Robust and consistent measures of pattern separation based on information theory and demonstrated in the dentate gyrus

Alexander D Bird[1,2,3*], Hermann Cuntz[2,3], Peter Jedlicka[1,3]

[1] ICAR3R-Interdisciplinary Centre for 3Rs in Animal Research, Faculty of Medicine, Justus Liebig University, Giessen, 35390, Germany

[2] Ernst Strüngmann Institute (ESI) for Neuroscience in cooperation with the Max Planck Society, Frankfurt-am-Main, 60528, Germany

[3] Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Frankfurt-am-Main, 60438, Germany

*alex.neurosci@gmail.com

Abstract

Pattern separation is a valuable computational function performed by neuronal circuits, such as the dentate gyrus, where dissimilarity between inputs is increased, reducing noise and increasing the storage capacity of downstream networks. A number of different measures (such as orthogonalisation, decorrelation, or spike train distance) have been applied to quantify the process of pattern separation. However, these are known to give conclusions that can differ qualitatively depending on the choice of measure and the parameters used to calculate it. We here demonstrate that arbitrarily increasing sparsity, a noticeable feature of dentate granule cell firing and one that is believed to be key to pattern separation, typically leads to improved classical measures for pattern separation even, inappropriately, up to the point where almost all information about the inputs is lost. Standard measures therefore both cannot differentiate between pattern separation and pattern destruction, and give results that may depend on arbitrary parameter choices. We propose that techniques from information theory, in particular mutual information, transfer entropy, and redundancy, should be applied to penalise the potential for lost information (often due to increased sparsity) that is neglected by existing measures. We compare five commonly-used measures of pattern separation with three novel techniques based on information theory, showing that the latter can be applied in a principled way and provide a robust and reliable measure for comparing the pattern separation performance of different neurons and networks. We demonstrate our new measures on detailed compartmental models of individual dentate granule cells and a dentate microcircuit, and show how structural changes associated with epilepsy affect pattern separation performance. Overall, our measures solve a widely acknowledged problem in assessing the pattern separation of neural circuits such as the dentate gyrus, as well as the cerebellum and mushroom body. Finally we provide a publicly available toolbox allowing for easy analysis of pattern separation in spike train ensembles.

Keywords

Pattern separation; Information; Dentate gyrus; Granule cell; Sparsity; Dissimilarity; Hippocampus

Author summary

The hippocampus is a region of the brain strongly associated with spatial navigation and encoding of episodic memories. To perform these functions effectively it makes use of circuits that perform *pattern separation*, where redundant structure is removed from neural representations leaving only the most salient information. Pattern separation allows downstream networks to better distinguish between similar situations. Pathological changes, caused by Alzheimer's, schizophrenia, or epilepsy, to the circuits that perform pattern separation are associated with reduced discriminative ability in both animal models and humans. Traditionally, pattern separation has been described alongside the downstream networks that receive the separated representations, but more recent studies have focussed on the detailed neuronal and circuit features that contribute to pattern separation alone. We here show that traditional measures of pattern separation are inappropriate in this case, as they do not give consistent conclusions when parameters are changed and can confound pattern separation with the loss of important information. We show that directly accounting for the information throughput of a pattern separation circuit can provide new measures of pattern separation that are robust and consistent, and allow for nuanced analysis of the structure-function relationship of such circuits and how this may be perturbed by pathology.

Introduction

The hippocampus plays an important role in a number of crucial behavioural functions, in particular encoding spatial information (O'Keefe and Dostrovsky, 1971; Leutgeb et al, 2005) and episodic memories (Squire, 1992; Hainmueller and Bartos, 2020). To support this functionality, a portion of the inputs to the hippocampus are first processed in the dentate gyrus, a subfield consisting of large numbers of sparse-firing principal neurons, the granule cells, arranged in a consistent laminar structure (Jung and McNaughton, 1993; Amaral et al, 2007). The dentate gyrus is one of the few brain regions to undergo adult neurogenesis (Gage, 2000; Li and Pleasure, 2005; Boldrini et al, 2018), with adult-born cells displaying distinct intrinsic, synaptic, and morphological properties (Schmidt-Hieber et al, 2004; Beining et al, 2017). A number of functional roles have been postulated for the dentate gyrus, but the most consistently studied and experimentally supported is that of pattern separation: an increase in the dissimilarity of outputs compared to inputs (Treves et al, 2008; Yassa and Stark, 2011).

Pattern separation

The idea of pattern separation as a desirable computational function arises from the work of David Marr on the encoding of memories (Marr, 1969, 1971). Marr showed that a strongly recurrent neuronal network could learn to reproduce complete patterns from fragmented inputs, but that such fragments should be distinctive to avoid catastrophic interference between different patterns. Although the theory was developed for the cerebellum, another site of pattern completion was proposed to be the CA3 hippocampal subfield, a region that displays the necessary recurrent connectivity and receives strong inputs directly from the dentate gyrus (Amaral et al, 1990; Guzman et al, 2016). Further theoretical work, in particular that of McNaughton and Morris (1987) and Rolls

(1987), showed that pattern completion would ideally be preceded by pattern separation, originally envisioned as an orthogonalisation of input spiking vectors, and that this could take place in the dentate gyrus. The theory of pattern separation, and its occurrence in the dentate gyrus, has since been extensively developed in a large number of theoretical papers (Hasselmo et al, 1995; McClelland et al, 1995; Rolls, 1996; Acsády and Káli, 2007; Rolls, 2013) and observed in many experiments (Selden et al, 1991; Frankland et al, 1998; McHugh et al, 2007; Bakker et al, 2008; Neunuebel and Knierim, 2014) (for reviews see Derrick (2007), Yassa and Stark (2011), and Chavlis and Poirazi (2017)). Pattern separation has been viewed both in terms of the spiking outputs of the dentate gyrus and the ability of an experimental subject to discriminate between similar situations (Santoro, 2013; Lamothe-Molina et al, 2022). Of particular clinical relevance, the dentate gyrus undergoes relatively well-described physiological changes under pathologies such as Alzheimer's disease (Ohm, 2007), schizophrenia (Wang et al, 2011), and epilepsy (Young et al, 2009; Yim et al, 2015; Janz et al, 2017), and these conditions have direct behavioural correlates in terms of the reduced ability of sufferers to distinguish between similar situations (Das et al, 2014; Parizkova et al, 2020; Madar et al, 2021).

Despite being conceptually straightforward, the quantification of pattern separation in terms of a network's inputs and outputs can be surprisingly problematic. When considered as part of a system preceding pattern completion, good pattern separation can be simply taken as any procedure that reduces noise and enhances the storage capacity of the downstream network (Rolls and Kesner, 2006). When isolated from pattern completion, however, measurement of pattern separation efficacy can become more arbitrary. This has become particularly relevant as more detailed computational models have focussed on the the dentate gyrus in order to assess the contribution of different circuit components to pattern separation (Myers and Scharfman, 2009; Faghihi and Moustafa, 2015; Chavlis et al, 2017; Guzman et al, 2021), and as new experimental techniques have allowed direct access to the inputs and outputs of individual dentate granule cells (Poli et al, 2018; Madar, et al, 2019). A number of measures of spike train similarity have been used to assess pattern separation in the literature. The original definitions of patterns separation considered orthogonalisation as most beneficial in terms of reducing interference during pattern completion (Rolls, 1987; McNaughton and Morris, 1987); this is computed as the cosine distance between (normalised) discretised spike-train vectors. Decorrelation has also been used as a natural measure of similarity reduction (Madar, et al, 2019; Guzman et al, 2021) and again is computed on discretised spike-train vectors. Finally, an increased measure of the distance between spike trains is another popular indicator of pattern separation; this is either a discretised-measure such as a form of Hamming distance (Myers and Scharfman, 2009, 2011; Faghihi and Moustafa, 2015; Chavlis et al, 2017) or a measure on raw spike times (Madar et al, 2019; Sihn and Kim, 2019). Different quantifications of the effect called pattern separation can lead to seemingly inconsistent conclusions, a point already noted by Santoro (2013), Vineyard et al (2016), and Chavlis and Poirazi (2017). Madar et al (2019) showed explicitly that different measures can produce different results on the same datasets. Myers and Scharfman (2009) found that removing hilar cells led to less sparse granule cell activity and improved pattern separation performance, as measured with the raw Hamming distance, a counterintuitive result given the theoretically positive effects of sparsity on pattern separation (Battaglia and Treves, 1998; Cayco-Gajic and Silver, 2019). Later studies to use the Hamming distance sought to correct for the relative sparsity of the outputs compared to the inputs in various ways (Myers and Scharfman, 2011; Chavlis et al, 2017). A particular issue arises in the choice of bin sizes to move from spike times to the discretised spike-vectors necessary to compute orthogonalisations, decorrelations, or Hamming distances; Madar et al

(2019) also showed that choosing different bin sizes could qualitatively change the apparent behaviour of a system, with smaller time bins typically showing pattern separation and larger time bins showing pattern convergence on the same input and output sets of spike times. Finally, making structured inputs less similar can be achieved by destroying structure and this may degrade the ability of downstream networks, such as the CA3 subfield, to reconstruct salient features from the inputs. Vineyard et al (2016) and Madar et al (2019) note this as a limitation of standard pattern separation measures, and some studies consider the loss of signal explicitly. Guzman et al (2021), for example, trained an artificial neural network to identify input patterns from modelled dentate gyrus outputs, whilst quantifying pattern separation itself by decorrelation until the stage that inputs cannot be reliably identified by the artificial network. This is an effective solution to the problem of complete loss of signal, but leaves open questions about how close the network is to failing, or, conversely, if a more complex artificial network could recover more input patterns for a given level of decorrelation. Such inconsistencies in the measurement of pattern separation are problematic and potentially contribute to ongoing controversies over the contribution of neurogenesis to the function of the dentate gyrus; arguments have been made that adult-born granule cells aid pattern separation (Clelland et al, 2009; Vineyard et al, 2016), hinder pattern separation (Finnegan and Becker, 2015), or independently carry out the entirety of pattern separation, with mature cells responsible for pattern completion (Nakashiba et al, 2012). We believe that a direct measurement of the information contained in spike train ensembles going into and out of the dentate gyrus will help to resolve these inconsistencies and be a valuable and robust assessor of dentate gyrus functionality.

Information theory in neuroscience

Information theory is built upon the work of Shannon (1948) and studies the ability of systems to communicate reliably. There is a long history of the application of information theoretic techniques to neuroscience, starting with MacKay and McCulloch (1952), and a large number of techniques have been developed to assess or estimate the informational content of neural codes (Stein, 1967; Mainen and Sejnowski, 1995; Strong et al, 1998; Houghton, 2019) (see Dimitrov et al (2011) or Timme and Lapish (2018), among many others, for reviews). Since information has a natural link to the functions of the hippocampus in terms of memory, navigation, and situational discrimination, a number of studies have used techniques from information theory to analyse hippocampal structure and function. Treves and Rolls (1992) showed that the CA3 network should receive two streams of input (from the dentate gyrus and directly from the upstream entorhinal cortex) in order to both learn new patterns and reliably access stored memories. Cerasti and Treves (2010) found that the spatial representations induced in CA3 by dentate gyrus activation potentially contain much information that is so high-dimensional that it cannot be feasibly decoded. Petrantonakis and Poirazi (2014) applied compressed sensing theory to show that the firing activity of different hippocampal regions was consistent with taking random projections to lower the dimensionality of a spike code and allow practical decoding performance substantially above the deterministic limit. Faghihi and Moustafa (2015) applied mutual information as a measure to tune parameters of single neurons in a network model of schizophrenia, but assessed the resulting pattern separation functionality of the model using a form of Hamming distance. Vineyard et al (2016) introduced a variety of loss-less coding strategies to estimate the maximal amount of information that could be encoded by a model dentate gyrus, and how this is increased by neurogenesis, but treated information maximisation as

the ultimate goal rather than pattern separation as typically described. Severa et al (2017) expanded on these   98
ideas by describing a loss-less spike code for binary vectors that would increase sparsity and reduce correlations   99
through an abstracted dentate-gyrus layer. In the cerebellum, Billings et al (2014) studied how connectivity   100
and cellular morphology support information throughput despite increased sparsity, concluding that these two   101
features should be balanced. No previous study has, however, applied information as a direct measure of pattern   102
separation efficacy in the dentate gyrus for arbitrary inputs.   103

