Molecular Machines Stimulate Intercellular Calcium Waves and Cause Muscle Contraction

Jacob L. Beckham, Alexis R. van Venrooy, Soonyoung Kim, Gang Li, Bowen Li, Guillaume Duret, Dallin Arnold, Xuan Zhao, Ana L. Santos, Gautam Chaudhry, Jacob T. Robinson,* and James M. Tour*

J. L. Beckham, A. R. van Venrooy, G. Li, B. Li, D. Arnold, G. Chaudhry, D. Liu

Department of Chemistry, Rice University, 6100 Main Street MS 222, Houston, TX 77005 USA.

S. Kim, G. Duret, X. Zhao

Department of Electrical Engineering, Rice University, 6100 Main Street MS 222, Houston, TX 77005 USA.

A. L. Santos

Department of Chemistry, Rice University, 6100 Main Street, MS, 222, Houston, TX 77005 USA.

IdISBA - Fundación de Investigación Sanitaria de las Islas Baleares, Palma, Spain

Associate Professor J. T. Robinson

Department of Bioengineering, Department of Electrical Engineering, Rice University, 6500 Main Street, BRC 973, Houston, TX 77005 USA.

E-mail: jacob.t.robinson@rice.edu

Professor J. M. Tour

Department of Chemistry, Smalley-Curl Institute, NanoCarbon Center, Welch Institute for Advanced Materials, Department of Materials Science and Nanoengineering, Department of Computer Science, Rice University, 6100 Main Street MS 222, Houston, TX 77005 USA.

E-mail: tour@rice.edu

Abstract

Intercellular calcium waves (ICW) are complex signaling phenomena that control many essential biological activities, including smooth muscle contraction, vesicle secretion, gene expression, and changes in neuronal excitability. Accordingly, the remote stimulation of ICW may result in versatile new biomodulation and therapeutic strategies. Here, we demonstrate that light-activated molecular machines (MM), molecules that rotate and perform mechanical work on the molecular scale, can remotely stimulate ICW. Live-cell calcium tracking and pharmacological experiments reveal that MM-induced ICW are driven by the activation of inositol triphosphate (IP_3) mediated signaling pathways by unidirectional, fast-rotating MM. We then demonstrated that MM-induced ICW can be used to control muscle contraction *in vitro* in cardiomyocytes and animal behavior *in vivo* in *Hydra vulgaris*. Consequentially, this work demonstrates a new strategy for the direct control of cell signaling and downstream biological function using molecular-scale devices.

Main

42 **Main**

43   Calcium signaling impacts nearly every process relevant to cellular life, and the ability of

calcium ions to alter protein shape and charge by reversible binding constitutes the most ubiquitous

signaling motif in receptor biology[1]. The localized nature of calcium signaling, as well as its ability

to activate downstream effector proteins, allows it to drive a vast array of biological activities. In

single cells, calcium directly controls cellular proliferation[2], gene expression[3,4], differentiation[5],

movement[6], and metabolism[7]. In organisms, calcium signals propagate through secondary

messengers to cause intercellular calcium waves (ICW) that coordinate concerted action in whole

tissues[8]. ICW play direct or indirect roles in processes ranging from muscle contraction[9],

potentiation of neuronal firing[10], blood vessel dilation[11], digestion[12], and breathing[13].

Dysfunctional calcium signaling contributes to disease states ranging from cancer to

cardiovascular disease and neurodegenerative disorders[14-15].

54   Due to the multiplexed nature of calcium signaling[16], the ability to remotely trigger ICW

55 with high spatiotemporal precision may permit access to numerous downstream signaling

56 pathways, offering a dynamic new strategy for the control of biological activity. Such advances

57 may also yield new therapeutic avenues for diseases characterized by calcium signaling

58 dysfunction. Currently, ICW are largely initiated experimentally by chemical methods[17] or by

59 applying mechanical stimuli using a micropipette attached to a microcontroller[2,8,18].

60   Here, we describe the generation of ICW *via* the nanomechanical action of a light-activated

molecular machine. Molecular machines (MM) are molecules that can be activated by external

stimuli, such as light, to perform mechanical work on the molecular scale[19]. Just as the mechanical

perturbation of a cell's outer membrane causes intracellular calcium responses, the application of

mechanical force *via* a fast, unidirectionally rotating MM elicits calcium release from the

endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Slow-rotating and non-unidirectional MM do not elicit calcium flux

under the same conditions, implicating a mechanism of action that depends on rapid, unidirectional

molecular rotation. Calcium release is attenuated by the inhibition of inositol triphosphate (IP_3)-

mediated signaling, suggesting the IP_3 signaling pathway as a primary driver of cellular responses.

Finally, we show that MM-induced ICW can be exploited to control downstream biological

processes, like muscle contraction, both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

Results and Discussion

Fast, Unidirectional MM Induce Calcium Waves

MM employed in this study have overcrowded alkene motors based on the primary design

by Feringa *et al*[19]. Their typical structure consists of a rotor connected to a stator by an

atropisomeric alkene. When these MM are excited by incident photons, the rotor rotates

unidirectionally relative to the stator, undergoing two photoisomerization steps and two thermal

helix inversions before returning to the starting position (Fig. 1a). Overcrowded alkene motors

locomote in solution[20], drill through synthetic lipid bilayers and cell membranes[21], and have

previously been used to exert mechanical forces on individual cell-surface receptors *via* antibody

targeting[22]. Here, we study cellular responses to MM administered without the use of chemical

targeting or extracellular scaffolds, facilitating their use *in vivo*. The moiety "X" in Fig. 1a can be

interchanged to modulate MM rotation rate, which is determined by the favorability of the thermal

helix inversion step[23,24].

To investigate cellular responses to the actuation of MM, we employed live-cell calcium

tracking. HEK293 cells were treated with the fluorescent intracellular calcium indicator Fluo-4

and loaded with MM. The structures of the MM employed in this study are shown in Fig. 1b, and

the calcium responses of cells treated with fast-rotating MM **1** are shown in Fig. 1c. Stimulation

of a single MM **1**-treated cell with a 400 nm laser (3.2×10^2 W cm^{-2}) increased Fluo-4 fluorescence

in the targeted cell, reflecting a spike in the intracellular calcium concentration similar to that

observed when cells are mechanically perturbed with a micropipette[8,18]. In the presence of the

vehicle only, no calcium responses were evoked by the same laser treatment (Supplementary Fig.

1). Calcium responses were observed to propagate to adjacent cells (Supplementary Fig. 2)

according to the degree of electrical connectivity between individual colonies. Similar responses

were observed when cells were treated with scavengers of reactive oxygen species (ROS,

Supplementary Fig. 3) and in experiments using X-Rhod-1 in place of Fluo-4 (Supplementary Fig.

