization

1083   and annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. *Methods in Ecol Evol.*

1084   8: 28-36.

1085

1086   Zaehle S. 2013.Terrestrial nitrogen–carbon cycle interactions at the global scale. Phil. Trans. R.

1087   Soc. B3682013012520130125 http://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0125

1088

1089   Zhao, J., Huang, L., Chakrabarti, S. et al. 2023. Nitrogen and phosphorous acquisition strategies

1090   drive coexistence patterns among archaeal lineages in soil. *ISME J.* 17, 1839–1850

1091   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-023-01493-y

1092

1093

1094   **Figures:**

1095

Fig. 1 Illustration of the pipeline for the generation of HMM models for each gene, and how these

models are used to study community composition with targeted metagenomics: 1. Steps followed

for the generation of sequence databases for the genes of interest and subsequent building of the

respective HMM models for each gene; 2.1. The produced HMM models were used to recruit more

gene variants from the NCBI nt database and generate a target gene database for probe design; 2.2.

The same HMM models were also used to classify the reads captured downstream; 3.1. Clustering

of the target gene database with CD-HIT (in MetCap pipeline); 3.2. Design and selection of six

50-mer probes for each sequence cluster (in MetCap pipeline); 4. Synthesis and biotinylation of

the designed probes by Roche; 5. DNA extraction of the sample of interest; 6.1. Sequencing

libraries preparation and addition of sequencing adaptors and indexes; 6.2. Hybridization of the

probes to indexed DNA libraries for 72 hours at +47°C; 6.3. Purification of the DNA hybridized

to the probes with streptavidin coated magnetic beads; 7. Sequencing of the hybridized libraries

with Illumina MiSeq; 8. Read processing with HMM profiles and taxonomy assignment for the

genes of interest.

1110

1111

1112

1113

Fig. 2. Comparison between the original composition (DNA of strains applied into the mock

sample) of the mock community and the relative abundance for each functional gene detected

using probe captured metagenomics for all GC% combinations combined. The relative abundance

in the mock community was calculated based on the amount of each functional gene and organism

as well as genome size. Color of the symbols depict gene and shape depicts the category of GC

content (%).

1120

1121

1122

Fig. 3. The relative abundance of functional genes (calculated against total sequencing reads)

involved in inorganic nitrogen and methane cycling obtained by targeted metagenomics and

shotgun metagenomics from A) agricultural soil; and B) wetland soil. Relative abundance was

retrieved with HMMER searches of each functional gene. Statistically significant differences

between shotgun and targeted relative abundances according to pairwise comparisons with

ANOVA are shown with asterisk ($P < 0.05$, n = 3). The comparison of shotgun vs. targeted

metagenomic measured with ANOVA is shown as F values and significance in the inset for both

samples.

1131

1136
Fig. 4. A) The relative abundance of archaeal *amoA* taxonomic bins produced from amplicon
sequencing with *amoA* amplicon sequencing (*amoA* gene PCR amplicons sequenced with Illumina
MiSeq, about 22000-47000 *amoA* reads per sample) (n = 3), metagenomics reads of targeted
metagenomics (genomic DNA sequenced with Illumina MiSeq, after target enrichment, about
4500-8500 *amoA* reads out of 220000-310000 targeted reads per sample)(n = 3), or shotgun
metagenomics (genomic DNA sequenced with Illumina HiSeq, about 22-57 million reads per
sample, of which only two were archaeal *amoA*)(n = 3). In all cases, the analysis pipeline consisted
of USEARCH comparison on *amoA* reference database (Alves et al., 2018) and taxonomy were
analyzed with QIIME1 analytical pipeline. Sample having largest diversity is shown (n = 3).
Bubble symbol size is proportional to the relative abundance of each taxonomic bin produced with
sequencing approach in each sequencing method. Color indicates Nitrosospheria (NS)-clade of
taxonomic bin. Statistically significant differences in abundance of each taxonomic bins between
sequencing approaches based on Pairwise-Wilcox comparison are indicated with different letters
(*P* < 0.05, n=3), and the significant difference of comparison for taxonomic bins is shown an
asterisk, * *P*<0.05, ** *P*<0.01. B) The rarefaction analysis of archaeal *amoA* obtained by amplicon
sequencing and captured metagenomics. C) Venn-diagram of archaeal *amoA* sequences obtained
by amplicon sequencing and targeted metagenomics.
1154

1155