We here introduce a set of techniques from information theory that allow pattern separation in the dentate gyrus   104
to be rigorously quantified without the need to specify an accompanying pattern completion algorithm. We   105
first confirm that arbitrarily removing structure from input spike train ensembles typically improves pattern   106
separation as assessed by classical measures, but reduces the information content. We then show that mutual   107
information (Shannon, 1948), transfer entropy (Schreiber, 2000), and redundancy (Williams and Beer, 2010) can   108
be used as measures of pattern separation performance and apply these to detailed compartmental models of   109
individual granule cells and a small network. We further demonstrate how information estimation techniques   110
(Kozachenko and Leonenko, 1987; Houghton, 2019) can be adapted to spike train ensembles in order to reduce   111
biases when data is scarce (Treves and Panzeri, 1995). Finally, we demonstrate how structural changes of the   112
dentate circuit associated with epilepsy (Young et al, 2009; Janz et al, 2017) affect pattern separation performance   113
as assessed by our new measures. We anticipate that information-theoretic measures of pattern separation will be   114
a useful tool in disentangling the structure-function relationships of different cell types, how these relationships   115
arise from learning and development, and how they are perturbed by pathology.   116

Results

Figure 1. Sparsifying (filtering) spike train ensembles leads to increased classical measures of pattern separation, but reduced information content. A Demonstration of filtering a phase-locked spike train ensemble (left) using different methods (right). From top to bottom: *random* filtering with $p = 0.5, 0.75, 0.85, 0.95$, *n-th pass* filtering with $n = 2, 4, 7, 20$, *refractory* filtering with $t = 0.18, 0.54, 1.01, 3.71$ s, and *competitive* filtering with $t = 3.4, 13.7, 17.9, 31.4$ ms. Filtering parameters are chosen to give roughly equal numbers of spikes across different filters. **B** Standard measures of pattern separation as a function of discretisation bin size on filtered spike trains. Clockwise from top left: random ($p = 0.5$), n-th pass ($n = 12$), competitive ($t = 0.02$ ms), and refractory ($t = 2.5$ ms). Solid, dashed, and dotted lines refer respectively to strong, medium, and weak input correlations (phase-locked strengths of $0.75, 0.5$, and 0.25, see Methods). From darkest to lightest, colours plot standard measures of pattern separation: orthogonalisation Υ_θ, scaling Υ_σ, decorrelation Υ_ρ, and Hamming distance Υ_η. Wasserstein distance Υ_δ does not rely on discretisation and is not plotted. All values are normalised for comparisons, raw values are plotted in Figure S1f-j. **C** Standard measures of pattern separation applied to filtered spike trains. Filtering methods and input correlations are as in **B**. The x-axis gives the filtering parameter in each case. All values are normalised for comparisons, raw values are plotted in Figure S1e-f. **D** Mutual information between input spike train ensembles and filtered spike train ensembles. Filtering methods and input correlations are as in **B**. Colours correspond to different neuronal codes (see Methods): from darkest to lightest instantaneous spatial, temporal, local rate, and ensemble rate. All values are normalised for comparisons, raw values are plotted in Figure S2a-d. **See next page.**

Figure 1. Sparsifying (filtering) spike train ensembles leads to increased classical measures of pattern separation, but reduced information content (continued). **E** Mutual information as a function of classical pattern separation measures. Mutual information was maximised over all spiking codes and bin sizes for different input rates, correlation structures and strengths, and filter types and strengths. Clockwise from top left: orthogonalisation Υ_θ, decorrelation Υ_ρ, Wasserstein distance Υ_δ, and Hamming distance Υ_η. Shaded areas show one standard deviation. Raw scatter plots and densities are shown in Figure S2e-h.

Sparsity typically increases standard measures of pattern separation, but decreases information transmission

Classical measures of pattern separation, such as orthogonalisation, decorrelation, or spike train distance, are effective at analysing the measured behaviour of a neuronal system and quantify the degree of difference between the inputs and outputs. In the dentate gyrus, outputs are typically encoded by sparser activity than the inputs and sparsity is a major contributor to pattern separation (Rolls and Treves, 1994; Battaglia and Treves, 1998; McHugh et al, 2022). Increasing sparsity in a neural code, however, may reduce the information it carries about the afferent signal (Billings et al, 2014). We here introduce a set of simple filters that arbitrarily increase the sparsity of an ensemble of spike trains and show both that classical measures of pattern separation can be highly parameter-dependent and that an arbitrary reduction in spike number typically leads to an increase in measured pattern separation performance.

Figure 1a (left) shows a raster of spike trains phase-locked to a periodic stimulus, and so displaying correlations both within and between spike trains (see Methods). Sparse trains are produced using four thinning filters, shown in Figure 1a (right) from top to bottom. *Random*: random deletion of spikes with uniform probability p, *n-th pass*: only every n-th spike in each train can pass, *refractory*: delete every spike within t seconds of a previous spike in the same train, and *competitive*: delete every spike within t seconds of another spike in *any* train in the ensemble. These different filters pick out different relationships in the input spike train ensemble. The first filter destroys all structure in the ensemble as the deletion probability increases, the second and third filters maintain order or temporal structure within trains, and the fourth corresponds to competition between different trains in the ensemble.

We next apply five metrics that are regularly used to assess pattern separation in the literature to spike train ensembles filtered in these ways. Overall this study compares three novel information-based and five existing measures of pattern separation. In all cases we use the letter Υ to refer to a measure of pattern separation; upper case latin subscripts denote the information-based measures and lower case greek subscripts denote classical non-information based measures. The classical measures are plotted in progressively lighter shades of red in Figure 1b. Orthogonalisation Υ_θ measures the reduction in pairwise cosine distance between normalised spike trains (Eqs 4 & 5), scaling Υ_σ measures the change in differences in the norms of the spike trains (Eqs 6 & 7), decorrelation Υ_ρ measures the reduction in pairwise correlations between spike trains (Eqs 8 & 9), Hamming distance Υ_η measures the increase in pairwise Hamming distance between spike train vectors (Eqs 10 & 11), and Wasserstein distance Υ_δ measures the increase in pairwise Wasserstein distance between spike trains (Eqs 12 & 13). These measures are fully described in Methods and summarised in Table 1. Orthogonalisation Υ_θ and

Symbol	Definition	Description	Equations
Υ_θ	Orthogonalisation	Cosine distance between normalised discretised spike train vectors	4 & 5
Υ_σ	Scaling	Ratio between norms of discretised spike train vectors	6 & 7
Υ_ρ	Decorrelation	Pearson correlation between discretised spike train vectors	8 & 9
Υ_η	Hamming distance	Hamming distance between discretised spike train vectors	10 & 11
Υ_δ	Wasserstein distance	Wasserstein distance between raw spike time vectors	12 & 13
Υ_M	Mutual information	Sparsity weighted MI between input and output ensembles	1 & 14
Υ_T	Transfer entropy	Sparsity weighted TE between input and output ensembles	2 & 15
Υ_R	Redundancy reduction	Relative redundancy reduction between input and output ensembles	3 & 16
$\tilde{\Upsilon}_M$	Estimated mutual information	Estimated Υ_M using a modified Kozachenko-Leonenko estimator	1 & 17 to 19
$\tilde{\Upsilon}_R$	Estimated redundancy	Estimated Υ_R using a modified Kozachenko-Leonenko estimator	3 & 17 to 19

Table 1. Table summarising classical pattern separation measures (top), and new information theoretic measures (bottom).

scaling Υ_σ are complementary measures as orthogonalisation is computed on normalised vectors and scaling   149
compares norms. The first four (Υ_θ, Υ_σ, Υ_ρ, and Υ_η) are computed on discretised spike trains, whereas the   150
Wasserstein distance Υ_δ is parameter-free and computed on raw spike times.   151

Figure 1b plots the value of each measure (except the Wasserstein distance) normalised to its highest value   152
(Figure S1 plots the unnormalised values in separate panels) for a single value of each thinning filter as a function   153
of the size of the discretisation bins used. In each case there is a monotonic relationship between the size of   154
the bin and the value of the measure. This confirms the results of Madar et al (2019) and means that it is very   155
difficult to assign meaning to a given value of pattern separation computed by one of these methods as the value   156
will typically depend on the choice of the binning parameter with no principled way to make this choice.   157

Figure 1c plots the value of each measure normalised to its highest value (Figure S1 plots the unnormalised   158
values in separate panels) as a function of thinning filter strength for the different thinning filters. In the majority   159
of cases, each metric increases monotonically with the strength of the thinning filter and reaches a maximum   160
when the output spike train ensembles are almost empty. There are two exceptions to this trend: the Wasserstein   161
distance measure Υ_δ takes a maximum at an intermediate value of the refractory filter, and the decorrelation   162
measure Υ_ρ takes a maximum at an intermediate value of the competitive filter, where spike trains inhibit each   163
other (bottom left and right panels respectively in Figure 1c). The potential problem with such measures in   164
isolation is illustrated clearly in Figure 1d, which plots the normalised mutual information between input and   165
output spike train ensembles for each of the thinning filters above (Figure S2 plots the unnormalised values in   166
separate panels). Different possible spiking codes (instantaneous spatial, temporal, local rate, and ensemble   167
rate, see Methods) are plotted in different shades of blue. Pattern separation using the above classical measures   168
increases as information is lost. This is a general trend and holds for different input correlation structures and   169
strengths, and mean firing rates. Figure 1e supports this point by plotting the maximum mutual information   170
over all spiking codes and bin sizes as a function of different classical measures for general spike train ensembles   171
and filters. There is a universal negative relationship, meaning that increased classical measures of pattern   172
separation typically imply an increased loss of information about the input.   173

Information theoretic measures of pattern separation   174

Figure 2. Information theoretic measures of pattern separation penalise pattern destruction (information loss). A Normalised sparsity weighted mutual information Υ_M applied to filtered spike train ensembles. Clockwise from top left: random, n-th pass, competitive, and refractory. The x-axis gives the filtering parameters in each case. Solid, dashed, and dotted lines refer respectively to strong, medium, and weak input correlations (see Methods). Colours correspond to different neuronal codes (see Methods): from darkest to lightest instantaneous spatial, temporal, local rate, and ensemble rate. All values are normalised for comparisons, raw values are plotted in Figure S3a. **B** Normalised sparsity weighted transfer entropy Υ_T applied to filtered spike train ensembles. Colours and filters as in panel **A**. All values are normalised for comparisons, raw values are plotted in Figure S3b. **C** Normalised relative redundancy reduction Υ_R applied to filtered spike train ensembles. Colours and filters as in panel **A**. All values are normalised for comparisons, raw values are plotted in Figure S3c.

To avoid the above weaknesses of classical measures, we introduce two types of information theoretic measure   175
of the ability of a neuronal system to separate patterns. The first type covers the relationship between inputs and   176
outputs; giving a measure of the efficiency of the feedforward information transmission. The second type covers   177
the relationships within the sets of inputs and outputs; giving a direct measure of the amount of information   178
shared by an ensemble of spike trains which is more equivalent to the traditional definitions of pattern separation   179
by orthogonalisation or decorrelation.   180

For the first type of measure, two related quantities are typically used to quantify the information throughput   181
of a neural system: the symmetric mutual information $I_{X,Y}$ between input X and output Y (Shannon (1948),   182
Eq 14), and the directed transfer entropy $T_{X \to Y}$ from input X to output Y (Schreiber (2000), Eq 15). Both have   183
their advantages and a recent review found that the transfer entropy is typically less biased when data is sparse   184
(Conrad and Jolivet, 2021).   185

The second type of measure is linked to a quantification of the redundancy within a spike-train ensemble.   186
The principle comes from the partial information decomposition of an ensemble into information that is en-   187

coded synergistically, independently, and redundantly by different components of that ensemble (McGill, 1954; Schneidman et al, 2003; Gutknecht et al, 2021). Removing redundancy between spike trains would distinguish them in terms of the information they convey and improve pattern separation. Whilst multiple measures of redundancy exist, we follow Williams and Beer (2010) in defining it as the minimum mutual information R_X between different parts of a pattern X. Here this is idea is applied as the minimum mutual information between each individual spike train and the rest of the ensemble (Eq 16).