4), suggesting that the observed responses do not depend on the production of ROS or fluorescence

resonant energy transfer between MM **1** and calcium tracking dyes.

Cellular responses to MM were repeatable (Supplementary Fig. 5), and their amplitude

could be controlled by the intensity of incident light (Supplementary Fig. 6). The strength of the

evoked response also determines the downstream effects of stimulation. At typical stimulation

irradiances (3.2×10^2 W cm^{-2} for 250 ms), cells recovered from stimulation and showed no signs of

apoptosis or necrosis (see Supplementary Fig. 7-8 and the accompanying discussion). Cells

stimulated at higher intensities (6.4×10^2 W cm^{-2} for 4 s) showed membrane blebbing and calcium

accumulation over a 30 min period, indicating cell death (Supplementary Fig. 9)[25]. Hence, MM-

induced ICW can be tuned between physiological, supraphysiological, and pathophysiological

response regimes by adjusting the stimulus intensity. Calcium responses induced by MM **1**

actuation were also observed in other cell lines, including neuroblast (N2A; Supplementary Fig.

10) and HeLa cells (Supplementary Fig. 11).

We investigated the calcium responses elicited by a library of MM consisting of two fast-

rotating motors (MM **1-2**) and two complementary motors (MM **3-4**) used to test the effects of

rotation speed and directionality (Fig. 1b). MM **1,** mimicking designs previously shown to kill

cancer cells and antibiotic-resistant bacteria[26-28], was synthesized with a six-membered ring stator

containing a central sulfur atom. MM **1** rotates unidirectionally at ~3 MHz. MM **2** and MM **3** are

chemically similar to MM **1** but rotate at different rates. MM **2**, which rotates at ~43 MHz, was

synthesized with a six-member ring stator with two methyl groups branching off the center carbon

atom[24]. MM **3**, a slow-rotating motor that rotates at ~0.1 Hz[24], was synthesized with a fluorene

stator. Finally, MM **4** is an analog to MM **1** that lacks a stereogenic center at the allylic methyl

site, which confers preference for unidirectional rotation. Without this stereogenic center, MM **4**

"flaps" bidirectionally and switches stochastically between photoisomerization states. All four of

these motors possess an appended aniline that shifts their absorption into the visible spectrum,

enabling their observation by visible-light microscopy and the activation of their rotation with

visible light[28]. These terminal amines are protonated at physiological pH, promoting their

interaction with the hydrophilic heads of lipid bilayers in cell or organelle membranes.

Fig. 1d shows the calcium responses of cells treated with each MM and light. MM **3** was

used at 3x concentration because of its lower extinction coefficient relative to the other MM

(Supplementary Fig. 12, Supplementary Table 1). Fast-rotating MM **1** and MM **2** elicited rapid

increases in intracellular calcium. MM **1** elicited high-amplitude transients that peak ~10-20 s after

stimulation and then decay over the next minute, whereas MM **2** elicited a more stable increase in

intracellular calcium that does not decay as quickly as MM **1**. The different calcium release kinetics

induced by MM **1** and MM **2** may be related to differences in their photoisomerization efficiency

(see the discussion in the Supplemental Information)[23]. Meanwhile, slow-rotating MM **3** elicited

no change in calcium activity upon irradiation, even at 3x the concentration and twice the stimulus

intensity used to activate MM **1** and MM **2** (Fig. 1d). MM **4**, the fast-rotating motor with no

preference for unidirectional rotation, elicited only small changes in calcium concentration. This

experiment provides evidence to link MM rotation speed and directionality to their evoked cell

signaling behavior.

Mechanistic Study of MM-Induced ICW

Next, we studied the biological mechanism behind MM-driven calcium signaling. Calcium

equilibrium in the cytosol is regulated by both export across the plasma membrane and uptake into

the ER *via* membrane ATPases (Fig. 2a)[1]. Consequentially, the cytosolic concentration of calcium

is typically low (~100 nM) compared to those found inside the ER or extracellular medium (~1.5

mM). Cytosolic calcium spikes commonly involve the entry of calcium from one of these two

locations. Fluorescence microscopy showed that MM internalize within cells and interact with

subcellular organelles, including mitochondria and the ER (Fig. 2b; Supplementary Figs. 13-14).

Since MM are distributed primarily within cells, we hypothesized that MM-induced calcium

responses arise from the release of calcium from intracellular stores. To test this hypothesis, we

depleted calcium stores inside and outside of HEK293 cells and blocked various plasma membrane

or ER calcium channels prior to stimulation (see Supplementary Table 2 for a complete list of the

manipulations employed in these experiments).

Cells treated with MM **1** and stimulated by light pulses in the absence of extracellular

calcium (Fig. 2c) showed no differences in response amplitude compared to a positive control in

calcium-containing medium. Similar results were observed when plasma membrane calcium

channels were blocked. Treatment of cells with ruthenium red (RR), a pharmacological inhibitor

of temperature-sensitive vanilloid transient receptor potential (TRP) channels[29], did not decrease

the magnitude of MM-induced calcium responses (Fig. 2d). Similarly, treatment of cells with Gd^{3+},

which is commonly used to block the effects of mechanosensitive plasma membrane channels such

as Piezo1, Piezo2, and TRPC4[30], also did not affect the observed responses (Fig. 2e). In all, our

experiments show that plasma membrane TRP channels and extracellular calcium do not

appreciably contribute to MM-induced calcium responses.

On the other hand, cells treated with MM **1** and thapsigargin, a sarco-endoplasmic

reticulum calcium pump (SERCA) antagonist that depletes intracellular calcium[31], did not show

any measurable calcium flux upon light stimulation (Fig. 2f; $P = 0.00257 < 0.01$). This result

implies that MM-evoked calcium responses arise from the release of ER-bound calcium stores by

MM **1**.

Further mechanistic studies were conducted to determine how MM activation releases

calcium from the ER. The mammalian ER predominantly expresses two large tetrameric calcium

channels: IP_3 receptors (IP_3Rs) and ryanodine receptors (RyRs)[1,32]. In IP_3-mediated calcium

signaling, G-protein coupled receptors (commonly Gq/11 subtypes) expressed in the plasma

membrane activate phospholipase Cβ (PLCβ) and tyrosine kinase receptors activate PLCɣ to

cleave phosphatidylinositol 4,5-biphosphate into diacylglycerol and IP_3[32]. IP_3 can then diffuse to

the ER, where it binds to IP_3R and causes calcium release. The activation of this network drives

such a wide array of cellular activities that it is often referred to as simply "calcium release" due

to its ubiquity in receptor biology[1]. RyRs are also expressed in many cell types and amplify

existing calcium signals through calcium-induced calcium release.