The two types of informational measure can be used and combined to give robust measures of pattern separation. The information theoretic measures of pattern separation below are chosen to take a value of zero both in the limit of destroying all information between input and output, and when inputs have not been differentiated at all. To directly adapt the first type of measure to assess pattern separation, we take sparsity as a parameter-free proxy for differentiation (Eqs 1 and 2). It would also be possible to take a traditional measure such as orthogonalisation or decorrelation and penalise this with information loss, but the simple sparsity measure is preferred as it makes no assumptions on the pattern completion circuit, requires no discretisation of spike times, and has a natural relationship to the metabolic costs of spiking. The sparsity weighted measures therefore correspond to a more efficient coding of input patterns, likely using a higher-dimensional coding space (Billings et al, 2014; Cayco-Gajic and Silver, 2019; McHugh et al, 2022).

To adapt the second type of information theoretic measure to assess pattern separation, we multiply the reduction in redundancy between the input ensemble and the output ensemble with the mutual information between the pair of ensembles, balancing feedforward information loss with reduced redundancy (Eq 3).

Sparsity weighted mutual information Υ_{M}

If the number of spikes in the input pattern X is m_X, the number in the output pattern Y is n_Y, and $I_{X,Y}$ (Eq 14) is the mutual information between X and Y, then the sparsity weighted mutual information is

$$\Upsilon_{\mathrm{M}} = \frac{m_X - n_Y}{m_X}\, I_{X,Y} \tag{1}$$

Sparsity weighted transfer entropy Υ_{T}

Similarly, if $T_{X \to Y}$ is the transfer entropy from X to Y, then the sparsity weighted transfer entropy is

$$\Upsilon_{\mathrm{T}} = \frac{m_X - n_Y}{m_X}\, T_{X \to Y} \tag{2}$$

Relative redundancy reduction Υ_{R}

We follow Williams and Beer (2010) in defining redundancy as the minimum mutual information R_X between different parts of a pattern X. Here this is idea is applied as the minimum mutual information between each individual spike train and the rest of the ensemble (Eq 16). Comparing the difference in redundancies between the input and output ensembles gives a measure of the changes taking place *within* a pattern as it passes through a neural system. This can be multiplied by the mutual information between input X and output Y to measure the balance between redundancy reduction and information throughput

$$\Upsilon_{\mathrm{R}} = (R_X - R_Y)\, I_{X,Y} \tag{3}$$

Information theoretic measures of pattern separation and filtered spike ensembles   219

Figure 2 shows the application of these measures to filtered spike train ensembles as in Figure 1. Figure 2a   220
shows the sparsity weighted mutual information Υ_M, Figure 2b the sparsity weighted transfer entropy Υ_T, and   221
Figure 2c the relative redundancy reduction Υ_R. In all cases there is a single peak at an intermediate value of the   222
thinning filters. As before, different assumed spiking codes lead to different relationships between sparsity and   223
information transmission (different shades of blue in panels a to c), but the principled approach is to take the   224
codes that produce the highest information throughput. For Υ_M and Υ_T, the measures are relatively independent   225
of the strength of the correlations within the input patterns (see Figure S3 for unnormalised values). Υ_R, however,   226
which accounts for the reduction in redundancy between input and output patterns, is strongly dependent on   227
the strength of input correlations, with stronger correlations leading to higher measures of pattern separation at   228
all thinning filter values.   229

The most useful single measure of pattern separation efficacy is Υ_M, which can be replaced by Υ_T if desired or if   230
data is sparse (but see below for a procedure to estimate the mutual information in this case). Υ_R provides a   231
useful complementary measure with more sensitivity to the strength and structure of input correlations.   232

Single cell pattern separation

Figure 3. Information theoretic measures applied to a single cell provide consistent and robust assessment of pattern separation. A Left panels: Example input spiking rasters. Centre panel: Dentate gyrus granule cell morphology. Informative synaptic contacts are shown by yellow and background synaptic contacts by brown markers. Right panels: Example output voltage traces from the granule cell soma.**B**. Sparsity weighted mutual information Υ_M (left) and relative redundancy reduction Υ_R (right) for the granule cell model as a function of various physiological parameters. From top to bottom: timescale of synaptic depression, timescale of synaptic facilitation, and spatial heterogeneity in ion channel densities (see Methods). Each input is presented 8 times. Solid lines represent the mean over 10 repetitions with different inputs and the shaded areas show one standard deviation above and below the mean. Blue shows a weak input correlation (phase-locked correlation strength of 0.25), and grey a strong input correlation (phase-locked correlation strength of 0.75). Spike traces are two minutes long and consist of phase-locked inputs with a phase rate of 0.6Hz and a spiking rate of 5Hz.

Whilst full pattern separation likely relies on circuit structure (Hainmueller and Bartos, 2020; Guzman et al, 2021), single cells can contribute to the separation of patterns in time (Madar, et al, 2019). To demonstrate the application of these new measures to simulated data from physiologically realistic single cell models, we study the effects of changing parameters on the ability of a detailed compartmental model of a single mouse granule cell (Beining et al, 2017) to separate patterns (Figure 3a).The cell receives informative synaptic input from the lateral perforant path (synaptic contacts shown as large yellow markers in Figure 3a), and background input across the rest of its dendritic tree (brown markers in Figure 3a). Inputs are presented as repeated structured patterns (rasters on the left of Figure 3a), and the resulting somatic voltage is simulated (blue traces on the right of Figure 3a). Perforant path inputs to granule cells undergo stochastic short-term plasticity (see Methods for details), and varying the timescales of depression and facilitation alters both the sparsity-weighted mutual information Υ_M and relative redundancy reduction Υ_R (Figure 3b, top two panels). Both measures show a consistent and robust peak in

pattern separation at timescales of ~ 500ms for depression and ~ 300ms for facilitation. The experimentally estimated values for these timescales at lateral perforant path synapses in Madar, et al (2019) are ~ 500ms for depression and ~ 9ms for facilitation. The sparsity weighted mutual information measure Υ_M is relatively unaffected by changes in the correlations of the input patterns compared to the relative redundancy reduction measure Υ_R, which shows higher values when input correlations are stronger. The peaks of the curves are not affected by the strength of the correlation when changing the depression timescales, but stronger correlations seem to slightly favour slower facilitation, with a rightwards shift in both the Υ_M and Υ_R curves. Using classical measures of pattern separation (see Figure S4) does not reveal a consistent peak in performance, with most measures being either flat or monotonic across the range of timescales and usually giving results that depend on the size of discretised bin used.

In contrast to synaptic properties, the pattern separation performance of the cell is relatively unaffected by increasing intrinsic heterogeneity. The bottom row of Figure 3b shows pattern separation performance as a function of spatial ion channel heterogeneity. This is implemented by randomising the densities of the model's ion channels around their mean in each 1μm section of the neuron with a given coefficient of variation (see Methods). The lines for both measures are relatively flat, with no substantial impact of spatial heterogeneity on either Υ_M or Υ_R. Changing the spatial heterogeneity in this way does not, on average, affect the excitability of the cell, but does alter the relative impacts of different synaptic contacts. In this model this change is insufficient to significantly alter the pattern separation performance.

Synapses with stochasticity and short-term plasticity are believed to contribute to pattern separation (Madar, et al 2019), gain modulation (Nagel et al, 2015; Bird and Richardson, 2018), and energetically efficient information throughput (Goldman, 2004). The new techniques introduced here show how altering the timescales of this plasticity quantitatively changes the pattern separation performance of a single granule cell.

Estimators for mutual information and performance on sparse data

Figure 4. Mutual information and redundancy can be estimated in situations of limited data. **A** Left: Example point Kozachenko-Leonenko (KL) estimates (triangles) of mutual information (MI) for a spike train as a function of the number of segments (see Methods) and the extrapolation to infinite length (solid line and arrow). Dashed lines show the 95% confidence interval of the estimate. Right: Mean and standard deviation (shaded areas) in mutual information estimates for single-trial data as a function of spike train length. Blue shows the exact calculation using a temporal code and purple the Kozachenko-Leonenko estimate. **B** Left: Example point Kozachenko-Leonenko (KL) estimates (triangles) of redundancy (Red.) for a spike train as a function of the number of segments and the extrapolation to infinite length (solid lines and arrows). Dark blue shows the input ensemble (in) and light blue the output ensemble (out). Right: Mean and standard deviation (shaded areas) in redundancy reduction (RR) estimates for single-trial data as a function of spike train length. Colours as in panel **A**.

A limitation of some informational measures is that they can require large amounts of data to produce accurate   268
estimates of information content (Treves and Panzeri, 1995; Conrad and Jolivet, 2021). This issue has been   269
addressed by the development of estimators for informational quantities based on some measure of the distances   270
between spike trains. In the Methods section we describe how to adapt the modified Kozachenko-Leonenko   271
estimator (Kozachenko and Leonenko, 1987) described in Houghton (2019) to account for spike train ensembles   272
and measurement of redundancy (Eqs 17 to 19). To apply the estimate consistently we also sought to remove a   273
key parameter of the original implementation, the number of segments a spike train is divided into. We found   274
that this parameter was unnecessary by adapting the technique Strong et al (1998) introduced to estimate the   275
limiting mutual information of infinitely long spike trains. Figure 4a (left panel) shows that, for large numbers of   276
segments (see Methods), the mutual information estimate is a linear function of the number of segments. By   277
extrapolating back to the case of 0 segments, which must therefore be infinitely long, we find an estimate of the   278
limiting mutual information of a spike train. A similar technique can be used for redundancy estimates (Figure   279
4b (left panel)).   280

By replacing the exact quantities in Eqs 1 and 3 with their estimators, this leads to estimators for sparsity weighted   281
mutual information and relative redundancy reduction denoted $\tilde{\Upsilon}_M$ and $\tilde{\Upsilon}_R$ respectively. These estimators have   282
advantages when data is sparse. Figure 4 (right panels) plots the distribution of estimates of mutual information   283
and redundancy reduction using both the explicit temporal code (blue) and the Kozachenko-Leonenko estimators   284
(purple) when only a single short trace is available. The standard deviation in mutual information estimates   285
using the estimator is consistently smaller than the explicit calculation. Even when experimental data is sparse   286
therefore, there are reliable ways to estimate pattern separation efficacy using our new information theoretic   287
techniques.   288

Pattern separation in a network   289

Most studies of the dentate gyrus find that effective pattern separation in the dentate gyrus is primarily a network   290
phenomenon (Hainmueller and Bartos, 2020) produced by effects such as unreliable expansion to multiple   291
principal cells, conditional reinforcement through lateral excitation, and competitive inhibition by interneurons   292
(Cayco-Gajic and Silver, 2019; Guzman et al, 2021). We next show the applicability of our information theoretic   293
pattern separation measures to the output of a simple microcircuit model of the mouse dentate gyrus. The full   294
details are given in Methods, but overall we consider a population of 40 mature granule cells, 10 adult-born   295
granule cells, 5 mossy cells, and one pyramidal basket interneuron (Figures 5a and b).   296

We first apply our measures to evaluate the relative pattern separation performance of mature and adult-born   297
granule cells in the model. Figure 5c plots the sparsity weighted mutual information Υ_M and relative redundancy   298
reduction Υ_R for both populations as a function of the strength of input correlations. By both measures the   299
pattern separation efficacy of the mature population is higher than that of the adult-born population in absolute   300
terms, with a similar trend across both populations and measures in response to increasing input correlations.   301
The adult-born cells do not display any significant reduction in input redundancies at any correlation level.   302
The reason for this appears to be that the adult-born cells in this model are more intrinsically excitable than the   303
mature cells (Beining et al, 2017), and the modelled perforant path inputs are not scaled down to match this.   304
Ultimately, the scaling of afferent synapses onto adult-born granule cells (Jungenitz et al, 2018) and the extent of   305
their integration into dentate gyrus microcircuits (Beining et al, 2017) will determine their contribution to pattern   306
separation in the brain.   307

Pattern separation under pathology   308

Next we provide a proof of principle and demonstrate usefulness of our information theoretical measures for   309
assessing the function of the dentate gyrus under pathological conditions, when changes to input codes and   310
pattern completion mechanisms are potentially unknown. For this purpose, we apply our new measures to   311
estimate the performance of the above network under the types of changes associated with epilepsy. Epilepsy   312
causes structural changes in the dentate gyrus that increase granule cell activity and reduce behavioural   313
discrimination performance (Madar et al, 2021). A notable structural change is a significant increase in the   314
sizes of axonal boutons and dendritic spine heads at perforant path synapses, and an associated increase in   315
synaptic strength (Janz et al, 2017). This is likely to hinder pattern separation by increasing the reliability of input   316
responses by each principal cell (Yim et al, 2015). In agreement with this expectation, scaling up the informative   317

synapses to the granule cells in the above networks shows a decrease in pattern separation performance by both Υ_M and Υ_R (Figure 5d).

Another change in the epileptic dentate gyrus, is an increase in the expression of inward rectifying potassium (Kir2) channels in granule cells (Young et al, 2009). This mechanism increases the conductance of granule cells and so reduces their excitability, potentially compensating for increased afferent synaptic strength. Measuring Υ_M as a function of scaling parameters for both the Kir2 channel densities and the informative synaptic strengths, reveals a region where pattern separation performance could be maintained by upregulating Kir2 expression to counteract increased synaptic weights (Figure 5e). These results show that the new information theoretic measures of pattern separation produce reliable and intuitive results when applied to complex compartmental models that seek to simulate real systems.

Figure 5. Pattern separation in a network and under pathology. A Example of dentate gyrus microcircuit. Granule cells are in shades of blue, mossy cells are in shades of brown, and the pyramidal basket cell is in red. **B** Example rasters of input patterns (left) and driven microcircuit activity (right). Vertical rows show spiking activity of a single cell and colours correspond to panel **A**. Cells are grouped into sets receiving the same inputs and sharing preferential lateral connections (see Methods). **C.** Sparsity weighted mutual information Υ_{M} (top) and relative redundancy reduction Υ_{R} (bottom) for the mature (left) and adult-born (right) granule cell populations as a function of input phase-locked correlation strength. Solid lines represent the mean over 10 repetitions and the shaded areas show one standard deviation above and below the mean. Blue shows the response to input ensembles with a spiking rate of 5Hz and grey to input ensembles at 10Hz. Spike traces are two minutes long and consist of phase-locked inputs with a phase rate of 0.6Hz. **D.** Sparsity weighted mutual information Υ_{M} (top) and relative redundancy reduction Υ_{R} (bottom) for the mature (left) and adult-born (right) granule cell populations as a function of a scaling parameter for the informative lateral perforant path synapses. Solid lines represent the mean over 10 repetitions and the shaded areas show one standard deviation above and below the mean. Blue shows a weak input correlation (phase-locked correlation strength of 0.25), and grey a strong input correlation (phase-locked correlation strength of 0.75). Spike traces are two minutes long and consist of phase-locked inputs with a phase rate of 0.6Hz and a spiking rate of 5Hz. **E** Simulations of epilepsy-related changes in synaptic input weights (horizontal axis) and Kir2 channel density (vertical axis). Sparsity weighted mutual information Υ_{M} as a joint function of synaptic and Kir2 expression scaling parameters. The heatmap shows the average over ten repetitions. Spike traces are two minutes long and consist of phase-locked inputs with a strength of 0.75, a phase rate of 0.6Hz, and a spiking rate of 5Hz. The relative redundancy reduction Υ_{R} is plotted in Figure S5b.

Discussion   328

Classical approaches to measuring pattern separation typically assume some form of pattern completion (Rolls,   329
1987; Rolls and Kesner, 2006), and the performance of a circuit in pattern separation is intrinsically tied to the   330
complementary completion circuit; one separation-completion network performs better than another if it has   331
greater storage capacity and higher tolerance to noise. Taking classical measures such as orthogonalisation,   332
decorrelation, or increased spike train distances in isolation, however, leaves them vulnerable to confounding   333
improved pattern separation performance with loss of information. Whilst healthy biological systems may be   334
typically assumed to maintain information flow (Billings et al, 2014), this cannot be assumed when assessing   335
pathologies such as epilepsy (Young et al, 2009), or when artificially manipulating the system in question (Pofahl   336
et al, 2021). We have shown explicitly that classical techniques can suffer from an unavoidable parameter   337
dependence that makes drawing conclusions about their meaning difficult, and that they indeed cannot dis-   338
tinguish pattern separation from pattern destruction. The major goal of this study is to describe and promote   339
the application of tools from information theory, in particular the sparsity-weighted mutual information and   340
relative redundancy reduction, to address these issues and to consistently and reliably quantify the ability of the   341
dentate gyrus to separate input patterns whilst maintaining information throughput. We have demonstrated   342
our new techniques on single cell models and shown that they allow more useful conclusions to be drawn   343
about the contribution of synaptic plasticity to pattern separation performance than classical measures do. We   344
have further shown that our information theoretic techniques allow nuanced analysis of the different pattern   345
separation behaviours of mature and adult-born granule cells in a network model, and that they can be used to   346
study network pathology and mechanisms that might maintain functional homeostasis under epileptic changes.   347

There is space to develop the ideas of information theory for pattern separation further. The spiking codes   348
here, either explicitly or implicitly in the case of the estimators $\tilde{\Upsilon}_M$ and $\tilde{\Upsilon}_R$, do not assume a functional form   349
for the probability distributions over the coding sample space and infer these directly from the data. In reality,   350
there is a body of experimental and theoretical work on the expected distributions of activity in hippocampal   351
cells. Leutgeb et al (2007), for example, showed sparse yet overlapping granule cell activity in response to   352
changing spatial environments, and Mizuseki and Buzsáki (2013), found conserved lognormal distributions of   353
firing rates over both dentate gyrus granule cells and hippocampal pyramidal cells. Incorporating knowledge   354
about the expected distributions of activity could allow conclusions about contributions to pattern separation to   355
be refined based on factors such as the assumed spatial tuning of some granule cells, their different intrinsic   356
excitabilities, or the frequency of input signals (Zhang et al, 2020; Braganza et al, 2020). In addition, implementing   357
experimentally determined weights and densities for modelled synapses onto adult-born granule cells (Dieni   358
et al, 2016; Jungenitz et al, 2018; Lodge and Bischofberger, 2019) and adding details about the integration of   359
adult-born granule cells into the dentate gyrus microcircuitry (Temprana et al, 2015; Beining et al, 2017; Groisman   360
et al, 2020; Lodge et al, 2021) would facilitate the assessment of their specific contribution to pattern separation.   361
This could potentially help to resolve controversies about the extent to which adult-born granule cells help   362
(Vineyard et al, 2016) or hinder (Finnegan and Becker, 2015) pattern separation in different circumstances.   363

Pattern separation is not a phenomenon associated only with the dentate gyrus. The cerebellar cortex and   364
mushroom body both also contain circuits believed to differentiate input patterns, but with different constraints   365

on information throughput and different underlying mechanisms (Cayco-Gajic and Silver, 2019). Directly estimating the information content of separated patterns in these different circuits could allow the roles of different circuit components to be better understood, and a holistic theory of what precisely is necessary for pattern separation to be developed. An interesting extension of this work would be to use the fact that mutual information can be computed between sample spaces of different types to directly link pattern separation at the circuit level to behavioural discrimination. Although Santoro (2013) cautioned against the usage of the phrase 'pattern separation' to refer to behaviour, there are established links between circuit changes in the dentate gyrus and behavioural outcomes (Young et al, 2009; Wang et al, 2011; Das et al, 2014; Parizkova et al, 2020; Madar et al, 2021); computing information between circuit activities and behavioural states would be an interesting step with potential clinical relevance.

A precise assessment of pattern separation performance is particularly important for population modelling of hippocampal cells and circuits and assessment of optimality (cf. Jedlicka et al, 2022). Many studies have shown that ion channel distributions can be highly degenerate, with many different parameter combinations leading to similar functional behaviour (Prinz et al, 2004; Drion et al, 2015; Schneider et al, 2021). It remains an open question how well different potential combinations can be constrained by further considerations, such as energy consumption or efficiency (Harris et al, 2015; Deistler et al, 2022). A promising idea in recent studies is the use of Pareto optimality to reduce and better understand the spaces of parameters and functionalities spanned by populations of neurons (Hart et al, 2015; Pallasdies et al, 2021; Jedlicka et al, 2022). The assumption here is that evolution will tend to favour neurons and circuits whose performance at one possible task cannot be improved without reducing their performance at some other tasks; such neurons would be Pareto optimal. Neuronal systems that are fully optimal in a given situation, using a specific balance of tasks, must then be drawn from the set of Pareto optimal cells. Effective application of these principles to population models of neurons requires a robust and consistent way to assess their performance on different tasks. For neurons believed to perform pattern separation, assessment of their functionality will be far more reliable with the information theoretic measures introduced here than with previous techniques.

We hope that the techniques highlighted here will become a standard way to quantify the ability of a neuron or circuit to perform pattern separation, particularly when that neuron is isolated from its pattern completion mechanism, or affected by pathology or experimental manipulation. We believe that such techniques have a natural place in assessing the functionality of pattern separation circuits and can allow for robust and nuanced conclusions to be drawn about the principles that constrain their structure and function

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Lucas Mongiat (Centro Atómico Bariloche) for critically reading and discussing this manuscript. This work was supported by the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF No. 031L0229) and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG No. 467764793, JE 528/10-1).

Methods and Models

Standard metrics of pattern separation

A number of measures have been used to quantify pattern separation in neuronal data. We here use five existing measures of spike train dissimilarity for comparison with our new information theoretic measures. These are designated with Υ and a lower-case greek subscript to differentiate them from the upper-case latin subscripts used for the information theoretic measures. For all measures that require discretisation, a bin size of 10ms is used unless otherwise stated.

Orthogonalisation Υ_θ

Orthogonalisation can be quantified as a reduction in the cosine distance between spike trains discretised into vectors. Increasing the cosine distance between vectorised spike trains allows them to better span a given space. Orthogonalisation of spike trains allows for pattern separation in the original and most fully-developed models (Rolls, 2010). If X and Y are vectorised spike trains, the cosine distance $\theta(X, Y)$ between them is given by

$$\theta(X, Y) = \frac{X \cdot Y}{\|X\|\|Y\|} \tag{4}$$

where $X \cdot Y$ is the dot product of X and Y and $\|X\|$ is the euclidean norm of X. A cosine distance of 0 indicates perfectly orthogonal spike train vectors and a cosine distance of 1 indicates perfectly collinear spike train vectors. Υ_θ is defined as the reduction in mean pairwise cosine distance between input and output spike train ensembles. If there are N input spike train vectors $\{X_1, X_2, ..., X_N\}$ and M output spike train vectors $\{Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_M\}$, then

$$\Upsilon_\theta = \frac{M(M-1)\sum_{i \neq j}^{N} \theta(X_i, X_j)}{N(N-1)\sum_{i \neq j}^{M} \theta(Y_i, Y_j)} \tag{5}$$

Scaling Υ_σ

Cosine distance (Eq 4) is defined for normalised vectors and is independent of differences in vector magnitude. We follow Madar et al (2019) in defining a complementary scaling factor $\sigma(X, Y)$ between vectorised spike trains X and Y such that

$$\sigma(X, Y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\|X\|}{\|Y\|} & \text{if } \|X\| \leq \|Y\| \\[2em] \frac{\|Y\|}{\|X\|} & \text{if } \|X\| > \|Y\| \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

A scaling factor of 1 indicates spike trains with the same magnitude. Υ_σ is defined as the reduction in mean pairwise scaling factors between input and output spike train ensembles. If there are N input spike train vectors $\{X_1, X_2, ..., X_N\}$ and M output spike train vectors $\{Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_M\}$, then

$$\Upsilon_\sigma = \frac{M(M-1)\sum_{i \neq j}^{N} \sigma(X_i, X_j)}{N(N-1)\sum_{i \neq j}^{M} \sigma(Y_i, Y_j)} \tag{7}$$

Decorrelation Υ_ρ

Pattern separation has also been defined as the reduction in pairwise correlations between input and output ensembles of spike train vectors (Guzman et al, 2021). The Pearson correlation coefficient $\rho_{X,Y}$ between vectorised spike trains X and Y is defined as (Pearson, 1895)

$$\rho_{X,Y} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[(X - \mu_X)(Y - \mu_Y)]}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} \tag{8}$$

where μ_X and σ_X are the mean and standard deviation of X respectively. $\rho_{X,Y}$ varies between -1 for perfectly anticorrelated and 1 for perfectly correlated spike train vectors. If there are N input spike train vectors $\{X_1, X_2, ..., X_N\}$ and M output spike train vectors $\{Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_M\}$, then

$$\Upsilon_\rho = \frac{M(M-1)\sum_{i \neq j}^{N} \rho_{X_i, X_j}}{N(N-1)\sum_{i \neq j}^{M} \rho_{Y_i, Y_j}} \tag{9}$$

Hamming distance Υ_η

A standard way to determine the distance between vectors is the Hamming distance (Hamming, 1950). This is simply the number of elements at which the vectors differ; the Hamming distance η between binarised spike trains X and Y is defined as

$$\eta(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |X(i) - Y(i)| \tag{10}$$

where $X(i)$ is the i-th element of the spike vector X of length n and $|x|$ is the absolute value of x. If there are N input spike train vectors $\{X_1, X_2, ..., X_N\}$ and M output spike train vectors $\{Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_M\}$, then

$$\Upsilon_\eta = \frac{M(M-1)\sum_{i \neq j}^{N} \eta(X_i, X_j)}{N(N-1)\sum_{i \neq j}^{M} \eta(Y_i, Y_j)} \tag{11}$$

Some studies modify the Hamming distance to account for sparsity in activity by removing permanently inactive spike trains from the ensembles (Myers and Scharfman, 2011; Chavlis et al, 2017). This adjustment does not have an impact here as there are no completely unresponsive neurons.