Treatment of cells with ryanodine (Ry; 100 μM) to block RyR signaling has no effect on

response amplitude (Fig. 2g) in HEK293 cells. However, treatment of cells with xestospongin C

(XeC; 25 μM), a known antagonist of IP$_3$R[33], significantly diminished the strength of cellular

responses to MM (Fig. 2h; $P = 0.0167 < 0.05$). Similar effects were observed when cells were

treated with the PLC antagonist U-73122 (U-73; 10 μM) as an alternate method of blocking IP$_3$

signaling (Fig. 2i; $P = 0.0281 < 0.05$). Furthermore, MM-induced responses were also inhibited

in cells treated with cytochalasin D (Cyto D; 2 μM), an inhibitor of F-actin polymerization that

disrupts PLC signaling by increasing the spatial distance between PLC and IP$_3$R (Fig. 2j; $P =$

$0.01762 < 0.05$)[34]. These results implicate IP$_3$ signaling as a primary driver of MM-induced

calcium waves. The IP$_3$ pathway is known to contribute to mechanosensitive calcium currents[35]

and is also involved in the induction of ICW in response to mechanical stimulation with a

micropipette[2,18].

MM Cause Muscle Contraction In Cardiomyocytes

Next, we investigated whether MM-elicited ICW could be used to modulate calcium-

driven biological processes, such as the beating activity and contraction of cultured

cardiomyocytes. Fig. 3 shows the effects of MM stimulation on primary rat cardiomyocytes. MM

distribute to the sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR; Fig. 3a), where subsequent light activation triggers

localized calcium release (Fig. 3b, Supplementary Movie 1). MM-induced calcium release initially

leads to localized myocyte contraction at the site of stimulation (Fig. 3c, kymograph 1, top arrow)

likely due to the calcium-mediated activation of troponin and subsequent actin-myosin cross-

bridge formation[36]. Then, SR calcium release induces beating in quiescent cardiomyocytes and

accelerated beating in active cardiomyocytes (Fig. 3c, bottom arrow in kymograph 1 and arrow in

kymograph 2; Supplementary Movie 2).

We tracked the behavior of colonies of cardiomyocytes in contact with cells stimulated

with MM and light to determine whether we could use MM to drive biological behaviors

coordinated in networks of cells, such as contraction. Colonies of cardiomyocytes adjacent to

stimulated cells responded to stimulation by firing action potentials (APs) or participating in the

generated calcium wave (Fig. 3d; Supplementary Figs. 15-16). Activation of adjacent

cardiomyocytes in response to stimulation could be prevented by either inhibiting IP_3-mediated

calcium release in the stimulated cell (Fig. 3e) or by preventing the influx of calcium from outside

the cell during AP firing (Fig. 3f). Cells stimulated with MM **1** and light in calcium-containing

medium exhibited firing rates of 5.1 spikes min^{-1} $cell^{-1}$, whereas cells stimulated with MM **1** and

light in the presence of PLC inhibitor (U-73; 10 µM) exhibited firing rates of ~0.8 spikes min^{-1}

$cell^{-1}$ ($P < 0.0001$ by a one-tailed Welch's t-test). Meanwhile, cells stimulated in the absence of

extracellular calcium did not exhibit any spiking activity, suggesting that the increased activity of

cardiomyocyte colonies in response to MM may be due to the action of voltage-gated ion channels

in the plasma membrane of myocytes. These ion channels are likely triggered by local

depolarization of the membrane induced by SR calcium release[37]. These experiments show that

biological behaviors coordinated in networks of cells, such as contraction, can be controlled by

intracellular MM-induced calcium wave generation.

MM Control Behavior In Vivo

Finally, using an *in vivo* model of muscle contraction, we sought to investigate whether

MM-induced ICW can control biological activity at the organism level. For this purpose, we chose

Hydra vulgaris as a model system. *Hydra* are radially symmetric, millimeter-sized freshwater

cnidarians containing tentacles, an oral region, and an aboral region connected by a long, tubular

body column. In the oral region, *Hydra* have a dome-shaped structure called a "hypostome" surrounded by a ring of tentacles. At the other extremity, they have a foot called the "peduncle," which the animal uses to attach to substrates (Fig. 4a). *Hydra* were chosen as a model system because of their small size, lack of chitin layer, excitable epitheliomuscular tissue, and simple nervous system. In addition, *Hydra* exhibit spontaneous and stimulus-controlled contractions, both driven by ICW[38], which can be directly visualized due to their transparent body. In our experiments, we used *Hydra* lines genetically engineered to express the calcium indicator GCaMP7b in their endothelial epitheliomuscular tissue (see *Methods*).

Prior to stimulation experiments, *Hydra* were loaded with MM by incubating with solutions containing 24 µM of MM for 24 h (Fig. 4b). Distinct stimulation protocols were employed to cause either local ICW or whole-body contraction (Fig. 4c). First, treatment of MM-loaded *Hydra* with pulses of laser light administered to a small region of the body column (Protocol **I**) caused ICW emanating from the site of stimulation (Fig. 4d; Supplementary Fig. 17; Supplementary Movies 3-4). Similar to ICW evoked *in vitro*, these ICW propagated throughout the *Hydra* body column according to the degree of electrical connectivity of the stimulated cells. Distinct propagation kinetics were observed when stimulating different regions of *Hydra* simultaneously (Supplementary Movie 5). Second, we attempted to use MM activation to drive whole-body *Hydra* contractions by administering laser stimuli to the oral region (Protocol **II**). We decided to target the oral region because mechanical stimulation of this region has been shown to stimulate burst contraction, likely *via* the sensory neurons that cluster in this region[39-41]. When laser stimuli were delivered to the oral region of *Hydra* treated with fast-rotating MM **1** and **2** (Protocol **II**), they exhibited contraction bursts associated with whole-body calcium waves (Supplementary Movie 6) similar to those observed with macro-mechanical stimulation[42].

Fast-rotating MM were generally more successful in eliciting both regional ICW (Protocol

I) and whole-body contractions (Protocol **II**). Fig. 4e-i show responses from MM-treated *Hydra*

when stimulated *via* Protocol **I** to elicit regional ICW. Furthermore, Fig. 4j compares the response

rates of *Hydra* treated with each MM for exhibiting regional ICW. Fast-rotating MM, including

MM **1**, MM **2**, and even non-unidirectional fast motor MM **4**, consistently elicited robust regional

ICW upon stimulation of the body column (Protocol **I**). Occasional responses were also observed

from *Hydra* treated with slow-rotating MM **3**, but the response rate of these *Hydra* was not

significantly different from solvent-only controls (Fig. 4j). *Hydra* also demonstrated marked

differences in response kinetics depending on the type of MM employed. Fast, unidirectionally

rotating MM elicited appreciably quicker responses (12.76 s for MM **1** and 9.05 s for MM **2**) than

slow or non-unidirectionally rotating MM (15.46 s for MM **3** and 16.6 s for MM **4**; Fig. 4k).