Wasserstein distance Υ_δ

The Wasserstein distance quantifies the minimum change in probability density needed to move between two probability distributions (Dobrushin, 1970). It has recently been applied to neuronal spike trains by Sihn and Kim (2019). Briefly, if spike train $\mathbf{X}$ consists of spikes at times $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ and spike train $\mathbf{Y}$ consists of spikes at times $\{y_1, y_2, ..., y_m\}$, the Wasserstein distance $\delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ between them is given by

$$\delta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) = \int_{\min(x_1, y_1)}^{\max(x_n, y_m)} \left| \left(\chi_{[x_n, \infty)}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{i}{n}\chi_{[x_i, x_{i+1})}(t) \right) - \left(\chi_{[y_m, \infty)}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{j}{m}\chi_{[y_j, y_{j+1})}(t) \right) \right| dt \tag{12}$$

where $\chi_{[a,b)}(t)$ is the indicator function of the interval $[a, b)$. $W(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ is unbounded and Υ_δ is defined as the reduction in mean pairwise Wasserstein distance between input and output spike train ensembles. If there are N input spike trains $\{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, ..., \mathbf{X}_N\}$ and M output spike trains $\{\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2, ..., \mathbf{Y}_M\}$, then

$$\Upsilon_\delta = \frac{M(M-1)\sum_{i \neq j}^{N} \delta(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}_j)}{N(N-1)\sum_{i \neq j}^{M} \delta(\mathbf{Y}_i, \mathbf{Y}_j)} \tag{13}$$

Unlike the above measures which map spike times to a vector, Υ_δ does not require a choice of bin size. We prefer   447
it to other popular measures of spike train distance such as those of van Rossum (2001), which requires a choice   448
of smoothing parameter, or Kreuz et al (2013), which is more sensitive to the differences in rates between spike   449
trains that are already measured by σ (Eq 6).   450

Mutual information   451

The mutual information $I_{X,Y}$ between two random variables X and Y quantifies the reduction in uncertainty   452
about the value of one variable that knowing the value of the other would provide (Shannon, 1948). It takes a   453
minimum of 0 for independent random variables and a maximum of the entropy of either random variable if the   454
relationship is entirely deterministic. $I(X;Y)$ is defined as   455

$$I_{X,Y} = \sum_x \sum_y p_{(X,Y)}(x,y)\log_2\left(\frac{p_{(X,Y)}(x,y)}{p_{(X)}(x)p_{(Y)}(y)}\right) \tag{14}$$

where $p_{(X,Y)}$ is the joint distribution of X and Y and p_X and p_Y are their respective marginals.   456

For neural data the distributions $p_{(X,Y)}$, p_X, and p_Y depend on the choice of neuronal coding strategy (see   457
'Neuronal codes' below) and discretisation. The coding strategy and discretisation should in principle be chosen   458
to maximise I in each case.   459

Transfer entropy   460

The transfer entropy $T_{X \to Y}$ (Schreiber, 2000) from one random variable X to another Y is the mutual information   461
between Y at the current time T and the history of X conditioned on the history of Y: 462

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{X \to Y} &= H(Y_T|Y_{t<T}) - H(Y_T|Y_{t<T}, X_{t<T}) \\
&= \sum_x \sum_y \sum_{y_{t<T}} p_{(X,Y,Y_{t<T})}(x,y,y_{t<T})\log_2\left(\frac{p_{(Y_{t<T})}(y_{t<T})\,p_{(X,Y,Y_{t<T})}(x,y,y_{t<T})}{p_{(X,Y_{t<T})}(x,y_{t<T})\,p_{(Y,Y_{t<T})}(y,y_{t<T})}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{15}
$$

where $H(X|Y)$ is the conditional entropy of X given Y. As for the mutual information, the distributions depend   463
on the choice of neuronal coding strategy (see 'Neuronal codes' below) and discretisation, but these can be chosen   464
to maximise the measured entropy. Unlike mutual information, transfer entropy is directional and asymmetric   465
between inputs and outputs. In many circumstances, the transfer entropy requires less data to produce an   466
accurate estimate than the mutual information (Treves and Panzeri, 1995; Conrad and Jolivet, 2021).   467

Redundancy   468

Redundancy means that multiple parts of a signal may encode the same information (Williams and Beer, 2010;   469
Pica et al, 2017; Timme and Lapish, 2018; Gutknecht et al, 2021). To use this idea to asses pattern separation,   470
we adapt the partial information decomposition of Williams and Beer (2010) to spike trains in an ensemble. In   471
particular, if X is a spike train ensemble made up of trains $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ we consider the redundancy of X to   472
be the minimum mutual information between any individual train x_i and the remainder of the ensemble $X \setminus x_i$ 473

$$R_X = \min_{x_i} \sum_{x \in X\setminus x_i}\left(\sum_{y \in x_i} p_{(X\setminus x_i, x_i)}(x,y)\log_2\left(\frac{p_{(X\setminus x_i, x_i)}(x,y)}{p_{(X\setminus x_i)}(x)p_{(x_i)}(y)}\right)\right) \tag{16}$$

Again, the probability distributions depend on the choice of neuronal code. Redundancy can be applied to both the input and output ensembles, and these are combined to give the pattern separation measure redundancy ratio Υ_R (Eq 3).

Neuronal codes

The joint and marginal distributions over the spike trains depend on which features are considered. We use the common terminology of 'words' to refer to the significant patterns that make up the sample spaces over which information is calculated; 'words' can be formed from different combinations of 'letters'. All distributions are computed empirically from the data, without assuming a specific functional form. It is not necessary for input and output spike train ensembles to use the same coding strategy, so informational measures are maximised over all possible input-output pairs.

Instantaneous spatial code

The instantaneous spatial code assumes that information is encoded by the current state of all spike trains in the ensemble. Spike trains are binarised into bins and 'words' are formed from the state of the bins in each train at a given time. If there are n trains in the ensemble, there are therefore 2^n possible 'words'.

Local temporal code

The local temporal code assumes that information is encoded by spike times in each train individually. Spike trains are binarised into bins and 'words' are formed from the state of the consecutive bins in a given train. If the word length is w, there are 2^w possible 'words'.

Local rate code

The local rate code assumes that information is encoded by the firing rates in each train individually. Spike trains are discretised additively into bins in a given train. If the maximum number of spikes found in any bin is r, the number of words is $r + 1$.

Ensemble rate code

The ensemble rate code assumes that information is encoded by the total firing rates across all trains in the ensemble. Spike trains are discretised additively into bins and these are added across trains. If the maximum number of spikes found in any bin is r, the number of possible words is $r + 1$.

Specific rate code

The specific rate code assumes that information is encoded by the pattern of firing rates across all trains in the ensemble. It is distinct from the ensemble rate code as the identity of the neuron firing at each rate is significant. Spike trains are discretised additively into bins and these are formed into 'words' by combining bins at a given time. If there are n trains in the ensemble and the maximum number of spikes found in any bin is r, the number of possible words is $(r + 1)^n$.

Spatiotemporal code 506

The spatiotemporal code assumes that information is encoded by the pattern of spike times across all trains in 507
the ensemble. Spike trains are binarised into bins and 'letters' are formed from the state of the consecutive bins 508
in each train. These 'letters' are formed into 'words' by combining sets of bins at a given time. If there are n 509
trains in the ensemble and the 'letter' length in each train is w, the number of possible words is 2^{wn}. 510

Estimating mutual information and redundancy 511

Mutual information can also be estimated using a variety of standard techniques (Kraskov et al, 2004). We 512
demonstrate the application of such techniques using the modified Kozachenko-Leonenko estimator (Kozachenko 513
and Leonenko, 1987) described in Houghton (2019). This estimator exploits the proximity structure of spike 514
trains to reduce the amount of data necessary to reliably estimate the mutual information between them. Briefly, 515
the input and output spike train ensembles are each divided into N periods of equal size. The pairwise spike 516
train distances between each period of each spike train are computed using the Wasserstein metric δ (Eq 12) and 517
a euclidean norm is taken over the trains in the ensemble. This produces a set of pairwise distances between 518
periods of the input and output ensembles. A biased estimator $\tilde{I}(h)_{kl}$ of the mutual information between input 519
and output ensembles in terms of an integer smoothing parameter $1 \leq h < N$ is given by 520

$$\tilde{I}_{kl}(h) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log_2 \left(\frac{N}{h^2} \#\big(C_h(u_i, v_i)\big) \right) \tag{17}$$

where $\#\big(C_h(u_i, v_i)\big)$ counts the number of pairs (u_j, v_j) such that both $\delta(u_i, u_j) < \Delta_h(u_i)$ and $\delta(v_i, v_j) < \Delta_h(v_i)$, 521
where $\Delta_h(u_i)$ is the distance of u_i to its h-th nearest neighbour amongst all segments u. The bias $\tilde{I}_0$ can estimated 522
as 523

$$\tilde{I}_0(h) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\binom{h-1}{i-1}\binom{n-h}{n-i}}{\binom{n-1}{h-1}} \log_2 \left(\frac{iN}{h^2} \right) \tag{18}$$

We follow Houghton (2019) in choosing the smoothing parameter h to maximise the unbiased estimator $\tilde{I}(h) =$ 524
$\tilde{I}_{kl}(h) - \tilde{I}_0(h)$, specifically. 525

$$\tilde{I} = \underset{h}{\operatorname{argmax}} \left(\tilde{I}_{kl}(h) - \tilde{I}_0(h) \right) \tag{19}$$

The original implementation of this technique assumed a fixed period of division, leading to a fixed value of N 526
if the total length of the spike train ensemble is constant. We relax this assumption by adapting the technique 527
in Strong et al (1998) to estimate the limiting mutual information for infinitely long spike trains. Briefly, $\tilde{I}$ is 528
calculated for different values of N and values are considered reliable if the optimal smoothing parameter h 529
is much lower than its maximum possible value of $N - 1$ (Figure S5a, top panels). Estimates in this region are 530
a linear function of the number of periods N. The linear fit is then extrapolated back to a value of $N = 0$ to 531
produce a consistent estimate of the total mutual information between the spike train ensembles (Figures 4, right 532
panels, and S5a, bottom panels). 533

The procedure to estimate the redundancy between trains in an ensemble is similar. As in Eq 16, we compute the 534
minimum estimated mutual information between each train in the ensemble and the remainder taken together. 535
This is extrapolated to the limiting mutual information as above before the minima are taken. 536

Input spike trains   537

Ensembles of input spike trains are generated using a number of different techniques to produce different   538
correlation structures across and within different trains. The different ensembles possess positive and negative   539
correlations both across and within distinct trains (de la Rocha and Parga, 2005; Bird and Richardson, 2014)   540

- *Phase-locked*. Phase-locked ensembles are generated using an inhomogeneous Poisson process with the   541
  instantaneous firing rate varying sinusoidally in time and constant across spike trains. This generates sets   542
  of spike trains that are periodic in time and positively correlated between different trains. Key parameters   543
  are the strength of the phase-locking, the relative amplitude of the sinusoid about the mean single neuron   544
  firing rate, and the period of the sinusoid.   545

- *Auto-correlated*. Spike trains are generated independently using a renewal process with gamma-distributed   546
  interspike intervals. The shape parameter α of the gamma distribution governs the autocorrelation of the   547
  trains. Shape parameters less than one produce bursty trains, a shape parameter of one is equivalent to an   548
  uncorrelated Poisson process, shape parameters greater than one produce periodic trains. The initial spike   549
  time in each train is generated by a Poisson process to eliminate cross-correlations.   550

- *Cross-correlated*. Spike trains are produced by uniformly filtering a master Poisson process with rate equal   551
  to the desired rate multiplied by the product of the number of trains in the ensemble and the correlation   552
  strength.   553

Examples are plotted in Figure S1a.   554

Granule cell models   555

We conduct our simulations using the morphologically robust compartmental models of mouse dentate gyrus   556
granule cells introduced by Beining et al (2017). In brief, these models distribute the ion channels reported in the   557
literature over morphologies based on those reconstructed by Schmidt-Hieber et al (2007). The ion channels are   558
section specific to the soma, axon hillock, axon, granule cell layer dendrites, inner molecular layer dendrites,   559
middle molecular layer dendrites, and outer molecular layer dendrites. Full details can be found in the original   560
paper.   561

Synaptic inputs from the perforant path undergo short-term plasticity. This is modelled using the quantal   562
version of the Tsodyks-Markram model (Fuhrmann et al, 2002), where individual vesicles undergo probablistic   563
release and replenishment. The standard parameters are adapted from those fitted in Madar, et al (2019) to   564
the lateral perforant path. The initial release probability is 0.325, there are 40 vesicle release sites per synapse,   565
the timescale of recovery from depression is $500\mathrm{ms}$, and the timescale of facilitation is $9\mathrm{ms}$. The excitatory   566
postsynaptic conductance change induced by a single vesicle is $0.3\mathrm{nS}$. The synapse is formed by four anatomical   567
contacts in the outer molecular layer (see Fig 3a). In addition, each cell receives background noise from weaker   568
synapses, these are distributed randomly with densities of 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, and 0.1 contacts per $\mu\mathrm{m}$ in the granule   569
cell layer, inner molecular layer, middle molecular layer, and outer molecular layer respectively. Background   570
synapses undergo independent Poisson activation with a rate of $0.5\mathrm{Hz}$ and a strength of $0.01\mathrm{nS}$. All excitatory   571

synapses are modelled as a difference of exponentials, with a rise timescale of 0.2ms, a decay timescale of 2.5ms, 572
and a reversal potential of 0mV. 573

The original model assumes that ion channel conductances are constant within each section of the tree (granule 574
cell layer, inner molecular layer, etc). For the bottom panels of Figure 3b we vary the conductance randomly 575
within each section. Conductances in each 1μm segment are randomly drawn from a non-negative gamma 576
distribution with mean equal to the fitted value and a coefficient of variation (ratio of standard deviation to mean) 577
that is used to vary the spatial heterogeniety as seen in the figure. Specifically, if the mean conductance of an ion 578
channel in a layer is μ, and the desired coefficient of variation (heterogeneity) is $c > 0$, then the conductance of 579
that ion channel in each 1μm section is drawn independently from 580

$$f(x) = \frac{(\mu c^2)^{-\frac{1}{c^2}}}{\Gamma(c^{-2})} x^{\left(\frac{1}{c^2}-1\right)} e^{\left(-\frac{x}{\mu c^2}\right)} \tag{20}$$

where $\Gamma(z)$ is the gamma function. 581

Network models 582

In Figure 3 we consider a small network of excitatory and inhibitory cells from the the mouse dentate gyrus. The 583
network is randomly generated for each repetition, with potentially different morphologies and connectivites. 584
The principal cells are 40 mature and 10 adult-born granule cells adapted from the single cell model described 585
above. Heterogeneity is included by using the range of available morphologies introduced in Beining et al 586
(2017) and varying the channel densities with a coefficient of variation of 0.1 for the mature and 0.2 for the 587
adult-born cells. 5 mossy cells and 1 inhibitory pyramidal basket cell are modelled as integrate-and-fire units 588
with a membrane time constant of 15ms. 589

Connectivity for the microcircuit broadly follows the principles described in Hainmueller and Bartos (2020) and 590
employs motifs believed to support pattern separation as discussed by Cayco-Gajic and Silver (2019). 591

- *Perforant path to granule cells*. These synapses are as described in the single cell case above, with informative 592
  synapses innervating the outer molecular layer and displaying quantal short-term plasticity. Background 593
  synapses randomly innervate the rest of the dendritic tree, with a fixed independent likelihood based 594
  on dendritic length. There are no differences in synaptic structure or parameters between adult-born 595
  and mature granule cells. Groups are formed by sets of 8 mature and 2 adult-born cells receiving the 596
  same informative presynaptic spikes, but with independent stochastic synaptic dynamics. This provides 597
  unreliable expansion to a larger coding space. 598

- *Granule cells to mossy cells*. Granule cells form excitatory connections to mossy cells. Each group of granule 599
  cells is preferentially recurrently connected to a single mossy cell, with probabilities of cells inside the 600
  group contacting the mossy cell being 0.9 each, and 0.025 for innervating each of the other mossy cells. The 601
  mossy cells act as a second threshold. 602

- *Mossy cells to granule cells*. Mossy cells form lateral excitatory connections to granule cells. Each mossy cell 603
  is preferentially connected to a group of granule cells, with probability 0.9 of contacting each cell inside the 604

group and 0.01 of contacting each granule cell outside the group. Synapses are located at the soma of the granule cell and have a conductance of 0.5nS.

- *Granule cells to pyramidal basket cells*. Mature granule cells have the potential to form excitatory connections to the pyramidal basket cell and do so with probability 0.5. Adult-born granule cells do not form these connections. This motif provides competitive inhibition between groups of principal cells.

- *Pyramidal basket cells to granule cells*. The pyramidal basket cell forms lateral inhibitory connections to all granule cells, including the adult-born population. Synapses are located at the granule cell somata and have a conductance of 1nS, a double exponential timecourse with rise and decay timescales of 0.5ms and 5ms respectively, and a reversal potential of -80mV.

Further connections, such as direct granule cell recurrency, which is observed in primates but not healthy rodents (Hainmueller and Bartos, 2020), are not included.

Pathological changes to the network model

To model some of the effects of epilepsy, two changes are made to the above network model for Figures 5d and e. Firstly, informative synapses are scaled up by factors from 1 to 5 by increasing the conductance induced by each individual vesicle, to cover the range of structural changes measured in Janz et al (2017). Secondly, the conductances of all inward rectifier K^+ (Kir2) channels are scaled up by factors from 1 to 10 to cover the range of conductance changes measured in Young et al (2009). All other network features remain as above.

Code and data availability

All code is written in Matlab and is freely available for download (see **Supplementary File 1**). The functions necessary to assess pattern separation using both classical and information theoretic measures are included separately as the `pattern_separation_toolbox`. This can also be found as the 'pattern_separation_toolbox' on Github (https://github.com/synapsesanddendrites/Pattern_separation_toolbox).

Supporting information

S1 File. We have included the code used to compute standard and information theoretic measures of pattern separation as the `pattern_separation_toolbox`.

References

References

Acsády, L. and Káli, S. (2007), 'Models, structure, function: The transformation of cortical signals in the dentate gyrus', *Prog Brain Res* **163**, 577–599.

Amaral, DG., Scharfman, HE. and Lavenex, P. (2007), 'The dentate gyrus: Fundamental neuroanatomical organization (dentate gyrus for dummies)', *Prog Brain Res* **163**, 3–22.

Amaral, DG., Ishizuka, N. and Claiborne, B. (1990), 'Neurons, numbers and the hippocampal network', *Prog Brain Res* **83**, 1–11.

Bakker, A., Kirwan, C., Miller, M. and Stark, C. (2008), 'Pattern separation in the human hippocampal CA3 and dentate gyrus', *Science* **319**(5870), 1640–1642.

Battaglia, FP. and Treves, A. (1998), 'Attractor neural networks storing multiple space representations: A model for hippocampal place fields', *Phys. Rev. E* **58**(6), 7738–7753.

Beining, M., Jungenitz, T., Radic, T., Deller, T., Cuntz, H., Jedlicka, P. and Schwarzacher, S. (2017), 'Adult-born dentate granule cells show a critical period of dendritic reorganization and are distinct from developmentally born cells', *Brain Struct Funct* **222**(3), 1427–1446.

Beining, M., Mongiat, L., Schwarzacher, S., Cuntz, H. and Jedlicka, P. (2017), 'T2N as a new tool for robust electrophysiological modeling demonstrated for mature and adult-born dentate granule cells', *eLife* **6**, e26517.

Billings, G., Piasini, E., Lőrincz, A., Nusser, Z. and Silver, R. (2014), 'Network structure within the cerebellar input layer enables lossless sparse encoding', *Neuron* **83**(4), 960–974.

Bird, AD. and Richardson, M. (2014), 'Long-term plasticity determines the postsynaptic response to correlated afferents with multivesicular short-term synaptic depression', *Front. Comput. Neurosci.* **8**.

Bird, AD. and Richardson, M. (2018), 'Transmission of temporally correlated spike trains through synapses with short-term depression', *PLOS Computational Biology* **14**(6), e1006232.

Boldrini, M., Fulmore, C., Tartt, A., Simeon, L., Pavlova, V., Poposka, V., Rosoklija, G., Stankov, A., Arango, V., Dwork, A., Hen, R. and Mann, J. (2018), 'Human hippocampal neurogenesis persists throughout aging', *Cell Stem Cell* **22**(4), 589–599.e5.

Braganza, O., Mueller-Komorowska, D., Kelly, T. and Beck, H. (2020), 'Quantitative properties of a feedback circuit predict frequency-dependent pattern separation', *Elife* **9**, e53148.

Cayco-Gajic, N. and Silver, R. (2019), 'Re-evaluating circuit mechanisms underlying pattern separation', *Neuron* **101**(4), 584–602.

Cerasti, E. and Treves, A. (2010), 'How informative are spatial CA3 representations established by the dentate gyrus?', *PLoS Comput Biol* **6**(4), e1000759.

Chavlis, S., Petrantonakis, P. and Poirazi, P. (2017), 'Dendrites of dentate gyrus granule cells contribute to pattern separation by controlling sparsity', *Hippocampus* **27**(1), 89–110.

Chavlis, S. and Poirazi, P. (2017), 'Pattern separation in the hippocampus through the eyes of computational modeling', *Synapse* **71**(6), e21972.

Clelland, C., Choi, M., Romberg, C., Clemenson, G., Fragniere, A., Tyers, P., Jessberger, S., Saksida, L., Andrzejak, R., Gage, F. and Bussey, T. (2009), 'A functional role for adult hippocampal neurogenesis in spatial pattern separation', *Science* **325**(5937), 210–213.

Conrad, M and Jolivet, JB (2021), 'Comparative performance of mutual information and transfer entropy for analyzing the balance of information flow and energy consumption at synapses — bioRxiv', *bioRxiv* .

Das, T., Ivleva, E., Wagner, A., Stark, C. and Tamminga, C. (2014), 'Loss of pattern separation performance in schizophrenia suggests dentate gyrus dysfunction', *Schizophr Res* **159**(1), 193–197.

de la Rocha, J. and Parga, N. (2005), 'Short-Term Synaptic Depression causes a non-monotonic response to correlated stimuli', *J. Neurosci.* **25**(37), 8416–8431.

Deistler, M., Macke, J. and Gonçalves, P. (2022), 'Energy efficient network activity from disparate circuit parameters', *bioRxiv* p. 2021.07.30.454484.

Derrick, B. (2007), 'Plastic processes in the dentate gyrus: A computational perspective', *Prog Brain Res* **163**, 417–451.

Dieni, C., Panichi, R., Aimone, J., Kuo, C., Wadiche, J. and Overstreet-Wadiche, L. (2016), 'Low excitatory innervation balances high intrinsic excitability of immature dentate neurons', *Nat Commun* **7**, 11313.

Dimitrov, A., Lazar, A. and Victor, J. (2011), 'Information theory in neuroscience', *J Comput Neurosci* **30**(1), 1–5.