Similar trends were observed for whole-body contractile response rates across *Hydra*

treated with different MM and light conditions (see *Methods* for a detailed description of the

response rate calculation). Fig. 4l-m show a representative whole-body contraction and GCaMP7b

fluorescence trace from a typical experiment using MM **2** and stimulation using Protocol **II**. In

these experiments, the fastest-rotating MM, MM **2**, was most successful at inducing *Hydra*

contraction, demonstrating a response rate of 86% (Fig. 4n). This fast-rotating MM elicited

contraction of *Hydra* at a higher rate than light alone ($P < 0.00001$) or slower-rotating MM **3** ($P <$

0.00001) by Fisher's Exact Test. MM **1** also elicited contraction at a significantly higher rate than

light alone ($P = 0.0199 < 0.05$), while the slow-rotating MM **3** ($P = 0.5325 > 0.05$) did not elicit

contraction at a significantly higher rate than light alone. Interestingly, MM **4**, which rotates

quickly but non-unidirectionally, elicited *Hydra* contraction with a response rate of 65% and was

significantly ($P = 0.0439 < 0.05$) more effective than light alone. MM **4** also elicited regional ICW

in *Hydra* despite causing only weak responses *in vitro*. These results imply that even the smaller

responses elicited by MM **4** *in vitro* can be amplified *in vivo* across networks of cells.

Consequentially, rotor speed is a better indicator of the ability of MM to drive *Hydra* contraction

than rotor unidirectionality. Further experiments are needed to elucidate both the biological

machinery responsible for amplifying MM-induced signals and the factors influencing MM

propensity for causing ICW in *Hydra* (see the discussion in the Supplemental Information).

The contractile behavior of *Hydra* in relation to the presentation of stimulus is detailed in

Supplementary Figs. 18-22. *Hydra* appeared to exhibit a photic response to light in the absence of

MM (Supplementary Fig. 22; $P = 0.0525 > 0.05$) consistent with the photosensitivity of the

hypostome and tentacles described previously[43]. However, fast-rotating MM actuation was notably

superior at driving muscle contraction compared to light alone. Peak identification algorithms were

employed to track calcium spikes in the fluorescence data and contraction bursts across the

timescale of our experiments. In *Hydra* treated with MM **2** and light, contraction onset occurs

predominantly upon the presentation of the stimulus, and a high density of contraction bursts

appears 5-10 seconds later (Supplementary Fig. 19). In the absence of MM, this relationship

weakens dramatically. In the absence of light, it disappears completely (Supplementary Fig. 22).

These results suggest that the actuation of fast-rotating MM can control the behaviors exhibited by

Hydra over the time scale of our experiments.

Conclusion

This work demonstrated that the activation of MM triggers calcium waves in a fashion that

depends on their fast, unidirectional rotation. MM localized to the endoplasmic reticulum, where

their activation with sub-second pulses of visible light caused a rapid rise in intracellular calcium

that propagated to neighboring cells. These ICW were shown to arise from IP$_3$ signaling. The

amplitude of MM-induced ICW was tunable by the laser light intensity. MM-induced ICW

remotely triggered muscle contraction *in vitro* and *in vivo*, suggesting that MM can be used for

molecular-scale mechanical control of biological activity.

Experimental Methods.

Synthetic Chemistry

Details on the synthesis and characterization of MM **2-4** are provided in the Supplementary Information (Materials & Methods; Supplementary Figs. 23-28). Synthesis and characterization information on MM **1** is provided elsewhere[28]. MM were dissolved in DMSO at a concentration of 8 mM and sonicated for 5 s prior to use. MM solutions were stored at -20 °C in aluminum foil-wrapped containers to avoid degradation. UV-Vis spectra of MM were taken in spectral-grade water using a Shimadzu UV-3600 Plus spectrophotometer. Extinction coefficients were calculated by constructing a Beer's Law plot using concentrations between 8 and 32 μM.

Cell Culture and Preparation of Cells for Microscopy

HEK293 cells were chosen as the principal model system due to their widespread use in electrophysiological studies. HEK cells, like most excitable or non-excitable cells, are known to exhibit calcium responses[44]. HEK293 cells, HeLa cells, and N2A cells were cultured in DMEM (Lonza) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco), and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Lonza) at 37 °C in 5% CO_2 atmospheric conditions. Cells were passaged at <90% confluence. To grow cardiomyocytes, a dissolved rat heart (TransnetYX©) was triturated, centrifuged, and resuspended in cardiomyocyte growth medium (TransnetYX© catalog #SKU-NBCG) and seeded at a concentration of 60,000 cells cm^{-2}. Growth substrates were pre-treated with 1% gelatin solution for 3 h, then washed with PBS. Cardiomyocytes were allowed to grow for 4 days, after which the growth medium was exchanged for cardiomyocyte maintenance medium (TransnetYX© catalog #SKU-NBCM). Experiments were conducted from day 4 to day 6.

Imaging was performed in imaging extracellular buffer (iECB; 119 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl,

10 mM HEPES, 2 mM $CaCl_2$, 1 mM $MgCl_2$ (pH 7.2); 320 mOsm). Cells were prepared for

imaging by seeding a 35 mm Ibidi imaging dish with ~50,000 cells in 1 mL of complete growth

medium, and grown for 2 days. Prior to imaging, cells were incubated with dyes and/or molecules

resuspended in complete growth medium at the appropriate concentration [MM **1** (8 μM), MM **2**

(8 μM), MM **3** (24 μM), MM **4** (8 μM), Fluo-4 (2 μM)]. MM and Fluo-4 were incubated with cells

for 45 min. Unless otherwise specified, all experiments were performed in triplicate.

In vitro Imaging and Stimulation

Cells were imaged with a Nikon A1-Rsi confocal system mounted on a widefield Ti-E

fluorescence microscope. Imaging was performed using a 60x water immersion objective (NA of

1.27, 0.17 mm working distance). Green fluorophores (Fluo-4, MitoTracker Green) were excited

with a 488 nm photodiode laser. Red fluorophores (ER-Tracker-Red, PI) were excited with a 561

nm photodiode laser. Deep red fluorophores (CellMask plasma membrane stain) were excited with

a 630 nm photodiode laser. Laser stimulation for *in vitro* experiments was performed with a 400

nm photodiode laser (Coherent OBIS^{TM} LX SF) operating in a fluorescence-recovery-after-

photobleaching (FRAP) experiment mode, delivering up to 6.4×10^2 W cm^{-2} at sample level. *In*

vitro experiments with HEK293 cells were conducted using a stimulus irradiance of 3.2×10^2 W

cm^{-2} except where otherwise indicated. Cardiomyocyte experiments were conducted using a

stimulus irradiance of 5.1×10^2 W cm^{-2}. Power was calibrated using a Thor Labs S130C laser power

meter. Stimulation was targeted to a circular area of diameter 5 μm in a 250 ms pulse, during which

the laser rastered across the entire region of interest. An additional laser stimulation set-up was

used to verify the requisite stimulation power and demonstrate excitation in a non-scanning laser

mode (see Supplementary Fig. 29 and the accompanying discussion). Fluo-4 fluorescence was

collected for ~30 s before and 2 min after stimulation (since the recorded time of the first data

point is 0 s, stimulation occurs between 28.6 and 28.85 s in recorded traces). Images were collected

using a Galvano scanner operating at 0.94 fps. In some experiments, images were also collected

using a resonant scanner operating at 7.7 fps.