Dobrushin, R. (1970), 'Prescribing a system of random variables by conditional distributions', *Theory Probab. Appl.* **15**(3), 458–486.

Drion, G., O'Leary, T. and Marder, E. (2015), 'Ion channel degeneracy enables robust and tunable neuronal firing rates', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **112**(38), E5361–E5370.

Faghihi, F. and Moustafa, A. (2015), 'A computational model of pattern separation efficiency in the dentate gyrus with implications in schizophrenia', *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience* **9**, 42.

Finnegan, R. and Becker, S. (2015), 'Neurogenesis paradoxically decreases both pattern separation and memory interference', *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience* **9**.

Frankland, P., Cestari, V., Filipkowski, R., McDonald, R. and Silva, A. (1998), 'The dorsal hippocampus is essential for context discrimination but not for contextual conditioning - PubMed', *Behav Neurosci* **114**(4), 863–874.

Fuhrmann, G., Segev, I., Markram, H. and Tsodyks, M. (2002), 'Coding of temporal information by activity-dependent synapses', *J. Neurophysiol.* **87**(1), 140–148.

Gage, F. (2000), 'Mammalian neural stem cells', *Science* **287**(5457), 1433–1438.

Goldman, M. (2004), 'Enhancement of information transmission efficiency by synaptic failures', *Neural Comput* **16**(6), 1137–1162.

Groisman, A., Yang, S. and Schinder, A. (2020), 'Differential coupling of adult-born granule cells to parvalbumin and somatostatin interneurons', *Cell Rep* **30**(1), 202–214.e4.

Gutknecht, A., Wibral, M. and Makkeh, A. (2021), 'Bits and pieces: Understanding information decomposition from part-whole relationships and formal logic', *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* **477**(2251), 20210110.

Guzman, S., Schlögl, A., Espinoza, C., Zhang, X., Suter, B. and Jonas, P. (2021), 'How connectivity rules and synaptic properties shape the efficacy of pattern separation in the entorhinal cortex–dentate gyrus–CA3 network', *Nat Comput Sci* **1**(12), 830–842.

Guzman, S., Schlögl, A., Frotscher, M. and Jonas, P. (2016), 'Synaptic mechanisms of pattern completion in the hippocampal CA3 network', *Science* .

Hainmueller, T. and Bartos, M. (2020), 'Dentate gyrus circuits for encoding, retrieval and discrimination of episodic memories', *Nat Rev Neurosci* **21**(3), 153–168.

Hamming, R. (1950), 'Error detecting and error correcting codes', *The Bell System Technical Journal* **29**(2), 147–160.

Harris, J., Jolivet, R., Engl, E. and Attwell, D. (2015), 'Energy-efficient information transfer by visual pathway synapses', *Current Biology* **25**(24), 3151–3160.

Hart, Y., Sheftel, H., Hausser, J., Szekely, P., Ben-Moshe, N. B., Korem, Y., Tendler, A., Mayo, A. and Alon, U. (2015), 'Inferring biological tasks using Pareto analysis of high-dimensional data', *Nature Methods* **12**(3), 233–235, 3 p following 235.

Hasselmo, M., Schnell, E. and Barkai, E. (1995), 'Dynamics of learning and recall at excitatory recurrent synapses and cholinergic modulation in rat hippocampal region CA3', *J. Neurosci.* **15**(7), 5249–5262.

Houghton, C. (2019), 'Calculating the mutual information between two spike trains', *Neural computation* **31**(2), 330–343.

Janz, P., Savanthrapadian, S., Häussler, U., Kilias, A., Nestel, S., Kretz, O., Kirsch, M., Bartos, M., Egert, U. and Haas, C. (2017), 'Synaptic remodeling of entorhinal input contributes to an aberrant hippocampal network in temporal lobe epilepsy', *Cereb Cortex* **27**(3), 2348–2364.

Jedlicka, P., Bird, AD. and Cuntz, H. (2022), 'Pareto optimality, economy-effectiveness trade-offs and ion channel degeneracy: Improving population models of neurons', *arXiv:2203.06391 [q-bio]* .

Jung, M. and McNaughton, B. (1993), 'Spatial selectivity of unit activity in the hippocampal granular layer', *Hippocampus* **3**(2), 165–182.

Jungenitz, T., Beining, M., Radic, T., Deller, T., Cuntz, H., Jedlicka, P. and Schwarzacher, S. (2018), 'Structural homo- and heterosynaptic plasticity in mature and adult newborn rat hippocampal granule cells', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**(20), E4670–E4679.

Kozachenko, L. and Leonenko, N. (1987), 'Sample estimate of the entropy of a random vector', *Problems Inform Transmission* **23**(2), 95–101.

Kraskov, A., Stögbauer, H. and Grassberger, P. (2004), 'Estimating mutual information', *Phys. Rev. E* **69**(6), 066138.

Kreuz, T., Chicharro, D., Houghton, C., Andrzejak, R. and Mormann, F. (2013), 'Monitoring spike train synchrony', *Journal of Neurophysiology* **109**(5), 1457–1472.

Lamothe-Molina, P., Franzelin, A., Beck, L., Li, D., Auksutat, L., Fieblinger, T., Laprell, L., Alhbeck, J., Gee, C., Kneussel, M., Engel, A., Hilgetag, C., Morellini, F. and Oertner, T. (2022), 'ΔFosB accumulation in hippocampal granule cells drives cFos pattern separation during spatial learning', *Nat Commun* **13**(1), 6376.

Leutgeb, J. K., Leutgeb, S., Moser, MB. and Moser, E. I. (2007), 'Pattern separation in the dentate gyrus and CA3 of the hippocampus', *Science* **315**(5814), 961–966.

Leutgeb, S., Leutgeb, J., Barnes, C., Moser, E., McNaughton, B. and Moser, MB. (2005), 'Independent codes for spatial and episodic memory in hippocampal neuronal ensembles', *Science* **309**(5734), 619–623.

Li, G. and Pleasure, S. (2005), 'Morphogenesis of the dentate gyrus: What we are learning from mouse mutants', *Dev Neurosci* **27**(2-4), 93–99.

Lodge, M. and Bischofberger, J. (2019), 'Synaptic properties of newly generated granule cells support sparse coding in the adult hippocampus', *Behav Brain Res* **372**, 112036.

Lodge, M., Hernandez, M., Schulz, J. and Bischofberger, J. (2021), 'Sparsification of AP firing in adult-born hippocampal granule cells via voltage-dependent $\alpha 5$-GABAA receptors', *Cell Rep* **37**(1), 109768.

MacKay, D. and McCulloch, W. (1952), 'The limiting information capacity of a neuronal link', *Bulletin of Mathematical Biophysics* **14**(2), 127–135.

Madar, A., Ewell, L. and Jones, M. (2019), 'Temporal pattern separation in hippocampal neurons through multiplexed neural codes', *PLOS Computational Biology* **15**(4), e1006932.

Madar, A., LA Ewell and Jones, M. (2019), 'Pattern separation of spiketrains in hippocampal neurons', *Sci Rep* **9**(1), 5282.

Madar, A., Pfammatter, J., Bordenave, J., Plumley, E., Ravi, S., Cowie, M., Wallace, E., Hermann, B., Maganti, R. and Jones, M. (2021), 'Deficits in behavioral and neuronal pattern separation in temporal lobe epilepsy', *J. Neurosci.* **41**(46), 9669–9686.

Mainen, Z. and Sejnowski, T. (1995), 'Reliability of spike timing in neocortical neurons', *Science* **268**(5216), 1503–1506.

Marr, D. (1969), 'A theory of cerebellar cortex', *The Journal of Physiology* **202**(2), 437–470.

Marr, D. (1971), 'Simple memory: A theory for archicortex', *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **262**(841), 23–81.

McClelland, J., McNaughton, B. and O'Reilly, R. (1995), 'Why there are complementary learning systems in the hippocampus and neocortex: Insights from the successes and failures of connectionist models of learning and memory', *Psychol Rev* **102**(3), 419–457.

McGill, W. (1954), 'Multivariate information transmission', *Psychometrika* **19**(2), 97–116.

McHugh, S., Lopes-dos-Santos, V., Gava, G., Hartwich, K., Tam, S., Bannerman, D. and Dupret, D. (2022), 'Adult-born dentate granule cells promote hippocampal population sparsity', *Nat Neurosci* pp. 1–11.

McHugh, T., Jones, M., Quinn, J., Balthasar, N., Coppari, R., Elmquist, J., Lowell, B., Fanselow, M., Wilson, M. and Tonegawa, S. (2007), 'Dentate gyrus NMDA receptors mediate rapid pattern separation in the hippocampal network', *Science* **317**(5834), 94–99.

McNaughton, B. and Morris, R. (1987), 'Hippocampal synaptic enhancement and information storage within a distributed memory system', *Trends in Neurosciences* **10**(10), 408–415.

Mizuseki, K. and Buzsáki, G. (2013), 'Preconfigured, skewed distribution of firing rates in the hippocampus and entorhinal cortex', *Cell Rep* **4**(5), 1010–1021.

Myers, C. and Scharfman, H. (2009), 'A role for hilar cells in pattern separation in the dentate gyrus: A computational approach', *Hippocampus* **19**(4), 321–337.

Myers, C. and Scharfman, H. (2011), 'Pattern separation in the dentate gyrus: A role for the CA3 backprojection', *Hippocampus* **21**(11), 1190–1215.

Nagel, K. I., Hong, E. J. and Wilson, R. I. (2015), 'Synaptic and circuit mechanisms promoting broadband transmission of olfactory stimulus dynamics', *Nature Neuroscience* **18**(1), 56–65.

Nakashiba, T., Cushman, J., Pelkey, K., Renaudineau, S., Buhl, D., McHugh, T., Barrera, V., Chittajallu, R., Iwamoto, K., McBain, C., Fanselow, M. and Tonegawa, S. (2012), 'Young dentate granule cells mediate pattern separation whereas old granule cells contribute to pattern completion', *Cell* **149**(1), 188–201.

Neunuebel, J. and Knierim, J. (2014), 'CA3 retrieves coherent representations from degraded input: Direct evidence for CA3 pattern completion and dentate gyrus pattern separation', *Neuron* **81**(2), 416–427.

Ohm, T. (2007), 'The dentate gyrus in Alzheimer's disease', *Prog Brain Res* **163**, 723–740.

O'Keefe, J. and Dostrovsky (1971), 'The hippocampus as a spatial map. Preliminary evidence from unit activity in the freely-moving rat', *Brain Research* **34**(1), 171–175.

Pallasdies, F., Norton, P., Schleimer, JH and Schreiber, S (2021), 'Neural optimization: Understanding trade-offs with Pareto theory', *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* **71**, 84–91.

Parizkova, M., Lerch, O., Andel, R., Kalinova, J., Markova, H., Vyhnalek, M., Hort, J. and Laczó, J. (2020), 'Spatial pattern separation in early Alzheimer's disease', *J Alzheimers Dis* **76**(1), 121–138.

Pearson, K. (1895), 'Notes on regression and inheritance in the case of two parents', *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* **58**(347-352), 240–242.

Petrantonakis, P. and Poirazi, P. (2014), 'A compressed sensing perspective of hippocampal function', *Front Syst Neurosci* **8**, 141.

Pica, G., Piasini, E., Chicharro, D. and Panzeri, S. (2017), 'Invariant components of synergy, redundancy, and unique information among three variables', *Entropy* **19**(9).

Pofahl, M., Nikbakht, N., Haubrich, A., Nguyen, T., Masala, F., Distler, F., Braganza, O., Macke, J., Ewell, L., Golcuk, K. and Beck, H. (2021), 'Synchronous activity patterns in the dentate gyrus during immobility', *eLife* **10**, e65786.

Poli, D., Wheeler, B., DeMarse, T. and Brewer, G. (2018), 'Pattern separation and completion of distinct axonal inputs transmitted via micro-tunnels between co-cultured hippocampal dentate, CA3, CA1 and entorhinal cortex networks', *J Neural Eng* **15**(4), 046009.

Prinz, A., Bucher, D. and Marder, E. (2004), 'Similar network activity from disparate circuit parameters', *Nat Neurosci* **7**(12), 1345–1352.

Rolls, E. (1987), 'Information representation, processing, and storage in the brain: Analysis at the single neuron level', *Neur Moll Bas Learn* pp. 503–540.

Rolls, E. (1996), 'A theory of hippocampal function in memory', *Hippocampus* **6**(6), 601–620.

Rolls, E. (2013), 'The mechanisms for pattern completion and pattern separation in the hippocampus', *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* **0**.

Rolls, E. and Kesner, R. (2006), 'A computational theory of hippocampal function, and empirical tests of the theory', *Prog Neurobiol* **79**(1), 1–48.

Rolls, E. T. (2010), 'A computational theory of episodic memory formation in the hippocampus', *Behav Brain Res* **215**(2), 180–196.

Rolls, E. and Treves, A. (1994), 'Neural networks in the brain involved in memory and recall', *Progress in Brain Research* **102**, 335–341.

Santoro, A. (2013), 'Reassessing pattern separation in the dentate gyrus', *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* **0**.

Schmidt-Hieber, C., Jonas, P. and Bischofberger, J. (2004), 'Enhanced synaptic plasticity in newly generated granule cells of the adult hippocampus', *Nature* **429**(6988), 184–187.

Schmidt-Hieber, C., Jonas, P. and Bischofberger, J. (2007), 'Subthreshold dendritic signal processing and coincidence detection in dentate gyrus granule cells', *J. Neurosci.* **27**(31), 8430–8441.

Schneider, M., Bird, AD., Gidon, A., Triesch, J., Jedlicka, P. and Cuntz, H. (2021), 'Biological complexity facilitates tuning of the neuronal parameter space', *bioRxiv* p. 2021.05.04.442120.

Schneidman, E., Bialek, W. and Berry, M. (2003), 'Synergy, redundancy, and independence in population codes', *J. Neurosci.* **23**(37), 11539–11553.

Schreiber, T. (2000), 'Measuring Information transfer', *Phys Rev Lett* **85**(2), 461–464.

Selden, N., Everitt, B., Jarrard, L. and Robbins, T. (1991), 'Complementary roles for the amygdala and hippocampus in aversive conditioning to explicit and contextual cues', *Neuroscience* **42**(2), 335–350.

Severa, W., Parekh, O., James, C. and Aimone, J. (2017), 'A combinatorial model for dentate gyrus sparse coding', *Neural Comput* **29**(1), 94–117.

Shannon, C. E. (1948), 'A mathematical theory of communication', *The Bell System Technical Journal* **27**(3), 379–423.

Sihn, D. and Kim, S. (2019), 'A spike train distance robust to firing rate changes based on the earth mover's distance', *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience* **13**, 82.

Squire, L. (1992), 'Memory and the hippocampus: A synthesis from findings with rats, monkeys, and humans', *Psychological review* **99**(2).

Stein, R. (1967), 'The information capacity of nerve cells using a frequency code', *Biophys J* **7**(6), 797–826.

Strong, S., Koberle, R., de Ruyter van Steveninck, R. and Bialek, W. (1998), 'Entropy and information in neural spike trains', *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**(1), 197–200.

Temprana, S., Mongiat, L., Yang, S., Trinchero, M., Alvarez, D. D., Kropff, E., Giacomini, D., Beltramone, N., Lanuza, G. and Schinder, A. (2015), 'Delayed coupling to feedback inhibition during a critical period for the integration of adult-born granule cells', *Neuron* **85**(1), 116–130.

Timme, N. and Lapish, C. (2018), 'A tutorial for information theory in neuroscience', *eNeuro* **5**(3).

Treves, A. and Panzeri, S. (1995), 'The upward bias in measures of information derived from limited data samples', *Neural Computation* **7**(2), 399–407.

Treves, A. and Rolls, E. (1992), 'Computational constraints suggest the need for two distinct input systems to the hippocampal CA3 network', *Hippocampus* **2**(2), 189–199.

Treves, A., Tashiro, A., Witter, M. and Moser, E. (2008), 'What is the mammalian dentate gyrus good for?', *Neuroscience* **154**(4), 1155–1172.

van Rossum, M. (2001), 'A novel spike distance', *Neural Comput* **13**(4), 751–763.

Vineyard, C., Verzi, S., James, C. and Aimone, J. (2016), Quantifying neural information content: A case study of the impact of hippocampal adult neurogenesis, *in* '2016 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)', pp. 5181–5188.

Wang, A., Lohmann, K., Yang, C., Zimmerman, E. I., Pantazopoulos, H., Herring, N., Berretta, S., Heckers, S. and Konradi, C. (2011), 'Bipolar disorder type 1 and schizophrenia are accompanied by decreased density of parvalbumin- and somatostatin-positive interneurons in the parahippocampal region', *Acta Neuropathol* **122**(5), 615–626.

Williams, P. and Beer, R. (2010), 'Nonnegative decomposition of multivariate information', *preprint* **1004**.

Yassa, M. A. and Stark, C. (2011), 'Pattern separation in the hippocampus', *Trends in Neurosciences* **34**(10), 515–525.

Yim, M., Hanuschkin, A. and Wolfart, J. (2015), 'Intrinsic rescaling of granule cells restores pattern separation ability of a dentate gyrus network model during epileptic hyperexcitability', *Hippocampus* **25**(3), 297–308.

Young, C., Stegen, M., Bernard, R., Müller, M., Bischofberger, J., Veh, R., Haas, C. and Wolfart, J. (2009), 'Upregulation of inward rectifier K+ (Kir2) channels in dentate gyrus granule cells in temporal lobe epilepsy', *The Journal of Physiology* **587**(17), 4213–4233.

Zhang, X., Schlögl, A. and Jonas, P. (2020), 'Selective routing of spatial information flow from input to output in hippocampal granule cells', *Neuron* **107**(6), 1212–1225.e7.

Figure S1. Supplement to Figure 1 (part i). See next page.

Figure S1. Supplement to Figure 1 (part i). **A** Example rasters resulting from different methods of generating ensembles. All ensembles have a mean spike rate of 2.5Hz per neuron. Clockwise from top left: *Phase-locked* ensemble with a correlation strength of 0.9 and a phase rate of 0.6Hz, *periodic* ensemble with $\alpha = 10$, cross-correlated ensemble with a correlation strength of 0.8, and *bursty* ensemble with $\alpha = 0.5$. **B** Unnormalised orthogonalisation Υ_θ values for comparison with Figure 1b. **C** Unnormalised scaling Υ_σ values for comparison with Figure 1b. **D** Unnormalised decorrelation Υ_ρ values for comparison with Figure 1b. **E** Unnormalised Hamming distances Υ_η values for comparison with Figure 1b. **F** Unnormalised orthogonalisation Υ_θ values for comparison with Figure 1c. **G** Unnormalised scaling Υ_σ values for comparison with Figure 1c. **H** Unnormalised decorrelation Υ_ρ values for comparison with Figure 1c. **I** Unnormalised Hamming distances Υ_η values for comparison with Figure 1c. **J** Unnormalised Wasserstein distances Υ_δ values for comparison with Figure 1c.

Figure S2. Supplement to Figure 1 (part ii). **A** Unnormalised mutual information values using the spatial code for comparison with Figure 1d. **B** Unnormalised mutual information values using the temporal code for comparison with Figure 1d. **C** Unnormalised mutual information values using the local rate code for comparison with Figure 1d. **D** Unnormalised mutual information values using the ensemble rate code for comparison with Figure 1d. Solid, dashed, and dotted lines in all panels refer respectively to strong, medium, and weak input correlations (see Methods). **E** Scatter plot of sparsity against different classical measures of pattern separation for comparison with Figure 1e. **F** Scatter plot of sparsity against mutual information computed using different codes for comparison with Figure 1e. Different markers correspond to different methods of ensemble generation: circles are for phase-locked ensembles, squares are for periodic ensembles, diamonds are for bursty ensembles, and triangles are for cross-correlated ensembles. **G** Density map corresponding to scatter points in **E**. **H** Density map corresponding to scatter points in **F**. Density values are logarithmic. There is a consistent negative relationship between the proportion of spikes that pass through the filter and pattern separation metrics. **See next page.**

Figure S2. Supplement to Figure 1 (part ii, continued). In the four cases, the linear correlations between the proportion of spikes passing through the system and the different measures are $\Upsilon_\theta : \overset{.}{-}0.33$, $\Upsilon_\rho : \overset{.}{-}0.071$, $\Upsilon_\eta : \overset{.}{-}0.68$, and $\Upsilon_\delta : \overset{.}{-}0.28$. Conversely, the typical relationship between mutual information and the proportion of spikes is positive (Figure 1e), with linear correlations of 0.96 for the spatial code, 0.97 for the temporal code, 0.07 for the local rate code, and 0.73 for the ensemble rate code.

Figure S3. Supplement to Figure 2. See next page.

Figure S3. Supplement to Figure 2. A Unnormalised sparsity weighted mutual information Υ_{M} for comparison with Figure 2a. **B** Unnormalised sparsity weighted transfer entropy Υ_{T} for comparison with Figure 2b. **C** Unnormalised relative redundancy reduction Υ_{R} for comparison with Figure 2c. From top to bottom, the codes are spatial, temporal, rate local, and rate ensemble in all cases.

Figure S4. Supplement to Figure 3. A Classical pattern separation measures applied to simulated voltage traces from a granule cell model with varied timescales of synaptic depression. From left to right: orthogonalisation Υ_θ, scaling Υ_σ, decorrelation Υ_ρ, Hamming distance Υ_η, and Wasserstein distance Υ_δ. Solid lines correspond to a strong input correlation and a binning window (where applicable) of $100\,\mathrm{ms}$, dashed lines correspond to a strong input correlation and a binning window of $10\,\mathrm{ms}$, dash-dotted lines correspond to a weak input correlation and a binning window of $100\,\mathrm{ms}$, and dashed lines correspond to a strong input correlation and a binning window of $10\,\mathrm{ms}$. **B** As above with varied timescales of synaptic facilitation. **C** As above with varied ion channel spatial heterogeneities. Input spike traces are two minutes long and consist of phase-locked inputs with a phase rate of $0.6\mathrm{Hz}$ and a spiking rate of $5\mathrm{Hz}$.

Figure S5. Supplement to Figures 4 & 5. A Examples of extrapolating mutual information estimates. The left and right columns show two different ensembles. The top row shows the optimal smoothing parameter h (Eq 19) as a function of the number of sections the spike trains are divided into N. The bottom panels show the relationship between N and the mutual information estimate (grey circles), and the extrapolation back to infinitely long sections (black lines) from the region where h is significantly less than N and the relationship between N and the mutual information estimate is linear. **B** Relative redundancy reduction Υ_R for the mature granule cells as a function of scaling parameters for informative synapses and Kir2 channel expression. For comparison with Figure 5e.

Figure 1

A Sparsity-weighted mutual information Υ_M

Random   *n* th-pass

Refractory   Competitive

Normalised mutual information

Thinning filter

B Sparsity-weighted transfer entropy Υ_T

Random   *n* th-pass

Refractory   Competitive

Normalised transfer entropy

Thinning filter

C Relative redundancy reduction Υ_R

Random   *n* th-pass

Refractory   Competitive

Normalised redundancy reduction

Thinning filter

——— Strong correlation   – – – Medium correlation   ······ Weak correlation

Spatial   Rate loc.

Temporal   Rate ens.

Figure 2

A

Input

Granule cell model

Output

50 μm

2s

2s

B

Υ_M (bits)

Depression timescale (s)

Υ_R (bits²)

Υ_M (bits)

Facilitation timescale (s)

Υ_R (bits²)

Υ_M (bits)

Conductance heterogeneity

Υ_R (bits²)

Strong correlation (0.75)

Weak correlation (0.25)

Figure 3

Figure 4

A

50 μm

B

Inputs

Groups

Mat. AB Msy Bskt

5s

C

10 Hz
5 Hz

Mature

Adult-born

Υ_M (bits)

1.5

0.5

Υ_R (bits^2)

0.5

Input correlation

0   0.5   1

D

0.75 corr.   0.25 corr.

Mature

Adult-born

Υ_M (bits)

0.6

0.2

Υ_R (bits^2)

0.5

Synaptic scale

1   2.5   5

E

Υ_M (bits)

0.4   0.8   1.2

Kir2 expression scale

10^1

$10^{0.5}$

10^0

Synaptic scale

1   2.5   5

Figure 5

Figure S1

Figure S2

Figure S3

Figure S4

Figure S5