Colocalization Analysis

Cells were treated with MitoTracker Green (ThermoFisher, 400 nM), ER Tracker Red

(ThermoFisher, 500 nM), and CellMask Deep Red Plasma Membrane Stain (ThermoFisher, 500

nM). ER Tracker Red and MitoTracker Green were loaded into cells for 45 min in complete growth

medium. Then, CellMask Deep Red Plasma Membrane Stain was loaded into cells for 5 min and

cells were subsequently loaded into the microscope chamber. Images for co-localization analysis

were collected in a Nikon A1 confocal microscope using a 60x water immersion objective. Z-stack

images spanning a minimum 20 μm range were collected and processed using the Coloc-2 plugin

in Fiji. Colocalized pixel intensity maps were generated using the colocalization threshold function

in Fiji.

Pharmacological Experiments.

In pharmacological blocking experiments, cells were loaded with MM and Fluo-4 as

previously described. Typical imaging experiments were then performed on six cells in iECB alone

as a positive control. Then, the imaging buffer was replaced, and the cells were treated as required

for each experiment (see below). After a brief recovery period, six non-previously imaged cells

were stimulated and imaged. Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) was used for experiments in

calcium-free buffer. Thapsigargin (Th; 1 µM) and cytochalasin D (Cyto D; 2 µM) were incubated

with cells in the incubator in complete growth medium for 1 h (Th) or 2 h (Cyto D) before imaging.

Ruthenium red (RR; 1 µM), gadolinium (Gd^{3+}; 50 µM), ryanodine (Ry; 100 µM), xestospongin C

(XeC; 20 µM), and U-73122 (U-73; 10 µM) were administered directly into the iECB after control

imaging was finished. Cells were incubated in the medium for ~5 min prior to imaging. For

experiments using ROS scavengers, cells were incubated with either melatonin (Mel; 100 µM),

thiourea (thioU; 50 mM), or L-ascorbic acid (VitC; 2 mM) for 1 h prior to experiments. The traces

shown are representative results across at least six cells from individual experiments, while bar

graphs represent the average results across at least three distinct experiments. See Supplementary

Table 2 for more information.

Hydra Preparation

Hydra were grown in *Hydra* medium containing $CaCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ (1 mM), $MgCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0.1

mM), KNO_3 (0.03 mM), $NaHCO_3$ (0.5 mM), and $MgSO_4$ (0.08 mM) in deionized water at 18 °C

in a light-cycled (12 h light, 12 h dark) incubator. *Hydra* were fed with an excess of freshly brined

Artemia Nauplii (Brine Shrimp Direct, Ogden, UT, # BSEP 8Z) three times a week. All

experiments were performed at room temperature after starving the animals for 2 days. The

transgenic line expressing calcium indicator GCaMP7b under the Ef1α promoter in endodermal

epitheliomuscular tissue was generated by embryonic microinjection in a collaboration by the

Robinson lab (Rice University) and the Juliano lab (University of California, Davis)[42].

Hydra Imaging and Stimulation

Hydra were incubated with the selected MM for 24 h before imaging. Stimuli were applied

using an ROI-driven fluorescence-recovery-after-photobleaching (FRAP) mode in a Nikon A1

confocal microscope. All *Hydra* were able to recover after stimulation experiments, indicating

both MM administration and subsequent stimulation treatments were not toxic to the *Hydra*.

Distinct stimulation protocols were employed to elicit either regional ICW or whole-body

contraction. In Protocol **I**, stimulation was delivered using a 405-nm laser diode at 9.0×10^2 W cm$^-$

^{2} in 1 s pulses delivered to a 10 µm region of the *Hydra* body column. Protocol **I** resulted in regional

ICW. In Protocol **II**, stimulation was delivered using a 405-nm laser diode at 9.0×10^2 W cm^{-2} in

a 2 s pulse delivered to the oral region of the *Hydra* (typical area of ~1,000-2,000 µm^2). Protocol

II resulted in whole-body calcium waves and contraction. Note that despite the longer stimulation

time used in Protocol **II**, the *Hydra* still experiences less pixel dwell time and less incident light

per unit area compared to *in vitro* experiments and Protocol **I** due to the size of the stimulation

region (~50-100x larger depending on the size of the animal). GCaMP7b fluorescence were

recorded using a 456 nm laser diode for fluorophore excitation. In experiments using Protocol **I**,

stimuli were presented at 10 s. In experiments using Protocol **II**, stimuli were presented at irregular

intervals at least 60 s apart to prevent interference from periodic spontaneous contractions of

Hydra.

Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using custom-written Python scripts. Fluorescence traces of the

calcium indicator Fluo-4 from cells were imported and processed using the Pandas library. F_0 was

calculated as the average fluorescence intensity in the first 10 frames (~10 s) of the acquisition and

used to calculate $\Delta F/F_0$ over the entire length of the acquisition. Since data was not collected

during stimulation, a "dead time" equivalent to the time of stimulation was manually added to each

recording. Spiking behavior of cardiomyocytes was calculated using the SciPy peak finder

function.

Fluorescence traces of calcium indicator GCaMP7b in *Hydra vulgaris* were processed

similarly to *in vitro* data and baseline-corrected to set the minimum measured $\Delta F/F_0$ value as "0"

due to the spontaneous, periodic activity of the *Hydra*. For experiments analyzing regional ICW

(stimulating using Protocol **I**), responses reaching $\Delta F/F_0 > 1$ in the stimulated area were

considered a "success" in the calculation of the ICW response rate. The "delay time" was the time

at which $\Delta F/F_0$ reached 1. Peaks corresponding to whole-body contraction were not included in

the analysis of ICW amplitude. ICW response rate was calculated across n=25 experiments for

each condition across at least 5 *Hydra*.

In experiments analyzing whole-body contraction (stimulating using Protocol **II**), the

induction of a contractile "response" comprising a change in body GCaMP7b fluorescence of

$\Delta F/F_0 > 1.5x$ within 3 s of stimulus presentation was counted as a "success" in the calculation of

the contractile response rate. To identify contraction onset, a limit-of-detection peak finding

algorithm was used with a threshold value of 1.5. To identify individual calcium spikes in a

contraction burst, the SciPy peak finder function was employed. Induction of a contractile

"response" comprising a change in body GCaMP7b fluorescence of $\Delta F/F_0 > 1.5x$ within 3 s of

stimulus presentation was considered a "success" when calculating response rates. Response rates

were calculated over at least 50 presentations of stimuli. Stimuli presented at a time when the

Hydra were already contracting ($\Delta F/F_0 > 0.3$) were discarded. *Hydra* that exhibited mouth-

opening behavior during the experiment were discarded. The effects of spontaneous and photic

responses were accounted for by comparison with DMSO and DMSO and light controls. For more

information on *Hydra* data processing, see Supplementary Table 3.

Statistical Analysis

One-tailed Welch's t-tests were performed to assess differences in cellular responses after

pharmacological manipulations, or when treated with MM of different rotation speeds. Fisher's

Exact Tests was used to assess differences in contractile response rates between *Hydra vulgaris*

treated with MM of variable rotation speed and light.   * *P*-value < 0.05, ** *P*-value < 0.01, ***

P-value < 0.001, **** *P*-value < 0.0001.

Acknowledgements.

This project received funding from the Discovery Institute, the Robert A. Welch

Foundation (C-2017-20190330), the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship

Program (JLB), the DEVCOM Army Research Laboratory under Cooperative Agreement

W911NF-18-2-0234 (AVV), and the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation

programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 843116 (ALS). The views

and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted

as representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the Army Research Laboratory

or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints

for Government purposes notwithstanding any copyright notation herein. The funders had no role

in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the

manuscript. This work was conducted in part using resources from the Light Microscopy Facility

and the Shared Equipment Authority at Rice University. The authors acknowledge Zachary C.

Sanchez (Vanderbilt University) for useful help and advice regarding growth and activity of

cardiomyocytes.

Author Contributions.

Conceptualization, JLB, ARV, JMT.

Methodology, JLB, GD, SK, JZ, ALS.

Organic synthesis: ARV, GL, BL, JMT.

Formal analysis, JLB.

Investigation, JLB, SK, GC, DA, JZ.

Resources, JTR, JMT.

Writing, Original draft, JLB.

Writing, Reviewing, and Editing, JLB, GD, SK, ALS, JTR, JMT.

Visualization, JLB.

Supervision, GD, JTR, JMT.

Funding acquisition, JMT.

Project oversight: JMT.

Declaration of interests

Rice University owns intellectual property on the use of electromagnetic (light) activation

of MM for the stimulation of intercellular calcium waves. Conflicts of interest are managed

through regular disclosure to the Rice University Office of Sponsored Projects and Research

Compliance. The authors declare no other competing interests.

Biological materials

All biological materials used in this work are available from commercial sources.

Data availability

All data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its supplementary

information files.

Code availability.

Data analysis scripts are available upon request to the author, JMT.

Fig. 1. Structures of MM used in this study, their mechanism of rotation, and their activation to induce ICW in HEK293 cells. (a) The rotation cycle of a typical MM, showing two photoisomerizations (hv) and two thermal (Δ) helix inversions to complete a unidirectional rotation. The moiety in the "X" position can be changed to synthesize MM of different rotation speeds. **(b)** Structures of the MM employed in this study. MM **1** and MM **2** complete fast (MHz-scale) unidirectional rotation. MM **3** rotates at 0.1 Hz at room temperature. MM **4** lacks chirality imparted by the allylic methyl and possesses no preference for unidirectional rotation. **(c)** Confocal microscope images of cells treated with MM **1**, calcium-tracking dye Fluo-4, and cell membrane labeling dye CellMask (used to differentiate individual cells), showing a rapid increase in

intracellular calcium levels after stimulation with 400 nm light. The red dotted circle labels the

area of laser stimulation. The images in the top row were taken before stimulation, and the images

in the bottom row were taken immediately prior to, 1 s after, and 2 min after stimulation. The scale

bar applies to all images and is 20 μm. **(d)** Representative normalized fluorescence intensity traces

of Fluo-4 in HEK293 cells treated with each MM. The solid line represents the average responses

of n=6 independent cells, and the shaded area represents the standard error of the mean. MM **1**,

MM **2**, and MM **4** were administered to cells at 8 μM. MM **3** was administered to cells at 24 μM.

Stimuli for cells treated with MM **1**, **2**, and **4** used a 250 ms pulse width delivered to a circular area

of diameter 5 μm at 3.2×10^2 W cm^{-2}. Stimuli for cells treated with MM **3** were administered at

6.4×10^2 W cm^{-2}. For all plots, the cyan line indicates the time of stimulus presentation.

Fig. 2. Mechanistic study of MM-induced ICW. (a) Schematic of possible mechanisms by which

calcium can enter the cytoplasm. Prepared with Biorender.com. **(b)** Confocal microscope images

of HEK293 cells treated with MM **1**, MitoTracker Green, ER Tracker Red, and CellMask Deep

Red. Scale bars are both 20 μm and apply to the images in the same row. **(c-h)** Calcium waves

elicited by MM **1**-treated cells **(c)** in calcium-free PBS, **(d)** in RR (1 μM), **(e)** in Gd^{3+} (50 μM), **(f)**

after treatment of cells with Th (1 μM), **(g)** in Ry (100 μM), **(h)** in XeC (25 μM), **(i)** in U-73 (10

μM), **(j)** after pre-treatment of cells with Cyto D (2 μM). The solid line represents the calcium

profiles averaged from six independent cells. The shaded region represents the standard error of

the mean (n=6). Stimuli were presented after 30 s of imaging in each case, and the time of stimulus

presentation is indicated by the vertical cyan line. For all plots, the black trace shows a positive

control consisting of MM **1**-treated cells in typical imaging buffer recorded on the same day. All

stimuli were delivered with a pulse width of 250 ms to a circular area of diameter 5 μm at a power

ranging from 3.2×10^2 W cm^{-2} to 5.1×10^2 W cm^{-2}. For all plots, the cyan line indicates the time of

stimulus presentation. Controls and experimental groups were imaged and stimulated on the same

day using the same conditions and with the same batch of cells. Error bars in the bar graphs

represent the standard deviation of the mean of n=3 experiments (at least 6 stimulated cells per

experiment). Statistical analyses were performed using a one-tailed Welch's t-test. * *P*-value <

0.05, ** *P*-value < 0.01, *** *P*-value < 0.001, **** *P*-value < 0.0001.

Fig. 3. MM-induced calcium waves cause localized calcium release, contraction, and beating in cardiomyocytes. (a) Confocal microscope images of a single myocyte treated with MM **1** and ER Tracker Red. The scale bar is 10 µm and applies to all images. **(b)** Confocal microscope images showing Fluo-4 fluorescence, revealing calcium activity in cardiomyocytes before and after stimulation. The scale bar is 50 µm and applies to all images. The white circle represents the stimulation region. **(c)** Kymographs representative of cardiac myocyte contractile responses from the same cells shown in (b). The line profiles from which kymographs **1** and **2** were taken are shown as double-sided arrows in the bright field image. Kymographs **1** and **2** were taken from the stimulated cell and an adjacent cell, respectively. The scale bar in the bright field image is 50 µm. Kymograph **1** shows local contraction in the stimulated cell (top arrow in **1**), indicated by a convergence of the edges in the kymograph plot. Both kymographs **1** and **2** show periodic beating

in the stimulated cell and surrounding cell (bottom arrow in **1** and arrow in **2**). **(d-f)** Normalized

fluorescence intensity change of Fluo-4 in cardiomyocytes adjacent to stimulated cardiomyocytes

that were treated with **(d)** MM **1** alone, **(e)** MM **1** and U-73 (10 µM), and **(f)** MM **1** in calcium-

free PBS. For each condition, n=50 cells were used. The x-axis label in (f) also applies to (d-e).

All stimulation experiments were performed with a stimulation time of 250 ms at 5.1×10^2 W cm^{-2}

in a circular region of 5 µm diameter using a 400 nm laser. In all plots, the cyan line indicates the

time of stimulus presentation.

Fig. 4. MM induce ICW and muscle contraction *in vivo*. (a) Image of a *Hydra* loaded into a

microfluidic chamber with anatomical regions marked. Scale bar is 100 μm and applies to (a-c).

(b) MM **1** (24 μM) loaded into *Hydra* for 24 h. **(c)** A *Hydra* expressing GCaMP7b in

endodermal epitheliomuscular cells. The stimulation regions used for Protocols **I** and **II** are

marked. Protocol **I** was used for body column stimulation (1 s pulse to a 10 μm diameter circular

area) to trigger regional ICW. Protocol **II** was used for oral region stimulation (2 s pulse to the

oral region, ~1000-2000 μm^2) to trigger whole-body contraction. **(d)** A regional ICW in a *Hydra*

treated with MM **1** and stimulated with 405-nm light via Protocol **I**. The indicated times

represent the time after stimulation at which the image was taken. Scale bar applies to both

images and is 100 μm. **(e-i)** Responses observed from *Hydra* treated with **(e)** MM **1**, **(f)** MM **2**,

(g) MM **3**, **(h)** MM **4**, or **(i)** DMSO control ("C") and stimulated for 1 s using Protocol **I**. Bold,

colored traces represent the average trace (n=25 across 5 *Hydra*). Gray traces represent

individual experiments. **(j)** Bar graph showing ICW response rate and **(k)** box-and-whisker plot

showing ICW delay time of *Hydra* stimulated using Protocol **I** across MM treatment conditions

(n=25 experiments across at least 5 *Hydra* per condition). ICW responses were defined as $\Delta F/F_0$

> 1. **(l)** Representative contraction in a *Hydra* treated with MM **2** and stimulated via Protocol **II**.

Scale bar applies to both images and is 100 μm. **(m)** Representative GCaMP7b fluorescence

trace in a *Hydra* treated with MM **2** and stimulated using Protocol **II**. **(n)** Bar graph showing

contractile response rates across treatment conditions for *Hydra* stimulated for 2 s using Protocol

II (at least 50 experiments across ≥5 *Hydra* per condition). Error bars in bar graphs represent the

standard error of the mean. See *Methods* for more info on the calculation of ICW and contractile

response rates. For all plots, cyan lines indicate the time of stimulus with 405-nm light (9.0×10^2

W cm^{-2}), during which no data was collected. * *P*-value < 0.05, ** *P*-value < 0.01, *** *P*-value <

0.001, **** *P*-value < 0.0001.

References.

1.   Clapham, D. E. Calcium Signaling. *Cell* **131**, 1047–1058 (2007).

2.   Tsutsumi, M. *et al.* Mechanical-stimulation-evoked calcium waves in proliferating and differentiated human keratinocytes. *Cell Tissue Res.* **338**, 99–106 (2009).

3.   Screaton, R. A. *et al.* The CREB Coactivator TORC2 Functions as a Calcium- and cAMP-Sensitive Coincidence Detector. *Cell* **119**, 61–74 (2004).

4.   Carrasco, M. A. & Hidalgo, C. Calcium microdomains and gene expression in neurons and skeletal muscle cells. *Cell Calcium* **40**, 575–583 (2006).

5.   Glaser, T. *et al.* ATP and spontaneous calcium oscillations control neural stem cell fate determination in Huntington's disease: a novel approach for cell clock research. *Mol. Psychiatry* **26**, 2633–2650 (2021).

6.   Tada, M. & Concha, M. L. Vertebrate gastrulation: Calcium waves orchestrate cell movements. *Curr. Biol.,* **11**, R470–R472 (2001).

7.   McCormack, J. G., Halestrap, A. P., & Denton, R. M. Role of calcium ions in regulation of mammalian intramitochondrial metabolism. *Phys. Rev.,* **70**, 391-425 (1990).

8.   Leybaert, L. & Sanderson, M. J. Intercellular Ca^{2+} Waves: Mechanisms and Function. *Phys. Rev.* **92**, 1359–1392 (2012).

9.   G., Eng, *et al*., Autonomous beating rate adaptation in human stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes. *Nat Commun* **7**, 10312 (2016).

10.   Llano, I. *et al.,* Presynaptic calcium stores underlie large-amplitude miniature IPSCs and spontaneous calcium transients. *Nat Neurosci* **3**, 1256–1265 (2000).

11.   Takano, T. *et al.,* Astrocyte-mediated control of cerebral blood flow. *Nat Neurosci* **9**, 260–267 (2006).

12.   Drumm, B. T. *et al.,* The effects of mitochondrial inhibitors on Ca2+ signalling and

electrical conductances required for pacemaking in interstitial cells of Cajal in the mouse small

intestine. *Cell Calcium* **72**, 1–17 (2018).

13.   Gourine, A. V. *et al.,* Astrocytes Control Breathing Through pH-Dependent Release of

ATP. *Science* **329**, 571–575 (2010).

14.   Berridge, M. J. Calcium signaling remodeling and disease. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.,* **40,** 297-

309 (2012).

15.   Stewart, T. A., Yapa, K. T. D. S., & Monteith, G. R. Altered calcium signaling in cancer

cells. *Biochim Biophys Acta Biomembr.,* **1848,** 2502-2511 (2015).

16.   Berridge, M. J., Lipp, P., Bootman, M. D. The versatility and universality of calcium

signaling. *Nat. Rev. Molec. Cell Biol.,* **1,** 11-21 (2000).

17.   Cornell-Bell, A. H. *et al.,* Glutamate Induces Calcium Waves in Cultured Astrocytes:

Long-Range Glial Signaling. *Science* **247**, 470–473 (1990).

18.   Sanderson, M. J., Charles, A. C. & Dirksen, E. R. Mechanical stimulation and intercellular

communication increases intracellular Ca^{2+} in epithelial cells. *Cell Regul* **1**, 585–596 (1990).

19.   Klok, M. *et al.* MHz Unidirectional Rotation of Molecular Rotary Motors. *J. Am. Chem.*

Soc. **130**, 10484–10485 (2008).

20.   García-López, V. *et al.* Unimolecular Submersible Nanomachines. Synthesis, Actuation,

and Monitoring. *Nano Lett.,* **15,** 8229-8239 (2015).

21.   García-López, V. *et al.* Molecular machines open cell membranes. *Nature* **548**, 567–572

(2017).

22.   Zheng, Y. *et al.* Optoregulated force application to cellular receptors using molecular

motors. *Nat. Commun.,* **12**, 3580 (2021).

23.   García-López, V., Liu, D., & Tour, J. M. Light-activated organic molecular motors and

their applications. *Chem. Rev.,* **120,** 79-124 (2020).

24.   Pollard, M. M., Klok, M., Pijper, D. & Feringa, B. L. Rate Acceleration of Light-Driven

Rotary Molecular Motors. *Adv. Func. Mater.,* **17**, 718–729 (2007).

25.   Kepp, O., Galluzzi, L., Lipinski, M., Yuan, J. & Kroemer, G. Cell death assays for drug

discovery. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*., **10**, 221–237 (2011).

26.   Galbadage, T. *et al.* Molecular nanomachines disrupt bacterial cell wall, Increasing

sensitivity of extensively drug-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* to meropenem. *ACS Nano* **13**,

14377–14387 (2019).

27.   Santos, A. L. *et al.* Light-activated molecular machines are fast-acting broad-spectrum

antibacterials that target the membrane. *Sci. Adv.,* **8**, eabm2055 (2022).

28.   Alaya-Orozco, C. *et al.* Visible-light-activated molecular nanomachines kill pancreatic

cancer cells. *ACS Appl. Mater. Int.,* **12,** 410-417 (2020).

29.   Vriens, J. ,Appendino, G., & Nilius, B. Pharmacology of vanilloid transient receptor

potential cation channels. *Mol. Pharmacol.,* **75,** 1262-1279 (2009).

30.   Hamill, O. P. & McBride Jr., D. W. The pharmacology of mechanogated membrane ion

channels. *Pharmacol. Rev.,* **48,** 231-252 (1996).

31.   Thastrup, O., Cullen, P. J., Drøbak, B. K., Hanley, M. R. & Dawson, A. P. Thapsigargin,

a tumor promoter, discharges intracellular Ca^{2+} stores by specific inhibition of the endoplasmic

reticulum Ca^{2+}-ATPase. *PNAS* **87**, 2466–2470 (1990).

32.   Bock, G. R. & Ackrill, K. *Calcium Waves, Gradients and Oscillations*. (John Wiley &

Sons, 2008).

33.   Gafni, J. *et al.* Xestospongins: potent membrane permeable blockers of the inositol 1,4,5-

trisphosphate receptor. *Neuron,* **19,** 723-733 (1997).

34.   Ribeiro, C. M. P., Reece, J. & Putney, J. W. Role of the Cytoskeleton in Calcium Signaling

in NIH 3T3 Cells. *J. Biol. Chem.,* **272**, 26555–26561 (1997).

35.   Xu, J. *et al.* GPR68 senses flow and is essential for vascular physiology. *Cell,* **173**, 762-

775.e16 (2018).

36.   Feher, J. *Quantitative Human Physiology: An Introduction*. (Elsevier, 2007).

37.   Stuyvers, B. D., Boyden, P. A. & ter Keurs, H. E. D. J. Calcium waves. *Circ. Res.,* **86**,

1016–1018 (2000).

38.   Wang, H. *et al.* From neuron to muscle to movement: a complete biomechanical model of

Hydra contractile behaviors. *bioRxiv* (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.12.14.422784.

39.   Kinnamon, J. C. & Westfall, J. A. A three dimensional serial reconstruction of neuronal

distributions in the hypostome of a *Hydra*. *J. Morphol.,* **168**, 321–329 (1981).

40.   Kinnamon, J. C. & Westfall, J. A. Types of neurons and synaptic connections at

hypostome-tentacle junctions in *Hydra*. *J. Morphol.,* **173**, 119–128 (1982).

41.   Dupre, C. & Yuste, R. Non-overlapping neural networks in *Hydra* vulgaris. *Curr. Biol.,*

27, 1085–1097 (2017).

42.   Badhiwala, K. N., Primack, A. S., Juliano, C. E., & Robinson, J. T. Multiple neuronal

networks coordinate *Hydra* mechanosensory behavior. *eLife*, 10:e64108 (2020).

43.   Guertin, S. & Kass-Simon, G. Extraocular spectral photosensitivity in the tentacles of

Hydra vulgaris. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A Mol. Integr. Physiol.* **184**, 163–170 (2015).

44.   Sinnecker, D. & Schaefer, M. Real-time analysis of phospholipase C activity during

different patterns of receptor-induced Ca^{2+} responses in HEK293 cells. *Cell Calcium* **35**, 29–38

(2004).

45.   Roke, D. *et al.* Light-gated rotation in a molecular motor functionalized with a

dithienylethene switch. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, **57**, 10515–10519 (2018).

46.   Saywell, A. *et al.* Light-induced translation of motorized molecules on a surface. *ACS Nano*

10, 10945–10952 (2016).

47.   Zhao, X., Fan, B., Hassan, S., Veeraraghavan, A., & Robinson, J. T. Near field optical

sensing of single cell activity with integrated micro-ring resonators. *Biophotonics Congress 2021,*

OSA Technical Digest (Optical Society of America, 2021), paper BTu3B.4.

48.   Gunasekera, R. S. *et al.* Molecular nanomachines can destroy tissue or kill multicellular

eukaryotes. *ACS Appl. Mater. Int.,* **12,** 13657-13670 (2020).

49.   Hajnoczky, G., Davies, E., & Madesh, M. Calcium signaling and apoptosis. *Biochem.*

Biophys. Ref. Commun., **304,** 445-454 (2003).

50.   Cnossen, A., Kistemaker, J. C. M., Kojima, T., & Feringa, B. L. Structural dynamics of

overcrowded alkene-based molecular motors during thermal isomerization. *J. Org. Chem.,* **79,**

927-935 (2014).

51.   Grigoryan, B. *et al.* Development, characterization, and applications of multi-material

stereolithography bioprinting. *Sci. Rep.*, **11**, 3171 (2021).